

Charon's light curves, as observed by New Horizons' Ralph color camera (MVIC)
on approach to the Pluto system.

C.J.A. Howett¹, K. Ennico², C.B. Olkin¹ M.W. Buie¹, A.J. Verbiscer³, A. Zangari¹, A.H. Parker¹, D.C. Reuter⁴, W.M Grundy⁵, H.A. Weaver⁶, L.A. Young¹, S.A. Stern¹.

1 - Southwest Research Institute, Boulder, CO 80302, USA.

2 - NASA Ames Research Center, Space Science Division, Moffett Field, CA 94035,
USA

3 - Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA

4 - NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA.

5 - Lowell Observatory, Flagstaff, AZ 86001, USA

6- Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD 20723, USA

Keywords:

Charon

Satellites, surfaces

Satellites, general

24 **Corresponding Author and their Contact Details:**

25 C.J.A. Howett

26 Email: howett@boulder.swri.edu

27 Telephone Number: +1 720 240 0120

28 Fax Number: +1 303-546-9687

29 Address:

30 1050 Walnut Street, Suite 300

31 Boulder, Colorado

32 80302

33 USA

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

Abstract

Light curves produced from color observations taken during New Horizons' approach to the Pluto-system by its Multi-spectral Visible Imaging Camera (MVIC, part of the Ralph instrument) are analyzed. Fifty seven observations were analyzed, they were obtained between 9th April and 3rd July 2015, at a phase angle of 14.5° to 15.1°, sub-observer latitude of 51.2 °N to 51.5 °N, and a sub-solar latitude of 41.2°N. MVIC has four color channels; all are discussed for completeness but only two were found to produce reliable light curves: Blue (400-550 nm) and Red (540-700 nm). The other two channels, Near Infrared (780-975 nm) and Methane-Band (860-910 nm), were found to be potentially erroneous and too noisy respectively. The Blue and Red light curves show that Charon's surface is neutral in color, but slightly brighter on its Pluto-facing hemisphere. This is consistent with previous studies made with the Johnson B and V bands, which are at shorter wavelengths than that of the MVIC Blue and Red channel respectively.

1 Introduction

1.1 Intro to New Horizons and the MVIC instrument

The New Horizons spacecraft launched on the 19th January 2006 bound for the Pluto-system. On the 14th July 2015 New Horizons reached closest approach, at a distance of 12,479km and 28,824 km from Pluto's and Charon's surfaces respectively (Stern *et al.*, 2015). However, New Horizons started observing the Pluto-system long before encounter and this paper discusses the results obtained during its approach by MVIC, the Multi-spectral Visible Imaging Camera, part of Ralph instrument. Reuter *et al.* (2008) provide full details of the instrument, so only an overview is given here for orientation.

MVIC has seven independent CCD arrays, held on a single substrate, read out using one of two independent redundant electronics (called side 0 and side 1). Six of these CCDs have 5024x32 pixels each, and operate in Time Delay and Integration mode (TDI). Four of the TDI arrays have a color filter, whilst the other two are panchromatic TDI with the same wavelength range for redundancy. The final array is a panchromatic frame transfer camera with a 5024x128 pixel array, primarily designed for optical navigation.

TDI is a way of building up large format image as the field of view (FOV) is scanned across a scene. It works by syncing the transfer rate between rows to the spacecraft's scan rate, thus the same scene passes through each of the rows before it is read out, effectively increasing the integration time. The frame transfer camera is operated in the more traditional stare mode.

The four color filters of MVIC are: Blue (400-550 nm), Red (540-700 nm), Near-Infrared (NIR, 780-975 nm) and Methane-Band (CH₄, 860-910 nm). The Panchromatic TDI and frame transfer detectors are sensitive to the 400-975 nm bandpass. Howett *et al.* (2016) give the pivot wavelength of the four channels as: 492, 624, 861 and 883 nm for the Blue, Red, NIR and CH₄ channels respectively. So comparing to historically used ground-based filters the pivot wavelength of both the MVIC Blue and Red channels are at longer wavelength than that of the Johnson B and V bands (442 and 540 nm).

1.2 Intro to previous Charon light curve observations

By solar-system exploration standards, Charon, Pluto's largest satellite was discovered remarkably recently (Christy and Harrington, 1978). However, since its discovery much work has been undertaken to understand Charon. This effort was largely aided by a series of mutual events in the early- and mid-1980s, which made it possible to understand the different characteristics of these two (previously impossible to separate) distant worlds. Excellent reviews on the body of mutual event results are available in the literature; for example see Binzel and Hubbard (1997) and Buie (1997a). Mutual event observations provided the first global surface properties for both Pluto and Charon, and the opportunity to begin monitoring their seasonal variations (although this is complicated by simultaneous changes in both the viewing geometry and solar illumination, for example see Hansen and Paige, 1996). For Charon the most important result was that its color, composition and albedo are different to that of Pluto. Specifically Charon has a neutral grey surface, compared to Pluto's redder one (Binzel, 1988; Reinsch *et al.*, 1994) and Charon's surface is largely water ice compared to Pluto's largely nitrogen- and methane-

ice surface (see references within Cruikshank *et al.*, 1997). With a single scattering albedo (ω) between 0.03 and 0.98 Charon is darker than Pluto (ω of 0.2 to 0.98) (Buie *et al.* 1992; Stern *et al.* 2015). New Horizons resolved Charon's albedo features, discovering that its most prominent albedo feature was a dark northern polar spot (informally called Mordor Macula). This region, shown in Figure 1, is ~275 km across and is about half as bright as the rest of Charon (Stern *et al.* 2015).

More recently telescope advances have made it possible to resolve Pluto and Charon, so their differences can be observed without the need for rare mutual events. Arguably the most important advance for Charon light curve science was the commissioning of the Hubble-Space Telescope (HST). Buie *et al.* (1997b) used the Wide Field/Planetary Camera (WFPC1) to determine Charon's V magnitude light curve. Buie *et al.* (2010) improved upon this result using data taken with HST's Advanced Camera for Surveys High-resolution Camera (ACS) in 2002 and 2003 to determine the light curves of both Pluto and Charon. Both papers found that Charon's light curve varied by ~8% in magnitude, and Charon's anti-Pluto hemisphere is darker than its Pluto-facing one. Charon's solar phase at the time Buie *et al.* (2010) observed it varied from 0.3° and 1.7° , much lower than that observed by New Horizons on approach to the Pluto system (see Table 1). Furthermore the sub-observer latitude during Buie's observations varied from 28° to 32° , approximately 13° lower than the New Horizons observations. Bosh *et al.* (1992) showed Charon also displayed photometric variability in the infrared.

1.3 MVIC Color Observations of Charon

New Horizons officially began observing Pluto and Charon using Long Range Reconnaissance Imager (LORRI) during the mission's approach phase, which began on 15th January 2015 (Young et al., 2008). However, because of its relatively limited spatial resolution it wasn't until later that MVIC began observing the Pluto system: the first MVIC image of Pluto and Charon was obtained on 9th April 2015, from a distance of ~115,000,000 km. From that time observations of both targets were made by MVIC regularly, often every day or every other day. During this time the sub-solar latitude increased only very slightly, from 51.2 °N to 51.5 °N. All of the observations taken by MVIC's color filters are used in this work until those taken after 3rd of July 2015 when the disk of Charon becomes well resolved so the use of aperture photometry is no longer appropriate. The results are also given for a subset of the observations. New Horizons approached the Pluto-system rapidly (Stern *et al.*, 2015); from April to July 2015 it had decreased its distance to Charon by ~half. Thus the later observations (considered to be 29th May 2015 to 3rd July 2015) offer much higher signal-to-noise, but of course fewer total observations. The details of all the images used in this work are given in Table 1.

The observations used in this work are restricted to that of Charon with MVIC's color filters. This is because more observations were taken with the color, rather than panchromatic filters and the MVIC color Pluto light curves are described by Ennico *et al.* (2016). IAU standards were adopted for latitude and longitude (i.e. the right hand rule was adopted and that Charon longitudes are zero at the sub-Pluto meridian (imaged by the spacecraft on encounter day) and decrease with local time increase).

2 Method and Results

2.1 Data reduction and calibration

All of the MVIC observations used here were bias subtracted, which makes it possible to have small negative count rates in the image. Each of the observations was visually inspected to ensure their quality and to determine preliminary center values for Pluto and Charon. From these values the pixel separation of the two bodies was determined, which was used to set the sky annulus values. For observations with a target separation of less than 10 pixels, a sky annulus between 10 and 20 pixels from the center of Charon was assumed. Similarly if the target separation was between 10 and 15 pixels an annulus of 15 to 25 pixels was assumed. This pattern of assuming a 10 pixel wide annulus starting at the closest multiple of 5 pixels above the target separation value was continued until the target distance reached 35 pixels. At these larger separation values the distance between Charon and Pluto was so great that a sky annulus centered on Charon that fell between Charon and Pluto could be used, so an annulus of 10 to 20 pixels was once again assumed. The Charon radius and the position of the sky annulus was manually checked for each observation and tweaked when required, for example on the occasions when the sky annulus fell outside of the area of the image or there was a hot pixel inside the annulus. In these instances either the width of the annulus or the starting pixel number was decreased to provide an annulus away from the target, covered by the image that only includes dark sky. Figure 2 gives the mean sky values derived from these annuli, it shows no trends or values notably different from the others, which implies the annuli assumed for each of the images are reasonable.

Finally for each observation the phase angle and sub-spacecraft longitude and latitude were calculated using Navigation and Ancillary Information Facility's SPICE kernels and routines (Acton, 1996; Steffl *et al.*, 2007); these values are also given in Table 1. A base-map of Charon, showing the region observed by the MVIC observations is given in Figure 1. Note, as Figure 1 shows because the sub-solar latitude is $\sim 51.3^\circ\text{N}$ and the sub-spacecraft latitude is $\sim 41.2^\circ\text{N}$ not all of Charon's observed disk was illuminated (latitudes below $\sim 38.7^\circ\text{S}$ are in darkness). The region observed by Buie *et al.* (2010) is also shown in the same figure for comparison.

2.2 Light curve production

The IDL routine *basphote*, written by Buie (Buie, 2015), was used to perform the aperture photometry for light curve construction. A detector gain of 58.6 electrons/DN was assumed (Reuter *et al.*, 2008), and the exposure time used was directly read from each image's header. The preliminary location of Charon, its radius and the details of the sky annulus provided to the routine were as previously described. *Basphote* then refines the target center and outputs the target's total flux (counts/second). A secondary IDL aperture photometry routine called *aper* (Landsman, 1993) was used to validate the results, and the results were found to be consistent. The total flux was then calibrated using the radiometric keyword "PCHARON" as provided by the New Horizons project. The keyword is defined for each different filter, and assumes a Charon-like emission for a point source. Full details on the derivation of this keyword can be found in Howett *et al.* (2016).

The radiometrically-calibrated fluxes were then adjusted to account for the different distances at which they were obtained. All the observations were corrected to an arbitrary distance of 100,000,000 km. Finally, following the method of Buie *et al.* (2010) the results were fitted by a 2-term Fourier fit and a weighted mean. A summary of the resulting light curves for all the MVIC color filters is given in Figure 3, and the Fourier coefficients required to fit the data are given in Table 2. The same light curves are also shown for a subset of observations obtained between 29th May 2015 and 3rd July 2015, they are shown separately in Figure 3 for comparison.

2.3 Correction of the light curve using Hapke parameters

The various observations used to produce the light curves produced in this work were all taken within 0.5° of solar phase angle of one another (Table 1), and well away from the opposition surge that occurs close to 0° (Buie *et al.*, 2010). So no strong phase correction is strictly required to interpret these results. However, to follow the precedent of the community and to allow easier comparison with previous result (e.g. Buie *et al.*, 2010) we also show results corrected to 1° phase. To do this previously derived Hapke parameters (comparable to those in Buie *et al.*, 2010) were used to calculate a phase curve of Charon (Verbiscer, personal communication). The correction factor required varied from ~18.5% to 19.0% increase in the flux across the full observation set. Figure 3 shows these calibrated and phase corrected light curves for all the observations, and just the late subset ones.

3 Discussion

During New Horizons' approach phase it became apparent that MVIC's NIR channel was producing spurious results. A full description of this problem, along with the workaround used for the NIR Pluto observations is given in Howett *et al.* (2016). It was concluded that gain drift was occurring on observations read out through electronics side 1. As Table 1 shows observations of Charon were made using both sides of the electronics. Figure 4 shows the observed fluxes for all the MVIC filters split by electronic read out side, including the 2-term Fourier fit to those data. The same figure also shows the same fits to the subset of data used in Figure 3, i.e. those obtained during the final few weeks of approach (29th May and 3rd of July). The light curves of all the filters (except the NIR, which is discussed further below) show the same trends in the non-subset and subset data figures, but the latter is clearer.

Unlike the other three filters, the fluxes observed using the NIR channel for a given Charon longitude have a large offset depending upon which electronic side was used to take the data, an effect particularly noticeable when the data is shown as a subset. This strongly implies that gain drift was affecting the NIR results. As such, we only use and discuss NIR observations obtained on side 0.

Figure 5 shows the reduced- χ^2 fit of the Fourier terms and the weighted mean for the subset of data obtained between the 29th May and 3rd of July. It shows that in all channels the Fourier fit provides a much better fit to the data than the weighted mean, except in the CH₄ channel where the two fit almost equally well. Thus we conclude no discernable

color differences are observed across Charon in the CH₄ channel. It should be noted the Fourier fit doesn't produce a reduced- χ^2 fit less than 1 for the non-CH₄ filters; thus the Fourier fit could be improved upon but is used here to remain consistent with literature precedents.

Charon's NIR light curve appears to have a similar shape to that of the CH₄ one, with two peaks on the leading and trailing hemispheres. The CH₄ channel actually lies within the NIR one (860-910 nm, and 780-975 nm respectively) so the fact that they display the same trend strengthens this result, as it was likely they'd display a similar trend. However, as discussed the CH₄ fit is no better than the weighted mean so it provides a weak validation. While it is believed only the NIR side 1 of the electronics is erroneous, and those given here are for side 0, the results from this filter should be treated with some caution.

The Red and Blue MVIC filters have a higher signal-to-noise and a Fourier fit that is much better than the weighted mean (Figure 5). They both display a similar trend: a single peak on the Pluto-facing hemisphere. This gross trend of Charon being brighter on the Pluto-facing hemisphere (centered on 180° E) is consistent with both of the previous studies of Charon's light curve (Buie *et al.* 1997b, 2010). The decrease in calibrated and distance corrected flux observed between the peak and the trough, assuming the full data set, is 21% and 48% in the Red and Blue channels respectively. However, it is better to compare the differences in these channels once they have been converted to magnitudes, since that is how they are presented in the literature. This is achieved simply using:

277 $m = -2.5\log_{10}(I)$ where m is the magnitude and I is the flux. Figure 6 gives the
278 difference in the Blue and Red values, it shows the Blue-Red light curve is approximately
279 flat with a small maxima around 227° E. This is different to that of Buie *et al.* (2010) for
280 B-V color, which displayed a double peak light curve with maxima at 150° E and 325° E,
281 and minima at 60° E and 240° E. However, the amplitude of the Buie maxima and
282 minima are small ($<1\%$ color change with longitude), which is consistent with the flat
283 light curve found here. It is possible that the (Blue-Red) and (B-V) colors agree within
284 the error however small differences would not be surprising as the filters probe different
285 wavelength regions (as detailed below).

286
287
288 Whilst these gross trends appear consistent with literature values a more detailed
289 comparison between the MVIC light curves and past telescopic studies cannot directly be
290 made because the filter responses of the instruments used are very different. Buie *et al.*
291 (2010) used observations made with two filters on the Hubble Space Telescope's (HST)
292 Advanced Camera for Surveys High-resolution Camera (ACS): F435W filter (Johnson
293 Blue) and F555W (Johnson V). It should be noted that whilst Buie *et al.* (2007) used the
294 F555W filter, Buie *et al.* (2007) used the F439W instead of the F435W filters. However,
295 since the Buie *et al.* (2010) is considered to be an update on Buie *et al.* (2007) the
296 difference between F435W and F555W and the MVIC filters are concentrated upon.

297
298 Figure 7 compares the MVIC filter responses (Howett *et al.*, 2016) with that of the HST
299 (Sirianni *et al.*, 2005). They show not only that the responses are very different, but also

that the region of the solar spectrum they probe is very different too. Figure 7c shows for a neutral reflector the HST derived (B-V) difference at the pivot wavelength will be negative, whereas the MVIC derived (Blue-Red) difference will be net positive.

4 Conclusion

For completeness light curves of all of MVIC's four-color channels have been derived, but only two have been discussed in detail, because the CH₄ channel is very noisy (primarily due to its smaller bandwidth than the other channels giving it a lower S/N) and the NIR channel may be erroneous. However, the Red and Blue channels provide light curves that have gross trends that are in good agreement with those in the literature, specifically Charon is shown to have a darker anti-Pluto hemisphere. The reason for this hemispheric difference is not known, although several methods to brighten Charon's Pluto-facing hemisphere have been postulated. These include surface processing of Charon's Pluto-facing hemisphere by thermal Pluto-shine, or the preferential deposition of bright volatiles, which have escaped Pluto, onto this region (Buie *et al.*, 2010; Grundy *et al.* 2016). It is hoped further analysis of data returned by New Horizons will explain these differences.

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330 **5 Tables**

Mid-Time of Observation (UTC)	Mission Event Time (MET)	Exposure Time (s)	Range (km)	Electronic Side	Solar Phase Angle (°)	Sub-Spacecraft Longitude (°E)	Sub-Spacecraft Latitude (°)
2015-04-09T04:49:05.341	290860851	0.59	114,681,890	0	14.50	353.9	43.2
2015-04-12T03:12:05.344	291114231	0.59	111,192,010	1	14.51	188.6	43.2
2015-04-13T03:32:05.345	291201831	0.59	109,983,870	0	14.51	131.4	43.2
2015-04-13T21:48:05.346	291267591	0.59	109,076,280	1	14.52	88.5	43.2
2015-04-14T09:03:05.347	291308091	0.59	108,517,290	0	14.52	62.1	43.2
2015-04-15T03:22:05.348	291374031	0.59	107,607,580	1	14.53	19.1	43.2
2015-04-15T21:50:05.348	291440511	0.59	106,691,220	0	14.54	335.7	43.2
2015-04-16T09:08:05.349	291481191	0.59	106,130,890	1	14.55	309.2	43.2
2015-04-17T03:26:05.350	291547071	0.59	105,223,810	0	14.55	266.2	43.2
2015-04-17T20:36:05.350	291608871	0.59	104,372,850	1	14.55	225.9	43.2
2015-04-18T08:29:05.351	291651651	0.59	103,783,500	0	14.55	198.0	43.2
2015-04-20T07:45:05.353	291821811	0.60	101,436,210	1	14.55	86.9	43.2
2015-04-22T09:11:05.355	291999771	0.59	98,981,798	0	14.58	330.9	43.2
2015-04-25T02:12:05.358	292233831	0.60	95,758,152	1	14.58	178.2	43.2
2015-04-27T02:04:05.360	292406151	0.59	93,380,492	0	14.59	65.7	43.2
2015-04-28T17:02:05.362	292546431	0.59	91,446,028	1	14.62	334.2	43.2
2015-05-01T01:51:05.364	292750971	0.59	88,629,299	0	14.62	200.8	43.2
2015-05-03T01:33:35.366	292922721	0.59	86,260,199	1	14.62	88.7	43.2
2015-05-05T01:32:05.368	293095431	0.59	83,878,083	0	14.65	336.1	43.2
2015-05-08T06:38:05.371	293372991	0.59	80,055,007	1	14.65	155.0	43.2
2015-05-09T03:14:05.372	293447151	0.59	79,031,742	0	14.66	106.6	43.2
2015-05-09T20:04:05.373	293507751	0.60	78,195,338	1	14.66	67.1	43.2
2015-05-10T06:30:05.373	293545311	0.59	77,677,053	0	14.67	42.6	43.2
2015-05-11T00:18:05.374	293609391	0.59	76,793,343	1	14.68	0.8	43.2
2015-05-11T19:46:05.375	293679471	0.59	75,827,790	0	14.69	315.1	43.2
2015-05-12T07:44:05.376	293722551	0.59	75,234,599	1	14.70	287.0	43.2
2015-05-13T02:39:05.376	293790651	0.59	74,297,025	0	14.70	242.6	43.2
2015-05-13T19:31:35.377	293851401	0.59	73,460,297	1	14.69	202.9	43.2
2015-05-14T01:42:05.377	293873631	0.59	73,153,958	0	14.69	188.4	43.2
2015-05-14T19:45:05.378	293938611	0.60	72,257,950	1	14.69	146.0	43.2
2015-05-29T11:38:05.393	295205391	0.59	54,793,392	1	14.78	39.6	43.2
2015-05-29T18:34:05.394	295230351	0.59	54,449,111	0	14.79	23.3	43.2
2015-05-30T05:50:05.394	295270911	0.60	53,889,906	0	14.80	356.9	43.2
2015-05-31T01:21:05.395	295341171	0.60	52,921,969	1	14.81	311.0	43.2
2015-05-31T18:44:05.396	295403751	0.59	52,060,362	1	14.81	270.2	43.2
2015-06-01T06:03:05.396	295444491	0.59	51,499,475	0	14.81	243.6	43.2
2015-06-02T01:28:05.397	295514391	0.59	50,536,706	1	14.80	198.0	43.2
2015-06-02T18:12:05.398	295574631	0.59	49,706,277	0	14.79	158.7	43.2
2015-06-03T04:28:05.398	295611591	0.60	49,196,435	0	14.79	134.6	43.2
2015-06-06T01:13:05.401	295859091	0.59	45,782,381	1	14.84	333.2	43.2
2015-06-08T01:23:05.404	296032491	0.59	43,394,767	1	14.84	220.0	43.2
2015-06-10T01:17:05.406	296204931	0.59	41,017,026	1	14.83	107.5	43.2
2015-06-12T01:04:06.408	296376951	0.59	38,643,881	0	14.88	355.3	43.1
2015-06-16T19:05:06.414	296787411	0.59	32,987,485	0	14.87	87.5	43.2
2015-06-17T05:55:06.414	296826411	0.59	32,449,251	1	14.88	62.1	43.2
2015-06-18T19:38:06.415	296962191	0.60	30,577,044	0	14.93	333.5	43.1
2015-06-19T08:04:06.416	297006951	0.59	29,960,601	1	14.94	304.4	43.1
2015-06-20T01:00:06.416	297067911	0.60	29,121,357	0	14.94	264.6	43.2
2015-06-21T05:56:06.418	297172071	0.59	27,686,928	1	14.91	196.6	43.2
2015-06-22T00:34:06.418	297239151	0.59	26,762,162	0	14.90	152.8	43.2
2015-06-23T03:11:06.419	297334971	0.59	25,439,989	1	14.91	90.3	43.2
2015-06-27T04:58:54.923	297687041	0.59	20,587,098	1	14.97	220.7	43.2
2015-06-28T09:26:55.425	297789521	0.59	19,174,697	0	14.94	153.8	43.2
2015-06-29T04:21:55.425	297857621	0.59	18,236,363	1	14.94	109.3	43.2
2015-07-01T17:19:55.428	298077102	0.59	15,209,335	1	15.06	326.3	43.1
2015-07-02T17:48:45.429	298165232	0.59	13,995,915	0	15.07	268.8	43.1
2015-07-03T04:03:55.430	298202142	0.59	13,486,480	1	15.06	244.7	43.2

Table 1 – Details of the observations used to make the Charon light curves, each observation was made with all of the color filters using the same exposure times and electronic sides. Mission Event Time (MET) is the number of seconds past 19th January 2006 18:08:02 UTC.

n	Fourier Terms (All electronics sides)				Fourier Terms (Electronics Side 0)				Fourier Terms (Electronics Side 1)			
	a	σ	b	σ	a	σ	b	σ	a	σ	b	σ
Red												
0	1.3221	0.0020			1.3439	0.0043			1.3184	0.0025		
1	0.0461	0.0030	0.0200	0.0024	0.0588	0.0052	0.0136	0.0053	0.0356	0.0049	0.0319	0.0032
2	-0.0107	0.0024	-0.0142	0.0029	-0.0009	0.0056	0.0018	0.0089	0.0041	0.0039	-0.0129	0.0041
Blue												
0	1.4629	0.0064			1.4890	0.0147			1.4587	0.0080		
1	0.0609	0.0096	0.0268	0.0075	0.0528	0.0170	0.0250	0.0171	0.0699	0.0161	0.0334	0.0104
2	-0.0090	0.0075	-0.0067	0.0092	0.0111	0.0189	0.0374	0.0312	-0.0047	0.0123	0.0029	0.0136
NIR												
0	0.7739	0.0015			0.8142	0.0030			0.7554	0.0019		
1	-0.0081	0.0022	-0.0058	0.0018	0.0125	0.0037	-0.0036	0.0037	0.0017	0.0037	0.0124	0.0025
2	-0.0132	0.0018	-0.0257	0.0021	-0.0055	0.0039	-0.0211	0.0064	-0.0043	0.0030	-0.0017	0.0031
CH4												
0	0.8077	0.0047			0.8123	0.0119			0.8066	0.0056		
1	-0.0011	0.0078	-0.0054	0.0059	0.0056	0.0142	-0.0015	0.0141	-0.0055	0.0115	-0.0064	0.0072
2	-0.0051	0.0064	-0.0223	0.0073	-0.0068	0.0156	-0.0208	0.0246	-0.0047	0.0087	-0.0251	0.0097

Table 2 – Two-term Fourier fit to the fluxes of Charon as observed by MVIC's four color channels ($\times 10^{-12}$), given for observations taken on both electronics sides, and side 0 and side 1 only.




Figure 1 – (a) Charon base-map, a simple cylindrical mosaic of New Horizons’ Horizons Long Range Reconnaissance Imager (LORRI) images. (b and c) the same base-map but with the area observed by MVIC shaded in green, and Buie *et al.* (2010) shaded in blue. Mordor Macula is the dark northern polar region (c.f. Stern et al., 2015).






Figure 2 – The mean sky value in all the MVIC color images, derived from values inside an annulus close to Charon but not including Pluto or hot pixels. No systematic trends are observed and there are no singular erroneous points, implying that the assumed sky annulus is reasonable. The standard deviation error of these observations is smaller than the size of the symbols used. The Red, Blue, NIR and CH4 channels are depicted as red crosses, blue diamonds, orange triangles and green squares respectively.

366



367




368

369


(a) Observations from 9th April to 3rd July 2015

370

371



372




373

374


(b) Observations from 29th May to 3rd July 2015

375

Figure 3 – Light curves for (a) all observations from 9th April to 3rd July (b) and a subset of this data, taken between 29th May to 3rd July, since data taken closer to encounter have a higher signal-to-noise. All observations are detailed in Table 1. For each observation period: (Top) The light curves of Charon as observed by all four of MVIC's color filters on approach to the Pluto system. The values have been radiometrically calibrated and adjusted to a distance D0, 100 million kilometers. The red crosses, blue diamonds, orange triangles and green squares show the flux observed by MVIC's red, blue, NIR and CH4 filters respectively. The lines show the Fourier curve fit to the points of a corresponding color. (Bottom) The same light curves as shown in the top figure, but now corrected to 1° phase angle. The phase changes only varies by 0.56° (14.50° to 15.06°) across the full observation period (0.28° over the subset) and is well away from the opposition surge that occurs at low phase angles, the correction factor required to bring these observations to the expected flux at 1° is therefore very similar between all observations (0.815 to 0.810).



(c) Observations from 9th April to 3rd July 2015



(b) Observations from 29th May to 3rd July 2015

Figure 4 - Charon light curves as determined by each of the different MVIC color filters, for (a) the full observation period: 9th April to 3rd July 2015, (b) a subset of the observations obtained from 29th May to 3rd July 2015. The red diamonds and the black squares show the results taken on electronics side 1 and side 0 respectively. The solid line shows the Fourier fit to all the data points, where as the red and black dashed line gives the fit to just side 1 and side 0 respectively. The dotted black line indicates the weighted mean of the all the observed fluxes, with the exception of NIR where only those obtained using side 0 of the electronics are used.





Figure 5 – Comparison of the reduced χ^2 produced by the best Fourier fit to the Charon fluxes determined from the highest signal-to-noise data (obtained between 29th May and 3rd July 2015), to that of the weighted mean of the same fluxes (except for NIR, where only those taken on electronics side 0 are considered). The Fourier fit provides a significantly better fit to the data in all but the CH4 filter, where it is only slightly better.



413

414

415 Figure 6 – Magnitude of Charon’s MVIC (Blue – Red) color using data obtained after
 416 29th May 2015. The points show magnitude difference observed between the Blue and
 417 Red MVIC channels, whilst the solid line shows the difference in the Fourier fit and the
 418 dotted line gives the weighted mean fit. The results are given at the their original phase.

419





Figure 7 – A comparison of the MVIC Red and Blue filter responses with that of the HST F555W (Johnson V) and F435W (Johnson B). Top: The response of the MVIC Red, MVIC Blue, HST F555W and HST F435W filters, the dotted lines in corresponding colors show the pivot wavelength of the filters. Bottom: The pivot wavelengths of the MVIC Red and Blue filters and the HST F555W and F435W filters compared to the absolute flux solar reference spectrum from Colina *et al.* (1996). It shows the response of

the MVIC Red/HST 555W and MVIC Blue/F435W probe very different parts of the solar spectrum.

7 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NASA's New Horizons Project.

8 References

Acton, C.H. “Ancillary data services of NASA’s navigation and ancillary information facility”. Planetary Space Science 44, 65–70, 1996.

Binzel, R.P., “Hemispherical Color Differences on Pluto and Charon”. Science 241, 1070-1072, 1988.

Binzel, R. P., and Hubbard, W. B. 1997, in Pluto and Charon, ed. D. J. Tholen & S. A. Stern (Tucson, AZ: Univ. Arizona Press).

Bosh, A.S., L.A. Young, J.L. Elliot, H.B. Hammel and R.L. Baron “Photometric variability of Charon at 2.2 microns”. Icarus 95, 319-324, 1992.

Buie, M.W., and S. K. Shriver “The distribution of water frost on Charon”. Icarus 108, 225–233, 1994.

451 Buie, M.W., E.F. Young, E.F. and R.P. Binzel, "Surface appearance of Pluto and
452 Charon." In: Stern, S.A., Tholen, D.J. (Eds.), Pluto and Charon. Univ. Arizona Press,
453 Tucson, 269–293, 1997a.

454

455 Buie, M.W., D.J. Tholen and L.H. Wasserman, "Separate Lightcurves of Pluto and
456 Charon", Icarus 25, 233-244, 1997b.

457

458 Buie, M.W., W.M. Grundy, E.F. Young, L.A. Young and S.A. Stern, "Pluto and Charon
459 with Hubble Space Telescope. 1. "Monitoring Global Change and Improved Surface
460 Properties from Light Curves" The Astronomical Journal 139, 1117-1127, 2010.

461

462 Buie, M.W., "General purpose IDL functions and procedures",
463 <http://www.boulder.swri.edu/~buie/idl/pro/>, 2015.

464

465 Christy, J. W. and R.S. Harrington, "The satellite of Pluto". Astronomy Journal 83,
466 1005–1008 (1978).

467

468 Cruikshank, D.P., Roush, T.L., Moore, J.M., et al., 1997. The surfaces of Pluto and
469 Charon. In: Stern, S.A., Tholen, D.J. (Eds.), Pluto and Charon. Univ. Arizona Press,
470 Tucson, pp. 221–267.

471

Colina, L., R.C. Bohlin and F. Castelli, “The 0.12-2.5 micron absolute flux distribution of the Sun for comparison with solar analog stars”. *The Astronomical Journal* 112, 307-316, 1996.

Ennico, K., Howett, C.J.A., A.H. Parker, C.B. Olkin, D.C. Reuter, W.M Grundy, A H.B. Throop, M.W. Buie, S.B. Porter, H.A. Weaver, L.A. Young, S.A. Stern, R.A. Beyer, R.P. Binzel, B.J. Buratti, A.F. Cheng, J.C. Cook, D.P. Cruikshank, C.M. Dalle Ore, A.M. Earle, D.E. Jennings, I.R. Linscott, A.W. Lunsford, J.Wm. Parker, S. Phillippe, S. Protopapa, E. Quirico, P.M. Schenk, B. Schmitt, K.N. Singer, J.R. Spencer, J.A. Stansberry, C.C.C. Tsang, G.E. Weigle II, A.J. Verbiscer, “Pluto’s light curves, as observed by New Horizons’ MVIC camera on approach to the Pluto system.” *Icarus*, In Preparation, 2016.

Grundy, W.M., D.P. Cruikshank, G.R. Gladstone, C.J.A. Howett, T.R. Lauer, J.R. Spencer, M.E. Summers, M.W. Buie, A.M. Earle, K. Ennico, J.Wm. Parker, S.B. Porter, K.N. Singer, S.A. Stern, A.J. Verbiscer, R.A. Beyer, R.P. Binzel, B.J. Buratti, J.C. Cook, C.M. Dalle Ore, C.B. Olkin, A.H. Parker, S. Protopapa, E. Quirico, K.D. Retherford, S.J. Robbins, B. Schmitt, J. Stansberry, O.M. Umurhan, H.A. Weaver, L.A. Young, A.M. Zangari, V. Bray, A.F. Cheng, W.B. McKinnon, R.L. McNutt, J.M. Moore, D.C. Reuter, P.M. Schenk, and the New Horizons Science Team, “Formation of Charon's Red Polar Caps”, *Nature Accepted*, 2016.

494 Hansen, C. J. and D.A Paige, "Seasonal Nitrogen Cycles on Pluto". Icarus, 120, 247,
495 1996.
496

497 Howett, C.J.A., A.H. Parker, C.B. Olkin, D.C. Reuter, K. Ennico, W.M Grundy, A.L.
498 Graps, K.P. Harrison, H.B. Throop, M.W. Buie, J.R. Lovering, S.B. Porter, H.A. Weaver,
499 L.A. Young, S.A. Stern, R.A. Beyer, R.P. Binzel, B.J. Buratti, A.F. Cheng, J.C. Cook,
500 D.P. Cruikshank, C.M. Dalle Ore, A.M. Earle, D.E. Jennings, I.R. Linscott, A.W.
501 Lunsford, J.Wm. Parker, S. Phillippe, S. Protopapa, E. Quirico, P.M. Schenk, B. Schmitt,
502 K.N. Singer, J.R. Spencer, J.A. Stansberry, C.C.C. Tsang, G.E. Weigle II, A.J. Verbiscer,
503 "Inflight Radiometric Calibration of New Horizons' Multispectral Visible Imaging
504 Camera (MVIC)". Icarus, Submitted, 2016.
505

506 Landsman, W. B., "The IDL Astronomy User's Library", Astronomical Data Analysis
507 Software and Systems II, A.S.P. Conference Series, Vol. 52, ed. R. J. Hanisch, R. J. V.
508 Brissenden, and Jeannette Barnes, 246, 1993.
509

510 Reuter, D.C., S.A. Stern, J. Scherrer, D.E. Jennings, J. Baer, J. Hanley, L. Hardaway, A.
511 Lunsford, S. McMuldroch, J. Moore, C. Olkin, R. Parizek, H. Reitsma, D. Sabatke, J.
512 Spencer, J. Stone, H. Throop, J. Van Cleve, G.W. Weigle, L.A. Young, "Ralph: A
513 Visible/Infrared Imager for the New Horizons Pluto/Kuiper Belt Mission". Space Science
514 Reviews 140, 129-154, 2008.
515

516 Reinsch, K., V. Burwitz and M.C. Festou, Albedo maps of Pluto and improved physical
 517 parameters of the Pluto-Charon system. *Icarus* 108, 209–218. 1994.
 518
 519 Sirianni, M., M.J. Jee, N. Benítez, J.P. Blakeslee, A.R. Martel, G. Meurer, M. Clampin,
 520 G. De Marchi, H.C. Ford, R. Gilliland, G.F. Hartig, G.D. Illingworth, J. Mack, W.J.
 521 McCann, “The Photometric Performance and Calibration of the Hubble Space Telescope
 522 Advanced Camera for Surveys”. The Publications of the Astronomical Society of the
 523 Pacific 117, 1049-1112, 2005.
 524
 525 Steffl, A.J., J. Peterson, B. Carcich, L. Nguyen, S.A. Stern, “New Horizons SPICE
 526 kernels v1.0”, NH-J/P/SS-SPICE-6-V1.0, NASA Planetary Data System, 2007.
 527
 528 S. A. Stern, F. Bagenal, K. Ennico, G. R. Gladstone, W. M. Grundy, W. B. McKinnon, J.
 529 M. Moore, C. B. Olkin, J. R. Spencer, H. A. Weaver, L. A. Young, T. Andert, J.
 530 Andrews, M. Banks, B. Bauer, J. Bauman, O. S. Barnouin, P. Bedini, K. Beisser, R. A.
 531 Beyer, S. Bhaskaran, R. P. Binzel, E. Birath, M. Bird, D. J. Bogan, A. Bowman, V. J.
 532 Bray, M. Brozovic, C. Bryan, M. R. Buckley, M. W. Buie, B. J. Buratti, S. S. Bushman,
 533 A. Calloway, B. Carcich, A. F. Cheng, S. Conard, C. A. Conrad, J. C. Cook, D. P.
 534 Cruikshank, O. S. Custodio, C. M. Dalle Ore, C. Deboy, Z. J. B. Dischner, P. Dumont, A.
 535 M. Earle, H. A. Elliott, J. Ercol, C. M. Ernst, T. Finley, S. H. Flanigan, G. Fountain, M. J.
 536 Freeze, T. Greathouse, J. L. Green, Y. Guo, M. Hahn, D. P. Hamilton, S. A. Hamilton, J.
 537 Hanley, A. Harch, H. M. Hart, C. B. Hersman, A. Hill, M. E. Hill, D. P. Hinson, M. E.
 538 Holdridge, M. Horanyi, A. D. Howard, C. J. A. Howett, C. Jackman, R. A. Jacobson, D.

539 E. Jennings, J. A. Kammer, H. K. Kang, D. E. Kaufmann, P. Kollmann, S. M. Krimigis,
540 D. Kusnierkiewicz, T. R. Lauer, J. E. Lee, K. L. Lindstrom, I. R. Linscott, C. M. Lisse,
541 A. W. Lunsford, V. A. Mallder, N. Martin, D. J. McComas, R. L. McNutt Jr., D. Mehoke,
542 T. Mehoke, E. D. Melin, M. Mutchler, D. Nelson, F. Nimmo, J. I. Nunez, A. Ocampo, W.
543 M. Owen, M. Paetzold, B. Page, A. H. Parker, J. W. Parker, F. Pelletier, J. Peterson, N.
544 Pinkine, M. Piquette, S. B. Porter, S. Protopapa, J. Redfern, H. J. Reitsema, D. C. Reuter,
545 J. H. Roberts, S. J. Robbins, G. Rogers, D. Rose, K. Runyon, K. D. Retherford, M. G.
546 Ryschkewitsch, P. Schenk, E. Schindhelm, B. Sepan, M. R. Showalter, K. N. Singer, M.
547 Soluri, D. Stanbridge, A. J. Steffl, D. F. Strobel, T. Stryk, M. E. Summers, J. R. Szalay,
548 M. Tapley, A. Taylor, H. Taylor, H. B. Throop, C. C. C. Tsang, G. L. Tyler, O. M.
549 Umurhan, A. J. Verbiscer, M. H. Versteeg, M. Vincent, R. Webbert, S. Weidner, G. E.
550 Weigle II, O. L. White, K. Whittenburg, B. G. Williams, S. Williams, W. W. Woods, A.
551 M. Zangari, E. Zirnstein, “The Pluto system: Initial results from its exploration by New
552 Horizons”. *Science* 350, 292-301, 2015.

553

554 Young, L.E., S.A. Stern, H.A. Weaver, F. Bagenal, R. P. Binzel, B. Buratti, A.F. Cheng,
555 D. Cruikshank, G.R. Gladstone, W.M. Grundy, D.P. Hinson, M. Horanyi, D.E. Jennings,
556 I.R. Linscott, D.J. McComas, W.B. McKinnon, R. McNutt, J.M. Moore, S. Murchie, C.B.
557 Olkin, C.C. Porco, H. Reitsema, D.C. Reuter, J.R. Spencer, D.C. Slater, D. Strobel, M.E.
558 Summers, G.L. Tyler, “New Horizons: Anticipated Scientific Investigations at the Pluto
559 System”, *Space Science Reviews* 140, 93–127, 2008.