

Brief Cognitive Behavioural Therapy for post-stroke ‘delusional infestation’ in a 71-year-old
man: A single case experimental design

Aimee I. McKinnon¹, Rebecca Dow²

¹Oxford Institute for Clinical Psychology Training and Research, Oxford University

²Older Adult Psychological Services, Buckinghamshire Healthcare NHS Trust

Author Note

We would like to extend a special thank you to the client and his wife discussed herein. We have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Dr Aimee McKinnon, Oxford Institute of Clinical Psychology Training, The Isis Education Centre, Roosevelt Drive, Warneford Hospital, Headington, Oxford, OX3 7JX. Contact: aimee.mckinnon@hmc.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

Delusional infestation is a condition at the interface of tactile and visual hallucinations and delusions. Individuals with this condition hold the fixed and false belief that their body or their environment is infested with parasites, insects or other organisms. There are currently no empirically based guidelines or publications detailing the psychological assessment, formulation, intervention and evaluation of this presentation. This paper uses single case experimental design methodology to evaluate the use of Cognitive Behavioural Therapy for delusional infestation in a 70-year old male who was intolerant of antipsychotic medication. ‘Tom’ had a large, mature infarct in the middle cerebral artery territory as well as a left posterior parietal infarct post-stroke, which may have precipitated his symptoms. After a baseline period of three-weeks, Tom received eight sessions of CBT based on the model by Collerton and Dudley (2004). Post intervention, there was a reliable improvement on clinical measures as well as large reduction in distress levels, which was maintained at three-month follow up. The conviction in the belief that the infestation was real did not shift. This work is discussed in relation to post-stroke psychosis, psychological therapies with older adults, and suggestions for future research.

Keywords: CBT, Cognitive Behavioural Therapy, Delusional Infestation, Delusional Parasitosis, Stroke, Older Adult, Organic Hallucinosiis, Single Case Experimental Design, Post-stroke Psychosis

Brief Cognitive Behavioural Therapy for post-stroke ‘delusional infestation’ in a 71-year-old man: A single case experimental design

Delusional infestation is at the interface of hallucinations (tactile and visual) and delusions (Baker, Cook, & Winokur, 1995). Historically, it has been referred to as ‘delusional parasitosis’ or ‘Ekbom’s syndrome’. Delusional infestation is not an individual diagnosis within the *International Classification of Diseases* or the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual*, but (depending on aetiology) it meets criteria for delusional disorder/ organic hallucinosis (ICD-11; World Health Organization, 2018), or delusional disorder - somatic type (DSM-V; American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Individuals with this condition hold the fixed and false belief that they are internally or externally infested with either parasites or other organisms (often including mites, worms, insects, spiders, or other unknown small creatures). This belief is unshakable, despite a lack of objective evidence.

The disorder can be a primary delusional infestation, for which there is not necessarily a known cause, or it can be secondary to a number of conditions including substance abuse (especially cocaine), dopaminergic medications and antibiotics, or other conditions impacting mental health such as dementia, delirium, depression, schizophrenia, menopause or stroke (Lepping, Huber, & Freudenmann, 2015). The disorder can also occur secondary to other medical conditions that cause pruritus (chronic itching caused by nerve damage or stroke), although it is still rare in neurological settings (Ramirez-Bermudez, Espinola-Nadurille, & Loza-Taylor, 2010). A meta-analysis of 1,223 case studies (Trabert, 1995) suggested that delusional infestation is more common in older adults and has a mean symptom duration of 3 years (+/- 4.6; median 1 year) prior to diagnosis. Historically, this condition has been of particular interest to psychiatry, with 73.5% of published articles written by psychiatrists, 16% by dermatologists, and

6.7% by physicians (Trabert, 1995). Despite psychiatric interest, it is uncommon for affected individuals to present to mental health services, and they are most commonly seen in dermatology and tropical disease departments bringing matchboxes of ‘specimens’ for examination (usually dust and fibres).

Lepping et al. (2015) note in their management guidelines that currently there is “no evidence base upon which to recommend any psychological intervention” (p. 3). However, an article by O’Connell and Jackson (2018) highlights that there is a significant role for psychological engagement, case formulation and intervention for individuals experiencing delusional infestation. Psychological implications of the disorder include extreme distress and preoccupation, limited social and occupational function, damaging behaviours including excoriations, destruction of household items (believed to harbour organisms), and the use of harmful chemicals either on the skin or in the environment (washing with bleach, insect fumigation bombs). A study by Shah, Taylor and Bewley (2017) in a dermatology clinic found that “81% [of 138 affected individuals] had a poor quality of life; 52% with anxiety, 41.6% with depression and 49% with appearance-related concerns” (p. 99). O’Connell and Jackson (2017) provide a summary of predisposing, precipitating, and maintaining factors (p. 3) based on the delusional disorder literature and case studies describing delusional infestation.

Cognitive Behavioural Theory

There is little in the way of theorising and testing the origins and maintenance of delusional infestation, most likely because pharmacological treatments are the only intervention studied. Although the disorder specific content of the delusions and hallucinations in delusional infestation are striking, the mechanisms involved may occur in a transdiagnostic manner, as in a range of psychoses and mental health difficulties (O’Connell & Jackson, 2017). For example,

once an individual begins to interpret their experience as “I am [or my environment is] infested”, there are consequent reinforcing behavioural, physiological, emotional, environmental cycles, which would be drawn upon in CBT for other conditions. Specific mechanisms or processes important to maintenance include reasoning biases and faulty appraisals, selective attention, the attribution of emotional distress to external sources, safety seeking behaviours, avoidance, worry, rumination, high levels of negative affect and poor sleep (Brookwell, Bentall, & Varese, 2013; Freeman & Garety, 1999; Jacobsen, Freeman, & Salkovskis, 2012; Reeve, Emsley, Sheaves, & Freeman, 2018; Salkovskis, 1991; Veckenstedt et al., 2011).

Collerton and Dudley (2004) outline a cognitive behavioural model for the treatment of distressing visual hallucinations in older people. The key maintenance components are drawn from (and are common to) other cognitive therapies, but there are some specific treatment recommendations including hallucination control techniques, education and cognitive reappraisal. Collerton and Dudley also suggest that the effect of various complex organic illnesses involved in the hallucinatory experiences of older adults mean that treatment response is hard to predict, and an element of ‘trial and error’ is required. Additionally, they suggest involvement of carers may also help in understanding and management. Although ‘older adults’ are a heterogenous population, becoming more so with age and comorbid conditions, there is guidance on general adaptations for therapy. For example, a slower pace, consideration of memory or sensory impairments, a concrete communication style, medical review, understanding limits set by illness, and the involvement of family or carers (James, 2010). Clients who have experienced stroke require additional consideration and adaptations. A treatment framework for post-stroke CBT for emotional disorders presented by Kneebone (2016) suggests that, depending on the severity of post-stroke impairment, adaptations may be required such that the approach is

more behavioural. Where cognition or communication is more severely impaired the focus would be on methods which are more concrete, require more involvement, and include environmental management. As mentioned above, this is a heterogenous group requiring consideration on a case-by-case formulation basis.

The following single case experimental design aimed to assess the use of a CBT assessment, formulation and intervention with an older adult experiencing delusional infestation. The participant's self-reported distress level and conviction in his belief were rated during a three-week baseline no treatment period, throughout assessment and intervention, and at a three-month follow-up.

Methods

Design

A single case experimental design was employed. The participant rated his distress and the conviction of his belief using self-report diaries. Ratings were conducted during a three-week baseline period (A) and throughout the CBT intervention (B). The intervention was completed over eight sessions spanning 17 weeks. The participant completed follow up measures three months after the intervention ended.

Participant

'Tom' (pseudonym) presented to his GP six months prior to the beginning of the intervention. He reported florid hallucinations that he knew were not real (robots and cartoon animals) but was more troubled by an infestation of insects in his body and bedroom. The GP referred Tom for dermatological investigations, which revealed no skin abnormality, so he was then referred to the Community Mental Health Team (CMHT). Dementia and progressive brain disease were ruled out using multiple time point cognitive assessments, during which no

cognitive decline was apparent. Tom had an extensive and complex medical history. He suffered his first major heart attack at the age of 48 and has had severe cardiovascular ill health since. An MRI scan from 12 years ago showed damage from a large right Middle Cerebral Artery (MCA) stroke which had led to some left-side paralysis. A CT scan, which was requested when Tom presented to the CMHT, revealed an additional established left posterior parietal lobe infarct (**Error! Reference source not found.**), which may have accounted for perceptual disturbances and changes in visual interpretation. Tom was trialled on antipsychotic medications (olanzapine, risperidone, and amisulpride) but he could not tolerate these (he reported that it made him feel ill and dizzy) and his wife, 'Sarah', requested psychological support. Ethical review was not required for this case report, but Tom and Sarah consented for the details of this case and intervention to be published under pseudonyms, and some details of the case history have been omitted to anonymise the account.

[Figure 1 about here]

Assessment

Measures used were part of the service specified routine outcome measures, with established psychometric validity and reliability, and included the 34-item Clinical Outcomes in Routine Evaluation-Outcome Measure (CORE-OM; Evans et al., 2000) and the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS; Mundt, Marks, Shear, & Greist, 2002). See Table 1 for psychometric scores. These are completed during the initial assessment and on completion of an intervention. Tom was in the clinical range for all subscales bar functioning and risk. His WSAS was also in the severe range. This reflected multiple difficulties with physical health as well as mental health and it was not possible disentangle the individual impact of the presenting problem on the psychometric scores. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (Nasreddine et al., 2005), a screening

measure for cognitive impairment, was administered by a member of the CMHT when Tom was referred. He scored 23/30 on first assessment, losing points on visuospatial and executive tasks, fluency and orientation. An alternate form of the assessment was repeated six months later, and Tom scored 27/30, again losing points for visuospatial executive tasks. This increase in performance was unexplained, but it is possible that anxiety played a role in the first assessment. Although this score is above the cut off for mild cognitive impairment (26), the reliability in the visuospatial and executive task difficulty is noteworthy, especially given the presentation.

The assessment and treatment sessions also gathered subjective units of distress (SUDs) which were specifically due to insects, and ratings of the intensity of the belief that the insects were real on a session-by-session basis, beginning with the 3-week baseline over the assessment period. A score of 8-10 represented a level of distress in which Tom would damage himself extracting insects and above 5 Tom felt that he was unable to sleep.

Procedure

The participant started treatment after the three-week baseline, during which he was seen once for an assessment session. He was then offered 16 sessions over 17 weeks and attended eight appointments. Eight sessions were cancelled, mostly due to ill health. In addition to the face-to-face sessions, there were weekly phone calls to the couple to monitor progress and discuss strategies. The sessions were conducted at the home with both Tom and Sarah involved. Each session lasted for 1.5 hours on request from the client, to accommodate a slower pace and fewer contacts.

The intervention phase began with two sessions of further assessment and formulation (B1-B2) in which an ideographic formulation was developed with Tom and Sarah. Tom saw small, cream coloured moths in his environment and had hallucinations of these crawling out of

the wounds caused when he was trying to extract them from his skin. His beliefs were that the insects were malicious and hungry and would try to steal his food. He felt fear and disgust toward them which interrupted his sleep and also caused difficulty around meal times. He did not believe that he was mentally ill. He regularly used insecticide and had used an insect fumigation bomb.

The formulation included perpetuating factors of selective attention and hypervigilance (scanning the environment and body for signs of insects, bites, unusual skin colour or tone), worry and rumination at bed time, poor sleep, and safety seeking behaviours (insect spray always at hand). Tom's stroke had likely caused some changes to his visual interpretations. Given the area of damage highlighted by the CT scan and the reliable difficulty in visual interpretation highlighted by the MOCA, it was probable that the cause of the hallucinations was organic. Changes in Tom's visual interpretation of small changes in contrast (for example, excoriation scabs, freckles, spots, veins, seeds in bread and regularly pieces of tobacco and cigarette ash) triggered hallucinatory experiences, which then reinforced his delusional beliefs that he was witnessing and infested with insects. The initial formulation and intervention (B1 – B4) focused on behavioural and hallucination control methods such as stopping the search for insects, preventing further excoriations by wearing jackets, long sleeves and hats, and reducing scanning of and visual attention to food while eating. The formulation was discussed verbally with Tom and Sarah, with some simple maintenance cycles drawn out on paper. Guided discovery was used to then suggest various hallucination control measures in keeping with the formulation. Tom saw the majority of the insects at night while reading in low light in a cluttered bedroom. He was encouraged to read only in good light outside of his room and sleep hygiene information, relaxation prior to bedtime, and stimulus control was introduced. Session B4 included

behavioural experiments targeting safety seeking behaviours (reliance on insecticide and setting up ‘traps’ with food to catch the insects). Sessions B5-B8 involved challenging the belief that the insects were real. Psychoeducation about how neurological changes can affect the visual system were discussed and Tom was shown his own brain scans post-stroke for the first time to highlight the areas of damage. This was intended as an educative intervention and to provide an alternative model to explain the experience of the insects. This concrete, visual evidence proved to be a powerful intervention for both Tom and Sarah. It was a point at which Tom first considered and held in mind that his stroke damage might be responsible for his experiences, and Sarah experienced a new understanding and empathy for Tom. Visual illusions were used to demonstrate that the brain can see things in a way which differs from objective reality and this was built into the ‘theory A/ theory B’ model. Sarah took an active role in this and was involved in reality testing with Tom and in developing and encouraging positive coping statements. Having completed eight sessions it was mutually agreed that, as Tom’s goal of reducing distress had been met, the sessions would come to an end. A follow up was scheduled for 3 months’ time.

Results

Figure 2 depicts the weekly average ratings of distress and conviction of belief. These were collected through self-report diaries and verbal reports. Visual inspection shows a reduction in distress during the intervention phases, which plateaued at session B5.

[Figure 2 around here]

The hallucination control methods resulted in large reductions in distress. Tom reported that the frequency of his seeing the insects had reduced. Table 1 shows the pre and post psychometric measures, although Tom remained within the clinical range on the CORE-34, his

scores demonstrate reliable improvement (Barkham et al., 2006) and his WSAS score had reduced from severe to significant functional impairment.

[Table 1 around here]

Behaviourally, Tom had stopped extracting insects from his body, no longer used insect spray or fumigation items, stopped setting ‘traps’ and was less distressed around meal times. Sarah reported that she had greater empathy for Tom’s experiences and a better understanding of how changes in his brain had led to this outcome. She reported that she was able to accept that Tom’s reality differed to hers without agreeing with him. She had some techniques to use in order to correct Tom’s perceptions (e.g. “I see that as a piece of ash/dust/bruise”) rather than responding with frustration, and was able to try to distract him when his vision could not be corrected. Sarah’s support was critical as she ensured that all of the behavioural and environmental adaptations were made, and that Tom was following the sleep advice, filling in the diary and had access to reminders.

Discussion

The aim of this single case design was to establish the potential for the use of a CBT intervention for delusional infestation. Tom, the client, was an older adult who had suffered a stroke and had severe cardiovascular problems. He was referred for psychological therapy as antipsychotic medication was not acceptable to him. The results of the study suggest that the intervention was successful in treating the emotional distress associated with the hallucinatory experiences, but did not shift the delusion. The treatment was split into two phases; the first was the development of a shared formulation and the introduction of behavioural interventions and hallucination control measures (based on the model by Collerton & Dudley, 2004). The second

involved the application of specific cognitive therapy techniques including behavioural experiments and “theory A/ theory B”. A therapeutic alliance was built upon during phase one, which allowed the reality testing interventions to be introduced in an acceptable way in the second phase. The intervention came to an end when the goal to reduce distress had been met, and the improvements in this area were maintained on 3-month follow up.

The Collerton and Dudley (2004) model was an appropriate fit to Tom’s hallucinatory experiences and the hallucinatory distress was reduced during phase one of the intervention. The adaptations to the therapy included longer sessions, specific focus on relationship building, active involvement of the ‘carer’ (Tom’s wife), between session phone calls, letters and reminders. There was an interesting dissociation between the conviction of Tom’s belief and his emotional distress; while distress reduced, the delusional belief did not shift. There are a number of potential reasons for this. Some of the usual cognitive therapy techniques were not accessible to Tom (e.g. regular written thought records and homework) and behavioural recommendations needed to be sensitive to Tom’s level of impairment. His partial paralysis and poor physical health seriously limited the extent to which he could pursue valued activities and distract himself independently. It was likely that Tom’s posterior parietal stroke damage precipitated the visual changes which triggered hallucinations. It was also possible that neuro-cognitive alterations post-stroke influenced the rigidity of his belief (e.g. a lack of cognitive flexibility). Individuals with organic psychosis are often excluded from treatment trials (Howard, Rabins, Seeman, Jeste, & Late-Onset, 2000) and it is unclear as to whether delusional beliefs are qualitatively different in such cases, and thus respond differently to psychological therapy. Further study in this area is important as post-stroke neuropsychiatric symptoms occur in at least 30% of survivors and are a major predictor of poor outcomes (Chemerinski & Robinson, 2000; Hackett, Köhler, O’Brien, &

Mead, 2014). While there is evidence for the use of CBT in post-stroke emotional disorder (Wang et al., 2018), the evidence base for the treatment of post-stroke psychosis is underdeveloped (Stangeland, Orgeta, & Bell, 2018).

Although this study shows promise for the application of a psychological treatment model to delusional infestation, it cannot conclude that CBT is an effective treatment for this condition as a whole. The client described herein illustrates an interface between complex comorbid difficulties and treatment considerations including older adult, post-stroke, psychosis, neuro-cognitive changes, and delusional infestation. Such individuals would likely be excluded from treatment trials, but smaller studies employing a multiple baseline design or case series could provide enhanced evidence for the use of specific therapeutic techniques even in such complex cases. This study has also raised questions for future research studies. It would be useful to further explore the application of behavioural versus cognitive techniques in post-stroke psychosis, to question whether post-stroke neuro-cognitive changes impede the use of more cognitive techniques, or if interventions designed to improve post-stroke executive skills would enhance treatment outcomes.

This is the first published account of the use of a psychological model (Collerton & Dudley, 2004) to treat delusional infestation. This single case study shows that the use of psychological therapy was feasible in reducing distress in this debilitating and unusual condition. A defining characteristic of delusional infestation is the sufferer's belief that they are not mentally ill, the Collerton and Dudley (2004) model, which initially targets the risk and maintaining factors for hallucinatory experiences and emotional distress, is likely to be more acceptable to clients.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-V*. (5th ed.). Washington, DC.
- Baker, P. B., Cook, B. L., & Winokur, G. (1995). Delusional Infestation: The interface of delusions and hallucinations. *Psychiatric Clinics*, 18(2), 345–361.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0193-953X\(18\)30059-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0193-953X(18)30059-5)
- Brookwell, M. L., Bentall, R. P., & Varese, F. (2013). Externalizing biases and hallucinations in source-monitoring, self-monitoring and signal detection studies: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Medicine*, 43(12), 2465–2475.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712002760>
- Chemerinski, E., & Robinson, R. G. (2000). The neuropsychiatry of stroke. *Psychosomatics*, 41(1), 5–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-3182\(00\)71168-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-3182(00)71168-6)
- Collerton, D., & Dudley, R. (2004). A cognitive behavioural framework for the treatment of distressing visual hallucinations in older people. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 32(4), 443–455. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465804001626>
- Evans, C., Mellor-Clark, J., Margison, F., Barkham, M., et al. (2000). CORE: Clinical outcomes in routine evaluation. *Journal of Mental Health; Abingdon*, 9(3), 247–255.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/713680250>
- Freeman, D., & Garety, P. A. (1999). Worry, worry processes and dimensions of delusions: An exploratory investigation of a role for anxiety processes in the maintenance of delusional distress. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 27(1), 47–62.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/s135246589927107x>

Hackett, M. L., Köhler, S., O'Brien, J. T., & Mead, G. E. (2014). Neuropsychiatric outcomes of stroke. *The Lancet. Neurology*, 13(5), 525–534. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70016-X)

4422(14)70016-X

Howard, R., Rabins, P. V., Seeman, M. V., Jeste, D. V., & Late-Onset, the I. (2000). Late-onset schizophrenia and very-late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis: An international consensus. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 157(2), 172–178.

<https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.157.2.172>

Jacobsen, P., Freeman, D., & Salkovskis, P. (2012). Reasoning bias and belief conviction in obsessive-compulsive disorder and delusions: Jumping to conclusions across disorders?

British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 51(1), 84–99. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8260.2011.02014.x)

8260.2011.02014.x

James, I. A. (2010). *Cognitive behavioural therapy with older people: Interventions for those with and without dementia*. London: Jessica Kingsley.

Kneebone, I. I. (2016). A framework to support cognitive behavior therapy for emotional disorder after stroke. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 23(1), 99–109.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2015.02.001>

Lepping, P., Huber, M., & Freudenmann, R. W. (2015). How to approach delusional infestation.

BMJ, 350, h1328. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h1328>

Mundt, J. C., Marks, I. M., Shear, M. K., & Greist, J. H. (2002). The Work and Social

Adjustment Scale: A simple measure of impairment in functioning. *The British Journal of Psychiatry: The Journal of Mental Science*, 180, 461–464.

<https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.180.5.461>

Nasreddine, Z. S., Phillips, N. A., Bédirian, V., Charbonneau, S., Whitehead, V., Collin, I., ...

Chertkow, H. (2005). The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: A brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 53(4), 695–699. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53221.x>

O’Connell, J. E., & Jackson, H. J. (2018). Unusual conditions: Delusional infestation: is it beyond psychological understanding and treatment? Time to rethink? *Psychosis*, 10(1), 38–46. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17522439.2017.1405063>

Ramirez-Bermudez, J., Espinola-Nadurille, M., & Loza-Taylor, N. (2010). Delusional parasitosis in neurological patients. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 32(3), 294–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2009.10.006>

Reeve, S., Emsley, R., Sheaves, B., & Freeman, D. (2018). Disrupting sleep: The effects of sleep loss on psychotic experiences tested in an experimental study with mediation analysis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 44(3), 662–671. <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbx103>

Salkovskis, P. M. (1991). The importance of behaviour in the maintenance of anxiety and panic: A cognitive account. *Behavioural Psychotherapy*, 19(1), 6–19. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0141347300011472>

Shah, R., Taylor, R. E., & Bewley, A. (2017). Exploring the psychological profile of patients with delusional infestation. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*, 97(1), 98–101. <https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-2423>

Stangeland, H., Orgeta, V., & Bell, V. (2018). Poststroke psychosis: A systematic review. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 89(8), 879–885. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2017-317327>

Trabert, W. (1995). 100 years of delusional parasitosis. Meta-analysis of 1,223 case reports. *Psychopathology*, 28(5), 238–246. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000284934>

Veckenstedt, R., Randjbar, S., Vitzthum, F., Hottenrott, B., Woodward, T. S., & Moritz, S. (2011).

Incorrigibility, jumping to conclusions, and decision threshold in schizophrenia.

Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, 16(2), 174–192.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13546805.2010.536084>

Wang, S. B., Wang, Y. Y., Zhang, Q. E., Wu, S. L., Ng, C. H., Ungvari, G. S., ... Xiang, Y. T.

(2018). Cognitive behavioral therapy for post-stroke depression: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 235, 589–596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.04.011>

World Health Organization. (2018). *International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems (11th Revision)*. Retrieved from <https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en>