

1  
2  
3 **Making sense of an unknown terrain: how parents understand self-harm in young**  
4  
5 **people.**  
6  
7

8 **Abstract**  
9

10  
11 Self-harm is common in young people, and can have profound effects on parents and  
12 other family members. We conducted narrative interviews with 41 parents and other family  
13 members of 38 young people, aged up to 25, who had self-harmed. Most of the participants  
14 were parents, but included one sibling and one spouse. This article reports experiences of the  
15 parent participants. A cross-case thematic analysis showed that most participants were  
16 bewildered by self-harm. The disruption to their world-view brought about by self-harm  
17 prompted many to undergo a process of ‘sense-making’ – by ruminative introspection,  
18 looking for information and building a new way of seeing – in order to understand and come  
19 to terms with self-harm. Most participants appeared to have been successful in making sense  
20 of self-harm, though not without considerable effort and emotional struggle. Our findings  
21 provide grounds for a deeper socio-cultural understanding of the impact of self-harm on  
22 parents.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 **Keywords: Self-harm; adolescence; young adults; parents; families**  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Introduction

Self-harm is defined as intentional self-injury or self-poisoning regardless of motive (Author, 2012; Oldershaw, Richards, Simic and Schmidt, 2008). It is common in young people, with some studies reporting up to 38% of young adults engaging in self-harm (Andover, Primack, Gibb and Pepper, 2010). Between 10-17% of adolescents are said to self-harm (Author, 2002; Klonsky, 2009). While some research suggests that rates of self-harm are comparable in men and women (Andover et al. 2010), international studies with large community samples show that self-harm occurs three to four times more often in female adolescents than in males (Author, 2002; 2008; 2009, 2014; McMahon et al. 2014). While suicidal intent may or may not be involved, the most frequent motives for self-harm are intrapersonal – e.g. affect regulation, self-punishment (Klonsky, 2009) or interpersonal – e.g. appeal to others (Author, 2009; Author, 2002). Self-harm is frequently repeated (Author, 2002; 2012) and often done in secret (Author, 2008). While self-harm in young people often ceases by late adolescence or early adulthood (Moran et al., 2012) it can be a precursor of specific mental health problems (Mars et al., 2014). It also carries a significant risk of suicide (Author, 2012).

Previous qualitative research has explored the needs, perspectives and responses of parents to their children's self-harm (Byrne, Morgan, Fitzpatrick et al., 2008., Oldershaw et al., 2008; Raphael, Clarke & Kumar, 2006). These studies found that parents were deeply distressed by self-harm and that they struggled to understand and cope with it. They often felt helpless and worried about future incidents of self-harm. Their anxieties were increased by feeling that they lacked the parenting skills to respond appropriately, in some cases, and that they did not always receive the information and support they would like from health professionals. Each of these studies focused on parents of children who received hospital or community health services in respect of their self-harming. As part of a large programme of

1  
2  
3 research on suicide prevention, we conducted a qualitative study which explored the  
4  
5 experiences of a wide range of parents, some of whose children had received health services  
6  
7 care for self-harm and some who had not. The main purpose of this study was to create a  
8  
9 web-based information resource for parents of young people who self-harm.  
10

11  
12 Parents describe feelings of confusion, rejection, hurt and shock when they realise that  
13  
14 their child is self-harming (Raphael et al., 2006). Many feel that they cannot make sense of,  
15  
16 or come to terms with, their child's self-harming behaviour (Oldershaw et al., 2008). In health  
17  
18 research 'sense-making' has become a prominent theme in studies of chronic illnesses  
19  
20 (Pakenham, 2012), including various cancers (Dunn et al., 2006; Fife, 1994; Gray, Fitch,  
21  
22 Phillips, Labreque and Fergus, 2000; Öhlén, Gustaffson and Friberg, 2013), diabetes (Lang,  
23  
24 1989), dementia (Robinson, Clare and Evans, 2005), mental illness (Cardano, 2010) and  
25  
26 multiple sclerosis (Pakenham, 2008). Whilst there is a broad literature on family and  
27  
28 caregiver experiences (Baruch, 1981; Chamberlayne & King, 1997; Hinton & Levkoff, 1999;  
29  
30 Pejlert, 2001; Harden, 2005), little research has focused specifically on sense-making in these  
31  
32 groups, although Oldershaw et al. (2008) do report briefly on sense-making strategies of  
33  
34 parents whose children self-harm. Owens, Lambert, Lloyd and Donovan (2008) examine  
35  
36 sense-making in a group of parents in some detail, reporting and interpreting ways parents  
37  
38 made sense of their sons' deaths by suicide.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

### 45 **Theoretical framework**

46  
47 Sense-making is a process whereby individuals attempt to understand adverse changes  
48  
49 in their lives by trying to fit them in with their existing 'assumptive schemas' – taken for  
50  
51 granted ways of seeing and experiencing the world – or by developing new ways of  
52  
53 interpreting their experiences (Pakenham, 2012). Early stages in this process involve private  
54  
55 'rumination' on experience, often seeking to answer the question 'Why?' something has  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 happened (Pakenham, 2012). Later stages may include seeking information and testing out  
4  
5 one's sense-making explanations by sharing them with significant others (Weick, 1995;  
6  
7 2001).  
8  
9

10 The role of others is central in the approach to sense-making proposed by Weick  
11 (1995; 2001) in the field of organisational studies, where sense-making is seen as a social  
12 process driven by action as much as it is an individual cognitive process fuelled by  
13 rumination and introspection. Weick's work on sense-making draws on Blumer's articulation  
14 of symbolic interactionism (which Weick describes as 'the unofficial theory of sense-making'  
15 (1995, p.41). Blumer proposed that: 1) people act towards things, including each other, on the  
16 basis of the meanings they have for them; 2) these meanings are arrived at through social  
17 interaction with others; 3) meanings are managed and transformed through an interpretive  
18 process that enables people to make sense of their social world.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 Ancona (2012, p.3) summarises Weickian sense-making as 'how we structure the  
32 unknown so as to be able to act in it. Sense-making involves coming up with a plausible  
33 understanding – a map – of a shifting world; testing this map with others through data  
34 collection, action, and conversation; and then refining, or abandoning, the map depending on  
35 how credible it is.' Crucially, Weick argues that plausibility or 'reasonableness' is more  
36 important than accuracy in determining the validity of a particular way of making sense of a  
37 situation; sense-making is about 'invention rather than discovery' (2001, p.194). There is, he  
38 argues, no single factual truth waiting to be discovered, but rather a range of accounts which  
39 may be more or less useful in negotiating a way forward.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51  
52 In developing his theory of sense-making Weick draws directly on Fay's (1990)  
53 articulation of critical realism. Fay argues that a 'critical' approach to 'realism' calls into  
54 question the idea of a pre-ordered reality that can be discovered through scientific enquiry.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Rather, he suggests, we try ‘to make our experience and our world comprehensible to  
4  
5 ourselves in the best way we can,’ and that ‘the various kinds of order we come up with are a  
6  
7 product of our imagination and our need, not dictated to us by Reality itself.’ Considering  
8  
9 science as analogous to cartography he argues that, ‘there isn’t any One True Map of the  
10  
11 earth, of human existence, of the universe, or of Ultimate Reality....There are only maps we  
12  
13 construct to make sense of the welter of our experience, and only us to judge whether these  
14  
15 maps are worthwhile for us or not.’ (Fay, 1990 p.38)  
16  
17

18  
19         Fay uses this cartography analogy to explain how scientists approach their work of  
20  
21 understanding the world—and in some cases trying to change it—but these ideas may have  
22  
23 equally strong resonance for individuals facing a crisis and trying to find a way through. In  
24  
25 his much cited book *The Wounded Storyteller* (Frank, 1995) sociologist Arthur Frank  
26  
27 described serious illness in terms of losing the map that had previously guided the person’s  
28  
29 life towards desired destinations. Thus the ‘mapmaker-scientist’ can equally be the  
30  
31 ‘mapmaker-citizen’ or the ‘mapmaker-patient’ or the ‘mapmaker-parent.’ In this article we  
32  
33 offer a detailed examination of parents’ reported experiences of young peoples’ self-harming  
34  
35 from the perspective of sense-making.  
36  
37  
38

## 39 40 **Methods**

### 41 42 *Sample and recruitment*

43  
44         We conducted narrative interviews with 41 parents or other family members of 38  
45  
46 young people aged up to 25 years who had self-harmed. Participants were recruited through  
47  
48 mental health charities, support groups, clinicians, newspaper advertisements, social media,  
49  
50 flyers in clinic waiting rooms, personal contacts and snowballing through existing contacts.  
51  
52 People who expressed interest were sent an introductory letter, a detailed Participant  
53  
54 Information Sheet and a personal details form to be returned to the research office in a pre-  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 paid envelope if they wished to take part. We excluded those who reported behaviour not  
4  
5 generally regarded as deliberate self-harm – for example, repetitive head-banging in young  
6  
7 people with learning disabilities. We contacted potential participants by telephone or email to  
8  
9 answer any questions about the study and to arrange an interview, either in their own home or  
10  
11 in a place of their choosing. All participants gave informed written consent before the  
12  
13 interview started. The study was approved for national recruitment by Berkshire Research  
14  
15 Ethics Committee (09/H0505/66).  
16  
17  
18

19 We sought a maximum variation purposive sample (Coyne, 1997; Saunders, 2012) in  
20  
21 order to capture a wide range of different experiences. We aimed for variation across a  
22  
23 number of demographic characteristics including gender, ethnicity and geographical location  
24  
25 (although with a planned focus on Oxfordshire and Buckinghamshire for recruitment via  
26  
27 clinicians). We interviewed 34 mothers, five fathers, one female spouse and one female  
28  
29 sibling. We included non-parents because the whole study was of parent's and carers'  
30  
31 experiences. In this article we report the parents' experiences. We conducted separate  
32  
33 interviews with two parent pairs. In one case both parents saw the study advert and both  
34  
35 wanted to participate. In the other case, the mother was interviewed first and asked her  
36  
37 husband if he would also like to take part, knowing that we wanted to recruit more fathers.  
38  
39 The ethnic diversity of our sample was limited – with only two non-white participants –  
40  
41 reflecting a recognised difficulty in recruiting people from ethnic minorities for research on  
42  
43 mental health issues (Yancey, Ortega & Kumanyika, 2006). This inevitably restricted our  
44  
45 ability to achieve a maximum variation sample. Interviewees came from a range of socio-  
46  
47 economic groups and lived in various parts of England, Scotland and Wales. Thirty of the  
48  
49 young people who self-harmed were daughters, six were sons, one a husband and one a sister.  
50  
51 The age at which they had started self-harming ranged from nine to 21 years. Over two-thirds  
52  
53 were aged under 16 years when they began to self-harm. The majority of self-harm incidents  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 involved cutting, but participants also described overdoses, burning, strangulation and other  
4  
5 methods. Several young people had used more than one method of self-harm. Three  
6  
7 participants – two parents and one sibling – withdrew from the study after the interview. In  
8  
9 this article we report analysis and findings based on interviews with 37 parents.  
10

### 11 12 *Data generation and analysis* 13

14  
15 We interviewed twenty-five participants in their own homes, eleven in a recording  
16  
17 studio, two at their place of work, one at a support group's premises, one in the interviewer's  
18  
19 home and one, at the participant's suggestion, in a coffee bar that was a mutually convenient  
20  
21 location. Interviews took place between August 2012 and October 2013. They lasted between  
22  
23 27 minutes and three hours, with an average length of one hour 24 minutes.  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 Interviews were video- or audio-recorded, according to the participant's  
29  
30 preference. Narrative interviewing was selected to enable participants to articulate their  
31  
32 perspectives and concerns rather than respond to questions framed by the issues professionals  
33  
34 think matter most. On the basis that 'if narrative experiences are desired, storytelling must be  
35  
36 allowed' (Riessman, 2008 p.23) we began interviews with an open question which  
37  
38 encouraged participants to 'tell us the story' of their child's self-harm in as much detail as  
39  
40 they wished. We listened without interruption until the participant finished speaking.  
41  
42 Following the elicitation of this unstructured narrative, with subsequent questioning we  
43  
44 sought further detail about the story – for example, asking them to clarify a sequence of  
45  
46 events and how they felt about particular aspects of their narrative – using active interviewing  
47  
48 techniques to collaborate in producing the narrative (Holstein and Gubrium, 1995). We also  
49  
50 sought the participant's evaluation of their experience; for example, inviting them to express  
51  
52 the impacts of self-harm on their lives. Further questions were based on topic areas identified  
53  
54 through the research team's familiarity with clinical and research literature and on  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 suggestions from the project's Advisory Panel, which included people with personal  
4  
5 experience of self-harm as well as researchers and clinicians.  
6  
7

8           The interviews were professionally transcribed verbatim from audio recordings and  
9  
10 carefully checked by the researchers. We gave participants the opportunity to remove any part  
11  
12 of the interview before giving their written consent for the content to be used in research and  
13  
14 other publications. Final transcripts were uploaded to NVivo 9 for coding. A coding  
15  
16 framework of both anticipated and emergent themes was developed using the technique of  
17  
18 constant comparison. Coding reports were generated and used for thematic analysis, to  
19  
20 theorise across cases by finding common elements in the stories told by research participants  
21  
22 (Riessman, 2008; Ziebland & McPherson, 2006). The emphasis in our thematic analysis of  
23  
24 narrative data is on the content of the interview rather than on its structure or form; that is, on  
25  
26 the 'told' elements of the narrative, rather than the performative or interactional nature of the  
27  
28 story 'telling' (Riessman, 2008). Two researchers (NH & SS) conducted the analysis  
29  
30 independently and resolved any discrepancies, or differences of interpretation, through  
31  
32 discussion. We pre-determined, on the recommendations of methodological literature (eg  
33  
34 Morse, 2000), that around 40 interviews would give us the range and depth of data that we  
35  
36 needed to produce a comprehensive analysis of how parents experience young peoples' self-  
37  
38 harm. We felt that we had reached data saturation with 41 interviews, though we recognise  
39  
40 that a more diverse sample may have presented different experiences. We shared summaries  
41  
42 of all findings from the study, written in non-technical language, on our patient experiences  
43  
44 website (anonymised for peer review). All participants were invited to a meeting at which  
45  
46 findings were presented and discussed.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Results

Participants talked about episodes of self-harm which occurred from as recently as a few months ago, to as far in the past as seventeen years. In this section we report three themes which describe processes that underpinned parents' attempts to make sense of self-harm: 1) their initial reactions of bewilderment and confusion, followed by 2) the search for information and 3) their attempts to build a new way of seeing. All participant names are pseudonyms.

### Bewilderment and confusion

Ancona (2012) states that 'sense-making is most often needed when our understanding of the world becomes unintelligible in some way' (p4). Discovering that a child or young person had been self-harming plunged many participants into just such a world of unintelligibility, experienced as deep confusion and bewilderment.

*I don't know what to feel because I'm at a loss as to why she's done it. I just cannot work out what's going on inside her head in order to make her do this. We'd spent an hour the night before talking about something that had happened at college that she was a bit upset about. We'd resolved it. So it [was] really total bewilderment as to why the hell she's done it because it didn't make any sense, really, to me. (Samantha)*

Many participants spoke of feeling shocked, stunned, horrified, devastated—and underlying these strong emotions was a sense of confusion. Samantha went on to repeat, 'I am really, really confused as to what on earth is going on in her head.' Amy echoed this: 'It's confusing. I felt angry. I felt sad. I didn't know what to do. Mums and dads are supposed to know everything aren't they, but we don't. We didn't have the answers and we didn't know why she was doing this to herself.' Diane felt 'absolutely devastated' and 'just couldn't

1  
2  
3 believe that this could be happening, not to my daughter.’ Judith was ‘horrified’, ‘shocked’,  
4  
5 ‘stunned’ and ‘speechless’. Nigel said his first reaction was that it couldn’t really be  
6  
7 happening. He felt ‘incredibly helpless’ and ‘absolutely clueless in terms of what you should  
8  
9 do, what the next steps are’.

11  
12 When they began to ruminate on the reasons for their child’s self-harming, some  
13  
14 parents felt as though they must be partly responsible for their child’s behaviour and they  
15  
16 experienced distressing feelings of guilt. Part of the sense-making process involved, for some  
17  
18 parents, meticulous examination of their past actions or omissions in an effort to detect what  
19  
20 they might have done to ‘cause’ their child’s behaviour.  
21  
22

23  
24 *From the very beginning, when I was pregnant with her, what did I do wrong? Did I eat*  
25  
26 *the wrong things? Did I get too stressed? When [she] was young, did I feed her*  
27  
28 *properly? Did I interact with her? When she was older, did I praise her enough? Did I*  
29  
30 *criticise her too much? [...] I know that she’s an adult now and she takes responsibility*  
31  
32 *for her choices and I can be only supporting her but that was very, very difficult, the*  
33  
34 *blame, the guilt. (Jennifer)*  
35  
36

37  
38 Many parents came to terms with their feelings of guilt, over a period of time. Theresa  
39  
40 learnt through receiving counselling that it was better to focus on what you can do rather than  
41  
42 what you think you have done wrong. Amy thought that guilt about the past was pointless as  
43  
44 it did not help her be a good mother or a balanced person. Nadine said that Samaritan  
45  
46 (voluntary mental health support) training enabled her to distance herself from feelings of  
47  
48 guilt.  
49  
50

51  
52 The disorientating impact of self-harm affected participants’ mental health. Some had  
53  
54 been mentally unwell in the past and were still having treatment, but others thought that their  
55  
56 symptoms were a result of the stress surrounding their child’s self-harm. Some were taking  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 medication for depression. Jocelyn said that she ‘couldn’t stop crying. I was really upset,  
4  
5 couldn’t sleep. I had three months off work and was put on antidepressants, which I take to  
6  
7 this day and will never stop taking because they keep me sane.’ Janet ‘went through the  
8  
9 blackest time imaginable where I couldn’t even get out of the chair or answer the phone’.  
10  
11 Janet refused medical treatment for her depression but accepted the offer of counselling  
12  
13 which, despite her initial scepticism, she found helpful.  
14  
15

### 16 17 **Looking for information** 18

19  
20 Many participants supplemented their rumination on the reasons why a young person  
21  
22 was self-harming by looking for information, attempting to gain knowledge which might help  
23  
24 them to understand. For most participants who wanted to look for information their first  
25  
26 instinct was to search on the internet, though some said they hadn’t thought of doing this.  
27  
28 Sian and Diane said they would have searched online if internet access had been available  
29  
30 when their child was self-harming.  
31  
32

33  
34 *We live in a world with the Internet now. We can all go on to the Internet and Google. I*  
35  
36 *guess if this was seventeen years ago I’d go straight on to the computer when she’d*  
37  
38 *gone back to school and I’d be Googling “self-harm” and looking up anything I could*  
39  
40 *find, any information at all. And then just looking also to see if there were any helplines*  
41  
42 *or anywhere I could go. (Diane)*  
43  
44

45  
46 Some participants did not want to look for information about self-harm, at first – they  
47  
48 preferred to concentrate on trying to manage the immediate situation.  
49  
50

51  
52 *I just wanted it to go away and I think I would have really scared myself at that point if*  
53  
54 *I’d looked too deeply into the information on self-harming. I just got terrified about*  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *what maybe was going to happen, and, at that point, I didn't need to know. I just*  
4  
5 *needed to deal with what was happening. (Amber)*  
6  
7

8 Amber did go on, at a later stage, to look for information about self-harm and about her  
9  
10 daughter's diagnosis of borderline personality disorder.  
11

12  
13 Several participants stressed the importance of finding reliable information from  
14  
15 trustworthy internet sources, such as NHS Direct or mental health charities. Some also  
16  
17 wanted to find websites where people shared their experiences of self-harm. Rebecca said 'It  
18  
19 would be really helpful to have other people's experiences and have professional points of  
20  
21 view, so that it's not such an alienating experience as it has been for me.' Others wanted more  
22  
23 factual, research-based, information.  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 Participants reported other ways in which they had found information. Isla had been  
29  
30 helped by books, newspaper articles and TV documentaries. Nigel and Susanne had learnt  
31  
32 about self-harm through a talk at their child's school by a psychiatrist. Diane was working  
33  
34 with a leading authority on self-harm who helped her to understand reasons for the behaviour.  
35  
36 Julian identified experts on eating disorders and self-harm through the internet and made  
37  
38 personal contact with them through email or telephone.  
39  
40

41  
42 A number of participants shared information with other parents they knew whose  
43  
44 children self-harmed. These personal relationships were presented as mutually supportive in  
45  
46 helping each other to understand and cope with their children's self-harming. They provided a  
47  
48 platform for sense-making through the processes described by Ancona (cited above): 'testing  
49  
50 the map with others through data collection, action and conversation' (Ancona, 2012, p3).  
51  
52

53  
54 An almost instinctive reaction to try and make sense of why a young person had self-  
55  
56 harmed was to ask them directly to explain why they had done it. The difficulty with this  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 approach was that the emotional shock of discovering the self-harm meant that questioning  
4  
5 the young person could turn into a kind of interrogation which the young person,  
6  
7 understandably, resisted.  
8  
9

10 *So, I sat [her down] and, [said] “Oh, my God, explain.” And she was really*  
11 *dismissive – “I don’t want to discuss this” – completely gave me the cold shoulder.*  
12 *And my thing was, “Right, do you know what? I’m going to leave the room, but we*  
13 *need to talk about this. I’m going to leave this till you get your head together and to*  
14 *let me get my thoughts together as well, to think about things before we sit and talk*  
15 *about this. We’ve both had a fright, a shock.” So I left the room and I think it must*  
16 *have been about ten minutes later I thought, “Oh, my God, oh, God, I can’t, I can’t*  
17 *just, I can’t leave it any longer.” So I went upstairs and I sat with her. I said, “Right,*  
18 *okay, what have you been using? Right, where is it?” (Judith)*  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 Other parents, too, said their child ‘refused’ to talk about it, or ‘clammed up’ when first  
32  
33 questioned.  
34  
35

36 Over a period of time, particularly where parent and child already had a relationship in  
37  
38 which they did talk to each other, some parents found that they gained insight and  
39  
40 understanding from their children’s accounts of their experiences. Jocelyn, in particular, said  
41  
42 of her daughter: ‘She has changed my mind set. She has educated me a lot. It’s such an eye-  
43  
44 opener. It’s been amazing because I would have been completely different, completely shut  
45  
46 off. She has taught me so much’.  
47  
48  
49

### 50 **Building a new way of seeing**

51  
52

53 Believing that they understood something of the reasons for self-harm was part of a  
54  
55 sense-making process for most participants. They described a range of factors which they  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 thought had contributed to self-harming – including the young person’s difficulties in early  
4  
5 childhood, experiences of abuse, the effects of puberty and teenage cultures, the young  
6  
7 person’s need to express painful feelings, difficulties in their own and their childrens’  
8  
9 relationships and mental health problems in the young person. Some participants thought their  
10  
11 own self-harming behaviour might have influenced their children. Over time, most  
12  
13 participants constructed some kind of explanation which enabled them to build a new way of  
14  
15 seeing things and to make sense of the young person’s behaviour. Building a new way of  
16  
17 seeing also included imagining what the future might look like.  
18  
19

### 20 21 *Early childhood*

22  
23  
24 Some parents looked back on the young person's early childhood behaviour,  
25  
26 personality or experience and saw the roots of self-harming there – as Judith said, 'I could see  
27  
28 it in the cards'. Joy described her infant daughter's frequent emotional 'tantrums', on one  
29  
30 occasion threatening to throw herself from a bedroom window. Janet talked about her  
31  
32 daughter having 'high anxiety' and 'obsessive compulsive disorder' from a very young age.  
33  
34 Amber said that her daughter seemed to be unusually troubled emotionally from the age of  
35  
36 about six years. Sally remembered that her daughter was 'demanding, impulsive and  
37  
38 attention-seeking' when she was in primary school. Sally believed this was a result of her  
39  
40 daughter's traumatic birth, subsequent facial deformity and multiple corrective operations.  
41  
42 Theresa also believed that physical illness in early childhood affected her son's emotional and  
43  
44 social development and contributed to his current problems.  
45  
46  
47  
48

### 49 50 *Perceptions of abuse as a cause of self-harm*

51  
52  
53 Sexual and psychological abuse – both within and outside the family – were reported  
54  
55 as factors which contributed to self-harming and presented ongoing challenges to sense-  
56  
57 making. One woman spoke about her ex-husband sexually abusing his step-daughter (that is,  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 her daughter) and his own sons. Two of those young people later self-harmed. Other  
4  
5 participants highlighted the impact of abusive relationships outside the family. Rebecca's  
6  
7 daughter began self-harming aged around 13 years after a long period of chronic pain,  
8  
9 absence from school and depression. When she was sexually assaulted by a stranger her self-  
10  
11 harming became much worse. It 'tipped her over the edge,' Rebecca said, 'I didn't know what  
12  
13 to do with her at all.' Vanessa believed that an online sexual relationship had contributed to  
14  
15 her daughter's self-harming. Amy was still struggling to understand the nature and impact of  
16  
17 a childhood relationship her daughter had with the child of family friends: 'I still don't know  
18  
19 to this day [what] all the wrong doings were within that friendship, for want of a better word.  
20  
21 All I know is the consequences that we're dealing with from it'.  
22  
23  
24

25  
26 *Life as a teenager*  
27  
28

29 A few participants thought that 'teenage hormones' played a part in self-harming  
30  
31 behaviour. Rebecca initially thought it 'was a teenage thing' but later was 'scared' to find out  
32  
33 that some adults self-harm. Some people saw an element of manipulation in their child's  
34  
35 behaviour. Joan said that 'sometimes I can be very sympathetic and sometimes I can't  
36  
37 because sometimes I think it is naughty behaviour'. Christopher wondered if his son might be  
38  
39 using the threat of self-harm to get his own way. Some parents referred to their child's  
40  
41 experiences of being bullied and several thought their child had been influenced by specific  
42  
43 teenage subcultures.  
44  
45  
46

47 *[At] senior school, she started pushing some of her friends away but also taking up*  
48  
49 *with boys. Her first boyfriend was quite a troubled character himself and I think they sort of*  
50  
51 *egged each other on [...] the music and the look was all very, very dark and very, very*  
52  
53 *gloomy and their relationship was a bit like that. He was quite an unhappy boy and they*  
54  
55 *seemed to encourage each other, in a way, to be unhappy. She was very keen on him and he*  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *wasn't very nice to her and, although I'd never blame him, I think that set of circumstances*  
4  
5 *probably is what put her in such a gloomy place, alongside the hormones and everything else*  
6  
7 *that's kicking in at that age. (Susanne)*  
8  
9

### 10 *Expressing emotion*

11

12  
13 Several parents described self-harm as a reaction to intensely felt emotion, such as self-  
14 hatred or anger. Louise said that her daughter 'thoroughly hated herself and the only way she  
15 could find of expressing that was to deface herself'. Isla thought that her daughter's problems  
16 became too much for her and that taking an overdose was the only way she could think of to  
17 escape. Janet described her daughter's cutting as a way of dealing with emotions she couldn't  
18 put into words, including feeling she had let herself or other people down.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 *Whenever things just got on top of her and she just felt she couldn't cope or she felt*  
28 *angry, about herself, really, not the world. When she was beside herself with emotions*  
29 *that she couldn't really put into words or problems that she couldn't solve, feelings of*  
30 *failure in herself [...] whenever she felt she'd let herself down or other people, that's*  
31 *what she would do to take it out on herself. (Janet)*  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 Janet said she had come to understand – through thinking and reading, talking to  
40 experts and to her daughter – the reasons why cutting worked effectively to relieve her  
41 daughter's emotional pain. In keeping with our main line of argument, this combination of  
42 rumination and action helped Janet to 'make some kind of sense' of it.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 *I learned the reasons why it was effective. Even though I hated it and couldn't condone*  
50 *it, with my thinking and my understanding of it [I] made some kind of sense to it. And I*  
51 *found that when she realised that she was very poor at coping with her emotions at that*  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *instant and she started to hold the emotions for a little bit longer and I supported her*  
4  
5 *and helped her to do that, we were making some progress. (Janet)*  
6  
7

### 8 *Difficult relationships*

9

10  
11 Several parents talked about the impact of the break-up of their marriage on the young  
12 person; but this was also seen by some as just one part of a complex set of changes and  
13 challenges in the young person's life which included difficulties at school and in their  
14 personal relationships with family members, friends, boyfriends or girlfriends, and both  
15 parents. Shannon thought that her separation from her partner had a big impact on her  
16 daughter, but a lot of other things had happened, too, which 'overwhelmed' her. Isla talked  
17 about how she tried to make sense, 'looking back on it,' of the many different things that  
18 were causing problems in her daughter's life.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29  
30 *I believe she was very upset at school, more upset than I'd realised. Her exams were*  
31 *looming. She was very worried about having missed some school [...]. And I think she'd*  
32 *never had a particularly happy time at that school, looking back on it. And when she*  
33 *went to senior school, it was a time of great change. We'd just moved house and I'd*  
34 *split up [from her father] so I don't think she was ever particularly happy there. It's a*  
35 *very big school, quite impersonal. She was having a few issues with her friends as well*  
36 *at that time and with her boyfriend and with myself and her dad in that we don't get on*  
37 *and it must be very difficult for her knowing that we don't like each other. (Isla)*  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 Isla went on to talk about the conflict created for her daughter by the radically different  
49 parenting styles of herself and her former partner.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *Mental health problems*  
4  
5

6 Participants reported a range of mental health problems in the young person which they  
7 thought contributed to self-harming behaviour. Many of the young people were depressed.  
8  
9 Some had been diagnosed with borderline personality disorder or post-traumatic stress  
10 disorder and some experienced upsetting visual or auditory hallucinations. Others had eating  
11 disorders, which some of the people we spoke to viewed as closely related to self-harm.  
12  
13 Amy's daughter cut herself while having hallucinations. Amy felt that health professionals  
14  
15 didn't help her to understand this.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 *Apparently, she'd seen black shapes and things coming out the walls. I didn't know*  
23 *what to make of it and when I flagged it up with her psychiatrist, you just got the nod of*  
24 *the head and, you know, but nobody came back to us with anything. (Amy)*  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 Some people regarded self-harming not as a mental health problem but as a way of  
31 coping which, to some extent, 'worked' for the young person – though parents who saw it this  
32 way hoped their child would develop different coping strategies. Jacqueline was relieved to  
33 see on a self-harm support website that 'self-harm is not an illness'. Martha, admittedly  
34 expressing a minority view, had come to the view that self-harm was to some extent part of  
35 everyday life.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 *I've come to the conclusion it's part of our culture, to some extent, in that we take for*  
45 *granted, at least in books, people biting their lip in frustration or anger or as a way of*  
46 *deferring pain from another part of the body. And I thought, maybe we should just*  
47 *learn to see it as something he does when he's upset. If it helps him, then perhaps it's*  
48 *not something to get too upset about and we just make a point of reminding him to keep*  
49 *the knife clean. (Martha)*  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*Parents' self-harming*

Some parents talked about their own self-harming – including cutting and taking overdoses – and worried that they had influenced their children. Shannon said that her 'first fears' when she found out about her daughter's self-harm were, 'It's my fault. It's because I told her I did it, she started doing it, that's what happened'. Joy was relieved when she found out that her daughter had started self-harming before she did because, she thought, that meant her own self-harming had not influenced her daughter's behaviour. Roberta's experience and reading had led her to believe that her daughter may have a 'genetic predisposition' to self-harm.

*Looking ahead*

For many participants self-harm was, or had been, a constant feature of their life and they were unable to see clearly ahead to a time when it might stop. Even when a young person had not self-harmed for some time, participants expressed uncertainty about whether it might recur in response to new crises. They were anxious about the future, but many were optimistic too. They saw the difficult time they had gone through as a learning experience for all, and hoped the young person had developed different coping strategies which they could use if they felt like self-harming again.

*I've got to the point where I accept that it works as a coping strategy for her but it still makes me uncomfortable. I still wish she wouldn't do it. I still want to wrap her up in cotton wool and fix it all for her. I don't think that will ever change either. I think life will continue as it does. It will continue to be a roller coaster because of her mental health issues, she'll have good times and bad times. I just hope that the good times are longer and bigger and better [and] that the periods between the self-harm continue to*

1  
2  
3 *increase and that one day she can come to me and say, "It's been x years," rather than*  
4  
5 *x months.* (Nadine)  
6  
7

## 8 **Discussion**

9

10  
11 Sense-making – comprising rumination, information-seeking (Pakenham, 2012) and  
12 social interaction (Weick, 1995; 2001) – can help people to develop a new understanding of  
13 the world when they find that their assumptions do not reflect reality. Parents who discovered  
14 that a young person had been self-harming were able to use these methods to make sense of a  
15 new world. They reached inside themselves to examine their own ways of thinking and  
16 behaving, and looked externally for information that would help them to understand the  
17 young person's behaviour. In some cases, sharing their experiences with others also helped to  
18 make sense of self-harm. Although the process of sense-making did not solve all of the  
19 participants' problems – for example, practical and emotional difficulties relating to self-harm  
20 often persisted for months or years – it gave them a new map or framework that allowed them  
21 to put self-harm into context, and to navigate the changes in their world-view.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 Previous studies indicate that parents are deeply distressed by self-harm, struggle to  
38 understand it and to know how best to react when their child self-harms (Byrne et al. 2008;  
39 Oldershaw et al. 2008; Raphael et al.2006). Our work extends the insights provided by these  
40 studies by showing in detail some of the cognitive and social processes which helped to make  
41 sense of an experience which often unsettled them deeply. Participants' specific references to  
42 'making sense' of their experience led us to consider the conceptual framework of sense-  
43 making – and associated metaphors of 'map-making', 'terrain' and 'landscape' – as a lens  
44 through which we believe it is illuminating to view what people told us about their lives.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

55 Participants were often bewildered when they discovered their child was self-harming,  
56 not knowing why it happened or what to do about it. This discovery was so destabilising that  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 they lost the ‘map’ which was guiding their lives (Frank, 1995) in tried and trusted ways –  
4 that is, their ‘assumptive schemas’ (Pakenham, 2012), or taken-for-granted ways of seeing  
5 the world, came suddenly into view and had to be reconsidered. Challenges to assumptive  
6 schemas – generated by one’s own illness or other traumatic experience –have been described  
7 variously as forms of biographical disruption (Bury, 1982), abruption (Author, 2009) and  
8 disintegration (Owens et al. 2008). Processes analogous to sense-making may contribute to  
9 biographical repair (Author, 2009) or reconstruction (Williams, 1984).  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 Participants in our study made sense of bewildering change by an automatic, or  
20 reflexive, cognitive process of ruminating – wondering, and asking themselves, ‘why’? –  
21 followed by the volitional processes of information-seeking (Pakenham, 2012) and actively  
22 constructing a new ‘map’ (Frank, 1995). People supplemented their ruminating, their own  
23 internal attempts to understand and make sense, by looking for information from a variety of  
24 sources, including the internet, health and social care professionals, other parents – and from  
25 talking to the young person about the reasons for their self-harming. They built a new way of  
26 seeing which helped them to understand, to some extent, why the young person harmed  
27 themselves and which also allowed them to look forward to a future without self-harm.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 These sense-making processes were difficult and time consuming but they were  
41 productive. Most participants reported that, over time, they had learned to make sense of self-  
42 harm and to understand why young people had self-harmed. Many of the reasons they  
43 identified are reported in the research literature as factors which are known to contribute to  
44 self-harm, including: psychiatric and personality disorders (Author, 2013); psychological  
45 characteristics such as impulsivity and low self-esteem (Author, 2011); stressful life events  
46 such as difficulties in relationships, knowing someone else who self-harms or who has  
47 attempted suicide, being sexually abused or being bullied (Author, 2011; Werbart Törnblom,  
48 Werbart & Rydelius, 2015). A high level of impulsivity in early childhood has been  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 implicated in later adolescent self-harming (Goldston, Daniel, Mathias and Dougherty, 2008)  
4  
5 and the effects of puberty are also thought to play a part in influencing self-harming  
6  
7 behaviour (Patton, Hemphill, Byers et al., 2007). A genetic association with attempted suicide  
8  
9 has recently been shown (Author, 2014).  
10

11  
12 The challenges presented to participants' world-view by young people's self-harming  
13  
14 were not wholly diminished by feeling that they had reached some kind of understanding –  
15  
16 for example, that self-harm might serve a logical function for the young person (Brossard,  
17  
18 2014; Chandler, 2012, 2013). Some continued to struggle with feelings of guilt and  
19  
20 responsibility. Several participants became depressed themselves and needed treatment.  
21  
22 Many of them remained fearful for their child's future welfare and anxious about the long-  
23  
24 term consequences of self-harming. Participants always hoped that the young person would  
25  
26 stop self-harming.  
27  
28  
29

### 30 31 *Implications for practice and research* 32 33

34 Health and social care professionals can support parents and others to make sense of  
35  
36 self-harm by showing understanding of their confused reactions, by listening to their  
37  
38 perspectives and by making them aware of the broad range of factors which can cause young  
39  
40 people to self-harm. Professionals should acknowledge the uncertainty and anxiety parents  
41  
42 feel about their child's future, as well as their present suffering, and should also offer specific  
43  
44 support to help parents understand their parental roles. This support should include helping  
45  
46 parents to manage their sense of responsibility for the self-harm, and to reduce the guilt or  
47  
48 shame they may feel. Professionals could also help parents to learn how to manage their  
49  
50 emotions so that they can listen to the young person without becoming distressed, angry or  
51  
52 judgemental. This may include learning how to express their emotions appropriately, so that  
53  
54 the young person knows how their parent feels.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3           Researchers, policy makers and advocates for young people can help by making  
4  
5 information about self-harm more widely available and more easily accessible, both online  
6  
7 and in traditional formats such as leaflets and booklets. Most young people who self-harm do  
8  
9 not look for professional help (Author, 2011; Michelmore & Hindley, 2012) but seek a more  
10  
11 general helping response from people close to them (Author, 2009; Michelmore & Hindley,  
12  
13 2012). For this reason it may be productive to disseminate knowledge about self-  
14  
15 harm as public health information across a wider population than just those who engage with  
16  
17 health services. Our patient experiences website (anonymised for peer review) provides this  
18  
19 kind of information source. Information providers need to be aware of the potential dangers  
20  
21 of propagation – avoiding, for example, detailed reference to methods of self-harm. Further  
22  
23 research should be conducted exploring young people’s views of self-harm and the functions  
24  
25 it serves for them.  
26  
27  
28  
29

30           The theories of sense-making we have applied to our study findings help to illuminate  
31  
32 the experiences of parents trying to understand, come to terms with and respond to, their  
33  
34 children’s self-harming. The wider social contexts in which self-harming takes place in the  
35  
36 developed world include heightened awareness of, and anxiety about, the vulnerabilities of  
37  
38 childhood, altered expectations regarding how to make successful transitions from childhood  
39  
40 through adolescence into adulthood, and changes in the perceived nature of mental health.  
41  
42 Further research could investigate sense-making at one remove from the subjective  
43  
44 experiences of individuals, to interrogate the phenomenon of self-harm in the historical  
45  
46 context of transitions to adulthood in late modernity.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### Strengths and limitations

This study benefited from a large sample of parents who were in different stages of coming to terms with their child's self-harm. It included both mothers and fathers who came from a variety of geographical locations. However, most respondents were mothers, and because of the recruiting focus on Oxfordshire and Buckinghamshire, many came from this area. Two parent-pairs were interviewed, which provided different perspectives on a family's experiences. It may be that each parent might be more guarded or hesitant in discussing the others' reactions, knowing that parts of the interview may be seen by their partner – though the likelihood of this is diminished by the assurance that they would have the opportunity to edit the transcript. Ethnic diversity in our sample was limited. Our efforts to recruit participants from the South Asian community, for example, were not fruitful – possibly because of cultural prohibitions on acknowledging and talking about self-harm. Different perspectives on sense-making may have been apparent in a more diverse sample.

### Conclusion

Self-harm is common in young people, particularly in adolescent girls. It is linked with a wide range of mental health problems and life stressors. We interviewed 41 family members, mostly parents, about their experiences of young people's self-harming. In this article we have focused on ways in which the parent participants tried to understand the reasons for self-harm so they could make sense of what seemed at first to be a bewildering and confusing experience. This 'sense-making' process involved three stages. First, participants responded to feelings of bewilderment by ruminating on what had happened, examining their past and present lives for explanatory signs. Secondly, they looked for authoritative information – from expert sources, from people who shared similar experience and from young people themselves – which would help them to understand the reasons for

1  
2  
3 self-harm and, crucially, help them know how best to respond. Finally, over time, they  
4  
5 constructed new ways of seeing self-harm.  
6  
7

8 Many of the reasons participants identified to explain self-harm reflected findings in the  
9  
10 research and clinical literature. This is not surprising as many had sought information from  
11  
12 expert sources. Most participants appeared to have been successful in making sense of self-  
13  
14 harm, though not without considerable effort and emotional struggle. Painful emotional  
15  
16 reactions often persisted alongside intellectual understanding. There is clearly a continuing  
17  
18 role for individuals and organisations involved in mental health promotion to disseminate  
19  
20 information about self-harm to the wider public. Health and social care practitioners should  
21  
22 supplement information-giving with therapeutic support for parents and families.  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 Main text 7042 words  
28  
29

### 30 **References**

31

32  
33 Ancona, D. (2012). Sense-making. Framing and acting in the unknown. In Snook S,  
34  
35 Nohria N and Khuruna R (eds) *The Handbook for Teaching Leadership: Knowing, Doing,*  
36  
37 *and Being*. Thousand Oaks, California; London : SAGE.  
38  
39

40  
41 Andover, M.S., Primack, J.M., Gibb, B.E. & Pepper, C.M. (2010). An examination of  
42  
43 non-suicidal self-injury in men: Do men differ from women in basic NSSI characteristics?  
44  
45 *Archives of Suicide Research*,14, 79-88.  
46  
47

48  
49 Baruch, G. (1981). Moral tales: parents' stories of encounters with the health  
50  
51 professions. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 3(3), 275-295.  
52

53  
54 Brossard, B. (2014). Fighting with oneself to maintain the Interaction order: a  
55  
56 sociological approach to self-Injury daily process. *Symbolic Interaction*, 37 (4), 558-575.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Bury, M. (1982). Chronic illness as biographical disruption. *Sociology of Health &*  
4  
5 *Illness, 4(2)*, 167–82.  
6  
7

8 Byrne, S., Morgan, S., Fitzpatrick, C., Boylan, C., Crowley, S., Gahan, H., Howley, J.,  
9  
10 Staunton, D. & Guerin, S. (2008). Deliberate self-harm in children and adolescents: a  
11  
12 qualitative study exploring the needs of parents and carers. *Clinical Child Psychology and*  
13  
14 *Psychiatry, 13(4)*, 493-504.  
15  
16

17 Cardano, M. (2010). Mental distress: strategies of sense-making. *Health, 14*, 253-271.  
18  
19

20 Chamberlayne, P. & King, A. (1997). The biographical challenge of caring.  
21  
22 *Sociology of Health & Illness, 19(5)*, 601-21.  
23  
24

25 Chandler, A. (2012). Self-injury as embodied emotion work: managing rationality,  
26  
27 emotions and bodies. *Sociology, 46(3)*, 442-457.  
28  
29

30 Chandler, A. (2013). Inviting pain? Pain, dualism and embodiment in narratives of  
31  
32 self-injury. *Sociology of Health & Illness, 35(5)*, 716-730.  
33  
34

35 Coyne, I. (1997). Sampling in qualitative research. Purposeful and theoretical  
36  
37 sampling: merging or clear boundaries? *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 26*, 623–630.  
38  
39

40  
41  
42 Dunn, J., Lynch, B., Rinaldis, M., Pakenham, K.I., McPherson, L., Owen, N. et al.,  
43  
44 (2006). Dimensions of quality of life and psychosocial variables most salient to colorectal  
45  
46 cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology, 15*, 20-30.  
47  
48

49 Fay, B. (1990). Critical realism? *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour, 20(1)*, 33-  
50  
51 41.  
52  
53

54 Fife, B.L. (1994). The conceptualization of meaning in illness. *Social Science and*  
55  
56 *Medicine, 38(2)*, 309-316.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Frank, A.W. (1995). *The Wounded Storyteller: Body, Illness and Ethics*. Chicago:  
4  
5 University of Chicago Press.  
6  
7

8 Goldston, D. B., Daniel, S. S., Mathias, C. W., & Dougherty, D. M. (2008). Suicidal  
9  
10 and Nonsuicidal Self-Harm Behaviors in Adolescent Substance Use Disorders. In Kaminer,  
11  
12 Y. and Bukst, O.G. (eds.) *Adolescent Substance Abuse: Psychiatric Comorbidity and High-*  
13  
14 *risk Behaviors*, New York: Taylor and Francis, pp.323-354.  
15  
16

17  
18 Gray, R.E., Fitch, M., Phillips, C., Labrecque, M. & Fergus, K. (2000). Managing the  
19  
20 impact of illness: the experiences of men with prostate cancer and their spouses. *Journal of*  
21  
22 *Health Psychology*, 5(4), 531-548.  
23  
24

25 Harden, J. (2005). Parenting a young person with mental health problems: temporal  
26  
27 disruption and reconstruction. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 27(3), 351-371.  
28  
29

30 Author (2013).  
31  
32

33 Author (2012). Author (2012). Self-harm and suicide in adolescents. *The Lancet*,  
34  
35 379(9384), 2373–2382.  
36  
37

38 Author (2002).  
39  
40

41 Author (2002).  
42  
43

44  
45 Hinton, W.L. & Levkoff, S. (1999). Constructing Alzheimer's: narratives of lost  
46  
47 identities, confusion and loneliness in old age. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry*, 23, 453-  
48  
49 475.  
50  
51

52 Holstein, J.A. & Gubrium, J.F. (1995). *The active interview*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.  
53  
54

55 Klonsky, E.D. (2009). The functions of self-injury in young adults who cut themselves:  
56  
57 Clarifying the evidence for affect-regulation. *Psychiatry Research*, 166, 260-268.  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Lang, G.C. (1989). "Making sense" about diabetes: Dakota narratives of illness.  
4  
5 *Medical Anthropology*, 11(3), 305-27.  
6  
7  
8 Author (2009).  
9  
10  
11 Author (2011  
12  
13  
14 Author (2008).  
15  
16  
17 Author (2014).  
18  
19  
20 McMahon, E.M., Keeley, H., Cannon, M., Arensman, E., Perry, I.J., Clarke, M. et al.  
21  
22 (2014). The iceberg of suicide and self-harm in Irish adolescents: a population-based study.  
23  
24 *Soc Psychiatry Epidemiol*, 49,1929-1935.  
25  
26  
27  
28 Michelmore, L. & Hindley, P. (2012). Help-seeking for suicidal thoughts and self-harm  
29  
30 in young people: A systematic review. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 42, 507–  
31  
32  
33 524.  
34  
35  
36 Moran, P., Coffey, C., Romaniuk, H., Olsson, C., Borschmann, R., Carlin, J.B. &  
37  
38 Patton, G.C. (2012). The natural history of self-harm from adolescence to young adulthood:  
39  
40 a population-based cohort study. *The Lancet*, 379(9812), 236-243.  
41  
42  
43  
44 Morse, J.M. (2000). Determining sample size. *Qualitative Health Research*, 10(1), 3-5.  
45  
46  
47 Author (2014).  
48  
49  
50 Author (2009).  
51  
52  
53 Öhlén, J., Wallengren Gustafsson, C. & Friberg, F. (2013). Making sense of receiving  
54  
55 palliative treatment: Its significance to palliative cancer care communication and information  
56  
57 provision. *Cancer Nursing*, 36(4), 265-273.  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Oldershaw A., Richards, C., Simic, M. & Schmidt, U. (2008). Parents' perspectives  
4 on adolescent self-harm: qualitative study. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 193, 140-144.  
5  
6

7  
8 Owens, C., Lambert, H., Lloyd, K. & Donovan, J. (2008). Tales of biographical  
9 disintegration: how parents make sense of their sons' suicides. *Sociology of Health & Illness*,  
10 30(2), 237-254.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15 Pakenham, K.I. (2008). Making sense of illness or disability: the nature of sense-  
16 making in multiple sclerosis (MS). *Journal of Health Psychology*, 13(1), 93-105.  
17  
18

19  
20  
21 Pakenham, K.I. (2012). Benefit-finding and sense-making in chronic illness. In  
22 Folkman S. *The Oxford Handbook of Stress, Health and Coping*. Oxford Handbooks Online.  
23  
24

25  
26 Patton, G.C., Hemphill, S.A., Beyers, J.M., Bond, L., Toumbouru, J.W., McMorris,  
27 B.J., & Catalano, R.F. (2007). Pubertal stage and deliberate self-harm in adolescents.  
28 *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 46(4), 508-514.  
29  
30  
31

32  
33 Pejler, A. (2001). Being a parent of an adult son or daughter with severe mental  
34 illness receiving professional care: parents' narratives. *Health and Social Care in the*  
35 *Community*, 9(4), 194-204.  
36  
37  
38

39  
40  
41 Author. (2014).  
42  
43

44 Raphael H., Clarke, G. & Kumar, S. (2006). Exploring parents' responses to their  
45 child's deliberate self-harm. *Health Education*, 106(1), 9-20.  
46  
47  
48

49  
50 Riessman CK. (2008). *Narrative Methods for the Human Sciences*. Thousand Oaks,  
51 CA: Sage.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Robinson, L., Clare, L. & Evans, K. (2005). Making sense of dementia and adjusting  
4 to loss: psychological reactions to a diagnosis of dementia in couples. *Aging and Mental*  
5 *Health, 9(4)*, 337-437.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Saunders, M.N.K. (2012). Choosing research participants. In C. Cassell & G. Symon  
11 (eds.) *The practice of qualitative organisational research: core methods and current*  
12 *challenges*. (pp. 37-55). London: SAGE.  
13  
14

15  
16  
17 Author (2009).

18  
19  
20 Weick, K.E. (1995). *Sensemaking in organizations*. London/Thousand Oaks, CA:  
21 Sage.  
22

23  
24  
25 Weick, K.E. (2001). *Making sense of the organization*. Oxford: Blackwell.  
26

27  
28  
29 Werbart Törnblom, A., Werbart, A., & Rydelius, P.-A. (2015). Shame and Gender  
30 Differences in Paths to Youth Suicide: Parents' Perspective. *Qualitative Health Research,*  
31 *25(8)*, 1099-1116.  
32  
33

34  
35  
36 Williams, G. (1984). The genesis of chronic illness: narrative re-construction.  
37 *Sociology of Health & Illness, 6(2)*, 175–200.  
38

39  
40  
41 Yancey, A.K., Ortega, A.N., & Kumanyika, S.K. Effective recruitment and retention of  
42 minority research participants. *Annu Rev Public Health 2006; 27(1)*: 1-28.  
43  
44

45  
46  
47 Ziebland, S. & McPherson, A. (2006). Making sense of qualitative data analysis: an  
48 introduction with illustrations from DIPEX (personal experiences of health and illness).  
49 *Medical Education, 40(5)*, 405-414.  
50  
51

52  
53  
54  
55 Total word count including abstract, main text and references 8331 words.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60