



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Candidate gene polymorphisms are linked to dispersive and migratory behaviour: Searching for a mechanism behind the “paradox of the great speciators”

Andrea Estandía¹  | Ashley T. Sendell-Price^{1,2} | Graeme Oatley³ | Fiona Robertson³ | Dominique Potvin⁴  | Melanie Massaro⁵ | Bruce C. Robertson³ | Sonya M. Clegg^{1,6}¹Edward Grey Institute of Field Ornithology, Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK²Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden³Department of Zoology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand⁴School of Science, Technology and Engineering, University of the Sunshine Coast, Petrie, Queensland, Australia⁵Gulbali Institute and School of Agricultural, Environmental and Veterinary Sciences, Charles Sturt University, Albury, New South Wales, Australia⁶Centre for Planetary Health and Food Security, Griffith University, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

Correspondence

Andrea Estandía, Edward Grey Institute of Field Ornithology, Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
Email: andrea.estandia@biology.ox.ac.uk

Funding information

Marsden Fund New Zealand, Grant/Award Number: 14-UOO-231; National Geographic Society, Grant/Award Number: 9383-13; Natural Environment Research Council, Grant/Award Number: NE/S007474/1

Abstract

The “paradox of the great speciators” has puzzled evolutionary biologists for over half a century. A great speciator requires excellent dispersal propensity to explain its occurrence on multiple islands, but reduced dispersal ability to explain its high number of subspecies. A rapid reduction in dispersal ability is often invoked to solve this apparent paradox, but a proximate mechanism has not been identified yet. Here, we explored the role of six genes linked to migration and animal personality differences (*CREB1*, *CLOCK*, *ADCYAP1*, *NPAS2*, *DRD4*, and *SERT*) in 20 South Pacific populations of silvereve (*Zosterops lateralis*) that range from highly sedentary to partially migratory, to determine if genetic variation is associated with dispersal propensity and migration. We detected genetic associations in three of the six genes: (i) in a partial migrant population, migrant individuals had longer microsatellite alleles at the *CLOCK* gene compared to resident individuals from the same population; (ii) *CREB1* displayed longer average microsatellite allele lengths in recently colonized island populations (<200 years), compared to evolutionarily older populations. Bayesian broken stick regression models supported a reduction in *CREB1* length with time since colonization; and (iii) like *CREB1*, *DRD4* showed differences in polymorphisms between recent and old colonizations but a larger sample is needed to confirm. *ADCYAP1*, *SERT*, and *NPAS2* were variable but that variation was not associated with dispersal propensity. The association of genetic variants at three genes with migration and dispersal ability in silvereys provides the impetus for further exploration of genetic mechanisms underlying dispersal shifts, and the prospect of resolving a long-running evolutionary paradox through a genetic lens.

KEYWORDS

ADCYAP1, *CLOCK*, *CREB1*, dispersal, diversification, *DRD4*, great speciator, islands, *NPAS2*, *Zosterops lateralis*

Bruce C. Robertson and Sonya M. Clegg joint last authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of European Society for Evolutionary Biology.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Dispersal ability often determines the extent of species ranges and patterns of population divergence and speciation (Birand et al., 2012; Suárez et al., 2022). Highly dispersive taxa tend to have larger geographic ranges (Alzate & Onstein, 2022; Lester et al., 2007; Sheard et al., 2020) and more opportunities to exchange genes among populations in different parts of their range, inhibiting diversification (Birand et al., 2012; Gillespie et al., 2012; Lack, 1969, but see Cardillo et al., 2003). In addition, the spatial scale of speciation has been linked to variation in gene flow across a range of taxa (Kisel & Barraclough, 2010), and reduced gene flow during early stages of divergence is central to many speciation models (Coyne & Orr, 1998; Grant & Grant, 2008; Hedrick, 1983; Mayr & Diamond, 2001; Slatkin, 1987).

Great speciators are those species that inhabit multiple islands reached by overwater dispersal events, suggesting excellent colonization ability, yet display multiple morphological subspecies (Diamond et al., 1976; Manthey et al., 2020; Moyle et al., 2009; Pedersen et al., 2018). This concept is applied to several subspecies-rich birds from Melanesia and the South Pacific, including representatives within the white-eyes, kingfishers, and cicadabirds (Mayr & Diamond, 2001). A common explanation to reconcile broad island distributions with phenotypically diverse taxa is that dispersal traits are strongly selected against in each newly established island population (Diamond, 1981). Selection may reflect the individual energetic cost of this behaviour relative to the benefits of remaining (Bonte et al., 2012; McNab, 2002) or the idea that once most islands are filled, the chance of successful overwater dispersal is diminished (Diamond, 1970). However, it is still unclear how these relatively rapid, post-colonization changes in dispersal propensity could arise.

Variation in dispersal propensity is largely determined by differences in a combination of morphological, physiological and behavioural traits (Matthysen, 2012). In birds, the types of morphological changes that indicate a reduced dispersal ability include a more rounded wing (as indicated by a lower hand-wing index) (Kipp, 1959) and a shift to a more graviportal body plan (reduced flight muscles and longer legs) (Wright et al., 2016). However, among the great speciators, the shift from dispersive to sedentary forms may primarily involve behavioural rather than morphological or physiological changes, at least initially (Diamond, 1981). This is termed “behavioural flightlessness”, a reluctance to disperse (especially across water), despite the maintenance of normal wings and flight. Hence, focusing solely on morphological proxies for dispersal ability that take time to change risks missing an essential part of the early evolutionary process.

A useful but relatively unexplored framework to understand behavioural flightlessness and subsequent divergence considers the links between dispersive behaviours and personality traits (Ingle & Johnson, 2014). Dispersal (single movement to a new area) is a complex behavioural syndrome that co-varies with other personality traits: highly dispersive taxa often show increased levels of boldness,

aggression and exploration (Botero-Delgadillo et al., 2019; Duckworth & Badyaev, 2007; Duckworth & Kruuk, 2009). For example, highly aggressive Western bluebird (*Sialia mexicana*) individuals were found to be more dispersive than their less aggressive counterparts (Duckworth & Badyaev, 2007; Duckworth & Kruuk, 2009); and dispersive great tit (*Parus major*) individuals had a greater exploration rate than non-dispersive individuals (Korsten et al., 2013). Migration (seasonal movement) is also associated with personality in animals, in particular in those species that display partial migration (Nilsson et al., 2014). Migratory individuals within a species tend to be bolder than non-migratory ones (Chapman et al., 2011). For example, European Stonechat (*Saxicola rubicola*) migrant males were more territorial than resident males (Marasco et al., 2011) and resident blue tits (*Cyanistes caeruleus*) were more neophobic than migrant individuals (Nilsson et al., 2010). In addition, migratory taxa tend to disperse further (Paradis et al., 1998) within species (Kelly et al., 2016; McCaslin et al., 2020) and across species (Sheard et al., 2020). Off-course migration can result in the founding of new populations, as vagrancy is linked to exploration in migratory birds (Zawadzki, 2021).

Both quantitative and molecular genetic studies provide evidence of a genetic influence on migratory and dispersive behaviours (Liedvogel et al., 2011). These behaviours often have significant narrow sense heritability, often greater than 0.4 (Dochtermann et al., 2019). Additionally, at the molecular level, genetic variation for migration, dispersive behaviour and personality traits are well documented (Bubac et al., 2020), including the identification of a number of candidate genes underlying personality traits such as tendency for boldness or exploratory behaviour (Canestrelli et al., 2016; Fidler et al., 2007; Ruegg et al., 2014; Steinmeyer et al., 2009), and migratory behaviour (Bazzi et al., 2015; Johnsen et al., 2007; Peterson et al., 2013; see Le Clercq et al., 2023 for an extensive review). Changes in genetic variation at these candidate genes may act as a genetic switch catalysing population-level shifts in dispersive behaviour.

To date, six candidate genes are thought to contribute to a migratory phenotype, dispersive behaviour and personality traits in at least some bird species (Bubac et al., 2020; Table S1). In four of these genes, “adenylate cyclase activating polypeptide 1 gene” (ADCYAP1; Mettler et al., 2015; Saino et al., 2015); the polyglutamine repeat region of the “circadian locomotor output cycles kaput gene” (CLOCK; Johnsen et al., 2007; Peterson et al., 2013; Saino et al., 2015), the “neuronal PAS domain protein 2” (NPAS2; Chakarov et al., 2013; Bourret & Garant, 2015), and the “cAMP responsive element binding protein 1” (CREB1; Bazzi et al., 2017), microsatellite allele length variation is associated with migratory-related traits across a variety of avian taxa (Table S1). The other two genes (“dopamine receptor D4” [DRD4] and “serotonin transporter” [SERT]) show associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and differences in avian personality and migratory propensity (Riyahi et al., 2017; Timm et al., 2019; Sauve et al., 2021; Table S1).

The Zosteropidae family (white-eyes, yuhinas and allies) consists of 142 species (Clements et al., 2019) many of which are highly

dispersive as evidenced by colonization of numerous oceanic islands throughout the Indian and Pacific oceans, along with the broad continental distributions of some species (Clegg et al., 2002; Mees, 1969). This family shows one of the highest per-lineage diversification rates for vertebrates (Moyle et al., 2009) and divergence can occur even across minor geographic barriers (e.g. water gaps of just 2 km) (Bertrand et al., 2014; Cowles & Uy, 2019; Glor, 2011; Manthey et al., 2020; Mees, 1969). A particularly interesting species within this family is the silveryeye (*Zosterops lateralis*), considered a great speciator having multiple subspecies (17 morphological subspecies; Clements et al., 2019) with a wide natural distribution—including the Australian mainland and Tasmania, the North and South Islands of Aotearoa New Zealand (henceforth New Zealand), outlying oceanic islands of Australia and New Zealand, and the archipelagos of New Caledonia, Vanuatu, and Fiji (Figure 1a). Silveryeyes also display a variety of gene flow potentials: the Tasmanian subspecies (*Z. l. lateralis*) is a partial migrant (Mees, 1969); those in the central Vanuatu archipelago display high

levels of outgoing gene flow, while more peripheral populations have high levels of incoming gene flow (Clegg & Phillimore, 2010); and others, such as on Heron Island and Lord Howe Island in the southern Great Barrier Reef, are sedentary and genetically isolated (Sendell-Price et al., 2020).

Here, we assess variation in six personality-related candidate genes in the silveryeye at three different levels: individuals, populations and subspecies. Our aim is to determine whether there are signatures consistent with a genetic switch that would explain rapid shifts in dispersal, leading to reduced gene flow and subsequent increased opportunity for divergence. We ask (i) if candidate gene variation follows patterns of neutral genomic divergence, that reflect drift and gene flow processes only; (ii) if candidate gene variation is associated with dispersal propensity among a range of dispersive and non-dispersive populations of silveryeye, considering time since colonization; and (iii) if candidate gene variation is correlated with individual migratory status in the partially migratory Tasmanian population.




FIGURE 1 (a) Distribution range of the silveryeye highlighted in blue. Red dots represent single islands or archipelagos where multiple islands have been colonized from the Australian mainland or Tasmania. Approximate colonization times are shown. French Polynesia is shown as inset; Locations of silveryeye populations sampled for candidate gene variation: (b) ANZO cluster (c) SM populations.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Sampling and DNA extraction

Silvereye blood samples were collected between 1996 and 2016 from 20 sites in eastern Australia and New Zealand, including outlying islands, henceforth ANZO (Figure 1b), and Southern Melanesia (New Caledonia and Vanuatu) referred to henceforth as SM (Figure 1c; Table S3). The sampling included putative Tasmanian winter migrant individuals captured in the Australian mainland states of Queensland and New South Wales. Individuals were assigned as Tasmanian migrants based on plumage differences: resident silvereyes (subspecies *Z. l. cornwalli*) exhibit bright yellow throat and grey flanks, while migrant individuals (subspecies *Z. l. lateralis*) exhibit white-to-pale-yellow throat and dark buff flanks (Figure S1; Higgins et al., 2006). Birds were caught in mist-nets or traps and blood samples were taken via venipuncture of the brachial wing vein and stored in 90% ethanol or lysis buffer (10mM EDTA pH8.0, 10mM TRIS-HCl pH8.0, 20mM NaCl, 1% SDS, Seutin et al., 1991).

2.2 | Candidate microsatellite genotyping

We extracted DNA from 422 samples using a standard chelex protocol (Walsh et al., 1991) and amplified four microsatellite candidate genes (*CREB1* [$n=247$], *CLOCK* [$n=258$], *ADCYAP1* [$n=329$], and *NPAS2* [$n=375$]), (Table S3) with the primers from Steinmeyer et al. (2009) using a touchdown polymerase chain reaction (PCR) protocol and fluorescent labelling (VIC and FAM) using M13 tags (Schuelke, 2000). Fluorescent labelling with VIC and FAM was done in multiplex PCR reactions containing two loci each (VIC: *CLOCK* and *ADCYAP1*; FAM: *CREB1* and *NPAS2*); final reaction volume of 3 μ L contained 10ng DNA, 1 μ L Type-it Master Mix (Qiagen), 0.17 μ M of either FAM or VIC, and 0.01 μ M forward M13-labelled primer and 0.05 μ M reverse primer for each locus.

Thermal cycling consisted of an initial denaturation step of 95°C for 15 min, eight cycles of 94°C for 30s, an annealing temperature of 60°C, reduced by 1°C each cycle, for 90s and a 72°C extension step for 60s, then 25 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 52°C for 90s, and a 72°C for 60s, and a final extension of 60°C for 30min. VIC and FAM multiplexes were pooled for each sample and allele sizes for the candidate gene microsatellites were determined in relation to LIZ600 size standard on an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyser and scored using the Microsatellite Plugin within Geneious 2020.1 (<http://www.geneious.com>, Kearse et al., 2012). We tested for the deviations from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium, allelic richness and heterozygosity using the R package *diversity* (Keenan et al., 2013).

2.3 | DRD4 and SERT sequencing

We targeted one region of *DRD4* ($n=34$), encompassing intron 2 and exon 3, and part of intron 3 (1480bp) following Fidler

et al. (2007). For *SERT* ($n=100$), we amplified part of the promoter region (508bp) following Holtmann et al. (2016). Each 25 μ L PCR reaction was comprised of approximately 10ng DNA template, 1.0 unit BioTaq (Bioline USA Inc.), 1 \times BioTaq reaction buffer, and 0.5 μ M each forward and reverse primer, 200 μ M dNTPs, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$, and made up to volume with Milli Q water. The PCR reaction profiles for both *DRD4* and *SERT* fragments consisted of an initial denaturation step of 94°C for 3 min, followed by 10 cycles of 94°C for 3 min, a touchdown step at 65°C for 30s, and an extension step of 72°C for 2 min. This was followed by 25 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 55°C annealing step for 30s, an extension step of 72°C for 2 min, and a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. We purified PCR products using Acroprep 96 filter plates (Pall Corporation) following the manufacturer's protocol, then Sanger sequenced with forward and reverse primers on an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyser (Genetics Analysis Service, Otago University, NZ). We aligned the sequences using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) in Geneious version 2020.1 and identified SNPs and insertions/deletions (indels). For *DRD4*, we aligned sequences to the great tit *DRD4* gene sequence (GenBank accession no.: DQ006801.1; Fidler et al., 2007) and for *SERT*, we used the dunnoek (*Prunella modularis*) sequence (Genbank accession no.: KT967954.1; Holtmann et al., 2016). We called SNPs with a minimum minor allele frequency (MAF) of 0.05.

2.4 | Gene flow estimates

As a proxy of population dispersiveness, we estimated outgoing gene flow rates from each population. We used whole genome sequences published by Estandía et al. (2023) representing 336 of the 422 individuals included in the present study to examine population structure patterns in NGSadmix (Skotte et al., 2013), a module implemented in ANGSD (Korneliussen et al., 2014). All populations are represented in the whole-genome dataset except Erromango. We obtained a BEAGLE file containing genotype likelihoods and created a subset of 10000 unlinked SNPs picked at random after applying filtering for a MAF of 0.05. We ran NGSadmix with a range of genetic clusters (k), from 2 to 20. We selected the best k for our dataset based on the mean estimate likelihoods, which indicated $k=2$ as the optimal number of clusters, corresponding to ANZO and SM groupings. We re-ran NGSadmix in each of the main cluster to explore potential substructuring. We visualized clustering patterns using a custom R script.

We generated a covariance matrix in PCAngsd (Meisner & Albrechtsen, 2018) using the BEAGLE file. Because not all individuals were screened for all candidate genes (e.g. 375 individuals for *NPAS2* but 258 for *CLOCK*), and not all individuals in the candidate gene and whole-genome dataset coincide, we produced a population-level covariance matrix. From this, we calculated contemporary rates of gene flow among *Z. lateralis* populations for each of the two clusters (ANZO and SM) separately using BA3-SNP (Musmann et al., 2019), an extension of BayesAss that allows SNPs as input. To ensure that our gene flow

estimations were not a biased product of the SNP selection, we created five independent datasets of randomly selected 10000 SNP as input. BayesAss employs a Bayesian approach with Markov chain Monte-Carlo (MCMC) sampling to estimate migration rates defined as the proportion of immigrants from the donor population to the sink population. We adjusted delta values for migration rates (m), allele frequencies (a) and inbreeding coefficients (f) using Autotune (Mussmann et al., 2019) to ensure that parameter space sampling acceptance rate was between 20% and 60% (Wilson & Rannala, 2003). We ran the program for half a million iterations, discarding the first 10% as burn-in. We estimated the 95% credible sets by calculating the mean $\pm 1.96 \times$ Standard deviation (SD).

To assess model convergence, we compared results from 10 replicate runs each with a different random starting seed. We considered runs to have converged on a similar solution if gene flow estimates were within 0.005 (0.5%) across runs. We averaged the parameter estimates of the runs for subsequent analyses.

We explored population structure within the partial migrant population by doing a genomic PCA with PCAngsd and decomposed the covariance matrix into eigenvalues. We plotted the two first PCs to visually explore any emerging structure.

2.5 | Candidate gene association analysis

For all candidate genes, we assessed variation among populations using the R package *brms* (Bürkner, 2017). Individual mean microsatellite length was set as the response variable and population was set as a categorical explanatory variable. In the case of *SERT* and *DRD4*, the response variable was coded as 0 or 1 representing whether the individual carried the most frequent nucleotide or the variant, and we used a Bernoulli family for binary outcomes. For the two microsatellite candidate genes that showed obvious within and between population variation in length (*ADCYAP1* and *CREB1*) we tested whether population age and dispersal propensity could explain this variation by running Bayesian linear mixed models in *brms*. *CREB1* showed a clear distinction in allele lengths between a grouping consisting of the Australian mainland, Tasmania and recently colonized islands versus old island populations. Because of this structure (that does not completely align with ANZO and SM neutral structure groupings), we also applied a broken stick regression model for *CREB1* only to test whether including a single change point would improve predictive performance over a null model and a linear model using *mcp* (multiple point change) (Lindeløv, 2020). *NPAS2* and *CLOCK* displayed little among population variation in average allele length, hence we did not apply Bayesian linear mixed models to the whole population set. However, *CLOCK* showed variation within the partial migrant population of Tasmania, so we tested whether individuals that migrated to the mainland had different *CLOCK* lengths to those that over-wintered in Tasmania. The Tasmanian sample was restricted to 14 winter-caught birds (non-migrants) as the resident summer population includes a mix of migrants and

non-migrants that cannot be phenotypically distinguished. The 'migrant' group consisted of those caught at Australian mainland sites in winter that were phenotypically identified as Tasmanian migrants (26 individuals).

For Bayesian linear mixed models (*CREB1* and *ADCYAP1*) and broken-stick regression models (*CREB1*), the following population-level (fixed) parameters used were:

1. Dispersal Index (DI): the sum of each outgoing gene flow estimate (g) from island i into island j (where 95% credible interval did not overlap with zero), multiplied by the geographic distance between the islands (d) Equation (1). The latter helps to account for differences in geographic opportunity for dispersal; for example, a geographically isolated island population that has moderate outgoing gene flow to few far islands would score higher than a centrally located island population with moderate outgoing gene flow to many close islands. DI was scaled from 0 (non-dispersive) to 1 (maximally dispersive).

$$DI = \sum g_{i \rightarrow j} * d_{i \rightarrow j} \quad (1)$$

2. Age (Table S2): population ages for New Zealand, Chatham Island and Norfolk Island are known from historical records (c. 190 years; Clegg et al., 2002; Mees, 1969); for Heron Island, the population age was set as a maximum of 4000 years based on length of time the island has been vegetated (Clegg et al., 2008); and molecular estimates for the remaining ancient populations were taken from a time-calibrated gene tree (Black, 2010).

The null model represents the starting hypothesis that mean *CREB1* or *ADCYAP1* length differs between populations (which was set as group-level parameter or random effect) but does not change as a function of increasing dispersal propensity or time since colonization. We controlled for population structure using the PCAngsd population-level covariance matrix. As *mcp* does not permit incorporation of distance matrices, we used a categorical variable that classified each individual according to its membership in one of the two population genetic clusters (ANZO or SM) identified from the top-level NGSadmixture analysis.

Our models used a Gaussian distribution and application of the default link function for all *mcp* (*CREB1* only) and *brms*:

- (i) Differences in length among populations for each candidate microsatellites
- (ii) Bayesian linear mixed models:

1. migration: *CLOCK* only
2. population age and DI: *CREB1* and *ADCYAP1* only.

We set weakly informative priors for the intercepts of the segments before and after the change point (*mcp*). The same prior was applied

for both *CREB1* length intercepts due to the lack of a priori information about a positive or negative correlation of mean *CREB1* length with population age and dispersal index. Priors for both intercepts were centred at 550bp with a SD of 20 ($N(550, 20)$), based on known mean and variance of *CREB1* lengths in other passerines (Steinmeyer et al., 2009). For the *brms* linear mixed model, we set weakly informative priors with a normal distribution centred on 0 with a standard deviation of 20 for the DI and population age coefficients. This prior does not assume an increase or decrease in length, but incorporates the prior information that changes will not be greater than 20bp given that *CREB1* tend to vary less than 10bp in other birds (Bazzi et al., 2017; Bourret & Garant, 2015). We performed prior predictive checks, where data was generated according to the specified prior predictive distributions in order to assess their suitability (Gabry et al., 2019). Since dominance of the longer allele occurs for some candidate genes that show Poly-Q polymorphism (e.g. Saino et al., 2015), we analysed all the models with the longer allele as the response variable.

For both the *brms* and *mcp* analyses, we used MCMC with four chains of 4000 iterations each, including a warm-up of 400 iterations. We evaluated convergence via visual inspection of the MCMC trace plots, checking that the ESS > 200 and the *R* values for each parameter (*R* = 1 at convergence). To evaluate model performance, we compared our fitted models with a null model using leave-one-out cross-validation (LOO), a robust, fully Bayesian model selection approach (Vehtari et al., 2017).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Population genetic structure and gene flow

NGSadmixture analysis of WGS data supported two main genetic clusters ($k=2$): Cluster 1 comprised Australia, New Zealand and outlying island populations (ANZO), and Cluster 2 comprised Vanuatu and New Caledonia populations in Southern Melanesia (SM) (Figure 2; Figure S2; Table S4). Other values of k also had high likelihoods (Table S4); $k=3$ indicated sub-structuring within ANZO due to separation of Heron Island and Lord Howe Island from other populations (Figure S2; see also $k=2$ in the ANZO analysis Table S4.1); $k=4$ indicated sub-structuring within the SM cluster, primarily separating New Caledonia from Vanuatu populations, with the Vanuatu island of Tanna showing some affiliation with New Caledonia (Figure S2, see also $k=2$ in the SM analysis Table S4.2). Increasing the value of k resulted in structuring based on single islands. These population genetic patterns were consistent with those that emerged from the covariance matrix (Table S5; Figure S3).

The independent BayesAss runs conducted to quantify the degree of migration rates agreed on the patterns of gene flow (Table S6). Of 42 pairwise comparisons within the ANZO cluster, and 132 in the SM cluster, nine and five respectively had estimates for which the credibility intervals did not overlap with zero. Within




FIGURE 2 NGSadmixture plot ($k=2$) showing a split between ANZO and the SM populations. The average *CREB1* allele length per population indicates a reduction in length for Heron and Lord Howe Islands, and all populations in the SM cluster. *CREB1* was not screened for Ambae and Pentecost samples.

ANZO, this was primarily seen in relatively high outgoing gene flow estimates from Tasmania, and within SM, moderate outgoing levels from central islands of Pentecost and Espiritu Santo.

We did a genomic PCA with migrant and resident individuals and found that the two first PCs did not separate discrete clusters containing migrants and residents (Figure S4), suggesting a lack of general genome-wide divergence.

3.2 | Variation in candidate microsatellites, DRD4 and SERT

Mean and individual allele lengths for microsatellite candidate loci are shown in Figures 2 and 3. *CREB1* showed longer mean lengths for the Australian mainland, Tasmania (migrants and non-migrants), and the recently colonized populations of New Zealand, Chatham Island, and Norfolk Island (Figure S4; Table S7.1). Heron Island, Lord Howe Island and all Southern Melanesian populations displayed shorter allele lengths on average.

CLOCK was monotypic in the majority of populations (Figure 3b; Table S7.2). Compared to Tasmanian resident silvereyes, Tasmanian migrants showed longer allele lengths. Migrant individuals had long *CLOCK* variants (allele lengths of 289 and 291) not observed in any winter-caught Tasmanian birds (i.e. residents) (Figure 4a), or any other population except for a Heron Island individual. *NPAS2*

showed some variation across populations but similar mean values for populations in the ANZO cluster, with the exception of Lord Howe Island (Figure 3d; Table S7.3). However, most SM populations were not variable at this locus, with the exception of peripherally located islands of Gaua, Efate and Tanna in Vanuatu. Variation between and within populations for *ADCYAP1* was evident for all populations (Figure 3c; Table S7.4).

We identified 10 *DRD4* SNPs, seven of which were non-synonymous and three synonymous. Five of them showed significant differences across populations but only SNP at position 83 (a non-synonymous substitution) displayed consistent differences between ANZO and SM clusters (Table S7.5). SM individuals exclusively carried adenines, resulting in production of lysines, while those from New Zealand and Chatham Island only carried guanines producing arginines. Tasmanian residents and Tasmanian migrants had both nucleotides represented. We found one SNP and an INDEL in *SERT* but none were significantly different in frequency among populations.

3.3 | Candidate gene association tests

Tasmanian residents showed a decrease of 1bp ($[-0.05, -1.94]$ 95% credibility intervals) in *CLOCK* relative to migrants (Figure 4b; Table S8). Mean *CREB1* variation was better explained by a single change point model than the null model or a linear regression model




FIGURE 3 Individual mean allele lengths (shadowed dots) with mean and standard error for each population (large dots) for the four microsatellite candidate genes. Blue and grey dots correspond to two population genetic clusters identified from admixture analysis. (a) *CREB1* is longer in the source populations (Australia and Tasmania), and recently colonized populations (New Zealand, Chatham Islands and Norfolk Island) compared to older populations (Heron Island, Lord Howe Island, and SM populations). (b) Migrant individuals highlighted in orange have longer allele lengths at *CLOCK*. (c) *ADCYAP1* shows extensive variation, including shorter lengths in Lord Howe Island and Ambrym and longer lengths in New Zealand, Ouvea and Gaua. (d) *NPAS2* shows little variation with southern Vanuatu populations (Erromango, Efate and Tanna) showing an increased mean allele length.




FIGURE 4 (a) Individual *CLOCK* lengths for Tasmanian residents and Tasmanian migrants (Tasmanian silvereyes caught in winter on the Australian mainland). Tasmanian migrants show longer allele lengths. (b) Posterior estimates of the group means obtained from the *brms* model. Migrant individuals have a 1 bp increase when compared with residents.

(Figure S4; Tables S9.1–S9.2). Time since colonization and DI failed to explain the variability in *ADCYAP1* (Tables S10.1–S10.2). All models performed with the longer allele yield the same results.

The single change point model indicated that mean *CREB1* length decreased six base pairs with increasing population age, however the timing of this change had high uncertainty (Intercept 1 (before change point) = 552.462, Intercept 2 (after change point) = 557.494; Table S10.1; Figure 5a). The posterior probability density of change point ranged between 200 and 4000 years ago when we see the step reduction in allele length in the Heron Island population (maximum age 4000 years, Clegg et al., 2008), a reduction that is observed in other older populations (Lord Howe Island and Southern Melanesian populations). The exception is Tasmania, an evolutionarily old population with long average *CREB1* length. *CREB1* decreased five base pairs with decreasing DI (Intercept 1 = 551.22, Intercept 2 = 556.68; Table S10.2; Figure 5b). The posterior probability density of change point for DI ranged from Chatham Island to Espiritu Santo, an island in Vanuatu that shows low levels of outgoing gene flow into nearby islands.


4 | DISCUSSION

Rapid changes in dispersal propensity could help to explain why taxa present on many islands show high levels of phenotypic diversity—the paradox of the great speciators. Quantifying variation in six candidate genes thought to underlie dispersal and migratory behaviour in populations of Australian mainland and island-colonizing silvereyes has revealed three key results that hint at a role for allelic changes as a mechanism underlying this paradox. First, at the population level, we found that *CREB1* allele length variation was associated with time since colonization and dispersal propensity,

with recently colonized populations and those with high dispersal tendencies carrying long alleles. Second, at the individual level, we found allele length variation in *CLOCK* associated with migratory status when comparing Tasmanian residents (shorter) and Tasmanian migrants (longer). Third, more limited data from a single *DRD4* SNP suggested that recently colonized populations carried guanines, evolutionarily older island populations carried adenines, and Tasmanian migrants and non-migrants carried both nucleotides. Together, these genes are likely to provide useful signatures of behavioural shifts in dispersal propensity in other silvereye populations, and possibly closely related species, though whether any of these genes act as a genetic switch remains unclear.

In the silvereye populations studied here, *CREB1* showed a disjunct pattern of allele sizes: longer in the mainland, the partial migrant Tasmanian population, and all of the recently colonized populations and shorter in island populations colonized thousands, or hundreds of thousands of years ago. This cannot be explained by population genetic groupings, as both the Heron Island population (up to 4000 years old) and Lord Howe Island population (over 100 thousand years old) (Clegg et al., 2002; Sendell-Price et al., 2020) had shorter *CREB1* allele lengths, yet clearly fell in the ANZO population genetic cluster that includes all of the long-allele-length populations. The Heron Island samples were monomorphic for a unique (551 bp) allele, and we cannot rule out that it may be fixed entirely due to drift in this small population (of ~300 breeding birds, McCallum et al., 2000). However, all of the older colonizations converge in a reduction in length, suggesting that it is unlikely that just by neutral processes each of these independent colonizations show a change in length in the same direction. While most of the island populations were not monomorphic, they showed a decrease in variance and a shift in allele lengths. Populations did not have a fixed genotype in young (dispersive genotype) versus old colonizations (sedentary

FIGURE 5 Broken-stick regression model (*mcp*) for *CREB1* allele length variation. ANZO population data points (triangles) and SM population data points (circles); 100 posterior draws (grey lines); change point posterior distributions (four blue density curves, each representing one chain); and 89% prediction intervals (grey dashed lines). (a) Relationship with population age, showing a higher mean length in recently colonized populations and their Tasmanian source population. Change point posteriors range from ~200 years ago to ~4000 years ago. (b) Relationship with dispersal index (DI). The highest DI corresponds to Tasmania followed by New Zealand and Chatham Islands. Norfolk Island is among the young populations with no outgoing gene flow but long mean *CREB1* length. The change point posterior is centred between the DI values for Chatham Islands and Efate.



genotype). This is because the colonizing flocks were likely a mix of dominant dispersive leaders and submissive individuals as the silvereye is a social species (Kikkawa, 1987). Natural selection could have acted onto this phenotypic variation and associated genotypes. Another mechanism by which the same phenotype could have arisen is through new mutations in each of the colonizations. However, this scenario is unlikely because standing variation is a more relevant mechanism driving parallel evolution at shallow timescales (Hedrick, 2013).

At the broad scale, the most dispersive populations, and those evolutionarily recent colonization events, carry longer allele lengths, compared to more sedentary, and more ancient island populations. This is the opposite pattern to that found in common buzzard (*Buteo buteo*) where non-dispersive individuals carried longer *CREB1* allele lengths (Chakarov et al., 2013). *CREB1* allele lengths have also been shown to correlate with other life-history traits that also depend on circadian and circannual clocks e.g. incubation duration (Bourret & Garant, 2015) and male moult speed (Bazzi et al., 2017). The broad scale patterns, and suggested association with dispersal, were not always reflected within each of the longer- and shorter-allele length groups. For instance, within SM, Gaua and Espiritu Santo displayed the longest lengths, but according to our gene flow analyses, Espiritu Santo was a dispersive population within its grouping but Gaua was not; likewise for Norfolk Island in the ANZO cluster.

While the obvious length variation pattern seen at *CREB1* appears to have biological relevance for dispersal propensity in our study system, a mechanistic resolution of the paradox of the great speciators also requires dispersal shifts to be rapid (Diamond et al., 1976). We were unable to pinpoint when the *CREB1* allele size

reduction occurs because of a lack of island populations of intermediate ages. At best we can say that for silvereyes, a shift in dispersal propensity is relatively rapid, taking more than 63–95 generations (South Island New Zealand, 190 years since colonization, generation time of 2–3 years) and <1–1000 generations (Heron Island, 3000–4000 years old) (Clegg et al., 2008).

Variation at a second gene, *CLOCK*, was associated with migratory status of individuals in a partial migrant population, with migrant individuals having longer *CLOCK* allele variants, including long variants absent in non-migrant individuals from Tasmania, Australian mainland and all island populations. Migrant and sedentary individuals did not show genome-wide population structure, suggesting that *CLOCK* could potentially be a gene under divergence. Previous studies in migratory birds focusing on *CLOCK* variation show mixed results. Longer allele lengths have been found to be positively associated with migratory propensity (Peterson et al., 2013), and variation in the phenology of migratory species (Bazzi et al., 2015; Caprioli et al., 2012; Liedvogel et al., 2009), but in some cases allele lengths are negatively correlated with migration date (Ralston et al., 2019) or not correlated at all (Contina et al., 2018; Mueller et al., 2011; Parody-Merino et al., 2019).

CLOCK plays a key role in regulating the circadian oscillator gene complex (Panda et al., 2002), and is associated with variation in the phenology of photoperiodic traits (e.g. migratory behaviour) (Table S1). For example, in migratory equatorial African stonechats annual-cycle timing is associated with *CLOCK* length (Justen et al., 2022). Increasing photoperiod can initiate migration (Assadi & Fraser, 2021; Robart et al., 2018). In silvereyes, photoperiodic stimulation experiments resulted in migratory restlessness being

triggered only in migratory caged birds but not in resident ones, supporting a genetic link with migratory behaviour (Chan, 1994). Consequently, photoperiod changes could be the migration trigger with the onset of shorter autumnal days. The Tasmanian population is one of the few silvereye migrant populations situated where changes in day length during the non-breeding, winter period is substantially greater than changes experienced further north and closer to the equator. Another location where these conditions are met is New Zealand. In fact, even though records are few, large flocks of silvereyes seem to have migrated from the South to the North Island (Dennison et al., 1987), providing further support that photoperiod changes which are mediated at least partially by genetics, can lead to migration. Some overwater dispersal events might be a direct consequence of off-course migration. For example, the recent sequential colonization sequence of the silvereye from Tasmania to New Zealand and outlying islands was likely initiated by an off-course flock of Tasmanian migrants (Mees, 1969). However, Tasmanian migrants sampled in this study carry long *CLOCK* allele variants that were not recovered in the recently colonized populations. This could be because we are not sampling the full allelic diversity of *CLOCK* in recently colonized populations (New Zealand ($n=6$), Chatham Island ($n=13$), Norfolk Island ($n=2$); Table S3), and/or underlying effects of a different set of genes that we have not included in this study on dispersal ability in these populations. The genetic basis of partial migration in Tasmanian silvereyes and whether associated variants are present in recently colonized populations should be further explored in future work using a hypothesis-free genome-wide approach.

DRD4 is one of the most well-studied candidate genes related to exploratory and risk-taking behaviour (Bubac et al., 2020)—both of which could feasibly have links to dispersal propensity. We found a single polymorphism within *DRD4* (SNP83) that showed fixed differences between two young (more dispersive) and seven old (more sedentary) island populations. The Tasmanian population was polymorphic (G and A represented), the recently established populations of Chatham Island and South Island New Zealand were fixed for A, and Southern Melanesian populations fixed for G. SNP83 is located in intron 2 and corresponds to base pair 9423 on the *DRD4* orthologue of the great tit (Fidler et al., 2007). It has not been noted previously as having any phenotypic associations. Previous work assessing *DRD4* variation and personality in the great tit focused on associations between exploratory behaviour and variation at 'SNP830', revealing large effects in certain populations but not in others (Fidler et al., 2007; Korsten et al., 2013; Riyahi et al., 2017); however, SNP830 was not variable in our dataset. Our data add to the evidence that variability in the *DRD4* gene plays a role in a suite of behavioural phenotypes; however, the extent of importance of SNP83 will require screening more individuals and populations in silvereyes and other species.

Even though *NPAS2*, *ADCYAP1* and *SERT* each showed some variability between populations this variability is not related to dispersal ability. Given the limitations of studying a handful of candidate genes for explaining complex behavioural phenotypes, failure to detect associations is not entirely unexpected. In birds, different associations

(negative, positive or no correlation) in different populations, species and candidate genes are often reported (Table S1). Furthermore, few studies have considered candidate gene–environment interactions (but see Bourret & Garant, 2015; Liedvogel & Sheldon, 2010; Liedvogel et al., 2009) or methylation patterns that have been found to explain diverse complex behaviours in birds (Saino et al., 2019). The lack of replicability even within the same species could also be a product of sampling in different locations with a low number of individuals leading to a lack of statistical power, choosing different variables and proxies to measure migration and dispersiveness, using different methodologies to analyse data, publication bias or a combination of all of these (Yang et al., 2022). Thus, the lack of association in *NPAS2*, *ADCYAP1* and *SERT* does not rule out their potential role in dispersal behaviour as other factors might be masking their effects.

4.1 | Maintenance of high dispersal propensity in a continental island population

Despite being an old insular form (>200K split from Australian mainland subspecies Black, 2010), the Tasmanian silvereye (*Z. l. lateralis*) has maintained high dispersal propensity: it is a partial winter migrant to mainland Australia, it was the original source population for the historical sequential colonization of New Zealand and outlying islands (Clegg et al., 2002; Mees, 1969), and as shown here, displays high levels of gene flow with Australian mainland subspecies. It also maintains the longer *CREB1* average allele lengths, and putative Tasmanian migrants caught on the mainland have shown unusually long *CLOCK* mean allele lengths despite genome-wide lack of divergence. The maintenance of high dispersal potential, and its partial migrant status, are most likely explained by its geography and history of connectivity with the mainland. Tasmania has repeatedly been connected to the Australian mainland during glacial periods and it is currently separated by a very shallow sea (Blom & Alsop, 1988). Over 50 islands of varying sizes can be found between the Australian mainland and Tasmania, which can act as migration stopovers, and facilitate connectivity (Belbin et al., 2021).

4.2 | The future of dispersal genomics

A candidate gene approach to understanding the paradox of the great speciators relies on knowledge of those genes in multiple systems. As discussed earlier, the candidate gene approach has many limitations and often shows conflicting results. Alternative hypothesis-free approaches, like genome-wide association studies (GWAS), partially overcome the obstacles imposed by the incomplete understanding of the mechanisms underlying complex behaviours. GWAS requires phenotypes, in this case dispersal propensity, to be characterized at the individual level. Tasmanian migrants were relatively easy to identify because of their different morphological differences with the mainland subspecies. However, assigning a dispersal score to individuals where morphology cannot be used to

distinguish between dispersers and non-dispersers becomes challenging. Dispersal phenotypes could be assessed via use of individual tracking devices though the sample sizes for these types of studies are often smaller than required for GWAS in particular.

Even though our results suggest that migrants have genetic differences from non-migrant individuals, and that more dispersive populations have different *CREB1* profiles to non-dispersive ones, a more thorough sampling involving a higher number of loci is necessary to explore whether standing genetic variation within a population or de novo mutations can provide the raw material for natural selection to act upon shifting a population to complete sedentariness.

5 | CONCLUSION

In this study we assessed variation in six personality-related candidate genes in silveryeye populations to examine whether signatures consistent with a genetic switch can explain rapid shifts in dispersal, leading to reduced gene flow and ultimately divergence in this great speciator. We find strong support for the idea that more dispersive populations carry longer *CREB1* alleles, but length decreases with time and limited isolation, suggesting that selection could be acting against dispersal ability following island colonization. At the individual-level, partial migrants showed longer *CLOCK* alleles than non-partial migrant individuals in a population. Our results suggest that the paradox of the great speciators can be partially understood from a genetic perspective.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Andrea Estandía: Data curation (lead); formal analysis (lead); methodology (lead); visualization (lead); writing – original draft (lead); writing – review and editing (lead). **Ashley T. Sendell-Price:** Data curation (supporting); writing – review and editing (supporting). **Graeme Oatley:** Resources (equal). **Fiona Robertson:** Resources (equal). **Dominique Potvin:** Resources (equal); writing – review and editing (supporting). **Melanie Massaro:** Resources (equal); writing – review and editing (supporting). **Bruce C. Robertson:** Conceptualization (equal); funding acquisition (equal); project administration (equal); resources (equal); writing – review and editing (supporting). **Sonya M. Clegg:** Conceptualization (equal); funding acquisition (equal); project administration (equal); resources (equal); writing – original draft (lead); writing – review and editing (lead).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The samples used in this study were collected over two decades and we thank the many people who helped in numerous ways to facilitate the work. We are grateful to the chiefs and landholders of Vanuatu and New Caledonia for granting access to field sites, and field and logistic assistance from many people including the following: N. Clark, D. Treby, J. LeBreton, F. Cugny, W. Waheoneme, O. Hébert and A. Rouquié (New Caledonia); D. Treby, E. Sandvig and A. Robertson (Heron Island); S. Geiger, O. Boissier, R. Hills, S. Totterman, O. Drew, K. Ser, W. Ser, J. Saksak (Vanuatu), I. P. F. Owens,

N. Clark (Australian mainland); J. Kikkawa (Norfolk Island); I. P. F. Owens (Lord Howe Island); P. Park, A. Fletcher, P. Gray (Tasmania); P. Schweigman, D. Onely (New Zealand); M. Bell (Chatham Island). A. Phillimore, R. Black, and N. Clark, kindly provided additional samples. The work was conducted under permits from the Direction de l'Environnement Province Sud and Direction Du Développement Economique (New Caledonia and Loyalty Islands with thanks to G. Kakue); Vanuatu Environment Unit letters of permission and permits provided by E. Bani and we further thank D. Kalfatak and T. Tiwok for their assistance (Vanuatu); Lord Howe Island Board; Environment Australia (Norfolk Island); Queensland Department of Environment and Resource Management; Parks and Wildlife Service (Tasmania); NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (SL101536); New Zealand Department of Conservation Te Papa Atawhai; Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme project and individual permits to SMC. Ethics clearances were provided by University of Queensland ethics committee (ZOO/165/94/ARC, ZOO/520/96/ARC/PHD, ZOO/520/97/ARC/PHD) and Griffith University ethics committee (ENV/01/12/AEC, ENV/07/16/AEC, ENV/06/20/AEC, ENV/24/13/AEC) to SMC, and Charles Sturt University (15/024) to MM. We thank the funders of this work: Marsden Fund New Zealand to BCR and SMC to support fieldwork on mainland Australia and Heron Island and the molecular work; National Geographic Society Committee for Research and Exploration Grant (#9383-13) to SMC to support fieldwork in New Caledonia; Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) post-doctoral fellowship to SMC to support fieldwork in Vanuatu and New Caledonia; AE was supported by a NERC studentship (NE/S007474/1) and a St John's College Graduate Scholarship. We thank Nilo Merino Recalde for helpful comments and feedback.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at <https://www.webofscience.com/api/gateway/wos/peer-review/10.1111/jeb.14222>.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in Dryad at <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.63xsj3v6f> and supplementary material is available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7560902>.

ORCID

Andrea Estandía  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3895-2141>

Dominique Potvin  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9296-9297>

REFERENCES

- Alzate, A., & Onstein, R. E. (2022). Understanding the relationship between dispersal and range size. *Ecology Letters*, 25, 2303–2323.
- Assadi, S., & Fraser, K. C. (2021). Experimental manipulation of photoperiod influences migration timing in a wild, long-distance migratory songbird. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 288, 20211474.

- Bazzi, G., Ambrosini, R., Caprioli, M., Costanzo, A., Liechti, F., Gatti, E., Gianfranceschi, L., Podofilini, S., Romano, A., Romano, M., Scandolaro, C., Saino, N., & Rubolini, D. (2015). Clock gene polymorphism and scheduling of migration: A geolocator study of the barn swallow *Hirundo rustica*. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 1–7.
- Bazzi, G., Podofilini, S., Gatti, E., Gianfranceschi, L., Cecere, J. G., Spina, F., Saino, N., & Rubolini, D. (2017). Candidate genes have sex-specific effects on timing of spring migration and moult speed in a long-distance migratory bird. *Current Zoology*, 63, 479–486.
- Belbin, L., Wallis, E., Hobern, D., & Zerger, A. (2021). The atlas of living Australia: History, current state and future directions. *Biodiversity Data Journal*, 9, e65023.
- Bertrand, J. A. M., Bourgeois, Y. X. C., Delahaie, B., Duval, T., García-Jiménez, R., Cornuault, J., Heeb, P., Milá, B., Pujol, B., & Thébaud, C. (2014). Extremely reduced dispersal and gene flow in an Island bird. *Heredity*, 112, 190–196.
- Birand, A., Vose, A., & Gavrilits, S. (2012). Patterns of species ranges, speciation, and extinction. *The American Naturalist*, 179, 1–21.
- Black, R. A. (2010). *Phylogenetic and phenotypic divergence of an insular radiation of birds*. Imperial College London.
- Blom, W. M., & Alsop, D. B. (1988). Carbonate mud sedimentation on a temperate shelf: Bass Basin, southeastern Australia. *Sedimentary Geology*, 60, 269–280.
- Bonte, D., Van Dyck, H., Bullock, J. M., Coulon, A., Delgado, M., Gibbs, M., Lehouck, V., Matthysen, E., Mustin, K., Saastamoinen, M., Schtickzelle, N., Stevens, V. M., Vandewoestijne, S., Baguette, M., Barton, K., Benton, T. G., Chaput-Bardy, A., Clobert, J., Dytham, C., ... Travis, J. M. J. (2012). Costs of dispersal. *Biological Reviews*, 87, 290–312.
- Botero-Delgadillo, E., Quirici, V., Poblete, Y., Poulin, E., Kempnaers, B., & Vásquez, R. A. (2019). Exploratory behavior, but not aggressiveness, is correlated with breeding dispersal propensity in the highly philopatric thorn-tailed rayadito. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 51(2), e02262.
- Bourret, A., & Garant, D. (2015). Candidate gene-environment interactions and their relationships with timing of breeding in a wild bird population. *Ecology and Evolution*, 5, 3628–3641.
- Bubac, C. M., Miller, J. M., & Coltman, D. W. (2020). The genetic basis of animal behavioural diversity in natural populations. *Molecular Ecology*, 29, 1957–1971.
- Bürkner, P. C. (2017). brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 80(1), 1–28.
- Canestrelli, D., Bisconti, R., & Carere, C. (2016). Bolder takes all? The behavioral dimension of biogeography. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31, 35–43.
- Caprioli, M., Ambrosini, R., Boncoraglio, G., Gatti, E., Romano, A., Romano, M., Rubolini, D., Gianfranceschi, L., & Saino, N. (2012). Clock gene variation is associated with breeding phenology and maybe under directional selection in the migratory barn swallow. *PLoS One*, 7, e35140.
- Cardillo, M., Huxtable, J. S., & Bromham, L. (2003). Geographic range size, life history and rates of diversification in Australian mammals. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 16, 282–288.
- Chakarov, N., Jonker, R. M., Boerner, M., Hoffman, J. I., & Krüger, O. (2013). Variation at phenological candidate genes correlates with timing of dispersal and plumage morph in a sedentary bird of prey. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 5430–5440.
- Chan, K. (1994). Nocturnal activity of caged resident and migrant silver-eyes (*Zosteropidae*: Aves). *Ethology*, 96, 313–321.
- Chapman, B. B., Brönmark, C., Nilsson, J. Å., & Hansson, L. A. (2011). The ecology and evolution of partial migration. *Oikos*, 120, 1764–1775.
- Clegg, S. M., Degnan, S. M., Kikkawa, J., Moritz, C., Estoup, A., & Owens, I. P. F. (2002). Genetic consequences of sequential founder events by an Island-colonizing bird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 99, 8127–8132.
- Clegg, S. M., Frentiu, F. D., Kikkawa, J., Tavecchia, G., & Owens, I. P. F. (2008). 4000 years of phenotypic change in an Island bird: Heterogeneity of selection over three microevolutionary timescales. *Evolution*, 62, 2393–2410.
- Clegg, S. M., & Phillimore, A. B. (2010). The influence of gene flow and drift on genetic and phenotypic divergence in two species of *Zosterops* in Vanuatu. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological sciences*, 365, 1077–1092.
- Clements, J. F., Schulenberg, T. S., Iliff, M. J., Billerman, S. M., Fredericks, T. A., Sullivan, B. L., & Wood, C. L. (2019). *The eBird/clements checklist of birds of the world: v2019*.
- Contina, A., Bridge, E. S., Ross, J. D., Shipley, J. R., & Kelly, J. F. (2018). Examination of clock and *Adcyap1* gene variation in a neotropical migratory passerine. *PLoS One*, 13, 1–13.
- Cowles, S. A., & Uy, J. A. C. (2019). Rapid, complete reproductive isolation in two closely related *Zosterops* White-eye bird species despite broadly overlapping ranges. *Evolution*, 73, 1647–1662.
- Coyne, J. A., & Orr, A. H. (1998). The evolutionary genetics of speciation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 353, 287–305.
- Dennison, M. D., Heather, B. D., & Robertson, H. A. (1987). Migratory movement of silvereyes at farewell spit. *Notornis*, 28, 119–120.
- Diamond, J. M. (1970). Ecological consequences of Island colonization by southwest Pacific birds. II. The effect of species diversity on total population density. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 67, 1715–1721.
- Diamond, J. M. (1981). Flightlessness and the fear of flying in Island species. *Nature*, 293, 507–508.
- Diamond, J. M., Gilpin, M. E., & Mayr, E. (1976). Species-distance relation for birds of the Solomon Archipelago, and the paradox of the great speciators. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 73, 2160–2164.
- Dochtermann, N. A., Schwab, T., Anderson Berdal, M., Dalos, J., & Royauté, R. (2019). The heritability of behavior: A meta-analysis. *The Journal of Heredity*, 110, 403–410.
- Duckworth, R. A., & Badyaev, A. V. (2007). Coupling of dispersal and aggression facilitates the rapid range expansion of a passerine bird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104, 15017–15022.
- Duckworth, R. A., & Kruuk, L. E. B. (2009). Evolution of genetic integration between dispersal and colonization ability in a bird. *Evolution*, 63, 968–977.
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32, 1792–1797.
- Estandía, A., Sendell-Price, A. T., Robertson, B. C., & Clegg, S. M. (2023). Standing genetic variation and *de novo* mutations underlie parallel evolution of island bird phenotypes. *bioRxiv*.
- Fidler, A. E., Van Oers, K., Drent, P. J., Kuhn, S., Mueller, J. C., & Kempnaers, B. (2007). *Drd4* gene polymorphisms are associated with personality variation in a passerine bird. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 274, 1685–1691.
- Gabry, J., Simpson, D., Vehtari, A., Betancourt, M., & Gelman, A. (2019). Visualization in Bayesian workflow. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 182, 389–402.
- Gillespie, R. G., Baldwin, B. G., Waters, J. M., Fraser, C. I., Nikula, R., & Roderick, G. K. (2012). Long-distance dispersal: A framework for hypothesis testing. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 27, 47–56.
- Glor, R. E. (2011). Remarkable new evidence for Island radiation in birds. *Molecular Ecology*, 20, 4823–4826.
- Grant, B. R., & Grant, P. R. (2008). Fission and fusion of Darwin's finches populations. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363, 2821–2829.
- Hedrick, P. W. (1983). *Genetics of populations*. Jones & Barlett Publishers.
- Hedrick, P. W. (2013). Adaptive introgression in animals: Examples and comparison to new mutation and standing variation as sources of adaptive variation. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(18), 4606–4618.

- Higgins, P. J., Peter, J. M., & Cowling, S. J. (Eds.). (2006). Boatbill to starlings. In *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic birds* (Vol. 7). Oxford University Press.
- Holtmann, B., Grosser, S., Lagisz, M., Johnson, S. L., Santos, E. S. A., Lara, C. E., Robertson, B. C., & Nakagawa, S. (2016). Population differentiation and behavioural association of the two "personality" genes *DRD4* and *SERT* in dunnocks (*Prunella modularis*). *Molecular Ecology*, 25, 706–722.
- Ingle, S. J., & Johnson, J. B. (2014). Animal personality as a driver of reproductive isolation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29, 369–371.
- Johnsen, A., Fidler, A. E., Kuhn, S., Carter, K. L., Hoffmann, A., Barr, I. R., Biard, C., Charmanier, A., Eens, M., Korsten, P., Siitari, H., Tomiuk, J., & Kempnaers, B. (2007). Avian clock gene polymorphism: Evidence for a latitudinal cline in allele frequencies. *Molecular Ecology*, 16, 4867–4880.
- Justen, H., Hasselmann, T., Illera, J. C., Delmore, K. E., Serrano, D., Flinks, H., Senzaki, M., Kawamura, K., Helm, B., & Liedvogel, M. (2022). Population-specific association of clock gene polymorphism with annual cycle timing in stonechats. *Scientific Reports*, 12, 1–13.
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Meintjes, P., & Drummond, A. (2012). Geneious basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 1647–1649.
- Keenan, K., McGinnity, P., Cross, T. F., Crozier, W. W., & Prodöhl, P. A. (2013). *diveR*sity: An R package for the estimation and exploration of population genetics parameters and their associated errors. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 782–788.
- Kelly, T. R., MacGillivray, H. L., Sarquis-Adamson, Y., Watson, M. J., Hobson, K. A., & MacDougall-Shackleton, E. A. (2016). Seasonal migration distance varies with natal dispersal and predicts parasitic infection in song sparrows. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 70, 1857–1866.
- Kikkawa, J. (1987). Social relations and fitness in silvereyes. In Y. Ito, J. L. Brown, & J. Kikkawa (Eds.), *Animal societies: Theories and facts* (pp. 253–266). Japan Scientific Society Press.
- Kipp, F. A. (1959). Der Handflügel-Index als flugbiologisches Maß. *Die Vogelwarte*, 20, 77086.
- Kisel, Y., & Barraclough, T. G. (2010). Speciation has a spatial scale that depends on levels of gene flow. *The American Naturalist*, 175, 316–334.
- Korneliusson, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of next generation sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 15, 1–13.
- Korsten, P., Van Overveld, T., Adriaensen, F., & Matthysen, E. (2013). Genetic integration of local dispersal and exploratory behaviour in a wild bird. *Nature Communications*, 4(1), 2362.
- Lack, D. (1969). The numbers of bird species on islands. *Bird Study*, 16, 193–209.
- Le Clercq, L., Bazzi, G., Cecere, J. G., Gianfranceschi, L., Grobler, J. P., Kotzé, A., Rubolini, D., Liedvogel, M., & Dalton, D. L. (2023). Time trees and clock genes: A systematic review and comparative analysis of contemporary avian migration genetics. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 98(4), 1051–1080.
- Lester, S. E., Ruttenberg, B. I., Gaines, S. D., & Kinlan, B. P. (2007). The relationship between dispersal ability and geographic range size. *Ecology Letters*, 10, 745–758.
- Liedvogel, M., Åkesson, S., & Bensch, S. (2011). The genetics of migration on the move. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 26, 561–569.
- Liedvogel, M., & Sheldon, B. C. (2010). Low variability and absence of phenotypic correlates of clock gene variation in a great tit *Parus major* population. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 41, 543–550.
- Liedvogel, M., Szulkin, M., Knowles, S. C. L., Wood, M. J., & Sheldon, B. C. (2009). Phenotypic correlates of clock gene variation in a wild blue tit population: Evidence for a role in seasonal timing of reproduction. *Molecular Ecology*, 18, 2444–2456.
- Lindeløv, J. K. (2020). *mcp*: An R package for regression with multiple change points. *OSF Preprints*, 10.
- Manthey, J. D., Oliveros, C. H., Andersen, M. J., Filardi, C. E., & Moyle, R. G. (2020). Gene flow and rapid differentiation characterize a rapid insular radiation in the southwest Pacific (Aves: Zosterops). *Evolution*, 74(8), 1788–1803.
- Marasco, V., Fusani, L., Dessi-Fulgheri, F., & Canoine, V. (2011). Non-migratory stonechats show seasonal changes in the hormonal regulation of non-seasonal territorial aggression. *Hormones and Behavior*, 60, 414–419.
- Matthysen, E. (2012). Multicausality of dispersal: A review. In J. Clobert, M. Baguette, T. G. Benton, & J. M. Bullock (Eds.) *Dispersal ecology and evolution* (pp. 3–18). Oxford University Press.
- Mayr, E., & Diamond, J. (2001). *The birds of Northern Melanesia: Speciation, ecology, and biogeography*. Oxford University Press.
- McCallum, H., Kikkawa, J., & Catterall, C. (2000). Density dependence in an Island population of silvereyes. *Ecology Letters*, 3, 95–100.
- McCaslin, H. M., Caughlin, T. T., & Heath, J. A. (2020). Long-distance natal dispersal is relatively frequent and correlated with environmental factors in a widespread raptor. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 89, 2077–2088.
- McNab, B. K. (2002). *The physiological ecology of vertebrates: A view from energetics*. Cornell University Press.
- Mees, G. (1969). A systematic review of the Indo-Australian Zosteropidae. In *Zoologische Verhandelingen* (pp. 1–390). Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie.
- Meisner, J., & Albrechtsen, A. (2018). Inferring population structure and admixture proportions in low-depth NGS data. *Genetics*, 210, 719–731.
- Mettler, R., Segelbacher, G., & Martin Schaefer, H. (2015). Interactions between a candidate gene for migration (*ADCYAP1*), morphology and sex predict spring arrival in blackcap populations. *PLoS One*, 10, 1–17.
- Moyle, R. G., Filardi, C. E., Smith, C. E., & Diamond, J. (2009). Explosive Pleistocene diversification and hemispheric expansion of a "great speciator". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106, 1863–1868.
- Mueller, J. C., Pulido, F., & Kempnaers, B. (2011). Identification of a gene associated with avian migratory behaviour. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 278, 2848–2856.
- Musmann, S. M., Douglas, M. R., Chafin, T. K., & Douglas, M. E. (2019). BA3-SNPs: Contemporary migration reconfigured in BayesAss for next-generation sequence data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 10, 1808–1813.
- Nilsson, A. L. K., Nilsson, J. Å., Alerstam, T., & Bäckman, J. (2010). Migratory and resident blue tits *Cyanistes caeruleus* differ in their reaction to a novel object. *Naturwissenschaften*, 97, 981–985.
- Nilsson, J.-Å., Brönmark, C., Hansson, L.-Å., & Chapman, B. B. (2014). Individuality in movement: The role of animal personality. In L. A. Hansson, & S. Åkesson (Eds.) *Animal Movement Across Scales* (pp. 90–109). Oxford University Press.
- Panda, S., Hogenesch, J. B., & Kay, S. A. (2002). Circadian rhythms from flies to human. *Nature*, 417, 329–335.
- Paradis, E., Baillie, S. R., Sutherland, W. J., & Gregory, R. D. (1998). Patterns of natal and breeding dispersal in birds. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 67, 518–536.
- Parody-Merino, Á. M., Battley, P. F., Conklin, J. R., & Fidler, A. E. (2019). No evidence for an association between clock gene allelic variation and migration timing in a long-distance migratory shorebird (*Limosa lapponica baueri*). *Oecologia*, 191, 843–859.
- Pedersen, M. P., Irestedt, M., Joseph, L., Rahbek, C., & Jønsson, K. A. (2018). Phylogeography of a 'great speciator' (Aves: *Edolisoma tenuirostre*) reveals complex dispersal and diversification dynamics across the Indo-Pacific. *Journal of Biogeography*, 45, 826–837.

- Peterson, M. P., Abolins-Abols, M., Atwell, J. W., Rice, R. J., Milá, B., & Ketterson, E. D. (2013). Variation in candidate genes CLOCK and ADCYAP1 does not consistently predict differences in migratory behavior in the songbird genus junco. *F1000Research*, 2, 1–16.
- Ralston, J., Lorenc, L., Montes, M., DeLuca, W. V., Kirchman, J. J., Woodworth, B. K., Mackenzie, S. A., Newman, A., Cooke, H. A., Freeman, N. E., Sutton, A. O., Tauzer, L., & Norris, D. R. (2019). Length polymorphisms at two candidate genes explain variation of migratory behaviors in blackpoll warblers (*Setophaga striata*). *Ecology and Evolution*, 9, 8840–8855.
- Riyahi, S., Björklund, M., Mateos-Gonzalez, F., & Senar, J. C. (2017). Personality and urbanization: Behavioural traits and DRD4 SNP830 polymorphisms in great tits in Barcelona city. *Journal of Ethology*, 35, 101–108.
- Robart, A. R., McGuire, M. M., & Watts, H. E. (2018). Increasing photoperiod stimulates the initiation of spring migratory behaviour and physiology in a facultative migrant, the pine siskin. *Royal Society Open Science*, 5(8), 180876.
- Ruegg, K., Anderson, E. C., Boone, J., Pouls, J., & Smith, T. B. (2014). A role for migration-linked genes and genomic islands in divergence of a songbird. *Molecular Ecology*, 23, 4757–4769.
- Saino, N., Albeti, B., Ambrosini, R., Caprioli, M., Costanzo, A., Mariani, J., Parolini, M., Romano, A., Rubolini, D., Formenti, G., Gianfranceschi, L., & Bollati, V. (2019). Inter-generational resemblance of methylation levels at circadian genes and associations with phenology in the barn swallow. *Scientific Reports*, 9, 1–16.
- Saino, N., Bazzi, G., Gatti, E., Caprioli, M., Cecere, J. G., Possenti, C. D., Galimberti, A., Orioli, V., Bani, L., Rubolini, D., Gianfranceschi, L., & Spina, F. (2015). Polymorphism at the clock gene predicts phenology of long-distance migration in birds. *Molecular Ecology*, 24, 1758–1773.
- Sauve, D., Dale, C. A., Tigano, A., Ratcliffe, L. M., & Friesen, V. L. (2021). Do candidate genes for migration and behavior explain migratory variation in bluebirds (*Sialia* spp.)? *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology*, 132, 1–10.
- Schuelke, M. (2000). An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. *Nature Biotechnology*, 18(2), 233–234.
- Sendell-Price, A. T., Ruegg, K. C., & Clegg, S. M. (2020). Rapid morphological divergence following a human-mediated introduction: The role of drift and directional selection. *Heredity*, 124, 535–549.
- Seutin, G., White, B. N., & Boag, P. T. (1991). Preservation of avian blood and tissue samples for DNA analyses. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 69, 82–90.
- Sheard, C., Neate-Clegg, M. H., Alioravainen, N., Jones, S. E., Vincent, C., MacGregor, H. E., Bregman, T. P., Claramunt, S., & Tobias, J. A. (2020). Ecological drivers of global gradients in avian dispersal inferred from wing morphology. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 2463.
- Skotte, L., Korneliussen, T. S., & Albrechtsen, A. (2013). Estimating individual admixture proportions from next generation sequencing data. *Genetics*, 195(3), 693–702.
- Slatkin, M. (1987). Gene flow and the geographic structure of natural populations. *Science*, 236, 787–792.
- Steinmeyer, C., Mueller, J. C., & Kempenaers, B. (2009). Search for informative polymorphisms in candidate genes: Clock genes and circadian behaviour in blue tits. *Genetica*, 136, 109–117.
- Suárez, D., Arribas, P., Jiménez-García, E., & Emerson, B. C. (2022). Dispersal ability and its consequences for population genetic differentiation and diversification. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 289(1975), 20220489.
- Timm, K., Mägi, M., Telve, K., & Tilgar, V. (2019). The behavioural response of Great Tits to novel environment and handling is affected by the DRD4 gene. *Ibis*, 161, 91–100.
- Vehtari, A., Gelman, A., & Gabry, J. (2017). Practical Bayesian model evaluation using leave-one-out cross-validation and WAIC. *Statistics and Computing*, 27, 1413–1432.
- Walsh, P. S., Metzger, D. A., & Higuchi, R. (1991). Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. *Biotechniques*, 10(4), 506–513.
- Wilson, G. A., & Rannala, B. (2003). Bayesian inference of recent migration rates using multilocus genotypes. *Genetics*, 163, 1177–1191.
- Wright, N. A., Steadman, D. W., & Witt, C. C. (2016). Predictable evolution toward flightlessness in volant Island birds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113, 4765–4770.
- Yang, Y., Sánchez-Tójar, A., O'Dea, R. E., Noble, D., Koricheva, J., Jennions, M. D., Parker, T. H., & Lagisz, M. (2022). Publication bias impacts on effect size, statistical power, and magnitude (Type M) and sign (Type S) errors in ecology and evolutionary biology. *BMC Biology*, 21, 1–20.
- Zawadzki, L. C. (2021). *Patterns of vagrancy and long-distance dispersal in migratory birds*. University of Oxford.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Estandía, A., Sendell-Price, A. T., Oatley, G., Robertson, F., Potvin, D., Massaro, M., Robertson, B. C., & Clegg, S. M. (2023). Candidate gene polymorphisms are linked to dispersive and migratory behaviour: Searching for a mechanism behind the “paradox of the great speciators”. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 36, 1503–1516. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.14222>