Calcium-calmodulin does not alter the anion permeability of the mouse TMEM16A calcium-activated chloride channel
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The transmembrane protein TMEM16A forms a Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated Cl\(^{-}\) channel that is permeable to many anions, including SCN\(^{-}\), I\(^{-}\), Br\(^{-}\), Cl\(^{-}\), and HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\), and has been implicated in various physiological functions. Indeed, controlling anion permeation through the TMEM16A channel pore may be critical in regulating the pH of exocrine fluids such as the pancreatic juice. The anion permeability of the TMEM16A channel pore has recently been reported to be modulated by Ca\(^{2+}\)-calmodulin (CaCaM), such that the pore of the CaCaM-bound channel shows a reduced ability to discriminate between anions as measured by a shift of the reversal potential under bi-ionic conditions. Here, using a mouse TMEM16A clone that contains the two previously identified putative CaM-binding motifs, we were unable to demonstrate such CaCaM-dependent changes in the bi-ionic potential. We confirmed the activity of CaCaM used in our study by showing CaCaM modulation of the olfactory cyclic nucleotide–gated channel. We suspect that the different bi-ionic potentials that were obtained previously from whole-cell recordings in low and high intracellular [Ca\(^{2+}\)] may result from different degrees of bi-ionic potential shift secondary to a series resistance problem, an ion accumulation effect, or both.

INTRODUCTION

The recently identified TMEM16 family encompasses transmembrane proteins of two functional categories: Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated ion channels (Hartzell, 2008; Huang et al., 2012a; Scudieri et al., 2012) and phospholipid scramblase (Suzuki et al., 2010, 2013; Yang et al., 2012; Malvezzi et al., 2013). Among these TMEM16 family members, TMEM16A and TMEM16B form Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated Cl\(^{-}\) channels (CaCCs) (Caputo et al., 2008; Schroeder et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008), which are important for many physiological processes such as facilitating trans-epithelial Cl\(^{-}\) transport (Tarran et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2012b; Kunzelmann et al., 2012), controlling neuronal activity (Huang et al., 2012), and regulating smooth muscle contraction (Hwang et al., 2009; Bulley et al., 2012), to name a few. Although TMEM16A and TMEM16B both form CaCCs, these two anion channels appear to play separate physiological roles because of their different tissue distribution patterns. Generally speaking, TMEM16A is expressed abundantly in epithelial cells, whereas TMEM16B appears to express more in cells of neuronal origin. TMEM16B is known to be important for controlling the sensory signals of olfaction and vision (Stephan et al., 2009; Stöhr et al., 2009; Hengst et al., 2010), whereas TMEM16A is critical in controlling the transepithelial Cl\(^{-}\) transport (Tarran et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2012b; Kunzelmann et al., 2012).

The functional properties of CaCCs formed by TMEM16A and TMEM16B have been studied even before their molecular cloning (Hartzell et al., 2005). These two Cl\(^{-}\) channels are activated by intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) of submicromolar/micromolar concentrations (Qu and Hartzell, 2000, 2001). They allow various anions to permeate through the channel pore, including but not limited to SCN\(^{-}\), I\(^{-}\), NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\), and Br\(^{-}\). Various studies in the literature have shown that these anions all have higher permeability than Cl\(^{-}\) (namely, \(P_X/P_{Cl} > 1\), where \(P_X\) represents the permeability of the anion \(X\)), with an established permeability sequence of \(P_{SCN} > P_I = P_{NO3} > P_{Br} > P_{Cl}\) (Qu and Hartzell, 2000; Ni et al., 2014). Besides these anions, TMEM16A is also permeable to HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\), a physiologically abundant anion (Qu and Hartzell, 2000; Jung et al., 2013; Ni et al., 2014). It has been suggested that TMEM16A and other anion transport proteins in pancreatic cells may be responsible for the high HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) concentration (\([HCO_3^-]\)) (and therefore high pH) of the pancreatic juice (Sohma et al., 2001; Park and Lee, 2012).

Although the properties of CaCCs have been extensively studied, a controversy exists regarding the modulations of three biophysical properties of CaCC by calmodulin (CaM). First, it was reported that the activation of TMEM16A channel by intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) requires CaM (Tian et al., 2011). Second, the binding of Ca\(^{2+}\)-CaM (CaCaM) to TMEM16A was shown to alter the permeability ratios of the channel to various anions...
(Jung et al., 2013). Finally, the inactivation/desensitization (rundown) of the TMEM16 channel was thought to be mediated by CaCaM (Vocke et al., 2013). On the other hand, it has been shown that without CaCaM, the purified TMEM16A channel can still be activated by Ca


with an apparent affinity similar to those reported in the literature (Terashima et al., 2013). Another recent report also concluded that the activation of TMEM16A channel by Ca


is not mediated by CaM, and that adding CaCaM to the intracellular side of TMEM16A cannot recover or facilitate channel desensitization (rundown) (Yu et al., 2014). The biochemical assay in the latter two reports also showed that the binding of CaCaM to the TMEM16A channel protein was not significant (Terashima et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2014).

Thus, Terashima et al. (2013) and Yu et al. (2014) have provided functional data arguing against the requirement of CaCaM for channel activation or the involvement of CaCaM in channel rundown. However, these two studies did not address the effect of CaCaM on the anion permeability of TMEM16A reported by Jung et al. (2013). In this study, we examine specifically whether CaCaM can alter the anion permeability of the TMEM16A channel pore. We directly apply CaCaM to the cytoplasmic side of the TMEM16A channel and find that the biionic potential of the TMEM16A current is not changed by CaCaM. Our results contradict those reported by Jung et al. (2013). We also noticed that the measured biionic potential could significantly deviate from the true bi-ionic potential if the current amplitude was large, likely caused by series resistance and/or ion accumulation problems. These technical issues may undermine accurate patch-clamp measurements and consequently result in an artifactual alteration of the biionic potentials reported by Jung et al. (2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Molecular biology and channel expression

The mouse TMEM16A cDNA (RefSeq accession no. NM_001242349.1) was subcloned in the pEGFP-N3 vector or the IRES vector (Takara Bio Inc.). The former construct generated a TMEM16A channel protein with an enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) attached to the C terminus. The IRES construct generated separate wild-type TMEM16A and eGFP molecules in the same transfected cells. Functional properties of the channels expressed from these two constructs were not differentiable. The principal subunit of the rat olfactory cyclic nucleotide–gated (CNCA2) channel (Dhallan et al., 1990) was subcloned in a modified pEGFP-N3 vector in which the eGFP was replaced with eYFP. Expression of TMEM16A channel and the homo-oligomeric CNCA2 channel was achieved by transfecting the respective cDNAs in human embryonic kidney 293 cells using lipofectamine transfection methods (Zhang et al., 2009; Richman et al., 2012; Ni et al., 2014). The transfected cells were identified by the green fluorescence in an inverted microscope (DM IRB; Leica) equipped with a fluorescent light source and a GFP filter (Chroma Technology Corp.). Electrophysiological recordings were performed within 24–72 h after transfections.

Electrophysiological experiments

Excised inside-out patch-clamp recordings were performed throughout the study. The extracellular (pipette) solution contained 140 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, and 0.1 mM EGTA, pH 7.4. The intracellular (bath) solution contained 10 mM HEPES, 0.1 mM EGTA, and 140 mM sodium salt of Cl


, 1


, or SCN


, pH 7.4. Such solutions are defined as the “zero-Ca


” solution because the added EGTA eliminates the contaminating Ca


in the solution. For intracellular solution containing HCO


, 10 mM Cl


was in the presence of 130 mM HCO


. To activate TMEM16A channels, a total [Ca


] of 120 µM was added to the zero-Ca


solution, leading to a free [Ca


] of ~20 µM, a saturating [Ca


]. In several experiments, total [Ca


] of 170 µM (~70 µM of free [Ca


]) was used, and no difference in the results was discerned. Borosilicate glass capillaries (World Precision Instruments) were used to fabricate the recording electrodes using an electrode puller (PP830; Narishige). The electrode resistance was ~1.5–2.5 MΩ when filled with the pipette solution. All experiments were performed using the Axopatch 200B amplifier controlled by Digidata1440 analogue–digital signal-converting board controlled by the pClamp10 software (Molecular Devices). Series resistance was not corrected.

The intracellular solution was delivered using a fast solution exchanger (SF-77; Warner Instruments) controlled by digital signals delivered by the Digidata 1440 board (Molecular Devices). The biionic potential was measured under a condition in which 140 mM Cl


was present in the extracellular side while 140 mM of another anion (X


) was present in the intracellular side. An abbreviation of X


/Cl


represents such a biionic condition. The ground electrode was placed in a reservoir containing 3 M KCl solution, which was connected to the bath (intracellular) solution via a 3-M KCl salt bridge. Junction potentials between NaCl and NaX solutions (140 mM) were small compared with the absolute values of the biionic potential (Ni et al., 2014) and therefore were not corrected. The biionic potential was measured in the presence of saturated intracellular [Ca


], using either the voltage-clamp or the current-clamp (I=0) methods described previously (Ni et al., 2014). In the current-clamp recording, the experiment started in 140 mM Cl


/Cl


condition, and the recorded voltage was normally close to 0 mV in this symmetrical Cl


condition. When the intracellular Cl


was replaced by a different anion X


, the recorded voltage changed to the biionic potential. The biionic potential obtained from the current-clamp approach will be denoted as E


. In voltage-clamp recordings, a voltage ramp from ~80 to 80 mV or from 80 to ~80 mV was applied for 3 s, and the reversal potential (denoted as E


rev) of the I-V curve was determined. Under the same biionic condition, the value of E


in current-clamp (I=0) experiments should in theory correspond to the value of E


rev in voltage-clamp experiments (Jung et al., 2013; Ni et al., 2014). The exchange of the intracellular solution was controlled by a 5-V digital signal from the Digidata 1440 board. Activation of the TMEM16A is demonstrated in Fig. 1A. In short, an excised inside-out patch was positioned at the exit of the solution-delivery pipe delivering the zero-Ca


solution. The membrane potential was then clamped to ±40 mV, followed by a switch of the intracellular solution to the one containing 20 µM [Ca


]. Normally, the solution exchange was completed in <100 ms upon the change of the digital signal. Because the measured biionic potential depended on the amplitude of the recorded current, we measured the current amplitude induced by 20 µM [Ca


] at ±40 mV for every patch, either from experiments like that shown in Fig. 1A or from the I-V curve obtained in symmetrical 140 mM [Cl


]. The membrane conductance of the patch was thus estimated by dividing the current amplitude by the membrane voltage (40 mV).

To activate the olfactory CNCA2 channel, 2 µM cGMP (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the 140-mM NaCl solution containing 20 µM of free [Ca


]. The membrane voltage of the excised inside-out
We measured bi-ionic potentials of the TMEM16A current with 20 µM of intracellular [Ca²⁺] using two different approaches: the current-clamp (I = 0) recording and the voltage-clamp recording (Ni et al., 2014). Fig. 1 B illustrates the current-clamp (I = 0) approach. In this experiment, the intracellular side of the patch was first exposed to the Cl⁻ solution with Ca²⁺. Because the extracellular and intracellular solutions contained nearly the same [Cl⁻], the recorded voltage (E_I=0) was close to 0 mV. As the intracellular solution was changed to a solution (with the same [Ca²⁺]) containing SCN⁻ of the same concentration as that of the extracellular Cl⁻, E_I=0 changed to a new value, which corresponds to the bi-ionic potential of SCN⁻/Cl⁻ or that of I⁻/Cl⁻. The measurement of bi-ionic potential by voltage-clamp recording is illustrated in Fig. 1 C. In this case, E_rev was determined from the I-V curve induced by a voltage ramp from −80 to 80 mV. For both the current-clamp and voltage-clamp experiments, the value of the measured bi-ionic potentials could vary from patch to patch, depending on the amplitude of the recorded current. For example, the current amplitudes of the two patches shown in Fig. 1 C differ by ~10-fold, and the measured

**RESULTS**

The TMEM16A Cl⁻ channel is opened by intracellular Ca²⁺, with a half-activation concentration of ~0.5 µM in the absence of Mg²⁺ (Ni et al., 2014). We examined the anion permeability of the mouse TMEM16A channel pore in the presence of saturating [Ca²⁺] (20 µM) throughout the study. Fig. 1 A illustrates the activation of Cl⁻ current, without added CaM, in an excised inside-out patch from human embryonic kidney 293 cells expressing TMEM16A. As the intracellular side of the membrane patch was exposed to Ca²⁺, the TMEM16A current was induced. The current disappeared quickly when intracellular Ca²⁺ was removed.

---

**Figure 1.** Measuring bi-ionic potential of the TMEM16A current. (A) Activation of Cl⁻ current (top) in an excised inside-out patch expressing TMEM16A in symmetrical 140 mM [Cl⁻]. (Bottom) The digital signal used to switch between the solutions containing zero-Ca²⁺ and 20 µM of free [Ca²⁺]. (B) Current-clamp (I = 0) recordings to monitor the change of bi-ionic potentials upon the switch of anion solutions on the intracellular side. Intracellular solutions contained 20 µM of free [Ca²⁺] throughout the recording. Same patch as in A. (Bottom) The digital signal for triggering solution exchange. (C) Bi-ionic potentials measured by voltage-clamp recordings. The two panels were recordings from two different patches consisting of currents of different amplitudes (see the scale of the y axis). Different values of E_rev were obtained as exemplified in the bi-ionic condition of SCN⁻/Cl⁻. (D) The SCN⁻/Cl⁻ bi-ionic potential as a function of the current amplitude at 40 mV in symmetrical 140 mM Cl⁻. Each data point was from an independent patch measured either by the voltage-clamp or by the current-clamp recording.
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values of \( E_{\text{rev}} \) in the same SCN\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) condition in these two patches are significantly different from each other. The bi-ionic potentials of SCN\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) and I\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) are \( \sim60-65 \text{ mV} \) and \( \sim35-40 \text{ mV} \), respectively, when the recorded current at 40 mV in symmetrical 140 mM NaCl is \( <1 \text{ nA} \) (Ni et al., 2014). As the current amplitude increases, the measured bi-ionic potential becomes smaller (Fig. 1 D).

Because the measured bi-ionic potential could vary with the amplitude of the recorded current, we avoided using the patches with current \( >1-1.5 \text{ nA} \) at 40 mV for testing the CaCaM effect on the bi-ionic potential. Again, we used both the current-clamp and the voltage-clamp methods for testing the CaCaM effect. Fig. 2 A depicts the measurements of the bi-ionic potentials of SCN\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) (top three panels) and I\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) (bottom three panels) with and without CaCaM, using current-clamp (left) and voltage-clamp (two right panels) recordings. The current-clamp recordings, which monitored the bi-ionic potential in real time, did not reveal a change of \( E_{I=0} \) after applying 0.6 µM CaCaM to the intracellular side of the patch for 2 min (Fig. 2 A, left). Similarly, the I-V curves in voltage-clamp recordings before and after CaCaM application (two right panels in Fig. 2 A) did not show a significant difference in the measured values of \( E_{\text{rev}} \). To fairly compare our results with those reported by Jung et al. (2013), we further increased the concentration of CaCaM to 6 µM. Fig. 2 (B and C) shows that the values of \( E_{I=0} \) are not affected by the presence of 6 µM of intracellular CaCaM in the bi-ionic condition of I\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) (Fig. 2 B) or HCO\(_3\)^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) (Fig. 2 C). Increasing free \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) to 70 µM in the presence of 6 µM CaM did not alter \( E_{I=0} \) either (not depicted). These results contradicted the previous report by Jung et al. (2013) in which CaCaM was shown to alter the value of the bi-ionic potential when it was applied to the intracellular side of TMEM16A channels (see Fig. 4, D and E, of Jung et al., 2013). Because CaCaM failed to alter bi-ionic potentials in our experiments, we wondered if the mouse TMEM16A we used for the experiments contains the CaCaM-binding site identified by Jung et al. (2013). We therefore sequenced our TMEM16A clone, and the cDNA sequence indicated that the mouse TMEM16A clone used in this study does contain the two potential CaCaM-binding sites identified by Jung et al. (2013).

Figure 2. Applying CaCaM to the intracellular side of the TMEM16A channel does not alter the bi-ionic potential. All experiments were performed in inside-out patches. (A) Negative effect of CaCaM in altering the SCN\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) and I\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) bi-ionic potentials either from current-clamp (left) or from voltage-clamp (middle and right) measurements. Data in all panels were obtained from the same patch. 0.6-1.2 µM CaCaM was tested in more than 15 patches in various bi-ionic conditions without detectable effects on bi-ionic potentials. (B and C) CaCaM at a higher concentration did not alter the I\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) bi-ionic potential (B) or the HCO\(_3\)^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) bi-ionic potential (C, top). The slow change of the HCO\(_3\)^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) bi-ionic potential also occurred without CaCaM (C, bottom). This is likely because of the combination of the changes of [HCO\(_3\)] in the bath and the pipette solutions during prolonged recordings. 6 µM CaCaM was tested in three and eight separate experiments for I\(^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) and HCO\(_3\)^{-}/\text{Cl}^{-} \) conditions, respectively, without detectable effects on \( E_{I=0} \).
To demonstrate the effectiveness of CaCaM used in our study, we examined the effect of CaCaM on the cyclic nucleotide–gated channel, a well-documented effect (Chen and Yau, 1994; Chen et al., 1994). CaCaM was known to bind to the N-terminal cytoplasmic region of the CNCA2 channel (Liu et al., 1994), leading to a reduction of the apparent affinity of the channel for cGMP. Therefore, as the current of CNCA2 is activated by a nonsaturating concentration of cGMP, applying CaCaM to the cytoplasmic side of the channel should cause a current reduction. This was indeed observed in experiments illustrated in Fig. 3 in which the recorded CNCA2 current was potently inhibited by 0.6 µM CaCaM. As reported previously for CNCA2, when CaCaM was washed out in the presence of Ca^{2+}, the inhibited current was not recovered. It was only after the intracellular solution was changed to a zero-Ca^{2+} solution that the effect of CaCaM in inhibiting the olfactory cyclic nucleotide–gated channel was removed (Fig. 3). By reproducing the functional characteristics of the CaCaM modulation of the CNCA2 channel (Chen and Yau, 1994; Liu et al., 1994), we demonstrate that a lack of effect of CaCaM on TMEM16A is not caused by poor quality of CaM used in our studies.

Our experiments described above therefore do not support the conclusion that CaCaM alters the anion permeability of the TMEM16A. What then is the mechanistic basis underlying the finding of Jung et al. (2013) that the measured bi-ionic potentials are significantly different between low and high [Ca^{2+}] conditions in the whole-cell recording experiments (but not in the excised outside-out patch recordings)? We suspect that the precision of the bi-ionic potential measurement in Jung et al. (2013) might be limited by two factors: the series resistance problem and the ion accumulation effect, given the large current recorded in that study. Fig. 4 illustrates the series resistance problem, using the same set of data presented in Fig. 1 D. In an equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 4, the series resistance is related to the value of the true and measured bi-ionic potential according to the equation:

\[ \varepsilon_m = \varepsilon \cdot \left( \frac{R_m}{R_m + R_s} \right) \]

where \( \varepsilon_m \) and \( \varepsilon_m \) are the true and measured bi-ionic potentials; \( R_m \) and \( R_s \) are the membrane resistance and the series resistance, respectively; and \( g_m = 1/R_m \) and \( g_s = 1/R_s \).

We converted Fig. 1 D into a plot in which the measured bi-ionic potential is a function of \( g_m \) (Fig. 4). Fitting the data points in Fig. 4 to Eq. 1 gave the estimated values of \( \varepsilon = 64.4 \) mV and \( g_s = \sim 314 \) nS (or \( R_s = \sim 3.2 \) MΩ). This analysis might overestimate the value of \( R_s \) because the change of bi-ionic potential was assumed to be all from the series resistance effect. Nonetheless, the

---

**Figure 3.** Effects of CaCaM on the homo-oligomeric CNCA2 olfactory cyclic nucleotide–gated channel. Black squares are the steady-state current measured at the end of the 100-ms voltage pulse. Inset shows the comparison of the recorded current traces before and during the application of CaM. The 2-µM cGMP solution contains 20 µM of free [Ca^{2+}], whereas the 0-cGMP solution is the zero-Ca^{2+} solution containing 0.1 mM EGTA. After the current was inhibited by CaCaM, a washout of CaM in zero-Ca^{2+} solution at t = 160 s removed the binding of CaM, and the channel current was recovered as seen in the subsequent application of 2 µM cGMP. Positive CaCaM effects were found in all of seven independent experiments.

**Figure 4.** Measured bi-ionic potential \( (\varepsilon_m) \) as a function of membrane conductance. Data were the same as those shown in Fig. 1 D, except that the x-axis value of each data point was divided by 40 mV. Inset shows the equivalent circuit of the measurement of bi-ionic potential in which the true electromotive force (\( \varepsilon \) in inset) generated under a bi-ionic condition is detected as \( \varepsilon_m \) by the patch-clamp amplifier (represented by the square box) via a circuit containing a series resistance \( R_s \). This series resistance demands that \( \varepsilon_m \) is a discounted value of \( \varepsilon \) according to Eq. 1. Fitting the data points to Eq. 1 allowed an estimation of the \( g_m \), the inverse of which is the series resistance \( R_s \). The data from this set of experiments were obtained from both voltage-ramp and current-clamp experiments, without paying attention to the ion accumulation effect as shown in Fig. 5.
estimated R, value was reasonable given the resistance of the recording electrodes, suggesting that the series resistance indeed effectively reduced the bi-ionic potential as the membrane current increased.

Another factor that may significantly affect the measured bi-ionic potential is the accumulation of permeant ions as demonstrated in Fig. 5, in which the measured SCN⁻/Cl⁻ bi-ionic potentials from the same patch using three methods are compared: the current-clamp (I = 0) method and two voltage-clamp recordings, one using a ramp voltage protocol from 80 to −80 mV and the other running in the reverse direction (from −80 to 80 mV). In voltage-clamp experiments, we switched the intracellular anion from Cl⁻ to SCN⁻ at 0 mV for several seconds before the start of the ramp protocol. As long as SCN⁻ was present in the intracellular side of the channel, an inevitable outward SCN⁻ flux would start an accumulation of SCN⁻ immediately adjacent to the membrane inside the pipette tip. A subsequent ramp protocol from −80 to 80 mV would exacerbate the SCN⁻ accumulation before the voltage reached the bi-ionic potential, whereas a voltage ramp in the reverse direction (80 to −80 mV) might partially alleviate the SCN⁻ accumulation. We compared the Erev values from these two voltage-clamp measurements with the value of E_{I=0} from the current-clamp experiment, in which the value of E_{I=0} was determined immediately (<100 ms) after the intracellular solution was switched from Cl⁻ to SCN⁻. Among these three methods, the current-clamp method gave the largest bi-ionic potential (Fig. 5 A, black squares), followed by the E_{rev} obtained with the ramp voltage from 80 to −80 mV (Fig. 5 A, blue squares), and then the E_{rev} from the ramp protocol of −80 to 80 mV (Fig. 5 A, red squares). Conceivably, the current-clamp method likely caused minimal ion accumulation because E_{I=0} was determined immediately after the solution was switched. However, the bi-ionic potential still significantly decreased with an increase of g_m, even in this type of measurement (Fig. 5 A, black squares), likely caused by a series resistance problem. E_{rev} values of SCN⁻/Cl⁻ obtained by the ramp protocol of 80 to −80 mV were nearly the same as the values of E_{I=0} obtained from the current-clamp method in patches with small current. However, these two sets of data points (black and blue squares in Fig. 5 A) deviate from each other when the membrane current (or membrane conductance, g_m) is large. The values of E_{rev} obtained from the voltage ramp of −80 to 80 mV were always the smallest among the three measurements, and it appears that the higher the g_m (or membrane current), the larger the difference between the E_{rev} values obtained from ramp protocols running in opposite directions. These results suggest that the ion accumulation effect is more significant as the membrane current increases.

If ion accumulation is partially responsible for the difference of the measured bi-ionic potentials, the value of E_{rev} immediately obtained after a solution exchange from Cl⁻ to SCN⁻ might partially alleviate the bi-ionic potential under bi-ionic condition. (A) Comparison of the SCN⁻/Cl⁻ bi-ionic potentials obtained from three different methods from the same patch. Data were from experiments different from those shown in Fig. 4. Data points with the same x-axis value were from the same patch. Data in black were obtained from the current-clamp (I = 0) method, measuring the peak value of E_{I=0} immediately after the solution exchange from Cl⁻/Cl⁻ to SCN⁻/Cl⁻. Data in blue and red were E_{rev} values measured from the voltage-ramp protocols from 80 to −80 mV and from −80 to 80 mV, respectively. The experiments from the same patch were always performed in a sequence so that the current-clamp experiment was performed first, followed by the voltage-ramp experiment from 80 to −80 mV, and then the ramp from −80 to 80 mV. Curve fitting to Eq. 1 for the bi-ionic potentials from the current-clamp experiments (black curve) gave an estimated R of 2.4 MΩ. Blue and red curves were also the best fit to Eq. 1, with fitted Rs values of 4.3 and 5.2 MΩ, respectively. These two fitted Rs values were likely overestimated because of the ion accumulation effect on top of the series resistance problem. (B) Temporally dependent change of the measured E_{I=0} upon switching the intracellular solution from Cl⁻ to SCN⁻ on a patch with large current. (Right) The expansion of the voltage range from −70 to −50 mV. From eight patches with I_{trans} in the range of 1,150–2,480 pA, the difference between the peak E_{I=0} and that measured at ~5 s after the SCN⁻ exposure was 2.2 ± 0.2 mV (mean ± SEM). The fitted time constant of the relaxation was 635 ± 193 ms (mean ± SEM). (C) Patches with small current showed larger absolute values of E_{I=0} and less time-dependent changes of E_{I=0}. From patches with I_{trans} = 250–600 pA, the difference between the E_{I=0} values at the beginning and at 5 s after the SCN⁻ exposure was −0.1 ± 0.3 mV (mean ± SEM; n = 6).
**Discussion**

Anion permeation through various Cl\(^-\) channels is important for transepithelial transport and thus is critical for transepithelial fluid secretion. For example, a genetic defect of the Cl\(^-\) channel encoded by the CFTR gene is known to cause defective transepithelial fluid transport in the respiratory tract, leading to severe pulmonary infection in patients with cystic fibrosis (Boucher, 2007; Quinton, 2007). Because CaCC expresses in the same epithelial cells, it has been proposed that activation of CaCC may complement the function of defective CFTR Cl\(^-\) channels, implicating a critical role of CaCC in transepithelial transport (Tarran et al., 2002; Cuthbert, 2011). Both CFTR and CaCC channel pores are permeable to HCO\(_3\)- as well as to Cl\(^-\). It is well established that a defective CFTR Cl\(^-\) channel can cause an abnormal pH of the pancreatic juice, which in normal human beings can contain up to 140 mM HCO\(_3\)- (Kopelman et al., 1988; Scheele et al., 1996). Although the mechanism of producing such a high [HCO\(_3\)-] in pancreatic juice is not known, it is believed that such a HCO\(_3\)- secretion mechanism may involve collective functions of CFTR, SLC26 Cl\(^-\)/HCO\(_3\)- antiporters, and TMEM16A-encoded CaCC (Sohma et al., 2001; Park and Lee, 2012).

Thus, a modulation of the anion permeability of the TMEM16A channel by CaCaM, as reported by Jung et al. (2013), could be physiologically important. Jung et al. (2013) showed a recording trace from an excised inside-out patch in which the HCO\(_3\)-/Cl\(^-\) bi-ionic potential was reduced to near 0 mV upon applying CaCaM to the intracellular side of the channel (Fig. 4 D of Jung et al., 2013). In contrast, we are unable to reproduce this CaCaM effect in similar experiments (Fig. 2). One might consider that the mouse TMEM16A clone used in our study and the human TMEM16A clone used in Jung et al. (2013) are different. However, the two cDNA clones express channels with very similar functional properties. Furthermore, the sequences of the two potential CaM-binding motifs identified by Jung et al. (2013) are nearly identical between the human and the mouse clones. For example, out of the 32 amino acids in CaM-binding motif 1, there are only two conserved amino acid changes (valine versus isoleucine or alanine). The conserved valine/isoleucine variation also constitutes the only two amino acid differences in CaM-binding motif 2 between the two clones. It should be noted that various studies in the literature have presented either positive or negative biochemical evidence for CaCaM binding to TMEM16A, but there is no report that CaCaM binding to TMEM16 channels is species specific. Jung et al. (2013) and Vocke et al. (2013) showed that CaCaM binds to specific motifs in the human and rat clones, respectively, although the proposed CaCaM-binding site(s) in these two studies is not exactly the same. On the other hand, Terashima et al. (2013) reported that CaCaM interacts with human TMEM16A weakly, if at all. These authors also pointed out that TMEM16A is extremely sensitive to the detergent used for extraction from cell membranes. It is therefore possible that the detergent used to extract the protein might adversely affect the stability of the channel, leading to the exposure of domains that are inaccessible to CaM in a correctly folded TMEM16A protein (Terashima et al., 2013). Most recently, Yu et al. (2014) further compared side-by-side the experiments using human and mouse TMEM16A channels, and found no difference between CaCaM binding to mouse or to human clones; CaCaM binding to both clones was insignificant. Given these biochemical data in the literature, we do not think that the difference between our results and the electrophysiological results in Jung et al. (2013) can be attributed to the sequence difference in the potential CaCaM-binding motifs.

On the other hand, our study did demonstrate a problem of patch-clamp measurements well known in the literature: the accuracy of the voltage measurement depends on the size of the measured current (Sigworth, 1983; Sakmann and Neher, 1984). The \(\Gamma^\text{-}/\text{Cl}^\text{-}\) bi-ionic potentials measured in our laboratory were routinely in the range of 35 to 40 mV when the recorded current was <1 nA, corresponding to a \(P_\text{I}/P_\text{Cl}^\text{-}\) permeability ratio of >4 (Ni et al., 2014). In the whole-cell recordings by Jung et al. (2013), the averaged permeability ratio of \(P_\text{I}/P_\text{Cl}^\text{-}\) at low \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) (400 nM), which was thought to activate CaM only minimally, was <3, whereas the averaged \(P_\text{I}/P_\text{Cl}^\text{-}\) ratio was >4 from the excised outside-out patch recordings (Jung et al., 2013). Although the smaller value of \(P_\text{I}/P_\text{Cl}^\text{-}\) in whole-cell recordings with 400 nM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) might still be explained by a partial effect of CaCaM on the \(P_\text{I}/P_\text{Cl}^\text{-}\) ratio, other factors, such as a large recorded current, could significantly affect the measured bi-ionic potentials. These other possibilities therefore deserve serious consideration. In patch-clamp recordings, the electrodes have finite resistance. The electrode resistance together with some less defined resistance generated during giga-seal formation contributes to most of the series resistance, which renders the command voltage sensed by the membrane patch, or conversely, the bi-ionic potential detected by the patch-clamp amplifier.
underestimated by a factor of $R_m/(R_m + R_s)$ (Fig. 4). If $R_m$ is small relative to $R_s$, the measured bi-ionic potential will significantly deviate from the true value. As an example, the averaged resistance of our recording electrodes is ~2 MΩ. A membrane current of 1 nA at 40 mV corresponds to an $R_m$ value of 40 MΩ. The $E_{rev}$ measured from such a membrane patch has already been underestimated by ~5%! With a larger recorded current and/or a higher electrode resistance, the accuracy of the measurement would be worse. In Jung et al. (2013), one major experimental result arguing for the CaCaM effect was a difference of bi-ionic potentials between low and high intracellular [Ca$^{2+}$] in whole-cell recording experiments. The amplitude of the recorded whole-cell currents shown in their study was routinely several nanoamperes or more, and the electrodes used had a resistance of 2–5 MΩ. Because the half-activating [Ca$^{2+}$] for TMEM16A is ~1 µM in the presence of 4 mM of intracellular [Mg$^{2+}$] (Ni et al., 2014), a low (400 nM) and a high (3 µM) intracellular [Ca$^{2+}$] in solutions with 4 mM [Mg$^{2+}$] in Jung et al. (2013) must activate very different amplitudes of TMEM16A current. In these two [Ca$^{2+}$] conditions, therefore, the series resistance likely alters the accuracy of voltage measurements to different degrees.

Besides the series resistance problem, ion accumulation can also potentially alter the measured bi-ionic potential. Even in our excised patch recording where the current is small compared with that of the whole-cell recording, reversing the direction of the voltage ramp results in an appreciable change of the measured $E_{rev}$. In Fig. 5 A, the SCN$^-$/Cl$^-$ bi-ionic potential measured with the ramp protocol from −80 to 80 mV was consistently smaller than that obtained from the ramp running in the opposite direction. We reason that the voltage ramp from −80 to 80 mV, which first generates a negative current carried by an outward SCN$^-$ flux, likely exacerbates local SCN$^-$ accumulation in the pipette, whereas a reverse ramp direction could partially alleviate SCN$^-$ accumulation. Furthermore, it appears that the higher the recorded membrane current, the larger the difference between the $E_{rev}$ values obtained from voltage ramps running in different directions (Fig. 5 A). This ion accumulation can also be directly observed from the time-dependent change of the $E_{L0}$ value in the current-clamp recordings (Fig. 5 B). In our excised patch recordings, the ion accumulation effect reached a steady state quickly (Fig. 5 B) and appeared to be smaller than the effect caused by the series resistance problem. Nonetheless, the ion accumulation problem could be significant in whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings. Vocke et al. (2013) has estimated that a Cl$^-$ efflux from a spherical cell with a diameter of 10 µm caused by a decaying current of 1 nA can reduce the “effective” intracellular [Cl$^-$] by 120 mM in 10 s! Because of the ion accumulation and the series resistance problem mentioned above, our study raises a serious concern regarding the accuracy of bi-ionic potential measurements when the bi-ionic potentials to be compared are obtained from currents with vastly different amplitudes.

If the change of relative permeability calculated from bi-ionic potentials in Jung et al. (2013) was caused by technical issues of series resistance and/or ion accumulation as explained above, it might be puzzling why mutations in the putative CaM-binding domains could eliminate (or partially eliminate) the Ca$^{2+}$ effect on the relative permeability, as shown in Figs. 5 and S8 of Jung et al. (2013). Based on our interpretation that the estimated relative permeability depends on the recorded current amplitude, we suspect that the CaM-binding domain mutations might reduce channel expression. Indeed, the representative recording traces in Fig. S8 of Jung et al. (2013) clearly show that the mutants have less current than the wild-type channel. Consistent with this interpretation, the half-effective [Ca$^{2+}$] (EC50) in titrating the relative permeability of TMEM16A was estimated to be 0.91 µM (see Fig. 1 C of Jung et al., 2013). This value of EC50, which was interpreted as the Ca$^{2+}$ affinity of CaM, was close to the EC50 of opening TMEM16A in the presence of [Mg$^{2+}$] in the millimolar range (Xiao et al., 2011; Adomaviciene et al., 2013; Ni et al., 2014). We feel that the similarity in EC50s in controlling relative permeability as well as channel opening is not a coincidence. It is likely that the [Ca$^{2+}$]-dependent change of relative permeability was in fact an epiphenomenon of the [Ca$^{2+}$]-dependent activation of the TMEM16A channel but not an effect mediated by CaM.

Our study does not provide biochemical evidence as to whether or not CaCaM can directly bind to the TMEM16A channel protein. However, our electrophysiological recordings show that applying CaCaM directly to the cytoplasmic side of the TMEM16A channel in excised inside-out patch recordings does not alter the bi-ionic potential of the recorded current. We argue that the functional effect found in Jung et al. (2013)—namely, the difference of anion permeability ratios measured in different intracellular [Ca$^{2+}$] in whole-cell recordings—may be explained by the series resistance problem and/or the ion accumulation effect. It will require more studies from different laboratories to resolve the controversy regarding the various CaCaM modulation effects on the TMEM16A channel.
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