

Cognitive impairment is differentially associated with depression and anxiety at six-months post-stroke

Authors: Owen A Williams & Nele Demeyere

Owen A Williams, Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.

Nele Demeyere, Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.

Search Terms: Stroke, Cognitive Impairment, Depression, Anxiety

Publication History: This manuscript was previously published on medRxiv: doi:

10.1101/2020.09.24.20200972

Submission type: Article

Title character count: 103

Number of tables: 3

Number of figures: 2

Word count of abstract: 250/250

Word count of Paper: 4219/4500

Corresponding author:

Owen A Williams

Department of Experimental Psychology,

Radcliffe Observatory Quarter,

Oxford,

OX2 6GG

United Kingdom

owen.williams@psy.ox.ac.uk

Financial disclosures:

Owen A Williams – Reports no disclosures

Nele Demeyere – Reports no disclosures

Statistical analysis: Conducted by Dr Owen A Williams

Study funded by: Stroke Association

This work was supported by the Stroke Association grant refs TSA 2011/02 (OCS-CARE) and SA PPA 18\100032 (Psychological consequences of stroke)

Abstract

Objective: Investigate the associations between general cognitive impairment and domain specific cognitive impairment with post-stroke depression and anxiety at six-months post-stroke.

Methods: Participants were confirmed acute stroke patients from the OCS-CARE study who were recruited on stroke wards in a multi-site study and followed up at a 6 months post-stroke assessment. Depression and anxiety symptoms were assessed by the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale sub-scales, with scores greater than seven indicating possible mood disorders. General cognitive impairment at follow-up was assessed using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment, stroke-specific cognitive domain impairments was assessed using the Oxford Cognitive Screen. Linear regression was used to examine the associations between cognition and depression/anxiety symptoms at 6-months, controlling for acute-stroke severity and ADL-impairment, age, sex, education, and co-occurring post-stroke depression/anxiety.

Results: 437 participants mean age=69.28 years (S.D.=12.17), 226 male (51.72%), were included in analyses. Six-month post-stroke depression (n=115, 26%) was associated with six-month impairment on the MoCA (beta [b] =0.96, standard error [SE] =0.31, p =0.006), and all individual domains assessed by the OCS: spatial attention (b =0.67, SE =0.33, p =0.041), executive function (b =1.37, SE =0.47, p =0.004), language processing (b =0.87, SE =0.38, p =0.028), memory (b =0.76, SE =0.37, p =0.040), number processing (b =1.13, SE =0.40, p =0.005), praxis (b =1.16, SE =0.49, p =0.028). Post-stroke anxiety (n=133, 30%) was associated with impairment on the MoCA (b =1.47, SE =0.42, p =0.001), and spatial attention (b =1.25, SE =0.45, p =0.006), these associations did not remain significant after controlling for co-occurring post-stroke depression.

Conclusion: Domain-general and domain-specific post-stroke cognitive impairment was found to be highly related to depressive symptomatology but not anxiety symptomatology.

Key Words: Post-stroke depression, Post-stroke anxiety, Cognitive impairment, Stroke, Mood

Introduction

Mood disorders are common after stroke, occurring at higher rates than in the general population.^{1,2} Post-stroke depression and anxiety can have a negative impact on long-term recovery.³ Cognitive status is an important predictor of post-stroke depression.⁴ However, research has provided inconsistent evidence of domain specific associations between cognition and post-stroke mood.

One of the most consistently reported risk factors for post-stroke depression is cognitive impairment.⁴ However, research into the impact of domain-specific cognitive impairment has yielded inconsistent findings. Acute impairments in language, visual memory, visuospatial neglect, executive functioning and working memory have been associated with depressive symptoms at three-months⁵ and six-months post-stroke.⁶ In comparison to post-stroke depression, the association of post-stroke anxiety with cognition has received very little attention. One study reported associations between post-stroke anxiety and impaired processing speed but not executive function or verbal memory.⁷ Anxiety at one-year post-stroke has been associated with overall cognition.⁸

The majority of studies have only measured acute/sub-acute cognitive impairment⁵⁻⁷ and it remains unclear how persistent cognitive impairment (> six-months post-stroke) is associated with mood disorders after six months post-stroke. Furthermore, despite their high comorbidity,⁷ depression and anxiety are rarely studied together^{7,8} and models examining cognition-mood associations seldom control for co-occurrence of depression and anxiety. The aim of this study was to examine domain specific relationships between lasting cognitive impairment and risk of post-stroke depression and anxiety at six-months post-stroke while controlling for counterpart mood symptoms i.e. is cognitive impairment associated with depression independent of anxiety and vice-versa?

Methods

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

The information used in this study was collected from the OCS-Care Study (ISRCTN50857950; registered on 27/03/2014) with the approval of the local ethics committee (West Midlands – Coventry and Warwickshire National Research Ethical Committee, REC reference: 12/WM/00335). All participants provided written informed consent. All procedures were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. No recognizable persons in photographs, videos, or other information are included.

Participants

This is a secondary analysis of data acquired from a subsample of the OCS-Care Study, a multi-centre sample of stroke patients recruited consecutively between July 2014 and July 2016 from 37 sites in England, UK.⁹ Originally, participants were included if they met the following criteria: (i) acute stroke patient (within 10 weeks of confirmed stroke); (ii) adult (≤ 90 years of age); (iii) able to concentrate sufficiently for one hour as judged by the multidisciplinary care team in the hospital; (iv) have sufficient language comprehension to pass the first orienting tests (the OCS Picture Naming and Semantics tasks); and (v) willing and able to give informed consent themselves. Further to this, participants were only included in the present analyses if they had complete data including stroke severity and activities of daily living during the acute stage and had completed cognition and mood assessments at six months follow-up. This was necessary to allow for comparison between statistical models for different cognitive domains as case-wise exclusion based on missing data may lead to slightly different samples being included in different models.

Mood assessment

Depression and anxiety symptomatology were assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS).¹⁰ The HADS consists of seven items each for depression and anxiety with answers rated 0-3 for each question providing two subscales with a range of 0-21 for depression (HADS-D) and anxiety (HADS-A). Scores above seven indicate possible cases while scores above 11 indicate probable cases of depression/anxiety. Since its introduction, the HADS

has been used extensively in clinical practice and research and has been found to have high sensitivity and reliability.¹¹ The HADS was administered to participants during a 6 month face-to-face follow-up assessment. While we used the cut-off scores to describe the prevalence of depressive and anxious symptoms, the HADS subscales were entered into analyses as continuous variables.

Cognitive assessment

General cognitive status and domain specific cognitive impairments were assessed by trained research nurses or occupational therapists in all participating research centers. Though the research was completed before MoCA administration certification was available, all research staff completed an induction by the study team in which they were trained to administer both OCS and MoCA.

Cognitive status was assessed using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA).¹² The MoCA consists of a single A4 page and can be administered in approximately 15 minutes. While it contains assessments of several cognitive functions, there are no separable norm data to determine cut-offs in subsections. Instead, a total score out of 30 is calculated, with scores < 26 considered indicative of cognitive impairment.¹² Although originally developed for mild cognitive impairment and dementia, the MoCA is commonly used to screen for cognitive impairment in stroke patients and has been shown to have good sensitivity to cognitive impairment after stroke.¹³

Domain specific impairments were assessed using the Oxford Cognitive Screen (OCS).¹⁴ The OCS is a cognitive screening tool designed specifically to assess common cognitive impairments in stroke patients. With a typical administration time of 15-20 minutes, the OCS consists of 10 subtests that can be categorised into six cognitive domains: spatial attention (object and spatial neglect), executive function (trails switching accuracy), language (picture naming, semantic understanding, sentence reading), episodic memory (orientation, verbal recall, verbal recognition), number processing (number writing, calculation), and praxis (hand gesture imitation). Subtests are binarized into impaired/unimpaired based on normative scores for each subtest. Each cognitive domain is treated as impaired if at least one subtest in the domain is

classed as impaired. A detailed description of the tests alongside normative data, and validation have been published previously.^{14, 15}

Acute stroke severity assessment

Baseline assessment of stroke severity was assessed via the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS).¹⁶ The NIHSS is a brief 11-item observation scale that addresses cognitive and motor problems after stroke. Baseline stroke impact on daily life functioning was assessed via the Barthel Index of Activities of Daily Living (ADL). The Barthel Index consists of 10 items that measure a person's daily functioning, specifically the activities of daily living and mobility.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were carried out in R (version 3.6.3). Preliminary analyses to examine group differences in demographics, clinical stroke features, and cognitive impairment between participants included in the analysis and those excluded for missing data were conducted using univariate analyses (t-tests for continuous variables, χ^2 -test for categorical data). For the core analyses, pirate plots and univariate linear regression models were used to assess associations between cognitive metrics and HADS subscale scores.

We present two sets of analyses where the effects of cognition on depression or anxiety were examined separately. Multivariable linear regression was applied using the `lm` function in R to assess the association between cognition and HADS-D/HADS-A scores while controlling for confounding variables. Cognitive predictors included MoCA cognitive impairment (score < 26), OCS total number of impaired domains (categorised as 0, 1, or 2 or more domains impaired, due to a skewed distribution), and impairment on each individual cognitive domain separately. Two sequential models were applied for each cognitive measure as predictor variables with HADS-D/HADS-A score as the dependent variable. Model 1 controlled for age at stroke, sex, education (years), NIHSS, and Barthel score. To assess whether cognition is associated with depression independently of anxiety and vice versa, Model 2 additionally controlled for HADS-A in the models predicting HADS-D and HADS-D in the models predicting HADS-A. Several covariates were binarized due to skewed or limited range distributions and were classed as impaired vs spared based on clinical cut-offs. Stroke severity was classified as minor stroke vs major stroke

with NIHSS scores > 3 indicating major stroke.¹⁷ The Barthel score was classified as impaired vs unimpaired daily functioning, with a score < 95 indicating impairment.¹⁸ Age at stroke and years of education were mean centred. The increased risk of Type I error due to multiple comparisons was corrected for by applying the false discovery rate (FDR) procedure as implemented using the `p.adjust` function in R.

In addition, logistic regression was applied as secondary analysis. Models with symptom group membership as the dependent variable based on HADS subscale cut-offs of eight or more to be considered depressive or anxious cases. Only cognitive variables that were found to be associated with HADS subscales in Model 2 were analysed. This was because there was a large proportion of participants who were both depressive and anxious. Thus, linear models were necessary first to interrogate the associations of interest while controlling for co-occurring mood symptoms. Covariates again included age at stroke, sex, education, NIHSS score, and Barthel score. Years of education was binarized into high (those with more than 11 years of education) and low (those who left school before age 16) due to the violation of the assumption of linearity between the logit of the outcome and each predictor variable.

Data availability

Anonymized data will be shared upon request from any qualified investigator.

Results

In the original OCS-CARE study, 467 participants completed assessments and questionnaires during the acute stage post stroke and at a 6-month follow-up.⁹ Thirty of these participants had missing data for at least one variable of interest and were therefore excluded from all subsequent analysis in order for statistical models to be compared across the exact same sample. Table S1 shows that there were no significant differences in demographics or clinical stroke features between the subsample and the 30 excluded individuals. However, a greater proportion of the excluded participants did have an impairment in the language domain (33.3%) compared to the subsample with complete data (17.9%), $\chi^2 = 3.886$, $p = 0.0487$, suggesting aphasia symptoms may have contributed to increased missingness of questionnaire data in particular. In total, 437 participants were included in all analyses.

The mean age of the sample included in analyses was 69.28 years (S.D. = 12.17), 226 were male (51.72%), and the average number of years of education was 11.82 (S.D. = 2.89). The average follow-up interval between acute assessment and six-month assessment was 208.88 days (S.D. 29.26) – 6.74 months. Of these participants, 149 (34.10%) were considered to have had a major stroke (NIHSS > 3), and 252 (57.67%) were considered to be disabled in some ADLs (Barthel ADL score < 95). Cognitive impairment assessed by the MoCA (score < 26) was present in 232 (53.10%) of participants at six-month follow-up. For OCS outcomes, 128 (29.29%) were impaired in one cognitive domain and 105 (24.03%) were impaired on multiple cognitive domains.

Table 1 shows the number of possible and probable cases for depression and anxiety in the sample at the six-month post stroke assessment (based on HADS cut offs). Of the participants who were non-cases, 266 (60.8% of whole group) participants did not have depression or anxiety symptoms. Of the possible and probable cases, 77 (17.6% of whole group) participants had both depression and anxiety symptoms.

Depression

Being impaired in the MoCA or having one, or two or more impairments in the OCS was associated with higher HADS-D scores compared to no impairments (see Figure 1).

Supplementary Figure S1 (available on OSF: <https://osf.io/SBMPR/>) shows pirate plots comparing HADS-D for individual cognitive domains on the OCS, all 6 domains showed significantly higher HADS-D scores in the impaired groups compared to not impaired groups ($p < 0.01$).

All cognitive metrics were positively associated with HADS-D after FDR correction for multiple comparisons. Having two or more domain impairments on the OCS was the predictor variable with the largest Beta value, suggesting that the accumulative effects of impairment on multiple domains is an important risk factor of depressive symptoms. Overall, the beta values were slightly attenuated in Model 2 which additionally controlled for anxiety symptoms using the HADS-A subscale (see Table 2).

Results from the logistic regression carried out in secondary analyses, are presented in Figure 2. There was an increased risk of possible depression in individuals with an impairment on the MoCA (odds ratio [OR] = 3.04, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.84-5.14), one cognitive domain impairment on the OCS (OR = 2.19, CI = 1.25-3.87), two or more cognitive domain impairments on the OCS (OR = 3.37, CI = 1.85-6.23), impaired spatial attention (OR = 1.83, CI = 1.10-3.03), impaired language (OR = 1.80, CI = 1.02-3.16), impaired number processing (OR = 2.46, CI = 1.37-4.43) and impaired praxis (OR = 2.40, CI = 1.16-4.95). Only impairment on executive function (OR = 1.82, CI = 0.88-3.7) and memory (OR = 1.75, CI = 0.99-3.05) were not associated with increased risk of depression. Groupwise comparisons of the depressed vs. not depressed groups for logistic regression are shown in Supplementary Table S2 (<https://osf.io/SBMPR/>).

Anxiety

Being impaired in the MoCA or having two or more impairments in the OCS was associated with higher HADS-A scores compared to no impairments (see Figure 1). When comparing HADS-A for individual cognitive domains on the OCS, only impairments in spatial attention ($p = 0.009$), language ($p = 0.042$), and number processing ($p = 0.026$) showed higher HADS-A scores compared to individuals not impaired in those domains (Additional pirate plots for separate cognitive domains are provided in Supplementary Figure S1, <https://osf.io/SBMPR/>).

In Model 1 (controlling for age at stroke, sex, education, NIHSS score, and Barthel score) general cognitive impairment on the MoCA, having two or more cognitive domain impairments on the OCS, and impaired spatial attention were positively associated with HADS-A scores after FDR correction for multiple comparisons. Language impairment, number impairment, and praxis impairment were positively associated but did not survive FDR multiple comparison correction. See Table 3 for multivariable regression values with HADS-A scores as the dependent variable.

As no cognitive metrics were associated with HADS-A independent of depression, no logistic regression models were carried out for anxiety.

Discussion

In a large sample of stroke patients who were followed up at six-months post-stroke, we found that a general measure of cognitive impairment (MoCA score standard cut off) as well as impairment in multiple domains, as measured by the OCS, was associated with elevated levels of post-stroke depressive symptomatology independent of stroke severity, ADL impairment, age at stroke, sex, education and co-occurring anxiety symptomatology. Post-stroke anxiety showed limited associations with cognition and these were lost when controlling for co-occurring post-stroke depressive symptomatology. These results suggest that while overall severity of cognitive impairment shows similar associated risk for post-stroke depression or anxiety, there are different patterns of associations between specific cognitive impairments and risk of post-stroke depression compared to post-stroke anxiety and that observed associations between post-stroke cognitive impairment and anxiety may in fact be due to co-occurring depression. The finding that these associations were found to be independent of stroke severity and initial disability has important implications for targeted therapy of post-stroke cognitive impairment and mood disorders. **It is common for patients with cognitive impairment to present with multiple neuropsychiatric symptoms¹⁹, and indeed, this was the case in the present study. Thus, neuropsychiatric treatments should still target all present mood disorders. However, based on these results, it may be expected that interventions targeting cognitive recovery or depressive mood may have a positive impact on both cognition and mood.**

The results from the fully adjusted Model 2 for depression confirm previous reports that general cognitive impairment after stroke is associated with depression at six-months post-stroke.^{6, 20} By using the stroke specific OCS assessment, this study extended these findings to show that having an impairment in one or more cognitive domains increases depressive symptomatology, suggesting that there is an additive effect of domain specific cognitive impairments on the risk of depressive symptomatology, with those having more than two cognitive domain impairments at greater risk of post-stroke depression. Furthermore, we were able to assess associations between impairment in specific domains and depressive symptomatology. We found positive associations between depressive symptomatology and impairments in all domains assessed, including spatial attention, executive function, language processing, memory, number processing and praxis. While spatial attention (including neglect), executive functioning, language processing and

memory have been more commonly studied and found to be related to post-stroke depression,^{5, 6, 21} number processing and praxis have not received the same level of investigation. Here we show that these domains are important contributors in the profile of cognitive impairment related to post-stroke depression as they each have the highest odds ratios of the six individual domains assessed by binary logistic regression in the secondary analyses. Furthermore, impairments in these two domains are common among stroke survivors.²²

Episodic memory as assessed by the OCS was significantly associated with post-stroke depressive symptomatology. This is in contrast with an earlier report from Hosking et al.²³ that episodic verbal memory as assessed by the Verbal Paired Associates subtest of the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised²⁴ was not associated with post-stroke depression. As the OCS was designed specifically for stroke patients and allows for non-verbal responses when oral communication is impaired, it is possible that it provides a more accurate description of memory impairment compared to the WMS-R subtests in stroke patients and that may explain the discrepancy in our current findings. While Nys et al.⁶ reported significant associations between visual memory and 3-month PSD symptomatology, they did not find any association with verbal memory impairment, again suggesting that relying on verbal abilities in acute stroke patients may cloud associations between episodic memory and PSD. Hommel et al.⁵ did find significant associations between acute impairments in verbal episodic memory and three-month post-stroke depression, however the association was lost when they controlled for demographics and clinical stroke features.

Our finding that executive function was associated with post-stroke depressive symptomatology in linear regression models but was not associated with post-stroke depression in the logistic regression was surprising. In similar logistic regression analyses, positive associations between post-stroke depression symptoms and impairments in various aspects of executive function have been consistently reported.^{5, 6, 23} Executive function in the OCS is assessed using a trails task which involves set shifting and inhibiting previously learned responses. **However, a limitation of the OCS assessment of executive function is that it remains a single screening subtest and as such, does not address other important components of the executive domain including abstraction, multi-tasking, and problem solving.** Other studies do use tasks that examine these

executive function traits and have found associations with depression.^{5,6} Performance on the Hanoi Tower²⁵ was associated with post-stroke depression independently of demographics and clinical stroke features.⁵ This is a complex task that measures multiple facets of executive function and may be more sensitive to deficits than the OCS trails task. Nys et al. administered a comprehensive battery of executive function tests that were shown to be associated with post-stroke depression. However, the models did not control for stroke severity or other clinical features.⁶

For post-stroke anxiety, we found that general cognitive impairment and severity as measured by number of domains impaired on the OCS were related to increased anxiety symptoms. This is supported by a previous finding of a cross-sectional relationship between post stroke anxiety and general cognition at one-year post-stroke.⁸ However, others have reported no associations between anxiety and cognition²⁶ When individual components of cognition were analysed, only impairment on the praxis task was significantly associated with anxiety symptoms, independently of other important risk factors. To our knowledge this is the first time that apraxia has been found to be associated with post stroke anxiety. The fact that no other domain tested was associated with post-stroke anxiety is similar to previously reported findings that executive function and verbal memory were not related to anxiety at three-months post-stroke.⁷ However, when depressive symptoms were added in Model 2, there were no significant associations between anxiety symptoms and any of the cognitive measures used. Very few studies have investigated post-stroke depression and anxiety together. Barker-Collo²⁶ had previously reported that performance on cognitive tests explained more variance in post stroke depressive symptomatology than it did in anxiety symptomatology but the study did not control for co-occurrence. As post-stroke depression and anxiety are highly correlated,⁸ it is important to control for depressive symptoms when investigating independent associations between cognitive impairment and post stroke anxiety. Lee et al.⁸ found that while acute impairment on the minimal state exam was associated with anxiety in the first two-weeks after stroke, this effect was lost once controlling for depressive symptoms. At one-year post stroke follow-up they found that MMSE scores were associated with anxiety independently of depressive symptoms present during the acute stage but did not control for co-occurring post-stroke depression at the one-year assessment. With 58% of participants who tested positive for possible/probable anxiety also

testing positive for depression in this sample at six-months, we saw that controlling for depressive symptoms completely removed significant associations between cognitive impairment and anxiety. Therefore, it is possible that observed associations between post-stroke cognitive impairment and anxiety were actually being driven by associations with depression.

The results of this study should be considered in light of several limitations. While the results suggest that persistent cognitive impairment is associated with greater chance of stroke patients having symptoms of post stroke depression and to some extent also anxiety, the cross-sectional design does not allow for inference of causality. It may be the case that altered mood hinders the recovery of cognitive functioning or results in poorer performance on cognitive tasks. Support for the first idea comes from evidence that antidepressants have improved cognition after stroke.²⁷ However, Nys et al.⁶ found that cognitive impairment during the acute stroke stage was associated with depressive symptomatology after six months post-stroke and more recent evidence has suggested that general cognitive impairment at six months post-stroke is associated with increased risk of depression five years post-stroke.²⁸ It is possible that there is a bidirectional relationship between depression/anxiety and cognitive impairment in post-stroke individuals. Future longitudinal studies with multiple assessments of both cognition and mood would allow for a more advanced understanding of these relationships through, for example, random-intercept cross-lagged panel modelling.^{29, 30} It should be noted that there is some evidence that lesion location may be related to depression, with left hemisphere lesions conferring higher risk of post-stroke depression, which fits with reports of language impairments relating to higher rates of depression post stroke.²¹ Unfortunately, there was a large amount of missing data regarding the location of the stroke lesion in this sample, though the findings of in particular apraxia, number and language impairments associations with post-stroke depression fit with this. We suggest that the relationship with lesion location is likely being driven by the cognitive impairments, rather than any particular neuroanatomical reason for depressive symptomatology being related to the left hemisphere. As a result of the large amount of missing data, lesion location could not be included in our multivariable analyses. Pre-stroke depression has also been shown to be an important predictor of post-stroke depression.²⁸ Unfortunately, such data was not available for the current dataset. Future work examining cognition-mood associations should examine interactions with pre-stroke depression and anxiety.

Another important limitation of the present analyses is the lack of information about premorbid intelligence, pre-stroke cognitive decline or measures of cognitive reserve. These are important variables that have recently been shown to be associated with cognitive impairment and capacity for recovery from stroke.³¹ However, education, which has been shown to be related to premorbid intelligence³² and is often included in proxy measures of cognitive reserve was included as a covariate in the present analyses. Lastly, the OCS and HADS are screening tools and the present findings need to be expanded upon using more comprehensive neuropsychological test and in-depth psychological mood assessments. The HADS as a screening tool for depression and anxiety is also limited and there is evidence that it is more a measure of global distress than clinical mood disorders.³³ Thus, while we were measuring mood symptoms in the present study as detected with this questionnaire, this potentially is distinct from clinical diagnoses of depression and anxiety and future research employing clinical diagnoses of post-stroke mood disorders is required to impact on clinical recommendations.

A key strength of this study is the use of the OCS to assess impairment in individual cognitive domains that are commonly affected in stroke and how they are associated with post-stroke depression and anxiety. The advantages of the OCS are that it was designed specifically to assess for common domain-specific cognitive impairments post-stroke, such as aphasia, apraxia, neglect etc whilst allowing for participants to complete assessments even when motor or language impairments are present.¹⁵ This means that some of the more severely impaired participants could continue to participate. However, it is clear from comparisons with the 30 participants that were excluded, that some with severe language impairments were still not able to complete all the necessary assessments to be included in the present analyses. Therefore, it is possible that by excluding some of the most severely aphasic patients, we are still underestimating the impact of post-stroke language impairment on depression/anxiety, despite this, a large sample of stroke patients contributed to this study providing substantial statistical power for analyses.

This is one of few studies that have examined post stroke depression and anxiety alongside wide ranging cognitive screening in the same cohort. We found different profiles of associations with

domain specific cognitive impairment and depressive vs anxiety symptomatology at six-months post stroke. While post-stroke depression was associated with impairments in all cognitive domains tested, anxiety was not found to be associated with cognitive impairment independently of depressive symptoms. Future work should focus on longitudinal experiments in order to elucidate the temporal relationships between domain specific cognitive impairment and mood disorders in stroke survivors as these associations may well have important implications for therapeutic applications.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the participants who took part in the OCS-CARE study and all research staff who contributed towards data collection.

Study Funding

This study was funded by the Stroke Association, grant references: TSA 2011/02 (OCS-CARE) and SA PPA 18\100032 (Psychological consequences of stroke)

Disclosure

The authors have no disclosures to report.

Supplemental Materials

Supplemental tables and figures in addition to study data and R code can be found at <https://osf.io/sbmpr/>

Appendix: Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Owen A Williams, PhD	University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.	Conceptualized the study, analyzed the data, interpreted the data, drafted the manuscript
Nele Demeyere, PhD	University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.	Provided the data, conceptualized the study, interpreted the data, revised the manuscript, provided final approval, supervised the study

References

1. Hackett ML, Yapa C, Parag V, Anderson CS. Frequency of depression after stroke: a systematic review of observational studies. *Stroke* 2005;36:1330-1340.
2. Remes O, Brayne C, Van Der Linde R, Lafortune L. A systematic review of reviews on the prevalence of anxiety disorders in adult populations. *Brain and behavior* 2016;6:e00497.
3. Swartzman L, Gibson M, Armstrong T. Psychosocial considerations in adjustment to stroke. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 1998;12:519-542.
4. Hackett ML, Anderson CS. Predictors of depression after stroke: a systematic review of observational studies. *Stroke* 2005;36:2296-2301.
5. Hommel M, Carey L, Jaillard A. Depression: Cognition relations after stroke. *International Journal of Stroke* 2013;10:893-896.
6. Nys G, Van Zandvoort M, Van Der Worp H, et al. Early cognitive impairment predicts long-term depressive symptoms and quality of life after stroke. *Journal of the neurological sciences* 2006;247:149-156.
7. Barker-Collo SL. Depression and anxiety 3 months post stroke: prevalence and correlates. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology* 2007;22:519-531.
8. Lee E-H, Kim J-W, Kang H-J, et al. Association between Anxiety and Functional Outcomes in Patients with Stroke: A 1-Year Longitudinal Study. *Psychiatry Investig* 2019;16:919-925.
9. Demeyere N, Sun S, Milosevich E, Vancleef K. Post-stroke cognition with the Oxford Cognitive Screen vs Montreal Cognitive Assessment: a multi-site randomized controlled study (OCS-CARE). *AMRC Open Research* 2019;1:12.
10. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta psychiatrica scandinavica* 1983;67:361-370.
11. Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: An updated literature review. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 2002;52:69-77.
12. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2005;53:695-699.
13. Pendlebury ST, Mariz J, Bull L, Mehta Z, Rothwell PM. MoCA, ACE-R, and MMSE versus the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke–Canadian Stroke Network vascular cognitive impairment harmonization standards neuropsychological battery after tia and stroke. *Stroke* 2012;43:464-469.
14. Demeyere N, Riddoch MJ, Slavkova ED, Bickerton W-L, Humphreys GW. The Oxford Cognitive Screen (OCS): validation of a stroke-specific short cognitive screening tool. *Psychological Assessment* 2015;27:883.
15. Demeyere N, Riddoch M, Slavkova E, et al. Domain-specific versus generalized cognitive screening in acute stroke. *Journal of neurology* 2016;263:306-315.
16. Brott T, Adams Jr HP, Olinger CP, et al. Measurements of acute cerebral infarction: a clinical examination scale. *Stroke* 1989;20:864-870.
17. Pendlebury ST, Chen P-J, Bull L, Silver L, Mehta Z, Rothwell PM. Methodological Factors in Determining Rates of Dementia in Transient Ischemic Attack and Stroke: (I) Impact of Baseline Selection Bias. *Stroke* 2015;46:641-646.

18. Quinn TJ, Langhorne P, Stott DJ. Barthel index for stroke trials: development, properties, and application. *Stroke* 2011;42:1146-1151.
19. Keszycki RM, Fisher DW, Dong H. The Hyperactivity–Impulsivity–Irritability–Disinhibition–Aggression–Agitation Domain in Alzheimer’s Disease: Current Management and Future Directions. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 2019;10.
20. Verdelho A, Henon H, Lebert F, Pasquier F, Leys D. Depressive symptoms after stroke and relationship with dementia: a three-year follow-up study. *Neurology* 2004;62:905-911.
21. Mitchell AJ, Sheth B, Gill J, et al. Prevalence and predictors of post-stroke mood disorders: A meta-analysis and meta-regression of depression, anxiety and adjustment disorder. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 2017;47:48-60.
22. Mancuso M, Demeyere N, Abbruzzese L, et al. Using the Oxford cognitive screen to Detect cognitive impairment in stroke Patients: a comparison with the Mini-Mental state examination. *Frontiers in Neurology* 2018;9:101.
23. Hosking SG, Marsh NV, Friedman PJ. Depression at 3 months poststroke in the elderly: predictors and indicators of prevalence. *Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition* 2000;7:205-216.
24. Wechsler D. *Manual for the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revise*: The Psychological Corporation, San Antonio, TX. , 1987.
25. Anderson P, Anderson V, Lajoie G. The tower of London test: Validation and standardization for pediatric populatons. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist* 1996;10:54-65.
26. Castillo CS, Schultz SK, Robinson RG. Clinical correlates of early-onset and late-onset poststroke generalized anxiety. *The American journal of psychiatry* 1995;152:1174-1179.
27. Narushima K, Chan K-L, Kosier JT, Robinson RG. Does cognitive recovery after treatment of poststroke depression last? A 2-year follow-up of cognitive function associated with poststroke depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 2003;160:1157-1162.
28. Rohde D, Gaynor E, Large M, et al. The impact of cognitive impairment on poststroke outcomes: A 5-year follow-up. *Journal of geriatric psychiatry and neurology* 2019;32:275-281.
29. Hamaker EL, Kuiper RM, Grasman RP. A critique of the cross-lagged panel model. *Psychological methods* 2015;20:102.
30. Mund M, Nestler S. Beyond the cross-lagged panel model: Next-generation statistical tools for analyzing interdependencies across the life course. *Advances in Life Course Research* 2019;41:100249.
31. Rosenich E, Hordacre B, Paquet C, Koblar SA, Hillier SL. Cognitive reserve as an emerging concept in stroke recovery. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair* 2020;34:187-199.
32. Kesler SR, Adams HF, Blasey CM, Bigler ED. Premorbid intellectual functioning, education, and brain size in traumatic brain injury: an investigation of the cognitive reserve hypothesis. *Applied neuropsychology* 2003;10:153-162.
33. Cosco TD, Doyle F, Ward M, McGee H. Latent structure of the Hospital Anxiety And Depression Scale: a 10-year systematic review. *Journal of psychosomatic research* 2012;72:180-184.

Tables**Table 1**

Table 1. Mean and SD scores on HADS subscales for depression and anxiety at six-months post-stroke by mood status, clinical status and cognitive status

	HADS-D Subscale	HADS-A Subscale
Whole group	5.28 (4.06)	5.76 (4.28)
Non-cases	3.33 (2.22)	4.42 (3.26)
Possible cases	8.71 (0.75)	8.33 (4.11)
Probable cases	13.21 (2.57)	10.94 (4.7)
Minor stroke	4.59 (3.65)	5.4 (4.14)
Major stroke	6.62 (4.47)	6.46 (4.47)
ADL unimpaired	4.42 (3.8)	5.38 (4.43)
ADL impaired	5.91 (4.14)	6.04 (4.14)
MoCA unimpaired	4.32 (3.53)	5.11 (3.75)
MoCA impaired	6.13 (4.31)	6.34 (4.62)
OCS unimpaired	4.21 (3.57)	5.25 (3.95)
OCS 1 impairment	5.62 (3.8)	5.63 (4.37)
OCS 2 or more impairments	6.96 (4.62)	6.9 (4.59)

Table 2

Table 2. Effects of cognitive impairment on risk of depression at six months post stroke. Results from models 1 and 2

	Model 1			Model 2		
	Beta (SE)	t-value	p-value	Beta (SE)	t-value	p-value
MoCA	1.820 (0.391)	4.652	<0.001*	0.96 (0.308)	3.12	0.002*
OCS one impairment	1.351 (0.436)	3.097	0.002*	1.037 (0.338)	3.063	0.002*
OCS two or more impairments	2.556 (0.479)	5.335	<0.001*	1.473 (0.377)	3.909	<0.001*
Spatial attention impairment	1.418 (0.423)	3.356	0.001*	0.672 (0.329)	2.045	0.041*
Executive impairment	1.991 (0.615)	3.237	0.001*	1.373 (0.473)	2.902	0.004*
Language impairment	1.507 (0.493)	3.055	0.002*	0.874 (0.381)	2.296	0.022*
Memory impairment	1.182 (0.483)	2.445	0.015*	0.764 (0.371)	2.059	0.040*
Number impairment	1.792 (0.519)	3.452	0.001*	1.126 (0.401)	2.811	0.005*

Six-month psychological consequences of stroke

Table 2. Effects of cognitive impairment on risk of depression at six months post stroke. Results from models 1 and 2

	Model 1			Model 2		
	Beta (SE)	t-value	p-value	Beta (SE)	t-value	p-value
Praxis impairment	2.016 (0.634)	3.178	0.002*	1.157 (0.49)	2.36	0.019*

MoCA coded as unimpaired vs impaired (<26)

* Significant after FDR correction for multiple comparisons

Table 3

Table 3. Effects of cognitive impairment on risk of anxiety at six months post stroke. Results from models 1 and 2

	Model 1			Model 2		
	Beta (SE)	t-value	p-value	Beta (SE)	t-value	p-value
MoCA	1.465 (0.421)	3.477	0.001*	0.225 (0.335)	0.671	0.502
OCS one impairment	0.539 (0.473)	1.138	0.256	-0.39 (0.371)	-1.051	0.294
OCS two or more impairments	1.858 (0.520)	3.571	<0.000*	0.101 (0.416)	0.242	0.809
Spatial attention impairment	1.251 (0.452)	2.767	0.006*	0.284 (0.353)	0.803	0.422
Executive impairment	1.034 (0.662)	1.563	0.119	-0.342 (0.513)	-0.667	0.505
Language impairment	1.058 (0.529)	2.001	0.046	0.023 (0.411)	0.055	0.956
Memory impairment	0.695 (0.517)	1.343	0.180	-0.119 (0.399)	-0.298	0.766
Number impairment	1.116 (0.558)	2.000	0.046	-0.119 (0.435)	-0.273	0.785

Six-month psychological consequences of stroke

Table 3. Effects of cognitive impairment on risk of anxiety at six months post stroke. Results from models 1 and 2

	Model 1			Model 2		
	Beta (SE)	t-value	p-value	Beta (SE)	t-value	p-value
Praxis impairment	1.438 (0.68)	2.114	0.035	0.054 (0.529)	0.102	0.919

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Pirate plots describing the distributions of depression and anxiety scores. a: HADS-D scores compared between unimpaired and impaired participants on the MoCA, b; HADS-D scores compared between participants with no cognitive domains impaired (0), one domain impairment (1), and two or more cognitive domain impairments (≥ 2). c: HADS-A scores compared between unimpaired and impaired participants on the MoCA, d; HADS-A scores compared between participants with no impairment, one impairment, and two or more impairments. Small dots indicate raw data, large dots indicate means with 95% confidence intervals, full data distributions are shown with the smoothed density curves. * $p < 0.05$

Figure 2: Odds ratios for risk of possible depression for each cognitive metric assessed. Bars indicate confidence intervals. Model is corrected for age, sex, education, NIHSS score, and Barthel score.