

Difference sets in \mathbb{R}^d

David Conlon* Jeck Lim†

Abstract

Let $d \geq 2$ be a natural number. We show that

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3)$$

for any sufficiently large finite subset A of \mathbb{R}^d that is not contained in a translate of a hyperplane. By a construction of Stanchescu, this is best possible and thus resolves an old question first raised by Uhrin.

1 Introduction

Given two subsets A, B of an abelian group, the sumset $A + B$ is defined by

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and the difference set $A - B$ is defined similarly. One of the fundamental results in additive combinatorics is Freiman's structure theorem, the statement that any finite set of integers A with small doubling, that is, with $|A + A| \leq K|A|$ for some fixed constant K , is contained in a generalised arithmetic progression of small size and dimension. The first step in Freiman's original proof [2] of this theorem is a simple lemma showing that if A is a finite d -dimensional subset of \mathbb{R}^d , then

$$|A + A| \geq (d + 1)|A| - d(d + 1)/2,$$

where we say that a subset A of \mathbb{R}^d is k -dimensional and write $\dim(A) = k$ if the dimension of the affine subspace spanned by A is k . Freiman's result is tight, as may be seen by considering the union of d parallel arithmetic progressions with the same common difference.

Surprisingly, the analogous problem of estimating $|A - A|$ for d -dimensional subsets A of \mathbb{R}^d has remained open, despite first being raised by Uhrin [13] in 1980 because of connections to the geometry of numbers and then reiterated many times (see, for example, [1, 3, 8, 9, 10]). However, the first few cases are well understood. Indeed, for $d = 1$, it is an elementary observation that $|A - A| \geq 2|A| - 1$, which is tight for arithmetic progressions, while, for $d = 2$, the bound $|A - A| \geq 3|A| - 3$, tight for the union of two parallel arithmetic progressions with the same length and

*Department of Mathematics, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. Email: dconlon@caltech.edu. Research supported by NSF Award DMS-2054452.

†Department of Mathematics, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. Email: jlim@caltech.edu. Research partially supported by the NUS Overseas Graduate Scholarship.

common difference, was proven by Freiman, Heppes and Uhrin [3]. More generally, they showed that if A is a finite d -dimensional subset of \mathbb{R}^d , then

$$|A - A| \geq (d + 1)|A| - d(d + 1)/2,$$

in analogy with Freiman's result on $|A + A|$. This estimate was later generalised by Ruzsa [8], who showed that if $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are finite sets such that $|A| \geq |B|$ and $\dim(A + B) = d$, then

$$|A + B| \geq |A| + d|B| - d(d + 1)/2. \quad (1)$$

Finally, for $d = 3$, Stanchescu [9], making use of this inequality of Ruzsa, proved that $|A - A| \geq 4.5|A| - 9$ for any finite 3-dimensional subset A of \mathbb{R}^3 . This is again tight, with the example now being a parallelogram of four parallel arithmetic progressions with the same length and common difference.

For higher dimensions, the best known construction is due to Stanchescu [10] and comes from a collection of $2d - 2$ carefully placed parallel arithmetic progressions with the same length and common difference. More precisely, set $T = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{d-2}\}$, where e_0 is the origin and $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ is the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^d , and, for any natural number k , let $A_k = (T \cup (a_k - T)) + P_k$, where $a_k = e_d - ke_{d-1}$ and $P_k = \{e_0, e_{d-1}, 2e_{d-1}, \dots, (k-1)e_{d-1}\}$. Worked out carefully, this construction satisfies

$$|A_k - A_k| = \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A_k| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3).$$

Supplanting an earlier conjecture of Ruzsa [8], Stanchescu proposed that this is best possible.

Conjecture 1.1 (Stanchescu [10]). *Suppose $d \geq 2$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite set such that $\dim(A) = d$. Then*

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3).$$

Until very recently, little was known about this conjecture for $d \geq 4$ besides the result of Freiman, Heppes and Uhrin [3]. However, the situation was considerably improved by Mudgal [6], who showed that

$$|A - A| \geq (2d - 2)|A| - o(|A|)$$

for any finite d -dimensional subset A of \mathbb{R}^d . Our main result, which builds on both Mudgal's work and earlier work of Stanchescu [9, 12], is a proof of Conjecture 1.1 in full provided only that $|A|$ is sufficiently large in terms of d , essentially resolving the problem of minimising the value of $|A - A|$ over all d -dimensional sets A of a given size.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $d \geq 2$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite set such that $\dim(A) = d$. Then, provided $|A|$ is sufficiently large in terms of d ,*

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3).$$

We begin our proof of Theorem 1.2 in the next section with a result that we believe to be of independent interest, an extension of a result of Stanchescu [12] about the structure of d -dimensional subsets A of \mathbb{R}^d with doubling constant smaller than $d + 4/3$ to asymmetric sums $A + B$.

2 An asymmetric version of a theorem of Stanchescu

Our starting point is with the following theorem of Stanchescu [12] (see also [11] for the $d = 3$ case).

Theorem 2.1 (Stanchescu [12]). *Suppose $d \geq 2$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite set with $\dim(A) = d$. If $|A| > 3 \cdot 4^d$ and $|A + A| < (d + 4/3)|A| - \frac{1}{6}(3d^2 + 5d + 8)$, then A can be covered by d parallel lines.*

By considering the set $A = A_0 \cup \{e_3, \dots, e_d\}$ with $A_0 = \{ie_1 + je_2 : 0 \leq i < n, 0 \leq j \leq 2\}$ for some natural number n , which satisfies $|A + A| = (d + 4/3)|A| - \frac{1}{6}(3d^2 + 5d + 8)$ and yet cannot be covered by d parallel lines, we see that Theorem 2.1 is tight. The main result of this section is an extension of Theorem 2.1 to asymmetric sums $A + B$. We begin with the two-dimensional case, whose proof relies in a critical way on the following result of Gryniewicz and Serra [4, Theorem 1.3].

Lemma 2.2 (Gryniewicz–Serra [4]). *Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be finite sets, let l be a line, let r_1 be the number of lines parallel to l which intersect A and let r_2 be the number of lines parallel to l that intersect B . Then*

$$|A + B| \geq \left(\frac{|A|}{r_1} + \frac{|B|}{r_2} - 1 \right) (r_1 + r_2 - 1).$$

In particular, we note that, since $|B| \geq r_2$ and $r_1 \geq 1$,

$$|A + B| \geq \frac{r_2}{r_1} |A|.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be finite sets and l be a fixed line. Let r_1 be the number of lines parallel to l which intersect A . If $|A| \geq |B|$ and $|A + B| < |A| + 7|B|/3 - 5\sqrt{|A|}$, then either $r_1 \leq 2$ or $r_1 > |A|/4$.*

Proof. Notice that if A is at most 1 dimensional, then either $r_1 = 1$ or $r_1 = |A|$, so we may assume that $\dim(A) = 2$. Let r_2 be the number of lines parallel to l which intersect B . We consider 2 cases, depending on whether r_1 is at most $\sqrt{|A|}$ or not.

Case 1: $r_1 \leq \sqrt{|A|}$

We have $10|A|/3 \geq |A + B| \geq |A|r_2/r_1$, so $r_2 \leq 10r_1/3 \leq 4\sqrt{|A|}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $|A| \geq |B|$,

$$\begin{aligned} |A + B| &\geq \left(\frac{|A|}{r_1} + \frac{|B|}{r_2} - 1 \right) (r_1 + r_2 - 1) \\ &= |A| + \frac{r_2 - 1}{r_1} |A| + \left(1 + \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_2} \right) |B| - r_1 - r_2 + 1 \\ &\geq |A| + \left(1 + \frac{r_2 - 1}{r_1} + \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_2} \right) |B| - 5\sqrt{|A|}. \end{aligned}$$

If $r_2 = 1$ and $r_1 \geq 3$, then this last expression is $|A| + r_1|B| - 5\sqrt{|A|} \geq |A| + 3|B| - 5\sqrt{|A|}$. If $r_2 = 2$ and $r_1 \geq 3$, then it is

$$|A| + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{r_1}{2} \right) |B| - 5\sqrt{|A|} \geq |A| + \frac{7}{3}|B| - 5\sqrt{|A|}.$$

If $r_2 \geq 3$ and $r_1 \geq 3$, then it is at least

$$|A| + \left(3 - \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\right) |B| - 5\sqrt{|A|} \geq |A| + \frac{7}{3}|B| - 5\sqrt{|A|}.$$

In each case, we contradict our assumption that $|A + B| < |A| + 7|B|/3 - 5\sqrt{|A|}$, so we must have $r_1 \leq 2$.

Case 2: $r_1 \geq \sqrt{|A|}$

Let $r'_1 = |A|/r_1$ and $r'_2 = |B|/r_2$, so that $r'_1 \leq \sqrt{|A|}$ and

$$|A + B| \geq \left(\frac{|A|}{r'_1} + \frac{|B|}{r'_2} - 1\right) (r'_1 + r'_2 - 1),$$

which is the same expression as in the previous case, but now r'_1, r'_2 may not be integers. Nevertheless, we still have $1 \leq r'_1 \leq |A|$ and $1 \leq r'_2 \leq |B|$, so that $|A + B| \geq \frac{r'_2}{r'_1}|A|$ and, therefore, $r'_2 \leq 4\sqrt{|A|}$ holds similarly. Expanding the equation above and using $|A| \geq |B|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A + B| &\geq |A| + \left(1 + \frac{r'_2}{r'_1} + \frac{r'_1 - 1}{r'_2} - \frac{1}{r'_1}\right) |B| - 5\sqrt{|A|} \\ &\geq |A| + \left(1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{r'_1 - 1}{r'_1}} - \frac{1}{r'_1}\right) |B| - 5\sqrt{|A|}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $c = \sqrt{\frac{r'_1 - 1}{r'_1}}$, we see that if $r_1 \leq |A|/4$ or, equivalently, $r'_1 \geq 4$, then $c \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ and the expression above is $|A| + (2c + c^2)|B| - 5\sqrt{|A|} \geq |A| + 7|B|/3 - 5\sqrt{|A|}$. But this again contradicts our assumption, so we must have $r_1 > |A|/4$. \square

For higher dimensions, we will use an induction scheme based on taking a series of compressions. Let us first say what a compression is in this context.

Definition 2.4. Let H be a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^d and $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a vector not parallel to H . For a finite set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the *compression of A onto H with respect to v* , denoted by $P(A) = P_{H,v}(A)$, is formed by replacing the points on any line l parallel to v which intersects A at $s \geq 1$ points with the points $u + jv$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, s - 1$, where u is the intersection of l with H .

By preserving the ordering of the points on each line, we may view the compression P as a pointwise map $A \rightarrow P(A)$, so we may talk about points of A being fixed by P . Note that it is clearly the case that $|P(A)| = |A|$. Moreover, sumsets cannot increase in size after applying this compression operation. That this is the case is our next result.

Lemma 2.5. For finite sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and a compression P ,

$$|P(A) + P(B)| \leq |A + B|.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that H passes through the origin. Let $p : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow H$ be the projection onto H along v . For $u \in p(A)$, let l_u be the line through u parallel to v and define $X_u = X \cap l_u$ for any set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that $p(P(A)) = p(A)$ and so $p(P(A) + P(B)) = p(A + B)$. It

therefore suffices to show that $|(P(A) + P(B))_u| \leq |(A + B)_u|$ for each $u \in p(A + B) = p(A) + p(B)$. Since $P(A)_x$ is a set of the form $\{x + jv \mid j = 0, \dots, s - 1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(P(A) + P(B))_u| &= \max \{|P(A)_x + P(B)_y| \mid x \in p(A), y \in p(B), x + y = u\} \\ &= \max \{|P(A)_x| + |P(B)_y| - 1 \mid x \in p(A), y \in p(B), x + y = u\} \\ &= \max \{|A_x| + |B_y| - 1 \mid x \in p(A), y \in p(B), x + y = u\} \\ &\leq |(A + B)_u|. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Our main compression lemma, which draws on ideas in the work of Stanchescu [11, 12], is now as follows.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be finite sets such that $\dim(A) = d \geq 3$ and l be a fixed line. Suppose that there are exactly $s < |A|$ lines parallel to l which intersect A . Then there are sets $A', B' \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the following properties:*

1. $|A'| = |A|, |B'| = |B|$;
2. $|A' + B'| \leq |A + B|$;
3. *there are exactly s lines l'_1, \dots, l'_s parallel to l intersecting A' ;*
4. $\dim(A') = d$;
5. l'_1, \dots, l'_{s-1} *lie on a hyperplane;*
6. l'_s *intersects A' at a single point.*

Proof. The sets A', B' will be obtained by taking a series of compressions, so 1 and 2 will automatically be satisfied by Lemma 2.5. Let e_1, \dots, e_d be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^d . By applying an affine transformation if necessary, we may assume that l is the line $\mathbb{R}e_d$ and that A contains the set $S = \{0, e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ (this is possible since at least one line parallel to l intersects A in at least 2 points). For each i , let H_i be the hyperplane through 0 perpendicular to e_i . Let $P_i = P_{H_i, e_i}$ be the compression onto H_i with respect to e_i . Let $A_1 = P_d(A)$, noting that this set satisfies 3 and $s = |A_1 \cap H_d|$. Furthermore, for any compression $P_i, i < d, |P_i(A_1) \cap H_d| = s$, so $P_i(A_1)$ also satisfies 3. Now set $A_2 = P_1(P_2(\dots P_{d-1}(A_1)\dots))$. Then $A_2 \subset \mathbb{N}_0^d$ again satisfies 3 and, since $S \subseteq A_2$, $\dim(A_2) = d$ and it also satisfies 4. Moreover, A_2 has the property that if $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in A_2$, then, for any $y_1, \dots, y_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $y_i \leq x_i$ for all i , $(y_1, \dots, y_d) \in A_2$.

We now show that a finite number of further compressions will give us a set additionally satisfying 5 and 6. Suppose A_2 can be covered by n hyperplanes parallel to H_{d-1} , i.e., the $(d - 1)$ th coordinate of all the points of A_2 is the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. Let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{d-2}, 0, 0) \in A_2$ be such that $w_1 + \dots + w_{d-2}$ is maximal. Then, whenever $tw + u \in A_2 \cap H_{d-1} \cap H_d$ for some $u \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $t \geq 1$, we must have $u = 0$ and $t = 1$. Let P be the compression onto H_{d-1} with respect to $f = e_{d-1} - w$. Set $A_3 = P(A_2)$. Since f is parallel to H_d , $|A_3 \cap H_d| = |A_2 \cap H_d| = s$. The number of lines through A_3 parallel to l is $|A_3 \cap H_d| = s$, so 3 is still satisfied. Moreover, since $w \in A_2$, e_{d-1} is fixed by P , so $S \subseteq A_3$ and 4 is still satisfied. We now consider two cases:

Case 1: $n = 2$

We claim that A_3 is covered by H_{d-1} and the single line $e_{d-1} + \mathbb{R}e_d$, so that 5 is satisfied with $l'_s = e_{d-1} + \mathbb{R}e_d$. Indeed, by the maximality of $\|w\|_1$, the points of A_2 on any vertical line $u + \mathbb{R}e_d$

with $u \in H_d \setminus \{e_{d-1}\}$ are mapped by P into a vertical line contained in H_{d-1} . To see this, suppose $e_{d-1} + re_d + v \in A_2$ with $v \in H_{d-1} \cap H_d$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $e_{d-1} + re_d + v$ is fixed by P iff $v + re_d + w \in A_2$. If $v \neq 0$, then $v + w \notin A_2$ by the maximality of w , so $v + re_d + w \notin A_2$ and $e_{d-1} + re_d + v$ is not fixed by the compression, being moved instead to $v + re_d + w$.

Case 2: $n > 2$

Suppose $(n-1)e_{d-1} + v \in A_2$ with $v \in H_{d-1}$. Then, since $(n-1)w + v \notin A_2$ as in Case 1, $(n-1)e_{d-1} + v$ is not fixed by the compression. Thus, A_3 is contained in fewer than n hyperplanes parallel to H_{d-1} . By repeatedly applying compressions of this type, we will eventually reach the previous case. Abusing notation very slightly, we shall still call the set obtained after these repeated compressions A_3 .

Thus, A_3 is covered by H_{d-1} and the line $e_{d-1} + \mathbb{R}e_d$. Suppose now that $r > 0$ is the largest integer such that $re_d \in A_3$. Let P' be the compression with respect to $g = e_{d-1} - re_d$ and set $A_4 = P'(A_3)$. Then all points of A_3 in H_{d-1} and e_{d-1} are fixed by P' , but $e_{d-1} + te_d$ is mapped to $(r+t)e_d$ for each $t > 0$. Thus, $A_4 \cap (e_{d-1} + H_{d-1}) = \{e_{d-1}\}$, so that A_4 satisfies 3-6. We may therefore set $A' = A_4$. Finally, to obtain B' , we simply apply the same series of compressions to B that we applied to A . \square

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section, the promised asymmetric version of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $d \geq 2$, $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be finite sets and l be a line. Let r be the number of lines parallel to l which intersect A . Suppose that A is d -dimensional, $|A| \geq |B|$ and $|A+B| < |A| + (d+1/3)|B| - 2^{d+1}\sqrt{|A|} - E_d$, where $E_d = (d+2)^{2^d-2}$. Then $r = d$ or $r > |A|/4$.*

Proof. Notice that since $\dim(A) = d$, we must have $r \geq d$. We shall induct on d . The case $d = 2$ was dealt with in Lemma 2.3. We may therefore assume that $d \geq 3$. E_d is chosen to satisfy the following inequalities:

1. $E_d \geq 2(E_{d-1} + 1)$,
2. $E_d \geq (d+2)(2^d + E_{d-1} + 1)^2$.

If $|A| \leq (2^d + E_{d-1} + 1)^2$, then $|A| + (d+1/3)|B| \leq (d+2)|A| \leq E_d$, so it is not possible that $|A+B| < |A| + (d+1/3)|B| - 2^{d+1}\sqrt{|A|} - E_d$. We may therefore assume that $|A| > (2^d + E_{d-1} + 1)^2$ and, thus, that $|A| - 2^d\sqrt{|A|} - E_{d-1} - 1 \geq 0$.

Suppose that $d < r \leq |A|/4$. By Lemma 2.6, replacing A with A' , we can assume that $A = A_1 \cup \{e_d\}$, where A_1 lies on the hyperplane H defined by $x_d = 0$. Let H_1, \dots, H_s be the hyperplanes parallel to H that intersect B and let $B_i = B \cap H_i$.

If $s = 1$, then $|A+B| = |A_1+B| + |B|$. Moreover, A_1 is $(d-1)$ -dimensional and is covered by $r-1 \leq |A|/4$ lines parallel to l . Thus, if $|B| \leq |A_1|$, our induction hypothesis implies that $|A_1+B| \geq |A_1| + (d-1+1/3)|B| - 2^d\sqrt{|A_1|} - E_{d-1}$. If instead $|B| > |A_1|$, then $|B| = |A_1| + 1$, so, letting B' be B with an element removed, our induction hypothesis implies that $|A_1+B| \geq |A_1+B'| \geq |A_1| + (d-1+1/3)(|B|-1) - 2^d\sqrt{|A_1|} - E_{d-1}$. In either case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A+B| &\geq |A_1| + (d+1/3)(|B|-1) - 2^d\sqrt{|A_1|} - E_{d-1} \\ &\geq |A| + (d+1/3)|B| - 2^{d+1}\sqrt{|A|} - E_d. \end{aligned}$$

If $s \geq 2$, then $|A + B| \geq |A_1 + B| = |A_1 + B_1| + \dots + |A_1 + B_s|$. By our induction hypothesis, $|A_1 + B_i| \geq |A_1| + (d - 1 + 1/3)|B_i| - 2^d \sqrt{|A_1|} - E_{d-1}$ for each i and so

$$\begin{aligned}
|A + B| &\geq s|A_1| + (d - 1 + 1/3)|B| - 2^d s \sqrt{|A_1|} - sE_{d-1} \\
&\geq 2|A| + (s - 2)|A| - s + (d - 1 + 1/3)|B| - 2^{d+1} \sqrt{|A|} - 2^d (s - 2) \sqrt{|A|} - sE_{d-1} \\
&\geq |A| + (d + 1/3)|B| - 2^{d+1} \sqrt{|A|} - 2(E_{d-1} + 1) + (s - 2)(|A| - 2^d \sqrt{|A|} - E_{d-1} - 1) \\
&\geq |A| + (d + 1/3)|B| - 2^{d+1} \sqrt{|A|} - E_d. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

3 Special cases of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we show that the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds if we make some additional assumptions about the structure of A . We begin with a simple example of such a result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a finite set with $\dim(A) = d$ that can be covered by d parallel lines. Then*

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{2}{d}\right) |A| - (d^2 - d + 1).$$

Proof. Suppose $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_d$ where each A_i lies on a line parallel to some fixed line l . Let $a_i = |A_i|$ and assume, without loss of generality, that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_d$. Since A is d -dimensional, the d lines covering A are in general position, i.e., no k of them lie on a $(k - 1)$ -dimensional affine subspace for each $1 \leq k \leq d$. Thus, for $i \neq j$, the sets $A_i - A_j$ are pairwise disjoint and also disjoint from $A_1 - A_1$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|A - A| &\geq |A_1 - A_1| + \sum_{i \neq j} |A_i - A_j| \\
&\geq 2a_1 - 1 + \sum_{i \neq j} (a_i + a_j - 1) \\
&\geq 2a_1 - 1 + 2(d - 1) \sum_i a_i - d(d - 1) \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{2}{d}\right) |A| - (d^2 - d + 1). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We will use a common framework for the next two lemmas, with the following definition playing a key role.

Definition 3.2. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a finite set with $\dim(A) = d$ and l be a fixed line. A hyperplane H is said to be a *supporting hyperplane* of A if all points of A either lie on H or on one side of H . A supporting hyperplane H of A is said to be a *major hyperplane* of A (with respect to l) if H is parallel to l and $|H \cap A|$ is maximal.

Suppose now that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is d -dimensional and l is a fixed line. Let H be a major hyperplane with respect to l and $H_1 = H, H_2, \dots, H_r$ be the hyperplanes parallel to H that intersect A , arranged in the natural order. Let $A_i = A \cap H_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Since $|A_1|$ is maximal, $|A_1| \geq |A_r|$. Let π be the projection along l onto a hyperplane perpendicular to l . Then $\dim(\pi(A)) = d - 1$ and $\pi(H)$ is a maximal face of the convex hull of $\pi(A)$ (since $|H \cap A|$ is maximal), so $\dim(\pi(A_1)) = d - 2$, which

implies that there are at least $d - 1$ lines parallel to l intersecting A_1 . If any such line intersects A_1 in at least 2 points, then $\dim(A_1) = d - 1$. Assuming this setup, the next lemma explores the situation where A is covered by two parallel hyperplanes.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $r = 2$, $\dim(A_1) = d - 1$ and there are s lines parallel to l intersecting A_1 .*

1. *If $s = d - 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| &\geq (2d - 2)|A| + \frac{2}{d-1}|A_1| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3) \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3). \end{aligned}$$

2. *If $d \leq s \leq |A_1|/4$ and*

$$|A_1 - A_1| \geq \left(2d - 4 + \frac{1}{d-2}\right)|A_1| - (2d^2 - 8d + 9),$$

then, given $0 < \epsilon < \min(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{d-2}) - \frac{1}{d-1}$, there is some n_0 such that for $|A| \geq n_0$,

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1} + \epsilon\right)|A|.$$

Proof. For 1, note, by Lemma 3.1, that

$$|A_1 - A_1| \geq \left(2d - 4 + \frac{2}{d-1}\right)|A_1| - (d^2 - 3d + 3).$$

By Ruzsa's inequality (1), $|A_1 - A_2| \geq |A_1| + (d - 1)|A_2| - d(d - 1)/2$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| &\geq |A_1 - A_1| + 2|A_1 - A_2| \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{2}{d-1}\right)|A_1| + (2d - 2)|A_2| - d(d - 1) - (d^2 - 3d + 3) \\ &\geq (2d - 2)|A| + \frac{2}{d-1}|A_1| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3) \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3). \end{aligned}$$

For 2, A_1 is $(d - 1)$ -dimensional and cannot be covered by $d - 1$ lines, so this case only exists for $d \geq 3$. Since $|A_1| \geq |A_2|$, Theorem 2.7 implies that

$$|A_1 - A_2| \geq |A_1| + (d - 2/3)|A_2| - 2^d \sqrt{|A_1|} - E_{d-1}.$$

But then, since $|A_1| \geq |A|/2$ can be taken sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A - A| &\geq |A_1 - A_1| + 2|A_1 - A_2| \\
&\geq \left(2d - 4 + \frac{1}{d-2}\right) |A_1| - (2d^2 - 8d + 9) + 2|A_1| + 2(d-2/3)|A_2| - 2^{d+1}\sqrt{|A_1|} - 2E_{d-1} \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1} + \epsilon\right) |A| + \left(\frac{1}{(d-1)(d-2)} - \epsilon\right) |A_1| - (2d^2 - 8d + 9) - 2^{d+1}\sqrt{|A_1|} - 2E_{d-1} \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1} + \epsilon\right) |A|,
\end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

We now consider the situation where every line parallel to l meets A in a reasonable number of points.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $0 < \epsilon < 1/(4d+1)(d-1)$. Suppose that every line parallel to l intersecting A intersects A in at least $4d$ points. Then there is a constant C_d such that either*

1.

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1} + \epsilon\right) |A| - C_d$$

or

2. $r = 2$ and

$$|A - A| \geq (2d - 2) |A| + \frac{2}{d-1} |H \cap A| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3).$$

In particular,

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3)$$

for $|A|$ sufficiently large.

Proof. We shall induct on d and $|A|$. Let n_0 be chosen sufficiently large that the following conditions hold:

1. Lemma 3.3 holds with this n_0 .

2. Whenever $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has $\dim(B) = d - 1 > 1$, each line parallel to l intersecting B intersects it in at least $4(d-1)$ points and $|B| \geq n_0/2$, then

$$|B - B| \geq \left(2d - 4 + \frac{1}{d-2}\right) |B| - (2d^2 - 8d + 9).$$

This is possible by induction since C_{d-1} is already determined.

3. $\epsilon n_0 \geq d(d-1)$.

Then $C_d \geq 2d^2 - 4d + 3$ is chosen sufficiently large that the first option in the lemma trivially holds for $|A| \leq n_0$.

The base case $d = 2$ and the inductive step will be handled together. If $|A| \leq n_0$, the lemma holds, so we may assume that $|A| > n_0$. Since $\dim(A_1) = d - 1$, there are at least $d - 1$ lines parallel to l intersecting A_1 . Each such line intersects A_1 in at least $4d$ points, so we have $|A_1| \geq 4d(d - 1)$.

First suppose $r = 2$. If A_1 is covered by s lines parallel to l , then, as above, $s \geq d - 1$. If $s = d - 1$, then, by Lemma 3.3,

$$|A - A| \geq (2d - 2)|A| + \frac{2}{d - 1}|A_1| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3).$$

If $s > d - 1$, then we must have $d > 2$, since, for $d = 2$, $\dim(A_1) = 1$ and A_1 is covered by a single line. Since $\dim(A_1) = d - 1 > 1$ and $|A_1| \geq |A|/2 \geq n_0/2$, condition 2 implies that

$$|A_1 - A_1| \geq \left(2d - 4 + \frac{1}{d - 2}\right)|A_1| - (2d^2 - 8d + 9).$$

Each line parallel to l passes through at least 4 points of A_1 , so $s \leq |A_1|/4$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3 and condition 1,

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1} + \epsilon\right)|A|.$$

Now suppose $r > 2$. Let $B = A \setminus H_r$ and note that $\dim(B) = d$ and $|B| \geq |A|/2$. By our induction hypothesis,

$$|B - B| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1}\right)|B| - C_d.$$

Let H' be a major hyperplane of B with respect to l (which is not necessarily a major hyperplane of A !), so that $|B \cap H'| \geq |A_1|$. If $|A_1| \geq 2\epsilon|A|$, then, using Ruzsa's inequality (1) and condition 3,

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| &\geq |B - B| + 2|A_1 - A_r| \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1}\right)|B| - C_d + 2|A_1| + (2d - 2)|A_r| - d(d - 1) \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1}\right)|A| + \left(2 - \frac{1}{d - 1}\right)|A_1| - C_d - d(d - 1) \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1} + 2\epsilon\right)|A| - C_d - d(d - 1) \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1} + \epsilon\right)|A| - C_d. \end{aligned}$$

We may therefore assume that $|A_1| < 2\epsilon|A|$.

If B cannot be covered by two translates of H' , then, by our induction hypothesis,

$$|B - B| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1} + \epsilon\right)|B| - C_d.$$

Thus, again using Ruzsa's inequality (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
|A - A| &\geq |B - B| + 2|A_1 - A_r| \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1} + \epsilon\right) |B| + 2|A_1| + (2d - 2)|A_r| - d(d-1) - C_d \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1} + \epsilon\right) |A| + \left(2 - \frac{1}{d-1} - \epsilon\right) |A_1| - d(d-1) - C_d \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1} + \epsilon\right) |A| - C_d,
\end{aligned}$$

since $|A_1| \geq 4d(d-1)$.

We may therefore assume that B is covered by two translates of H' , say H' and H'' . If $A_r \subseteq H' \cup H''$, then $A \subseteq H' \cup H''$, so one of $|A \cap H'|, |A \cap H''|$ is at least $|A|/2$, say $|A \cap H'| \geq |A|/2$. But H is a major hyperplane of A , so $|A_1| = |A \cap H| \geq |A \cap H'| \geq |A|/2$, contradicting our assumption that $|A_1| < 2\epsilon|A|$. Hence, $A_r \not\subseteq H' \cup H''$.

If

$$|B - B| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1} + \epsilon\right) |B| - C_d,$$

then the above argument holds similarly. Thus, by our induction hypothesis, we must have that

$$|B - B| \geq (2d - 2)|B| + \frac{2}{d-1}|H' \cap B| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3).$$

Let $B_1 = B \cap H', B_2 = B \cap H''$, noting that $|B_1| \geq |B_2|$. Fix also a point $x \in A_r$ that does not lie on $H' \cup H''$. If x lies between H' and H'' , then $x - B_1, B_1 - x, B - B$ are pairwise disjoint. If H' lies between x and H'' , then $x - B_2, B_2 - x, B - B$ are pairwise disjoint. If H'' lies between x and H' , then $x - B_1, B_1 - x, B - B$ are pairwise disjoint. In any case, there is some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $x - B_i, B_i - x, B - B$ are pairwise disjoint. Since $|B_1| \geq |B_2|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A - A| &\geq |B - B| + 2|B_2| \\
&\geq (2d - 2)|B| + \frac{2}{d-1}|B_1| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3) + 2|B_2| \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{2}{d-1}\right) |B| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3) \\
&= \left(2d - 2 + \frac{2}{d-1}\right) (|A| - |A_r|) - (2d^2 - 4d + 3) \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1} + \epsilon\right) |A| - C_d,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $|A_r| \leq |A_1| \leq 2\epsilon|A|$ and $\epsilon < 1/(4d+1)(d-1)$. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The final ingredient in our proof is the following structure theorem due to Mudgal [5, Lemma 3.2], saying that sets with small doubling in \mathbb{R}^d can be almost completely covered by a reasonably small collection of parallel lines.

Lemma 4.1 (Mudgal [5]). *For any $c > 0$, there exist constants $0 < \sigma \leq 1/2$ and $C > 0$ such that if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite set with $|A| = n$ and $|A + A| \leq cn$, then there exist parallel lines l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r with*

$$|A \cap l_1| \geq \dots \geq |A \cap l_r| \geq |A \cap l_1|^{1/2} \geq C^{-1}n^\sigma$$

and

$$|A \setminus (l_1 \cup l_2 \cup \dots \cup l_r)| < Ccn^{1-\sigma}.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2, which, we recall, states that if $d \geq 2$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite set such that $\dim(A) = d$, then, provided $|A|$ is sufficiently large,

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We shall proceed by induction on d , starting from the known case $d = 2$ [3]. We will suppose throughout that n_0 is large enough for our arguments to hold. Our aim is to show that, for all $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\dim(A) = d$,

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| - \max(2d^2 - 4d + 3, D - |A|/3),$$

where $D \geq 2d^2 - 4d + 3$ is chosen so that the above inequality trivially holds for $|A| \leq n_0$. The result then clearly follows for $|A|$ sufficiently large. We will proceed by induction on $|A|$, where the base case $|A| \leq n_0$ trivially holds.

We may clearly assume that $|A - A| \leq (2d - 1)|A|$, since otherwise we already have the required conclusion. By the Plünnecke–Ruzsa inequality, we then have $|A + A| \leq (2d - 1)^2|A|$. Applying Lemma 4.1 with $c = (2d - 1)^2$, we get parallel lines l_1, \dots, l_r and constants $0 < \sigma \leq 1/2$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$|A \cap l_1| \geq \dots \geq |A \cap l_r| \geq |A \cap l_1|^{1/2} \geq C^{-1}n^\sigma$$

and

$$|A \setminus (l_1 \cup l_2 \cup \dots \cup l_r)| < Ccn^{1-\sigma},$$

where $n = |A|$. Since $|A \cap l_i| \geq C^{-1}n^\sigma$ for each i , we have $n = |A| \geq rC^{-1}n^\sigma$ or $r \leq Cn^{1-\sigma}$. Let $A' = A \cap (l_1 \cup \dots \cup l_r)$ and $S = A \setminus A'$, so that $|S| < Ccn^{1-\sigma}$. If $\dim(A') = d_1 < d$, then, by our induction hypothesis, for $|A|$ sufficiently large,

$$|A' - A'| \geq \left(2d_1 - 2 + \frac{1}{d_1-1}\right) |A'| - (2d_1^2 - 4d_1 + 3).$$

There are $a_1, \dots, a_{d-d_1} \in S$ such that $\dim(A' \cup \{a_1, \dots, a_{d-d_1}\}) = d$. This implies that a_1, \dots, a_{d-d_1} lie outside the affine span of A' , so the sets

$$A' - A', A' - a_1, \dots, A' - a_{d-d_1}, a_1 - A', \dots, a_{d-d_1} - A'$$

are pairwise disjoint. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A - A| &\geq |A' - A'| + \sum_{i=1}^{d-d_1} (|A' - a_i| + |a_i - A'|) \\
&\geq \left(2d_1 - 2 + \frac{1}{d_1 - 1}\right) |A'| - (2d_1^2 - 4d_1 + 3) + 2(d - d_1)|A'| \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d_1 - 1}\right) (|A| - |S|) - (2d_1^2 - 4d_1 + 3) \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1}\right) |A|
\end{aligned}$$

for $|A| \geq n_0$ sufficiently large. Thus, we may assume that $\dim(A') = d$.

For n_0 sufficiently large, we may assume that each line l_i intersects A' in at least $4d$ points. Let H be a major hyperplane of A' with respect to l_1 and let $H_1 = H, H_2, \dots, H_r$ be the translates of H covering A' in the natural order. Fix $0 < \epsilon < 1/(4d + 1)(d - 1)$. If we are in the case of Lemma 3.4 where

$$|A' - A'| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1} + \epsilon\right) |A'| - C_d,$$

then, since $|S| = O(|A|^{1-\sigma})$ is sublinear, for $|A|$ sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A - A| &\geq |A' - A'| \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1} + \epsilon\right) |A'| - C_d \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1}\right) |A|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we may assume that $r = 2$ and

$$|A' - A'| \geq (2d - 2)|A'| + \frac{2}{d - 1}|A'_1| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3).$$

Let $A'_1 = A' \cap H_1$ and $A'_2 = A' \cap H_2$. If $S \not\subseteq H_1 \cup H_2$, then there is a point $x \in S$ not lying on the hyperplanes H_1, H_2 . But then $x - A'_i, A'_i - x, A' - A'$ are pairwise disjoint for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and so, since $|A'_1| \geq |A'_2|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A - A| &\geq |A' - A'| + 2|A'_2| \\
&\geq (2d - 2)|A'| + \frac{2}{d - 1}|A'_1| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3) + 2|A'_2| \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{2}{d - 1}\right) |A'| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3) \\
&\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1}\right) |A|.
\end{aligned}$$

We may therefore assume that $S \subseteq H_1 \cup H_2$.

Let $A_1 = A \cap H_1$ and $A_2 = A \cap H_2$. Let H' be a major hyperplane of A with respect to l_1 (possibly equal to H) and $H'_1 = H', H'_2, \dots, H'_s$ be the translates of H' covering A , ordered

naturally. Let $B_i = A \cap H'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Since H_1, H_2 are both supporting hyperplanes of A , we must have $|B_1| \geq \max(|A_1|, |A_2|) \geq |A|/2 > |S|$, so B_1 must contain at least one point of A' . Hence, B_1 contains one of the lines $l_i \cap A$, each of which has at least 2 points, and so $\dim(B_1) = d - 1$.

Suppose $s = 2$. The number of lines parallel to l_1 intersecting B_1 is at most $r + |S| = O(|A|^{1-\sigma})$, which is smaller than $|B_1|/4$. Thus, for n_0 sufficiently large, by both cases of Lemma 3.3,

$$|A - A| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| - (2d^2 - 4d + 3).$$

We may therefore assume that $s > 2$. Let $B = A \setminus B_s$, noting that $|B| \geq |A|/2$ and $\dim(B) = d$. By our induction hypothesis,

$$|B - B| \geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |B| - D.$$

Thus, again using Ruzsa's inequality (1),

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| &\geq |B - B| + 2|B_1 - B_s| \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |B| - D + 2|B_1| + (2d - 2)|B_s| - d(d - 1) \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| + \left(2 - \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |B_1| - d(d - 1) - D \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(d-1)}\right) |A| - d(d - 1) - D \\ &\geq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| - D + |A|/3, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds if $|A|/6 \geq n_0/6 \geq d(d - 1)$. □

5 Concluding remarks

By carefully analysing our proof of Theorem 1.2, it is possible to deduce some structural properties of large sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\dim(A) = d$ and

$$|A - A| \leq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d-1}\right) |A| + o(|A|).$$

In particular, such sets can be covered by two parallel hyperplanes H_1 and H_2 , where, writing $A_1 = A \cap H_1$ and $A_2 = A \cap H_2$, we can assume that A_1 and A_2 have roughly the same size, differing by $o(|A|)$. We can also assume that $\dim(A_1) = d - 1$ and that A_1 can be covered by $d - 1$ parallel lines l_1, \dots, l_{d-1} , where the sets $A_1 \cap l_i$ all have approximately equal size, again up to $o(|A|)$.

In practice, H_1 will be a major hyperplane of A with respect to l_1 , which, we recall, means that it is parallel to l_1 , it is supporting, in the sense that all points of A lie either on or on one side of it, and $|H_1 \cap A|$ is as large as possible. Knowing this allows us to also deduce that $\dim(A_2) = d - 1$. Indeed, it must be the case that the affine span of A_2 is parallel to l_1 , since otherwise $|A_1 - A_2|$ would be too large. But then, if $\dim(A_2) < d - 1$, there is a supporting hyperplane through A_2 and

one of the $A_1 \cap l_i$ which contains more points than H_1 , contradicting the fact that H_1 is a major hyperplane. Since $|A_1|$ and $|A_2|$ differ by $o(|A|)$, this then allows us to argue that A_2 is also covered by $d - 1$ lines parallel to l_1 of approximately equal size.

In fact, we can deduce the very same structural properties for large sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\dim(A) = d$ and

$$|A - A| \leq \left(2d - 2 + \frac{1}{d - 1} + \epsilon\right) |A| + o(|A|)$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$, giving a difference version of Stanchescu's result about the structure of d -dimensional subsets of \mathbb{R}^d with doubling constant smaller than $d + 4/3$, which we stated as Theorem 2.1. It would be interesting to determine the maximum value of ϵ for which this continues to hold.

Unfortunately, our methods tell us very little about how A_1 and A_2 are related, though we suspect that A_2 should be close to a translate of $-A_1$. Proving this, which will likely require a better understanding of when Ruzsa's inequality (1) is tight, may then lead to a determination of the exact structure of d -dimensional subsets A of \mathbb{R}^d with $|A - A|$ as small as possible in terms of $|A|$, a problem that was already solved for $d = 2$ and 3 by Stanchescu [9].

Note added. Shortly after completing this paper, we learned from Akshat Mudgal that he had independently proved an asymptotic version of Conjecture 1.1. We refer the reader to his paper [7] for further details.

References

- [1] E. S. Croot and V. F. Lev, Open problems in additive combinatorics, in *Additive combinatorics*, 207–233, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [2] G. A. Freiman, Foundations of a structural theory of set addition, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, Vol. 37, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1973.
- [3] G. Freiman, A. Heppes and B. Uhrin, A lower estimation for the cardinality of finite difference sets in \mathbb{R}^n , in *Number theory*, Vol. I (Budapest, 1987), 125–139, *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, 51, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [4] D. Gryniewicz and O. Serra, Properties of two-dimensional sets with small sumset, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **117** (2010), 164–188.
- [5] A. Mudgal, Sums of linear transformations in higher dimensions, *Q. J. Math.* **70** (2019), 965–984.
- [6] A. Mudgal, Difference sets in higher dimensions, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **171** (2021), 467–480.
- [7] A. Mudgal, New lower bounds for cardinalities of higher dimensional difference sets and sumsets, *Discrete Anal.* (2022), Paper No. 15, 19 pp.
- [8] I. Z. Ruzsa, Sum of sets in several dimensions, *Combinatorica* **14** (1994), 485–490.
- [9] Y. Stanchescu, On finite difference sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **79** (1998), 123–138.

- [10] Y. V. Stanchescu, An upper bound for d -dimensional difference sets, *Combinatorica* **21** (2001), 591–595.
- [11] Y. V. Stanchescu, Three-dimensional sets with small sumset, *Combinatorica* **28** (2008), 343–355.
- [12] Y. V. Stanchescu, The structure of d -dimensional sets with small sumset, *J. Number Theory* **130** (2010), 289–303.
- [13] B. Uhrin, Some useful estimations in the geometry of numbers, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **11** (1980), 95–103.