

Non-Vanishing Unitary Cohomology of Low-Rank Integral Special Linear Groups

Benjamin Brück¹, Sam Hughes², Dawid Kielak^{3,*}, and Piotr Mizerka^{4,5}

¹Institut für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagenforschung, Einsteinstr. 62, 48149 Münster, Germany

²Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany

³Mathematical Institute, Andrew Wiles Building, Observatory Quarter, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK

⁴Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

⁵Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

*Correspondence to be sent to: e-mail: kielak@maths.ox.ac.uk

Communicated by Marc Burger

We construct explicit finite-dimensional orthogonal representations π_N of $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ for $N \in \{3, 4\}$ all of whose invariant vectors are trivial, and such that $H^{N-1}(\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z}), \pi_N)$ is non-trivial. This implies that for N as above, the group $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ does not have property (T_{N-1}) of Bader–Sauer and therefore is not $(N-1)$ -Kazhdan in the sense of De Chiffre–Glebsky–Lubotzky–Thom, both being higher versions of Kazhdan’s property T .

1 Introduction

One of the remarkable qualities of Kazhdan’s property T is that it admits a plethora of equivalent formulations. In particular, the celebrated Delorme–Guichardet Theorem [14, 20] tells us that a finitely generated group has property T if and only if its first reduced cohomology with coefficients in any unitary representation is zero.

This cohomological viewpoint invites natural extensions, where one looks at the vanishing of higher reduced cohomologies. Bader–Sauer [3] introduced two such generalisations: the weaker property (T_n) , which requires the i th reduced cohomology to vanish for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ when the coefficients come from a unitary representation all of whose invariant vectors are trivial, and the stronger property $[T_n]$, where the vanishing should happen for all unitary representations; the latter property is equivalent to being n -Kazhdan, as introduced by De Chiffre–Glebsky–Lubotzky–Thom [13].

Kazhdan introduced property T to study lattices in semi-simple Lie groups of higher rank [26]. The prime example of such a lattice is $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ for $N \geq 3$, and it is precisely these groups that we will investigate.

Theorem 1.1. For every $N \in \{3, 4\}$, there exists a finite-dimensional orthogonal representation π_N of $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ all of whose invariant vectors are trivial such that

$$H^{N-1}(\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z}); \pi_N) \neq 0.$$

Received: November 19, 2024. Revised: July 7, 2025. Accepted: July 13, 2025

© The Author(s) 2025. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Since π_N is finite-dimensional, the reduced and non-reduced cohomologies are equal, and therefore $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ does not have property (T_{N-1}) .

We remark that work by Monod [34, Corollary 1.6] implies that the non-trivial cohomology classes we obtain are unbounded.

Theorem 1.1 should be compared with [3, Theorem A], stating that for all $N \geq 3$, the groups $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ have property (T_{N-2}) . The Bader–Sauer theorem is an example of the phenomenon of cohomology vanishing below the rank (which is $N - 1$ in this case); our result shows that at the rank, such vanishing no longer takes place.

For $N = 2$, since $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has a finite-index subgroup with infinite abelianisation, one easily constructs unitary representations with all fixed vectors trivial that admit non-trivial harmonic cocycles, and hence the first cohomology of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with coefficients in such a representation is non-trivial. Hence, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ does not have property (T_1) .

There is an easier way of establishing that $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ does not have the stronger property $[T_3]$, shown to the authors by Roman Sauer: $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ admits a finite-index torsion-free subgroup with cohomological dimension three and Euler characteristic zero. Since the zeroth cohomology of a non-trivial group with trivial coefficients $\mathbb{Q}$ is non-zero, there must be some non-trivial cohomology in odd dimensions. There is none in dimension one, since $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ has property T , and thus its finite-index subgroups have finite abelianisations. The subgroup must therefore have non-vanishing third cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$, which by Shapiro’s lemma gives us non-vanishing third cohomology for $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ with coefficients in a finite-dimensional unitary representation. This representation does have non-trivial invariant vectors.

In general, computations of cohomology with trivial coefficients yield further information about property $[T_{N-1}]$: Theorem 1.1 implies that $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ does not have $[T_{N-1}]$ for $N \in \{3, 4\}$. The same is true for all $N \geq 5$, since $H^5(\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ for all such N . This follows from a stability result by Borel [6] with improved stable ranges that were independently shown by Li–Sun [31], Kupers–Miller–Patz [27], and Bader–Sauer [3, Theorem C and Eq. (1)]. Furthermore, Grbac and Grobner [19] recently showed that $H^{N-1}(\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ for all even $N \geq 4$ and all odd N such that $N \geq 51$ or $N \in \{23, 27, 31, 25, 29, 43, 47\}$. For further non-trivial classes in cohomology with trivial coefficients that were recently found, see [1, 2, 9–11].

The general strategy to prove Theorem 1.1 that we will follow consists of three steps. First, we explicitly construct a chain complex for the symmetric space of $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{R})$ relative to its Borel–Serre boundary using Voronoi cells—here we are following an established technique, see [16, 39]. Then we construct an explicit finite-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ all of whose invariant vectors are trivial. Finally, we tensor the chain complex with the representation, and obtain non-trivial homology classes of the tensored complex using a computer. Through an argument using a spectral sequence and Borel–Serre duality, we obtain non-trivial cohomology classes for $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ with coefficients in the chosen representation.

Notebooks containing the computations can be found in a Zenodo repository [8]. In particular, they contain an implementation of the Voronoi tessellation in the Julia language.

2 Computing Cohomology of Special Linear Groups

The central aim of the article is to compute cohomology groups of the special linear group $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$. We will do this by relating the cohomology of $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ to the homology of the pair $(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*)$, where X_N denotes the symmetric space associated to $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{R})$, the space $X_N^* \supset X_N$ is a certain bordification, and $\partial X_N^* = X_N^* \setminus X_N$ is the boundary of this bordification.

2.1 The bordification X_N^*

We start by defining X_N^* . The set of all symmetric $N \times N$ -matrices over $\mathbb{R}$ forms an $(N(N + 1)/2)$ -dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. We identify it with the subspace of quadratic forms on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Inside this subspace, the set of all positive definite forms is a cone that we denote by K_N . We write K_N^* for the set of all non-zero positive semidefinite forms whose kernel is spanned by vectors in $\mathbb{Q}^N$. The set K_N^* forms a cone as well and we have $K_N \subset K_N^*$.

Define X_N^* as the quotient of K_N^* by homotheties and let $\pi : K_N^* \rightarrow X_N^*$ be the projection map, that is, $\pi(q) = \pi(q')$ if and only if $q = \lambda \cdot q'$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We identify the symmetric space X_N associated to $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{R})$ with $\pi(K_N)$. (The isomorphism of X_N with the coset description of the symmetric space as $\mathrm{SO}(N) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{R})$ is given by $\mathrm{SO}(N)g \mapsto \pi(g^t g)$.) We write $\partial X_N^* = X_N^* \setminus X_N$.

The group $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on K_N^* from the right by

$$q \cdot g = g^\dagger q g, \text{ for } g \in \mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } q \in K_N^*,$$

where we see both g and q as represented by matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. This induces an action of $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ on X_N^* that extends the usual action on X_N and in particular preserves ∂X_N^* . Note that in the above, we actually only defined X_N^* as a set. The topology we consider on it is not just induced by the subspace topology that K_N^* inherits from $\mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. Rather, we equip it with a CW-topology with respect to a certain cell structure. We will assume the existence of this topology for now and postpone the description of the corresponding cell structure until Section 3.1. For further details, see [39].

2.2 Relation to the cohomology of $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$

Write $G = \mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ and let M be a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module that is finite dimensional as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -module. By [39, Proposition 1],

$$H_p(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{St}_N & \text{if } p = N - 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

as G -modules, where St_N is the *Steinberg module* associated to $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Q})$, that is, the degree- $(N - 2)$ reduced homology of the Tits building associated to $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Q})$. In particular,

$$H_p(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*) \otimes M = \begin{cases} \mathrm{St}_N \otimes M & \text{if } p = N - 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

as G -modules, where tensoring takes place over $\mathbb{Z}$, and G acts diagonally.

We want to compare the G -modules $H_p(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*) \otimes M$ and $H_p(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M)$. To this end, let us prove the following lemma, which holds for any group G .

Lemma 2.1. Let (X, Y) be a pair of G -CW complexes. If M is a G -module that is torsion free as a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, then the natural bilinear map $H_p(X, Y; M) \rightarrow H_p(X, Y) \otimes M$ is an isomorphism of G -modules.

Proof. We proceed by examining a standard proof for the Universal Coefficient Theorem and checking that each of the maps involved is in fact a G -map. See for example [21, Chapter 3.A] for a detailed proof of the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

Let $C_\bullet$ denote the chain complex of the pair (X, Y) —it is a chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, and all the modules are free as $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. The complex admits subcomplexes $Z_\bullet$ and $B_\bullet$ (with trivial differentials) of G -modules consisting of cycles and boundaries, respectively. The chain complex $C_\bullet$ is an extension of $B_{\bullet-1}$ by $Z_\bullet$; this extension respects the G -module structure, and it is split as an extension of chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. Tensoring these chain complexes with M over $\mathbb{Z}$ (with diagonal G -action) we obtain a short exact sequence of chain complexes of G -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_\bullet \otimes M \longrightarrow C_\bullet \otimes M \longrightarrow B_{\bullet-1} \otimes M \longrightarrow 0$$

(exact since the short exact sequence before tensoring was split). It gives a long exact homology sequence of G -modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow B_n \otimes M \xrightarrow{i_n \otimes \mathrm{id}} Z_n \otimes M \longrightarrow H_n(C_\bullet; M) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

that breaks up into short exact sequences of G -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(i_n \otimes \mathrm{id}) \longrightarrow H_n(C_\bullet; M) \longrightarrow \ker(i_{n-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now, $\text{Coker}(i_n \otimes \text{id}) = H_n(C_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M$ by right-exactness of the tensor product. The group $\ker(i_{n-1} \otimes \text{id})$ is, by definition (see e.g., [21, Chapter 3.A]), exactly $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{n-1}(C_\bullet), M)$. The Tor-group vanishes because M is $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion-free by assumption. ■

Returning to the case $G = \text{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$, we conclude that

$$H_p(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*) \otimes M \cong H_p(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M) \quad (2)$$

as G -modules.

There is a spectral sequence, see [12, VII (7.2)], that computes the equivariant homology $H_{p+q}^G(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M)$, namely,

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(G; H_q(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}^G(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M).$$

But by Eqs. (1) and (2), the E^2 -page of this spectral sequence is concentrated in the $q = N - 1$ row. In particular, it collapses, and so

$$\begin{aligned} H_{p+N-1}^G(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M) &\cong H_p(G; H_{N-1}(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M)) \\ &\cong H_p(G; \text{St}_N \otimes M) \\ &\cong H^{N(N-1)/2-p}(G; M) \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is Borel–Serre Duality [5].

In conclusion, in order to understand the cohomology $H^q(G; M)$, it is enough to compute $H_{(N+2)(N-1)/2-q}^G(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M)$. We are in particular interested in the case $q = N - 1$, where the above gives an isomorphism

$$H^{N-1}(G; M) \cong H_{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}^G(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M). \quad (3)$$

We will compute the right hand side of this equation using an explicit chain complex that we describe in the next subsection.

3 A Chain Complex for $(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*)$

In this section, we describe a cell structure on $(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*)$ due to Voronoi [41]. This cell complex (or its quotient under the action of $\text{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$) is often called the *first Voronoi* or *perfect cone* decomposition of X_N^* . We then give an explicit description of the associated cellular chain complex. We largely follow [39] and [16]; for further details, see also [32, Chapter 7] and [33, Sections 2.7–2.10].

3.1 Voronoi tessellation

Recall from Section 2.1 that K_N and K_N^* denote the cones of positive definite forms and positive semi-definite forms with rational kernel, respectively, and that X_N and X_N^* are the images of these cones under the map π that quotients out homotheties. For a positive definite form $q \in K_N$, let $\mu(q) = \min_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus \{0\}} q(w)$ be the smallest value that q takes on non-trivial elements of $\mathbb{Z}^N$. The set of *minimal vectors* $m(q)$ is the (finite) subset of $\mathbb{Z}^N$ where this minimum is attained,

$$m(q) = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid q(v) = \mu(q)\}.$$

The form q is called *perfect* if $m(q)$ determines it uniquely up to homothety, so if $q' \in K_N$ with $m(q') = m(q)$, then $\pi(q) = \pi(q') \in X_N$.

To each perfect form $q \in K_N$ we can associate a subset $\sigma(q) \subseteq X_N^*$ defined as follows: for $v \in \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus \{0\}$, let $\hat{v} \in K_N^*$ be the positive semidefinite quadratic form defined by the matrix vv^t . The convex hull of all

$\hat{v}$ with $v \in m(q)$ is a subset of K_N^* . Define $\sigma(q)$ as the image of this convex hull in X_N^* ,

$$\sigma(q) := \pi(\mathrm{hull}(\{\hat{v} \mid v \in m(q)\})).$$

Voronoi [41] showed that the collection of the sets $\sigma(q)$, where q ranges over all perfect forms in K_N , together with all their intersections forms a polyhedral cell decomposition of X_N^* [32, Proposition 7.1.8]. The topology on X_N^* is the CW-topology with respect to this cell decomposition.

Any face τ of this polyhedral complex is contained in a maximal dimensional cell $\sigma(q)$. Define $m(\tau) \subseteq m(q)$ to be the set of all minimal vectors $v \in m(q)$ such that $\pi(\hat{v}) \in \tau$ (in particular, if $\tau = \sigma(q)$ has maximal dimension, then $m(\sigma(q)) = m(q)$). The set $m(\tau)$ is uniquely determined by τ and independent of its embedding in a maximal cell $\tau \subseteq \sigma(q)$ [33, Theorem 2.10(a)]. The cell τ is the convex hull of $m(\tau)$, and for cells τ and τ' we have $m(\tau \cap \tau') = m(\tau) \cap m(\tau')$.

The action of $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ on X_N^* is cellular with respect to this cell structure and for $g \in \mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ and a cell σ , we have $m(\sigma.g) = m(\sigma).g = \{gv \mid v \in m(\sigma)\}$. There are only finitely many $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of cells [41, p. 110], cf. [33, Theorem 2.10(c)], and if a cell σ intersects X_N non-trivially (so it is not contained in ∂X_N^*), then the setwise stabiliser $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})}(\sigma)$ is finite.

Note that for $N \geq 2$, all vertices of this polyhedral complex are contained in ∂X_N^* (they correspond to the forms vv^t , which cannot be positive definite as they have non-trivial kernels). Furthermore, if the interior of a cell τ contains any point of ∂X_N^* , then τ is already entirely contained in ∂X_N^* . In particular, the cell decomposition is such that ∂X_N^* is a subcomplex (i.e., a union of closed cells). This allows one to compute $H_p^G(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; M)$ using the cellular chain complex of the pair $(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*)$. We describe this chain complex in the next section.

Example 3.1. If $N = 2$, the symmetric space X_2 is the hyperbolic plane and X_2^* is obtained from it by adding a countable set of boundary points. The polyhedral complex described above has dimension 2 and is simplicial. There is exactly one $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of cells in each dimension 0, 1, and 2. The 2- and 1-cells intersect X_2 non-trivially, whereas the 0-cells are contained in ∂X_2^* . The orbit of the 2-cells is represented by the perfect form

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } m(q) = \{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm(e_1 + e_2)\},$$

where $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ are the two standard basis vectors. The orbit of 1-cells is represented by the cell σ with $m(\sigma) = \{\pm e_1, \pm e_2\}$, and the orbit of the 0-cells by τ with $m(\tau) = \{\pm e_1\}$. This tessellates X_2^* by the Farey graph with all vertices lying at ∂X_2^* .

The case $N = 3$ is described in [40, A.3.7].

3.2 The cellular chain complex of $(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*)$

We will now describe the cellular chain complex $V_\bullet$ of $(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*)$, as a chain complex of projective $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ -modules. The discussion is actually more general: consider $(X, \partial X)$, a G -CW-pair of regular CW-complexes for some group G , such that there are only with finitely many orbits of open cells that are not contained in ∂X and such that the stabiliser of each such cell is finite.

Given an n -cell σ and an $(n-1)$ -cell τ , we say that τ is a *facet* of σ if and only if the attaching map $\partial\sigma \rightarrow X^{(n-1)}$ and every map homotopic to it has image intersecting the interior of τ non-trivially. When $(X, \partial X)$ is a polyhedral pair, this coincides with the usual notion of a facet.

Our description of the modules is an explicit version of the argument given in [12, Example III.5.5b], but carried out over the rationals. Concretely, the $\mathbb{Q}G$ -modules V_σ that we are about to describe are isomorphic to the modules $\mathrm{Ind}_{G(\sigma)}^G \mathbb{Z}_\sigma \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in Brown's notation.

For each cell σ of X , fix an orientation of σ . If τ and σ are cells of X that are not contained in ∂X , we denote by $G(\tau, \sigma) \subset G$ the (finite) set of all $g \in G$ such that $\tau.g$ is a (not necessarily proper) face of σ , ignoring the orientations. Note that if $G(\tau, \sigma)$ is non-empty, then it is a double coset of the stabilisers $G(\tau) := \mathrm{Stab}_G(\tau) \leq G$ on the left and $G(\sigma)$ on the right, where again the orientation is ignored. Furthermore, if $g \in G(\tau, \sigma)$ and $\tau.g$ is either equal to σ or a facet of σ , we define $\eta(\tau, \sigma, g) \in \{\pm 1\}$ to be 1 if g sends the fixed orientation of τ to the orientation on $\tau.g$ induced from that of σ , and

to be -1 otherwise. Clearly, for $h \in G(\sigma)$ we have

$$\eta(\tau, \sigma, g)\eta(\sigma, \sigma, h) = \eta(\tau, \sigma, gh) \text{ and } \eta(\sigma, \sigma, h) = \eta(\sigma, \sigma, h^{-1}),$$

and similarly for $(h, g) \in G(\tau) \times G(\tau, \sigma)$ and $(h, g) \in G(\sigma) \times G(\tau, \sigma)$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_n$ be a set of representatives of the G -orbits of unoriented n -cells of X that are not contained in ∂X . For $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n$, we put

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G(\sigma)} \eta(\sigma, \sigma, g) g \xi \mid \xi \in \mathbb{Q}G \right\}.$$

One easily sees that this is isomorphic to Brown's $\text{Ind}_{G(\sigma)}^G \mathbb{Z}_\sigma \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ via the map taking a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_\sigma$ to $v_\sigma = \frac{1}{|G(\sigma)|} \sum_{g \in G(\sigma)} \eta(\sigma, \sigma, g) g$. In other words, the element v_σ represents the n -chain with weight one on σ , and zero elsewhere – we will refer to this as the *characteristic chain* of σ . In particular, for $h \in G(\sigma)$ we have $h v_\sigma = \eta(\sigma, \sigma, h) v_\sigma = v_\sigma h$.

We let $V_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n} V_\sigma$ and define the boundary operators $\partial_n: V_n \rightarrow V_{n-1}$ as follows. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}$. We will define the map $\partial_{\sigma, \tau}: V_\sigma \rightarrow V_\tau$, and then set

$$\partial_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}} \partial_{\sigma, \tau}: \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n} V_\sigma \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}} V_\tau.$$

Define $\partial_{\sigma, \tau}: V_\sigma \rightarrow V_\tau$ to be the map given by left multiplication with

$$\frac{1}{|G(\tau)|} \sum_{g \in G(\tau, \sigma)} \eta(\tau, \sigma, g) g.$$

Here, $\eta(\tau, \sigma, g)$ is computed with respect to the fixed orientations on σ and τ . When $\tau.G$ does not contain faces of σ , we set $\partial_{\sigma, \tau}$ to be the zero map.

Let us compute $\partial_{\sigma, \tau} v_\sigma$ for σ and τ as above. Picking orbit representatives, we write $G(\tau, \sigma) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^l G(\tau) g_i$ for some collection of elements $g_1, \dots, g_l$ of $G(\tau, \sigma)$. In this notation, σ has exactly l facets in the orbit of τ , namely $\tau.g_1, \dots, \tau.g_l$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\sigma, \tau} v_\sigma &= \frac{1}{|G(\tau)|} \sum_{g \in G(\tau, \sigma)} \eta(\tau, \sigma, g) g v_\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{|G(\tau)|} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{g \in G(\tau)} \eta(\tau, \sigma, g g_i) g g_i v_\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{|G(\tau)|} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{g \in G(\tau)} \eta(\tau, \tau, g) \eta(\tau, \sigma, g_i) g g_i v_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \eta(\tau, \sigma, g_i) v_\tau g_i v_\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{|G(\sigma)|} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{h \in G(\sigma)} \eta(\tau, \sigma, g_i) v_\tau g_i \eta(\sigma, \sigma, h) h \\ &= \frac{1}{|G(\sigma)|} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{h \in G(\sigma)} \eta(\tau, \sigma, g_i h) v_\tau g_i h. \end{aligned}$$

For every i and $h \in G(\sigma)$, we have $g_i h \in G(\tau, \sigma)$, so there exists a unique j such that $g_i h \in G(\tau)g_j$. Set $h' := g_i h g_j^{-1} \in G(\tau)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\tau, \sigma, g_i h) v_\tau g_i h &= \eta(\tau, \sigma, h' g_j) v_\tau h' g_j \\ &= \eta(\tau, \sigma, h' g_j) \eta(\tau, \tau, h') v_\tau g_j \\ &= \eta(\tau, \sigma, g_j) v_\tau g_j. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the map $(i, h) \mapsto j$ is $|G(\tau)|$ -to-one, and hence

$$\partial_{\sigma, \tau} v_\sigma = \frac{1}{|G(\sigma)|} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{h \in G(\sigma)} \eta(\tau, \sigma, g_i h) v_\tau g_i h = v_\tau \cdot \sum_{j=1}^l \eta(\tau, \sigma, g_j) g_j.$$

Since v_τ represents the characteristic chain of the cell τ , the expression above is precisely the sum of the characteristic chains of the G -translates of τ that are faces of σ , with signs depending on orientations. Therefore the chain complex $V_\bullet = (V_n, \partial_n)$ is isomorphic to the chain complex of the CW-pair $(X, \partial X)$.

Let M be any $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module, and consider $H_0(G; V_p \otimes M)$; by definition, this is the abelian group of $\mathbb{Q}G$ -coinvariants of $V_p \otimes M$, but this in turn is easily seen to be precisely $V_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} M$. Clearly, the differentials in $V_\bullet \otimes M$ descend to those of $V_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} M$, and therefore $V_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} M$ coincides with the chain complex with terms $H_0(G; V_p \otimes M)$ that appears as the zeroth row (that is, $q = 0$) of the first page of the spectral sequence computing $H_{p+q}^G(X, \partial X; M)$, see [12, Equation VII.7.6]. Crucially, the other terms are all zero: by [12, Equation VII.7.6] again, they are all equal to direct sums of homologies in degree q of the groups $G(\sigma)$ for various cells σ . These groups are all finite, and the rational cohomological dimension of a finite group is zero. Hence, for $q \neq 0$, these terms are all zero, as claimed. We therefore see that $H_p^G(X, \partial X; M)$ coincides with $H_p(V_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} M)$ for every $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module M .

The modules V_n constructed above are submodules of free modules. We will now show that they are direct summands. To this end, we will first decompose $\mathbb{Q}G$.

Lemma 3.2. Let K be a finite subgroup of G and $\eta: K \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be a homomorphism. Put

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \left\{ \sum_{k \in K} \eta(k) k \xi \mid \xi \in \mathbb{Q}G \right\} \\ \text{and } W &= \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda(g) g \in \mathbb{Q}G \mid \sum_{k \in K} \eta(k) \lambda(kg) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbb{Q}G = V \oplus W$.

Proof. Consider the $\mathbb{Q}G$ -linear map $\rho: \mathbb{Q}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}G$ given by $x \mapsto vx$ where $v = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \eta(k) k$. Clearly, the image of ρ is precisely V , and since $v^2 = v$, the map ρ is actually a retraction of $\mathbb{Q}G$ onto V . It follows that $\mathbb{Q}G = \ker \rho \oplus V$, and hence it is enough to show that $W = \ker \rho$, but this is immediate. ■

It follows that

$$(\mathbb{Q}G)^{\mathcal{O}_n} = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O}_n} \mathbb{Q}G = V_n \oplus W_n \tag{4}$$

for

$$V_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n} V_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad W_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n} W_\sigma,$$

where

$$W_\sigma = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda(g)g \in \mathbb{Q}G \mid \sum_{k \in G(\sigma)} \eta(\sigma, \sigma, k) \lambda(kg) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G \right\}.$$

In particular, this implies that $V_\bullet$ is a chain complex of projective $\mathbb{Q}G$ -modules.

4 Homology With Unitary Coefficients

We now compute homology with coefficients being a Hilbert space endowed with a unitary action of G . In this context, one usually considers *reduced* homology, namely kernels of the differentials divided by the closures of the images. The reason is that the resulting abelian groups are then Hilbert spaces themselves. This has practical consequences, for example, when one wants to access the von Neumann dimension, like in the theory of L^2 -homology. The usual homology is known as the *non-reduced* homology in this context.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space (either real or complex) endowed with a linear G -action, where $G = \mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$. In practice, this is going to be one of our carefully chosen orthogonal representations. To compute $H_\bullet^G(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; \mathcal{H})$ we need to tensor the chain complex $V_\bullet$ defined in Section 3.2 with $\mathcal{H}$ over $\mathbb{Q}G$ and compute the homology of the resulting chain complex

$$\dots \rightarrow V_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1} \otimes \mathrm{id}} V_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\partial_n \otimes \mathrm{id}} V_{n-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \dots$$

Using the isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}$, $g \otimes v \mapsto \pi(g)v$ and Eq. 4, we view the modules $V_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H}$ as direct summands of Hilbert spaces $\mathbb{Q}G^{\mathcal{O}_n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{O}_n}$; hence, the modules $V_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H}$ are Hilbert spaces themselves.

Since $\mathcal{H}$ is finite dimensional, and the $\mathbb{Q}G$ -modules V_i are direct summands of finitely generated free $\mathbb{Q}G$ -modules by Eq. (4), all the linear spaces appearing as images of the differentials in the chain complex are finite dimensional. Hence they are closed, which implies that the reduced and non-reduced homologies of $V_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H}$ coincide. This is important: For us it is easier to compute reduced homology as the kernel of a Laplacian, but to use the previous section we need to determine the non-reduced homology.

It follows from the Hodge decomposition that $H_n^G(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*; \mathcal{H}) = \ker \Delta_n$ where

$$\Delta_n = (\partial_n^* \partial_n + \partial_{n+1} \partial_{n+1}^*) \otimes \mathrm{id}: V_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow V_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H}$$

is the Laplacian. This version of the Hodge decomposition has exactly the same proof as the usual L^2 -version, see for example [38, Lemma 2.0.2].

Instead of computing the kernel of the Laplacian Δ_n , we substitute it with another operator $\Delta'_n: (\mathbb{Q}G)^{\mathcal{O}_n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}G)^{\mathcal{O}_n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H}$. This is done in such a way that $\ker \Delta_n \cong \ker \Delta'_n$. We then compute $\ker \Delta'_n$.

We define the operator Δ'_n to be equal to Δ_n on the V_n component and to be the identity on the W_n component of Eq. (4):

$$\Delta'_n := ((\partial_n^* \partial_n + \partial_{n+1} \partial_{n+1}^*) \oplus \mathrm{id}_{W_n}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}: (V_n \oplus W_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (V_n \oplus W_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}G} \mathcal{H}.$$

Since tensoring preserves direct sums, we have

$$\ker \Delta'_n = \ker \Delta_n \oplus \ker(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id}: W_n \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow W_n \otimes \mathcal{H}) \cong \ker \Delta_n.$$

The reason for working with Δ'_n instead of Δ_n is that we can easily describe Δ'_n as a matrix by evaluating the representation $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on

$$(\partial_n^* \partial_n + \partial_{n+1} \partial_{n+1}^*) \oplus \mathrm{id}_W: (\mathbb{Q}G)^{\mathcal{O}_n} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}G)^{\mathcal{O}_n}.$$

The key point here is that Δ'_n is obtained from a homomorphism of free $\mathbb{Q}G$ -modules. The Laplacian Δ_n on the other hand is obtained from a map of projective $\mathbb{Q}G$ -modules.

5 Nontriviality of Cohomology

In this section we describe finite-dimensional orthogonal representations $\pi_N : SL_N(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_N)$, $N = 3, 4$, all of whose invariant vectors are trivial, such that the cohomology $H^{N-1}(SL_N(\mathbb{Z}), \pi_N)$ is non-zero. Since the representations are finite dimensional, the cohomology coincides with the reduced cohomology.

The general scheme is as follows. We find, for some prime p_N , an orthogonal (hence unitary) representation $\pi'_N : SL_N(\mathbb{Z}_{p_N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_N)$ all of whose invariant vectors are trivial, where $\mathbb{Z}_{p_N} = \mathbb{Z}/p_N\mathbb{Z}$. This defines

$$\pi_N : SL_N(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_N)$$

by precomposing π'_N with the modular map $SL_N(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_N(\mathbb{Z}_{p_N})$. Applying π_N to the operator Δ'_n yields a real square matrix, as explained at the end of Section 4. To show that $H^{N-1}(SL_N(\mathbb{Z}), \pi_N) \neq 0$, we have to prove that for $n = N(N-1)/2$, this matrix has non-trivial kernel (see Eq. (3)). Moreover, computing the real dimension of this kernel gives precisely the real dimension of the corresponding cohomology.

Let us define π'_3 and π'_4 . In both cases, we indicate a subgroup H_N of $SL_N(\mathbb{Z}_{p_N})$, $N = 3, 4$, and an orthogonal representation π''_N of H_N all of whose invariant vectors are trivial. The representation π'_N is the representation induced from π''_N , from H_N to $SL_N(\mathbb{Z}_{p_N})$, where $p_3 = 3, p_4 = 2$. In order to get π''_N , in turn, we proceed as follows. We indicate a normal subgroup H of H_N and define an orthogonal representation ρ of the quotient group H_N/H all of whose invariant vectors are trivial, in an explicit way. As in the case of constructing π_N from π'_N , the representation π''_N is defined by precomposing ρ with the quotient homomorphism $H_N \twoheadrightarrow H_N/H$. Below, we describe the representation ρ for $N = 3$ and $N = 4$.

(1) *The case $N = 3$.* We set H_3 to be the subgroup of $SL_3(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ generated by the two matrices

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group H_3 is isomorphic to $S_3 \times S_3$ and we take its index-two subgroup $H \cong C_3 \times S_3$ generated by s and the two matrices

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This allows us to define $\rho : H_N/H \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{R})$ as follows.

$$\rho(hH) = \begin{cases} (1) & \text{if } h \in H \\ (-1) & \text{if } h \notin H \end{cases}.$$

Suppose that $v \in \mathbb{R}$ is an invariant vector of ρ . Then any $h \in H_N \setminus H$ represents the generator hH of H_N/H . Thus, $\rho(hH)v = -v$. Since v is invariant this means that it is the zero vector.

(2) *The case $N = 4$.* The group H_4 is the subgroup of $SL_4(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ generated by the two matrices

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The order of H_4 is 576 and H_4 possesses a normal subgroup H generated by the following six matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ d &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The quotient H_4/H is isomorphic to $D_6 \cong S_3$, the dihedral group of order six, and is generated by the equivalence classes of

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us denote by $M(\sigma)$ the permutation matrix of $\sigma \in S_3$, for example,

$$M((1, 2, 3)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define $\rho : H_N/H \rightarrow GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ as follows.

$$\rho(hH) = \begin{cases} I_3 & \text{if } hH = H, \\ M((1, 2, 3)) & \text{if } hH = xH, \\ M((3, 2, 1)) & \text{if } hH = x^2H, \\ -M((1, 2)) & \text{if } hH = yH, \\ -M((2, 3)) & \text{if } hH = yxH, \\ -M((1, 3)) & \text{if } hH = yx^2H. \end{cases}$$

Assuming $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is an invariant vector of ρ , we have $(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \rho(xH)v = (v_3, v_1, v_2)$. This already implies that $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = t$. On the other hand, $(t, t, t) = \rho(yH) = (-t, -t, t)$, which means $t = 0$. Thus, the only invariant vector of ρ is the zero vector.

Denote by Ξ_3 the operator Δ'_3 for $N = 3$, as constructed at the end of Section 4. Similarly, denote by Ξ_4 the operator Δ'_6 for $N = 4$.

After performing the necessary computations, we were able to show the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5.1. The coranks of the matrices $\pi_3(\Xi_3)$ and $\pi_4(\Xi_4)$ are equal to 4 and 2 respectively. Therefore, $H^2(SL_3(\mathbb{Z}), \pi_3) \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ and $H^3(SL_4(\mathbb{Z}), \pi_4) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$.

6 Implementation

In order to get our results, we implemented the necessary procedures in Julia [4]. They are available at [8]. Below we describe them in more detail.

6.1 Voronoi tessellation and the chain complex $V_\bullet$

In the following, we describe how we computed the equivariant chain complex $V_\bullet$ of $(X_N^*, \partial X_N^*)$ described in Section 3.2. We again set $G = SL_N(\mathbb{Z})$.

6.1.1 Barycentres

For implementing computations around the Voronoi cell structure on X_N^* , we use that much information about the cells of this complex can be inferred from knowing their barycentres: For a cell σ , let $q(\sigma) := \sum_{v \in m(\sigma)} \hat{v}$. Then $\pi(q(\sigma))$ is the barycentre of σ . If σ and σ' are cells of the same dimension, then $g \in G$ sends σ to σ' if and only if it sends $q(\sigma)$ to $q(\sigma')$, that is,

$$G(\sigma, \sigma') = \{g \in G \mid \sigma \cdot g = \sigma'\} = \{g \in G \mid q(\sigma) \cdot g = q(\sigma')\}.$$

In particular, the setwise stabiliser of a cell σ is given by

$$\mathrm{Stab}_G(\sigma) = \{g \in G \mid q(\sigma) \cdot g = q(\sigma)\}. \quad (5)$$

Furthermore, a cell σ intersects X_N^* non-trivially (so it is not contained in ∂X_N^*) if and only if its barycentre does, which is equivalent to saying that $q(\sigma)$ is positive definite. Positive definiteness can be effectively checked with a computer, so this allows us to determine which cells lie in ∂X_N^* . And if $q(\sigma)$ and $q(\sigma')$ are positive definite forms, then the set of all $g \in G$ sending $q(\sigma)$ to $q(\sigma')$ can be effectively computed as well. This allows one to determine $G(\sigma, \sigma')$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_G(\sigma) = G(\sigma)$.

6.1.2 Orientations

An orientation of a Voronoi cell σ is the same as an orientation of the $\dim(\sigma)$ -dimensional vector space $\mathbb{R}(\sigma)$ of symmetric $N \times N$ matrices spanned by the forms $\hat{v}$ with $v \in m(\sigma)$. In practice, we determine such an orientation by picking an ordered basis of $\mathbb{R}(\sigma)$. If τ is a facet of σ and both have a fixed orientation, we compute the relative orientation $\eta(\tau, \sigma, 1)$ as follows: start with the ordered basis B of $\mathbb{R}(\tau)$; let B' be the basis of $\mathbb{R}(\sigma) \supset \mathbb{R}(\tau)$ obtained by appending to B any vector $\hat{v}$ with $v \in m(\sigma) \setminus m(\tau)$ (the result does not depend on the choice of $\hat{v}$). Then $\eta(\tau, \sigma, 1) = \pm 1$ is the orientation of B' in the oriented vector space $\mathbb{R}(\sigma)$.

6.1.3 Computing $V_\bullet$. Step 1: Voronoi cells

As a first step to compute $V_\bullet$, we compute for each n a set $\mathcal{O}_n$ of representatives of the G -orbits of n -cells in X_N^* that intersect X_N non-trivially. Such cells occur in each dimension $N - 1 \leq n \leq \dim(X_N) = N(N + 1)/2 - 1$. Each representative $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is saved in terms of $m(\sigma)$, a finite set of vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^N$. We ignore orientations for now.

We start with the top-dimensional cells, $n = \dim(X_N)$. These are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the G -orbits of rank- N perfect forms. These orbits have been computed up to $N = 8$ [15]. We extract the information from the `Lattices` database of Nebe and Sloane [35]. (Strictly speaking, these are the $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of perfect forms, but for $N \leq 5$, these are the same as the $\mathrm{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits.) For each perfect form q , we compute its minimal vectors $m(q)$ using GAP [18].

Now assume that we have computed $\mathcal{O}_{n+1}$. We then compute $\mathcal{O}_n$ as follows: For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_{n+1}$, the convex hull of the forms $\hat{v}$ with $v \in m(\sigma)$ is a polyhedral subset of K_N^* . We compute each $\hat{v} = vv^t$ as a symmetric matrix in $\mathbb{Z}^{N \times N}$ such that their convex hull is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. We use the `Polyhedra` package [28, 29] with the exact version of the library `CDDLlib` [17] in its Julia wrapper `CDDLlib.jl` [30] to compute all facets of this subset. For each such facet, we first determine whether the corresponding cell τ of X_N^* intersects X_N non-trivially. As mentioned in Section 6.1.1, this is equivalent to $q(\tau)$ being positive definite. We check this using Sylvester's criterion, which allows for exact computations (see also Section 6.4). If $q(\tau)$ is positive definite, we check whether we already added a representative of the G -orbit of τ to $\mathcal{O}_n$ in a previous step. To do so, we check whether $q(\tau)$ lies in the orbit of $q(\tau')$ for some $\tau' \in \mathcal{O}_n$. This is done using an algorithm of Plesken–Souvignier [36]. We used an implementation of this algorithm by Brandt [7] using a combination of Julia and GAP, which we adapted to our purposes.

6.1.4 Computing $V_\bullet$. Step 2: differentials

We next determine for every n the modules V_n and the differential $\partial_n: V_n \rightarrow V_{n-1}$. To do so, we first fix for all n and all $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n$ an orientation by computing an (arbitrary) ordered basis of the vector space $\mathbb{R}(\sigma)$, as described in Section 6.1.2.

For each $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n$, we compute its stabiliser $G(\sigma)$ using Eq. (5). For every $g \in G(\sigma)$, we also compute $\eta(\sigma, \sigma, g)$ by comparing the fixed orientation of σ with the image of this orientation under g .

This determines the element v_σ , and hence the summand $V_\sigma \leq V_n$. The module V_n is the direct sum of modules V_σ .

The differentials ∂_n are defined as sums of the $\mathbb{Q}G$ -morphisms $\partial_{\sigma,\tau}$, for $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}$. To determine these, we first compute all facets of σ using `Polyhedra.jl`. For each such facet τ' , we determine whether τ' intersects the interior of X_N^* by checking whether $q(\tau')$ is positive definite. If this is not the case, we ignore τ' and continue with the next facet of σ . If $q(\tau')$ is positive definite, we fix an orientation on τ' . We use the algorithm by Plesken–Souvignier [7, 36] to determine the unique $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ that lies in the same G -orbit as τ' . The algorithm also allows us to get a list of all $g \in G$ such that $\tau.g = \tau'$. Comparing the orientation on τ' with the g -image of the fixed orientation on τ gives us $\eta(\tau, \tau', g)$ for all such g . We compute $\eta(\tau', \sigma, 1)$ by comparing the orientation on τ' with the fixed orientation on σ , as explained in Section 6.1.2. We then compute $\eta(\tau, \sigma, g) = \eta(\tau, \tau.g, g) \cdot \eta(\tau.g, \sigma, 1)$. This is all the information that is necessary to determine

$$x_{\tau'} := \frac{1}{|G(\tau)|} \sum_{g \in G(\tau, \tau')} \eta(\tau, \sigma, g)g.$$

We obtain $\partial_{\sigma,\tau} : V_\sigma \rightarrow V_\tau$ as left multiplication with the sum of all $x_{\tau'}$ where τ' is a facet of σ . This sum is an element of the group ring $\mathbb{Q}G$ that we store to represent $\partial_{\sigma,\tau}$; we store ∂_n as a matrix over $\mathbb{Q}G$. We use the group ring implementation from [23] (as used in [22, 25]) wrapped in the matrix setting in [24].

6.2 Computing the Laplacians

In order to compute the operators Ξ_3 and Ξ_4 as matrices over group rings, we start by computing the Laplacians Δ_n . To work with a group ring, one has to be able to solve the word problem in the group. It turns out that an efficient way of computing with $\mathbb{R}G$ is to pre-compute a big enough portion of the Cayley graph of G .

We fix (N, n) to be $(3, 3)$ or $(4, 6)$, see Section 5. We create a subspace $\mathbb{R}(E^{-1}E)$ of $\mathbb{R}G$ supported on the set $E^{-1}E$, where E consists of the elements of G appearing in the support of $\partial_{\sigma,\tau}$ for any cells τ and σ of dimension $n-1, n$, or $n+1$, and of elements of the stabiliser of any cell. The computer verifies that this set is actually big enough, that is, that $E^{-1}E$ contains the supports of all the group elements that appear in our computations. This was not clear a priori.

More precisely, $\mathbb{R}(E^{-1}E)$ is the subspace of $\mathbb{R}G$ consisting of the sums $\sum_{g \in E^{-1}E} \lambda_g g$ with twisted multiplication $(x, y) \mapsto x*y$ defined on E only. In that way we ensure that the twisted multiplication is an intrinsic operation in $\mathbb{R}(E^{-1}E)$, defined on a subset of $\mathbb{R}(E^{-1}E)$ consisting of group ring elements supported on E . We now compute Δ_n as a matrix over $\mathbb{R}(E^{-1}E)$.

In the next step, we pass from Δ_n to Δ'_n . We store Δ_n as an $\mathcal{O}_n \times \mathcal{O}_n$ matrix over $\mathbb{Q}G$. We first need to make sure that the matrix only operates on V_n , rather than on $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{O}_n} \mathbb{Q}G$. To arrange this, one can multiply the matrix on both sides by a diagonal matrix with entries v_σ in the (σ, σ) position. However, it follows from the computations in Section 3.2 that this multiplication does not change the matrix (this is also verified in the code). Finally, we add the diagonal matrix with entries $1 - v_\sigma$ in the (σ, σ) position to our matrix, to guarantee that we operate as the identity on W_n . This yields the desired matrix Δ'_n , which is precisely Ξ_3 or Ξ_4 , depending on (N, n) .

These computations constitute the `sln_laplacians.jl` script from [8].

6.3 Proving non-triviality of cohomology

At this stage, we load the matrix Ξ_3 or Ξ_4 from the previous step, compute the orthogonal representation of $SL_N(\mathbb{Z})$ for $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ as described in Section 5 and apply this representation to the matrix. We compute the corank (or nullity) of the matrices, proving Theorem 5.1. All this is performed in the `sln_nontrivial_cohomology.jl` script.

6.3.1 Computing the representation π_N''

In the first step, we compute the representation π_N'' with the `flip_permutation_representation` function. This boils down to computing the representation ρ of the quotient H_N/H (cf. Section 5). To get the subgroups H_N , and H , we used GAP [18]. We decided, however, to define the generating matrices of these subgroups (obtained via GAP) entirely in Julia, due to simplicity of implementation. In order to

check that the aforementioned subgroups are as described, one can use GAP. First, define the generators and the groups H_N and H as follows.

- The case $N = 3$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0_ &:= 0 * \mathbb{Z}(3)^0; \quad 1_ := 1 * \mathbb{Z}(3)^0; \quad 2_ := 2 * \mathbb{Z}(3)^0; \\ s &:= [[0_ , 0_ , 1_], [0_ , 2_ , 0_], [1_ , 1_ , 0_]]; \\ t &:= [[1_ , 2_ , 0_], [0_ , 2_ , 0_], [1_ , 1_ , 2_]]; \\ a &:= [[0_ , 0_ , 1_], [0_ , 2_ , 0_], [1_ , 1_ , 0_]]; \\ b &:= [[0_ , 1_ , 2_], [0_ , 1_ , 0_], [1_ , 2_ , 2_]]; \\ H_N &:= \text{Group}([s, t]); \quad H := \text{Group}([s, a, b]); \end{aligned}$$
- The case $N = 4$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0_ &:= 0 * \mathbb{Z}(2)^0; \quad 1_ := 1 * \mathbb{Z}(2)^0; \\ s &:= [[1_ , 0_ , 0_ , 0_], [0_ , 0_ , 0_ , 1_], [1_ , 1_ , 0_ , 1_], [1_ , 0_ , 1_ , 1_]]; \\ t &:= [[0_ , 1_ , 1_ , 0_], [0_ , 1_ , 1_ , 1_], [1_ , 1_ , 1_ , 1_], [0_ , 0_ , 1_ , 1_]]; \\ a &:= [[1_ , 0_ , 1_ , 1_], [0_ , 1_ , 1_ , 1_], [0_ , 0_ , 1_ , 0_], [0_ , 0_ , 0_ , 1_]]; \\ b &:= [[1_ , 1_ , 1_ , 0_], [1_ , 0_ , 0_ , 0_], [0_ , 0_ , 1_ , 0_], [1_ , 0_ , 1_ , 1_]]; \\ c &:= [[0_ , 1_ , 1_ , 1_], [1_ , 0_ , 1_ , 1_], [0_ , 0_ , 1_ , 0_], [0_ , 0_ , 0_ , 1_]]; \\ d &:= [[1_ , 0_ , 1_ , 0_], [0_ , 1_ , 1_ , 0_], [0_ , 0_ , 1_ , 0_], [0_ , 0_ , 0_ , 1_]]; \\ e &:= [[0_ , 1_ , 0_ , 1_], [0_ , 1_ , 0_ , 0_], [0_ , 0_ , 1_ , 0_], [1_ , 1_ , 0_ , 0_]]; \\ f &:= [[1_ , 0_ , 0_ , 0_], [1_ , 0_ , 0_ , 1_], [0_ , 0_ , 1_ , 0_], [1_ , 1_ , 1_ , 0_]]; \\ H_N &:= \text{Group}([s, t]); \\ H &:= \text{Group}([a, b, c, d, e, f]); \end{aligned}$$

Next, compute the quotient H_N/H by running $H_N_mod_H := H_N/H$. When computing the quotient H_N/H , GAP automatically verifies that H is a normal subgroup of H_N . We can check that the subgroups of interest have the desired structure by calling `StructureDescription(K)`, where K is one of the groups: H_N , H , and $H_N_mod_H$. The only thing left to check, for the case $N = 4$, is that the representatives (in H_N) of the generators of H_N/H can be chosen to be x and y . This can be accomplished as follows.

```
x := [[0_, 1_, 0_, 0_], [0_, 1_, 1_, 1_], [1_, 1_, 1_, 1_], [0_, 0_, 0_, 1_]];
y := [[0_, 1_, 0_, 0_], [0_, 0_, 0_, 1_], [1_, 1_, 0_, 1_], [0_, 1_, 1_, 1_]];
x^3 in H; y^2 in H; y*x*y*x in H;
x in H; x^2 in H; y in H; y*x in H; y*x^2 in H;
```

6.3.2 Computing the representation π'_N

In the next step, we induce π''_N from H to $SL_N(\mathbb{Z}_{p_N})$ to get π'_N . This is done essentially in the `ind_H_to_G` function, although, for the sake of legibility of the script, we wrapped it in an auxiliary function called `ind_rep_dict`, which is invoked directly in the main script.

6.3.3 Evaluating representations on the Laplacians

The matrices $\pi_N(\Xi_N)$ are computed directly from π'_N and Ξ_N with the `representing_matrix` function. For each group ring entry of Ξ_N , we project its supports to $SL_N(\mathbb{Z}_{p_N})$, apply π'_N to these projections and sum these values with coefficients to get the block entry corresponding to the considered group ring entry of Ξ_N .

6.3.4 Checking singularity of Laplacians

Finally, we compute the corank of the matrices computed in the previous step; these correspond to $\mathbb{R}$ -dimensions of $H^2(SL_3(\mathbb{Z}), \pi_3)$ and $H^3(SL_4(\mathbb{Z}), \pi_4)$, respectively.

6.4 Ensuring rigour of computations

To ensure rigour of the computations, all of them are done over integer or rational types and we use exact determinant and rank functions provided by the `LinearAlgebraX` package [37].

7 Replication of the Results

To replicate the computations justifying Theorem 5.1, we refer the reader to the `README.md` file in the Zenodo repository [8]. All the replication details are included there as well.

Funding

This work has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme [Grant agreement No. 850930]. It was partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) [Project-ID 427320536-SFB 1442], as well as by the Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044-390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure. P.M. was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland SONATINA 6 grant *Algebraic spectral gaps in group cohomology* [grant agreement no. 2022/44/C/ST1/00037].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Uri Bader and Roman Sauer for helpful conversations and clarifications regarding the results of [3]. B.B. would like to thank Ric Wade for inviting him to a visit in Oxford where this collaboration started. He would also like to thank Dan Yasaki for his patient explanations on Voronoi tessellations at the BIRS workshop Cohomology of Arithmetic Groups: Duality, Stability, and Computations (21w5011), organised in 2021 by Jeremy Miller and Jennifer Wilson.

For the purpose of Open Access, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) version arising from this submission.

References

1. Ash, A. "On the cohomology of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$." (2024).
2. Ash, A., J. Miller, and P. Patzt. "Hopf algebras, steinberg modules, and the unstable cohomology of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$." (2024).
3. Bader, U. and R. Sauer. "Higher Kazhdan property and unitary cohomology of arithmetic groups." (2023).
4. Bezanson, J., A. Edelman, S. Karpinski, and V. B. Shah. "Julia: a fresh approach to numerical computing." *SIAM Rev.* **59**, no. 1 (2017): 65–98. <https://doi.org/10.1137/141000671>.
5. Borel, A. and J.-P. Serre. "Corners and arithmetic groups." *Comment. Math. Helv.* **48** (1973): 436–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02566134>.
6. Borel, A. "Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups." *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. Q. Ser.* **7** (1974): 235–72. <https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.1269>.
7. Brandt, J. "Plesken-Souvignier." (2020). <https://git.rwth-aachen.de/jens.brandt/plesken-souvignier/-/tree/master>.
8. Brück, B., S. Hughes, D. Kielak, and P. Mizerka. *Replication Details for Non-Vanishing Unitary Cohomology of Low-Rank Integral Special Linear Groups* by B. Brück, S. Hughes, D. Kielak, and P. Mizerka, 2024. <https://zenodo.org/records/14008647>.
9. Brown, F. "Bordifications of the moduli spaces of tropical curves and abelian varieties, and unstable cohomology of $GL_g(\mathbb{Z})$ and $SL_g(\mathbb{Z})$." (2023).
10. Brown, F., M. Chan, S. Galatius, and S. Payne. "Hopf algebras in the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g$, $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$." (2024).
11. Brown, F., S. Hu, and E. Panzer. "Unstable cohomology of $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and the odd commutative graph complex." (2024).
12. Brown, K., S. "Cohomology of groups." *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 87. New York: Springer, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1982 original.
13. De Chiffre, M., L. Glebsky, A. Lubotzky, and A. Thom. "Stability, cohomology vanishing, and nonapproximable groups." *Forum Math. Sigma* **8** (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1017/fms.2020.5>.
14. Delorme, P. "1-cohomologie des représentations unitaires des groupes de lie semi-simples et résolubles. Produits tensoriels continus de représentations." *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **79**, no. 3 (1977): 281–336. <https://doi.org/10.24033/bmsf.1853>.
15. Sikirić, M. D., A. Schürmann, and F. Vallentin. "Classification of eight-dimensional perfect forms." *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (2007): 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S1079-6762-07-00171-0>.
16. Elbaz-Vincent, P., H. Gangl, and C. Soulé. "Perfect forms, K-theory and the cohomology of modular groups." *Adv. Math.* **245** (2013): 587–624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2013.06.014>.
17. Fukuda, K., et al. "CDDLlib." (2020). <https://github.com/cddlib>.
18. The GAP Group. "GAP—groups, algorithms, and programming, Version 4.12.2." (2022).

19. Grbac, N. and H. Grobner. "On the cohomology of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ beyond the stable range." (2024). https://homepage.univie.ac.at/harald.grobner/papers/Note_SLn.pdf.
20. Guichardet, A. "Sur la cohomologie des groupes topologiques. II." *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)* **96** (1972): 305–32.
21. Hatcher, A. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
22. Kaluba, M., D. Kielak, and P. W. Nowak. "On property (T) for $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$." *Ann. Math. (2)* **193**, no. 2 (2021): 539–62.
23. Kaluba, M. and B. Legat. "StarAlgebras.Jl." (2022). <https://github.com/JuliaAlgebra/StarAlgebras.jl/tree/v0.1.7>.
24. Kaluba, M., P. Mizerka, and P. W. Nowak. "LowCohomologySOS." (2024). <https://github.com/piotrmizerka/LowCohomologySOS>.
25. Kaluba, M., P. W. Nowak, and N. Ozawa. "Aut $(\mathbb{F}_5)$ has property (T)." *Math. Ann.* **375**, no. 3–4 (2019): 1169–91.
26. Každan, D. A. "On the connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups." *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.* **1** (1967): 63–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01075866>.
27. Kupers, A., J. Miller, and P. Patzt. "Improved homological stability for certain general linear groups." *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **125**, no. 3 (2022): 511–42.
28. Legat, B. "Polyhedral computation." *JuliaCon* (2023).
29. Legat, B., et al. "Polyhedra.Jl." (2024). <https://juliapolyhedra.github.io/Polyhedra.jl/stable/>.
30. Legat, B., R. Deits, M. Forets, D. Oyama, F. Pacaud, and E. Saba. "Juliapolyhedra/cddlib.Jl." (2019). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1214581>.
31. Li, J.-S. and B. Sun. "Low degree cohomologies of congruence groups." *Sci. China Math.* **62**, no. 11 (2019): 2287–308. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-018-9476-8>.
32. Martinet, J. "Perfect lattices in Euclidean spaces." *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, vol. 327. Berlin: Springer, 2003.
33. McConnell, M. "Classical projective geometry and arithmetic groups." *Math. Ann.* **290**, no. 3 (1991): 441–62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01459253>.
34. Monod, N. "On the bounded cohomology of semi-simple groups, S-arithmetic groups and products." *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **2010** (2010): 167–202. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle.2010.024>.
35. Nebe, G. and N. Sloane. "Lattices." (2024). <https://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/Gabriele.Nebe/LATTICES>.
36. Plesken, W. and B. Souvignier. "Computing isometries of lattices." *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24**, no. 3–4 (1997): 327–34. *Computational algebra and number theory* (London, 1993). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jSCO.1996.0130>.
37. Scheinerman, E., et al. "LinearAlgebraX.Jl." (2024). <https://github.com/scheinerman/LinearAlgebraX.jl>.
38. Schreve, K. "The L^2 -cohomology of Discrete Groups." PhD thesis, 2015. Copyright—Database copyright ProQuest LLC; ProQuest does not claim copyright in the individual underlying works; Last updated—2023-03-04.
39. Soulé, C. "On the 3-torsion in $K_4(\mathbb{Z})$." *Topology* **39**, no. 2 (2000): 259–65.
40. Stein, W. "Modular forms, a computational approach." *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 79. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2007. With an appendix by Paul E. Gunnells.
41. Voronoï, G. "Nouvelles applications des paramètres continus à la théorie des formes quadratiques. I: sur quelques propriétés des formes quadratiques positives parfaites." *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **133** (1908): 97–178.