

SPIRIT 2025 Statement: updated guideline for protocols of randomized trials

First name	Initial	Surname	Affiliation	Job title	ORCID
An-Wen		Chan	Department of Medicine, Women's College Research Institute, University of Toronto, 76 Grenville Street, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1B2, Canada	Professor and Phelan Senior Scientist	0000-0002-4498-3382
Isabelle		Boutron	Université Paris Cité and Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, Inserm, INRAE, Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Statistics (CRESS), F-75004 Paris, France; Centre d'Epidémiologie Clinique, Hôpital Hôtel Dieu, AP-HP, 75004 Paris, France	Professor	0000-0002-5263-6241
Sally		Hopewell	Oxford Clinical Trials Research Unit / Centre for Statistics in Medicine, University of Oxford, Windmill Road, Oxford OX3 7LD, UK	Professor of Clinical Trials and Evidence Synthesis	0000-0002-6881-6984
David		Moher	Centre for Journalology, Clinical Epidemiology Program, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, 501 Smyth Road, Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8L6, Canada	Senior Scientist	0000-0003-2434-4206
Kenneth	F	Schulz	Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, USA	Distinguished Scientist	0000-0003-2596-7616
Gary	S	Collins	UK EQUATOR Centre/Centre for Statistics in Medicine, University of Oxford, Windmill Road, Oxford OX3 7LD, UK	Professor of Medical Statistics	0000-0002-2772-2316
Ruth		Tunn	Oxford Clinical Trials Research Unit / Centre for Statistics in Medicine, University of Oxford, Windmill Road, Oxford OX3 7LD, UK	Research Fellow	0000-0003-1187-1808
Rakesh		Aggarwal	Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry 605006, India	Director and Professor of Gastroenterology	0000-0001-9689-494X
Michael		Berkwits	JAMA and the JAMA Network, * 330 N. Wabash Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611	Former Deputy Editor	0009-0005-1316-5861

First name	Initial	Surname	Affiliation	Job title	ORCID
Jesse	A	Berlin	Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Center for Pharmacoepidemiology and Treatment Science, Rutgers University, 112 Paterson St New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901, USA / JAMA Network Open	Adjunct Professor / Statistical Editor	0000-0002-9810-745X
Nita		Bhandari	Centre for Health Research and Development, Society for Applied Studies, New Delhi, Delhi 110016, India	Senior Scientist	0000-0003-0349-087X
Nancy	J	Butcher	Increasing capacity for Maternal and Paediatric Clinical Trials (IMPACT), The Hospital for Sick Children, Peter Gilgan Centre for Research and Learning, 686 Bay Street, 11th Floor, South 16, Toronto, Ontario M5G 0A4, Canada and Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada	Co-Director/ Assistant Professor	0000-0002-5152-0108
Marion	K	Campbell	Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, Health Sciences Building, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK	Professor of Health Services Research	0000-0001-5386-4097
Runcie	CW	Chidebe	Project PINK BLUE - Health & Psychological Trust Centre, 11 Moses Majekodunmi Cres, Utako, Abuja 900108, Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria. Department of Sociology and Gerontology & Scripps Gerontology Center, Miami University, Ohio, USA.	Executive Director	0000-0002-6025-776X
Diana	R	Elbourne	London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Department of Medical Statistics, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK	Professor of Healthcare Evaluation	0000-0003-3044-4545
Andrew	J	Farmer	Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Radcliffe Primary Care Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK	Professor of General Practice	0000-0002-6170-4402

First name	Initial	Surname	Affiliation	Job title	ORCID
Dean	A	Fergusson	Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario K1Y 4E9, Canada	Deputy Scientific Director and Senior Scientist	0000-0002-3389-2485
Robert	M	Golub	Department of Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, 420 E. Superior St, Chicago, Illinois 60611, USA	Professor of Medicine (General Internal Medicine) and Preventive Medicine	
Steven	N	Goodman	Departments of Epidemiology and Population Health, of Medicine, and of Health Policy, Stanford University School of Medicine, 300 Pasteur Drive, Palo Alto, California 943054, USA	Professor	0000-0002-3872-5723
Tammy	C	Hoffmann	Institute for Evidence-Based Healthcare, Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond University, University Drive, Robina, Queensland 4229, Australia	Professor of Clinical Epidemiology	0000-0001-5210-8548
John	P.A.	Ioannidis	Departments of Medicine, of Epidemiology and Population Health, of Biomedical Data Science, and of Statistics, and Meta-Research Innovation Center at Stanford (METRICS), Stanford University, 1265 Welch Rd, MSOB X306, Stanford, California 94305, USA	Professor	0000-0003-3118-6859
Brennan	C	Kahan	MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, 90 High Holborn, London WC1V 6LJ, UK	Principal Research Fellow	0000-0001-9957-0844
Rachel	L	Knowles	Medical Research Council, UK Research and Innovation, Swindon SN2 1FL, UK; and Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, 30 Guilford Street, London WC1N 1EH, UK	Clinical Principal Research Fellow	0000-0002-5490-7682
Sarah	E	Lamb	Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Exeter, St Luke's Campus, Magdalen Road, Exeter, EX1 2LU, UK	Faculty Pro-Vice-Chancellor & Mireille Gillings Professor of Health Innovation	0000-0003-4349-7195
Steff		Lewis	Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit, Usher Institute - University of Edinburgh, 9	Professor of Medical Statistics	0000-0003-1210-2314

First name	Initial	Surname	Affiliation	Job title	ORCID
			Little France Road, Edinburgh BioQuarter, Edinburgh EH16 4UX, UK		
Elizabeth		Loder	The BMJ, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JR, UK; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA	Head of Research Professor of Neurology	0000-0003- 1501-2947
Martin		Offringa	Child Health Evaluative Sciences, Hospital for Sick Children Research Institute, 686 Bay St, Toronto, Ontario M5G 0A4, Canada	Senior Scientist	0000-0002- 4402-5299
Philippe		Ravaud	Université Paris Cité, Inserm, INRAE, Centre de Recherche Epidémiologie et Statistiques, Université Paris Cité, Paris F-75004, France	Professor	0000-0001- 8264-9206
Dawn	P	Richards	Clinical Trials Ontario, MaRS Centre, West Tower, 661 University Avenue, Suite 460, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1M1, Canada	Director of Patient and Public Engagement	0000-0003- 1151-0826
Frank	W	Rockhold	Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke University Medical Center, 300 West Morgan Street, Durham, North Carolina 27701, USA	Professor of Biostatistics & Bioinformatics	0000-0003- 3732-4765
David	L	Schriger	Department of Emergency Medicine, University of California, 1100 Glendon Avenue #1200, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA	Professor	0000-0003- 0242-1127
Nandi	L	Siegfried	Mental Health, Alcohol, Substance Use and Tobacco Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council, Francie Van Zijl Drive, Parow Valley, Cape Town 7501, South Africa	Chief Specialist Scientist	0000-0002- 4081-1698
Sophie		Staniszewska	Warwick Research in Nursing, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK	Professor of Health Research	0000-0002- 7723-9074
Rod	S	Taylor	MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit & Robertson Centre for Biostatistics, Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Clarice Pears Building, 90	Professor of Population Health Research	0000-0002- 3043-6011

First name	Initial	Surname	Affiliation	Job title	ORCID
			Byres Road, Glasgow G12 8TB, UK		
Lehana		Thabane	Department of Health Research Methods Evidence and Impact, 1280 Main Street West, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4L8, Canada. St Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton, Hamilton, Ontario, L8N 4A6, Canada.	Professor	0000-0003-0355-9734
David	J	Torgerson	York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK	Professor	0000-0002-1667-4275
Sunita		Vohra	Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 1C9, Canada	Professor	0000-0002-6210-7933
Ian	R	White	MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, 90 High Holborn, London WC1V 6LJ, UK	Professor of Statistical Methods for Medicine	0000-0002-6718-7661
Asbjørn		Hróbjartsson	Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Odense and Cochrane Denmark, Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, 5230 Odense M, Denmark; Open Patient Data Explorative Network, Odense University Hospital, 5000 Odense C, Denmark	Professor of Evidence-Based Medicine and Clinical Research Methodology	0000-0002-2451-5012

2 * Contributions under former JAMA affiliation

3

4

Word count: 2,198

5

6 **Corresponding author**

7 An-Wen Chan, MD DPhil

8 Women's College Research and Innovation Institute, Women's College Hospital, University
9 of Toronto

10 anwen.chan@utoronto.ca

11

11

12

13

12

13

14

14

15

15 **ABSTRACT**

16 **Importance:** The protocol of a randomized trial is the foundation for study planning,
17 conduct, reporting, and external review. However, trial protocols vary in their completeness
18 and often do not address key elements of design and conduct. The SPIRIT (Standard
19 Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials) Statement was first published in
20 2013 as guidance to improve the completeness of trial protocols. Periodic updates
21 incorporating the latest evidence and best practices are needed to ensure that the guidance
22 remains relevant to users.

23 **Objective:** To systematically update the SPIRIT recommendations for minimum items to
24 address in the protocol of a randomized trial.

25 **Design:** We completed a scoping review and developed a project-specific database of
26 empirical and theoretical evidence to generate a list of potential changes to the SPIRIT 2013
27 checklist. The list was enriched with recommendations provided by lead authors of existing
28 SPIRIT/CONSORT extensions (Harms, Outcomes, Nonpharmacological Treatment) and other
29 reporting guidelines (TIDieR). The potential modifications were rated in a three-round
30 Delphi survey followed by a consensus meeting.

31 **Findings:** Overall, 317 individuals participated in the Delphi consensus process and 30
32 experts attended the consensus meeting. The process led to the addition of two new
33 protocol items, revision to five items, deletion/merger of five items, and integration of key
34 items from other relevant reporting guidelines. Notable changes include a new Open
35 Science section, additional emphasis on the assessment of harms and description of
36 interventions and comparators, and a new item on how patients and the public will be
37 involved in trial design, conduct, and reporting. The updated SPIRIT 2025 Statement consists
38 of a 34-item evidence-based checklist of minimum items to address in a trial protocol, along

39 with a diagram illustrating the schedule of enrollment, interventions, and assessments for
40 trial participants. To facilitate implementation, we also developed an expanded version of
41 the SPIRIT 2025 checklist and an accompanying Explanation and Elaboration document.

42 **Conclusions and relevance:** Widespread endorsement and adherence to the updated SPIRIT
43 2025 Statement has the potential to enhance the transparency and completeness of trial
44 protocols for the benefit of investigators, trial participants, patients, funders, research ethics
45 committees, journals, trial registries, policymakers, regulators, and other reviewers.

46 “Readers should not have to infer what was probably done; they should be told
47 explicitly.”(1)

48 Professor Douglas G Altman

49

50 **INTRODUCTION**

51 Robustly designed, properly conducted, and fully reported randomized trials underpin
52 evidence-based practice and policy. As the most important record of planned methods and
53 conduct, a well-written protocol plays a key role in promoting consistent and rigorous
54 execution by the trial team. The protocol also serves as the basis for oversight and review of
55 scientific, ethical, safety, and operational issues by funders, regulators, research ethics
56 committees/institutional review boards (REC/IRB), journal editors, researchers, patients,
57 and the public (2-9). After trial completion, the protocol is essential for understanding and
58 interpreting the results.

59

60 Despite the central role of protocols, there is substantial variation in the completeness of
61 protocol content (10, 11). Many trial protocols do not adequately describe important
62 elements including the primary outcomes, treatment allocation methods, use of blinding,
63 measurement of adverse events, sample size calculations, data analysis methods,
64 dissemination policies, and roles of sponsors and investigators in trial design (10-12). Gaps
65 in protocol content can lead to avoidable protocol amendments (13), inconsistent or poor
66 trial conduct, and lack of transparency in terms of what was planned and implemented.

67

68 In response to these protocol deficiencies, the SPIRIT (Standard Protocol Items:
69 Recommendations for Interventional Trials) guidance was first published in 2013 (14, 15).
70 Aligned with the CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) guidance for

71 reporting completed trials (16), the international SPIRIT initiative aims to improve the
72 completeness of trial protocols by producing evidence-based recommendations for a
73 minimum set of items to be addressed in protocols. The SPIRIT 2013 guidance has been
74 translated into seven languages and is widely endorsed by national funders, research
75 organizations, over 150 medical journals, and the World Association of Medical Editors.

76

77 In January 2020, the SPIRIT and CONSORT Executive Groups held a joint meeting in Oxford,
78 United Kingdom to discuss strategic planning. There was broad recognition of the need to
79 update both checklists to reflect the evolving trials environment and methodological
80 advancements, including the growing international support for improved research
81 transparency, accessibility, and reproducibility (collectively referred to as Open Science) (17)
82 as well as greater patient and public involvement in research.

83

84 As the SPIRIT 2013 and CONSORT 2010 Statements were conceptually linked with
85 overlapping content and implementation strategies, the two groups decided to merge into
86 the joint SPIRIT-CONSORT Executive Group and to update both checklists simultaneously.
87 The joint update was an opportunity to further align the checklists and provide consistent
88 guidance in the reporting of trial design, conduct, and analysis – from study conception to
89 the publication of results. Harmonizing the reporting recommendations could help improve
90 usability and adherence (18). Herein we introduce the updated SPIRIT 2025 Statement; the
91 CONSORT 2025 Statement is published separately (16).

92

93

94 **METHODS**

95 The methods have been detailed elsewhere (19, 20). In brief, we followed the EQUATOR
96 Network guidance for developers of health research guidelines (21). We first conducted a
97 scoping review of the literature from 2013-22 to identify published comments suggesting
98 modifications or reflecting on the strengths and challenges of SPIRIT 2013; these findings
99 have been published separately (22). We also conducted a broader search for empirical and
100 theoretical evidence published from 2013-24 that was relevant to SPIRIT and risk of bias in
101 randomized trials, producing the SPIRIT-CONSORT Evidence Bibliographic database (23). The
102 evidence identified in the literature was combined with recommendations provided by the
103 lead authors of key SPIRIT and CONSORT extensions (Harms (24), Outcomes (25),
104 Nonpharmacologic Treatment (26)), and the Template for Intervention Description and
105 Replication (TIDieR) (27), along with user feedback.

106

107 Based on the gathered evidence, a preliminary list of five potential additions to the SPIRIT
108 2013 checklist was created for review in an international three-round online Delphi survey.
109 A total of 317 participants were recruited through professional research networks, societies,
110 and the project website. Participants represented a broad range of roles in clinical trials,
111 including statisticians/methodologists/epidemiologists (N=198), trial investigators (N=73),
112 systematic reviewers/guideline developers (N=73), clinicians (N=58), journal editors (N=47),
113 and patients and members of the public (N=17) (numbers are not mutually exclusive).
114 During each survey round, participants rated the importance of modifications on a five-point
115 Likert scale and provided comments or suggestions for additional items. A high level of
116 agreement was defined by at least 80% of respondents rating the importance of a proposed
117 modification as high (score of 4 or 5) or low (score of 1 or 2).

118

119 The Delphi survey results were then discussed at a two-day online consensus meeting in
120 March 2023, attended by 30 invited international experts representing a range of relevant
121 groups. Meeting participants discussed potential new and modified SPIRIT checklist items,
122 with anonymous polling of participants in cases of ongoing disagreement.

123

124 The Executive Group met in-person in April 2023 to develop a draft checklist based on the
125 consensus meeting discussion. After a further round of review by consensus meeting
126 participants, the Executive Group finalized the SPIRIT 2025 Statement.

127

128 **UPDATED SPIRIT 2025 STATEMENT**

129 The SPIRIT 2025 Statement comprises a 34-item checklist of minimum protocol items (Table
130 1) and a diagram illustrating the schedule of enrollment, interventions, and assessments
131 (Figure 1). An accompanying SPIRIT 2025 Explanation and Elaboration document provides
132 background and context for each checklist item along with examples of good reporting (28).
133 We strongly recommend that the SPIRIT 2025 Explanation and Elaboration document be
134 used routinely alongside the SPIRIT 2025 Statement to facilitate better understanding of and
135 adherence to the checklist items.

136

137 To present the recommendations in diverse formats, we also developed an expanded
138 version of the SPIRIT 2025 checklist with bullet points of key issues to consider for each item
139 (Appendix 1), as done with other initiatives (29-31). The expanded checklist comprises an
140 abridged version of elements presented in the SPIRIT 2025 Explanation and Elaboration
141 document (28), with examples and references removed.

142

143 Main changes

144 Substantive changes made in this update are detailed in Box 1. We added two new checklist
145 items, revised the content of five items, deleted three items, merged two items, and
146 integrated key items from CONSORT Harms 2022 (24), SPIRIT-Outcomes 2022 (25), and
147 TIDieR (27) into the main checklist and explanatory document. We also restructured the
148 SPIRIT checklist and created a new Open Science section consolidating items critical to
149 promoting access to information about trial methods and results, including trial registration;
150 sharing of the full protocol, statistical analysis plan, and de-identified participant-level data;
151 and disclosure of funding sources and conflicts of interest. We have also harmonized the
152 wording between SPIRIT and CONSORT checklist items and clarified the wording of some
153 items. A comparison of the SPIRIT 2025 and 2013 checklists is available in Appendix 2.

154

155 Definition of a randomized trial protocol

156 The protocol is a central document that provides sufficient detail to enable a) understanding
157 of the rationale, objectives, population, interventions, methods, statistical analyses, ethical
158 considerations, dissemination plans, and administration of the trial; b) replication of trial
159 methods and conduct; and c) appraisal of trial validity, feasibility, and ethical rigor (14).

160

161 The full protocol must be submitted for approval by an REC/IRB before enrolling participants
162 (32). As a 'living' document that is often formally amended during the trial (13, 33), every
163 protocol version should contain a transparent audit trail documenting the dates and
164 descriptions of changes. Important protocol amendments should be reported to REC/IRBs

165 and trial registries as they occur, and subsequently described in reports of completed trials
166 (34).

167

168 **Scope of SPIRIT 2025**

169 SPIRIT 2025 addresses the minimum content of a protocol, focusing on the most common
170 type of randomized trial—the two-group parallel design. However, most of the SPIRIT items
171 are relevant to any type of trial. SPIRIT 2025 has been designed to complement and enhance
172 the expanding trial registration requirements mandated by legislation, journals, and funding
173 policies (35). SPIRIT 2025 encompasses and builds upon recommendations from the
174 International Council for Harmonization Good Clinical Practice E6(R2) guidance (36) and
175 2013 Declaration of Helsinki (32), including the latter’s requirement that the protocol
176 address potential conflicts of interest and provision of post-trial care.

177

178 It is feasible to address all SPIRIT 2025 checklist items in a single protocol document, as
179 illustrated by the exemplars we identified from existing protocols for every item (28). There
180 are often related documents (e.g., full statistical analysis plan (37), data management plan)
181 that provide further details on specific items. Any such documents should be referenced in
182 the protocol and made available for review.

183

184 The main purpose of SPIRIT 2025 is to promote transparency and an adequate description of
185 what is planned – not to prescribe how a trial should be designed or conducted. The
186 checklist also does not focus on the protocol format, which is often subject to local
187 regulations or practice. The checklist should not be used to appraise the quality of trial
188 design or conduct, as it is possible for the protocol of a poorly-designed trial to address all

189 checklist items by fully describing its inadequate design and conduct features. Recent
190 guidance from the World Health Organization outlines best practices for designing and
191 conducting trials (38).

192

193 **Implementation**

194 The SPIRIT 2025 Statement supersedes the SPIRIT 2013 Statement, which should no longer
195 be used. We encourage research organizations, sponsors, funders, REC/IRBs, journal editors
196 and publishers to endorse SPIRIT 2025 and request that they update their resources and
197 instructions to investigators and reviewers with reference to the updated guidance.

198

199 When protocols are submitted for review or publication, we recommend the submission of
200 a completed SPIRIT 2025 checklist that indicates where (e.g., page number) checklist items
201 are reported in the protocol. Trial investigators and sponsors should address all SPIRIT 2025
202 checklist items in the protocol prior to REC/IRB submission. If an item is not relevant for a
203 particular trial (e.g., no interim analysis planned), then this should be explicitly stated along
204 with an explanation. We encourage investigators to ensure consistency of information in the
205 protocol, related documents (e.g., full statistical analysis plan) (37), and trial registry record
206 (39).

207 To facilitate implementation, a new SPIRIT-CONSORT website (consort-spirit.org) provides
208 resources based on the SPIRIT and CONSORT 2025 Statements, including a fillable checklist,
209 protocol writing tools, and training materials for researchers, trainees, journal editors, peer
210 reviewers, patients, and the public.

211

212

213 **Limitations**

214 As a minimum standard focused on parallel group randomized trials, SPIRIT 2025 may not
215 encompass every protocol item relevant for a particular trial. For example, a factorial trial
216 design has additional analytic considerations related to potential statistical interactions (40),
217 and trials evaluating patient-reported outcomes have specific considerations regarding data
218 collection methods (41). Extensions to SPIRIT 2013 were developed to provide additional
219 guidance on reporting different types of trial designs, data, and interventions (25, 34, 40-
220 47).

221

222 **Potential impact**

223 The updated SPIRIT 2025 Statement and its accompanying Explanation and Elaboration
224 document can be helpful in several ways. SPIRIT 2025 will continue to serve as an
225 educational resource for new investigators, trainees, peer reviewers, and REC/IRB members.
226 The explicit incorporation of an Open Science section in the SPIRIT checklist will support the
227 growing global push for greater transparency and sharing of trial materials and outputs to
228 facilitate evidence synthesis and reproducibility of research.

229

230 Trial investigators can consult the guidance when drafting their protocols to ensure that all
231 elements are addressed. Meta-research reviews of published protocols have found
232 improved completeness of protocol content after SPIRIT 2013 was introduced (48, 49). In
233 addition to improved reporting, adherence to SPIRIT 2025 may promote high-quality trial
234 design and implementation because SPIRIT is used during the planning stage of a trial. This
235 provides an opportunity to improve the validity and successful completion of trials by

236 reminding investigators about important issues to consider before the study begins. Better
237 protocols can also help study personnel to implement the trial consistently across sites.

238

239 Another potential benefit of SPIRIT 2025 is its impact on administrative burden. Improved
240 completeness of protocols may improve the efficiency of external review by reducing
241 avoidable queries to investigators about incomplete or unclear protocol-related information
242 (50, 51). High-quality protocols addressing all SPIRIT items may also help to reduce the
243 number and burden of protocol amendments during the trial—many of which can be
244 avoided with careful consideration of key issues when developing the protocol (13, 33).

245 Widespread adoption of SPIRIT 2025 as a common standard across REC/IRBs, funding
246 agencies, regulatory agencies, and journals could simplify the work of trial investigators and
247 sponsors because they would fulfill the harmonized application requirements of multiple
248 groups with a SPIRIT-based protocol.

249

250 Further, adherence to SPIRIT 2025 may help ensure that protocols contain the requisite
251 information for critical appraisal and trial interpretation by peer reviewers, funders,
252 REC/IRBs, and journals (7). High-quality protocols provide important information about trial
253 methods and conduct that is usually not available in trial registries or publications reporting
254 completed trials. As a transparent record of the investigators' original intent, comparison of
255 protocols with reports of completed trials helps to identify selective reporting of results and
256 undisclosed amendments, such as changes to primary outcomes or analyses (52, 53). These
257 benefits of SPIRIT-based protocols can only be fully realized when trial protocols are
258 routinely made publicly available through trial registries (e.g., pdf upload), journals, and
259 online repositories (7, 54, 55).

260

261 The SPIRIT 2025 Statement incorporates new evidence and emerging perspectives to ensure
 262 that the guidance remains relevant to users. Widespread endorsement and adoption of the
 263 updated recommendations have the potential to improve protocol content and
 264 implementation; facilitate registration, oversight, and appraisal of trials; and ultimately
 265 enhance transparency and translation to better health care.

266

267 **SPIRIT-CONSORT Executive Group**

268 Isabelle Boutron, Université Paris Cité, France; An-Wen Chan, University of Toronto, Canada;
 269 Sally Hopewell, University of Oxford, UK; Asbjørn Hróbjartsson, University of Southern
 270 Denmark, Odense, Denmark; David Moher, University of Ottawa, Canada; Kenneth Schulz,
 271 University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA.

272 *Gary Collins and Ruth Tunn, University of Oxford, were also involved in leading the SPIRIT
 273 and CONSORT 2025 updates.

274

275 **SPIRIT-CONSORT 2025 Consensus Meeting Participants**

276 Rakesh Aggarwal, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research,
 277 India; Michael Berkwits, JAMA and the JAMA Network, USA; Jesse A Berlin, Rutgers
 278 University/JAMA Network Open USA; Nita Bhandari, Society for Applied Studies, India;
 279 Nancy J Butcher, The Hospital for Sick Children, Canada; Marion K Campbell, University of
 280 Aberdeen, UK; Runcie CW Chidebe, Project PINK BLUE, Nigeria/Miami University, Ohio, USA;
 281 Diana Elbourne, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK; Andrew J Farmer,
 282 University of Oxford, UK; Dean A Fergusson, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Canada;
 283 Robert M Golub, Northwestern University, USA; Steven N Goodman, Stanford University,
 284 USA; Tammy C Hoffmann, Bond University, Australia; John PA Ioannidis, Stanford University,
 285 USA; Brennan C Kahan, University College London, UK; Rachel L Knowles, University College
 286 London, UK; Sarah E Lamb, University of Exeter, UK; Steff Lewis, University of Edinburgh, UK;
 287 Elizabeth Loder, The BMJ, UK; Martin Offringa, Hospital for Sick Children Research Institute,
 288 Canada; Dawn P Richards, Clinical Trials Ontario, Canada; Frank W Rockhold, Duke
 289 University, USA; David L Schriger, University of California, USA; Nandi L Siegfried, South
 290 African Medical Research Council, South Africa; Sophie Staniszewska, University of Warwick,
 291 UK; Rod S Taylor, University of Glasgow, UK; Lehana Thabane, McMaster University/St.
 292 Joseph's Healthcare, Canada; David Torgerson, University of York, UK; Sunita Vohra,
 293 University of Alberta, Canada; and Ian R White, University College London, UK.

294

295 **Acknowledgements:** We dedicate SPIRIT 2025 to the late Professor Doug Altman who was
 296 instrumental in the development of the SPIRIT and CONSORT Statements and whose files
 297 and correspondence contributed to this update following his death. We gratefully
 298 acknowledge the contributions of all those who participated in the SPIRIT-CONSORT 2025
 299 update Delphi survey. We also acknowledge Camilla Hansen Nejstgaard for conducting the
 300 scoping review to identify suggested changes to SPIRIT 2013 and for comparing SPIRIT 2025

301 with RoB2, Lasse Østengaard for developing the SCEPT (SPIRIT-CONSORT Evidence
302 Bibliographic) database of empirical evidence to support the development of SPIRIT 2025
303 and for assisting with handling references, Lina Ghosn for supporting identifying examples of
304 reporting and drafting the expanded checklist, and Jen de Bayer and Patricia Logullo for
305 their involvement during the consensus meeting.
306

307 **Ethics approval:** Ethics approval was granted by the Central University Research Ethics
308 Committee, University of Oxford (R76421/RE001). All Delphi participants provided informed
309 consent to participate.
310

311 **Funding:** The 2025 update of SPIRIT and CONSORT was funded by a Medical Research
312 Council - National Institute for Health and Care Research: Better Methods, Better Research
313 grant [MR/W020483/1]. The funder reviewed the design of the study but had no role in the
314 collection, analysis or interpretation of the data; in the writing of the report; or in the
315 decision to submit the article for publication. The views expressed are those of the authors
316 and not necessarily those of the NIHR, the MRC, or the Department of Health and Social
317 Care.
318

319 **Competing interests:** S.H, I.B, A-W.C, A.H, K.F.S and D.M are members of the SPIRIT-
320 CONSORT Executive Group. S.H, I.B, A-W.C, A.H, K.F.S, G.S.C, D.M, M.K.C, N.J.B, M.O, R.S.T,
321 S.V are involved in the development, update, implementation, and dissemination of several
322 reporting guidelines. G.S.C is the director of the UK EQUATOR Centre and statistics editor for
323 BMJ, D.M is the director of the Canadian EQUATOR Centre, I.B. is deputy director and P.R is
324 director of the French EQUATOR Centre, T.C.H is director of the Australasian EQUATOR
325 Centre, J.P.A.I is director of the US EQUATOR Centre. R.A is president of the World
326 Association of Medical Editors. M.K.C is chair of the MRC-NIHR: Better Methods Better
327 Research funding panel. R.C.W.C is executive director of Project PINK-BLUE which receives
328 funding from Roche-Product Ltd. A.F is director of the UK National Institute for Health and
329 Care Research Health Technology Assessment Programme. D.P.R is a full-time employee of
330 Five02 Labs, Inc., which under contract to Clinical Trials Ontario provides services related to
331 patient and public engagement; and is the volunteer Vice President of the Canadian Arthritis
332 Patient Alliance, which receives funding through independent grants from pharmaceutical
333 companies. D.L.S is JAMA Associate Editor and receives editing stipends from JAMA and
334 Annals of Emergency Medicine.
335

336 **Authors' contributions:** A-W.C, I.B, S.H, A.H, D.M, and K.F.S were responsible for the
337 concept; S.H, I.B, A-W.C, G.S.C, A.H, K.F.S and D.M were responsible for the funding. A-W.C,
338 I.B, S.H, A.H, G.S.C, D.M, and K.F.S drafted specific sections of the manuscript. All authors
339 then critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the
340 final version.
341

342 **Patient and public involvement:** The SPIRIT 2025 checklist items and the explanations here
343 were developed using input from an international Delphi survey and consensus meeting.
344 The Delphi survey was advertised via established patient and public involvement (PPI)
345 networks, and 17 respondents self-identified as a "patient or public representative" and
346 completed the Delphi survey. In addition, three participants in the expert consensus
347 meeting were patient or public representatives who were leaders in advancing PPI. SPIRIT

348 2025 will be disseminated via a new website, consort-spirit.org, which will include materials
349 designed for patients and the public.

350 **Table 1: SPIRIT 2025 checklist of items to address in a randomized trial protocol**

SPIRIT 2025		
Section / Topic	No	SPIRIT 2025 checklist item description
Administrative information		
Title and structured summary	1a	Title stating the trial design, population, and interventions, with identification as a protocol
	1b	Structured summary of trial design and methods, including items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set
Protocol version	2	Version date and identifier
Roles and responsibilities	3a	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors
	3b	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor
	3c	Role of trial sponsor and funders in design, conduct, analysis, and reporting of trial; including any authority over these activities
	3d	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating site, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable
Open science		
Trial registration	4	Name of trial registry, identifying number (with URL), and date of registration. If not yet registered, name of intended registry
Protocol and statistical analysis plan	5	Where the trial protocol and statistical analysis plan can be accessed
Data sharing	6	Where and how the individual de-identified participant data (including data dictionary), statistical code, and any other materials will be accessible
Funding and conflicts of interest	7a	Sources of funding and other support (e.g., supply of drugs)
	7b	Financial and other conflicts of interest for principal investigators and steering committee members
Dissemination policy	8	Plans to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (e.g., reporting in trial registry, plain language summary, publication)
Introduction		
Background and rationale	9a	Scientific background and rationale, including summary of relevant studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention
	9b	Explanation for choice of comparator
Objectives	10	Specific objectives related to benefits and harms
Methods: Patient and public involvement, trial design		
Patient and public involvement	11	Details of, or plans for, patient or public involvement in the design, conduct, and reporting of the trial

Trial design	12	Description of trial design including type of trial (e.g., parallel group, crossover), allocation ratio, and framework (e.g., superiority, equivalence, non-inferiority, exploratory)
Methods: Participants, interventions, and outcomes		
Trial setting	13	Settings (e.g., community, hospital) and locations (e.g., countries, sites) where the trial will be conducted
Eligibility criteria	14a	Eligibility criteria for participants
	14b	If applicable, eligibility criteria for sites and for individuals who will deliver the interventions (e.g., surgeons, physiotherapists)
Intervention and comparator	15a	Intervention and comparator with sufficient details to allow replication including how, when, and by whom they will be administered. If relevant, where additional materials describing the intervention and comparator (e.g., intervention manual) can be accessed
	15b	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated intervention/comparator for a trial participant (e.g., drug dose change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening disease)
	15c	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention/comparator protocols, if applicable, and any procedures for monitoring adherence (e.g., drug tablet return, sessions attended)
	15d	Concomitant care that is permitted or prohibited during the trial
Outcomes	16	Primary and secondary outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (e.g., systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (e.g., change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (e.g., median, proportion), and time point for each outcome
Harms	17	How harms are defined and will be assessed (e.g., systematically, non-systematically)
Participant timeline	18	Time schedule of enrollment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure)
Sample size	19	How sample size was determined, including all assumptions supporting the sample size calculation
Recruitment	20	Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrollment to reach target sample size
Methods: Assignment of interventions		
Randomization:		
Sequence generation	21a	Who will generate the random allocation sequence and the method used
	21b	Type of randomization (simple or restricted) and details of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, other details of any planned restriction (e.g., blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enroll participants or assign interventions
Allocation concealment mechanism	22	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (e.g., central computer/telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed containers), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned
Implementation	23	Whether the personnel who will enroll and those who will assign participants to the interventions will have access to the

		random allocation sequence
Blinding	24a	Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (e.g., participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts)
	24b	If blinded, how blinding will be achieved and description of the similarity of interventions
	24c	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial
Methods: Data collection, management, and analysis		
Data collection methods	25a	Plans for assessment and collection of trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (e.g., duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of trial instruments (e.g., questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be accessed, if not in the protocol
	25b	Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols
Data management	26	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (e.g., double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be accessed, if not in the protocol
Statistical methods	27a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes, including harms
	27b	Definition of who will be included in each analysis (e.g., all randomized participants), and in which group
	27c	How missing data will be handled in the analysis
	27d	Methods for any additional analyses (e.g., subgroup and sensitivity analyses)
Methods: Monitoring		
Data monitoring committee	28a	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of whether it is independent from the sponsor and funder; conflicts of interest and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed
	28b	Explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial
Trial monitoring	29	Frequency and procedures for monitoring trial conduct. If there is no monitoring, give explanation
Ethics		
Research ethics approval	30	Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board approval
Protocol amendments	31	Plans for communicating important protocol modifications to relevant parties
Consent or assent	32a	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorized proxies, and how
	32b	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable
Confidentiality	33	How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to

		protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial
Ancillary and post-trial care	34	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation

351 **Box 1: Summary of main changes in SPIRIT 2025**

352

353 Addition of new checklist items:

- 354 ● **item 11:** added item on how patients and the public are involved in the design,
355 conduct, and reporting of the trial
- 356 ● **item 29:** added item on trial monitoring (replaces prior item on auditing)

357

358 Revised content of checklist items

- 359 ● **item 4:** revised item to include date of trial registration
- 360 ● **item 5:** revised item to include where the statistical analysis plan can be accessed in
361 addition to the trial protocol (previously covered under item on statistical methods)
- 362 ● **item 7b:** revised item to include financial and other conflicts of interest of steering
363 committee members
- 364 ● **item 24a/24b:** split item into separate sub-items covering (a) who will be blinded
365 and (b) how, and revised to include description of the similarity of interventions
- 366 ● **item 27d:** revised to refer to sensitivity analyses

367

368 Deletion/merger of checklist items

- 369 ● Deleted item on auditing (replaced with trial monitoring)
- 370 ● Deleted Appendix items:
 - 371 ○ Informed consent materials
 - 372 ○ Biological specimens
- 373 ● Merged item on access to data for trial investigators with item on data management
374 (**item 26**)
- 375 ● Merged item on authorship eligibility guidelines and use of professional writers with
376 item on dissemination policy (**item 8**)

377

378 Integration of checklist items from CONSORT Harms 2022 and TIDieR

- 379 ● Revised items to emphasize reporting of harms (**items 10, 17, 27a**) (22) and to call
380 for additional details relating to interventions and comparators (**item 15a**) (25)

381

382 Structure and organization of checklist items

- 383 ● Created a new section on Open Science that includes trial registration (**item 4**),
384 access to the trial protocol and statistical analysis plan (**item 5**), plans for sharing de-
385 identified participant level data (**item 6**), funding and conflicts of interest (**item 7**),
386 and plans for dissemination of trial results (**item 8**)
- 387 ● **Item 14a/b:** split item into separate sub-items covering eligibility criteria for (a)
388 participants and (b) sites and personnel
- 389 ● **Item 27b/27c:** split item into separate sub-items covering the analysis population
390 and methods for handling missing data
- 391 ● Aligned wording of SPIRIT checklist items with that of CONSORT checklist items, and
392 vice versa
- 393 ● Clarified and simplified wording of some items

394 **References**

395

- 396 1. Altman DG. Better reporting of randomised controlled trials: the CONSORT
397 statement. *BMJ*. 1996;313(7057):570-1.
- 398 2. Strengthening the credibility of clinical research. *Lancet*. 2010;375(9722):1225.
- 399 3. Jones G, Abbasi K. Trial protocols at the BMJ. *BMJ*. 2004;329(7479):1360.
- 400 4. Li T, Boutron I, Salman RA-S, Cobo E, Flemyng E, Grimshaw JM, et al. Review and
401 publication of protocol submissions to *Trials* – what have we learned in 10 years? *Trials*.
402 2016;18(1):34.
- 403 5. Krleza-Jeric K, Chan A-W, Dickersin K, Sim I, Grimshaw J, Gluud C, et al.
404 Principles for international registration of protocol information and results from human trials
405 of health related interventions: Ottawa statement (part 1). *BMJ*. 2005;330(7497):956-8.
- 406 6. Lassere M, Johnson K. The power of the protocol. *Lancet*. 2002;360(9346):1620-2.
- 407 7. Chan A-W, Hróbjartsson A. Promoting public access to clinical trial protocols:
408 challenges and recommendations. *Trials*. 2018;19(1):116.
- 409 8. Zarin DA, Fain KM, Dobbins HD, Tse T, Williams RJ. 10-year update on study
410 results submitted to *ClinicalTrials.gov*. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(20):1966-74.
- 411 9. Public Citizen Health Research Group v. Food and Drug Administration, 964 F Supp.
412 413 (DDC 1997).
- 413 10. Gryaznov D, von Niederhäusern B, Speich B, Kasenda B, Ojeda-Ruiz E, Blümle A, et
414 al. Reporting quality of clinical trial protocols: a repeated cross-sectional study about the
415 Adherence to SPIrit Recommendations in Switzerland, CANada and GERmany (ASPIRE-
416 SCAGE). *BMJ Open*. 2022;12(5):e053417.
- 417 11. Speich B, Odutayo A, Peckham N, Ooms A, Stokes JR, Saccilotto R, et al. A
418 longitudinal assessment of trial protocols approved by research ethics committees: The
419 Adherence to SPIrit REcommendations in the UK (ASPIRE-UK) study. *Trials*.
420 2022;23(1):601.
- 421 12. Kasenda B, von Elm E, You JJ, Blümle A, Tomonaga Y, Saccilotto R, et al.
422 Agreements between industry and academia on publication rights: a retrospective study of
423 protocols and publications of randomized clinical trials. *PLOS Medicine*.
424 2016;13(6):e1002046.
- 425 13. Getz K, Smith Z, Botto E, Murphy E, Dauchy A. New benchmarks on protocol
426 amendment practices, trends and their impact on clinical trial performance. *Ther Innov Regul*
427 *Sci*. 2024;58(3):539-48.
- 428 14. Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis A, Gøtzsche PC, Krleža-Jerić K, et al.
429 SPIRIT 2013 Statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. *Ann Intern Med*.
430 2013;158(3):200-7.
- 431 15. Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin JA, et al. SPIRIT
432 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols of clinical trials. *BMJ*.
433 2013;346:e7586.
- 434 16. Hopewell S, Chan A-W, Collins GS, Hróbjartsson A, Moher D, Schulz KF, et al.
435 CONSORT 2025 Statement: updated guideline for reporting randomised trials (submitted).
436 2025.
- 437 17. United Nations Educational Scientific Cultural Organization. UNESCO
438 Recommendation on Open Science, 2021, Accessed at
439 <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379949> on September 09, 2024.
- 440 18. Hopewell S, Boutron I, Chan A-W, Collins GS, de Beyer JA, Hróbjartsson A, et al.
441 An update to SPIRIT and CONSORT reporting guidelines to enhance transparency in
442 randomized trials. *Nature Medicine*. 2022;28(9):1740-3.
- 443 19. Hopewell S, Chan A-W, Boutron I, Hróbjartsson A, Collins GS, Tunn R, et al.
444 Protocol for updating the SPIRIT 2013 (Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for

- 445 Interventional Trials) and CONSORT 2010 (CONsolidated Standards Of Reporting Trials)
446 Statements (version 1.0, August 2022). Available at:
447 <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/6HJYG>.
- 448 20. Tunn R, Boutron I, Chan A-W, Collins GS, Hrobjartsson A, Moher D, et al. Methods
449 used to develop the SPIRIT 2024 and CONSORT 2024 Statements. *J Clin Epidemiol*.
450 2024;169:111309.
- 451 21. Moher D, Schulz KF, Simera I, Altman DG. Guidance for developers of health
452 research reporting guidelines. *PLOS Medicine*. 2010;7(2):e1000217.
- 453 22. Nejstgaard CH, Boutron I, Chan A-W, Chow R, Hopewell S, Masalkhi M, et al. A
454 scoping review identifies multiple comments suggesting modifications to SPIRIT 2013 and
455 CONSORT 2010. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2023;155:48-63.
- 456 23. Østengaard L, Barrientos A, Boutron I, Chan A-W, Collins G, Hopewell S, et al.
457 Development of a topic-specific bibliographic database supporting the updates of SPIRIT
458 2013 and CONSORT 2010. *Cochrane Evidence Synthesis and Methods*. 2024;2(5):e12057.
- 459 24. Junqueira DR, Zorzela L, Golder S, Loke Y, Gagnier JJ, Julious SA, et al. CONSORT
460 Harms 2022 statement, explanation, and elaboration: updated guideline for the reporting of
461 harms in randomized trials. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2023;158:149-65.
- 462 25. Butcher NJ, Monsour A, Mew EJ, Chan A-W, Moher D, Mayo-Wilson E, et al.
463 Guidelines for reporting outcomes in trial protocols: The SPIRIT-Outcomes 2022 Extension.
464 *JAMA*. 2022;328(23):2345-56.
- 465 26. Boutron I, Altman DG, Moher D, Schulz KF, Ravaud P. CONSORT Statement for
466 randomized trials of nonpharmacologic treatments: A 2017 update and a CONSORT
467 extension for nonpharmacologic trial abstracts. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017;167(1):40-7.
- 468 27. Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, Milne R, Perera R, Moher D, et al. Better
469 reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR)
470 checklist and guide. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g1687.
- 471 28. Hróbjartsson A, Boutron I, Collins GS, Hopewell S, Moher D, Schulz KF, et al.
472 SPIRIT 2025 Explanation and Elaboration: updated guideline for protocols of randomised
473 trials (submitted). 2025.
- 474 29. Barnes C, Boutron I, Giraudeau B, Porcher R, Altman DG, Ravaud P. Impact of an
475 online writing aid tool for writing a randomized trial report: the COBWEB (Consort-based
476 WEB tool) randomized controlled trial. *BMC Med*. 2015;13:221.
- 477 30. Chauvin A, Ravaud P, Moher D, Schriger D, Hopewell S, Shanahan D, et al.
478 Accuracy in detecting inadequate research reporting by early career peer reviewers using an
479 online CONSORT-based peer-review tool (COBPeer) versus the usual peer-review process: a
480 cross-sectional diagnostic study. *BMC Med*. 2019;17(1):205.
- 481 31. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al.
482 The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Syst
483 Rev*. 2021;10(1):89.
- 484 32. World Medical Association. WMA Declaration of Helsinki - Ethical principles for
485 medical research involving human subjects. October 2013. Accessed at
486 [https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-
487 medical-research-involving-human-subjects/](https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/) on May 31, 2024.
- 488 33. Getz KA, Stergiopoulos S, Short M, Surgeon L, Krauss R, Pretorius S, et al. The
489 impact of protocol amendments on clinical trial performance and cost. *Ther Innov Regul Sci*.
490 2016;50(4):436-41.
- 491 34. Orkin AM, Gill PJ, Ghera D, Campbell L, Sugarman J, Emsley R, et al. Guidelines
492 for reporting trial protocols and completed trials modified due to the COVID-19 pandemic
493 and other extenuating circumstances: The CONSERVE 2021 Statement. *JAMA*.
494 2021;326(3):257-65.

- 495 35. Chan A-W, Karam G, Pymiento J, Askie LM, da Silva LR, Aymé S, et al. Reporting
496 summary results in clinical trial registries: updated guidance from the World Health
497 Organization. *Lancet Global Health* 2025 (in press).
- 498 36. International Council for Harmonisation (ICH). Harmonised guideline: Integrated
499 addendum to ICH E6(R1): Guideline for good clinical practice, E6(R2). International council
500 for harmonisation of technical requirements for pharmaceuticals for human Use; 9 November
501 2016; Accessed at <https://www.ich.org/page/efficacy-guidelines> on May 31, 2024.
- 502 37. Gamble C, Krishan A, Stocken D, Lewis S, Juszcak E, Doré C, et al. Guidelines for
503 the content of statistical analysis plans in clinical trials. *JAMA*. 2017;318(23):2337-43.
- 504 38. World Health Organization. Guidance for best practices for clinical trials. 2024.
505 Accessed at <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240097711> on September 09, 2024.
- 506 39. Chan A-W, Pello A, Kitchen J, Axentiev A, Virtanen JI, Liu A, et al. Association of
507 trial registration with reporting of primary outcomes in protocols and publications. *JAMA*.
508 2017;318(17):1709-11.
- 509 40. Kahan BC, Hall SS, Beller EM, Birchenall M, Elbourne D, Juszcak E, et al.
510 Consensus statement for protocols of factorial randomized trials: extension of the SPIRIT
511 2013 Statement. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023;6(12):e2346121.
- 512 41. Calvert M, Kyte D, Mercieca-Bebber R, Slade A, Chan A-W, King MT, et al.
513 Guidelines for inclusion of patient-reported outcomes in clinical trial protocols: The SPIRIT-
514 PRO Extension. *JAMA*. 2018;319(5):483-94.
- 515 42. Porcino AJ, Shamseer L, Chan A-W, Kravitz RL, Orkin A, Punja S, et al. SPIRIT
516 extension and elaboration for n-of-1 trials: SPENT 2019 checklist. *BMJ*. 2020;368:m122.
- 517 43. Yap C, Rekowski J, Ursino M, Solovyeva O, Patel D, Dimairo M, et al. Enhancing
518 quality and impact of early phase dose-finding clinical trial protocols: SPIRIT Dose-finding
519 Extension (SPIRIT-DEFINE) guidance. *BMJ*. 2023;383:e076386.
- 520 44. Kendall TJ, Robinson M, Brierley DJ, Lim SJ, O'Connor DJ, Shaaban AM, et al.
521 Guidelines for cellular and molecular pathology content in clinical trial protocols: the
522 SPIRIT-Path extension. *Lancet Oncol*. 2021;22(10):e435-e45.
- 523 45. Cruz Rivera S, Liu X, Chan A-W, Denniston AK, Calvert MJ. Guidelines for clinical
524 trial protocols for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the SPIRIT-AI extension. *Nat*
525 *Med*. 2020;26(9):1351-63.
- 526 46. Dai L, Cheng CW, Tian R, Zhong LL, Li YP, Lyu AP, et al. Standard Protocol Items
527 for Clinical Trials with Traditional Chinese Medicine 2018: recommendations, explanation
528 and elaboration (SPIRIT-TCM Extension 2018). *Chin J Integr Med*. 2019;25(1):71-9.
- 529 47. Manyara AM, Davies P, Stewart D, Weir CJ, Young AE, Blazeby J, et al. Reporting
530 of surrogate endpoints in randomised controlled trial protocols (SPIRIT-Surrogate): extension
531 checklist with explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. 2024;386:e078525.
- 532 48. Blanco D, Donadio MVF, Cadellans-Arroniz A. Enhancing reporting through
533 structure: a before and after study on the effectiveness of SPIRIT-based templates to improve
534 the completeness of reporting of randomized controlled trial protocols. *Res Integr Peer Rev*.
535 2024;9(1):6.
- 536 49. Tan ZW, Tan AC, Li T, Harris I, Naylor JM, Siebelt M, et al. Has the reporting
537 quality of published randomised controlled trial protocols improved since the SPIRIT
538 statement? A methodological study. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(8):e038283.
- 539 50. Happonen SM, Halkoaho A, Lehto SM, Keränen T. The effect of study type on research
540 ethics committees' queries in medical studies. *Research Ethics*. 2017;13(3-4):115-27.
- 541 51. Russ H, Busta S, Jost B, Bethke TD. Evaluation of clinical trials by Ethics
542 Committees in Germany--results and a comparison of two surveys performed among
543 members of the German Association of Research-Based Pharmaceutical Companies (vfa).
544 *Ger Med Sci*. 2015;13:Doc02.

- 545 52. TARG Meta-Research Group Collaborators. Estimating the prevalence of
546 discrepancies between study registrations and publications: a systematic review and meta-
547 analyses. *BMJ Open*. 2023;13(10):e076264.
- 548 53. Chan A-W, Hrobjartsson A, Haahr MT, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG. Empirical
549 evidence for selective reporting of outcomes in randomized trials: comparison of protocols to
550 published articles. *JAMA*. 2004;291(20):2457-65.
- 551 54. Schönenberger CM, Griessbach A, Taji Heravi A, Gryaznov D, Gloy VL, Lohner S,
552 et al. A meta-research study of randomized controlled trials found infrequent and delayed
553 availability of protocols. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2022;149:45-52.
- 554 55. Spence O, Hong K, Onwuchekwa Uba R, Doshi P. Availability of study protocols for
555 randomized trials published in high-impact medical journals: A cross-sectional analysis. *Clin*
556 *Trials*. 2020;17(1):99-105.
557