

Island biodiversity conservation needs palaeoecology

Sandra Nogué^{1,2*}, Lea de Nascimento^{3,4}, Cynthia A. Froyd⁵, Janet M. Wilmshurst^{4,6}, Erik J. de Boer⁷, Emily E.D. Coffey⁸, Robert J. Whittaker⁹, José María Fernández-Palacios³, Kathy J. Willis^{2,10}

¹*Geography and Environment, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom*

²*Oxford Long-Term Ecology Laboratory, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3PS, United Kingdom.*

³*Island Ecology and Biogeography Group, Instituto Universitario de Enfermedades Tropicales y Salud Pública de Canarias (IUETSPC), Universidad de La Laguna (ULL), La Laguna 38200, Canary Islands, Spain.*

⁴*Long-term Ecology Laboratory, Landcare Research, Lincoln 7640, New Zealand.*

⁵*Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom.*

⁶*School of Environment, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand.*

⁷*Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Sciencepark 904, 1098 XH, Amsterdam, Netherlands.*

⁸*Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Asheville, One University Heights Asheville, NC 28804, United States.*

⁹*School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3QY, United Kingdom and Center for Macroecology, Evolution and Climate, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark.*

¹⁰*Royal Botanical Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3AE, United Kingdom.*

Abstract

The discovery and colonization of islands by humans has invariably resulted in their widespread ecological transformation. The small and isolated populations of many island taxa, and their evolution in the absence of humans and their introduced taxa, mean that they are particularly vulnerable to human activities. Consequently, even the most degraded islands are a focus for restoration, eradication, and monitoring programmes to protect the remaining endemic and/or relict populations. Here, we build a framework that incorporates an assessment of the degree of change from multiple baseline reference periods using long-term ecological data. The use of multiple reference points may provide information on both the variability of natural systems and responses to successive waves of cultural transformation of island ecosystems, involving, for example, the alteration of fire and grazing regimes and the introduction of non-native species. We provide exemplification of how such approaches can provide valuable information for biodiversity conservation managers of island ecosystems.

Keywords: Biodiversity conservation on islands, fire regime, fossils, herbivory, invasive species, multiple baselines, palaeoecology.

INTRODUCTION

Island ecosystems have always played a leading role in conservation biology. They are often described as natural laboratories, providing model systems for the testing of evolutionary, ecological, and biogeographic theories^{1,2}. Remote island ecosystems are hotspots of biodiversity, with legacies of relatively recent human impact and native species' extinctions, and therefore provide significant challenges when considering how to conserve biodiversity. They also offer some of the best-suited scenarios for rapidly advancing our understanding of fundamental aspects of human relationships with nature, and of conservation strategies, including restoration and eradication programmes³⁻⁷. Examples of programmes specifically tailored to islands include The Global Island Partnership, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Island Initiative Program, and the Small Island Developing States Network.

The isolated nature of remote islands is both part of the problem, in creating 'naïve' native ecosystems, especially vulnerable to outside contact, and a positive, in that the natural barriers to colonization can, for example, enable successful programmes eradicating non-native vertebrates⁵ and/or translocating endangered species. However, success in managing island ecosystems and conserving biodiversity, as well as invasive species eradication, may be contingent upon a sound understanding of an island's specific ecological history. Recent work within restoration ecology has highlighted that ecological history is important, not only to determine the degree of change that has occurred from baselines or reference conditions, but also the level of intervention required to restore an ecosystem towards particular desired states⁸⁻¹⁰. Establishing points in time (and accompanying baseline states) of first human impact can therefore

be important for decision-making and provide guidance on what to restore and where, when managing both native and novel ecosystems^{11,12, 13}.

Baselines are frequently derived from historical literature or from palaeoecological studies based on biological proxy, fossil or sub-fossil evidence especially pollen, spores, plant macrofossils and charcoal records^{14,15}. Indeed, numerous decadal to millennial scale time-series have been generated from islands (Figure 1). Collectively, these records provide a rich picture of past environmental change and the ecological impacts of initial and subsequent human settlement of island ecosystems globally (Figure 2)^{16,17,18}. Such studies can also provide information on the native/non-native status of particular species, pre-human ecological conditions and how achievable restoration targets may be^{8, 11}. As most oceanic islands are relatively recently settled (many >10,000 yrs) compared with continental regions, pre-human baselines can often be more clearly distinguished and dated and such data can be of direct relevance to conservation decisions. A good example is provided by the Hawaiian island of Kaua'i, where fossil pollen data recovered from ancient caves and marshes revealed the character and scale of human-induced ecological transformation and was used in refining a list of appropriate native plants for forest restoration initiatives¹⁹.

Whereas ecological baselines can be conceived of as referring to a single state, derived from a particular point in time (e.g. a pre-human baseline), herein we discuss the potential value of using palaeoecological techniques to determine multiple past baselines. For instance, in addition to the consideration of pre-human states reflecting natural variability and responses to environmental stressors (e.g. natural disturbances), we may also identify key points in time where human cultures exerted different types of

influences on islands over time, and transformed ecosystems in distinct ways. Such multiple baselines provide invaluable insights and opportunities for conservation practitioners and policymakers and may also be particularly informative for restoration programmes that take both an ecological and cultural perspective^{8,10,16}. The use of fossil pollen data alongside other historical and pre-historical archives on Kaua'i again provides illustration, as efforts have been made to develop management and interpretive programmes for centuries-old working cultural landscapes owned by the National Tropical Botanical Garden and on other privately owned sites¹⁹.

In this Perspective, we select three relevant topics that are closely related to human colonization and subsequent activities and each of which have been shown to have profound impacts on island ecosystems^{1-7,19}: 1) invasive and non-native species, 2) altered fire regimes and, and 3) altered grazing regimes. Using case studies from islands that draw particularly upon plant microfossils and charcoal records, we describe how palaeoecological data and the reconstruction of past baselines can be applied to inform and improve management plans for island ecosystems.

1. Invasive and non-native species

The distinction between 'native' and '(alien) non-native' species and determination of the 'natural range' of a species when considering longer timescales can become the subject of much philosophical debate. Pragmatically, however, two approaches dominate in the restoration ecology literature: 1) humans as the agency for introduction and 2) the historic Holocene species' range²⁰. These distinctions can often be much more clearly drawn on islands in contrast with continental ecosystems, as human arrival times are generally both more recent and more precisely known. In the absence

of definitive data, the status determination of non-native plant species on islands is often based on conjecture, derived from a combination of factors including: date and site of first recorded presence (particularly post-European settlement); non-native status designations in neighbouring regions; active change in current species distributions; ability to transform habitats; individual species' characteristics, including association with humans and history as a 'weed'²¹⁻²³.

Key questions in any ecological restoration programme are which species should be conserved, which are priorities for eradication, and which should be closely monitored for potential deleterious ecological future impacts⁵. Palaeoecological methodologies such as the analysis of plant macro- and microfossils (such as pollen and phytoliths), and preserved DNA can be powerful tools, particularly when used in conjunction with historical evidence, to determine the provenance of existing plant species on islands, e.g. to determine whether they are native, historical or more recent introductions and to provide insight to address conservation management questions^{20,24} through:

- Analysis of native species' ranges and historical distributions²⁵.
- Developing clear criteria for designation of non-native species status²⁶.
- Resolving the origin of 'cryptogenic species' (species which cannot be reliably classed as either native or non-native)²⁷.
- Analysing the origin, dispersal, and rate of spread of invasive species over time^{24,28}.
- Assessing the long-term impact of (invasive) non-native species on native species.
- Predicting future outcomes of invasions and responses to environmental change^{24,29}.

155

156 For example, Wilmshurst *et al.*²⁸ combined palaeoecological analyses and historical
157 records to confirm the non-native status of the daisy tree (*Olearia lyalli*) in the
158 Subantarctic Auckland Islands. Their results indicate that although endemic to the New
159 Zealand region, *O. lyalli* became established in these remote islands c. 1807–1810,
160 probably facilitated by localized habitat disturbances associated with European sealers
161 (Figure 3). Similarly, in an example from the Galápagos Islands, palaeoecological
162 analyses revealed that nine plant species presumed to be either introduced after
163 European discovery of the islands in 1597 or of doubtful native status were, in fact,
164 native to the archipelago^{21,30}. One of these species, *Hibiscus diversifolius*, an identified
165 ‘habitat transformer’ appearing to be expanding its distributional range, had previously
166 been considered for potential control or eradication. In addition, palaeoecological
167 analyses in Mauritius also recorded over a dozen plant species that are currently not
168 considered native to this Indian Ocean island³¹. Most of these taxa, including several
169 palm tree species, had been extirpated from the island prior to the first reliable
170 vegetation surveys of the island, less than two centuries following first human
171 colonization. These species were abundant in pre-human ecosystems and illustrate a
172 considerable proportion of ‘unknown lost’ biodiversity³².

173

174 In addition to recognition of ‘lost species’ through plant microfossil identification,
175 syntheses of palaeobotanical, historical, ecological and molecular evidence have also
176 been increasingly used to resolve the conservation status of species with cryptic
177 origins^{6,33–35} for example Krauss’ clubmoss (*Selaginella kraussiana*) in the Azores³³ and
178 eastern bluebirds (*Sialia sialis bermudensis*) on Bermuda³⁶. However, many oceanic
179 islands now have high numbers and proportions of species that are considered to be

non-natives, in many cases outnumbering native species. Few would argue that all such species should be targeted for control or eradication: many are valued by human societies as food plants, for aesthetic reasons, or for their ecological functions, in some cases replacing or supplementing extinct (or extirpated) native species e.g. 16.

From a biodiversity perspective, we may also use palaeo-data to address how human colonization and subsequent cultural phases, such as the introduction of livestock, crops and new landuse techniques have influenced the abundance of particular island species over time, recognizing that there may be winners as well as losers. Unique Island species/subspecies may even have developed as a result of the genetic divergence of an anthropogenically introduced or facilitated founder species. An example of this is the Canarian Egyptian vulture, a genetically distinct, insular population of the Endangered (IUCN) Egyptian vulture (*Neophron percnopterus*). Genetic analyses indicate that vultures first colonized the Canary Islands 2500 years ago³⁶, a date coincident with the timing of human colonization of the archipelago determined from archaeological remains. The vultures are believed to have colonized the islands naturally, but the colonization was likely facilitated by the introduction of domesticated livestock, as previously suitable food sources were lacking³⁶.

The role of long-term ecological data (spanning palaeoecological and long-term population monitoring studies) can thus be much more than simply determining whether colonization was anthropogenic, but also to include quantitative information on changing abundances, distribution and response to environmental change within the island system, and the processes explaining present-day island biodiversity.

2) Changes in fire regime

Humans have modified fire regimes in most ecosystem types around the world, as they have colonised and increased in abundance over time³⁷. On islands, human modifications of the fire regime typically occurred much later than in continental regions, especially on islands where human presence is more recent (e.g. past 500/5000 years) and where natural ignitions were rare³⁸. Knowledge of long-term fire ecology is fundamental to understanding the natural variability of fire regimes (fire history) and the responses and resilience of ecosystems to fire (both natural and human ignited). On islands where fire was a naturally occurring disturbance before human arrival, resource managers face a complicated scenario. They must consider whether fires should be suppressed or prescribed in particular ecosystems, what the long-term ecosystem responses to a particular fire regime will be, and whether post-fire restoration is necessary. Consequently, there is an increasing awareness among scientists and managers that long-term research, including various forms of palaeoecological data, holds significance for contemporary fire management policy³⁹.

Current management of forest fires consists mainly of prevention (management of fuel), control (fire suppression), and recovery (ecosystem restoration). Palaeoecological proxies such as charcoal, pollen, and fungal spores found in sediments, and tree rings with fire scars, among other indicators, provide information on different spatial-temporal resolutions of fire occurrence and fire regime characteristics³⁹. In addition, these datasets allow reconstructions of both fire regimes and vegetation dynamics, and can be used to address key issues related to fire-ecosystem interactions such as:

- Determining natural fire regimes on islands (i.e. caused by volcanism, lightning strikes), providing a reference for resilience to fire on islands where fire was

uncommon or rare before human arrival, or to emulate fire suppression and prescribed burning on islands where fire was an important and naturally common form of disturbance before human arrival⁴⁰.

- Differentiating between natural and cultural fire regimes⁴¹, i.e. how have fire regimes changed over time following human arrival?
- Determining ecosystem thresholds in response to fire (i.e. how or if species recover after repeated fire) and the necessity to intervene through restoration^{42,43}.
- Assessing the role of other anthropic disturbances on fire behaviour such as, invasive species, climate change, forest logging, landscape fragmentation, and the combined effects on ecosystems^{43,44}.

Several palaeoecological studies have shown the applicability of long-term reconstructions in fire ecology and management on islands. For example, in New Zealand, fossil charcoal records from lakes in the South Island indicate that severe fires occurred soon after initial settlement by the indigenous Māori, resulting in significant reduction of the native forest and subsequent soil erosion⁴⁵. Charcoal analysis of high temporal resolution, from multiple sites, allowed the establishment of a robust fire chronology before and after Māori arrival (in the 13th century). The reconstructions showed that fire was rare and infrequent before human arrival, and rapidly increased in frequency and extent following initial human arrival, with the development of a novel anthropogenic fire regime, with fires every 50–100 years, a pattern sustained until European contact, when fire frequency increased again⁴⁶. Some understanding of spatial variation was also achieved, with key predictors of forest loss during the initial burning period in New Zealand relating to gradients in moisture and topography rather than

human behaviour; the mere introduction of a novel anthropogenic ignition source made deforestation of New Zealand and most of other Pacific islands inevitable⁴⁷. The virtual absence of fires in the immediate period prior to human arrival (pre-Māori) and the rapid replacement of trees by ferns, shrubs and grasses after the initial burning period, indicate that although native forests were paradoxically highly flammable, they are not adapted or resilient to frequent and repeated anthropogenic fires. There are other cases where the charcoal record clearly shows different fire regimes associated with specific periods of human occupation. For instance, in Hispaniola, the second largest island in the Caribbean, there is evidence that natural fires occurred within dry forests, where several tree species (e.g. *Pinus occidentalis*) are fire-adapted⁴⁸. This natural fire regime was significantly modified from ca. 5400 cal. years BP, coinciding with the occurrence of early settlers in the island. Following European settlement, fires became generally less frequent than during the pre-Columbian phase. Another key example is provided by pollen and charcoal data from Tenerife in the Canary Islands (Figure 4), where two burning baselines can be linked with human arrivals: 1) the first colonists from North Africa and 2) Europeans. Before human arrival the concentration of charcoal was relatively low in Tenerife. After initial settlement fires increased in frequency, with an associated decline and later extinction of some tree species (e.g. *Quercus* and *Carpinus*)³².

Finally, there are island ecosystems that are known to be dependent on fire. Black pine woodlands in Corsica are a key example of such a fire-dependent system: a high-resolution charcoal record, together with plant macrofossils, has revealed that this fire-prone ecosystem has persisted and very likely been favoured by frequent fires

occurring on the island during the last 13,200 years, both under naturally ignited and human-induced fire regimes⁴⁹.

3) Herbivore density over time

The impacts of long-term herbivory by introduced taxa on island ecosystems remain the subject of debate^{50,51}. Several authors have argued for the eradication of non-native herbivores (e.g. feral goats and rabbits) to promote the conservation of native vegetation^{52,53,54}. Others have argued that herbivory provides the only means to preserve certain cultural ecosystems, such as agroforestry systems that depart from the pre-human baseline but nonetheless are valued for biodiversity and/or cultural values⁵⁵. Herbivores can also be important ecosystem engineers on islands, altering fire and disturbance regimes through changes in availability of biomass^{17,56,57}, or helping to control plant invasions^{58,59}. It has been shown that eradication of introduced herbivores can be successful in many islands⁵³ with significant conservation benefits^{5,60}. However, in certain contexts, the eradication or exclusion of non-native herbivores may entail some negative consequences, for example, through perverse outcomes such as the increase in rat populations and their associated impacts upon e.g. nesting birds, seeds, and invertebrates⁶¹, or unexpected cascading effects facilitating the increase of invasive plant species⁵⁹. Therefore, techniques such as trapping, hunting, biocontrol, or any combination of them to remove herbivores from a particular site may be unsuccessful if not carefully coordinated and implemented^{62,63}. Finally, native herbivores occur also on many islands worldwide and their decline or extinction after human arrival has had consequences on ecosystem dynamics⁶⁴. Palaeoecological proxies (fossil pollen, fungal spores, bones assemblages, aDNA in sediments, and coprolites) can provide information

to help understand and manage both native and non-native herbivores in island ecosystems by:

- Determining whether large native herbivores (e.g. tortoises, rodents, birds) have been extirpated in the past from some islands but introduced to others, and whether population fluxes and extinctions have been anthropogenic and/or natural in origin.
- Allowing the rate and pattern of herbivore introduction over time to be assessed²⁵.
- Demonstrating the long-term impact of changes in herbivore population on native ecosystems (e.g.⁶⁵).

Determining when herbivores were introduced to an island is also relevant to understand the role of herbivory and its impact upon vegetation⁵⁶. In this context, the coprophilous genus of fungi *Sporormiella* provides a powerful tool to trace changing herbivory regimes and when coupled with fossil pollen records, the resulting impact on plant diversity^{18,65,66}. Spores of these dung-affiliated taxa are considered to be one of the most broadly applicable palaeoecological proxies for quantifying herbivore densities because of their low dispersal capacity⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸. *Sporormiella* and other coprophilous dung fungi are useful for tracing mammalian herbivores on islands (e.g.^{65,69}), as well as for native avian and reptile herbivores on islands that were almost free of terrestrial mammals before human arrival (for example all the Polynesian islands, Mauritius, and Galápagos). *Sporormiella* has been successfully used to identify both native avian herbivores, and introduced herbivore presence in New Zealand peat records¹⁸, and it has been used in studies of the decline of giant tortoise populations in the Galápagos Islands, revealing the previously unappreciated impact of this decline on wetland habitats¹⁷. *Sphagnum* bogs currently found in the Galápagos uplands were

revealed to have developed only over the last 500 years, replacing former open-water wetland habitats. *Sporormiella* data indicate that giant tortoises were formerly present at these sites. The authors postulate that wallowing tortoises helped maintain open water conditions; but as tortoise populations declined throughout the historic period, this led to a series of cascading ecological impacts with the conversion of wetlands to *Sphagnum* bogs and resultant deleterious impact on wetland-dependent species.

In many islands, early (pre-historic) human colonists introduced commensal mammals such as pigs (*Sus domesticus*), goats (*Capra hircus*), sheep (*Ovis aries*), rats (*Rattus* spp.), and rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). These introductions typically had significant negative impacts on the vegetation^{32,70}, promoting increased erosion, and sometimes being implicated in the extinction of native fauna as, for example, species of avifauna in Macaronesia (e.g.⁷¹), and of many small birds on Pacific islands^{54,72,73}. Native island herbivores usually fulfil an important role in their ecosystems, mostly by control of biomass, influencing landscape heterogeneity, seed-dispersal and nutrient cycling³. Whereas remote oceanic islands mostly lacked terrestrial mammals prior to human colonization, many less remote or past land-bridge islands did possess their own indigenous assemblages of terrestrial mammals (see⁷⁴). Many of these island herbivores experienced reduction of their population size, population collapse, and extinction following human colonization. On theoretical and empirical grounds, we know that the removal (or addition) of a major trophic level or function has wide-ranging impacts on ecosystem process and form, often out of proportion to the number of extinctions initially involved. For example, palaeoecological studies from Mauritius show that the now extinct dodo and two species of giant tortoise lived in dense populations in the coastal lowlands⁷⁵. These populations experienced regular environmental hazards from

tropical storms and seasonal droughts⁷⁶. A prolonged drought 4200 years ago caused a mass death in the Mare aux Songes⁷⁶. This wetland was the primary source of drinking water in the southwest of the island, as open freshwater is scarce in the Mauritian lowlands due to its recent basaltic nature. In excess of 100,000 giant tortoise and dodos died within 100 years when decreased precipitation caused salinization and algal blooming. In another example from an Alaskan island, it has been shown that the extinction of the mammoth population on St. Paul also related to diminished freshwater availability combined synergistically with shrinking island area and regional climate change, in this case entirely independently of and before human colonization⁷⁷. These examples show how larger vertebrate species, especially those of former land-bridge islands, have been subject to fluctuations in resources (especially related to water and island size), of large ecological impact, independent of human action. This also serves to highlight the importance of developing longer-term chronologies of changing island environments and carrying capacities in order to develop understanding of the resilience of insular fauna and systems to environmental change.

Future directions: towards the integration of palaeoecological data in conservation frameworks for island ecosystems

It is apparent from the examples we have provided that the choice of baseline or reference condition could have significance for conservation targets and strategy, and hence that identification of multiple baselines and assessment of natural variability in response to past change should be important goals of conservation palaeoecologists^{8,19}. The complexity of managing island ecosystems varies depending on what baseline(s) is/are used, and what goals are prioritized, e.g. 1) a functioning and biodiverse ecosystem; 2) a particular cultural landscape, or 3) restoration of a pre-human

ecosystem. This complexity opens an important knowledge gap, as in many island ecosystems management decisions usually occur without knowledge of their pre-human vegetation and faunal baselines, and without a direct measure of ecosystem change through time¹⁴. In this context, the potential level of intervention and the associated implementation costs are unknown. For example, in figure 3 we illustrate the case of Poor Knights islands in New Zealand where a complete compositional turnover from the pre-human baseline was reported, including the extirpation of a dominant conifer from the Podocarpaceae family (*Dacrydium cupressinum*) and a palm tree (*Rhopalostylis*). On this island, a novel (but native) and successional angiosperm-dominated forest (*Metrosideros*) is currently dominant and there is no modern equivalent of the pre-human conifer- and palm- dominated forests on any other northern offshore New Zealand island¹⁶. Although fossil pollen and aDNA data show that the current ecosystem is significantly altered from the pre-human baseline, in this case the analyses can be used to suggest that accepting the novel successional ecosystem with no further intervention may be more beneficial and cost-effective than trying to restore the vegetation to its pre-human baseline.

In addition, the notion that multiple baselines may each be valued and part of the cultural and biological heritage of an island and its peoples, and thus worthy of consideration by managers in a conservation and landscape management (and tourist and recreation) context is one that arguably deserves wider attention. Lyver *et al* (2015)¹⁰ present an example where palaeoecological data about past ecological states was integrated with traditional knowledge to help island managers inform their restoration goals. This is relevant if we aim to recognise the long-term role of humans in re-shaping island ecosystems and that managing to conserve particular cultural

landscapes may have a place within an overarching conservation strategy. Multiple baselines can guide managers interested in restoring some parts of their islands to pre-human vegetation baselines in order to enhance native biodiversity, while also allowing other areas to be developed for cultural purposes such as harvesting or traditional activities, which can also often be compatible with healthy populations of native species.

Palaeoecological records and the multiple baseline framework also help to inform the likely trajectories of future natural successions and to evaluate pre-human responses of the vegetation to natural disturbances⁷⁸. For example, detailed Holocene plant microfossils and sediment records can document the response of vegetation to a range of natural disturbances over time and allow these to be compared with the more recent impacts of human arrival on an island. As such records can reveal the time taken for successions to take place and for ecosystems to recover to their pre-disturbed state after a natural disturbance (e.g., fire, storms, volcanism, etc.), they can provide important information about ecosystem resilience, especially when they have occurred under similar climatic conditions to the present⁷⁹. This is illustrated in the Poor Knights Island records, where the pre-human vegetation had a high beta-diversity and the forest composition remained tightly homogeneous despite disturbance from volcanism¹⁶. In contrast, following human arrival, beta-diversity declined and the vegetation composition not only diverged completely away from its pre-human baseline, but became highly variable, suggesting decreasing resilience to disturbance.

On Alexander Selkirk Island (Juan Fernández Islands, Chile), the palaeo-pollen records show that although the vegetation communities (e.g. subalpine heath-shrubland) were highly dynamic over millennial to decadal timescales, all taxa persisted through periods

of rapid and significant climate change, including the lowering of sea level by c. 135 m at the last glacial maximum. However, following European arrival in the 16th century, the next four centuries have seen drastic reductions in native species abundance and diversity as a result of a novel fire regime and the introduction of goats, and of invasive plant species (e.g. *Rumex acetosella*)⁸⁰. Finally, Wood *et al*⁶⁵ identified a clear link between introduced mammalian herbivores and vegetation change in the dune system on the uninhabited sub-Antarctic Enderby Island, in the Auckland Island archipelago⁶⁵. Here, in the 19th century, after humans introduced rabbits, pigs, goats, cattle, and sheep, intense grazing pressure caused a rapid decline of palatable native tussock grasses (*Poa litorossa*) and megaherbs, which were replaced with an exotic sward community. As the dates of introduced animal eradications from the islands are known, and could be pinpointed in the age–depth profiles of the pollen and dung fungal spore records, cattle and rabbits could be identified as the key drivers of vegetation change. The records also showed that the native palatable species re-established within years of their removal from the island. These examples and many others appear to suggest a consistent impact on vegetation variability when humans settle islands. However, outcomes are varied and thus further research is needed to understand the links between vegetation change and specific drivers of change (e.g. fires, invasive plant species, herbivory or a combination).

We have reviewed examples where palaeoecological records can, and should, be used to complement conservation strategies and management frameworks. Good sources of palaeoecological information are the online databases such as Neotoma (<http://www.neotomadb.org>) and the European Pollen Database (<http://www.europeanpollendatabase.net/index.php>). These datasets are continually

growing as new data are organized and made available. Although the availability of palaeoecological records for smaller and more remote endemic-rich islands is limited, the information can provide a solid first step to determine, for example, pre-human floral and faunal states, the category of invasive and native species, fire regimes, and the impacts of non-native herbivores. By our estimation, at least 155 fossil pollen datasets are currently publically available from island ecosystems and there are many more faunal reconstructions from sediment and cave deposits. In addition, there is also a Global charcoal database (<https://www.paleofire.org/>) that includes 35 “palaeofire datasets” from islands (Figure 1).

To maximize the value of palaeoecological data in island conservation we need to incorporate the following considerations: 1) how to more effectively disseminate knowledge about the legacy of a landscape in a manner that will influence the design of planning frameworks for biodiversity conservation; and 2) the limitations of each palaeoecological proxy, such as low taxonomic and temporal resolution, and potential bias towards islands with suitable conditions for fossil preservation. Finally, we advocate establishing routine palaeoecological investigations involving fossil proxies and other biomarkers, as a key step in developing science-based evidence for biodiversity conservation plans for island ecosystems, whether focused on restoration, eradication, or the acceptance of novel ecosystems⁸¹⁻⁸³. Such data can lead to a more flexible view on the management of future ecosystems that now include humans and their introduced taxa, and to better-informed debate on the type of nature to be conserved or restored.

Correspondence author

Sandra Nogué, Geography and Environment, University of Southampton, Highfield,
Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

Acknowledgements

We thank the participants of the Island Biology conference 2014 and 2016 for many
animated discussion on this topic; and the journal's reviewers for valuable feedback.
S.N. was supported by the VISTA programme from the Norwegian Academy of Science
and Letters (Project number No 6158). L.d.N. was supported by the European Union's
Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie
grant agreement No 700952. J.M.F.P. and L.d.N. attendance to the Island Biology
Conferences 2014 and 2016 was supported by the University of La Laguna through the
"Campus Excelencia Atlántico Tricontinental ULL-ULPGC" and the "Ayudas a Proyectos
Puente al Plan Estatal de I+D+I, Plan Propio de Investigación 2016", respectively. C.A.F.
was supported by the Climate Change Consortium of Wales. J.M.W. was supported by
Core Funding for Crown Research Institutes, from the New Zealand Ministry of
Business, Innovation and Employment's Science and Innovation Group.

Author contributions

S.N. lead the review and wrote the paper together with all authors: L.d.N., C.F., J.M.W.,
E.d.B., E.E.D.C., R.J.W., J.M.F.P. and K.J.W. All authors contributed in the discussion.

502 **References**

- 503
- 504 1 Caujapé-Castells, J. *et al.* Conservation of oceanic island floras: Present and future
- 505 global challenges. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* **12**, 107-
- 506 129, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2009.10.001 (2010).
- 507 2 Vitousek, P. M. Oceanic islands as model systems for ecological studies. *Journal of*
- 508 *Biogeography* **29**, 573-582, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2002.00707.x (2002).
- 509 3 Kaiser-Bunbury, C. N., Traveset, A. & Hansen, D. M. Conservation and restoration of
- 510 plant–animal mutualisms on oceanic islands. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution*
- 511 *and Systematics* **12**, 131-143, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2009.10.002
- 512 (2010).
- 513 4 Kueffer, C. *et al.* A global comparison of plant invasions on oceanic islands.
- 514 *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* **12**, 145-161,
- 515 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2009.06.002 (2010).
- 516 5 Jones, H. P. *et al.* Invasive mammal eradication on islands results in substantial
- 517 conservation gains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 4033-
- 518 4038, doi:10.1073/pnas.1521179113 (2016).
- 519 6 Avery, J. D., Fonseca, D. M., Campagne, P. & Lockwood, J. L. Cryptic introductions
- 520 and the interpretation of island biodiversity. *Molecular Ecology* **22**, 2313-2324,
- 521 doi:10.1111/mec.12236 (2013).
- 522 7 Whittaker R.J., & Fernández-Palacios J.M. *Island biogeography: ecology, evolution,*
- 523 *and conservation.* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007).
- 524 8 Jackson, S. T. & Hobbs, R. J. Ecological restoration in the light of ecological history.
- 525 *Science* **325**, 567-569, doi:10.1126/science.1172977 (2009).
- 526 9 Bellingham, B.J. *et al.* New Zealand island restoration: seabirds, predators, and the
- 527 importance of history. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* **34**, 115-136 (2010).
- 528 10 Lyver, P. O. B. *et al.* Looking back for the future: local knowledge and palaeoecology
- 529 Inform biocultural restoration of coastal ecosystems in New Zealand. *Human Ecology*
- 530 **43**, 681-695, doi:10.1007/s10745-015-9784-7 (2015).
- 531 11 Burney, D. A. Tropical islands as paleoecological laboratories: gauging the
- 532 consequences of human arrival. *Human Ecology* **25**, 437-457,
- 533 doi:10.1023/A:1021823610090 (1997).
- 534 12 Hobbs, R. J., Higgs, E. & Harris, J. A. Novel ecosystems: implications for conservation
- 535 and restoration. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **24**, 599-605,
- 536 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.05.012 (2009).
- 537 13 Dietl, G. P. *et al.* Conservation paleobiology: leveraging knowledge of the past to
- 538 inform conservation and restoration. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*
- 539 **43**, 79 (2015).
- 540 14 Gillson, L., Ladle, R. J. & Araújo, M. B. in *Conservation Biogeography*, 31-44 (John
- 541 Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2011).
- 542 15 Willis, K. J., Bailey, R. M., Bhagwat, S. A. & Birks, H. J. B. Biodiversity baselines,
- 543 thresholds and resilience: testing predictions and assumptions using
- 544 palaeoecological data. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **25**, 583-591,
- 545 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.07.006 (2010).

- 546 16 Wilmshurst, J. M. *et al.* Use of pollen and ancient DNA as conservation baselines for
547 offshore islands in New Zealand. *Conservation Biology* **28**, 202-212,
548 doi:10.1111/cobi.12150 (2014).
- 549 17 Froyd, C. A. *et al.* The ecological consequences of megafaunal loss: giant tortoises
550 and wetland biodiversity. *Ecology Letters* **17**, 144-154, doi:10.1111/ele.12203 (2014).
- 551 18 Wood, J. R., Wilmshurst, J. M., Worthy, T. H. & Cooper, A. Sporormiella as a proxy for
552 non-mammalian herbivores in island ecosystems. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **30**,
553 915-920, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.01.007 (2011).
- 554 19 Burney, D. A. & Burney, L. P. Paleoecology and “inter-situ” restoration on Kaua’i,
555 Hawai’i. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **5**, 483-490, doi:10.1890/070051
556 (2007).
- 557 20 Callicott, J. B. The pragmatic power and promise of theoretical environmental ethics:
558 forging a new discourse. *Environmental Values* **11**, 3-25,
559 doi:10.3197/096327102129340957 (2002).
- 560 21 van Leeuwen, J. F. N. *et al.* Fossil pollen as a guide to conservation in the Galápagos.
561 *Science* **322**, 1206-1206, doi:10.1126/science.1163454 (2008).
- 562 22 Delisle, F., Lavoie, C., Jean, M. & Lachance, D. Reconstructing the spread of invasive
563 plants: taking into account biases associated with herbarium specimens. *Journal of*
564 *Biogeography* **30**, 1033-1042, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00897.x (2003).
- 565 23 Cañellas-Boltà, N., Rull, V., Sáez, A., Prebble, M. & Margalef, O. First records and
566 potential palaeoecological significance of *Dianella* (Xanthorrhoeaceae), an extinct
567 representative of the native flora of Rapa Nui (Easter Island). *Vegetation History and*
568 *Archaeobotany* **23**, 331-338, doi:10.1007/s00334-014-0432-8 (2014).
- 569 24 Froyd, C. A. & Willis, K. J. Emerging issues in biodiversity & conservation
570 management: The need for a palaeoecological perspective. *Quaternary Science*
571 *Reviews* **27**, 1723-1732, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.06.006
572 (2008).
- 573 25 Willis, K. J. *et al.* How can a knowledge of the past help to conserve the future?
574 Biodiversity conservation and the relevance of long-term ecological studies.
575 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* **362**,
576 175-187, doi:10.1098/rstb.2006.1977 (2007).
- 577 26 Bean, A. R. A new system for determining which plant species are indigenous in
578 Australia. *Australian Systematic Botany* **20**, 1-43,
579 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/SB06030 (2007).
- 580 27 Carlton, J. T. Biological invasions and cryptogenic species. *Ecology* **77**, 1653-1655,
581 doi:10.2307/2265767 (1996).
- 582 28 Wilmshurst, J. M., McGlone, M. S. & Turney, C. S. M. Long-term ecology resolves the
583 timing, region of origin and process of establishment for a disputed alien tree. *AoB*
584 *Plants* **7**, doi:10.1093/aobpla/plv104 (2015).
- 585 29 Benning, T. L., LaPointe, D., Atkinson, C. T. & Vitousek, P. M. Interactions of climate
586 change with biological invasions and land use in the Hawaiian Islands: Modeling the
587 fate of endemic birds using a geographic information system. *Proceedings of the*
588 *National Academy of Sciences* **99**, 14246-14249, doi:10.1073/pnas.162372399
589 (2002).
- 590 30 Coffey, E. E. D., Froyd, C. A. & Willis, K. J. When is an invasive not an invasive?
591 Macrofossil evidence of doubtful native plant species in the Galápagos Islands.
592 *Ecology* **92**, 805-812, doi:10.1890/10-1290.1 (2011).

- 593 31 de Boer, E. J. *et al.* Multi-proxy reconstruction of environmental dynamics and
594 colonization impacts in the Mauritian uplands. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
595 *Palaeoecology* **383–384**, 42-51, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2013.04.025
596 (2013).
- 597 32 De Nascimento, L., Willis, K. J., Fernández-Palacios, J. M., Criado, C. & Whittaker, R. J.
598 The long-term ecology of the lost forests of La Laguna, Tenerife (Canary Islands).
599 *Journal of Biogeography* **36**, 499-514, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02012.x (2009).
- 600 33 van Leeuwen, J. F. *et al.* Native or introduced? Fossil pollen and spores may say. An
601 example from the Azores Islands. *Neobiota* **6**, 27-34 (2005).
- 602 34 Evans, J. *Pistia stratiotes* L. in the Florida Peninsula: Biogeographic evidence and
603 conservation implications of native tenure for an 'invasive' aquatic plant.
604 *Conservation and Society* **11**, 233-246, doi:10.4103/0972-4923.121026 (2013).
- 605 35 Rosati, L., Masi, A., Giardini, M. & Marignani, M. Under the shadow of a big plane
606 tree: Why *Platanus orientalis* should be considered an archaeophyte in Italy. *Plant*
607 *Biosystems - An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology* **149**,
608 185-194, doi:10.1080/11263504.2014.998312 (2015).
- 609 36 Agudo, R., Rico, C., Vilà, C., Hiraldo, F. & Donazar, J. A. The role of humans in the
610 diversification of a threatened island raptor. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **10**, 384,
611 doi:10.1186/1471-2148-10-384 (2010).
- 612 37 Roebroeks, W. & Villa, P. On the earliest evidence for habitual use of fire in Europe.
613 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 5209-5214,
614 doi:10.1073/pnas.1018116108 (2011).
- 615 38 Rolett, B. & Diamond, J. Environmental predictors of pre-European deforestation on
616 Pacific islands. *Nature* **431**, 443-446,
617 doi:http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v431/n7007/supinfo/nature02801_S1.
618 html (2004).
- 619 39 Conedera, M. *et al.* Reconstructing past fire regimes: methods, applications, and
620 relevance to fire management and conservation. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **28**,
621 555-576, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.11.005 (2009).
- 622 40 Andrew C Scott, M. H., Nicholas Pinter, R Scott Anderson. Evidence of fire regimes in
623 the Pleistocene of the California Islands. *SAGVNTVM Extra* **11**, 59-60 (2011).
- 624 41 Iglesias, V., Yospin, G. I. & Whitlock, C. Reconstruction of fire regimes through
625 integrated paleoecological proxy data and ecological modeling. *Frontiers in Plant*
626 *Science* **5**, doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00785 (2015).
- 627 42 Gil-Romera, G. *et al.* Biomass-modulated fire dynamics during the Last Glacial–
628 Interglacial Transition at the Central Pyrenees (Spain). *Palaeogeography,*
629 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **402**, 113-124,
630 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2014.03.015 (2014).
- 631 43 Perry, G. L. W., Wilmshurst, J. M., Ogden, J. & Enright, N. J. Exotic mammals and
632 invasive plants alter fire-related thresholds in southern temperate forested
633 landscapes. *Ecosystems* **18**, 1290-1305, doi:10.1007/s10021-015-9898-1 (2015).
- 634 44 Brooks, M. L. *et al.* Effects of invasive alien plants on fire regimes. *BioScience* **54**, 677-
635 688, doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0677:eoiapo]2.0.co;2 (2004).
- 636 45 McWethy, D. B. *et al.* Rapid landscape transformation in South Island, New Zealand,
637 following initial Polynesian settlement. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
638 *Sciences* **107**, 21343-21348, doi:10.1073/pnas.1011801107 (2010).

639 46 McWethy, D. B., Wilmshurst, J. M., Whitlock, C., Wood, J. R. & McGlone, M. S. A
640 High-Resolution Chronology of Rapid Forest Transitions following Polynesian Arrival
641 in New Zealand. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e111328, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111328 (2014).
642 47 Perry, G. L. W., Wilmshurst, J. M., McGlone, M. S. & Napier, A. Reconstructing spatial
643 vulnerability to forest loss by fire in pre-historic New Zealand. *Global Ecology and*
644 *Biogeography* **21**, 1029-1041, doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00745.x (2012).
645 48 Higuera-Gundy, A. *et al.* A 10,300 14C yr record of climate and vegetation change
646 from Haiti. *Quaternary Research* **52**, 159-170,
647 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/qres.1999.2062 (1999).
648 49 Leys, B., Finsinger, W. & Carcaillet, C. Historical range of fire frequency is not the
649 Achilles' heel of the Corsican black pine ecosystem. *Journal of Ecology* **102**, 381-395,
650 doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12207 (2014).
651 50 Garzón-Machado, V., del-Arco-Aguilar, M. J. & Pérez-de-Paz, P. L. Threat or
652 threatened species? A paradox in conservation biology. *Journal for Nature*
653 *Conservation* **20**, 228-230 (2012).
654 51 Bowen, L. & Vuren, D. V. Insular endemic plants lack defenses against herbivores.
655 *Conservation Biology* **11**, 1249-1254, doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.1997.96368.x (1997).
656 52 Fraser, I. & Chisholm, T. Conservation or cultural heritage? Cattle grazing in the
657 Victoria Alpine National Park. *Ecological Economics* **33**, 63-75,
658 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00127-5 (2000).
659 53 Campbell, K. & Donlan, C. J. Feral goat eradications on Islands. *Conservation Biology*
660 **19**, 1362-1374, doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00228.x (2005).
661 54 Garzón-Machado, V. *et al.* Strong negative effect of alien herbivores on endemic
662 legumes of the Canary pine forest. *Biological Conservation* **143**, 2685-2694,
663 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.07.012 (2010).
664 55 Peco, B., Sánchez, A. M. & Azcárate, F. M. Abandonment in grazing systems:
665 Consequences for vegetation and soil. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **113**,
666 284-294, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2005.09.017 (2006).
667 56 Burney, D. A., Robinson, G. S. & Burney, L. P. Sporormiella and the late Holocene
668 extinctions in Madagascar. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **100**,
669 10800-10805, doi:10.1073/pnas.1534700100 (2003).
670 57 Gill, J. L., Williams, J. W., Jackson, S. T., Lininger, K. B. & Robinson, G. S. Pleistocene
671 megafaunal collapse, novel plant communities, and enhanced fire regimes in North
672 America. *Science* **326**, 1100-1103, doi:10.1126/science.1179504 (2009).
673 58 Hansen, D. M. Non-native megaherbivores: the case for novel function to manage
674 plant invasions on islands. *AoB PLANTS* **7**, plv085-plv085, doi:10.1093/aobpla/plv085
675 (2015).
676 59 Chapuis, J. L. *et al.* Eradication of invasive herbivores: usefulness and limits for
677 biological conservation in a changing world. *Animal Conservation* **14**, 471-473,
678 doi:10.1111/j.1469-1795.2011.00499.x (2011).
679 60 Schweizer, D., Jones, H. P. & Holmes, N. D. Literature review and meta-analysis of
680 vegetation responses to goat and European rabbit eradications on islands. *Pacific*
681 *Science* **70**, 55-71, doi:10.2984/70.1.5 (2015).
682 61 Innes, J. *et al.* Effect of grazing on ship rat density in forest fragments of lowland
683 Waikato, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology*, 227-232 (2010).

- 62 Veitch, C.R & Clout, M.N. *Turning the tide: the eradication of invasive species*. IUCN
SSC Invasive Species Specialist Group. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK
(IUCN, 2002).
- 63 Bergstrom, D. M. *et al.* Indirect effects of invasive species removal devastate World
Heritage Island. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **46**, 73-81, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
2664.2008.01601.x (2009).
- 64 Hansen, D. M., Donlan, C. J., Griffiths, C. J. & Campbell, K. J. Ecological history and
latent conservation potential: large and giant tortoises as a model for taxon
substitutions. *Ecography* **33**, 272-284, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2010.06305.x (2010).
- 65 Wood, J. R., Wilmshurst, J. M., Turney, C. S. M. & Fogwill, C. J. Palaeoecological
signatures of vegetation change induced by herbivory regime shifts on subantarctic
Enderby Island. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **134**, 51-58,
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.12.018 (2016).
- 66 Baker, A. G., Bhagwat, S. A. & Willis, K. J. Do dung fungal spores make a good proxy
for past distribution of large herbivores? *Quaternary Science Reviews* **62**, 21-31,
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.11.018 (2013).
- 67 Davis, O. K. Spores of the dung fungus *Sporormiella*: Increased abundance in historic
sediments and before Pleistocene megafaunal extinction. *Quaternary Research* **28**,
290-294, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(87)90067-6 (1987).
- 68 Gill, J. L. *et al.* Linking abundances of the dung fungus *Sporormiella* to the density of
bison: implications for assessing grazing by megaherbivores in palaeorecords.
Journal of Ecology **101**, 1125-1136, doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12130 (2013).
- 69 Raczka, M. F., Bush, M. B., Folcik, A. M. & McMichael, C. H. *Sporormiella* as a tool for
detecting the presence of large herbivores in the Neotropics. *Biota Neotropica* **16**
(2016).
- 70 Athens, J. S. *Rattus exulans* and the catastrophic disappearance of Hawai'i's native
lowland forest. *Biological Invasions* **11**, 1489, doi:10.1007/s10530-008-9402-3
(2008).
- 71 Illera, J. C., Rando, J. C., Richardson, D. S. & Emerson, B. C. Age, origins and
extinctions of the avifauna of Macaronesia: a synthesis of phylogenetic and fossil
information. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **50**, 14-22 (2012).
- 72 Steadman, D. W. *Extinction and biogeography of tropical Pacific birds*. (University of
Chicago Press, 2006).
- 73 Taylor, R. H. How the Macquarie island parakeet became extinct. *New Zealand
Journal of Ecology* **2**, 42-45 (1979).
- 74 Lomolino, M. V. *et al.* Of mice and mammoths: generality and antiquity of the island
rule. *Journal of Biogeography* **40**, 1427-1439, doi:10.1111/jbi.12096 (2013).
- 75 Rijdsdijk, K. F. *et al.* A review of the dodo and its ecosystem: insights from a vertebrate
concentration Lagerstätte in Mauritius. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **35**, 3-20,
doi:10.1080/02724634.2015.1113803 (2015).
- 76 de Boer, E. J. *et al.* A deadly cocktail: How a drought around 4200 cal. yr BP caused
mass mortality events at the infamous 'dodo swamp' in Mauritius. *The Holocene*,
doi:10.1177/0959683614567886 (2015).
- 77 Graham, R. W. *et al.* Timing and causes of mid-Holocene mammoth extinction on St.
Paul Island, Alaska. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 9310-9314,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1604903113 (2016).

- 78 Wilmshurst, J. M., McGlone, M. S. & Partridge, T. R. A late Holocene history of natural disturbance in lowland podocarp/hardwood forest, Hawke's Bay, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* **35**, 79-96, doi:10.1080/0028825X.1997.10410671 (1997).
- 79 Wilmshurst, J. M., McGlone, M. S., Leathwick, J. R. & Newnham, R. M. A pre-deforestation pollen-climate calibration model for New Zealand and quantitative temperature reconstructions for the past 18 000 years BP. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **22**, 535-547, doi:10.1002/jqs.1135 (2007).
- 80 Haberle, S. G. Late Quaternary Vegetation Dynamics and Human Impact on Alexander Selkirk Island, Chile. *Journal of Biogeography* **30**, 239-255 (2003).
- 81 Gillson, L. & Marchant, R. From myopia to clarity: sharpening the focus of ecosystem management through the lens of palaeoecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **29**, 317-325, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.03.010 (2014).
- 82 McCarroll, J., Chambers, F. M., Webb, J. C. & Thom, T. Using palaeoecology to advise peatland conservation: An example from West Arkengarthdale, Yorkshire, UK. *Journal for Nature Conservation* **30**, 90-102, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2016.02.002 (2016).
- 83 Barnosky, A. D. *et al.* Merging paleobiology with conservation biology to guide the future of terrestrial ecosystems. *Science* **355**, doi:10.1126/science.aah4787 (2017).

Table 1. The set of biological proxies, management questions and informed conservation actions used within the text to track back human impacts on island ecosystems. Note that some biological proxies can be used to characterize different impacts. * Not discussed in the text.

Impact	Biological proxy	Management questions	Informed Conservation action
Invasive and non-native species	Plant microfossils, plant macrofossils, ancient DNA	1) Timing of species introduction 2) Resolving the species provenance 3) Rate and pattern of spread 4) Assessment of the impact on native ecosystems	Eradication Restoration Monitoring
Fire	plant microfossils, charcoal (macro-and micro), tree ring fire-scars*, charred plant macrofossils*	5) Determine natural vs. human induced fire regimes 6) Determine ecosystem thresholds in response to fire 7) Assessing the interaction with other anthropogenic disturbances	Fire suppression Fire prevention Restoration
Herbivory	fungal spores (e.g. <i>Sporormiella</i>), Plant microfossils, ancient DNA, faunal remains (e.g. bones)	8) Determine the origin of the herbivores 9) Analyse the rate and pattern of the introduction 10) Assessment of the impact on native ecosystems	Eradication Restoration Monitoring

Figure 1. To encourage the use of palaeoecological datasets in conservation we ideally need freely available online datasets. This figure shows the geolocation for fossil pollen (indicators of past vegetation change), bone assemblage (presence of vertebrates), and fossil charcoal (indicators of past fires) datasets currently available from islands around the World in the Neotoma database and the Global charcoal database (last accessed January 2017).




Figure 2: Conceptual diagram summarising the main concepts discussed within the text and the suggested management actions. The diagram focuses on the degree of change and main human impacts on island ecosystems over time, taking into consideration multiple baselines (indicated by orange, red, and blue arrows). We show how the introduction of invasive species, herbivores, and human-induced changes of the fire frequency may impact the native and endemic island biota and lead to varied management actions. Colour of the arrows are related to the relevant baseline above.





Figure 3. This figure assumes a context where the most desired state is the least altered by humans: although we recognize that this may not always be the key goal of conservation managers in practice. We are showing a modified framework by Jackson and Hobbs (2009) applied to two palaeoecological examples in New Zealand, Poor Knight Islands¹⁶ and the Auckland islands²⁸. In the first example, the pollen shows that the current vegetation composition on the Poor Knights is completely different to the pre-human state, and the level of intervention required to return it to its undisturbed state would be prohibitive. Furthermore, palaeoecological insights from the Poor Knights have revealed that the native *Metrosideros* forest is not a good analogue for a pre-human restoration endpoint on other more degraded islands in northern New Zealand. In the second example, pollen and charcoal analyses were able to resolve the non-native status of a tree daisy on Subantarctic Auckland Islands, and show that although its establishment was facilitated by anthropogenic disturbance, the tree has been slow to spread. Pollen and charcoal records suggest this non-native tree poses a low risk to the Auckland Island's ecological integrity, and the level of intervention required to return the invaded sites to a pre-invaded state would be relatively modest. However, current management practices of ongoing monitoring and no intervention are also appropriate.



826

827

828

829

830

831

832

Figure 4. Summary time-series from a sedimentary sequence from Tenerife (Canary Islands). We show the long-term dynamics of main Canarian vegetation types: extinct trees (e.g. *Quercus* and *Carpinus*), Laurel forest (e.g. *Morella* and *Erica*), Pine forest (e.g. *Pinus canariensis*), thermophilous forest (e.g. *Juniperus* and *Phoenix*), and Shrubs and herbs (e.g. Poaceae). In addition we show micro- charcoal counts to depict fire regimes. We have highlighted in red bars two obvious points for calculation of alternative baselines for La Laguna (Tenerife). See de Nascimento *et al.* ³² for full details.

