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[1] Previous global satellite studies into the indirect aerosol effect have relied on determining the sensitivity of derived Cloud Droplet Number Concentration (N_d) to co-located Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD). These studies generally find a positive N_d sensitivity to AOD changes over ocean, but some find a negative sensitivity over land, in contrast to that predicted by models and theory. Here we investigate the N_d sensitivity to AOD in different cloud regimes, determined using a k-means clustering process on retrieved cloud properties. We find the strongest positive N_d sensitivity in the stratiform regimes over both land and ocean, providing the majority of the total sensitivity. The negative sensitivity previously observed over land is generated by the low cloud fraction regimes, suggesting that it is due to the difficulty of retrieving N_d at low cloud fractions. When considering a mean sensitivity, weighted by liquid cloud fraction to account for sampling biases, we find an increased sensitivity over land, in some regions becoming positive. This highlights the importance of regime based analysis when studying aerosol indirect effects. Citation: Gryspeerdt, E., and P. Stier (2012), Regime-based analysis of aerosol-cloud interactions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L21802, doi:10.1029/2012GL053221.

1. Introduction

[2] Aerosols are thought to have a large effect on the climate, both through the direct effect on radiation, and through their interaction with clouds. The magnitude of these effects, especially aerosol-cloud interactions, are very uncertain, with the radiative forcing from aerosol induced changes in cloud albedo reported as −0.8 W m⁻², but with an uncertainty range of −0.3 to −1.5 W m⁻² [Forster et al., 2007]. This effect is large enough that it could offset much of the warming from greenhouse gases [e.g., Huber and Knutti, 2011], emphasizing the need to understand the effect so that we can better predict the future climate.

[3] Several different indirect effects have been theorized, with increases in cloud albedo [Twomey, 1977], cloud fraction (CF) [Albrecht, 1989] and decreases of cloud top pressure (CTP) [Koren et al., 2005] in regions of increased aerosol. In this study we concentrate on the cloud-albedo effect, the change in cloud albedo with increasing aerosol. Given that cloud droplets form on aerosol particles, an increase in aerosol particles at constant cloud water content is thought to decrease droplet size [Gunn and Phillips, 1957], which in turn increases the brightness of the cloud due to the increased scattering of the smaller, more numerous cloud droplets.

[4] Satellite studies on the cloud albedo effect have concentrated on the sensitivity of Cloud Droplet Effective Radius (r_c) and Cloud Droplet Number Concentration (N_d) to Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD). Kaufman et al. [2005] found a decrease in r_c in shallow clouds over the Atlantic ocean with increasing AOD. A corresponding increase in N_d with increasing AOD was found by Quaas et al. [2008], who used the CERES science team retrieval of data from the MODIS (Moderate resolution Imaging Spectrometer) instrument over large regions to determine the correlation between N_d and AOD. Such satellite correlations are based on the assumption that AOD is a suitable proxy for Cloud Condensation Nuclei (CCN) [Andreae, 2009].

[5] These studies confine their data to specific regions for the purposes of determining the sensitivity of cloud properties to AOD based on the assumption that the aerosol and cloud properties are largely similar across each region. However, Graney and Stier [2010] showed that this assumption is not valid over regions larger than about 4° × 4°, due to the possibility of climatological spatial gradients of the aerosol and cloud properties across the region generating spurious correlations. They also note that the sensitivity of N_d to AOD is negative over land when using MODIS collection 5 data, which would result in a positive indirect radiative forcing from the cloud albedo effect over land, in contrast to model results [Quaas et al., 2009].

[6] Whilst these, and other studies based on the CERES retrieval take into account spatial variations of cloud properties, they do not consider how different cloud types vary in their responses to AOD changes. Stratifying by pressure vertical velocity has previously been used to separate out different cloud regimes [Bony et al., 2004], with stratus-cumulus clouds being much more likely to form in regions of positive pressure vertical velocity. Jones et al. [2009] consider the indirect effect using data stratified by 850 hPa pressure vertical velocity but find very little change in the indirect forcing between regions of ascending and descending air. However, these large scale properties may not be suitable for determining cloud regimes locally. Rossow et al. [2005] suggest that satellite cloud properties provide a better description of the local state of the atmosphere.

[7] In this study we use cloud and aerosol data from the MODIS instrument to study the sensitivity of N_d to AOD perturbations. By using the objective clustering method of Williams and Webb [2009] to determine tropical cloud regimes, we calculate this sensitivity for different liquid cloud regimes to determine which regimes are most important to the indirect forcing and ultimately bring this type of statistical satellite approach closer to the physically relevant process scale. In addition, this regime based method also
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investigates the contribution made by sampling biases to the observed relationships.

2. Methods

We separate the clouds into different regimes using the method of Williams and Webb [2009]. This method uses a k-means clustering process [Anderberg, 1973] on the MODIS Cloud Top Pressure-Cloud Optical Depth (CTP-COD) collection 5.1 daily level 3 histogram at 1° × 1° resolution. The k-means clustering algorithm requires the number of clusters as an input, which we determine following the method of Rossow et al. [2005]. Starting at four clusters, the number of clusters is increased and the clusters are judged by whether they fulfill the following conditions: 1) The cluster centroid histograms do not change significantly when the clustering process is re-run with different starting centroids; 2) The resulting cluster centroid patterns should differ from each other such that the correlations between them are low (usually <0.6); 3) The spatial and temporal correlations between the frequency of occurrence patterns of the regimes should be low. Strong correlations between the centroid histograms or the frequency of occurrence patterns may indicate that a cluster is being repeated. In a similar fashion to Williams and Webb [2009], we also impose the additional condition that

the total relative frequency of occurrence (RFO) of each of the regimes should be above 3.5%, as these low frequency clusters tend to be sensitive to the initial seeding of the clusters. We use the largest number of clusters which satisfies these criteria.

We find seven clusters in the tropics (30°N–30°S) (Table 1) when using 1 year of MODIS Aqua data. When dealing with the full set of data from 2003–2011, each level 3 pixel is assigned to the regime with the closest mean properties (CF, CTP and albedo). This assignment method improves the speed of the assignment while having a negligible effect on the regime histograms. Figure 1 shows the relative frequencies of occurrence of the different regimes. The transition regime refers to the transition between the shallow cumulus and the stratocumulus regimes.

We use MODIS Aqua collection 5.1 level 3 daily data at 1° × 1° resolution for our aerosol [Remer et al., 2005] and cloud [Platnick et al., 2003] property retrievals, with Optical_Depth_Land_And_Ocean_Mean as our AOD product. To estimate N_d, we use the adiabatic approximation (Equation 1) [Brenguier et al., 2000], where τ_c is the cloud optical depth, and γ = 1.37 × 10^{-5} m^{-2} [Quaas et al., 2006].

\[ N_d = \frac{1}{\tau_c + \frac{2}{\tau_e}}. \]  

This relationship assumes that the liquid water content and droplet radius increase monotonically in the cloud, and that τ_e is representative of the true droplet radius at the top of the cloud. Non-precipitating marine stratocumulus clouds have been observed to be approximately adiabatic [e.g., Zuidema et al., 2005], but continental clouds can show significant departures from adiabaticity [Kim et al., 2005]. Following Grandey and Stier [2010], we have calculated N_d using the τ_c - τ_e joint histogram in the MODIS level 3 product, excluding bins where τ_c < 4 and τ_e < 4. We use the joint histogram for liquid clouds when calculating N_d, limiting our results to only the liquid clouds.
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Figure 1. Maps of the relative frequency of occurrence (RFO) and joint Cloud Top Pressure–Cloud Optical Depth histograms of each cloud regime between 30°N and 30°S. Note that the histogram color bar has been slightly re-scaled compared to Williams and Webb [2009] to better display low cloud amount bins.
found using the different cloud retrievals does point towards errors in the cloud retrieval over land at low cloud fraction being an important cause.

[15] Yuan et al. [2008] find a relationship between cloud droplet radius and AOD which would produce a similar negative sensitivity over land. They determine that this relationship is not primarily due to 3D effects on the cloud retrieval, perhaps suggesting that the negative sensitivity may be due to the different cloud masks used by the MODIS and CERES science teams.

[16] As expected, the regimes dominated by mixed-phase clouds (deep convective and anvil cirrus) show no significant sensitivity of $N_d$ to AOD (Figure 2). The regimes with high proportions of liquid clouds (shallow cumulus, transition and stratocumulus) show a positive sensitivity over ocean, indicating that a higher AOD is correlated with a higher $N_d$. We also see negative sensitivities over land in the shallow cumulus regime, which has a very similar sensitivity pattern to that found when considering all data, due to the high regime RFO. In regions where the correlation is significant at the 2-sigma level, both stratiform regimes show a larger sensitivity than the shallow cumulus regime. The stratocumulus regime shows a strong positive sensitivity over ocean, especially along the western edge of the Pacific, but also over land, in contrast to the negative relationship observed in the shallow cumulus regime.

[17] Failure to consider regimes when calculating sensitivities leads to an under-representation of the high CF regimes, as aerosol and cloud cannot be retrieved at the same location (about 60% of stratocumulus regime has no valid AOD retrieval, compared to only 30% of the shallow cumulus regime). This is especially important given that these regimes have a high proportion of the total liquid cloud amount. To account for this, when we recombine our regime sensitivities to estimate total mean sensitivity, the regime sensitivities are weighted by the total regime RFO, which has no requirement for valid AOD retrievals. This assumes that the regimes behave similarly whether or not there is a co-located AOD retrieval. We also weight by the regime mean liquid CF in each gridbox, on the basis that the indirect forcing from a regime/gridbox would scale with liquid CF.

[18] In Figure 3 we see that the regime based method (Figure 3b) is similar to the sensitivity plot without separating and weighting the regimes (Figure 3a), but with some notable differences. The sensitivity over ocean decreases slightly, although there are increases in some regions, notably in the Western Pacific, on the edge of the stratocumulus region. In general, the sensitivity increases over land, becoming positive in some regions, compared to a negative sensitivity when regimes are not used. This is in better agreement with models and theory. Given that only the shallow cumulus regime experiences a negative sensitivity over land, this suggests that the negative sensitivity over land may be due to difficulty in retrieving $N_d$ in low cloud fraction pixels [Bennartz, 2007]. However, here we show that the low CF pixels provide a relatively small contribution to the weighted total sensitivity (Table 1) even though they have a high frequency of occurrence, limiting the impact of this negative sensitivity. The majority of the total sensitivity comes from the stratocumulus regime, due to its high liquid CF compensating for its low frequency of occurrence.

[19] It is also possible that the increase in sensitivity in the higher cloud fractions regimes is not a physical effect,
relationships significant at the 2-sigma level are shown. Only a liquid cloud fraction, (b) frequency of occurrence weighted mean, and (c) the difference between the methods. Only relationships significant at the 2-sigma level are shown.

but may be due to one of the numerous different effects which result in the strong relationship between CF and AOD [Quaas et al., 2010]. However, the ability to pinpoint the source of the negative sensitivity over land to the low CF retrievals illustrates the importance of regime based analysis.

4. Conclusion

In this letter we highlight the importance of regime-based studies of aerosol-cloud interactions, due to the differing interaction strengths of the different regimes. Using a k-means clustering process on retrieved cloud properties, we have separated nine years of MODIS Aqua data into seven tropical cloud regimes. We have established that the different regimes have differing sensitivities of cloud droplet number concentration \( N_d \) to perturbations in aerosol optical depth (AOD), with the stratiform regimes having the largest sensitivities. The shallow cumulus regime has very similar sensitivities to the total sensitivity determined without using regimes, due to its high relative frequency of occurrence.

Without accounting for the different cloud regimes we find a negative sensitivity over land, in the location of the strongest anthropogenic aerosol perturbations. This negative sensitivity is produced by the low CF shallow cumulus regime and although this could be an aerosol effect, it does not agree with other satellite products, suggesting that it may be due to the difficulty of retrieving \( N_d \) in low CF scenes.

To determine the sensitivity, we require both AOD and cloud property retrievals at the same location. This requirement means that the high cloud fraction (CF) stratiform regimes are typically under-represented in sensitivity determinations due to a lower number of AOD retrievals reducing the apparent regime frequency of occurrence. To compensate for this sampling bias, we use the total regime frequency of occurrence, which is not dependent on AOD retrievals, to determine the regime frequency. In addition, we also weight each regime by its mean liquid CF to account for the extra contribution high CF regimes make to the forcing.

With these weightings, the stratocumulus regime contributes the most to the total sensitivity (58% of the total) despite its low frequency of occurrence, due to high liquid CF and regime sensitivity. Due to a low liquid CF, the shallow cumulus regime only makes a small contribution to the total sensitivity (11% of the total), limiting the impact of the negative relationship of this regime. This weighting increases the total sensitivity of \( N_d \) to AOD over land, in some regions changing the sign of the sensitivity to positive, putting it in better agreement with other studies.

This increased sensitivity of \( N_d \) to AOD over land is particularly significant given that the short lifetime of many aerosol species leads them to be concentrated near sources, which are often over land. This increased sensitivity and the differing sensitivity of the regimes highlights the importance of regime based analysis for investigating the magnitude of the cloud albedo effect.

Acknowledgments. The MODIS data used in this work were obtained from the Goddard Space Flight Center. Edward Grysspeerdt was supported by a UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) studentship. The research leading to this work has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement FP7-280025. The authors would like to thank Benjamin Grandey, Rosalind West and Nick Schugens for their valuable comments on the manuscript.

The Editor thanks Jonathan Jiang and an anonymous reviewer for assistance evaluating this paper.

References


Andrade, M. (2009), Correlation between cloud condensation nuclei concentration and aerosol optical thickness in remote and polluted regions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 543–556


