

Do the right thing: neural network mechanisms of memory formation, expression and update in *Drosophila*

Paola Cognigni, Johannes Felsenberg and Scott Waddell



When animals learn, plasticity in brain networks that respond to specific cues results in a change in the behavior that these cues elicit. Individual network components in the mushroom bodies of the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* represent cues, learning signals and behavioral outcomes of learned experience. Recent findings have highlighted the importance of dopamine-driven plasticity and activity in feedback and feedforward connections, between various elements of the mushroom body neural network. These computational motifs have been shown to be crucial for long term olfactory memory consolidation, integration of internal states, re-evaluation and updating of learned information. The often recurrent circuit anatomy and a prolonged requirement for activity in parts of these underlying networks, suggest that self-sustained and precisely timed activity is a fundamental feature of network computations in the insect brain. Together these processes allow flies to continuously adjust the content of their learned knowledge and direct their behavior in a way that best represents learned expectations and serves their most pressing current needs.

Address

Centre for Neural Circuit and Behaviour, University of Oxford, Tinsley Building, Mansfield Road, Oxford, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: Waddell, Scott (scott.waddell@cncb.ox.ac.uk)

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2018, 49:51–58

This review comes from a themed issue on **Neurobiology of behavior**

Edited by **Uchida** and **Tyeltom**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2017.12.002>

0959-4388/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

If an experience of a pleasant or disagreeable nature (a feature known as positive or negative valence) occurs in coincidence with an otherwise neutral stimulus, such as an odor plume, it may be that this stimulus is learned as a cue, a predictor, for the desirable or unwelcome outcome. In associative learning, the cue is associated with the valence of its predicted aftermath, so that the previously neutral stimulus is now approached or avoided. This linking requires that some component of the brain's structure or activity is changed by the learning


experience: identifying the nature of this plasticity and how it yields the changes in behavior that arise after training is a core goal of neuroscience.

Drosophila has been used as a model for associative learning for nearly half a century [1]: from the anatomical structures [2], to the cell types [3,4] and their precise connectivity [5^{**},6,7^{**}], the physical substrates of memory in flies are being elucidated to finer and finer detail [8,9^{**},10^{**},11,12^{**},13–15]. Anatomy and function have been linked by experiments in which specific neurons are targeted genetically and their firing activity or synaptic release artificially altered, using temperature or light to control the timing of intervention. Within an associative learning paradigm, a neuron can therefore be prevented from functioning: if learning is disrupted, that neuron is likely to play a role. Conversely, if the artificial activation of the neuron can replace some component of the training paradigm, its function in the network is likely to represent that particular component [16–24]. In parallel with these loss-of-function and gain-of-function experiments, the activity of neurons can be directly monitored using fluorescent calcium indicators. Identifying changes brought about by learning (memory traces) can then point to the location of memory-induced plasticity.

Mushroom body cell types represent the components of learning experiences

A model arises from these experimental approaches [25,26]: olfactory cues to be learned are represented by activity within sparse subpopulations of the overall array of cholinergic Kenyon cells (KCs) – 2000 cells per hemisphere forming the neuropil of the mushroom body [27–29]. Their downstream partners, output neurons (MBONs), which belong to various anatomically distinct classes using acetylcholine, glutamate or GABA (γ -aminobutyric acid) as neurotransmitter, project to the rest of the brain and promote either cue driven approach or repulsion [10^{**},12^{**},26,30] (Figure 1). During learning, dopamine is released to induce plasticity at the synapse between odor-activated Kenyon cells and output neurons [10^{**},12^{**},31,32^{*},33^{**}]. The presynaptic terminals of different subsets of dopaminergic neurons (DANs), encoding positive or negative valence, occupy distinct, non-overlapping compartments of the mushroom body neuropil which are precisely matched by the dendritic fields of discrete MBONs (Figure 1) [16,18,19,22,23,34^{**},35,36]. Dopamine released from particular DANs alters the efficacy of specific KC-MBON connections, which imposes a skew in the overall drive of the output network

Figure 1



The mushroom body is the center for associative learning. **(a)** Sensory cues are represented as activity in sparse populations of cholinergic Kenyon cells (KCs, grey). KCs send their neurites into the lobes of the mushroom body (light grey background), where they make *en passant* synapses with the output neurons (MBONs). Mushroom body-innervating dopaminergic neurons (DANs) provide the reinforcement signal during aversive and appetitive associative learning. **(b)** KCs are organized into three subtypes which make up the lobes of the mushroom body neuropil (individual representative KCs shown in dark grey). **(c)** The presynaptic fields of the different DAN classes tile the mushroom body into non-overlapping compartments. **(d)** The dendritic tufts of distinct MBONs match the compartmentalization of the DAN terminals. Aversively reinforcing DANs in the paired posterior lateral 1 (PPL1) cluster (red) overlap with approach-promoting MBONs (blue), while DANs of the protocerebral anterior medial (PAM) cluster, which are largely appetitively reinforcing (green), overlap with avoidance-promoting MBONs (orange). The transmitters used by each class of neuron is noted: ACh, acetylcholine; DA, dopamine; GABA, γ -aminobutyric acid; Glu, glutamate.


and so tips the balance of behavior towards approach or avoidance [26] (Figure 2). This simple model displays a satisfying symmetry with the elements of associative learning and could explain, minimally, how to produce experience-dependent changes in behavior; but, as is often the case, recent experimental evidence suggests that the reality is more complex.

Memory consolidation requires ongoing activity

In the minimal model of associative learning (Figure 2), all activity is confined to the time of the learning

experience, and plasticity occurs during training. It was therefore surprising that, in the characterization of the *amnesiac* memory-defective mutant [4,37], the dorsal paired medial (DPM) neurons were identified to be required not during, but after training for memory to persist [38,39]. This work provided the first neural network insight into why the stabilization of memory into a persistent form, or consolidation of memory, requires activity that extends beyond the duration of the learning experience [40,41]. For processing to persist after the initial stimulus-driven phase, self-sustained, reverberant activity may come to dominate the network [42,43].

Figure 2



Dopamine drives plasticity at KC output synapses to alter behavior upon learning. During training (top), cue-driven KC activation (dark grey) coincides with the activity of either aversive (red, left) or appetitive (green, right) DANs and induces specific synaptic plasticity at the KC to MBON synapse (shaded green/red semicircle). Upon memory retrieval (bottom), the cue-driven response of the MBON network is skewed because of the synaptic modification imposed by learning (smaller semicircle): to avoidance behavior by the reduced drive of approach-promoting MBONs in the case of aversive learning (left), and towards approach behavior as a consequence of the reduced drive of avoidance-promoting MBONs in appetitive learning (right).

During this period, interference such as anesthesia by cold shock (which halts neural activity) or the inhibition of protein synthesis disrupt the consolidation process and lead to the apparent loss of memory [41,44–46]. Once consolidation is complete, intervention no longer affects memory [38,39,43,45]. Output from the $\alpha'\beta'$ subset of KCs is also required during the consolidation period and DPM connectivity to $\alpha'\beta'$ KCs appears to be of particular importance [38,43]. Since DPM neurons only receive input and deliver output within the mushroom body it was proposed that, in addition to representing sensory cues, the mushroom body uses feedback connectivity to generate self-sustained, reverberant activity that persists for minutes to hours and is crucial for memory stability [38,39,42,47–49].


Recent work [50^{*}] exploring the anatomy of appetitive memory consolidation suggested the involvement of self-sustained activity in a specific compartment, $\alpha 1$, of the mushroom body output network. The $\alpha\beta$ KCs, the DANs

that innervate the $\alpha 1$ compartment and the MBONs that there receive their input all appear to be required shortly after training with odor and sugar for the memory to consolidate correctly. In this period, no overt sensory cues are presented to the flies so the question arises of how ongoing activity in Kenyon cells or MBONs can be generated. Experiments combining optogenetic stimulation and calcium reporters suggest that the release of dopamine can directly alter the activity of both MBONs [5^{**}] and KCs [32^{*}] in the absence of obvious stimulus-driven activity. DANs could therefore provide gates in the network to create localized nodes of activity, which may be relevant for the ongoing processes required for consolidation. DANs might also gate activity to be constrained within closed feedback circuits. Interestingly, the glutamatergic $\alpha 1$ MBONs project to the brain region where $\alpha 1$ DANs receive their inputs (Figure 3b), and could plausibly establish a recurrent circuit [50^{*}]. This recurrent anatomical motif — in which MBONs synapse onto DANs, that release dopamine onto that MBON's dendrites — may be a common one, having been observed in other parts of the mushroom body [9^{**},10^{**}] and predicted by anatomical overlap for many of the different output neuron groups [34^{**}]. Although feedback loops are prevalent in the adult mushroom body anatomy and appear abundant in a full reconstruction of the larval mushroom body from an electron microscope volume [7^{**}], it is not clear that the mode of all the connections is appropriate to generate sustained activity. Moreover, MBONs in the adult and larval mushroom body are also connected to more distant DANs that innervate other compartments [7^{**},9^{**}]. Models of memory consolidation, and other mushroom body functions, based on feedback activity must therefore be able to maintain specificity of both the learned stimulus and the network compartment and to avoid instability, such as runaway excitation. Feedback inhibition is used to refine the specificity of odor representations in the KC ensemble [28].

Internal states gate behavior through dopamine and feedforward neural circuitry

It is possible that sustained activity within recurrent circuits is necessary to bridge between the fast timescales of neuronal firing and the hours-long processes of protein synthesis-dependent memory consolidation. By extending the period in which the memory is being constructed, later information can be collected and integrated. This may be particularly useful when the experience includes delayed or long-lasting effects. When learning involves feeding, sweet but indigestible sugars will only form short-lived memories, while those that can be metabolized yield longer lasting memories [51]. Although flies can learn to distinguish between these sugars within minutes [51], it would seem likely that the sugar has to be ingested and absorbed for the fly to evaluate nutritional quality. Recent studies have indicated that sweet taste and nutrient reinforcement signals are provided by

Figure 3



Feed-forward, feed-back and feed-across networks in the mushroom body. **(a)** Feed forward inhibition regulates state dependent expression of food memory retrieval. Hunger state controls odor-driven behavior by relaying hunger-dependent dNPF signaling (purple) through the

different DANs [36,52] and that it is possible to artificially uncouple the taste and nutritious value by manipulating these neurons, or using a sweet but not nutritious sugar in an associative learning paradigm, then delivering nutritious food up to several hours later [53^{*}]. This delayed nutritious addition seems sufficient to 'complete' the original learning and establish a long term memory, suggesting that the original memory trace must remain accessible to the nutritional input. Rhythmic activity has been recorded in specific DANs (MP1, also known as PPL1- $\gamma 1$ pedc DANs) during this phase [53^{*},54] which may be indicative of an underlying recurrent network. The MP1 DANs can relay information about nutritional state because they are modulated by dNPF (*Drosophila* neuropeptide F), a neuropeptide representing hunger state [21].

Internal state representations in the mushroom body are also required to limit the behavioral expression of memories to situations when their content is most appropriate. Flies that have associated an odor cue with a sugar reward only show robust preference for this cue when they are hungry, or if the hunger signal dNPF is artificially triggered [21,45]. The compartmentalized architecture of the MBON network allows different memories to be linked to their relevant internal states, creating meaningful modules of learning and behavior. For example, a memory of a water reward is preferentially expressed when flies are thirsty, but not hungry, while a previously sugar-associated cue is approached when flies are hungry, but not thirsty [55]. In mechanistic terms, the hunger signal dNPF sits at the top of a hierarchical inhibitory pathway comprised of the MP1 DANs [21] and a pair of GABAergic neurons, called MVP2 (or MBON- $\gamma 1$ pedc $> \alpha \beta$), that exert feedforward inhibition across the MBON network [12^{**}] (Figure 3a). In hungry flies, inhibition from MVP2

PPL1-MP1 DANs (red) and the GABAergic MVP2 neuron (blue). The MVP2 neuron feeds forward inhibition to the M4/M6 group of glutamatergic MBONs (orange). In a satiated fly, approach behavior is counterbalanced by the avoidance-promoting M4/M6 MBONs, which are not inhibited by MVP2. In a hungry fly, the MVP2 neuron is active and inhibits the M4/M6 MBONs, reducing avoidance and facilitating approach behavior. **(b)** A closed feedback loop involving the MBON from the $\alpha 1$ compartment, the $\alpha \beta$ Kenyon cells and the DANs innervating the $\alpha 1$ compartment has been proposed to stabilize memory after learning. Blocking any of these neurons immediately after sugar reward learning cripples behavioral approach measured 24 h later [50^{*}], although a functional connection between the glutamatergic $\alpha 1$ MBON and $\alpha 1$ DANs has not been demonstrated. **(c)** A feedback and feed-across recurrency is crucial for memory reconsolidation. Experiencing training-related cues can switch stable memories back into a labile state and reconsolidation is then required for the memory to return to a persistent condition [9^{**}]. The reconsolidation process requires the activity of the cholinergic MBON- $\gamma 2\alpha' 1$ which in turn recruits two different sets of dopaminergic neurons: the PPL1- $\gamma 2\alpha' 1$ DANs, which are required during memory retrieval, and a group of PAM-DANs which innervate different compartments and are required in the period following retrieval. These cholinergic MBON-DAN connections are excitatory [9^{**}].

tips the balance of the MBON network to facilitate expression of the odor approach behavior from the circuit configuration that was imposed by dopamine-induced plasticity during learning. This mechanism therefore allows flies to match the expression of food-seeking memories to hunger state.

Context, experience and novelty are represented in the mushroom body output network

Dopamine, then, is not only tasked with the writing of memories by inducing synaptic plasticity during a learning experience. The expression of memory, and especially its modulation by conditions such as hunger, is also under the direction of DANs. It is clear that these two tasks are not entirely distinct at the network level. Most strikingly, in addition to providing hunger-dependent control, MP1 DANs can write odor-specific aversive memories at the KC to MVP2 connection of the MBON network [12^{••}]. In addition, DANs that are artificially activated during the presentation of an odor and so implant a fictive olfactory memory, also suppress expression of learned behavior if they are activated when memory is tested [5^{••}]. By affecting both the formation of memory and its retrieval, dopamine is able to optimize current and future behavior, integrating accumulated experience with the particular environmental and internal conditions experienced by the fly. By re-routing information throughout the MBON network, the mushroom body can therefore integrate context and internal state with sensory stimuli to direct the most appropriate behavior [21,56]. Such a pathway gating model is nicely supported by a live imaging study which demonstrated that behavioral states can correlate with differential routing of activity through the MBON network [32[•]]. Moreover, the pattern of active MBON pathways is mirrored by activity in combinations of complementary DANs and dynamic adjustments of synaptic activity in the corresponding compartments along the length of KC arbors [32[•]]. This study also demonstrated the existence of recurrent and broad network connectivity from MBONs to DANs. Artificial stimulation of the output network caused widespread and complex changes in the activity of DANs [32[•]].


Since reinforcing DANs receive extensive recurrent input from MBONs, any change to the KC drive to MBONs, such as that imposed by prior experience, should change how recurrence drives the DANs. As a consequence, any stimulus that has been previously encountered may be processed differently, and engage different DAN pathways, if encountered again. The simplest example of such a situation is the case of behavioral adaptation that occurs when a fly becomes familiar with an odor. For any stimulus to be considered as familiar the first experience must leave a trace in the network that impinges on the processing of the second: a computation that is perfectly

suited for a recurrent architecture. In flies, familiarization of odor requires plasticity of the KC to $\alpha/3$ MBON connection that is driven by the DANs that release dopamine within that compartment of the MBON network. Although $\alpha/3$ MBONs are cholinergic, no specific recurrent MBON-DAN connection was identified [57^{••}]. Familiarity is a short-lived process, with behavioral responses to a repeatedly presented stimulus returning to their original strength after an hour [57^{••}]. In contrast, network plasticity that underlies learning that a stimulus is a predictive cue for an important event needs to be relatively stable so that an expectation can be retrieved when the stimulus is encountered again.

Recurrent connectivity enables memory re-evaluation and reconsolidation

Sometimes learned predictions turn out to be wrong and these experiences provide the animal with conflicting information. Memory systems therefore need to have the capacity to constantly update and incorporate new information into the animal's model of the world. Such a memory re-evaluation can be observed in *Drosophila* that have been trained to associate an odor with a sugar reward. If the odor is later presented without reward, learned behavior is extinguished — the previously learned odor is no longer approached in a test situation [9^{••},41]. This neutralizing of the previously learned behavior, requires a subset of MBONs and DANs that represent aversive valence. Thus, after reward learning the mushroom body network appears to represent and code the omission of expected reward in a similar manner to how aversive events such as electric shock punishment reinforce learning [9^{••}]. If instead the odor returns with the expected reward, preference is maintained, but the DANs that were responsible for the formation of the original memory are no longer necessary, suggesting that even in this case, the (identical) information is processed through different pathways if it is already known. Alternatively, flies can be re-exposed to partial elements of the training trial that do not strongly conflict with the prior learned expectation. This triggers a cycle of destabilization and re-stabilization, or reconsolidation, during which memory is thought to be updated [9^{••},58,59]. Memory reconsolidation requires a specific cholinergic MBON pathway and the sequential action of two sets of DANs innervating different compartments [9^{••}] (Figure 3c). Together, the extinction and reconsolidation experiments reveal that plasticity triggered by the initial learning episode reconfigures the MBON network, so that when the learned stimulus is encountered again it is processed through different parts of the circuit. This allows the network to code multiple events and their relationships, so that behavior is driven according to the best information available. Recurrent connections in the MB network appear to play a critical role in comparing past and present, accumulating experience over time, and

Figure 4



KCs, MBONs and DANs form microcircuits within a compartment [5^{••},7^{••}]. KCs (black) form cholinergic excitatory connections with MBONs (orange) and also synapse onto DANs (green). KC to DAN connections appear to be excitatory and may modulate the dopaminergic reinforcement signal within a compartment to influence learning [60]. DANs synapse onto KCs and MBONs. Activation of DANs seems to lead to a slow but direct activation of MBONs which potentially allows a local excitatory feedback loop between KCs, DANs and MBONs [5^{••}].

re-assessing the validity of past decisions in light of new information.

Conclusions

Network recurrence challenges the simple model integrating cues and valence into preference behavior (Figures 1 and 2). It is becoming clear that the mushroom body is able to perform several more complex recursive computations that are critical for the fly to orchestrate a more coherent behavioral strategy (Figure 3). Feedback architecture appears not only at the network level, but also within the components of the tripartite synapse where KCs, DANs and MBONs are in contact: synapses between KCs and DANs are found in both directions, and DANs contact both the presynaptic KCs and the postsynaptic MBONs [5^{••},7^{••}] (Figure 4). These reciprocal micro-circuits could generate persistent activity within a highly localized region, thus retaining the sparse synapse specificity that is assumed to underlie olfactory learning [60]. It will be important to decipher how these different levels of network architecture contribute to the computational power of the mushroom body.

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

Acknowledgements

JF is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (FE 1563/1-1). SW is funded by a Wellcome Trust Principal Research Fellowship in the Basic Biomedical Sciences and a Wellcome Collaborative Award in Science, Gatsby Charitable Foundation and Bettencourt-Schueller Foundation.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Quinn WG, Harris WA, Benzer S: **Conditioned behavior in *Drosophila melanogaster***. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1974, **71**:708-712.
2. Heisenberg M, Borst A, Wagner S, Byers D: ***Drosophila* mushroom body mutants are deficient in olfactory learning**. *J Neurogenet* 1985, **2**:1-30.
3. Tanaka NK, Tanimoto H, Ito K: **Neuronal assemblies of the *Drosophila* mushroom body**. *J Comp Neurol* 2008, **508**:711-755.
4. Waddell S, Armstrong JD, Kitamoto T, Kaiser K, Quinn WG: **The amnesiac gene product is expressed in two neurons in the *Drosophila* brain that are critical for memory**. *Cell* 2000, **103**:805-813.
5. Takemura S, Aso Y, Hige T, Wong A, Lu Z, Xu CS, Rivlin PK, Hess HF, Zhao T, Parag T *et al.*: **A connectome of a learning and memory center in the adult *Drosophila* brain**. *eLife* 2017, **6**: e26975.
6. Zheng Z, Lauritzen JS, Perlman E, Robinson CG, Nichols M, Milkie D, Torrens O, Price J, Fisher CB, Sharifi N *et al.*: **A complete electron microscopy volume of the brain of adult *Drosophila melanogaster***. *bioRxiv* 2017 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/140905>.
7. Eichler K, Li F, Litwin-Kumar A, Park Y, Andrade I, Schneider-Mizell CM, Saumweber T, Huser A, Eschbach C, Gerber B *et al.*: **The complete connectome of a learning and memory centre in an insect brain**. *Nature* 2017, **548**:175-182.
8. Bouzaiane E, Trannoy S, Scheunemann L, Plaçais P-Y, Preat T: **Two independent mushroom body output circuits retrieve the six discrete components of *Drosophila* aversive memory**. *Cell Rep* 2015, **11**:1280-1292.
9. Felsenberg J, Barnstedt O, Cognigni P, Lin S, Waddell S: **Re-evaluation of learned information in *Drosophila***. *Nature* 2017, **544**:240-244.
10. Oswald D, Felsenberg J, Talbot CB, Das G, Perisse E, Huetteroth W, Waddell S: **Activity of defined mushroom body output neurons underlies learned olfactory behavior in *Drosophila***. *Neuron* 2015, **86**:417-427.
11. Pai T-P, Chen C-C, Lin H-H, Chin A-L, Lai JS-Y, Lee P-T, Tully T, Chiang A-S: ***Drosophila* ORB protein in two mushroom body output neurons is necessary for long-term memory formation**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, **110**:7898-7903.
12. Perisse E, Oswald D, Barnstedt O, Talbot CB, Huetteroth W, Waddell S: **Aversive learning and appetitive motivation toggle feed-forward inhibition in the *Drosophila* mushroom body**. *Neuron* 2016, **90**:1086-1099.

Investigation of the GABAergic MBON, MVP2, reveals its dual role in storing aversive memories and conveying the hunger state of flies. Activity of the MVP2 neurons favors the retrieval of appetitive memory by feed forward inhibition to avoidance promoting MBONs.

13. Plaçais P-Y, Trannoy S, Friedrich AB, Tanimoto H, Preat T: **Two pairs of mushroom body efferent neurons are required for appetitive long-term memory retrieval in *Drosophila***. *Cell Rep* 2013, **5**:769-780.
14. Séjourné J, Plaçais P-Y, Aso Y, Siwanowicz I, Trannoy S, Thoma V, Tedjakumala SR, Rubin GM, Tchénio P, Ito K *et al.*: **Mushroom body efferent neurons responsible for aversive olfactory memory retrieval in *Drosophila***. *Nat Neurosci* 2011, **14**:903-910.
15. Wu J-K, Tai C-Y, Feng K-L, Chen S-L, Chen C-C, Chiang A-S: **Long-term memory requires sequential protein synthesis in three subsets of mushroom body output neurons in *Drosophila***. *Sci Rep* 2017, **7**:1112.
16. Aso Y, Siwanowicz I, Bräcker L, Ito K, Kitamoto T, Tanimoto H: **Specific dopaminergic neurons for the formation of labile aversive memory**. *Curr Biol* 2010, **20**:1445-1451.
17. Aso Y, Rubin GM: **Dopaminergic neurons write and update memories with cell-type-specific rules**. *eLife* 2016, **5**:e16135.
18. Burke CJ, Huetteroth W, Oswald D, Perisse E, Krashes MJ, Das G, Gohl D, Silies M, Certel S, Waddell S: **Layered reward signaling through octopamine and dopamine in *Drosophila***. *Nature* 2012, **492**:433-437.
19. Claridge-Chang A, Roorda RD, Vrontou E, Sjulson L, Li H, Hirsh J, Miesenböck G: **Writing memories with light-addressable reinforcement circuitry**. *Cell* 2009, **139**:405-415.
20. Das G, Klappenbach M, Vrontou E, Perisse E, Clark CM, Burke CJ, Waddell S: ***Drosophila* learn opposing components of a compound food stimulus**. *Curr Biol* 2014, **24**:1723-1730.
21. Krashes MJ, DasGupta S, Vreede A, White B, Armstrong JD, Waddell S: **A neural circuit mechanism integrating motivational state with memory expression in *Drosophila***. *Cell* 2009, **139**:416-427.
22. Liu C, Plaçais P-Y, Yamagata N, Pfeiffer BD, Aso Y, Friedrich AB, Siwanowicz I, Rubin GM, Preat T, Tanimoto H: **A subset of dopamine neurons signals reward for odour memory in *Drosophila***. *Nature* 2012, **488**:512-516.
23. Schroll C, Riemensperger T, Bucher D, Ehmer J, Völler T, Erbugth K, Gerber B, Hendel T, Nagel G, Buchner E *et al.*: **Light-induced activation of distinct modulatory neurons triggers appetitive or aversive learning in *Drosophila* larvae**. *Curr Biol* 2006, **16**:1741-1747.
24. Vasmer D, Pooryasin A, Riemensperger T, Fiala A: **Induction of aversive learning through thermogenetic activation of Kenyon cell ensembles in *Drosophila***. *Front Behav Neurosci* 2014, **8**.
25. Heisenberg M: **Mushroom body memoir: from maps to models**. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2003, **4**:266-275.
26. Oswald D, Waddell S: **Olfactory learning skews mushroom body output pathways to steer behavioral choice in *Drosophila***. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2015, **35**:178-184.
27. Campbell RAA, Honegger KS, Qin H, Li W, Demir E, Turner GC: **Imaging a population code for odor identity in the *Drosophila* mushroom body**. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 2013, **33**:10568-10581.
28. Lin AC, Bygrave AM, de Calignon A, Lee T, Miesenböck G: **Sparse, decorrelated odor coding in the mushroom body enhances learned odor discrimination**. *Nat Neurosci* 2014, **17**:559-568.
29. Turner GC, Bazhenov M, Laurent G: **Olfactory representations by *Drosophila* mushroom body neurons**. *J Neurophysiol* 2008, **99**:734-746.
30. Aso Y, Sitaraman D, Ichinose T, Kaun KR, Vogt K, Belliard-Guérin G, Plaçais P-Y, Robie AA, Yamagata N, Schnaitmann C *et al.*: **Mushroom body output neurons encode valence and guide memory-based action selection in *Drosophila***. *eLife* 2014, **3**:e04580.
31. Barnstedt O, Oswald D, Felsenberg J, Brain R, Moszynski J-P, Talbot CB, Perrat PN, Waddell S: **Memory-relevant mushroom body output synapses are cholinergic**. *Neuron* 2016, **89**:1237-1247.
32. Cohn R, Morante I, Ruta V: **Coordinated and compartmentalized neuromodulation shapes sensory processing in *Drosophila***. *Cell* 2015, **163**:1742-1755.
A combination of calcium imaging, movement recording and stimulus delivery reveals the effects of behavioral state, experience and context on the activity of cells in the γ lobe of the mushroom body. The authors then accompany these findings with optogenetic-based experiments that reveal a deep interconnection between cell types and regions along the γ lobe.
33. Hige T, Aso Y, Modi MN, Rubin GM, Turner GC: **Heterosynaptic plasticity underlies aversive olfactory learning in *Drosophila***. *Neuron* 2015, **88**:985-998.
Electrophysiological experiments provide direct evidence for the long-postulated synaptic plasticity between KC and MBONs as a consequence of coincident KC activity and compartment-specific dopamine release. The authors also present insights into the rules for synaptic plasticity and compare them between two different compartments.
34. Aso Y, Hattori D, Yu Y, Johnston RM, Iyer NA, Ngo T-T, Dionne H, Abbott LF, Axel R, Tanimoto H *et al.*: **The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body provides a logic for associative learning**. *eLife* 2014, **3**:e04577.
Comprehensively classifies the cell types that make up the mushroom body and generates precise genetic tools for their visualization and manipulation. The anatomical description identifies several feed-forward connections within the mushroom body and recurrent feedback between MBONs and DANs which connect in loops in the surrounding brain regions.
35. Waddell S: **Reinforcement signalling in *Drosophila*; dopamine does it all after all**. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2013, **23**:324-329.
36. Yamagata N, Ichinose T, Aso Y, Plaçais P-Y, Friedrich AB, Sima RJ, Preat T, Rubin GM, Tanimoto H: **Distinct dopamine neurons mediate reward signals for short- and long-term memories**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015, **112**:578-583.
37. Quinn WG, Sziber PP, Booker R: **The *Drosophila* memory mutant amnesiac**. *Nature* 1979, **277**:212-214.
38. Keene AC, Krashes MJ, Leung B, Bernard JA, Waddell S: ***Drosophila* dorsal paired medial neurons provide a general mechanism for memory consolidation**. *Curr Biol* 2006, **16**:1524-1530.
39. Keene AC, Stratmann M, Keller A, Perrat PN, Vosshall LB, Waddell S: **Diverse odor-conditioned memories require uniquely timed dorsal paired medial neuron output**. *Neuron* 2004, **44**:521-533.
40. Dudai Y, Sher B, Segal D, Yovell Y: **Defective responsiveness of adenylate cyclase to forskolin in the *Drosophila* memory mutant rutabaga**. *J Neurogenet* 1985, **2**:365-380.
41. Tempel BL, Bonini N, Dawson DR, Quinn WG: **Reward learning in normal and mutant *Drosophila***. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1983, **80**:1482-1486.
42. Keene AC, Waddell S: ***Drosophila* olfactory memory: single genes to complex neural circuits**. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2007, **8**:341-354.
43. Krashes MJ, Keene AC, Leung B, Armstrong JD, Waddell S: **Sequential use of mushroom body neuron subsets during *Drosophila* odor memory processing**. *Neuron* 2007, **53**:103-115.
44. Tully T, Preat T, Boynton SC, Del Vecchio M: **Genetic dissection of consolidated memory in *Drosophila***. *Cell* 1994, **79**:35-47.
45. Krashes MJ, Waddell S: **Rapid consolidation to a radish and protein synthesis-dependent long-term memory after single-session appetitive olfactory conditioning in *Drosophila***. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 2008, **28**:3103-3113.
46. Colomb J, Kaiser L, Chabaud M-A, Preat T: **Parametric and genetic analysis of *Drosophila* appetitive long-term memory and sugar motivation**. *Genes Brain Behav* 2009, **8**:407-415.
47. Cervantes-Sandoval I, Davis RL: **Distinct traces for appetitive versus aversive olfactory memories in DPM neurons of *Drosophila***. *Curr Biol* 2012, **22**:1247-1252.
48. Pitman JL, Huetteroth W, Burke CJ, Krashes MJ, Lai S-L, Lee T, Waddell S: **A pair of inhibitory neurons are required to sustain**

- labile memory in the *Drosophila* mushroom body.** *Curr Biol* 2011, **21**:855-861.
49. Yu D, Keene AC, Srivatsan A, Waddell S, Davis RL: ***Drosophila* DPM neurons form a delayed and branch-specific memory trace after olfactory classical conditioning.** *Cell* 2005, **123**: 945-957.
50. Ichinose T, Aso Y, Yamagata N, Abe A, Rubin GM, Tanimoto H:
 • **Reward signal in a recurrent circuit drives appetitive long-term memory formation.** *eLife* 2015, **4**.
 Identifies the $\alpha 1$ compartment as a crucial location for the formation, consolidation and retrieval of long-term appetitive olfactory memory and postulates a possible feedback loop between the $\alpha 1$ MBONs and the $\alpha 1$ DANs, suggesting that recurrent activity in this circuit may stabilize memory for long-term storage.
51. Burke CJ, Waddell S: **Remembering nutrient quality of sugar in *Drosophila*.** *Curr Biol* 2011, **21**:746-750.
52. Huetteroth W, Perisse E, Lin S, Klappenbach M, Burke C, Waddell S: **Sweet taste and nutrient value subdivide rewarding dopaminergic neurons in *Drosophila*.** *Curr Biol* 2015, **25**:751-758.
53. Musso P-Y, Tchenio P, Preat T: **Delayed dopamine signaling of energy level builds appetitive long-term memory in *Drosophila*.** *Cell Rep* 2015, **10**:1023-1031.
 Separates the gustatory and energetic components of a sugar reward in time to describe a circuit for the stepwise construction of long term appetitive memory that is gated by the MP1 dopaminergic neurons. These neurons display oscillatory patterns of activity, which could arise from recurrent circuits modulated by internal state.
54. Plaçaïs P-Y, Trannoy S, Isabel G, Aso Y, Siwanowicz I, Belliard-Guérin G, Vernier P, Birman S, Tanimoto H, Preat T: **Slow oscillations in two pairs of dopaminergic neurons gate long-term memory formation in *Drosophila*.** *Nat Neurosci* 2012, **15**:592-599.
55. Lin S, Oswald D, Chandra V, Talbot C, Huetteroth W, Waddell S: **Neural correlates of water reward in thirsty *Drosophila*.** *Nat Neurosci* 2014, **17**:1536-1542.
56. Lewis LPC, Siju KP, Aso Y, Friedrich AB, Bulteel AJB, Rubin GM, Grunwald Kadow IC: **A higher brain circuit for immediate integration of conflicting sensory information in *Drosophila*.** *Curr Biol* 2015, **25**:2203-2214.
57. Hattori D, Aso Y, Swartz KJ, Rubin GM, Abbott LF, Axel R:
 •• **Representations of novelty and familiarity in a mushroom body compartment.** *Cell* 2017, **169** 956-969.e17.
 Describes a specific MBON, the $\alpha'3$ MBON, which responds to novel odors but suppresses its activity to familiar odors. This is linked to a behavioral response: if presented with a novel odor, but not a familiar one, flies stop grooming. By manipulating the $\alpha'3$ MBON during this grooming assay, the behavioral response to a novel odor can be either facilitated or reduced.
58. Lagasse F, Devaud J-M, Mery F: **A switch from cycloheximide-resistant consolidated memory to cycloheximide-sensitive reconsolidation and extinction in *Drosophila*.** *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 2009, **29**:2225-2230.
59. Nader K: **Reconsolidation and the dynamic nature of memory.** *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2015, **7**:a021782.
60. Cervantes-Sandoval I, Phan A, Chakraborty M, Davis RL: **Reciprocal synapses between mushroom body and dopamine neurons form a positive feedback loop required for learning.** *eLife* 2017, **6**.