

Urgent need for greater earthquake resilience in continental Asia

Kanatbek Abdrakhmatov¹, Ramon Arrowsmith², John Elliott³, Christoph Grutzner⁴, Aidyn Mukambayev⁵, Magali Rizza⁶, Zakeria Shnizai⁷, Richard Walker⁷, Ray Weldon⁸, Roberta Wilkinson⁷

1. Institute of Seismology, National Academy of Sciences, Kyrgyz Republic
2. School of Earth and Space Sciences, Arizona State University, USA
3. COMET, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, UK
4. Institute of Geological Sciences, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Germany
5. Kazakhstan National Data Center, Satbayev University, Almaty, Kazakhstan
6. Département des sciences de la Terre et de l'atmosphère, UQAM, Canada
7. COMET, Department of Earth Sciences, Oxford University, UK
8. Earth Sciences Department, University of Oregon, USA

When viewed globally, earthquakes hit hard within Asia, with many large death-toll events falling along the Alpine-Himalayan mountain belts¹. Devastating events in 2023 highlight the geographic unevenness in impact on human life, from the Türkiye-Syria earthquakes with over 50,000 deaths ('1' on Fig. 1), to the Herat (Afghanistan) sequence ('2' on Fig. 1), where 90% of the >1,000 casualties were women and children simply because of the time of day when the mainshock struck. We stress the urgent need for new geoscience-led approaches to the continent-wide problem of increasing vulnerability to earthquakes resulting from urbanization.

Time and time again we see earthquakes strike densely populated areas (Fig. 1), a correlation that is not coincidental in continental Asia. Many population centres in this region owe their existence to the presence of active faults that shape the landscape and channel water, creating habitable areas in a region with harsh conditions² that are likely to worsen with climate change. The environmental pressures exacerbate the challenges: urban areas and infrastructure projects across the region are expanding rapidly in zones of unknown or unquantified earthquake hazard.

Warnings are sometimes found in recent history, such as the 1948 earthquake that decimated Ashgabat, Turkmenistan³ ('3' on Fig. 1). Elsewhere, there is need to go further back to demonstrate seismic hazard potential, for instance to the earthquake in Xi'an (China) in 1556 ('4' on Fig. 1), which killed 830,000 people, a death toll that remains the largest recorded⁴. It is not a matter of if, but when these cities will once again be hit. Many such cities have undergone rapid growth in recent decades and thus have much greater exposure^{5,6}. Almaty in Kazakhstan ('5' on Fig. 1), for example, was badly damaged by earthquakes in 1887, 1889 and 1911⁷. In early 2024, after over a century of seismic quiescence, two strongly felt events shook a city now home to 2 million people. This nature of earthquake disasters – centuries of calm being punctuated by instants of devastation – adds to the difficulty of mitigating their effects; memories rapidly fade and attention quickly diverts elsewhere.

The distributed nature of active faulting within continental interiors, with long recurrence intervals along individual faults^{1,2}, means there is often seismic hazard even in ancient settlements with no documented history of earthquakes. The telltale landscape signatures of active faulting, however, are usually present, but they can be concealed by human landscape modification. It is therefore

important to draw together geological and historical data, as well as regional distributions of strain-rates and seismological catalogues to understand the distribution of hazards, and to work quickly whilst the full range of geological evidence remains.

Geoscientists cannot delay. We must ensure that future construction occurs with an up-to-date knowledge of the hazards, and that existing buildings are strengthened effectively. In Almaty, the heritage buildings, soviet-era apartment blocks, modern high-rises and suburban sprawl present a complex challenge for seismic risk mitigation that is common in cities across the continent. Understanding earthquake hazards, which are also complex in time and space, requires detailed investigation. For example, landscape analysis around Almaty suggests the presence of previously unknown active faults that could cause distinctly different distributions of shaking and substantially more loss and damage than scenarios based upon the historical examples alone^{7,8}.

The pathway to earthquake resilience in continental Asia is achievable, and naturally collaborative. The geosciences have key roles, from unravelling the complex distribution of active faults and the earthquake histories upon them, to continental-scale measurements of strain accumulation and release as discerned through remote sensing and seismology. A necessary first step is to determine where the hazards lie, which is the foundation on which effective risk assessment and mitigation can be built. The most efficient and equitable method to develop this knowledge is through international partnerships that support and strengthen capacity within the responsible national agencies and academic communities. Education and public awareness efforts are necessary steps towards mitigation⁹, as is a long-term view and sustained support from policy makers. Geoscientists can help maintain attention on the problem by continued study of the hazards themselves, and by highlighting the risks that they pose. As recent disasters highlight, it is important that we start now before a long-term future of vulnerability is set, quite literally, in concrete.

Acknowledgements The authors thank UKRI (NE/ J02001X/1; NE/ S013911/1; (GA/13/M/031), the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2018-371), NATO SPS (MYP G5690), and the Royal Society (CHG\R1\170038) for their support of our long-term collaborative programs researching earthquake hazards in the Tien Shan region, and more widely across Asia.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure 1 Earthquake hazard and population within Asia. The majority of major population sites lie in zones of earthquake hazard, expressed as within 150 km of instrumentally recorded shallow earthquakes $>M_w$ 5. Basemap created using Generic Mapping Tools¹⁰, with earthquakes from the ISC-EHB Bulletin, hosted by the International Seismological Centre (<https://www.isc.ac.uk/isc-ehb/>).

1. England, P. and Jackson, J., 2011. Uncharted seismic risk. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(6), pp.348-349.

2. Jackson, J., 2006. Fatal attraction: living with earthquakes, the growth of villages into megacities, and earthquake vulnerability in the modern world. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 364(1845), pp.1911-1925.

3. Marshall, N., Ou, Q., Begenjev, G., Bergman, E., Bezmenov, Y., Dodds, N., Gruetzner, C., Hudson, T., Pierce, I., Mirzin, R. and Rhodes, E., 2024. Seismotectonic aspects of the M_s 7.3 1948 October 5 Aşgabat (Ashgabat)

earthquake, Türkmenistan: right-lateral rupture across multiple fault segments, and continuing urban hazard. *Geophysical Journal International*, 237(1), pp.315-338.

4. Feng, X., Ma, J., Zhou, Y., England, P., Parsons, B., Rizza, M.A. and Walker, R.T., 2020. Geomorphology and Paleoseismology of the Weinan fault, Shaanxi, central China, and the source of the 1556 Huaxian earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 125(12), p.e2019JB017848.

5. Shnizai, Z., Walker, R. and Tsutsumi, H., 2024. The Chaman and Paghman active faults, west of Kabul, Afghanistan: Active tectonics, geomorphology, and evidence for rupture in the destructive 1505 earthquake. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 259, p.105925.

6. Talebian, M., Copley, A.C., Fattahi, M., Ghorashi, M., Jackson, J.A., Nazari, H., Sloan, R.A. and Walker, R.T., 2016. Active faulting within a megacity: the geometry and slip rate of the Pardisan thrust in central Tehran, Iran. *Geophysical supplements to the monthly notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 207(3), pp.1688-1699.

7. Grützner, C., Walker, R.T., Abdrakhmatov, K.E., Mukambaev, A., Elliott, A.J. and Elliott, J.R., 2017. Active tectonics around Almaty and along the Zailisky Alatau range front. *Tectonics*, 36(10), pp.2192-2226.

8. Amey, R.M., Elliott, J.R., Hussain, E., Walker, R., Pagani, M., Silva, V., Abdrakhmatov, K.E. and Watson, C.S., 2021. Significant seismic risk potential from buried faults beneath Almaty City, Kazakhstan, revealed from high-resolution satellite DEMs. *Earth and Space Science*, 8(9), p.e2021EA001664.

9. Karasözen, E., Buyukakpinar, P., Ertuncay, D., Havazlı, E. and Oral, E., 2023. A call from early-career Turkish scientists: seismic resilience is only feasible with "earthquake culture". *Seismica*, 2(3).

10. Wessel, P., Luis, J. F., Uieda, L., Scharroo, R., Wobbe, F., Smith, W. H. F., & Tian, D. (2019). The Generic Mapping Tools Version 6. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 20(11), 5556–5564.