



Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Molecular Structure from a Single NMR Supersequence

Ēriks Kupče^a and Tim D. W. Claridge^{*b}Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A new NOAH supersequence (NMR by Ordered Acquisition using ¹H-detection) is introduced that allows fast structure elucidation of organic molecules from a single measurement. The application of the proposed NOAH-3 BSC experiment, which combines two new modules (ZZ-HMBC (B) and ASAP-COSY (C)) with multiplicity-edited HSQC (S), is exemplified here through its use in computer-assisted structure elucidation.

The use of optimized approaches for the time-efficient collection of NMR data plays an increasingly important role in the routine characterization of molecular structures. These may include the use of higher sensitivity cryogenic probes,¹ or modified approaches to data collection,² and may come to exploit more recent developments in instrument design, such as parallel acquisition using multiple receivers.^{3, 4} It has been demonstrated that the structure of small organic molecules can be established from a single NMR measurement called PANACEA,^{5, 6} (akin to single measurement procedures such as X-ray crystallography). However, the PANACEA experiment is based on the ¹³C-¹³C INADEQUATE pulse sequence that requires high sample concentration and/or long acquisition times.⁷⁻⁹ Recently, we described a new class of NMR experiments, the NOAH (NMR by Ordered Acquisition using ¹H-detection) supersequences, that are based on ¹H detection and allow recording of several two-dimensional (2D) spectra with high sensitivity in a single measurement using conventional hardware.¹⁰ These NMR supersequences (i.e. nested sequences of pulse sequences, extending the original COSY-NOESY combination^{11, 12}) offer significant time savings as compared to the conventional way of recording NMR spectra due to the fact that several NMR experiments are recorded whilst employing only a single recovery period. They may be distinguished from time-shared experiments^{13, 14} which collect

similar types of spectra in parallel for different nuclei, typically ¹³C and ¹⁵N. Here we describe new NMR supersequences (NOAH-3 BSC and NOAH-4 BSCN) that are specifically designed to allow elucidation of molecular structure from a single measurement in diluted spin systems, typically organic molecules at natural isotopic abundance. We also introduce two new NMR experiments and NOAH modules, the ASAP-COSY and ZZ-HMBC. In addition to the significant time savings provided by the NOAH approach, recording the required spectra in a single measurement benefits also from the fact that all the spectra are recorded under identical conditions and in an identical experimental environment, which may prove especially beneficial when used in automated, computer-assisted structure elucidation (CASE) protocols.¹⁵⁻¹⁷

In the vast majority of cases the structures of small organic molecules can be established from three basic 2D NMR experiments¹⁸⁻²¹ – HSQC,²² HMBC²³ and COSY.²⁴ Usually, these spectra are recorded as separate experiments that start with recovery delay, *d*₁, which is by far the longest event in such experiments. These spectra can be obtained significantly faster by combining these experiments into a single NOAH NMR supersequence that requires only one recovery delay, *d*₁, thus offering significant time savings over the conventional approach. The NOAH supersequences are constructed by linking individual, specially adapted NMR sequences (NOAH modules) according to the domino principle – the tailored output of one module serves as an input of the following module (Figure 1).¹⁰ For instance, the HSQC, HMBC and COSY modules can be linked into a NOAH-3 supersequence called SBC (Figure 1a).

Unfortunately the SBC type supersequences suffer from some drawbacks. Most notably, the bulk magnetization, *M* following the HMBC module is left in the XY plane and is subjected to transverse relaxation (*T*₂) effects. Generally the *T*₂ relaxation can be quite different for individual peaks across the ¹H spectra of molecule(s) of interest. This produces differential attenuation of the cross peaks in the 2D COSY spectra. The effect is exacerbated in the NOAH-3 SBC experiment and in extreme cases may lead to a loss of information (cross-peaks).

^a Bruker UK Ltd., Banner Lane, Coventry, CV4 9GH, UK.^b Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Chemistry Research Laboratory, Mansfield Road, Oxford, OX1 3TA, UK.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Details of ASAP-COSY; details of NOAH-4 BSCN; CMCse CASE details and reports for gibberellic acid and 1,2:3,4-O-isopropylidene-α-D-galactopyranose; NOAH pulse programmes (PDF)]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

A less detrimental, but still significant problem is evolution of the J_{HH} couplings during the HMBC module which tends to enhance long-range H-H correlations seen in COSY.²⁵ Finally,




Fig. 1 A modular representation of the NOAH supersequences a) NOAH-3 SBC b) NOAH-2 BS, c) NOAH-3 BSC and d) NOAH-4 BSCN; one letter abbreviations are used for HSQC (S), HMBC (B), COSY (C) and NOESY (N) modules. The stored magnetization of the preceding module is used as input magnetization of the following module and is shown above the arrows, the inherited phase, ϕ is shown below the arrows, M_z^* represents t_1 -encoded M_z magnetization; partially recovered magnetization is shown in parenthesis.

the J_{HH} evolution during the t_1 -evolution period in the HMBC module tends to widen the multiplet structure in the $F1$ domain of the COSY spectra.

The drawbacks of the NOAH-3 SBC experiment can be circumvented by swapping around the HSQC and HMBC modules (Figure 1b). Placing the less sensitive HMBC module before the HSQC module provides also a better balanced experiment. To achieve this we begin the HMBC experiment with an 'external' (preparatory) ZZ filter designed to store the H_z+C_z magnetization of the $^1J_{CH}$ coupled protons along the $+Z$ axis during most of the HMBC pulse sequence, except for a short period of time during the second half of the t_1 -evolution period when this magnetization is aligned with the $-Z$ axis, after which it is returned to $+Z$ by an additional proton inversion pulse (Figure 2a). Therefore, following the last proton 90 degree pulse at the end of the $^1J_{CH}$ filter, the bulk proton magnetization (including long-range $^nJ_{CH}$ coupled magnetization) is aligned with the X axis while the H_z+C_z magnetization due to the one-bond $^1J_{CH}$ couplings is aligned with the $+Z$ axis. This is followed by the usual long-range $^nJ_{CH}$ ($n>1$) evolution period, δ , complemented with the conventional $^1J_{CH}$ filter for enhanced suppression of the one-bond C-H correlations. We call this module ZZ-HMBC to emphasize that the H_z+C_z magnetization due to the one-bond $^1J_{CH}$ couplings at the output of the ZZ-HMBC module has been preserved (that is, aligned with the $+Z$ axis). The NOAH-2 BS supersequence consisting of the ZZ-HMBC (B) and HSQC (S) modules is schematically shown in Figure 1b and in full in Figure 2a. The sensitivity comparison and the quality of the 2D HMBC and 2D HSQC spectra recorded using the NOAH-2 BS supersequence are shown in Figure 2b.

This two-module BS combination forms the basis of the NOAH-3 BSC experiment. While the bulk magnetization is essentially

destroyed at the end of the ZZ-HMBC module, some of that magnetization recovers during the HMBC acquisition time, t_2 . This partially recovered M_z magnetization is then preserved by the HSQC module and recovers further during the HSQC acquisition period, t_2' . Thus, it can be utilized to record the 2D H-H COSY spectrum. Even though the 1H magnetization is only partially recovered, the observed signal is still by far the strongest when compared to that in the HMBC and HSQC modules that use only 1% of the total 1H magnetization.




Fig. 2 The NOAH-2 BS experiment for nested acquisition of 2D HMBC and HSQC spectra where X represents a dilute spin, typically ^{13}C , but may also apply to other dilute isotopes such as ^{15}N , ^{29}Si and similar. (a) the pulse sequence showing the ZZ-HMBC (B) and HSQC (S) modules; the filled bars denote 90 degree pulses whereas the hollow rectangles on 1H and X channels denote 180 degree pulses, the dotted rectangle in the HSQC module is an optional 180 degree pulse to provide multiplicity edited HSQC spectra. (b) the spectra of pamoic acid (inset) in $DMSO-d_6$ recorded with the NOAH-2 BS supersequence. For these data, all ^{13}C 180 degree pulses are adiabatic CA WURST-20 pulses; g_0 are spoiler gradients of arbitrary intensities, g_1 and g_2 are coherence selection gradients applied with a ratio of 2:1; delays $\Delta = 0.25/{}^1J_{CH}$, $\delta = 2\Delta$, $\delta' = 0.5/{}^1J_{CH}$. The sign of the g_2 gradients is inverted in alternate increments for echo-anti-echo encoding; all pulses are applied with phase x unless indicated otherwise; $\phi_1 = x$, $-x$; $\phi_2 = x$, x , $-x$, $-x$; $rec = x$, $-x$, $-x$, x .

As noted above, in the NOAH-3 SBC experiment (Figure 1a) the intensity of the magnetization used to generate the COSY spectrum is influenced by transverse T_2 relaxation throughout the HMBC module. In the NOAH-3 BSC experiment (Fig. 1c) the partially recovered magnetization (M_z) employed for COSY is also subject to differences in the relaxation rates of individual protons, except in this case it is determined by the longitudinal T_1 relaxation. In order to reduce the variations in crosspeak intensity across the COSY spectrum due to the differential T_1 relaxation, a short spinlock may be applied in analogy with the heteronuclear ASAP (Acceleration by Sharing Adjacent Polarization) experiments (Figure 3a).^{26, 27} Accordingly, we call this module ASAP-COSY. Here we use an adiabatic CA WURST-2 mixing sequence for spinlock because of its tolerance to the

magnetic and RF field inhomogeneities and mis-calibration, and due to its clean mixing performance,^{28, 29} but other mixing sequences can also be used.




Fig. 3 The NOAH-3 BSC experiment for structure elucidation of small molecules; (a) the NOAH-3 BSC supersequence showing the ZZ-HMBC (B), HSQC (S) and ASAP-COSY (C) modules; *SL* represents a short spinlock of 40–60 ms, gradients $g3:g4 = 1:1$; phase $rec'' = x, -x$; for other experimental details see caption to Figure 2; (b)–(d) the NOAH-3 BSC spectra recorded on a 700 MHz (1H) Bruker AVANCE III NMR spectrometer equipped with the TCI cryoprobe. The data matrix size was $2k \times 1536$ data points (512 t_1 points per module) recorded with one scan per increment, recovery delay $d_1 = 1.5$ s; (b) 2D 1H COSY, (c) multiplicity-edited 2D 1H - ^{13}C HSQC and (d) 2D 1H - ^{13}C HMBC spectra all recorded in the same experiment; The sample is 10 mg of gibberellic acid in 500 μ l of acetone- d_6 ; (e) the highest ranked structure of the molecule generated by the Bruker CMCse structure elucidation software based on spectra (b) – (d). A detailed CMCse report is provided in the Supporting Information.

This mixing has two important consequences. Firstly, it reduces the peak intensity differences due to the variation of the T_1 relaxation rates leading to more uniform cross-peak intensities in the COSY spectra (see discussions in SI and Figure S1). Secondly, besides the intensity balancing effect the short spinlock period also dramatically reduces the fast pulsing artifacts (Figures S2 and S3). This rather surprising effect has been observed also in heteronuclear ASAP experiments.^{26, 27}

The ASAP-COSY module is simply appended to the NOAH-2 BS experiment to yield the NOAH-3 BSC supersequence as shown in detail in Figure 3a. This new supersequence provides high quality 2D 1H - ^{13}C HMBC, multiplicity-edited 1H - ^{13}C HSQC and

1H - 1H COSY spectra (see Figures 3b–3d), in a considerably shorter period of time (*ca* 20 min) as compared to the conventional way of recording the same spectra (*ca* 46 min), that can be used for routine structure elucidation of small organic molecules. Here we provide two such examples employing computer-assisted structure elucidation with the CMCse software package.^{30, 31} Minor NOAH data preparation steps that are required for the CMCse analysis are described in the SI, but no changes to the usual workflow for structure elucidation were necessary.

In the first example, the structure of gibberellic acid is solved correctly from the NOAH-3 BSC data (Figure 3e). The second example (Figure 4) shows the structure of 1,2:3,4-O-isopropylidene- α -D-galactopyranose determined correctly from the spectra recorded using the NOAH-4 BSCN supersequence that is obtained by appending the NOESY module to the NOAH-3 BSC supersequence (Figures 1d, and see SI and Figure S4). The NOESY module provides additional, stereospecific spatial information about the orientation of the four Me-groups in the molecule that is not available from other data e.g. the chemical shifts or $^3J_{HH}$ couplings. The NOAH-4 BSCN experiment was recorded in just 26 minutes as




Fig. 4 NOAH-4 BSCN spectra recorded at 700 MHz (1H) on a Bruker AVANCE III NMR spectrometer equipped with the TCI cryoprobe. The data matrix size was $2k \times 2k$ data points (512 t_1 points per module) recorded with one scan per increment, recovery delay $d_1 = 1.5$ s, the pulse sequence and other experimental details are provided in the Supporting Information; the sample is 10 mg of 1,2:3,4-O-isopropylidene- α -D-galactopyranose in 500 μ l of DMSO- d_6 ; (a) 2D overlaid COSY (magnitude, in black) and 2D NOESY (positive peaks in blue and negative peaks in red) spectra; the positive (blue) peaks marked by 'x' are due to exchange between the CH_2OH group and H_2O in the sample; the negative (red) NOESY peaks indicating spatial proximity between protons in positions 3,6 and 11; 4,5 and 9; 7 and 10 are consistent with the structure of the molecule; (b) multiplicity-edited 2D 1H - ^{13}C HSQC and (c) 2D 1H - ^{13}C HMBC spectra recorded in the same experiment; (d) the highest ranked structure of the molecule generated by the CMCse software based on spectra (a) – (c).

compared to *ca* 70 minutes required to record the data of similar resolution with the conventional pulse sequences.

To conclude, we have presented two new NOAH supersequences optimized for structure elucidation of small organic molecules from a single measurement. The new supersequences are based on two new NOAH modules – ASAP-COSY and ZZ-HMBC. These two modules represent new pulse sequences that can be used also as standalone experiments. It should be noted that the ASAP technique demonstrated here for the COSY module may also be applicable to other homonuclear 2D modules, such as DQF COSY and NOESY. Most importantly, we have demonstrated the practical applications of new NOAH experiments for routine and fast structure elucidation of molecules at natural isotopic abundance.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

We would like to thank Dr. Till Kühn and Dr. Pavel Kessler for help with the CMCse software. All new pulse programmes discussed in this work are provided in the Supporting Information and will also be available from the Bruker online User Library or alternatively by request from the authors.

- H. Kovacs, D. Moskau and M. Spraul, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 2005, **46**, 131-155.
- M. Mobli and J. C. Hoch, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 2014, **83**, 21-41.
- Ě. Kupče, in *Modern NMR Methodology*, eds. H. Heise and S. Matthews, Springer, Berlin, 2013, vol. 335, ch. 226, pp. 71-96.
- H. Kovacs and Ě. Kupče, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2016, **54**, 544-560.
- Ě. Kupče and R. Freeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10788-10792.
- Ě. Kupče and R. Freeman, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 2010, **206**, 147-153.
- A. Bax, R. Freeman and S. P. Kempsell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 4849-4851.
- A. Bax, R. Freeman and S. P. Kempsell, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1980, **41**, 349-353.
- A. Bax, R. Freeman, T. A. Frenkiel and M. H. Levitt, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1981, **43**, 478-483.
- Ě. Kupče and T. D. W. Claridge, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 11779-11783.
- A. Z. Gurevich, I. L. Barsukov, A. S. Arseniev and V. F. Bystrov, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1984, **56**, 471-478.
- C. A. G. Haasnoot, F. J. M. V. d. Ven and C. W. Hilbers, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1984, **56**, 343-349.
- P. Nolis, M. Pérez-Trujillo and T. Parella, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7495-7497.
- T. Parella and P. Nolis, *Concept. Magn. Reson. A*, 2010, **36A**, 1-23.
- M. E. Elyashberg, A. J. Williams and G. E. Martin, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 2008, **53**, 1-104.
- J.-M. Nuzillard, in *eMagRes*, 2014, DOI: doi:10.1002/9780470034590.emrstm1384.
- A. V. Buevich and M. E. Elyashberg, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2016, **79**, 3105-3116.
- T. D. W. Claridge, *High-Resolution NMR Techniques in Organic Chemistry*, Elsevier, Boston, 3rd edn., 2016.
- W. F. Reynolds and R. G. Enriquez, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2002, **65**, 221-244.
- R. C. Breton and W. F. Reynolds, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2013, **30**, 501-524.
- M. Elyashberg, *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 2015, **69**, 88-97.
- G. Bodenhausen and D. J. Ruben, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1980, **69**, 185-189.
- A. Bax and M. F. Summers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 2093-2094.
- J. Jeener, Ampère International Summer School, Basko Polje, 1971.
- A. Bax and R. Freeman, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1981, **44**, 542-561.
- Ě. Kupče and R. Freeman, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2007, **45**, 2-4.
- D. Schulze-Sünninghausen, J. Becker and B. Luy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 1242-1245.
- Ě. Kupče, P. Schmidt, M. Rance and G. Wagner, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1998, **135**, 361-367.
- Ě. Kupče and W. Hiller, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2001, **39**, 231-235.
- Complete Molecular Confidence- Structure Elucidation, Bruker BioSpin GmbH, 2018.
- P. Kessler and M. Godejohann, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2018, in press, doi:10.1002/mrc.4712.