

## **Informing Conservation: towards $^{14}\text{C}$ wiggle-matching of short tree-ring sequences from medieval buildings in England**

Bayliss, A, Marshall, P, Tyers, C, Bronk Ramsey, C, Cook, G, Freeman, S P H T, and Griffiths, S

### Abstract

This study tested whether accurate dating by AMS radiocarbon wiggle-matching short tree-ring series (c. 30 annual rings) in the medieval period could be achieved. Scientific dating plays a central role in the conservation of historic buildings in England. Precise dating helps assess the significance of particular buildings or elements of their fabric, thus allowing us to make informed decisions about their repair and protection. Consequently considerable weight, both financial and legal, can be attached to the precision and accuracy of this dating. Dendrochronology is the method of choice, but in a proportion of cases this is unable to provide calendar dates. Hence we would like to be able to use radiocarbon wiggle-matching to provide a comparable level of precision and reliability, particularly on shorter tree-ring sequences (c. 30 annual growth rings) that up until now would not routinely be sampled. We present the results of AMS wiggle-matching five oak tree-ring sequences, spanning the period covered by the vast majority of surviving medieval buildings in England (c. AD 1180–1540) when currently we have only decadal and bi-decadal calibration data

### 1. Background

Over the past 25 years scientific dating has become an integral part of the processes for conservation and repair of historic buildings in England. Precise dating informs decisions about the preservation of buildings, allows us to identify significant fabric, and aids in the specification of appropriate repair strategies. Small differences in date can lead to great differences in the significance of the extant building, and thus to great differences in the costs of the agreed solution for a particular case.

Outcomes of this sort clearly demonstrate the value of precise dating in informing repair and conservation decisions for historic buildings, and have led to dendrochronology becoming widely applied as part of these processes. In consequence, Historic England (and its predecessor, English Heritage) alone has funded tree-ring dating on more than 1500 buildings over the past 20 years to inform such decisions.

## 2. The Problem

In providing the required precise dating for historic buildings in England, the scientific dating method of choice is dendrochronology. The vast majority of medieval buildings in England are constructed of oak, which is widely and successfully dated (English Heritage 1998). There are three situations, however, in which tree-ring analysis may fail to produce calendar dating.

- 1) When a building produces oak tree-ring sequences which simply do not match against the available reference chronologies,
- 2) When a building is constructed from a species other than oak,
- 3) When the timbers in a building contain less than the 50 rings which is normally required for successful dendrochronology.

Of these three situations, the length of the available oak tree-ring sequences is by far the most common limitation. It is clear that the probability that an oak sequence will remain undated is inversely related to the number of tree-rings in the sequence (Fig 1), and indeed very short series (<45 rings) would usually not be selected for sampling by the dendrochronologist.

It is clearly important to provide precise dating in those cases where tree-ring analysis cannot, and so we would like to be able to turn to radiocarbon wiggle-matching to provide dating of an equivalent level of precision and reliability. We do not, however, generally need to wiggle-match long tree-ring sequences (as these will normally have been successfully dated by dendrochronology), but rather we wish to date those timbers which have relatively few growth rings.

But substantial weight, both in conservation terms and in financial terms, can rest on our results, so it is essential that the chronologies produced are both sufficiently precise and sufficiently accurate to reliably direct conservation decisions.

## 3. The Dataset

A previous study, in which we had successfully wiggle-matched part of a 303-ring pine series dating to AD 1367–1670 from Jermyn Street, London (Tyers et al. 2009), suggested that AMS laboratories could now provide the level of precision and

accuracy required for such applications. We therefore determined to test whether we can provide accurate dating by wiggle-matching short tree-ring series (c. 30 annual rings) in the medieval period. It is in this period that scientific dating is most often required, since later buildings more commonly have associated documentary records.

The relevant period is before the set of radiocarbon measurements on single-year tree-ring samples (Stuiver 1993), which provides such detailed understanding of variations in atmospheric radiocarbon between AD 1510 and 1954. This may be relevant because the placement of short calendar series against the calibration curve is more reliant on the curve accurately reflecting short-term variations in atmospheric radiocarbon than is the wiggle-matching of longer series.

Five oak tree-ring series were selected for sampling to cover the period from which standing buildings commonly survive in England. Evidence for the dendrochronological dating of these sequences is provided in Table 1 (the ring-width data for these series are provided in the referenced reports).

The earliest is a 132-ring core from Rudge Farmhouse, Morchard Bishop, Devon (50.85N, 3.78W) which spans the years AD 1129–1260, as it is included in a 192-year site master chronology dated to AD 1129–1315 (Groves 2005). A core consisting of 89 heartwood rings from Bremhill Court, Wiltshire (51.46N, 2.03W) spans the years AD 1220–1308, as it is included in a 213-ring site master chronology that has been dated to AD 1111–1323 (Hurford et al. 2010). A 126-ring core from Manor Farm Barn, Kingston Deverill, Wiltshire (51.13N, 2.22W) has been dated to spanning AD 1284–1409, as it forms part of a 150-ring site master chronology dated as spanning AD 1260–1409 (Tyers et al. 2014a). A 138-ring core from Blanchland Abbey Gatehouse, Northumberland (54.46N, 2.06W) spans AD 1395–1532, and is included in a 207-ring site master sequence that has been dated to AD 1326–1532 (Arnold et al. 2009). Finally, a 120-ring core from Kilve Chantry, Somerset (51.19N, 3.22W) has been dated as spanning AD 1425–1544, this also being the date range of the two-timber mean site chronology of which it forms part (Arnold et al. 2015)

Radiocarbon measurements were made on a total of 86 single-year tree-ring samples from these cores in 2011–13. The 43 dated at the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre were prepared to  $\alpha$ -cellulose using Method F outlined in Hoper et al. (1998), combusted to carbon dioxide (Vandeputte et al.

1996), graphitised (Slota et al. 1987), and dated by AMS (Freeman et al. 2010). The 43 dated at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit were processed using an acid-alkali-acid pretreatment followed by bleaching with sodium chlorite as described by Brock et al. (2010, table 1 (UW)), graphitised (Dee and Bronk Ramsey 2000), and measured by AMS (Bronk Ramsey et al. 2004). All  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values, relative to VPDB, were obtained by IRMS from the gas combusted for graphitisation.

The conventional radiocarbon ages reported for these samples, along with the rings dated from each core, are listed in Table 2. The quoted errors are each laboratory's estimates of the total error in their dating systems. Eight pairs of replicate measurements are available on rings dated to the same calendar year (Table 3). Five pairs of radiocarbon ages are statistically consistent at 95% confidence, one pair is inconsistent at 95% confidence but consistent at 99% confidence, and two pairs are inconsistent at more than 99% confidence (Ward and Wilson 1978;  $T'(5\%)=3.8$ ,  $\nu=1$  for all). The results are therefore more scattered than would be expected on statistical grounds. The quoted  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values are even more dispersed, with only three pairs being statistically consistent at 95% confidence, and the other six being inconsistent at more than 99% confidence (Ward and Wilson 1978;  $T'(5\%)=3.8$ ,  $\nu=1$  for all). These results cannot be regarded as satisfactorily reproducible.

Five pairs of replicate and two pairs of triplicate measurements are also available on rings dated by AMS (this study) and gas proportional counting Stuiver (1993) to the same calendar year (Table 4). Of these seven sets of radiocarbon ages, five are consistent at 95% confidence, one set is inconsistent at 95% confidence but consistent at 99% confidence, and one set (AD 1541) is inconsistent at more than 99% confidence. These results are again more scattered than would be expected on statistical grounds.

#### 4. Wiggle-matching the entire sequences

The first step in the analysis of this data is to wiggle-match the radiocarbon measurements from each core, combining the radiocarbon dates with the calendar interval between the dated tree-rings known from dendrochronology. This was undertaken using the Bayesian approach to wiggle matching first described by Christen and Litton (1995), implemented using OxCal v4.2 (Bronk Ramsey 2009) and the IntCal113 atmospheric calibration data for the northern hemisphere (Reimer et al. 2013).

Figure 2 shows the model for core MBRU13 from Rudge Farmhouse. This has good overall agreement ( $A_{\text{comb}}=130.2$ ,  $A_n=22.4$ ,  $n=10$ ; Bronk Ramsey et al. 2001), and estimates the final ring of the sequence to have been formed in *cal AD 1254–1291 (95% probability; MBRU13\_end; Fig 2)*. This is compatible with the date of AD 1260 produced for this ring by dendrochronology (Table 5).

Figure 3 shows the model for core BCB-C10 from Bremhill Court. This also has good overall agreement ( $A_{\text{comb}}=45.8$ ,  $A_n=17.7$ ,  $n=16$ ), and estimates the final ring of the sequence to have been formed in *cal AD 1297–1310 (95% probability; BCB-C10\_end; Fig 3)*. This is not compatible with the date of AD 1323 produced for this ring by dendrochronology (Table 5). The Highest Posterior Density interval for this distribution at 99% probability is *cal AD 1293–1312*, which is similarly incompatible with the tree-ring analysis.

Figure 4 shows the model for core KDM-B11 from Kingston Deverill. This also has good overall agreement ( $A_{\text{comb}}=25.2$ ,  $A_n=14.4$ ,  $n=24$ ), and estimates the final ring of the sequence to have been formed in *cal AD 1403–1413 (95% probability; KDM-B11\_end; Fig 4)*. This is compatible with the date of AD 1409 produced for this ring by dendrochronology (Table 5).

Figure 5 shows the model for core BAG-B18 from Blanchland Abbey. Again, this model has good overall agreement ( $A_{\text{comb}}=33.0$ ;  $A_n=14.4$ ;  $n=24$ ). It estimates that the final ring was laid down in *cal AD 1513–1524 (95% probability; SUERC-40238\_BAG-B18\_end; Fig 5)*. This is not compatible with the date of AD 1532 produced for this ring by dendrochronology (Table 5). The Highest Posterior Density interval for this distribution at 99% probability is *cal AD 1511–1526*, which is similarly incompatible with the tree-ring analysis.

Figure 6 shows the model for core KLV-A06 from Kilve Chantry. This model has poor overall agreement ( $A_{\text{comb}}=2.8$ ,  $A_n=20.4$ ,  $n=12$ ), with two samples having particularly poor individual indices of agreement (OxA-28709 (A: 8) and SUERC-48668 (A:0)). This model estimates that the final ring was laid down in *cal AD 1523–1537 (95% probability; KLV-A06\_end; Fig 6)*. This is not compatible with the date of AD 1544 produced for this ring by dendrochronology (Table 5). The Highest Posterior Density interval for this distribution at 99% probability is *cal AD 1517–1540*, which is similarly incompatible with the tree-ring analysis.

Wiggle-matching of the radiocarbon results quoted by each laboratory separately was then undertaken on the five timbers. Again, the Highest Posterior Density intervals at 95% probability were incompatible with the respective tree-ring dates for the Bremhill Court and Blanchland Abbey Gatehouse cores, and compatible with the respective tree-ring dates for the Rudge and Kingston Deverill cores (Table 5). The Highest Posterior Density interval at 95% probability for the wiggle-match for the core from Kilve Chantry using measurements produced at Oxford included the date for this ring produced by dendrochronology, the wiggle-match for this timber using measurements produced at East Kilbride did not (Table 5).

The indices of agreement provided by OxCal for wiggle matching (Bronk Ramsey et al. 2001, 384) do not indicate that these models are problematic. Of the fifteen models so far described, only two (Kilve Chantry (a) and (c)) have poor overall agreement, although seven produce date ranges that are incompatible with the tree-ring dating at more than 99% probability (Table 5). When the tree-ring date for the final ring of each core is input into the model, using the C\_Date function of OxCal, then all five cores produce models with poor overall agreement (even the two cores whose radiocarbon dates are otherwise compatible with the dendrochronology).

#### 5. Wiggle-matching partial sequences

Given that the length of the available oak tree-ring sequence is the usual limitation on successful dendrochronology in historic buildings from England, we ran a series of short wiggle-matches on sequences, between 25 and 35 rings in length, from each core. These models would determine whether accurate results could be obtained by wiggle-matching such short sequences, and also help to identify whether there was any part of the period covered by the dated cores where inaccurate model outputs were more common.

Each core was divided into sequential blocks of approximately 30 years, for which 5 or 6 radiocarbon ages were available (Table 2; Fig 7). The results from each block were incorporated into a wiggle-match model that estimated the date of the final ring of the complete core. These estimates could then be compared with the known date for the final ring as derived from dendrochronology to determine the accuracy of the short wiggle-matches. The results of the 64 wiggle-matches on 'blocks' of 25–35 rings are given in Table 5 and summarised in Figure 8. The Highest Posterior Density interval at 95% probability was compatible with the tree-ring date for the final ring of the relevant core in just over half of models (51.6%). All six short sequences from

Rudge and 18 of the 19 short sequences from Kingston Deverill produced estimates at 95% probability compatible with the known date of the last ring of their tree-ring sequences. Wiggle-matching short sequences from the other three sites, Bremhill Court, Blanchland Abbey, and Kilve Chantry produced Highest Posterior Density intervals at 95% probability that are incompatible with the tree-ring dates for the final ring of those cores in the majority of cases (76.9%).

#### 6. The longest wiggle-match (AD 1160–1544)

A wiggle-match comprising radiocarbon measurements on 79 dated rings from all five sites is shown in Figure 9. This model has poor overall agreement ( $A_{\text{comb}}$ : 1.6;  $A_n$ : 8.0;  $n$ : 79). The Highest Posterior Density interval for the final ring is *cal AD 1532–1537 (95% probability; AD 1544; Fig 9)*, or *cal AD 1531–1539 (99% probability)*. Neither interval includes the date obtained for this ring by dendrochronology of AD 1544.

Figure 10 shows the radiocarbon ages obtained on single known-age tree-rings as part of this study in comparison to the radiocarbon ages covering this period included in IntCal13 (Reimer et al. 2013). These are on decadal samples (Wk; Hogg et al. 2002), single-year and decadal samples (QL; Stuiver et al. 1998), decadal and bi-decadal samples (UB; Hogg et al. 2002; Pearson et al. 1986), and decadal and 23-year and 24-year samples (van der Plicht et al. 1995).

There are no clear systematic offsets. The short wiggle-matches, might suggest that accurate dating is particularly difficult in the decades around AD 1300 and in the decades around AD 1500 (Fig 8). All radiocarbon data around AD 1300 are, however, tightly grouped. There is more variation around AD 1500, but no more so than, for example, around AD 1400 (where the Kingston Deverill wiggle-matches produce consistently accurate outputs).

#### 7. Conclusions

The difficulty in accurately wiggle-matching the short, 25–35-year, tree-ring sequences that were the objective of this research is not entirely surprising, given the reliance of this approach on a detailed understanding of the structure of the radiocarbon calibration curve (which is currently mostly based on measurements on decadal wood samples). In fact, just under half (47.7%) of the short wiggle-matches produced date ranges at 95% probability which did not include the age of the final tree-ring determined by dendrochronology (Table 6; Fig 8).

Given the good accuracy produced in previous studies on post-medieval buildings (Tyers et al. 2009; Bayliss et al. 2014), the inaccurate results produced by three of the five long wiggle-matches undertaken as part of this study was unexpected (Table 5; Figs 3 and 5–6). It is therefore clear from this study that AMS radiocarbon wiggle-matching in the medieval period cannot be relied upon to produce dating that is accurate to within the precision quoted.

Whilst the causes of the difficulties in accurate wiggle-matching in this period are explored further, we would urge caution to those wishing to use this technique on similar material (cf. Nakao et al. 2014), particularly if the results will inform the long-term preservation and conservation of the structures involved.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, Matthew Hurford, and Martin Bridge for undertaking the tree-ring dating of the buildings on which this study is based, and the staff of the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit and Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre for undertaking the radiocarbon measurements.

### References

- Arnold AJ, Howard RE, Litton CD. 2004. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the roof of St Catherine's Chapel (South-East Transept), Wells Cathedral, Somerset*. Centre for Archaeol Rep, 64/2004.
- Arnold AJ, Howard RE, Litton CD. 2006. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Low Harperley Farmhouse, Wolsingham, County Durham*. Engl Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, 6/2006.
- Arnold AJ, Howard RE, Litton CD. 2008. List 197 no 11 – Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory. *Vernacular Architect* 39, 119–28.
- Arnold A, Howard R, Hurford M. 2009. *Abbey Gatehouse and Number 1 The Square, Blanchland, Northumberland: tree-ring analysis of timbers*. Engl Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, 47/2009.
- Arnold A, Howard R, Outram Z, Cook G, Bronk Ramsey C. 2015. *North Wing of the Kilve Chantry, Sea Lane, Kilve, Somerset: tree-ring and radiocarbon dating of timbers*, Hist Engl Research Rep Ser, 71/2015.
- Bayliss A, Bronk Ramsey C, Cook G, Freeman S, Hamilton WD, van der Plicht J, Tyers C. 2014. Scientific dating of timbers from the nave roof and ceiling of the Cathedral Church of St Peter and St Wilfred, Ripon, North Yorkshire, Engl Heritage Research Rep Ser, 73/2014.

- Bridge MC. 2001. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the Abbey Barn, Glastonbury, Somerset*. Centre for Archaeol Rep, 39/2001.
- Bridge M C. 2002a. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Meare Manor Farmhouse, St Mary's Road, Meare, Somerset*. Centre for Archaeol Rep, 103/2002.
- Bridge MC. 2002b. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Muchelney Abbey, Muchelney, near Langport, Somerset*. Centre for Archaeol Rep, 114/2002.
- Bridge MC. 2003. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Fiddleford Manor, Calf Close Lane, Sturminster Newton, Dorset*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, 13/2003.
- Brock F, Higham T, Ditchfield P, Bronk Ramsey C. 2010. Current pretreatment methods for AMS radiocarbon dating at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit (ORAU). *Radiocarbon* 52(1):103–12.
- Bronk Ramsey C. 2009. Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. *Radiocarbon* 51(1):337–60.
- Bronk Ramsey C, van der Plicht J, Weninger B. 2001. 'Wiggle matching' radiocarbon dates. *Radiocarbon* 43(2A):381–89.
- Bronk Ramsey C, Higham T, Leach P. 2004. Towards high precision AMS: progress and limitations. *Radiocarbon* 46(1):17–24.
- Christen JA, Litton CD. 1995. A Bayesian approach to wiggle-matching. *J Archaeol Sci* 22(6):719–25.
- Dee M, Bronk Ramsey C. 2000. Refinement of the graphite target production at ORAU. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B* 172(1–4):449–53.
- English Heritage 1998. *Dendrochronology: guidelines on producing and interpreting dendrochronological dates*. London.
- Esling J, Howard RE, Laxton RR, Litton CD, Simpson WG. 1990. List 33 no 11a - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect* 21, 37–40.
- Freeman SPHT, Cook GT, Dougans AB, Naysmith P, Wilcken KM, Xu S. 2010. Improved SSAMS performance. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods B* 268(7–8):715–717.
- Groves C. 1994. *Tree-ring analysis of oak timbers from Lodge Farm, Kingston Lacy Estate, Dorset*. Anc Mon Lab Rep, 16/94.
- Groves C. 2005. *Dendrochronological research in Devon: phase I*. Engl Heritage Centre for Archaeology Report. 56/2005.
- Groves C, Hillam J. 1994. *Tree-ring analysis of Bradford-on-Avon tithe barn, Wiltshire*, 1993. Anc Mon Lab Rep, 9/94.
- Hillam J, Groves CM. 1991. *Tree-ring analysis of oak timbers from Aydon Castle, Corbridge, Northumberland*. Anc Mon Lab Rep, 42/1991.

- Hogg AG, McCormac FG, Higham TFG, Reimer PJ, Baillie MG, Palmer JG. 2002. High-precision radiocarbon measurements of contemporaneous tree-ring dated wood from the British Isles and New Zealand: AD 1850–950. *Radiocarbon* 44(3):633–40.
- Hoper ST, McCormac FG, Hogg AG, Higham TFG, Head MJ. 1998. Evaluation of wood pretreatment s on oak and cedar. *Radiocarbon* 40(1):45–50.
- Howard RE, Laxton RR, Litton CD, Simpson WG. 1991. List 39 no 10 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: results. *Vernacular Architect* 22:40–3.
- Howard RE, Laxton RR, Litton CD, Hook R, Thornes R. 1992. List 47 no 3 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: truncated principal trusses project. *Vernacular Architect* 23:59–6
- Howard RE, Laxton RR, Litton CD. 1996. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Mercer's Hall, Mercer's Lane, Gloucester*. Anc Mon Lab Rep, 13/1996.
- Howard RE, Laxton RR, Litton CD. 1998a. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the Old Rectory, Withington, Gloucestershire*. Anc Mon Lab Rep, 38/98.
- Howard RE, Laxton RR, Litton CD. 1998b. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from 26 Westgate Street, Gloucester*. Anc Mon Lab Rep, 43/1998.
- Howard RE, Laxton RR, Litton CD. 2001a. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Unthank Hall, Stanhope, County Durham*. Centre for Archaeol Rep, 4/2001.
- Howard RE, Laxton RR, Litton CD. 2001b. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Halton Castle, near Corbridge, Northumberland*. Centre for Archaeol Rep, 96/2001.
- Hurford M, Howard RE, Tyers C. 2010. *Bremhill Court, Bremhill, Wiltshire: tree-ring analysis of timbers*. Engl Heritage Research Dept Rep Ser, 77/2010.
- Miles DWH. 2001. *Tree-ring dating of Court Farm Barn, Church Lane, Winterbourne, Gloucestershire*. Centre for Archaeol Rep, 34/2001.
- Miles DH, Worthington MJ, Bridge MC. 2006. Tree-ring dates. *Vernacular Architect* 37:118–32.
- Mills C. 1988. *Dendrochronology in Exeter and its application*. PhD thesis. Univ Sheffield.
- Nakao N, Sakamoto M, Imamura M. 2014. <sup>14</sup>C dating of historical buildings in Japan. *Radiocarbon* 56(2):691–7.
- Nayling N. 1999. *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the White House, Vowchurch, Herefordshire*. Anc Mon Lab Rep, 73/1999.
- Pearson GW, Pilcher JR, Baillie MGL, Corbett DM, Qua F. 1986. High-Precision C-14 Measurement of Irish oaks to show the natural C-14 variations from AD 1840 to 5210 BC. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B):911–34.
- Reimer PJ, Bard E, Bayliss A, Beck JW, Blackwell P, Bronk Ramsey C, Buck CE, Cheng H, Edwards RL, Friedrich M, Grootes PM, Guilderson TP, Hafliadason H, Hajdas I, Hatté C, Heaton TJ, Hoffmann DL, Hogg AG, Hughen KA, Kaiser KF, Kromer B, Manning SW, Niu M, Reimer RW, Richards DA, Scott EM, Southon JR,

- Staff RA, Turney CSM, van der Plicht J. 2013. IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP. *Radiocarbon* 55(4):1869–87.
- Slota Jr PJ, Jull AJT, Linick TW, Toolin LJ. 1987. Preparation of small samples for  $^{14}\text{C}$  accelerator targets by catalytic reduction of CO. *Radiocarbon* 29(2):303–6.
- Stuiver M. 1993. A note on single-year calibration of the radiocarbon timescale AD 1510–1954. *Radiocarbon* 35(1):67–72.
- Stuiver M, Reimer PJ, Braziunas TF. 1998. High-precision radiocarbon age calibration for terrestrial and marine samples. *Radiocarbon* 40(3):1127–51.
- Tyers C, Sidell J, van der Plicht J, Marshall P, Cook C, Bronk Ramsey C, Bayliss A. 2009. Wiggle-matching using known-age pine from Jermyn Street, London. *Radiocarbon* 51(2):385–96.
- Tyers I. 1999. *Tree-ring analysis of oak timbers from the Manor Barn, Avebury, Wiltshire*. ARCUS Rep, 524.
- Tyers I. 2003. *Tree-ring analysis of oak timbers from the south transept and nave roofs of the church of St John the Baptist, Bradworthy, Devon*. Centre for Archaeol Rep, 2/2003.
- Tyers I. 2004. *Tree-ring analysis of oak timbers from St Brannock Church, Braunton, Devon*. Centre for Archaeol Rep, 81/2004.
- Tyers C, Hurford M, Bridge M. 2014a *Manor Farm Barn, Kingston Deverill, Wiltshire: tree-ring analysis of timbers*. Research Rep Ser, 64/2014.
- Tyers C, Hurford M, Bridge M. 2014b. *Dauntsey House, Church Lane, Dauntsey, Wiltshire: Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers*. Eng Her Res Rep Ser 62-2014.
- Vandeputte K, Moens L, Dams R. 1996. Improved sealed-tube combustion of organic samples to CO<sub>2</sub> for stable isotopic analysis, radiocarbon dating and percent carbon determinations. *Analytical Letters* 29(15):2761–73.
- van der Plicht J, Jansma E, Kars H. 1995. The "Amsterdam Castle": a case study of wiggle matching and the proper calibration curve. *Radiocarbon* 37(3):965–8.
- Ward GK, Wilson SR. 1978. Procedures for comparing and combining radiocarbon age determinations: a critique. *Archaeometry* 20(1):19–31.

**Table 1:** Results of cross-matching with relevant independent site reference chronologies the site sequences containing the timbers sampled for radiocarbon dating

| Reference chronology   | t-value | Span of chronology | Reference                 |
|--|---------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| <b><i>Rudge, Morchard Bishop, Devon: core MBRU13 part of 192-year 12-timber mean MBRU-T11 (spanning AD 1129–1315)</i></b>                  |         |                    |                           |
| Bradworthy Church, Devon   | 11.5    | AD 1125–1367       | Tyers 2003                |
| Meare Manor Farmhouse, Somerset  | 10.5    | AD 1156–1315       | Bridge 2002a              |
| Wells Cathedral, St Catherine's Chapel, Somerset   | 10.4    | AD 1169–1325       | Arnold <i>et al</i> 2004  |
| Exeter Cathedral, Devon  | 10.4    | AD 1137–1332       | Mills 1988                |
| Glastonbury Abbey Barn, Somerset   | 9.8     | AD 1095–1334       | Bridge 2001               |
| Muchelney Abbey, Somerset  | 7.8     | AD 1148–1498       | Bridge 2002b              |
| <b><i>Bremhill Court, Wiltshire: core BCB-C10 part of 213-year 7-timber mean BHBCSQ01 (spanning AD 1111–1323)</i></b>                      |         |                    |                           |
| Court Farm Barn, Winterbourne, Gloucestershire   | 14.2    | AD 1177–1341       | Miles 2001                |
| Fiddleford Manor, Sturminster Newton, Dorset   | 10.2    | AD 1167–1315       | Bridge 2003               |
| The Manor Barn, Avebury, Wiltshire   | 9.8     | AD 1072–1278       | Tyers 1999                |
| Abbey Barn, Glastonbury, Somerset  | 9.8     | AD 1095–1334       | Bridge 2001               |
| Wells Cathedral, St Catherine's Chapel, Somerset   | 9.4     | AD 1169–1325       | Arnold <i>et al</i> 2004  |
| Bradford on Avon tithe barn, Wiltshire   | 8.5     | AD 1174–1324       | Groves and Hillam 1994    |
| <b><i>Kingston Deverill, Manor Farm Barn, Wiltshire: core KDM-B11 part of 150-year 8-timber mean KDMBSQ01 (spanning AD 1260–1409)</i></b>  |         |                    |                           |
| Devizes Castle, Devizes, Wiltshire   | 8.6     | AD 1213–1407       | Miles <i>et al</i> 2006   |
| Old Rectory, Withington, Gloucestershire   | 6.6     | AD 1252–1429       | Howard <i>et al</i> 1998a |
| Lodge Farm, Kingston Lacy, Dorset  | 6.4     | AD 1248–1399       | Groves 1994               |
| Winchcombe Abbey House, Winchcombe, Gloucestershire  | 6.2     | AD 1250–1499       | Arnold <i>et al</i> 2008  |
| Lacock Abbey, Lacock, Wiltshire  | 6.2     | AD 1292–1441       | Esling <i>et al</i> 1990  |
| St Brannock Church, Braunton, Devon  | 6.2     | AD 1215–1378       | Tyers 2004                |
| <b><i>Blanchland Abbey Gatehouse, Northumberland: core BAG-B18 part of a 207-year 28-timber mean BAGBSQQ01 (spanning AD 1326–1532)</i></b> |         |                    |                           |
| Aydon Castle, Corbridge, Northumberland  | 10.5    | AD 1424–1543       | Hillam and Groves 1991    |
| Low Harperley Farmhouse, Wolsingham, Co Durham   | 9.9     | AD 1356–1604       | Arnold <i>et al</i> 2006  |
| 1–2 The College, Cathedral Precinct, Durham  | 9.6     | AD 1364–1531       | Howard <i>et al</i> 1992  |
| Unthank Hall, Stanhope, Co Durham  | 9.4     | AD 1386–1592       | Howard <i>et al</i> 2001a |
| Halton Castle, Corbridge, Northumberland   | 8.9     | AD 1396–1559       | Howard <i>et al</i> 2001b |

| <b>Reference chronology</b>   | <b>t-value</b> | <b>Span of chronology</b> | <b>Reference</b>          |
|---|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 35 The Close, Newcastle upon Tyne   | 8.4            | AD 1365–1513              | Howard <i>et al</i> 1991  |
| <b><i>Kilve Chantry, Somerset: core KLV-A06 part of 120-year 2-timber mean KLVASQ01 (spanning AD 1425–1544)</i></b> |                |                           |                           |
| Court House, Shelsley Walsh, Worcestershire   | 7.7            | AD 1387–1575              | Arnold <i>et al</i> 2008  |
| 26 Westgate Street, Gloucester  | 7.6            | AD 1399–1622              | Howard <i>et al</i> 1998b |
| Muchelney Abbey, Somerset   | 7.5            | AD 1148–1498              | Bridge 2002b              |
| White House, Vowchurch, Herefordshire   | 7.2            | AD 1364–1602              | Nayling 1999              |
| Mercer's Hall, Westgate Street, Gloucester  | 6.9            | AD 1289–1541              | Howard <i>et al</i> 1996  |
| Dauntsey House, Dauntsey, Wiltshire   | 6.9            | AD 1393–1580              | Tyers <i>et al</i> 2014b  |

**Table 2:** Details of sampled tree-rings and radiocarbon results

| Laboratory Code                             | Material                                     | Radiocarbon Age (BP) | $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰) - IRMS | Tree-ring date (AD) |
|---|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Rudge, Morchard Bishop – core MBRU13</b> |  |                      |                                  |                     |
| OxA-24671                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 32; 160mg | 877±27               | -25.4±0.2                        | 1160                |
| SUERC-34332                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 40; 240mg | 850±25               | -25.2±0.2                        | 1168                |
| OxA-24670                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 48; 150mg | 838±26               | -23.7±0.2                        | 1176                |
| SUERC-34343                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 54; 170mg | 820±25               | -24.3±0.2                        | 1182                |
| OxA-24673                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 65; 140mg | 839±25               | -24.6±0.2                        | 1193                |
| SUERC-34336                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 71; 110mg | 850±35               | -24.6±0.2                        | 1199                |
| OxA-24669                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 81; 120mg | 832±26               | -24.4±0.2                        | 1209                |
| SUERC-34334                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 88; 80mg  | 840±25               | -25.6±0.2                        | 1216                |
| OxA-24672                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 97; 90mg  | 818±25               | -24.7±0.2                        | 1225                |
| SUERC-34338                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 102; 80mg | 795±25               | -23.4±0.2                        | 1230                |
| <b>Bremhill Court, core BCB-C10</b>         |  |                      |                                  |                     |
| OxA-29231                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 2; 40mg   | 895±26               | -25.1±0.2                        | 1221                |
| SUERC-50294                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 6; 40mg   | 836±27               | -24.7±0.2                        | 1225                |
| OxA-29232                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 11; 100mg | 882±27               | -25.3±0.2                        | 1230                |
| SUERC-50295                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 16; 170mg | 792±26               | -24.5±0.2                        | 1235                |
| OxA-28370                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 21; 140mg | 824±24               | -26.6±0.2                        | 1240                |
| SUERC-48673                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 27; 160mg | 835±26               | -24.7±0.2                        | 1246                |
| OxA-28372                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 34; 40mg  | 813±24               | -24.7±0.2                        | 1253                |
| SUERC-48672                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 39; 50mg  | 837±26               | -25.9±0.2                        | 1258                |
| OxA-28640                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 45; 30mg  | 779±22               | -25.0±0.2                        | 1264                |
| SUERC-48679                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 51; 80mg  | 845±23               | -25.5±0.2                        | 1270                |
| OxA-28371                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 57; 60mg  | 757±24               | -24.3±0.2                        | 1276                |
| SUERC-48677                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 63; 50mg  | 759±26               | -23.6±0.2                        | 1282                |
| OxA-28369                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 70; 160mg | 751±23               | -25.5±0.2                        | 1289                |
| SUERC-48680                                 | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 75; 180mg | 760±26               | -24.3±0.2                        | 1294                |
| OxA-28639                                   | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 81; 130mg | 632±22               | -25.2±0.2                        | 1300                |

| Laboratory Code  | Material  | Radiocarbon Age (BP) | $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰) - IRMS | Tree-ring date (AD) |
|--|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| SUERC-48678  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 87;<br>170mg | 644±26               | -23.6±0.2                        | 1306                |
| <b>Manor Farm Barn, Kingston Deverill – core KDM-B11</b> |   |                      |                                  |                     |
| OxA-24622  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 1;<br>190mg  | 686±22               | -25.0±0.2                        | 1284                |
| SUERC-40193  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 6;<br>160mg  | 655±30               | -24.3±0.2                        | 1289                |
| OxA-26415  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 12;<br>160mg | 696±23               | -22.6±0.2                        | 1295                |
| SUERC-40188  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 17;<br>110mg | 625±30               | -24.3±0.2                        | 1300                |
| OxA-26426  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 23;<br>110mg | 617±22               | -23.7±0.2                        | 1306                |
| SUERC-40181  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 29;<br>90mg  | 620±30               | -24.5±0.2                        | 1312                |
| OxA-26420  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 34;<br>90mg  | 658±22               | -23.9±0.2                        | 1317                |
| SUERC-40189  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 39;<br>110mg | 585±30               | -25.4±0.2                        | 1322                |
| OxA-26419  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 45;<br>210mg | 578±23               | -23.0±0.2                        | 1328                |
| SUERC-40194  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 49;<br>110mg | 555±30               | -25.8±0.2                        | 1332                |
| OxA-26421  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 55;<br>110mg | 613±22               | -24.3±0.2                        | 1338                |
| SUERC-40184  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 60;<br>110mg | 575±30               | -26.7±0.2                        | 1343                |
| OxA-26423  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 66;<br>70mg  | 561±22               | -25.0±0.2                        | 1349                |
| SUERC-40182  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 71;<br>60mg  | 545±30               | -26.4±0.2                        | 1354                |
| OxA-26417  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 77;<br>110mg | 627±22               | -23.5±0.2                        | 1360                |
| SUERC-40183  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 82;<br>70mg  | 600±30               | -27.0±0.2                        | 1365                |
| OxA-26416  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 88;<br>70mg  | 630±22               | -24.4±0.2                        | 1371                |
| SUERC-40190  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 93;<br>60mg  | 595±30               | -26.7±0.2                        | 1376                |
| OxA-26424  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 99;<br>40mg    | 673±22               | -25.1±0.2                        | 1382                |
| SUERC-40192  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 104;<br>40mg   | 635±30               | -25.9±0.2                        | 1387                |
| OxA-26425  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 110;<br>40mg   | 603±22               | -25.7±0.2                        | 1393                |
| SUERC-40180  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 115;<br>40mg   | 530±30               | -26.7±0.2                        | 1398                |
| OxA-26418  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 120;<br>50mg   | 560±23               | -24.9±0.2                        | 1403                |
| SUERC-40191  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 125;<br>30mg   | 475±30               | -26.5±0.2                        | 1408                |
| <b>Blanchland Abbey Gatehouse – core BAG-B18</b>         |   |                      |                                  |                     |
| OxA-26403  | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 2;<br>80mg   | 636±22               | -25.7±0.2                        | 1396                |

| Laboratory Code                     | Material                                     | Radiocarbon Age (BP) | $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰) - IRMS | Tree-ring date (AD) |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| SUERC-40240                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 7; 70mg   | 665±30               | -26.7±0.2                        | 1401                |
| OxA-26409                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 13; 90mg  | 615±22               | -25.0±0.2                        | 1407                |
| SUERC-40232                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 19; 130mg | 580±30               | -26.9±0.2                        | 1413                |
| OxA-26410                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 25; 70mg  | 508±22               | -25.1±0.2                        | 1419                |
| SUERC-40236                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 31; 60mg  | 515±30               | -25.7±0.2                        | 1425                |
| OxA-26408                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 37; 30mg  | 532±22               | -25.4±0.2                        | 1431                |
| SUERC-40242                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 43; 50mg  | 515±30               | -26.6±0.2                        | 1437                |
| OxA-26406                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 49; 30mg  | 486±23               | -26.2±0.2                        | 1443                |
| SUERC-40230                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 55; 80mg  | 375±30               | -27.5±0.2                        | 1449                |
| OxA-26412                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 61; 100mg | 462±23               | -25.7±0.2                        | 1455                |
| SUERC-40246                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 67; 70mg  | 430±30               | -25.7±0.2                        | 1461                |
| OxA-26405                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 73; 30mg  | 400±23               | -26.2±0.2                        | 1467                |
| SUERC-40241                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 79; 50mg  | 410±30               | -27.1±0.2                        | 1473                |
| OxA-26414                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 85; 80mg  | 395±22               | -25.9±0.2                        | 1479                |
| SUERC-40239                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 91; 40mg  | 420±30               | -26.9±0.2                        | 1485                |
| OxA-26404                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, ring 97; 70mg  | 365±22               | -25.8±0.2                        | 1491                |
| SUERC-40231                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 103; 60mg   | 395±30               | -28.1±0.2                        | 1497                |
| OxA-26407                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 109; 40mg   | 423±23               | -26.6±0.2                        | 1503                |
| SUERC-40247                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 115; 50mg   | 330±30               | -27.2±0.2                        | 1509                |
| OxA-26411                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 121; 40mg   | 382±24               | -26.2±0.2                        | 1515                |
| SUERC-40237                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 127; 40mg   | 350±30               | -26.6±0.2                        | 1521                |
| OxA-26413                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 133; 40mg   | 332±22               | -24.3±0.2                        | 1527                |
| SUERC-40238                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, ring 138; 50mg   | 360±30               | -25.8±0.2                        | 1532                |
| <b>Kilve Chantry – core KLV-A06</b> |  |                      |                                  |                     |
| OxA-28706                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp, heartwood, ring 2; 210mg  | 535±23               | -24.5±0.2                        | 1426                |
| SUERC-48663                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp, heartwood, ring 12; 330mg | 522±26               | -25.5±0.2                        | 1436                |
| OxA-28707                           | <i>Quercus</i> sp, heartwood, ring 22; 170mg | 465±21               | -24.8±0.2                        | 1446                |
| SUERC-48667                         | <i>Quercus</i> sp, heartwood, ring 33; 90mg  | 442±21               | -25.1±0.2                        | 1457                |

| <b>Laboratory Code</b> | <b>Material</b>                                       | <b>Radiocarbon Age (BP)</b> | <b><math>\delta^{13}\text{C}</math> (‰) - IRMS</b> | <b>Tree-ring date (AD)</b> |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| OxA-28708              | Quercus sp, heartwood, ring 43;<br>90mg               | 407±22                      | -25.1±0.2  | 1467                       |
| SUERC-48668            | Quercus sp, heartwood, ring 55;<br>30mg               | 497±26                      | -23.7±0.2  | 1479                       |
| OxA-28709              | Quercus sp, heartwood, ring 64;<br>70mg               | 317±23                      | -25.8±0.2  | 1488                       |
| SUERC-48669            | Quercus sp, heartwood, ring 74;<br>80mg               | 422±23                      | -25.0±0.2  | 1498                       |
| OxA-28710              | Quercus sp, heartwood, ring 84;<br>140mg              | 332±22                      | -25.6±0.2  | 1508                       |
| SUERC-48670            | Quercus sp, heartwood, ring 95;<br>140mg              | 400±26                      | -25.0±0.2  | 1519                       |
| OxA-28711              | Quercus sp, heartwood, ring 106;<br>60mg              | 352±23                      | -25.5±0.2  | 1530                       |
| OxA-28712              |   | 297±23                      | -25.5±0.2  | 1530                       |
| Ring 106               | Weighted mean ( $T'=2.9$ ;<br>$T'(5\%)=3.8$ ; $v=1$ ) | 325±17                      | -  | 1530                       |
| SUERC-48671            | Quercus sp, heartwood, ring 117;<br>80mg              | 367±26                      | -25.1±0.2  | 1541                       |

**Table 3:** Statistical consistency of radiocarbon ages and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  measurements on rings of the same calendar date (Ward and Wilson 1978;  $T'(5\%)=3.8$ ;  $v=1$ ); values in **bold** indicate that the relevant replicate pair are statistically inconsistent at 95% confidence.

| Calendar date | Laboratory Code | Radiocarbon Age (BP) | T'         | $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰) - IRMS | T'          |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| AD 1225       | SUERC-50294     | 836±27               | 0.2        | -24.7±0.2                        | 0.0         |
|               | OxA-24672       | 818±25               |            | -24.7±0.2                        |             |
| AD 1230       | OxA-29232       | 882±27               | <b>5.6</b> | -25.3±0.2                        | <b>45.1</b> |
|               | SUERC-34338     | 795±25               |            | -23.4±0.2                        |             |
| AD 1289       | OxA-28369       | 751±23               | <b>6.4</b> | -25.5±0.2                        | <b>18.0</b> |
|               | SUERC-40193     | 655±30               |            | -24.3±0.2                        |             |
| AD 1300       | OxA-28639       | 632±22               | 0.0        | -25.2±0.2                        | <b>10.1</b> |
|               | SUERC-40188     | 625±30               |            | -24.3±0.2                        |             |
| AD 1306       | OxA-26426       | 617±22               | 0.6        | -23.7±0.2                        | 0.1         |
|               | SUERC-48678     | 644±26               |            | -23.6±0.2                        |             |
| AD 1467       | OxA-26405       | 400±23               | 0.0        | -26.2±0.2                        | <b>15.1</b> |
|               | OxA-28708       | 407±22               |            | -25.1±0.2                        |             |
| AD 1479       | SUERC-48668     | 497±26               | <b>9.0</b> | -23.7±0.2                        | <b>60.5</b> |
|               | OxA-26414       | 395±22               |            | -25.9±0.2                        |             |
| AD 1530       | OxA-28711       | 352±23               | 2.9        | -25.5±0.2                        | 0.0         |
|               | OxA-28712       | 297±23               |            | -25.5±0.2                        |             |

**Table 4:** Statistical consistency (Ward and Wilson 1978) of radiocarbon ages (this study and Stuiver 1993) on rings of the same calendar date; values in **bold** indicate that the relevant measurements are statistically inconsistent at 95% confidence.

| Calendar date | Laboratory Code | Radiocarbon Age (BP) | T'(5%) | T'         |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------|------------|
| AD 1515       | OxA-26411       | 382±24               | 3.8    | 1.0        |
|               | QL-10315        | 355±13               |        |            |
| AD 1519       | SUERC-48670     | 400±28               | 3.8    | 1.0        |
|               | QL-10311        | 367±16               |        |            |
| AD 1521       | SUERC-40237     | 350±30               | 3.8    | 0.4        |
|               | QL-10309        | 329±16               |        |            |
| AD 1527       | OxA-26413       | 332±22               | 3.8    | 3.0        |
|               | QL-10303        | 319±14               |        |            |
| AD 1530       | OxA-28711       | 352±23               | 6.0    | 3.0        |
|               | OxA-28712       | 297±23               |        |            |
|               | QL-10300        | 316±14               |        |            |
| AD 1532       | SUERC-40238     | 360±30               | 3.8    | <b>4.1</b> |
|               | QL-10298        | 293±14               |        |            |
| AD 1541       | SUERC-48671     | 367±26               | 6.0    | <b>9.8</b> |
|               | QL-10289        | 282±13               |        |            |
|               | QL-10289        | 318±13               |        |            |

**Table 5:** Summary of wiggle-matching the five timbers sampled for radiocarbon dating, (a) all radiocarbon measurements, (b) OxA- only, (c) SUERC-only, (d) all radiocarbon measurement with known tree-ring end date of sequence

| Data   | Acomb {An, n}         | Highest Posterior Density interval (cal AD) |                  |                  | Tree-ring end date (AD) |
|--|-----------------------|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|  |                       | 68% probability                             | 95% probability  | 99% probability  |                         |
| <i>Rudge, Morchard Bishop – core MBRU13</i>              |                       |   |                  |                  |                         |
| (a)  | 130.2 {22.4, 10}      | 1258–1281                                   | 1254–1291        | 1251–1300        | 1260                    |
| (b)  | 154.7 {31.6, 5}       | 1260–1286                                   | 1252–1295        | 1247–1306        | 1260                    |
| (c)  | 90.9 {31.6, 5}        | 1255–1284                                   | 1252–1299        | 1247–1305        | 1260                    |
| (d)  | <b>3.0</b> {21.3, 11} | -   | -                | -                | 1260                    |
| <i>Bremhill Court – core BCB-C10</i>                     |                       |   |                  |                  |                         |
| (a)  | 45.8 {17.7, 16}       | <b>1301–1307</b>                            | <b>1297–1313</b> | <b>1293–1312</b> | 1323                    |
| (b)  | 82.3 {25.0, 8}        | <b>1294–1305</b>                            | <b>1288–1309</b> | <b>1281–1312</b> | 1323                    |
| (c)  | 48.1 {25.0, 8}        | <b>1303–1311</b>                            | <b>1299–1314</b> | <b>1294–1317</b> | 1323                    |
| (d)  | <b>0.0</b> {17.1, 17} | -   | -                | -                | 1323                    |
| <i>Kingston Deverill, Manor Farm Barn – core KDM-B11</i> |                       |   |                  |                  |                         |
| (a)  | 25.2 {14.4, 24}       | 1405–1411                                   | 1403–1413        | 1401–1415        | 1409                    |
| (b)  | 64.3 {20.4, 12}       | <b>1402–1408</b>                            | 1399–1411        | 1396–1413        | 1409                    |
| (c)  | 34.6 {20.4, 12}       | 1409–1419                                   | 1406–1424        | 1402–1429        | 1409                    |
| (d)  | <b>8.8</b> {14.4, 24} | -   | -                | -                | 1409                    |
| <i>Blanchland Abbey Gatehouse – core BAG-B18</i>         |                       |   |                  |                  |                         |
| (a)  | 33.0 {14.4, 24}       | <b>1515–1522</b>                            | <b>1513–1524</b> | <b>1511–1526</b> | 1532                    |
| (b)  | 50.5 {20.4, 12}       | <b>1514–1522</b>                            | <b>1511–1525</b> | <b>1508–1528</b> | 1532                    |
| (c)  | 44.7 {20.4, 12}       | <b>1516–1524</b>                            | <b>1512–1528</b> | 1508–1533        | 1532                    |
| (d)  | <b>2.0</b> {14.1, 25} | -   | -                | -                | 1532                    |
| <i>Kilve Chantry – core KLV-A06</i>                      |                       |   |                  |                  |                         |
| (a)  | <b>2.8</b> {20.4, 12} | <b>1526–1533</b>                            | <b>1523–1537</b> | <b>1517–1540</b> | 1544                    |
| (b)  | 60.9 {28.9, 6}        | <b>1531–1541</b>                            | 1527–1546        | 1523–1552        | 1544                    |
| (c)  | <b>14.1</b> {28.9, 6} | <b>1505–1515</b>                            | <b>1501–1522</b> | <b>1498–1531</b> | 1544                    |
| (d)  | <b>0.0</b> {19.6, 13} | -   | -                | -                | 1544                    |

**Table 6:** Summary of the results of wiggle-matching 25–35-year blocks from the five timbers sampled for radiocarbon dating (see Figs 7–8) with dendrochronological date for the final tree-ring

| Core    | Block | Rings  | Acomb; An   | Highest Posterior Density interval (cal AD) |                                    |                                    | Tree-ring date (AD) |
|---------|-------|--------|-------------|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
|         |       |        |             | 68% probability                             | 95% probability                    | 99% probability                    |                     |
| MBRU13  | A     | 32–65  | 129.4; 31.6 | 1273–1292 (38%) or 1294–1307 (30%)          | 1259–1311                          | 1251–1320                          | 1260                |
| MBRU13  | B     | 40–71  | 110.7; 31.6 | 1261–1287 (55%) or 1295–1304 (13%)          | 1254–1311                          | 1248–1321                          | 1260                |
| MBRU13  | C     | 48–81  | 105.5; 31.6 | 1263–1290 (47%) or 1293–1306 (21)           | 1249–1308                          | 1245–1316                          | 1260                |
| MBRU13  | D     | 54–88  | 94.8; 31.6  | 1255–1287                                   | 1249–1307                          | 1243–1314                          | 1260                |
| MBRU13  | E     | 65–97  | 146.7; 31.6 | 1249–1276                                   | 1234–1289                          | 1225–1299                          | 1260                |
| MBRU13  | F     | 71–102 | 148.7; 31.6 | 1251–1272                                   | 1245–1291                          | 1225–1299                          | 1260                |
| BCB-C10 | A     | 2–27   | 64.9; 28.9  | 1292–1314                                   | 1269–1317                          | 1256–1321                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | B     | 6–34   | 88.7; 28.9  | 1295–1313                                   | 1279–1323                          | 1264–1335                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | C     | 11–39  | 73.2; 28.9  | 1294–1313                                   | 1274–1320                          | 1256–1328                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | D     | 16–45  | 102.7; 28.9 | 1307–1323                                   | 1292–1328                          | 1279–1331                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | E     | 21–51  | 72.8; 28.9  | 1286–1304                                   | 1279–1313                          | 1266–1321                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | F     | 27–57  | 55.9; 28.9  | 1286–1298 (35%) or 1301–1312 (33%)          | 1280–1316                          | 1271–1321                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | G     | 34–63  | 62.5; 28.9  | 1300–1314                                   | 1285–1317                          | 1273–1321                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | H     | 39–70  | 64.6; 28.9  | 1301–1311                                   | 1292–1315                          | 1278–1318                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | I     | 43–75  | 60.8; 28.9  | 1299–1309                                   | 1291–1312                          | 1282–1316                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | J     | 51–81  | 64.0; 28.9  | 1295–1305                                   | 1289–1308                          | 1279–1312                          | 1323                |
| BCB-C10 | K     | 57–87  | 70.7; 28.9  | 1300–1308                                   | 1296–1311                          | 1293–1314                          | 1323                |
| KDM-B11 | A     | 1–29   | 112.1; 28.9 | 1405–1415                                   | 1401–1420 (92%) or 1489–1495 (3%)  | 1399–1425 (93%) or 1484–1500 (6%)  | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | B     | 6–34   | 56.8; 28.9  | 1402–1411                                   | 1397–1417 (90%) or 1476–1487 (5%)  | 1394–1421 (91%) or 1465–1495       | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | C     | 12–39  | 64.8; 28.9  | 1400–1410                                   | 1394–1416 (91%) or 1476–1485 (4%)  | 1392–1420 (92%) or 1467–1492 (7%)  | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | D     | 17–45  | 83.4; 28.9  | 1403–1414 (32%) or 1470–1481 (36%)          | 1398–1420 (46%) or 1465–1487 (49%) | 1394–1428 (47%) or 1440–1492 (52%) | 1409                |

| Core    | Block | Rings  | Acomb; An   | Highest Posterior Density interval (cal AD) |                                    |                                     | Tree-ring date (AD) |
|---------|-------|--------|-------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
|         |       |        |             | 68% probability                             | 95% probability                    | 99% probability                     |                     |
| KDM-B11 | E     | 23–49  | 85.1; 28.9  | 1402–1413 (35%) or 1472–1482 (33%)          | 1398–1418(49%) or 1468–1487 (46%)  | 1392–1426 (51%) or 1463–1492 (48%)  | 1409                |
| KD-B11M | F     | 29–55  | 62.3; 28.9  | 1397–1414 (56%) or 1472–1477 (12%)          | 1391–1420 (72%) or 1468–1481 (23%) | 1385–1440 (75%) or 1462–1485 (24%)  | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | G     | 34–60  | 64.6; 28.9  | 1395–1413 (54%) or 1471–1477 (14%)          | 1388–1419 (72%) or 1467–1480 (23%) | 1383–1429 (74%) or 1462–1483 (25%)  | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | H     | 39–66  | 78.7; 28.9  | 1397–1416                                   | 1391–1423 (88%) or 1469–1477 (7%)  | 1386–1428 (90%) or 1464–1482 (9%)   | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | I     | 45–71  | 69.9; 28.9  | 1392–1405 (41%) or 1467–1475 (27%)          | 1387–1414 (60%) or 1463–1478 (35%) | 1382–1421 (62%) or 1459–1481 (37%)  | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | J     | 49–77  | 65.6; 28.9  | 1392–1405                                   | 1387–1414                          | 1380–1421                           | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | K     | 55–82  | 86.3; 28.9  | 1389–1401                                   | 1382–1410                          | 1372–1418                           | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | L     | 60–88  | 98.3; 28.9  | 1394–1404                                   | 1387–1410                          | 1381–1416                           | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | M     | 66–93  | 76.9; 28.9  | 1390–1402                                   | 1384–1408                          | 1373–1419                           | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | N     | 71–99  | 67.6; 28.9  | 1393–1404                                   | 1388–1409                          | 1382–1416                           | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | O     | 77–104 | 83.9; 28.9  | 1395–1407                                   | 1389–1412                          | 1337–1352 (1%) or 1380–1419 (98%)   | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | P     | 82–110 | 77.6; 28.9  | 1395–1408                                   | 1336–1342 (2%) or 1382–1413 (93%)  | 1329–1350 (4%) or 1374–1417 (98%)   | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | Q     | 88–115 | 49.1; 28.9  | 1338–1340 (2%) or 1401–1413 (66%)           | 1301–1346 (23%) or 1398–1417 (72%) | 1325–1352 (245%) or 1394–1422 (74%) | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | R     | 93–120 | 51.7; 28.9  | 1401–1414                                   | 1330–1345 (21%) or 1399–1416 (74%) | 1324–1350 (24%) or 1396–1420 (75%)  | 1409                |
| KDM-B11 | S     | 99–126 | 36.1; 28.9  | 1406–1414                                   | 1403–1418                          | 1328–1342 (1%) or 1399–1422 (98%)   | 1409                |
| BAG-B18 | A     | 2–31   | 47.7; 28.9  | 1513–1523                                   | 1508–1528                          | 1435–1451 (3%) or 1505–1531 (96%)   | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | B     | 7–37   | 52.7; 28.9  | 1513–1522                                   | 1510–1526                          | 1506–1532                           | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | C     | 13–43  | 79.9; 28.9  | 1516–1526                                   | 1512–1530                          | 1508–1533                           | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | D     | 19–49  | 108.3; 28.9 | 1519–1528                                   | 1514–1531                          | 1510–1535                           | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | E     | 25–55  | 49.9; 28.9  | 1524–1532                                   | 1521–1535                          | 1516–1538                           | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | F     | 31–61  | 44.5; 28.9  | 1519–1528                                   | 1514–1531                          | 1510–1535                           | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | G     | 37–67  | 46.6; 28.9  | 1517–1526                                   | 1513–1530                          | 1509–1534                           | 1532                |

| Core    | Block | Rings   | Acomb; An   | Highest Posterior Density interval (cal AD)           |  |                                    | Tree-ring date (AD) |
|---------|-------|---------|-------------|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|
|         |       |         |             | 68% probability                                       | 95% probability                                    | 99% probability                    |                     |
| BAG-B18 | H     | 43–73   | 54.5; 28.9  | 1518–1528   | 1514–1532  | 1511–1536                          | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | I     | 49–79   | 59.7; 28.9  | 1519–1528   | 1514–1533  | 1511–1538                          | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | J     | 55–85   | 71.6; 28.9  | 1518–1530   | 1514–1539  | 1510–1547                          | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | K     | 61–91   | 200.4; 28.9 | 1512–1522   | 1508–1526  | 1504–1532                          | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | L     | 67–97   | 182.3; 28.9 | 1512–1524   | 1508–1533  | 1504–1542                          | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | M     | 73–103  | 159.8; 28.9 | 1510–1524   | 1506–1533  | 1501–1545                          | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | N     | 79–109  | 90.1; 28.9  | 1503–1515   | 1498–1522  | 1493–1535                          | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | O     | 85–115  | 64.6; 28.9  | 1501–1517   | 1495–1524  | 1492–1539                          | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | P     | 91–121  | 69.4; 28.9  | 1493–1508   | 1489–1520  | 1485–1536                          | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | Q     | 97–127  | 61.0; 28.9  | 1494–1513   | 1489–1529  | 1484–1540 (97%) or 1630–1647 (2%)  | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | R     | 103–133 | 64.7; 28.9  | 1490–1511   | 1479–1526 (94%) or 1633–1636 (1%)                  | 1475–1537 (97%) or 1628–1645 (2%)  | 1532                |
| BAG-B18 | S     | 109–138 | 61.0; 28.9  | 1490–1513   | 1477–1524 (94%) or 1630–1635 (1%)                  | 1471–1537 (96%) or 1619–1641 (13%) | 1532                |
| KLV-A06 | A     | 2–33    | 165.2; 35.4 | 1527–1537   | 1523–1541  | 1518–1545                          | 1544                |
| KLV-A06 | B     | 12–43   | 162.3; 35.4 | 1527–1537   | 1523–1541  | 1519–1546                          | 1544                |
| KLV-A06 | C     | 22–55   | 4.6; 35.4   | 1525–1535   | 1520–1540  | 1514–1545                          | 1544                |
| KLV-A06 | D     | 33–64   | 1.5; 35.4   | 1525–1535   | 1519–1543  | 1512–1552                          | 1544                |
| KLV-A06 | E     | 43–74   | 2.3; 35.4   | 1511–1518 (19%) or 1522–1533 (49%)                    | 1507–1538  | 1504–1551                          | 1544                |
| KLV-A06 | F     | 55–84   | 2.4; 35.4   | 1506–1515   | 1503–1521 (88%) or 1523–1533 (7%)                  | 1499–1548                          | 1544                |
| KLV-A06 | G     | 64–95   | 13.9; 35.4  | 1523–1540 (37%) or 1627–1633 (7%) or 1648–1657 (24%)  | 1509–1548 (52%) or 1621–1638 (43%)                 | 1505–1559 (54%) or 1603–1661 (45%) | 1544                |
| KLV-A06 | H     | 74–106  | 31.5; 35.4  | 1504–1519 (50%) or 1523–1537 (18%)                    | 1492–1543  | 1487–1550 (97%) or 1628–1657 (2%)  | 1544                |
| KLV-A06 | I     | 84–117  | 52.8; 35.4  | 1507–1520 (16%) or 1598–1614 (20%) or 1621–1641 (32%) | 1502–1541 (30%) or 1583–1619 (29%) 1621–1641 (36%) | 1488–1552 (33%) or 1568–1646 (66%) | 1544                |

**Figure 1:** The proportion of oak samples dated by dendrochronology in England compared to the number of rings contained in the measured sequence.

**Figure 2:** Probability distributions of dates from MBRU13. Each distribution represents the relative probability that an event occurs at a particular time. For each of the dates two distributions have been plotted: one in outline, which is the result of simple radiocarbon calibration, and a solid one, based on the wiggle-match sequence. Distributions other than those relating to particular samples, correspond to aspects of the model. For example, the distribution '*MBRU13\_end*' is the estimated date of the final ring of this core. The large square brackets down the left-hand side of the diagram along with the CQL2 keywords (Bronk Ramsey 2009) define the model exactly.

**Figure 3:** Probability distributions of dates from BCB-C10. The format is identical to that of Figure 2. The large square brackets down the left-hand side of the diagram along with the CQL2 keywords define the model exactly

**Figure 4:** Probability distributions of dates from KDM-B11. The format is identical to that of Figure 2. The large square brackets down the left-hand side of the diagram along with the CQL2 keywords define the model exactly

**Figure 5:** Probability distributions of dates from BAG-B18. The format is identical to that of Figure 2. In this case the final ring of the core has a radiocarbon date and so '*SUERC-40238\_BAG-B18\_end*' is the estimated date for the end of the sequence. The large square brackets down the left-hand side of the diagram along with the CQL2 keywords define the model exactly

**Figure 6:** Probability distributions of dates from KLV-A06. The format is identical to that of Figure 2. The large square brackets down the left-hand side of the diagram along with the CQL2 keywords define the model exactly

**Figure 7:** Schematic diagram showing the blocks of 25–35 tree-rings used for the short wiggle-matches (radiocarbon results are given in Table 2); each model estimates the date of the final ring of the sampled core (Table 5) which is known by dendrochronology (Table 1).

**Figure 8:** Posterior density estimates for the final ring of each sampled core, derived from the short wiggle-matches based on sequences of 25–35 tree-rings (Fig 7). Distributions where the Highest Posterior Density interval at 95% probability includes the tree-ring date for this ring are shown in black, those where it does not in red (Table 6).

**Figure 9:** Probability distributions of dates from the five-core combined English tree-ring sequence (AD 1160–1544). The format is identical to that of Figure 2. The large square brackets down the left-hand side of the diagram along with the CQL2 keywords define the model exactly

**Figure 10:** Radiocarbon ages known-age tree-ring rings AD 1150–1550: single years (OxA, SUERC; this study), decadal samples (Wk; Hogg et al. 2002), single-year and decadal samples (QL; Stuiver et al. 1998), decadal and bi-decadal samples (UB; Hogg et al. 2002; Pearson et al. 1986), decadal and 23-year and 24-year samples (GrN; van der Plicht et al. 1995)