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Abstract

This thesis concerns the factors underlying the popularity of the cliff tomb, a local burial form in the Sichuan Basin in China in the Eastern Han dynasty (AD 25-220). The development of the cliff tomb was held in a complex set of connections to the development of the burial forms, and existed through links to many other contemporary burial forms, the brick chamber tomb, the stone chamber tomb, and the princely rock-cut tomb. These connections and links formed to a large extent through the incorporation of the Sichuan area into the empire which began in the fourth century BC. It was in this context, a series of factors contributed to the formation and popularity of the cliff tombs in Sichuan. The hilly topography and the soft sandstone, easy to cut, provided the natural condition for the development of the cliff tombs. The decision to make use of this natural condition was affected by many factors rooted in the social background. The inherent nature of the cliff tomb structure was fully explored, which was then followed by a series of corresponding innovations on the pictorial carvings and the burial objects. The meaning of a continuous family embedded in the cliff tomb structure was explored, as the construction of the tomb was the result of the continuous endeavours from many generations of the family, and the physical form of the cliff tomb was a metaphor for a prosperous family. Following this intention of the tomb occupants underlying the design of the cliff tomb structure, the pictorial carvings and the burial objects in the cliff tomb made adaptations to make the cliff tomb an embodiment of relations between different family members and different generations.
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Chapter 1 Introduction

The thesis is concerned with one of the two major burial forms in Eastern Han (AD 25-220) Sichuan, the cliff tomb, which is carved horizontally into the hillsides and the cliffs along the riverbanks, modeled on the dwelling for the living (fig.1, 2).\(^1\) Cliff tombs vary in scale from several metres to more than thirty metres in length and have various local features within the Sichuan Basin in southwest China. A cliff tomb usually contains one or more burial chambers. Some cliff tombs are furnished with carved stone coffin beds, stoves and niches and may also display architectural elements resembling timber architecture. In some cases, the walls of the cliff tombs are decorated with carved images.

For example, the cliff tomb Zijingwan 紫荊灣 M3 in Santai 三臺 in Sichuan is cut into the hillside, with a corridor, three main chambers on the central axis, and three side chambers (fig.3, 4, 5).\(^2\) The total length of the tomb is 17.25 metres. The width of the widest part in the tomb is 7.45 metres. Most of the ceilings of the burial chambers are carved to resemble the ceilings of the timber architecture, with rafters and decorated coffers (fig.6, 7). Several entrances to the burial chambers are carved to resemble the columns, lintels and walls in the timber architecture (fig.8). In the doorways leading to the main chambers, auspicious images such as cranes and gates

---

\(^1\) The other main burial form is brick or stone chamber tomb, which will be discussed later in this chapter.

\(^2\) For the archaeological report see Beijing 2007: 80-91.
to the heavenly world are carved on the side walls (fig.9, 10). Two stoves are carved out from the wall of the side chamber in the west, indicating the function of the chamber as a kitchen (fig.11). The side chamber next to the rear main chamber has a wall carved with a weapon shelf, showing that the chamber was thought as an armoury (fig.12).

The local residents call these cliff tombs *manzidong* 蠻子洞 or the aboriginal caves, which used to mislead the early Western researchers in the early 20th century to attribute these tombs to the aboriginal people, though as later researchers suggested

---

3 *Manzi* 蠐子 is translated as “aboriginal” here. In the mind of the local residents, the people who used the cliff tombs as dwellings were isolated from the society with culture. As T. Torrance mentioned, “when we first discovered the nature of these caves and brought to light their coffins and interment articles we were puzzled whether to assign them a Chinese or an aboriginal origin” (Torrance 1930-1931: 88). During his investigation of the relics in Sichuan as part of the Eastern Asiatic Expedition organized by the Pennsylvania University Museum in 1916, C. Bishop recorded that “these caves, which are of artificial formation, are attributed by local tradition to the Man-tse, or aboriginal barbarians, and are regarded as having been excavated to serve as dwellings”. Bishop 1916: 112. (“Man-tse” is *manzi* 蠐子.)

4 See records by the early Western travelers into the Sichuan Basin in the early twentieth century. C. Bishop used to suggest that “a careful examination of the caves in the region about Kiating Fu, coupled with the information which I was able to gather from various sources, suggests three points which might seem to confirm the popular tradition ascribing them to the aborigines” (Bishop 1916: 114-115) (“Kiating Fu 嘉定府” is today’s Leshan 乐山 area in Sichuan). T. Torrance, an English missionary became interested in the cliff tombs in 1908 and began to systematically collect burial objects from these tombs. In his paper published in 1930-1931, Torrance mentioned that in Sichuan, one outstanding difference compared to the general sameness of the burial customs once prevailed everywhere was the “frequent cutting of caves in the solid rock for tombs”. The majority of the burial objects in the cliff tombs were very similar to those in the Han stone or brick chamber tombs (Torrance 1930-1931: 88). In addition, the Qin and Han coins were frequently found in the cliff tombs. Therefore it was reasonable to attribute the cliff tombs to the Han Chinese people (Torrance 1930-1931: 88). Later Western researchers in this area include General D’Ollone in 1907, Segalen in 1914, Bishop in 1916, and Bedford in 1936. For a general introduction to the early investigation of the cliff tombs, see Cheng 1957: 139-140.
and as will be shown in this chapter, they were tombs of the Han people. The cave dwelling-like facilities in the cliff tombs led the local residents to believe that those caves were actually the dwellings of the aboriginal people. And some of those caves were indeed inhabited in the past few centuries by some poor people who could not afford to build their own houses. The early researchers accepted the name of the aboriginal cave mainly because that the burial form of the cliff tombs is so different from the common Eastern Han burial forms known at that time, the stone or brick chamber tombs, which were popular all over the Han dynasty empire including the Sichuan Basin.

The central aim of this study is to explore the factors underlying the popularity of the cliff tombs in the Sichuan Basin. The major proposition is that such tombs played an active role in Eastern Han society in Sichuan, as there were a series of activities relevant to tombs carried out by people, from constructing tombs, preparing burial objects, to undertaking the funeral and making sacrifices. On one hand people, who were involved in these activities had their expectations and intentions that shaped tombs. On the other hand, the existing burial form shaped people’s activities related to tombs, which in return influenced people’s expectations and intentions. Therefore, there was a continual dialectical relationship between tombs and people. Through examining the characteristics of the structure, the burial objects and the pictorial

---

5 The Han people here is used in contrast with those “aboriginal” groups of people, who lived in their own life styles for generations within a relatively isolated area, barely influenced by the assimilation of the Sichuan area into the Qin and Han empires (221 BC-AD 220).
carvings of the cliff tomb in relation to the other contemporary major burial forms, we can investigate the underlying expectations and intentions of people who created these distinctive tombs. It is anticipated, therefore, that an understanding of the factors underlying the popularity of the cliff tombs will also contribute to an understanding of the role of tombs in the Eastern Han society of Sichuan.

Its focus on the contrast between the cliff tomb and other major burial forms of the time situates this thesis within the picture of the development of the burial forms in the Eastern Han and the earlier period and the social background of such development. This introduction locates the cliff tomb in this larger picture of development and the relevant social background.

1. Major Burial Types in Eastern Han

In Eastern Han, the major burial types are the cliff tomb and the brick or stone chamber tomb, which are built underground or half underground, resembling dwellings, covered by a burial mound (fig.13). There are chamber tombs constructed entirely of bricks, of a combination of bricks and stone, and of stone alone, without essential differences in tomb plan. They all contain several burial chambers with walls, doors, ceilings and sometimes columns. Chamber tombs built by a combination of bricks and stone blocks usually have large stone slabs as their door panels and walls, and use bricks to construct their barrel-vaults (fig.14). Tombs built entirely of stone

---

6 For example, see the Dahuting 打虎亭 tomb no.1 and no.2 in Mixian 密縣, Henan. Beijing 1993. Tomb no.1 is located in the west and tomb no.2 is located in the east. They housed Zhang Boya 張博
usually have stepped roofs, which are formed by shaped stone bars and blocks (fig.15).⁷ Among the areas outside Sichuan, it seems that stone-structured chamber tombs were popular in the area rich in stone sources, especially in Shandong and northern Jiangsu in the east, where rocky hills are densely distributed (fig.2). In the metropolitan areas in central China, covered by loess, in Henan and Shaanxi, where the old capitals of the Han dynasty were located, brick-structured chamber tombs were the main burial type. Stone is only occasionally found in some large elaborate chamber tombs as key architectural features, such as the walls and door panels, for example in the Dahuting 打虎亭 tomb M2 in Mixian 密縣 in Henan, a tomb of a high ranking official of the Eastern Han (fig.14).⁸

雅, the grand administrator (taishou 太守) of Hongnong 弘農, and his wife, with burial mounds rising above the ground to the height of 10 and 7.5 metres respectively. The two tombs share similar subterranean burial structure. Both are constructed by rectangular stone slabs together with V-shaped and square bricks. The paved paths and vaulted ceilings are constructed by bricks. The floors and walls are built by square bricks and stone slabs. Tomb no.1 is much larger than no.2. It contains three main burial chambers, which are arranged along a north-south axis, separated by stone doors and thresholds. The three main chambers of tomb no.1 measure 25.16 metres in length and 17.78 metres in width. Tomb no.2 measures 20.48 in length and 18.60 metres in width.

³ For example, see the Yi’nan 汶南 tomb in Shandong (Beijing 1956) and the Baiji 白集 tomb in Xuzhou 徐州, Jiangsu (WW 1981). The Yi’nan tomb is a multi-chambered subterranean structure built on a level plane. It is 7.55 metres in width and 8.70 metres in length. The whole tomb is constructed of stone posts and lintels. The roof of the tomb is supported by the pillars together with the bracket sets in the central and front chamber. The three main chambers are laid out on a north-south axis. The Yi’nan tomb is one of the most elaborately decorated chamber tombs in the Eastern Han period known so far. The pictorial stone carvings extensively carved in the tombs contain a variety of carving styles, indicating artisans from different workshops. For detailed discussion on the different workshops for the construction of the Yi’nan tomb, see Tseng 2000. The Baiji tomb is a multi-chambered stone structure built on the level plane, covered under a burial mound. It contains three main chambers, which are laid out along a north-south axis in the length of 8.85 metres. The whole tomb is constructed of lintels and posts. The roofs of the main chambers are square and stepped, constructed of stone slabs. All the stone posts of the tomb are elaborated carved and decorated. Some posts still have some red paint remained when excavated. The highest central chamber reaches to a height of 3.15 metres. The widest part of the tomb is 3.90 metres.

⁸ Beijing 1993: 192-205. Dahuting tomb no.2 contains three main chambers and three side chambers in
It is very likely that by comparison with brick, stone was a more favored building material for chamber tombs. However, only a few regions had enough rocky mountain to use as the stone quarry for chamber tomb construction. In the areas lacking stone, people had to compromise on using bricks, the quality of which to some extent is similar to that of stone. In addition, bricks used for tomb construction were mass produced through standard molds. They were cheaper substitutes of stone and could be afforded by a wider range of people. In central China, over 10,000 small brick chamber tombs of commoners have been found. Most of them only have the space for one person inside.9

In Sichuan, the brick chamber tomb was also a major burial form, but not the only one. The structure of the brick chamber tomb is similar to that in central and eastern China. What is notable is that in Sichuan, chamber tombs are mostly constructed of bricks alone. So far, only a few chamber tombs have been found built with a combination of stone and bricks. Those are large elaborate chamber tombs decorated with pictorial carvings exhibiting the wealth and achievement of the tomb occupants, for example,

the south, east and north. All the doors and threshold that connect the chambers to each other are built by stone slabs. The main walls are constructed by stone slabs as well. The vaulted roofs of the chambers and the paved paths are constructed square and V-shaped bricks. The whole tomb is constructed of lintels and posts. The roofs of the main chambers are square and stepped, constructed of stone slabs.

9 Such small brick tombs are usually found in several ten to several hundred on a planned cemetery. For example, see the Shaogou 烏溝 cemetery in Luoyang 洛陽 in Henan. Beijing 1956: 4-5. 445 brick tombs were found in the area of 110,000 square metres. During the Eastern Han, the cemetery expanded from east to west.
the Yangzishan 揚子山 tomb in Chengdu 成都 (fig.16).\(^{10}\) And only one stone-structured chamber tomb has been found in the area, one in Hechuan 合川 in Chongqing (fig.17), is constructed of the local red sandstone.\(^{11}\)

Consequently, we need to think about why people in an area covered by sandstone hills did not utilize their local stone in chamber tomb construction like the people in central and east China. Instead of quarrying stone from the sandstone hills, they cut into the hills to create burial space to imitate the dwellings above ground. Many Eastern Han burial remains in Sichuan suggest that stone quarrying, sculpture and construction were well developed in the area. For example, the common que 閣 pillars made of the local sandstone in Sichuan. These stone pillars were installed in

\(^{10}\) WW 1955.9. The Yangzishan tomb is a multi-chambered stone and brick structure built on the level plane, covered under a burial. It contains three chambers, which are laid along a north-south axis in a length of 13.84 metres. The highest and widest central chamber is 3.5 metres in height and 3 metres in width. The barrel vaulted ceilings of all the three chambers are constructed by tailored small bricks. The paved floors and the upper part of the main walls are constructed by rectangular bricks. The main walls, the door lintels and posts are constructed by stone slabs and bars.

\(^{11}\) WW 1977.2. The plan of the stone chamber tomb in Hechuan is in the shape of a cross. The three main chambers are laid out along a north-south axis in a length of 12.2 metres. The largest central chamber has an east side chamber and a west side chamber. The east-west axis of the tomb is 9.1 metres in length. The central chamber, the highest chamber of the tomb is 3.6 metres in height. All the main chambers have vaulted ceilings, which are constructed by tailored stone blocks. All the walls and floors are constructed by stone slabs as well. Compared to the stone chamber tombs in Shandong and Jiangsu, which are constructed of lintels and posts, the stone chamber tomb in Hechuan is in the form of the common brick chamber tombs, though constructed of stone slabs. Inscriptions of the measurement of the stone slabs are found in the vaulted ceiling and the east wall of the front chamber: “san wu 三五 (three five, equals to 81 centimetres)”, “san chi jiu cun 三尺九寸 (three chi nine cun, equals to 91 centimetres)”, “si chi er cun 四尺二寸 (four chi two cun, equals to 97 centimetres)”, “si chi si cun 四尺四寸 (four chi four cun, equals to 112 centimetres)” and “si chi wu cun (four chi five cun, equals to 103. 5 centimetres)”, indicating that stone slabs were measured and tailored in advance when constructing the tomb. These inscriptions are the only measurement found in the Eastern Han chamber tombs in Sichuan.
pairs above ground to mark the entrance to a cemetery (fig.18). For example, one of the "que" pillars of Yang Zong 楊宗 in Jiajiang 夾江 is consisted of a flat rectangular stone base, a main body formed by five rectangular stone blocks, a capital made by four stone blocks to resemble a pavilion and a stone roof on top (fig.19). All the stone blocks of the pillar gate are accurately tailored to be piled up to form a free standing column-like architecture in a height of 5.15 metres. Due to the accurate calculation of the size of each stone block and the precise execution of it on stone, the pillar gate still stands stable after nearly two thousand years.

2. Development of Burial Form in the Han Dynasty (206 BC-AD 220)

An examination on how the major Eastern Han burial forms developed is to some extent helpful to understanding why the particular form of the cliff tomb was adopted. The Western Han (206 BC-AD 8) was a period when the burial forms underwent two

---

12 Though no Eastern Han cemetery has survived intact, the archaeological discovery in Jiaxiang 嘉祥 in Shandong and textual records show that a common cemetery in Eastern Han is consisted of a pair of "que" pillar gates, followed by a spiritual path leading to an above ground shrine, behind which one or several related tombs covered by one or several mounds are located. For the discussion of the cemetery at Jiaxiang, see Wu 1989: 30-37. In the Shuijing zhu 水經注 (724), Yin Jian’s 尹儉 graveyard is recorded to show a similar plan. For a standard layout of such cemetery, see Wu 1995: 191, fig.4.1. Sometimes there are also stone guardian figures flanking the spiritual path. See Wu 1995: 191, fig.4.3.

13 Beijing 1992a: 36-37, 59, figs.13, 14. The two pillars are arranged along an east-west axis, facing to the south. The distance between the two pillars is 8 metres. The pillars are made of the local red sandstone. The east pillar has the inscription: "漢故益州牧楊府君誨宗字□仲墓", indicating that the tomb occupant was Yang Zong, who used to be the shepherd (牧) of the Yi Region (in Sichuan). However, according to the Jin shi yuan 金石苑 compiled in Southern Song (AD 1127-1279), the character “牧” (shepherd) in the inscription should be “太守” (grand administrator) (Beijing 1992a: 37). According to the Gazetteer of Jiajiang (夾江縣志), Yang Zong used to be the grand administrator of the Yi Region, one of the successors of Gao Yi 高頤 (who also had a set of stone pillars remaining in Sichuan, see Beijing 1992a: 31-33). The pillars of Yang Zong are dated to the end of the Eastern Han (AD 209-220) (Beijing 1992a: 38).
crucial changes: a change from vertical structure to horizontal structure and a change in building materials from wood to stone or brick.\(^{14}\) The vertical structure refers to the tomb in the form of pit, on the bottom of which one or several wooden coffins are placed together with the burial objects (fig.20).\(^{15}\) The horizontal structure refers to

\(^{14}\) For important works discussing the two changes, see Rawson 1999: 6, Wu 1995: 126-136, Thorp 1979: 128, Huang 2003: 90-93 and Erickson 2010: 13. Jessica Rawson suggests that the use of princely rock-cut tombs in Western Han marked two radical departures from the standard late Zhou burial form, which was usually in the form of a vertical shaft with nested wooden coffins at the base. First, the tombs are cut into the mountain rocks rather than being built by timbers. Second, a series of linked rooms are cut on a horizontal rather than a vertical axis. Wu Hung also suggests that the princely rock-tombs are crucial to the understanding of the sudden discovery of stone as a building material and the development of horizontal tombs in Western Han. He attributes the use of stone and the use of mountain caves for burial partly to the influence of the Buddhist Indian caves, in which stone symbolized durability and eternity and the cave dwelling provided the dwelling for the afterlife. Wu Hung also suggests that the cliff tombs in Sichuan might also appear for this reason. Robert Thorp on the other hand notes that the use of brick chamber tombs in Western Han Luoyang in Henan marked two important changes in the development of burial form. First, “the use of brick as building material marks a significant break with wood, the dominant tradition of ancient times”. Second, “the vertical shafts of ancient times were abandoned so that tombs were no longer ‘open to the sky’”. The new horizontal plan “imposed a new manner of access to the burial chamber, not from the top through the roof but rather from one end by way of a door”. Considering both the princely rock-cut tombs and brick chamber tombs, Huang Xiaofen suggests that the horizontal tomb departs from the vertical tomb since the appearance of the depictions of the doors and windows in the burial space. The construction of the corridors that link the partitioned spaces in the tomb further makes the tomb more resembling the dwelling place. When discussing the Han burial patterns, Susan Erickson summarizes that in general there were two major characteristics of Han tombs. First, “tombs became more permanent structures, made either of durable materials like brick or stone, or by laboriously cutting directly into stone to form a pit or cave”. Second, the interiors of the Han tombs were elaborated with “architectural elements borrowed from buildings used by the living”.

\(^{15}\) For example, the Mawangdui 馬王堆 tomb no.1 in Changsha 長沙 in Hunan. Beijing 1973. The tomb is dated to early Western Han, attributed to the wife of Marquis Dai 軍. The box-shaped wooden chamber is placed at the bottom of the burial pit with the depth of 3.5 metres. Inside the chamber, there are four wooden coffins, one inside another. The chamber together with the coffins are all made of elaborately chiseled wooden planks in a total number of 70. The wooden planks are installed through tenon-and-mortise joints. The largest wooden plank measures 4.84 metres in length, 1.52 metres in width, 0.26 metres in depth, with the weight of 1500 kilograms. The three outer coffins are lacquered. The innermost coffin is decorated with silk on the surface. The tomb occupant is inside the innermost coffin. The burial objects are laid out in the partitioned off space between the walls of the chamber and the outer coffin.
the tomb that is built in the similar way of building a house and contains structures used in the dwelling including doors, walls and sometimes columns and windows (fig.21).\textsuperscript{16}

However, during a transitional period, it is difficult to say whether a tomb is horizontal-structured or vertical-structured in some cases. For example, the Shuangbaoshan 雙包山 tomb M2, dated to the Western Han, in Mianyang 绵陽 in Sichuan is constructed on the bottom of a pit in the form of an enlarged wooden coffin which occupies an area of 163 m\textsuperscript{2} (fig.22).\textsuperscript{17} The “wooden coffin” is partitioned by wooden panels into 6 rectangular sections to form a reception hall in the front and a bedchamber in the rear, as suggested by different burial objects placed in the different sections. Door panels are placed between several of the sections, which further suggests that the tomb, though it still looks like a wooden box, was modeled on a dwelling containing a number of rooms. More transitional examples like this one can be observed in some Western Han princes’ tombs such as the Dabaotai 大保臺 tomb in Beijing and the tomb of the king of Guangling 廣陵 in Gaoyou 高郵 in Jiangsu

\textsuperscript{16} For example, the “Feng Ruren 馮孺人” tomb in Nanyang 南陽 in Henan. KGXB 1980.2. The tomb is a subterranean stone and brick structure built on the level plane. The main chambers and the entrance of the tomb are located on an east-west axis in the length of 9.5 metres. The widest part of the tomb is 6.15 metres in width. The highest burial chamber is 3.14 metres in height. The two main chambers are laid out side by side, surrounded by a gallery. There are also two side chamber flanking the passageway. The burial chambers are connected to each other through doors which are constituted by door posts, lintels and door panels, which are constructed by stone slabs and bars. On the door panels of the main entrance of the tomb, there are carved a pair of door rings held by the animal head appliqués.

\textsuperscript{17} Beijing 2006: 34-143. The tomb is dated between 167 BC and 118 BC and is attributed to the tomb occupant with the rank that equals to a Marquis (Beijing 2006: 144-147).
Interestingly, tombs at this transitional stage were still made of wood. Stone or brick structured tombs only appeared when they were also built more like houses, such as the “Feng Ruren” chamber tomb built by a combination of stone blocks and bricks in Nanyang in Henan (fig.21).

By and large, the change from vertical to horizontal exhibits a process by which tombs were built more and more like houses. This process was in progress at different speed in different areas of the empire. Finally in the Eastern Han, almost all areas had adopted the horizontal house-like burial form. In Western Han, the earliest areas began to be involved in this change are the metropolitan areas in Shaanxi and Henan, and northern Jiangsu in the east. The brick or stone chamber tomb in the metropolitan areas and the rock-cut tombs of the Western Han princes in northern Jiangsu exhibited the burial forms at the final stage of this change. Similar to the cliff tombs in Sichuan, the rock-cut tombs are also horizontally cut into the hill to form a burial space including a series of burial chambers to imitate the dwelling for the living. The major difference is that the rock-cut tombs are much larger in scale, which is largely due to the fact that the tomb occupants were the direct descendants of the founder of the empire and were allocated an area which equals to that of a commandery (jun 郡) to

---

18 For the Dabaotai tomb no.1, see Beijing 1989. The tomb is dated to the first century BC, attributed to the king of the Yan 燕 kingdom (Beijing 1989: 93-97). The tomb is wooden chambered, located at the bottom of a burial pit with the depth of 4.7 metres. The tomb measures 23.2 metres in length and 18 metres in width. The major structures of the tomb include the passageway, the surrounding gallery, the ticou 题凑 wall made of cider timbers (huangchang 黃腸), the front chamber, the inner gallery and the rear chamber.
manage.\textsuperscript{19} For example, the Beidongshan 北洞山 tomb belonging to a king of the Chu 楚 kingdom in Xuzhou 徐州 in Jiangsu is cut horizontally into the mountain rock, consisting of a 56 metres long central corridor on its axis and numerous side chambers, a rear chamber and an auxiliary hall connected to the central axis (fig.24). The grand axial plan is marked by the long central path. All the other chambers resembling the dwelling for the living are cut out on the sides of the central corridor.\textsuperscript{20}

In this sense, cliff tombs in Sichuan followed the development of the principal Han dynasty burial form: it is house-like, with a horizontal structure and it is constructed of stone.\textsuperscript{21} In addition, it has a possible prototype in Western Han, though it is also possible that the development of the cliff tomb in Sichuan was not influenced by the earlier princely rock-cut tombs. As will be shown in Chapter 2 on cliff tomb structure, to some extent, the cliff tomb can be regarded as a deviation from the contemporary tombs in the central and east. Such deviation may be rooted in a specific social background during the time and the special role of Sichuan in the whole empire.

3. The Social Background

3.1 History

The Han Dynasty (206 BC-AD 220), traditionally divided into the Western Han (206

\textsuperscript{19} Such area is called a kingdom and the king had the power equals to that of a prefect (\textit{xianling} 县令).
\textsuperscript{20} Beijing 2003: 6-28, 8, fig.4. The tomb will also be discussed in Chapter 2.
\textsuperscript{21} On the discussion on the important change in tomb building material from wood to stone, see Rawson 1999: 6, Wu 1995: 126-136 and Thorp 1979: 128. Chapter 2 of this thesis will also elaborate this question when discussing stone as the building material for the cliff tomb.
BC-AD 8) and the Eastern Han (AD 25-220) with a transitional period of around two decades in between, is the second empire unifying a vast area of China after the first empire of Qin, which survived less than two decades. The process of unification of the two early empires involved the establishment of a centralized governing system which took every area of the empire into the control of the central government, and the unification of culture. Some standardized cultural values of the empire were enforced into the regions. But as Mark Lewis points out, the imperial order did not eradicate the distinct regional cultures. Instead, the divisions of the regional cultures were transcended.22 This is what happened in Sichuan as elsewhere in the empire and is well reflected from burial remains as will be elaborated in the whole thesis.

The Sichuan area was brought into the early dynasty in the late fourth century BC. In 316 BC, the State of Shu 蜀 in the Sichuan area was conquered by the State of Qin 秦, which later established the empire of Qin.23 In the following years, the Sichuan area was strategically incorporated into the empire by the central ruling power. Before the end of the fourth century, the cultures in the Sichuan area are generally identified as the Ba 巴 and the Shu 蜀 culture, which were located closely to each other with the Shu culture in the western part of the Sichuan Basin and the Shu culture in its east. Based on archaeological evidence, the Ba and the Shu culture exhibit some different characteristics before the sixth century BC, while after the sixth century BC, it is

---

22 Lewis 2007: 1.
23 Shi ji: 207. “十四年…蜀侯来降. In the fourteenth year (316 BC), … the lord of the Shu surrendered (to the Qin).”
difficult to distinguish the two cultures and the culture in the Sichuan Basin is called the Ba-Shu culture, combining the names of the two cultures.\(^{24}\)

From the sixth to the fourth century BC, there are two main features of the Ba-Shu culture as reflected from burial evidence. The first feature is a boat-shaped burial form (fig.25). The second feature is the frequent appearance of bronze weapons of the Ba-Shu style among burial objects (fig.26). The body was buried in the coffin which is hollowed from the single log. The shape of the end of the coffin suggests a boat. The bronze weapons usually appear in large amount and show good quality, which suggest the important place of the weapon in the society of the Ba-Shu culture. There are bronze weapons with characteristic Ba-Shu features, such as the dagger-axe called \textit{ge} \(戈\). Marks or signs are frequently found on the weapons, such as on the blade of the \textit{ge} (fig.26). Such marks or signs are believed to include both emblems with iconic nature and forms of writing.\(^{25}\) After the Sichuan area was conquered by the Qin at the end of the fourth century and large populations were moved from the metropolitan area of the Qin to Sichuan, the assimilation of the Ba-Shu culture to the Qin started to be shown from burial evidence. The assimilation proceeded rapidly. One example is the rapid disappearance of the Ba-Shu signs within a century or two after Qin’s conquest. Pirazzoli-t’Serstevens has a different interpretation. She suggests that the

\(^{24}\)For the general introduction to the Ba-Shu culture from the sixth century BC to the Han, see Pirazzoli-t’Serstevens 2001 and Thote 2001.

\(^{25}\)For the interpretation of the Ba-Shu signs, see Sun 1987. Sun Hua suggests that the Ba-Shu signs appearing on the bronze vessels and weapons were not only decorations, but they were still not characters. When these signs appeared, the Chinese characters were already popular in the Sichuan area.
Ba-Shu signs appeared in the end of the fifth century BC, when the contacts between the Sichuan area and central China increased. The Ba-Shu signs show the efforts of the Ba-Shu culture to make cultural compromise in the competition with the metropolitan culture of the Qin and other areas in central China through imitating the seals and the writing systems while keeping the Ba-Shu features. 26 Pirazzoli-t’Serstevens further suggests that, though Ba-Shu features disappeared rapidly in the third century BC after the Qin’s conquest, there were still a small part of the Ba-Shu cultural elements persistent in some parts of Sichuan until the beginning of the first century of the Eastern Han, as reflected from the tools and cooking vessels in the tombs in Sichuan.27

A major strategy of the Qin and Han empires in cultural and political unification was to move people from the areas around the central ruling power to the Sichuan area to exploit the local resources and to bring about the cultural integration. There were mainly two kinds of strategic movement of people conducted by the government: 1) large scale movement of households to Sichuan; 2) dispatching powerful families who constituted threats to the ruling power to Sichuan.

The large scale movement of households to Sichuan took place in the late fourth century BC and continued for over a hundred years to the beginning of the second

---

26 Pirazzoli-t’Serstevens 2001: 45.
27 Pirazzoli-t’Serstevens 2001: 45. The tool inventory found in the tombs in Sichuan in the third century BC shows little change. As for pottery and bronze cooking vessels found in the tomb, they persisted until the first century AD. In this sense, Pirazzoli-t’Serstevens suggests that “the material culture of everyday life continued to be shaped by traditional choice” no matter how the culture of elite and urban life was sinicised.
century BC. In 314 BC, the King Hui 惠 of the State of Qin sent around ten thousand households to strengthen his ruling in Sichuan and to weaken the power of the original residents of Sichuan. In the last two decades of the third century BC, the First Emperor again sent large number of households from the capital area at Chang’an to Sichuan to further develop the local economy. In particular, the First Emperor sent households to Linqiong 臨邛 in Sichuan, which was previously occupied by the Qiong 邛 people. Some of the households were from newly conquered areas. For example, the ancestor of the well-known Zhuo 卓 family in Sichuan was moved from the old state of Zhao 趙 after the Qin’s conquest; the ancestors of the Zheng 鄭 and Cheng 程 families in Sichuan were also moved by the ruler from the conquered areas in the east.

Such families moved by the ruler to Sichuan include the families of powerful officials and royal relatives who constituted threats to the ruling power. Such movements took place under both the Qin and the Han. Lü Buwei’s 呂不韋 family together with the

---

28 *Huayang*: 194. “周赧王元年…戎伯尚強,乃移秦民萬家實. In the first year of the king Nan of Zhou (314 BC)…the force of the Rong people was still strong, therefore ten thousand households were moved from the Qin state to populate the area.”

29 *Huayang*: 225-226. “然秦惠文始皇定六國輒徙其豪俠於蜀資我豐土. When the king Huiwen of Qin and the First Emperor conquered the six states, powerful people were moved from these states to populate Sichuan.”

30 *Huayang*: 244. “臨邛縣…本有邛民,秦始皇徙上郡實之. In the Linqiong Prefecture… there used be the Qiong people, the First Emperor of Qin moved people from the Shang Commandery to populate Sichuan.”

31 *Shi ji*: 3277. “蜀卓氏之先趙人也用鐵致富秦破趙遷卓氏. The ancestors of the Zhuo family in Sichuan were from the Zhao state. They became wealthy through iron industry. After the Qin conquered the Zhao, the Zhuo family was forced to move.” *Shi ji*: 3278. “程鄭,山東遷虜也,亦冶鑄,賈椎髻之民,富埒卓氏,俱居臨邛. The Zheng and Cheng families were powerful households that were forced to move from Shandong. They were also in the industry of smelting and casting. As merchants, they were richer than the Zhuo family, well-known for their wealth in Linqiong.”
families of Lü’s followers were moved by the King Zheng 政 of Qin to Sichuan in the
second half of the third century BC.32 In the first century BC, the Emperor Gao 高
and the Emperor Wen 文 decided to send Peng Yue 彭越 and the King Li 厲 of
Huainan 淮南, Liu Chang 劉長 who had rebelled to Sichuan. The two died, however,
before being sent into exile.33 The inscription on a stone door panel of a Han tomb in
Chengdu, discovered in the 1950s, showed that the ancestor of the tomb occupant was
from family of the relatives of the empress Lü 呂, who were sent into exile to
Sichuan in the beginning of the second century BC.34 The inscription on a tomb stele
discovered in Pixian 郫縣 near Chengdu in Sichuan in 1966 shows that the ancestor
of the tomb occupant belonged to one of the powerful families moved to Sichuan by
the emperor during the Han period. The tomb stele was made in AD 128.35

After two centuries of moving large numbers of people to Sichuan to exploit the local

32

Shi ji: 227. “及奪爵遷蜀四千餘家. The noble ranking was removed and over four thousand

households were moved to Sichuan.” Shi ji : 2513. “其與家屬徙處蜀. He and his family were moved
to Sichuan.”
33

Han shu: 1880. “上赦以為庶人,徙蜀青衣. The emperor demoted him to a commoner as a reduced

punishment and moved him to Qingyi in Sichuan.” Han shu: 121. “淮南王長謀反,廢遷蜀嚴道,死
雍.The king of Huainan rebelled. He was then deposed and was sent into exile in Yandao in Sichuan.
He died in Yong.”
34

For the inscription and the relevant study see Zhang and Yuan 1994: 107.

35

Xie 1974. The stele was originally used as a door panel for the door of an Eastern Han brick

chamber tomb in Sichuan. Many characters in the inscription on the stele are eroded, only several
sentences in the inscription can be identified: “永初二年七月四日丁巳,故縣功曹郡掾□□孝淵卒.嗚
呼!□孝之先,元□關東,□秦□益,功爍縱橫.漢徙豪傑,遷□□梁,建宅處業,汶山之陽.崇譽□□,□□與比功,
故刊石紀,□愿所行.其辭曰:惟王孝淵,嚴重毅□,□懷慷慨”. “永初二年 (the second year of Yongchu)”
indicates that the time of death of the tomb occupants Wang Xiaoyuan 王孝淵 is in AD 108. “關東
(east to the Pass)” and “漢徙豪傑 (powerful people were moved in Han)” show that the family of
Wang Xiaoyuan was of the origin of east China and was forced to immigrate as part of the local
powerful families in the Han dynasty. “建宅處業 (building houses and purchasing land)” and “汶山之
陽 (the south of the Wen mountain)” reveal that the Wang’s family finally reestablished in the south of
the Wen 汶 mountain in Sichuan.
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resources, the new population, including the powerful families, made great contribution to the prosperity of the local economy.\footnote{For an introduction to Sichuan’s prosperous economy in Han see Nylan 2001: 309-312.} By the Western Han (206 BC-AD 8), the area around Chengdu in Sichuan had become an important economic centre for the whole empire. In the Western Han and the Eastern Han, lacquer, tea and textiles, three of five valuable processed products of the empire were produced in large quantities in the area around Chengdu.\footnote{Nylan 2001: 310.} In addition, Chengdu was one of the most fertile breadbaskets for the empire, with its average yields of rice from farm land around ten to fifteen times higher than the average level of the empire.\footnote{See \textit{Huayang}: 259 for standard yields.} The markets in the Chengdu city were full of goods for trade, measurable to those in the metropolitan Chang’an. The Chengdu city was one of the major centres for both the international and domestic trade in the empire.\footnote{See Nylan 2001: 311, who quotes an example from \textit{Huayang}: 271. The example shows that when a prefect faced the imminent execution, he negotiated with Tang Meng 唐蒙 for a delay in the date of execution so that he could visit the markets of Chengdu before he died.}

Nylan suggests that the Sichuan area was an ideal place for exile is because that it is encircled by steep mountains, which formed a natural defence against the outside world. On the other hand, the weather was temperate, the soil was fertile, the rivers running through the basin encircled by the mountains served well for the irrigation systems and there were many natural resources such as salt, iron, silver, bamboo and timber. The ideal natural environment provided conditions for the development of economy in Sichuan. In addition, the location of Sichuan at the Yangzi River valley and the focal point of Central Asia, India, southeast China and the metropolitan area...
of China made it an ideal place for international and domestic trade.\textsuperscript{40}

3.2 Expenditure on Tombs

In the Han period, lavish expenditure on tombs was prevalent among various social groups with different incomes all over the empire. According to the \textit{Huayang guo zhi} 華陽國志, in Sichuan during this time, all the wealthy families built grand tombs with luxurious coffins and used sheep and pigs for sacrifice.\textsuperscript{41} In eastern China, people with middle incomes consumed most of their savings on building tombs and shrines, as reflected in many inscriptions in shrines and tombs. For example, in the shrine dedicated to An Guo 安國 in Jiaxiang 嘉祥 in Shandong, the inscription shows that in order to build the shrine for An Guo, his three brothers spent 27000 \textit{qian}, which equals to three to four years of income of a local governor in charge of 1000 households.\textsuperscript{42} Such records on the consumption for mortuary construction to exhibit the filial piety of the family members of the deceased can be frequently found in Shandong and northern Jiangsu. Large consumption, as recorded in the inscription usually forms a stark contrast to the middle or low income official background of the

\textsuperscript{40} See Xu 1982: 1-6 for Chengdu as an early centre of trade. Xu Zhongshu suggests that transportation in Sichuan was not as inconvenient as traditionally regarded. During the Warring States, many bridges over the rivers and roads in the mountains had been built. The rivers on the Chengdu plain became important traffic routes for trade.

\textsuperscript{41} \textit{Huayang: 225}. “豪族服王侯美衣,…送葬必高墳瓦槨,祭奠而羊豕夕牲. Powerful families wore luxurious clothes like those of the imperial members,…when there was a funeral, high burial mounds were built, pottery coffins were used, sheep and pigs were used for sacrifice.”

\textsuperscript{42} “作治連月,…賈錢兩萬七千. The construction work took several months, … cost twenty seven thousand \textit{qian}.” The inscription contains 490 words, recording the grief of An Guo’s brothers and their lavish expenditure on the mortuary constructions. For the transcription of the whole inscription, see Li 1982: 101-108.
This phenomenon led to criticism by Huan Kuan 桓寬, a scholar of the time: “Nowadays when parents are alive, their children do not show love and respect, but when they die, their children elevate them to very lofty positions through extravagant [spending]. Even though they have no sincere grief, they are nonetheless regarded as filial if they give [their parents] a lavish burial and spend a lot of money. Therefore, their names become prominent and their glory shines among the people. Because of this, even the commoners emulate [these practices] to the extent that they sell their houses and property to do it.”

This criticism points out the motivation underlying the construction of extravagant tomb, which was to advertise the filial piety of the one who built the tombs and shrines. Filial piety had practical functions during the time. It was an important standard to be considered when recommending local officials. From the early Han, there had been a special official status, Xiaolian 孝廉 (filial and uncorrupt). Martin Powers further points out that the public display of filial piety through mortuary constructions was effective to obtain acknowledgement from the public, which was important to a person’s political career. Further benefits from filial piety included receiving prize from the local or central government and tax

---

43 For a series of expenditure on mortuary structures as recorded in the inscriptions in Shandong in Eastern Han, see Xing 1996. For example, only the stone pillar gates on the Wu’s family cemetery in Jiaxiang in Shandong cost 150,000 qian 錢, which equals to two years of the income of a local governor in charge of 1000 households.


The scale of this kind of public display through mortuary constructions and funeral could be as large as involving several ten thousand of people. According to the biography of Chen Shi 陳寔 in the *Hou Han shu* 後漢書, thirty thousand people from different areas of the country came to attend his funeral. Several hundred of funeral participants wore white mourning clothes and some stelae praising his virtues were erected in front of his tomb.\(^{46}\) Such scale of funeral was not unique in the Han period. After the famous literati Zheng Xuan 鄭玄 (AD 127-200) died, “all those who had studied with him, from those who held the official post of governor on down, put on their mourning robes to attend the funeral, over a thousand in all.”\(^{47}\) In such occasions, funeral became an important approach to maintain and establish social networks. Based on the inscriptions on the stelae for funeral, Miranda Brown makes a thorough analysis on how different social categories that were involved in funeral occasions and she researches the ways in which funeral and related mourning activities influenced a person’s political career.\(^{48}\)

The function of tombs in public display and a person’s political life was well exploited by the local powerful families. It is recorded in *Huayang guo zhi* that Yang

\(^{46}\) *Hou Han shu*: 2065-2067.


\(^{48}\) Brown 2007. Based on the stelae inscriptions, she discerns three kinds of important relationship between the mourner and the deceased, which are mother and son, friends or host and subordinate, and colleagues.
Bohou 楊伯侯, from a powerful family registered in the Pi 郫 Prefecture in Sichuan, was punished by the prefect, Liu Pang 劉龐 for lavish expenditure on tomb construction. This incident shows the contradiction between the local governor and the local power family. The potential role of tomb construction in reinforcing the influence and cohesion of a powerful family, led the local governor to suppress a powerful family through inhibiting the construction of extravagant tombs.

3.3 Powerful Families

The powerful family played an important role in the history of the Western Han and the Eastern Han as reflected in the continuous contest for political control and wealth between the central government and the local powerful families. To some extent, the history of the Eastern Han was a history of competition between such families and the central government. Liu Xiu 刘秀, the first emperor of the Eastern Han, was from a powerful family in Nanyang. These families are known as haozu 豪族, haozong 豪宗, haoyou 豪右, dazu 大族 and daxing 大姓 in the textual sources, “dominated the

---

49 Huayang: 238-239. “陴民楊伯侯奢侈,大起冢營,因龐為陴令,伯侯遂徙占成都. Yang Bohou in Pi had a lavish life and built extravagant tombs. After Pang became the prefect of Pi, Bohou moved to Chengdu.”

50 The background of this incident is that the local powerful families in the Pi Prefecture became a big threat to the ruling of the local government. When the new prefect Pang came, he enforced strict laws upon these powerful families and finally Yang Bohou had to move from the Pi Prefecture to Chengdu. Huayang: 238-239.

51 The focuses of the history recording the history of the Western Han (Han shu) and the history recording the history of the Eastern Han (Houhan shu) are different. There are more materials on the development of economy in the Western Han than in the Eastern Han. There are more materials on the growth of the powerful families and changes in social structures in the Eastern Han than in the Western Han. Loeve 1987: 129-136.
Han government and society and exercised control over the rest of the population"\(^{52}\).

The powerful families are divided into six groups by T’ung-tsu Ch’ü: 1) old families: the descendants of the ruling houses of the six states overthrown by the Qin; 2) imperial relatives of the ruling family of the Han who were made kings and marquises; 3) consort families; 4) officials; 5) wealthy merchants; and 6) redressers-of-wrong (youxia 遊俠).\(^{53}\) According to his definition, all these families had economic power in the way that they all possessed wealth and landed property, thus influencing the life chances of the rest of the population.\(^{54}\) The first four groups constituted the ruling class. The association with political control made them the most prestigious groups in the society. Economic power was closely related to political power. Many officials and consort families came from wealthy families. The political power also gave a family access to economic power.\(^{55}\) The powerful families in Eastern Han Sichuan mainly fall into the fifth group, the wealthy merchants, whose power derived from economic power and they sometimes attempted to convert their economic power into political prestige.\(^{56}\)

These families were organized around a single centred family, which dominated smaller ones. The lesser families affiliated to the large family through economic or political bonds. The affiliated small families were not necessarily from the same clan

\(^{52}\) Ch’ü 1972: 160.

\(^{53}\) Ch’ü 1972: 160.

\(^{54}\) Ch’ü 1972: 160.

\(^{55}\) Ch’ü 1972: 160-161.

\(^{56}\) Ch’ü 1972: 161.
with the same surnames.\textsuperscript{57} Two elements constitute a general powerful family: 1) the possession of large areas of land for agricultural and sideline production; 2) owning private army for guarding their possessions.\textsuperscript{58}

Such family also played an important role in the history of Sichuan, especially in the formative years of the early empires of the Qin and the Han in China. The forced movement of powerful families from other areas of China to Sichuan was one of the main strategies of the emperor to populate the area by people belonging to the mainstream culture of the empire. The forced movement of these families from their home base to a remote area, geographically cut off from the metropolitan area of the empire, was also a strategy of the emperor to reduce their threat to the central government. To some extent, the history of Sichuan’s incorporation into the empires of the Qin and the Han was a history of large scale of forced movement of people driven by the central government. One of the major sources of the new population in Sichuan was the powerful family. In the \textit{Huayang guo zhi}, a transmitted text recording the local history of Sichuan, these families are referred to as \textit{daxing} 大姓. The \textit{daxing} families of each prefecture are mentioned in the text as important social aspect of the

\textsuperscript{57} Yang 1936: 1016-1023. Yang Liansheng suggested that the so called \textit{haozu} was not simply constituted by the same clan. Instead, it centred on a powerful clan, constituted by many individual households and people, which affiliated to the powerful clan through economic or political relations (Yang 1936: 1017).

\textsuperscript{58} Chen 2010: 45-52. The large area of land was usually effectively exploited and thus became the major source of wealth of the powerful family. Chen Suzhen also mentioned many examples that in early and late Eastern Han, the affiliated households and people were organized by the powerful family as armed forces (Chen 2010: 49-52).
The following sections of the thesis will also use the term *daxing* when such families are referred to.

In most time of the Eastern Han, the *daxing* families served as a form of social power rather than military force. They had large numbers of affiliated peasants and servants in agricultural production and sideline industry, such as wine making and salt production. For example, the *daxing* families in the Linjiang 臨江 Prefecture in the Ba 巴 Commandery (table 1) had their own wells from which salt were produced. The peasants and servants became armed forces to guard the land and possessions of these families. During the unrest transitional Wang Mang Period in the beginning of the first century AD, there were many individual households looked to the *daxing* families for protection. The affiliated clans and consultants assisted the *daxing* families to climb up the political ladder to intervene in political affairs. The distribution of the *daxing* families in the Han was all over the empire. Many *daxing* families were prosperous for hundreds of years.

---

59 For example, when recording the history of the Linjiang 臨江 Prefecture, the local *daxing* families are mentioned in the following context: “臨江縣枳東四百里，接朐忍.有鹽官…其豪門亦家有鹽井. 又嚴,甘,文,楊,杜為大姓. The Linjiang Prefecture is located four hundred *li* to the east of Quren. The prefecture has officials on the management of salt…Affluent families also have their own salt wells. The *daxing* families are the Yan’s, the Gan’s the Wen’s, the Yang’s and the Du’s.” *Huayang*: 67.

60 *Huayang*: 67. “臨江縣…其豪門亦家有鹽井.”

61 See *Huayang*: 264 for example. The power families of Gao 高 and Ma 马 in the Qi 巿 Prefecture (table 2) owned personal armed forces for generations.

62 Ch’ü 1972: 208.

63 Chen 2010: 52. Chen Suzhen suggests that the powerful families in Eastern Han were not military entities. Instead, they control the society through their political and economic forces. The affiliated households and people were directed by the powerful families to seize the political and economic power from the government.

64 For examples, see the Eastern Han families of Lian Fan 廉范, Lu Xu 陸續, Cun Yin 崔騫
As seen in the textual sources, there were many daxing families in the commanderies in Eastern Han Sichuan under the study of the thesis. Although in most of the cases, only the surnames of these families were mentioned. The list of the daxing families in Sichuan in Eastern Han or in the period close to the Eastern Han recorded in the Huayang guo zhi is as follows.65

Table 1 Powerful families recorded in the Huayang guo zhi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commandery</th>
<th>Prefecture</th>
<th>Powerful Family (daxing)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ba 巴</td>
<td>Jiangzhou 江州</td>
<td>Bo 波, Song 松, Wu 吕, Xie 謝, Ran 然, Gai 盖, Yang 楊, Bai 白, Shangguan 上官, Cheng 程, Chang 常</td>
<td>Huayang: 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba 巴</td>
<td>Linjiang 臨江</td>
<td>Yan 巘, Gan 甘, Wen 文, Yang 楊, Du 杜</td>
<td>Huayang: 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba 巴</td>
<td>Pingdu 平都</td>
<td>Yin 殷, Lü 呂, Cai 蔡</td>
<td>Huayang: 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba 巴</td>
<td>Dianjiang 垫江</td>
<td>Li 黎, Xia 夏, Du 杜</td>
<td>Huayang: 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba 巴</td>
<td>Quren 胥忍</td>
<td>Fu 扶, Xian 先, Xu 徐</td>
<td>Huayang: 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba 巴</td>
<td>Langzhong 閬中</td>
<td>Hu 狐, Ma 馬, Pu 蒲, Zhao 趙, Ren 任, Huang 黃, Yan 巘</td>
<td>Huayang: 92-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Chengdu 成都</td>
<td>Liu 劉, Du 杜, Zhang 張, Zhao 趙, Guo 郭, Yang 楊</td>
<td>Huayang: 238-239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Pi 瑱</td>
<td>He 何, Luo 羅, Guo 郭</td>
<td>Huayang: 240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Fan 繃</td>
<td>Zhang 張</td>
<td>Huayang: 241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

65 Liu Lin notes that the names of the administrative districts of commandry and prefecture in the Huayang guo zhi were not names used in a certain period, such as the period around AD 347 when the Huayang guo zhi was written. Many commandries or prefectures were established or cancelled during the period from the Western Han to the middle of the fourth century AD. However, the author of the Huayang guo zhi used the names of the commandries and prefectures used during the period from the Western Han to the middle of the fourth century AD without mentioning the period when the name was used in most cases (Huayang: 5-6).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Family Name</th>
<th>Other Families</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Jiangyuan 江原</td>
<td>Chang 常</td>
<td>Huayang: 242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Linqiong 臨邛</td>
<td>Chen 陳, Liu 劉</td>
<td>Huayang: 244-245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Guangdu 廣都</td>
<td>Zhu 朱</td>
<td>Huayang: 249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan 廣漢</td>
<td>Luo 離</td>
<td>Tan 譚, Li 李, Guo 郭, Zhai 翟</td>
<td>Huayang: 257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan 廣漢</td>
<td>Mianzhu 綿竹</td>
<td>Qin 秦, Du 杜</td>
<td>Huayang: 259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan 廣漢</td>
<td>Shifang 什邡</td>
<td>Yang 楊</td>
<td>Huayang: 261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan 廣漢</td>
<td>Xindu 新都</td>
<td>Ma 馬, Shi 史, Ru 汝, Zheng 鄭</td>
<td>Huayang: 261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan 廣漢</td>
<td>Qi 郡</td>
<td>Wang 王, Li 李, Gao 高, Ma 馬</td>
<td>Huayang: 263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan 廣漢</td>
<td>Deyang 德陽</td>
<td>Kang 康, Gu 古, Yuan 袁</td>
<td>Huayang: 266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei 犍為</td>
<td>Wuyang 武陽</td>
<td>Yang 楊, Li 李 and other 12 families</td>
<td>Huayang: 279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei 犍為</td>
<td>Nan’an 南安</td>
<td>Neng 能, Xuan 宣, Xie 謝, Shen 謝, Yang 楊, Fei 費</td>
<td>Huayang: 281-282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei 犍為</td>
<td>Bodao 無道</td>
<td>Wu 吳, Kui 隗, Chu 楚, Shi 石, Xue 薛, Xiang 相</td>
<td>Huayang: 285-286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei 犍為</td>
<td>Niubing 牛鞞</td>
<td>Cheng 程, Han 韓</td>
<td>Huayang: 288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei 犍為</td>
<td>Zizhong 資中</td>
<td>Wang 王, Dong 董, Zhang 張, Zhao 趙</td>
<td>Huayang: 288-289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei 犍為</td>
<td>Han’an 漢安</td>
<td>Cheng 程, Yao 姚, Guo 郭, Shi 石, Zhang 張, Ji 季, Li 李, Zhao 趙</td>
<td>Huayang: 292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei 犍為</td>
<td>Xinyue 新樂</td>
<td>Wei 魏, Lü 呂</td>
<td>Huayang: 295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In early Western Han, the Emperor Wu 武 had already realized the threat of the daxing families to the central government and forcibly moved many of them from their homeland and forbade the large lineages to live together near each other. There were examples of such forced movement of such families to Sichuan in the Western Han as mentioned previously. Such policies continued until the reign of the Emperor Yuan 元 in 40 BC, when the central government became too weak to confine the
growth of the *daxing* families. In the Eastern Han, the central government made compromises with the growth of the *daxing* families. On one hand, the central government allowed the existence and growth of these families and did not attempt to entirely solve the problem of the concentration of large areas of land in a few families. On the other hand, new policies were formulated to control these families.

T’ung-tsu Ch‘ü suggests that the monopoly of the political power by the *daxing* families in Eastern Han came from the recommendation system of *chaju* 察舉, in which “a relative of 2,000-picul official was given the privilege of being appointed automatically a gentleman in the court”. Although in theory the recommendation system was open to all, the privilege was enjoyed by members of the *daxing* families. In addition, though in theory “the basic qualification for office was talent or virtue”, the fact was that “personal factors often were influential”. “The judgment of a local official was usually based on the prevailing opinion of the locality, which was the opinion of the prominent scholar-officials. They knew only the members of the *daxing* families with whom they associated.” For example, the government of the Qianwei Commandery in Sichuan often followed the suggestions from the local *daxing* in the Jiang’an Prefecture (table 1) and the prevailing opinion of the locality to

---

67 Chen 2010: 52. In early Eastern Han, the emperor Liu Xiu attempted to control the growth of the big local families through reducing the number of the local official positions, inhibiting the owning of private armies in the local kingdoms, freeing slaves from the big families, forcing the royal relatives in the capital to go to their local kingdoms.
68 Ch‘ü 1972: 204-205.
69 Ch‘ü 1972: 205.
70 Ch‘ü 1972: 206.
recommend officers and to deal with political affair.\textsuperscript{71}

### 3.4 Perspectives on Death and the Afterlife

Pascal Boyer argues in his book, \textit{Religion Explained} that religion is a practical matter and concepts in religion are developed by people in most of the times when there is a need for them.\textsuperscript{72} For example, when some salient event has happened, people incline to explain it in terms of the actions of the god or that someone has done things that the ancestors do not appreciate. Therefore religious concepts are exploited to understand, explain and resolve some mysterious occurrences and the unknown.

This practical attitude towards religion helps to explain the multiple and often contradictory understandings of what would happen after death during the Han period. On one hand, people prepared to facilitate the ascension to a heavenly world.\textsuperscript{73} On the other hand, people saw the possibility that they could continue a similar afterlife

\textsuperscript{71} \textit{Huayang}: 292. “而程,石杰立,郡常秉議論選之.”

\textsuperscript{72} Boyer 2001: 155-191 and 232-262. Boyer has discussed the gods and spirits as supernatural agents in different societies. He also suggests that there is a contrast between theological understandings and the mundane business of representing religious agents in the practical contexts. Therefore we can see that certain inconsistencies always exist in religion. In addition, people do not just “stipulate that there is a supernatural being somewhere who creates thunder, or that there are souls wandering about in the night”, they “actually interact with them, in the very concrete sense of giving and receiving, paying, promising, threatening, protecting, placating (Boyer 2001: 156)”.

\textsuperscript{73} Inscriptions found on Han funerary bronze mirrors show that people craved for life as an immortal: “If you climb Mount T’ai, you may see the immortal beings. They feed on the purest jade, they drink from the springs of manna. They yoke the scaly dragons to their carriage, they mount the floating clouds. The white tiger leads them…they ascend straight to heaven. May you receive a never ending span, long life that lasts for ten thousand years, with a fit place in office and safety for your children and grandchildren.” Loewe 1979: 200.
modeled on this life, instead of going to heaven. Therefore, they made thorough preparation for what they would need in such cases.

A general understanding of the division of the human soul into the hun 魂 and the po 魄 in the Han period could further be helpful to understand the contradiction in people’s view of the world for the deceased. When a person dies, his hun leaves the body and ascends to heaven while his po remains with his body on the ground. Michael Loewe makes use of this division of the soul to explain the seemingly contradictory views of the heaven and the netherworld. He suggests that the tomb was prepared for the deceased for two purposes: 1) to guide the hun to heaven; 2) to

---

74 According to a passage in the Lun heng 論衡 by Wang Chong 王充 (AD 27-ca.97), the ordinary people in Han thought that the dead were like the living. “They commiserate with them, [thinking] that in their graves they are lonely, that their souls are solitary and without companions, that their tombs and mounds are closed and devoid of grain and other things. Therefore they make dummies to serve the corpses in their coffins, and fill the latter with eatables, to gratify the spirits. This customs has become so inveterate, and has gone to such lengths, that very often people will ruin their families and use up all their property for the coffins of the dead.” Liu 1957: 461. The translation is after Forke 1962: 369.

75 K. Brashier (2001-2002: 160-161) notes that people in the Han could simultaneously hold inconsistent perspectives when he studies mountain inscriptions dedicated to the Spirit Lords of the mountains. Pu Mu-chou (1998: 3-5 and 184) suggests that elites in the Han might practice one set of beliefs at court and another at home. On the other hand, A. Siedel (1987) revealed some coherences in Han popular religion through a certain category of burial objects, which bear similar funerary texts. Pu Mu-chou (1998: 177) further suggests that in most ancient civilizations, excluding Ancient Egypt, “the netherworld was never a clearly conceived place”, and he quotes Humphreys, who suggests that “it is difficult in most cultures to locate the dead unambiguously in one place”. Humphreys 1993: 161.

76 For detailed discussion on the hun and po see Yü 1987. Ying--shih Yü has traced the origin and development of the concept of hun and po in pre-Buddhist China. He suggests that by the second century BC, at the latest, the division of the soul into hun and po had been widely accepted. He notes that there could be several dualities involved in the formulation of the soul in addition to the basic duality of hun and po. He suggests that the dualism can be briefly understood in the way that “Ancient Chinese generally believed that the individual human life consists of a bodily part as well as a spiritual part”. Yü 1987: 375.
satisfy the po on the ground.⁷⁷ “The po was provided with the essential commodities that he might need in his continued existence with body and with valuables that would make such an existence attractive.”⁷⁸ In this sense, “there was no contradiction in providing for both of these contingencies for one and the same person”.⁷⁹

In the following chapters of the thesis, the tomb structure, burial objects and pictorial carvings will be in certain occasions considered as providing for both the guide of the soul of the tomb occupant to heaven and the happy life after death. Consequently, the tomb itself is regarded as an ambiguous place, which is not necessarily heaven or the dwelling for the deceased.

4. Textual Sources

The major textual sources for the study are the Shi ji 史記 (the Records of the Historian), the Han shu 漢書 (the History of the Western Han), the Hou Han shu 後漢書 (the History of the Eastern Han) and the Huayang guo zhi 華陽國志 (the History of Huayang). The coverage of the four works is different. The Shi ji, the Han shu and the Hou Han shu were compiled in the form of the Standard History (zhengshi 正史).⁸⁰ The Shi ji was written as an overall account of human history

---

⁷⁷ Loewe 1979: 11.
⁷⁹ Loewe 1979: 11.
⁸⁰ For the definition of Standard History and the use of Standard History in the study of the history of Han, see Gardiner 1973: 42-52. The Standard History, or the official dynastic history, “refers to the Shi ji and twenty-four other works, which in general followed its plan of construction and, perhaps more significant, were all eventually accepted as presenting the orthodox and received account of the successive periods into which Chinese history was divided”. Gardiner 1973: 42.
down to the end of the second century BC, the author’s own time. The *Han shu* records the history of the Western Han dynasty, “beginning with the early life of its founder, Liu Bang 劉邦 in about 210 BC, and ending with the fall of Wang Mang 王莽 in AD 23”.

It was mainly written and compiled by Ban Gu 班固 (AD 32-92), an author lived in the following Eastern Han dynasty. The *Hou Han shu* describes the history of the Eastern Han dynasty, beginning with the life of its founder, Liu Xiu 劉秀 in about AD 25, and ending in AD 220. It is a composite work. The chapters on imperial annals and biographies were written by Fan Ye 范曄 (AD 398-446) based on earlier material. The treatises were written about a century earlier by Sima Biao (AD 240-306).

In contrast to the three Standard Histories, which records the history of the whole empire, the *Huayang guo zhi* describes the history of Sichuan and the adjacent areas in Yunnan, Guizhou, Gansu, Shaanxi and Hubei before the middle of the fourth century AD, the time of the author, Chang Qu 常璩. As pointed out by Michael Loewe that there is much more information “about political matters at the capital” than information “about events in the provinces of the empires” in the

---

81 Hulsewé: 129.
82 Loewe: 4.
83 *Huayang*: 1-2. “*Huayang* 華陽” is the abbreviation of “*Huashan zhi yang* 華山之陽 (the south of the Hua Mountain (in today’s Shaanxi Province))”. The structure of the *Huayang guo zhi* is similar to that of the Standard Histories. It has twelve *juan* 卷, which are divided into three sections. The first section (*juan* 1 to 4) describes the history of the Liang 梁 Region, the Yi 益 Region and the Ning 宁 Region, which is similar to the administrative geography (*dili zhi* 地理志) in the Standard History. The second section (*juan* 5-9) describes the four independent regimes in Sichuan in late Western Han, late Eastern Han and the first half of the fourth century, and the history in the Western Jin period (AD 265-316), which is similar to the imperial annals (*ben ji* 本紀) in the Standard History. The third section describes the eminent figures from Western Han to the middle of the fourth century, which is similar to the biographies (*lie zhuan* 列傳) in the Standard History.
Standard Histories, the *Huayang guo zhi* that focuses on the history of Sichuan including detailed information about some local households and individuals is especially useful to the study of the social background in which the popularity of the cliff tomb rooted.

5. Archaeological Discoveries

To study the cliff tombs in Sichuan and make comparisons with the contemporary tombs in central and eastern China, I will make extensive use of Chinese archaeological reports. The core data of the thesis are 289 cliff tombs in Sichuan published since the 1950s in the archaeological reports (Appendix 3). Fragmentary information on more individual cliff tombs can also be gathered from the reports of general investigation of the cliff tombs within certain areas.

Early investigation of the cliff tombs was conducted by western travelers, explorers and missionaries in the late nineteenth century and early twentieth century. An overall investigation of the antiquities and historic sites in the area was the object of such investigations and the researchers were then impressed by the distinctive form of the cliff tombs and their wide distribution in the area. After the first incorrect

---

84 Loewe 1987: 5.
85 For the value of Chinese local gazetteers in historical research, see Leslie 1973: 71-74.
86 See Torrance 1910 and 1930-1931; Ségalen 1917; Ségalen et al. 1923; Bishop 1916; Bedford 1937; Edwards 1954. For a very early account, see Baber 1882. These early researchers were well aware of the lack of textual records of the Sichuan area in early China and attempted to explore the local history through their investigation of the local relics. For example, when examining ancient burial remains in Sichuan, T. Torrance included the cliff tombs as an important section in his paper recording his observation of the burial customs in Sichuan (Torrance 1910). When investigating stone sculptures in
impression that these tombs belonged to the “aboriginal” people,\(^{87}\) the researchers soon realized that the occupants of these tombs were not different from occupants of other types of Han tombs. The main evidence is the accumulating discoveries of the cliff burial objects mainly in the forms of various pottery vessels, figurines and models, which share the common features with the burial objects found in the other Han tombs.\(^{88}\) In addition, the early researchers had become interested in the stone pictorial carvings frequently found in the cliff tombs, which share some subjects with the pictorial carvings on the brick and stone in the other Han tombs, though with some different local styles. The first catalogue of stone carvings of cliff tombs in Sichuan was published in 1951 in the US by Richard C. Rudolph in collaboration with Wen Shandong, Shaanxi and Sichuan in early 20\(^{th}\) century, Victor Ségalen incorporated the cliff tombs in Sichuan into his survey (Ségalen 1917; Ségalen et al. 1923). Their investigations of the cliff tombs were mainly carried out in the Pengshan 彭山 and Leshan 樂山 area in Sichuan. T. Torrance suggested that the cliff tomb was a local burial custom in Sichuan, the origin of which could be related to the assimilation of the Sichuan area into the Han empire from the second century BC to the second century AD (Torrance 1930-1931: 88-89).

\(^{87}\) Ségalen 1917 and Ségalen et al. 1923. Victor Ségalen mentioned that after Mr. Baber of the British Consular service, who investigated the cliff tombs in Sichuan in the 1880s and came to the conclusion that the cliff tombs belonged to the aboriginal inhabitants, the later “European travelers, missionaries or merchants repeated from the Chinese saying that they were Man Tung, caves of the Man Tzu” (Ségalen 1917: 155).

\(^{88}\) Torrance 1910: 65. As early as 1910, T. Torrance suggested that “as a result of my investigations, covering more than a year, it is established beyond all reasonable doubt that these caves, in the Northern section at least, were tombs of the Chinese and not of the so called ‘Man-Tze’, or aboriginal inhabitants”. His main evidence was that the content of the burial objects in the cliff tombs was similar to those in the dated Eastern Han tombs. Victor Ségalen took T. Torrance suggestion into consideration and further suggested that the artisans who made the cliff tombs might be from the same school who made the stone que pillars, which were typical Eastern Han tomb monuments popular in Sichuan, since both the cliff tombs and the stone que pillars have many similar architectural details, which were the reproduction of the wooden architecture. In addition, Ségalen suggested that the frequently found stone coffins in the cliff tombs showed that the tomb occupants were Han Chinese, since the pictorial carvings on the coffins were similar to the other Eastern Han funerary pictures (Ségalen 1917: 155-156).
Large scale scientific excavation of the cliff tombs started in the 1940s in Pengshan with Chinese archaeologists from the Society for Research in Chinese Architecture (Ying zao xueshe 营造学社). In 1957, with more comprehensive archaeological evidence at hand, Cheng De-Kun pointed out that the cliff tomb and the brick chamber tomb were two major tomb types in Eastern Han Sichuan. Followed by more and more scientific excavations of the cliff tombs in Sichuan together with the archaeological reports published in academic journals since the 1950s, scholars start to establish the chronology of the development of the cliff tombs and summarize their local features in different areas of Sichuan. Luo Erhu is the first one who establishes the chronology of the development of the cliff tombs and summarizes the different types of the cliff tombs in 1988. Fan Xiaoping elaborates the features of the cliff tombs in different areas of Sichuan in more detail based on more archaeological discoveries 18 years later, after Luo Erhu’s pioneering overall

---

89 During the Second World War, many Chinese scholars were forced to go to west China, especially the Chengdu plain in Sichuan, where a whole field of research on the mortuary pictorial art of the Han dynasty started to attract the attention of the researcher in both China and the West. The volume (Rudolf and Wen 1951) was published in this context, through collecting rubbings of the pictorial stones and bricks from the cliff tombs and brick tombs in Sichuan and from private collections.
90 The draft of the report, written by Chen Mingda in the 1940s was published in 2003 after editing. See Chen 2003. The original draft together with large amount of unpublished photos and sketches are conserved in the Three Gorges Museum, Chongqing. There is also a monograph based on the scattered reports of the excavation in Pengshan, published in 1990. See Beijing 1990.
92 Luo 1988. The materials used by Luo Erhu are mainly from the reports published in academic journals by the 1980s and his personal investigation of the tombs and the available materials in the local archaeological institutes. His work becomes a major resource for the later researchers of the cliff tombs in Sichuan.
In the past two decades, there have also been articles and volumes studying cliff tombs in specific areas in Sichuan. Tang Changshou studies cliff tombs in Pengshan and Leshan, two adjacent areas and discusses the similarities and differences of the cliff tomb in the two areas. Susan Erickson focuses on the cliff tombs in Santai near the Qi River.

Two types of archaeological reports are used in the thesis: the monograph, which usually deals with a cemetery with a large number of tombs, and the journal report, which usually describes a single tomb or several tombs. The journal report and the monograph follow a similar organisation.

---

93 Fan 2006: 67. The summary made by Fan is further elaborated in Chapter 2 of the thesis.
94 Tang 1993. Most of the cliff tombs in Tang Changshou’s work are not published in the form of archaeological reports. Tang’s personal investigation of the local tombs is crucial to the study of the features of the cliff tombs in Pengshan and Leshan in relation to the cliff tombs in other areas in Sichuan.
95 Erickson 2003 is the result of Erickson’s field trip to Santai in Sichuan on the study of the architectural elements in the local cliff tombs. See also Erickson 2010: 24-29, which is a part of the introduction to the Han tombs in the volume of the Cambridge History of China, in which the cliff tombs in Santai are her main examples when describing the cliff tomb as a local burial form in Eastern Han Sichuan.
96 Particular extensively decorated pictorial tombs, such as the stone tomb no.1 at Yi’nan in Shandong, are usually recorded in a monograph, rather than in a journal.
97 The journal reports used in the thesis are as follows, Kaogu 考古, Wenwu 文物, Sichuan Wenwu 四川文物, Kaogu yu wenwu 考古與文物 and Kaogu xuebao 考古學報. These reports are written in Chinese. Most of them have an English summary at the beginning.
98 In journal reports, the location of the tomb and the cemetery is described first, followed by the description of the structure and the size of the tomb. Then the burial objects and their location in the tomb are briefly introduced. The objects are then categorized based on typologies of material and shape. When the tomb contains pictorial carvings or mural paintings, the location and the content of the pictures are described. There is usually a conclusion section in the report, in which the date of the tomb and the social status of the tomb occupant are discussed. In monographs, location of the cemetery site is described first, followed by descriptions of large scale or richly furnished tombs selected from the site. The burial objects are then categorized according to typologies of shape and material. At the end, the date of the cemetery and the social status of the tomb occupants on the cemetery are analyzed.
A fundamental problem with the Chinese reports on burial site is that burial objects are divided into typologies. In addition, archaeological reports are usually written according to a set pattern as noted by Lothar von Falkenhausen. The assemblage of the burial objects from the same tomb are separated from their original context and reported in a fragmentary way. This means that the researcher then need to reconstruct the content and location of the burial objects in a single tomb by reassembling fragmentary information from the division of objects into typologies. When a single tomb is reported in a journal or in a monograph, the division of objects into typologies is not so problematic.

Another problem is the information provided by the reports on individual tombs and the relationship between tombs on a cemetery site. Most of the cliff tombs have been reported after rescue excavations. The rescue excavations usually took place when tomb objects were reported as being looted or when construction work of a bridge or a road uncovered a cliff tomb by chance. This means that the excavation can rarely be scientifically planned to explore the cliff tombs systematically at a single cemetery.

---

99 The typologies in these reports follow that of Oscar Montelius. Object types are defined on the basis of variations in form and subdivisions are further made. Chronology can then be established based on the pattern of occurrences of certain object types in certain periods. For discussion on Montelius’s approaches to European pre-history based on typology, see Trigger 2006: 223-230.

100 Falkenhausen 2006: 15. Falkenhausen points out that “Chinese archaeological reports constitute a peculiar kind genre of academic writing, governed as they are by their own textual conventions and conveying their information in sometimes idiosyncratic ways.”

101 So far, there are 289 published Eastern Han cliff tombs and 144 brick or stone tombs in Sichuan. See Appendix 3 (only cliff tombs are listed).
site. The ways in which the tombs are planned on a cemetery site and their internal relationships is rarely mentioned. Most of the reports choose the large scale and richly decorated cliff tombs, without mentioning the lesser cliff tombs at the same cemetery sites. In addition, many of the cliff tombs have been seriously looted, so that tomb objects have been displaced from their original locations in the cliff tomb or completely removed. Furthermore, due to acid soil in the Sichuan area, few skeletons in the cliff tombs remain or have been found under a good condition for further analysis. This means that an important source from bodies, which is crucial to study the burial ritual, is unavailable.

In spite of these problems, the reports of cliff tombs in Sichuan are very thorough in their descriptions of the objects and the overall features of the tomb. Moreover, the recent published volumes of the reports on cliff tombs at Santai and Zhongjiang 102 have avoided some of these problems. Both of the reports set out and separate each cliff tomb individually at the cemetery site alongside the full range of objects found therein. In effect, these reports are lists of cliff tombs and their contents. One is then able to utilise this information without first having to reconstruct the cliff tomb by sifting through various typologies. However, the two reports have problems in

---

102 See the authors of the reports of the cliff tombs in Santai point out that for the convenience of the researchers, they report the individual tomb one by one instead of making summary of the burial objects from all the tombs by topology (Beijing 2007: 10). The authors only make conclusions in the end of the volume of the report instead of in the end of the report on each tomb in order to exhibit the original state of the tombs to the largest extent to the researchers. Following the positive feedback on the Santai report, the report on the cliff tombs in Zhongjiang follows the same way to record the tombs (Beijing 2008: 2-5).
establishing overview of the tombs at the cemetery sites. Only grand tombs containing architectural elements of high quality or painted reliefs have been reported. The lesser tombs at the same cemetery site are only numbered and recorded in the forms of the statistics of the cliff tombs in the area at the beginnings of the reports. This means that the information on the overview of the cemetery site and the structure of the cemetery is unavailable for research. In fact, though cliff tombs have usually been found in large numbers at particular sites, no archaeological reports so far have attempted to describe the overview of a cemetery site of cliff tombs. Such overview can only be obtained from piecing together fragmental information of individual tombs in adjacent areas reported in different journal articles.¹⁰³

Most of the archaeological reports on the relatively richly decorated cliff tombs, or the cliff tombs found with large amount of burial objects, attribute these cliff tombs to the local powerful families (the haoqiang or the daxing). However, these conclusions are usually simply stated in one or two sentences. They entail a series of questions: 1) How “powerful” were these families, especially compared with the other elaborately decorated brick or stone chamber tombs, which are also simply concluded as tombs of the powerful families in the archaeological reports? 2) Based on what evidence, these tombs were attributed to the “powerful families”? Simply because these tombs have

¹⁰³ See for example the cliff tombs in Xindu 新都 in Sichuan. Reports of groups of cliff tombs in adjacent areas at Xindu (KGXB 1958.1; KG 2007.9; SCWW 1984.4; Chengdu 2004; Sichuan 1985a) have been published over the past 50 years. The authors of these reports also realize the internal links between these cliff tombs. They often cite discoveries in earlier reports as evidence to support their periodisation of the tombs.
elaborate decoration and many burial objects? 3) What did the members of these powerful families do for living? Were they landowners, officials, or both? These also are the questions the thesis will discuss when discussing the factors underlying the popularity of the cliff tombs in Sichuan in Eastern Han.

An examination of the archaeological reports will show that the evidence of the “powerful family” background of some grand cliff tombs falls into the following three aspects as exhibited in the tombs: wealth, private armies and family organization. The following summary is based on the discussions in the archaeological reports. The chapters of the thesis will present discussions as to what degree were these families powerful, both politically and economically, most especially when compared with tomb occupants of some elaborate brick or stone chamber tombs.

5.1 Wealth

The wealth of the daxing family came primarily from the agricultural and other production on the large areas of land owned by the family. Part of the wealth was used to build an ample house. The way in which a large cliff tomb was constructed to resemble an ample house will be elaborated in Chapter 2 on cliff tomb structure. An example of a cliff tomb modeled on an ample house which exhibited the wealth of the tomb occupant can be seen at Zijingwan M3 in Santai described in the beginning of this chapter. It contains many architectural features and even an armory.
Similar plans of the houses of living are found in some tomb reliefs and pottery house models in the Eastern Han tombs (fig.27). The Eastern Han pottery tomb relief unearthed from Chengdu in Sichuan depicts a courtyard scene with the main building, the living room in the rear, a watch tower by the encircling courtyard wall and the kitchen near the front gate (fig.28). In the living room in the rear of the courtyard, the host is seated with his guests being entertained by a pair of dancing cranes in the middle of the courtyard. The doorsteps in front of the entrance to the main building may suggest the importance of the building in the courtyard house.

The large tomb complex modeled on the large house complex further provides the stage for displaying the family wealth acquired mainly from the family’s agricultural estate. The life of a wealthy landowner who managed the large scale agricultural estate is comprehensively exhibited in the cliff tomb through both the stone carving and the burial objects in the tomb.

5.2 Private Armies

Many daxing families in the Eastern Han had private armies to protect their possessions. Most of the members of their private armies were peasants who worked for the powerful family in ordinary time, and became soldiers when there was a need to protect the families’ estate. The images of the peasant-soldiers constitute important

104 See London 1987: no. 24 for an example of an Eastern Han pottery house model which illustrates a courtyard house flanked by a pair of watch towers.
component parts of many large cliff tombs in Sichuan in the forms of pottery figures or stone carvings. The pottery peasant-soldiers excavated in the cliff tomb Baozishan 宝子山 M1 in Xinjin 新津 in Sichuan provides visual evidence for the appearance of the peasant-soldiers in Eastern Han. The three-dimensional figure stands in a height of 83 centimetres (fig.29).\(^{106}\) He wears a low cap, a tunic, an under garment comes to his knees and a pair of shoes opening at the toes. He carries a long spade, a tool usually used to till the soil. A sword with a ring-shaped handle and a knife are attached to his belt. The sword and the spade mark the dual responsibility of a peasant-soldier in agricultural production and in defending on the estate of the *daxing* families. The arrangement of the images of the peasant-soldier in the cliff tomb may also suggest the continuing need of the large landowner for protection in his afterlife.\(^{107}\) The images of the peasant-soldier also appear in the form of stone figurines and stone carvings.\(^{108}\) In addition to the peasant-soldier as a part-time guard of the *daxing* family, there were also full-time private guards for these families, who are also depicted in the cliff tombs in stone carvings and in pottery figurines. In Tangliangzi 塔梁子 M3 in Zhongjiang in Sichuan, two guards are carved on the either side of the entrance to the second main chamber.\(^{109}\) The right guard wears a long robe with a long sword attached to his belt. His robe and sword suggest his main responsibility as a full-time guard for the tomb occupant’s family (fig.30). Similar guard figures are found in the stone carvings in the cliff tombs in Leshan in Sichuan.

\(^{106}\) KG 1958.8: 34 and Rawson 2001: 305.

\(^{107}\) Rawson 2001: 305.

\(^{108}\) For the stone figurine of the peasant-soldier found in the cliff tomb, see WW 1993. 1: 42.

\(^{109}\) Beijing 2008: pl.63.
In addition to the figures of guards standing still, there are relief depictions of soldiers running or marching. Many images of the archer are depicted at Santai (fig.32). There are two principal types. The archer of the first type holds a bow and an arrow in his hands. The archer of the second type places his feet on the crossbow to set up the arrow. The archers carved in the cliff tomb Fentaizui 墳臺嘴 M1 and Huangmingyue 黃明月 M1 are using their full strength placing their feet on the crossbow with their knees bending to a great extent. Another archer carved in the cliff tomb Huangmingyue M1 is holding the bow in his hand, concentrating on aiming at a target.

Among all the areas where the cliff tombs are found, those in the Santai area together with the adjacent Zhongjiang area contain especially large numbers of military images, which matches the records on the local daxing families in the Huayang guozhi. According to the record, there were several powerful families, the Wang’s, the Gao’s and the Ma’s, residing in the Qi area, namely today’s Santai and Zhongjiang. All the daxing families had their own armies over several generations. Though no such names have been found in the large cliff tombs in Santai and Zhongjiang, the military images

---

111 See Beijing 1998: figs. 226-227 for example.
112 Beijing 2007: pls. 287, 304.
113 Beijing 2007: pl. 305.
114 See Table 1 on the Qi area and Huayang: 263. “郪縣…大姓…又有高,馬家,世掌部曲. In the Qi Prefecture, …the daxing families, …the Gao’s and the Ma’s had private armies for many generations.”
here suggest that they belonged.

5.3 Family Organization

In the Qin and the Han, large families of several generations were discouraged. According to the Qin law, fathers, sons and brothers were prohibited to live in the same household. The policy continued into the Western Han and the Eastern Han. Ordinary households in the Western Han had three to four members. While in the Eastern Han, some powerful families ignored the law and had households with many family members. Exceptional large families in Eastern Han with more than three generations sharing the family possessions are recorded in the standard history on the wealthy powerful families. In such families, the number of the family numbers is large.

Coinciding with the historical record, the Eastern Han cliff tombs in Sichuan in some cases contain large numbers of tomb occupants in coffins which are placed in the

---

115 Shi ji: 2232. “而令民父子兄弟同室息者為禁. And there was the law that commoners were prohibited from living with their fathers, sons or brothers in the same household.”

116 Lewis 2006: 87-88. As agreed by all scholars, the forms of the Han household were directly inherited from the Qin pattern in the Warring States. In the fourth century BC, during the reform of Shang Yang 商鞅 of the Qin state, household in which sons lived with their fathers were levied double taxes.

117 Ch’ü 1972: 8.

118 In the Hou Han shu, two cases of the powerful families, the Fan 樊 and the Cai 蔡 were recorded for their large size of the family, in which, three generations of the family members shared the family possessions. Hou Han shu: 1119; 1980. T’ung-tsu Ch’ü (1972: 9) suggests that the history’s emphasis on the family size and the recording of the only two cases of the large amount of family members imply that the large families were unusual in the Eastern Han and only appeared in some powerful families.
multiple chambers of the tomb, modeled on a large house complex as mentioned previously. It is likely that the large family containing large numbers of family members is epitomized in the cliff tomb modeled on the house complex. For example, the cliff tomb Tianhuishan 天回山 M3 is a grand cliff tomb, which is around 30 metres in length, consisted of eight chambers, containing a total number of 14 coffins, which were distributed in different chambers (fig.33).\textsuperscript{119}

6. Literature Review

Important works that establish the foundation of my database for the study of the cliff tombs have been introduced in the previous section. However, those are mostly descriptive rather than analytical. A series of questions about the tombs have been touched upon in those works and in the relevant studies on the Han tombs. These questions include: 1) What were the identities of the cliff tomb occupants? 2) What is the origin of the cliff tombs in Sichuan? and 3) How did the cliff tombs relate to the contemporary brick and stone chamber tombs? The discussion of these questions will be crucial to explore the factors underlying the popularity of the cliff tombs in Sichuan.

On the identity of the cliff tomb occupants, most of the scholars agree that those were the Han people, just as those of the brick or stone chamber tombs in central and east China.\textsuperscript{120} In addition, the elaborately decorated cliff tombs with large numbers of

\textsuperscript{119} KGXB 1958.1. The Tianhuishan tomb no.3 will be further discussed in Chapter 2 and 4.

burial objects belonged to the local *daxing* families, as suggested in most of the archaeological reports. Wu Hung suggests that many cliff tomb occupants were the disciples of the *Wu dou mi jiao* 五斗米道, the Five Pecks of Grain, the beginning of Daoism, which was popular in Eastern Han. The combined use of the three elements, the cliff tomb, the pictorial stone coffin and the money tree (the *yaoqianshu* 摇錢樹), established a distinctive religious symbolizing system. In particular, the *Xiwangmu* 西王母, the Queen Mother of the West, an important figure in this religion, was widely depicted on these elements. Wu’s suggestion provides insight into the way in which the identity of the cliff tomb occupants are studied based on burial remains, other than simply categorizing them into a social class. However, his conclusion on the religious influence oversimplifies the complicated factors underlying the popularity of the cliff tomb and the relevant objects as will be discussed in the following chapters.

On the origin of the cliff tombs in Sichuan, some scholars traced the prototype of the cliff tombs to the rock-cut tombs in Persepolis in West Asia, dated to the fifth century BC. Segalen probably was the first researcher who made such a suggestion, which was brought to attention again by Chen Mingda in the 1940s and Gu Qiyi in the

---

121 See for example, the discussions on the identity of the tomb occupants of the Tianhuishan tomb M3 in Chengdu (KGXB 1958.1: 102-103), the cliff tombs in Santai (Beijing 2007: 291) and the cliff tombs in Zhongjiang (Beijing 2008: 92-95).

122 Wu 2000: 435-438. The origin and the cult of the Queen Mother of the West together with the burial objects, the money tree in the cliff tomb will be further elaborated in Chapter 3.

123 See Segalen 1917: 156.
This suggestion will be discussed in detail in Chapter 2 on tomb structure. Luo Erhu and Fan Xiaoping doubt the western origin of the cliff tomb, mainly based on the stark contrast in scale and plan between the cliff tombs in Sichuan and the Western Asian rock-cut tombs. In 2012, Jessica Rawson again argues for the possible influence of the Western Asian rock-cut tombs on the cliff tombs in Sichuan. Her main evidence is that the pictorial scheme at the entrance to some cliff tombs in Sichuan appears to be very similar to that at the entrance to the rock-cut tomb of Darius I at Persepolis. Her suggestion draws important attention to the possibility that there were various sources of information that contributed to the development of the cliff tombs in Sichuan. Though, without having further discussion on the origin of the cliff tombs in Sichuan, Ma Xiaoliang makes an important observation that the cliff tombs appeared in many different areas in the Sichuan Basin around the same time, in the beginning of the first century AD, with several similar simple structures.

On how the cliff tombs in Sichuan related to other contemporary burial forms, some scholars, especially those working on a general history of the development of the burial forms in the Han dynasty, regard the cliff tombs in Sichuan as being at the same stage as the stone or brick chamber tombs in the process of constructing more house-like tombs, i.e., the cliff tomb and the stone or brick tomb are in essence the

---

125 Rawson 2012: 34-35.
126 Ma 2012: 87-88. Ma Xiaoliang divides the areas found with early cliff tombs into 6 sections: 1) the Qijiang 邛江 area; 2) the Xindu 新都 area; 3) the Pengshan area; 4) the Leshan area; 5) the southern Sichuan Basin; 6) the areas around the Three Gorges, especially Zhongxian 忠縣.
same burial form.\textsuperscript{127} In her investigation of the cliff tombs in Santai in Sichuan, Susan Erickson emphasizes that many pictorial decorations of the cliff tombs have their prototypes or counterparts in the earlier or contemporary tombs in central and east China.\textsuperscript{128} Though focusing on the pictorial stone carvings instead of the tomb structure, Martin Powers, Xin Lixiang and Michael Nylan suggest that the stone carving style of the cliff tombs in Sichuan is very similar to that of the tombs in Nanyang in the metropolitan area, where the stone carving tradition developed earlier.\textsuperscript{129} They suggest that there have been influences of stone carving style from the metropolitan area on the cliff tombs in Sichuan and thought that the development of the cliff tomb in Sichuan was established on the basis of borrowing both the architectural form and stone carving style from other regions. These thoughts will be followed up in the following chapters. The reasons for such possible borrowings will be considered.

7. Research Approaches

The main factors underlying the popularity of the cliff tombs in Sichuan were in essence about the intentional and unintentional choices made by their creators. Each chapter of the thesis makes an analysis of the choices underlying the characteristics of

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{127} Huang 2003: 147 and Erickson 2010: 24-29.
\textsuperscript{128} Erickson 2003: 409-437. Many of her interpretations of the pictorial carvings in the cliff tombs in Santai are based on the similar carvings in the brick and stone chamber tombs in Henan, especially in the Nanyang area.
\textsuperscript{129} Powers 1991: 110-123; 1987; Xin 2000:353-358; Nylan 2001: 321-324. Powers, Xin Lixiang and Nylan suggest that there were different styles of stone carvings in early China and divide the styles into provincial styles and metropolitan styles based on stone carvings found in the stone tombs and cliff tombs. The provincial styles and metropolitan styles have many variations in different regions.
\end{footnotesize}
specific part of the cliff tombs in relation to the contemporary brick or stone chamber tombs. These choices together constitute the preference of the cliff tomb occupants underlying the cliff tomb’s design, decoration and furnishing, which are inseparable from one another. The thesis also considers the natural environment and the social background in which people made these choices. They were also important factors that contributed to the popularity of the cliff tombs in Sichuan.

The choices of people for the proper burial form are embodied through the interaction between people and the material world. An important aspect of this material world is that people do not exist through their consciousness or body. Instead, as Daniel Miller suggests, they feel their existence because there is “an exterior environment that habituates and prompts” them. The exterior environment studied in this thesis mainly refers to the Eastern Han society in which the tombs played important roles in people’s social life. In addition, the distinctive regional cultures were influenced by the imperial orders of the empire. Moreover, as Miller points out that “every form we produce will tend to its own self-aggrandizement and interests”, the tombs constructed by people also became a constituent part of an institutionalized system. People had to follow certain rules or traditions in tomb construction and in funerals and sacrifices at the tombs, which had important influence on their life. These rules and the potential

---

130 Miller 2005: 5. He suggests that though we are unaware of the existence of the objects, they are powerful in the way that “they can determine our expectations by setting the scene and ensuring normative behavior, without being open to challenge” and “they determine what takes place to the extent that we are unconscious of their capacity to do so.”

influence were rooted in the already existing burial forms and the larger social background.

Certain aspects of the material world can become institutional facts in the way that is similar to playing chess, as suggested by Colin Renfrew. “The rules of chess create the very possibility of playing chess: they are constitutive of chess in the sense that playing chess is constituted in part by acting in accord with the rules.” The rules of building and using the cliff tomb also created the very possibility of its existing form: they were constitutive of the cliff tomb in the sense that building and using the cliff tomb is constituted in part by acting in accord with the rules. The thesis analyzes the process of the formation of these rules through reconstructing the activities involved in building the cliff tomb and in the funeral and sacrifice which took place at the cliff tomb.

Funeral and sacrifice are usually categorized as ritual, through which the burial form can be understood to embody social relations. As will be discussed in the third chapter on the pictorial carvings in the cliff tomb, the decoration for ritual purpose was exploited as “a tool for good government” and “rituals were a regulating

---

133 Morris 1992: 1-2. Ian Morris points out that “a burial is part of a funeral, and a funeral is part of a set of rituals by which the living deal with death”. Ritual, to some extent, creates social structure, in the way that “we interpret ritual as a model of the world through the prism of our own experiences, and interpret our own experiences through the prism of ritual as a model for the world”. “It was through ceremonies such as funerals that Greeks and Romans constructed and debated the meanings of their worlds.”
mechanism ensuring the smooth and efficient running of society”. The thesis also considers the other activities related to the tombs, especially tomb construction, as equally important to ritual in studying the social relations embodied in the cliff tomb. Colin Renfrew suggests that the active social role of monuments is rooted in its construction process. Through gathering labour from a certain area and putting endeavour into the same project, a coherent large community was formed. In addition, the impressive material presence of the monument in the area can become the centre of the community for public activities, such as feasting and sacrifice. As will be discussed in the second chapter, the construction of the cliff tomb was a project carried out by a family over many generations. The monumental aspect of the cliff tomb will be studied through its building material, building process and structure.

8. Thesis Structure

The first chapter situates the cliff tombs in Sichuan in the development of the various burial forms in the Han period and in the social background in which large consumption on tombs was prevalent. It proposes the main question of the thesis: what factors contributed to the popularity of the cliff tombs in Sichuan. The following three chapters make analysis of the characteristics of the cliff tomb structure, the burial objects and the pictorial carvings in relation to the contemporary brick or stone

---

134 See Dramer 2002: 123; 107. Her argument is based on the case studies on the decorated stone chamber tombs in Shandong and Henan in Eastern Han.
135 Renfrew 2001: 109. His case studies are mainly on pre-historical stone monuments, such as Stonehenge and Avebury. He points out that the construction of these monuments “certainly implies some pooling together of labour of a number of the smaller, earlier territories”.
chamber tombs in both Sichuan and east China. The reasons for the choices made by people for these characteristics are examined. The second chapter analyzes the characteristics of the cliff tomb structure. It discusses the geological condition for cliff tomb construction, the local tradition and the potential influence from the outside. Through reconstructing the tomb construction process, it reveals the active role of the cliff tomb in the local society. Moreover, through examining the features of the major architectural elements in the tomb, it reveals the preference and intention of the tomb occupants underlying the design of the cliff tomb structure, which are crucial to the discussion on the reasons of the popularity of the cliff tombs. The third chapter examines the characteristics of the main decorated sections in the cliff tomb. The functions of these decorated sections in funeral and sacrifice are revealed. Moreover, the pictorial scheme in the cliff tomb is interpreted in relation to the reasons for the popularity of the cliff tombs in Sichuan. The fourth chapter studies the burial objects with local features in the cliff tomb, the money tree and the pictorial stone. It discusses how these objects were designed and arranged in the tomb and reconstructs the social relations embodied in these objects. The final chapter points out that the tomb structure, the pictorial carvings and the burial objects are inseparable from each other and were designed out of the same needs of the tomb occupants, which were also the main factors contributing to the popularity of the cliff tombs in Sichuan.
Chapter 2 Tomb Structure

The chapter aims to reveal the preference of the tomb occupants underlying the design of the cliff tomb structure. Cliff tomb structures were widely used in the Sichuan area, though vary in scale and quality, they share many common characteristics. In this chapter, I will look what are the main characteristics of the cliff tomb structure and why these characteristics were chosen by the local people.

1. Archaeological Evidence

Researchers have long been interested in the diverse arrangement of the burial chambers in the cliff tombs. So far, Luo Erhu has made the most comprehensive summary of the forms of the cliff tombs in six large categories, which further fall into 24 subdivisions (fig.34). The six categories are mainly based on the number of the burial chambers on the central axis of the tomb. Category I are single chamber cliff tombs. Category II are cliff tombs with two chambers on the central axis. Category III are cliff tombs with three chambers on the central axis. Category IV are cliff tombs with more than three chambers on the central axis. Category V are cliff tombs with large extended side chambers. Category VI are cliff tombs with a transverse front chamber resembling a shrine. There have been attempts to trace the chronological development of the plan of the cliff tombs and to interpret the functions of the various burial chambers. It is generally believed that the early tombs, date between AD 6 and

AD 39, are usually single chamber tombs. Larger and more complicated cliff tombs appeared in the second century AD. And as pointed out by Ma Xiaoliang, the early cliff tombs not only appeared exclusively in the western Sichuan Basin, including the Leshan and Pengshan areas, they have also been found throughout the Sichuan Basin, including the Fu 沱 River area, the Tuo 汤 River area, the Min 岷 River area and the Yangzi River area (fig.1).

It seems that the early adoption of the cliff tombs affected a wide range of areas, and the subsequent development of the cliff tomb with more complicated structures and forms varied from area to area. These local features were partly determined by geography, local tradition and local economy, as reflected from the features of the cliff tombs in four areas in Sichuan, the Chengdu-Leshan area, the Fu River area, the southeastern Sichuan Basin and the Chongqing area. The cliff tombs are primarily distributed along rivers, which constituted the major traffic routes, sources of irrigation and a large fishing industry (fig.1). In other words, the cliff tombs are found mostly in the areas that had a certain scale of population and economy. The quality of the cliff tombs partly corresponds to the scale of the local economy as recorded in the textual sources. For example, in the Eastern Han, the Leshan area, where large scale cliff tombs are concentrated, “had fertile farm land over a thousand li 里, …, also had the benefit from fishing, salt, copper and silver mining industry, and from the

---

138 Ma 2012: 84-85.
139 Ma 2012: 84.
140 When discussing the local features of the cliff tombs in Sichuan, Fan Xiaoping considers the cliff tombs in these four areas. See Fan 2006: 39-68.
convenient water route transportation”. The Wuyang Prefecture of the Leshan area was well irrigated. The Santai area, along the Qi river, where many elaborately decorated large cliff tombs have been found, was also a wealthy region in Eastern Han, as recorded in the *Huangyang guozhi*: “It had prosperous salt well production. … The powerful families of Gao 高 and Ma 馬 had owned private armies for generations”. For regions distant from Chengdu, the political and economic centre of the Eastern Han Sichuan area, such as the Chongqing area, and the Yibin 宜賓 area in the southern Sichuan Basin, the cliff tombs are often small, with lesser quality.

The local features of the cliff tombs were also affected by the types of the mountain rock in the area. As Peter Rockwell points out, the characteristics of the stone have great influence on its workability, which can be classified as the “present condition of the stone, hardness, characteristics caused by the stone’s geological formation, reaction to tools and colour”. The cliff tombs in Sichuan are cut into sandstone cliffs, which are relatively soft and easy to carve. The hardness and the colour of the sandstone vary to some extent, contributing to the diverse appearances of the cliff tombs in different areas. Most of the sandstone within the four major distributions of the cliff tombs in Sichuan is red. Some of the sandstone in the Fu River area is gray or between gray and red. This kind of sandstone is more resistant to weathering and the

141 “沃野千里,… 又有魚鹽銅銀之利，浮水轉漕之便”. *Hou Han shu*: 535.
142 “借江為大埝，灌郡下”. *Huayang*: 279.
143 “富國井鹽,… 又有高、馬家，世掌部曲.” *Huayang*: 263.
144 Rockwell 1993: 17.
texture allows more delicate carving by comparison with the red sandstone. As a result, more elaborate cliff tombs are found in the Fu River area, especially in Santai. In the Chongqing area, the cliff usually contains both sandstone and conglomerate, which increases the hardness of the cliff and the difficulty for carvers. By comparison with other areas, the tombs in Chongqing are usually smaller and have fewer pictorial carvings.

Fan Xiaoping has made a comprehensive summary of the major features of the cliff tombs in different areas of Sichuan as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Location and Geology</th>
<th>Tomb Structure</th>
<th>Important Feature</th>
<th>Typical Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chengdu-Leshan</td>
<td>Single chamber -multiple chambers; most consist of tunnel, door and burial chamber. In the plan of front and rear chamber or multiple</td>
<td>Secondary platform along the Min River and the Qingyi River. Red sandstone. The tomb entrances are relatively low on the hills and high along</td>
<td>6 types: 1. Rectangular single chamber tomb; 2. Single side chamber tomb; 3. Double-side chamber tomb; 4. Three-side chamber tomb; 5. Multiple-chamber tomb; 6. Irregular shaped tomb. The “residential” function is salient. The</td>
<td>Large scale family burial, with large amount of burial objects of various types. The chamber has drainage. The tunnel is long. The sacrificial chamber forms the front chamber of the family tomb.</td>
<td>Mahao 麻浩 I M1 in Leshan, Xiaoba 肖壩 I M39 in Leshan, Baiyanshan 白巖山 M55 in Leshan, Tianhuishan M3 in Chengdu, Xinxiang 新鄉 M3 at Shuangliu 雙流 in Chengdu, Youfanggou</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

145 Many of the cliff tombs in the Chongqing area are located in the Danxia landform area, which is characterized by “spectacular red cliffs”. See http://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1335. Accessed on 8 Feb 2013.

146 Translated from Fan 2006: 67.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Chamber Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fu River area</td>
<td>Single chamber - multiple chambers. Most consist of tunnel, door and burial chamber.</td>
<td>Fu River area (central Sichuan Basin), along the Qi River. Red sandstone. Low tomb entrance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 types: 1. Rectangular single chamber tomb; 2. Single side chamber tomb; 3. Double-side chamber tomb; 4. Three-side chamber tomb; 5. Multiple-chamber tomb; 6. Irregular shaped tomb. Central pillars and coffin beds are often found in multiple-chamber tombs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern Sichuan</td>
<td>Most are single chamber tombs. Multiple chamber tombs are very few.</td>
<td>Tuo River area (south Sichuan) and Yangtze River area (Jinsha Golden River and Min River). Red sandstone. Relatively high tomb entrance. Some are located on the wall of the cliff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2. Stone as Building Material

#### 2.1 Interest in the Use of Stone

The exploitation of mountain rock for tomb construction was not invented in Sichuan. Early in the second century BC, in the Western Han (206 BC-AD 8), large scale rock-cut tombs were used by the kings of the Western Han kingdoms in eastern China, mainly in present northern Jiangsu, eastern Henan, southern Shandong and Hebei.\(^{147}\)

---

\(^{147}\) The Western Han kingdoms were designated by the emperor of the Western Han to certain members of the royal family. The administrative duty of the king was equal to that of a prefect. For the sources of the rock-cut tombs of the Western Han kings discovered so far, see Appendix 1 in Miller 2011. According to Miller’s survey, so far 43 rock-cut tombs of the Western Han kings have been discovered. 14 belonged to the kings of the Chu kingdom in Jiangsu. 11 belonged to the kings of the Liang 梁
Such royal rock-cut tombs were usually cut horizontally into the mountain to create a series of burial chambers with different functions, similar to the dwelling for the living. For example, the Beidongshan 北洞山 tomb belonging to a king of the Chu kingdom in Xuzhou is cut horizontally into the mountain rock, with a 56 metres long central path on its axis and numerous side chambers, a rear chamber and an auxiliary hall connected to the central corridor (fig.24).\textsuperscript{148} It is notable that the auxiliary hall is made of stone slabs. It is actually a stone building attached to the rock-cut tomb modeled on the dwelling for the living. The use of slabs was probably a result of the workmen discovering they had dug into an area with insufficient rock to cut out for the large side chamber.\textsuperscript{149}

Jessica Rawson suggests that the royal rock-cut tombs in the Western Han were an important stage in the development of Han tombs with a horizontal plan in place of

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item[1] belonged to the king of the Jibei 濟北 kingdom in Shandong.
\item[2] belonged to the kings of the Lu 魯 kingdom in Shandong.
\item[3] belonged to the kings of the Zhongshan 中山 kingdom in Hebei.
\item[4] belonged to the king of the Nanyue kingdom in Guangdong.
\item[5] belonged to the king of the Ji’nan kingdom in Shandong.
\item[13] belonged to the kings of the Lu 魯 kingdom in Shandong.
\item[14] belonged to the kings of the Zhongshan 中山 kingdom in Hebei.
\item[15] belonged to the king of the Nanyue kingdom in Guangdong.
\item[16] belonged to the king of the Ji’nan kingdom in Shandong.
\item[17] Important discoveries include the Mancheng 滿城 tomb in Hebei (Beijing 1980), the Beidongshan tomb (Beijing 2003) and the Shizishan 獅子山 tomb in Xuzhou (WW 1998.8), and the Bao’anshan 保安山 tomb M2 in Henan (Beijing 2001: 40-71).
\item[148] For the archaeological report see Beijing 2003: 6-28.
\item[149] In the case of the Beidongshan tomb, the auxiliary hall made of stone slabs was also a step towards the use of stone masonry for lesser tombs. See Rawson 1999: 9-10. During the first and second century AD, tombs with a horizontal plan constructed of stone or brick were built underground or half underground imitating dwellings for the living. They usually comprise several chambers and were covered under burial mounds. By and large, the introduction of the stone as the building material brought about the tombs of masonry structure, which gradually replaced the traditional wooden chamber (muguo 木槨) tombs. These tombs were especially prevalent in central and eastern China. They all resembled dwellings. Some indeed had doors and windows rendered in the interior.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
the earlier vertical pit tombs. Moreover they are one of several manifestations of the Han interest in the use of stone.  

150 Ann Paludan and Wu Hung also point out that it was in the Han that people became interested in exploiting stone as a material for building and sculpture.  

151 They both argue that the durable nature of stone was linked to some essential elements in Han belief: immortality and the West. The West is both a conceptual place where immortals dwell and a geographical area referring to the vast areas west of Han China, mainly Central and West Asia. Consequently, things borrowed from the West were related to immortals. The use of stone was almost certainly one of those borrowings, as well as some tomb reliefs and figurines with exotic features. Early in 1917, Victor Ségalen proposed that the cliff tombs in Sichuan may have originated in West Asia by giving the examples of the rock-cut tomb belonging to Darius I at Persepolis and some other West Asian tombs.  

152 Later Chinese scholars including Gu Qiyi and Chen Mingda quoted the examples provided by Ségalen and re-examined the similarities and differences between the cliff tombs in Sichuan and the Western Asian rock-cut tombs.  

153 Jessica Rawson further compares the facades of the tomb of Darius I and the cliff tomb Lijiagou M355 in Pengshan to show the potential West Asian influence on the cliff tombs in Sichuan (fig. 35, 36).  

154 Both tombs feature a doorway framed by two columns and the decoration of the winged creatures above the door.  

---

150 Rawson 1999: 9-10.  

151 See Paludan 2006: 103-142; Wu 1995: 121-142. Robert Thorp and Wu Hung also point out that stone was an important material introduced from the West to the tomb constructions in China in the second and first century BC. Before the Western Han (206 BC-AD 8), the major tomb building material in China was wood. See Thorp 1979: 128 and Wu 1995: 121-142.  

152 Ségalen 1917: 156.  


154 See Rawson 2012: 34. Her comparison also draws my attention to Schmidt’s archaeological report on the rock-cut tomb at Naqsh-e Rustam.  

155 For the facade of the rock-cut tomb at Naqsh-e Rustam, see Schmidt 1970: 80-83. For the structure
of the rock-cut tomb at Naqsh-e Rustam, see Schmidt 1970:80-89. The tomb of Darius I at Naqsh-e Rustam “consists of three basic units, namely vestibule, vault, and cist. The long axis of the vestibule is parallel, or was meant to be parallel, to the façade of the tomb. The vaults are alcoves of rectangular or approximately rectangular plan extending from the vestibule into the rock. The burial cists are rectangular cavities cut into the floors of the vaults” (Schmidt 1970: 87). The author of the archaeological report suggests that the tomb was “prepared for a monarch and the closest members of his family group” and “each cist was probably intended for one body” (Schmidt: 1970: 88).

In *A Global History of Architecture*, the authors suggest that the idea of building rock-cut tombs was an ancient one (Ching, Jarzombek and Prakash 2007: 164). So far rock-cut tombs have been found used in many ancient cultures in the world. The main areas include Thebes in Egypt (ca.1450 BC) (Strudwick and Taylor 2003 and Hawass and Vannini 2009) (fig.37), Lycia and Cyprus (ca. 700 BC) (See Bean 1989: pls.1-11 and pls. 29-30, Haspels 1971 and Roos 1972-1974) (fig.38), Etruria in Italy (ca.500 BC) (see Steingräber 2009) (fig.39), Persepolis in Iran (ca.480 BC) and Petra in Jordan (ca. AD 100) (McKenzie 2005 and Ossorio 2009) (fig.40). Though varying greatly in scale and belonging to tomb occupants of a variety of identities, these rock-cut tombs all feature plans and architectural details imitating those of the above ground palaces and dwellings. All these areas have appropriate geological formations for the construction of the rock-cut tombs. However, “how the skills needed to create rock-cut architecture found such wide distribution is not known” (Ching, Jarzombek and Prakash 2007: 164).

In fact, not only researchers of the Han dynasty rock-cut tombs and cliff tombs have considered the origin of the rock-cut tomb in other regions, the researchers of the rock-cut tombs in other regions have also considered the possibility of the foreign influence on their rock-cut tombs. Stephan Steingräber examines the possible influence of the rock-cut tombs in Phrygia and Persepolis on the rock-cut tombs in Etruria. He then suggests that for typological reasons, the above mentioned rock-cut tombs cannot be regarded as prototypes of the Etruscan rock-cut tombs. In addition, the rock-cut tombs in Persepolis appeared later then the Etruscan tombs (Steingräber 2009: 67). He then comes to the conclusion that instead of being influenced by foreign models, the Etruscan rock-cut tombs were more likely mainly influenced by the local house and palace architecture (Steingräber 2009: 67-68). Furthermore, Steingräber proposes that the tradition of rock-cut tomb construction, “which had been deeply rooted in some parts of Asia Minor since early times”, “may have given some impulse to the genesis of Etruscan rock tomb architecture, not so much in specific typology but in a more general conceptual way” (Steingräber 2009: 68). His main support for this hypothesis is that the oldest Etruscan rock-cut tombs were not the result of a long local development. They suddenly appeared in the well developed monumentalized form. The influence of rock-cut tomb tradition through the “general conceptual way” can also be applied to the sudden popularity of the rock-cut tombs and cliff tombs in Han dynasty China.

On the rock-cut tombs in Petra in the first century AD, Judith McKenzie suggests that the development of these tombs could be influenced by foreign palace architecture, especially the façade of the classical palace architecture. Many rock-cut tombs in Petra feature extensively decorated facades. In some cases, “the creation of facades which could not have been built free-standing was made possible by them being carved in the mountain side (from the top down)”. McKenzie suggests that this creativity could
be resulted from “the Nabataeans’ lack of preconceptions about the conventions of classical architecture” (McKenzie 2005: 116-117).

When studying the rock-cut tombs at Etenna in southern Anatolia in comparison with the Lycian rock-cut tombs on the southern coast of Turkey dated to the fourth century BC, N. Cevik suggests that the basic similarity, “such as the cutting of a tomb chamber in the rock, could occur without any influence from other cultural region”. The adoption of similar rock-cut tombs could be out of “similar burial needs, similar natural materials or similar architectural knowledge”. “The influences between the rock-cut tombs of different regions and periods must be seen in the particular details, and their relation to local burial customs.” See Cevik 2003: 114.

As seen from above, the rock-cut tombs and cliff tombs in Han dynasty China were probably also under the influence of foreign rock-cut tomb tradition through a conceptual way. Most of the architectural details of the Chinese local rock-cut tombs, however, were directly influenced by the local architecture. In the following brief discussion, I will touch upon two points on the potential foreign influence on the cliff tombs in Sichuan: one is about the influence through the conceptual way; one is about the influence reflected through architectural details.

As already briefly mentioned in Chapter 1, the structure of the cliff tombs in Sichuan was probably adapted for the use of a large family over generations, which might be one of the reasons for their popularity among the locals. This nature of the rock-cut tomb for the use of a growing family had been exploited as early as the thirteenth century BC in Ancient Egypt. Peter Dorman notes that in the Theban Necropolis, the rock-cut tomb for family use usually shared a front chamber for memorial, which was the earliest part completed in the tomb (fig.41). The burial chambers were then carved out behind the front memorial chamber, one after another, depending on the needs and the population of the later generations of the family (Dorman 2003). Though it is impossible to establish a link between the burial traditions in the Theban Necropolis and the cliff tombs in Sichuan, the exploitation of one of the significant natures of the rock-cut tombs indicates that the concept of building rock-cut tombs could have influence in the area with rock mountain.

Many western rock-cut tombs have extensively decorated façades imitating those of the classical palace architecture. For example, the rock-cut tombs in Lycia dated to the eighth century BC and the rock-cut tombs in Petra dated to the first century AD. Significant borrowing of the classical architectural elements includes the representation of the pediments and mouldings on the rock-cut tomb façades. Notably, some dubious representation of the pediments and mouldings are also found on the façades of the cliff tombs in Sichuan. On the façade of a cliff tomb in Leshan, a pediment-like image is carved above the two entrances to the tomb (Bishop 1916: fig.146) (fig.42). It seems that this façade is not imitating the traditional Chinese architecture, which does not have the pediment on the front. As for the representation of mouldings, some tomb doors with multiple layers of door frames are found in the cliff tombs in Changning (KGWW 1985.5) (fig.43). Jessica Rawson suggests that two completely different sets of ornamental system were used in China and the West (Rawson 2006). “In the West, structural principles derived from architecture determined the rules that governed the organization of the motifs” (Rawson 2006: 380). As suggested by J. Summerson, the classical architectural elements such as the capital styles, the pediments, the cornices and the mouldings had been integrated into the language of
Though it is difficult to reconstruct the exact route for the contacts between West Asia and Sichuan in the Han, as Jessica Rawson has suggested, one of the possible routes may have been through “the great tributaries of the Yangzi River from Shaanxi through Sichuan to Hubei, and yet further south to the kingdom of Dian in Yunnan”. It seems that due to the craving for the immortal West, images related to the West were continuously imported to Sichuan and central China after the borrowing of stone tomb construction, for example, the decorative elements with Buddhist influence in the beginning of the first century AD. It is very likely that some forms of Buddhist decoration are introduced into the Han tombs first, without the introduction of their religious meanings. And the special location of Sichuan connecting eastern China and the trade route to the West made the penetration of the Western influence easier. The early Buddhist images found in Sichuan (mainly from burial goods and stone carvings in the cliff tombs) are closer to their sources of origin, compared to the early Buddhist images found in central and eastern China. Important images of Buddha include the seated figure on the pottery seat of the money tree found in the cliff tomb in Pengshan (fig.189), and the figure carved on the lintel at the entrance to a burial chamber in the cliff tomb Mahao I M1 in Leshan (fig.165).

ornament as a result of long term development (Summerson 1980). The reproduction of fragmentary classical architectural elements in the cliff tombs reveals that the western ornamental system was still foreign to the cliff tomb builders. Instead of systematically copying these classical architectural elements from the classical palace architecture, it is more likely that the cliff tomb builders transplanted these elements directly from the facades of the western rock-cut tombs.

156 Rawson 1999: 22.
157 Beijing 1991: fig. 44.
158 KG 1990.2: 114-115. For the important book on the discussion of the southern “silk road” linking Sichuan and areas west and south to China in the first and second AD see Chengdu 1990. For discussions in individual papers, see SCWW 1991.5, SCWW 1998.5 and SCWW 2002.5.
2.2 Monumental Aspect

In addition to the borrowing of stone as a kind of tomb building material due to its representation of immortality, the monumental aspect of stone building was also explored in the Han. Wu Hung argues that in the Han, the graveyard became a centre of social activities. It consists of a series of monumental structures, including the tomb, shrine and the que pillar gates (fig.18).\(^{159}\) To some extent, the family graveyard was a “monumental complex consisting of multiple commemorative structures”.\(^{160}\) It is generally agreed by scholars that a monument “serves to preserve memory, to structure history, to immortalize a figure, event, or institution, to consolidate a community or a public, to define a centre for political gatherings or ritual communication, to relate the living to the dead, and to connect the present with the future”.\(^{161}\)

Similarly, Françoise Choay emphasizes the memory preserving function of a monument: “the original meaning of the word is that of the latin [sic] monumentum, itself derived from monere (to warn, to recall), which calls upon the faculty of memory. The affective nature of its purpose is essential; it is not simply a question of informing, of calling to mind a neutral bit of information, but rather of stirring up, through the emotions, a living memory…. [O]ne would term a monument any artifact erected… to commemorate or to recall… individuals, events, sacrifices,

\(^{159}\) Wu 1995: 120-122.

\(^{160}\) Wu 1995: 190.

practices or beliefs.”

In this sense, a cemetery of cliff tombs can be regarded as monumental complex and the individual cliff tombs serve the functions of a monument, as will be elaborated later in this chapter that they record the things that had happened in the family and established communications between different generations of the family. In addition, the cliff tomb was “part of a dynamic web linking the past, the present, and the future, while also binding the world of the living and the world of the dead”.

Colin Renfrew suggests that monument was a result of the ongoing social activities. Through monument construction and a series of rituals and religious activities centring on a monument, the monument became the centre of a new community, which would not have come into being had it not endeavoured to make and use the monument. In addition, the monument has an “affective power”, which originated from the human endeavour devoted to the construction of the monument. The “affective power” or the impressive “material presence” of the monument often brings a sense of a place or becomes a “territorial marker” of the community who constructed the monument. The structure of the cliff tomb in Sichuan exhibits many characteristics of such a monument as will be discussed below.

---

162 Choay 2001: 6. I was directed to Choay’s understanding of monument by a chapter in John Carlson’s DPhil thesis at the University of Oxford.
164 Renfrew 2001: 108-109. He uses the large stone monuments like Stonehenge and Avebury as examples to explain how extraordinary that labour resources were concentrated for the construction of a large communal project and how a potential social unit could consequently emerge. In addition, there would be ongoing rituals and other social activities centring the monument to enhance the continuity of the social unit.
It is common to find rows of planned cliff tombs located on the gentle sloping hillside facing to a river, in large numbers, from several tens to several hundred (fig.44). Adjacent tombs are seldom found intruding into each other’s space, no matter how complicated structures of their internal spaces in the mountain rock (fig.45). Such phenomenon indicates careful choosing and planning of the cemetery site for the cliff tombs. To some extent, the well-organized cliff tombs in rows on a designated mountain slope can be regarded as a kind of “ordered landscape”, which symbolized the appropriation of the space while “organizing and materializing social relationships and boundaries”. A Han inscription found in Bazhou in Sichuan reveals that a certain site on a hill or the whole hill could be purchased as a family cemetery for use for generations.

To form this “ordered landscape”, the site had to meet two standards: first, it had to have good feng shui 风水, that is, satisfying geomancy for the continuing benefit of the tomb occupants and their family members; second, the site had to have the proper sandstone for the excavation of the internal burial space. These two standards

165 For an example of an organized cliff tomb cemetery, see the Hutouwan 虎頭灣 cliff tombs at Mahao 麻浩 in Leshan in Sichuan (Luo 1988: 159: fig. 13) and the tombs at Liujiayan 劉家堰 at Qijiang 郄江 (Zhongguo wenwu bao 2000.8.23). On the hill slope of 100 by 30 metres, 66 cliff tombs are located neatly in three rows. The tombs M3, M4 and M5 at Taliangzi in Zhongjiang were well planned adjacent to each other (fig.45), following a chronological order, starting from M5 as the earliest one, dated to the middle of Eastern Han, following by M4 and M3 dated to the late Eastern Han (Beijing 2008: 4: fig. 3; 19-40).


167 “地節二年□月，巴州民楊量買山，直錢千百作業冢。子孫永保。In the second year of Dijie (BC 68), Yang Liang, the resident of Bazhou, bought the hill at the cost of a hundred thousand qian for a cemetery to be used by his offspring over generations.” Gao and Gao 1990: 3.

168 Though some practices related to the concept of feng shui are recorded in Han texts, the term of feng shui was used much later. The early use of the term feng shui is found in the Zang shu 葬書, which was claimed to be the work of Guo Pu 郭璞 of the Western Jin period (AD 266-316), though was possibly written in the Tang (AD
further required people who had relevant professional knowledge to choose and plan the site for the cliff tombs.

It is generally believed that by the Han dynasty, certain standards of good feng shui, including the good feng shui for a cemetery, had been established.\textsuperscript{169} For example, in the \textit{Shi ji}, Sima Qian attributed the success of Han Xin \textsuperscript{韓信} to the good feng shui of his mother’s tomb. “When I visited Huai-yin one of the men of the place told me that even when Han Hsin was still a commoner his ambitions were different from those of ordinary men. At the time of his mother’s death he was so poor that he could not give her a proper burial, and yet he had her buried on a high, broad expanse of earth with room enough around to set up ten thousand households, this man said. I went to visit Han Hsin’s mother’s grave, and it was quite true.”\textsuperscript{170} Seemingly, a high and broad open area was deemed as an ideal site for the tomb in the Han dynasty. In the \textit{Hou Han shu}, Feng Yan \textsuperscript{馮衍} also chose his tomb in an area which was “high and broad” and “overlooking a thousand li, watching over the old capital city”.\textsuperscript{171} The sites of the cliff tombs are both high and broad, consistent with the basic standards of the site of good feng shui. We might suggest that the sites were selected by professionals according to the feng shui, with the cooperation of cliff workers, who knew the proper

\textsuperscript{169} For the discussion on the development of the concept of feng shui in Han China based on textual records and archaeological evidence, see Zhang 2007.

\textsuperscript{170} \textit{Shi ji}: 2629-2630. “太史公曰: 吾如淮陰，淮陰人為餘言，韓信雖為布衣時，其志與眾異。其母死，貧無以葬，然乃行營高敞地，令其旁可置萬家。餘視其母塚，良然。” The translation is after Watson 1971: 232.

\textsuperscript{171} \textit{Hou Han shu}: 986. “地勢高敞，…… 通視千里，覽見舊都.”
quality of the mountain rock for tomb construction.

It is important to note that when the whole cemetery can be considered as a monumental complex, it was the individual families who contributed their resources and efforts to their own family tombs at the cemetery. Though the income and the social status of these individual families might vary, their contribution within their means to the cemetery brought “affective power” to the cemetery. Each tomb can be regarded as an independent monument, which commemorated the family history and located the family in a wider social context as embodied by the cemetery. To exhibit the impressive material presence that is essential to a monument, high stone cutting technique for making good cliff tombs was very much appreciated during the time.

The accumulation of the relevant knowledge on sandstone cutting was very mature in Eastern Han Sichuan. Such knowledge may have first developed in other fields and then manipulated by a group of professional cliff tomb builders in Sichuan. According to the *Houhan shu*, in Eastern Han, the people of the Yi Region in Sichuan had already been able to tunnel 20 *li* into the mountain rock to channel water from the Pi River to irrigate the field in Guangdu. Early in the end of the fourth century BC, before the army of the Qin State intruded into the Shu State in Sichuan, the local people were coaxed into building roads for the Qin army by cutting through the rocky mountain. In the third century BC, the irrigation project at Dujiangyan

172 “鑿石二十裡，引取郫江水灌廣都田. Stone was excavated over a distance of twenty *li*, the Pi River was channeled to irrigate the field of Guangdu.” *Houhan shu*: 3509.

173 “秦惠王欲伐蜀, 乃刻五石牛, 置金其後, 蜀人見之, 為牛能大, 便金牛下. 有養卒以為此天牛也, 能便金. 蜀王以為然, 即發卒千人, 使五丁力士拖牛成道. The king Hui of the Qin state wanted to
by Li Bing 李冰, the grand administrator of the Shu Commandery, also involved cutting away the mountain rock along the river. In the Western Han, officials and workers in Sichuan had already been regarded as very experienced in stone work, that they were ordered by Emperor Wu to cut out a stone path of over 2000 li, connecting Nanzhong 南中 with the Sichuan area.

Not only from the long tradition of stone tunneling and working, a geological knowledge of stone may also have come from salt mining, a major means of gaining salt in the area. In Sichuan, the depth of the underground brine varied, from several tens of metres to over a thousand metres. To locate salt and to drill salt-brine wells require the understanding of the local geology and the development of relevant tools. Salt production was a main source of wealth for the local powerful families in Eastern Han, which was also well illustrated on many stone carvings or bricks in burial context (fig.116).

Based on the scarce sources on the possible background of the cliff tomb excavation
technique, we could see that the cliff tomb appeared with the development of other local industries, which encouraged the growth of the local powerful families and also the prosperity of the tomb construction business.

Similar to Eastern Han southern Shandong and northern Jiangsu, where master artisans’ work of stone burial monuments were much valued,\(^{178}\) cliff tombs built by well-known master artisans at a large cost were highly appreciated in Sichuan. The master artisan’s name was usually carved at the entrance to the cliff tomb together with the cost and the date of construction, for example, the inscription at the entrance of the cliff tomb at Nanchuan 南川 in Chongqing: “陽嘉二年王師作值十萬 (Made by master artisan Wang in the second year of Yangjia (AD 133), worth a hundred thousand)”;\(^{179}\) the format of which is very comparable with the inscriptions found on the stone burial monument in Eastern Han Shandong, such as the inscription on the stone pillar of the Wu family shrine at Jiaxiang in Shandong: “使石工孟李，李弟卯此閭，直錢十五萬 (Masons Meng Li and Li Dimao were ordered to make this stone pillar, worth a hundred and fifteen thousand)”.\(^{180}\) Sometimes, the inscription on the cliff tomb only included the name of the artisan, without mentioning the title shi 師 (master), for example, the inscription on the Qigongzui 七拱咀 cliff tomb in Qijiang 綦江 reads: “光和四年三月二日平路元立作冢萬五千 (The tomb was built by Ping Luyuan on the second day of the third month in the fourth year of Guanghe (AD 181),

---

\(^{179}\) For the archaeological report see SCWW 1989.3.

It seems that the cost on hiring a master artisan was much higher than that for an ordinary one, as what we can see here, a difference between a hundred thousand and fifteen thousand. In some cases, only the date of construction or the name and the title of the tomb occupant are mentioned in the inscription, for example, the inscription on the cliff tomb at Hutouwan in Leshan: “陽嘉三年 (the third year of Yangjia (AD 134))”, and the one at Shuangliu in Chengdu: “藍田令楊子輿所處穴 (the tomb for the governor of Lantian, Yang Ziyu)”. These tombs were very likely done by mundane hands, whose names were not worth mentioning.

It is noteworthy that some large scale cliff tombs with pictorial carvings do not include inscriptions showing the master artisan’s name, such as the well-known Mahao I M1 in Leshan. In contrast, the previously mentioned one hundred thousand worth cliff tomb built by the master artisan at Nanchuan is only a small single chamber tomb. One reason for this could be that the construction of cliff tomb was done by a group of workers under the supervision of a senior worker, who could either be a master artisan or an ordinary one. The overall scale and quality of the cliff tomb was more dependent on the number and competence of the workers in the group.

To the wealthy families, who could afford to hire a large number of competent

---

181 Gao and Gao 1990: 33. According to the collections of the Han inscriptions found in Sichuan in Gao and Gao 1990, the artisan’s name is usually followed by the cost, while the name of the tomb occupant is usually followed by other information without mentioning the cost. For example, the tomb occupant’s name is recorded in the inscription on the cliff tomb at Xiaoba in Leshan: “延熹二年三月十日，佐孟机为子男造此冢，端行九章左右，有四穴□□入八尺□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□...
workers, there was no need to boast their endeavours in tomb construction, because
the impressive material presence of the grand cliff tombs spoke for themselves. To the
families who had lesser resources, the master artisan they hired became the most
important evidence of their efforts in tomb construction. In this sense, the cemetery as
a monumental complex was the achievement of communal endeavours of families
from a variety of social classes or economic levels. On the other hand, they accepted
the same material form as their burial monument, which was crucial for the formation
of a community based on a communal monumental complex. In Colin Renfrew’s
example, the resources of a group of people were contributed communally into a
monumental project and consequently a community emerged.\footnote{Renfrew 2001: 108-110.}
Here, though the resources were not assigned by a central governing body, the resources of individual
families were voluntarily devoted to their individual monuments which culminated in
a communal monumental complex symbolizing the “territorial marker” of the
community. A common value on the family tomb and cemetery were shared by a
community, as well as the procedures involved in cliff tomb construction.

A key group of people participating in the cliff tomb construction were the sculptors.
These workers are a part of the larger group of people involved in the business of
death in Eastern Han Sichuan. The major burial types of Eastern Han Sichuan – the
cliff tomb and the brick tomb, and their burial goods show the traces of
commercialization and mass production.\footnote{For the discussion of the funeral related market in Eastern Han, see Barberi-Low 2005 and Tang 2002. Tang Guangxiao’s work focuses on the funeral related market in Eastern Sichuan in particular.} Compared with brick tomb construction,
cliff tomb construction involved a completely different set of technique and
procedures. Though no textual record is available, the remaining structure of the cliff tomb, the traces of tool marks and a few tomb inscriptions provide us with some general information on the tomb construction process.

First, a suitable area for a particular cliff tomb on the site was located. Next, a plan of the proposed tomb would have had to be drawn up. To some extent, though many cliff tombs were built to the same general plan, slight variations gave each some degree of individuality, which is very likely the result of the communication between the builders and the patrons. For example, the Taliangzi M4 and M5 with the same basic plan are next to each other, with M5 built around half a century earlier (fig.45). Both tombs have two main chambers on the central axis, two chambers for coffins attached to the right side of the main chambers respectively and a stove built on the left side of the front chamber (fig.46, 47). 186 The major difference between the two tombs is that M4 has one additional left chamber for a coffin. Such a difference reflects the difference in the number of family members in individual families. The third step was to cut the tomb out of the solid rock, which required sharp iron tools. By comparison with the process of tomb construction in New Kingdom (1550-1077 BC) Egypt, the reconstruction of the process of cliff tomb construction here is very sketchy. However, the Egyptian rock-cut tomb, which involved similar stone cutting procedure, provides us with ideas on the possible tools and methods used in breaking and cutting away stone. For Egyptian worker, breaking up the stone required the set of a spike and a

186 For the archaeological reports, see Beijing 2008: 34-41.
mallet. The solid rock would be split by pounding a mallet on a spike (fig.48).\textsuperscript{187} For the cliff tomb, similar method may have been adopted. According to the pictorial stones found in the Eastern Han stone tombs in eastern China, when quarrying stone for stone tomb construction, the artisans used similar set of tools like the mallet and spike. Pictorial stones found in the offering halls at Wuzhaishan \textsuperscript{五寨山} in Jiaxiang in Shandong depicted how artisans wielding sledgehammers, directing them at pointed chisels. “Once a slot was opened up in the rock, he forced one or more iron wedges into the crevice and pounded them forcefully to cleave off a huge chunk of limestone (fig.49).”\textsuperscript{188} There are some examples of the tools, including the chisels and hammerhead, from such quarrying or stone-dressing site (fig.50).\textsuperscript{189} Ancient Egyptian tomb builders may also have used a bronze hoe, “which would have been wielded like a modern pick-axe”.\textsuperscript{190} The builders of the cliff tombs in Sichuan could use the hammer in a similar way to break the stone. The hammer could be held in both hands wielding overhead or in a single hand wielding at a lower position.\textsuperscript{191}

The following step was to evacuate the stone blocks from the tomb at the same

\textsuperscript{187} Biebrier 1982: 46-47, 47, fig.28 shows the workman using the spike and mallet breaking up the stone.
\textsuperscript{188} Barbieri-Low 2007: 84-85, 85, fig. 3.9.
\textsuperscript{189} Examples are provided in Barbieri-Low 2007: 85, fig. 3.8.
\textsuperscript{190} Biebrier 1982: 46.
\textsuperscript{191} Luo Erhu suggests that there could be an alternative method to excavate the cliff tomb based on the paired holes with the diameters of around 10 cm found on the opposite walls in the tomb in some cases. According to his suggestion, a cross bar was fixed to the cliff through the paired holes and a wooden log equipped with an iron chisel was hung on the cross bar. The workers could swing the wooden log to hit the rock with the chisel repeatedly and consequently a cave was excavated (Luo 1987).
The larger the spaces in the cliff tomb the greater the work, since each burial chamber is made by carving out the interior. For large cliff tombs with the length over 20 metres, such as the Tianhuishan M3 in Chengdu and the Mahao I M1 in Leshan (fig.33, 51), removing stone blocks would require much labour. The workmen could be the sculptors of the cliff tombs at the same time, or they could be only in charge of removing stone, which did not require much skill.

Peter Rockwell points out that the cliff tomb is a kind of carving without quarrying, the technical problems of which are mainly sculptural rather than architectural. Unlike the contemporary brick and stone chamber stones, the problem is about carving something out of a mass of stone rather than putting things together into something. Consequently, the planning of tomb construction is simplified: “no quarrying, transport, lifting into place, or putting together, no need for the structural planning other than that which is normal for ensuring that the stone will not be carved too thin to hold together”. The consequent technical advantage of this is that, both the organizational work and technical work are simplified. Only one class of workers, the sculptors, is needed. “All the rest have been made redundant by the choice of carving into the living rock”, for example, transporting and placing together the stone blocks. A further advantage is that this type of work allows the carving of large...

---

192 Černý’s (1973: 19) has pointed out the similar situation in the process of rock-cut tomb construction in Ancient Egypt.
193 Rockwell 1993: 188.
194 Rockwell 1993: 188.
195 Rockwell 1993: 188.
size monuments without major structural problem, as long as the quality of the stone and the shape of the cliff meet the necessary standards of stability. And it is cheaper and easier to make large monuments by “carving direct into the rock”. In this sense, the prevalence of the cliff tombs among commoners and the relatively wealthy people, who were no richer than some owners of the more elaborately decorated brick or stone tombs, has an explanation from an economic aspect.

When considering the cliff tombs among the various tomb types prevalent in Eastern Han China, it is notable that even the largest and most elaborately decorated cliff tombs are less good in quality compared with some high quality brick and stone tombs. For example, the stone gate pillars of Gao Yi 高頤 at Ya’an 雅安 in Sichuan represent a much higher standard of stone burial sculpture than the stone carvings found in the elaborately decorated cliff tombs (fig.52). According to the inscription on the pillars, they belong to a contemporary grand administrator of Yi 益, which was much higher in rank than the prefect of Lantian 藍田, the only official position recorded in the cliff tomb inscription found so far. Though the official position of the tomb occupant cannot be entirely connected to his wealth, we could see the gap between both the official positions and the levels of wealth of tomb occupants of the high quality cliff tomb and the high quality brick or stone chamber tomb. It is a pity that the structure of the cliff tomb with the inscription of “Prefect of Lantian” is unknown. However, we have found the inscription recording the name of a commoner

---

196 Rockwell 1993: 188.
197 Beijing 1992a: 32 and 106, fig. 89. The stone que pillars are usually built above ground, in front of the burial mounds of the brick or stone chamber tomb
198 Gao and Gao 1990: 38
in an elaborately decorated large cliff tomb Bailinpo M1 in Santai in Sichuan (fig.53, 71), which may be regarded as one of some grandest cliff tombs in Sichuan. The inscription records “元初四年九月□日齊公冢 (The ninth month of the fourth year of Yuanchu (AD 117), Tomb of Mr Qi)” 199 However, the quality of sculpture in this tomb is still not comparable to that of the stone gate pillars of Gao Yi, if we observe the sculptural details. It is important to note that though both the cliff tombs and the stone or brick chamber tombs vary in scale and quality due to the different social status and incomes of the tomb occupants, the tomb occupants of the cliff tombs seemed to have had a lower social status and income compared with those occupants of the stone or brick chamber tombs.

There is the major disadvantage of this type of carving without quarrying as pointed out by Rockwell that “a structure that is made entirely by carving from a mountainside cannot be organized so that the work is carried out by teams working at the same time in the way that a constructed building can” and therefore the work process is “constrained by a combination of the chronology of actual carving of each section with the sequence of the exterior and interior spaces” 200.

The cliff tombs in Sichuan avoided this disadvantage through developing a working schedule for more than one generation, as can be observed in some large scale cliff

199 Beijing 2007: 158. In Eastern Han, if the tomb occupant had an official title, it was usually recorded in the inscription. Therefore, we can be sure that the tomb occupant of Bailinpo M3 was a commoner, since the only respectful title for him was gong 公, no other official title was mentioned.

200 Rockwell 1993: 189. He provides the example of a two-chambered cliff tomb at Cerveteri to show that the second room could only be carved after the first had been excavated. The case of the cliff tomb in Sichuan is very similar.
tombs. More importantly, the time consuming tomb construction project carried on for generations was essential to exhibit the longevity and prosperity of a family or a clan. Many large scale cliff tombs in Leshan, such as the Shiziwan M1 and the Mahao I M1, have the structure of a rectangular front hall shared by three side by side burial chambers tunneled into the rear wall of the hall (fig. 54, 51).\(^{201}\) It is generally thought that the front hall is a communal shrine shared by three families buried respectively in the rear chambers.\(^{202}\) According to the traces of construction and the decorative style in the cliff tombs, the burial chambers attached to the front hall were built consecutively. Tang Changshou, the author of the archaeological report on the Mahao I M1, suggests that southern burial chamber of Mahao M1 was built first, followed by the middle chamber and then the northern chamber. There was a gap of around a few decades between the construction of each individual burial chamber.\(^{203}\) The structure of the Shiziwan 柿子灣 M1 confirms this type of construction sequence (fig. 54, 55, 56). Though only two adjacent burial chambers were built into the rear wall of the front hall, a third burial chamber was intended to be tunneled, as the incised outline of its intended entranceway is still discernable.\(^{204}\) In addition, the front hall has three entrances to suggest the three burial chambers tunneled into its back wall (fig. 54, 55, 56). In this sense, it is very likely that a shrine and a burial chamber was first built by the family of the first generation, then the other burial chambers were constructed by

\(^{201}\) Tang 1997: 73, fig.2 and KG 1990.2: 112, fig.1.
\(^{202}\) See the argument in Chen 2003a: 72, KG 1990.2: 115 and Huang 2003: 149, and this point will be further elaborated later in this chapter.
\(^{203}\) KG 1990.2: 115.
\(^{204}\) Tang 1997: 72.
the later generations based on the original plan.

In fact, it is very common for a large cliff tomb to contain several tomb occupants, who were probably from different generations of a large and prosperous family. The most notable example is Tianhuishan M3 in Chengdu, which contains a total number of 14 coffins, which were distributed in different burial chambers (fig.33). A cliff tomb inscription recorded how a cliff tomb was built and expanded in different periods for several generations of a family: “維兮本造此穿者張賓公妻、子偉伯、伯妻孫陵在此右方曲內中。維兮張偉伯子長仲以建初二年六月十二日與少子叔元俱下世，長子元益，為之祖父穿中造內，棲柱作崖棺，葬父及弟叔元。The burial chamber (chuan) was first established by Zhang Bingong. His wife, his son Zhang Weibo and the wife of his son Sun Ling are interred in the L-shaped chamber (nei) on the right. The son of Zhang Weibo, Zhang Changzhong, and the youngest son Shuyuan were both interred on the twelfth day of the sixth month in the second year of Jianchu (AD 77). The eldest son Yuanyi built the nei chamber in the chuan chamber for his grandfather and built the stone coffin next to the column to inter his father and his younger brother Shuyuan.” Actual traces of tomb expansion for the new generation in the tomb have been found in some cliff tombs containing multiple burial chambers. For example, the ceiling decoration of the front chamber of

---

205 KGXB 1958.1: 90-93, 91, fig.3. The tomb contains a front chamber and rear chamber laid out on an east-west axis. The front chamber has a side chamber on the south. The rear chamber has two small side chambers on the south and one large side chamber on the north. The large side chamber also has side chambers. One is on the north, the other one is on the east. A central pillar is located in the large side chamber.

206 Li shi: 148-149. See Li 1996: 20 for the interpretation of the tomb inscription recorded in the Li shi.
Dongzipai 洞子排 M1 is similar to that of Bailinpo M1 (fig.57, 58), which is dated to AD 117 according to its inscription “元初四年 (the fourth year of Yuanchu)”\(^{207}\), however, the excavation of the left side chamber of the front chamber of Dongzipai M1 broke into the original pseudo timber architectural stone carvings on the left wall of the front chamber (fig.57). In addition, the style of the bracket set decoration inside this side chamber is usually observed on the stone pillars dated to the end of the second century and beginning of the third century AD. Therefore, this side chamber may have been added several decades after the completion of the tomb. As in the richly decorated main chambers, this additional side chamber is also thoroughly decorated with stone carvings imitating timber architecture and is equipped with a built-in pictorial stone coffin and a built-in stove (fig.59, 60). The decorative style of the whole tomb continued in this additional chamber, though with some new decorating elements of the time. As will be explained later in the chapter, the built-in stove in the cliff tomb had an association with the unit of a family. Thus this additional side chamber may have symbolized the continuity of the large clan in the form of more and more sub-families for generations, with the tradition of the large clan being maintained.

Some inscriptions found in the cliff tombs imply that the cliff tomb construction was a family project continuing for generations. The inscription found in the cliff tomb at Xinfusi 新服寺 in Leshan revealed the way in which the tomb was intended for many

generations and for potential extending: “建和三年正月廿日造此冢，□行十丈□门三丈，川戸一丈，人戸右方，穴八丈，有策枚．周代造此冢，后子孫率來 (The tomb was made on the twentieth day of the first month in the third year of Jianhe (AD 149). The hang 行 measures ten zhang 丈. The door measures three zhang 丈. The entrance to the side burial chamber (chuan 穿) measures one zhang 丈. The chamber (chuan 穿) for coffin is located on the right. The cave (xue 穴) measures eight zhang 丈. There are several mei 枚. The tomb was made for Zhou Dai and for his offspring to come for generations.)”.

The two inscriptions in the Dayunao 大雲坳 cliff tomb M76 in Qingshen 青神 further confirm that the tomb was to be continuous in use for several generations. The first inscription reads: “建初元年十月造. Built in the tenth month in the first year of Jianchu (AD 76).” The second one reads: “元初五年十一月廿七日楊得采藏. Yang Decai was interred on the twenty seventh day of the eleventh month in the fifth year of Yuanchu (AD 118).” The tomb has two burial chambers. The front one contains a built-in coffin. According to the plan of the burial chamber and burial facilities, the tomb contained at least three tomb occupants (fig.61). Referring to the tomb inscriptions, we can see that after the tomb was built and was initially filled with tomb occupants, another tomb occupant Yang Decai was interred 42 years later.

The measurements and the functions of the main architectural sections are sometimes indicated clearly in the inscription, which seemed to serve as reference for the later

---

208 Gao 1990: 23.
workers in the tomb extending project, since the original idea of tomb planning might have been lost over decades. A similar inscription containing measurement information for later planners and workers is also found in the cliff tomb at Xiaoba in Leshan: “延熹二年三月十日，佐孟机为子男造此冢，端行九章左右，有四穴□八尺当□由世中出. On the tenth day of the third month in the second year of Yanxi (AD 159), Zuo Mengji made this tomb for his son Zuo Nan. The duan 端 hang 行 on left and right measures nine zhang 丈. There are four caves (xue 穴) at the length of eight chi 尺, tunneled into the middle of the shi 世.” In this inscription, the main plan and the measurement of the main architectural sections of the duan hang and the xue are also indicated clearly. The previously mentioned “Zhang Bingong 張賓公” inscription quoted from the Li shi indicates the occupants of the individual chambers or coffins, which further indicates the relationship between different architectural structure for the later workers as reference for an extension. In addition, the inscription informs us that there were specific terms to describe burial chambers of different forms and functions, such as chuan 穿 and nei 内. Together with the characters used in the previous two cliff tomb inscriptions (which also use chuan and nei), duan hang, xue, mei and shi, it seems that there was a set of terms used by the cliff tomb builders in their work. The terms carved inside the cliff tomb not only

211 Most of the meanings of these terms have been lost today. However, according to the meaning of the whole text, chuan and nei clearly indicate the burial chamber in the cliff tomb and there were further difference between the chuan chamber and the nei chamber, in probably their functions and scales. Chen Mingda (2003a: 69-70) pointed out that nei was usually used to describe the room of wealthy people’s dwelling in Han textual sources. The use of the term nei in the description of the cliff tomb chamber suggests the intention of the cliff tomb to imitate ample dwelling.
exhibited the professionalization of the cliff tomb construction industry in Eastern Han Sichuan, but also established the continuous communication between the cliff tomb builders in different decades and between the different generations of the tomb occupants’ family.212

In this sense, the large cliff tomb can be regarded as a monument that involved the participation and contribution of a large number of family members from a long established clan as well as the tomb builders. The large multi-chamber cliff tomb itself commemorated and celebrated the longevity and prosperity of a large clan. In contrast, the small single-chamber tombs exhibit the small and medium families whose clan did not last for a long time. However, these small cliff tombs were also the result of the participation and devotion of the lesser families. Consequently, the cemetery containing cliff tombs of various scales can be seen as a monumental complex formed through the endeavour of a whole community containing families of different social status. In other words, we can see an emerging community which adopted the same burial form through looking at the monumental complex of the community, the cliff tomb cemetery. It is also notable that the grandest cliff tombs were usually a project carried out by and for several families or several generations, which forms a great contrast to some of the most elaborate stone or brick chamber tombs of the same date,

212 Similar inscription of memo for tomb builders is also found carved in the pillars in the front chamber of the stone tomb at Cangshan 蒼山 in Shandong, dated to AD 151. See KG 1975.2 for the archaeological report. The long inscription containing 324 characters describes the content and the pictorial scheme of the stone carvings in the tomb chambers section by section. There appeared many terms used by professional stone carvers. It is regarded by scholars as the guide manual for the stone carvers (Li 1985; Wang 1989).
which were mainly dedicated to one tomb occupant or to a couple. On one hand, this reflects the lesser social status and economic condition of the high-end cliff tomb occupant compared to those of the stone or brick chamber tombs; on the other hand, this shows the importance of the cliff tomb in reinforcing the ties between generations and families through incorporating them into a communal project.

It is also notable that sometimes the fall of a large family or a large clan is also revealed by their tombs. For example, an inscription found in the Tongbankou 椟半口 cliff tomb in Xishui 習水 in Guizhou, which is in adjacent to southern Sichuan, shows that a person sold one of his family burial chambers to another person to inter the parents of that person. The inscription reads: “章武三年七月十日，姚立從曾意買大父曾孝梁右一門，七十萬，畢。知者廖誠，杜六。葬姚胡(父)及母。On the tenth day of the seventh month in the third year of Zhangwu (AD 223), Yao Li bought a burial chamber from Zeng Yi. The burial chamber was located on the right of the burial chamber of Zeng Xiaoliang, the father of Zeng Yi. The price was 700,000. [The transaction] was concluded. The witnesses were Liao Cheng and Du Liu. Yao’s father and mother were interred.” According to the archaeological report, the inscription is at the entrance of the tomb M2, which is located in the right part of a row of five neatly planned tombs (fig. 62). In this sense, these five tombs may

---

213 For examples of the most elaborate stone chamber tombs or the stone chamber tombs combined with some brick structures, see the Dahuting M1 in Mixian in Henan (Beijing 1993) and the Yi’nan M1 in Shandong (Beijing 1956), which are all very likely belong to a high ranking official or a high ranking official together with his wife.

214 SCWW 1986.1: 68.

215 SCWW 1986.1: 68.
have been at the Zeng’s family cemetery, and the tomb of Zeng Yi’s father was one of the three tombs located right to the tomb M2. The year AD 223 recorded in this deal was one of the years of chaos following closely the fall of the Eastern Han. It is very likely that under some economic pressure from a declining large family, Zeng Yi had to make the compromise to sell one of the tombs from his family cemetery. The witnesses recorded in the inscription imply the formality of this deal.

The above case could also to some extent explain why sometimes different surnames are found in a large cliff tomb or in adjacent cliff tombs. For example, in the Mahao III M99 in Leshan, seven pieces of inscriptions identifying the tomb occupants were carved in different burial chambers: “王風冢 (the tomb of Wang Feng)”, “王景冢 (the tomb of Wang Jing)”, “王□冢 (the tomb of Wang □)”, “王景信父冢 (the tomb of Wang Jing and Wang Xin’s father)”, “王遂妣冢 (the tomb of Wang Sui’s wife)”, “鄧景達冢 (the tomb of Deng Jingda)” and “武陽趙國羊 (Zhao Guoyang from Wuyang)”.

The Mahao II M99 is a large scale tomb constituted by a rectangular front hall shared by four individual burial chambers tunneled into the rear wall of the front hall, similar to the previously mentioned Mahao I M1 (fig.63). Each individual burial chamber is further divided into several small chambers containing built-stoves and coffins.

Though the locations of these inscriptions recording the tomb occupants in Mahao II M99 is not provided by Tang Changshou when he describes

---

217 Tang 1993: 34, fig.6.
this tomb and there is no archaeological report on this tomb, it is very likely that the whole tomb was originally built for the prosperous Wang family with a shared ancestral shrine and that some of the burial chambers were later sold to some members from the Deng and Zhao families, like the deal between the Yao and Zeng families at the Tongbankou cliff tomb in Guizhou.

3. Wooden Architecture as Framework of Representation

Zhong Changtong’s 仲長統 description offers us a glimpse of the ideal life of a wealthy landowner:

May I live in a place with good fields and an ample house, with hills to the back and facing a stream, surrounded by waterways, encircled by bamboo and trees.

The records in the *Hou Han shu* on how the powerful official 梁冀 and his wife Sun Shou 孫壽 spent lavishly on house construction show us what a spacious house looked like. The couple spent large amount of money to build houses including multiple connected buildings with decorated walls and pillars. Both the reception section and the bed section in their houses have multiple chambers.

---

219 Wang Jiayou (1985) suggests that the reason for the appearing of different surnames in a cliff tomb is that the tomb occupants were non-Han people. When they started to be assimilated into the Han culture, they began to use Han surnames and changed their surnames from time to time. However, Tang Changshou (1993: 81-82) suggests that the Han people also changed their surnames sometimes for particular reasons. Therefore, the different surnames do not necessarily reflect the non-Han origin of the tomb occupants.
220 *Hou Han shu*: 1644. The translation is after Ebrey 1987: 624.
221 “冀乃大起第舍，而壽亦對街為宅，殫極土木，互相誇競。堂寢皆有陰陽奧室，連房洞戶，柱壁雕鏤，加以銅漆，窗牖皆有綺疏青瑣，圖以雲氣仙靈。Ji then started to build extravagant houses. Shou also built houses across the street. They used the best timber and competed for the lavish expenditure. The living rooms and the bed chambers of their houses all contained multiple small rooms. Multiple
Architectural elements of such spacious houses, including columns and coffers, were widely represented in cliff tombs. The use of these architectural features as visual clues may have led the viewers to project a house onto the tomb and evoked the illusion of the tomb as a luxurious dwelling.\textsuperscript{222} The illusion was created by people’s expectations of the dwelling of the wealthy people.\textsuperscript{223} In addition, the funerary context of the representation of these architectural features created conditions of illusion, as tombs were often thought to be the dwellings for the deceased in the Eastern Han as mentioned in Chapter 1.\textsuperscript{224} As will be discussed in the following sections, the representation of the dwelling for the living in the cliff tomb relied on “the mutual reinforcement of illusion and expectation”.\textsuperscript{225} To indicate the dwelling, tomb workers only carved a few architectural elements and furnishings, but there were three things they clearly emphasized—the column with brackets, the coffer and the stove. It is through these indications that the viewers of the tomb were led to interpret the representation. At the same time, the viewers were led to detach their projection of a house from the tomb and to recapture the burial context. As will be

---

\textsuperscript{222} E.H. Gombrich suggested that representation to some extent relies on “guided projection”. For example, “when we say that the blots and brushstrokes of the impressionist landscapes ‘suddenly come to life’, we mean we have been led to project a landscape into these dabs of pigment”. Gombrich 2009: 170.

\textsuperscript{223} Gombrich argued that “expectation created illusion”. Gombrich 2009: 171.

\textsuperscript{224} Gombrich provided an example of cult images in such context, in which the faithful bathed and clothed them, and carried them in procession. There was an illusion that these images were smiling, frowning or nodding. Gombrich 2009: 172.

\textsuperscript{225} Gombrich 2009: 173.
shown in the following sections, auspicious images associated with the heavenly world and images of exorcist in funeral were often illustrated on columns and coffers in the tomb. It was through this act of “switching” between a house and a burial context that the embodiment of a house in the tomb was reinforced.

3.1 Column with Bracket Set

Bracket sets originated in the construction of large wooden buildings. However, we have no evidence of any major examples surviving from before the Tang (AD 618-907). Yang Hongxun points out that the high ranking buildings were usually large in scale and were built on high platform made of rammed earth. To shelter the earthen platform from rain, large projecting eaves are required. Consequently, a new architectural solution to supporting the projecting eaves was needed. The bracket sets work as cantilevers to assist the columns with supporting the roof (fig.64). A bracket set usually consists of a block as the base and a pair of brackets or several brackets. The traditional wooden architecture functions mainly through three component parts, the column, the bracket set and the roof, among which the bracket set plays a crucial part in realizing the mechanical function of the wooden architecture. Bracket sets and columns are represented in many places of the cliff tombs. They appear in the rear and middle of the burial chamber (fig.65, 71); they are connected to the low walls to divide the burial space (fig.8); they frame the entrances

to cliff tombs; they are enshrined in the niches of the tomb and they appear in the places where auspicious icons are usually located (fig.66, 67). Some locations and decorations of the bracket set draw our attention to the possible auspicious meanings embedded in the construction of the bracket set. For example, as a combination, the column and the bracket set are found enshrined in the niche carved in the Jinzhongshan 金鐘山 II M4 in Santai (fig.66).\(^{228}\) In the Zhaizishan 寨子山 M530 in Pengshan in Sichuan, auspicious icons are found on the dougong structure on top of the octagonal column (fig.69).\(^{229}\) On the block, there is carved a bow-shaped icon, which is usually thought to be a symbol of the presence of the Queen Mother of the West and therefore regarded as auspicious.\(^{230}\) On the body of the bracket, a fish is carved with an unidentified creature on its back. As well as the bow-shaped icon, the fish is believed to be an auspicious symbol in the Han pictorial scheme. In the tomb Bailinpo M5 in Santai, a squatting bear is carved in the middle of a bracket set (fig.68).\(^{231}\) A similar squatting bear in the tomb Yuanbaoshan 元寶山 M1 in Santai is identified by Susan Erickson as fangshi 方士 or fangxiangshi 方相士, demon expellers who led exorcisms and rites that were associated with protecting the tomb.

\(^{228}\) Beijing 2007: 24-30.

\(^{229}\) Chen 2003a: 88.

\(^{230}\) The bow-shaped icon is part of the headdress (sheng 胜) of the Queen Mother of the West. “The sheng may be identified as a pair of discs that are linked by a straight rod (Loewe 1979: 105).” See also Knauer 2006: fig.3.20. The sheng is “a headdress or hair ornament which consists of two wheels with or without projections at either end of a thin stick which passes through the goddess’s chignon. This headdress has symbolic significance, and has been variously interpreted as the ax of the magna mater cult, and the loom wheel of a spinning and silk cult (Cahill 1990: 99).” See Knauer 2006: fig.3.21 for the depictions of the looms. Frühauf further connects the sheng with the weaving of the universe (Frühauf 1999: 35).

\(^{231}\) Beijing 2007: pls. 259, 260.
from unfavorable influences. ²³² She suggests that “in general, the squatting bear with a frontal view of its face can be seen as a powerful apotropaic image, meant to protect the tomb and ward off anything harmful”. ²³³ The bracket set also appears independently on the lintel above the entrance to the cliff tomb, where usually auspicious icons are carved, such as the ram, the dragon and the bi disc, which suggests that the bracket set could also have been an auspicious icon (fig.67). ²³⁴

As in the cliff tombs in Sichuan, the columns with the bracket sets are also elaborately represented in stone carving and sculpture in some stone tombs in central and eastern China, which have led scholars to make assumptions on the auspicious meanings of the bracket sets. In the example of the Yi’nan tomb in Shandong, Lydia Thompson argues that the central columns in the front and middle chambers serve as the axis mundi, which connected the tomb with the heavenly sphere for the tomb occupant (fig.15). The central columns are sometimes interpreted as the Mount Kunlun (Kunlun shan 昆侖山) which leads way to the immortal world where the Queen Mother of the West (Xiwangmu 西王母) resides. ²³⁵ She further suggests that the central column in a tomb chamber provides the tomb with the central point for locating the tomb in the

²³² Erickson 2003: 440-441. Derk Bodde has made detailed discussion on the exorcisms and rites in the Han dynasty (Bodde 1975: 75-85). Bodde suggests that since the Zhou, the fangxiangshi performed as the demon expeller in important exorcisms, including exorcism during funeral. According to the Zhou Li 周禮, “when there is a great funeral, the fangxiangshi goes in advance of the coffin, and upon its arrival at the tomb, when it is being inserted into the burial chamber, he strikes the four corners of the chamber with his lance (Bodde 1975: 78-79) ”.

²³³ Erickson 2003: 443.

²³⁴ For example, see the bracket set carved in the middle of the lintel above the entrance to the tomb Douyafanggou 豆芽房溝 M166 in Pengshan (Chen 2003a: 86).

cosmos oriented by the cardinal directions: the north, the south, the west and the east.\textsuperscript{236} Part of her argument arises from the size, the quality and the elaborate sculpture of the column with bracket set in the Yi’nan tomb (fig.70). The column together with the bracket set located in the centre of the middle chamber, reaches to a height of nearly two metres, with the bracket set flanked by a pair of elaborate dragon sculpture. People’s attention would be naturally attracted to this impressive presence in the tomb. In addition, stone as the building material seems to have increased the attraction of the column with the bracket set. When discussing the stone sculpture in early China, Ann Paludan points out that “even the most apparently secular figures were made for their intrinsic association with other-worldly powers”.\textsuperscript{237} Moreover, as Wu Hung suggests, “all the natural characteristics of stone-strength, plainness, and especially endurance-became analogous to eternity or immortality”.\textsuperscript{238} In this sense, the stone column and bracket set modeled on the wooden structure are very likely to have been associated with the world of immortality.

Similar representation of the stone columns and the bracket sets can be found in the cliff tombs in Sichuan, where the central columns with the bracket sets constitute the main focus of some tombs. In the Bailipo M1 in Santai, a large central column in the rear chamber rises up from the floor to the ceiling, with a pair of wide brackets on top

\textsuperscript{236} Thompson 1998: 155-162.
\textsuperscript{237} Paludan 2006: 16.
\textsuperscript{238} Wu 1995: 122. He further points out that there is the dichotomy of the architecture of stone and the architecture of wood: “those of wood used by the living, and those of stone dedicated to the dead”
of its block on the capital (fig.71).\textsuperscript{239} The rear chamber is square in shape, which further highlights the central position of the column. When one enters the rear chamber, their attention would firstly be attracted to the large column and then to the wide brackets, which connect to the square coffer of the ceiling. In some cases, the structure of the column with the bracket set is further highlighted through the elaborate decoration of the animal-shaped column seat, which can be observed in the rear chamber of the Jinzhongshan I M4 and the façade of the cliff tomb Lijiagou 李家溝 M355 in Pengshan (fig.72, 36).\textsuperscript{240} In addition, in some cliff tombs with simple structure and decoration, the elaborately sculpted columns and bracket sets naturally became the major attraction in the tomb. The importance of such structure may have been both associated with its importance in the grand dwelling for the living and its importance in the world of immortality. For example, the cliff tomb Hujiawan 胡家灣 M1 in Santai is a cliff tomb with very simple structure and decoration (fig.73). It comprises a passageway, a front chamber, a rear chamber, and two side chambers, in a total length of 16 metres.\textsuperscript{241} Stone carvings only appear on the ceiling of the rear chamber and on the wall to resemble the wooden framed wall architecture. Consequently, the enormous column protruding from the rear wall with three-dimensional sculptures at the end of the long central axis of the tomb becomes

\textsuperscript{239} Beijing 2007: 154-179.

\textsuperscript{240} Beijing 2007: 24-30; Chen 2003a: 68. Such animal-shaped column seat is also found in the brick tomb containing stone structures in the Xuzhen 許鎮 tomb in Deyang 德陽 in Sichuan (see WW 1954.3: fig. 12; 13) and in the stone tomb in Baiji 白集 in Xuzhou in Jiangsu (KG 1981.2). Jessica Rawson uses the examples from the Baiji stone tomb in Xuzhou, the cliff tomb Jinzhongshan I M4 in Santai in Sichuan and the cliff tomb Lijiagou M355 in Pengshan in Sichuan to show the borrowing of animal-shaped column seat from the West (Rawson 2012: 34-35).

\textsuperscript{241} For the archaeological report see Beijing 2007: 259-264.
the focus as the viewer enters the tomb. Seated on a stepped base, the octagonal column shaft is carved out of the rear wall of the tomb, with a capital composed by three pairs of brackets. Each pair of the brackets is set on a block. The whole column is carved from the living rock, connected to the rear wall, the ceiling and the floor of the tomb, forming an impressive visual effect. A similar representation of the rear column with the bracket set in a simple structured cliff tomb can be found in the cliff tomb Bailinpo M4 in Santai (fig.74).²⁴²

3.2 Coffer

The zaojing or the coffer usually appears in the form of a well formed by corbelled layers on the ceiling of the cliff tomb. In wooden architecture, the zaojing is a part of a decorative ceiling. In traditional wooden architecture, the internal part under the roof is covered by a decorative ceiling which does not have any mechanical function. The bracket set structure is usually placed between the column and the roof. Part of the bracket set structure is deliberately exposed for the aesthetic purpose. The major complicated part of the bracket sets structure is hided from the viewers by the decorative ceiling under the roof. In a cliff tomb, the zaojing is represented in a similar way that the bracket set is represented: both their association with the grand dwelling for the living and the other worldly power are emphasized.

The surface of the coffer (zaojing) in the cliff tomb is usually decorated with paintings

or reliefs. Similar structures are mentioned in Eastern Han texts when describing palace architecture. The coffer (zaojing) is mentioned by Zhang Heng 張衡 (AD 78-139) in the Xijing fu 西京賦 (Western Metropolis Rhapsody) when describing the grand palace building of the Weiyang gong 未央宮 (Everlasting Hall) in Chang’an, the capital of the Western Han dynasty (206 BC-AD 8):

They ran crosswise long beams of the masculine arc,
Tied purlins and rafters to link them together,
Rooted inverted lotus stalks on the figured ceiling (zaojing),
Which bloomed with red flowers joined one to another.

瓦雄虹之長梁，
結棼橑以相接，
蔿倒茄於藻井，
披紅葩之狎獵.243

The coffer is also evoked by Wang Yanshou 王延壽 (ca. AD 124-148) in the Lu Lingguang dian fu 魯靈光殿賦 (Rhapsody on the Hall of Luminous Brilliance in Lu) when describing the interior decoration of the grand palace building:

In a round pool on the square well, invertly planted are lotus.

圓淵方井,反植荷葉.244

Indeed, as described in the above Eastern rhapsodies, some coffers (zaojing) in the cliff tombs are depicted with a relief of a lotus in stone (fig. 75).245 Moreover, the

---

243 *Wen xuan*: 38. The translation is after Knechtges 1982: 187-188. Quoted by Erickson (2003: 421), when she discusses the association of the coffer in the cliff tomb with that in the palace architecture.

244 *Wen xuan*: 170. The translation is after Knechtges 1987: 271-272. Quoted by Zeng Zhaoyu (Beijing 1956: 6), when she associated the coffer decoration of the lotus relief in the stone tomb the Yi’nan tomb in Shandong with that in the palace architecture.

245 For example, see the cliff tombs Baishabao 白沙包 M2 in Mianyang (KG 1988.3), Zijingwan M1 in Santai (Beijing 2007: 70) and Zijingwan M3 in Santai (Beijing 2007: 82). In these tombs, the lotuses are carved in the corners of the coffers, instead of locating in the centre as described in the rhapsodies.
lotus often appears together with the turtle in the corners of the coffer, which makes the coffer of the cliff tomb look exactly like an ‘aquatic plant well’—the literal translation of zaojing in English (fig.76).\(^{246}\) In addition to the lotus and the turtle, a melon is another decoration related to water that is often used on the coffer of the cliff tomb. For example, in the cliff tomb Baishabao M2 in Mianyang, the lotus and melon are carved respectively on two of the four corners of the coffer (fig.75).\(^{247}\) Sometimes, the melon appears independently in the centre of the coffer, for example, in the cliff tomb Hujiawan M1 in Santai (fig.65).\(^{248}\) According to Susan Erickson, the melon can be traced back to the image described in the Shanhai jing 山海經 (Classic of Mountains and Seas). “According to the text, a cinnabar tree (dan mu 丹木) produces a fruit that is said to be like a melon. Its skin is red with black veins, and it was believed to provide protection against jaundice and to ward off fire.”\(^{249}\) In the wooden buildings of the Eastern Han, fire could be of great concern. In this sense, the images related water decorated on the “aquatic plant well” could have been desirable. Like the previously discussed column and bracket set, the coffer in the cliff is not only a pseudo architectural element, it is also embedded with auspicious meanings. In fact, in some cases, except for being an “aquatic plant well”, the coffer in the cliff tomb also has other meanings.

In contrast, the lotus is usually carved in the centre of the coffer in the stone tombs in eastern China. For example, see the Yi’nan tomb (1956: 6) in Shandong and the Lalishan 拉犁山 tomb in Xuzhou (Geng 1990).

\(^{246}\) The translation is after Erickson 2003: 421.
\(^{247}\) KG 1988.3: fig. 4.
\(^{248}\) Beijing 2007: pl. 290.
In the cliff tomb Bailinpo M1 in Santai, two coffers are carved side by side on the rectangular ceiling of a side chamber (fig.77).\(^{250}\) One coffer is carved with the relief of circle representing the sun, painted in red with some relics of black remained in the middle.\(^{251}\) The other coffer is carved with the circular relief representing the moon, which is painted in red with the rim painted in black. A black toad is depicted in the middle of the moon. Such arrangement of the sun and moon on the coffers is common in cliff tombs in Sichuan.\(^{252}\) Susan Erickson traces the depiction of the coffers as celestial bodies back to the brick tombs in central China dated to the middle of the first century BC.\(^{253}\) She provides the example of the Buqianqiu \textit{卜千秋} tomb in Luoyang in Henan, in which two ends of the apex of the ceiling are respectively painted with circular sun and the moon (fig.78). Like the depiction of the sun and the moon in the cliff tomb, in the Buqianqiu tomb, a crow is painted in the red sun while a toad with a cinnamon tree is painted in the red moon. Between the sun and the moon are various creatures from the immortal world, in which the tomb occupant is identified to be the figure riding on the phoenix.\(^{254}\)

\(^{250}\) Beijing 2007: 159.

\(^{251}\) According to the common pictorial scheme of the Han funerary mural paintings, the black part may have been a crow, which usually appears with the sun, called the \textit{wu} \textit{烏} (crow). For the association of the crow with the sun and the fire in the belief in early China, see Li 2004: 170-175.

\(^{252}\) In some cases, only the sun appears in the centre of the coffer, which is located in the apex of the ceiling of the burial chamber. For example, see the cliff tomb Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang (Beijing 2008: pl. 17).

\(^{253}\) Erickson 2003: 423-425. She further points out that the images of the sun and the moon are very usual in the Han tomb decoration by providing the example of the pictorial bricks from Peng Xian 彭縣 in Sichuan, on which the sun and the moon deities are represented (Erickson 2003: 423; 424: fig. 24).

\(^{254}\) For the archaeological report, see WW 1977.6. The brick tomb the Luoyang 洛陽 M61 is another
In this sense, we can regard the coffers with the sun and the moon in the cliff tomb as the heavenly world prepared for the tomb occupant after his death as well. In this case, the coffer is no longer the “aquatic plant well”. It turns out to be the depiction of heaven. The coffer decoration in the stone tomb the Yi’nan tomb further helps us to understand the coffer’s association with heaven. A side chamber of the tomb has a coffer depicted with criss-cross pattern within a square frame (fig.79). Such pattern is very common in the representation of the window in the tombs of the Han dynasty and the earlier time. Wu Hung suggests that windows were created inside the tombs “to facilitate the soul’s movement”. Similarly, we could see the windows represented on the coffer as the entrance to heaven or to the immortal world for the soul.

So far, we have seen several possible interpretations of the coffer in the cliff tomb. These interpretations are firstly established on the basis of the coffer as a decorative architectural element. In addition, we have discussed the roles of columns and bracket sets as both architectural features and as elements with other worldly associations. In fact, the link between an architectural feature and the other worlds first

---

255 Beijing 1956: 9: fig. 18.
256 For example, see the drawing of the window in criss-cross pattern in the Eastern Han stone slab tomb the Houshiguo M1 in Mixian in Henan (Wu 2010: 229: fig. 223). See also Zhang and Zhang 2012.5: fig.3: 4.
257 Wu 2010: 228-229. He also provides an earlier example of the windows represented on the wooden chamber of the Marquis Yi of Zeng dated to the fourth century BC (Wu 2010: 193: fig. 178).
appeared in dwellings for the living, where certain architectural elements of buildings were the subjects of sacrifice, the wu si 五祀 (Five Sacrifices).\textsuperscript{258} The five subjects that received seasonal sacrifices from the residents were the hu 戶 (door), the zao 灶 (stove), the zhongliu 中霤 (Central Impluvium), the men 門 (gate) and the jing 井 (well), which were the deities of a dwelling who protected different aspects of the daily life of the resident families.\textsuperscript{259} It is likely that the framework of the representation of the architectural elements in the cliff tomb was partly modeled on the sacrificial system embedded in the dwelling. In other words, the architecture of the cliff was designed to systematically resemble the dwelling, in which the sacrificial rites were made in an orderly sequence, among many other regulations that maintained the family organization. The following discussion on the stove in the cliff tomb, which was also one of the Five Sacrifices in Eastern Han, will further exhibit how the tomb furnishings were made to resemble the construction of the dwelling and to indicate the orderly rites that shaped and maintained the family organization.

\textsuperscript{258} The records on the Five Sacrifices first appeared in the Ji fa 祭法 section in the Li ji 禮記, in which, the Five Sacrifices were among the hierarchical sacrificial regulations made by the Lord. “諸侯為國立五祀 For the feudal lords he [the king] established the Five Sacrificial Cults for their states.” Li ji: 1305. The translation is after Chard 1990: 147. For the development and interpretation of the Five Sacrifices in the excavated and received texts, see the Ph.D. dissertation by Zou Junzhi (2008). The translation of the zhongliu, the xing, the men and the hu is after Chard 1990: 147.

\textsuperscript{259} In some versions of the textual records, the xing (walkway) was one of the five sacrifices instead of the jing (well). Yang Hua (2004: 99) suggests that since in the Baihu tong 白虎通 edited by Ban Gu in Eastern Han placed the jing (well) instead of the xing 行 (walkway) among the Five Sacrifices, the jing (well) might start to replace the xing (walkway) in the Five Sacrifices in Eastern Han. “五祀者，何謂也?謂門,戶,井,竈,中霤也. What does ‘Five Sacrificial Cults’ refer to? It refers to the gate, doorway, well, stove, and Central Impluvium.” Baihu tong: 77. The translation is after Chard 1990: 150.
3.3 The Stove and Family Organization

The cliff tombs are designed for many members of a family, sometimes, members of a small family which affiliated to a large family. Consequently, sometimes more than one stove or granary is found carved in the same cliff tomb, perhaps intended for the use of different small families within a larger clan that was embodied by the cliff tomb.\textsuperscript{260} For example, in the Dongzipai M1 in Santai, two stoves are carved respectively in two chambers of the tomb (fig.57).\textsuperscript{261} Each area where the stove is located seems to suggest a kitchen for a specific family. The first stove is located on the right of the front chamber on the central axis of the tomb, seemingly built for the tomb occupant in the stone coffin located in the rear chamber on the central axis. The second stove is built in the side chamber on the right of the front chamber for the occupant in the stone coffin in the same chamber. Here the stoves were possibly built both for symbolizing a kitchen and for making seasonal sacrifices within a family as the basic unity for the activities.\textsuperscript{262}

\textsuperscript{260} Larger families began to appear in Eastern Han. “Some brothers lived together after they married and maintained an extended family, embracing such collateral relatives as uncles, nephews, and first cousins.” Ch’ü 1972: 9. For the structure of the family in Han, see Ch’ü 1972: 4-9.

\textsuperscript{261} Beijing 2007: 279-284.

\textsuperscript{262} Early regulations on the sacrifice to stove are found in the \textit{Yi li} 儀禮, which dates from the time of Zhou Gong 周公 (ca. 1100 BC), the founder of the Zhou dynasty. The content consists of detailed descriptions of the rituals of a \textit{shi} 士, “the low level member of the aristocracy” (Boltz 1993: 234). “尸卒食,而祭饎爨,雍爨 When the personator has finished eating, the female assistant makes an offering to the stove in which the millet was cooked, and the cook offers to the stove in which the meat was cooked.” \textit{Yi li}: 891. The translation is after Steele 1917: 158. In Han, sacrifice to stove was among the Five Sacrifices and the Seven Sacrifices (qi si 七祀), as one of the standard seasonal domestic rituals, which were partly related to the ancestral ritual. Sacrifice to stove among the Five Sacrifices can be found in the Monthly Instructions for the Four People (\textit{Si min yue ling} 四民月令), which was written in Eastern Han, thought to be a manual of family management for landowners (for detailed discussion of this text, see Ebrey 1974). “十二月…,遂臘先祖五祀. In the twelfth month…, the la sacrifice is offered to ancestors and to the five deities.” \textit{Si min yueling}: 74. The translation is after Hsu 1980: 227.
Similar arrangement of several stoves in the same cliff tomb can also be found in the Mahao MI in Leshan (fig.51). The cliff tomb is made by a rectangular front hall with three rear chambers tunneled into its rear wall. Each rear chamber is equipped with a stove together with relevant kitchen furnishings. The individual kitchen settings coincide with the plan of the tomb, suggesting three related families who shared the same sacrificial hall that might be dedicated to their communal ancestors.\(^{263}\)

As Robert Chard suggests that “the stove itself is the symbol of a single family unit. The expression ‘divide stoves (fen zao 分灶),’ which entails the ritual division of the ashes, denotes the breaking up of a household into smaller units”\(^{264}\). Though the expression “divide stoves” came much later than the Han in imperial China, Chard suggests that the canonical prescriptions on stove sacrifice in Han provided the basis for the later belief on stove in imperial China down to the Qing period (AD 1644-1912). In this sense, in Eastern Han, the stove may well have become one of the symbols of the family as a unit, which is thoroughly depicted and emphasized in the cliff tombs in Sichuan.

Though the Five Sacrifices are not specified in the text, they are identified in the “ritual prescriptions for each month” in the *Li ji* (Chard 1990: 149). “仲夏之月,...其祀灶,祭先肺. In summer (the fourth and fifth months) it is the stove, and the lung is put first.” *Li ji*: 498. The translation is after Chard 1990: 149.

For the role of stove in food preparing for rituals in Han and pre-Han period, see Sterckx 2006. For the development of the cult of stove, see Yang 1944 and Chard 1990. For the practice of the cult of stove in the imperial period, which is established on the basis of early period, see Chard 1995.

\(^{263}\) KG 1990.2: 112, fig.1.

\(^{264}\) Chard 1990: 10.
When studies the miniature buildings in Northern Song and Liao China, Xin Chen notes the “ambiguity nature of the model”. Firstly, it is recognizable. But “it functions outside the standard functions of a full sized object”. Yet “a strong degree of resemblance – recalling the full sized object is essential”.\textsuperscript{265} The cliff tomb here has a similar effect in modeling the wooden dwelling. In the cliff tomb, the architectural features continued to contribute to the ways in which “viewers assessed the relative importance of various structure and their intended purposes and meanings”.\textsuperscript{266} Though the cliff tomb is not the actual wooden dwelling for the living, it has functions that require references to architectural uses. The nature of the wooden architecture carved in stone guides the movement and imagination of the viewers of the tomb.\textsuperscript{267} The wooden architecture carved in stone provides the viewers a special environment to understand the family organization and hierarchical orders of a large family in the framework of columns, ceilings and bracket sets and through the furnishings like the stove.\textsuperscript{268} Important architectural elements and furnishings are emphasized through stone sculpture to guide the viewers to realize the important parts in the family organization.

4. Important Structure for Ritual and Sacrifice

\textsuperscript{265} Chen 2011: 147.
\textsuperscript{266} Chen 2011: 151.
\textsuperscript{267} Xin Chen suggests that two aspects of the viewers’ engagement are closely related to the complete function of a model: movement and imagination. See Chen 2011: 170.
\textsuperscript{268} Similar frameworks of the wooden architecture are also found in a fourth century earthen tomb in Gaotai 高臺 in Gansu. The wooden architectural details are carved elaborately into the earthen cave in a very similar way as the first and second century cliff tombs in Sichuan. See WW 2008.9.
An early Eastern Han pictorial stone from a tomb in Weishan (微山), Shandong illustrates a funeral scene, in which a carriage carrying a coffin is shown driving to the tomb, accompanied by a mourning procession. Some family members of the deceased are already at the burial site receiving the mourners. Some tomb builders seem still sitting at the side of the newly dug burial pit (fig.80).²⁶⁹ This picture provides us with a direct knowledge of the role of the tomb in a funeral, which involved the deceased, the family members of the deceased, the funerary procession, the mourners and the tomb builders. Though the tomb depicted in this pictorial stone is not similar to the cliff tomb in Sichuan, we could imagine a similar role of the cliff tomb in funeral and other sacrifices around the same period in Eastern Han.

The point of view of this section gathers much support from Wu Hung’s contention that “the tomb – as opposed to the family shrine – became the focus of ceremonial activity during the Han”. “This custom developed, he maintains, because activities at the tomb emphasized devotion to the deceased, while activities at the family temple emphasized a person’s ultimate lineage. Since the Han emperors were not of royal ancestry, they gained little from emphasizing their ancestry and instead focused on their filial devotion to immediate ancestors.”²⁷⁰ Clearly, the local residents on the large agricultural estate would benefit from the same advantage of displaying their filial piety to their close ancestors. Consequently, the tomb became an important venue for the public display of the virtue of filial piety, which further facilitated the establishment of beneficial relationship between members of the large clan, the

²⁶⁹ For the pictorial stone and the interpretation of the picture see Xin 2000: 218-219.
wealthy landowners and the local officials.\textsuperscript{271} And important activities including funerals and sacrifices centred on the tomb.

Compared with funeral at the tomb, as shown in the scene depicted in the pictorial stone from Shandong, sacrifice at the tomb was confined to a more exclusive social group. When the tomb was re-opened for sacrificial ritual, usually only the tomb occupants’ family members could enter into the tomb for ritual, as revealed by the inscription carved by the entrance of the cliff tomb at Banbianjie 半邊街 in Pengshan: “永元十四年三月廿六日王叔蹈造，子孫當開，他人不得 (Wang Shudao built this tomb on the twenty sixth day of the third month in the fourteenth year of Yongyuan. It should be opened by the offspring, not the others.)”.\textsuperscript{272} The inscription seems to suggest that the tomb could be opened after funeral and the offspring had the obligation to re-open the tomb to make sacrifices.\textsuperscript{273}

Though the access to the tomb was confined to family members, a sacrifice still functioned as a public activity which corresponded to the close tie between family members and the responsibility of family members to maintain the tradition and fame of the already well-established family. The inscription in the cliff tomb HM3 at Xindu

\textsuperscript{271} For the role of the public practice of mourning in forging beneficial relationships in a person’s social network, see Brown 2007: 85-104.
\textsuperscript{272} Gao 1990: 12.
\textsuperscript{273} Inscription suggesting that the tomb could be accessed and viewed is also found elsewhere in the Eastern Han. See example from the inscription found in the Eastern Han tomb at the Baizi village 百子村, Xunyi 旬邑 in Shaanxi (Shaaxi sheng wenwuju and Shanghai bowuguan 2004: 99). The inscriptions advise the visitors to take off their shoes before entering into the tomb.
in Chengdu exhibited the importance of the cliff tomb as a venue for sacrifice: “惟自舊低，段本東州。祖考徠西，乃遷于慈。因處廣漢，造墓定基。魂靈不寧，於斯革之。永建三年八月，段仲孟造此萬歲之宅，刻勒石門，以示子孫。The ancestor of the Duan family was from the eastern province. After the ancestors came to the west, they moved the household to this place. Since we resided in Guanghan, we built tombs here to establish our roots. The situation that the souls were unrest had been changed since then. In the eighth month of the third year of Yongjian (AD 129), Duan Mengzhong built this dwelling for afterlife. The inscription was carved on the stone door to be shown to the offspring (fig.81).”

Above this passage, the characters “石門闖（stone barrier）” are carved. It is notable that these two inscriptions are carved on the stone door panel of the tomb entrance, facing the burial chamber. When the door was closed after sacrifice, the teaching for the offspring on the back of the door was sacredly conserved together with the tomb occupants inside, who had already become ancestors of the family. And when the family members entered into the tomb, as a part of the sacrificial ritual, they could show their respect to the inscriptions recording the growth and establishment of their family due the effort of their ancestors.

Some structures outside the cliff tomb further shows the possible sacrifices carried out in the cemetery. Chen Mingda suggested that the square and flat ground outside the cliff tomb entrance was particularly excavated for use as a venue for sacrifice (fig.82). It functioned similarly to the above ground stone shrine in front of the stone chamber.

274 KG 2007.9: 41.
tomb in Shandong and northern Jiangsu in Eastern Han. Such a venue is still discernable at many cliff tombs in Pengshan, such as tomb M505, M515 and M600.275 Small caves were tunneled into the cliff at the side of the venue for sacrifice, adjacent to the cliff tomb, for placing ceremonial objects during the sacrifice. Two bowls containing several Han coins were found in such small cave at tomb M600 in Pengshan.276

5. Conclusion

The depiction of monumentality and immortality in the cliff tomb had been thoroughly exploited by its users. In some cases, especially when timber structure is sculpted in stone with spiritual images, the depiction of immortality in the cliff tomb structure also served to enhance its role as a monument. Through the representation of the timber architecture in stone, institutions in the community of the cliff tomb users were systematically illustrated and monumentalized. Furthermore, the characteristics of cliff tomb structure decided that tombs could be constructed at a relatively low cost, which very likely culminated in the popularity of cliff tombs among a wide range of people. Though this chapter mainly focuses on discussing the grand cliff tombs, the lesser cliff tombs reflect the similar principle of tomb planning. As a result, the cemetery of cliff tombs of different scales exhibits the monumentalization of both the relationship within individual families and the relationship between the various families in a community. Through the continuous tomb construction, ritual and sacrifice taken place in the cemetery, the continuous communication between the past and future in a community was carried on and on.

276 Chen 2003a: 72-73.
Chapter 3 Pictorial Carvings

This chapter is concerned with the pictorial carvings in the cliff tombs. The pictorial carvings usually appear in the form of various figures and images in low relief on the wall and at the entrance of the tomb. Occasionally, the decoration in the cliff tomb also appears in the form of coloured brush painting on the wall. Through analyzing the meaning of these pictorial carvings, the function of the whole cliff tomb and its individual component parts will be further revealed. Consequently, we could obtain deeper insight into the factors underlying the popularity of the cliff tomb. As will be discussed in the chapter, many elements and schemes of the pictorial carvings in the cliff tombs are directly borrowed from those in the contemporary brick or stone chamber tombs. Moreover, in the cliff tombs, some of these borrowed elements and schemes are particularly popular. The reasons for the prevalence of certain elements and schemes will be discussed. Subsequently, we could further understand how the cliff tombs came into being and became popular based on the various already existing burial forms.

According to the 289 reported cliff tombs so far listed in Appendix 3, 52 cliff tombs are found decorated with pictorial carvings. The discussion of the chapter is primarily based on these cliff tombs and some other cliff tombs with pictorial carvings mentioned in two important regional surveys of the cliff tombs in Pengshan and
None of these cliff tombs is entirely decorated with pictorial carvings on the main walls like some extensively decorated stone chamber tombs, such as the Yi’nan tomb and the Anqiu 安丘 tomb in Shandong. Instead, most of these cliff tombs are only carved or painted with a few figures or images on the walls of one or two burial chambers, or on the walls near the entrance, especially the lintel above the entrance. Among all the 52 cliff tombs with pictorial carvings listed in Appendix 3, 17 are found with carvings on the lintels. This phenomenon will be discussed later and will be considered together with the frequently found pictorial carvings near the entrance of the cliff tomb. Before discussing the meaning of the pictorial carvings and their locations in the cliff tombs, it is important to consider the schema of the pictorial carvings in the contemporary brick or stone chamber tombs first. Since those are the major sources of the pictorial carvings in the cliff tombs.

For the two regional surveys, see Tang 1993 and Chen 2003a and b. Tang Changshou made thorough survey of the cliff tombs in Pengshan and Leshan and compared the different features of the cliff tombs in these two areas (Tang 1993). Chen Mingda introduced the major features of the cliff tombs in Pengshan in detail based on the archaeological excavation of the tombs in the area in the 1940s (Chen 2003a and b). Chen’s work was not published until 2003 when Yin Lixin edited Chen’s draft in the archive of the Chongqing Three Gorges Museum. Many pictorial carvings in the cliff tombs can also be found in Zhongguo Huaxiangshi quanji 2 vol. 2 中國畫像石全集第二卷 (Zhongguo Huaxiangshi quanji 2000).

For the archaeological reports, see Beijing 1956 and Ji’nan 1992. Details on the Yi’nan tomb will be discussed later in the chapter. The Dongjiazhuang tomb in Anqiu is a multi-chambered tomb, built on a level plane, constructed of stone posts and lintels together with trapezoidal and rectangular stone slabs. The tomb has a cantilevered roof, which is supported by pillars. There are three main chambers, which are laid out on a north-south axis in a length of 8.7 metres. The widest main chamber is 7.55 metres in width. Carriage processions are illustrated in the front chamber. Scenes of entertainment and homage are depicted in the middle chamber. There is a landscape with immortals and deer in the rear chamber. The pillars in the tomb are also extensively decorated with immortal creatures and figures.

Xin Lixiang also points out that the funerary pictorial carvings in Sichuan, which appeared later in the beginning of the 1st century AD were strongly influenced by those in Shandong and Henan. Xin 2000: 230.
1. Pictorial Carvings in Stone and Brick Chamber Tombs: Communication between Sichuan and East China

As will be discussed in this section, the content and scheme of the pictorial carvings in the stone and brick chamber tombs in Sichuan are similar to those in east China in Shandong and Henan. The similarities rooted in the similar plans of the burial chambers and the cemetery. It is very likely that the communication on the pictorial carvings in the tomb and the plan of the cemetery between these two distant areas was through the people who took official positions in east China and were then buried in their hometown in Sichuan as will be explained later in this section. Much content and arrangement of the pictorial carvings in the cliff tombs can be traced to those in the stone and brick chamber tombs, though with many variants to adapt to the specific needs of the cliff tomb occupants. It is more likely that the pictorial carvings in the stone and brick chamber tombs in Sichuan instead of east China were the direct sources of the pictorial carvings in the cliff tombs.

Comparisons will be made between the stone and chamber tombs in Sichuan and those in east China. The major tombs involved in the comparison are the Yangzishan tomb in Chengdu in Sichuan, the Zengjiabao tomb M1 and M2 in Chengdu in Sichuan, a stone chamber tomb in Hechuan in Sichuan, the Dahuiting tomb M1 in Mixian in Henan, a stone tomb in Cangshan in Shandong and the Yi’nan tomb M1 in Shandong.
At first glance, there are many pictorial carvings with the same content in Sichuan and in East China. However the style of carving and the plan of a narrative scene are usually very different. For example, the pictorial carvings of the sages Fu Xi 伏羲 and Nü Wa 女媧 have been found frequently in the stone and brick chamber tombs in both Sichuan and east China, though the styles of carving in the two areas form stark contrast.280 Martin Powers has drawn our attention to the comparison of a pictorial brick excavated from Sichuan (fig.83) and a piece of pictorial stone from Suining 遂寧, near Xuzhou in Jiangsu (fig.84).281 The Sichuan brick illustrates Fu Xi who is holding the sun corresponding to his cosmic force of yang and Nü Wa who is holding the moon corresponding to her cosmic force of yin. The Xuzhou stone depicts Fu Xi and Nü Wa with their tails intertwining, accompanied by their children, who are the products of their union. A sense of liveliness and volume is delivered from the Sichuan brick while a rigid character can be discerned from the Xuzhou stone. Martin Powers traces this contrast to the different carving traditions in Sichuan and in the Northern Plain in east China. In Sichuan, the impression of movement and the sense of volume are the result of the perfect integration of the lines illustrating the contour and the lines depicting surface details. Take the sleeves of the Sichuan sages for example, the line of the contour of the cuff of the right sleeve of Nü Wa continues to define the contour of the scarf which is hanging down in front of her right cuff. The line delineating her left arm also continues to define the contour of her left cuff, which

280 Fu Xi was a sage king who invented many hallmarks of civilization. In Han pictorial art, he often appears as a male deity representing the cosmic force of the yang. Nü Wa saved mankind from extermination and was usually depicted as the female deity representing the cosmic force of the yin.

seems to be hanging down in front of her arm. To some extent, the contour line seems
to be running around the figure, suggesting the free movement of the figure in and out
in space. Rather than using light and shade, the contour line gives the sense of
movement and volume. In addition, the motion was depicted by the Sichuan artisan
through capturing the marks of motion. For example, the hair knots of Nü Wa are
falling backward because she is moving forward. In addition, the scarf on the left
sleeve of Fu Xi is trailing forward because he is descending his arm. In contrast, the
Xuzhou engraver seemed to attempt to deliver an impression of flatness and rigidity.
They executed the contour line and the incisions illustrating the surface details
separately. As can been seen from the Xuzhou sages, a deep contour line was first cut
to separate the figure from ground. Then subdivisions were marked off within the
contour through assigning them different surface patterns. For example, their lower
sleeves are marked by wiggling lines while their shoulders are marked by a kind of
striped pattern. In summary, the approach of the Sichuan artisan is profoundly
synthetic while the approach taken by the Xuzhou artisan is essentially analytical.

In addition to the style of carving, the illustration of the same narrative scene can be
different as well in Sichuan and in east China. The exhibition on the Han relics from
Sichuan held in San Francisco in 1987 has drawn our attention to the similar but
different depictions of ascending to heaven with deer on a pictorial brick from
Pengxian 彭縣 in Sichuan (fig.85) and on a pictorial stone from Nanyang in Henan
Both depictions can be traced to the tale described in a song by Cao Zhi (AD 192-232):

As I traveled over Qin Mountain one morning, clouds and mist were swirling. All of sudden I met two boys, both of brightness and beauty. Riding on a white deer, they were holding divine *lingzhi*. I realized they were immortals, and kneeled and begged for the Dao. “Go west and climb the Jade Terrace. There are gold pavilions and corridors.” They gave me an immortal elixir, the Divine Sovereign had made it. They taught me how to take it, to replace my lost energy. “Your longevity will match that of gold and jade, and you will never reach senility.”

On the Sichuan brick, the deer suddenly stops when the man on its back sees a lady approaching him. Her clothes are elaborate, with scarf flying in the breeze. She seems to be offering the *lingzhi* in her hands to suggest that the *lingzhi* will bring him longevity. On the Henan stone, all the elements in the tale, the deer, the rider, the immortals who hold the *lingzhi* are also illustrated. However, the way in which these elements are arranged is different. A chariot drawn by the deer is added. In addition, the number of the deer and the immortal is increased. Moreover, cloud scrolls are depicted around the deer chariot to emphasize that the rider is ascending to heaven.

The above two case studies have explained how the pictorial carvings in Sichuan and in east China are similar and different. More common themes depicted on the pictorial carvings in these two areas can be analyzed in this way. Xin Lixiang has made a comprehensive summary of the common themes of the pictorial carvings in Eastern

---

282 Lim 1987: pl.67 and fig.20.
In fact, not only the themes of the pictorial carvings in the stone and brick tombs in Sichuan and in east China are similar, but also the arrangement of these pictorial carvings in the tombs. The stone and brick tombs in both the areas emphasize the decoration of the tomb doors and illustrate the central burial chamber as the main space for ritual and transformation, which is to a large extent decided by their similar structure.

The Dahuting tomb M1 in Henan is a typical example that a large scale chamber tomb built by both stone and brick with all its crucial structure constructed of stone and the rest constructed of brick (fig.87). Six of the doors of its burial chambers are constructed of stone. Each door is consisted of two stone posts, a stone lintel and two door panels made of stone slabs. Each door slab has a door ring with an animal head appliqué carved on the surface to imitate the real door in the dwelling. The door lintels and posts are further carved with auspicious images. The main walls in the three main chambers and several side chambers are also made of massive stone slabs,

---

284 Xin 1987. The Han funerary pictorial pictorial carvings were categorized by him into 9 types with 55 sub-types. The main 9 types are 1) the major stages in the life of the tomb occupant, such as receiving the visits of officials, giving lessons and taking part in the war; 2) the entertainment scenes, such as feasting, watching performance and hunting; 3) the wealth of the tomb occupant, such as scenes of working on the farm or in the sideline industry; 4) religious images, such as the Queen Mother of the West, the thunder god and the four directional animals; 5) stories on the paragons of Confucius virtue; 6) images symbolizing auspicious omens; 7) architectural parts, such as the coffer, the bracket and the eave; 8) celestial bodies, such as the sun and the moon; and 9) decoration patterns. Though he admitted this categorization is problematic later in his research, his early research result to some extent reflects the large number of themes involved in the Han funerary pictorial carvings. He realizes that the above categorization cuts off the link between the mural painting and its location in the tomb. In addition, the relationship between different pictorial carvings in a tomb is omitted (Xin 2000: 59-62).

285 Beijing 1993: 6-26, figs.4-16.
carved with scenes exhibiting the daily life or the ideal afterlife of the tomb occupant. The rest structures are constructed by moulded bricks, including the upper part of the walls of the tomb chamber, the vaulted ceilings and the paved floors.

Compared with the large scale chamber tombs built by stone and brick in east China, large scale stone and brick tombs with elaborate decoration in Sichuan have much simpler plans, though the basic plan and the way of construction are the same. The Yangzishan tomb in Chengdu only has three burial chambers, the front chamber, the middle and the rear chamber, which are laid out on a north-south axis (fig.88).\(^\text{286}\) The tomb door is made of stone, consisting of a lintel, two door posts and two door panels. The two main walls in the middle chamber are constructed by two large stone slabs, which are elaborately carved with the long procession of riders and carriages, forming the main decorating area of the tomb. The other parts of the tomb, including the three vaulted ceilings of the three burial chambers, are constructed by bricks. The Zengjiabao tomb M1 and M2 in Chengdu feature a plan similar to the Yangzishan tomb (fig.89, 90).\(^\text{287}\) The only difference is that the rear chamber of the Zengjiabao tombs is further partitioned by a wall into two adjacent burial chambers for placing coffins. The doors of both the tombs at Zengjiabao are constructed of stone, consisting of two door posts, a door lintel and two door panels. The rear walls of the two rear chambers of the tomb M1 are constructed by two whole pieces of stone slabs respectively, carved with vivid scenes of working on the farm and life in an ample.

\(^{286}\) Lim 1987: 191.

\(^{287}\) WW 1981.10: 26-27.
dwelling with a courtyard, which are also the largest part with pictorial carvings in the tomb (fig.91). The doors of both the tombs are extensively decorated. The back of the door panels of the tomb M1 are carved with a pair of Red Birds on the upper part, two figures holding weapons and one figure holding a fan on the lower part.288 Both the front and back sides of the door panels of the tomb M2 are decorated.289 On the front side, a pair of deer is carved on the upper part (fig.92). Two figures, seemingly to be the couple of the tomb occupants, are receiving greetings from two relatively small figures. On the back side, a weapon shelf is carved together with a dog, three figures holding weapons and a Red Bird (fig.93). In addition, the front side of the lintel of the door of the tomb M2 is carved with a Red Bird.290

As for the chamber tomb constructed entirely of stone in Sichuan, the decoration also focuses on the doors and several main walls of the burial chamber. For example, the door of the central burial chamber of the Hechuan 合川 tomb in Sichuan is constructed by three pieces of decorated stone slabs, consisting of a door lintel and two door posts (fig.94, 95).291 On the lintel, a dragon and a tiger are striving for a bi disc.292 On the door posts, the door rings with the animal head appliqués are carved together with the Red Birds, seemingly to imitate the door panels in the dwelling for the living. On the sides of the two door posts, which are facing to each other, the

288 WW 1981.10: pls. 4-2 and 4-5.
289 WW 1981.10: pls.4-1, 4-3 and 4-4.
290 WW 1981.10: 29, fig.11.
291 WW 1977.2: 66, fig.11.
292 WW 1977.2: 66, fig.9.
Green Dragon and the White Tiger are carved in low relief respectively. The door of the rear chamber is also constructed by decorated stone pieces. On the lintel, there is carved the scene on Jing Ke, who is trying to assassinate the king of the Qin state and a figure who is shooting a tiger (fig.96). One of the door posts is carved with Fu Xi holding the sun (fig.97). Images with auspicious meanings are carved on several main walls in the tomb. A squatting sheep is carved in the niche on the east wall of the front chamber (fig.98). The pronunciation of sheep (yang 羊) in Chinese is close to that of auspiciousness (xiang 祥). An immortal holding the lingzhi and a bottle of elixir is carved on the west wall of the front chamber (fig.99). In the niche on the west wall of the central chamber, a figure playing flute is carved (fig.100). On the south wall of the west side chamber, there is carved a bird holding a fish (fig.101).

It is notable that most of the pictures in the Hechuan tomb can find their counterparts in the cliff tombs in Sichuan. For example, a flute playing figure carved in almost the same style is found in a cliff tomb in Zhongjiang (fig.102). The scene of a bird holding a fish is also frequently depicted in the cliff tombs (fig.103). The squatting sheep have been found carved in a pair on the door lintel of the cliff tomb M535 in
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293 WW 1977: 66, figs. 13 and 14.
294 WW 1977.2: 67, fig.18.
295 WW 1977.2: 67, fig.20.
296 WW 1977.2: 66, fig.6.
297 WW 1977.2: 66, fig.8.
298 WW 1977.2: 65, fig.4.
299 Zhongguo Huaxiangshi quanji 2000b: 12, fig.39.
300 For example, see Beijing 2008: pls.70-75.
Pengshan (fig.104).\textsuperscript{301} The image of Fu Xi holding the sun has been found carved on the door posts of the tomb doors of the Qigedong M1 and M7 in Changning (fig.105).\textsuperscript{302} The door lintel carved with the dragon and the tiger striving for a bi disc in the Hechuan tomb can also find its counterpart in the cliff tomb, though with subtle variation. For example, the door lintel of the cliff tomb M355 in Pengshan is carved with two winged dragons striving for a bi disc (fig.36).\textsuperscript{303} In addition, the scene illustrating the attempted assassination of the king of the Qin is the most frequently depicted story in the cliff tombs, especially in the Leshan area in Sichuan, in the front halls, which were used to commemorate the ancestors (fig.106).\textsuperscript{304}

Next I will examine how and why these pictorial carvings were used in the Hechuan tomb in Sichuan and arranged in such way. Two stone chamber tombs in Shandong in east China with similar plans and richer information from their pictorial carvings and inscriptions are crucial to the discussion. The Cangshan 蒼山 tomb in Shandong is consisted of a front chamber and two adjacent rear chambers, which are laid out on a north-south axis (fig.107).\textsuperscript{305} The pictorial carvings of the tomb centre on the entrances to the front chamber and the two rear chambers, and the upper part of the surrounding walls of the front chamber. An inscription containing 324 words describing the content of the pictorial carvings in each section of the tomb carved on

\textsuperscript{301} Chen 2003a: 86, fig.15.
\textsuperscript{302} Luo 2005: 281, fig.3 and 290, fig.23.
\textsuperscript{303} Chen 2003a: 68, fig.4.
\textsuperscript{304} For examples, see Tang 1997: fig.5. The potential memorial function of the front halls in the cliff tombs in the Leshan area will be explained later in this chapter.
\textsuperscript{305} KG 1975.2: 124, fig.1.
two of the stone posts in the front chamber is crucial to the reading of the pictorial programme of the tomb (fig.108).\textsuperscript{306} The inscription was probably carved by the tomb builders to explain their design and indicates that the pictorial carvings in the tomb were planned in advance.\textsuperscript{307}

Wu Hung has made a thorough discussion on how to read the pictorial programme of the Cangshan tomb according to the inscription.\textsuperscript{308} He suggests that in the inscription, the description of the content of the pictures starts from the rear chamber and then gradually moves on to the front chamber and the tomb entrance. He argues that the whole pictorial programme was based on the perspectives of the tomb occupants, since it began from the rear chamber, where the bodies of the deceased located. As shown both by the sentence in the inscription and by the pictorial carving, the rear chamber is depicted as a microcosm for the deceased, constituted by the heavenly beasts and directional animals.\textsuperscript{309}

\textsuperscript{306} KG 1975.2: 126, figs.4-5.
\textsuperscript{307} Because the language used here is less literate than stele inscriptions which were usually composed by literati. In addition, there is no record on the life of the tomb occupant or any compliment in the inscription like those usually carved in the tomb chamber or on the stele. See Wu 1994: 92-93 and Li 1985b: 75.
\textsuperscript{308} Wu 1994: 91-104.
\textsuperscript{309} The inscription reads: “后當: 朱爵 (雀) 對遊仙人, 中行白虎後鳳凰. 中直柱, 雙結龍, 主守中爆辟邪殃. 室上殃: 五子舉, 僮女隨後駕鯉魚. 前有青龍白虎車, 後□被輪雷公君, 從者推車, 乎梟 (狐狸) 寇府 (鸕鶿). The rear wall: The Red Bird encounters a roaming immortal Phoenixes trail after the White Tiger who is strolling in the middle. The central column [in front of the rear section]: Hee a pair of intertwining dragons, guard the tomb’s heart and ward off evil. The ceiling of the [rear] chamber: A wuzi carriage is followed by servant girls who are driving carps; The chariot of the White Tiger and the Blue Dragon runs ahead; The Duke of Thunder on wheels brings up the rear; And those pushing the vehicle are assistants-foxes and mandarin ducks.” The translation is after Wu 1994: 93.
The front chamber is an important place for the transformation of the soul of the deceased as shown by the depiction of the journeys in various forms on the surrounding walls.\textsuperscript{310} According to the inscription, the journey experienced two stages, which were depicted on the upper parts of the western and the eastern walls of the front chamber respectively.\textsuperscript{311} The first stage was in the funerary procession on the bridge. The tomb occupant in the carriage was accompanied by numerous officials on the horses to across the Wei River (fig.109). The second stage was in the funerary procession on the land. The tomb occupant in the carriage was accompanied by his family members on his way to the other world to be received by the figures in the half-open doors (fig.110). Wu Hung suggests that the carriages with the tomb occupant illustrated in the two stages are different. In the first stage, the carriage with the tomb occupant is a hearse, which carries the body of the tomb occupant.\textsuperscript{312} After the body of the tomb occupant was transported to the funerary site, the second stage began. In the second stage, the carriage with the tomb occupant is a “soul carriage”, which carries the soul of the tomb occupant.\textsuperscript{313} The second stage indicates that after

\textsuperscript{310} Wu 1994: 100.

\textsuperscript{311} The inscription reads: “上衛(渭)橋, 尉車馬, 前者功曹後主簿,亭長騎佐(左),胡使努.下有深水多魚(漁)者;從兒刺舟渡諸母. 使坐上,小車駒,驅馳相隨到都亭.遊檄侯見謝自便.後有羊車(象)其槽. 上即聖鳥乘浮雲.” [The lintel above the west chamber]: Ascending the bridge over the River Wei, here appear official chariots and horsemen. The Head Clerk is in front, and the Master of Records is behind. Together with them are the Chief of a Commune, the Assistant Commandant of Cavalry, and a barbarian drawing his cross-bow. Water flows under the bridge; a crowd of people are fishing. Servant boys are paddling a boat, ferrying [your] wives across the river. [The lintel above the east niche]: [The women] then sit in small ping-carriages; following one another, they gallop to a ting station. The awaiting officer youxi pays them an audience, and then apologizes for his departure. Behind [the procession], a ram-drawn carriage symbolizes a hearse; Above, divine birds are flying in drifting clouds.” The translation is after Wu 1994: 100.

\textsuperscript{312} Wu 1998: 22-23.

\textsuperscript{313} Wu 1998: 23. Wu Hung suggests that the sheep carriage at the end of the procession depicted on the
the funeral, the soul of the tomb occupant needed to continue the journey to finally arrive in the heavenly world. It is notable that on the west and east walls in the same burial chamber, the carriages with the tomb occupant are travelling in opposite directions, which seems to emphasize the different destinations of the journeys at the two stages.

In the previously discussed Yangzishan tomb in Sichuan, two cases of travelling in opposite directions can also be observed. The long carriage processions carved on the two side walls of the middle chamber are travelling in opposite directions (fig.111). Moreover, in the front chamber of the same tomb, there are two carriages travelling in opposite directions on the two pictorial bricks installed on the two side walls of the chamber respectively. Two que pillars are illustrated respectively on the two bricks which are installed at the entrance of the front chamber, seemingly to mark the start and the end of the two travels in opposite directions. In the Zengjiabao tomb M2 in Sichuan, a case of travelling in opposite directions can also be found in the middle chamber. The carriages travelling in opposite directions are depicted on two bricks respectively on the two side walls of the chamber (fig.112, 113). The pictorial upper part of the east wall of the front chamber of the Cangshan tomb is the “soul carriage”. The Chinese pronunciation of sheep (羊) is similar to that of auspiciousness (祥), therefore, the sheep carriage is actually the “auspicious carriage (xiang che 祥車)”. In the Li ji, the “auspicious carriage” is recorded as the carriage whose seat should be left empty during a funeral, which means that the sheep carriage depicted in the procession is actually a “soul carriage” for the invisible soul of the tomb occupant. See the annotation by Zheng Xuan in Li ji: 1253. “空神位也,祥車,葬之乘車. The carriage whose seat is left empty for spirit is an auspicious carriage, which is used for funeral.”


The report of the Zengjiabao tomb no.2 published in 1981 (WW 1981.10) does not provide the photos of the pictorial bricks in the tomb. However, the pictorial program of the tomb can be
brick with the illustration of a *que* pillar near the entrance to the tomb in the front chamber seems to mark both the start and the end of the journey (fig.90).

In the Cangshan tomb, after completing the two stages in the journey, the life in the other world continued in the way as depicted in the eastern niche. In the pictorial carving, the tomb occupant was enjoying himself in the feast in the way just like in his lifetime (fig.114). At this point, from the rear chamber to the front chamber and then to the eastern niche, the tomb occupant completed his transformation from a newly deceased to a new member of the other world who continued to have the joys in his lifetime.

Similarly, in the Yangzishan tomb in Sichuan, the life of the tomb occupant in the other world continued as depicted on the northern end of the east wall of the middle chamber, which is the destination of one of the long carriage processions, where the tomb occupant seems to be watching the performance of the acrobats while eating and drinking with his guests (fig.111). In the Zengjiabao tomb M2 in Sichuan, the ideal afterlife is illustrated on the side walls of the middle chamber together with the

---

316 The inscription reads: “其中畫(像)家親,玉女執尊杯桉(案)柈(盤),局朮穩好弱貌. The portrait inside [the east niche] represents you, the member of the family. The Jad Maidens are holding drinking vessels and serving boards-how fine, fragile and delicate they look!” The translation is after Wu 1994: 96.

carriages of the tomb occupant. Various important aspects of an ideal afterlife are depicted respectively on individual pictorial bricks, including hunting and harvesting, salt mining, city scene, playing the *liubo* 六博 game, feasting and entertaining, and courtyard of an ample dwelling (fig.115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 28).

The pictorial carvings at the entrances of the burial chambers in the Cangshan tomb are important in defining the front chamber and the rear chamber as functional space for rituals and the transformation of the soul of the tomb occupant. On the front of the lintel of the tomb door, a grand procession of carriages and horses is carved (fig.120). On the back side of the lintel, the tomb occupant was watching dancing performance, in company with a Jade Maiden (fig.121). Wu Hung suggests that these pictorial carvings on both sides of the lintel of the tomb door are the depiction of the joy of the tomb occupant in grander scene, following the pictorial carvings in the eastern niche. The pictorial carvings on the door posts were also regarded to be functional in the whole pictorial programme depicting the ritual for the transformation of the deceased. A pair of dragons are carved on the middle post at the entrance to the

---

319 Lim 1987: pls.9, 14, 15, 20, 36, 47 and 48.
320 KG 1975.2: 128, fig.6-1.
321 KG 1975.2: 128, fig.6-2. The inscription reads: “堂央外: 君出遊, 車馬導從騎吏留. 都督在前後賊曹. 上有龍虎銜利來, 百鳥共持至錢財. 其央外: 有倡家, 笙竽相和比吹蘆, 龍雀除央鷎啄魚. The face of the door lintel: You are now taking a tour. Chariots are guiding the retinue out, while horsemen remain at the home. The *dudu* is in front, and the *zeicao* is at the rear. Above, tigers and dragons arrive with good fortune; a hundred birds fly over bringing abundant wealth. The back of the door lintel: Here are musicians and singing girls playing the wind-instruments *sheng* and *yu* in harmony, while the sound of a *lu*-pipe strikes up. Dragons and birds are driving evil away, and cranes are poking at fish.” The translation is after Wu 1994: 96.
rear chamber to guard the body of the deceased (fig.122). Heavenly animals are carved on the middle door post at the entrance to the tomb to guard the front chamber. Immortals and the offspring of the tomb occupant are carved respectively on the side door posts at the entrance to the tomb to mark the boundary between the world for the living and the world for the deceased (fig.123).

In the Hechuan tomb in Sichuan, we see a similar arrangement of the meaningful pictorial carvings at the entrances to different burial chambers. The sage Fu Xi is carved on the side post of the door of the rear chamber to guard the body of the deceased (fig.97). Heavenly animals, the two dragons who are striving for a bi disc, are carved on the lintel of the door of the central chamber to guard the central chamber (fig.94). Architectural elements used in the dwelling for the living and heavenly animals are carved respectively on the different sides of the door posts at the entrance to the central chamber to mark the boundary between the world for the living and the world for the deceased (fig.94).

---

323 KG 1975.2: 131, fig.9-4. The inscription reads: “中直柱,雙結龍,主守中霤辟邪殃. The central column [in front of the rear section]: Here a pair of intertwining dragons, guard the tomb’s heart and ward off evil.” The translation is after Wu 1994: 93.

324 KG 1975.2: 131, fig.9-2.

325 The inscription reads: “堂三柱:中直□龍飛翔,左有玉女與仙人,右柱□□請丞卿,新婦主侍給水漿. The three columns of the front hall: In the middle, dragons ward off evil; On the left, are the Jade Fairy and immortals; And on the right, the junior master is called upon, and drink is served by his newly wedded wife.” The translation is after Wu 1994: 96.

326 In Sichuan, the rear chamber of the chamber tomb built by stone and brick was also used for placing the body of the deceased. For example, in the Yangzishan tomb, two skulls are found in the rear chamber. WW 1955.9: 77.

327 WW 1977.2: 66, figs.11, 13, 14. The animal head appliqué holding rings carved on the front of the two door posts are the architectural elements used in the dwelling for the living. The heavenly animals, the White Tiger and the Green Dragon, are carved on the sides of the door posts.
Though without any inscription evidence, the extensively decorated Yi’nan tomb in Shandong has rich pictorial information to testify that the doors of the burial chambers played an important role in defining the ritual space in the tomb (fig.70). Lydia Thompson suggests that the central pillar in the middle chamber of the tomb is crucial to the understanding of the middle chamber as the major space for ritual and transformation.\(^{328}\) Like the front chamber of the Cangshan tomb, there is also a scene on the journey, which starts from the northern wall of the middle chamber of the Yi’nan tomb (fig.124).\(^{329}\) On the northern wall, a procession of carriages is travelling towards two *que* pillars, which are guarded by two officials (fig.125). Wu Hung agrees with Lydia Thompson’s idea that the *que* pillars in the scene mark the entrance to the cemetry, thus the scene is on the funerary procession.\(^{330}\) The journey continues on the upper part of the western wall and ends on the upper part of the southern wall of the middle chamber (fig.126).\(^{331}\) The journey on the western wall

\(^{328}\) Beijing 1956: 4, fig.3. Thompson 1998: 163. Lydia Thompson suggests that because of the iconic decoration of the Buddha-like figures, the Queen Mother of the West and the King Father of the East on the octagonal pillar and the focal position of the central pillar, the pillar can be viewed as the *axis mundi* connecting earth with heaven. When referring to Lydia Thompson’s explanation of the central pillar in the Yi’nan tomb, Jessica Rawson suggests that when building tombs, the tomb builders also provided a cosmic setting (Rawson 1999: 13). Wu Hung suggests that a passage from the *Shenyijing* 神異經 (Canon of Spirits and Oddities) could further support Lydia Thompson’s interpretation of the central pillar in the Yi’nan tomb: “On Kunlun there is a copper pillar which reaches heaven and so it is called the Pillar of Heaven. It is three thousand *li* wide and its winds around like crooked knife. Below there is the domain of the immortals. 凱侖山有銅柱，其高入天，所謂天柱也，圍三千里，圍回如削，下有仙人府（cited from Taiping yulan: 187, translated by Wu Hung).” In this sense, the central pillar in the tomb could enable the soul of the tomb occupant to reach the immortal land (Wu 2010: 56-57).

\(^{329}\) Beijing 1956: pl.50.

\(^{330}\) Wu 1998: 25. Because above each *que* pillar, there is “a pole bearing a cross called a *biao* 表 – a funerary symbol”.

\(^{331}\) Beijing 1956: pl.49.
has become the journey of the soul of the tomb occupant, as suggested by a sacrificial
table, the *ji*了几 depicted at the end of the journey on the southern wall (fig.127).
Some officials are bowing low before the *ji*. Lydia Thompson suggests that *ji* table is
a “spirit rest”.332 After greeted by the mourners at the *ji*, the soul of the tomb
occupant continues his life as depicted on the eastern section of the southern wall and
the eastern wall of the middle chamber.333 Apparently, the overall plan of the middle
chamber of the Yi’nan tomb as the major space for ritual is very similar to that of the
front chamber of the Cangshan tomb.

Similarly, the entrance to the middle chamber of the Yi’nan tomb is framed by door
posts and door lintels depicted with heavenly animals to guard the main chamber for
ritual (fig.128, 129).334 The entrance to the two adjacent rear chambers is framed by
door posts illustrated with guardian animals to guard the body of the deceased
(fig.130).335

Let us return to the Hechuan tomb in Sichuan (fig.95). It now seems clearly that the
stone and brick chamber tombs in Sichuan and east China indeed share many
similarities on the content and plan of the pictorial programme. But how the

---
332 Thompson 1998: 222. Thompson points out that in the *Tan Gong* 檀弓 section of the *Li ji*, the *ji* is
identified as a “spirit rest (几依神也)” in the commentary (*Li ji*: 276). The commentary of this section
further equates the *ji* with the soul of the deceased.
333 Beijing 1956: pl.48.
334 Beijing 1956: pls.32, 33. The eastern and western door posts are respectively carved with a dragon.
335 Beijing 1956: pl.55. The bottom part of the eastern door post is illustrated with the White Tiger and
the Green Dragon.
information on the planning of the pictorial programme was communicated between these two distant areas comes into question. One hypothesis is that the information on the planning of tombs was brought back by the officials from east China to Sichuan. According to the *Huayang guozhi*, there were already many people of Sichuan origin taking high official positions in the areas outside Sichuan. Moreover, many of them took positions in Henan, Shandong and Jiangsu in east China. There could be many opportunities for these officials of Sichuan origin to view how a tomb or a graveyard was planned when they attended a funeral in their local area. As mentioned in Chapter 1, funeral at the graveyard was an important occasion for public display, and as suggested by Miranda Brown, the local political relationship was partly established on the various bonds of the obligations to attend one’s funeral. When these officials retired and came back to their hometown, they might prefer to build their tombs after the one they used to view during an impressive funeral.

So far, most pieces of the Eastern Han mortuary architecture in Sichuan with identifiable tomb occupants are the *que* pillars (fig.52). And all the five pairs of

---

336 See Appendix 2 on the Eastern Han high officials of Sichuan origin in the areas outside Sichuan.

337 Brown 2007: 85-104. Based mainly on the Eastern stele inscriptions, she suggests that many stelae reflect the various political relationships between the donors of the stele and the dedicatees, which can be regarded as the analogues of the relationship between father and son, friends, colleges, or teacher and pupil. The donors usually also attended the funeral. Patricia Ebrey also points out that the funeral might be one of the most important occasions in which local officials and elites could “cement bonds of clientage”: “Wearing mourning for one’s patron thus established the context in which patron-client ties can be interpreted: they were as strong, as natural, and as socially beneficial as the father-son or lord-minister tie.” Ebrey 1983: 539. See also her essay in Ebrey 1987: 626-648.

338 So far, 20 pairs of *que* pillars have been found in the Sichuan area, in Mianyang, Deyang, Zitong 梓潼, Ya’an 雅安, Lushan 蘆山, Xichang 西昌, Jiajiang 夹江, Quxian 渠縣, Zhongxian 忠縣 and Chongqiqng. Beijing 1992a: 1.
que pillars with identifiable names belonged to the high officials ranking 2000 shi. As mentioned in Chapter 1, the que pillars usually mark the entrance to a graveyard which is constituted by a spiritual path flanked by stone guardian figures, a memorial shrine and the chamber tombs covered under the burial mounds (fig.18). Most of the Eastern Han graveyards that have been identified with such plan are located in the areas in east China, in Hebei, Henan and Shandong. It seems that the high officials of Sichuan origin had preference to the graveyard plan that was popular in the east. In contrast, the cliff tombs in Sichuan were popular among the lesser

339 A pair of que pillars in Quxian 渠縣 is identified to belong to Feng Huan 馮煥, who was a Quxian local and used to be the Inspector of Youzhou 縣州 (in today’s Hebei and Beijing). The son of Feng Huan, Feng Gun 馮緄 was also a high official. He used to be the Commandant of Justice (tingwei 廷尉), serving the central administration in Henan. Beijing 1992a: 39. Inspector (cishi 刺史) in Eastern Han ranked 2000 shi, was the second highest official rank, only lower than the Three Excellencies (sangong 三公) that ranked 10, 000 shi. Commandant of Justice also ranked 2000 shi. Shi was the unit to measure the official rank in the Han dynasty. It was originally a unit of the weight of grain. Shi could also be converted into cash in coins. According to the salary list of Eastern Han officials in Bielenstein 1980: 126, the highest rank is 10, 000 shi and the lowest rank is 100 shi. Another three pairs of que pillars belonged to the Grand Administrators (taishou 太守, ranked 2000 shi) in the Sichuan area. The pair in Jiajiang belonged to Yang Zong 楊宗, a Grand Administrator of the Yi 益 Commandery (Beijing 1992a: 36-38). The pair in Ya’an belonged to Gao Yi 高頤, a Grand Administrator of the Yi 益 Commandery as well (Beijing 1992a: 31-34). The pair in Lushan belonged to Fan Min 樊敏, who used be the Grand Administrator of the Ba 巴 Commandery (Beijing 1992a: 34-35). The rest one is in Zhongxian, belonging to Ding Fang 丁房, who used to be the Chief Commandant (duwei 都尉, ranked 2000 shi), serving the central administration in Henan (Beijing 1992a: 45-46).

340 The important graveyards in the east include the Wu family graveyard in Jiaxiang in Shandong (Wu 1989b: 36-37), the Qin Fujun 秦府君 graveyard in Bejing (WW 1964.11) and the graveyard of the tomb of Yin Jian in Lushan in Henan (Wu 1989b: 30-31, also mentioned in the footnote in Chapter 1). Based on the records on the Yin Jian’s graveyard in the Shuijing zhu, the plan of his graveyard is reconstructed by Wu Hung as shown in Diagram 2 in Wu 1989b: 31 (fig.131). According to the remaining stone pieces on the site of the Wu family graveyard in Jiaxiang and the transmitted rubbings and textual records on the pictorial stones from the site, Wu Hung has reconstructed the plan of the plan of the Wu family graveyard as shown in Diagram 4 in Wu 1989b: 36 (fig.132). Susan Erickson has further pointed out that the que pillars that mark the entrance to the Wu family graveyard had inherited a local tradition in the construction of the que pillars in the graveyard (fig.133). Her suggestion is based on the comparison between the que pillars of the Wu family and the que pillar dated to AD 84 from Pingyi 平邑, a county located 50 kilometres east to Jixiang (Erickson 2008: 110-128).

126
group. So far, the cliff tomb occupant identified with the highest official ranking is Yang Ziyu 楊子與, a prefect of the Lantian 藍田 Prefecture (in today’s Shaanxi province).\(^341\) In Eastern Han, a prefect usually ranked between 600 to 1000 shi, depending on the size of the population of the prefecture, much lower than the rank of 2000 shi.

Robert Thorp suggests that in the Han dynasty the development of the tomb types followed a scale-down model, in which new tomb types were first applied among the imperial members and social elites and then filtered down to lower classes.\(^342\) Though the cliff tombs in Sichuan did not seem to appear first among the social elites, some features of the local chamber tombs of the social elites indeed had filtered down to the cliff tombs. For example, there are many large scale cliff tombs in Leshan, featuring a spacious front hall with a façade carved with the que pillars in low relief (fig.134).\(^343\) These “pseudo” que pillars might be the low cost reproduction of those que pillars at the graveyards of the local high officials. The front hall behind the pseudo que pillars was probably intended to imitate the memorial shrine in the graveyard marked by the que pillars. As will be elaborated in the case studies of two such front halls in Leshan later in this chapter, the pictorial scheme in the front hall, focusing on the exhibition of the stories of the filial sons is very similar to that in the shrines in the graveyard in

---

\(^341\) The name and official title of Yang Ziyu was carved in the Banbianjie 半邊街 cliff tomb in Shuangliu 雙流, near Chengdu, in Sichuan. The inscription was already destroyed. For the rubbing, see Gao and Gao 1990: 38.

\(^342\) Thorp 1979: 187.

\(^343\) For example, see the que pillars carved at the entrance to a cliff tomb in Leshan. Bishop 1916: fig.150.
east China. The Wu Liang shrine in the graveyard of the Wu family in Jiaxiang in Shandong will be used as a major source for the content and arrangement of the stories of the filial sons in the front halls of the cliff tombs. Though no existing aboveground Eastern Han shrine has been found in Sichuan, the populativity of the graveyard marked by the que pillars among the high officials in Sichuan indicates that information on the mortuary constructions and their pictorial scheme could be communicated over long distance between Sichuan and east China.

2. Pictorial Carvings in the Shrine

Pictorial carvings in the shrines are related to those in the tombs, while organized according to some different pictorial programmes. Xin Lixiang suggests that the pictorial carvings in the shrines originated from those in the ancestral temples, while the pictorial paintings in the tombs were based on the pictorial schemes of the earlier coffins.344 The shrine as a constituent part of the mortuary structure on the cemetery was used for annual sacrificial rites after the funeral.345 The different functions of the shrine and tomb further decide the different pictorial programmes in these two structures. Compared to the tomb sealed after the funerary, the shrine above ground was more of a public place, open to be visited and viewed by various people related

344 Xin 2000: 288; 190-197. Xin Lixiang points out that the plan of the pictorial carvings in the Han tombs were based on the pictorial scheme on the T-shaped tapestries covered on the wooden coffins dated to the Western Han. Important examples of such coffins include the coffins from the Mawangdui M1, M3 in Changsha in Hunan and the Jinqueshan 金雀山 M9 in Linyi 臨沂 in Shandong. The T-shaped tapestry illustrates the perspective on what would happen after death and the way to become immortal.

345 Wu Hung has elaborated the transition of ancestral sacrificial rites from the ancestral temples to the shrines on the cemetery during the Han dynasty. See Wu 1989a.
the tomb occupant’s family. Consequently, the pictorial carvings in the shrines played an important part in promoting the virtue and fame of the tomb occupant among the people in the social network of the family. To some extent, if we regard the pictorial carvings in the tomb as the narration of a ritual process, the pictorial carvings in the shrine could be seen as the exhibition of the perspectives of the tomb occupant on the world.

The stone shrines with pictorial carvings are mainly found in Shandong, northern Anhui and Jiangsu. So far, the most extensive study on the pictorial program of the carvings in a shrine is carried out on the shrine of Wu Liang, located in Jiaxiang in Shandong. The Wu Liang shrine is a single-chambered building with a gabled roof (fig.135). Jiang Yingju and Wu Wenqi reconstructed the shrine from its scattered stone pieces in the 1980s. In his paper for the exhibition catalogue of the Wu family shrines published in 2008, Jiang Yingju re-examines the pictorial scheme of the Wu Liang shrine. He suggests that the pictorial scheme of the shrine can be divided into three sections (fig.136). “Celestial beings and deities are shown on the ceilings, immortals on the triangular gables, and stories and events of human history on the three walls”. The stories and events of human history on the three walls of the shrine are divided into four horizontal registers. The two upper registers are carved with the kings in the history chronologically, the famous women and the filial sons. The stories

---

346 For how the funeral related activities maintained and reinforced the social network in the Han, see Brown 2007: 85-104.
of the filial sons occupy the whole lower register of the upper part. The two lower registers are carved with the historical figures from the Eastern Zhou period. The figures include famous assassinators, loyal ministers and a wise woman. A pavilion is located in the centre of the lower part, breaking the continuity of the two lower registers. A figure is seated in the pavilion, receiving homage from the procession of carriages and figures around the pavilion.\(^{348}\)

Such scheme is “in harmony with the Chinese cosmological perspective, images pertaining to a higher realm are placed higher (heaven/the ceilings), and those closer to the mundane world lower (historical figures/the walls); things of the past are placed higher, and present events are shown lower.”\(^{349}\) Jiang Yinju further points out that the pictorial narrative of Wu Liang’s life is also illustrated in the shrine, together with the sages and eminent figures in human history.\(^{350}\) The chronological depiction of the sages and kings in history, and the stories symbolizing various virtues shows that Wu Liang accepted the traditional historical perspective of his time and valued all the

\(^{348}\) In *The Wu Liang Shrine: The Ideology of Early Chinese Pictorial Art*, Wu Hung has made thorough interpretation of the pictorial carvings on each part of the shrine. Wu 1989b: 142-217. Wu Hung suggests that the walls exhibit how Wu Liang read human history. The pictorial programme of the shrine of Wu Liang as a whole advertised Wu Liang’s reading of the cosmos, which, according to him, was constituted by the cosmological orders, the world of the immortals and the history of human.

\(^{349}\) Jiang 2008: 173.

\(^{350}\) Jiang 2008: 172. Wu Liang is identified to be the figure kneeling before a carriage and facing an ox-drawn cart. The scene is carved on the east wall of the shrine. The inscription above the carriage reads “District Official in the Bureau of Merit 縣功曹”. The inscription above the ox reads “retired gentleman 處士”. These inscriptions coincide with Wu Liang’s bibliography as recorded in his stele inscription: “Having been called upon by commandery authorities to official posts, [Wu Liang] declined by reason of illness. Content with a simple life of humility, he took delight in learning as a free man.” See the stele inscription in *Li shi* 168-169.
3. Pictorial Carvings and Memorial in the Cliff Tomb

3.1 A Case Study of the Shiziwan M1 in Leshan

As mentioned previously in the thesis, there are many cliff tombs in the Leshan area with the structure of a shared front hall and several individual burial chambers tunnel into the rear wall of the front hall, for example, the tomb Shiziwan M1(fig.137). Such front hall is usually the only part of the tomb decorated with pictorial carvings. The most frequently carved scenes are stories of filial sons. So far, six stories have been identified. There are also two unidentified scenes, which are very likely also stories of filial sons, for they usually appear together with other carvings of such stories. These stories have been found carved in the front hall in the Mahao I M1, Mahao II M40, Shiziwan I M1 and Shiziwan II M22. The stories of filial sons are usually carved in the middle section of the three walls enclosing the front hall, similar to the location of those carved in the Wu Liang shrine as mentioned previously.

The Shiziwan I M1 in Leshan is found to have most stories of filial sons in the front hall. A close examination of the pictorial programme in its front hall would be useful to understand the function of the front hall. Seven scenes are carved on the middle of the surrounding walls of the front hall (fig.137, 56). Five of the scenes have been identified.

---

352 No report on this tomb has been published in Chinese academic journal. A detailed description of the tomb can be found in Orientations, September 1997. See Tang 1997.
identified as the stories of filial sons. Only two framed images next to each other are carved on the left side wall. The left frame includes two stories carved in two scenes. Only the left scene containing three figures has been identified. It illustrates the story of the filial grandson, Yuan Gu (fig.138). The figure on the left is Yuan Gu’s father. The figure in the middle is Yuan Gu. He holds a carriage in his right hand and looks to his father on the left. The figure on the right is Yuan Gu’s grandfather. He collapses on the ground, naked. The same story is also carved in the shrine of Wu Liang, where the three figures in the story are labeled with “孝孫 (the filial grandson)”, “孝孫父 (the filial grandson’s father)” and “孝孫祖父 (the filial grandson’s grandfather)” respectively, which facilitates the identification of the same story carved in the Shiziwan M1 (fig.139). The story is recorded in the *Taping yulan* 太平御覽, which quotes the anonymous *Xiaozihuan* 孝子傳:

“The origin of Yuan Gu is unknown. When his grandfather was old, his parents detested the old man and wanted to abandon him. Gu, who was fifteen years old, entreated them piteously with tears, but his parents did not listen to him. They made a carriage and carried the grandfather away and abandoned him. Gu brought the carriage back. His father asked him, ‘What are you going to do with this inauspicious thing?’ Gu replied: ‘I am afraid that when you get old, I will not be able to make a new carriage, and so I have brought it back.’ His father was moved and ashamed and carried the grandfather back and cared for him. He overcame his selfishness and

353 The following introduction of the stories of filial sons carved in the cliff tomb is based on the interpretation by Tang Changshou. See Tang 2010.
criticized himself. He finally became a ‗purely [filial] son‘ and Gu became a ‗purely
[filial] grandson‘.” $^{354}$

The stories in the right frame cannot be identified. According to Tang Changshou, the
carriage pulling scene in the left part of the frame is also very likely to be a story of
the filial son, though it cannot be traced back to the series of filial son carvings in the
shrine of Wu Liang. The similar pulling carriage scene also appears in the front hall of
the Mahao I M1 together with other stories of the filial son (fig.140).$^{355}$

On the right side wall of the front hall, there are also two framed images carved next
to each other. The left frame contains three stories, which are carved from right to left
in the same scene (fig.141). On the right is an ox-drawn carriage, in which sits a
crowned figure. The filial son, Min Ziqian 閔子騫 stands behind the carriage.$^{356}$ The
scene can be found in the shrine of Wu Liang, which has inscriptions explaining the
content of the carving: “閔子騫:與假母居,愛有偏移,子騫衣寒,御車失棰 (Min
Ziqian: He lived together with his stepmother, Who favored [her own son]. Ziqian‘s
clothes could not keep out the cold, And he dropped the horsewhip when he drove a
chariot)”. In addition, the main figures in the carving are labeled with “子騫後母弟

$^{354}$ “原穎者,不知何许人;祖年老,父母厌患之,意欲弃之.穎年十數,涕泣苦譴.父母不从,乃作舆,舁弃之.穎乃随舆而归.父谓之曰: ‘尔焉用此凶具?’穎云: ‘恐后父老,不能更作,是以取之尔.’父感悟惧,乃载穎侍养.克己自责,更成纯孝,穎为‘纯孝孙’.” Taiping yulan: 2360. The English translation is
after Wu 1989: 304-305.

$^{355}$ Tang 1997: 75.

$^{356}$ The standing figure is identified as Min Ziqian by Tang Changshou, as he thinks the illustration
contains all the key elements of the story of Min Ziqian as carved in the shrine of Wu Liang. Tang 2010:
143.
(the younger stepbrother of Ziqian)” and “子騫父 (the father of Ziqian)” (fig.142).^357

The story is recorded in the *Yiwen leiju* 藝文類聚:

“Min Ziqian had a younger brother. After their mother died, their father remarried and had two other sons. Ziqian drove a chariot for his father and dropped the bridle. His father held his hands and [found] that he wore only thin clothing. The father then went home and called the sons of the stepmother. He held their hands and [found] that they were wearing thick, warm clothing. He blamed his wife, saying, ‘The reason that I married you was for my sons. Now you are cheating me and I cannot keep you here!’ Ziqian went forth and said, ‘When mother is here, only one son is wearing thin clothing; if mother leaves, four sons will be in the cold.’ His father became silent. Therefore people say that Min Ziqian kept his mother at home by one word and made three sons warm by a second word.”^358

Next to the story of Min Ziqian is the story of the filial son Laizi 萊子 (fig.141). In the middle of the scene, Laizi is face down on the ground. The parents of Laizi are sitting at the table, attended by Laizi’s wife, watching Laizi. In the shrine of Wu Liang, the similar scene is carved with the inscription: “老萊子楚人也:侍親至孝,衣服斑連, 嬰兒之態,另親有驩,君子嘉之,孝莫大焉. Elder Laizi was a native of Chu: He served

---

^357 The translation of the inscriptions is after Wu 1989: 278.

his parents with the ultimate filial piety. Wearing multicolored clothes and imitating an infant, He made his parents happy. Gentlemen praise him, Because his filial piety is greatest of all.” The figures in the carving are also labeled with “萊子母 (the mother of Laizi)” and “萊子父 (the father of Laizi)” (fig.143). The story is recorded in the Taiping yulan, which quotes Shi Jueshou’s 師覺授 Xiaozi Zhuan:

“Elder Laizi was a native of Chu. When he was seventy years old, his parents were both still alive. With the ultimate filial piety, he often wore multicolored clothes to serve his parents food in the main hall. Once he hurt his feet. Afraid to sadden his parents, he made himself tumble stiffly to the ground and bawled like an infant. Confucius remarked: “one does not use the word ‘old’ when one’s parents are getting old, because one fears this will make them grieve about their elderliness. A person like Elder Laizi can be called one who does not lose a child’s heart.”

On the left of the scene is depicted the story of Boyu 伯瑜 (fig.141). Boyu is kneeling on the ground, before his standing mother who is holding a stick. The similar scene is depicted in the shrine of Wu Liang, with the inscription: “伯瑜: 傷親年老, 氣力稍衰, 筆之不憚, 心懷楚悲. Boyu: He grieved for his mother’s old age; Her strength had weakened. Being beaten he did not feel pain, He was sorrowful in his heart.”

359 The translation of the inscriptions is after Wu 1989: 280.
addition, a figure is labeled with “瑜母 (the mother of Yu)” (fig.144). The story is recorded in the *Taiping yulan*:

“Boyu made a mistake and wept when his mother beat him with a stick. The mother asked him, saying, ‘I did not see you weep when I punished you before. Why do you cry today?’ Boyu replied: ‘Before, when I offended you and you beat me with the stick, I often felt pain. But today your strength could not make me feel pain. That is why I am weeping.’”  

In the right picture frame is carved the story of the filial son Dong Yong 董永 and a banquet scene (fig.145). Dong Yong is working in the field, holding a hoe in the left hand and a fan (*bianmian* 便面) in the right hand. His father is resting in a cart parking in the shade of the tree. Dong Yong seems fanning his father with the fan. The similar scene in the shrine of Wu Liang is labeled with the inscriptions “永父 (the father of Yong)” and “董永千乘人也 (Dong Yong is a native of Qiancheng)” (fig.146). The story is also recorded in the *Taiping yulan* which quotes the *Xiaozhi zhuang* by Liu Xiang:

“Dong Yong of the Former Han was a native of Qiancheng. Having lost his mother in childhood, he alone provided for his father. When his father died, he did not have

---

361 The translation is after Wu 1989: 286.
363 The translation is after Wu 1989: 289.
money to arrange the funeral and so he took a loan of ten thousand cash from someone. Yong said to his creditor: ‘If I cannot repay the money later, I will give you my body and become your slave.’ The creditor was sympathetic. Yong got the money, buried his father, and then went to [the creditor’s place to become his slave. On his way he met a woman who asked him to take her as his wife. Yong said: ‘Now I am poor like this, and moreover I will become a slave. How can I humiliate you by taking you as my wife?’ The woman replied: ‘It is my hope to become your wife; I will not regard being poor and lowly as a disgrace.’ Then Yong took the woman and went with her to [the creditor’s place]. The creditor asked: ‘It was originally agreed that one person [would be my slave]. Why are there now two persons?’ Yong replied: ‘According to our agreement you would have only one slave but now you have two—is there anything wrong with that?’ The wife replied: ‘I can weave.’ The creditor said: ‘If you weave one thousand bolts of silk for me, then I will let you both go free.’ The wife then required natural silk [from the creditor]. Within ten days, one thousand bolts of silk were finished. The creditor was surprised and then released the couple. They went to the place where they had met. The wife told Yong: ‘I am the Weaving Maiden from heaven and was moved by your perfect filial piety. Heaven sent me to repay you. Now that your troubles have been settled, I cannot remain here long.’ After these words, clouds and fog descended, and she suddenly flew away.”

364 “前漢董永，千乘人。小失母，獨養父。父亡無以葬，乃從人貸錢一萬。永謂錢主曰：‘後若無錢還君，當以身作奴。’主甚憐之。永得錢葬父畢，將往為奴，於路忽逢一婦人，求為永妻。永曰：‘今貧若是，身復為奴，何敢屈夫人之為妻。’婦人曰：‘原為君婦，不恥貧賤。’永隨將婦人至。婦主曰：‘本言一人，今何二？’永曰：‘言一得二，於理乖乎!’主問永妻曰：‘何能？’妻曰：‘能織耳。’主曰：‘為我織千匹綢，即放爾夫妻。’於是索綢，十日之內，千匹綢足。主驚，遂放夫婦二人而去。行至本相逢處，乃謂永曰：‘我是天之織女，感君之孝，天使我償之。今君事了，不能久停。’語訖，雲霧四垂，忽飛而去。” Taiping yulan: 1899.
There are more stories of filial sons found in other cliff tombs. In the front hall of the Mahao I M1, a seriously eroded scene is found carved with no less than five figures. They all kneel on the ground before an old lady who is standing with a stick (fig.147). According to Tang Changshou, this may be the illustration of seven filial sons from the poem *Kaifeng* 凱風 (Genial Wind) in the *Shi jing* 詩經, in which seven sons showed their gratitude to their mother who devoted herself to bring them up.365

The above interpretation of the main pictorial carvings in the front chamber of the Shiziwan M1 is based on the pictorial carvings in the shrine of Wu Liang. However, the quality and quantity of the pictorial carvings in the Shizwan M1 are not comparable to those of the pictorial carvings in the Wu Liang shrine. In addition, there are 16 stories of filial sons carved in the Wu Liang shrine, while there are only 6 carved in the Shiziwan M1.366 Moreover, the Wu Liang shrine also contains themes

---

365 See Tang 2010: 144. 凱風自南,吹彼棘心.棘心夭夭,母氏劬勞. 凱風自南, 吹彼棘薪.母氏圣善,我無令人.爱有寒泉. ,在浚之下.有子七人, 母氏勞苦. 晏皖黃鳥,載好其音.有子七人,莫憯母心. The genial wind from the south/ Blows on the heart of that jujube tree, / Till that heart looks tender and beautiful./ What toil and pain did our mother endure!/ The genial wind from the south/ Blows on the branches of that jujube tree, / Our mother is wise and good; But among us there is no good./ There is the cool spring/ Below [the city of] Tseun./ We are seven sons,/ And our mother is full of pain and suffering./ The beautiful yellow birds/ Give forth their pleasant notes,/ We are seven sons,/ And cannot compose our mother’s heart.” *Shi jing*: 82. The English translation is after Legge 1960: 50-51.

366 Wu Hung suggests that the 16 stories of filial sons in the Wu Liang shrine were illustrated based on the textual record in the *Xiaozhuan* (Biography of Filial Sons), edited by Liu Xiang 劉向 in the Western Han and probably revised in the Eastern Han. The *Xiaozhuan* was in continuous development and expansion over the period and finally became a series of 24 stories of filial sons representing the major aspects of filial piety in the Yuan dynasty. Wu 1989: 273-275. It is probably out of economic consideration, several important stories of filial sons were selected from the contemporary collection to be carved in the front hall of the Shiziwan M1, since large areas of pictorial carvings were
on the sages and kings, the righteous women, the loyal ministers and the assassinators, which are not touched upon by the pictorial carvings in the front hall of the Shiziwan M1. This observation required further examination.

Wu Hung suggests that the historical figures and stories carved chronologically in the Wu Liang shrine reflect the Han historical perspective. The walls of the shrine can be viewed as the history encoded in important events, figures and virtues. The large proportion of pavilion scene located in the centre of the rear wall emphasizes that crucial to this historical perspective is the loyalty to the imperial ruler. The figure sitting in the pavilion receiving respect from the surrounding ministers symbolizes the proper relationship between the ruler and the ministers.

Though certain content and arrangement of the pictorial carvings in the front hall of the Shiziwan M1 seem similar to the Wu Liang shrine, the designers of the Shiziwan M1 focused only on the theme of filial piety, instead of loyalty to the ruler and a series of virtues including filial piety that were regarded to be relevant to the most important virtue. Moreover, some stories of the filial sons emphasize a well maintained

369 In some cases, the story of the assassinator Jing Ke 荊軻 is also carved in such front hall. For example, the Mahao I M1. However, in the Mahao I M1, this story is the only one on the assassinator and is carved together with other stories of filial sons, including the story of Dong Yong, the carriage pulling scene and the story illustrating the poem Kaifeng. For the report of the Mahao I M1, see KG 1990:2. Jing Ke was sent by the prince of Yan 燕 to assassinate Ying Zheng 嬴政, the King of Qin 秦, for he threatened the living of other kingdoms including the Yan. Though Jing Ke finally failed to his mission and was executed by the King of Qin, he was remembered as a model of loyalty after the fall.
relationship between family members in addition to filial piety. For example, in the story of Yuan Gu, Yuan Gu persuaded his father to be kind to his grandfather, and in the story of Jian Ziqian, Jian Ziqian persuaded his father to forgive his stepmother. In the story based on the poem Kaifeng, the virtue of filial piety was shown through the reciprocal affection between the mother and the sons.

It is noteworthy that the rear wall of the front hall of the Shiziwan M1 is connected to two individual burial chambers (a third one was to be excavated) (fig.54, 56).\(^{370}\) The two entrances and their two paired guards carved on the wall constitute the whole rear wall (fig.148, 56). Consequently, the tomb occupants in these burial chambers formed the sacrificial centre of the front hall. Compared to the stone shrines on the cemetery in eastern China, the cliff tomb with the front hall combined the sacrificial area and the sacrificial objects, the tomb occupants, more closely. The previous chapter on tomb structure has discussed the relationship between this kind of front hall and the multiple burial chambers. In this chapter, from the perspective of the pictorial programme, the front hall further shows how related households belonging to a large family were brought together through the design of the cliff tomb. In the shrine-like structure in the cliff tomb, the virtue of filial piety was extremely emphasized, for it was crucial to the cohesion of a family, which would subsequently contribute to the continuity and prosperity of a family.

---

of the rule of Qin. For the record on Jing Ke, see Shi ji: 2534-2535. The scene carved in the Mahao I M1 illustrates the moment when Jing Ke threw the stab to the King of Qin, the stab unfortunately went into the pillar.

\(^{370}\) See the previous chapter for detail.
3.2 A Case Study of the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang

The cliff tomb with the front hall structure is popular mainly in the Leshan and the adjacent Qingshen area. In cliff tombs in other areas, the site for showing respect to the ancestors of the family was planned in a side chamber. A side chamber in the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang has two walls painted with the family history together with the relevant inscriptions (fig.149, 150, 151). The rear wall and the right side wall of the chamber are painted with two rows of eight framed images depicting a dining scene. The figures seated around the table with the dining set accompanied by attendants in each frame seem to be the members of the tomb occupants’ family from different generations according to the 150 words inscriptions in three of the four frames in the upper row (fig.152, 153, 154). Though many characters are missing, due to erosion, some key facts can be deduced from the inscriptions. In addition, a chronological order of the frames from left to right, from the right side wall to the rear wall of the chamber could be told. The following interpretation is based on the transcription in the 2008 report and the interpretation by Wang Zijin and Gao Dalun. The frames in the upper row are numbered 1, 3, 5, and 7 from left to right.

---

371 For the archaeological report see Beijing 2008: 19-34. The side chamber in Taliangzi M3 is so far the only case in the cliff tombs in Sichuan that is found with brush paintings on a layer of fine mud foundation on the wall together with inscriptions written in ink. Such method of tomb decoration is often used in the contemporary tombs in the Central Plain such as Henan and Shaanxi. The decoration in Taliangzi M3 may be related to the origin of the ancestor of the tomb occupant in Nanyang in Henan, as mentioned in the inscription. See WW. 2004.9.

372 For the interpretation, see Wang and Gao 2004. The English translation of the official titles and the administrative divisions are after Bielenstein 1980.
Frame 1 (fig.153):

According to the inscription in this frame, the early ancestor of the tomb occupant was the Commandant (wei 尉) of Nanyang. A later generation Jing Zibin 荊子賓, who was also called Jing Wenjun 荊文君, took the position of the Grand Herald (da honglu 大鴻臚). The son of Jing Zibin took the position of the Gentleman-in-Attendance of the Yellow Gates (Huangmen shilang 黃門侍郎). Jing Zibin stuck to his responsibilities on the post and thus offended some relatives of the empress and the emperor. He was exempted from being executed due to his success as the Grand Herald in suppressing the rebelling of the Qiang 羌 people. Instead, he was sent into exile to the west and died here in this district (xiāng 鄉) of this prefecture (xian 縣) in the commandery (jun 郡) of this region (zhou 州).373

Two figures are seated over the dinning set in the scene, facing to the right. A small figure standing behind is holding a fan in one hand and a piece of cloth in the other hand. According to the location of the inscription describing the different identities of the main figures and the sitting order of the figures, the front figure is Jing Zibin, the figure in the middle is the son of Jing Zibin, and the smallest figure behind them is their servant. As the following framed images with inscriptions explain, the person who is elder and of higher status is usually seated in the front and is illustrated larger in size.

373 According to the transcription (Beijing 2008: 57), the inscription contains 13 lines: “先祖南陽尉□□□/土鄉長□□里漢太鴻/□□文君子賓/子賓子中黃門侍郎/文君真坐與詔/外親內親相檢厲見/怨□□諸上/顚顛諸□□□□/絕肌則/骨當□□□/父即/鴻臚擁十萬眾/平羌有功赦死/西徙處/此州郡鄉卒”.
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Frame 5 (fig.152):

A similar dining scene is carved in this frame. Two large figures are seated facing each other across a dining table. Each of them has two attendants standing behind them. According to the inscription above, the large figure on the left, the figure is called Jing Zinü 荊子女, the father of the tomb occupant, Jing Zi’an. Jing Zinü used to work as an official in the office in charge of construction (wa cao li 瓦曹吏) in the Guanghan Commandery. Jing Zi’an built this tomb for his father.374 The inscription above the figure on the right shows that the figure is called Jing Ziyuan 荊子元. He used to work as an assistant clerk for the grand administrator of the Shu Commandery.375 Jing Ziyuan is probably the brother of Jing Zinü, since they are seated equally over the table.

Frame 7 (fig.154):

The tomb occupant couple are depicted in this frame, followed by three attendants. Each attendant is labeled with the title of their position.376 According to the inscription above the front figure, who is facing to the left, the figure represents the tomb occupant Jing Zi’an, his other name is Jing Shengying 荊聖應.377 The figure behind Jing Zi’an is his wife. Her name is not mentioned.378

376 “侍奴 (attending servant)”, “從奴 (assistant servant)” and “從小 (minor assistant)” respectively.
377 The inscription is: “荊子安字聖應主”. Beijing 2008: 60.
378 The inscription is: “應婦”. Beijing 2008: 60.
Frame 3 and the frames in the lower row are all illustrated with similar dining scenes, though without inscriptions identifying the figures in the scene. It seems that there was a tradition that in the tombs or shrines of the Han period, the tomb occupant or the major figures who receive sacrifice are usually exhibited in the dining scene. For example, in the shrine of Zhu Wei 朱鮪 in Jinxiang 金鄉 in Shandong, the tomb occupant Zhu Wei is depicted in a grand dining scene occupying the whole rear wall of the shrine (fig.155). The scene includes people who are preparing food and several tables of guests sitting together.\(^{379}\) In the Liangtai 凉臺 tomb in Zhucheng 諸城 in Shandong, the tomb occupant is illustrated in a grand dining scene as well. He is sitting over a table of various dishes in a pavilion, with servants around him, in front of many people showing respect to him (fig.156).\(^{380}\) Such pictorial scheme could be further traced to the tradition of arranging dining set in front of the tomb occupant in the Han tomb. For example, in the cliff tomb Bailinpo M2 in Santai in Sichuan, a table with a set of cups is found placed in front of the body of the tomb occupant.\(^{381}\) In the Western Han brick chamber tomb Wunüzhong 五女冢 M267 in Luoyang in Henan, a table set together with jars containing salt, rice, wheat and meat sauce are found placed in front of the wooden coffin in the tomb.\(^{382}\)

---

\(^{379}\) Zheng 1998: 456, fig.4.
\(^{380}\) WW 1981.10: 20, fig.8.
\(^{381}\) Beijing 2007: 182.
\(^{382}\) WW 1996.7.
cliff tomb Bailinpo M1 in Santai (fig.157).\textsuperscript{383} In the dining scene, three figures are dining over a table. In addition, the side chamber contains the paintings of three individual figures labeled with their names on the surrounding walls.\textsuperscript{384} It is very likely that this side chamber has the memorial function similar to the one in the Taliangzi M3.

Frame 7 in the side chamber of the Taliangzi M3 illustrating the tomb occupant, Jing Zi’an on top right of the rear wall of the chamber, seems to be the focal point of the pictorial carvings in the chamber. It is also the starting point for reading the whole pictorial programme of the chamber. According to a reading from right to left, the viewer who enters the chamber would naturally start to look at the figure of the tomb occupant, and then trace back the family history to the tomb occupant’s father on the left part of the rear wall and the earlier ancestors on the wall on the left side of the viewer. As suggested by Wu Hung, human history is illustrated on the walls in the Wu Liang shrine following the reading order from right to left.\textsuperscript{385} The family history of Jing Zi’an is illustrated on the walls in a chamber in a similar way. Compared with a grand human history full of sages, heroes and models of various virtues, the family history of Jing Zi’an is mundane. And through reading the inscriptions, we see the fall of a prosperous family. The official positions taken by the family members became

\textsuperscript{383} Beijing 2007: 154-179.

\textsuperscript{384} However, it is strange that the three figures have different surnames. According to the inscriptions, the names are “齊光 (Qi Guang), “張庭平 (Zhang Tingping)” and “楊臣衡 (Yang Chenheng)” (Beijing 2007: 166). The inscription on the wall near the entrance of the tomb shows that the tomb belonged to Mr Qi (齊公) (Beijing 2007: 158).

\textsuperscript{385} Wu 1989: 142-143.
lower over generations. In the generation of the tomb occupant, it seems that Jing Zi’an was only a commoner, since no official title was mentioned in the inscription on him, unlike other inscriptions describing the positions of the early family members. The turning point is the exile of an early high official in the family, Jing Wenjun to Sichuan, which reminds us of the role of Sichuan in the Han as a destination for exile and the previously mentioned inscription on the door of the cliff tomb HM3 in Xindu, which also records the migration to Sichuan in the family history. In this sense, the pictorial carvings in this side chamber in the Taliangzi M3 has two-fold meanings: to make the offspring of the family proud of the family’s glorious past; and to remind the offspring of the difficulties experienced by the family, thus realizing the responsibilities to revive the family.

Compared with the previously mentioned stories of filial sons carved in the front hall of the tomb, the side chamber illustrated with the family history emphasized the responsibilities of family members and reinforced the bond between family members over generations by a different approach. Both the above constructions with pictorial carvings contributed to the continuity and prosperity of the family.

4. Pictorial carvings and the Representation of Ritual in the Cliff Tomb

Pictorial carvings are very often found near the entrance to the cliff tomb. In the cliff tombs with several chambers, the entrance to each chamber is often the focus of decoration. In many cases, the only decorated area in the cliff tomb is the entrance to
the tomb and to the burial chambers inside the tomb. The entrance decoration can be divided into four categories according to their location at the entrance: 1) decoration on the door lintel; 2) decoration on the door post; 3) decoration on the walls next to the tomb door; and 4) decoration on the side walls of the doorway. The decoration involves various icons, images and figures. Many of them are often used in the pictorial carvings in the contemporary stone or brick chamber tombs and the cliff tombs.

For example, in the cliff tomb M460 in Pengshan, the only pictorial carvings of the tomb are found on the lintel of the tomb door, which is carved into three elaborately decorated steps toward the doorway (fig.158). On the top and exterior step, a dougong bracket set is carved in low relief, occupying the whole area of the step. On the middle step, there are two sheep facing each other, with a squatting bear in between. On the bottom and interior step, a bow-like icon is carved in the centre. The lintel seems to be an area for the assembly of various auspicious icons. As mentioned in the previous chapter, the dougong bracket set has special auspicious meaning in the cliff tomb; the bear is often interpreted as the incarnation of the fangxiangshi, the exorcist; and the bow-like icon symbolizes the presence of the Queen Mother of the West, who is an immortal in charge of the heavenly world. The pair of sheep also symbolizes auspiciousness as mentioned earlier. Such cliff tombs with the focus of pictorial carvings on the tomb door lintel are very often found in the Pengshan area.

---

The prevalence of pictorial carvings at tomb entrance in the cliff tombs in Sichuan has its counterpart in the contemporary stone or brick chamber tombs in central and east China as mentioned earlier in the chapter. Focusing on several case studies of the stone and brick chamber tombs in central and east China, Kim Dramer suggests the tomb door played an important role in the ritual performed in the tomb. As will be discussed in the following section of the chapter, the doors of the cliff tombs in Sichuan played a similar important role, though with many local features. And my discussion is mainly based on the pictorial carvings on the door.

The tomb door is an important venue for ritual. Kim Dramer suggests that a pictorial carving in the Yi’nan tomb depicts the mortuary ritual, the grain sacrifice at the tomb door (fig. 159).387 In the picture, the chief mourner is kneeling on the ground, followed by five rows of participants. Another kneeling figure, the liturgist is holding a mat with three rows of grain on it, facing the chief mourner. In front of the liturgist is a low offering table with additional grain on it, exposed to two open doors decorated with door rings. Dramer pinpoints the location of this scene at the entrance to the tomb through quoting Jonathan Chaves: “[the doors are] quite obviously meant to represent the entrance to the tomb itself before which sacrificial rites are being conducted…Actual tomb doors of Han date with ring-heads are quite frequently encountered.”388

Some scholars have suggested that some pictorial carvings in the Han tombs exhibited attempts to visualize the ritual performed in the tomb and to show the completion of the ritual to the tomb occupants. The first section of this chapter has provided two examples on how the ritual is depicted in the stone chamber tombs, the Cangshan tomb and the Yi’nan tomb.

In the cliff tombs in Sichuan, some pictorial carvings near the tomb entrance also seem to show the ritual performed at the tomb door. There are a group of seven cliff tombs excavated close to each other on the almost vertical cliff side in Changning in Sichuan, known as the “seven caves (qigedong 七個洞)” by the local people (fig.160). Four of the seven tombs have extensive decorations on the doors and on the surface of the cliff near the doors, though few pictorial carvings are found inside the tombs. The door posts are depicted as the que pillars and the lintels and the surrounding areas are carved with various auspicious animals and images. Two icons like a knot of twisted rope are carved on the cliff left to the entrance to the tomb M1 (fig.161). Luo Weixian identifies these icons as the representation of the sacrifice to the god of the earth and grain (she ji 社稷) and the spirit of xuanwu 玄武 (the turtle-shaped god of the north), which further shows the possibility that some tomb decoration in a Han brick chamber tomb in Luoyang in Henan.

390 For the archaeological report, see KGWW 1985.5.
391 Luo 1986. Luo Weixian suggests that the icon on the left includes nine sections divided by the twisted lines, which coincide the nine regions (jiu zhou 九州) of the territory of the ruling power. And the lines represent the rivers surrounding these regions. Traditionally, nine is regarded to be the number...
rituals, including the grain sacrifice, were performed at the tomb door and the relevant icons were carved at the door as evidence of the completion of these rituals. The knot-like icon identified by Luo Weixian as the sacrifice to the god of the earth and grain is also found on a pictorial brick illustrating the que pillar gates in the Yangzishan in Chengdu (fig.162). Since the que pillar gates usually indicate the entrance to a tomb or a cemetery in mortuary art, the illustration of the icon symbolizing the sacrifice to the god of the earth and grain in the same scene may imply the performance of the relevant ritual at the tomb door. Moreover, this brick is located in the doorway just next to the tomb door.

One reason for the importance of the tomb door as the venue for ritual can be explained by the role of doors in the Han society. The doors “were closely related to the social-political structure as well as the rituals and customs of everyday life”.393

of regions in the control of the ruling power. The nine dots spread respectively in the centres of the nine sections represent the grain growing in the area. In this sense, the sections formed by the lines represent she 社, the earth, and the dots within the sections represent ji 稷, the grain.

There are several textual records on the she ji and the jiu zhou can be related to this icon as suggested by Luo Weixian (1986). The Zhou li 周禮 records that “以血祭祭社稷 (blood should be used for the sacrifice to the she and ji)”, which is annotated by Zheng Xuan 鄭玄 that: “社稷,土穀之神,有德者配食焉. The she and ji are the gods of the earth and grain, only the virtuous ones can be guarded.” Zhou li: 456. The Shi ji describes the jiu zhou as: “中國外如赤縣神州者九,乃所謂九州也,於是有裨海環之…如一區中者乃為一州,如此者九,乃有大瀛海環其外,天地之際焉. There are nine holy regions outside the central area, known as the jiu zhou, which are surrounded by water… each region is located in the centre of a water, there are nine such regions, and all are surrounded by the sea, at the border between the sky and land.” Shi ji: 2344. The relationship between the nine regions and the nine grains is recorded in the Zhou li as: “掌天下之圖,以掌天下之地…與其財用九穀,六畜之數要. To control the territory needs to master the map of the territory…to acquire wealth needs to know the method to manage the nine grains and the six farm animals.” Zhou li: 869.

392 WW 1955.9: 71, fig.1,2.
393 Dramer 2002: 55.
Liu Tseng-kuei points out that, as early as the Spring and Autumn period, the *men* 門 and the *hu* 戶 were used together as *menhu* 門戶 to denote a clan, *jiazu* 家族.\(^{394}\) There were sumptuary laws regulating the use of different doors in the families of different social status, which consequently led to the expressions of *gao men da hu* 高門大戶 (high lintel and big door leaves) and *zhu hu* 朱戶 (vermilion door) as metaphors for families of high social status.\(^{395}\) Since the appearance of the door could exhibit the status of a family to a large extent, it is natural that the door of the cliff tomb that was built based on the dwelling for the living became the focus of mural decoration. The tomb door of the cliff tomb M355 in Pengshan is framed by a pair of pillars with the *dougong* bracket sets on top.\(^{396}\) As mentioned in the previous chapter, the *dougong* structure was usually used in the grand buildings for the living. Hence the carving of the *dougong* at the tomb door was a public display of the status of the tomb occupant’s family. In the Xiaoba II M80 in Leshan, the tomb door is framed by a pair of *que* pillar gates (fig.163).\(^{397}\) In addition to the association with the entrance to the heavenly world, the *que* pillar gates in the Han society are symbol of high social status. As recorded in the *Baihu tong* 白虎通: “門必有闕者何?闕者,所以飾門,別尊卑也. There are ‘towers’ *ch’üeh* on the gates, as an adornment and to distinguish between the high and the lowly.”\(^{398}\)

---

\(^{394}\) Liu 1997: 817. Both the *men* and the *hu* have the meaning of door. The early Chinese usually used *hu* to refer to the doors with one leaf, and used *men* to refer to the doors with double leaves.

\(^{395}\) See Dramer 2001: 56 and Liu 1997: 856-858.

\(^{396}\) Chen 2003: 68, fig.4.

\(^{397}\) Tang 1993: 46, fig.12.

\(^{398}\) *Baihu tong*: 596. The translation is after Tjan 1952: 656.
Due to the social-political meanings of the doors in both the tomb and the dwelling for the living, there were a series of regulations regarding the use of the door. Liu Tseng-kuei notes that the door in the dwelling for a family was one of the symbols of patriarch. The main door in the centre symbolizes the honoured positions in the household and thus was reserved for the patriarch.\(^{399}\) Dramer further points out that in the Han, the doors had “the ability to change the social status and identity of those who pass through them”.\(^ {400}\) At the doors of the tombs, there were also changes of the identities of the people who pass through them, namely, “the paired re-births of the chief mourner and his father”. Passing through the tomb door, the son as the chief mourner became the new patriarch of the family and the father as the deceased became a new member of the ancestors of the family.\(^ {401}\) Thus, the act of crossing the tomb door changed the social status of the living and the dead and became a “social regeneration of the living and the dead”.\(^ {402}\) Consequently, the tomb door contains symbols of fecundity in its pictorial programme. For example, a kissing couple was found occupying the centre of the tomb door lintel of the cliff tomb M550 in Pengshan (fig.164).\(^ {403}\) The couple are naked, sitting on the ground and embracing

---

\(^{399}\) Liu 1997: 864.

\(^{400}\) Dramer 2002: 56. She quotes textual record from the *Shi ji* on King Wu, who marked his victory in the *Muye* 牧野 battle on the gate of the village of Shang Rong, to show that as early as the Zhou, doors were used as signboards to exhibit the change of one’s status.

\(^{401}\) Dramer 2002: 40-41. She notes that in the *Tan Gong* 檀弓 section of the *Li ji*, the chief mourner was to present the burial gifts to the deceased after whose interment. “既封,主人贈,而祝宿虞尸.” *Li ji*: 272. The translation is after Legge 1967: 170. Zheng Xuan’s 鄭玄 annotation of this section says that the gifts were offered by the chief mourner in the tomb. “送死者於壙也.” *Li ji*: 272.

\(^{402}\) Dramer 2002: 73.

\(^{403}\) Tang 1993: pl.5. A similar image is found on the back of a sheep carved on the tomb door lintel of
each other. The man’s hand is fondling the woman’s breast while the woman’s hand is resting on the man’s shoulder. Chen Minda and Tang Changshou relate this image to some other sexual scenes depicted in the Han tombs in Sichuan and suggest that the kissing couple might symbolize reproduction and regeneration.\[404\] In the cliff tomb M6 and M7 from the group of seven tombs in Changning, the door lintels are carved with paired melons or pumpkins in high relief (fig.160). Such melons or pumpkins have also been found carved on the ceiling of the burial chamber in the Hujiawan tomb M1 in Santai as mentioned in the previous chapter (fig.65). One interpretation of this image is that melons or pumpkins usually have numerous seeds, which could have the implication for progeny.\[405\]

However, this “social regeneration of the living and the dead” not only required proper rituals, but also could involve various dangers. In the Han period, ghosts of the deceased and various evil spirits were regarded as a main hazard to human and the main impediment to the progress of the deceased to the heavenly world. To ensure the safety of the chief mourner and the completion of the mortuary ritual, exorcists were required to accompany the chief mourner to dispel the evil power at each door. Hence, the images of the fangxiangshi and various images with auspicious meanings are often found carved at the tomb door. On the cliff surrounding the group of seven cliff tombs in Changning, numerous auspicious images are carved together. It seems that there is

\[405\] Erickson 2003: 429.
no direct relationship between the individual images. However, the tomb occupant wanted to use as many as auspicious expressions to ensure the safety of his tomb and the mortuary ritual. The assembly of auspicious images includes the running tiger, the que pillar, the bird holding a fish in the beak, the icon of the persimmon leaves, the god and goddess, Fu Xi and Nü Wa, the dragon with the bi disk, and the exorcist (fig.160). They all appear to be coarsely carved by inexperienced hands, which suggests that their functions were much more valued than their quality.

It is notable that some images related to Buddhism also appear on the cliff tomb door lintels. For example, the Buddha-like images are found in the centre of the lintel of the entrance to the rear chamber in both the Mahao I M1 and the Shiziwan M1 in Leshan (fig.165). The one in the Mahao I M1 is seated with his legs crossed and his right hand in the gesture of abhaya mudra (the gesture of fearlessness and reassurance). At the back of his head is a halo. Such a Buddha-like image could also be regarded as the protection of the chief mourner and the tomb occupant from the harm of the evil spirit. The Buddha-related images began to be imported into China in the first century AD. A south-western route from India to Sichuan and then to the Central Plain was one of the potential routes of dissemination. In this sense, the

---

406 For the interpretation of these images, see Luo 2005.
407 Tang 1997:77, figs.15,16.
408 Both Wu Hung and Tang Changshou suggest that the Buddha-like figures lost their original meanings in Buddhism in the pictorial programme of the cliff tomb. In the new context, they were treated like other immortals who were the protection of both the living and dead, such as the Queen Mother of the West. The main evidence is that the Buddha-like images are usually depicted with other auspicious images that were already common in the Han mortuary pictorial programme. See Wu 1986: 300-303 and Tang 1997: 77.
cliff tomb door lintel was up-to-date in incorporating new elements into its pictorial programme to assist with the mortuary ritual.

Inside the tombs, doors further “marked the progress toward social transformation between the living and the dead” during the procession of the ritual.409 In the previously discussed stone chamber tombs, the Yi’nan tomb and the Cangshan tomb, ritual was carried out along the central axis of the tomb, guided by the illustrations carved on the surrounding walls and lintels. The major recipients of this guidance were the chief mourner and the tomb occupant. Dramer points out that “the visual cues incorporated in the tombs at the juncture of doorways also included aspects of the decorative programme such as changes in directionality or positionality or changes in motifs”.410 As mentioned previously, the entrance to the rear chamber in the Cangshan tomb is framed by a pair of dragons, which are the images related to the immortal world, instead of the guards from the world of the living like those carved near the front door, since when the procession of the ritual in the tomb was getting closer to the rear chamber for the deceased after crossing one door after another, both the chief mourner and the deceased were closer to the immortal world. The rituals performed through crossing the doors and doorways in the tomb “resulted in the activation of the decorative program and the mutual benefits achieved by the living and the dead”.411 In addition, the act of ritual and the images carved on the doors

---

409 Dramer 2002: 140.
410 Dramer 2002: 140.
411 Dramer 2002: 140-141.
“reaffirmed and animated each other”.\textsuperscript{412} The progression of ritual can be observed in the cliff tombs containing multiple burial chambers with decorated doors and doorways. These decorated doors and doorways regulated the progression of the ritual and were activated by the ritual at the same time.

The cliff tomb Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang (a decorated side chamber of which has been discussed previously), has three decorated doors and three decorated doorways playing important roles in regulating the progress of the ritual in the tomb (fig.149).\textsuperscript{413} The whole tomb has five main burial chambers on the east-west central axis and six side chambers, in a total length of 33 metres. The entrance to the second main chamber on the central axis is carved with a standing figure wearing a long robe and a hat, with a sword on the waist (fig.30). The figure is slightly bending his body forward and his hands are holding together in the front, seemingly bowing to the visitors. The archaeological report identifies the figure as the door guard.\textsuperscript{414}

The entrance to the next main chamber has two standing figures carved on the two sides respectively. One is holding a long scepter in one hand while playing the flute (fig.166).\textsuperscript{415} The archaeological report notices that this figure might be foreign, since he is wearing a pointed hat, has thick beard and playing a \textit{hu jia} \textsuperscript{416} (foreign flute), a musical instrument. The other figure is carved with a lying dog in the front and a

\textsuperscript{412} Dramer 2002: 141.
\textsuperscript{413} Beijing 2008: 19-34.
\textsuperscript{414} Beijing 2008: 65, fig.72, pl.62.
\textsuperscript{415} Beijing 2008: 26, fig.22.
flying bird above. In the doorway, a group of dancing figures are carved in low relief with coloured paint on one side (fig.167). The archaeological report regards these figures as the foreign people due to the inscription of “襄人 xiang ren (the Xiang people) written above. Xie Chong’an further traces the origin of these people to the Qiang people in Huangzhong in the Hexi corridor. The main evidence is that they wear short clothes and pointed hats, which were often worn by the foreign people of Indo-European origin. In addition, the illustration of these people is right in front of the previously mentioned side chamber for memorial, in which a family ancestor was well-known for his victory in the battle against the Qiang people. It seems that the illustration of the foreign figures in the doorway and at the entrance was intended to be the prelude to the upcoming memorial in the adjacent side chamber.

The entrance to the fourth main chamber has a large dragon carved on one side, seemingly to indicate the final resting place for the deceased, since this entrance is much closer to the large house-shaped coffin, which is cut into the end of the tomb on one side. In the doorway, two birds holding a fish in their beaks are carved on the
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416 Beijing 2008: 64-65. The pointed hat of this figure forms a stark contrast to the flat hat of the previous door guard. In this sense, the carvers seemed to emphasize the foreign features through certain details.
417 Beijing 2008: pl.61.
419 Xie 2005. He also notes that their big eyes and thick beards are highlighted by paint, which might be intended to emphasize their foreign origin. In addition, their dance might be the 踏歌 ta ge (stepping with song) dance, which were popular among the minority groups in mid-west China.
420 Beijing 2008: 30, fig.28, 33, fig.31.
two side walls, offering meanings of regeneration and good fortune (fig.168).\textsuperscript{421} The entrance to the last main chamber is carved with some architectural details, mainly the eaves, without any figures. In the doorway to the last chamber, a mother goose with a baby goose is carved on one side, which might also have the meaning of regeneration and fecundity.\textsuperscript{422}

As described above, the pictorial programme of the doors and doorways in the Taliangzi M3 begins with illustrating the images existing in the world for the living. As the ritual procession progressed from the outer doors to the inner doors in the tomb, the images on the doors become to be those relevant to the world of immortality. At the same time, the images on the doors guided the progress of the ritual, for example, the door and the doorway to the burial chamber for memorial of the family ancestors drew the attention of the chief mourner and the participants in the ritual to the background of the experience of an outstanding ancestor of the family. Furthermore, there are thresholds built at all the entrances to the main burial chambers on the central axis of the tomb, which may have been intended to emphasize the progress of the ritual from the tomb door to the innermost burial chamber. Every time, when one more door was crossed by the ritual procession, the “social transformation between the living and the dead” was one step closer to completion.\textsuperscript{423}

\textsuperscript{421} Beijing 2008: pls.70, 71.
\textsuperscript{422} Beijing 2008: pl.78.
\textsuperscript{423} Dramer 2002: 140.
A similar arrangement of the pictorial programmes at different doors in the cliff tomb can be observed in the previously mentioned Shiziwan M1. On the lintel over the entrance to the tomb, secular life scenes of dancing and entertainments are carved.\(^{424}\)

In the southern entranceway, each side wall is carved with an equestrian figure, who faces the outside of the tomb, seemingly welcoming the arrival of the chief mourner, the tomb occupant and the other participants of the ritual (fig.169).\(^{425}\) These equestrian figures may play a role similar to the bowing guard carved at the outer door of the tomb Taliangzi M3. After this entranceway is the front hall, which functioned as a shrine, as discussed previously. On the rear wall of the front hall, where the rear burial chambers are located, guards with features from the world of immortality are carved (fig.56). Each burial chamber has two immortal guards carved on the two sides of its entrance (fig.148).\(^{426}\) One is identified as the tomb-pacifying god, who has the body of a man and the head of a beast.\(^{427}\) He has two horns and ears of pig. His eyes are large and bulging. He also has fangs and a long hanging tongue reaching to his belly. He holds an axe in one hand and a snake in the other hand.\(^{428}\)

\(^{424}\) Tang 1997: 73. However, according to the author, due to the severe erosion of the lintel carvings, no picture is provided.

\(^{425}\) Tang 1997: 73, fig.4.

\(^{426}\) Tang 1997: 74, fig.6, 76, figs.13, 14.

\(^{427}\) Tang 1997: 76-77.

\(^{428}\) This tomb-pacifying god with long tongue also appears in the form of pottery figurine among the burial objects in the Eastern Han tombs in the Sichuan area. For a general study of this long tongue god in the Sichuan area, see Fu 2006. Fu Juan’s research shows that there are mainly two kinds of pottery figurines featuring long tongue in the Sichuan area, the standing figure with a beast head and the squatting dog. These figurines have been found mainly in the Chengdu plain and the Chongqing area. More long tongue dogs and less long tongue figures have found in Chongqing. She suggests that such long tongue figurines might be influenced by the earlier Chu culture, in which some wooden figures with long tongue have been found.
People in the Sichuan area believed that snakes were demons from the underworld and could be beaten by pigs. Therefore, this figure is regarded as the pig-headed god, who could protect the tomb occupants from the harm of demons.\(^{429}\) The other figure is regarded as the tomb-pacifying god’s assistant, who holds a broom in one hand and a dust basket in the other hand, “sweeping away of evil influences”.\(^{430}\) To some extent, the paired tomb-pacifying god here played a role similar to the large dragon carved at the entrance to the burial chamber with the house-shaped coffin in the Taliangzi M3 (fig.170).\(^{431}\) Through the use of such conventionalized displays, information was unambiguously communicated in the pictorial programme at the doors and during the mortuary ritual in the tomb.

5 Conclusion

Though at the first glance, the pictorial carvings inside the cliff tombs are scarce and scatter randomly without any rule, there is underlying logic in the design and arrangement of these paintings. The pictorial carvings in the cliff tomb were concentrated in two main areas, the chamber for memorial of the family ancestors, and the doors and doorways. Because these two areas played important roles in the

\(^{429}\) Tang 1997: 76-77.

\(^{430}\) Tang 1997: 77. Tang Changshou notes that in “his annotation to the Rong you 戩右 section in the Xia guan 少官 division in the Zhou li, which deals with the proper rituals and procedures for military officials, the Han dynasty scholar Zheng Xuan 鄭玄 also mentions the use of the peach-wood broom to ward off evil influences”.

\(^{431}\) According to Tang Changshou, the paired tomb-pacifying god is standard tomb door carving in the cliff tombs in Sichuan. Examples of these images have been found in the Shiziwan tombs no.22, 27 and 28 in Leshan, and the tomb no.46 at Jiangkou in Pengshan (Tang 1997: 77). However, no report on these cliff tombs has been published.
rituals performed at the tomb, which reinforced the cohesion of the tomb occupant’s family and contributed to the continuity and prosperity of the family.

Through pictorial carvings, the burial chamber for memorial of the family ancestors emphasized the important virtues for the cohesion and continuity of the family, and brought a sense of pride in the family’s past. The pictorial carvings on the doors and in the doorways guided the progress of the ritual at the tomb and clarified the main relationships involved in the ritual, which were about the transitions to the roles of the family’s patriarch and ancestor. The ultimate aim of the ritual performed through crossing the tomb doors was to promise the continuous growth of the family, to ensure that the eldest sons continuously to become the patriarch, and the patriarchs continuously to become the member of the family ancestors. The decorated burial chamber for memorial was also involved in this ritual through being incorporated into the tomb architecture for ritual, which was regulated by doors and doorways, for example, the chamber for memorial in the Taliangzi M3 and the Shiziwàn M1. “In this way, the living and the dead were linked eternally by the mortuary rituals performed at doors and doorways within the tombs.”

The previous chapter has discussed how the cliff tomb structure was intended for the continuous development of the family. This chapter shows that pictorial carvings of the cliff tombs were planned for the same purpose and were integrated into the tomb
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432 Dramer 2002: 106.
structure. The previous chapter analyzes how the construction of the cliff tomb and
the cliff tomb structure affected the relationship and behaviour of people. This chapter
further shows that ritual was an important medium, through which material presence
exerts its influence on people. Pictorial carvings in the cliff tomb were important to
the efficacy of the ritual performed. Consequently, the proper pictorial carvings in the
chamber for memorial and at the doors made the rituals in the tomb a useful
regulating mechanism, which ensured the smooth and efficient running of the families
in the local society.\footnote{Dramer 2002: 107. She also points out that mortuary ritual was a tool of good government (Dramer 2002: 123).}

Moreover, through the comparison between the contemporary mortuary pictorial
carvings in east China and in the cliff tombs in Sichuan, we see that there were
borrowings and development with local features,\footnote{Many local features in the pictorial carvings in the cliff tombs were exhibited through some
localized figures and images, such as the previously mentioned kissing couple, Buddha-like figure, and
pig-head tomb-pacifying god, the origin of which rooted in the geography, tradition and history of the
area.} which is useful for us to study
how the cliff tomb as a burial form became into being and became popular with many
localized conventional displays.\footnote{It seems that the concentration of pictorial carvings on the doors in the cliff tombs had its origin in
the stone or brick chamber tombs in the Central Plain and east China. A group of chamber tombs
constructed by the combination of stone and brick at Dabaodang 大保當 in Shennu 神木 in Shaanxi
more directly reflect the borrowing and the development with local feature. There is an Eastern Han
city site together with an adjacent cemetery found in Dabaodang. On the cemetery, there are a group of
14 decorated chamber tombs, which were in use no earlier than AD 89 and no later than 140, a very
short period when the area was not disturbed by the Xiongnu 匈奴 people from the north and the
Qiang people. The 14 decorated tombs exhibit highly uniformed structures and pictorial programmes,
with their door posts and lintels built by stone and the other parts by brick. All the pictorial carvings in
the tombs are on the door posts and lintels, exactly like those late Western Han brick chamber tombs
}
with decorated stone door posts and lintels in Nanyang in Henan. But the Dabaodang tombs had their own carving style and incorporated some elements with local features, for example, the illustration of the story on an early Western Han General Li Guang 李廣, who was well-known for his victory in the battle against the Xiongnu (Beijing 2001b: 36-37, figs.37, 38). During the short peaceful period, a group of people migrated to Dabaodang and brought the standard traditional burial form, while even in the very short period, the standard burial form became to have some local elements. For the archaeological report see Beijing 2001b: 35-110.
Chapter 4 Burial Objects

This chapter is concerned with how the burial objects were planned and arranged in a cliff tomb, interred with multiple occupants from different generations and related in various ways. The hypothesis is that there are certain principles to follow to establish an institutional relationship within the cliff tomb through the material presence of the burial objects. In addition, the burial objects were arranged in relation to the tomb structure and pictorial carvings to make the cliff tomb to function as an integrated entity.

The general underlying logic of burial objects preparation for the tombs in the Han dynasty is to provide the deceased with what he would need in the afterlife which is largely modeled on this life. In this sense, burial objects in the Han tomb mainly fall into 4 categories. 1) pottery models of the things used in daily life, including vessels, buildings, figurines of servants and performers, models of horses and farm animals, sets of well, stove, toilet and warehouse. 2) Things treasured by the tomb occupants when they were alive, such as stationary and accessories. 3) Things used in ceremonies, such as chariot parts, weapons and scepters. 4) Tomb appeasing pieces, such as figurines, models of beasts, funerary land contracts.436

However, burial objects not only reflect the needs modeled on the life of the living,

436 This categorization is based on Huang 2003:203-204.
but in some cases, also the hope of the tomb occupants to enter the heavenly world, which is inhabited by the immortals, who do not need those things of the ordinary mortals. The heavenly world is widely depicted on some burial objects such as the money trees and the stone coffins that have been found in many Eastern Han tombs in Sichuan, especially in cliff tombs.

1. Plan of Burial Objects

An example of the types of burial objects and their spatiality in the tomb is provided by an unplundered cliff tomb in Zhongxian 忠縣 in Chongqing. The cliff tomb Tujing 涂井 M5 is a medium scale two-chamber tomb with a total length of 7.2 metres (fig.171). A niche is carved at the right side of the front chamber and a stove is carved at the right side of the rear chamber, close to the front chamber. Two tomb occupants are located in the centre of the front chamber and one is located in the centre of the rear chamber. Though no remains of skeleton are left, three iron swords mark the locations of the three tomb occupants respectively. The sword in the rear chamber is found in adjacent to some red lacquer paints and ashes of black board, which may be the remains of the lacquered wooden coffin, as suggested by the author of the archaeological report. Personal belongings, including two silver hair pins, a silver bracelet, a silver ring and the previously mentioned three iron swords, are found at the locations of the tomb occupants, as well as piles of coins. Most of the rest 130 burial objects are pottery models on the prosperous life on the farm, distributing in
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437 WW 1985.7: 57, fig.17.
438 WW 1985.7: 54.
various locations in the tomb. Among them, 64 are pottery figurines illustrating attendants, chefs, a story teller, a dancer, a groom and soldiers. They are placed in mainly five groups in the two burial chambers. The single group in the rear chamber seems to serve the only occupant in the chamber. Among the remaining groups, two are located on the opposite sides of the front chamber respectively, one is near the entrance to the front chamber, and one is near the rear corner of the front chamber, at the entrance to the rear chamber. The only pottery figure of a groom is placed in the passageway to the tomb, together with a pottery horse. Most of the remaining pottery models are models of farm houses, wells, ponds and farm animals, distributing among the pottery figurines. In addition, some pottery vessels are found occupying the area against the rear wall of the tomb.

What is especially noteworthy is that, the only money tree in the tomb is placed near the rear corner of the front chamber and near the entrance to the rear chamber, a focal point for all the three tomb occupants. Jiang Yuxiang suggests that this arrangement symbolized that the head of the family led his family members making sacrifice to the money tree.\(^{439}\) What is money tree and what was it used for will be discussed in detail later.

This tomb provides us with a general idea that burial objects, mainly pottery models for the satisfaction of the *po* for the afterlife of the deceased, were individually

gathered around a specific member in the tomb. Other burial objects, such as money tree, were shared by all members in the tomb. The individual identities of the individual members and the collective identity of all the members in the tomb were established through the symbolizations of different objects.\textsuperscript{440} The money tree and the stone coffin will be discussed as the major clues to understand how this dialectical relationship was established in the tomb.

2. The Money Tree

The money tree is one of the most characteristic burial objects in the tombs in Eastern Han Sichuan (fig.172). They were distributed mainly in the Sichuan Basin; some are also found in the adjacent areas in northern Yunnan and Guizhou, western Hubei, and southern Shaanxi, Qinghai and Gansu. By 2006, information on 189 money trees had become available.\textsuperscript{441} Many of them were found in cliff tombs. Some of them were from brick tombs. The money tree is made up of two parts, a pottery base in the shape of a mountain or an animal, and a bronze tree trunk with branches decorated with coins and auspicious images.\textsuperscript{442} The name “money tree” is derived from the coins, which look as though they grow out of the bronze tree. The term was first used by archaeologist to describe such an object during the 1940s, in the excavation of the cliff tombs in Pengshan in Sichuan.\textsuperscript{443} So far, there have been many studies on the

\textsuperscript{440} For the dialectical relationship between individual and collective identity, see Jenkins 2008: 46-47.
\textsuperscript{441} See He 2006a: 5 and a list of all the money trees with their locations, features and references in He 2006a: 271-296.
\textsuperscript{442} In a few cases, the base is made of stone or bronze. For example, money tree no.2 in He 2006a: 271, no.31 in He 2006a: 274, no.44 in He 2006a: 276 and no.53 in He 2006a: 277.
\textsuperscript{443} He 2006a: 7. The term “money tree (qianshu 錢樹)” was first proposed by Feng Hanji to describe
meaning and function of this artifact. The discussion is mainly focused on the
meaning of the tree and coins, and the seemingly religious images carved all over the
tree branches, trunk and base.\textsuperscript{444} This chapter, however, will mainly look at the sense
of volume and the visual complexity of the money tree and argue that the local people
attempted to create a distinctive ritual object of their own. Consequently, the money
tree functioned as a crucial part in symbolizing the collective identity of the occupants
in a tomb.

\subsection*{2.1 Major Interpretations of the Money Tree}

Based on the previous research on the money tree, the meaning and function of which
can be mainly summarized as follows: 1) The money tree was a source of money for
the tomb occupants in their afterlife;\textsuperscript{445} 2) The money tree was an axis mundi,
through which the soul of the occupant was guided to the heaven.\textsuperscript{446}

\footnotesize
\textsuperscript{444} For important studies on money tree, see Erickson 1994, He 2006a and Yu 1961. Yu Haoliang was
the first archeologist making thorough discussion on the potential function of the money tree as a burial
object. Susan Erickson was the first western scholar who carries out comprehensive studies on the
money tree from the aspects of its origin, manufacture, pictorial scheme, meaning and function. He
Zhiguo has collected the most detailed information on the remaining money trees so far and focuses his
discussion on the potential religious meaning of the money tree (He 2006a: 173-254).

\textsuperscript{445} Nylan 2003: 377. Nylan suggests that the money tree is literally composed of coins, which was a
“money-making venture” in the tomb.

\textsuperscript{446} Erickson 1994: 27-29 and He 2006a: 157-162. He Zhiguo agrees with Erickson’s interpretation of
the money tree as the axis mundi and further develops her idea through incorporating the images on the
money tree base, trunk, branches and leaves into a cosmological system, through which, as he suggests,
the tomb occupant could ascend to heaven.
These two functions represented the collective needs of the tomb occupants to satisfy both their *hun* and *po*. And as will be discussed below, a symbol of these collective needs was invented to materialize the collective identity of the occupants in a tomb.

### 2.2 An invented Symbol

The height of money tree, including both its base and trunk, ranges from 1.2 to 2 metres. The tallest one found so far is the one from the collection of the National Museum China, reaching to a height of 2.01 metres.\(^{447}\) Compare with the other burial objects in the tomb, the size of the money tree is extraordinary. Moreover, the height of medium to large scale cliff tomb is usually no more than 2 metres. Consequently, the money tree must have been a major focus in the tomb. Like the previously mentioned cliff tomb Tujing M5, in most cases, only one money tree is found in a tomb, and it is usually in the centre, surrounded by the tomb occupants.\(^{448}\) For example, in the cliff tomb at Baozishan in Xinjin, a money tree was found in the centre of the area between the two rows of stone coffins. Each row has two stone coffins.\(^{449}\)
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\(^{447}\) He 2006a: 21. The tree was collected by the museum from personal collectors. For more information, see Xi 2006. Xi Yuying has made comparisons between this money tree and the ones from the cliff tomb Hejiashan 何家山 no.1 and no.2 in Mianyang in Sichuan and the cliff tombs in Hanzhong in southern Shaanxi, in adjacent to the northern Sichuan Basin. He suggests that the bronze tree branches and leaves are close to those of the Hejiashan money trees and the pottery base is similar to those of the Hanzhong money trees.

\(^{448}\) In the cliff tomb Hejiashan no.2 in Mianyang (WW1991.3), pieces from two money trees were found. So far, no more than two money tree have been found in a tomb.

\(^{449}\) Chengdu wenwu 1993.2.
In addition, the visual complexity of the money tree made it an impressive presence in the tomb. The branches sprout from the trunk to various directions. Each branch is decorated with elaborately carved coins, auspicious birds, people involved in various activities and sometimes, the Queen Mother of the West. Layer after layer of branches reach to the apex of the tree, where perches a large auspicious bird or sits the Queen Mother of the West with the auspicious bird. Not only the height, but also the sense of volume and the aesthetic illustration attract people’s attention to the money tree in the tomb. Unlike the number of pottery figures found in cliff tombs, the quality of money tree is stable. In tombs where coarse pottery figurines were found, if sections of a money tree have survived, they appeared to be elaborate. To some extent, money trees appear more important as a burial object than the pottery models. This may be partly due to its dedication to the whole tomb occupants’ family instead of the individuals. It is a pity that for most of the money trees only sections of the bronze trunk or branches, or the pottery base have remaining in tombs. In some disturbed tombs, only one or two bronze pieces or the pottery base remained. Most of the intact money trees in the collection were reconstructed by archaeologists piece by piece. In this sense, it is easy to overlook the original sense of volume that a money tree delivered in the tomb, which was possibly what the patrons of the tomb wanted to achieve.

On the other hand, the fragility of the money tree reflects its special construction, made of numerous individual parts (fig.173). These parts could be easily put together to form a tree, but easily fell apart as well. To install or to reconstruct a money tree,
we first need to insert the tree trunk into the pottery base. The tubular tree trunk was usually made of four to six long tubes, which were hollow inside and had a slightly narrow end. Therefore, the tubes can be inserted into each other to form a whole trunk. Each branch is then individually hooked to the sockets cast on the trunk. Take the money tree found in the cliff tomb Hejiashan 何家山 M2 in Mianyang for example,\textsuperscript{450} there are four layers of tree branches installed on the trunk (fig.172). Each layer contains four pieces of branches sprouting to four different directions. Finally, on top of the tree, a piece of bronze cast of a seated Queen Mother of the West with a bird and a bi disc is inserted into the top tube of the trunk.

Though all the branches and the top decoration are two dimensional pieces, they form a three dimensional visual fact, after they are together. In the case of the money tree at Wanfu 萬福 in Guanghan, smaller independent pieces of branches are further erected on the four sprouting branches on the same layer to reinforce the sense of volume and complexity (fig.174).\textsuperscript{451} In addition, the four branches on each layer are cast from the same mode, with the same decoration. As a result, though the combination of the tree branches makes the money tree look complicated, the tree contains only five different patterns. Moreover, the top three layers of the tree branches follow a similar scheme, with a row of coins hanging below, a row of figures in motion above, and an auspicious bird perching on the end.

\textsuperscript{450} Bagley 2001: pl.97.
\textsuperscript{451} Bagley 2001: pl.98.
Lothar Ledderose points out that there was a module system in China to “assemble objects from standardized parts”, which were “prefabricated in great quantity and could be put together quickly in different combinations, creating an extensive variety of units from a limited repertoire of components”.\(^{452}\) The tree trunk and branches of the money tree can be regarded as modules, prefabricated to make an object of impressive material presence. Ledderose also suggests that the module system could meet the demands of the customers who “expected high quality for a low price”.\(^{453}\) In addition, the accessibility of the high quality product to a wider range of people contributed to the “fostering of social homogeneity and cultural and political coherence”.\(^{454}\) The popularity of the money tree among a wide range of tombs shows that the manufacture cost of money tree was affordable to tomb occupants of various economic means, including those with the tombs containing less good pottery burial objects. In fact, the modular manufacture system seen in the money tree not only made it economically affordable, but also made it easier to be transported to the tomb, given its height, shape and fragility. It is even possible that assembling the money tree piece by piece in the tomb became a part of the ritual in the tomb. Or we can think it the other way round, the money tree was originally designed into this height and shape together with its modular manufacture system at the same time. There could be

---

\(^{452}\) Ledderose 2000: 1.

\(^{453}\) Ledderose 2000: 1.

\(^{454}\) Ledderose 2000: 5. To explain on this point, Ledderose provides two examples: “a petty Shang aristocrat owning a few small bronzes could take pride in the fact that his vessels displayed the same kind of décor and were cast in the same technique as the gorgeous bronze sets of the mightiest ruler”; “a minor Qing-dynasty official serving food to his guests on porcelain plates made in Jingdezhen could relish the thought that the emperor in his palace also used dinner sets from Jingdezhen, even if those differed in decoration and quality”.
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several expectations for a new local ritual object in the tomb: first, it should be large and elaborate to become the focus of the burial space; second, it should be economically affordable to a community of families with various economic means; third, it should be possible to transport it to the tomb at a reasonable cost. Based on these expectations, a modular manufacture system was developed for the money tree, which culminated in the material form of the money tree as we found today. It is also important to note that the popularity of the money tree was in a wide context in which burial objects became commodities and were accessible to a wide range of people, which brought about a wide social influence from the material goods.455

A close examination on the way in which the tree branches and top decorations were cast further reveals the motivation for the creation of this novel burial object. The casting of money tree is usually linked to the casting of the wuzhu 五銖 coin in the Han (fig.175). One reason is that coins have been found in large quantities on all money trees, and many of them are cast with the wuzhu characters, just as they are cast on the real coins, but smaller and thinner.456 Moreover, the archaeological discovery of the Han wuzhu coin mold suggests that the shape of the money tree may have been inspired by the method used in coinage. Susan Erickson suggests that the

455 For the study of the market of burial objects and the tombs and burial objects as commodities, see Tang 2002. Tang Guangxiao suggests that there was a highly developed funerary market in Eastern Han Sichuan. Many pottery burial objects produced from the same moulds have been found in tombs in different areas in Sichuan, indicating that the scale of the production of burial objects was relatively large and a local funerary market had formed.

456 For the comparison of the wuzhu characters on the coins of the money trees and the real coins, see He 2006a: 73-75. For the process of coin manufacture in early China see the illustrations from the studies on the Tiangong kaiwu 天工開物 (Pan 1989: figs. 3-5, 3-6).
notion of “money growing on trees” may have its basis from the stack casting process, in which “coins were cast in molds which could be arranged in layers enabling the caster to produce many coins in one pour” (Fig.176). 457 A central channel branched out to smaller, individual channels which led to the coins. After the coins were cast, they were still linked to the channels and had the appearance of a branch with coins attached. Considering the size of the coin in relation to the branch and the placement of the coins to the side of the main channel, the mold and also the cast form before the coins were broken away from the centre channel, may have inspired the idea of the coins growing from branches. 458

However, there is still a big discrepancy between the shape of the money tree and the way that several layers of coins are attached to the channels of the mould. In fact, the most direct borrowing of the creation of the money tree seems to be from the coinage using stacked moulds, which greatly increased the work efficiency. In a similar way, the identical branches attached to the money tree trunk could be produced through one pour into some stacked molds. 459 The inscription of “zhu zhu 鑄株 (money tree)”

459 For more information on moulds and stacked moulds for metallurgical works in Eastern Han, see Joseph Needham Science and Civilization in China, volume 5, part II on early metallurgy (Wagner 2008: 150-158). Moulds and stacked moulds for metallurgical works had been highly developed in Eastern Han. Individual moulds were fabricated in the same way as pictorial bricks, by pressing wet clay into “pattern boxes (模盒)”, then removing, drying and baking. (This has well explained the similar pictorial styles on the money trees and on the local pictorial bricks.) See also Li 1985: 51. Then identical moulds are stacked, “with a common casting gate, so that a very large number of castings can be made in a single pour, saving time, labour, metal, fuel, and refractory material (Wagner 2008: 154). 500 mould-stacks were found on the site of a Han foundry in Wenxian 溫縣 in Henan (Beijing 1978). See Wagner 2008: 155, fig.76 for an example of a stack-mould (fig.177).
beside a money tree-like image on the stone coffin in an Eastern Han tomb in Jianyang 簡陽 in Sichuan is often quoted by researchers as the evidence of the symbolic meaning of the three-dimensional bronze money (fig.178).\textsuperscript{460} It seems that the inscription also suggests that the two-dimensional bronze pieces of the money tree were inspired by the appearance of the contemporary coin with two-dimensional inscriptions and square decoration. Indeed, before the popularity of the money tree, individual illustrated two-dimensional bronze sections were rare. One example is a section of bronze tree branch with the illustrations of people and the bi discs, dated to the Western Han, found in a tomb in Yanyuan 鹽源 in Sichuan (fig.179).\textsuperscript{461} He Zhiguo suggests that this two-dimensional section might be the early stage in the development of the shape of the money tree, as both the Yanyuan section and the money tree feature two-dimensional representation of tree branches attached with figures and bi discs.\textsuperscript{462} More importantly, this early section implies that there was a local tradition of making two-dimensional bronze section for decoration, which was possibly developed later through the creation of the money tree as an assemblage of two-dimensional sections.

By and large, the money tree was designed to be economically produced in large numbers and at a reasonable quality. As long as there was an elaborately illustrated

\textsuperscript{460} WW 1991.3b: 20-25, figs.11, 12.
\textsuperscript{461} SCWW 1999.4: 27, fig.12. The Yanyuan bronze tree piece was found in a burial pit dated between the Warring States and Western Han. The height is between 0.16-0.20 metres. It illustrates two horses, three persons and four bi discs on the tree branches.
\textsuperscript{462} He 2006a: 39.
mold for the tree branches, equally good-looking money tree could be mass produced. In fact, some identical money tree branches have been found on different money trees located in separate areas.\textsuperscript{463} Though the two-dimensional image might look less lively, it was technically easy to achieve and was relatively cheaper. And after artfully assembling the two-dimensional modules, a three-dimensional form delivered the viewers a complete sense of volume and visual complexity that is aesthetically pleasing.

Some researchers have noted that there are some similarities between the shapes of the money tree and the contemporary or earlier tree-shaped lamp found in a tomb (fig.180).\textsuperscript{464} A bronze tree-shaped lamp was found in the tomb of the king of the Zhongshan 中山 Kingdom in Pingshan 平山 in Hebei, dated to the late Warring States period.\textsuperscript{465} Both the base and the upper part are made of bronze. Lamp cups are supported by fourteen branches, on which three-dimensional figurines of birds and monkeys perch and play. The whole lamp was assembled from eight individual parts, which can be joined with their built-in tenon-and-mortise work. Susan Erickson further traces the tree-shaped object to the bronze tree found in Sanxingdui 三星堆 in Sichuan, dated to the Shang period (fig.181).\textsuperscript{466} The tree is nearly 4 metres high. It has nine branches, on which perch birds. A serpent-like creature is climbing the trunk.

\textquotedblleft The base was cast in one piece, in a mold divided on the axes of the tripod legs. The

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{463} He 2006a: 123.
\item \textsuperscript{464} Erickson 1994: 27-28 and He 2006a: 255-270.
\item \textsuperscript{465} \textit{Zhongguo meishu quanji} 1986: 37 and pl.104.
\item \textsuperscript{466} Erickson 1994: 28 and Bagley 2001: 116-118.
\end{itemize}
trunk of the tree was made separately and joined to the base by running a separate pour of metal between them. The branches, assembled from about a hundred individually made components, were joined to the trunk in the same way.  

Compared to the tree-shaped lamp and the Sanxingdui tree, the money tree is much simpler in production. In addition, its pottery base saved cost in casting or molding a three-dimensional bronze base, similar to the bases of the tree-shaped lamp and the bronze tree. The use of two different materials - bronze and clay in one burial object is rarely seen in the Han or earlier. Again, this seems to be a local invention in an attempt to save the manufacture cost. Considering that the tombs containing the tree-shaped lamps usually belong to the royal family or high ranking official, it is more reasonable to regard the money tree as an economic invention dedicated to a wide range of people. He Zhiguo suggests that the highest official position of the tomb occupants of the tombs containing the money tree was the prefect. For the remaining ones, some were from powerful families, some were lesser commoners.

Not only the novel way of production and assembling reveals the tomb occupants’

---

468 A bronze tree-shaped lamp with 9 branches is found in tomb no.1 in Guixian 桂縣 in Guangxi. The tomb occupant is identified to be a high ranking official in the Guilin 桂林 Commandery in the Nanyue 南越 Kingdom in early Western Han. See Beijing 1988: 91. Two bronze tree-shaped lamps with 12 branches and 13 branches respectively were found in an Eastern Han tomb at Leitai 雷臺 in Wuwei in Gansu. The tomb occupant is identified to be the governor of Zhangye 張掖. See KGXB 1974.2.
469 He 2006a: 261. He Zhiguo’s main evidence is from the tomb inscriptions recording the title and name of the tomb occupants. Most of the inscriptions on the tombs containing the money tree record the names of the tomb occupants without any official title. According to Han tradition, anyone with an official position, it would have it mentioned in the tomb inscription.
effort to create a new ritual object of their own, but also the content of the illustration on the bronze tree and the pottery base. Growing money seems to be the most significant symbolic meaning of the money tree, as every money tree features large amount of coins on the branches, taking places of the leaves. Many coins have inscriptions of the wuzhu characters, which make them more close to the real money. Michael Nylan argues that the Eastern Han tombs in Sichuan were equipped to represent a well-protected home for the afterlife, based on the real life. And the money tree was made to provide long-term financial support for the family after death.\footnote{Nylan 2003: 377.} An illustration on a money tree base from Yong’an 永安 in Santai can be regarded as self-explaining the meaning of money tree (fig.182).\footnote{He 2006a: 151, fig.7-1.} On the main body of the pottery base, people are harvesting coins which are growing on the tree. In Eastern Han, this kind of blatant pursuit for money was only well depicted in the Sichuan area, which forms a stark contrast with the eastern part of the empire in southern Shandong and northern Jiangsu, where pictorial carvings in the stone tombs and shrines were important means to represent the tomb occupants as role models of Confucian scholars who despise money and fame.\footnote{See Powers 1991: 41-50. Powers suggests that the pictorial carvings in the stone shrines and tombs in Eastern Han Shandong and Jiangsu do not “merely mark rank or inspire awe but actually promotes certain points of view inherent in the classical texts” (Powers 1991: 41-42).} The popularity of money tree among a wide range of people throughout the area can be seen as the legitimatization of this blatant pursuit.
The other images on the base and on the tree surrounding the coins can be regarded as the further effort by the tomb occupants, who endeavoured to legitimatize their pursuit of money within an already well-established belief system. Many money trees follow a similar scheme of decoration, in which the Queen Mother of the West plays a major role. For example, the money tree found at Wanfu 萬福 in Guanghan in Sichuan contains six layers of branches (fig.174). The top layer has two main branches. Each of the five lower layers has four main branches. All the main branches have a Queen Mother of the West in the centre, sitting on her throne flanked by a tiger and a dragon. The money tree from the cliff tomb Hejiashan M2 in Mianyang in Sichuan has a Queen Mother of the West on the apex of the tree, sitting on a similar tiger and dragon throne (fig.172).

It is generally thought that by the end of the first century BC, the Queen Mother of the West had become the main almighty deity in the folk belief system. The following event in the spring of 3 BC recorded in the Han shu has been frequently quoted to prove this point: “In the area east of the passes, the people were exchanging tokens in preparation for the advent of the Queen Mother of the West. They passed through the commanderies and kingdoms, moving west to within the passes and reaching the capital city. In addition, persons were assembling to worship the Queen Mother of the West, sometimes carrying fire to the rooftops by night, beating drums, shouting and

---

473 Bagley 2001: pl.98.
474 Bagley 2001: pl.97.
Based on the studies over the last half century, Elfriede Knauer makes a brief summary of the records on the Queen Mother of the West in Chinese texts. “The Queen Mother of the West can be traced through a period of more than two thousand years in Chinese texts and visual representations. She first appears as a directional deity on the oracle bones in the Shang period. After a long break, she becomes a powerful shaman and teacher of privileged human beings and a mediatrix between the earthly and heavenly realms during the Warring States. She is bestower of happiness, who imparts longevity and immortality to humankind, as well as an omnipotent cosmic deity during the Han and beyond.”

The cult of the Queen Mother of the West developed with local variations, which can be discerned from her appearance on pictorial carvings in the mortuary monument and

475 Han shu: 342. “關東民傳行西王母祠，經歷郡國，西入關至京師。民又會聚祠西王母，或夜持火上屋，擊鼓號呼相驚恐。” Another record says that “the people were in a state of high excitement…running around hither and thither, exchanging tokens…and worshiping the Queen Mother of the West. 民相驚動，謹謹奔走，傳行詔籌祠西王母.” Han shu: 1312. There is a third record says that: “the population were running around in a state of alarm, each person carrying a mankin of straw or hemp. People exchange these emblems with one another, saying that they were carrying out the advent procession. Large numbers of persons, amounting to thousands, met in this way on the roadsides, some with disheveled hair or going barefoot… In the village settlements, the lanes, and paths across the fields they held services and set up gaming boards for a lucky throw, and they sang and danced in worship of the Queen Mother of the West. They also passed around a written message, saying, ‘the Mother tells the people that those who wear this talisman will not die.’…By the autumn these practices had abated. 哀帝建平四年正月，民驚走，持或棷一枚，傳相付與，曰行詔籌，道中相過逢多至千數，或被髮徒跣，或夜折關，或踰牆入，或乘車騎奔馳，以置驛傳行，經歷郡國二十六，至京師，其夏，京師郡國民聚會里巷仟佰，設張博具，歌舞祠西王母，又傳書曰：母告百姓，佩此書者不死，不信我言，視門樞下，當有白髮，至秋止.” Han shu: 1476. The translations are after Loewe 1979: 98-100.

on burial objects. In southern Shandong and northern Jiangsu, the Queen Mother of the West is usually depicted as a lunar deity, paired with the King Father of the East, the solar deity. These two deities together were regarded as the “talisman of cosmic bliss”. In Sichuan, the Queen Mother of the West was the one and the only highest deity in control of the heavenly realm, as elaborately depicted on a tomb brick found at Xinfan 新繁 in Chengdu (fig.183). In the centre of the picture is the Queen Mother of the West sitting on a throne flanked by a dragon and a tiger. On the left of the picture is a figure holding a ge 戈 scepter in his hands. This figure is usually believed to be the Daxingbo 大行伯, who is in charge of guiding the procession for the Queen Mother of the West and can protect the procession of the tomb occupant on his way to the heavenly realm. Next to the Daxingbo is the Sanzuwu 三足烏, a bird with three legs, who is responsible for bringing food to the Queen Mother of the West. Below the bird is an ox-like beast, who stays on the mountain where the Sanzuwu lives. On the right of the picture is a fox with nine tails. It dwells on the mountain of the Queen Mother of the West and symbolizes prosperous offspring. Below the fox is a hare holding a lingzhi 靈芝, a kind of miraculous medicine, who is in charge of making herbal medicine for the Queen Mother of the West. Right below the Queen Mother of the West is a leaping toad, holding a bow in one hand, which corresponds to the Han and earlier folk tale that Chang E 嫦娥, the wife of archer Hou Yi 后羿, flew to the moon after stealing the panacea from the Queen Mother of the West and

---

478 Liu 1983: 132, fig.103.
was transformed into a toad.\textsuperscript{479}

The figures on this pictorial brick cover the basic members in the local cult of the Queen Mother of the West, with minor changes from time to time. These figures are partly incorporated into the iconographic system centring on the Queen Mother of the West on the money tree. For example, a branch of the money tree from Gaocao 高草 in Xichang 西昌 in Sichuan shows a pair of attendants below the throne of the Queen Mother of the West (fig.184).\textsuperscript{480} The attendant on the left is depicted as the hare making herbal medicine for the Queen Mother of the West. The attendant on the right is likely to be the toad, who is holding a square vessel in a hand to receive the elixir streaming out of the ganoderma-like plant. On the money tree branch from Maowen 茂汶 in Sichuan, we see a very similar arrangement of the hare and the toad as attendants of the Queen Mother of the West (fig.185).\textsuperscript{481}

The images that are prevalent in the tomb pictorial carvings, blessing the tomb occupants with a happy life in the heavenly realm, are also incorporated into the iconographic system of the money tree, under the control of the Queen Mother of the West. For example, on the money tree branch from Chengdu, acrobats and dancers are illustrated on the left of the Queen Mother of the West, providing entertainment in the heavenly realm as prevalently depicted on pictorial tomb stones and bricks.

\textsuperscript{479} Liu 1983: 131-134.
\textsuperscript{480} He 2006a: 56-57, figs.2-61, 2-62.
\textsuperscript{481} He 2006a: 228, fig.10-8.
The money tree branch from Mianxian 勉縣 in Shaanxi illustrates the procession of a carriage to the heavenly realm, another popular theme in Han tomb mural painting (fig.187). He Zhiguo further notes that the line drawing style on some thin bronze tree bronzes is very close to that on some pictorial tomb bricks in Eastern Han Sichuan. It is very likely that the clay mold for making money tree branch was borrowed directly from that for making tomb bricks. In this way, the pursuit of money was systematically incorporated into the already well-established belief system centring on the Queen Mother of the West. Consequently, a new distinguished local belief system obtained legitimacy.

It is notable that many Buddha-like figures have been found on the money trees. According to He Zhiguo, most of these Buddha-like figures are located on the tree trunk in a total number of 67 on 21 money trees (fig.188). These figures feature a halo, a knot on top of the head and symbolic postures of hands. They all wear a robe draping from shoulders, with parallel lines depicting drapery. Wu Hung suggests that these representative Buddhist elements lost their original religious meaning. Instead, they were exploited to represent a local belief in immortality and the pursuit of a

---

482 He 2006a: 23, fig.2-2.
483 He 2006a: 63, fig.2-69.
484 He 2006a: 120-121. See also the techniques shared by making molds for pictorial bricks and for metallurgical works in early China (Wagner 2008: 154), mentioned early in the footnote of this chapter.
485 See He 2006a: 199-201 for more information on these tree trunks. Five are in private overseas collections. Three are from the cliff tomb no.5 and no.14 in Zhongxian in Chongqing (WW 1985.7). Two are from the Baihuzui 白虎嘴 cliff tomb no.19 and no.49 in Mianyang (He 2004: 61-62). The rest are from Fengdu 豐都 (He 2002a), Kaixian 開縣 (Luo 2005b), Anxian 安縣 (He 2002b), Mianyang (WW 1991.3a; He 2004: 66), Zitong (He 2006ab), Qingzhen 清鎮 in Guizhou (Luo 2001), Hanzhong in Shaanxi (He 1989), the collection of the China National Museum (Kang 2006).
heavenly realm after death, as many Buddha-like figures are found in the context of a local depiction of the heavenly realm in the tomb.\textsuperscript{486} And due to the unsystematic nature of the indigenous cults, “the themes of Buddhist art were absorbed and used in a piecemeal manner, becoming isolated icons and symbols”.\textsuperscript{487} For example, on a money tree base excavated from a cliff tomb in Pengshan sits a Buddha-like figure, whose location is usually occupied by the Queen Mother of the West on the money tree base (fig.189). It is very likely that to the local people the role of Buddha was equal to that of the Queen Mother of the West. On the lintel of the cliff tomb at Mahao in Leshan sits another Buddha-like figure, which is placed next to a dragon’s head to suggest an entrance to an immortal world as elaborately illustrated in the front chamber (fig.165).\textsuperscript{488} In particular, on the trunks of some money trees, Buddha-like images are replaced by bear-like figures with a halo (fig.190).\textsuperscript{489} The bear-like figure is usually interpreted as the \textit{fangxiangshi}, the exorcist, who often appears in the Han tomb art (fig.68).\textsuperscript{490} The interesting combination of the Buddhist element, the halo and the traditional figure may imply that the Buddha-like figure was understood as an exorcist in some cases. Compared to some occasional discoveries of Buddha-like figure on stone carvings in the tomb and on some pottery burial objects, Buddha-like figures are more frequently found on the money trees. If Buddha-like figures are perceived as borrowing of the representational form from other religion, the money

\textsuperscript{486} Wu 1986: 301-303.
\textsuperscript{487} Wu 1986: 273.
\textsuperscript{488} Wu 1986: 269-270.
\textsuperscript{489} He 2006a: 111, fig.5-9.
\textsuperscript{490} Erickson 2003: 443.
tree exhibits an effort to absorb various representational forms to enrich a newly created ritual object.

The most important representational feature of the money tree as a ritual object is the form of tree. In other words, the meaning of the money tree as a ritual object is embedded in the metaphor of tree, which according to Susan Erickson, symbolized the axis mundi. She also notes that in the *Baihu tong* 白虎通, it mentioned that there should be a tree on the altar of the Gods of the Earth and of the Millet. Thus the people could see it and worship it. This is reminiscent of the possible ritual use of the money tree in the tomb, among the tomb occupants’ family members. The scene is like a tree planted on an altar.

He Zhiguo further points out that the tree form of the money tree symbolizes fertility, the notion of which can be traced back to primitive time when people were still struggling to survive and were thus impressed by the vitality of the tree. He

---

491 Erickson 1994: 27-33. Erickson mentions that in the *Huainan zi* 淮南子, the jianmu 建木 tree is mentioned as a cosmic tree. It grew on the peak of the Kunlun Mountain. The rising peak and the cosmic tree formed a vertical axis, via which one could ascend to the world of immortality. See *Huainan zi*: 432. “昆仑之丘,或上倍之,是谓凉风之山,登之而不死...建木在都广,...盖天地之中也. If one climbs to a height double that of the Kunlun Mountains, (that peak) is called Cool Wind Mountain. If one climbs it, one will not die. …The Jian Tree on Mt. Duguang, …It forms a canopy over the centre of the world.” The translation is after Major 1993: 158. Though the exact meaning of the money tree is yet to be explored, the illustrations on it confirm the notion of “the tree as a pivot to connect the human and the spiritual worlds”. Erickson 1994: 30.


493 He 2006a: 164-166. See also Zhao 1990. Zhao Xi’s study shows that the residents of the Qiang 羌
provides two examples of the copulating scene on the branch and the base of the money tree to relate the money tree to the meaning of fertility. For example, the money tree branch from Hujiabian 胡家扁 in Santai in Sichuan shows two copulating horses (fig.191), and the base of the money tree from Shitang 石塘 in Mianyang in Sichuan illustrates two copulating deer (fig.192). Moreover, he notes that on all Eastern Han pictorial tomb bricks in Sichuan illustrating the copulating scene, a tree is present, implying the close link between tree and fertility (fig.193). In this sense, the placement of the money tree in the centre of the tomb, surrounded by the tomb occupants’ family, could carry the wishes of the continuity of the family through prosperous offspring, just as the cliff tomb structure, which was expected to be in continuous construction for a prosperous family. In addition, it could be imagined that when the cliff tomb was firstly built by the first generation of the family, placing the one and the only money tree for the family into the tomb would be a meaningful act, as it symbolized the initial establishment of the family and heralded the family’s prosperous future. The meaning of that act could be just like the sense delivered by the inscription in the cliff tomb HM3 at Xindu in Chengdu mentioned in the previous chapter: “The ancestor of the Duan family was from the eastern province. After the ancestors came to the west, they moved the household to this place. Since we resided

ethnic group in today’s Sichuan still keep their old tradition of tree worshiping. They regard the fir as the symbol of life and durability. They usually use the branches of the fir or the pine tree as the symbol of the cosmic tree. During the ritual, the wizard holds the tree branch and prays: “people will have their descendants like the growing branches, the number of which increases from several hundred to several ten thousand.”

494 He 2006a: 164, figs.7-22, 7-23.
495 He 2006a: 165. See also Feng 1995 on the interpretation of such scenes illustrated on the Eastern Han pictorial bricks in Sichuan.
in Guanghan, we built tombs here to establish our roots. The situation that the souls were unrest had been changed since then. In the eighth month of the third year of Yongjian, Duan Mengzhong built this dwelling for afterlife. The inscription was carved on the stone door to be shown to the offspring.  

3. Stone Coffin

By contrast with the money tree, which symbolized the collective identity of the multiple tomb occupants in a tomb, the stone coffin was important to the exhibition of individual identity in the tomb (fig.194). Through examining the pictorial schemes on the stone coffins, we will see that though the pictorial carvings in the tomb and important burial objects, such as the money tree, provided the tomb occupants with an overall environment to enter the heavenly world, individual tomb occupant still needed to take the journey to the heavenly world individually as depicted in the pictorial carvings on the stone coffin. In addition, the stone coffin displayed different status, preferences and the experience of the individual tomb occupants. More importantly, the stone coffin is crucial to understanding the relationship between multiple tomb occupants in the tomb and the ways in which the cliff tomb functioned as an integrated entity through its structure, mural painting and burial objects.

Eastern Han stone coffins are mainly distributed in the Sichuan Basin. Many of them are in the cliff tombs. Some are also found in the brick tombs. A small portion of them

496 KG 2007.9: 41.
have pictorial carvings on the surface. A total of 70 pictorial stone coffins have been published with their content in detail.\textsuperscript{497} The rectangular body of the stone coffin is usually sculpted from a whole piece of sandstone, the same stone that the cliff tomb is tunneled into. Some have carvings on the surface to resemble the timber framed wall of the dwelling. The thickness of the surrounding walls is around 10 centimeters. The bottom is thicker, between 15 and 20 centimetres. The lid is separately sculpted, with a thickness between 10 and 15 centimetres.\textsuperscript{498} The shapes of the pictorial and non-pictorial stone coffins are basically the same. Pictorial carvings are usually on the outside of the surrounding walls, sometimes are also on the top and the two ends of the lid. Most of the carvings are in low relief with the details carved in intaglio lines. Sometimes, high reliefs are also used, especially when depicting the lid as the roof of a house (fig.195).

\textsuperscript{497} The discovery and study of stone coffins in Sichuan are closely related to those of the cliff tombs. The earliest record on the stone coffin in Sichuan is in the \textit{Li shi} 148-149: “棲柱作崖棺 (built stone coffin next to the pillar)”, quoted previously on the cliff tomb in Pengshan containing the Zhang Bingong inscription. When the cliff tombs were re-discovered in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century by the Western travelers and scholars, the stone coffins appear in their records on the cliff tombs. See Barber 1882; Bishop 1916; Segalen 1917; Bedford 1937. In the 1930s and 1940s, when more local surveys and excavations of the cliff tombs in Sichuan were made by trained local scholars and archaeologists, the function of the stone coffin was confirmed to be for burial purpose, and the pictorial carvings on the stone coffin became to be partially identified as various historical or mythological stories or figures. Many intact pictorial stone coffins with elaborate carvings were found during the period. For the most famous one, the coffin belonging to Wang Hui 王暉, found in Lushan 蘆山, see Gao 2011: pl.3. Since the 1950s, pictorial stone carvings have been published in the archaeological reports on the cliff tombs or brick tombs in Sichuan in archaeological journals. Some were also collected from the survey of the local relics organized by the local archaeological institutes. Most of the pictorial stone coffins have been collected in the following catalogues: Luo 2002, Gao 1996 and Gao 2011. For a recent comprehensive study of the pictorial stone coffins in Sichuan see Luo 2000.

\textsuperscript{498} Luo 2002: 222.
3.1 Metaphor of Architecture

The themes of pictorial carvings on the stone coffins are mainly from those of the pictorial carvings in the stone or brick chamber tombs and the cliff tombs as discussed in the previous chapter. Though carved only on a small area of the four surrounding walls of the coffin, sometimes also on the coffin lid, the themes involve various auspicious figures and images and many historical or mythological stories, which are organized to form a meaningful pictorial programme within the architectural framework of the stone coffin. Though sometimes the lid of the stone coffin is not carved to imitate a roof, there are many architectural parts or buildings carved on the surrounding walls of the coffin to establish the relationships between different figures and images through an architectural framework. For example, a pair of the que pillars are often carved on one end of the coffin, and pavilions or dwellings for living with many architectural details, including the windows, pillars, eaves, doors and steps, are often illustrated on one of the long side walls of the coffin (fig.197, 198). A possible explanation of this phenomenon is that the pictorial stone coffin was influenced by the pictorial stone chamber tombs. Consequently, the spatiality of the chamber tomb was transplanted to the stone coffin and the pictorial programme in

499 For examples, see Gao 2011: 126, 147, 225, 227.
500 For examples, see Gao 2011: 123, 124, 137, 146, 149, 150, 151.
501 Xin Lixiang suggests that the cardinal directions illustrated on the stone coffins are the same to those carved in the shrine and stone chamber tombs in east China. Xin 2000: 280. The cardinal directions are usually exhibited through the carvings of four auspicious animals, the red bird, the white tiger, the turtle with snake, and the dragon. In addition, Wu Hung suggests that the “positional significance” of the carvings in the Wu Liang shrine as suggested by W. Fairbank can also be found in the pictorial carvings on different sides of the stone coffin. Wu 1987: 14. Fairbank 1941: 35.
the stone chamber tomb was condensed in the pictorial carvings on the stone coffin.\textsuperscript{502} As mentioned in the previous chapter, the pictorial scheme in the stone chamber tomb illustrates the journey of the tomb occupant to the heavenly world.\textsuperscript{503} Similarly, the pictorial stone coffin can be viewed as a micro-stone chamber tomb, the pictorial carvings on which depicted the process for the individual occupant in the coffin to enter the heavenly world.\textsuperscript{504} A stone coffin from a cliff tomb in Xinjin is a good example of this process.\textsuperscript{505} On one of the long sides of the coffin, a carriage procession of the tomb occupants is proceeding to a \textit{que} pillar gate on the left end of

\textsuperscript{502} A similar trend in imitating architecture can also be found on the Roman sarcophagi, which are described by Jas Elsner as “body-sized micro-architecture” (fig.196). The lid of the Roman sarcophagus is often carved to resemble a roof. Elsner 2010: 3. Sometimes figures or scenes are placed in columnar context “to create a semblance of architecture in a physically restricted space”. The reference of these sarcophagi to actual buildings to some extent answered the “emotional needs” of their occupants. It also entails debates on the identities of the patrons of these sarcophagi, who were “seeking an appropriate form of burial” and had interests in “architecture as a symbolic form”. What follows is the question of “what kind of building is envisioned here”: is it a temple, a house or a theatre? As “architectural form helped with distinguishing” and was a form of self-representation. The use of the metaphor of architecture exhibits the deep understanding of the human body, life and death, as shown in an example of the carvings on the Velletri sarcophagus, on the lowest register of which is a “metaphor of architectural support”, illustrating a “vivid image of the human burden in life and death” (Thomas 2010: 407).

\textsuperscript{503} For examples, see the Yi’nan tomb and the Cangshan tomb in Shandong.

\textsuperscript{504} Both Wu Hung and Xin Lixiang suggest that the pictorial stone coffin can be regarded as a micro-cosmos. See Wu 1987 and Xin 2000: 280. Wu Hung uses the example of the stone coffin from a cliff tomb in Xinjin to show the relationship between the carvings on different sides of the coffin. The lid of the coffin is carved with the story of the cowherd (niulang 牛郎) and the weaving maid (zhinü 織女), the celestial association of which determines its position on the coffin. The \textit{que} pillar gates guarded by a rider carved on one end represents the entrance to the heavenly world, and the carriage procession of the tomb occupant carved on one of the long sides is proceeding to the entrance. The other end is carved Fu xi and Nü Wa, suggesting the arrival in the heavenly world. The other long side is illustrated with the scenes of the heavenly world, where the immortals are playing the \textit{liubo} 六博 game.

\textsuperscript{505} Gao 2011: 197-200.
the picture, which may suggest the entrance to the heavenly world (fig.199).\textsuperscript{506} There is a Red Bird (\textit{zhuque} 朱雀) with an animal head appliqué holding ring (\textit{pushou} 铺首) carved on one end, which may represent the beginning of the journey (fig.200), since the \textit{pushou} image often appears on the tomb door to represent the knocker. On the other end of the coffin, there are the god and goddess Fu Xi and Nü Wa, suggesting the successful arrival in the heavenly world (fig.201). On the other long side of the coffin, the tomb occupant couple have completed their journey and have become members of the family ancestors, who are sitting over a low table of drinking set, watching performance, like those depicted in the burial chamber for memorial in the tomb (fig.202).

In some cases, the stone coffin is only carved to exhibit some architectural features without any narrative scenes showing details of the journey to the heavenly world. Instead, the transformation from a newly deceased to the member of the world of immortality is expressed through the metaphor of architecture. For example, a stone coffin found in Chengdu has a lid in the shape of a gabled roof (fig.195). Only two of its surrounding walls carry carvings.\textsuperscript{507} A \textit{que} pillar is carved on one end (fig.203). A façade of a dwelling is carved on one of the long sides (fig.204). A figure is standing in the half-open door in the centre of the façade. On top right is a window with railings carved in open-work. Two birds are playing with an unidentified object. On

\textsuperscript{506} Gao 2011: 198. For the interpretation of the frequently found \textit{que} image on the stone coffins in Sichuan, see Xin 2000: 271-287.

\textsuperscript{507} Chengdu 2010: pls.16 and 17.
the left are a weapon shelf and a lying dog.

The half-open door is crucial to the understanding of the structure of the stone coffin. It appears very often in Han mortuary art, especially on the pictorial stone coffins in Sichuan. On the stone coffin from Hejiang 合江, a half-open door with a figure inside is carved similarly in the middle of the long side of the coffin which illustrates the façade of a dwelling (fig.205).\(^{508}\) On the stone coffin of Wang Hui 王暉 in Lushan 蘆山, a winged immortal is looking outward from the half-open door carved on one end of the coffin (fig.194, 206).\(^{509}\) According to the locations of the half-open door on the stone coffin, it possibly plays a role similar to that of the que pillar gate, which represents the entrance to the heavenly world.\(^{510}\) While the half-open state emphasizes the situation of a newly deceased who has not yet completed his journey to the heavenly world. In this sense, the half-open door is an architectural metaphor for a journey to the heavenly world, which sometimes is illustrated as the carriage procession on the stone coffin.\(^{511}\)

\(^{508}\) Gao 2011: 345.
\(^{509}\) Gao 2011: pl.3.
\(^{510}\) For example, see the stone coffin found the cliff tomb no.2 at Hongying 紅纓 in Neijiang 內江. The coffin lid is in the shaped of a gabled roof. The body of the coffin is consisted of thirteen pieces of sandstone panels, with one end of the coffin in the shape of a pair of door panels which can be opened or closed, which is reminiscent of the que pillar gates that usually appear at the same location suggesting the entrance to the heavenly world. Luo 2002: 227.

\(^{511}\) The half-open door image in mortuary art of the Han and later periods has been extensively studied. It has been generally agreed that the meaning of this image varies, depending on its context. For the summary of the half-open door image in Han burials, see Goldin 2001. For a brief literature review of the studies on the half-open door image in mortuary art in China, see Deng 2011: 181. The half-open door image also appears in the previously mentioned stone chamber tomb in Cangshan in Shandong. On the east wall of the main burial chamber, a carriage is driving toward the half-open door. The figure standing inside the door seems to be prepared to receive the visitor. Wu Hung suggests that the
Some coffins cut into the cliff in the cliff tomb also only exhibit architectural details in a similar way to the above mentioned stone coffin. They look like a small house-shaped tomb within a large tomb resembling dwelling. For example, the built-in coffin in the tomb Tiantaishan 天臺山 M1 in Santai is tunneled into the rear wall of the front side chamber of the tomb, right behind the chamber’s entrance, which is framed by a pair of columns with bracket sets (fig.207). The façade of the coffin is depicted as the front of a house, with a row of eaves on top and the window and door below. The window is carved with parallel horizontal railings, and a dog is lying by the side of the door. At the bottom of the façade are two toad-like creatures carrying the whole house-shaped coffin on their backs. If the whole cliff tomb is viewed as a courtyard dwelling for a large family, this stone coffin is the bedchamber for an individual household or a person in one of the courtyards. In fact, such built-in stone coffin in the cliff tomb has textual record like the “cliff tomb inscription of Zhang Bingong” mentioned in the previous chapter. As recorded in the inscription, a built-in stone chamber next to the column in the tomb was specifically built for Zhang Yuanyi’s father and younger brother. The built-in stone coffin like the one in Tiantaishan M1 is very prevalent in Santai and Zhongjiang.

half-open door here represents the great boundary between this life and the afterlife. Wu 1994: 100-103. This interpretation again relates the pictorial scheme of the stone chamber tomb to that of the stone coffin and the illustration of the architectural part is crucial to understand their relationship.

512 Beijing 2007: pl.83.
513 Li shì: 148-149.
514 For examples of the built-in coffins in Santai see Beijing 2007: pls. 83, 310 and 313. See Beijing 2008: pls. 5, 23 and 31 for examples in Zhongjiang.
By and large, the pictorial stone coffin represented a condensed understanding of the heavenly realm and ways to access to it. First, it continued to adopt the notion that stone was related to immortality, which was also embedded in the cliff tomb architecture. Second, it presented the prosperous afterlife and the heavenly realm in the framework of architecture, as in the cliff tomb architecture, which emulated the dwelling for the living. Third, it used an architectural metaphor to pave the way for its occupant to achieve a place in the heavenly realm. Lillian Tseng suggests that “to make the invisible visible, both artisans and viewers relied on tacit knowledge for coding and decoding”. This process of coding and decoding and the content of the knowledge on the heavenly realm were well represented on the pictorial stone coffin.

3.2 Hierarchy and Distinction

The above observation entails the following questions. Why did the pictorial stone coffin imitate the tomb structure with architectural details? If the pictorial stone coffin was influenced by the pictorial stone chamber tomb, why did the pictorial stone coffin only become popular in Sichuan instead of in east China where the pictorial stone chamber tomb was prevalent?

A closer examination of the context where the stone coffin was found may provide some clues to this question. In the cliff tomb Tianhuishan M3 in Chengdu, 14 coffins

---

made of different materials were found distributed in seven burial chambers (fig.33). 11 are made of clay, 2 are made of stone and 1 is built by bricks. One of the two stone coffins is built directly on the stone platform carved out of the wall of a northern side chamber in the cliff tomb, without any pictorial decoration on the surface. The other one stone coffin is carved out of a whole piece of sandstone with a lid carved into the shape of gabled roof. The pictures on the surrounding walls of the coffin also partly depict the coffin as a house. On one side, an elevation of a house is depicted, with a scene of a kitchen and a scene of receiving guests in the living room (fig.208). In the kitchen, a fish is hung from the rafter and a dog is tied. The opposite side illustrates the Fu Xi and Nü Wa holding the sun and the moon, accompanying by the Zhuque bird and a big fish (fig.209). Two unidentified figures stand on the right part of the picture. One end of the body of the coffin depicts a pair of the que pillar gates, implying the entrance to the heavenly realm. The other end is an unfinished sketch of a house. Compared with the rest of the simple clay and brick coffins without any decoration, this house-shaped stone coffin is both labour and time consuming and aesthetically pleasing. The most extraordinary burial object in the tomb, a gilded iron book knife is found inside this coffin (fig.210), which further distinguishes the occupant of this coffin from the rest of the occupants in those lesser coffins.

516 KGXB 1958.1: 93-95. Only a pile of bricks were found on the location where the brick coffin was supposed to be.
517 KGXB 1958.1: 101. The inscription on the knife: “光和七年（the seventh year of Guanghe）廣漢工官□□□服者尊長保子孫宜侯王□宜□” dates the knife to AD 184 and confirms it as a product of the imperial workshop in Chengdu. In the Han, such gilded book knife was called the jinma shudao 金馬書刀 (gold horse book knife), was used to scrape off the incorrect characters on the bamboo slip. Usually, it was always brought along by the literati of the time. See Sun 1991: 280.
Seemingly, the use of a stone coffin was crucial to establishing a hierarchy in the relationship between the large number of tomb occupants in one tomb. Even those tomb occupants who were from the same family or closely related in some way had their positions presented by their material representation.

Similar hierarchical order constructed by coffins of different materials and quality can be observed in many other cliff tombs containing several tomb occupants. In the cliff tomb HM3 in Chengdu, three coffins are found (fig.211). The only pictorial stone coffin is located in one of the side chambers, next to a pottery coffin which is already damaged (fig.212). The other two coffins are made of clay, located at the side of the main chamber. This tomb has been mentioned previously, as it is the one found with the “inscription of Duan Mengzhong”. The author of the archaeological report attributes the pictorial stone coffin to Duan Mengzhong, since he had the highest status in the tomb. The two clay coffins may have belonged to Duan Mengzhong’s offspring, as what he wished in his inscription: “以示子孫 (to be shown to the offspring).” The styles of the pottery burial objects and the date in the inscription (AD 138) reveal that the tomb may have been in use from early to late Eastern Han, more than half a century, which means that the founder Duan Mengzhong’s wish for a prosperous family was to some extent realised. Duan Mengzhong’s stone coffin also adopts the architectural metaphor, though the coffin lid was simplified as an arched

518 KG 2007.9: 40-49.
519 KG 2007.9: 47.
520 KG 2007.9: 47.
roof instead of a more complicated gabled roof. One side of the body of the coffin is also illustrated with an elevation of a dwelling. From left to right, are a pavilion in which tied a dog, an armory, a hall in which a ding vessel is flanked by two cranes, and a half-open door. The opposite side is carved with the Queen Mother of the West in the centre, sitting on her tiger and dragon throne, surrounded by her retinue. One end of the coffin is routinely carved with the que pillar gates. A plant, identified to be ganoderma is carved on the other end.

The function of the stone coffin to distinguish its occupant is further revealed by the inscription of “金棺 (gold coffin)” on the rim of the opening of a stone coffin in a brick tomb at Qingbai 青白 in Xindu 新都 in Sichuan. The value of the material of the coffin, stone, was compared to that of gold. Consequently, the status of the occupant was exhibited through his “gold coffin”. Sometimes, the pictorial stone coffins also distinguish themselves from the other non-pictorial stone coffins. For example, in a cliff tomb at Guitoushan 鬼頭山 in Jianyang, all the six coffins are found made of stone, four of which have pictorial carvings (fig.213). According to the author of the archaeological report, the tomb occupants were members of a large family who were interred at different times. Coffin1 to 3, located side by side at the end of the tomb, were interred firstly, followed by coffins 4 to 6 that are located at the side niches of the tomb. The earliest coffin 1 to 3, especially coffin 2 and 3 may

---

521 Luo 2002: 247. The complete inscription reads “永元八年四月廿日造此金棺. This gold coffin was made on the twentieth day of the fourth month in the eighth year of Yongyuan (AD 96)”.


belong to the founders of the tomb, whose status may have been as high as the previously mentioned tomb founder Duan Mengzhong, who owned the most distinguished coffin, as coffin 2 and 3 exhibit the most elaborate and similar pictorial carvings. They both feature pictures accompanied by labels, which are extremely valuable for interpreting the various images appearing in the Han tomb art (fig.214, 178).

Although pictorial stone coffin represented the special status of its occupant, the choice of making pictures on a single coffin instead of in the whole tomb still reflected the relatively tight budget of the family. As discussed in the previous chapter, few cliff tombs are extensively decorated and in the few cliff tombs with decoration, the pictorial carvings only appear in the most crucial part. Instead, the small area of decoration on individual stone coffins is more economical and feasible.

It was probably out of an economic consideration that the depiction of important images related to immortality and heavenly realm were concentrated on the stone coffin of the most important member in the family. Later generations also had the chance to make their own pictorial coffins as long as they could afford it, just like the pictorial coffins 4 and 5 of the later generation in the previous mentioned cliff tomb at Guitoushan. To make a pictorial stone coffin or not was probably a personal choice. If one could not afford to make the whole tomb elaborately decorated, he could at least

---

do something to his own coffin. In many cases, after the first generation built the
tomb, what the later generation needed to do was only to build their own coffins. The
picture on the stone coffin was thus both dedicated to the whole family and to the
important individual.

3.3 Display of Individuality

Like the Han tomb pictorial carvings, the carvings on the pictorial stone coffins also
have a set of existing motifs from which the artisans or the patrons could choose.525
The previously mentioned architectural features are among these existing pictorial
motifs. There were also historical and mythological stories from these existing
pictorial motifs for the patrons to choose to display their individuality among the
other family members interred in the cliff tomb. According to the biography of Zhao
Qi 趙岐 in the Hou Han shu, he designed his own tomb when he was alive. Inside the
tomb, he painted three wise ministers and a gentleman, Zi Chan 子產, Yan Ying 晏
嬰, Shu Xiang 叔向 and Ji Zha 季札, “as guests flanking his own portrait in the
position of the host”.526 All these figures are Confucian paragons from previous
dynasties. Li Daoyuan (AD 472-527), who visited Zhao Qi’s tomb in the sixth century,
concluded that Zhao Qi “expressed the values he had always admired” through
designing the tomb mural.527 Wu Hung suggests that the figures that Zhao Qi chose

525 Xing Yitian uses the term “格套 getao (standard motif)” to describe the individual motifs from the
assemblage of the frequently used motifs in Han mortuary art. Xing 2011. Wu Hung also notes the
526 Hou Han shu: 2124. “先自為壽藏,圖季札,子產,晏嬰,叔向四像居賓位,又自畫其像居主位,皆為
讚頌.” The translation is after Wu 2010: 183.
to use in his tomb were neither filial sons nor hermits, which “constituted two lasting traditions” in the mortuary art. Therefore he suggests that the “unconventional” figures chosen by Zhao Qi were the outcome of Zhao Qi’s specific choice, related to his life experience. If we examine Zhao Qi’s biography, we will see that he was forced to hide from political persecution in AD 158 and was later helped by a righteous man, Sun Song 孫嵩, who provided Zhao Qi with a place in which to hide until the end of persecution. Zhao Qi finally regained his name as a respectable Confucius scholar. Wu Hung suggests that one of the figures painted in Zhao Qi’s tomb is well-known for his devotion toward a deceased friend and therefore could be dedicated to Sun Song by Zhao Qi to show his gratitude. The other three figures of the famous politicians from the past could allude to his political aspirations.528

Unfortunately, as Wu Hung points out that among the existing Han tombs, no pictorial carvings can be “definitely identified as the outcome of the patron’s specific intention”, due to the lack of textual record of the tomb occupant’s biography.529 However, the potential personal preference can be identified through the choice of different historical stories on different pictorial stone coffins. And there is indeed a stark contrast between the pictorial carvings in the burial chambers of the cliff tombs, which are primarily the stories of the filial sons, and the stories carved on the stone coffins, which involve many other virtues, not only filial piety.

528 Wu 2010: 183.
529 Wu 2010: 183.
So far, 10 stories with different themes have been identified on the Eastern Han pictorial stone coffins in Sichuan. The stone coffin no.11 from Luzhou, the stone coffin no.5, no.7 and no.11 from Changning are carved with the story on the First Emperor who obtained the ding 鼎 vessel from the Si 滁 River (fig.215). A built-in stone coffin from a cliff tomb in Xinjin contains the carvings of two stories (fig.216). One is on the meeting of the Confucius with Laozi. The other one is about an eminent woman who attended her widowed mother-in-law well, but was wrongly put into prison. The stone coffin no.1 and no.13 from a cliff tomb in Xinjin are both carved with the story of the eminent woman, Gaoxing 高行 (fig.217). A stone coffin from Shehong 射洪 is carved with two stories: a story on Qiu Hu 秋胡 who did not recognize his wife and attempted to flirt with her; and a story on Ji Zha who kept his promise to his dead friend (fig.218). The stone coffin no.5 from a cliff tomb in Xinjin contains the carving on Emperor Wu of the Western Han who sent an exorcist (fangshi) to visit and make sacrifice to the famous mountain (fig.219). On the stone coffin no.8 and no.14 from a cliff tomb in Xinjin, there are scenes depicting Emperor Wu conferring a title on the alchemist Luan Da 欒大 (fig.220). The story of the filial son Dong Yong 董永 is found carved on the stone coffin no.22

---

531 Gao 2011: 412-413.
534 Gao 2011: 166.
from a tomb in Hejiang.\textsuperscript{536} The popularity of the various stories on the pictorial stone coffins in Sichuan form a stark contrast to both the cliff tomb stone carvings of the filial sons and the popularity of the illustration of the stories of the 24 filial sons on stone coffins of the later periods in Luoyang in Henan.\textsuperscript{537}

So far, there has been no discussion on this diverse choice of historical stories on the Eastern Han stone coffins in Sichuan. An examination of the content, the illustration and the location of these stories on the stone coffins may offer some insight. Among the ten stories, there are three stories on eminent women, on Gaoxing, the wife of Qiu Hu and the devoted daughter-in-law. These stories have not been found in the pictorial carvings in the burial chamber of the cliff tombs, but two of them appear among the eight stories on eminent women exhibiting standard Confucius virtues carved in the Wu Liang shrine. The stories on Gaoxing and the wife of Qiu Hu on the stone coffins in Sichuan are identified through the inscriptions in the Wu Liang shrine (fig.221, 222). According to the \textit{Lienü zhuan} 列女傳 written by Liu Xiang 劉向 in the first century BC, Gaoxing was a widow in the Liang state. She was well-known for her beauty and was pursued by many noblemen even the king of Liang. However, she refused to remarry. She explained to the king of Liang that she had to take care of her children and be faithful to her dead husband. In order to show the determination, she

\textsuperscript{536} Gao 2011: 354-355.

\textsuperscript{537} Some of the stories of the filial sons had already become standard motifs in the Eastern Han mortuary structures. 13 stories of the filial sons have been illustrated in the Wu Liang shrine. For detail, see Wu 1989: 272-304. A standard version of the stories of the 24 filial sons formed both in textual record and in illustration since the Northern Wei (AD 386-557).
cut off her nose with a knife.538

The story on the wife of Qiu Hu is also recorded in the *Lienü zhuan*.539 Qiu Hu had left his wife to fill an office in another state. When he returned home after five years, he did not recognize his wife, who was picking mulberries by the roadside. He flirted with his wife and was rejected. His wife then scolded him because he did not fulfill his responsibility to his family, as a son and as a husband. Finally, his wife drowned herself in the river.

Compared to the other six stories on the eminent women carved in the Wu Liang shrine,540 the stories on Gaoxing and the wife of Qiu Hu not only praise the virtue of these two women, but also emphasize their roles as wife and mother in the family. Gaoxing emphasized her responsibility to her children. The wife of Qiu Hu further pointed out the responsibility of a man as husband and father in the family. The illustration of these stories on the stone coffins may reflect the patron’s admiration of the responsibilities to the family emphasized in the stories. In addition, the pictorial carvings on the coffin as a public display to the future mourners from the family into the tomb would remind the offspring to be dedicated to the continuous prosperity of the family. As mentioned previously in this chapter, the decorated stone coffin in a cliff tomb usually belonged to the most important member of the family, especially

538 *Lienü zhuan*: 58. For full text and translation see Appendix 1.
539 *Lienü zhuan*: 68. For full text and translation see Appendix 1.
540 For detail of these stories, see Wu 1989: 252-272.
the founder of the tomb. Therefore, it is natural for the patron of the most elaborate coffin to emphasize the duties to the family through pictorial carvings on the coffin.

One example of the story on the wife of Qiu Hu is carved on the right of one of the long surrounding walls of the stone coffin in a cliff tomb in Xinjin (fig.223). In the picture, Qiu Hu’s wife is picking mulberries from a tree. Her basket is on the ground. Qiu Hu is standing next to her, with a sword in the waist, seemingly to suggest his official position. The other four figures with sword on their waists on the same wall have not been identified. The whole pictorial scheme of the coffin provides the micro-cosmos and visual journey for the patron to get to the heavenly world, similar to the one also found in Xinjin described previously in this section. The two ends are carved with images of immortals. The paired deer and the auspicious beast, the bixie辟邪, which are often illustrated together at the tomb entrance as tomb appeasing beasts, are carved on one side to suggest the beginning of the journey to the heavenly world. The winged spirits, yumin羽民, are carved on the other side, to show the arrival of the heavenly world. On the side opposite the story of the wife of Qiu Hu, the carriage of the tomb occupant is driving toward the heavenly world (fig.224). Compared to the other coffin from Xinjin mentioned earlier, the only difference is that the scene of the heavenly world on the side opposite the carriage scene is replaced by


542 For the record on the yumin, see Shanhai jing: 183. “羽民國在其東南,其為人長頭,身生羽.一曰,在比翼鳥東南,其為人長頰. The kingdom of the yumin is in the southeast. They have head of human and feathers on the body. There is a saying that the paired birds are in the southeast, with faces of human.”
this story. The patron might think that the heavenly world is already depicted on one end, showing the images of the winged immortals, and hence he could have more personal expressions illustrated on the coffin.

The story of Gaoxing on the coffin from a cliff tomb at Dengshuang 鄧雙 in Xinjin is depicted within the same pictorial scheme (fig.217). 543 Unfortunately no information on the images on the two ends is available. It is possible those pictures have suffered severe erosion or do not exist. The story of Gaoxing is illustrated on the right side of a wall. There are two figures under a tree. The seated figure on the right is holding a mirror in one hand and a knife in the other hand, trying to cut off the nose. The standing figure on the left holding a scepter is the envoy sent by the king of Liang asking for marriage. There seems to be a story with three figures carved on the left side, which have not been identified. The story is carved opposite the side with the carriage scene, in which the tomb occupant is in the carriage driving to the entrance to the heavenly world carved on the right side.

It seems that several stories often appear together on the coffin, though only a few have been recognized. These stories very likely allude to the patron’s virtues or the virtues he admired. On the coffin from Shehong, the story of the wife of Qiu Hu is illustrated together with the story of Ji Zha, which was also painted by Zhao Qi in his own tomb as a Confucian paragon, as mentioned earlier (fig.218). The story of Qiu

---

Hu with the horse and his wife picking mulberries is carved on the left side of the scene. Jizha is the figure on the right side, bowing to a tree with his sword hanging on the trunk. His story is recorded in the *Shi ji*: “When Ji zha was on his way to the north as an envoy, he met the ruler of the Xu state, who admired Ji Zha’s sword but did not dare to tell Ji Zha. Ji Zha knew this, however he did not give out his sword for he still had the mission. When he came back to the Xu state, the ruler of Xu was already dead. Ji Zha took off his sword and hung it on the tree at the tomb of the ruler of Xu. Ji Zha’s attendant asked: ‘The ruler of Xu is already dead. Whom was this for?’ Ji Zha said: ‘I have decided to give this sword to him long time ago. The fact that he is already dead would not change my mind.’”

Ji Zha had long been remembered for his commitment to his friend, which was probably admired by both Zhao Qi, who used be helped by such a friend as mentioned earlier, and the patron of the coffin with the illustration of the story.

A built-in coffin in a cliff tomb in Xinjin exhibits the carvings on the coffin could to some extent emulate those in the shrines, such as the Wu Liang shrine (fig.216). The pictorial coffin thus became a medium for the important family ancestor to present his historical perspective to the offspring. On the front side of the coffin, the figures of Laozi, Confucius, Zengzi 曾子, Cangjie 倉頡, Shennong 神農, the young gentleman of Langmai 郎麥 and the governor of Donghai 東海 are carved

---

544 *Shi ji*: 1459. “季札之初使, 北過徐君. 徐君好季札劍, 口弗敢言. 季札心知之, 為使上國, 未獻. 達至徐. 徐君已死, 於是乃解其寶劍, 繫之徐君樹而去. 從者曰: ‘徐君已死, 尚誰予乎?’ 季子曰: ‘不然, 始吾心已許之, 豈以死倍吾心哉!’ ”

545 Gao 2011: 412-413.
sequentially from left to right. Each figure has an inscription above to be identified. These figures constitute three main themes in the human history as carved on the main wall of the Wu Liang shrine: the kings, the sages, and the paragons of Confucius virtue.

In the middle of the scene on the coffin are Shennong and Cangjie, who were arranged among the ancient kings in the Wu Liang shrine to represent the most important figures in human history. Shennong was long regarded as the inventor of medicine and agricultural products. In the scene, he is trying a wild plant for the purpose of medicine test. Cangjie was believed to be the inventor of the characters. In the scene, he is sitting on the ground, next to Shennong.

The three figures on the left illustrate the story between two sages, Laozi and Confucius. According to the *Shi ji*, Confucius used to go to the Zhou capital Luoyang with his students to visit Laozi. During the time, Laozi was a high ranking official. Confucius showed great respect to Laozi, which was vividly depicted on the coffin, where Confucius with his student Zengzi at the side is bowing to Laozi, who appeared as a more senior figure in the scene. In the end of the meeting, Laozi said that “I have heard that the rich and great offer farewell gifts of money while the good offer advice.

546 From left to right, the inscriptions are “老子 (Laozi)”, “孔子 (Confucius)”, “曾子 (Zengzi)”, “倉頡 (Cangjie)”, “神農 (Shennong)”, “郎麥少君 (the young gentleman of Langmai)” “東海太守 (the grand administrator of Donghai)”. The inscription “郎麥少君” has been destroyed.

547 For detail on how these themes are elaborated within a historical framework through carvings on the wall in the Wu Liang shrine, see the previous chapter and Wu 1989: 142-217.
I am neither rich nor great but, unworthy as I am, have been called good; so let me offer you a few words of advice.” “Then he said, ‘a shrewd observer, prone to criticize others, riskes his own life. A learned man who exposes the faults of others endangers himself. A filial son must never thrust himself forward, and neither may a good subject.”

Wu Hung suggests that the illustration of the story on Confucius and Laozi here emphasizes the higher status of Laozi as recorded in the Shi ji. However, in contemporary east China, where Confucianism was the dominant school of thinking, the depiction of the same story on the stone emphasizes the respect of Laozi to Confucius, in which Laozi walked to the outside to receive Confucius in person (fig.225). Wu Hung attributes this difference in illustrations to the prevalence of Daoism in Sichuan during the time, since Laozi was the sage in Daoism.

The two figures on the right side illustrate the story of an eminent woman in Donghai. Although this woman is not among the eight eminent women depicted in the Wu Liang shrine, she is described in the Lienü zhuan, which is a collection of the main stories of the eminent women of the time, and the Han shu. The woman in

---


549 For the scene, see the carving in the Wu Liang shrine. Wu 1989: 43, fig.25.


551 Han shu: 3041-3042.
Donghai became a widow at a young age and then lost her son. But she took care of her widowed mother-in-law devotedly. In order to reduce her burden, the mother-in-law committed suicide. The woman was accused to be the murderer and was executed. The governor of Donghai finally realized he made a serious mistake and made apology to this filial woman at her tomb.

To some extent, the pictures on this built-in coffin can be regarded as a condensed version of the human history depicted in the Wu Liang shrine. The patron could select stories according to his personal life experience, belief and preference to fit into the three main themes to exhibit his own historical perspective to his offspring.

4. Conclusion

Huang Xiaofen suggests that the set of pottery models of the stove, the well, the granary and the toilet constituted the most important part of the Han burial objects, which continued to provide the tomb occupants with the necessities in their afterlife. Many similar cases have been found in the cliff tombs in Sichuan. However, in the cliff tombs, many of these necessities, especially the stove and the granary were already carved into the cliff as parts of the tomb architecture. This brings up the question of whether the burial objects and the built-in facilities as parts of the tomb structure can substitute each other.

An examination of the burial objects of the stove and the stoves carved in the cliff tombs show that the burial objects and the tomb structure have separate functions. The stoves carved in the cliff tombs, as discussed in Chapter 2, establish an overall institutional relation within the framework of tomb structure, while the burial objects of stoves represent individual needs embedded in this relation. To some extent, the tomb structure exhibits the collective identity of the tomb occupants.

However, some burial objects, such as the money tree, which symbolizes the collective identity, function in a way similar to the tomb structure. The general relationship between the burial objects and the tomb structure is a dialogue between individual identity and collective identity, which together contribute to the representation and function of an organized community in the cliff tomb.
Chapter 5 Conclusion

In his book *Archaeology and Colonialism*, Chris Gosden mentions a small flask made in Corinth around 650 BC (fig.226).\(^{553}\) It was used for holding perfumed oil. It is decorated with curvilinear animal and human figures and motifs. The shape of the flask can be traced back to Cyprus in the 9\(^{th}\) century BC. Its cable ornament and palmette decoration go back to Egyptian and Oriental stonework and metalwork, which makes the flask a part of the “Orientalising” trend in Greek material culture. In addition, its decoration techniques also exhibit the “Orientalising” trend. The black paint and the outline are achieved through incision. However, these techniques were originally used on metalwork. But now they are applied to pottery in a new manner. In this sense, he points out that “a perfume flask does not exist on its own, but is held in a complex set of connections to earlier items, some of which were made in metal not pottery, and through links to many other contemporary items of metal, stone and pottery”.\(^{554}\)

The studies on the characteristics of the cliff tomb also show that the cliff tomb was held in a complex set of connections to the development of the burial forms, and existed through links to many other contemporary burial forms, the brick chamber tomb, the stone chamber tomb, and the princely rock-cut tomb. These connections and links formed to a large extent through the incorporation of the Sichuan area into the

\(^{553}\) Gosden 2004: 153-155.  
\(^{554}\) Gosden 2004: 154.
empire which began in the fourth century BC. It was in this context, a series of factors contributed to the formation and popularity of the cliff tombs in Sichuan. The hilly topography and the soft sandstone, easy to cut, provided the natural condition for the development of the cliff tombs. The decision to make use of this natural condition was affected by many factors rooted in the social background, which are also the main focus of the analysis of the characteristics of the cliff tomb in this thesis.

An important characteristic of the cliff tomb is that it conformed to the imperial order of the empire that was reflected from the cultural aspect, namely the burial culture. It followed the contemporary trend in tomb construction to use stone as the building material. Though the form of the burial cave was not extensively used all over the empire, the use of the large scale rock-cut tomb by the imperial members in east China in some earlier period provided a model for the cliff tomb as a burial form. The cliff tomb was also used in the ways similar to that in the brick or stone chamber tombs in funeral and sacrifice, with similar perspectives on death and the afterlife, as can be viewed from the similar themes in the pictorial carvings and the way that certain sets of burial objects were used. More importantly, the cliff tomb, like other contemporary burial forms, was exploited for public display, which might bring along positive contributions to the tomb occupant’s family.

The major differences between the cliff tomb and other burial forms are rooted in their different tomb structures. The inherent nature of the cliff tomb structure was
fully explored, which was then followed by a series of corresponding innovations on the pictorial carvings and the burial objects. In this sense, there is a consistent preference of the tomb occupants underlying the design of the cliff tomb structure, pictorial carvings and burial objects. The economical aspect of the cliff tomb structure was exploited by the local people. Since this structure required relatively low investment and could be used for many generations, which met the demands of the group of people, who were not very wealthy but wanted to reinforce the cohesion of their family through tomb construction. Some of the cliff tombs mentioned in the thesis may have been related to some local powerful families. But the quality of the tomb architecture, burial objects and pictorial carvings shows that the tomb occupants were more likely to be from some middle income families, who wanted their family to become powerful. Some of these tomb occupants had immigrant background, which was closely related to the role of Sichuan as a designated area for migration and exile during its incorporation into the empire. These tomb occupants usually had ancestors from the really powerful families in the Central Plain, for example, the family of Jing Zi’an mentioned in Chapter 3.

In addition to the economic aspect, the meaning of a continuous family embedded in the cliff tomb structure was explored, as the construction of the tomb was the result of the continuous endeavours from many generations of the family, and the physical form of the cliff tomb was a metaphor for a prosperous family. Following this intention of the tomb occupants underlying the design of the cliff tomb structure, the
pictorial carvings and the burial objects in the cliff tomb made adaptations to make the cliff tomb an embodiment of relations between different family members and different generations.
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Fig.33 Plan of the cliff tomb Tianhuishan no. 3 in Chengdu. After KGXB 1958.1: fig.3.
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Fig.34 Categories of cliff tomb structures. After Luo 1988: fig.5.
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Fig.35 Façade of the tomb of Darius I in Persepolis. After Schmitt 1970: 80.
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Fig. 36 Illustration of the façade of the cliff tomb Lijiagou M355 in Pengshan. After Chen 2003a: fig. 4.
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Fig. 37 A view of a passage in the tomb of Kenamun in Thebes. After Hawass and Vannini 2009: 73.
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Fig. 38 Tomb of Amyntas in Turkey. After Bean 1989: pl. 2.
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<th>Fig. 39</th>
<th>San Giuliano, Necropoli de Caiolo in Italy. After Steingräber 2009: fig.2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 40</td>
<td>Façade of the Renaissance Tomb in Petra. After Ossorio 2009: pl.251.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 41</td>
<td>Plan of TT79 and 87 in Thebes. After Dorman 2003: fig.8.</td>
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</table>
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 42 Façade of a cliff tomb in Leshan in Sichuan. After Bishop 1916: fig. 146.

Fig. 43 The Qigedong cliff tombs in Changning in Sichuan. Photo by the author in 2011.
Fig. 44 Planed cliff tombs at Qijiang in Santai. Photo by the author in 2011.
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Fig. 45 Plan of the site containing the Taliangzi cliff tombs M1-M6 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: fig. 3.
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Fig. 46. Plan of the Taliangzi M4 in Zhongjiang in Sichuan. After Beijing 2008: fig. 32.

Fig. 47. Plan of the Taliangzi M5 in Zhongjiang in Sichuan. After Beijing 2008: fig. 34.
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Fig.48 Illustration of an Ancient Egyptian workman using a mallet and a spike breaking stone. After Biebrier 1982: fig.28.
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Fig.49 Servants of the Thunder God wilding sledgehammers and iron chisels (Eastern Han mortuary stone engraving from Shandong). After Barbieri-Low 2007: fig.3.9b.
Fig. 50 Chisels and hammerhead from the stone-dressing site near the First Emperor’s necropolis at Lintong in Shaanxi, ca. 209 BC. After Barbieri-low 2007: fig. 3.8.

Fig. 51 Plan of the Mahao I M1 in Leshan. After KG 1990.2: fig. 1.
Fig.52 The *que* pillars of Gao Yi in Ya’an in Sichuan. After Beijing 1992a: fig.89.

Fig.53 Plan of the Bailinpo M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: fig.179.
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Fig.54 Plan of the Shiziwan no.1 in Leshan. After Tang 1997: fig.2.
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Fig.55 Entrance of the Shiziwan M1 in Leshan. After Tang 1997: fig.3.
Fig. 56 The front hall of the Shiziwan M1 in Leshan. After Tang 1997: fig.6.

Fig. 57 Plan of the tomb Dongzipai M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: fig.281.
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Fig.58 Bottom view of the Bailinpo M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: fig.180.
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Fig. 59 The left side chamber of the front chamber of the tomb Dongzipai M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.311.
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Fig. 60 A built-in coffin in the tomb Dongzipai M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.310.
Fig. 61 Plan of the Dayunao tomb no.76 in Qingshen in Sichuan. After Tang 1993: fig.5.

Fig. 62 Plan of the site of the cliff tombs M1, M2, M4 and M5 (from left to right) at Tongbankou in Xishui in Guizhou. After SCWW 1986.1: fig.1.

Fig. 63 Plan of the Mahao II M99 in Leshan. After Tang 1993: fig.6.
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Fig.64 Structure of the traditional Chinese wooden architecture. After Liang 1984: fig.2.
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Fig.65 The rear pillar in the Huijawan M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.290.
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Fig.66 A niche with a pillar and a bracket set in the Jinzhongshan II M4 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.66.
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Fig.67 Illustration of the entrance of the Douyafanggou M166 in Pengshan. After Chen 2003a: fig.16.
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Fig. 68 A pillar with a squatting bear in the tomb Bailinpo M5 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pls. 259, 260.
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Fig. 69 A pillar with the bow-shaped icon and other auspicious images in the Zhai'izishan M530 in Pengshan. After Chen 2003a: fig. 18.
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Fig. 70 Panoramic view of the Yi’nan tomb in Shandong. After Beijing 1956: fig. 3.
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Fig. 71 A column in a burial chamber of the Bailinpó M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl. 162.
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Fig.72 A column with an animal-shaped base in the Jinzhongshan I M4 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.32.
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Fig.73 View of the tomb Hujiawan M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.288.
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Fig.74 View of the tomb Bailinpo M4 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.244.
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Fig.75 Bottom view and elevation of the Baishabao M2 in Mianyang. After KG 1988.3: fig.4.
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Fig.76 A ceiling in the tomb Zijingwan M3 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: fig.107.
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Fig.77 The ceiling of a burial chamber in the Bailinpo M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.159.
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Fig.78 Paintings of the sun and moon on the ceiling of the Buqianqiu tomb in Luoyang in Henan (late Western Han). After Huang and Guo 1996: pls.7, 18.
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Fig.79 The coffer of a burial chamber of the Yi’nan tomb in Shandong. After Beijing 1956: fig.18.
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Fig.80 Rubbing and illustration of an Eastern Han pictorial stone illustrating funerary scene from Weishan in Shandong. After Xin 2000: fig.115.
Fig. 81 The tomb door with inscription from the cliff tomb HM3 in Xindu in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 184.

Fig. 82 Venue for sacrifice outside the cliff tomb no. 600 in Pengshan. Photo by Chen Mingda in the 1940s. Courtesy of the Three Gorges Museum, Chongqing.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.83 Rubbing of a pictorial brick illustrating Fu Xi and Nü Wa from Sichuan. After Powers 1991: fig.53.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.84 Rubbing of stone engraving illustrating Fu Xi and Nü Wa from Suining, near Xuzhou, Jiangsu. After Powers 1991: fig.54.
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Fig. 85 Rubbing of a pictorial brick from Pengxian in Sichuan. After Lim 1987: pl. 67.
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Fig. 86 Rubbing from a stone tomb in Nanyang in Henan. After Lim 1987: fig. 20.
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Fig.87 Panoramic view of the Dahuting tomb no.1 in Mixian in Henan. After Beijing 1993: fig.16.
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Fig.88 Model of the Yangzishan tomb in Chengdu. After Lim 1987: 191.
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Fig.89 Elevation and plan of Zengjiabao no.1 in Chengdu in Sichuan. After WW 1981.10: fig.2.
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Fig.90 Elevation and plan of Zengjiabao no.2 in Chengdu in Sichuan. After WW 1981.10: fig.3.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.91 Rubbings of the reliefs on the rear walls of the two rear chambers of Zengjiabao no.1 in Chengdu. After WW 1981.10: figs.4, 5.
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Fig.92 Front side of the door of Zengjiabao no.2 in Chengdu. After WW 1981.10: pl.1.
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Fig.93 Back side of the door of Zengjiabao no.2 in Chengdu. After WW 1981.10: pls.3, 4.
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Fig.94 Illustration of the front door of the central chamber of the Hechuan tomb in Sichuan. After WW 1977.2: fig.11.
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Fig.95 Plan and elevation of the Hechuan tomb in Sichuan. After WW 1977.2: figs.1, 2.
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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fig.96</td>
<td>Rubbing of the lintel of the door of the rear chamber of the Hechuan tomb in Sichuan. The scene of Jing Ke, who is trying to assassinate the king of the Qin is in the middle. A figure shooting a tiger is on the right. After WW 1977.2: fig.18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig.97</td>
<td>Illustration of Fu Xi holding the sun carved on one of the door posts of the door to the rear chamber of the Hechuan tomb. After WW 1977.2: fig.20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig.98</td>
<td>Illustration of the carving of a squatting sheep in the niche of the front chamber of the Hechuan tomb. After WW 1977.2: fig.6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig.99</td>
<td>Rubbing of an immortal holding the lingzhi carved in the Hechuan tomb in Sichuan. After WW 1977.2: fig.7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig.100</strong> Illustration of a flute playing figure carved in the Hechuan tomb in Sichuan. After WW 1977.2: fig.4.</td>
<td><strong>Fig.102</strong> Rubbing of a flute playing figure carved in a cliff tomb in Zhongjiang in Sichuan. After Zhongguo Huaxiangshi quanji 2000b: pl.9.</td>
</tr>
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<td>The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.</td>
<td>The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig.101</strong> Rubbing of a bird holding a fish in the Hechuan tomb in Sichuan. After WW 1977.2: fig.3.</td>
<td><strong>Fig.103</strong> A bird holding a fish carved in the cliff tomb Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang in Sichuan. After Beijing 2008: pl.71.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 104 Illustration of the entrance of the cliff tomb Zhaizishan no. 535 in Pengshan. Two sheep and two brackets are carved on the lintel. After Chen 2003a: fig. 15.
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Fig. 105 Illustration of the door of the cliff tomb Qigedong M1 in Changning in Sichuan. After Luo 2005: fig. 3.
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Fig. 106 The scene of Jing Ke assassinating the king of Qin carved on the wall of the front hall of the Mahao I M1 in Leshan. After Tang 1997: fig.5.

Fig. 107 Plan and elevation of the Cangshan tomb in Shandong. After Wu 1994: 91.
Fig. 108 Inscription in the front chamber of the Cangshan tomb in Shandong. After KG 1975.2: figs. 4, 5.

Fig. 109 Carvings on the western wall of the main chamber of the Cangshan tomb in Shandong. After Wu 1994: 95.

Fig. 110 Carvings on the eastern wall of the main chamber of the Cangshan tomb in Shandong. After Wu 1992: 95.
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Fig.111 Elevation of the Yangzishan tomb in Chengdu. After Lim 1987: 192-193.
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig.112</strong> Rubbing of a pictorial brick illustrating a carriage in Zengjiabao no.2 in Chengdu. After Lim 1987: pl.30</td>
<td><strong>Fig.113</strong> Rubbing of a pictorial brick illustrating a carriage in Zengjiabao no.2. After Lim 1987: pl.31.</td>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td><strong>Fig.114</strong> Rubbing of the stone engravings in the niche on the eastern wall of the main chamber in the Cangshan tomb in Shandong. After Wu 1994: 97.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 115</td>
<td>A pictorial brick illustrating harvesting and hunting in Zengjiabao no.2 in Chengdu. After Lim 1987: pl.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Fig. 116 | Rubbing a pictorial brick illustrating salt mining in Zengjiabao no.2 in Chengdu. After Lim 1987: pl.14. |

| Fig. 117 | Rubbing of a pictorial brick illustrating city scene in Zengjiabao no.2 in Chengdu. After Lim 1987: pl.15. |

| Fig. 118 | Rubbing of the pottery brick relief illustrating the scene of playing the *liubo* game from Zengjiabao no.2 in Chengdu. After Lim 1987: pl.36. |
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Fig.119 Rubbing of a pictorial brick illustrating feasting and entertainment in Zengjiabao no.2 in Chengdu. After Lim 1987: pl.47.
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Fig.120 Rubbing of the front side of the lintel of the door of the Cangshan tomb in Shandong. After Wu 1994: 98
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Fig.121 Rubbing of the back side of the lintel of the door of the Cangshan tomb in Shandong. After Wu 1994: 80.
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig.122</strong> Rubbing of a door post at the entrance to the rear chamber of the Cangshan tomb in Shandong. After Wu 1994: 102.</td>
<td><strong>Fig.123</strong> Rubbings of the eastern and western façade-columns in the Cangshan tomb in Shandong. After Wu 1994: 99.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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Fig. 124 Schematic of the middle chamber of the Yi’nan tomb. After Thompson 1998: fig. 5.24.
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Fig. 125 Carriage procession on the northern wall of the middle chamber of the Yi’nan tomb. After Beijing 1956: pl. 49.
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Fig. 126 Carriage procession on the western wall of the middle chamber of the Yi’nan tomb. After Beijing 1956: pl. 49.
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Fig. 127 The end of the procession and the ji table on the southern wall of the middle chamber of the Yi’nan tomb. After Beijing 1956: pl.49.
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Fig. 128 Rubbings of the reliefs on the door lintel of the entrance to the middle chamber of the Yi’nan tomb in Shandong. After Beijing 1956: pl.32.
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Fig.129 Rubbing of the relief on the door post of the entrance to the middle chamber of the Yi’nan tomb in Shandong. After Beijing 1956: pl.34.
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Fig.130 Rubbing of the relief on a door post of the entrance to the rear chambers of the Yi’nan tomb in Shandong. After Beijing 1956: pl.55.
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Fig. 131 An Eastern Han graveyard plan. After Wu 1989: 31.
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Fig. 132 An Eastern Han graveyard plan. After Wu 1989: 32.
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Fig. 133 Que pillars at the Wu graveyard in Jiaxiang. After Erickson 2008: fig.1
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Fig.134 Que pillars at the entrance of a cliff tomb in Leshan. After Bishop 1916: fig.150.
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Fig.135 Reconstruction of the Wu Liang shrine by Jiang Yingju and Wu Wenqi. After Wu 1989: fig.12.
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Fig.136 Plan of the pictorial carvings in the Wu Liang shrine. After Wu 1989: fig.33.
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Fig.137 Pictorial carvings on the walls of the front hall of the cliff tomb Shiziwan no.1 in Leshan. After Tang 1997: fig.7.
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Fig.138 Carving and rubbing of the story of Yuan Gu (left) in the front hall of the cliff tomb Shiziwan no.1 in Leshan. After Tang 2010: figs. 3, 4.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.139 Rubbing of the relief on Yuan Gu in the Wu Liang shrine. After Wu 1989: fig.143.
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Fig.140 The carving of pulling carriage in the Mahao I M1 in Leshan. After Tang 1997: fig.10.
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Fig.141 Rubbing of the three stories on filial sons carved in the front hall of the cliff tomb Shiziwan no.1 in Leshan. After Tang 1997: fig.11.
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Fig.142 Rubbing of the relief on Min Ziqian in the Wu Liang shrine. After Wu 1989: fig.128.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.143 Rubbing of the relief on Laizi in the Wu Liang shrine. After Wu 1989: fig.129.
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Fig.144 Rubbing of the relief on Boyu in the Wu Liang shrine. After Wu 1989: fig.131.
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Fig.145 Rubbing of the story of Dong Yong (left) carved in the front hall of the cliff tomb Shiziwan no.1 in Leshan. After Tang 1997: fig.12.
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Fig.146 Rubbing of the relief on Dong Yong in the Wu Liang shrine. After Wu 1989: fig.133.
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Fig.147 Carving of the story on seven filial sons in the Mahao I M1 in Leshan. After Tang 2010: fig.5.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.148 Guards carved at the entrance to the rear chambers in the cliff tomb Shiziwan no.1 in Leshan. After Tang 1997: figs.13, 14.
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Fig.149 Plan of the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: fig.15.
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Fig.150 A side chamber with mural paintings on the tomb occupant’s family history in the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: pl.16

Fig.151 Expanded diagram of the side chamber in the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: fig.25.
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Fig. 152 Picture no. 5 in the side chamber in the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: pl. 52.
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Fig. 153 Picture no. 1 in the side chamber in the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: pl. 48.
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Fig 154: Picture no. 7 in the side chamber in the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: pl. 54.
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Fig 155: Illustration of the carvings on the rear wall of the shrine of Zhu Wei in Jinxian in Shandong. After Zheng 1998: fig. 4.
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Fig.156 Illustration of the carving of the tomb occupant from the Qianliangtai tomb in Zhucheng in Shandong. After WW 1981.10: fig.8.
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Fig.157 Mural painting on a dining scene in a side chamber of the Bailinpo M1 in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.172.
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Fig.158 Tomb door of the cliff tomb M460 in Pengshan. Drawn by Cheng Mingda in the 1940s. Courtesy of the Chongqing Museum.
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Fig.159 Rubbing of the scene on sacrifice at tomb door carved in the Yi'nan tomb in Shandong. After Thompson 1998: fig.5.5.
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Fig.160 Plan of the façade of the seven caves (the Qigedong cliff tombs) in Changning in Sichuan. After Luo 2005: fig.1.
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Fig.161 Icons outside the tomb M1 of the seven caves (the Qigedong cliff tombs) in Changning in Sichuan. Courtesy of the Changning Archaeological Institute.
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Fig.162 A side wall of the front chamber of the Yangzishan tomb in Chengdu. After WW 1955.9: fig.2.
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Fig.163 Entrance to the Xiaoba II M80 in Leshan. After Tang 1993: fig.12.
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Fig.164 A kissing couple carved on the tomb door lintel of the cliff tomb M550 in Pengshan. After Beijing 1991: pl.12.
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Fig.165 A Buddha image on the door lintel of the Mahao I M1 in Leshan. After Tang 1993: fig.16.
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Fig.166 A door guard carved at the entrance to the third main chamber of the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: pl.63.

Fig.167 Dancing foreigners carved in the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: pl.61.
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Fig.168 A bird holding a fish carved in the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: pl.70.

Fig.169 Carving of an equestrian figure at the entrance to the cliff tomb Shiziwan no.1 in Leshan. After Tang 1993: fig.4.
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Fig.170 Entrance to the coffin chamber of the Taliangzi M3 in Zhongjiang. After Beijing 2008: fig.28.
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Fig.171 Plan of the cliff tomb Tujing no. 5 in Zhongxian in Sichuan. After WW 1985.7: fig.17.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.172 Money tree from the Hejiashan M2 in Mianyang in Sichuan. After Bagley 2001: pl.97.
Fig.173 Money tree from the Hejiashan M2 in Mianyang in Sichuan (detail). After Bagley 2001: pl.97.

Fig.174 Money tree from Wanfu in Guanghan in Sichuan. Chen 1997: fig.1.
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Fig.175 Bronze mold for the casting of the Han wuzhu coin. After He 2006a: fig.3-3.
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Fig.176 Bronze mould for the casting of the wuzhu coin from Xichang in Sichuan and products of the wuzhu coins from Xichang. After He 2006a: figs. 3-10, 3-11, 3-12, 3-13.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.177 Stacked moulds found in a Han foundry in Wenxian in Henan. After Wagner 2008: fig.76.
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Fig.178 Rubbing of the relief on one side of the stone coffin no.3 from Jianyang in Sichuan, with the inscription of “zhuzhu 鑄株”. After WW 1991.3b: fig.11.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.179 A Western Han bronze tree branch from Yanyuan in Sichuan. After He 2006a: fig.3-4.

Fig.180 A bronze tree-shaped lamp from Pingshan in Hebei. After Erickson 1994: fig.54.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 181 Bronze tree from Sanxingdui in Sichuan. After Erickson 1994: fig. 53.

Fig. 182 Rubbing of a pottery money tree base illustrating the scene of collecting coins from Yong’an in Santai. After He 2006a: fig. 3-2.
Fig. 183 Rubbing of a pictorial tomb brick illustrating the Queen Mother of the West and her attendants from Xinfan in Chengdu. After Erickson 1994: fig.33.

Fig. 184 A money tree branch illustrating the Queen Mother of the West and her attendants from Gaocao in Xichang in Sichuan. After He 2006a: fig.2-62.
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Fig.185 Rubbing of a money tree branch illustrating the Queen Mother of the West and her attendants from Maowen in Sichuan. After He 2006a: fig.10-8.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.186 Rubbing of a money tree branch from Chengdu. After He 2006a: fig.2-2.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.187 Rubbing of a money tree branch from Mianxian in Sichuan. After He 2006a: fig.2-69.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.188 A money tree trunk with the image of a Buddha from the cliff tomb Hejiashan M1 in Mianyang. After He 2006a: fig.6-36.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.189 A money tree base illustrating a seated Buddha from Pengshan. After Erickson 1994: 25.
Fig. 190 A money tree trunk illustrating a bear with a halo from Zhaotong in Yunnan. After He 2006a: fig.5.9.

Fig. 191 Rubbing of a money tree branch illustrating two mating horses from Hujiabian in Santai. After He 2006a: fig.7-22.

Fig. 192 Rubbing of the relief on a money tree base illustrating two copulating deer from Mianyang in Sichuan. After HE 2006a: fig.7-23.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 193 A pictorial tomb brick illustrating a scene of copulating under a tree from Xindu in Sichuan. After Nylan 2003: fig. 20.

Fig. 194. The stone coffin of Wang Hui from Lushan in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 211.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.195 A house-shaped stone coffin from Chengdu. After Chengdu 2010: pl.15-3.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.196 Velletri sarcophagus, left side. After Thomas 2010: fig.12.6.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.197 Rubbing of a coffin wall from Dayi in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 151.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.198 Rubbing of a coffin wall from Xindu in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 147.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.199 Rubbing of a coffin wall from Xinjin in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 198.
| Fig. 200 | Rubbing of a coffin wall from Xinjin in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 199. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons. |

| Fig. 201 | Rubbing of a coffin wall from Xinjin in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 200. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons. |

| Fig. 202 | Rubbing of a coffin from Xinjin in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 197. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons. |

| Fig. 203 | Rubbing of the relief of a que pillar on one end of the stone coffin from Chengdu. After Chengdu 2010: pl.16-1. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons. |
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.204 Carvings and rubbing of one side of a stone coffin from Chengdu. After Chengdu 2010: pl.17.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 205 Rubbing of the relief on one side of a stone coffin from Hejiang in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 345.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 206 Rubbing of the relief on one end of the stone coffin of Wang Hui from Lushan in Sichuan. After Zhongguo Huaxiangshi quanji 2000b: pl.91.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 207 Fig. 137 A built-in coffin in the Tiantaishan tomb in Santai. After Beijing 2007: pl.83.
Fig. 208 One side of the stone coffin from the cliff tomb Tianhuishan M3 in Chengdu. After Gao 2011: 247.

Fig. 209 One side of the stone coffin from the cliff tomb Tianhuishan M3 in Chengdu. After Gao 2011: 247.

Fig. 210 Gilded iron book knife (with detail) from a pictorial stone coffin in the cliff tomb Tianhuishan M3 in Chengdu. After Beijing 1992b: pls.186, 187.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 211 Plan of the cliff tomb HM3 in Xindu in Sichuan. After KG 2007.9: fig.8.

Fig. 212 A pictorial stone coffin from the cliff tomb HM3 in Xindu in Sichuan. After KG 2007.9: fig.14.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.213 Plan of the cliff tomb at Guitoushan in Jianyang in Sichuan. WW 1991.3b: fig.2.

Fig.214 Rubbing of the relief on one side of the stone coffin no.2 from Jianyang in Sichuan. After WW 1991.3b: fig.9.

Fig.215 Rubbing of the relief illustrating the scene of obtaining the ding vessel on one side of a stone coffin from Changning in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 296-297.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 216 One side of a built-in coffin in a cliff tomb in Xinjin in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 412-413.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 217 Rubbings of the reliefs on two sides of the stone coffin no. 13 from Xinjin in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 186-187.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 218 Rubbing of the relief on one side of a stone coffin from Shehong in Sichuan. The story on Qiu Hu’s wife is on the left. The story on Ji Zha is on the right. After Gao 2011: 237.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.219 Rubbing of the relief on one side of the stone coffin no.5 from Xinjin in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 166.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.220 Rubbing of the relief on one side of the stone coffin no.7 from Xinjin in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 171.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.221 Rubbing of the relief on Gaoxing in the Wu Liang shrine. After Wu 1989: fig.119.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.222 Rubbing of the relief on Qiu Hu’s wife in the Wu Liang shrine. After Wu 1989: fig.120.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 223 Rubbing of the story of Qiu Hu’s wife on the stone coffin no.4 from Xinjin. After Gao 2011: 160-161.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 224 Rubbing of the relief on one side of the stone coffin no.4 from Xinjin in Sichuan. After Gao 2011: 160-161.

The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig. 225 Rubbing of the relief on the scene of Confucius meeting Laozi in the Wu Liang shrine. After Wu 1989: fig.25.
The figure originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Fig.226 A perfume bottle (a proto-Corinthian aryballos). After Gosden 2004: fig.7.1.
Appendix 1 Stories of Filial Sons and Eminent Men and Women Carved in the Cliff tombs in Sichuan

Stories of Filial Sons

The stories of filial sons carved in the cliff tombs in Sichuan have been identified mainly based on the pictorial stone carvings with inscriptions in the Wu Liang shrine in Jiaxiang in Shandong. Wu Hung has introduced in detail on how the stories of the filial sons carved in the Wu Liang shrine can be traced to their various textural sources in The Wu Liang Shrine: The Ideology of Early Chinese Pictorial Art. In Western Han, Liu Xiang compiled the *Xiaozi zhuan* (Biographies of Filial Sons) with illustrations. The *Taiping yulan* cites biographies of two filial sons from the *Xiaozi zhuan* by Liu Xiang, which confirms the existence of the *Xiaozi zhuan* in Western Han. Though the original version of the *Xiaozi zhuan* compiled by Liu Xiang was lost, various versions of the biographies of filial sons appeared during the period between the fall of the Eastern Han and the Sui (AD 220-588). As Wu Hung suggested, “the development of the *Xiaozi zhuan*” is “characterized by a continuous accumulation of stories and by the replacement of less famous earlier examples by popular or influential later ones”. In this sense, there was no standard set of stories of filial sons in both textual records and pictorial carvings in funerary monuments.

557 Wu 1989: 73.
1. Yuan Gu 元轂

The story of Yuan Gu is cited from the Xiaozi zhuan in the Taiping yulan. The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

2. Min Ziqian 閔子騫

The story of Min Ziqian is recorded in the Yiwen leiju 藝文類聚 by Ouyang Xun 歐陽詢. The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

3. Laizi 萊子

559 Yiwen leiju: 369. The translation is after Wu 1989: 278.
The story is recorded in the *Taiping yulan*, which quotes Shi Jueshou’s 師覺授 *Xiaozizhuan*.

The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

---

### 4. Boyu 伯瑜

The story of Boyu is recorded in the *Taiping yulan*.

The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

---

### 5. Dong Yong 董永

The story of Dong Yong is recorded in the *Taiping yulan* which quotes the *Xiaozizhuan* by Liu Xiang.

The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

---

6. Kaifeng 凱風 (Genial Wind)

The story of seven filial sons who showed their gratitude to their mother is recorded in the poem Kaifeng (Genial Wind) in the Shi jing 詩經.

The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

Eminent Men and Women

7. Liang Gaoxing 梁高行

The story of Liang Gaoxing is recorded in the Lienü zhuàn 列女傳 written by Liu

---

Xiang 劉向 in the first century BC.

The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

8. Wife of Qiu Hu 秋胡

The story on the wife of Qiu Hu is also recorded in the *Lienü zhuan*.

The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

---


The story of the filial daughter-in-law of Donghai is both recorded in the *Lienü zhuan* and the *Han shu*.

The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

---

565 *Lienü zhuan*: 68. The translation is after Wu 1989: 255.
10. Ji Zha 季札

The story of Ji Zha is recorded in the Shi ji.

The text originally presented here cannot be made freely available via ORA for copyright reasons.

---

566 Han shu: 3041-3042.
567 Shi ji: 1459.
### Appendix 2 Eastern Han High Officials of the Areas Outside Sichuan from the Sichuan Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commandery of Origin</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Official Title (Area)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Ren Xun 任循</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Changsha 長沙 (Hunan)⁵⁶⁸</td>
<td>Huayang: 915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Ren Fang 任昉</td>
<td>Grand Minister of Agriculture 大司農 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Ren Kai 任愷</td>
<td>Inspector 刺史 of Xuzhou 徐州 (Jiangsu)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Zhang Ba 張霸</td>
<td>Palace Attendant 侍中 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Zhang Ling 張陵</td>
<td>Master of Writing 尚屬 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Zhao Jie 趙戒</td>
<td>Grand Commandant 太尉 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Zhao Dian 趙典</td>
<td>Grand Master of Ceremonies 太常 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Zhao Qian 趙謙</td>
<td>Grand Commandant 太尉 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Zhao Wen 趙渾</td>
<td>Minister over the Masses 司徒 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Yang Ban 楊班</td>
<td>Erudit 博士 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Liu Zong 柳宗</td>
<td>Prefect 令 of Meiyang 美陽 (Shaanxi)</td>
<td>Huayang: 917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>He Ying 何英</td>
<td>Supervisor of the Internuncios 謁者僕射 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Chang Qia 常洽</td>
<td>Palace Attendant 侍中 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Chang Xu 常詡</td>
<td>Attending Secretary 侍御史 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu 蜀</td>
<td>Chang Zhu 常竺</td>
<td>Palace Attendant 侍中 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan 廣漢</td>
<td>Zhai Pu 翟酺</td>
<td>將作大匠 Court Architect (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan 廣漢</td>
<td>Guo Jian 郭堅</td>
<td>Colonel 校尉 of Wuhuan 烏桓 (Inner-Mongolia)</td>
<td>Huayang: 936</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

⁵⁶⁸ All the official titles here are translated after the Appendix on Han Dynasty Official Titles in Bielenstein 1980.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Guo He</td>
<td>Colonel Director of the Retainers 司隸校尉</td>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Cai Gong</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Lujiang 廬江</td>
<td>Anhui</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Li You</td>
<td>Chancellor 相 of Lejiang 楚江</td>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Li Chong</td>
<td>Gentleman of the Masters of Writing 尚書</td>
<td></td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Zhang Jiang</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Wuwei 武威</td>
<td>Gansu</td>
<td>937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Zhe Guo</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Yulin 郁林</td>
<td>Guangxi</td>
<td>937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Yang Tong</td>
<td>Prefect 令 of Pengcheng 邳城</td>
<td>Jiangsu</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Yang Bo</td>
<td>Imperial Household Grandee 光祿大夫</td>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Yang Hou</td>
<td>Palace Attendant 侍中</td>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Wang Huan</td>
<td>Prefect 令 of Luoyang 洛陽</td>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Tan Xian</td>
<td>Palace Attendant 侍中</td>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Yang Qi</td>
<td>Prefect 令 of Yewang 野王</td>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Wen Tun</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Beihai 北海</td>
<td></td>
<td>948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanghan</td>
<td>Kou Qi</td>
<td>Prefect 令 of Jiyan 濟陰</td>
<td>Shandong</td>
<td>948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei</td>
<td>Zhao Song</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Shangdang 上黨</td>
<td>Shanxi</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei</td>
<td>Yang Mang</td>
<td>Inspector 刺史 of Yangzhou 揚州</td>
<td>Jiangsu</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei</td>
<td>Yang Huan</td>
<td>Colonel Director of the Retainers 司隸校尉</td>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qianwei</td>
<td>Yang Yingbo</td>
<td>Inspector 刺史 of Jizhou</td>
<td></td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Official Position</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Zhang Hao 張皓</td>
<td>Grand Minister of Works 大司空</td>
<td>Huayang: 941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Zhang Xu 張績</td>
<td>Master of Writing 尚書</td>
<td>Huayang: 942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Zhang Fang 張方</td>
<td>Inspector 刺史 of Yuzhou 豫州</td>
<td>Huayang: 942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Yang Huai 楊淮</td>
<td>Colonel Director of the Retainers 司隸校尉</td>
<td>Huayang: 941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Dong Jun 董均</td>
<td>Colonel of the City Gates 城門校尉</td>
<td>Huayang: 941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Zhao Qi 趙際</td>
<td>Colonel Director of the Retainers 司隸校尉</td>
<td>Huayang: 942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Du Fu 杜撫</td>
<td>Prefect of the Majors in Charge of Official Carriages 公車令</td>
<td>Huayang: 942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Feiku Yi 費貽</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Hepu 合浦</td>
<td>Huayang: 941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Ye Huan 許煥</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Runan 汝南</td>
<td>Huayang: 925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Ran Wen 然溫</td>
<td>Governor 太守 of Guilin 桂林</td>
<td>Huayang: 925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Ren Wengong 任文公</td>
<td>Assistant for the Minister of Works 司空掾</td>
<td>Huayang: 921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Qiao Xuan 譙玄</td>
<td>Grand Palace Grandee 太中大夫</td>
<td>Huayang: 922</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Qiao Ying 譙瑛</td>
<td>Gentleman of the Grand Masters of Writing 尚書郎</td>
<td>Huayang: 922</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Zhao Hong 趙宏</td>
<td>Inspector 刺史 of Liangzhou 涼州</td>
<td>Huayang: 922</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Yan Zun 嚴遵</td>
<td>Inspector 刺史 of Yangzhou 揚州</td>
<td>Huayang: 924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>Yan Yu 嵩羽</td>
<td>Inspector 刺史 of Xuzhou 徐州</td>
<td>Huayang: 924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaanxi</td>
<td>Wang Weiqing 王偉卿</td>
<td>Prefect 令 of Chang’an 長安</td>
<td>Huayang: 924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>姓氏</td>
<td>名字</td>
<td>职位</td>
<td>所在地</td>
<td>时间</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Zhao Shao</td>
<td>Prefect 令 of Shangcai  上蔡 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Xuan He</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Pei  沛 (Jiangsu)</td>
<td>Huayang: 924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Pang Xiong</td>
<td>Grand Herald 大鴻臚 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Feng Huan</td>
<td>Inspector 刺史 of Youzhou  avait州 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Feng Gun</td>
<td>General of Chariots and Calvary 車騎將軍 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Feng Yun</td>
<td>Colonel of Defeating Enemies 降盧校尉 (Henan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Feng Zun</td>
<td>Gentleman of the Masters of Writing 尚書郎</td>
<td>Huayang: 924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Li Wen</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Guilin  桂林 (Guangxi)</td>
<td>Huayang: 925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Shen □</td>
<td>Prefect 令 of Xinfeng  新豐 (Shaanxi)</td>
<td>Beijing 1990: 40-41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Gong Diao</td>
<td>Inspector 刺史 of Jingzhou  江州 (Hubei)</td>
<td>Huayang: 925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Chen Hong</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Shanggu  上穀 (Hebei)</td>
<td>Huayang: 925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Zhao Yan</td>
<td>Grand Administrator 太守 of Wei  魏 (Heinan)</td>
<td>Huayang: 925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 3 Eastern Han Cliff Tombs Excavated in the Sichuan Area

I: single chamber  II: double-chamber  III: more than two chambers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Furnishings</th>
<th>Burial Instrument</th>
<th>Grave Goods</th>
<th>Tomb Decoration</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tianhuishan M1</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>guan, fu, bo, lamp, well, figurines, animal</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tianhuishan M2</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>wooden coffin</td>
<td>guan, bo, pan, lamp, well, paddy field, house, zither, figurines, animal</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tianhuishan M3</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>pottery coffin, stone coffin, brick coffin</td>
<td>guan, weng, bo, granary, pond, house, figurine, wheel</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern, pictorial stone coffin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2002CHLM1</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>pen, bo, wan, fu</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chengdu 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2002CHLM2</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage, niche</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>fu, figurine, dog</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chengdu 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2002CHLM3</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage, niche, stove</td>
<td>pottery coffin, stone coffin</td>
<td>guan, fu, zeng, house, figurine, paddy field</td>
<td>carvings on coffin</td>
<td>Chengdu 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2002CHLM4</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>guan, fu, zeng, house, figurine, paddy field</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chengdu 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2002CXML1</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chengdu 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2002CXLM2</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>guan, fu, weng, zhong, figurine, animal, stove</td>
<td>Chengdu 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2002CXLM3</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>bo, pen, gui, ganghu, fu, weng, guan, cover</td>
<td>Chengdu 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2008CXMM1</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>guan, bo, dou, fu, well, pen, lamp, field, figurine, animal</td>
<td>Chengdu 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Shahecun M</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>guan, bo, zither, anaml, house</td>
<td>crane</td>
<td>SCWW 1991.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Xinshenggongsheng M2</td>
<td>Pixan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td>guan, bo, dou, fu</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>carvings on stone coffin</td>
<td>KG 1979.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yeyuedong M1</td>
<td>Jianyang</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>figurine, pond, fu, dou, guan, pan, animal</td>
<td>xi</td>
<td>carvings on lintel</td>
<td>KG 1992.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Guitoushan M</td>
<td>Jianyang</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td>figurine, chicken</td>
<td>support, applique, vessel, decoration</td>
<td>carvings on stone coffin</td>
<td>WW 1991.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>HM1</td>
<td>Xindu</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>fu, pen, bo, wan</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 2007.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>HM2</td>
<td>Xindu</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>fu, tomb appeasing figurine, chef figurine, dog</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 2007.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>HM3</td>
<td>Xindu</td>
<td>middle to late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage, niche, stove</td>
<td>guan, weng, bo, figurine, pond, house, granary</td>
<td>money tree, ring</td>
<td>pictorial stone coffin</td>
<td>KG 2007.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>HM4</td>
<td>Xindu</td>
<td>early to late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, bo, fu,</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>KG 2007.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td>zeng, paddy field, figurine, house, animal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>LM1</td>
<td>Xindu</td>
<td>middle or late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, fu, pen</td>
<td>pictorial stone coffin</td>
<td>KG 2007.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td>house-shaped stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>LM2</td>
<td>Xindu</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, fu, weng,</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 2007.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>zong, figurine, stove, animal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>LM3</td>
<td>Xindu</td>
<td>early or Wang Mang</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td>relics</td>
<td>arrowhead</td>
<td>KG 2007.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Period to Late</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Majiashan M22</td>
<td>Xindu</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>figurine, table,</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1984.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(AD 65)</td>
<td></td>
<td>wan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>PM 127</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>early Eastern</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>wooden coffin</td>
<td>guan, weng</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Jizhaodong A</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>early Eastern</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Fushoudong M</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>early Eastern</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>PM 131</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>early Eastern</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>wan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>PM 164</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on lintel</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>PM 362</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>PM 705</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>spoon</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>PM 166</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>house, chicken, fu, figurine</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>carvings on lintel</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>PM 369</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pottery coffin, wooden coffin</td>
<td>wan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>PM 460</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on lintel</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>PM 556</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>pottery coffin, stone coffin</td>
<td>fu, wan, animal, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>PM 561</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td>chicken, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>PM 656</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td>weng, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>PM 128</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche, drainage</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>guan, fu, well</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>PM 153</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>guan, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>PM 161</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>guan, weng, fu, wan, table, ear cup, bo, animal, figurine</td>
<td>needle</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>PM 684</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche, drainage</td>
<td>wooden coffin</td>
<td>guan, zeng, ear cup</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>PM 666</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td>guan, weng, fu, zeng, wan, table, bo, floodgate, animal, figurine</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>PM 677</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chicken, figurine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>PM 682</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, zeng, table, pond, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>PM 800</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chicken, figurine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>PM 901</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>wooden coffin</td>
<td>house, animal, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>chariot fittings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>PM 152</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>figurine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>PM 167</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>animal, figurine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>PM 170</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>PM 360</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>table</td>
<td>zeng, table, ear cup, figurine</td>
<td>animal</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>PM 364</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td>guan, fu, zeng, wan, well, paddy field, pavilion, roof, animal, figurine</td>
<td>part of sword</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>PM 601</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>stone coffin, wooden coffin</td>
<td>well, pavilion, paddy field, animal, guan, wan, table, ear cup, bo, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>PM 661</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>stone coffin, wooden coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>PM 130</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wan, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>PM 168</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wan, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on lintel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>PM 169</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wan, horse, figurine</td>
<td>hair pin</td>
<td>carvings on lintel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PotteryBronzesCeramics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>PM 501</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>guan, zeng, wan, well, chicken, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>PM 530</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>PM 560</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>figurine</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>PM 176</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove, niche, drainage</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>table, bo, paddy field, chicken, figurine</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>carvings on lintel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>PM 365</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>table, bo, pond, house, roof, chicken</td>
<td></td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>PM 900</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pottery coffin, wooden coffin</td>
<td>fu, table, ear cup, bo, figurine</td>
<td>bubble, peache-shaped piece, petal, chariot fittings</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>PM 550</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>wooden coffin</td>
<td>guan, zeng, wan, house, roof, animal, figurine</td>
<td>chariot fittings</td>
<td>carvings on lintel</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>PM 669</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>PM 45</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>guan, well, animal, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on lintel</td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>PM 171</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>PM 363</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>PM 370</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td>table, ear cup, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>PM 480</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td>paddy field, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>PM 505</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td>bo, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>PM 515</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>PM 600</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>PM 668</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>PM 679</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>PM 680</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>PM 690</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>PM 700</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>PM 710</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>PM 930</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>PM 150</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>PM 151</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>PM 162</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>PM 163</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>PM 165</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>PM 172</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>PM 173</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>PM 174</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>PM 175</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>PM 177</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>PM 366</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>PM 367</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>PM 368</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>PM 549</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>PM 654</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>PM 678</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>PM 662</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>PM 701</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>PM 951</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>PM 965</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Jizhaodong B</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Jizhaodong C</td>
<td>Pengshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Dawanzui M1</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Dawanzui M2</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Dawanzui M3</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Dawanzui M4</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td>stone coffin, pottery coffin with tenon and mortise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Dawanzui M5</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>pottery coffin with tenon and mortise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Dawanzui M6</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Dawanzui M7</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Dawanzui M8</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Dawanzui M9</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Dawanzui M10</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Dawanzui M11</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Dawanzui M12</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Dawanzui M13</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Dawanzui M14</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Dawanzui M15</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Dawanzui M16</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Mahao M1</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>late Eastern Han to Shuhan</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>drainage, stove, niche</td>
<td>wall-attached stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Tuozuigou M</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>house-shaped stone coffin</td>
<td>figurine</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Gaosuntian M1</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>pottery coffin, wall-attached stone coffin</td>
<td>Queen Mother of the West, figurine, house, animal</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Gaosuntian M2</td>
<td>Leshan</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>96MBM1</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>ear cup, figurine, goan, bo</td>
<td>mirror</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>96MBM2</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>figurine, house, paddy field, goan, fu, bo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>96MBM3</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>early Eastern Han (AD 85)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>figurine, house, paddy field, goan, fu, bo, chicken</td>
<td>mirror, ear cup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>96MBM4</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fu, bo</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG2003.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>96MBM5</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>guan, fu, figurine, animal, house</td>
<td></td>
<td>pipe</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG2003.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>96MBM6</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>guan, pan, zeng, dou, fu, animal, house, paddy field,</td>
<td></td>
<td>money tree, mirror, table, kui, applique</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG2003.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>HM1</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>hu, fu, gua n, figurine, animal, paddy field, table</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1991.3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>HM2</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td></td>
<td>figurine, animal, house, stove, granary, lamp, guan, fu, pottery, horse, money tree</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>KG 1991.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Wujia M1</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1994.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Wujia M2</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>figurine, chicken, guan, bo, hu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1994.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Yangjiazhen M1</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>brick coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>figurine, guan, fu</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1988.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Yangjiazhen M2</td>
<td>Mianyang</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>figurine, animal</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1988.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Jinzhongshan I M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pillar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>stone carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Jinzhongshan I M2</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pillar, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>stone carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Jinzhongshan I M3</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pillar, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, figurine, animal, house</td>
<td>stone carvings on wall, brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Jin I M4</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pillar, toilet, stove, stable, niche</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>stone carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Jinzhongshan II M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove, pillar</td>
<td></td>
<td>figurine</td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Jinzhongshan II M2</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pillar, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td>bo, pan, figurine, dog</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern, pictorial stone coffin, carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Jinzhongshan II M3</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td></td>
<td>bo, pottery, figurine, chicken</td>
<td>figurine</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Jinzhongshan II M4</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, weng, hu, plate, figurine</td>
<td>money tree, mirror, chariot fittings</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Jinzhongshan II M5</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pillar</td>
<td></td>
<td>house-shaped stone coffin</td>
<td>wan, figurine</td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Tiantaishan M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pillar, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td>nico-shaped coffin</td>
<td>wan, figurine, horse</td>
<td>carvings on wall and coffin, brick with stripe pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Zijingwan M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>bird</td>
<td>Pottery Bronzes Ceramics</td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Zijingwan M2</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>guan, pen, table</td>
<td>Pottery Bronzes</td>
<td>cup</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Zijingwan M3</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>guan, pottery, figurine, animal</td>
<td>Pottery Bronzes Ceramics</td>
<td>wan, lamp, cup</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Zijingwan M4</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>Pottery Bronzes</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Zijingwan M5</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pottery coffin, brick coffin</td>
<td>Pottery Bronzes Ceramics</td>
<td>money tree, pipe</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>Zijingwan M6</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>weng, animal, figurine</td>
<td>Pottery Bronzes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Zijingwan M7</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>Pottery Bronzes</td>
<td>money tree, brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Zijingwan M8</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>niche-shaped coffin, house-shaped coffin</td>
<td>Pottery Bronzes</td>
<td>carvings on coffin</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Zijingwan M9</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>unfinished</td>
<td></td>
<td>cup, guan, dog</td>
<td>Pottery Bronzes</td>
<td>carvings on coffin</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>Zijingwan M10 Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>stove, niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Zijingwan M11 Santai</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>niche, drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Zijingwan M12 Santai</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, bo, weng, pen, cover, box, ding, hu, well, pig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Zijingwan M13 Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td></td>
<td>bo, guan, hu, pen, lamp, cup, house, figurine, animal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>Zijingwan M14 Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>guan, bo, cup</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Wujiawan M1 Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, bo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>Songlinzui M1 Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>guan, cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>Bailinpo M1 Santai</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han (AD 117)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage, stove, pillar</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, weng, pen, zeng, bo, lamp, table, handle, figurine, animal, house</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall, brick with stripe pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Bailinpo M2 Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stable, stove</td>
<td>niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td>guan, weng, zeng, cup, plate, table, figurine, horse, pond, house</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>Bailinpo M3</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>half excavated</td>
<td>pillar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bircks with stripe pattern</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>Bailinpo M4</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>pillar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ding, zeng, pen, box</td>
<td>chariot fittings</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>Bailinpo M5</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>pillar, stove</td>
<td>house-shaped stone coffin</td>
<td>weng, figurine, chariot fittings</td>
<td>wan, guan, dish</td>
<td>carvings on wall and coffin, bircks with stripe pattern</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Fentaizui M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>pillar, stove</td>
<td>niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>Hujiawan M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>pillar</td>
<td>house-shaped stone coffin</td>
<td>ding, guan, pen, bo, cover</td>
<td>ear cup decoration</td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>Liujiaoyan M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>stove, niche</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>guan, pan</td>
<td></td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>Liujiaoyan M3</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>niche, stove, stone bed</td>
<td>niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>Huangmingyue M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td>niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2007, WW 2008.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>Dongzipai M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>house-shaped stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall and coffin</td>
<td>Beijing 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Qingshanchang M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>brick coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>zhong, fu, zeng, ang, zhan, guan, pan, bo, dou, lamp, house, animal, figurine</td>
<td>ring, weapon, mirror</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern, carvings on wall</td>
<td>KG 1992.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Yongandianchang M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>brick coffin</td>
<td>guan, animal, figurine</td>
<td>xi, mirror, hu, bird, money tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1976.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Yuanbaoshan M</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pillar, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>Yuanbaoshan M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pillar, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1997.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>Shenxiandong M1</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche, pillar</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>figurine, animal, house, granary, fu, hu, zeng, guan, wan, pan, ink stone</td>
<td>bracelet, haripin, chopsticks, handle</td>
<td>SCWW 1997.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>Shenxiandong M2</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche, pillar</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1997.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>Shufangliang M3</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>wooden coffin on brick platform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1997.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>Shufangliang M6</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1997.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>Changliangzi M</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1997.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>Xinde M</td>
<td>Santai</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>figurine, lamp</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1997.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>Taliangzi M1</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td>stone coffin, niche-shaped stone coffin</td>
<td>guan, weng, zeng, wan, pan, table, figurine, chicken</td>
<td>nail, money tree, gilded piece</td>
<td>carvings on coffin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>Taliangzi M2</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>guan, wan, figurine, horse</td>
<td>relics</td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>Beijing 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>Taliangzi M3</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>basin, niche</td>
<td>house-shaped stone coffin, niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td>guan, zeng, bo, pan, pen, cup, dou, lamp, figurine</td>
<td>money tree, relics</td>
<td>carvings on wall (featuring coloured mural with ink inscription) and coffin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>Taliangzi M4</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td>stone coffin, niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td>relics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>Taliangzi M5</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td>relics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>Taliangzi M6</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td>guan, yu, pan, cup, figurine</td>
<td>relics</td>
<td>carvings on wall and coffin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>Taliangzi M7</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>basin</td>
<td>niche-shaped coffin</td>
<td>guan, zeng, paddy field, figurine, animal</td>
<td>carvings on coffin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>Taliangzi M8</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>guan, bo, pan, pen, figurine, animal, house</td>
<td>carvings on coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>Taliangzi M9</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>late</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beijing 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>Tianpingliangzi M1</td>
<td>Zhongjiang</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove, niche</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>carvings on platform and wall</td>
<td>SCWW 1989.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>Shahe M1</td>
<td>Jiangjin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1994.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>Shahe M2</td>
<td>Jiangjin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1994.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Shahe M3</td>
<td>Jiangjin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>SCWW 1994.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>Chuanshanpo M5</td>
<td>Suining</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>KG 1994.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Chuanshanpo M1</td>
<td>Suining</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, weng, bo, he, fu, he, well, figurine, animal</td>
<td>pen, bo, fu, hook</td>
<td>KGWW 1983.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>Chuanshanpo M2</td>
<td>Suining</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td>bo</td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1983.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>Bijiashan M2</td>
<td>Suining</td>
<td>middle late</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sichuan 1985c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>Bijiashan M3</td>
<td>Suining</td>
<td>middle late</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>figurine, chicken, dog, guan, lian, fu, ear cup, lamp, bird,</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sichuan 1985c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>Bijiashan M4</td>
<td>Suining</td>
<td>middle to</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>figurine, table</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sichuan 1985c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>Bijiashan M5</td>
<td>Suining</td>
<td>middle to</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>figurine, pig, bo</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sichuan 1985c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Bijiashan M6</td>
<td>Suining</td>
<td>middle to late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, well, pottery, spoon</td>
<td>hook</td>
<td>Sichuan 1985c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Bijiashan M1</td>
<td>Suining</td>
<td>middle to late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>brick coffin</td>
<td>figurine, guan, hu, wan, cover</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>Sichuan 1985c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>Baozishan M</td>
<td>Xinjin</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage, niche</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>jian, lei, hu, yong, guan, ding, fu, xi, pen, bo, gang, zeng, yu, wan, ear cup, pan, table, figurine, animal, house, pond, paddy field, well</td>
<td>vessel</td>
<td>KG 1958.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>HHM6</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>HHM7</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>HHM11</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>HY I M1</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>HZM29</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>HZM34</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>HY I M4</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>HY I M23</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>HZ M29</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>HZ M34</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>HZ M6</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>HZ M5</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td>stone coffin, pottery coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>HZ M9</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>HZ M22</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>HH M3</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>HH M5</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>HY M6 II</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KGWW 1984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>93ZWM5</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>early Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td>guan, ding, zeng, lamp, cover</td>
<td></td>
<td>HXKG 2003.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>Shanguci M3</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>figurine, house, chicken</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sichuan 1985b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pottery Bronzes Ceramics

Grave Goods

Tomb Decoration

Source
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Furnishings</th>
<th>Burial Instrument</th>
<th>Grave Goods</th>
<th>Tomb Decoration</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>Shanguci M2</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, stove</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sichuan 1985b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>Shanguci M1</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage, stove, niche</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td>Queen Mother of the West, figurine, house, wan</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>Sichuan 1985b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>98YHYM1</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage, stove, niche</td>
<td>fuzeng, turtle, dog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HXKG 2003.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>98YHYM3</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage, niche</td>
<td>guan, bo, fu, hu, ear cup, lamp, well, boat, pond, animal, house, figurine</td>
<td>chariot fittings</td>
<td></td>
<td>HXKG 2003.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>98YHYM5</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage, niche</td>
<td>guan, wan, pen, bo, fu, zeng, pan, ear cup, cover, stove, animal, house, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>ring, applique, measure, chopsticks</td>
<td>HXKG 2003.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>98YHYM6</td>
<td>Yibin</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>guan, weng, fu, zeng, wan, table, bo, floodgate, animal, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HXKG 2003.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>81GM6</td>
<td>Yingjing</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>guan, weng, fu, zeng, wan, table, bo, floodgate, animal, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grave Goods:
- Pottery
- Bronzes
- Ceramics

Tomb Decoration:
- Platform
- Queen Mother of the West
- Turtle
- Dog
- Money tree
- Ring
- Applique
- Measure
- Chapsticks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Furnishings</th>
<th>Burial Instrument</th>
<th>Grave Goods</th>
<th>Tomb Decoration</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>81GM7</td>
<td>Yingjing</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche platform</td>
<td>bo, zeng</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>81GM8</td>
<td>Yingjing</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche platform</td>
<td>guan, fu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>81GM9</td>
<td>Yingjing</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, drainage</td>
<td>zeng</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>81GM10</td>
<td>Yingjing</td>
<td>early to middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, drainage</td>
<td>zeng</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>F.H.YM1</td>
<td>Fuling</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>guan</td>
<td>guan, bo</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1984.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>Jiaoshan M1</td>
<td>Jintang</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan</td>
<td>fu, xi, bow</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1959.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>Jiaoshan M2</td>
<td>Jintang</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>animal, house, zhong, guan, bo, dui</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1959.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>Weijiachong M</td>
<td>Neijiang</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, bo</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1959.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>Zhangjiagou M2</td>
<td>Hejiang</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on stone coffin</td>
<td>SCWW 1995.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>04BQQM1</td>
<td>Baoxing</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>stove, niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 2006.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>Yanting M</td>
<td>Yanting</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche platform</td>
<td>lamp, figurine, guan</td>
<td>seal, fu, pan, xi, hook</td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1974.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>99W SM1</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>figurine, animal, vessel, incense</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td>burner, table, paddy field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>99W SM2</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>figurine, animal, vessel, incense</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td>burner, table, house, paddy field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242</td>
<td>99W SM3</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>vessel</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>99W SM4</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>figurine, animal, vessel, paddy</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td>field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244</td>
<td>99W SM5</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>vessel</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>99W SM6</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>figurine, animal, vessel, incense</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td>burner, house, paddy field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>99W SM7</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>99W SM8</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>figurine</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248</td>
<td>99W SM9</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>figurine</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>99W SM10</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>figurine, animal, beast, well</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>99W SM11</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>99W SM12</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>animal, vessel, house, granary</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>99W SM13</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>figurine, animal, vessel, table, house, paddy field</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>99W SM14</td>
<td>Wusheng</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>animal, vessel</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
<td>SCWW 2010.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>NHYM1</td>
<td>Luzhou</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td>pottery coffin</td>
<td>figurine, table, vessel</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>SCWW 2006.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>NHYM2</td>
<td>Luzhou</td>
<td>late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vessel, house, figurine</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td>SCWW 2006.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>98JYM1</td>
<td>Jiange</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 2004.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257</td>
<td>98JYM2</td>
<td>Jiange</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 2004.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>98JYM3</td>
<td>Jiange</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>vessel, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 2004.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259</td>
<td>98JYM4</td>
<td>Jiange</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 2004.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>98JYM5</td>
<td>Jiange</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 2004.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>Qikongzi M1</td>
<td>Neijiang</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td>chicken, figurine, vessel, house</td>
<td>con tree</td>
<td>pictorial brick</td>
<td>SCWW 1996.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>Qikongzi M2</td>
<td>Neijiang</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td>house, vessel, figurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1996.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
<td>Guanshengdian M</td>
<td>Neijiang</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1992.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264</td>
<td>Hongying M2</td>
<td>Neijiang</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, drainage</td>
<td>figurine, vessel, lamp, animal</td>
<td>money tree</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1989.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265</td>
<td>Hongying M1</td>
<td>Neijiang</td>
<td>middle or late Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche, drainage</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCWW 1989.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266</td>
<td>Hualongqiao M</td>
<td>Chongqing</td>
<td>Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drinking vessel, figurine, animal</td>
<td>money tree, hir pin, applique</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG 1958.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267</td>
<td>Nan'an M</td>
<td>Chongqing</td>
<td>middle Eastern Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td>platform</td>
<td>incense burner, <strong>guan, bo, zeng</strong>, table, ear cup, <strong>pan, fu</strong>, well, house, granary, animal (some are lacquered pottery)</td>
<td>xi, fu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268</td>
<td>Tujing M15</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>guan, zeng, bo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269</td>
<td>Tujing M1</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>Tujing M2</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>guan, fu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>Tujing M3</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>guan, fu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272</td>
<td>Tujing M4</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273</td>
<td>Tujing M5</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage, niche, stove</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, figurine, money tree</td>
<td>fu, hu, xi, bo</td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274</td>
<td>Tujing M6</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>guan, fu, zeng, bo</td>
<td></td>
<td>wan, dish, hu, guan</td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275</td>
<td>Tujing M7</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td>money tree, fu</td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>Tujing M8</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>277</td>
<td>Tujing M9</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>guan, lamp, house, chicken, figurine, fu, zeng, pen, wan</td>
<td></td>
<td>wan, guan, yu, tan</td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278</td>
<td>Tujing M10</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>wan, dish, hu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>carvings on wall</td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279</td>
<td>Tujing M11</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>niche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>Tujing M12</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>figurine, dog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wan</td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>281</td>
<td>Tujing M13</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td>guan, lamp, well, figurine, fu, hu, bo, dish, paddy field, animal</td>
<td>fu, xi, xi</td>
<td>brick with stripe pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282</td>
<td>Tujing M14</td>
<td>Zhongxian</td>
<td>Shu Han</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>guan, figurine, paddy field</td>
<td></td>
<td>money tree, pan, zeng, fu, xi, chopsticks, horse, figurine, table, ear cup</td>
<td></td>
<td>WW 1985.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>Burial Instrument</td>
<td>Grave Goods</td>
<td>Tomb Decoration</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>Qigedong M1</td>
<td>Changning</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284</td>
<td>Qigedong M2</td>
<td>Changning</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>Qigedong M3</td>
<td>Changning</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286</td>
<td>Qigedong M4</td>
<td>Changning</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287</td>
<td>Qigedong M5</td>
<td>Changning</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>288</td>
<td>Qigedong M6</td>
<td>Changning</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289</td>
<td>Qigedong M7</td>
<td>Changning</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>stone coffin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>