

Systematic review and meta-analysis of isolated posterior fossa malformations on prenatal imaging (part 1): nomenclature, diagnostic accuracy and associated anomalies

Francesco D'Antonio¹, Asma Khalil¹, Catherine Garel², Gianluigi Pilu³, Giuseppe Rizzo⁴, Tally Lerman-Sagie⁵, Amar Bhide¹, Basky Thilaganathan¹, Lamberto Manzoli⁶, Aris T. Papageorghiou¹

1: Fetal Medicine Unit, Division of Developmental Sciences, St. George's University of London, London, UK

2: Hôpital d'Enfants Armand-Trousseau - Service de Radiologie, 26-28 Avenue du Docteur Arnold Netter, Cedex 12, Paris, France

3: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sant'Orsola-Malpighi Hospital, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

4: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Università di Roma, Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

5: Fetal Neurology Clinic and Paediatric Neurology Unit, Wolfson Medical Center, Holon, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel

6: Department of Medicine and Aging Sciences, University of Chieti-Pescara, Italy; EMISAC, CeSI Biotech, Chieti, Italy

Correspondence to: Dr. Aris T. Papageorghiou, Fetal Medicine Unit, Division of Developmental Sciences, St George's University of London, London, SW17 0RE, UK (e-mail: apapageo@sgul.ac.uk)

Keywords: Blake's pouch cyst; Dandy Walker malformation; mega cisterna magna; outcome; posterior fossa; vermis hypoplasia

Abstract

Objective To explore the outcome in fetuses with prenatal diagnosis of isolated posterior fossa anomalies.

Methods MEDLINE and EMBASE were searched electronically utilizing combinations of relevant medical subject heading for ‘posterior fossa’, and ‘outcome’. The posterior fossa anomalies analyzed were Dandy Walker malformation (DWM), megacisterna magna (MCM), Blake’s pouch cyst (BPC) and vermian hypoplasia (VH). The outcomes observed were rate of chromosomal abnormalities, additional anomalies detected at prenatal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) only, additional anomalies detected at postnatal imaging only and concordance between prenatal and postnatal diagnoses. Only isolated cases of posterior fossa anomalies were included in the analysis, defined as having no cerebral or extracerebral additional anomalies detected on ultrasound examination. Quality assessment of the included studies was performed using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale for cohort studies. We used meta-analyses of proportions to combine data and fixed- or random-effects models according to the heterogeneity of the results.

Results A total of 22 studies including 531 fetuses with posterior fossa anomalies were included in this systematic review. The prevalence of chromosomal abnormalities in fetuses with isolated DWM was 16.3% (95% CI, 8.7–25.7%). The prevalence of additional central nervous system (CNS) abnormalities that were missed at ultrasound examination and detected only at prenatal MRI was 13.7% (95% CI, 0.2–42.6%), and prevalence of additional CNS and extra-CNS anomalies that were missed at prenatal imaging and detected only after birth were 18.2% (95% CI, 6.2–34.6%) and 18.9% (95% CI, 6.3–36.2%), respectively. Prenatal diagnosis was not confirmed after birth in 28.2% (95% CI, 8.5–53.9%) of cases. No fetus with isolated MCM tested prenatally was found to have a chromosomal abnormality (0.0% (95% CI, 0.0–4.7%)). MCM was not significantly associated with additional anomalies detected at prenatal MRI, nor with additional CNS and extra-CNS anomalies missed prenatally and detected after birth. Prenatal diagnosis was not confirmed postnatally in 7.1% (95% CI, 2.3–14.5%) of cases. The rate of chromosomal anomalies in fetuses with isolated BPC was 5.2% (95% CI, 0.9–12.7%) and there was no associated CNS anomaly that was missed on ultrasound examination and detected at prenatal MRI only (0% (95% CI, 0.0–6.4%)). Similarly, no associated CNS or extra-CNS anomalies were detected after birth only. Prenatal diagnosis of BPC was not confirmed after birth in 9.8% (95% CI, 2.9–20.1%) of cases. The rate of chromosomal anomalies in fetuses with isolated VH was 6.5% (95% CI, 0.8–17.1%) and no

additional anomalies were missed on ultrasound examination and detected at prenatal MRI (0% (95% CI, 0.0–45.9%)). Finally, the proportions of cerebral and extracerebral anomalies detected after birth only were 14.2% (95% CI, 2.9–31.9%) and 0% (95% CI, 0.0–18.5%), respectively. Prenatal diagnosis was not confirmed after birth in 32.4% (95% CI, 18.3–48.4%) of cases.

Conclusions Isolated DWM is a condition with high risk for chromosomal and associated structural anomalies. Isolated MCM and BPC have a low risk of aneuploidy or associated structural anomalies. The small number of cases with isolated VH prevents drawing any robust evidence regarding their management.

Introduction

The cerebellum and cerebellar vermis undergoes protracted development during fetal and neonatal life so that the imaging appearance of the structures of the posterior fossa varies considerably with the age at assessment¹. In pregnancy, routine ultrasound examination of the fetal head includes assessment of the shape and structure of the posterior fossa in the axial transverse cerebellar plane². Posterior fossa malformations encompass a heterogeneous spectrum of conditions characterized by progressive abnormal development of the posterior and anterior membranous areas³. A precise definition of each of the posterior fossa anomalies is necessary in order to properly counsel parents about the outcome of the pregnancy; this is particularly important because the outcome of these conditions varies considerably in relation to the type of anomaly. Therefore, the standard axial plane is insufficient to make a definite diagnosis when dealing with posterior fossa malformations. In addition to careful multiplanar sonography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is usually performed prenatally to confirm the diagnosis and assess the presence of associated anomalies, which are important determinants of neurodevelopmental outcome. Nevertheless, although accurate, fetal MRI may be affected by a significant risk of both false-positive and -negative rates^{4,5}. Likewise, pathological confirmation of posterior fossa anomalies has been reported to have a low level of concordance with prenatal imaging^{5,6}.

The adoption of different nomenclatures, diagnostic criteria and outcome measures has made parental counseling of posterior fossa anomalies extremely challenging. The presence of associated anomalies and the integrity of vermian structures are clearly important in determining the outcome of these conditions⁸. However, most of the published studies did not differentiate between cases with and without associated anomalies, and it is not certain whether these factors have an impact on outcome.

The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to explore the outcome in fetuses with prenatal diagnosis of isolated posterior fossa anomalies. We discuss the accuracy in the association with other misdiagnosed anomalies and the accuracy of prenatal imaging in labelling these anomalies correctly.

Methods

Protocol, eligibility criteria, information sources and search

This review was performed according to an *a-priori* designed protocol and recommended for systematic reviews and meta-analysis⁹⁻¹¹ and following the PRISMA guidelines¹⁰. MEDLINE and EMBASE were searched electronically on 15 February 2014 utilizing combinations of the relevant medical subject heading (MeSH) terms, keywords and word variants for ‘posterior fossa’, ‘Dandy Walker’, ‘Blake’s pouch cyst’, ‘vermian hypoplasia’ and ‘outcome’ (Appendix S1). The search was then updated on 14 July 2014. The search and selection criteria were restricted to the English language. Reference lists of relevant articles and reviews were hand searched for additional reports. PRISMA guidelines were followed¹².

Study selection, data collection and data items

Studies were assessed according to the following criteria: population, outcome, gestational age at examination and type of imaging assessment of the posterior fossa.

Two authors (F.D., A.K.) reviewed all abstracts independently. Agreement regarding potential relevance was reached by consensus and full-text copies of relevant papers were obtained. The same two reviewers extracted independently relevant data regarding study characteristics and pregnancy outcome. Inconsistencies were discussed by the reviewers or by discussion with a third author and consensus reached. If more than one study was published for the same cohort with identical endpoints, the report containing the most comprehensive information on the population was included to avoid overlapping populations. For those articles in which information was not reported but the methodology was such that this information would have been recorded initially, the authors were contacted.

Quality assessment of the included studies was performed using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) for cohort studies; according to NOS, each study is judged on three broad perspectives: the selection of the study groups, the comparability of the groups, and the ascertainment outcome of interest¹³. Assessment of the selection of a study includes evaluation of the representativeness of the exposed cohort, selection of the non-exposed cohort, ascertainment of exposure and the demonstration that the outcome of interest was not present at the start of the study. Assessment of the comparability of the study includes evaluation of the comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis. Finally, the ascertainment of the outcome of interest includes evaluation of the type of assessment of the outcome of interest, length and adequacy of follow-up¹³. According to

NOS, a study can be awarded a maximum of one star for each numbered item within the selection and outcome categories. A maximum of two stars can be given for comparability.

Risk of bias, summary measures and synthesis of the results

The posterior fossa anomalies considered in this systematic review were defined on the basis of the morphological approach proposed by Tortori-Donati *et al.*¹⁴, and were: 1) Dandy Walker malformation (DWM), defined by the classic triad of complete or partial agenesis of the cerebellar vermis, cystic dilatation of the 4th ventricle and enlarged posterior fossa with the upward displacement of the tentorium, torcula and transverse sinuses; 2) mega cisterna magna (MCM), defined as a large cisterna magna measuring > 10 mm and a normal vermis; 3) Blake's pouch cyst (BPC), defined as the presence of an upward displaced normal cerebellar vermis, normal appearance of the fastigium, tentorium and size of the cisterna magna; and 4) isolated vermian hypoplasia (VA), defined as a normally formed vermis but of smaller size, with an otherwise normal size and anatomy of the posterior fossa.

The incidence of the following outcomes were analyzed: 1) chromosomal abnormalities; 2) rate of additional major central nervous system (CNS) anomalies detected at prenatal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) only and missed at the initial ultrasound examination; 3) additional CNS and extra-CNS major anomalies detected at postnatal imaging or clinical evaluation only but missed at prenatal imaging; and 4) concordance between prenatal and postnatal diagnosis.

For assessment of the incidence of abnormal karyotype, isolated posterior fossa anomalies were defined as having no additional CNS and extra-CNS anomalies detected at the ultrasound scan. Only cases that had their full karyotype tested pre- or postnatally were included. The presence of additional anomalies detected at pre- and postnatal MRI only and the rate of concordance between pre- and postnatal diagnosis were assessed only in fetuses with no additional anomalies and normal karyotype. In case of DWM, ventriculomegaly was not included as an associated cerebral malformation because its development is related to cerebrospinal fluid dynamic changes, secondary to the mass effect of the cystic malformation.

Only studies reporting a prenatal diagnosis of posterior fossa anomalies were considered suitable for the inclusion in the current systematic review; postnatal studies or studies from which cases diagnosed prenatally could not be extracted were excluded. Cases of Dandy Walker variant and those with a lack of a clear definition of the anomaly were not considered suitable for inclusion. Autopsy-based studies were excluded on the basis that fetuses undergoing termination of pregnancy

are more likely to show associated major structural and chromosomal anomalies. Studies reporting the concordance between pre- and postnatal diagnosis of posterior fossa anomalies were excluded unless they provided information about whether the anomaly was isolated or not. Studies of non-isolated cases of posterior fossa anomalies were excluded as were studies published before the year 2000, as we considered advances in prenatal imaging techniques, improvements in the diagnosis and definition of CNS anomalies make these less relevant. Finally, studies not providing a clear classification of the posterior fossa anomalies analyzed were not considered suitable for the inclusion in the current review. The wide heterogeneity in nomenclature among published studies results in heterogeneity in risk stratification of these fetuses, therefore we included only studies providing a definition of the anomaly in accordance with that reported above.

Only full-text articles were considered eligible for inclusion; case reports, conference abstracts and case series with fewer than three cases of posterior fossa anomalies, irrespective of the fact that the anomalies were isolated or not, were excluded in order to avoid publication bias.

We used meta-analyses of proportions to combine data^{14,15}. Unfortunately, the scarce number of studies did not permit meaningful stratified meta-analyses to explore the test performance in subgroups of patients that may be less or more susceptible to bias. The assessment of the potential publication bias was also problematic, both because of the outcome nature (rates with the left side limited to the value zero) which limits the reliability of funnel plots, and because of the low number of individual studies, which strongly limits the reliability of formal tests. Funnel plots displaying the outcome rate from individual studies *vs* their precision (1/standard error) were carried out with an exploratory aim. Tests for funnel plot asymmetry were not used when the total number of publications included for each outcome was < 10. In this case, the power of the tests was too low to distinguish chance from real asymmetry^{16,17}.

Between-study heterogeneity was explored using the I^2 statistic, which represents the percentage of between-study variation that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance. A value of 0% indicates no observed heterogeneity, whereas $I^2 \geq 50\%$ indicate a substantial level of heterogeneity. A fixed-effects model was used if substantial statistical heterogeneity was not present. On the contrary, if there was evidence of significant heterogeneity between studies included, a random-effect model was used.

All proportion meta-analyses were carried out using StatsDirect 2.7.9 (StatsDirect Ltd, Altrincham, UK) and MetaDisc (Meta-DiSc Statistical Methods, 2006, ftp://ftp.hrc.es/pub/programas/metadisc/MetaDisc_StatisticalMethods.pdf).

Results

Study selection and characteristics

A total of 1640 articles were identified, of which 97 full-text articles were assessed for their eligibility for inclusion (Appendix S2). A total of 22 studies were included in the systematic review (Figure 1)^{13,18–37}. These 22 studies included 531 fetuses with posterior fossa anomalies; of these, 42.6% (226/531) did not show any additional structural anomaly at the scan and represents the population of this systematic review. The general characteristic of the included studies are reported in Table 1. For most of the included studies, the posterior fossa anatomy was assessed using a multiplanar approach. Fetal MRI was performed to confirm the diagnosis and to look for associated anomalies in the majority of the included studies. Postnatal confirmation of the anomaly was performed in most cases by ultrasound, computerized tomography (CT) or MRI, however the majority of the studies lacked a standardized protocol for the postnatal assessment of these patients.

Quality assessment of the included studies was performed using NOS for cohort studies. Almost all included studies showed an overall good rate with regard to selection and comparability of the study groups and for the ascertainment of the outcome of interest. The main weaknesses of these studies were represented by their retrospective design, small sample size being series from high-risk populations and a lack of standardized postnatal confirmation. Furthermore, the relatively short period of follow-up after birth did not allow a precise estimation of the overall rate of additional anomalies missed prenatally and detected after birth only.

Synthesis of results

Dandy Walker malformation

There were 217 fetuses (11 studies) with DWM included in this review. Associated CNS and extra-CNS structural anomalies were present in 60.9% (95% CI, 45.3–75.3%) and 42.6% (95% CI, 22.7–64.0%) of cases. Ventriculomegaly was a common finding and was detected prenatally in 31.3% (95% CI, 14.0–51.8%) of cases with DWM.

The prevalence of chromosomal abnormalities in fetuses with DWM and no associated CNS or extra-CNS anomalies was 16.3% (95% CI, 8.7–25.7%; Table 3), with chromosomal deletions representing the most common anomaly (7.6% (95% CI, 2.6–14.8%)). The occurrence of hydrocephalus requiring ventriculoperitoneal shunt is common in cases of DWM after birth. Overall, ventriculomegaly before or after birth occurred in 68.0% (95% CI, 32.3–94.5%) of DWM

cases with no associated structural anomalies and normal karyotype. Ventriculomegaly requiring a ventriculoperitoneal shunt to reduce raised intracranial pressure occurred in 62.7% (95% CI, 27.9–91.3%) of cases.

The prevalence of additional CNS abnormalities missed at ultrasound and detected only at prenatal MRI was 13.7% (95% CI, 0.2–42.6%; Table 3), while prevalence of additional CNS and extra-CNS anomalies missed at prenatal imaging by either ultrasound or MRI and detected only after birth were 18.2% (95% CI, 6.2–34.6%) and 18.9% (95% CI, 6.3–36.2%). The prenatal diagnosis of DWM was not confirmed after birth in 28.2% (95% CI, 8.5–53.9%) of cases (Table 3). Among the cases of isolated DWM that were not confirmed at birth ($n = 7$), two had a normal appearance of the posterior fossa at postnatal imaging, one a diagnosis of BPC, one of VH, one of Joubert syndrome, one of posterior fossa hemorrhage, while the last case showed an association of DWM and abnormality of the cortex.

Mega cisterna magna

There were 144 fetuses (10 studies) with a prenatal diagnosis of MCM included. The prevalence of additional CNS and extra-CNS anomalies were 12.6% (95% CI, 2.9–27.6%) and 16.6% (95% CI, 6.2–30.8%), respectively, with ventriculomegaly being the most common associated anomaly (11.7% (95% CI, 2.8–25.6%)). No fetus tested prenatally were found to have a chromosomal abnormality (0% (95% CI, 0.0–4.7%); Table 4). In addition, there were no significant associations with additional anomalies detected only at prenatal MRI, or with associated CNS and extra-CNS anomalies missed prenatally and detected after birth (Table 4). The prenatal diagnosis of MCM was not confirmed in 7.1% (95% CI, 2.3–14.5%) of cases. Among the cases not confirmed at birth, three were false-positive diagnoses with normal appearance of the posterior fossa described at postnatal imaging, and one was a posterior fossa arachnoid cyst.

Blake's pouch cyst

There were 77 fetuses (eight studies) with a prenatal diagnosis of BPC included. The prevalence of associated CNS and extra-CNS structural anomalies were 11.5% (95% CI, 4.3–21.5%) and 25.3% (95% CI, 9.0–46.5%), respectively. There was a single case of aneuploidy (trisomy 21) detected among 45 fetuses tested (5.2% (95% CI, 0.9–12.7%); Table 5). There was no case of associated CNS anomaly missed at the ultrasound scan and detected only at prenatal MRI in the cohort of fetuses included in this review (0% (95% CI, 0.0–6.4%)). Similarly, no associated CNS (0% (95% CI, 0.0–8.6%)) or extra-CNS (0.0 (95% CI, 0.0–16.1)) anomalies were detected only after

birth (Table 5). The prenatal diagnosis of BPC was not confirmed after birth in 9.8% (95% CI, 2.9–20.1%) of cases (Table 5), consisting of one case of posterior fossa arachnoid cyst, one of otherwise isolated MCM and one with normal postnatal imaging.

Vermian hypoplasia

There were 63 fetuses (five studies) with a prenatal diagnosis of vermian hypoplasia included. The rate of associated CNS and extra-CNS anomalies was 56.1% (95% CI, 25.0–84.7%) and 49.2% (95% CI 31.5–67.1), respectively. There was only one chromosomal anomaly detected (chromosomal deletion) among the 30 fetuses tested (6.5% (95% CI, 0.8–17.1%); Table 6). Although the number of fetuses with this outcome was very small, no additional anomalies were detected at prenatal MRI only (0% (95% CI, 0.0–45.9%)).

Finally, the proportion of cerebral and extra-cerebral anomalies detected only after birth were 14.2% (95% CI, 2.9–31.9%) and 0% (95% CI, 0.0–18.5%), respectively (Table 6). The prenatal diagnosis was not confirmed in 32.4% (95% CI, 18.3–48.4%) of cases; all 10 cases consisted of false-positive diagnoses with a normal appearance of the posterior fossa and cerebellar vermis at postnatal imaging, and one in one case of VH and associated cortical abnormalities.

Discussion

Summary of evidence

This systematic review demonstrates that isolated DWM carries a high risk of chromosomal abnormalities and associated malformations that can be misdiagnosed before birth. In contrast, isolated MCM and BPC are associated with a very low risk of aneuploidy and of associated structural anomalies discovered only at prenatal MRI or after birth. Isolated VH is associated with low risk of aneuploidy and of additional anomalies detected only at prenatal MRI. A discrepancy between pre- and postnatal diagnosis of posterior fossa anomalies is common in cases of DWM and VH, but less frequent in cases of MCM and BPC.

Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of this study are its robust methodology to identify all possible studies for inclusion, assess data quality and synthesise all suitable data.

For several meta-analyses, the number of included studies was small and some included small populations. Furthermore, many of the studies reviewed did not allow extraction of individual case data. Another limitation is the significant heterogeneity in the definitions used⁴².

Subtle chromosomal abnormalities such as microdeletions may be overlooked by routine chromosome analysis, highlighting the need for molecular cytogenetic techniques which were not used in some of the included studies. It is therefore possible that this systematic review underestimated the occurrence of chromosomal abnormalities⁴³.

Advances in prenatal imaging techniques have led to more comprehensive assessment of the fetal brain; in this review, we considered only studies published in the last decade in order to minimize the effect of changing imaging protocols. Despite these limitations, however, our review represents the best published estimate of outcome for this group of conditions.

Implications for clinical practice

Objective standardized assessment of the posterior fossa is needed to differentiate precisely the possible diagnoses. One such approach has been proposed^{3,25}: our review suggests that this approach is quite effective in the identification of MCM and BPC, but less effective for DWM, and inadequate for VH. Indeed variable criteria for the diagnosis of VH were used in different studies.

Isolated DWM was frequently associated with chromosomal aberrations and we therefore believe that karyotyping should be offered for fetuses with this prenatal diagnosis. Microarray analysis can also be considered where available. On the other hand, the risk of chromosomal anomalies is very low in cases of isolated MCM. With isolated BPC, the rate of chromosomal anomalies was in the range of 5%, but this should be considered with caution given the small number of cases.

Antenatal MRI is certainly indicated with isolated DWM, as associated intracranial anomalies escaping sonographic diagnosis were frequent, which was not the case for other anomalies. MCM was misdiagnosed in 7% of cases; in some a posterior fossa cyst was found after birth. Posterior fossa cyst may expand and cause obstructive hydrocephalus. MRI may be more effective than ultrasound, not only in differentiating isolated MCM from posterior fossa cysts, but also in identifying periventricular nodular heterotopia, a neuronal migration disorder with significant clinical implications⁴⁴. Isolated DWM is frequently found in association with anomalies that are only identified postnatally. Conversely, parents of fetuses with isolated MCM or BPC can be reassured that this rarely occurs in such cases.

Our review highlights the need for an objective standard to confirm the diagnosis after birth. Recent studies have shown a low level of agreement between prenatal imaging and pathological examination in cases of pregnancy termination^{5,6}. Challenges in pathological assessment of posterior fossa structures, inconsistencies in diagnostic criteria, and the lack of multiplanar assessment in older studies, may account for this heterogeneity.

Implications for research

The wide heterogeneity in classification and the definitions of outcomes highlights the urgent need for prospective studies using a standardized classification of these anomalies, correlating them with pregnancy and postnatal outcomes.

Although posterior fossa anomalies are diagnosed usually in the second trimester, early detection has been reported^{30,44,45}. Large prospective studies on low-risk populations are needed to ascertain whether first-trimester assessment of the posterior fossa may lead to reliable early diagnosis.

Conclusions

Isolated DWM is at high risk of chromosomal and associated structural anomalies. Isolated MCM or BPC is rarely associated with malformations that are undetected by ultrasound, and isolated MCM has a low risk of aneuploidy. The group of fetuses with an antenatal diagnosis of VH was heterogeneous, and the condition was often not confirmed at birth. We suggest that MRI is indicated in cases of DWM or MCM. Studies using standardized classification are needed to objectively define the prognosis of these anomalies.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. D. Paladini, Prof. T. Tarui, Prof. R. Palma-Dias, Prof. R. Has, Dr E. Bertucci, Dr K. Reidy, Dr R. Ghali, Dr Garcia-Flores, Dr Borrell, Dr Y. Leitner, Dr G. Tonni, Dr A. Long, Dr K. Patek, Dr C. Spaeth, Dr M. Scheier, Dr R. Lachmann, Dr N. Kölbl, Dr T. Harper, Dr PH Tang, Dr Z. Ozkan and Dr R. Russo for their contribution to this systematic review in terms of additional data supplied and support.

References

1. Robinson AJ, Blaser S, Toi A, Chitayat D, Halliday W, Pantazi S, Gundogan M, Laughlin S, Ryan G. The fetal cerebellar vermis: assessment for abnormal development by ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging. *Ultrasound Q* 2007; **23**: 211-223.
2. International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology Education Committee. Sonographic examination of the fetal central nervous system: guidelines for performing the 'basic examination' and the 'fetal neurosonogram'. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2007; **29**: 109-116.
3. Garel C. Posterior fossa malformations: main features and limits in prenatal diagnosis. *Pediatr Radiol* 2010; **40**: 1038-1045.
4. Limperopoulos C, Robertson RL Jr, Khwaja OS, Robson CD, Estroff JA, Barnewolt C, Levine D, Morash D, Nemes L, Zaccagnini L, du Plessis AJ. How accurately does current fetal imaging identify posterior fossa anomalies? *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2008; **190**: 1637-1643.
5. Tilea B, Delezoide AL, Khung-Savatovski S, Guimiot F, Vuillard E, Oury JF, Garel C. Comparison between magnetic resonance imaging and fetopathology in the evaluation of fetal posterior fossa non-cystic abnormalities. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2007; **29**: 651-659.
6. Carroll SG, Porter H, Abdel-Fattah S, Kyle PM, Soothill PW. Correlation of prenatal ultrasound diagnosis and pathologic findings in fetal CNS abnormalities. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2000; **16**: 149-153.
7. Phillips JJ, Mahony BS, Siebert JR, Lalani T, Fligner CL, Kapur RP. Dandy-Walker malformation complex: correlation between ultrasonographic diagnosis and postmortem neuropathology. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006; **107**: 685-693.
8. Klein O, Pierre-Kahn A, Boddaert N, Parisot D, Brunelle F. Dandy-Walker malformation: prenatal diagnosis and prognosis. *Childs Nerv Syst* 2003; **19**: 484-489.
9. Henderson LK, Craig JC, Willis NS, Tovey D, Webster AC. How to write a Cochrane systematic review. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 2010; **15** : 617–624.
10. NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic reviews: CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. University of York: York (UK), 2009.
11. Leeflang MM, Deeks JJ, Gatsonis C, Bossuyt PM. Cochrane Diagnostic Test Accuracy Working Group. Systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy. *Ann Intern Med* 2008; **149**: 889-897.
12. Prisma statement. <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>
13. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. [Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp

14. Tortori-Donati, Rossi, Biancheri. Brain malformations, in *Pediatric Neuroradiology*, Tortori-Donati (ed). Springer: New York, 2005; 71-198.
15. Guibaud L, Larroque A, Ville D, Sanlaville D, Till M, Gaucherand P, Pracros JP, des Portes V. Prenatal diagnosis of 'isolated' Dandy-Walker malformation: imaging findings and prenatal counselling. *Prenat Diagn* 2012; **32**: 185-193.
16. Manzoli L, De Vito C, Salanti G, D'Addario M, Villari P, Ioannidis JP. Meta-analysis of the immunogenicity and tolerability of pandemic influenza A 2009 (H1N1) vaccines. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e24384.
17. Hunter JP, Saratzis A, Sutton AJ, Boucher RH, Sayers RD, Bown MJ. In meta-analyses of proportion studies, funnel plots were found to be an inaccurate method of assessing publication bias. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2014; **67**: 897-903.
18. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997; **315**: 629-634.
19. Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.0.2 [updated September 2009]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2009. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.
20. Tarui T, Limperopoulos C, Sullivan NR, Robertson RL, du Plessis AJ. Long-term developmental outcome of children with a fetal diagnosis of isolated inferior vermian hypoplasia. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2014; **99**: F54-8.
21. Tonni G, Grisolia G, Sepulveda W. Second trimester fetal neurosonography: reconstructing cerebral midline anatomy and anomalies using a novel three-dimensional ultrasound technique. *Prenat Diagn* 2014; **34**: 75-83.
22. Ghali R, Reidy K, Fink AM, Palma-Dias R. Perinatal and short-term neonatal outcomes of posterior fossa anomalies. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 2014 ; **35**: 108-11.
23. Zhao D, Liu W, Cai A, Li J, Chen L, Wang B. Quantitative evaluation of the fetal cerebellar vermis using the median view on three-dimensional ultrasound. *Prenat Diagn* 2013; **33**: 153-157.
24. Vatansever D, Kyriakopoulou V, Allsop JM, Fox M, Chew A, Hajnal JV, Rutherford MA. Multidimensional analysis of fetal posterior fossa in health and disease. *Cerebellum* 2013; **12**: 632-644.
25. Guibaud L, des Portes V. Plea for an anatomical approach to abnormalities of the posterior fossa in prenatal diagnosis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2006; **27**: 477-481.

26. Gandolfi Colleoni G, Contro E, Carletti A, Ghi T, Campobasso G, Rembouskos G, Volpe G, Pilu G, Volpe P. Prenatal diagnosis and outcome of fetal posterior fossa fluid collections. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2012; **39**: 625-631.
27. Paladini D, Quarantelli M, Pastore G, Sorrentino M, Sglavo G, Nappi C. Abnormal or delayed development of the posterior membranous area of the CNS: anatomy, ultrasound diagnosis, natural history and outcome of Blake's pouch cyst in the fetus. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2012; **39**: 279-287.
28. Patek KJ, Kline-Fath BM, Hopkin RJ, Pilipenko VV, Crombleholme TM, Spaeth CG. Posterior fossa anomalies diagnosed with fetal MRI: associated anomalies and neurodevelopmental outcomes. *Prenat Diagn.* 2012; **32**: 75-82.
29. Bertucci E, Gindes L, Mazza V, Re C, Lerner-Geva L, Achiron R. Vermian biometric parameters in the normal and abnormal fetal posterior fossa: three-dimensional sonographic study. *J Ultrasound Med* 2011; **30**: 1403-1410.
30. Egle D, Strobl I, Weiskopf-Schwendinger V, Grubinger E, Kraxner F, Mutz-Dehbalaie IS, Strasak A, Scheier M. Appearance of the fetal posterior fossa at 11 + 3 to 13 + 6 gestational weeks on transabdominal ultrasound examination. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2011; **38**: 620-624.
31. Ozkan ZS, Gilgin H, Aygün HB, Deveci D, Simşek M, Kumru S, Yüce H. Our clinical experience about prenatal diagnosis and neonatal outcomes of fetal central nervous system anomalies. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2011; **24**: 502-505.
32. Rizzo G, Abuhamad AZ, Benacerraf BR, Chaoui R, Corral E, Addario VD, Espinoza J, Lee W, Mercé Alberto LT, Pooh R, Sepulveda W, Sinkovskaya E, Viñals F, Volpe P, Pietrolucci ME, Arduini D. Collaborative study on 3-dimensional sonography for the prenatal diagnosis of central nervous system defects. *J Ultrasound Med* 2011; **30**: 1003-1038.
33. Dror R, Malinger G, Ben-Sira L, Lev D, Pick CG, Lerman-Sagie T. Developmental outcome of children with enlargement of the cisterna magna identified in utero. *J Child Neurol* 2009; **24**: 1486-1492.
34. Kontopoulos EV, Quintero RA, Salihu HM, Bornick PW, Allen MH. Dandy-Walker syndrome and monozygotic twins: insight into a possible etiological mechanism. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2008; **21**: 839-842.
35. Forzano F, Mansour S, Ierullo A, Homfray T, Thilaganathan B. Posterior fossa malformation in fetuses: a report of 56 further cases and a review of the literature. *Prenat Diagn* 2007; **27**: 495-501.

36. Long A, Moran P, Robson S. Outcome of fetal cerebral posterior fossa anomalies. *Prenat Diagn* 2006; **26**: 707-710.
37. Zalel Y, Gilboa Y, Gabis L, Ben-Sira L, Hoffman C, Wiener Y, Achiron R. Rotation of the vermis as a cause of enlarged cisterna magna on prenatal imaging. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2006; **27**: 490-493.
38. Has R, Ermiş H, Yüksel A, Ibrahimoglu L, Yildirim A, Sezer HD, Başaran S. Dandy-Walker malformation: a review of 78 cases diagnosed by prenatal sonography. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 2004; **19**: 342-347.
39. Leitner Y, Goez H, Gull I, Mesterman R, Weiner E, Jaffa A, Harel S. Antenatal diagnosis of central nervous system anomalies: can we predict prognosis? *J Child Neurol* 2004; **19**: 435-458.
40. Ecker JL, Shipp TD, Bromley B, Benacerraf B. The sonographic diagnosis of Dandy-Walker and Dandy-Walker variant: associated findings and outcomes. *Prenat Diagn* 2000; **20**: 328-332.
41. Kölble N, Wisser J, Kurmanavicius J, Bolthausen E, Stallmach T, Huch A, Huch R. Dandy-walker malformation: prenatal diagnosis and outcome. *Prenat Diagn* 2000; **20**: 318-327.
42. Robinson AJ. Inferior vermian hypoplasia--preconception, misconception. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2014; **43**: 123-136.
43. Shaffer LG, Rosenfeld JA, Dabell MP, Coppinger J, Bandholz AM, Ellison JW, Ravnan JB, Torchia BS, Ballif BC, Fisher AJ. Detection rates of clinically significant genomic alterations by microarray analysis for specific anomalies detected by ultrasound. *Prenat Diagn* 2012; **32**: 986-995.
44. Lachmann R, Sinkovskaya E, Abuhamad A. Posterior brain in fetuses with Dandy-Walker malformation with complete agenesis of the cerebellar vermis at 11-13 weeks: a pilot study. *Prenat Diagn* 2012; **32**: 765-769.
45. Garcia-Posada R, Eixarch E, Sanz M, Puerto B, Figueras F, Borrell A. Cisterna magna width at 11-13 weeks in the detection of posterior fossa anomalies. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2013; **41**: 515-520.

Table 1 General characteristics of the 22 studies reporting on isolated posterior fossa malformations included in this systematic review

Study	Country	Study design	Prenatal imaging	GA at US	Anomalies analyzed	US plane used for diagnosis
Tarui (2014) ²⁰	USA	Prospective	MRI	II–III trimester	VH	Multiplanar
Tonni (2014) ²¹	Italy/Chile	Prospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	BPC, VH	Multiplanar
Ghali (2014) ²²	Australia	Retrospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	DWM, MCM, BPC	Multiplanar
Zhao (2013) ²³	China	Prospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	BPC	Multiplanar
Vatansaver (2013) ²⁴	UK	Prospective	MRI	II–III trimester	MCM	Multiplanar
Guibaud (2012) ¹⁵	France	Retrospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	DWM	Multiplanar
Gandolfi-Colleoni (2012) ²⁶	Italy	Retrospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	DWM, BPC, MCM, VH	Multiplanar
Paladini (2012) ²⁷	Italy	Retrospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	BPC	Multiplanar
Patek (2012) ²⁸	USA	Retrospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	DWM, MCM, VH	Multiplanar
Bertucci (2011) ²⁹	Italy-Israel	Prospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	DWM, BPC, MCM, VH	Multiplanar
Egle (2011) ³⁰	Austria	Prospective	US	I–III trimester	BPC	Multiplanar
Ozkan (2011) ³¹	Turkey	Retrospective	US	II–III trimester	DWM	Multiplanar
Rizzo (2011) ³²	Multicentre	Prospective	US, RMN	II–III trimester	DWM, MCM, BPC	Multiplanar
Dror (2009) ³³	Israel	Prospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	MCM	Multiplanar
Kontopoulos (2008) ³⁴	USA	Retrospective	US	II–III trimester	DWM	Not stated
Forzano (2007) ³⁵	UK	Retrospective	US, MRI	II trimester	MCM	Multiplanar
Long (2006) ³⁶	UK	Retrospective	US	II–III trimester	MCM	Multiplanar
Zalel (2006) ³⁷	Israel	Retrospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	BPC	Multiplanar
Has (2004) ³⁸	Turkey	Retrospective	US, MRI	II–III trimester	DWM	Multiplanar
Leitner (2004) ³⁹	Israel	Retrospective	US	II–III Trimester	MCM	Axial plane
Ecker (2000) ⁴⁰	USA	Retrospective	US	II–III trimester	DWM	Axial plane
Kolble (2000) ⁴¹	Switzerland	Retrospective	US	I–III trimester	DWM	Axial plane

BPC, Blakes' pouch cyst; DWM, Dandy Walker malformation, MCM, mega cisterna magna, MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; US, ultrasound; VH, vermian hypoplasia.

Table 2 Quality assessment of the 22 included studies according to Newcastle–Ottawa Scale

Author	Selection	Comparability	Outcome
Tarui (2014) ²⁰	★★★★	★★	★★★
Tonni (2014) ²¹	★★★	★	★★
Ghali (2014) ²²	★★★	★	★★★
Zhao (2013) ²³	★★	★	★★
Vatansaver (2013) ²⁴	★★★	★	★★
Guibaud (2012) ²⁵	★★★	★	★★★
Gandolfi-Colleoni (2012) ²⁶	★★★	★	★★
Paladini (2012) ²⁷	★★★	★	★★★
Patek (2012) ²⁸	★★★	★	★★★
Bertucci (2011) ²⁹	★★★	★	★★
Egle (2011) ³⁰	★★	★	★★
Ozkan (2011) ³¹	★★	★	★
Rizzo (2011) ³²	★★★	★	★★
Dror (2009) ³³	★★★	★★	★★★
Kontopoulos (2008) ³⁴	★★	★	★★
Forzano (2007) ³⁵	★★	★	★★
Long (2006) ³⁶	★★★	★	★★
Zalel (2006) ³⁷	★★★	★	★★★
Has (2004) ³⁸	★★★	★	★★
Leitner (2004) ³⁹	★★	★	★★
Ecker (2000) ⁴⁰	★★★	★	★★
Kolble (2000) ⁴¹	★★★	★	★★★

A study can be awarded a maximum of one ★ for each numbered item within the selection and outcome categories. A maximum of two ★ can be given for comparability

Table 3 Pooled proportions (PP) of outcomes in fetuses with isolated Dandy Walker malformation assessed prenatally for additional anomalies

Outcome	Studies (<i>n</i>)	Fetuses (<i>n/N</i>)	<i>I</i> ² (%)	Raw (% (95% CI))	PP (% (95% CI))
Chromosomal anomalies	11	9/60	0	15.00 (7.1–26.6)	16.32 (8.7–25.7)
Additional anomalies detected only at prenatal MRI	4	2/18	56.1	11.11 (1.4–34.7)	13.72 (0.2–42.6)
Additional anomalies detected only postnatally					
CNS anomalies	6	3/21	0	14.29 (3.1–36.3)	18.19 (6.2–34.6)
Extra-CNS anomalies	5	3/20	0	15.00 (3.2–37.9)	18.93 (6.3–36.2)
Discrepancy between pre- and postnatal diagnosis	6	7/33	54.9	21.21 (9.0–38.9)	28.18 (8.5–53.9)

CNS, central nervous system; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

Table 4 Pooled proportions (PP) for outcomes in fetuses with isolated mega cisterna magna assessed prenatally for additional anomalies

Outcome	Studies (<i>n</i>)	Fetuses (<i>n/N</i>)	<i>I</i> ² (%)	Raw (% (95% CI))	PP (% (95% CI))
Chromosomal anomalies	9	0/76	0	0.00 (0.0–4.7)	0.00 (0.0–4.7)*
Additional anomalies detected only at prenatal MRI	5	0/29	0	0.00 (0.0–11.9)	0.00 (0.0–11.9)*
Additional anomalies detected only postnatally					
CNS anomalies	6	1/60	0	1.67 (0.04–8.9)	3.65 (0.5–9.5)
Extra-CNS anomalies	5	1/40	0	2.50 (0.1–13.2)	4.66 (0.5–12.7)
Discrepancy between pre- and postnatal diagnosis	8	4/59	43.2	6.78 (1.9–16.5)	7.14 (2.3–14.5)

*Using Meta-Disc statistical analysis. CNS, central nervous system; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

Table 5 Pooled proportions (PP) for outcomes in fetuses with isolated Blake’s pouch cyst assessed prenatally for additional anomalies

Outcome	Studies (n)	Fetuses (n/N)	I ² (%)	Raw (% (95% CI))	PP (% (95% CI))
Chromosomal anomalies	8	1/45	0	2.22 (0.1–11.8)	5.16 (0.9–12.7)
Additional anomalies detected only at prenatal MRI	8	0/56	0	0.00 (0.0–6.4)	0.00 (0.0–6.4)*
Additional anomalies detected only postnatally					
CNS anomalies	6	0/41	0	0.00 (0.0–8.6)	0.00 (0.0–8.6)*
Extra-CNS anomalies	5	0/21	0	0.00 (0.0–16.1)	0.00 (0.0–16.1)*
Discrepancy between pre- and postnatal diagnosis	6	3/39	33.9	7.69 (1.6–20.9)	9.79 (2.9–20.1)

*Using Meta-Disc statistical analysis. CNS, central nervous system; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

Table 6 Pooled proportions (PP) for outcomes in fetuses with isolated vermian hypoplasia assessed prenatally for additional anomalies

Outcome	Studies (n)	Fetuses (n/N)	I ² (%)	Raw (% (95% CI))	PP (% (95% CI))
Chromosomal anomalies	4	1/30	0	3.33 (0.1–17.2)	6.54 (0.8–17.1)
Additional anomalies detected only at prenatal MRI	3	0/6	0	0.00 (0.0–45.9)	0.00 (0.0–45.9)*
Additional anomalies detected only postnatally					
CNS anomalies	3	2/18	0	11.11 (1.4–34.7)	14.20 (2.9–31.9)
Extra-CNS anomalies	3	0/18	0	0.00 (0.0–18.5)	0.00 (0.0–18.5)
Discrepancy between pre- and postnatal diagnosis	4	10/32	0	31.25 (16.1–50.0)	32.44 (18.3–48.4)

*Using Meta-Disc statistical analysis. CNS, central nervous system; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

Figures legends

Figure 1 Flowchart summarizing study selection of papers on isolated posterior fossa malformations on prenatal ultrasound.

Figure 2 Pooled proportions of the incidence of chromosomal anomalies (a), additional anomalies detected only at prenatal magnetic resonance imaging (b), additional central nervous system (CNS) (c) and extra-CNS (d) anomalies detected only after birth, and discrepancy between pre- and postnatal findings (e) in fetuses with isolated Dandy Walker malformation.

Figure 3 Pooled proportions of the incidence of chromosomal anomalies (a), additional anomalies detected only at prenatal magnetic resonance imaging (b), additional central nervous system (CNS) (c) and extra-CNS (d) anomalies detected only after birth, and discrepancy between pre- and postnatal findings (e) in fetuses with isolated mega cisterna magna (MCM).

Figure 4 Pooled proportions of the incidence of chromosomal anomalies (a), additional anomalies detected only at prenatal magnetic resonance imaging (b), additional central nervous system (CNS) (c) and extra-CNS (d) anomalies detected only after birth, and discrepancy between pre- and postnatal findings (e) in fetuses with isolated Blake's pouch cyst (BPC).

Figure 5 Pooled proportions of the incidence of chromosomal anomalies (a), additional anomalies detected only at prenatal magnetic resonance imaging (b), additional central nervous system (CNS) (c) and extra-CNS (d) anomalies detected only after birth, and discrepancy between pre- and postnatal findings (e) in fetuses with isolated vermian hypoplasia (VH).