

**Preface to theme issue “Modelling infectious disease outbreaks in humans,  
animals and plants: epidemic forecasting and control”**

**AUTHORS**

R.N. Thompson<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Ellen Brooks-Pollock<sup>4,5</sup>

\*Correspondence to: robin.thompson@chch.ox.ac.uk

**AFFILIATIONS**

<sup>1</sup>Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Andrew Wiles Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Peter Medawar Building, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3SY, UK

<sup>3</sup>Christ Church, University of Oxford, St Aldates, Oxford OX1 1DP, UK

<sup>4</sup>Bristol Veterinary School, University of Bristol, Langford BS40 5DU, UK

<sup>5</sup>National Institute for Health Research, Health Protection Research Unit in Evaluation of Interventions, Bristol Medical School, Bristol BS8 2BN, UK

**KEYWORDS**

mathematical modelling; human disease; animal disease; plant disease; public health; interventions

This preface forms part of the theme issue ‘Modelling infectious disease outbreaks in humans, animals and plants: epidemic forecasting and control’. This theme issue is linked with the earlier issue ‘Modelling infectious disease outbreaks in humans, animals and plants: approaches and important themes’.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

The 21<sup>st</sup> century has already seen many infectious disease outbreaks in human, animal and plant populations, including the outbreak of plague in Madagascar in 2017 [1], outbreaks of Foot and Mouth disease in countries including the United Kingdom [2,3] and Japan [4], and the outbreak of olive quick decline in Italy due to the bacterial pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa* which was first detected in that country in 2013 [5]. In §2(d) of the main introductory article of this pair of theme issues [6], we describe three of the main uses of epidemiological models today, namely: i) guiding surveillance; ii) epidemic forecasting; and iii) assessing the potential impacts of interventions. These analyses are increasingly carried out in real-time when outbreaks are ongoing [2,7–15].

In this theme issue, we present articles about epidemic detection, forecasting and control at different stages of an outbreak by researchers from across the complementary fields of mathematical epidemiology in human, animal and plant systems. The questions that models are used to address inevitably change throughout an outbreak, according to the needs of decision makers and/or public health teams. Questions that are considered in this theme issue include:

#### Before and early in an outbreak

1. How vulnerable is the population to disease, where will the pathogen arrive, and where should surveillance be focussed? [16–18]
2. Which surveillance method should be used? [10,19]
3. What is the current outbreak size, and where is the pathogen now? [20,21]
4. Where and how can interventions be introduced to eradicate the pathogen quickly? [22]
5. Which data and resources are required to allow forecasting and control to be performed effectively? [10,20,23]

#### Once a major epidemic is ongoing

6. How transmissible is the pathogen, by which transmission routes is it spreading, and how many cases will there be? [23–25]

7. How effective are current control efforts (Fig 1a)? [26]

8. Which interventions should be introduced, and how should they be adapted as the epidemic continues? [18,22,24,26–28]

At the end of a major epidemic:

9. Can the epidemic be declared over, or do hidden cases remain in the population? [20,29]

a.



b.



Figure 1. (a) Epidemiological models can be used to predict the impacts of current and proposed disease control measures in populations of humans, animals and plants. As an example of an intervention, fungicides are applied to banana plantations in Costa Rica 40 to 80 times per year to control Black Sigatoka disease, which has been exacerbated by climate change [30]. Here, bananas in Columbia are being washed prior to packing. Credit: David Bebber, 2017. This photograph is also the cover image of the linked theme issue 'Modelling infectious disease outbreaks in humans, animals and plants: approaches and important themes'. (b) Complex epidemiological models require detailed datasets for accurate parameterisation. Here, a visualisation of air traffic routes over Eurasia, which could be used to inform travel rates or connectivities in models of global transmission (e.g. [17,31]). Credit: Globaïa, 2011. This photograph is also the cover image of this theme issue.

## 2. REQUIREMENTS FOR OUTBREAK MODELLING

In order to answer the types of questions outlined above, two important components are required. First, a model designed to answer the specific questions of interest is needed. For example, to predict the effects of interventions that are inherently spatial, such as culling all hosts within a fixed radius of known infecteds – a commonly used control for outbreaks in animal [32,33] and plant [34,35] populations – a spatially explicit epidemiological model is required. As described in the Introduction to the theme issue ‘Modelling infectious disease outbreaks in humans, animals and plants: approaches and important themes’ [6], a suite of modelling frameworks have been developed. For example, for representing outbreak dynamics, compartmental models that track the numbers of individuals in different infection or symptom states [36–39], or renewal equations that count the numbers of infections [40–42], can be used. For modelling disease surveillance, a number of statistical approaches have been designed [19,21,43].

Second, data relevant to the ongoing outbreak are required so that the model can be parameterised. To estimate the values of transmission parameters, temporal data are usually needed, such as time-series data describing the number of new cases in each time period (e.g. [44]). Since more data become available as an outbreak progresses, this leads to parameter estimates that change over time [45,46], and even to questions surrounding when parameters are known with sufficient certainty to allow action to be taken [45,47]. In certain scenarios, genetic data can provide information on temporal variables such as transmission rates between hosts or locations and rates of pathogen evolution [48–50]. The increasing complexity of models that are developed has led to the requirement for more data so that the models can be parameterised accurately, often including detailed datasets such as the precise locations of hosts in the landscape or travel routes and frequencies between different regions (Fig 1b).

Data availability is extremely important. Despite well-documented instances in which crucial data were unavailable to modellers, such as during the early response to the 2014-16 Ebola epidemic [51], publically available datasets (such as those available in the Project Tycho database [52]) and computing code (e.g. the code underlying the Nextstrain pathogen evolution tracker [53]) are increasingly common. Teaching tools such as the recent book by Ottar Bjørnstad [54] are introducing more mathematical modellers to epidemiological modelling. These advances are permitting the questions outlined above to be answered more accurately for pathogens in populations of humans, plants and animals.

### 3. OUTLOOK

Collaboration between modellers, experimental or clinical epidemiologists and policy makers has been recommended in an attempt to build a framework that will allow outbreaks to be managed optimally [15,39,55]. However, collaboration between epidemiological modellers focussed on different host types is encouraged more rarely [56]. Distinctions between different human, animal and plant populations and the pathogens that cause disease in those populations, such as the lack of adaptive immunity in plant hosts unlike in vertebrate animals [57], demand that certain research questions can only be addressed by modellers who are experts in particular systems.

However infectious disease outbreaks in humans, animals and plants also share many similarities [6]. Most of the questions addressed in this theme issue are relevant not only to particular pathogens in specific systems but to almost all outbreaks irrespective of the type of host. As a result, we contend that increased collaboration between mathematical epidemiologists interested in infectious diseases of humans, animals and plants will lead to improved mathematical tools. Partnerships between modellers from different disciplines, combined with interaction with epidemiologists and decision makers, will permit epidemic responses to be performed most effectively.

We hope that this theme issue serves as a foundation on which to build this unified approach.

#### 4. EDITORS' BIOGRAPHIES



**Dr Robin Thompson** is a Junior Research Fellow at the University of Oxford, UK. His research involves using mathematical models to represent the epidemiological or evolutionary dynamics of infectious disease outbreaks in human, animal or plant populations. This includes using statistical methods to estimate parameters associated with pathogen transmission and developing stochastic or deterministic models for generating outbreak forecasts. These forward projections can be used to predict the effects of proposed control interventions. Robin has developed models for a range of infectious diseases in human and plant populations – including Ebola virus disease, HIV, sudden oak death and citrus greening. He also recently developed a method for determining the optimal time to introduce control of an invading pathogen, with applications to diseases of livestock.



**Dr Ellen Brooks-Pollock** is a Lecturer at the University of Bristol, UK. She is interested in applying mathematical modelling and data science to applied questions in the control of infectious diseases. She has spent a lot of time thinking about tuberculosis (TB) in humans, bovine TB in cattle and zoonotic TB transmission from cattle to humans, but is also branching out into Hepatitis A, influenza and vaccination strategies. Ellen has spoken about bovine TB on BBC 1's Countryfile and BBC Radio 4's Farming Today and sits on the Editorial board for Mathematics Today.

176

#### FUNDING STATEMENT

RNT was funded by a Junior Research Fellowship from Christ Church, Oxford. EBP was partly supported by the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Evaluation of Interventions.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

We have no competing interests.

## DATA ACCESSABILITY

This article has no associated data.

## REFERENCES

1. Roberts L. Echoes of Ebola as plague hits Madagascar. *Science* (80- ). 2017;358: 430–431.
2. Ferguson NM, Donnelly CA, Anderson RM. The foot-and-mouth epidemic in Great Britain: Pattern of spread and impact of interventions. *Science* (80- ). 2001;292: 1155–1160.
3. Anderson I. Foot and Mouth disease 2007: a review and lessons learned. 2008.
4. Muroga N, Hayama Y, Yamamoto T, Kurogi A, Tsuda T, Tsutsui T. The 2010 foot-and-mouth disease epidemic in Japan. *J Vet Med Sci*. 2011;74: 399–404. doi:10.1292/jvms.11-0271
5. Almeida RPP. Can Apulia’s olive trees be saved? *Science* (80- ). 2016;353: 346–348.
6. Thompson RN, Brooks-Pollock E. Detection, forecasting and control of infectious disease epidemics: modelling outbreaks in humans, animals and plants. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019;
7. Keeling MJ, Woolhouse MEJ, Shaw DJ, Matthews L, Chase-Topping M, Haydon DT, et al. Dynamics of the 2001 UK foot and mouth epidemic: Stochastic dispersal in a heterogeneous landscape. *Science* (80- ). 2001;294: 813–818.
8. WHO Ebola Response Team. Ebola virus disease in west Africa — The first 9 months of the epidemic and forward projections. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371: 1481–1495. doi:10.15678/EBER.2017.050110
9. Funk S, Camacho A, Kucharski AJ, Eggo RM, Edmunds WJ. Real-time forecasting of infectious disease dynamics with a stochastic semi-mechanistic model. *Epidemics*. 2018;22: 56–61.
10. McRoberts N, Garcia Figuera S, Olkowski S, McGuire B, Luo W, Posny D, et al. Using models to provide rapid programme support for California’s efforts to suppress Huanglongbing disease of citrus. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0281
11. Camacho A, Kucharski A, Aki-Sawyer Y, White MA, Flasche S, Baguelin M, et al. Temporal changes in Ebola transmission in Sierra Leone and implications for control requirements: A real-time modelling study. *PLoS Curr*. 2015;7: 1–12. doi:10.1371/currents.outbreaks.406ae55e83ec0b5193e3085
12. Pandey A, Atkins K E, Medlock J, Wenzel N, Townsend J P, Childs J E, et al. Strategies for containing Ebola in West Africa. *Science* (80- ). 2014;346: 991–995.
13. DEFRA. Chalara management plan. 2013.
14. Gomes MFC, Piontti AP y, Rossi L, Chao D, Longini I, Halloran ME, et al. Assessing the international spreading risk associated with the 2014 West African Ebola outbreak. *PLoS Curr*. 2014;6.
15. Metcalf CJE, Edmunds WJ, Lessler J. Six challenges in modelling for public health policy. *Epidemics*. 2014;10: 93–96.
16. Kajero O, del Rio Vilas V, Wood J, Lo Iacono G. New methodologies for the estimation

- of population vulnerability to diseases: a case study of Lassa fever and Ebola in Nigeria and Sierra Leone. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0265
17. Gottwald T, Luo W, Posny D, Riley T, Louws F. A probabilistic census-travel model to predict introduction sites of exotic plant, animal and human pathogens. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0262
18. Chaters G, Johnson P, Cleaveland S, Crispell J, de Glanville W, Doherty T, et al. Analysing livestock network data for infectious diseases control: an argument for routine data collection in emerging economies. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0264
19. Mastin A, van den Bosch F, van den Berg F, Parnell S. Quantifying the hidden costs of imperfect detection for early detection surveillance. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0261
20. Morgan O. How Decision Makers can use Quantitative Approaches to Guide Outbreak Responses. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0265
21. Bourhis Y, Gottwald T, van den Bosch F. Translating surveillance data into incidence estimates. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0262
22. Baker, Laurie; Matthiopoulos, Jason; Freuling, Conrad; Mueller, Thomas; Hampson K. Optimising spatial and seasonal deployment of vaccination campaigns to eliminate wildlife rabies. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0280
23. Polonsky J, Baidjoe A, Kamvar Z, Cori A, Durski K, Edmunds J, et al. Outbreak analytics: a developing data science for informing the response to emerging pathogens. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0276
24. Gaydos D, Petrasova A, Cobb R, Meentemeyer R. Forecasting and control of emerging infectious forest disease through participatory modelling. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0283
25. Rushton SP, Sanderson RA, Reid WDK, Shirley MDF, Harris JP, Hunter PR, et al. Forecasting rare seasonal diseases: the case of Norovirus infections. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0267
26. Lessler J, Kaminsky J, Keegan L, Metcalf CJ. Perfect counterfactuals for epidemic simulations. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0279
27. Probert W, Lakkur S, Fonnesbeck C, Shea K, Runge M, Tildesley M, et al. Context matters: using reinforcement learning to develop human-readable, state-dependent outbreak response policies. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0277
28. Bussell E, Dangerfield C, Gilligan C, Cunliffe N. Applying optimal control theory to complex epidemiological models to inform real-world disease management. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0284
29. Thompson RN, Morgan OW, Jalava K. Rigorous surveillance is necessary for high confidence in end-of-outbreak declarations for Ebola and other infectious diseases. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0431
30. Bebbber D. Climate change effects on black sigatoka disease of banana. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0269
31. Thompson RN, Thompson C, Pelerman O, Gupta S, Obolski U. Increased frequency of travel in the presence of cross-immunity may act to decrease the chance of a global pandemic. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0274
32. Tildesley MJ, Savill NJ, Shaw DJ, Deardon R, Brooks SP, Woolhouse MEJ, et al. Optimal reactive vaccination strategies for a foot-and-mouth outbreak in the UK. *Nature*.



- 2006;440: 83–86. doi:10.1038/nature04324
33. Tildesley MJ, House TA, Bruhn MC, Curry RJ, Neil MO, Allpress JLE, et al. Impact of spatial clustering on disease transmission and optimal control. *PNAS*. 2010;107: 1041–1046. doi:10.1073/pnas.0909047107
34. Cunliffe NJ, Stutt ROJH, DeSimone RE, Gottwald TR, Gilligan CA. Optimising and communicating options for the control of invasive plant disease when there is epidemiological uncertainty. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2015;11: e1004211. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004211
35. Hyatt-Twynam SR, Parnell S, Stutt ROJH, Gottwald TR, Gilligan CA, Cunliffe NJ. Risk-based management of invading plant disease. *New Phytol*. 2017;214: 1317–1329.
36. Keeling MJ, Rohani P. Modeling infectious diseases in humans and animals. Princeton University Press; 2008.
37. Kleczkowski A, Hoyle A, McMenemy P. One model to rule them all? Modelling approaches across OneHealth, for human, animal and plant epidemics. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0255
38. Thompson RN, Gilligan CA, Cunliffe NJ. Detecting presymptomatic infection is necessary to forecast major epidemics in the earliest stages of infectious disease outbreaks. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2016;12: e1004836. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004836
39. Thompson RN, Hart WS. Effect of confusing symptoms and infectiousness on forecasting and control of Ebola outbreaks. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;67. doi:10.1093/cid/ciy248
40. Nouvellet P, Cori A, Garske T, Blake IM, Dorigatti I, Hinsley W, et al. A simple approach to measure transmissibility and forecast incidence. *Epidemics*. 2018;22: 29–35.
41. Lee H, Nishiura H. Sexual transmission and the probability of an end of the Ebola virus disease epidemic. *J Theor Biol*. 2019;471: 1–12.
42. Champredon D, Dushoff J, Earn DJD. Equivalence of the Erlang-distributed SEIR epidemic model and the renewal equation. *SIAM J Appl Math*. 2018;78: 3258–3278.
43. Parnell S, van den Bosch F, Gottwald T, Gilligan CA. Surveillance to inform control of emerging plant diseases: an epidemiological perspective. *Annu Rev Phytopathol*. 2017;55: 591–610.
44. Noori N, Rohani P. Quantifying the consequences of measles-induced immune modulation for whooping cough epidemiology. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0270
45. Thompson RN, Gilligan CA, Cunliffe NJ. Control fast or control smart: When should invading pathogens be controlled? *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2018;14. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006014
46. Cori A, Donnelly CA, Dorigatti I, Ferguson NM, Fraser C, Garske T, et al. Key data for outbreak evaluation: building on the Ebola experience. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2017;372: 20160371.
47. Ludkovski M, Niemi J. Optimal dynamic policies for influenza management. *Stat Commun Infect Dis*. 2010;2: 5.
48. Lycett S, Duchatel F, Digard P. A brief history of bird flu. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0257
49. Archie EA, Luikart G, Ezenwa VO. Infecting epidemiology with genetics: a new frontier in disease ecology. *Trends Ecol Evol*. 2009;24: 21–30.
50. Hill S, Hansen R, Watson S, Coward V, Russell C, Cooper J, et al. Comparative micro-epidemiology of pathogenic avian influenza virus outbreaks in a wild bird population.

- Philos Trans R Soc B. 2019; doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0259
51. Whitty CJM, Mundel T, Farrar J, Heymann DL, Davies SC, Walport MJ. Providing incentives to share data early in health emergencies: the role of journal editors. *Lancet*. 2015;386: 1797–1798.
52. Tycho Team Science for Data and Health. Project Tycho [Internet]. doi:<https://www.tycho.pitt.edu>
53. Hadfield J, Megill C, Bell SM, Huddleston J, Potter B, Callender C, et al. Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. *Bioinformatics*. 2018;34: 4121–4123. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty407
54. Bjørnstad O. *Epidemics: Models and Data using R*. Springer; 2018.
55. Knight GM, Dharan NJ, Fox GJ, Stennis N, Zwerling A, Khurana R, et al. Bridging the gap between evidence and policy for infectious diseases: How models can aid public health decision-making. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2019;42: 17–23.
56. Cunniffe NJ, Koskella B, Metcalf CJE, Parnell S, Gottwald TR, Gilligan CA. Thirteen challenges in modelling plant diseases. *Epidemics*. Elsevier B.V.; 2015;10: 6–10. doi:10.1016/j.epidem.2014.06.002
57. Kushalappa AC, Yogendra KN, Karre S. Plant innate immune response: qualitative and quantitative resistance. *CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci*. 2016;35: 38–55.