



PRACTICE

UNCERTAINTIES

When should unexpected weight loss warrant further investigation to exclude cancer?

Brian D Nicholson *clinical researcher*¹, Paul Aveyard *professor of behavioural medicine*¹, Willie Hamilton *professor of primary care diagnostics*², F D Richard Hobbs *Nuffield professor of primary care*¹

¹Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford OX2 6GG, UK; ²Medical School, University of Exeter, UK

What you need to know

- Unexpected weight loss can be associated with cancer, but also with a range of other conditions
- Weight loss codes in primary care typically represent $\geq 5\%$ loss within a 6 month period, but there is a lack of evidence on how much weight loss and over what time period increase the likelihood of a cancer diagnosis in primary care
- Urgently refer people over the age of 60 years with unexpected weight loss for investigations to exclude cancer
- The optimal diagnostic strategy to detect cancer in patients with weight loss remains unclear

Unexpected weight loss presents a diagnostic challenge in primary care. It is associated with a wide range of benign and serious conditions (box 1).¹

Box 1: Differential diagnosis for patients with unexpected weight loss¹

Cardiovascular—End stage heart failure (cardiac cachexia)
Endocrine—Adrenal insufficiency, diabetes, hyperthyroidism
Gastrointestinal—Diarrhoea, colitis, malabsorption, mesenteric ischaemia
Infection—Chronic infection (such as HIV, tuberculosis)
Malignancy—Solid tumours more likely than haematological malignancies
Medication—Antidepressants, antiepileptics, anxiolytics, diuretics, laxatives, stimulants
Neurological—Dementia, multiple sclerosis, neuromuscular disease, Parkinson's disease, stroke
Psychiatric—Anorexia nervosa, anxiety, bulimia, depression
Renal—End stage renal failure (uraemic cachexia)
Respiratory—Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), interstitial lung disease, vasculitis
Rheumatologic—Rheumatoid arthritis
Social—Excess alcohol consumption, neglect, use of opiates, poor oral health, poverty, smoking

Weight loss may be missed or misattributed because of several factors (see box 2). Once it is detected, the uncertainty for clinicians is not about whether unexpected weight loss is a symptom of concern, it is about who should be investigated further and who can be spared unnecessary investigation. Specifically, how much weight loss, over how much time, in combination with what other clinical features makes cancer sufficiently likely to warrant urgent investigation?

Box 2: Challenges in detecting weight loss in primary care

Physiological factors

- Being overweight both increases the risk of cancer and the challenge of detecting weight loss²
- Gradual decline in muscle mass is expected from the sixth decade of life³
- Diurnal fluctuations in body weight of ± 2 kg occur from changes in fluid balance and gut contents⁴

Measurement factors

- Weight measurement is not routine practice in many health systems; it is most commonly measured in people with particular clinical problems⁵
- Clinicians record weight status in kg, pounds, or body mass index (BMI), making detection of weight loss slower⁶
- Patients delay attending their clinician with weight loss for longer than other symptoms of cancer⁷
- Intentional weight loss and exercise complicate the interpretation of weight change⁸

Sources and selection criteria

We searched Embase, Medline, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library for systematic reviews and primary studies using the following terms and related terms: neoplasia, weight loss, symptoms, diagnosis, general practice, family practice, primary care.

Correspondence to: B D Nicholson brian.nicholson@phc.ox.ac.uk This is one of a series of occasional articles that highlight areas of practice where management lacks convincing supporting evidence. The series advisers are Sera Tort, clinical editor, and David Tovey, editor in chief, the Cochrane Library. To suggest a topic for this series, please email us at uncertainties@bmj.com

What is the evidence of uncertainty?

How much weight loss over how long?

The degree of weight loss that best indicates underlying cancer in primary care remains poorly defined.⁵ Almost all studies in this area are retrospective observational studies that define weight loss by means of a code entered into the electronic health record (table 1).⁵ These codes are based on general practitioners' decisions that the degree of weight loss is sufficiently concerning to justify recording it. Studies have not reported associated weight measurement data, quantified how much weight loss has occurred, or established whether patient-reported weight loss is more accurate at identifying disease than clinician-measured weight loss.

The percentage loss of weight is likely to be most clinically relevant, as change relative to baseline weight is more meaningful than the absolute change.⁴ A study of more than 50 000 weight loss codes entered into English electronic health records showed that a code for unexpected weight loss represents a mean weight loss of $\geq 5\%$ within a six month period in primary care.³³ Across methods of estimation, weight loss ranged from -5.4% to -8.3% in females and -4.8% to -7.4% in males, with greater weight loss being observed in elderly people. This resembles the 5-10% weight loss within 6-12-months that is most commonly cited as significant based on data from high risk populations, such as those referred or admitted to secondary care.^{1 4 34-36} One case-control study from a primary care database used weight measurements to define weight loss in relation to the risk of colorectal cancer diagnosis.⁶ The odds ratio for a 5-9.9% weight loss was 1.2 (95% confidence interval 0.99 to 1.5), and for $\geq 10\%$ loss it was 2.5 (2.1 to 3.0). Weight loss was defined by using the highest recorded weight in the preceding two years, meaning it could have been underestimated or overestimated.

We are much less clear how recently weight loss must have occurred to indicate the presence of cancer. Studies have investigated the diagnostic utility of weight loss occurring at any point in the past two years. It seems more plausible that weight loss in the recent past signifies the presence of cancer, though there is no evidence of this.⁵

Which cancers are associated with weight loss in primary care?

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis (25 studies) examined the likelihood of a cancer diagnosis in adults presenting to primary care with weight loss.⁵ More than one in 10 people aged over 60 years reporting weight loss were subsequently diagnosed with cancer; a risk of 3-7% in women and 11-14% in men.⁵ The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends urgent investigation when the risk of cancer exceeds 3% threshold for urgent investigation suggested by.³⁷ Weight loss predicted the presence of prostate, colorectal, lung, gastro-oesophageal, pancreatic, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, ovarian, myeloma, renal tract, and biliary tree cancers.⁵ Table 1 describes the findings of studies included in the systematic review. Subsequently two case-control studies using UK and Swedish medical registries suggest that weight loss was associated with a diagnosis of acute and chronic leukaemia and of non-metastatic colorectal cancer.^{38 39}

Weight loss in isolation or with other clinical features?

No study in primary care has reported whether weight loss without any other symptoms predicts cancer. However, studies

show that weight loss predicts cancer even after adjusting for the presence of other symptoms.^{6 8 10 13 14 16-23 25-27 29-31 39 40} For example, in cohort studies, patients with weight loss are 1.6 times to 12.5 times more likely to have cancer than a patient without weight loss (table 1).^{13 14 25 27 31} Weight loss is the second most powerful predictor of cancer after: rectal bleeding in colorectal cancer; haemoptysis in lung cancer, rib pain in myeloma; jaundice in pancreatic cancer; and haematuria in renal tract cancer.⁴¹ It is the third most powerful predictor in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and gastro-oesophageal cancers.⁴¹ Table 2 shows the additive risk of cancer in patients with weight loss in addition to another localising clinical feature as calculated in case-control studies using primary care records data.^{6 10 16 17 19 22 29 30 39 40}

Is weight loss associated only with late stage cancer?

Weight loss can occur at any stage of cancer precipitated by biochemical, behavioural, functional, and metabolic factors (box 3).¹ Only a few studies have examined weight loss in relation to cancer stage in primary care. A US case-control study found no relationship between weight loss and stage in pancreatic cancer.⁴³ A UK case-control study found no association between weight loss and stage IV prostate, colorectal, lung, and breast cancer.⁴⁰ Similar positive predictive values for weight loss were reported for colorectal cancer in Swedish and UK case-control studies. The former included cancer stages I-III (PPV 1.0% (95% CI 0.3 to 3.0)) and the latter included stages I-IV (1.2% (0.91 to 1.6)).^{38 44}

Box 3: Pre-diagnosis causes of weight loss in cancer

- Cytokine, peptide, and hormone induced anorexia and metabolic changes
- Symptom distress—Depression, pain, nausea, diarrhoea, constipation, fatigue
- Gastrointestinal dysfunction—Altered taste, obstruction, dysmotility, fistula, malabsorption
- Cancer cachexia (pre-cachexia, cachexia, refractory cachexia)—Weight loss due to progressive skeletal muscle loss (sarcopenia) with or without fat loss⁴²

Is ongoing research likely to provide relevant evidence?

We searched clinicaltrials.gov, PROSPERO, Cochrane Library, National Cancer Research Institute portfolio, Cancer Research UK, and Macmillan websites and found no ongoing trials examining strategies to investigate patients presenting with unexpected weight loss in primary care. A systematic review aims to identify the value of tools used to assess cachexia, not specifically in cancer.⁴⁵

The UK National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) has funded research into weight loss as a predictor of cancer in primary care using primary care electronic health records data from the UK and US and to establish whether routine weighing is feasible in English primary care.⁵ In many healthcare systems, this does not occur, and we need to know how acceptable and useful this would be.

Recent imaging studies have shown evidence of skeletal muscle loss (sarcopenia) suggesting cachexia in patients with non-metastatic cancer with and without weight loss.^{46 47} Protein markers of tissue wasting are present in the blood before clinically detectable pancreatic cancer.^{43 48 49} These biochemical and radiological signatures of cachexia offer promise in

detecting weight loss related to cancer, but none has been formally investigated in primary care.^{50 51}

The UK has introduced multidisciplinary diagnostic centres to assess people with symptoms such as weight loss but no localising symptoms. Each operates differently. Some, modelled on the Danish approach, ask GPs to conduct tests before referral, others offer a clinic appointment before investigation, and some offer imaging as an initial investigation. Emerging evidence from Scandinavia and the UK show that cancer is diagnosed in 11-21% of patients referred to multidisciplinary diagnostic centres and that serious disease is diagnosed in 22-34%.⁵²

Recommendations for future research

- To investigate the feasibility and usefulness of patients being weighed routinely on every visit to primary care physicians to help detect unexpected weight loss
- To investigate monitoring of biochemical and radiological signatures of cachexia to detect weight loss related to cancer
- To evaluate the diagnostic yield of multidisciplinary diagnostic centres to see how their different diagnostic approaches compare

What should we do in the light of the uncertainty?

It is unclear how to investigate people presenting to primary care with weight loss but no other symptoms. [Box 4](#) describes some approaches suggested in clinical reviews, but the data are usually obtained from studies of older people hospitalised for investigation.^{1 4 34-36}

Box 4: Summary of approaches to investigating weight loss from clinical reviews**Vanderschueren 2005⁴***Population*—Adults*Weight loss*—>5% in 6-12 months should prompt clinical evaluation. Seek numerical verification of weight loss and confirm it is involuntary*Investigation*:

Medical history and associated complaints including: tobacco/alcohol use; medications (such as diuretics, digoxin, biguanides, leflunomide, SSRIs); psychosocial factors (such as bereaved, institutionalised, mental health); dietary history; travel history; sexual risk behaviour, thorough physical examination

Baseline tests—CBC with differential, renal function, LFTs, albumin, TFTs, blood sugar, markers of inflammation, iron studies, urine analysis, faecal occult blood tests, CXR, USS of abdomen and pelvis

Additional investigations as indicated by clues obtained with the above tests

Rolland 2006³⁶*Population*—Outpatient older adults*Weight loss*—>5% in 6 months*Investigation*—Assess for biochemical dehydration and complete SNAQ questionnaireSNAQ negative (≥ 14 points)—Suspected sarcopenia: trial of resistance exercise training. Suspected malabsorption: test vitamin A or β caroteneSNAQ positive (< 14 points)—Suspected cachexia: test CRP and albumin. Suspected anorexia: use "meals on wheels" mnemonic**McMinn 2011³⁵***Population*—Older adults*Weight loss*—5% over 6-12 months, although smaller losses may be important in frail elderly people*Investigation*:

Comprehensive history and physical exam using "meals on wheels" mnemonic and "9 Ds" of weight loss in elderly

Baseline tests—FBC, Renal function, LFTs including GGT, albumin, TFTs, CRP, ESR, glucose, LDH, CXR, urine analysis, FOBT.

If results are normal, 3 months of "watchful waiting" is preferable to further blind investigations

Gaddey 2014³⁴*Population*—Older adults*Weight loss*— $\geq 5\%$ within 6-12 months, unintentional. If no baseline weight available, evidence of change in clothing size, confirmation of weight loss by a relative or friend, and a numerical estimate of weight loss can be used

History and physical examination, in particular ask about fever, fatigue, dysphagia, oral/gum problems, dyspnoea, exertional fatigue, indigestion, abdominal pain, change in stool pattern, early satiety. Check medications and social and psychological history. Assess nutritional status, such as with the Nutritional Health Checklist

Baseline tests—CBC, basic metabolic panel, LFTs, TFTs, CRP, ESR, glucose, LDH, CXR, FOBT, and possibly USS of abdomen

If baseline tests are normal, close observation for 3-6 months is justified

Wong 2014¹*Population*—Adults*Weight loss*— $\geq 5\%$ within 6-12 months, unintentional. Use clinical judgement to guide investigation in patients with weight loss $< 5\%$ or longer duration

History and physical exam covering differential diagnoses (see box 1)

Baseline tests—CBC, LFTs, albumin, renal function, glucose, calcium, TSH, HIV, ESR, CRP, LDH, PSA, CXR

Consider abdominal USS or CT and age-appropriate screening for breast, colorectal, and cervical cancer

SSRIs = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. CBC = complete blood count. LFTs = liver function tests. TFTs = thyroid function tests. CXR = chest x ray. USS = ultrasound scan. CRP = C reactive protein. FBC = full blood count. GGT = gamma-glutamyl transferase. ESR =

erythrocyte sedimentation rate. LDH = lactate dehydrogenase. FOBT = faecal occult blood test. PSA = prostate-specific antigen. CT = computed tomography.

SNAQ questionnaire = Q1. My appetite is: A. V. poor, B. Poor, C. Average, D. Good, E. V. good. Q2. I feel full after eating: A. a few mouthfuls, B. 1/3 of a meal, C. $> 1/2$ a meal, D. most of the meal, E. hardly ever. Q3. Food tastes: A. V. bad, B. Bad, C. Average, D. Good, E. V. good. Q4. Meals I normally eat each day: A. < 1 , B. 1, C. 2, D. 3, E. > 3 . (Score: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4, E=5.)

MEALS ON WHEELS = Medication effects, Emotional problems (especially depression), Anorexia nervosa, alcoholism, Late life paranoia, Swallowing disorders, Oral factors (poorly fitting dentures, caries), No money, Wandering and other dementia related behaviours, Hyper/hypothyroidism, hyperparathyroidism, hypoadrenalism, Enteric problems, Eating problems (such as inability to feed self), Low salt, low cholesterol diet, Stones, social problems (such as isolation, poverty, mobility)

9 Ds of weight loss in elderly = Dementia, Depression, Disease (acute and chronic), Dysphagia, Dysgeusia, Diarrhoea, Drugs, Dentition, Dysfunction (functional disability), Don't know

In the UK, NICE reviewed the evidence in 2015 from studies in primary care and recommends investigating patients with weight loss and localising symptoms for cancer (table 3).³⁷ These guidelines focus on ruling out individual cancer types rather than establishing the cause of the symptom. This could lead to multiple referrals for exclusion of specific cancers and a delay in diagnosis. Since then, evidence from the 2018 systematic review indicates that weight loss predicts cancer in patients 60 years and older sufficiently commonly to warrant investigation across cancer sites.⁵ This supports early referral for testing focused on weight loss rather than pathways focused on individual cancer types.

What patients need to know

- Losing weight without trying to do so is abnormal and should prompt a visit to your doctor
- Most people with unexpected weight loss do not have cancer, but cancer is possible, particularly in adults over 60 years old. Further tests in a hospital might be needed to rule out cancer
- It is unclear how much weight loss, or over what time period, increases the likelihood of cancer
- Report any accompanying symptoms to your doctor as these may help in reaching a diagnosis and guide appropriate investigations

Education into practice

- Which clinical scenarios prompt you to measure your patient's weight?
- What is your current approach to the investigation of unexpected weight loss? How would you alter this based on reading this article?

How patients were involved in the creation of this article

Four patient representatives who were advisory panel members for the study related to this article reviewed earlier versions of this article. They provided comments leading to revisions to improve clarity. A patient reviewer kindly reviewed this article for *The BMJ*. He suggested emphasising the importance of weight measurement in primary care, but also cautioned about "false positives" (when a patient with weight loss is sent for specialist investigations but turns out not to have cancer) which could cause unnecessary alarm to the patient and family and is wasteful of resources. We have presented guidance for clinicians on identifying patients at higher risk of cancer and when to refer for investigations. We are grateful for the input from patients.

Contributors: BDN conceived and drafted the first version of the manuscript. WH, PA, and FDRH commented on multiple versions of the manuscript. All authors agreed on the final version. BDN is the guarantor.

Funding: BDN is funded as part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Doctoral Research Fellowship (DRF-2015-08-18). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health. WH is co-principal investigator of the multi-institutional CanTest Research Collaborative funded by a Cancer Research UK Population Research Catalyst

award (C8640/A23385). PA is an NIHR senior investigator and funded by the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre and CLAHRC. FDRH has part funding from the NIHR School for Primary Care Research, the NIHR Collaboration for Leadership in Health Research and Care (CLARHC) Oxford, the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre (BRC), UHT, and the NIHR Oxford Medtech and In-Vitro Diagnostics Co-operative (MIC).

Competing interests: We have read and understood the BMJ Group policy on declaration of interests and have no relevant interests to declare.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

- 1 Wong CJ. Involuntary weight loss. *Med Clin North Am* 2014;98:625-43. 10.1016/j.mcna.2014.01.012 24758965
- 2 Bhaskaran K, Douglas I, Forbes H, dos-Santos-Silva I, Leon DA, Smeeth L. Body-mass index and risk of 22 specific cancers: a population-based cohort study of 5.24 million UK adults. *Lancet* 2014;384:755-65. 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60892-8 25129328
- 3 Wallace JL, Schwartz RS. Epidemiology of weight loss in humans with special reference to wasting in the elderly. *Int J Cardiol* 2002;85:15-21. 10.1016/S0167-5273(02)00246-2 12163206
- 4 Vanderschueren S, Geens E, Knockaert D, Bobbaers H. The diagnostic spectrum of unintentional weight loss. *Eur J Intern Med* 2005;16:160-4. 10.1016/j.ejim.2005.01.004 15967329
- 5 Nicholson BD, Hamilton W, O'Sullivan J, Aveyard P, Hobbs FR. Weight loss as a predictor of cancer in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Gen Pract* 2018;68:e311-22. 10.3399/bjgp18X695801 29632004
- 6 Hamilton W, Lancashire R, Sharp D, Peters TJ, Cheng K, Marshall T. The risk of colorectal cancer with symptoms at different ages and between the sexes: a case-control study. *BMC Med* 2009;7:17. 10.1186/1741-7015-7-17 19374736
- 7 Walter FM, Mills K, Mendonça SC, et al. Symptoms and patient factors associated with diagnostic intervals for pancreatic cancer (SYMPTOM pancreatic study): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;1:298-306. 10.1016/S2468-1253(16)30079-6 28404200
- 8 Keane MG, Horsfall L, Rait G, Pereira SP. A case-control study comparing the incidence of early symptoms in pancreatic and biliary tract cancer. *BMJ Open* 2014;4:e005720. 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005720 25410605
- 9 Curless R, French J, Williams GV, James OF. Comparison of gastrointestinal symptoms in colorectal carcinoma patients and community controls with respect to age. *Gut* 1994;35:1267-70. 10.1136/gut.35.9.1267 7959235
- 10 Hamilton W, Round A, Sharp D, Peters TJ. Clinical features of colorectal cancer before diagnosis: a population-based case-control study. *Br J Cancer* 2005;93:399-405. 10.1038/sj.bjc.6602714 16106247
- 11 Hamilton W, Lancashire R, Sharp D, Peters TJ, Cheng K, Marshall T. The risk of colorectal cancer with symptoms at different ages and between the sexes: a case-control study. *BMC Med* 2009;7:17. 10.1186/1741-7015-7-17 19374736
- 12 Collins GS, Altman DG. Identifying patients with suspected colorectal cancer: an independent validation of QCancer (Colorectal). *Br J Cancer* 2012;107:260-5. 10.1038/bjc.2012.266 22699822
- 13 Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Identifying patients with suspected colorectal cancer in primary care: derivation and validation of an algorithm. *Br J Gen Pract* 2012;62:e29-37. 10.3399/bjgp12X616346 22520670
- 14 Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Identifying patients with suspected gastro-oesophageal cancer in primary care: derivation and validation of an algorithm. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011;61:e707-14. 10.3399/bjgp11X606609 22054334
- 15 Collins GS, Altman DG. Identifying patients with suspected gastro-oesophageal cancer in primary care: External validation of QCancer® (Gastro-Oesophageal). *Eur J Cancer* 2013;49:1040-8. 10.1016/j.ejca.2012.10.023 23159533
- 16 Stapley S, Peters TJ, Neal RD, Rose PW, Walter FM, Hamilton W. The risk of oesophago-gastric cancer in symptomatic patients in primary care: a large case-control study using electronic records. *Br J Cancer* 2013;108:25-31. 10.1038/bjc.2012.551 23257895
- 17 Hamilton W, Peters TJ, Round A, Sharp D. What are the clinical features of lung cancer before the diagnosis is made? A population based case-control study. *Thorax* 2005;60:1059-65. 10.1136/thorx.2005.045880 16227326
- 18 Iyen-Omofoman B, Tata LJ, Baldwin DR, Smith CJP, Hubbard RB. Using socio-demographic and early clinical features in general practice to identify people with lung cancer earlier. *Thorax* 2013;68:451-9. 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-202348 23321602
- 19 Shephard EA, Neal RD, Rose P, Walter FM, Litt EJ, Hamilton WT. Quantifying the risk of multiple myeloma from symptoms reported in primary care patients: a large case-control study using electronic records. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65:e106-13. 10.3399/bjgp15X683545 25624306
- 20 Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Symptoms and risk factors to identify women with suspected cancer in primary care: derivation and validation of an algorithm. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63:e11-21. 10.3399/bjgp13X660733 23336450
- 21 Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Symptoms and risk factors to identify men with suspected cancer in primary care: derivation and validation of an algorithm. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63:e1-10. 10.3399/bjgp13X660724 23336443
- 22 Shephard EA, Neal RD, Rose PW, Walter FM, Hamilton WT. Quantifying the risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in symptomatic primary care patients aged ≥40 years: a large case-control study using electronic records. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65:e281-8. 10.3399/bjgp15X684793 25918332
- 23 Lim AWW, Mesher D, Gentry-Maharaj A, et al. Predictive value of symptoms for ovarian cancer: comparison of symptoms reported by questionnaire, interview, and general practitioner notes. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2012;104:114-24. 10.1093/jnci/djr486 22247022
- 24 Collins GS, Altman DG. Identifying women with undetected ovarian cancer: independent and external validation of QCancer® (Ovarian) prediction model. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)* 2013;22:423-9. 10.1111/ccc.12015 23121234
- 25 Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Identifying women with suspected ovarian cancer in primary care: derivation and validation of algorithm. *BMJ* 2011;344:d8009. 10.1136/bmj.d8009 22217630
- 26 Holly EA, Chaliha I, Bracci PM, Gautam M. Signs and symptoms of pancreatic cancer: a population-based case-control study in the San Francisco Bay area. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;2:510-7. 10.1016/S1542-3565(04)00171-5 15181621
- 27 Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Identifying patients with suspected pancreatic cancer in primary care: derivation and validation of an algorithm. *Br J Gen Pract* 2012;62:e38-45. 10.3399/bjgp12X616355 22520674
- 28 Collins GS, Altman DG. Identifying patients with undetected pancreatic cancer in primary care: an independent and external validation of QCancer® (Pancreas). *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63:e636-42. 10.3399/bjgp13X671623 23998844
- 29 Stapley S, Peters TJ, Neal RD, Rose PW, Walter FM, Hamilton W. The risk of pancreatic cancer in symptomatic patients in primary care: a large case-control study using electronic records. *Br J Cancer* 2012;106:1940-4. 10.1038/bjc.2012.190 22617126
- 30 Hamilton W, Sharp DJ, Peters TJ, Round AP. Clinical features of prostate cancer before diagnosis: a population-based, case-control study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006;56:756-62. 17007705
- 31 Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Identifying patients with suspected renal tract cancer in primary care: derivation and validation of an algorithm. *Br J Gen Pract* 2012;62:e251-60. 10.3399/bjgp12X636074 22520912
- 32 Collins GS, Altman DG. Identifying patients with undetected renal tract cancer in primary care: an independent and external validation of QCancer® (Renal) prediction model. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2013;37:115-20. 10.1016/j.canep.2012.11.005 23280341
- 33 Nicholson BD, Aveyard P, Hamilton W, et al. The internal validation of weight and weight change coding using weight measurement data within the UK primary care Electronic Health Record. *Clin Epidemiol* 2019;11:145-55. 10.2147/CLEP.S189989 30774449
- 34 Gaddey HL, Holder K. Unintentional weight loss in older adults. *Am Fam Physician* 2014;89:718-22. 24784334
- 35 McMinn J, Steel C, Bowman A. Investigation and management of unintentional weight loss in older adults. *BMJ* 2011;342:d1732. 10.1136/bmj.d1732 21447571
- 36 Rolland Y, Kim MJ, Gammack JK, Wilson MM, Thomas DR, Morley JE. Office management of weight loss in older persons. *Am J Med* 2006;119:1019-26. 10.1016/j.amjmed.2006.02.039 17145241
- 37 Hamilton W, Hajioff S, Graham J, Schmidt-Hansen M. Suspected cancer (part 2--adults): reference tables from updated NICE guidance. *BMJ* 2015;350:h3044. 10.1136/bmj.h3044 26104465
- 38 Ewing M, Naredi P, Zhang C, Månsson J. Identification of patients with non-metastatic colorectal cancer in primary care: a case-control study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016;66:e880-6. 10.3399/bjgp16X687985 27821670
- 39 Shephard EA, Neal RD, Rose PW, Walter FM, Hamilton W. Symptoms of adult chronic and acute leukaemia before diagnosis: large primary care case-control studies using electronic records. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016;66:e182-8. 10.3399/bjgp16X683989 26917658
- 40 Hamilton W, Barrett J, Stapley S, Sharp D, Rose P. Clinical features of metastatic cancer in primary care: a case-control study using medical records. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65:e516-22. 10.3399/bjgp15X686077 26212847
- 41 Koo MM, Hamilton W, Walter FM, Rubin GP, Lyraztopoulos G. Symptom signatures and diagnostic timeliness in cancer patients: a review of current evidence. *Neoplasia* 2018;20:165-74. 10.1016/j.neo.2017.11.005 29253839
- 42 Fearon K, Strasser F, Anker SD, et al. Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: an international consensus. *Lancet Oncol* 2011;12:489-95. 10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70218-7 21296615
- 43 Olson SH, Xu Y, Herzog K, et al. Weight loss, diabetes, fatigue, and depression preceding pancreatic cancer. *Pancreas* 2016;45:986-91. 10.1097/MPA.0000000000000590 26692445
- 44 Hamilton W, Round A, Sharp D, Peters TJ. Clinical features of colorectal cancer before diagnosis: a population-based case-control study. *Br J Cancer* 2005;93:399-405. 10.1038/sj.bjc.6602714 16106247
- 45 NIHR PROSPERO. A systematic review of the screening and assessment of cachexia, by healthcare setting. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=87087.
- 46 Caan BJ, Cespedes Feliciano EM, Prado CM, et al. Association of muscle and adiposity measured by computed tomography with survival in patients with nonmetastatic breast cancer. *JAMA Oncol* 2018;4:798-804. 10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.0137 29621380
- 47 Caan BJ, Meyerhardt JA, Kroenke CH, et al. Explaining the obesity paradox: the association between body composition and colorectal cancer survival (C-SCANS Study). *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017;26:1008-15. 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0200 28506965
- 48 Mayers JR, Wu C, Clish CB, et al. Elevation of circulating branched-chain amino acids is an early event in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma development. *Nat Med* 2014;20:1193-8. 10.1038/nm.3686 25261994
- 49 Porporato GE. Understanding cachexia as a cancer metabolism syndrome. *Oncogenesis* 2016;5:e200. 10.1038/oncsis.2016.3 26900952
- 50 Loumaye A, Thissen JP. Biomarkers of cancer cachexia. *Clin Biochem* 2017;50:1281-8. 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2017.07.011 28739222
- 51 Roxburgh CS, McMillan DC. Cancer and systemic inflammation: treat the tumour and treat the host. *Br J Cancer* 2014;110:1409-12. 10.1038/bjc.2014.90 24548867
- 52 Forster AS, Renzi C, Lyraztopoulos G. Diagnosing cancer in patients with 'non-alarm' symptoms: Learning from diagnostic care innovations in Denmark. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2018;54:101-3. 10.1016/j.canep.2018.03.011 29702426

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

Tables

Table 1 | Studies included in systematic review by Nicholson 2018⁵ of association between weight loss and cancer in primary care

Study and design	How weight loss defined	Data source	Sample size	Age (years)	Male (%)	Positive likelihood ratio (95% CI)*	QUADAS quality score
Biliary tree cancer							
Keane 2014 ⁸ ; Ret C-C	Code	UK EHR	18 021	Mean 72 (SD 12)	47.1	3.2 (2.4 to 4.2)	6/7
Colorectal cancer							
Curless 1994 ⁹ ; Ret C-C	Pt report	UK questionnaire	546	25-93	56	5.9 (5 to 6.9)	4/7
Hamilton 2005 ¹⁰ ; Ret C-C	Any entry	UK paper and EHR	2 093	>40	50.7	5.1 (4.2 to 6.3)	6/7
Hamilton 2009 ¹¹ ; Ret C-C	Measured	UK EHR	43 791	>30	NR	2.6 (2.4 to 2.8)	6/7
Collins 2012 ¹² ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	2 135 540	30-84	49.6	4.4 (3.8 to 5)	7/7
Hippisley-Cox 2012 ¹³ ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	1 235 547	30-84	50.2	3.6 (3 to 4.4)	6/7
Gastro-oesophageal cancer							
Hippisley-Cox 2011 ¹⁴ ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	963 040	30-84	50.2	8.5 (7 to 10.2)	6/7
Collins 2013 ¹⁵ ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	2 140 194	30-84	49.6	9.4 (8.2 to 10.7)	7/7
Stapley 2013 ¹⁶ ; Ret C-C	Code	UK EHR	40 348	≥40	64.2	9.8 (9.1 to 10.6)	6/7
Lung cancer							
Hamilton 2005 ¹⁷ ; Ret C-C	Any entry	UK paper and EHR	1 482	>40	68.8	6.2 (4.9 to 7.9)	6/7
Iyen-Omofoman 2013 ¹⁸ ; Ret C-C	Code	UK EHR	132 805	>40	49.1	4.5 (4.1 to 5.1)	6/7
Myeloma							
Shephard 2015 ¹⁹ ; Ret C-C	Code	UK EHR	14 860	≥40	52.5	5.6 (4.6 to 6.8)	6/7
Multiple cancers							
Hippisley-Cox 2013 ²⁰ ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	667 603	25-89	0	3.5 (3.2 to 3.8)	7/7
Hippisley-Cox 2013 ²¹ ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	679 174	25-89	100	6.8 (6.3 to 7.4)	7/7
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma							
Shephard 2015 ²² ; Ret C-C	Code	UK EHR	23 830	≥40	51.3	6.4 (5.5 to 7.4)	6/7
Ovarian cancer							
Lim 2012 ²³ ; Ret C-C	Code	UK GP records	398	50-78	0	3.3 (1.4 to 7.9)	3/7
Collins 2013 ²⁴ ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	1 054 818	30-84	0	3.3 (2.4 to 4.7)	7/7
Hippisley-Cox 2012 ²⁵ ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	472 114	30-84	0	3.7 (2.5 to 5.7)	6/7
Pancreatic cancer							
Holly 2004 ²⁶ ; Ret C-C	Pt report.	US questionnaire	300	21-85	54	8.4 (6.2 to 11.3)	3/7
Hippisley-Cox 2012 ²⁷ ; Ret C-C	Code	UK EHR	971 706	30-84	50.2	8.1 (6.3 to 10.4)	6/7
Collins 2013 ²⁸ ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	2 135 962	30-84	49.6	9.7 (7.8 to 12.1)	7/7
Keane 2014 ⁸ ; Ret C-C	Code	UK EHR	19 982	Mean 71 (SD 11)	47.1	6 (5.4 to 6.7)	6/7
Stapley 2012 ²⁹ ; Ret C-C	Code	UK EHR	20 094	≥40	48.0	15.2 (13.7 to 16.9)	6/7
Prostate cancer							
Hamilton 2005 ³⁰ ; Ret C-C	Any entry	UK paper and EHR	1 297	>40	100	11.4 (8.6 to 15.1)	6/7
Renal tract cancer							
Hippisley-Cox 2012 ³¹ ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	967 681	30-84	50	2.4 (1.8 to 3.4)	6/7
Collins 2013 ³² ; Ret Chrt	Code	UK EHR	1 081 778	30-84	49.6	2.4 (1.5 to 3.6)	7/7

* Positive likelihood ratio is how many times more likely is a patient with weight loss to be diagnosed with cancer compared with somebody without weight loss. Ret = retrospective, C-C = case-control, Chrt = cohort, EHR = electronic health records.

Table 2| Clinical features associated with $\geq 3\%$ risk of cancer when patients also complain of weight loss

Clinical feature	Patients' age (years)	Positive predictive value (%)	
		Of clinical feature alone	Of feature + weight loss
Pancreatic cancer			
Jaundice	>60	22.0	31.6
Prostate cancer			
Nocturia	>40	2.2	12
Benign rectal exam	>40	2.4	9.4
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma			
Lymphadenopathy	>60	13	>10
Raised gamma globulin	>60	0.2	3.5
Lung cancer			
Haemoptysis	>40	4.8	9.2
Thrombocytosis	>40	1.6	6.1
Gastro-oesophageal cancer			
Dysphagia	>55	4.8	9.2
Epigastric pain	>55	0.9	4.2
Gastro-oesophageal reflux	>55	0.6	3.1
Colorectal cancer			
Abnormal rectal exam	>40	1.5	7.4
Abdominal tenderness	>40	1.1	6.4
Haemoglobin <10	>40	2.3	4.7
Rectal bleeding	>40	2.4	4.7
Abdominal pain	>40	1.1	3.4
Diarrhoea	>40	0.9	3.1
Constipation	>40	0.4	3.0

Table 3| NICE recommendations for the investigation of unexplained weight loss³⁷

Unexplained weight loss <i>plus</i>	Action	Possible cancer
Any age		
Lymphadenopathy or splenomegaly	Urgent specialist referral*	Lymphoma
Female	CA125 test in primary care	Ovarian
Patients ≥40 years old		
Abdominal pain	Urgent specialist referral*	Colorectal
Ever smoked or asbestos exposure	Urgent chest x ray	Lung
Never smoked <i>plus</i> cough, fatigue, shortness of breath, chest pain, or appetite loss		
Patients <50 or ≥50 years old		
Rectal bleeding <50	Consider urgent specialist referral	Colorectal
Rectal bleeding ≥50	Faecal occult blood test	
Patients ≥55 years old		
Upper abdominal pain, reflux, or dyspepsia	Urgent gastroscopy	Gastro-oesophageal
Thrombocytosis, nausea and vomiting	Non-urgent gastroscopy	
Patients ≥60 years old		
Diarrhoea, back pain, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, constipation, or new onset diabetes	Consider urgent CT of abdomen	Pancreas

CA125 = cancer antigen 125. CT = computed tomography.

* To be assessed within 2 weeks.