

**To the Editor,**

**Comment on Statin use and mortality in Covid-19 patients(1)**

*Fenofibrate as a COVID-19 modifying drug: Laboratory success versus real-world reality.*

It is of interest to note the benefits of statin therapy on mortality in hospitalised Covid-19 patients in the meta-analysis of retrospective observational studies by Kollias and colleagues (1). Their analysis does highlight potential mechanisms, beyond lipid metabolism, of widely prescribed lipid lowering drugs.

Two studies on fenofibrate, a widely prescribed fibrate drug used in the management of dyslipidaemia, have detailed intracellular effects on SARS-CoV-2 beyond its established benefits on plasma lipids (2,3). The first study confirmed the effects of fenofibrate on virus replication in human lung epithelial cells (2). Fenofibrate reversed the changes induced by the SARS-CoV-2 virus on intracellular upregulation of glycolysis and lipogenesis, thereby blocking the metabolic footprint for viral replication. By contrast, other drugs that also affect lipid or glucose targets: rosiglitazone, metformin, and empagliflozin, did not have the same viral inhibitory effect (2). The second study confirmed the inhibition by fenofibrate of the receptor binding domain for ACE2 to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection (3). These two separate laboratory findings have been proposed as modes of action for fenofibrate to be considered as a therapeutic option to downgrade COVID-19 infection (2,3).

There is additional evidence for fibrates affecting other viruses via anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory activities, including: the influenza virus (4), herpes simplex (5) and Japanese murine encephalitis (6). However, there is limited clinical and real-world data supporting these laboratory observations.

From the UK-based Oxford-Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP) Research & Surveillance Centre (RSC) national database (7) covering a primary-care population of over 15 million in England and Wales, we undertook a real-world fenofibrate observational study. Data was pseudonymised at the time of extraction. The study was conducted under approvals from Royal College of General Practitioners Research Surveillance Centre scientific advisory committee and University of Oxford, Medical Sciences Interdivisional Research Ethics Committee. The study was conducted in a cohort with coding for first confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis and evaluated the association of established fenofibrate (either 160mg, 200mg or 267mg dose) therapy to post-COVID-19 diagnosis 28 day all-cause mortality and new-onset loss of smell (a recently described important clinical COVID-19 symptom). Date at which COVID-19 was first confirmed using codes from the COVID-19 ontology. All analyses were undertaken using the statistical software R (ver. 3.5.3).

Patients with COVID-19 positive coding and on fenofibrate therapy were matched to similar patients with COVID-19 not prescribed fenofibrate therapy. Matching was achieved using propensity scores, matching 1:5 ratio, with a caliper set at 0.2 of the standard deviation of the logit of the propensity score. Propensity scores were based on a logistic regression model including factors associated with worse COVID-19 outcomes: age, male gender, ethnicity, obesity (BM1  $\geq$  30), diabetes, history of hypertension, cardiovascular disease (ischaemic heart disease, ischaemic stroke or peripheral vascular disease) and smoking status.

From a cohort with confirmed first COVID-19 diagnosis (n=477,803), we identified 596 cases on a minimum three-months fenofibrate treatment, and compared to a non-fibrate group, propensity score matched (5:1) (n=2,980) for risk factors for severe COVID-19 disease. In a Kaplan Meier analysis, there was no divergence in survival curves for 28 day all-cause mortality between the fenofibrate group and the non-fenofibrate group (Hazard Ratio [95% CI] 1.07 [0.75-1.55], p=0.68.). There was also no observed difference (Hazard Ratio [95% CI] 0.99 [0.55-1.76], p=0.96) between

fenofibrate and matched non-fenofibrate groups for new-onset loss of smell. This large primary-care based observational study is one of the first to report the effects of fenofibrate on COVID-19 infection in real-world clinical practice, but did not corroborate a treatment benefit for post-COVID-19 diagnosis 28-day mortality and new-onset loss of smell.

The detailed evidence for a positive effect from laboratory-based studies with fenofibrate suggests that randomised controlled trials are required to properly evaluate whether fenofibrate, as well as statin treatment, with low acquisition costs, have COVID-19 disease-modifying potential in hospitalised patients as well as in routine clinical practice.

## References

1. Kollias A, Kyriakoulis K, Kyriakoulis IG, Nitsotolis T, Poulakou G, Stergiou GS, Syrgos K. Statin use and mortality in COVID-19 patients: Updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Atherosclerosis* 2021 (330) :114-121. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2021.06.911. Epub 2021 Jun 25.
2. Ehrlich A, Uhl S, Ioannidis K, Hofree M, TenOever B, and Nahmias Y. The SARS-CoV-2 Transcriptional Metabolic Signature Lung.Epithelium.SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3650499>.doi: 10.1016/j.tacc.2020.09.003
3. Davies SP, Mycroft-West CJ, Pagani I. et al. The hyperlipidaemic drug fenofibrate significantly reduces infection by SARS-CoV-2 in cell culture models. *BioRxiv* <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.01.10.426114v1>
4. Alleva LM, Budd AC, Clark IA. Minimising influenza disease with fibrates. *International Journal of Infection Diseases*. 21.026 Volume 12, Supplement 1, E176, December 01, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2008.05.440>
5. Mehl JK, Witiak DT, Hamparian VV, Hughes. Antiviral activity of antilipidemic compounds on herpes simplex virus type 1. *JH Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1980 Aug; 18(2):269-75
6. Neha Sehgal, Kanhaiya Lal Kumawat, Anirban Basu, Vijayalakshmi Ravindranath: Fenofibrate Reduces Mortality and Precludes Neurological Deficits in Survivors in Murine Model of Japanese Encephalitis Viral Infection. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(4) e35427.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035427.
7. de Lusignan S, Dorward J, Correa A, et al. Risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 among patients in the Oxford Royal College of General Practitioners Research and Surveillance Centre primary care network: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020 Sep;20(9):1034-1042. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30371-6

Michael Feher MD <sup>1</sup>, Mark Joy Ph.D<sup>1</sup>, Neil Munro D.Phil<sup>2</sup>, William Hinton MSc<sup>1</sup>, John Williams FRCGP<sup>1</sup>, Simon de Lusignan MD<sup>1</sup>

1. Clinical Informatics and Health Outcomes Research Group. *Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK*
2. *Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK*

## Corresponding Author

Dr Michael Feher (Visiting Professor)

Clinical Informatics and Health Outcomes Research Group

Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

T: +44 1865 289 344

E: michael.feher@phc.ox.ac.uk