

Supporting information

Table S1: Summary of seedling abundance and size in each treatment in 2014.

Table S2: Summary of sapling and tree abundance and size in each treatment in 2014.

Table S3: ANOVA of liana density and canopy openness.

Table S4: ANOVA of seedling RGR for first and second cut.

Table S5: ANOVA of saplings and trees RGR.

Table S6: ANOVA of seedling survival after second cutting.

Table S7: Summary of liana densities per hectare.

Positive effects of liana cutting on seedlings are reduced during El Niño-induced drought

Michael J. O'Brien^{1,2,3}, Christopher D. Philipson⁴, Glen Reynolds³, Dzaeman Dzulkipli⁵, Jake L. Snaddon⁶, Robert Ong⁷ and Andy Hector⁸

¹*Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zürich (Swiss Federal Institute of Technology), Universitätstrasse 16, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland*

²*URPP Global Change and Biodiversity, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland*

³*Southeast Asia Rainforest Research Partnership (SEARRP), Unit S10-S12, 1st Floor, The Peak Vista, Block B, Lorang Punjak 1, Tanjung Lipat, Kota Kinabalu, 88400, Malaysia*

⁴*Ecosystem Management, Institute of Terrestrial Ecosystems, ETH Zürich, Universitätstrasse 16, Zurich 8092, Switzerland*

⁵*Tropical Rainforest Conservation & Research Centre, Jalan 7/71B, Pinggiran Taman Tun Dr Ismail, 60000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*

⁶*School of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom*

⁷*Forest Research Centre, Sepilok, 90715 Sandakan, Sabah, Malaysia*

⁸*Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, OX1 3RB United Kingdom*

**Corresponding author e-mail: mikey.j.obrien@gmail.com*

Table S1. The summary table of species abundance and size (diameter at base in mm) for all three treatment levels before the second cut in 2014 (n = 6, 6 and 10 plots for control, once-cut and twice-cut, respectively).

	Abundance				Diameter (se)		
	Control	Once-cut	Twice-cut		Control	Once-cut	Twice-cut
<i>Shorea argentifolia</i>	12	5	19	<i>Shorea argentifolia</i>	12.4 (2.5)	18.5 (13.0)	23 (6.7)
<i>Shorea beccariana</i>	9	22	16	<i>Shorea beccariana</i>	19.3 (8.2)	13.5 (2.9)	25.4 (9.5)
<i>Dipterocarpus conformis</i>	4	4	5	<i>Dipterocarpus conformis</i>	20 (3.7)	33.1 (16.0)	20 (3.1)
<i>Shorea faguetiana</i>	12	20	6	<i>Shorea faguetiana</i>	9.2 (2.5)	7.5 (1.8)	9.8 (2.2)
<i>Hopea ferruginea</i>	22	21	30	<i>Hopea ferruginea</i>	11.4 (3.3)	6.8 (0.7)	9.4 (1.4)
<i>Shorea gibbosa</i>	7	7	15	<i>Shorea gibbosa</i>	14.7 (7.6)	16.6 (8.0)	7.9 (1.0)
<i>Shorea johorensis</i>	26	24	41	<i>Shorea johorensis</i>	18.6 (3.0)	19.9 (6.4)	31.2 (7.5)
<i>Dryobalanops lanceolata</i>	21	20	36	<i>Dryobalanops lanceolata</i>	16.2 (3.1)	10.1 (1.9)	15.5 (2.6)
<i>Shorea leprosula</i>	9	16	30	<i>Shorea leprosula</i>	16.1 (5.5)	22.2 (13.6)	17.5 (3.6)
<i>Shorea macrophylla</i>	13	25	24	<i>Shorea macrophylla</i>	26.8 (6.2)	12.6 (1.9)	29.1 (8.7)
<i>Shorea macroptera</i>	16	14	31	<i>Shorea macroptera</i>	8.8 (1.5)	11.4 (3.2)	10.9 (1.1)
<i>Parashorea malaanonan</i>	24	20	28	<i>Parashorea malaanonan</i>	22.8 (4.4)	6.3 (0.6)	12.4 (1.8)
<i>Shorea ovalis</i>	10	19	25	<i>Shorea ovalis</i>	23.7 (4.8)	10.7 (3.6)	18.8 (1.9)
<i>Shorea parvifolia</i>	9	21	25	<i>Shorea parvifolia</i>	5.8 (0.6)	30.3 (13.7)	27.2 (5.0)
<i>Hopea sangal</i>	19	18	36	<i>Hopea sangal</i>	21.8 (5.0)	15.1 (5.7)	12.5 (1.4)
<i>Parashorea tomentella</i>	19	25	32	<i>Parashorea tomentella</i>	14.7 (2.7)	13.4 (3.8)	16.1 (2.2)
Total seedlings	232	281	399	Mean	16.4 (0.4)	15.5 (0.5)	17.9 (0.5)

Table S2. The summary table of abundance and size of saplings and trees (DBH in mm) for all three treatment levels before the second cut in 2014 (n = 6, 6 and 10 plots for control, once-cut and twice-cut, respectively).

	Abundance				Diameter (se)		
	Control	Once-cut	Twice-cut		Control	Once-cut	Twice-cut
Saplings	300	285	496	Saplings	22.4 (21.3 - 23.6)	19.2 (18.3 - 20.2)	19 (18.3 - 19.8)
Trees	294	285	502	Trees	149.3 (137 - 161.5)	129.4 (118.9 - 139.9)	140.1 (131.8 - 148.4)

Table S3. The ANOVA tables from the linear mixed-effects model of (a) liana density and (b) canopy openness.

Source of variation	d.f.	denominator d.f.	F
a			
Census (factor)	8	1472	57.9***
Complete-cutting contrast	1	19	29.3***
Number of cuttings	1	19	2.6
Contrast x census	8	1472	13.8***
Number x census	8	1472	11.1***
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Plot	0.15	0.09	
Subplot:Plot	1.02	0.11	
Residual variance	0.93	0.03	
Auto-correlation	0.07	0.03	
b			
Census (factor)	8	1401.4	7.7***
Complete-cutting contrast	1	18.7	2.1
Number of cuttings	1	19.1	23.8***
Contrast x census	8	1400.6	5.2***
Number x census	8	1401.7	2.6**
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Plot	0.00	0.00	
Subplot:Plot	0.02	0.00	
Residual variance	0.07	0.00	
Auto-correlation	0.06	0.03	

d.f., degrees of freedom; denominator d.f., denominator degrees of freedom, F, conditional F-statistic; Var., variance component estimate and SE, standard errors for random effects; †P<0.1, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

Table S4. The ANOVA tables from the linear mixed-effects model of (a) estimations of individual RGR after first cut, (b) size-standardized seedling RGR after first cut, (c) estimations of individual RGR after second cut and (d) size-standardized seedling RGR after second cut.

Source of variation	d.f.	denominator d.f.	F
a			
Day	1	408.9	467.4***
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Individual:day	2.2E-05	1.1E-05	
Residual variance	4.1E-01	2.5E-02	
Temporal auto-correlation	9.0E-01	6.3E-03	
b			
Initial diameter	1	309.9	8.7**
Climber-cutting treatment	1	12.2	8.2*
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Plot	-1.1E-07	1.4E-07	
Species	1.7E-07	1.1E-07	
Line:Plot	4.1E-07	2.3E-07	
Species:Plot	5.7E-09	1.6E-07	
Residual variance	2.5E-06	2.3E-07	
c			
Day	1	1048.1	823.5***
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Individual:day	-7.0E-05	6.7E-06	
Residual variance	7.7E-01	3.4E-02	
Temporal auto-correlation	9.7E-01	1.2E-03	
d			
Initial diameter	1	738.7	32.6***
Complete-cutting contrast	1	18.6	0.5
Number of cuttings	1	17.4	16.7***
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Plot	2.4E-07	3.7E-07	
Species	1.8E-06	9.1E-07	
Line:Plot	3.9E-07	1.1E-06	
Species:Plot	-3.5E-07	4.9E-07	
Residual variance	3.4E-05	2.0E-06	

d.f., degrees of freedom; denominator d.f., denominator degrees of freedom,

F, conditional F-statistic; Var., variance component estimate and SE, standard errors for random effects; †P<0.1, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

Table S5. The ANOVA tables from the linear mixed-effects model of (a) estimations of individual RGR for saplings, (b) size-standardized sapling RGR, (c) estimations of individual RGR for trees and (d) size-standardized tree RGR.

Source of variation	d.f.	denominator d.f.	F
a			
Day	1	1555	153.0***
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Individual:day	-3.7E-05	2.9E-06	
Residual variance	2.2E-01	7.8E-03	
Temporal auto-correlation	9.4E-01	2.1E-03	
b			
Initial diameter	1	972.8	111.7***
Complete-cutting contrast	1	19.2	0.1
Number of cuttings	1	19.4	24.6***
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Plot	4.1E-08	2.6E-07	
Subplot:Plot	3.3E-06	7.4E-07	
Residual variance	1.8E-05	8.8E-07	
c			
Day	1	1553.3	159.0***
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Individual:day	-6.0E-05	1.7E-06	
Residual variance	4.0E-01	1.4E-02	
Temporal auto-correlation	9.8E-01	8.6E-04	
d			
Initial diameter	1	1023.4	242.3***
Complete-cutting contrast	1	19.1	18.1***
Number of cuttings	1	19.6	3.8 [†]
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Plot	3.7E-07	9.2E-07	
Subplot:Plot	-2.0E-06	2.6E-06	
Residual variance	1.2E-04	6.0E-06	

d.f., degrees of freedom; denominator d.f., denominator degrees of freedom, F, conditional F-statistic; Var., variance component estimate and SE, standard errors for random effects; [†]P<0.1, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

Table S6. The ANOVA tables from the linear mixed-effects model of seedling survival with a binomial distribution and complimentary log-log link function.

Source of variation	d.f.	denominator d.f.	F
Day	1	291	39.23***
Complete-cutting contrast	1	20.6	0.0
Number of cuttings	1	18.2	1.1
Contrast x day	1	309.5	7.0**
Number x day	1	270.8	1.6
Variance components	Var.	SE	
Species	0.009	0.005	
Plot	0.002	0.007	
Line:Plot	0.009	0.010	
Census:Line:Plot	0.052	0.013	
Residual variance	0.669	0.013	

d.f., degrees of freedom; denominator d.f., denominator degrees of freedom, F, conditional F-statistic; Var., variance component estimate and SE, standard errors for random effects; †P<0.1, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

Table S7. Summary of liana densities per hectare.

Sample	Control		Once-cut		Twice-cut	
	≥ 5 cm	< 5 cm	≥ 5 cm	< 5 cm	≥ 5 cm	< 5 cm
May-14	170 (110 – 230)	5857 (4980 – 6734)	93 (50 – 137)	7808 (6748 – 8869)	34 (19 – 49)	3551 (2988 – 4114)
Jul-14	30 (7 – 53)	4783 (3937 – 5630)	0 (0 – 0)	983 (717 – 1250)	6 (-6 – 18)	826 (668 – 984)
Oct-14	117 (59 – 174)	3348 (2749 – 3948)	2 (-2 – 5)	2619 (2273 – 2964)	2 (-1 – 5)	1258 (1041 – 1476)
Mar-15	117 (69 – 165)	4202 (3340 – 5063)	3 (-1 – 8)	541 (428 – 653)	3 (0 – 6)	975 (722 – 1227)
Jun-15	75 (42 – 108)	3677 (3033 – 4320)	0 (0 – 0)	1720 (1402 – 2038)	1 (-1 – 3)	1053 (869 – 1237)
Oct-15	35 (14 – 56)	2897 (2285 – 3508)	2 (-2 – 5)	1207 (972 – 1441)	0 (0 – 0)	724 (618 – 830)
Mar-16	37 (17 – 57)	3990 (3306 – 4674)	2 (-2 – 5)	1835 (1471 – 2199)	0 (0 – 0)	876 (738 – 1014)
Aug-16	23 (8 – 39)	3422 (2841 – 4002)	2 (-2 – 5)	1445 (1114 – 1776)	0 (0 – 0)	926 (793 – 1059)
May-17	57 (35 – 79)	3142 (2501 – 3782)	18 (-9 – 45)	1725 (1404 – 2046)	1 (-1 – 3)	919 (749 – 1089)