

Editorial for JACI-P – September 7<sup>th</sup> 2016

**Common Variable Immunodeficiency Disorders (CVID) – diagnoses of exclusion, especially combined immune defects**

Professor Helen Chapel

University of Oxford,

Level 7, Oxford University Hospitals

Headley Way, Oxford, OX3 9DU, UK

1 Diagnostic criteria for the various heterogeneous conditions that make up  
2 Common Variable Immunodeficiency Disorders (CVID) are important for  
3 individual patient's diagnosis, prognosis and treatment, as well as for  
4 immunological research. Patients and their doctors need a precise diagnosis  
5 that relates to prognosis and therefore to appropriate treatment. Whilst those  
6 with a Combined Immune Deficiency (CID) might benefit from stem cell  
7 transplantation to correct both the T cell defect as well as the B cell  
8 deficiency, those patients with pure antibody failure do well with  
9 immunoglobulin replacement alone. Thus the definition of CVID has great  
10 clinical importance, although it remains a diagnosis of exclusion and this has  
11 led to the term being used as a reason for replacement therapy rather than  
12 purely for diagnostic reasons in some countries.

13  
14 Several attempts to refine the original 1999 ESID-PAGID definition(1) have  
15 been made in the last 15 years. The most recent by the panel of the  
16 International Consensus document (ICON) on CVIDs (2). This supports the  
17 previous suggestion, from the French group, to exclude those patients with  
18 low numbers of circulating T cells who have a type of CID, namely Late Onset  
19 Combined Immune Deficiency (LOCID). In addition, the ICON recommends  
20 that flow cytometry to enumerate T, B and NK cells is essential to identify  
21 those forms of CID that present as antibody failure but should no longer be  
22 considered within the CVID group.

23  
24 The International Union of Immunological Societies (IUIS) PID committee  
25 emphasize the heterogeneous nature of CVID by ensuring that the newly  
26 described single gene defects in primary antibody failure syndromes be

named after the gene in which the mutation is disease-causing (such as ICOS or CD19). They also define CVIDs as plural(3) and include LOCID as a distinct condition separate from CVID in the IUIS PID Classification.(4) This has encouraged the development of data for additional exclusion criteria for a diagnosis of CVID, as by Bertinchamp et al. in this issue(5).

The data in Bertinchamp et al. comes from the well-recognized and reliable DEFI database. The objective was to compare three different CVID definitions (ESID/PAGID 1999, ESID 2014, DEFI 2015) using data from 521 patients with a diagnosis of primary hypogammaglobulinaemia. Using the ESID/PAGID 1999 definition, 351 patients were classified as CVID. The 2014 ESID definition excluded 18% of patients, most of them with less severe disease, whilst 10% had a major T-cell defect. Given the French authors previous publication relating to LOCID, Bertinchamp et al. propose that opportunistic infections or very low naïve CD4+ T cell counts should also exclude patients from a diagnosis of CVID. Using these criteria, 62 patients of the initial CVID population did not meet the new diagnostic criteria; it was notable that these patients accounted for 77% of the deaths within a 5-year period; these patients also represented the 12% with consanguinity. The authors conclude that opportunistic infections or very low naïve CD4 T cells define a separate group and that these patients warrant specific genetic studies in view of their poor prognosis, as well as needing more complex clinical care.

In regard to prognosis, it is now 8 years since the Northern European database showed that the clinical phenotypes of CVID patients could be divided by disease-related complications and these phenotypes correlated with survival(6). The divisions, into infection-free or particular disease-related clinical phenotypes, were confirmed with further data from France and the US (7). In contrast, attempts so far to define prognosis by B cell immunophenotyping alone have been disappointing. Hence the need to find genetic and other markers, as well as T cell numbers, that might indicate prognosis.

60 There have been many genetic studies in patients meeting the original criteria  
61 for CVID. However identification of disease genes for monogenic forms  
62 explains only a very small percentage (2-10%) of patients who turn out to  
63 have a disease-causing mutation in a single, non-redundant, gene. Such  
64 patients often have a family history and a T cell defect, though the T cell  
65 deficiency may not be apparent initially. Other findings in patients initially  
66 diagnosed as having CVID have included mutations in TACI, CTLA-4,  
67 PIK3CD and many others(8), but these have been reported in healthy  
68 individuals too, suggesting that these are susceptibility genes that contribute  
69 to but are not solely responsible for primary antibody failure, the common  
70 feature of all CVID patients. Even ARTEMIS, a gene in which a defect was  
71 associated with a monogenic form of Severe Combined Immune Deficiency  
72 (SCID), has clinical phenotypes that range from SCID to antibody failure(9).

73  
74 Researchers need homogeneous groups of patients for laboratory, and  
75 especially, genetic studies. Ensuring that CIDs are clearly distinguished from  
76 primary antibody failures in which T cells are normal, influences the choice of  
77 appropriate investigation between a candidate gene panel, exome or even  
78 whole genome sequencing. Exome sequencing is profitable for defining those  
79 with a CID(10) and WGS has led to the identification of a number of immune  
80 pathways that may be defective in patients with sporadic CVID(11). Other  
81 experimental strategies need to be considered for exploring the several  
82 pathways that contribute to sporadic CVIDs.

83  
84 Evidence for polygenicity in sporadic, late onset CVIDs, is accumulating. Late  
85 onset of disease, lack of a positive family history, phenotypic variation as well  
86 as the finding of genetic variants in healthy family members and in the general  
87 population, add to the suggestion that several/many genetic variants will be  
88 involved in both the primary antibody failure component and in the disease-  
89 related complications of CVID(11). The evidence so far is laid out in two  
90 excellent reviews (8, 12). The role of susceptibility and modifier genes as well  
91 as environmental factors and somatic mutations(13), in both CVIDs and other  
92 forms of immune deficiency syndromes need to be explored further in patients  
93 with well-defined clinical as well as immunological phenotypes.

94

95 The other important point in Bertinchamp et al. is that of the remaining 244  
96 patients meeting the stricter criteria, only 18 (7%) patients were aged below  
97 15 years at diagnosis. The importance of an appropriate diagnosis in those  
98 presenting in childhood cannot be over-emphasized. In the study from  
99 Philadelphia, of the children diagnosed with CVID, about half had disease-  
100 related complications as well as lower T cells(14); the authors commented  
101 that “the pediatric CVID population may warrant more aggressive intervention  
102 because of their potential for a longer disease duration and higher disease  
103 burden.” A high proportion, if not most, of this group are likely to represent  
104 CIDs rather than sporadic, non-familial, antibody failure.

105

106 Basing a CVID diagnosis on measuring serum immunoglobulin levels,  
107 antibody responses and flow cytometry is now relatively straightforward and  
108 clinical factors contributing to the clinical phenotype, such as unusual  
109 infections or complications, must also be taken into consideration and  
110 documented carefully. Given differences in the diagnostic criteria versus  
111 research and database purposes, Bertinchamp et al. is instructive. The  
112 authors point out the differences between patients with a family history of  
113 immune deficiency and sporadic cases, the importance of excluding those  
114 with mild T cell defects or unexplained opportunistic infections and probably  
115 those presenting in childhood.

116 Word 1,162

- 117 1. Conley ME, Notarangelo LD, Etzioni A. Diagnostic criteria for primary  
118 immunodeficiencies. Representing PAGID (Pan-American Group for  
119 Immunodeficiency) and ESID (European Society for Immunodeficiencies).  
120 Clin Immunol. 1999 Dec;93(3):190-7.
- 121 2. Bonilla F, Barlan, I., Chapel, H., Costa-Carvalho, BT., Cunningham-  
122 Rundles, C., de la Morena, T. Espinosa-Rosales, FJ., Hammarström, L.,  
123 Nonoyama, S., Quinti, I., Routes, JM., Tang, LMK., Warnatz, K. J Allergy Clin  
124 Immunol Pract 2016;4:38–59.

- 125 3. Al-Herz W, Bousfiha A, Casanova j-l, Chapel H, Conley ME,  
126 Cunningham-Rundles C, et al. Primary Immunodeficiency Diseases: an  
127 update on the Classification from the International Union of Immunological  
128 Societies Expert Committee for Primary Immunodeficiency. *Frontiers in*  
129 *Immunology*. [Review]. 2011 2011-November-8;2:1-26.
- 130 4. Al-Herz W, Bousfiha, A., Casanova, JL., Chatila, T., Conley, ME,M  
131 Cunningham-Rundles, C., Etzioni, A., Franco, JL., Gaspar, H., Holland, SM.,  
132 Klein, C., Nonoyama, S., Ochs, HD., Oksenhendler, E., Picard C., Puck, JM.,  
133 Sullivan, K., Tang, MLK. Primary immunodeficiency diseases: an update on  
134 the classification from the International Union of Immunological Societies  
135 Expert Committee for Primary Immunodeficiency. *Frontiers in Immunology*.  
136 2014;5:1-33.
- 137 5. Bertinchamp R, Gérard, L., Boutboul, D., Malphettes, M., Fieschi, C.,  
138 Oksenhendler, E. for the DEFI study group. Exclusion of patients with a  
139 severe T-cell defect improves the definition of Common Variable  
140 Immunodeficiency. *JACI in Practice*. 2016.
- 141 6. Chapel H, Lucas,M., Lee,M., Bjorkander,J., Webster,D.,  
142 Grimbacher,B., Fieschi, C., Thon V., Abedi, MR., Hammarstrom,L. Common  
143 variable immunodeficiency disorders: division into distinct clinical phenotypes.  
144 *Blood*. 2008;112:277-86.
- 145 7. Chapel H, Lucas, M., Patel, S., Lee, M., Cunningham-Rundles, C.,  
146 Resnick, E., Gerard, L., Oksenhendler, E. Confirmation and improvement of  
147 criteria for clinical phenotyping in common variable immunodeficiency  
148 disorders in replicate cohorts.  
149 . *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012 130:1197-8.
- 150 8. Bogaert D, Dullaers, M., Lambrecht, BN., Vermaelen,KY., De Baere,  
151 E., Haerynck,F. . Genes associated with common variable immunodeficiency:  
152 one diagnosis to rule them all? *J Med Genet* 2016;Published Online First: 1  
153 June 2016 doi:10.1136/jmedgenet- 2015-103690:1-16.
- 154 9. Volk T, Pannicke, U., Reisli, I., Bulashevskaya, A., Ritter, J., Björkman,  
155 A., Schäffer, AA., Fliegauf, M., Sayar, EH., Salzer, U., Fisch, P., Pfeifer, D., Di  
156 Virgilio, M., Cao, H., Yang, F., Zimmermann, K., Keles, S., Caliskaner, Z.,  
157 Güner, SÜ., Schindler, D., Hammarström, L., Rizzi,M., Hummel, M., Pan-

158 Hammarström, Q., Schwarz, K., Grimbacher, B. DCLRE1C (ARTEMIS)  
 159 mutations causing phenotypes ranging from atypical severe combined  
 160 immunodeficiency to mere antibody deficiency. . Hum Mol Genet  
 161 2015;24:7361–72.  
 162 10. Maffucci P, Fillion, CA., Boisson, B., Itan, Y., Shang, L., Casanova, JL.,  
 163 Cunningham-Rundles C. Genetic Diagnosis Using Whole Exome Sequencing  
 164 in Common Variable Immunodeficiency. Front Immunol. 2016 7:doi:  
 165 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00220.  
 166 11. van Schouwenburg P, Davenport, E.E., Kienzler, A.K., Marwah, I.,  
 167 Wright, B., Lucas, M., , Malinauskas T, Martin, H.C., W.G.S.  
 168 Consortium, Lockstone, H.E., Cazier, J.B., Chapel, H.M., Knight, J.C., Patel  
 169 S.Y. Application of whole genome and RNA sequencing to investigate the  
 170 genomic landscape of common variable immunodeficiency disorders,. Clinical  
 171 immunology, . 2015;160:301-14.  
 172 12. Li J, Wei, Z., Li, YR., Melkorka Maggadottir, SM., Chang, X., Desai, A.,  
 173 Hakonarson, H. . Understanding the genetic and epigenetic basis of common  
 174 variable immunodeficiency disorder through omics approaches. BBA -  
 175 General SubjectS. 2016: doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.06.014.  
 176 13. Rodríguez-Cortez V, del Pino-Molina, L., Rodríguez-Ubreva, J.,  
 177 Ciudad, L., Go´mez-Cabrero, D., Company, C., Urquiza, JM., Tegne´r, J.,  
 178 Rodríguez-Gallego, C., Lo´pez-Granados, E., Ballestar, E. Monozygotic  
 179 twins discordant for common variable immunodeficiency reveal impaired DNA  
 180 demethylation during nai´ve-to-memory B-cell  
 181 transition. NATURE COMMUNICATIONS 6:7335 DOI: 101038/ncomms8335  
 182 |www.nature.com/naturecommunications. 2015.  
 183 14. Yong P, Orange, JS., Sullivan, KE. . Pediatric common variable  
 184 immunodeficiency: Immunologic and phenotypic associations with switched  
 185 memory B cells. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2010;21:852–8.  
 186