

Vegetarian Epidemiology: Review and Discussion of Findings from Geographically Diverse Cohorts

Michael J. Orlich ¹, Tina H. T. Chiu ^{2,3}, Preet K Dhillon ⁴, Timothy J Key ⁵, Gary E. Fraser ¹,
Krithiga Shridhar ⁴, Sutapa Agrawal ⁴, and Sanjay Kinra ⁶

Affiliations

¹ Schools of Medicine and Public Health, Loma Linda University, Loma Linda, CA, USA

² Department of Nutrition Therapy, Dalin Tzu Chi Hospital, Buddhist Tzu Chi Medical
Foundation, Chiayi County, Taiwan.

³ College of Medicine, Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan

⁴ Centre for Chronic Conditions and Injuries (CCCI), Public Health Foundation of India
Gurugram, Haryana, India

⁵ Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of
Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

⁶ London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

PubMed Indexing: Orlich M; Chiu THT, Dhillon P; Key T; Fraser G; Shridhar K; Agrawal
S; Kinra S

Corresponding author: Dr. Michael Orlich, Department of Preventive Medicine

School of Medicine and Public Health, Loma Linda University

Nichol Hall 2037

24951 North Circle Dr.

Loma Linda CA, 92350

Telephone: (909) 558-7246

E-mail: morlich@llu.edu

Financial Support

Research on the health of vegetarians in EPIC-Oxford is supported by the UK Medical Research Council (MR/M012190/1) and the Wellcome Trust (Livestock, Environment and People: [205212/Z/16/Z](#)).

The Adventist Health Study – 2 project support was obtained from National Cancer Institute (NCI) grant 1U01CA152939 (Dr Fraser) and World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF) grant 2009/93 (Dr Fraser)

The Tzu Chi Health Study was funded by Buddhist Dalin Tzu Chi General Hospital (TCRD – I9605-02) for baseline data collection, and Buddhist Tzu Chi Medical Foundation (TCMMPSP104-08-02, TCMMP105-13-05, and TCMMP106-04-01) for follow-ups.

Indian Migration Study research was funded by Wellcome Trust project grant GR070797MF.

Word count: 6304

Tables: 2

Figures: 1

Michael J. Orlich, Tina H. T. Chiu, Preet K Dhillon, Timothy J Key, Gary E. Fraser, Krithiga Shridhar, Sutapa Agrawal, and Sanjay Kinra “no conflicts of interest”

Running title: Epidemiological studies of vegetarians

Abbreviations: AHS-2, Adventist Health Study – 2; EPIC-Oxford, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition – Oxford; IMS, Indian Migration Study; NFHS-3, National Family Health Survey – 3; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; OVS, Oxford Vegetarian Study; TCHS, Tzu Chi Health Study

1 **Abstract**

2 Epidemiologic cohort studies enrolling a large percentage of vegetarians have been
3 highly informative regarding the nutritional adequacy and possible health effects of
4 vegetarian diets. The two largest such cohorts are the European Prospective Investigation
5 into Cancer and Nutrition – Oxford (EPIC-Oxford)¹ and the Adventist Health Study – 2
6 (AHS-2). These cohorts are described and their findings discussed, including a discussion
7 of where findings appear to diverge. While such studies from North America and the UK
8 have been important, the large majority of the world’s vegetarians live in other regions,
9 particularly in Asia. Findings from recent cohort studies of vegetarians in East and South
10 Asia are reviewed, particularly the Tzu Chi Health Study and Indian Migration Study.
11 Important considerations for the study of the health of vegetarians in Asia are discussed.
12 Vegetarian diets vary substantially, as may associated health outcomes. Cohort studies
13 remain an important tool to better characterize the health of vegetarian populations around
14 the globe.

15

Key words:

Epidemiological studies, vegetarians, plant-based diet pattern, chronic disease risk, Adventist
Health Study, India migration study, EPIC oxford, Tzu Chi health study

16

¹ Abbreviations: AHS-2, Adventist Health Study – 2; EPIC-Oxford, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition – Oxford; IMS, Indian Migration Study; NFHS-3, National Family Health Survey – 3; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; OVS, Oxford Vegetarian Study; TCHS, Tzu Chi Health Study

17 **Background**

18 People around the world have adhered to various plant-based, or vegetarian, diets since
19 antiquity with a variety of motivations, including ethical and religious concerns. In the 19th
20 and early 20th centuries, vegetarian diets were promoted by multiple prominent figures for
21 their health benefits and vegetarian societies were organized. But only in the last 50 years
22 have the health effects of vegetarian diets been studied with more scientific rigor. While
23 early studies often focused on examining vegetarians for possible nutrient deficiencies, the
24 focus has expanded to evaluating possible health benefits of these longstanding real-world
25 dietary patterns.

26 Much of the scientific investigation of the health effects of vegetarian diets has been
27 accomplished through observational epidemiologic studies, particularly prospective cohort
28 studies including vegetarians and non-vegetarians. In particular, two active centers of study
29 have contributed a large part of our current knowledge on vegetarian diets and health: studies
30 in the U.K. culminating in the current Oxford cohort of the EPIC (European Prospective
31 Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition) study (EPIC-Oxford) and studies of Seventh-day
32 Adventists in the U.S. culminating in the current Adventist Health Study – 2 (AHS-2).
33 While these have been important and informative studies, they may not be representative of
34 many vegetarian populations around the world, particularly the large number of vegetarians
35 in Asia. Fortunately, efforts are being made toward the scientific study of the health effects
36 of vegetarian diets both in East Asia and South Asia.

37 At the recent 7th International Congress on Vegetarian Nutrition, a symposium featured
38 epidemiologic studies of vegetarians, including not only the well-established EPIC-Oxford
39 and AHS-2 studies, but also featuring epidemiologic studies of vegetarians in both the East
40 Asian and South Asian contexts. This paper reviews these cohort studies and their findings.
41 In the context of these findings, we also discuss the consistency of the findings, potential
42 reasons for apparent discrepancies, the varied nature of vegetarian diets, and the importance

of epidemiologic studies of vegetarian diets in Asia. We begin with descriptions of the relevant cohorts and a summary of prominent and recent findings from each.

Vegetarian cohort studies and findings

The EPIC-Oxford Study

EPIC-Oxford is a cohort of approximately 65,000 men and women aged 20 and above who were recruited throughout the United Kingdom between 1993 and 2000. Recruitment was targeted to include a high proportion of non meat-eaters, and the cohort includes 52% meat-eaters, 15% who eat fish but not meat, 29% lacto-ovo-vegetarians, and 4% vegans (1). Participants completed a food frequency and lifestyle questionnaire at recruitment, 20,000 provided blood samples, and 31,000 completed 7-day food diaries within a few months after recruitment. To follow their diet and health, participants have been asked to complete follow-up questionnaires at approximately 5, 10 and 15 years after recruitment, and their health is also followed through record linkage to data held by the National Health Service in the United Kingdom to provide information on diagnoses of cancer, other hospital diagnoses, and causes of death. In some analyses the data from EPIC-Oxford have been combined with the earlier Oxford Vegetarian Study (OVS), a smaller (11,000 participants) but broadly similar cohort recruited from Oxford in the 1980s (2).

At recruitment, 66% of vegetarians had followed their diet for more than 5 years, and at 5-year follow-up 85% of vegetarians were still following a vegetarian diet (3). Compared to meat-eaters, vegetarians in EPIC-Oxford have relatively high intakes of carbohydrates, polyunsaturated fat, dietary fiber, folic acid, vitamin C, vitamin E and magnesium, and relatively low intakes of protein, saturated fat, retinol, vitamin B12 and zinc (1). Examination of biomarkers showed that, compared to meat-eaters, vegetarians have relatively low circulating concentrations of long-chain n-3 fatty acids, vitamin B12 and vitamin D, with even lower concentrations in vegans (4-6). Metabolic profiles also differ between diet groups,

for example vegans have relatively low plasma concentrations of lysine and methionine, but relatively high concentrations of glycine (7).

Assessment of diet approximately 15 years after recruitment showed that overall compliance with population dietary goals was high in all the diet groups, but that the meat-eaters slightly exceeded the limit for saturated fat and did not reach the goal for dietary fiber, whereas the vegans may be at risk of dietary deficiency of vitamin B12 and iodine (8). Examination of health behaviors showed that, compared to meat-eaters, vegetarian women were less likely to participate in screening for breast cancer or take hormonal therapy for menopause, and that vegetarian men were less likely to report prostate specific antigen testing for prostate cancer (9).

Compared to the meat-eaters, the vegetarians in EPIC-Oxford have a lower body mass index and prevalence of obesity, less weight gain during follow-up, lower non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol, lower systolic blood pressure and a lower prevalence of hypertension; these differences were generally greater in vegans than in lacto-ovo-vegetarians (10-14).

The RR of ischemic heart disease in vegetarians compared to non-vegetarians was 0.68 (95% CI: 0.58,0.81) (15). However, the risk of death from ischemic heart disease was not significantly different between vegetarians and non-vegetarians (RR: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.79,1.23) (16). Results for incident stroke have not yet been published, but cerebrovascular disease mortality did not differ significantly between vegetarians and meat eaters (RR: 1.11; 95% CI: 0.76,1.62) (16).

For cancer at specific sites, the risk in vegetarians was significantly lower than that in meat-eaters for cancers of the stomach (RR: 0.37; 95% CI: 0.19,0.69), bladder (RR: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.49,0.84) and lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue (RR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.49,0.84). There were no significant differences between vegetarians and meat-eaters in the risks for other common cancer sites including cancers of the colorectum, breast or prostate. The RR of being diagnosed with any type of cancer in vegetarians compared to meat-eaters was 0.88;

(95% CI: 0.82,0.95) (17). When the vegetarians were subdivided into lacto-ovo-vegetarians and vegans, RRs for all cancers combined compared to meat-eaters were 0.89 (95% CI: 0.83,0.96) for lacto-ovo-vegetarians and 0.81 (95% CI: 0.66,0.98) for vegans.

For conditions diagnosed in hospital other than cancer, vegetarians had relatively low risks of kidney stones, cataracts, and diverticular disease, but not gallstones (18-21).

In comparisons with the whole United Kingdom population, all-cause mortality was low in both vegetarians and non-vegetarians (standardized mortality ratios 52% for both diet groups) (3), and in a formal comparison (including data from the Oxford Vegetarian Study) all-cause mortality did not differ between vegetarians and regular meat-eaters (death rate ratio: 1.02;95% CI: 0.94,1.10) (16).

The Adventist Health Study – 2

The Adventist Health Study-2 is a North American cohort (22) consisting of 96,000 subjects who were enrolled between 2002 and 2007. All subjects are Seventh-day Adventists, aged between 30 and 111 years at enrollment, about 65% are female, and 26% are Black (African-American or West Indian living in the U.S. or Canada).

An extensive questionnaire was obtained at study baseline including sections on medical history, a food frequency questionnaire, physical activity questions, female questions, social and demographic variables. Vital status was ascertained by annual matching with the National Death Index, and incident cancers were found by matching with 49 of the 50 state cancer registries and the Washington DC registry. Follow-up reflected in analytic datasets averages about 8 years, producing now more than 10,000 deaths and more than 5,000 incident cancers. The food frequency questionnaire has been validated by comparison with repeated 24 hour dietary recalls (23, 24) and also with biomarkers of dietary intake (25).

Approximately 50% of AHS-2 subjects (N=42,500) are non-vegetarian, eating meats on average about three times per week. The remainder (N=53,500) are vegetarian (broadly

defined), divided between lacto-ovo-, vegan-, pesco- and semi-vegetarians. Adventist vegetarians have typically subscribed to their current dietary patterns for many years. Moreover, the same subjects, as they age, infrequently change their diets after age 55 years. When they do so, it is much more likely to be in the direction of eating fewer animal products (26).

The following is a summary of some of the most important recent results from AHS-2. All reported findings are from multivariate-adjusted analyses. When not otherwise specified, findings reported for vegetarians are for the combination of vegans, lacto-ovo-vegetarians, pesco-vegetarians, and semi-vegetarians compared to non-vegetarians.

Vegetarians have lower all-cause mortality (RR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72,0.94), particularly in males, and specifically for cardiovascular, renal, and endocrine diseases, but not for cancer mortality. In females, trends are toward lower mortality in vegetarians but effect sizes are smaller and often non-significant (27).

Regarding cancer risk, vegetarians have a lower incidence of all cancers combined (RR: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.85,0.99), and particularly of gastro-intestinal cancers (RR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.63,0.90) (28). The incidence of 3 major cancers has been examined separately. Vegetarians have lower incidence of colorectal cancer (RR: 0.78; 95% CI: 0.64,0.95), this being particularly evident in pesco-vegetarians (29). Higher dairy consumption is associated with a lower incidence of colorectal cancer, where in the AHS-2 data the association is with higher dairy calcium for colon cancer, but with some other non-calcium component for rectal cancer. Milk is thus negatively associated with both cancers (30). Vegans (but not vegetarians more generally), have a significant 35% lower incidence of prostate cancer than non-vegetarians (31). Vegans (but not vegetarians more generally), may have a lower incidence of breast cancer than non-vegetarians with a separated breast cancer-free survival curve, but $P=0.09$, suggesting the need for further evaluation with larger numbers (32).

Cardiovascular mortality is strongly negatively associated with a factor weighing

heavily on nut and seed proteins, and strongly positively associated with a factor weighing heavily on meat proteins (33). This is after adjusting for different categories of fatty acid, raising the question of proteins themselves as possible risk factors. Further, risk of coronary disease (34), traditional coronary risk factors, such as blood cholesterol, blood pressure, risk of diabetes, and C-reactive protein, are all much lower in vegetarians (35-37). Much of this is also true in Black subjects (38). Body mass index is also much lower in vegetarians (36, 38) and further, having breakfast and eating most calories before late afternoon is associated with less increase in weight before age 60 years and a faster loss of weight after age 60 years (39). Over decades this translates to an average 5-6 kg difference.

In summary, AHS-2 findings with regard to vegetarianism do show considerable internal consistency by helping explain the well-known lower rates of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and all-cause mortality among Adventists (40); findings for these major endpoints are also consistent with the effects of these diets on many risk factors. Findings identifying certain vegetarian-related foods (e.g. red meat, nuts/seeds, dairy) that associate with disease clearly deserve further investigation.

The Tzu Chi Health Study

The Tzu Chi Health Study (TCHS) recruited 6002 participants in Taiwan (including 4625 certified Tzu Chi volunteers and 1377 non-Tzu Chi volunteers) from 2005 to 2007. Tzu Chi volunteers are Buddhist volunteers who are devoted to community service, local and international disaster relief, recycling, and various other volunteer projects initiated by the Buddhist Tzu Chi Foundation. Before becoming certified as Tzu Chi volunteers, these individuals went through at least two years of training and were required to quit smoking and alcohol-drinking. In addition, volunteers are encouraged to consume vegetarian diets for compassion (toward animals) and environmental conservation.

At baseline, all participants received a detailed health examination and were

interviewed by trained research assistants on demographic information, medical history, lifestyle (smoking, alcohol drinking, physical activities) and diet. Diet was assessed through a food frequency questionnaire with good reliability and validity among cohort participants (41). Approximately one-third of the cohort participants were vegetarians at baseline. Participants were followed-up in two ways: (1) every three years, participants were invited back for a follow-up health examination; (2) baseline data were linked to the National Health Insurance Database and the National Death Registry at the Health and Welfare Data Center of Taiwan.

Besides avoidance of meat, fish, and seafood, vegetarians in this cohort also consumed more soy products, vegetables, and whole grains, but similar amount of fruits and dairy, compared with non-vegetarians (42). At baseline, vegetarians had a lower prevalence of diabetes than non-vegetarians (independent of BMI) in all men (OR: 0.49; 95% CI: 0.28,0.89), premenopausal women (OR: 0.26; 95% CI:0.06,1.21), and post-menopausal women (OR: 0.25; 95% CI: 0.15,0.42) (42); and a 21% lower prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver (OR: 0.79; 95% CI: 0.68,0.91) mainly due to lower BMI (43); whereas a vegetarian diet was not associated with prevalence of ultrasound detected gallstone (44). In the longitudinal follow-up, where diabetes incidence was identified by abnormal fasting glucose, hemoglobin A1C, and a disease questionnaire, a BMI-independent lower risk of diabetes was observed in those with a consistent vegetarian diet (HR: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.46,0.92) and in those converting from non-vegetarian to vegetarian (HR: 0.47; 95% CI: 0.30,0.71) (45).

Indian Migration Study and other studies of Indian vegetarians

The Indian Migration Study (IMS) is a sib-pair study of 7067 adults aged 20 years and older located in four regions - representing northern (Lucknow), central (Nagpur), south-central (Hyderabad), and southern (Bangalore) India. Factory workers, who migrated from

rural to urban areas (mean duration of migration 20 years \pm 5.4SD) and their spouses, along with a 25% random sample of urban non-migrants and their spouses were invited to participate in the study from 2005-2007. Eligible migrant and non-migrant participants identified a gender- and similarly age-matched sibling in a rural or urban area, representing a total of 18 states across India. Of 7594 eligible adults, 7102 (94%) completed a clinical examination, anthropometric measurements, fasting blood sample collection and interviewer-administered questionnaire, which included information on sociodemographic, lifestyle factors and medical history (46). Diet was assessed using a validated interviewer-administered semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) on 184 commonly consumed food items across four major regions and 18 states (47).

The prevalence of vegetarians (defined as no meat or fish or eggs or poultry) in IMS (32.8%) in 2005-07 (48) was slightly higher than the national prevalence based on the National Family Health Survey-3 (NFHS-3) in 2005-06, a nationally representative cross-sectional repeated survey historically focused on maternal and child health issues, representing 29 states, more than 100,000 households and nearly 200,000 men and women aged 15-49 years (29.0%) (49). **Table 1** describes the IMS and NFHS-3.

In both the IMS and NFHS-3, vegetarians had a higher standard of living and were less likely to smoke and drink alcohol. In the IMS, lacto-vegetarians (32.8%) did not differ from non-vegetarians with respect to age and use of smokeless tobacco. In the IMS, vegetarians were less likely to be physically active, while in NFHS-3, there was no clear pattern in frequency of TV watching between the groups (49).

In the IMS, vegetarians consumed greater amounts of legumes, vegetables, roots and tubers, dairy and sugar, while non-vegetarians had a greater intake of cereals, fruits, spices, salt, fats and oils. In multivariate analyses adjusting for socio-demographic variables, total energy and sib-pair, vegetarians consumed more carbohydrates, vitamin C, folate and lower levels of fat, protein, vitamin B12 and zinc (48). However, recommended dietary allowance

(RDA) comparisons indicated that a greater proportion of vegetarians consumed adequate amounts of protein and micro-nutrients (iron, calcium, vitamin C and folate) and also consumed less total energy than non-vegetarians in different regions and locations. Overall, Indian vegetarian diets were found to be adequate to sustain nutritional demands according to recommended dietary allowances with less fat. Lower vitamin B12 (β : $-1.4 \mu\text{g/day}$; 95% CI: $-1.2, -1.5$; $P < 0.0001$) bio-availability remains a concern and requires exploration of acceptable dietary sources for vegetarians (48).

A principal components analysis in IMS revealed three main patterns, with an ‘animal-food’ pattern (red meat, poultry, fish/seafood, eggs) associated with higher levels of obesity and central obesity (50). In multivariate analyses, a vegetarian diet was inversely associated with cardiovascular risk factors; vegetarians had lower levels of total cholesterol (β : -0.1 mmol/L ; 95% CI: $-0.03, -0.2$; $P = 0.006$), triglycerides (β : -0.05 mmol/L ; 95% CI: $-0.007, -0.01$; $P = 0.02$), LDL cholesterol (β : -0.06 mmol/L ; 95% CI: $-0.005, -0.1$; $P = 0.03$), fasting blood glucose (β : -0.07 mmol/L ; 95% CI: $-0.2, 0.01$; $P = 0.09$) and lower systolic blood pressure (β : -0.9 mmHg ; 95% CI: $-1.9, 0.08$; $P = 0.07$) and diastolic blood pressure (β : -0.7 mmHg ; 95% CI: $-1.2, -0.07$; $P = 0.02$) when compared to non-vegetarians (51), though the prevalence of diabetes and hypertension was not significantly different. When evaluating dietary patterns, a high intake of the ‘animal food’ pattern was also positively associated with levels of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, fasting blood glucose, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure (52). And the NFHS-3 data suggested a positive association between prevalent diabetes and non-vegetarian diet (49).

Discussion

Comparison of the cohorts

Table 2 provides a summary comparison of the cohorts. EPIC-Oxford had earlier enrollment and thus has had longer follow-up than AHS-2, with the Tzu Chi and IMS studies

overlapping with the end of AHS-2 enrollment. AHS-2 is the largest study, and with EPIC-Oxford are an order of magnitude larger than the two Asian cohorts. Women predominate in all but the IMS. The percentage of vegetarians is similar across the studies, depending on the definition used, but the proportions of different vegetarian diets (e.g. vegan vs. lacto/lacto-ovo) varies. Smoking is much lower in the AHS-2 and Tzu Chi populations, due to religious proscription in these communities. Alcohol use is also low in these populations and relatively low in the IMS, but much more common in EPIC-Oxford.

All of the studies have provided nutrient profiles of vegetarian diets compared to non-vegetarians, have assessed cardiometabolic risk factors, and have reported associations with prevalent conditions such as obesity, diabetes, and hypertension. Given their larger size and longer follow-up, only AHS-2 and EPIC-Oxford have reported prospective results for mortality and cancer incidence at this time. We next discuss the consistency of the findings of these two large cohort studies of vegetarians, and then discuss further important distinctions relating to the Asian cohorts.

Consistency of results from AHS-2 and EPIC-Oxford

Many similarities but also some differences appear to be present when comparing the two main published contemporary sources of data regarding vegetarianism, risk of cancer and overall mortality, namely AHS-2 (U.S.) and the EPIC-Oxford (U.K.). In general, the AHS-2 shows significant advantages in incidence of major cancers (colorectal, prostate, breast) and overall mortality for the vegetarians, whereas the EPIC-Oxford does not find clearly supportive evidence. However, it seems that in some cases these differences are readily compatible with chance. **Figure 1** provides a visual comparison of EPIC-Oxford and AHS-2 results for BMI, several cancer outcomes, and all-cause mortality.

There is a similar BMI association, declining from non-vegetarians to vegans, with other groups intermediate; however, the decline is steeper in AHS-2, where BMI is higher

277 overall.

278 For colorectal cancer, the findings appear compatible. AHS-2 has reported lower
279 colorectal cancer for vegetarians than non-vegetarians (29) whereas EPIC-Oxford has not.
280 However, in AHS-2, the vegetarian group included pesco- and semi- vegetarians, and pesco-
281 vegetarians partially drove the association. Both AHS-2 and EPIC-Oxford show
282 significantly lower incident colorectal cancer for pesco-vegetarians (i.e. fish-eaters)
283 compared to non-vegetarians (i.e. non-meat eaters).

284 For both breast cancer and prostate cancer incidence, both studies suggest possibly
285 lower risk for vegans; but only in AHS-2 do these findings achieve or approach significance,
286 likely due to the larger number of vegans in that study.

287 For all incident cancers combined, fish-eaters, (lacto-ovo) vegetarians, and vegans
288 appear to have lower overall rates than non-vegetarians, with the lowest point-estimates of
289 risk being for vegans in both studies.

290 The results for all-cause mortality appear less compatible, with associations in EPIC-
291 Oxford more null, but tending towards lower mortality for pesco-vegetarians, lacto-ovo-
292 vegetarians and vegans in AHS-2. In AHS-2, where these findings tend to be significant, the
293 effect sizes for mortality are modest. However, relatively small differences in total mortality
294 do translate to 1-2 years of extra life (53) that is probably of relatively good quality (54).
295 Cancer mortality does not appear to differ by diet group in EPIC-Oxford (16) or in AHS-2
296 (27).

297 Several factors may help to explain the results which are divergent. First, the definition
298 of a vegetarian is sufficiently non-specific to accommodate a wide variety of diets under the
299 same label. Both the U.S. and U.K studies have tried to minimize this by dividing vegetarians
300 to sub-types as mentioned above. Despite this, it appears that British and U.S. Adventist
301 vegetarians, even within the same vegetarian category, do not eat the same foods or in the
302 same proportions, aside from the absence or near absence of animal products. AHS-2

vegetarians, in addition to reduced intakes of meat, dairy and eggs, also eat lower amounts of snack foods, sweets, refined grains, solid fats, and non-water beverages than non-vegetarians, while eating higher amounts of fruits, vegetables, nuts and seed, legumes and plant proteins, and whole grains (55). Vegetarians in EPIC-Oxford also report higher intakes of fruit and vegetables than non-vegetarians (3); relative intakes of other foods such as sweets have not been published from EPIC-Oxford, but in a similar population in UK-Biobank, vegetarians consumed more fruits, vegetables, legumes, nuts and wholemeal cereals than regular meat-eaters, but similar amounts of refined cereals, desserts, sweets and chocolate (56). This suggests that the diet of vegetarians in the UK may have a somewhat less healthy profile than that of vegetarians in AHS-2, at least in relation to consumption of refined cereals and sweets. This possible difference needs to be examined more carefully, but it might relate to different motivations for the dietary choices. One can speculate that Adventists' health and religious motivations may lead to a particularly strong commitment to their diets and will often motivate choices of healthful foods. British vegetarians, possibly motivated more by ethical or environmental concerns, and also often highly committed vegetarians, might be somewhat less nutritionally informed or less inclined to choose healthful foods, beyond the avoidance of meat. AHS-2 subject on average (26, 57) have subscribed to their current dietary pattern for many years, often lifelong, whereas stability of vegetarian diets may be somewhat lower in EPIC-Oxford (3).

Differences in the mortality findings between EPIC-Oxford and AHS-2 therefore could be due to differences in dietary choices between the vegetarians in these studies, beyond meat avoidance. If so, it would suggest that mortality advantages of vegetarian diets may depend on a substitution of whole plant foods (rather than refined foods) for meat. This points to the limitations of defining diets as vegetarian only based on meat avoidance and the need for more emphasis on healthy plant-based diets, such as others have described (58, 59).

Confounding is another possible explanation for the divergent mortality results. In

particular, the larger BMI gradient across dietary patterns in AHS-2 than in EPIC-Oxford, suggests a possibility for greater confounding by adiposity. However, BMI adjustment made little difference to the mortality results in AHS-2 (27). That said, BMI is an imperfect measure of adiposity, including visceral adiposity, so residual confounding by adiposity is possible. Still, to the extent that the adiposity difference between diets is caused by the dietary patterns, which is very plausible, adjustment for adiposity would be adjusting for a causal intermediate and only isolating adiposity-independent mechanisms.

Another factor affecting both studies, but perhaps AHS-2 more so, is that the non-vegetarian comparison group is less different in their dietary choices than may be suggested by the label. The AHS-2 non-vegetarians have lower meat intakes than the general population. This may limit the ability to detect significant health associations or to fully test the potential effects of the vegetarian diets compared to more typical non-vegetarian diets in the population.

Considerations regarding studies of vegetarians in Asia

Vegetarian diets in Asia

Vegetarianism in Asia has traditionally been affiliated with religions including but not limited to Buddhism, Hinduism, Jainism, and I-Kuan Tao. These religions encourage avoidance of meat out of the concept of *Ahimsa*, or “nonviolence”, and vegetarianism is considered an act of compassion and believed to be beneficial for spiritual cultivation. Besides meat, many Buddhist vegetarians also avoid allium vegetables, such as garlic and onions. More recently, vegetarian movements in Asia, as in the rest of the world, may be motivated by health and environmental concerns. In East and Southeast Asia, vegetarians tend to replace meat and seafood with soy products, such as tofu, yuba, edamame, nato, soy milk, tempeh, miso, and meat analogues made of soy or gluten (seitan). Foods fortified with vitamin B12, vitamin D, EPA and DHA are less common than in North America or Europe.

Consumption of dairy products among East Asians is generally much lower than in North Americans, and TCHS participants consume only about one-third as much dairy as those in the AHS-2 (42, 55). Vegetarians had lower intake of saturated fat than non-vegetarians in EPIC-Oxford, AHS-2, and TCHS, but not in IMS (1, 42, 48, 60). Dietary habits of Asians may also be influenced by traditional medicine, such as Traditional Chinese Medicine or Ayurveda. For example, different herbal ingredients from Traditional Chinese Medicine may be added to cuisine according to season, illness, or one's personal needs.

Vegetarian diets in India

In India a substantial proportion of the population are vegetarians, varying between 10% and 62% according to the region (61), in contrast to small proportions in the West (<5%) (62, 63). Vegetarianism in India is driven by faith, culture or community, is generally life-long, and is associated with unique spices, seasonings and cooking patterns. With a higher prevalence of vegetarianism and lower/different propensity for confounding by behaviours such as physical activity or tobacco use, India offers an opportunity for a more robust evaluation of vegetarian diets and disease outcomes.

India is undergoing an epidemiologic and nutritional transition (64), similar to that affecting many developing countries and regions (65), including China (66), Latin America and the Caribbean (67), and North Africa and the Middle East (68). Related to this nutritional transition, the prevalence of vegetarian diets is decreasing in India (approximately 10% in the past 10 years based on nationally representative surveys 3&4, (61, 69)) and meat intake has doubled from 2003-'13 (70). There is stark heterogeneity across the country in diet composition, preparation, spices/seasonings (e.g., only a 29% variance was explained from 3 IMS dietary patterns). Influence of these epidemiologic & nutritional transitions on the health effects of vegetarian diets is unclear, particularly with mixed health associations for health outcomes such as obesity. There is limited nutritional epidemiological data with respect to

variations in India's vegetarian diets (e.g., from certain regions such as Goa and certain vegetarian groups such as Jains and Buddhists) and a lack of evidence regarding possible undesirable influences of vegetarian diets (e.g., B12 deficiency).

Animal food consumption among non-vegetarians in India is low and vegetarianism in India is a blend of healthy and unhealthy dietary practices. In the IMS, a PCA-derived 'animal-food' pattern yielded positive associations with central and overall obesity, though bivariate analyses comparing lacto-ovo vegetarians and non-vegetarians did not yield significant differences in BMI in the same study population. Indian vegetarian dietary patterns may not be consistently healthier. For example, 'cereals-savoury foods' in the IMS showed positive loadings for nuts and whole grains but also had positive loadings for refined grains and negative loadings for vegetables; 'fruit-veg-sweets-snacks' pattern showed positive loadings for fruits and vegetables as well as for snacks and sugar. In NFHS-3, lacto-ovo (21.0 kg/m²) and lacto-vegetarians (21.2 kg/m²) - the latter representing the largest type of vegetarian pattern and one fourth of India's population- had higher BMI levels than non-vegetarians (20.7 kg/m²).

Vegetarian patterns adopted in some other countries such as the US, however, reveal healthier outcomes (71). For example, among American adults in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), vegetarians had significantly lower levels of overweight/obesity and central adiposity than non-vegetarians (71), whereas the same did not hold true in India. South Asian vegetarians (India & Pakistan) were more likely to consume more dairy, fried foods and desserts (71). The difference between vegetarians and non-vegetarians in the US also yielded significantly lower cardiovascular disease risk scores, whereas this was not true amongst the same comparison groups in South Asia (71). Vegetarians had non-significant positive associations with diabetes in India whereas vegetarians had non-significant inverse associations in the US (71).

As with studies in the West, vegetarian dietary habits in India are potentially

confounded to some degree by socio-economic status and risk factors such as smoking and alcohol, though reverse patterns are observed for physical activity. An important distinction in Indian analyses is the comparison group, as non-vegetarians tend to have low levels of meat consumption compared to the West and still eat high levels of fruits and vegetables. In addition to the mixed evidence on healthy eating amongst vegetarians, and the confounding by demographic and risk factor variables, one must also consider the lower potential harm of substitution effects in Indian diets given the relatively low amount of meat consumption in non-vegetarians.

Meat intake and health outcomes in Asians versus Westerners

Highly relevant to a discussion of vegetarian diets in Asian populations are findings on the association of meat and animal protein to total mortality, cardiovascular diseases, and diabetes, which appear to differ between Asian studies and Western studies. In North American and European cohorts, red meat and processed meat have generally been associated with higher mortality (72-74). In American nurses and health professionals with at least one unhealthy lifestyle habit or risk factors, plant protein was beneficially, while animal protein was harmfully associated with all cause and cardiovascular mortality (75). On the other hand, in a pooled analysis of 8 cohorts across Asia (Asia Cohort Consortium), total meat was not associated with all-cause, cancer, or cardiovascular disease mortality, while red meat was associated with lower cardiovascular mortality (in men) and cancer mortality (in women) (76).

Meat and animal protein, particularly red meat and processed meat, have been associated with increased risk of diabetes in most cohort studies (77). However, in the Shanghai Women's Health Study, total meat was associated with a lower risk of diabetes, and surprisingly, red meat was even inversely associated with diabetes risk among those with BMI < 25 (78). Nevertheless, positive associations between meat-rich patterns and diabetes

have also been reported in some Asian ethnic populations, including the Singapore Chinese Health Study (79) and Japanese Americans of the multi-ethnic cohort (80). The effect of fish on diabetes risk also appears to be modified by geographical location, where a harmful association has been found in Americans, a null association in Europeans, and a protective association in Asians (81).

Several reasons may help to explain the inconsistencies: (1) Human diets typically contain a wide range of foods, and independent effects of individual foods may be difficult to single out. In Western populations, red meat intake tends to be correlated with intakes of refined carbohydrates, sugar-sweetened beverages, and high-fat dairy, together categorized as the Western dietary pattern (82, 83). However, in Asian populations, those consuming more meat or fish may also be consuming more healthful plant based foods: In the Shanghai Women's Health Study, the dietary pattern cluster with the lowest total meat and red meat intake also had the lowest intakes of vegetables and fruits and highest intake of staple foods (likely refined carbohydrates), and participants in this cluster had the lowest socioeconomic status (84). In the Japanese Public Health Center-Based Prospective Study, higher fish intake was correlated with higher intakes of vegetables, fruit, soy, potatoes, seaweed, and mushrooms (85). (2) Meat and red meat consumption in some Asian countries may be an indicator for unmeasured socioeconomic factors, which may simultaneously suggest food security and better access to medical and preventive care. (3) Birth cohort effects may potentially play a role. Several Asian Cohorts comprise populations that have lived through periods of conflicts and famine in early life, which may lead to epigenetic alteration of metabolic risk (86). (4) The amount of red meat, particularly beef, consumed by Asians is typically much lower than by Americans, so potential risks may be more difficult to detect (76). (5) In developing economies, competing risk from infectious diseases may precede the development of chronic degenerative diseases. (6) Most chronic degenerative diseases are complex and multi-factorial, and the diet-disease relationship may be modified by genetics or

other lifestyle factors. Previous studies have shown that the association between Western or meat-rich dietary patterns and diabetes is modified by genetics in Americans (87), and by smoking status in Singaporean Chinese (79). (7) Etiology for some diseases, such as diabetes, may potentially vary across ethnicities. For example, East Asian diabetics tend to be characterized by lower BMI (compared to the BMI of diabetics in Western countries) and low capacity to secrete insulin (88, 89). Japanese individuals with normal glucose tolerance were found to have a similar insulinogenic index as Caucasian diabetes patients (89). A recent study also confirmed that beta-cell dysfunction contributes to a higher population attributable risk (than insulin resistance) for type 2 diabetes in a Korean population-based cohort (90).

Despite some inconsistencies in the relation of meat and fish to health outcomes, most prospective studies of Asians do support beneficial associations of plant-based foods such as soy, legumes, vegetables, fruits, or dietary patterns consisting largely of these foods (79, 91-93).

Importance of epidemiologic studies of vegetarians in Asia

The addition of Asian vegetarian cohorts of vegetarians has great public health significance, as Asia has a long history of vegetarian tradition and culture, and is a continent where both the population and chronic degenerative disease incidences are on the rise. Vegetarian diets and many popular vegetarian foods, such as tofu, miso and tempeh have been consumed for hundreds, if not thousands of years in some Asian traditions, and there may be potential opportunities to study multi-generational effects of vegetarian diets and associated foods. These foods are typically consumed in greater amounts by Asians than by Westerners, and the inclusion of Asians provides a wider range of dietary variation to enable studies of dietary components and health outcomes. This may be particularly useful for diseases that are more prevalent among Asians such as diabetes and stroke (particularly hemorrhagic stroke). In addition, many Asian countries are undergoing economic and

nutritional transitions; epidemiological data from Asia may thus offer the opportunity to dynamically study vegetarian diets and their health associations during this period of nutritional transition. The inclusion of Asian cohorts also allows an opportunity to test the generalizability of previous findings for vegetarian diets in Western populations.

Conclusions

Epidemiologic cohorts with a large percentage of vegetarian subjects have contributed greatly to our understanding of both the nutritional adequacy and the health outcomes associated with these dietary patterns. The evidence from these studies has supported vegetarian diets as healthy dietary patterns associated with a reduction in several common disease risk factors and reduced risk of some chronic diseases of public health importance.

Much of this evidence comes from EPIC-Oxford and AHS-2, and their predecessor studies. These large prospective studies continue to contribute to our understanding of the health effects of vegetarian diets, as the results reviewed here indicate. Some findings from these studies have seemed to conflict; however, as discussed here, many of the findings for cancer outcomes appear consistent or at least compatible. Findings for all-cause mortality continue to differ, which may highlight the limitations of simple vegetarian categories in describing a healthy diet that might impact longevity.

Vegetarian diets, consistent with their simple definitions, that is the absence of selected or all animal foods, allow great variations in the choices of other foods, and their modes of preparation. It will be a valuable contribution to further refine our understanding of the range of “healthy” vegetarian diets. One cannot assume that simply avoiding animal foods will necessarily produce such a healthy diet.

There is a discrepancy between where vegetarian diets have mostly been studied and where they are most practiced. It is vitally important to better understand the health effects of vegetarian dietary patterns in both South and East Asia, and also in other parts of the

world. The studies reviewed here begin to address this discrepancy and highlight important differences in the food consumption patterns and nutritional profiles of vegetarians in different regions, which may in turn lead to differences in associations with health outcomes.

Collectively these cohorts highlight the great diversity of vegetarian dietary patterns around the world. Studying these long practiced real-world dietary patterns in different populations remains a high priority for nutritional science, chronic disease epidemiology, and public health.

Acknowledgements

Dorairaj Prabhakaran (Public Health Foundation of India and Centre for Chronic Disease Control, Gurgaon, Haryana, India); KM Venkat Narayan and Mohammed K Ali (Emory Global Diabetes Research Center, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University), Nikhil Tandon (Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, NCR, India); Viswanathan Mohan (Madras Diabetes Research Foundation, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India); K Srinath Reddy (Public Health Foundation of India, New Delhi, India)

All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. Author contributions: Drafting of the manuscript: MJO, THTC, PKD, TJK, and GEF. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: MJO, THTC, PKD, TJK, GEF, KS, SA, and SK.

531 **Figure legends**

532 **Figure 1**

533 Comparisons of selected findings from Oxford cohorts and AHS-2. (A) Body mass
534 index (BMI): EPIC-Oxford from (10); AHS-2 from (27). Note no 95% CIs available for
535 AHS-2. (B) Colorectal cancer: EPIC-Oxford and OVS from (17); AHS-2 from (29). (C)
536 Breast cancer: EPIC-Oxford and OVS from (17); AHS-2 from (32). (D) Prostate cancer:
537 EPIC-Oxford and OVS from (17); AHS-2 from (31). (E) All cancers: EPIC-Oxford and
538 OVS from (17); AHS-2 from (28). (F) All cause mortality: EPIC-Oxford and OVS from
539 (16); AHS-2 from (27).

Table 1. Description of reviewed studies of Indian vegetarians.			
Study design	Population	Location	Dietary Data
<p>The Indian Migration Study, 2006</p> <p>Sib-pair study in industrial populations of 4 Indian cities</p>	<p>Participants from 4 geographical regions & 18 states across India:</p> <p>Urban migrants, their spouses and their rural-dwelling siblings</p> <p>Urban non-migrants and their spouses and their urban-dwelling siblings</p>	<p>Lucknow, Nagpur, Hyderabad and Bangalore</p> <p>[<i>n</i>=7067, mean age 40.8yrs]</p>	<p>validated interviewer-administered, 184-item semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire</p>
<p>National Family Health Survey, 2005-06</p> <p>Survey on the lines of the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS)</p>	<p>Representative nationwide sample of participants across 29 states:</p>	<p>nationwide sample across 29 states</p> <p><i>n</i>=124,385 women aged 15-49 years and 74,369 men aged 15-54 years residing in 109,041 households with 99% of the country's representative population</p>	<p>diet and health information at individual level by face-to-face interviews conducted in the respondents' homes</p>

Table 2. Comparison of four epidemiologic cohort studies of vegetarians

	Adventist Health Study 2	EPIC-Oxford Study	Tzu Chi Health Study	Indian Migration Study
Recruitment period	2001 - 2007	1993 - 1999	2005 - 2007	2005 - 2007
Country	USA and Canada	UK	Taiwan	India
Participants, <i>n</i>	96469	65000	6002	6555
Female, %	65	78	63	42
Vegetarians, %	36	33	30	33
Smokers, %				
Vegetarian	~0.1	~10	0.03	7.5
Nonvegetarian	~2	~12	4.47	11.8
Alcohol consumers, %				
Vegetarian	~2	~79	0.25	5.7
Nonvegetarian	~10	~85	6.33	21.3

References

1. Davey GK, Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Allen NE, Knox KH, Key TJ. EPIC-Oxford: lifestyle characteristics and nutrient intakes in a cohort of 33 883 meat-eaters and 31 546 non meat-eaters in the UK. *Public Health Nutr* 2003;6(3):259-69. doi: 10.1079/phn2002430.
2. Appleby PN, Thorogood M, Mann JI, Key TJ. The Oxford Vegetarian Study: an overview. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;70(3 Suppl):525S-31S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/70.3.525s.
3. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Spencer EA, Travis RC, Roddam AW, Allen NE. Mortality in British vegetarians: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Oxford). *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;89(5):1613S-9S. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.26736L.
4. Rosell MS, Lloyd-Wright Z, Appleby PN, Sanders TA, Allen NE, Key TJ. Long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in plasma in British meat-eating, vegetarian, and vegan men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;82(2):327-34. doi: 10.1093/ajcn.82.2.327.
5. Gilsing AM, Crowe FL, Lloyd-Wright Z, Sanders TA, Appleby PN, Allen NE, Key TJ. Serum concentrations of vitamin B12 and folate in British male omnivores, vegetarians and vegans: results from a cross-sectional analysis of the EPIC-Oxford cohort study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2010;64(9):933-9. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2010.142.
6. Crowe FL, Steur M, Allen NE, Appleby PN, Travis RC, Key TJ. Plasma concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in meat eaters, fish eaters, vegetarians and vegans: results from the EPIC-Oxford study. *Public Health Nutr* 2011;14(2):340-6. doi: 10.1017/S1368980010002454.
7. Schmidt JA, Rinaldi S, Scalbert A, Ferrari P, Achaintre D, Gunter MJ, Appleby PN, Key TJ, Travis RC. Plasma concentrations and intakes of amino acids in male meat-eaters, fish-eaters, vegetarians and vegans: a cross-sectional analysis in the EPIC-Oxford cohort. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2016;70(3):306-12. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2015.144.
8. Sobiecki JG, Appleby PN, Bradbury KE, Key TJ. High compliance with dietary recommendations in a cohort of meat eaters, fish eaters, vegetarians, and vegans: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition-Oxford study. *Nutr Res* 2016;36(5):464-77. doi: 10.1016/j.nutres.2015.12.016.
9. Tong TYN, Appleby PN, Bradbury KE, Key TJ. Cross-sectional analyses of participation in cancer screening and use of hormone replacement therapy and medications in meat eaters and vegetarians: the EPIC-Oxford study. *BMJ Open* 2017;7(12):e018245. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018245.
10. Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Davey GK, Key TJ. Diet and body mass index in 38000 EPIC-

- Oxford meat-eaters, fish-eaters, vegetarians and vegans. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2003;27(6):728-34. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0802300.
11. Key TJ, Davey G. Prevalence of obesity is low in people who do not eat meat. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)* 1996;313(7060):816-7. doi: 10.1136/bmj.313.7060.816.
 12. Rosell M, Appleby PN, Spencer E, Key TJ. Weight gain over 5 years in 21 966 meat-eating, fish-eating, vegetarian, and vegan men and women in EPIC-Oxford. *International journal of obesity and related metabolic disorders : journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity* 2006;30(9):1389-96. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803305.
 13. Bradbury KE, Crowe FL, Appleby PN, Schmidt JA, Travis RC, Key TJ. Serum concentrations of cholesterol, apolipoprotein A-I and apolipoprotein B in a total of 1694 meat-eaters, fish-eaters, vegetarians and vegans. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2013;68(2):178-83. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2013.248.
 14. Appleby PN, Davey GK, Key TJ. Hypertension and blood pressure among meat eaters, fish eaters, vegetarians and vegans in EPIC–Oxford. *Public Health Nutrition* 2002;5(05):645-54. doi: 10.1079/PHN2002332.
 15. Crowe FL, Appleby PN, Travis RC, Key TJ. Risk of hospitalization or death from ischemic heart disease among British vegetarians and nonvegetarians: results from the EPIC-Oxford cohort study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2013;97(3):597-603. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.044073.
 16. Appleby PN, Crowe FL, Bradbury KE, Travis RC, Key TJ. Mortality in vegetarians and comparable nonvegetarians in the United Kingdom. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2016;103(1):218-30. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.115.119461.
 17. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Crowe FL, Bradbury KE, Schmidt JA, Travis RC. Cancer in British vegetarians: updated analyses of 4998 incident cancers in a cohort of 32,491 meat eaters, 8612 fish eaters, 18,298 vegetarians, and 2246 vegans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;100 Suppl 1:378S-85S. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.071266.
 18. Turney BW, Appleby PN, Reynard JM, Noble JG, Key TJ, Allen NE. Diet and risk of kidney stones in the Oxford cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Eur J Epidemiol* 2014;29(5):363-9. doi: 10.1007/s10654-014-9904-5.
 19. Appleby PN, Allen NE, Key TJ. Diet, vegetarianism, and cataract risk. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2011;93(5):1128-35. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.004028.
 20. Crowe FL, Appleby PN, Allen NE, Key TJ. Diet and risk of diverticular disease in Oxford cohort of European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): prospective study of British vegetarians and non-vegetarians. *BMJ* 2011;343:d4131. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d4131.
 21. McConnell TJ, Appleby PN, Key TJ. Vegetarian diet as a risk factor for symptomatic

- gallstone disease. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2017;71(6):731-5. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2016.252.
22. Butler TL, Fraser GE, Beeson WL, Knutsen SF, Herring RP, Chan J, Sabate J, Montgomery S, Haddad E, Preston-Martin S, et al. Cohort profile: The Adventist Health Study-2 (AHS-2). *International journal of epidemiology* 2008;37(2):260-5. doi: 10.1093/ije/dym165.
 23. Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fan J, Sabate J, Knutsen SF, Haddad E, Beeson WL, Herring RP, Butler TL, Bennett H, Fraser GE. Race-specific validation of food intake obtained from a comprehensive FFQ: the Adventist Health Study-2. *Public Health Nutrition* 2011;14(11):1988-97. doi: 10.1017/S1368980011000735.
 24. Jaceldo-Siegl K, Knutsen SF, Sabate J, Beeson WL, Chan J, Herring RP, Butler TL, Haddad E, Bennett H, Montgomery S, et al. Validation of nutrient intake using an FFQ and repeated 24 h recalls in black and white subjects of the Adventist Health Study-2 (AHS-2). *Public Health Nutr* 2010;13(6):812-9. doi: 10.1017/S1368980009992072.
 25. Fraser GE, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Henning SM, Fan J, Knutsen SF, Haddad EH, Sabate J, Beeson WL, Bennett H. Biomarkers of Dietary Intake Are Correlated with Corresponding Measures from Repeated Dietary Recalls and Food-Frequency Questionnaires in the Adventist Health Study-2. *The Journal of nutrition* 2016;146(3):586-94. doi: 10.3945/jn.115.225508.
 26. Martins MCT, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Orlich M, Fan J, Mashchak A, Fraser GE. A New Approach to Assess Lifetime Dietary Patterns Finds Lower Consumption of Animal Foods with Aging in a Longitudinal Analysis of a Health-Oriented Adventist Population. *Nutrients* 2017;9(10). doi: 10.3390/nu9101118.
 27. Orlich MJ, Singh PN, Sabate J, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fan J, Knutsen S, Beeson WL, Fraser GE. Vegetarian dietary patterns and mortality in Adventist Health Study 2. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013;173(13):1230-8. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.6473.
 28. Tantamango-Bartley Y, Fraser GE, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fan J. Vegetarian diets and the incidence of cancer in a low-risk population. *Cancer Epidem Biomar* 2013;22(2):286-94. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-1060.
 29. Orlich MJ, Singh PN, Sabate J, Fan J, Sveen L, Bennett H, Knutsen SF, Beeson WL, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Butler TL, et al. Vegetarian dietary patterns and the risk of colorectal cancers. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015;175(5):767-76. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.59.
 30. Tantamango-Bartley Y, Knutsen SF, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fan J, Mashchak A, Fraser GE. Independent associations of dairy and calcium intakes with colorectal cancers in the Adventist Health Study-2 cohort. *Public Health Nutr* 2017;20(14):2577-86. doi: 10.1017/S1368980017001422.
 31. Tantamango-Bartley Y, Knutsen SF, Knutsen R, Jacobsen BK, Fan J, Beeson WL, Sabate J, Hadley D, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Penniecook J, et al. Are strict vegetarians protected

- against prostate cancer? *Am J Clin Nutr* 2016;103(1):153-60. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.114.106450.
32. Penniecook-Sawyers JA, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fan J, Beeson L, Knutsen S, Herring P, Fraser GE. Vegetarian dietary patterns and the risk of breast cancer in a low-risk population. *The British journal of nutrition* 2016;115(10):1790-7. doi: 10.1017/S0007114516000751.
 33. Tharrey M, Mariotti F, Mashchak A, Barbillon P, Delattre M, Fraser GE. Patterns of plant and animal protein intake are strongly associated with cardiovascular mortality: the Adventist Health Study-2 cohort. *Int J Epidemiol* 2018. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyy030.
 34. Fraser GE. A comparison of first event coronary heart disease rates in two contrasting California populations. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2005;9(1):53-8.
 35. Pettersen BJ, Anousheh R, Fan J, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fraser GE. Vegetarian diets and blood pressure among white subjects: results from the Adventist Health Study-2 (AHS-2). *Public Health Nutr* 2012;15(10):1909-16. doi: 10.1017/S1368980011003454.
 36. Tonstad S, Stewart K, Oda K, Batech M, Herring RP, Fraser GE. Vegetarian diets and incidence of diabetes in the Adventist Health Study-2. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2013;23(4):292-9. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2011.07.004.
 37. Jaceldo-Siegl K, Haddad E, Knutsen S, Fan J, Lloren J, Bellinger D, Fraser GE. Lower C-reactive protein and IL-6 associated with vegetarian diets are mediated by BMI. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2018.03.003.
 38. Fraser GE, Katuli S, Anousheh R, Knutsen SF, Herring P, Fan J. Vegetarian diets and cardiovascular risk factors in black members of the Adventist Health Study-2. *Public Health Nutrition* 2014;1-9. doi: 10.1017/S1368980014000263.
 39. Kahleova H, Lloren JI, Mashchak A, Hill M, Fraser GE. Meal Frequency and Timing Are Associated with Changes in Body Mass Index in Adventist Health Study 2. *The Journal of nutrition* 2017;147(9):1722-8. doi: 10.3945/jn.116.244749.
 40. Fraser GE. Associations between diet and cancer, ischemic heart disease, and all-cause mortality in non-Hispanic white California Seventh-day Adventists. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;70(3 Suppl):532S-8S.
 41. Chiu TH, Huang HY, Chen KJ, Wu YR, Chiu JP, Li YH, Chiu BC, Lin CL, Lin MN. Relative validity and reproducibility of a quantitative FFQ for assessing nutrient intakes of vegetarians in Taiwan. *Public Health Nutr* 2014;17(7):1459-66. doi: 10.1017/S1368980013001560.
 42. Chiu TH, Huang HY, Chiu YF, Pan WH, Kao HY, Chiu JP, Lin MN, Lin CL. Taiwanese vegetarians and omnivores: dietary composition, prevalence of diabetes and IFG. *PloS one* 2014;9(2):e88547. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0088547.
 43. Chiu TH, Lin MN, Pan WH, Chen YC, Lin CL. Vegetarian diet, food substitution, and nonalcoholic fatty liver. *Tzu Chi Med J* 2018;[Epub ahead of print Feb 27 2018].

44. Chen YC, Chiou C, Lin MN, Lin CL. The prevalence and risk factors for gallstone disease in taiwanese vegetarians. *PloS one* 2014;9(12):e115145. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115145.
45. Chiu TH, Pan WH, Lin MN, Lin CL. Vegetarian diet, change in dietary patterns, and diabetes risk: a prospective study. *Nutrition & diabetes* 2018;8(1):12. doi: 10.1038/s41387-018-0022-4.
46. Ebrahim S, Kinra S, Bowen L, Andersen E, Ben-Shlomo Y, Lyngdoh T, Ramakrishnan L, Ahuja RC, Joshi P, Das SM, et al. The effect of rural-to-urban migration on obesity and diabetes in India: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS Med* 2010;7(4):e1000268. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000268.
47. Bowen L, Ebrahim S, De Stavola B, Ness A, Kinra S, Bharathi AV, Prabhakaran D, Reddy KS. Dietary intake and rural-urban migration in India: a cross-sectional study. *PloS one* 2011;6(6):e14822. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0014822.
48. Shridhar K, Dhillon PK, Bowen L, Kinra S, Bharathi AV, Prabhakaran D, Reddy KS, Ebrahim S. Nutritional profile of Indian vegetarian diets--the Indian Migration Study (IMS). *Nutrition journal* 2014;13:55. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-13-55.
49. Agrawal S, Millett CJ, Dhillon PK, Subramanian SV, Ebrahim S. Type of vegetarian diet, obesity and diabetes in adult Indian population. *Nutrition journal* 2014;13:89. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-13-89.
50. Satija A, Hu FB, Bowen L, Bharathi AV, Vaz M, Prabhakaran D, Reddy KS, Ben-Shlomo Y, Davey Smith G, Kinra S, et al. Dietary patterns in India and their association with obesity and central obesity. *Public Health Nutr* 2015;18(16):3031-41. doi: 10.1017/S1368980015000312.
51. Shridhar K, Dhillon PK, Bowen L, Kinra S, Bharathi AV, Prabhakaran D, Reddy KS, Ebrahim S, Indian Migration Study G. The association between a vegetarian diet and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors in India: the Indian Migration Study. *PloS one* 2014;9(10):e110586. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110586.
52. Shridhar K, Satija A, Dhillon PK, Agrawal S, Gupta R, Bowen L, Kinra S, Bharathi AV, Prabhakaran D, Srinath Reddy K, et al. Association between empirically derived dietary patterns with blood lipids, fasting blood glucose and blood pressure in adults - the India migration study. *Nutrition journal* 2018;17(1):15. doi: 10.1186/s12937-018-0327-0.
53. Fraser GE, Shavlik DJ. Ten years of life: Is it a matter of choice? *Arch Intern Med* 2001;161(13):1645-52.
54. Lee JW, Morton KR, Walters J, Bellinger DL, Butler TL, Wilson C, Walsh E, Ellison CG, McKenzie MM, Fraser GE. Cohort profile: The biopsychosocial religion and health study (BRHS). *International journal of epidemiology* 2009;38(6):1470-8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyn244.

55. Orlich MJ, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Sabate J, Fan J, Singh PN, Fraser GE. Patterns of food consumption among vegetarians and non-vegetarians. *The British journal of nutrition* 2014;112(10):1644-53. doi: 10.1017/s000711451400261x.
56. Bradbury KE, Tong TYN, Key TJ. Dietary Intake of High-Protein Foods and Other Major Foods in Meat-Eaters, Poultry-Eaters, Fish-Eaters, Vegetarians, and Vegans in UK Biobank. *Nutrients* 2017;9(12). doi: 10.3390/nu9121317.
57. Teixeira Martins MC, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fan J, Singh P, Fraser GE. Short- and long-term reliability of adult recall of vegetarian dietary patterns in the Adventist Health Study-2 (AHS-2). *J Nutr Sci* 2015;4:e11. doi: 10.1017/jns.2014.67.
58. Satija A, Bhupathiraju SN, Rimm EB, Spiegelman D, Chiuve SE, Borgi L, Willett WC, Manson JE, Sun Q, Hu FB. Plant-Based Dietary Patterns and Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes in US Men and Women: Results from Three Prospective Cohort Studies. *PLoS Med* 2016;13(6):e1002039. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002039.
59. Satija A, Bhupathiraju SN, Spiegelman D, Chiuve SE, Manson JE, Willett W, Rexrode KM, Rimm EB, Hu FB. Healthful and Unhealthful Plant-Based Diets and the Risk of Coronary Heart Disease in U.S. Adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;70(4):411-22. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.05.047.
60. Rizzo NS, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Sabate J, Fraser GE. Nutrient profiles of vegetarian and nonvegetarian dietary patterns. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 2013;113(12):1610-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2013.06.349.
61. Arnold F, Parasuraman S, Arokiasamy P, Kothari M. Nutrition in India. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3), India, 2005-06. Calverton, Maryland, USA: Mumbai, India, International Institute for Population Sciences, 2009.
62. Whitton C, Nicholson SK, Roberts C, Prynne CJ, Pot GK, Olson A, Fitt E, Cole D, Teucher B, Bates B, et al. National Diet and Nutrition Survey: UK food consumption and nutrient intakes from the first year of the rolling programme and comparisons with previous surveys. *The British journal of nutrition* 2011;106(12):1899-914. doi: 10.1017/S0007114511002340.
63. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Rosell MS. Health effects of vegetarian and vegan diets. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2006;65(1):35-41.
64. Misra A, Singhal N, Sivakumar B, Bhagat N, Jaiswal A, Khurana L. Nutrition transition in India: secular trends in dietary intake and their relationship to diet-related non-communicable diseases. *J Diabetes* 2011;3(4):278-92. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-0407.2011.00139.x.
65. Popkin BM, Adair LS, Ng SW. Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries. *Nutr Rev* 2012;70(1):3-21. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2011.00456.x.
66. Li M, Shi Z. Dietary Pattern during 1991-2011 and Its Association with Cardio

- Metabolic Risks in Chinese Adults: The China Health and Nutrition Survey. *Nutrients* 2017;9(11). doi: 10.3390/nu9111218.
67. Rivera-Andrade A, Luna MA. Trends and heterogeneity of cardiovascular disease and risk factors across Latin American and Caribbean countries. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;57(3):276-85. doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2014.09.004.
 68. Mehio Sibai A, Nasreddine L, Mokdad AH, Adra N, Tabet M, Hwalla N. Nutrition transition and cardiovascular disease risk factors in Middle East and North Africa countries: reviewing the evidence. *Ann Nutr Metab* 2010;57(3-4):193-203. doi: 10.1159/000321527.
 69. International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) and ICF. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4), India, 2015-16. Mumbai. 2017. Internet: http://rchiips.org/NFHS/factsheet_NFHS-4.shtml accessed May 9, 2018.
 70. National Sample Survey Report 2011-12. Internet: at http://www.mospi.gov.in/download-reports?main_cat=Nzly&cat=All&sub_category=All accessed May 8, 2018.
 71. Jaacks LM, Kapoor D, Singh K, Narayan KM, Ali MK, Kadir MM, Mohan V, Tandon N, Prabhakaran D. Vegetarianism and cardiometabolic disease risk factors: Differences between South Asian and US adults. *Nutrition* 2016;32(9):975-84. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2016.02.011.
 72. Sinha R, Cross AJ, Graubard BI, Leitzmann MF, Schatzkin A. Meat intake and mortality: a prospective study of over half a million people. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169(6):562-71. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.6.
 73. Pan A, Sun Q, Bernstein AM, Schulze MB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Hu FB. Red meat consumption and mortality: results from 2 prospective cohort studies. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2012;172(7):555-63. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2011.2287.
 74. Rohrmann S, Overvad K, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Jakobsen MU, Egeberg R, Tjonneland A, Nailler L, Boutron-Ruault MC, Clavel-Chapelon F, Krogh V, et al. Meat consumption and mortality--results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *BMC Med* 2013;11:63. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-63.
 75. Song M, Fung TT, Hu FB, Willett WC, Longo VD, Chan AT, Giovannucci EL. Association of Animal and Plant Protein Intake With All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality. *JAMA Intern Med* 2016;176(10):1453-63. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.4182.
 76. Lee JE, McLerran DF, Rolland B, Chen Y, Grant EJ, Vedanthan R, Inoue M, Tsugane S, Gao YT, Tsuji I, et al. Meat intake and cause-specific mortality: a pooled analysis of Asian prospective cohort studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013;98(4):1032-41. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.062638.
 77. Tian S, Xu Q, Jiang R, Han T, Sun C, Na L. Dietary Protein Consumption and the Risk of

- Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies. *Nutrients* 2017;9(9). doi: 10.3390/nu9090982.
78. Villegas R, Shu XO, Gao YT, Yang G, Cai H, Li H, Zheng W. The association of meat intake and the risk of type 2 diabetes may be modified by body weight. *International journal of medical sciences* 2006;3(4):152-9.
 79. Odegaard AO, Koh WP, Butler LM, Duval S, Gross MD, Yu MC, Yuan JM, Pereira MA. Dietary patterns and incident type 2 diabetes in chinese men and women: the singapore chinese health study. *Diabetes care* 2011;34(4):880-5. doi: 10.2337/dc10-2350.
 80. Erber E, Hopping BN, Grandinetti A, Park SY, Kolonel LN, Maskarinec G. Dietary patterns and risk for diabetes: the multiethnic cohort. *Diabetes care* 2010;33(3):532-8. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1621.
 81. Wallin A, Di Giuseppe D, Orsini N, Patel PS, Forouhi NG, Wolk A. Fish consumption, dietary long-chain n-3 fatty acids, and risk of type 2 diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Diabetes care* 2012;35(4):918-29. doi: 10.2337/dc11-1631.
 82. Fung TT, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Rexrode KM, Willett WC, Hu FB. Prospective study of major dietary patterns and stroke risk in women. *Stroke* 2004;35(9):2014-9. doi: 10.1161/01.str.0000135762.89154.92.
 83. Rashidkhani B, Akesson A, Lindblad P, Wolk A. Major dietary patterns and risk of renal cell carcinoma in a prospective cohort of Swedish women. *The Journal of nutrition* 2005;135(7):1757-62. doi: 10.1093/jn/135.7.1757.
 84. Villegas R, Yang G, Gao YT, Cai H, Li H, Zheng W, Shu XO. Dietary patterns are associated with lower incidence of type 2 diabetes in middle-aged women: the Shanghai Women's Health Study. *International journal of epidemiology* 2010;39(3):889-99. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyq008.
 85. Nanri A, Mizoue T, Shimazu T, Ishihara J, Takachi R, Noda M, Iso H, Sasazuki S, Sawada N, Tsugane S. Dietary patterns and all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality in Japanese men and women: The Japan public health center-based prospective study. *PloS one* 2017;12(4):e0174848. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174848.
 86. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C, Thornburg KL. Effect of in utero and early-life conditions on adult health and disease. *The New England journal of medicine* 2008;359(1):61-73. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra0708473.
 87. Qi L, Cornelis MC, Zhang C, van Dam RM, Hu FB. Genetic predisposition, Western dietary pattern, and the risk of type 2 diabetes in men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;89(5):1453-8. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2008.27249.
 88. Ramachandran A, Ma RC, Snehalatha C. Diabetes in Asia. *Lancet (London, England)*

- 2010;375(9712):408-18. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(09)60937-5.
89. Yabe D, Seino Y, Fukushima M, Seino S. beta cell dysfunction versus insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes in East Asians. *Current diabetes reports* 2015;15(6):602. doi: 10.1007/s11892-015-0602-9.
 90. Ohn JH, Kwak SH, Cho YM, Lim S, Jang HC, Park KS, Cho NH. 10-year trajectory of beta-cell function and insulin sensitivity in the development of type 2 diabetes: a community-based prospective cohort study. *The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology* 2016;4(1):27-34. doi: 10.1016/s2213-8587(15)00336-8.
 91. Villegas R, Shu XO, Gao YT, Yang G, Elasy T, Li H, Zheng W. Vegetable but not fruit consumption reduces the risk of type 2 diabetes in Chinese women. *The Journal of nutrition* 2008;138(3):574-80. doi: 10.1093/jn/138.3.574.
 92. Villegas R, Gao YT, Yang G, Li HL, Elasy TA, Zheng W, Shu XO. Legume and soy food intake and the incidence of type 2 diabetes in the Shanghai Women's Health Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87(1):162-7. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/87.1.162.
 93. Odegaard AO, Koh WP, Yuan JM, Gross MD, Pereira MA. Dietary patterns and mortality in a Chinese population. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;100(3):877-83. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.114.086124.