

# Kazhdan projections, random walks and ergodic theorems

By *Cornelia Druţu* at Oxford and *Piotr W. Nowak* at Warsaw

---

**Abstract.** In this paper we investigate generalizations of Kazhdan’s property (T) to the setting of uniformly convex Banach spaces. We explain the interplay between the existence of spectral gaps and that of Kazhdan projections. Our methods employ Markov operators associated to a random walk on the group, for which we provide new norm estimates and convergence results. This construction exhibits useful properties and flexibility, and allows to view Kazhdan projections in Banach spaces as natural objects associated to random walks on groups.

We give a number of applications of these results. In particular, we address several open questions. We give a direct comparison of properties (TE) and  $FE$  with Lafforgue’s reinforced Banach property (T); we obtain shrinking target theorems for orbits of Kazhdan groups; finally, answering a question of Willett and Yu we construct non-compact ghost projections for warped cones. In this last case we conjecture that such warped cones provide counterexamples to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture.

## 1. Introduction

One way to investigate properties of groups, especially with a view to their actions on Banach spaces, is through the group Banach algebras. These are natural analytic objects encoding many properties of the group. The existence of projections in such algebras is a particularly important and challenging problem. For instance, the (non)existence of idempotents other than 0 and 1 in the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra of a torsion-free group is a long-standing conjecture of Kadison and Kaplansky. When the group is amenable (and, more generally, a-T-menable), the Kadison–Kaplansky conjecture is known to be true. Additionally, for amenable and torsion free groups the maximal group  $C^*$ -algebra is isomorphic to the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra, therefore the maximal group  $C^*$ -algebra does not have non-trivial idempotents either.

---

The research of both authors was supported by the EPSRC grant “Geometric and analytic aspects of infinite groups”. The research of the first author was also partially supported by the project ANR Blanc ANR-10-BLAN 0116, acronym GGAA, and by the Labex CEMPI (ANR-11-LABX-0007-01). The research of the second author was partially supported by Narodowe Centrum Nauki grant DEC-2013/10/EST1/00352.

A main result of this paper is an explicit construction of proper idempotents in many group Banach algebras. The construction is based on random walks. The relation between Kazhdan projections and random walks can be extracted from the spectral considerations regarding Kazhdan projections for discrete groups in the Hilbert space setting, see [23,69]. However, spectral theory is not available in our setting. Our construction turns out to be relevant in various contexts, from expander graphs to ergodic geometry and the Baum–Connes conjecture.

A *Kazhdan projection* for a locally compact group  $G$  is an idempotent in the maximal group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_{\max}^*(G)$ , whose image under any unitary representation is the projection onto the space of invariant vectors. Such projections exist in  $C_{\max}^*(G)$  if and only if the group  $G$  has Kazhdan’s property (T), see [1]. They are important for many applications. A classical consequence of their existence is the fact that the map on  $K$ -theory induced by the canonical homomorphism  $C_{\max}^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G)$  from the maximal to the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra, fails to be an isomorphism for Kazhdan groups, see e.g. [20, Chapter 2, Section 4]. They play the main role in the failure of some versions of the Baum–Connes conjecture, since projections of this type can often be shown not to be in the image of the Baum–Connes assembly map [35]. Kazhdan projections are the main ingredient of Lafforgue’s reinforced Banach property (T) and allowed for the construction of the first examples of expanders with no coarse embedding into any uniformly convex Banach space [43]. Finally, they also play an important role in the generalization of property (T) to  $C^*$ -algebras [14].

**Spectral gaps, Markov operators and projections.** At the core of our paper is a study of a Banach space version of property (T), formulated in a very general setting: with respect to a given family of isometric representations on Banach spaces. We prove that such a property can be characterized in three different ways: the standard spectral gap property, the behavior of the Markov operator on a canonical complement of the fixed vectors subspace, and the existence of a Kazhdan projection, with an explicit formula to calculate it, using Markov operators.

Indeed, given an isometric representation  $\pi$  of a group  $G$  on a reflexive Banach space  $E$ , the subspace  $E^\pi$  of fixed vectors has a canonical  $\pi$ -complement,  $E_\pi$  (see Section 2.3 for details). Given a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ , let  $A_\pi^\mu$  denote the Markov (averaging) operator associated to  $\pi$  via  $\mu$ . We prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of isometric representations of  $G$  on a uniformly convex family  $\mathcal{E}$  of Banach spaces. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the family  $\mathcal{F}$  has a spectral gap (see Definition 2.4).*
- (ii) *there exist a compactly supported probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  and  $\lambda < 1$  such that for every isometric representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  of  $G$  on  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  we have*

$$\|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\| < \lambda.$$

- (iii) *there exist a compactly supported probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  and a number  $\mathfrak{S} < \infty$  such that for every  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  the iterated Markov operators  $(A_\pi^\mu)^k$  converge with speed summable to at most  $\mathfrak{S}$  to the projection  $\mathcal{P}_\pi$  onto  $E^\pi$  along  $E_\pi$ , that is,*

$$\|(A_\pi^\mu)^k - \mathcal{P}_\pi\| \leq a_k,$$

where  $\sum_k a_k \leq \mathfrak{S}$ .

In Theorem 3.6 we give an explicit formula for the projection  $\mathcal{P}_\pi$  in terms of the Neumann series of the Markov operator:

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{P}_\pi = I_E - \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_\pi^\mu)^n \right) (I_E - A_\pi^\mu).$$

The hypothesis of uniform convexity is needed only in the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (iii), for the other implications it suffices to have a family of complemented representations on Banach spaces, in the sense of Definition 2.3.

When  $G$  has Kazhdan's property (T) and  $E$  is a Hilbert space, Theorem 1.1 holds for  $\mathcal{F}$  the family of all unitary representations of  $G$ . However, as Theorem 1.1 is formulated in terms of a family of representations, it also applies in the setting of Property  $(\tau)$  (see Section 4.3 and the corresponding paragraph later in the Introduction), of property  $(T\ell_p)$  introduced in [6] etc.

The equivalence in Theorem 1.1 has an effective side to it, described below. Given a Kazhdan pair  $(Q, \kappa)$  defining the spectral gap (see Definition 2.4), conditions (ii) and (iii) hold for a large class of measures, which we call *admissible with respect to the Kazhdan set  $Q$* . These are explicitly constructed by means of  $Q$ , see Definition 2.1; their particular construction is motivated by the sought after connection with Markov operators, which cannot function for general measures, see Remark 2.2. For every such measure  $\mu$ , a constant  $\lambda$  as in (ii) can be computed using the Kazhdan constant  $\kappa$ , the modulus of uniform convexity of the family  $\mathcal{E}$  and the choice of an appropriate compactly supported function on  $G$  associated to  $\mu$ . Property (iii) then holds with  $a_k = \lambda^k$ . Conversely, given a measure  $\mu$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  satisfying either (ii) or (iii) with  $a_k = \lambda^k$ , the support of  $\mu$  is a Kazhdan set and its corresponding Kazhdan constant is  $1 - \lambda$ . This implication applies, for instance, in the case of semisimple Lie groups with finite center, and their unitary representations on Banach spaces, to any probability measure with symmetric support not contained in a closed amenable subgroup [66, Theorem C].

One of the advantages of Theorem 1.1 is the high degree of flexibility in ensuring uniformity of several parameters for classes of isometric representations. For instance, in the case of groups admitting finite Kazhdan sets (see Section 3.6) this uniformity depends only on three quantities:

- (i) the Kazhdan constant of the family of representations,
- (ii) the cardinality of the Kazhdan set  $Q$ ,
- (iii) the modulus of uniform convexity of the Banach spaces.

It does not even depend on the group  $G$ , as long as we can arrange the above three items to have uniform bounds.

For applications, the existence of finite Kazhdan sets is a considerable asset: the averages become finite, the random walks discrete and an algorithmical approach and the use of computer become possible (see for instance Theorem 3.6, Remark 3.8 and Section 5). As it turns out, the existence of such finite sets is ensured in many cases outside the class of finitely generated groups, and in many cases the sets are described explicitly, as explained briefly in Section 3.6.

**Kazhdan projections in group Banach algebras and Lafforgue's reinforced Banach property (T).** The uniform convergence described in Theorem 1.1 (iii), depending on the Kazhdan constant, the modulus of uniform convexity of the family  $\mathcal{E}$ , and the choice of the

measure  $\mu$ , shows that the existence of a Kazhdan projection in group Banach algebras is a consequence of a uniform version of property (TE). Property (TE) was introduced and studied in [2, 30] as a natural generalization of property (T) from Hilbert to Banach spaces.

**Theorem 1.2** (see Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.7). *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of isometric representations of  $G$  on a uniformly convex family of Banach spaces. There exists a Kazhdan projection  $p \in C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  if and only if the family  $\mathcal{F}$  has a spectral gap. In particular, if  $G$  has Kazhdan's property (T) then there exists a Kazhdan projection in the  $L_p$ -maximal group algebra  $C_{\max}^p(G)$  for every  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

Here,  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  is a natural version of the maximal  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G$  for the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of representations, see Definition 4.1. Banach group algebras for larger than isometric classes of representations were introduced and studied by Lafforgue [43].

In the case of Hilbert spaces and unitary representations two previous proofs are known of the general relation between property (T) and the existence of Kazhdan projections. The first is due to Akemann and Walter [1] and it relies on positive definite functions, a tool available essentially only in Hilbert spaces. The second proof, using minimal projections in  $C^*$ -algebras, is due to Valette [69]. Our approach shares some elements with the latter proof. Namely, Valette shows that property (T) is equivalent to 1 being isolated in the spectrum of the Markov operator  $\pi(u)$ , for every unitary representation  $\pi$ , where  $u$  is the uniform measure on a symmetric generating set. The spectral projection associated to 1 is then the Kazhdan projection, and it follows that, as an element of the maximal group  $C^*$ -algebra, it can be realized as a norm limit of explicit polynomials in  $u$ . In our proof, the existence of the Kazhdan projection in the algebra is the consequence of the appropriate convergence of a random walk. Another construction of Kazhdan projections, yielding an explicit sequence of compactly supported functions approximating the projection, but limited to a specific class of Lie groups, has been provided by Lafforgue [43].

The topic of operator algebras on  $L_p$ -spaces is an emerging direction in non-commutative geometry. For certain groups the construction of Lafforgue [43] provided Kazhdan projections for maximal  $L_p$ -group algebras. Additionally, an approach to the Novikov conjecture via  $L_p$ -versions of the Baum–Connes conjecture has been recently developed by Kasparov and Yu. Theorem 1.2 shows that for groups with property (T) the same obstructions as in the Hilbert space case are likely to exist in  $K$ -theory.

Theorem 1.1 allows to compare V. Lafforgue's definition of reinforced Banach property (T) [43] to other generalizations of property (T) to Banach spaces, i.e. properties (TE) and FE [2, 30]. The question of such a comparison has been considered by several experts previously. In [44] it was shown that the reinforced Banach property (T) implies FE, and in [2] it was shown that property FE implies (TE). Since Lafforgue's reinforced Banach property (T) is formulated in terms of existence of Kazhdan projections in certain group Banach algebras, Theorem 1.2 provides implications in the other direction. We discuss this in detail in Section 4.2.

As Theorem 1.1 holds for a family of representations, it can be applied in the context of property  $(\tau)$ , introduced by Lubotzky. Thus, we use Theorem 1.1 to formulate a generalization of property  $(\tau)$  to uniformly convex Banach spaces, which is consistent with a notion of expanders for Banach spaces defined using Poincaré inequalities (Definition 4.9).

More precisely, for a uniformly convex Banach space  $E$  we introduce property  $(\tau_E)$  by the same definition as for Hilbert spaces, requiring that certain isometric representations factoring through finite quotients of  $G$  are separated from the trivial representation; that is, they have a uniform spectral gap. The following is a consequence of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex Banach space, let  $G$  be a finitely generated residually finite group and let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_i\}$  be a collection of finite index subgroups with trivial intersection. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  has property  $(\tau_E)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_i\}$  and a symmetric Kazhdan set  $Q$ .
- (ii) The Cayley graphs  $\text{Cay}(G/N_i, Q)$  form a sequence of  $E$ -expanders.
- (iii) There exists a Kazhdan projection  $p \in C_{\mathcal{N}(E)}(G)$ .

In the Hilbert space case the algebra appearing in condition (iii) is a  $C^*$ -algebra. In that case the existence of the Kazhdan projection appearing in the above theorem was hinted at in [34, 35]. It is via such projections that the main existing counterexample to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture was constructed, using expanders [35].

**Remark 1.4.** The Kazhdan set  $Q$  in Theorem 1.3 does not necessarily generate  $G$ . Examples of Kazhdan sets that are not generating exist already for groups  $G$  and collections  $\mathcal{N}$  having the classical property  $(\tau)$ . For instance, if  $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , a finite symmetric set generating a subgroup Zariski dense in  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  is a Kazhdan set for an appropriate choice of  $\mathcal{N}$  (see [12] and references therein). See also [64] for an earlier example of non-generating Kazhdan set for actions on expanders that are finite quotients.

**Remark 1.5.** A consequence of Theorem 1.3 is a modified estimate of rapid mixing of random walks for expanders coming from groups with property (T). Indeed, for a regular graph  $\Gamma$  on  $n$  vertices such that the normalized adjacency matrix  $\hat{A}$  has the second and the last eigenvalues at most  $\alpha$  in absolute value, it is known [37, Theorem 3.2] that for every positive integer  $k$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad \|\hat{A}^k \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{u}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \alpha^k,$$

where  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  is an arbitrary probability distribution (i.e. the sum of its non-negative coordinates is 1) and  $\mathbf{u}$  is the uniform probability distribution (i.e. all its coordinates are equal). In other words, the random walk converges in  $\ell_1$  to the uniform distribution exponentially fast with base  $\alpha$ , but the speed is slowed down by a multiplicative factor of square of the number of vertices.

For expanders coming from finite quotients of a group  $G$  with property (T), the multiplicative factor in  $n$  can be improved. Indeed, it follows from Remark 2.6 (i), from Theorem 1.1, and from Hölder's inequality that given a finite set  $S$  generating  $G$ , for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  there exists  $\alpha = \alpha(\varepsilon, G)$  such that on any Cayley graph  $\Gamma$  of a finite quotient  $G/N$  with respect to the image of  $S$ , the rapid mixing on  $\Gamma$  can be estimated as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad \|\hat{A}^k \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{u}\|_1 \leq n^\varepsilon \alpha^k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $n = |G/N|$ ,  $\hat{A}$  is the normalized adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$  and  $\alpha$  is the constant provided by the effective version of Theorem 1.1 (iii), for isometric representations of  $G$  on  $L^p$ -spaces,

with  $p = \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}$ . Moreover, according to Remark 1.4, the same result can be obtained for random walks restricted to certain edges, i.e. for  $\widehat{A}$  the normalized matrix of the adjacency only by edges labeled by elements in a proper subset  $Q \subset S$ , provided that  $Q$  is a Kazhdan set.

The right choice of  $\varepsilon$  in (1.3) is decided by the value  $p \in [1, 2]$  yielding the minimal value for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

**Applications to ergodic theory.** Another area in which Theorem 1.1 finds natural applications is ergodic theory. Consider, for instance, a group with property (T) acting ergodically on a probability space  $(X, \nu)$ , and let  $f$  be an arbitrary function in  $L^2(X)$ . If the two operators appearing in equality (1.1) are applied to  $f$ , then the left-hand side becomes  $\int_X f \, d\nu$ , and the entire formula becomes a von Neumann-type theorem, in which an exact explicit formula is provided, instead of just an estimate for the remainder.

Thus, while for ergodic actions of amenable groups the best way to average is via sequences of Følner sets, for ergodic actions of groups with property (T) a most effective averaging is via sequences of measures with compact support approximating the Kazhdan projection.

Ergodic theory is a natural setting in which Theorem 1.1 can be applied. We use the theorem to investigate *shrinking target problems*, which ask how often does a typical orbit of an action hit a sequence of shrinking subsets. This problem for orbits of cyclic (or uniparameter) groups in locally symmetric spaces, and for shrinking sequences of neighborhoods of a cusp, has been thoroughly investigated and answered in [41, 68]. In the latter paper was formulated the problem of finding similar results for shrinking sequences of neighborhoods of a point. The case of rank one locally symmetric spaces has been settled [50, 68], but the problem remains open in the case of higher rank. Theorem 1.1 allows to provide quantitative estimates in terms of random walks for the behavior of an ergodic action of a group with property (T) with respect to a shrinking target. For instance, we have the following theorem (see Section 5).

**Theorem 1.6** (see Theorem 5.3). *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and  $\Gamma$  a lattice in it. Let  $\{\Omega_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable subsets in  $G/\Gamma$ . Assume that a locally compact group  $\Lambda$  with property (T) acts ergodically on  $G/\Gamma$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\Lambda$  admissible with respect to some Kazhdan set, and let  $X_n$  be the random variable representing the  $n$ -th step of the random walk defined by  $\mu$ .*

(i) *If  $\sum_n \nu(\Omega_n)$  is finite, then for almost every  $x \in G/\Gamma$ ,*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega_n) < \infty.$$

(ii) *If  $\sum_n \nu(\Omega_n)$  is infinite, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for almost every  $x \in G/\Gamma$ ,*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{n \leq N} \mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega_n) = S_N + O(S_N^\varepsilon),$$

where  $S_N = \sum_{n \leq N} \nu(\Omega_n)$ . In particular, for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega_n) > \nu(\Omega_n) - O(\nu(\Omega_n)^\varepsilon).$$

Note that the error term estimate above,  $O(S_N^\varepsilon)$ , can only be obtained, as far as we know, using the fact that property (T) is equivalent to the similar property for unitary actions on  $L^p$ -spaces (see Remark 2.6 (i)) and the equivalence in Theorem 1.1 for actions on  $L^p$ -spaces.

Moreover, when  $\Lambda$  is endowed with a word metric  $\text{dist}_\Lambda$  corresponding to an arbitrary compact generating set, in the theorem above one may obtain an estimate similar to the one in (1.4) for the smaller probabilities  $\mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega_n, \text{dist}_\Lambda(X_n, e) \geq an)$ , where  $a > 0$  is a constant depending on the choice of the word metric and of  $\mu$ .

These results apply for instance when  $G$  is a semisimple group,  $\Gamma$  a lattice in  $G$  and  $\Lambda$  an infinite subgroup of  $G$ , or when  $G/\Gamma$  is the  $n$ -dimensional torus and  $\Lambda$  is a subgroup of  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Theorem 1.6 has several applications, explained in Section 5. We mention here only one of them. Consider the symmetric space  $\mathcal{P}_s = \text{SO}(s) \backslash G$  and the locally symmetric space  $\mathcal{P}_s/\text{SL}(s, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $D$  be the common dimension of  $\mathcal{P}_s$  and  $\mathcal{P}_s/\text{SL}(s, \mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $x$  be an arbitrary point in the latter space. For a fixed slope that is maximal singular (see the end of Section 5 for a definition) there exists a constant  $a > 0$  such that the following holds. Almost every horosphere  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_s$  with point at infinity of the fixed given slope, and almost every point  $h$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , have the property that the projection of the annulus  $\mathcal{H} \cap [B(h, n) \setminus B(h, an)]$  in  $\mathcal{P}_s/\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  intersects the shrinking ball  $B(x, n^{1/D})$  for infinitely many  $n$ . The same holds if one replaces the condition above with the one that the projection of  $\mathcal{H} \cap [B(h, n) \setminus B(h, an)]$  in  $\mathcal{P}_s/\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  rises infinitely many times into the cusp at a height between  $\eta \ln n$  and  $\eta \ln n + \frac{1}{n^\delta}$ , where the height in the cusp is measured by a certain fixed Busemann function,  $\delta$  can be any number in  $(0, 1)$ , and the constant  $\eta$  depends on the choice of  $\delta$  and of the Busemann function measuring the height.

For further details and applications we refer to the end of Section 5.

**Obstructions to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture.** The final application we present concerns obstructions to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture. In [34, 35] it was shown that the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture fails for coarse disjoint unions of expander graphs arising from an exact group with property (T). The reason is the existence of a certain Kazhdan-type projection, a non-compact ghost projection, which is a limit of finite propagation operators. Until now such ghost projections were constructed only for expanders. Willett and Yu formulated the following:

**Problem 1.7** ([71, Problem 5.4]). Find other geometric examples of ghost projections.

Motivated by their question we construct non-compact ghost projections for warped cones [62].

Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group acting ergodically by probability preserving Lipschitz homeomorphisms on a compact metric probability measure space  $(M, \text{dist}, m)$ . Assume that the measure  $m$  is upper uniform, i.e. it is distributed uniformly over  $M$  with respect to the metric, see Definition 6.1. Denote by  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_G(M)$  the warped cone associated to the action of  $G$  on  $M$ , as defined in Section 6.1 (see also [62]).

**Theorem 1.8** (see Theorem 6.6). *If the action of  $G$  on  $(M, m)$  has a spectral gap, then there exists a non-compact ghost projection  $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{O}))$  which is a limit of finite propagation operators.*

We also conjecture that such warped cones with non-compact ghost projections as provided by Theorem 1.8 are counterexamples to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture. Warped

cones in many ways exhibit a behavior similar to that of box spaces; however, one expects that the fact that the class of warped cones is so rich might lead to examples with interesting new features.

**Acknowledgement.** The second author would like to thank the Mathematical Institute at the University of Oxford for its hospitality during a four-month stay which made this work possible. Both authors thank Mikael de la Salle, Adam Skalski, Alain Valette, Rufus Willett and Guoliang Yu for valuable comments. We are grateful to the referee for numerous suggestions that allowed to improve the presentation significantly.

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1. Uniform convexity.** Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$  be a reflexive Banach space, and let  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  be the algebra of bounded linear operators on  $E$ . The *modulus of convexity of  $E$*  is the function  $\delta_E : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$\delta_E(t) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \left\| \frac{v+w}{2} \right\| : \|v\| = \|w\| = 1, \|v-w\| \geq t \right\}.$$

The Banach space  $E$  is said to be *uniformly convex* if  $\delta_E(t) > 0$  for every  $t > 0$ .

A family  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i\}_{i \in I}$  of Banach spaces is *uniformly convex* if the function

$$\delta_{\mathcal{E}}(t) = \inf_{i \in I} \delta_{E_i}(t),$$

called the *modulus of convexity of the family  $\mathcal{E}$* , satisfies  $\delta_{\mathcal{E}}(t) > 0$  for every  $t > 0$ .

**2.2. Admissible measures .** Compactly supported probability measures on topological groups and the corresponding random walks are central objects in our arguments. We introduce some notation and several standing assumptions on such measures.

Consider  $G$  a locally compact group, endowed with a (left invariant) Haar measure  $\eta$ . For any function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we denote  $\gamma \cdot f(g) = f(\gamma^{-1}g)$ ,  $\gamma, g \in G$ .

We consider two particular cases, before introducing the notion of admissible measure in full generality. Let  $Q$  be a compact subset of  $G$ .

**Continuous admissible measures.** Let  $\alpha, \beta : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be two continuous functions with compact support satisfying

$$\int \alpha \, d\eta = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(g) \geq s \cdot \alpha(g) \quad \text{for all } s \in Q, g \in G.$$

We also assume that  $\alpha(e) > 0$ . Another continuous function, whose compact support contains  $Q$ , can then be defined by the formula

$$(2.1) \quad \rho = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{M(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad \text{where } M(\alpha, \beta) = \int_G (\alpha + \beta) \, d\eta.$$

We call a decomposition as in (2.1) an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -decomposition of  $\rho$ .

The function  $\rho$  gives rise to a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  defined by setting  $d\mu = \rho \, d\eta$ .

**Discrete admissible measures.** Consider two functions  $\alpha, \beta : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with finite support. With the above conditions formulated for such  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we define  $\rho$  as in (2.1), where

$$M(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{g \in \text{supp } \alpha \cup \text{supp } \beta} [\alpha(g) + \beta(g)],$$

and formula (2.1) is again called an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -decomposition of  $\rho$ .

As  $\rho$  has finite support and  $\sum_{g \in \text{supp } \rho} \rho(g) = 1$ , it gives rise to a purely atomic probability measure on  $G$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A measure  $\mu_c$  (respectively  $\mu_d$ ) will be called a *continuous admissible measure with respect to  $Q$*  (respectively, *discrete admissible measure with respect to  $Q$* ) if it is defined by a continuous density  $\rho$  (respectively, a finitely supported function  $\rho$ ) admitting an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -decomposition.

A probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  will be called *admissible with respect to  $Q$*  if there exists  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\mu = t\mu_c + (1 - t)\mu_d$ , where  $\mu_c$  and  $\mu_d$  are, respectively, continuous and discrete admissible measures with respect to  $Q$ .

The *normalizing factor* of the function  $\rho$  and its associated continuous or discrete measure  $\mu$  is the infimum of  $M(\alpha, \beta)$ , taken over all  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -decompositions of  $\rho$ , with  $Q$  fixed. This factor will be denoted either  $M_\rho$  or  $M_\mu$ , depending on the object referred to.

The *normalizing factor* of an admissible measure  $\mu = t\mu_c + (1 - t)\mu_d$  is the number

$$M_\mu = \left( \frac{t}{M_{\mu_c}} + \frac{1 - t}{M_{\mu_d}} \right)^{-1}.$$

Admissible measures always exist on a locally compact group. We expect that the arguments presented in this paper would, with some modifications, work for a larger class of measures. However, the above setting allows to identify a continuous admissible measure  $\mu$  naturally, via the density  $\rho$ , with an element of the group algebra  $C_c(G)$  (respectively the group ring  $\mathbb{C}G$ , in the discrete case), which is crucial for further applications.

The set  $Q$  will usually be a Kazhdan set (see Definition 2.4). Since such sets can be finite even for Lie groups (see Section 3.6), it is useful to work with measures having an atomic part even in the Lie group setting. When  $\mu$  is continuous, hence entirely defined by a density  $\rho$  with respect to the Haar measure  $\eta$ , we sporadically replace  $\mu$  by  $\rho$  in the whole notation and terminology.

The following was pointed out to us by the referee.

**Remark 2.2.** The definition of admissible measures can be motivated by considering the case of Hilbert spaces and unitary representations of a finitely generated group  $G$ . Note that, given an element  $u \in \mathbb{C}G$  defined as the uniform probability measure on a finite symmetric generating set  $S$ , as in [23, 69], the associated random walk does not necessarily converge to the Kazhdan projection even if 1 is an isolated point in the spectrum of  $u$ . However, it does converge if  $-1$  does not belong to the spectrum of  $u$ . The latter condition is equivalent to the property that the Cayley graph of  $G$  with respect to  $S$  is not bi-colorable [23, Proposition II]. For instance, if  $G$  has property (T), the Cayley graph of  $G \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is bi-colorable, while the Cayley graph of  $G$  itself is not bi-colorable, provided that  $G$  does not have non-trivial finite quotients. The spectrum of a Markov operator associated to an admissible measure automati-

cally does not contain  $-1$ , which in the Hilbert space case explains from the point of view of spectral theory the convergence of the associated random walk to the Kazhdan projection and provides additional motivation for using admissible measures.

**2.3. Groups and representations.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. An isometric representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  of  $G$  on a Banach space  $E$  is said to be *continuous* if it is continuous with respect to the strong operator topology. Equivalently, every orbit map is continuous, see [2, Lemma 2.4]. Throughout the article we restrict our attention to representations that are continuous in the above sense, without mentioning this further.

Consider the subspace of  $E$  consisting of vectors invariant under  $\pi$ ,

$$E^\pi = \{v \in E : \pi_g v = v \text{ for every } g \in G\}.$$

The dual space  $E^*$  is naturally equipped with a contragradient representation

$$\bar{\pi} : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E^*),$$

defined by the formula

$$\bar{\pi}_g = \pi_{g^{-1}}^*.$$

Note that  $\bar{\pi}$  is isometric if  $\pi$  is, but not necessarily continuous. If  $E$  is reflexive, we define a subspace  $E_\pi = \text{Ann}((E^*)^{\bar{\pi}})$ , where  $\text{Ann}$  denotes the annihilator: the set of all functionals in  $E = E^{**}$  that vanish identically on  $(E^*)^{\bar{\pi}}$ . Both  $E^\pi$  and  $E_\pi$  are  $\pi$ -invariant closed subspaces of  $E$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  is *complemented* if

$$(2.2) \quad E = E^\pi \oplus E_\pi.$$

A family of complemented representations is called a *complemented family*.

Examples of complemented representations include isometric representations on reflexive Banach spaces [4] (in particular on uniformly convex Banach spaces [2, Section 2.c]), and representations of small exponential growth of certain Lie groups on Banach spaces with non-trivial Rademacher type [43].

A representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  is *uniformly bounded* if

$$\|\pi\| = \sup_{g \in G} \|\pi_g\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} < \infty.$$

For any such representation  $\pi$ , a new norm can be defined on  $E$ , equivalent to the initial one, by the formula

$$(2.3) \quad \|v\|_\pi = \sup_{g \in G} \|\pi_g v\|.$$

As observed in [2, Proposition 2.3], the modulus of convexity of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\pi$  satisfies

$$\delta_{\|\cdot\|_\pi}(t) \geq \delta_{\|\cdot\|}(t \|\pi\|^{-1})$$

for every  $t > 0$ .

**2.4. Spectral gaps and uniform property (TE).** Throughout the section,  $G$  is a locally compact group and  $E$  a Banach space.

A representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on  $E$  has *almost invariant vectors* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every compact subset  $S$  in  $G$  there exists  $v \in E$ ,  $\|v\| = 1$ , such that

$$\sup_{s \in S} \|v - \pi_s v\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

**Definition 2.4.** (i) A complemented representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  has a *spectral gap* if the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $E_\pi$  does not have almost invariant vectors, i.e. if there exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$  and a compact subset  $Q$  in  $G$  such that for every  $v \in E_\pi$ ,

$$\sup_{s \in Q} \|v - \pi_s v\| \geq \kappa \|v\|.$$

Any such pair  $(Q, \kappa)$  is called a *Kazhdan pair* for  $\pi$ ,  $\kappa$  is called a *Kazhdan constant*, and  $Q$  a *Kazhdan set*.

(ii) We say that a complemented family  $\mathcal{F}$  of representations on a family of Banach spaces has a *spectral gap* if there exist  $Q$  and  $\kappa > 0$  as above such that  $(Q, \kappa)$  is a Kazhdan pair for every  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$ . We call  $(Q, \kappa)$  a *Kazhdan pair* for  $\mathcal{F}$ .

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of representations closed under direct sums, then the existence of a spectral gap for each  $\pi$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is equivalent to the existence of a spectral gap for the entire family.

In the particular case when  $\mathcal{F}$  is composed of all the unitary representations of a group  $G$ , the Kazhdan constant in the sense of the above definition is the classical Kazhdan constant associated to a Kazhdan set, see [5]. In that case, every generating set of  $G$  is a Kazhdan set, but the converse is true only for sets with non-empty interior. See Section 3.6.

The following definition introduces versions of Kazhdan property (T) for Banach spaces.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a family of Banach spaces and let  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ .

- (i)  $G$  has *property (TE)* if each isometric representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on  $E$  has a spectral gap (cf. [2]). More generally,  $G$  has *property (TE)* if every isometric representation of  $G$  on any  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  has a spectral gap.
- (ii)  $G$  has *property (TE) uniformly* if the family of all isometric representations of  $G$  on  $E$  has a spectral gap. More generally,  $G$  has *property (TE) uniformly* if the family of all isometric representations of  $G$  on all Banach spaces  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  has a spectral gap.

**Remarks 2.6.** We note the following.

- (i) It was proved in [2] that if  $G$  has property (T), then it has property (TE) for  $E = L_p(X, \nu)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Moreover, there exists a Kazhdan pair  $(Q, \kappa)$  common to all isometric representations on  $L_p(X, \nu)$ , with  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and such that for  $p > 2$ ,  $(Q, \frac{2}{p}\kappa)$  is a Kazhdan pair for isometric representations on  $L_p(X, \nu)$ . The former follows from standard embedding results [70], while the latter can be deduced from estimates of the Mazur map [8, p. 198].
- (ii) In [6] property  $(T\ell_p)$  was studied systematically. It yields a larger class than that of groups with property (T), containing for instance irreducible lattices in products of locally compact second countable groups, one with property (T) and the other with no non-trivial finite-dimensional unitary representation.

### 3. Random walks, projections and spectral gaps

**3.1. The Markov operator  $A_\pi^\mu$  and its properties.** Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  be a uniformly bounded representation of  $G$  on a Banach space  $E$ , and let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $G$ . The operator  $A_\pi^\mu : E \rightarrow E$ , defined by the Bochner integral

$$A_\pi^\mu v = \int_G \pi_g v \, d\mu(g)$$

is called the *Markov operator* associated to the random walk on  $G$  determined by  $\mu$ . By standard properties of the Bochner integral, we have that  $A_\pi^\mu$  is a bounded operator as well.

The operator  $A_\pi^\mu$  can also be defined for bounded representations that are not uniformly bounded, provided that the support of  $\mu$  is compact.

**General properties of  $A_\pi^\mu$ .** In the following lemma we collect several standard properties that we will need later, of the operator  $A_\pi^\mu$  for an isometric representation  $\pi$ . Denote by  $\bar{\mu}$  the measure obtained from  $\mu$  by pre-composing with the map  $s \mapsto s^{-1}$  in  $G$  and post-composing with the conjugation in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $G$ . Then:*

- (i)  $(A_\pi^\mu)^* = A_{\bar{\mu}}^\mu$ ,
- (ii)  $A_\pi^{\mu * \nu} = A_\pi^\mu A_\pi^\nu$ ,
- (iii)  $\pi_g A_\pi^\mu = A_\pi^{g \cdot \mu}$  for every  $g \in G$ ,
- (iv)  $A_\pi^\mu = I$  on  $E^\pi$ ,
- (v)  $A_\pi^\mu(E_\pi) \subseteq E_\pi$ .

**Properties of  $A_\pi^\mu$  with respect to a lattice.** Consider now a locally compact group  $G$  with a finitely generated lattice  $\Gamma$ , i.e. a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma$  such that  $G/\Gamma$  has a finite  $G$ -invariant measure induced by the Haar measure.

Let  $\pi$  be a continuous isometric representation of  $G$  on a reflexive Banach space  $E$ . Denote by  $\pi|_\Gamma$  the restriction of  $\pi$  to the lattice  $\Gamma$ . The inclusion of  $\Gamma$  into  $G$  gives rise to two decompositions,

$$(3.1) \quad E = E^\pi \oplus E_\pi = E^{\pi|_\Gamma} \oplus E_{\pi|_\Gamma}.$$

Since  $\bar{\pi}|_\Gamma = \overline{\pi|_\Gamma}$ , the subspaces above satisfy  $E^\pi \subseteq E^{\pi|_\Gamma}$  and  $E_{\pi|_\Gamma} \subseteq E_\pi$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *There is a direct sum decomposition  $E_\pi = E_{\pi|_\Gamma} \oplus (E_\pi \cap E^{\pi|_\Gamma})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v \in E_\pi$ . Then  $v = w + z$ , where  $w \in E_{\pi|_\Gamma}$  and  $z \in E^{\pi|_\Gamma}$ . Thus we have  $z = v - w \in E_\pi$  by (3.1).  $\square$

The above decomposition is preserved by  $\pi|_\Gamma$  but in general not preserved by  $\pi$ .

Now choose a fundamental domain  $\Delta$  for  $\Gamma$  in  $G$  and renormalize the Haar measure  $\eta$  on  $G$  so that  $\eta(\Delta) = 1$ . For the purposes of the next statement denote by  $A_\pi^\Delta$  the Markov operator associated to the measure determined by the (possibly discontinuous) characteristic function of  $\Delta$  as a density function. The next proposition shows that the restriction of  $A_\pi^\Delta$  to  $E_\pi$  is concentrated on  $E_{\pi|_\Gamma}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $v \in E_\pi \cap E^{\pi|\Gamma}$ . Then  $A_\pi^\Delta v = 0$ . In particular, given  $v \in E_\pi$  we have  $A_\pi^\Delta v = A_\pi^\Delta w$ , where  $w \in E_{\pi|\Gamma}$  is as in the previous lemma.*

*Proof.* Observe that  $A_\pi^\Delta v \in E^\pi$ . Indeed, for any  $h \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_h A_\pi^\Delta v &= \int_{\Delta} \pi_{hg} v \, d\eta(g) = \int_{h\Delta} \pi_g v \, d\eta(g) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{h\Delta \cap \Delta\gamma} \pi_g v \, d\eta(g) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{h\Delta\gamma^{-1} \cap \Delta} \pi_{g\gamma} v \, d\eta(g) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality the change of variable  $g \mapsto g\gamma^{-1}$  and the unimodularity of  $G$  (due to the existence of a lattice) were used.

The above and the fact that  $v$  is fixed by  $\Gamma$  imply that

$$\pi_h A_\pi^\Delta v = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{h\Delta\gamma^{-1} \cap \Delta} \pi_g v \, d\eta(g) = \int_{\Delta} \pi_g v \, d\eta(g) = A_\pi^\Delta v.$$

Since  $A_\pi^\Delta v \in E_\pi \cap E^\pi$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Our central result establishes a connection between Kazhdan constants, convergence of iterated Markov operators, and projections onto the subspace of invariant vectors.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of isometric representations of  $G$  on a uniformly convex family  $\mathcal{E}$  of Banach spaces. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The family  $\mathcal{F}$  has a spectral gap.*
- (ii) *There exist a compactly supported probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  and  $\lambda < 1$  such that for every isometric representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  of  $G$  on  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  we have  $\|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\| < \lambda$ .*
- (iii) *There exist a compactly supported probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  and a number  $\mathfrak{S} < \infty$  such that for every  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  the iterated Markov operators  $(A_\pi^\mu)^k$  converge with speed summable to at most  $\mathfrak{S}$  to the projection  $\mathcal{P}_\pi$  onto  $E^\pi$  along  $E_\pi$ .*

Detailed statements and proofs of the implications composing Theorem 1.1 appear in the next three sections: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) in Section 3.3; (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) in Section 3.4; and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) in Section 3.5.

Note that even though the above theorem is stated for isometric representations, it is automatically true for classes of uniformly bounded representations with a common upper bound on norms. This follows from the renormings associated to uniformly bounded representations (2.3).

**3.3. From Kazhdan pairs to contracting Markov operators.** In this subsection, given a Kazhdan pair, we provide a construction of a Markov operator with an effective estimate on the norm on the subspace  $E_\pi$ . The proof we provide relies on uniform convexity.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of isometric representations of  $G$  on a uniformly convex family  $\mathcal{E}$  of Banach spaces. Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  has a spectral gap and let  $(Q, \kappa)$  be a Kazhdan pair for  $\mathcal{F}$ . For every  $Q$ -admissible measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ , and for every isometric representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\| \leq 1 - \frac{2}{M_\mu} \delta_{\mathcal{E}}(\kappa).$$

*Proof.* First assume that  $\mu$  is a continuous admissible probability measure on  $G$ . Let  $\pi$  be an isometric representation of  $G$  on  $E$  with a spectral gap. Since  $\mu$  is admissible, we can choose an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -decomposition for the density  $\rho$  defining  $\mu$ , as in Section 2.2. We will use  $\alpha$  as the upper subscript in reference to the Markov operator associated to the measure determined by the density  $\alpha$ .

Let  $v \in E_\pi$  be a unit vector. By Lemma 3.1,  $A_\pi^\alpha v \in E_\pi$ . Fix  $s \in Q$  such that

$$\|A_\pi^\alpha v - \pi_s A_\pi^\alpha v\| \geq \kappa \|A_\pi^\alpha v\|.$$

We have

$$(3.3) \quad A_\pi^\mu v = \left( A_\pi^\mu v - \left( \frac{A_\pi^\alpha v + A_\pi^{s \cdot \alpha} v}{M(\alpha, \beta)} \right) \right) + \left( \frac{A_\pi^\alpha v + \pi_s A_\pi^\alpha v}{M(\alpha, \beta)} \right).$$

We estimate the norm of the first summand in (3.3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| A_\pi^\mu v - \frac{1}{M(\alpha, \beta)} (A_\pi^\alpha v + A_\pi^{s \cdot \alpha} v) \right\| &= \left\| \int_G \pi_g v \left( \rho - \frac{\alpha + s \cdot \alpha}{M(\alpha, \beta)} \right) d\eta \right\| \\ &\leq \int_G \|\pi_g v\| \left| \rho - \frac{\alpha + s \cdot \alpha}{M(\alpha, \beta)} \right| d\eta \\ &= \int_G \rho - \frac{\alpha + s \cdot \alpha}{M(\alpha, \beta)} d\eta \\ &= 1 - \frac{2}{M(\alpha, \beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last but one equality we used the fact that  $\rho \geq \frac{\alpha + s \cdot \alpha}{M(\alpha, \beta)}$ , which follows from the properties of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -decomposition.

By the uniform convexity of  $E$ , the norm of the second summand in (3.3) is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M(\alpha, \beta)} \|A_\pi^\alpha v + \pi_s A_\pi^\alpha v\| &= \frac{2\|A_\pi^\alpha v\|}{M(\alpha, \beta)} \left\| \frac{A_\pi^\alpha v + \pi_s A_\pi^\alpha v}{2\|A_\pi^\alpha v\|} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{M(\alpha, \beta)} (1 - \delta_E(\kappa)). \end{aligned}$$

The two inequalities together give

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_\pi^\mu v\| &\leq 1 - \frac{2}{M(\alpha, \beta)} + \frac{2}{M(\alpha, \beta)} (1 - \delta_E(\kappa)) \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{2}{M(\alpha, \beta)} \delta_E(\kappa). \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the infimum over all  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -decompositions of  $\rho$  gives the estimate

$$\|A_\pi^\mu v\| \leq 1 - \frac{2}{M_\mu} \delta_E(\kappa).$$

The same calculation as above gives the same estimate when  $\mu$  is a discrete admissible measure. Given any admissible measure  $\mu = t\mu_c + (1-t)\mu_d$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , for  $\mu_c$  and  $\mu_d$  admissible continuous and admissible discrete probability measures, respectively, we have

$$\|A_\pi^\mu\| \leq t\|A_\pi^{\mu_c}\| + (1-t)\|A_\pi^{\mu_d}\|.$$

This yields the required conclusion.  $\square$

In the case of a finite Kazhdan set, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of isometric representations of  $G$  on a uniformly convex family  $\mathcal{E}$  of Banach spaces such that  $\kappa > 0$  is a Kazhdan constant for a finite Kazhdan set  $Q$ , and let  $g \notin Q$ . Let  $\mu$  be the uniform probability measure on  $Qg \cup \{g\}$ . Then for every isometric representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  we have*

$$\|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\| \leq 1 - \frac{2}{\#Q + 1} \delta_{\mathcal{E}}(\kappa).$$

*Proof.* The uniform measure on  $Qg \cup \{g\}$  admits an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -decomposition with  $\alpha$  the Dirac mass at  $g \in G$  and  $\beta$  the characteristic function of  $Qg$ . This decomposition also gives  $M_\mu \leq \#Q + 1$  and the estimate follows.  $\square$

**3.4. From contracting Markov operators to projections.** Recall that the *Neumann series* of an operator  $T$  is the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$ . It is convergent if  $\|T\| < 1$  and in that case it is the inverse of  $I - T$ . This allows to give an explicit formula for the projection onto invariant vectors in terms of the Markov operator.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\mu$  a probability measure on  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a complemented family of isometric representations of  $G$  on a family of Banach spaces  $\mathcal{E}$ . If there exists  $\lambda < 1$  such that for every representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  on  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  we have  $\|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\| \leq \lambda$ , then for every  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$ :*

(i) *the operator*

$$\mathcal{P}_\pi = I_E - \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_\pi^\mu)^n \right) (I_E - A_\pi^\mu)$$

*is the projection  $E \rightarrow E^\pi$  along  $E_\pi$  onto the subspace of invariant vectors of the representation  $\pi$ ,*

(ii) *the iterated average operator  $(A_\pi^\mu)^k$  converges to  $\mathcal{P}_\pi$  exponentially fast, uniformly over  $\mathcal{F}$ ,*

$$\|(A_\pi^\mu)^k - \mathcal{P}_\pi\| \leq \lambda^k.$$

*Proof.* (i) The operator  $I - A_\pi^\mu$  is invertible on  $E_\pi$  and its inverse on  $E_\pi$  is given by the Neumann series

$$(I_{E_\pi} - A_\pi^\mu)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_\pi^\mu)^n.$$

With this in mind we proceed to show that  $\mathcal{P}_\pi$  is well-defined. We observe that for every  $v \in E$  we have  $(I_E - A_\pi^\mu)v \in E_\pi$ . Indeed, using the decomposition (2.2) we can write  $v = z + w$

uniquely, where  $z \in E^\pi$  and  $w \in E_\pi$ . We have

$$(I_E - A_\pi^\mu)v = w - A_\pi^\mu w \in E_\pi,$$

since  $(I_E - A_\pi^\mu)z = 0$ , by Lemma 2.2. Since  $(I - A_\pi^\mu)v \in E_\pi$  and the Neumann series of  $A_\pi^\mu$  converges on  $E_\pi$ , we see that  $\mathcal{P}_\pi$  is well-defined and bounded. Observe also that since  $(I - A_\pi^\mu)z = 0$  for  $z \in E^\pi$ , we have

$$\mathcal{P}_\pi z = z.$$

On the other hand, if  $w \in E_\pi$ , then

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_\pi^\mu)^n \right) (I_E - A_\pi^\mu)w = w,$$

and, consequently,  $\mathcal{P}_\pi w = 0$ . Therefore, given any vector  $v = z + w$  as above we have

$$\mathcal{P}_\pi(z + w) = z.$$

(ii) Observe  $\mathcal{P}_\pi$  is a norm limit of operators  $\mathcal{P}_{\pi,k}$ , defined by truncating the Neumann series to its  $k$ -partial sum, and  $\mathcal{P}_{\pi,k} = (A_\pi^\mu)^{k+1}$ . We prove the inequality by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$  we can write

$$\|A_\pi^\mu - \mathcal{P}_\pi\| = \sup\{\|A_\pi^\mu w\| : w \in E_\pi, z \in E^\pi, \|z + w\| = 1\} \leq \lambda.$$

Assume that the inequality is proven for  $k$ . Since  $A_\pi^\mu \circ \mathcal{P}_\pi = \mathcal{P}_\pi$  and since the image of  $(A_\pi^\mu)^k - \mathcal{P}_\pi$  is in  $E_\pi$ , we can write

$$\|(A_\pi^\mu)^{k+1} - \mathcal{P}_\pi\| = \|(A_\pi^\mu)^{k+1} - A_\pi^\mu \circ \mathcal{P}_\pi\| \leq \lambda \|(A_\pi^\mu)^k - \mathcal{P}_\pi\| \leq \lambda^{k+1},$$

as desired.  $\square$

In the particular case of finite Kazhdan sets, one can replace the iteration of an average operator by products of average operators. Indeed, let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of isometric representations of  $G$  on a uniformly convex family of Banach spaces,  $\mathcal{F}$  admitting a Kazhdan pair  $(X, \kappa)$  with  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ . Let  $S_n$  be a sequence of finite sets constructed in one of the following manners:

- (a)  $S_n = X \cup Y_n$ , where  $Y_n = \{y_1, \dots, y_M\}$  is an arbitrary subset of  $M$  elements in  $G$ , where  $M$  is fixed,
- (b)  $S_n = X_n \cup Y_n$ , where  $Y_n = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$  and  $X_n = \{y_i x_i y_i^{-1} : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ .

Let  $\mu_n$  be the atomic uniform measure on the set  $S_n$ .

**Corollary 3.7.** *For the sequence of measures  $\mu_n$  constructed above and for every representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  we have*

$$\|A_\pi^{\mu_1} A_\pi^{\mu_2} \dots A_\pi^{\mu_n} - \mathcal{P}_\pi\| \leq \left(1 - \frac{2}{N} \delta_E \left(\frac{\kappa}{3}\right)\right)^n.$$

*Proof.* For  $S_n = X \cup Y_n$  the Kazhdan constant is at least  $\kappa$ . Let now  $S_n = X_n \cup Y_n$ . For every  $v \in E_\pi$ ,  $\|v\| = 1$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\|\pi_x v - v\| \geq \kappa$ . The triangle inequality allows to write, for the corresponding element  $y \in Y_n$  that

$$\kappa \leq \|\pi_y v - v\| + \|\pi_{y^{-1}} v - v\| + \|\pi_{yxy^{-1}} v - v\|.$$

It follows that at least one of the terms in the sum above is larger than  $\frac{\kappa}{3}$ . Therefore in this case the Kazhdan constant is at least  $\frac{\kappa}{3}$ . This, Corollary 3.5 and an easy induction on  $n$  yield the inequality.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8** (Constructing almost invariant vectors). The convergence with controlled speed of iterated Markov operators to the projection onto the space of fixed vectors allows to produce almost invariant vectors with an arbitrarily small degree of almost invariance. In particular, it allows to design a non-deterministic algorithm which, given a vector, never stops if the vector has no component in the subspace of fixed vectors, while if it stops, it produces an almost invariant unit vector with the desired degree of almost invariance (equivalently, an approximation with the desired order of error of a fixed unit vector).

The estimates on the norm of Markov operators also allow to compute explicit mixing times, which would again be uniform for all vectors in  $E_\pi$ .

Note that this can be achieved not only for finitely generated groups, but also for topological groups that admit finite Kazhdan sets (see Section 3.6).

**3.5. From projections to spectral gaps.** Finally, we show that a summable convergence of  $(A_\pi^\mu)^k$  to the projection onto the subspace of fixed points implies the existence of a spectral gap.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported probability measure on  $G$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a complemented family of isometric representations of  $G$  on a family  $\mathcal{E}$  of Banach spaces. Assume that there exists a number  $\mathfrak{S} < \infty$  such that for every representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  on  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  the iterated Markov operators  $(A_\pi^\mu)^k$  converge to a bounded operator  $\mathcal{P}$  and*

- (i)  $E_\pi \subseteq \ker \mathcal{P}$ ,
- (ii) *the convergence has speed summable to at most  $\mathfrak{S}$ , i.e. there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $a_k$  such that the series  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k$  converges to a finite number  $\leq \mathfrak{S}$  and*

$$(3.4) \quad \|(A_\pi^\mu)^k - \mathcal{P}\| \leq a_k.$$

*Then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  has a spectral gap and  $(\text{supp } \mu, \frac{1}{1+\mathfrak{S}})$  is a Kazhdan pair.*

*Proof.* Denote  $Q = \text{supp } \mu$ . Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  be an isometric representation of  $G$  on  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $v \in E_\pi$  be an arbitrary unit vector. Let  $\sigma_v = \sup_{g \in Q} \|\pi_g v - v\|$ . Then

$$(3.5) \quad \|A_\pi^\mu v - v\| \leq \sigma_v.$$

For every positive integer  $k$  we can then write

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_\pi^\mu)^k v - v\| &\leq \|A_\pi^\mu v - v\| + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|(A_\pi^\mu)^{i+1} v - (A_\pi^\mu)^i v\| \\ &\leq \|A_\pi^\mu v - v\| \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|(A_\pi^\mu)^i|_{E_\pi}\| \right) \\ &\leq \sigma_v \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$1 \leq \|(A_\pi^\mu)^k v - v\| + \|(A_\pi^\mu)^k v\| \leq \sigma_v(1 + \mathfrak{S}) + a_k.$$

Since the above is true for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$\sigma_v \geq \frac{1}{1 + \mathfrak{S}},$$

as desired.  $\square$

The above estimates have several interesting consequences. The first is that as soon as the upper bounds  $\alpha_k = \|(A_\pi^\mu)^k - \mathcal{P}\|$  compose a convergent series, they must be decreasing exponentially,  $\mathcal{P}$  must be the projection onto  $E^\pi$  along  $E_\pi$ , and we are in the presence of a spectral gap.

Another consequence of the previous results is that the Kazhdan constant and the norm  $\|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\|$  are closely related. We will state this result for finite Kazhdan sets and uniform measures, since in this case the formulation is particularly concise. The general case can be deduced in the same manner.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of isometric representations of  $G$  on a family  $\mathcal{E}$  of uniformly convex Banach spaces. Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  has a spectral gap and let  $(Q, \kappa)$  be a Kazhdan pair for  $\mathcal{F}$ , where  $Q$  is finite. If  $\mu$  is the uniform measure on  $Qg \cup \{g\}$  for an arbitrary element  $g \in G$ , then for every representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  we have*

$$1 - \kappa \leq \|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\| \leq 1 - \frac{2}{\#Q + 1} \delta_E(\kappa).$$

*Proof.* The upper bound follows from Theorem 1.1, in particular Corollary 3.5. To prove the lower bound, note that for a unit vector  $v \in E_\pi$  inequality (3.5) yields

$$1 - \sigma_v = \|v\| - \sigma_v \leq \|A_\pi^\mu v\|.$$

Passing to the supremum over  $v \in E_\pi$  of norm 1 on both sides, we obtain the claim.  $\square$

**Remark 3.11.** In the particular case when  $\mathcal{E}$  is a family of Hilbert spaces, the lower bound on the Kazhdan constant in terms of the norm of the Markov operator  $\kappa \geq 1 - \|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\|$  can be improved to

$$\kappa \geq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 - \|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\|}.$$

This is obtained using the argument in [66, p. 842].

A problem formulated by Serre and de la Harpe–Valette [15, 24] asks to compute explicit Kazhdan (sets and) constants, for unitary representations on Hilbert spaces. In the case of representations on uniformly convex Banach spaces, Theorem 3.10 implies the following.

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a complemented family of isometric representations of  $G$  on Banach spaces for which  $Q$  is a finite Kazhdan set. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(\overline{Q}^m, 1 - \varepsilon)$  is a Kazhdan pair for  $\mathcal{F}$ , where  $\overline{Q} = Q \cup \{e\}$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $\mu$  the uniform probability measure on  $\overline{Q}$  and  $\pi$  an arbitrary representation in  $\mathcal{F}$ , by Corollary 3.7 we have

$$\|(A_\pi^\mu)^k|_{E_\pi}\| = \|A_\pi^{\mu^k}|_{E_\pi}\| \leq \lambda^k$$

for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\mu^k = \mu * \dots * \mu$ . Since the support of the probability measure  $\mu^k$  is  $X = \overline{Q}^k$ , the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.9, which yields the inequality in Theorem 3.10, implies that the Kazhdan constant for  $X$  is at least  $1 - \lambda^k$ .  $\square$

We additionally remark that in an appropriate setting one can even achieve similar results for families of groups, provided the constants appearing in the above statements can be arranged to be uniform.

**3.6. Finite Kazhdan sets.** For many applications the existence of finite Kazhdan sets is a considerable asset, as the averages become finite, the random walks discrete, and an algorithmical approach and the use of computer become possible (see for instance Theorem 3.6, Remark 3.8 and Section 5). As it turns out, the existence of such finite sets is granted in many cases. Shalom formulated a property that he called the *strong property* (T), requiring the existence of a finite Kazhdan set, and proved that many property (T) groups satisfy it. This theme meets another more recent one, which is the existence of a spectral gap of Hecke operators [10, 11].

In [66], Shalom proved strong property (T) for groups of  $k$ -points of a simply connected, semisimple, almost  $k$ -simple group of rank at least 2 (where  $k$  is a locally compact non-discrete field), with explicit descriptions of finite Kazhdan sets and their corresponding constants. Theorem C in [66] implies that in a semisimple Lie group with finite center, every finite symmetric set not contained in a closed amenable subgroup is a Kazhdan set. In a second paper [65], Shalom proved that any connected Lie group with property (T) that does not have  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  as a quotient has strong property (T).

In the case of a compact group  $G$ , strong property (T) has a very interesting equivalent. In that case, for the regular representation of  $G$  on  $L_2(G)$ , when the measure  $\mu$  is supported on a finite symmetric set  $\{g_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, g_m^{\pm 1}\} \subset G$ , the averaging operator  $2mA_\pi^\mu$  is also known as the *Hecke operator* and is sometimes also denoted  $z_{g_1, \dots, g_m}$ . This operator is said to have a *spectral gap* if its norm on the space  $L_2^0(G)$  of functions orthogonal to the constants is at most  $2m - \zeta$ .

The following double implication, which essentially amounts to an equivalence between spectral gap and  $\{g_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, g_m^{\pm 1}\}$  being a Kazhdan set, then holds:

- (i) if the Hecke operator  $z_{g_1, \dots, g_m}$  satisfies

$$\|z_{g_1, \dots, g_m}\| \leq 2m - \zeta$$

on  $L_2^0(G)$ , where  $\zeta > 0$ , then  $(\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}, \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{m}})$  is a Kazhdan pair,

- (ii) given a Kazhdan pair  $(Q, \varepsilon)$ , and an arbitrary  $g \notin Q$ , the Hecke operator  $z_{\{g\} \cup Qg}$  has spectral gap with  $\zeta \geq 2m - 2 + \sqrt{4 - \kappa^2}$ .

Results of Bourgain and Gamburd [10, 11] then give, via the equivalence described above, many explicit finite Kazhdan sets for the groups  $SU(d)$ , with Kazhdan constants explicitly computable from constants appearing in a certain noncommutative Diophantine property satisfied by the given set.

#### 4. Kazhdan projections in Banach algebras

**4.1. Group Banach algebras and projections.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and denote by  $C_c(G)$  the group algebra of compactly supported continuous functions on  $G$  with convolution. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of representations  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ , by bounded operators on Banach spaces  $E$  in a given family  $\mathcal{E}$ . For the purposes of this section we also assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains the trivial representation on at least one  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Assuming that for each  $f \in C_c(G)$ ,  $\sup\{\|\pi(f)\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} : \pi \in \mathcal{F}\} < \infty$ , we equip the algebra  $C_c(G)$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \|\pi(f)\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)}.$$

**Definition 4.1.** The algebra  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  is the completion of  $C_c(G)$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

If  $\bar{\pi} \in \mathcal{F}$  whenever  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  and the class  $\mathcal{E}$  is stable under complex conjugation, then  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  admits a natural involution.

The classical example of algebra of type  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  is the maximal group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_{\max}^*(G)$ , corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}$  being the family of all unitary representations of  $G$ . Other examples include the following algebras.

- The  $L_p$ -maximal group algebra, where  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , denoted by  $C_{\max}^p(G)$ . This algebra corresponds to the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of all isometric representations of  $G$  on  $L_p$ -spaces.
- Uniformly bounded group algebras, corresponding to the choice of  $\mathcal{F}$  as a class  $[\mathcal{E}; k]$  composed of all the uniformly bounded representations of  $G$  on  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  satisfying

$$\|\pi\| \leq k,$$

where  $k \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is a uniformly convex family of Banach spaces.

- Small exponential growth group algebras. The following classes of representations and algebras were introduced by Lafforgue in [43]. Let  $\ell$  be a continuous length function on  $G$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a family of Banach spaces closed under duality and complex conjugation. A representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  on  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  is said to have  $(s, c)$ -small exponential growth, for some  $s, c > 0$ , if  $\|\pi_g\| \leq ce^{s\ell(g)}$  for every  $g \in G$ . We denote the class of all such representations by  $\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)$ , and we call the algebra  $C_{\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)}(G)$  a small exponential growth algebra.

The algebra  $C_{\max}^p(G)$  is an immediate natural generalization of the maximal group  $C^*$ -algebra, see [31, 57]. Such algebras are relevant for an  $L^p$ -approach to the Novikov and the Baum–Connes conjectures [19, 38].

The algebra  $C_{[\mathcal{E}; k]}$  and the corresponding notions of property (T) for uniformly bounded representations are related to a conjecture of Shalom that every hyperbolic group has a proper affine action on a Hilbert space with linear part uniformly bounded, see [74, Problem 14] and [54] for related results. This conjecture has attracted a lot of interest lately.

**Definition 4.2.** A Kazhdan projection in  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  is a central idempotent  $p \in C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  such that  $\pi(p) = \mathcal{P}_{\pi}$  for every representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Kazhdan projections are important already in the setting of unitary representations (see [20, 36]). Their existence in certain algebras  $C_{\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)}(G)$  is also particularly significant, as

they are used by Lafforgue to define strong Banach property (T). The latter property is relevant to the Baum–Connes conjecture, see [45, 58].

**Definition 4.3** ([43]). The group  $G$  has the *strong Banach property* (T) for  $\mathcal{E}$ , denoted  $(T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{Ban}})$ , if for every continuous length function  $\ell$  on  $G$  there exists  $s > 0$  such that for every  $c > 0$  the algebra  $C_{\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)}(G)$  contains a Kazhdan projection.

In the case of representations with small exponential growth we record the following

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and  $\mathcal{E}$  a class of Banach spaces closed under duality and complex conjugation.*

(i) *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$G$  has the property  $(T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{Ban}})$ .*
- (b) *For every continuous length function  $\ell$ , there exists  $s > 0$  such that for every  $c > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)$  is complemented, and there exists  $\rho \in C_c(G)$  satisfying  $\int_G \rho \, d\eta = 1$ , and  $\lambda < 1$ , such that*

$$\|A_{\pi}^{\rho}|_{E_{\pi}}\| < \lambda$$

*for every  $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)$ .*

- (c) *For every continuous length function  $\ell$ , there exists  $s > 0$  such that for every  $c > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)$  is complemented, and there exist  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$  as above such that  $\rho^n$  converge exponentially fast to some element  $p \in C_{\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)}(G)$ ,*

$$\|\rho^n - p\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)} \leq \lambda^n.$$

- (ii) *If  $G$  has the property  $(T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{Ban}})$ , then for the corresponding  $\ell$  and  $s$  and an arbitrary  $c > 0$ , the pair  $(\text{supp } \rho, \frac{1-\lambda}{a_{\rho}})$  is a Kazhdan pair for the family  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)$ , where*

$$a_{\rho} = \int |\rho(g)| \, d\eta(g).$$

**Remark 4.5.** The main difference in comparison to Theorem 1.1, is that the Markov operators are defined by signed measures. It is unclear if  $\rho$  can always be chosen to be non-negative in this setting. In all the cases in which Kazhdan projections have been constructed in the small exponential growth algebras, they are in fact limits of positive functions with compact support and of integral 1 (cf. [26, 43, 46]).

*Proof.* (i) (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) (b). The fact that  $\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)$  is complemented follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed under duality. There exist elements  $p_n \in C_c(G)$  of integral 1 converging to  $p$  in  $C_{\mathcal{L}(\ell, s, c)}(G)$ . Therefore, one can choose  $\rho = p_n$  for  $n$  large enough.

(i) (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) (c) This is proved exactly as the similar implication in Theorem 1.1.

(i) (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) (a) This is straightforward.

(ii) Using the equivalence (i) (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) (c) and the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.9, one obtains the required conclusion.  $\square$

In the case of isometric representations we have the following characterization of the existence of Kazhdan projections.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of isometric representations of a locally compact group  $G$  on a uniformly convex family  $\mathcal{E}$ . There exists a Kazhdan projection in  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  if and only if the family  $\mathcal{F}$  has a spectral gap.*

*Moreover,  $p$  is always a limit of a sequence of positive probability measures.*

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a Kazhdan set for  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $\rho \in C_c(G)$  be a density function of a continuous admissible measure  $\mu$  with respect to  $Q$ . It suffices to show that  $\{\rho^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

For any representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $m < n$  we again have that the image of  $I_E - (A_{\pi}^{\mu})^n$  is in  $E_{\pi}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(\rho^m) - \pi(\rho^n)\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} &= \|(A_{\pi}^{\mu})^m(I_E - (A_{\pi}^{\mu})^{n-m})\| \\ &\leq \|A_{\pi}^{\mu}|_{E_{\pi}}\|^m \|I_E - (A_{\pi}^{\mu})^{n-m}\| \\ &\leq 2\|A_{\pi}^{\mu}|_{E_{\pi}}\|^m \end{aligned}$$

Since the last term satisfies a uniform estimate  $\|A_{\pi}^{\mu}|_{E_{\pi}}\|^m \leq \lambda^m$  for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and every  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$ , the sequence  $\rho^k$  is indeed a Cauchy sequence in the  $\mathcal{F}$  norm, as claimed,  $\|\rho^m - \rho^n\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \lambda^m$ . There exists a limit, denoted  $p$ , which is the required Kazhdan projection. Indeed, a similar estimate as above shows that  $\|\rho^{2m} - \rho^m\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 2\|\rho^m\|_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0$ , hence  $p$  is an idempotent. Finally, since  $\pi(p)$  commutes with  $\pi(g) = \pi_g$  for every  $g \in G$  and every  $\pi$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we see that  $p$  is central.

The converse follows from Theorem 4.4 (ii). It was also proved in [43].  $\square$

As an application, we have the following generalization of the existence of Kazhdan projections to the class of  $L_p$ -maximal group algebras.

**Corollary 4.7.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of all isometric representation of  $G$  on  $E$ , then there exists a Kazhdan projection in  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  if and only if  $G$  has uniform property (TE).*

*In particular, if  $G$  has Kazhdan's property (T), then for every  $1 < p < \infty$  there exists a Kazhdan projection in the  $L_p$ -maximal group algebra  $C_{\max}^p(G)$ .*

All the statements in Theorems 4.4 and 4.6 are also true, with the appropriate changes, in the more general case of uniformly bounded representations.

In the case of finitely generated groups it was shown in [25] that property (TE) is equivalent to the fact that for every isometric representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on  $E$  the projection onto  $E^{\pi}$  is in the closure of  $\{\pi(f) : \text{supp } f < \infty\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(E)$ .

**4.2. Relations between versions of property (T) for Banach spaces.** The above results have another important consequence: for uniformly convex Banach spaces they put on the common ground the reinforced Banach property ( $T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{Ban}}$ ), introduced by Lafforgue in [43] and the properties (TE) and FE, introduced in [2, 30]. We refer to [53] for a survey of these properties. The question of such a relation was considered by several experts. In particular, it appeared as Question 1.10 in [16].

To make a direct comparison, consider a uniformly convex family  $\mathcal{E}$  of Banach spaces, closed under duality and complex conjugation, and the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of all isometric representations of  $G$  on all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Recall that  $G$  has property  $FE$  for a Banach space  $E$  if every continuous affine isometric action of  $G$  on  $E$  has a fixed point [2, 30]. Equivalently,  $H^1(G, \pi) = 0$  for every isometric representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on  $E$ . We will say that  $G$  has property  $F\mathcal{E}$  for a family of Banach spaces  $\mathcal{E}$  if  $G$  has property  $FE$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ .

It follows from the results of this paper that we have the implications:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Lafforgue's } (T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{Ban}}) & \xrightleftharpoons{[44]} & F\mathcal{E} & \xrightleftharpoons{[2]} & (T\mathcal{E}) \\
 \downarrow \text{(by definition)} & & & & \uparrow \text{(by definition)} \\
 \text{Kazhdan projection in } C_{\mathcal{F}}(G) & \xrightleftharpoons{\text{Theorem 4.6}} & \text{uniform } (T\mathcal{E}). & & 
 \end{array}$$

As mentioned earlier, uniformity of property  $(T\mathcal{E})$  is automatic if the class  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed under taking infinite direct sums and then the vertical arrow on the right is an equivalence. We also remark that Lafforgue's proof of the first implication  $(T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{Ban}}) \Rightarrow F\mathcal{E}$  does in fact use linearly growing representations in an essential way [44].

We also observe that the existence of a Kazhdan projection in  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$  does not in general imply  $F\mathcal{E}$ . The reason is the existence of hyperbolic groups with property  $(T)$ . Such groups all have  $(TL_p)$  for every  $1 < p < \infty$ , but for  $p > 2$  sufficiently large every hyperbolic group admits an unbounded, or even a proper affine isometric action on some  $L_p$ -space [9, 52, 72].

**Remark 4.8** (Uniform property  $FE$ ). We take this opportunity to remark that it is possible also to define uniform property  $FE$ , as we very briefly describe here. Consider a discrete group  $G$ . Property  $FE$  is the same as vanishing of  $H^1(G, \pi)$  for every isometric representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on  $E$ . That is, the codifferential  $\delta_{\pi} : E \rightarrow \ker d_{\pi}$  is onto, where  $\ker d_{\pi}$  is the space of 1-cocycles equipped with a norm induced by restricting the cocycle to the generating set  $S$  and viewing it as a function in  $\ell_2(S) \otimes E = \ell_2(S; E)$ . This on the other hand is equivalent to the adjoint map  $\delta_{\pi}^* : (\ker d_{\pi})^* \rightarrow E^*$  being bounded below, i.e.  $\|\delta_{\pi}^* \varphi\| \geq C_{\pi} \|\varphi\|$  for every  $\varphi \in (\ker d_{\pi})^*$  and a uniform  $C_{\pi} > 0$ . This fact is used extensively in [3, 54] and we refer the reader to those articles for details. We can now make the following definition:  *$G$  has uniform property  $FE$  if  $G$  has property  $FE$  and, additionally, there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each isometric representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on  $E$  we have  $C_{\pi} > C > 0$ .* We note however that such a uniform choice of  $C_{\pi}$  appearing in the second condition is equivalent to having a uniform spectral gap for all isometric representations on  $E$ . Thus uniform property  $FE$  is simply property  $FE$  with the additional condition that property  $(TE)$  (implied by  $FE$ ) is satisfied uniformly.

**4.3. Expanders and property  $(\tau)$  for Banach spaces.** Existence of Kazhdan projections is particularly important in connection to the topic of expander graphs. Indeed, it is via such projections that the main existing counterexample to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture was constructed, using expanders [35]. Our results allow to connect a Banach space generalization of the notion of expanders to the existence of a Kazhdan projection.

The most natural way of defining expanders in the Banach space context is via Poincaré inequalities.

**Definition 4.9.** Given a uniformly convex Banach space  $E$ , a sequence  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of graphs is a sequence of  $E$ -expanders if it satisfies a Poincaré inequality for  $E$ -valued func-

tions uniformly; that is, there exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$  such that the *Poincaré inequality*

$$\sum_{v \in \Gamma_i} \|f(v) - Mf\|_E^2 \leq \kappa \sum_{v \sim u} \|f(v) - f(u)\|_E^2$$

holds for every  $f : \Gamma_i \rightarrow E$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

As in the classical case, a way of constructing expanders is by means of a version of property  $(\tau)$  of Lubotzky [47, 48]. Thus, let  $G$  be a finitely generated group and  $Q$  a finite subset of  $G$ . Assume that  $G$  is residually finite, and consider a sequence  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of finite index normal subgroups, satisfying  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i = \{e\}$ . Let  $q_i : G \rightarrow G/N_i$  be the quotient map for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $v, u \in G/N_i$  we write  $v \sim u$  if  $v$  and  $u$  are joined by an edge in the Cayley graphs  $\text{Cay}(G/N_i, q_i(Q))$ .

Given a uniformly convex Banach space  $E$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{N}(E)$  the family of representations  $\pi^{(i)}$  of  $G$  on the spaces  $\ell_2(G/N_i, E)$  given by  $\pi_g^{(i)} f(v) = f(g^{-1}v)$ . The projection  $E \rightarrow E^{\pi^{(i)}}$  is simply given by the average  $M_i f = [G : N_i]^{-1} \sum_{v \in G/N_i} f(v)$ .

**Definition 4.10.** Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex Banach space. A residually finite finitely generated group  $G$  has *property  $(\tau_E)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$*  if the family  $\mathcal{N}(E)$  has a spectral gap.

The following statement is an application of Theorem 1.1 and shows that property  $(\tau_E)$  gives the right kind of generalization of property  $(\tau)$  to the setting of uniformly convex spaces, and it yields a Kazhdan projection.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex Banach space,  $G$  be a finitely generated residually finite group and let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_i\}$  a collection of finite index subgroups with trivial intersection. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $G$  has property  $(\tau_E)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_i\}$  and a symmetric Kazhdan set  $Q$ .
- (ii) The Cayley graphs  $\text{Cay}(G/N_i, Q)$  form a sequence of  $E$ -expanders.
- (iii) There exists a Kazhdan projection  $p \in C_{\mathcal{N}(E)}(G)$ .

Note that in the case when  $E$  is a Hilbert space the algebra  $C_{\mathcal{N}(E)}(G)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. In that case the existence of the Kazhdan projection appearing in the above theorem was hinted at in [34, 35].

**Remark 4.11.** We also point out that in the setting of uniformly convex Banach spaces one more characterization of property  $(\tau_E)$  is true, namely that  $G$  has  $(\tau_E)$  with respect to  $\{N_i\}$  if and only if the cohomology  $H^1(G, \pi) = 0$ , where  $\pi = \bigoplus \pi^{(i)}$ . The proof given for Hilbert spaces in [49] can be copied verbatim to the above setting as it uses only the Open Mapping Theorem and basic norm estimates.

## 5. Applications to ergodic theory

In this section, we study properties of a measure preserving ergodic action of a locally compact group  $G$  on a probability space  $(X, \nu)$ . One of the first theorems in this setting, due

to Oseledec [56], states that the powers  $\rho^k$  of an arbitrary density function of a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  form an *ergodic sequence*:

$$\pi(\rho^k)f \longrightarrow \int_X f \, d\nu(x),$$

both  $\nu$ -almost everywhere and in  $L_p(X, \nu)$ . See e.g. [51] for an overview.

A special case of Theorem 1.1 is a quantitative ergodic estimate for actions with a spectral gap. Consider a collection  $\mathcal{E}$  of uniformly convex Banach spaces, and a number  $p$  in  $(1, \infty)$ . Theorem 1.1 implies that if  $(Q, \kappa)$  is a Kazhdan pair for the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of isometric representations of  $G$  on  $L_p(X, \nu; E)$ , with  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , induced by the measure preserving action of  $G$ , then for every  $Q$ -admissible probability measure  $\mu$ ,

$$(5.1) \quad \left\| A_\pi^{\mu^k} f - \int_X f \, d\nu \right\|_p \leq \lambda^k \|f\|_p,$$

where  $\lambda < 1$  depends only on  $p$ , the normalizing factor of  $\mu$ , the modulus of convexity of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\kappa$ .

By a standard Borel–Cantelli argument, it follows that for almost every  $x \in X$  there exists  $k_x$  such that for  $k \geq k_x$

$$\left| A_\pi^{\mu^k} f(x) - \int_X f \right| \leq \lambda^k \|f\|_p,$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  is a constant slightly larger than the one in (5.1).

In the particular case of  $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbb{R}\}$  and of a group  $G$  with Kazhdan’s property (T), by Remark 2.6(i), the same measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  satisfies (5.1) for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  depending continuously on  $p$  and converging to 1 at  $\infty$ .

Such estimates can be used for instance for shrinking target problems of orbits of subgroups that have property (T). The shrinking target problems are yet another way of understanding measure preserving ergodic actions of groups on (metric) measure spaces. They are particularly significant in the case of actions of subgroups  $H$  of Lie groups  $G$  on quotients  $G/\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $G$ . For semisimple Lie groups  $G$  and finite volume quotients  $G/\Gamma$ , the shrinking target problems can be classified following the position of the “target”, which can be in the boundary at infinity or inside  $G/\Gamma$ ; or following the type of subgroup  $H$  acting on  $G/\Gamma$ . Most existing results study actions of amenable subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  (most often, cyclic or one-dimensional). The earliest results have been proved for targets at infinity and geodesic flows (i.e. actions of one-dimensional subgroups  $H$  composed of semisimple elements). The problem of finding the generic behavior of geodesic rays with respect to a shrinking target situated in a cusp was completely settled in [41, 68]. The argument in [41] uses theorems of Howe–Moore type and fast decay of correlation coefficients, and the fact that the characteristic function of a neighborhood of a cusp may be replaced by a smooth function, without significant loss of information.

When the “target” is not at infinity, but inside  $G/\Gamma$ , the problem becomes that of finding the generic behavior of orbits of  $H$  in terms of distance to a fixed point; for instance, of finding the generic speed at which an orbit of  $H$  approaches that point. In this case, the methods based on Howe–Moore theorems fail. Still, the generic behavior of geodesic rays with respect to a point in locally symmetric spaces of rank one has been found in [50, 68], using methods

specific to rank one. The higher rank case remains open. The question in full generality, of measuring the rate at which a typical orbit approaches a point in  $G/\Gamma$ , has been asked by Kleinbock and Margulis in [41].

Here we show that, as Theorem 1.1 provides a good way to average in a group  $H$  with property (T) (average that, in many ways, plays the part of the average on Følner sets for amenable groups), it also allows to answer shrinking target problems for orbits of subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  that have property (T), in terms of the above mentioned average and, due to Remark 2.6 (i), provide an estimate of the error term that is, in a way, the best possible.

Let  $(Y, \nu)$  be a probability space. For every integrable function  $f$  on  $Y$  we denote by  $Mf$  its mean, that is,  $Mf = \int_Y f d\nu$ . Let  $\Phi = \{f_n : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of non-negative integrable functions on  $Y$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the partial sums of series

$$\Sigma_{\Phi}^N(y) = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i(y) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\Phi}^N = \sum_{i=1}^N Mf_i.$$

**Lemma 5.1** ([42], [67, Chapter 1, Lemma 10]). *Let  $Y$  be as above, and  $p$  a positive real number larger than 1.*

- (i) *For  $\mu$ -almost every  $y \in Y$  we have  $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Sigma_{\Phi}^N(y)}{E_{\Phi}^N} < \infty$ .*
- (ii) *Assume that  $Mf_n \leq 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and that there exists  $C > 0$  such that for every  $N > M \geq 1$ ,*

$$(5.2) \quad \int_Y \left| \sum_{i=M}^N f_i(y) - \sum_{i=M}^N Mf_i \right|^p d\mu \leq C \sum_{i=M}^N Mf_i.$$

*Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  one has that for  $\mu$ -almost every  $y \in Y$ ,*

$$\Sigma_{\Phi}^N(y) = E_{\Phi}^N + O((E_{\Phi}^N)^{1/p} \log^{1+1/p+\varepsilon}(E_{\Phi}^N)).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows verbatim the one of [67, Lemma 10], except that in [67, top of p. 48] one has to apply Hölder's inequality instead of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and  $\Gamma$  a lattice in it. We consider  $G/\Gamma$  endowed with the probability measure  $\nu$  induced by the Haar measure on  $G$ , properly renormalized.

We now consider another locally compact group  $\Lambda$  with property (T),  $S$  a Kazhdan set of  $\Lambda$ , and  $\mu$  a probability measure on  $\Lambda$  admissible with respect to  $S$ . Assume that  $\Lambda$  acts on  $G/\Gamma$  by transformations preserving  $\nu$ , and that the action is ergodic.

**Examples 5.2.** (i) When  $G$  is a semisimple group and  $\Gamma$  is an irreducible lattice in  $G$ , every infinite subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $G$  acts ergodically on  $G/\Gamma$  (cf. [73]).

(ii) When  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , with  $n \geq 2$ , every subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  containing a matrix whose eigenvalues are not roots of unity acts ergodically on  $G/\Gamma = \mathbb{T}^n$  (cf. [39, Example 4.2.11]).

Denote by  $X_n$  the random variable representing the  $n$ -th step of the random walk on  $\Lambda$  determined by the measure  $\mu$ . For every  $x \in G/\Gamma$  we write  $X_n(x)$  to signify the element in  $G/\Gamma$  obtained by applying the group element  $X_n$  in  $\Lambda$  to  $x$ .

Let  $p$  be a positive number larger than 1, and let  $\pi$  be the standard representation of the group  $\Lambda$  by linear isometries on the Banach space  $E = L^p(G/\Gamma)$ . We consider the action of  $\Lambda$  on  $E$  to the right, that is,  $g \cdot f = f \circ g$ . In particular, for  $f = \mathbb{1}_\Omega$  the characteristic function of a measurable set  $\Omega$ ,  $g \cdot \mathbb{1}_\Omega = \mathbb{1}_{g^{-1}\Omega}$ .

Because the action of  $\Lambda$  is ergodic, the space  $E^\pi$  is composed of constant functions, while  $E_\pi$  is composed of functions of the form  $f - Mf$  for every  $f \in L^p(G/\Gamma)$  (due to the fact that the dual of  $L^p(G/\Gamma)$  can be identified with  $L^q(G/\Gamma)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ). As  $\Lambda$  has property (T), it follows, by Theorem 1.1 and [2, Theorem A], that there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  depending on the Kazhdan constant of  $S$  and on  $p$  such that  $\|A_\pi^\mu|_{E_\pi}\| \leq \lambda$ .

Let  $h$  be a non-negative integrable function on  $G/\Gamma$ . For an arbitrary probability measure  $\alpha$  on  $\Lambda$ , if we consider the function  $f = A_\pi^\alpha(h)$ , then by Fubini's Theorem we can write

$$\begin{aligned} M(f) &= \int_{G/\Gamma} f(x) \, d\nu(x) = \int_{G/\Gamma} \left[ \int_{\Lambda} h \circ g(x) \, d\alpha(g) \right] d\nu(x) \\ &= \int_{\Lambda} \left[ \int_{G/\Gamma} h(gx) \, d\nu(x) \right] d\alpha(g) = M(h). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the above is true for the function  $f = A_\pi^{\mu^n}(\mathbb{1}_\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a measurable set in  $G/\Gamma$ . Note that for  $x \in G/\Gamma$ , we have

$$f(x) = \mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega).$$

Consider now a sequence  $(\Omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of measurable sets in  $G/\Gamma$ , and the sequences of measurable functions  $h_n = \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n}$  and  $f_n = A_\pi^{\mu^n}(\mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n})$ . We prove that the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 are satisfied. The left-hand side of inequality (5.2) can be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=M}^N (A_\pi^{\mu^i} h_i - M h_i) \right\|_p &\leq \sum_{i=M}^N \lambda^i \|h_i - M h_i\|_p \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=M}^N \lambda^{qi} \right)^{1/q} \left( \sum_{i=M}^N \|h_i - M h_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality above uses the property that on the subspace  $E_\pi$ , composed of functions of the form  $f - Mf$ , the norm of  $A_\pi^{\mu^i}$  is bounded by  $\lambda^i$ , the second uses Hölder's inequality.

Elementary calculations yield the following inequality (see for instance [29, Section 4]):

$$|a - b|^p \leq a^p + b^p + (1 + p2^p) \max(a^{p-1}b, ab^{p-1}) \quad \text{for every } a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

This allows us to write

$$\int |h_i - M h_i|^p \, d\nu(x) \leq \int h_i^p \, d\nu(x) + M h_i^p + C_p M h_i \int h_i^{p-1} \, d\nu(x) \leq (2 + C_p) M h_i,$$

where  $C_p = 1 + p2^p$ . In the last inequality above we used the facts that  $h_i$  is the characteristic function of a set, hence  $h_i^\alpha = h_i$  for every  $\alpha \geq 1$ , and that  $M h_i \in (0, 1)$ , therefore  $M h_i^\beta \leq M h_i$  for every  $\beta \geq 1$ . We may therefore write

$$\left\| \sum_{i=M}^N (A_\pi^{\mu^i} h_i - M h_i) \right\|_p^p \leq \frac{2 + C_p}{(1 - \lambda^q)^{p/q}} \sum_{i=M}^N M h_i.$$

Lemma 5.1 then implies the following.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and  $\Gamma$  a lattice in it. Let  $\{\Omega_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable subsets in  $G/\Gamma$ . Assume that a locally compact group  $\Lambda$  with property (T) acts ergodically on  $G/\Gamma$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\Lambda$  that is admissible with respect to a Kazhdan set, and let  $X_n$  be the random variable representing the  $n$ -th step of the random walk defined by  $\mu$ .*

(i) *If  $\sum_n \nu(\Omega_n)$  is finite, then for almost every  $x \in G/\Gamma$*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega_n) < \infty.$$

(ii) *If  $\sum_n \nu(\Omega_n)$  is infinite, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for almost every  $x \in G/\Gamma$ ,*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega_n) = S_N + O(S_N^\varepsilon),$$

where  $S_N = \sum_{n \leq N} \nu(\Omega_n)$ . In particular,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega_n) > \nu(\Omega_n) - O(\nu(\Omega_n)^\varepsilon)$$

for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A particular case of the above is when  $\Omega_n$  is the ball around an arbitrary fixed point  $x_0$  and of radius  $r_n$ . In that case, for  $D$  the dimension of  $G/\Gamma$  and  $\text{dist}$  a distance on  $G/\Gamma$  induced by a left invariant Riemannian distance on  $G$ , if  $\sum_n r_n^D$  is infinite, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , almost every  $x \in G/\Gamma$  satisfies

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mathbb{P}(\text{dist}(X_n(x), x_0) \leq r_n) = R_N + O(R_N^\varepsilon),$$

where  $R_N = \sum_{n \leq N} r_n^D$ . While if  $\sum_n r_n^D$  is finite, then the above sum of probabilities is also finite.

Since  $\Lambda$  has property (T), it is compactly generated. Let  $\text{dist}_\Lambda$  be an arbitrary word metric on it, corresponding to the choice of a compact generating set. Let  $\alpha$  be a probability measure on  $\Lambda$  with compact support generating  $\Lambda$  as a semigroup, and let  $X_n$  be the corresponding random walk. Since  $\Lambda$  is non-amenable, according to [33, 40] there exists  $a > 0$  such that almost surely

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}_\Lambda(e, X_n)}{n} = 2a.$$

This implies that

$$\mu^n(\Delta_n) \geq 1 - \varepsilon_n,$$

where  $\Delta_n = \{g \in \Lambda : \text{dist}_\Lambda(e, g) > an\}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$ .

Consider the sequence of probability measures

$$\eta_n = \frac{1}{\mu^n(\Delta_n)} \mu^n|_{\Delta_n}.$$

The previous argument, with the sequence  $\mu^n$  replaced by  $\eta_n$ , gives the same results as above, but with  $\mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega_n)$  replaced by  $\mathbb{P}(X_n(x) \in \Omega_n, \text{dist}_\Lambda(X_n, e) \geq an)$ , respectively with  $\mathbb{P}(\text{dist}(X_n(x), x_0) \leq r_n)$  replaced by  $\mathbb{P}(\text{dist}(X_n(x), x_0) \leq r_n, \text{dist}_\Lambda(e, X_n) \geq an)$ .

We now provide a sample of applications of Theorem 5.3, to emphasize the type of information this theorem provides.

As recalled in the beginning of the section, most of the previously known shrinking target results concerned geometric objects such as geodesics and, later on, unipotent orbits, also called horocycles in the literature. Our results allow to provide information about another significant set of geometric objects: the horospheres.

Recall that in a CAT(0) space  $X$ , every geodesic ray  $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  defines a *Busemann function*  $f_\rho(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\text{dist}(x, \rho(t)) - t]$ . Its open sublevel sets  $f_\rho < a$ , with  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , coincide with the union of open balls  $B(\rho(t), t + a)$  and are called *open horoballs determined by  $\rho$* ; the topological boundary of an open horoball is a level hypersurface  $f_\rho = a$ , and it is called *horosphere*. Two geodesic rays at finite Hausdorff distance determine the same collection of horoballs and horospheres, possibly corresponding to different parameters  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  (cf. [13]). The point at infinity  $\rho(\infty) \in \partial_\infty X$  is called *the point at infinity of the horoball (horosphere)*.

In the particular case when  $X$  is a symmetric space of non-compact type and of rank at least two, it is known that its group of isometries  $G$  does not act transitively on  $\partial_\infty X$ : the quotient  $\partial_\infty X/G$  can be identified with a spherical simplex of maximal dimension in the spherical building structure of  $\partial_\infty X$ . The image of  $\rho(\infty)$  in  $\partial_\infty X/G$  is called the *slope* of  $\rho$  (respectively of the point at infinity of the horoball/horosphere). When  $\rho(\infty)$  is one of the vertices of the simplex  $\partial_\infty X/G$ , we say it is *maximal singular*. For more information we refer the reader to [13].

In rank one symmetric spaces the stabilizers of horospheres are nilpotent groups, in higher rank on the other hand they are more complicated, with semisimple subgroups and unipotent radicals. Moreover, the horospheres have explicit descriptions in terms of flags from the flag boundary (see for instance [27, Section 3] for descriptions of horospheres and their stabilizers in some particular cases).

As mentioned before, while for amenable groups, in all the von Neumann-type theorems or Birkhoff-type theorems the right approach is to consider limits, either in  $L^2$ -norm or pointwise, of averages on Følner sets (in particular on balls, when the groups have sub-exponential growth), in the opposite case of property (T) groups it seems more appropriate to consider limits of averages with respect to sequences of measures approximating the Kazhdan projection. Many stabilizers of horospheres in higher rank have property (T) (in particular those we consider below), therefore the averages provided by the sums in Theorem 5.3 are an appropriate approach for them.

With these observations, we proceed to examine the particular case when  $G = \text{SL}(s, \mathbb{R})$ , with  $s \geq 3$ , and  $\Gamma = \text{SL}(s, \mathbb{Z})$ . The symmetric space corresponding to  $G$  is

$$\mathcal{P}_s = \text{SO}(s) \backslash \text{SL}(s, \mathbb{R}),$$

which can be identified to the space of positive definite quadratic forms of volume 1 with the action of  $G$  by isometries to the right. Consider the locally symmetric space  $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{P}_n / \Gamma$  and  $\pi : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n$  the natural projection. In the applications of Theorem 5.3, there are two types of choices involved:

- (i) the choice of the sequence of shrinking sets  $(\Omega_n)$ ,
- (ii) the choice of a Kazhdan set  $Q$  for the acting group  $\Lambda$ , and of a measure  $\mu$ , admissible with respect to  $Q$ , determining the random walk.

As far as the choice (i) is concerned, we only consider two cases.

**Case [Ω.A].** Consider as basepoint  $x_0$  in  $\mathcal{T}_s$  the image by  $\pi$  of the canonical quadratic form  $q_0(x) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_s^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^s$ , and consider the standard metric defined on  $\mathcal{P}_s$ , and the metric it induces on  $\mathcal{T}_s$  (see [27, 28]).

Given  $D$  the dimension of  $\mathcal{P}_s$  and  $\mathcal{T}_s$ , consider  $r_n = \frac{1}{n^{1/D}}$ , and  $B_n$  the ball in  $\mathcal{P}_s$  centered in  $q_0$  and of radius  $r_n$ . For  $n$  large enough both  $B_n$  and  $\pi(B_n)$  have measure  $\asymp \frac{1}{n}$ .

The fact that a quadratic form  $q$  satisfies  $\pi(q) \in \pi(B_n)$  implies that there exists a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  that is orthogonal with respect to the bilinear form defined by  $q$ , and such that  $q(v_i) = \lambda_i \in [e^{-r_n}, e^{r_n}]$ . For simplicity we replace  $\pi(B_n)$  with a set  $\Omega_n \subset \mathcal{T}_s$  of approximately the same volume, defined by the condition that a quadratic form  $q$  satisfies  $\pi(q) \in \Omega_n$  if there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that for every vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $q(v) \in [e^{-r_n}, e^{r_n}] \|\gamma v\|^2$ . In particular,  $|q(v) - \|\gamma v\|^2| \leq (r_n + o(r_n)) \|\gamma v\|^2$ . We call such a form an  $r_n$ -almost rational form.

A consequence of almost rationality is for instance an estimate for the convergence to zero of the gaps between successive values in  $q(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ , for an irrational positive definite quadratic form  $q$ . It was conjectured by Davenport and Lewis [22] that for such a form given  $s \in q(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  and  $n(s)$  the minimum of  $q(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cap (s, \infty)$ , the gap  $n(s) - s$  converges to 0 as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . The conjecture was settled by Bentkus and Götze for  $s \geq 5$  (cf. [7, 32]). For an  $r_n$ -almost rational form, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the value  $s_0$  such that  $n(s) - s < \varepsilon$  for  $s \geq s_0$  must satisfy  $s_0 \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} n^{1/D}$ .

**Case [Ω.B].** Consider one of the Busemann functions defined in [27, Section 3.2], say

$$f_{r_1}(q) = \sqrt{\frac{s}{s-1}} \ln q(e_s).$$

Its restriction to  $\mathcal{T}_s$  seen as a fundamental domain in  $\mathcal{P}_s$  is also a Busemann function, corresponding to a geodesic ray contained in  $\mathcal{T}_s$  (cf. [27, Section 3.6]). Let us denote the latter Busemann function  $\bar{f}$ , and let  $(\Omega_n)$  be a sequence of thinner and thinner slices of level sets, defined by  $-r_n - \varepsilon_n \leq \bar{f} \leq -r_n$ . A standard calculation of volume implies that in order to obtain a slice of volume  $\frac{1}{n}$ , one has to choose  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n^\delta}$  for some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $r_n = \eta \ln n$ , where  $\eta$  depends on  $s$ , on the choice of  $\delta$  and of the ray defining the Busemann function.

In relation with the choice (ii), we begin by recalling that any symmetric set not contained in a closed amenable subgroup is a Kazhdan set for  $\Lambda$  (cf. [66, Theorem C]). We shall mainly consider the following types of choices:

**Case [Q.1].** The group  $\Lambda$  is  $G$ , and  $Q$  is a compact set generating  $G$  (possibly a ball for some left-invariant metric on  $G$ ).

**Case [Q.2].** The group  $\Lambda$  is the stabilizer of a horosphere in the symmetric space  $X$  associated to  $G$ , with basepoint of maximal singular slope, and  $Q$  is a compact set generating it.

Note that as the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is to the right, as defined in [27, Section 3], when  $\Lambda$  is the stabilizer of a horosphere  $f_\rho = 0$ , for an arbitrary quadratic form  $q$ ,  $q\Lambda$  is the horosphere  $f_\rho = a$  containing  $q$ , and  $q\Lambda g$  for  $g \in G$ , is the image by the isometric action of  $g$  of the horosphere  $f_\rho = a$ ; for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $qQ^n g$  is a large compact subset of the latter.

In what follows we describe how various choices produce different significant results:

**Choices [Q.1] and [Ω.A].** Given a random walk on the group  $G$ , the probabilities that at step  $n \in [1, N]$  the corresponding quadratic form is  $\frac{1}{n^{1/D}}$ -almost rational sum up to a value of  $\ln N + O(\ln^\varepsilon N)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In particular, infinitely many times such a probability is above  $\frac{1}{n}$ .

**Choices [Q.2] and [Ω.i],  $i \in \{A, B\}$ .** Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point in  $\mathcal{T}_s$ . Almost every horosphere  $\mathcal{H}$  in the symmetric space  $\mathcal{P}_s$  ( $\mathcal{H}$  with point at infinity of a fixed given slope that is maximal singular), and almost every point  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , have the property that the  $\pi$  projection of

the annulus  $\mathcal{H} \cap [B(h, n) \setminus B(h, an)]$  inside  $\mathcal{T}_s$  intersects the shrinking ball  $\pi(B(x, n^{1/D}))$  for infinitely many  $n$  (where  $a > 0$  is the constant provided by equation (5.3) for the group  $\Lambda$ ). The same holds if one replaces the condition above with the one that the  $\pi$  projection of  $\mathcal{H} \cap [B(h, n) \setminus B(h, an)]$  rises infinitely many times into the cusp of  $\mathcal{T}_s$  at a height between  $\eta \ln n$  and  $\eta \ln n + \frac{1}{n^\delta}$ , where the height in the cusp is measured by a certain fixed Busemann function (the constant  $\eta$  depending on this choice).

A general version of the result, for horospheres in general symmetric spaces, can likewise be obtained.

A number theoretical interpretation of the above (for appropriate choices of  $x \in \mathcal{T}_s$ , the maximal singular slope and the Busemann function on  $\mathcal{T}_s$ ) is that for every  $1 \leq k < s$ , for almost every  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $W$  in  $\mathbb{R}^s$ , there exist a sequence  $(q_n)$  of quadratic forms farther and farther away from  $q_0$ , with restrictions to  $W$  of the same volume, and  $\frac{1}{n^{1/D}}$ -almost rational (respectively, such that for some primitive vector  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $q(v) \in [\frac{1}{n^\xi}(1 - \frac{\kappa}{n^\delta}), \frac{1}{n^\xi}]$ , where  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\kappa$  depends on  $s$ ,  $\xi > 0$  depends on  $\delta$  and  $s$ ).

## 6. Ghost projections for warped cones

In this section we construct new examples of non-compact ghost projections. Such projections associated to expanders are the source of all known bounded geometry counter-examples to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture [34, 35, 71]. In fact, no examples of ghost projections other than the one described in [34] and [71, Examples 5.3] were known until now.

Warped cones are unbounded spaces constructed by successive approximations of an action of a group on some space. They share certain characteristics with box spaces (i.e. sequences of finite quotients of a group) and in this sense our construction is analogous to the construction of ghost projections for expanders. Note, however, that for our construction the acting group has to be neither residually finite nor with properties (T) or  $(\tau)$  – the existence of the ghost projection is solely a consequence of the spectral gap of the action. A spectral gap for a single representation is a weaker condition than property  $(\tau)$ . There are many examples of actions with a spectral gap: see for instance [51, Example 11.3] for actions of non-amenable algebraic groups, and [21] for actions on tori and nil-manifolds. Clearly, if  $G$  has property (T), then every probability preserving action of  $G$  has a spectral gap.

**6.1. Warped cones as metric measure spaces.** Let  $(M, \text{dist}, m)$  be a compact metric space endowed with a probability measure, and let  $G$  be a finitely generated group acting on  $M$  by bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms preserving the measure. Assume moreover that the action of  $G$  is ergodic. Throughout this subsection we consider  $G$  endowed with a finite, symmetric generating set  $S$ . We denote by  $|g|$  the word length with respect to  $S$  of  $g \in G$ .

We add a mild assumption on the measure, requiring in some sense its uniform distribution with respect to the metric.

**Definition 6.1.** A measure  $m$  on a metric space  $(M, \text{dist})$  is called *upper uniform* if  $\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in M} m(B(x, R)) = 0$ .

Let  $\text{Cone}(M) = M \times (1, \infty)$  denote the truncated Euclidean cone over  $M$ , equipped with the measure  $\nu$  which is a product measure of  $m$  and the Lebesgue measure. The restriction

of the metric  $\text{dist}_{\text{Cone}(M)}$  on  $\text{Cone}(M)$  to  $M \times \{t\}$  is equal to  $t \text{ dist}$ . The part corresponding to the interval  $[0, 1]$ , both for the Euclidean cone, and for the warped cone defined below, is irrelevant for our purposes, since we are interested in large scale properties, and removing this part simplifies certain estimates.

The notion of a *warped cone*, denoted by  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_G(M)$ , was first defined in [62]. It is the space  $M \times (1, \infty)$  endowed with the metric  $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{O}}$  that is the largest metric satisfying

$$\text{dist}_{\mathcal{O}}(x, y) \leq \text{dist}_{\text{Cone}(M)}(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dist}_{\mathcal{O}}(x, sx) \leq 1$$

for every  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $s \in S$ . We endow  $\mathcal{O}$  with the product measure  $\nu$  of  $m$  and the Lebesgue measure.

For  $t \geq 1$  we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_t$  the part of the warped cone  $\mathcal{O}$  that corresponds to  $M \times (t, \infty)$ .

In [62] it is shown that the warped metric from  $x$  to  $y$  is the infimum over all sums

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \text{dist}(g_i x_i, x_{i+1}) + |g_i|,$$

taken over finite sequences  $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = y$  in  $M$  and  $g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}$  in  $G$ . See Figure 1. Moreover, if  $\text{dist}(x, y)_{\mathcal{O}} \leq n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we can choose  $k \leq n + 1$ . Thus a warped cone is a metric space that interpolates between orbits of the action at  $t = 1$  and the group  $G$  with the word metric at  $t = \infty$ .

If  $\text{Cone}(M)$  has bounded geometry (e.g.  $M$  embeds into a finite-dimensional Euclidean space) (see [55, 61]) and  $G$  acts on  $\text{Cone}(M)$  by bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, then  $\mathcal{O}_G(M)$  has bounded geometry [62].

The following statement describes the relation of a ball in the warped metric to a ball in the Euclidean cone.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Assume that  $G$  acts on  $M$  by bi-Lipschitz maps. Then for each  $R > 0$  there exists  $T > 0$  such that every ball of radius  $R$  in the warped cone  $\mathcal{O}$ , with center an arbitrary point  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ , satisfies*

$$B_{\mathcal{O}}(x, R) \subseteq \bigcup_{|g| \leq R} B_{\text{Cone}(M)}(gx, T).$$

*Proof.* We use the definition of the warped metric as infimum of finite sums of the form described in (6.1). Consider the case  $g_0 = e$ . The other case is proved analogously and is omitted. We have  $x_1 \in B(x_0, R)$ . The next step in the chain is realized by  $g_1 x_1$ , for some group element  $g_1 \in B_G(e, R_1)$ , where in this case we set  $R_1 = R$ . Then  $x_2 \in X$  is such that the inequality

$$\text{dist}(x_2, g_1 x_1) \leq R_2 = R - \text{dist}(x_0, x_1) - |g_1|$$

is satisfied. Observe now that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(g_1 x_0, x_2) &\leq \text{dist}(g_1 x_0, g_1 x_1) + \text{dist}(g_1 x_1, x_2) \\ &\leq L_{g_1} \text{dist}(x_0, x_1) + R_2 \\ &\leq L_{g_1} R_1 + R_2, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $x_2 \in B(g_1 x_0, L_{g_1} R_1 + R_2)$ , where  $L_g$  denotes the Lipschitz constant of the transformation of  $M$  associated to  $g$ . Following these estimates for  $g_2$  and  $x_3$ , we observe that  $x_3 \in B(g_2 x_0, L_{g_2 g_1} R_1 + L_{g_2} R_2 + R_3)$ . In general, for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$  there exists  $T(g_i)$




Figure 1. A possible path realizing a distance  $\leq R$  in the warped metric. The length of this path is  $\text{dist}(x_0, x_1) + |g_1| + \text{dist}(g_1 x_1, x_2) + |g_2| \leq R$ .

such that  $x_{i+1} \in B_{\text{Cone}(M)}(g_i x, T(g_i))$ , where the radii  $T(g_i)$  depend on  $R$  and the Lipschitz constants of the transformations of  $M$  associated to  $g_i$ , but can be chosen independently of  $x$ . All possible choices for  $g_i$  have to satisfy  $|g_i| \leq R$ . Hence setting  $T = \sup\{T(g) : |g| \leq R\}$ , we obtain the claim.  $\square$

Note that if the action of  $G$  on  $M$  is isometric, then we can take  $T = R$  in Lemma 6.2.

**Lemma 6.3.** *For every  $R, \varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $t \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $\nu(B_{\mathcal{O}}(x, R)) \leq \varepsilon$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{O}_t$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T > 0$  and  $x = (y, s) \in \mathcal{O}_t$ . Every ball  $B_{\text{Cone}(M)}(x, T)$  is contained in a product  $B(y, r) \times [s - T, s + T]$ , where  $r$  can be chosen with an upper bound depending only on  $T$  and  $s$ . Then

$$\nu(B_{\text{Cone}(M)}(x, T)) \leq 2Tm(B(y, r)),$$

which tends to zero uniformly as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , by the upper uniformity of the measure  $m$ . By the previous lemma we also have

$$\nu(B_{\mathcal{O}}(x, R)) \leq \sum_{|g| \leq R} \nu(B_{\text{Cone}(M)}(gx, T)) \leq 2Tm(B(y, r))|B_G(e, R)|,$$

which again tends to zero uniformly when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $R$  and  $T$  are fixed.  $\square$

**6.2. Finite propagation operators on a warped cone.** Consider a locally compact metric measure space  $(X, \text{dist}, m)$ . An  $X$ -module is a (separable) Hilbert space  $H$  equipped with a representation of  $C_0(X)$ . An operator  $T \in B(H)$  has *finite propagation* if there exists  $S > 0$  such that for  $\phi, \psi \in C_0(X)$  satisfying  $\text{dist}(\text{supp } \phi, \text{supp } \psi) > S$  we have  $\phi T \psi = 0$ .

The space  $H = L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu)$  is equipped with the standard, faithful representation of  $C_0(\mathcal{O})$  by multiplication operators and thus naturally becomes an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module.

The action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}$  preserves the measure  $\nu$  and induces a unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on  $L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu) \simeq L_2(M \times (1, \infty))$  defined by  $\pi_g f(x, t) = f(g^{-1}x, t)$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** *For every element  $g \in G$  the operator  $\pi_g$  has bounded propagation on  $L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu)$ .*

*In particular, the Markov operator  $A_\pi^\mu$  has bounded propagation for any choice of a probability measure  $\mu$  supported on a finite generating set  $S$  of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\phi \pi_g \psi \neq 0$  for all elements  $\phi, \psi \in C_0(\mathcal{O})$ . This is possible only if  $\text{supp } \phi \cap g^{-1}(\text{supp } \psi) \neq \emptyset$ . This, however, implies that  $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{supp } \phi, \text{supp } \psi) \leq |g|$ .  $\square$

**6.3. Non-compact ghost projections.** The notion of ghost operator was introduced by G. Yu (unpublished).

**Definition 6.5.** Given a metric measure space  $(X, \text{dist}, \nu)$ , an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(L_2(X, \nu))$  is a *ghost* if for every  $R, \varepsilon > 0$  there exists a bounded set  $B \subseteq X$  such that for  $\psi \in L_2(X, \nu)$  satisfying  $\|\psi\| = 1$  and  $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq B(x, R)$  for some  $x \in X \setminus B$  we have  $\|T\psi\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Ghost operators are operators that are *locally invisible at infinity* [17]. Such operators are intrinsically connected to large scale geometric features of the space [17, 18, 63]. Non-compact ghost projections are central objects in the context of the Baum–Connes conjecture, see below. We refer to [34, 35, 61, 71] for a detailed discussion.

Define  $\mathfrak{G} : L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu) \rightarrow L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu)$  by setting

$$\mathfrak{G} f(x, t) = \int_{M \times \{t\}} f(y, t) dm(y)$$

for every  $(x, t) \in M \times (1, \infty)$ . The map  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace  $V$  composed of functions that are constant on  $M \times \{t\}$  for every  $t \in (1, \infty)$ . The subspace  $V$  is a copy of  $L_2(1, \infty)$  embedded in  $L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu)$ .

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let  $(M, \text{dist}, m)$  be a compact metric space endowed with a probability measure, and let  $G$  be a finitely generated group acting on  $M$  ergodically by bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms preserving the measure. If the action of  $G$  on  $M$  has a spectral gap, then  $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu))$  is a non-compact ghost projection that is a norm limit of finite propagation operators.*

*Proof.* The projection  $\mathfrak{G}$  is not compact since its range is infinite-dimensional. The action has a spectral gap therefore, by Theorems 1.1 and 3.6, for every probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  admissible with respect to a finite generating set and of density function  $\rho$ , there exists  $\lambda < 1$  such that the following holds. For every  $t > 1$  we have

$$\|\pi(\rho^k) f|_{M \times \{t\}} - \mathfrak{G} f(\cdot, t)\|_{L_2(M, m)}^2 \leq \lambda^{2k} \|f|_{M \times \{t\}}\|_{L_2(M, m)}^2.$$

Using Fubini's theorem, we conclude that

$$\|\pi(\rho^k) - \mathfrak{G}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu))} \leq \lambda^k,$$

where  $\pi(\rho^k) = (A_\pi^\mu)^k$  has finite propagation by Proposition 6.4.

It remains to show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a ghost. Let  $R, \varepsilon > 0$ . For every  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $\nu(B_{\mathcal{O}}(p, R)) \leq \delta$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{O}_t$ , by Lemma 6.3. Consider  $f \in L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu)$  such that  $\text{supp } f \subseteq B(p, R)$  for some  $p \in \mathcal{O}_t$ . For every  $s \in (t, \infty)$  the projection  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}f(x, s)^2 &= \left( \int_{M \times \{s\}} f(y, s) \, dm(y) \right)^2 \\ &= m(\text{supp } f \cap (M \times \{s\}))^2 \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{1}{m(\text{supp } f \cap (M \times \{s\}))} \int_{\text{supp } f \cap M \times \{s\}} f(y, s) \, dm(y) \right)^2 \\ &\leq m(\text{supp } f \cap (M \times \{s\}))^2 \int_{\text{supp } f \cap M \times \{s\}} f(y, s)^2 \, dm(y) \\ &\leq m(\text{supp } f \cap (M \times \{s\}))^2. \end{aligned}$$

The above implies, by integration and Fubini's theorem, that

$$\|\mathcal{G}f\|^2 \leq \nu(\text{supp } f)^2 \leq \nu(B_{\mathcal{O}}(p, R))^2 \leq \delta^2,$$

as desired.  $\square$

We point out that although Theorem 6.6 is formulated for Hilbert spaces, the same proof gives a straightforward stronger version. Namely, provided that the action on the Bochner space  $L_2(M, m; E)$  has a spectral gap we obtain that the projection from  $L_2(\mathcal{O}, \nu; E)$  onto  $L_2([1, \infty); E)$  is a non-compact ghost projection which is a limit of finite propagation operators. At present, however, ghost projections on non-Hilbert spaces do not have applications similar to the ones on Hilbert spaces. We also point out that very likely, the construction admits a generalization to a foliated versions of the warped cone [59].

There are many group actions to which the above theorem applies, in particular actions on compact groups of finitely generated (free) subgroups. This latter type of constructions were motivated by the Ruziewicz problem (see [10, 11] and references therein). Thus, Theorem 6.6 applies for instance to certain warped cones  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_n}(\text{SU}(2))$ , where the free group  $\mathbb{F}_n$  is a subgroup of  $\text{SU}(2)$  generated by elements of a specific type [10].

**6.4. The coarse Baum–Connes conjecture.** Consider now an  $X$ -module  $H$ , which is ample; that is, no non-zero element of  $C_0(X)$  acts on  $H$  as a compact operator. The Roe algebra  $C^*(X)$  of a space  $X$  is the closure of locally compact finite propagation operators on  $H$ . An operator  $T$  on an  $X$ -module  $H$  is *locally compact* if for every  $f \in C_0(X)$  the operators  $fT$  and  $Tf$  are compact. Recall that the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture predicts that for a metric space  $X$  of bounded geometry, the coarse assembly map

$$(6.2) \quad \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} K_*(P_d(X)) \rightarrow K_*(C^*(X)),$$

from the coarse  $K$ -homology of  $X$  to the  $K$ -theory of the Roe algebra, is an isomorphism. Above,  $P_d(X)$  is the Rips complex at scale  $d \geq 0$ . If true for a finitely generated group  $G$  with a finite classifying space, the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture implies the Novikov conjecture on the homotopy invariance of higher signatures via a descent principle, see [60, Theorem 8.4].

Counterexamples to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture were constructed in [34, 35]. It was proved there that the coarse assembly map is not surjective for  $X$  a coarse disjoint

union of expanders obtained as quotients of an exact group with property (T). The reason is the existence of a non-compact ghost projection  $\mathcal{G}$  which is a limit of finite propagation operators. The  $K$ -theory class represented by  $\mathcal{G} \otimes p$  in  $K_*(C^*(X))$ , where  $p$  is a rank one projection, is not in the image of the coarse assembly map. At present such expanders provide the only known bounded geometry counterexamples to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture.

Let  $G, M$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 6.6.

**Conjecture 6.7.** The coarse assembly map given in (6.2) is not surjective for the warped cone  $X = \mathcal{O}_G M$ .

## References

- [1] C. A. Akemann and M. E. Walter, Unbounded negative definite functions, *Canad. J. Math.* **33** (1981), no. 4, 862–871.
- [2] U. Bader, A. Furman, T. Gelander and N. Monod, Property (T) and rigidity for actions on Banach spaces, *Acta Math.* **198** (2007), no. 1, 57–105.
- [3] U. Bader and P. W. Nowak, Cohomology of deformations, *J. Topol. Anal.* **7** (2015), no. 1, 81–104.
- [4] U. Bader, C. Rosenthal and R. Sauer, On the cohomology of weakly almost periodic group representations, *J. Topol. Anal.* **6** (2014), no. 2, 153–165.
- [5] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe and A. Valette, Kazhdan’s property (T), *New Math. Monogr.* **11**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2008.
- [6] B. Bekka and B. Olivier, On groups with property  $(T_{\ell_p})$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014), no. 3, 643–659.
- [7] V. Bentkus and F. Götze, Lattice point problems and distribution of values of quadratic forms, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **150** (1999), no. 3, 977–1027.
- [8] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, Geometric nonlinear functional analysis. Vol. 1, *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.* **48**, American Mathematical Society, Providence 2000.
- [9] M. Bourdon and H. Pajot, Cohomologie  $l_p$  et espaces de Besov, *J. reine angew. Math.* **558** (2003), 85–108.
- [10] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, On the spectral gap for finitely-generated subgroups of  $SU(2)$ , *Invent. Math.* **171** (2008), no. 1, 83–121.
- [11] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, A spectral gap theorem in  $SU(d)$ , *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **14** (2012), no. 5, 1455–1511.
- [12] J. Bourgain and P. Varjú, Expansion in  $SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $q$  arbitrary, *Invent. Math.* **188** (2012), no. 1, 151–173.
- [13] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer, Berlin 1999.
- [14] N. P. Brown, Kazhdan’s property T and  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **240** (2006), no. 1, 290–296.
- [15] M. Burger, Kazhdan constants for  $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ , *J. reine angew. Math.* **413** (1991), 36–67.
- [16] I. Chatterji, C. Druţu and F. Haglund, Kazhdan and Haagerup properties from the median viewpoint, *Adv. Math.* **225** (2010), no. 2, 882–921.
- [17] X. Chen and Q. Wang, Ideal structure of uniform Roe algebras of coarse spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **216** (2004), no. 1, 191–211.
- [18] X. Chen and Q. Wang, Ghost ideals in uniform Roe algebras of coarse spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **84** (2005), no. 6, 519–526.
- [19] F. Chong, E-theory for  $L^p$ -algebras and the dual Novikov conjecture, Ph.D. thesis, Vanderbilt University 2014.
- [20] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego 1994.
- [21] J.-P. Conze and Y. Guivarc’h, Ergodicity of group actions and spectral gap, applications to random walks and Markov shifts, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **33** (2013), no. 9, 4239–4269.
- [22] H. Davenport and D. J. Lewis, Gaps between values of positive definite quadratic forms, *Acta Arith.* **22** (1972), 87–105.
- [23] P. de la Harpe, A. G. Robertson and A. Valette, On the spectrum of the sum of generators for a finitely generated group, *Israel J. Math.* **81** (1993), no. 1–2, 65–96.
- [24] P. de la Harpe and A. Valette, La propriété (T) de Kazhdan pour les groupes localement compacts (avec un appendice de Marc Burger), *Astérisque* **75**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris 1989.
- [25] T. de Laat and M. de la Salle, Approximation properties for noncommutative  $L^p$ -spaces of high rank lattices and nonembeddability of expanders, *J. reine angew. Math.* (2015), DOI 10.1515/crelle-2015-0043.

- [26] *M. de la Salle*, Towards strong Banach property (T) for  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ , *Israel J. Math.* **211** (2016), no. 1, 105–145.
- [27] *C. Druţu*, Diophantine approximation on rational quadrics, *Math. Ann.* **333** (2005), 405–469.
- [28] *C. Druţu*, Transference principles and locally symmetric spaces, *Sémin. Congr.* **19**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris 2009.
- [29] *C. Druţu* and *J. Mackay*, Random groups, random graphs and eigenvalues of  $p$ -Laplacians, preprint 2016, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.04130>.
- [30] *D. Fisher* and *G. Margulis*, Almost isometric actions, property (T), and local rigidity, *Invent. Math.* **162** (2005), no. 1, 19–80.
- [31] *E. Gardella* and *H. Thiel*, Group algebras acting on  $L^p$ -spaces, preprint 2014, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.6136>.
- [32] *F. Götze*, Lattice point problems and values of quadratic forms, *Invent. Math.* **157** (2004), no. 1, 195–226.
- [33] *Y. Guivarc’h*, Sur la loi des grands nombres et le rayon spectral d’une marche aléatoire, *Astérisque* **74** (1980), 47–98.
- [34] *N. Higson*, Counterexamples to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture, preprint 1999.
- [35] *N. Higson*, *V. Lafforgue* and *G. Skandalis*, Counterexamples to the Baum–Connes conjecture, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **12** (2002), no. 2, 330–354.
- [36] *N. Higson* and *J. Roe*, *Analytic K-homology*, *Oxford Math. Monogr.*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2000.
- [37] *S. Hoory*, *N. Linial* and *W. Wigderson*, Expander graphs and their applications, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **43** (2006), no. 4, 439–561.
- [38] *G. Kasparov*, On the  $L^p$  Novikov and Baum–Connes conjectures, preprint, <https://www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~kida/conf/ask2013/Kasparov.pdf>.
- [39] *A. Katok* and *B. Hasselblatt*, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.* **54**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995.
- [40] *H. Kesten*, Full Banach mean values on countable groups, *Math. Scand.* **7** (1959), 146–156.
- [41] *D. Kleinbock* and *G. A. Margulis*, Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces, *Invent. Math.* **138** (1999), 451–494.
- [42] *S. Kochen* and *C. Stone*, A note on the Borel Cantelli lemma, *Illinois J. Math.* **8** (1964), 248–251.
- [43] *V. Lafforgue*, Un renforcement de la propriété (T), *Duke Math. J.* **143** (2008), no. 3, 559–602.
- [44] *V. Lafforgue*, Propriété (T) renforcée banachique et transformation de Fourier rapide, *J. Topol. Anal.* **1** (2009), no. 3, 191–206.
- [45] *V. Lafforgue*, Propriété (T) renforcée et conjecture de Baum–Connes, in: *Conference on non-commutative geometry in honor of Alain Connes (Paris 2007)*, *Clay Math. Proc.* **11**, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2010), 323–345.
- [46] *B. Liao*, Strong Banach property (T) for simple algebraic groups of higher rank, *J. Topol. Anal.* **6** (2014), no. 1, 75–105.
- [47] *A. Lubotzky*, *Discrete groups, expanding graphs and invariant measures*, *Progr. Math.* **125**, Birkhäuser, Basel 1994.
- [48] *A. Lubotzky*, What is ... property ( $\tau$ )?, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (2005), no. 6, 626–627.
- [49] *A. Lubotzky* and *A. Zuk*, On property ( $\tau$ ), preprint 2003, <http://www.ma.huji.ac.il/~alexlub/BOOKS/On%20property/>.
- [50] *F. Maucourant*, Dynamical Borel–Cantelli lemma for hyperbolic spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **152** (2006), 143–155.
- [51] *A. Nevo*, Pointwise ergodic theorems for actions of groups, in: *Handbook of dynamical systems. Volume 1B*, Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006), 871–982.
- [52] *B. Nica*, Proper isometric actions of hyperbolic groups on  $L^p$ -spaces, *Compos. Math.* **149** (2013), no. 5, 773–792.
- [53] *P. W. Nowak*, Group actions on Banach spaces, in: *Handbook of group actions*, *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)* **32**, International Press, Somerville (2015), 121–149.
- [54] *P. W. Nowak*, Poincaré inequalities and rigidity for actions on Banach spaces, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **17** (2015), no. 3, 689–709.
- [55] *P. W. Nowak* and *G. Yu*, *Large scale geometry*, *EMS Textbk. Math.*, European Mathematical Society, Zürich 2012.
- [56] *V. I. Oseledets*, Markov chains, skew products and ergodic theorems for “general” dynamic systems, *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.* **10** (1965), 551–557.
- [57] *N. C. Phillips*, Open problems related to operator algebras on  $L^p$ -spaces, preprint 2014, <http://www.math.ksu.edu/events/conference/gpots2014/LpOpAlgQuestions.pdf>.
- [58] *M. Puschnigg*, The Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients for word-hyperbolic groups (after Vincent Lafforgue), in: *Séminaire Bourbaki. Volume 2012/2013. Exposés 1059–1073*, *Astérisque* **361**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris (2014), Exp. No. 1062, 115–148.

- [59] *J. Roe*, From foliations to coarse geometry and back, in: Analysis and geometry in foliated manifolds (Santiago de Compostela 1994), World Science Publisher, River Edge (1995), 195–205.
- [60] *J. Roe*, Index theory, coarse geometry, and topology of manifolds, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. **90**, American Mathematical Society, Providence 1996.
- [61] *J. Roe*, Lectures on coarse geometry, Univ. Lecture Ser. **31**, American Mathematical Society, Providence 2003.
- [62] *J. Roe*, Warped cones and property A, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 163–178.
- [63] *J. Roe* and *R. Willett*, Ghostbusting and property A, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 3, 1674–1684.
- [64] *Y. Shalom*, Expander graphs and amenable quotients, in: Emerging applications of number theory (Minneapolis 1996), IMA Vol. Math. Appl. **109**, Springer, New York (1999), 571–581.
- [65] *Y. Shalom*, Invariant measures for algebraic actions, Zariski dense subgroups and Kazhdan’s property (T), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 8, 3387–3412.
- [66] *Y. Shalom*, Explicit Kazhdan constants for representations of semisimple and arithmetic groups, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **50** (2000), no. 3, 833–863.
- [67] *V. Sprindzuk*, Metric theory of Diophantine approximations, John Wiley & Sons, New York 1979.
- [68] *D. Sullivan*, Disjoint spheres, approximation by imaginary quadratic numbers, and the logarithm law for geodesics, Acta Math. **149** (1982), 215–237.
- [69] *A. Valette*, Minimal projections, integrable representations and property (T), Arch. Math. (Basel) **43** (1984), no. 5, 397–406.
- [70] *J. H. Wells* and *L. R. Williams*, Embeddings and extensions in analysis, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) **84**, Springer, New York 1975.
- [71] *R. Willett* and *G. Yu*, Higher index theory for certain expanders and gromov monster groups. I, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 3, 1380–1416.
- [72] *G. Yu*, Hyperbolic groups admit proper affine isometric actions on  $l^p$ -spaces, Geom. Funct. Anal. **15** (2005), no. 5, 1144–1151.
- [73] *R. J. Zimmer*, Ergodic theory and semisimple groups, Monogr. Math. **81**, Birkhäuser, Basel 1984.
- [74] *Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach*, Mini workshop: Geometrization of property (T), Report Number 29/2001, Oberwolfach, 2001.

---

Cornelia Druţu, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, United Kingdom  
e-mail: cornelia.drutu@maths.ox.ac.uk

Piotr W. Nowak, Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland;  
and Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, Poland  
e-mail: pnowak@impan.pl

Eingegangen 27. Oktober 2015, in revidierter Fassung 24. Dezember 2016