

## On Antigone's Suffering

Ankhi Mukherjee, University of Oxford

“We might say (to use terminology borrowed from Kant) that Antigone, in speaking of love, means ‘practical,’ not ‘pathological’ love, a love that has its source in fondness or inclination,” observes Martha Nussbaum.<sup>1</sup> When Antigone says “I shall lie with him as a loved one with a loved one,” there is, Nussbaum insists, “no sense of closeness, no personal memory, no particularity animating her speech.”<sup>2</sup> *Antigone* “is a play about practical reason,” Nussbaum states: beginning with a question (“Do you know?”), as it does, it is preoccupied with evaluation, deliberation, reasoning, and problem-solving.<sup>3</sup> Nussbaum points out the ostensible equation of sanity with practical wisdom in the play, with Creon praising the chorus for their loyal reasoning and condemning Antigone’s attack on civic values as a sign of mental illness. In Creon’s constricted world view, however, “good” and “just” have no “independent standing,” relating exclusively to a man or a woman’s “civic dedication” instead.<sup>4</sup> And, if he has revised practical wisdom to make it all about active citizenship, his adversary Antigone has co-opted it for family relationship, narrowly and idiosyncratically defined. If, for Creon, you are an enemy of the city if you are not its friend, Antigone’s “ruthless simplification of the world of value” manifests in a disavowal of the distinction itself.<sup>5</sup>

Relationship is a source of obligation for Antigone, regardless of the feelings involved. Nussbaum is no doubt influenced by Hegel’s interpretation of the tragedy, which claims that the relationship between Antigone and her brother is “unmixed”: “The brother . . . is in the eyes of the sister a being whose nature is unperturbed by desire and is ethically like her own.”<sup>6</sup> Desire is self-consciousness in Hegel and by saying the siblings are “unperturbed by desire,” he implies that Antigone’s desire for her brother, “being of the same blood,” does not confront her with another self-consciousness which she must recognise and eventually

overcome. Moreover, he adamantly claims that the blood relationship makes desire impossible between sister and brother and Polynices binds her to balance and equilibrium instead. In this interpretation, Antigone is freed of desire and contingency and this intransitive relationship enables her natal piety. Antigone is in excess of desire, of the master-slave dialectic, of citizenship. Jacques Lacan too reads her like an excess, linking her to death, which is beyond understanding. In the *Ethics of Psychoanalysis*, Lacan sees in Antigone a limit concept - a mystery at the heart of tragedy – as she upholds unwritten laws beyond the limit or *ex nihilo* of the symbolic. As Judith Butler comments:

By remaining on the side of the incommunicable sign, the unwritten law, she refuses to submit her love to the chain of signification, the life of substitutability that language inaugurates. She stands, Lacan tells us, for ‘the ineffaceable character of what is.’<sup>7</sup>

In the course of the seminar VII, Antigone becomes “an illustration of the death instinct”: it is as if Lacan, failing to taxonomise Antigone in the terms of livability, must read a desire for death in her.<sup>8</sup> This essay addresses the void of meaning generated by critical interpretations of Antigone’s no! to Creon, which struggle also to nominate and locate the yes! that Antigone’s negotiations are in service of. [I trace and articulate the critical reception of \*Antigone\* through the ages with particular reference to Kamila Shamsie’s \*Home Fire\*, a postcolonial, post 9/11 rewriting and novelisation of the play.](#) If there is no intensity of feeling in Antigone’s piety, no *eros* – **she never directly addresses a word to Haemon, who passionately desires her**, speaking only of subservience to familial piety and a single set of duties – I ask if there could have been any suffering.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: there

### Mourning in the time of plague

The excess of meaning critics find in the depiction of Antigone is related to the excesses of a cultural phenomenon in fifth-century Athens, that of Homeric burial. Bonnie Honig's reading of *Antigone* further depersonalises Antigone as an expendable bit player in a larger politics of loss and lamentation. According to Honig, "Antigone does demand the right to bury her brother but Polynices is a pretext (just as his death is pre-textual; it does not occur in the play). He provides an occasion for Antigone to object to the democratic order of the day."<sup>9</sup> Homeric and democratic rites of burial are reflections of these competing political orders, the heroic values of the first upholding the singularity of each aristocratic death, the latter subscribing to a more democratic practice of homogenisation. Honig is quick to point out that Creon is an unlikely figure of democratic practice, particularly in light of his tyrannical injunction against burying Polyneices, which he arrives at without consulting the people or the elders.<sup>10</sup> His democratic leanings, in keeping with fifth-century democratic thought, lie instead in his ban on lamentation and his misgivings about the perils of individuality. Funeral orations, delivered by men, were favoured by the polis over excessive demonstrations of grief by women: the masculinist form of eulogising praised the dead for their service to the city, underscoring the replaceability of such servers, while women's loud laments mourned the singularity of the life lost. According to Honig, two interlinked functions of tragedy, that genre which monumentalises and aestheticises loss, are elaborated in ancient Greece: tragedy compensates for the loss of Homeric mourning practices; it serves also as a domain of controlled or disciplined subversion, where improper and transgressive emotions are momentarily entertained. Antigone's mourning revives "forbidden elements of women's lamentation."<sup>11</sup>

She raised a bitter cry, as will a bird  
Returning to its nest and finding it  
Despoiled, a cradle empty of its young.

So, when she saw the body bare, she raised

A cry of anguish.<sup>12</sup>

Her keening and lamenting are neither private nor public (and formal), like that of a professional mourner. As Honig reminds us that “[d]emocratic Athens did not forbid kinswomen from mourning.”<sup>13</sup> We are to assume then that Antigone is banned from ritualistic grieving for the following reasons: her lament is not confined to the household; it is raw and self-indulgent; it commemorates the private and the particular; and because she wails outdoors.

Antigone’s discussion of her grief is calmly retaliatory at best and evasive at worst: we learn what it is by articulating throwaway comments on what it isn’t. Asked by Creon if she disobeyed the law intentionally, Antigone answers in the affirmative. It was not Zeus who had imposed this decree on humankind, nor can a decree of the sovereign’s “override the laws of Heaven.”<sup>14</sup> Antigone expresses no compunction for breaching a man-made edict. Death is welcome, she says to her “countless miseries” and the premonition of meeting her doom causes her “little grief”:

But when my mother’s son lay dead, had I

Neglected him and left him there unburied,

That would have caused me grief; this causes none.<sup>15</sup>

Antigone’s reticence about voicing her **multifaceted** grief could be read against the mass grieving brought on by the great plague of Athens in late fifth-century BCE. Thebes is a sick city, where “a pollution holds/All our people fast in its grip.”<sup>16</sup> The weltering surge of blood described at the start of *Oedipus Rex* (translated in *Oedipus the King* as the “angry surge of death”)<sup>17</sup> finds an augury in Haemon in *Antigone*, the first of the Theban plays. Named after the Greek word for blood, this character highlights the preponderance of blood relation in the plot structures of the tragedy – and its scenes of carnage, brought about either

Commented [AQ1]: Multifaceted?

Deleted: torial

Deleted: in the

by statist violence or through the actions of its disaffected and self-lacerating characters. The original word for the “polluted”<sup>18</sup> sword of the house of Oedipus is *miasma*, a word used to describe the pollution of the plague and the befouling attendant upon intra-familial murder. “Sickness has come upon us, and the cause/is you: our altars and our sacred hearths/Are all polluted by the dogs and birds/That have been gorging on the fallen body/Of Polyneices,” Teiresias prophesies to Creon.<sup>19</sup> The guard describes Antigone as arriving obscured in a divine plague (*theian noson*):

a storm of dust, which blotted out  
The earth and sky; the air was filled with sand  
And leaves ripped from the trees. We closed our eyes  
And bore this visitation as we could.<sup>20</sup>

However, the supernatural “visitation” Antigone is associated with does not contaminate like the plague, as does Creon’s vindictive act of exposing the body on a high plain to mutilating birds and dogs. As the guard reports to Creon, the body of Polyneices is “thinly covered / With dust” instead, as if to avoid spreading pollution.<sup>21</sup> The dust is described as ‘dry’ – ‘thirsty’ could be another translation of *dipsian / konin* – suggesting Antigone’s urge is natural, or in accordance with the laws of nature. “The earth itself longs for the funeral offerings that Creon has outlawed,” suggests Rush Rehm.<sup>22</sup> It is notable that Antigone does not suffer from *nosos* like her male counterparts in Oedipal dramas: she is neither citizen nor scapegoat who will endure or cure the city. If she is associated instead with the “[s]ickness that has come upon us,” as Teiresius tells Creon admonishingly,<sup>23</sup> this is a scourge of nature that is itself innocent of meaning and intent. Polyneices’s body spreads pollution because it has not been consigned to his rightful place below the ground. Similarly, Antigone’s body, which has not found its proper place above the ground, spreads death like contagion, triggering the chain of events which claims Haemon’s and Eurydice’s lives.

- Commented [AQ2]: This is not entirely clear. Polyneices dies before Antigone in the timeline of the play. And why Eurydice?
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt
- Formatted: Font: Not Bold
- Deleted: ing
- Deleted: Polyneices’s
- Deleted: in her wake
- Formatted: Font: Not Bold
- Formatted: Font: Not Bold

In an article examining historical records of the plague of Athens, Robin Mitchell-Boyask writes of a ghoulish archaeological find in Athens in 1998, at the very edge of the ancient cemetery outside the city gates. The relics scattered in this newly-discovered mass grave were dated back to the exact plague years (430-426 BCE):

the corpses themselves seemed to have been simply dumped into the grave, without evidence of typical ancient funerary rituals, and the layers of corpses showed a progressive increase in anarchy.<sup>24</sup>

“Here is a sorrow that redoubles sorrow,” Creon laments toward the end of the play, increasingly aware that the origin of this epidemic of sorrows is not Antigone, it is he himself.<sup>25</sup> Honig’s reading of Homeric funerary rites versus the democracy does not take into account the plague episteme that informs both in the fifth century: the gap between the collective body of citizenry in the unmarked grave and the unmarked (though subsequently memorialised) grave of Polyneices is closed in the play not through democratic unity but the contingencies of burial and mourning in the time of plague.

Deleted: democratic

Commented [AQ3]: This time of plague point is extremely important, but could establish it more clearly with respect to the play? It is not entirely clear that the play has anything to do with plague. Or at least, it is not self-evident to the lay person.

### Stranger’s Rights and Kamila Shamsie’s *Home Fire*

Commented [AQ4]: You should introduce Shamsie novel as a contrast point for Sophocles’ play much earlier in the essay. As it currently stands, it is introduced too suddenly and without any preparation.

In the Oxford World Classics translation of *Antigone*, Kitto renders Creon’s peevish response to Antigone’s singing of her own dirge in the following words:

Take her away at once, and wall her up

Inside a cavern, as I have commanded,

And leave her there, alone, in solitude.

Her home shall be her tomb; there she may live

Or die, as she may choose: my hands are clean;

But she shall live no more among the living.<sup>26</sup>

Honig interprets these lines in the original differently in her critical essay: “dead or alive, she will be stripped of her rights, her stranger’s rights.”<sup>27</sup> A stranger is someone who lives in a

city but is from somewhere else, and does not enjoy the same civic rights as the locals; the

ancient Greeks called them “metics”. As Tina Chanter points out,

Antigone’s discrimination of her brother Polynices from a slave is part of a larger complex of themes concerning the status of outsiders, foreigners, and slaves in the Oedipal cycle, the significance of which has been largely neglected by the philosophical and psychoanalytic traditions.<sup>28</sup>

I will now dwell on a twenty-first-century retelling of *Antigone* which, albeit in a time and setting vastly removed from ancient Greek tragedy, addresses the critical oversight Chanter draws attention to. It speaks to the plight of “outsiders, foreigners, and slaves” by contextualising Sophocles’s Oedipal family drama in questions of citizenship, colonialism, and race, in particular the insider-outsider, civilized-barbaric dichotomies determining paradigms of humanity and modernity in global cities to this day. *Home Fire* also helps us

envision the role played by what Helene Foley terms the “female moral agent” in the framework of tragic ethics and the Aristotelian idea of tragic choice, one whose gendering is complicated by racial difference at that.<sup>29</sup>

I read Kamila Shamsie’s 2017 novel *Home Fire* to supervise an undergraduate dissertation on the postcolonial Gothic. Shamsie does evoke the tropes of Gothic romance: incestuous twins; problematic patrilineage; the intimacy of violence; the uncanny optics of seeing and unseeing the corpse.<sup>30</sup> The Gothic’s is a fractured, ruined realism and while *Home Fire* is not constructed as a Gothic novel in the way, for instance, Ahmed Sadaawi’s *Frankenstein in Baghdad* is, it has an inscrutable object of terror in the figure of the corpse and the suicide bomber.<sup>31</sup> The novel is set in the London of 2014-15, its migrant ghettos coming to terms with the controversial legislation that the home secretary Theresa May

Commented [AQ5]: By the way, Helene Foley’s *Female Acts in Greek Tragedy* also has lots of material on the revolutionary potential of female funerary practices. You should give a nod to it alongside Honig.

Commented [AQ6]: I believe they were called “metics” by the Greeks.

Deleted: ,

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [AQ7]: See if you can footnote a couple of books that treat the Gothic as a genre. Does Shamsie’s novel satisfy all the features of the Gothic or just some of them? And if so, why?

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: here the

Deleted: s

Deleted: , Parvaiz

implemented in 2014, which granted Britain the right to revoke citizenship of foreign-born Britons – even those holding only British nationality – if their actions were deemed “not conducive to the public good.”<sup>32</sup> Shamsie’s fictional home secretary, Karamat Lone, is the mouthpiece of the new Immigration Act of 2014. The historical irony is that, following the publication of the novel, we saw the appointment of the Conservative Home Secretary Sajid Javid. Javid, like Lone, is “the child of working-class Pakistani Muslim migrants, who makes his fortune in the corporate world before becoming a Tory MP.”<sup>33</sup> Twitter called Shamsie “Nostrashamsie.”<sup>34</sup>

Deleted: Karamat

Commented [AQ8]: Foot note source?

Sophocles’s the *Antigone* is the “marrow” of *Home Fire*, Shamsie has said in interviews following its publication. [The twins](#) Aneeka and Parvaiz are Antigone and Polyneices, Isma is the loving sibling Ismene, precipitately forgotten [by the younger pair](#), Parvaiz is radicalised when a recruiter cynically lets him in on the family secret that his father was a jihadi who had fought in Bagram and was killed in Guantánamo. [Aneeka seduces \(but also falls in love with\) Eamonn Lone, son of Karamat, hoping to use him to bring her brother home.](#) [It is interesting to note that while Aneeka does sacrifice marriage for a greater cause, and while she is indeed a brotherless daughter burdened with the need to create progeny to keep the family name alive, she is not the Euripidean “sacrificial virgin” Foley identifies Antigone with.](#)<sup>35</sup> [The loyalty, Shamsie also seems to say, lies not only with the natal family and natural laws but with the plight of the global Muslim community as a whole.](#) *Home Fire* [dislodges the discourse of family commitment or allegiance to the dead for one of](#) Although, in relation to the siblings’ father and Parvaiz’s own death, there is the Sophoclean determinism that “the dead made their own demands, impossible to refuse,” Shamsie’s characters remain ordinary enough through the course of the novel, their motivations understandable if not morally justifiable.<sup>36</sup> [It is their time that is out of joint and oddly inhuman, Shamsie seems to suggest. In the final scene of the novel, we see the spectacle of](#)

Deleted: ten by the twins

Commented [AQ9]: By the way, this is a very charged point, especially for people who may not be familiar with the novel. Perhaps expand a little bit? Who is Eamonn Lone?

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [AQ10]: Could you explain this a bit more?

Parvaiz's corpse in its ice coffin playing in a loop on British TV. Parvaiz had died trying to escape ISIS and his dead body, refused entry into Britain, ends up in Pakistan, where Aneeka stages a televised vigil in a public park:

Karamat pressed the mute button, and watched the doe-eyed girl in white, head covered, surrounded by blood-red rose petals, the park railings looking like a backdrop of prison bars in close-up shots of her.

[ . . . ]

For a few moments there was only a howling noise, the wind raging through the park, and then a hand plucked away the white cloth and the howl was the girl, a dust mask on her face, her dark hair a cascade of mud her fingers interlaced over the face of her brother. A howl deeper than a girl, a howl that came out of the earth and through her and into the office of the Home Secretary, who took a step back.<sup>37</sup>

This is not simply a **tableau of fate and elemental grief**, but a politically strategic exhibition staged by the avenging Aneeka, a point not lost on Karamat Lone: "'Impressive,' said the Home Secretary."<sup>38</sup>

Commented [AQ11]: Why "fate"?

In her speech on 24<sup>th</sup> November 2014, May had declared: "We have worked hard to make it easier to get rid of foreign nationals, including terrorists and terror suspects, who should not be in this country." This statement is echoed by Karamat Lone in a TV interview after the death of Parvaiz Pasha in response to Aneeka's desire to bring his body home: "We will not let those who turn against the soil of Britain in their lifetime sully that very soil in death."<sup>39</sup> "This country," "the soil of Britain," "foreign nationals" – each phrase with its own deep history of injury towards bodies not allowable in the political community. In the summer of 2018, Sajid Javid, as home secretary, declared that Alexandra Kotey and El Shafee Elsheikh, two recently captured members of an Islamic State group called 'The Beatles' – so called because of their British nationality – would not face trial in Britain. Their citizenship

was revoked and Javid said that he would seek no assurances that they do not face the death penalty if they are later tried in the US.<sup>40</sup> In response to the outcry of human rights organisations such as Amnesty International and politicians from across the political spectrum, Number 10 asserted that it was "a priority to make sure that these men [who had perpetrated beheadings of prisoners etc.] face[d] criminal prosecution," hinting that in this case due process would be overlooked in the pursuit of 'justice.'<sup>41</sup> In 2019, we saw the case of the so-called ISIS bride Shamima Begum. She had left East London for Syria at age 15 and four years later, she appealed to be allowed to return to Britain. She had given birth to her third child in the Al-Hawl refugee camp – the other children had died in infancy from malnutrition and illness. "Citizenship is not a privilege; it is a protected status," the noted Human Rights lawyer Helena Kennedy states, yet the so-called war against terror in the post-9/11 years has seen many British citizens made unBritish. Citing Kennedy in an article on citizenship deprivation cases, Shamsie points out the dishonesty of exiling one's citizens using "go back where you came from."<sup>42</sup> Shamima Begum had been erroneously identified as a Bangladeshi national by the ministry of foreign affairs: Bangladesh was quick to retaliate that there was no question of her being allowed into the country. "I wasn't born in Bangladesh, I've never seen Bangladesh and I don't even speak Bengali properly, so how can they claim I have Bangladeshi citizenship," Begum told a reporter.<sup>43</sup> News of her son's death broke days after Home Secretary Sajid Javid decided to revoke her British citizenship, rendering her stateless.

Deleted: all

Commented [AQ12]: Cite other examples in a footnote.

Deleted: have

*Home Fire* bristles with angry and sardonic humour, at its tragicomic best when it delineates "Mr British Values" Karamat Lone's dilemma:

Commented [AQ13]: Can you gloss this beforehand, please? How is it a tragicomedy?

Deleted: is

Deleted: as

He returned to Holland Park after *Newsnight*, a tough interview as expected, but he'd maintained his calm, clarified that he had never made a decision about a corpse – his decision had been about a living 'enemy of Britain' (he used the expression three

times, which seemed just right, though he might have been able to get away with a fourth).<sup>44</sup>

Deleted: t

Parvaiz is no one's brother, no longer a British citizen, but an impersonal "enemy of Britain."

Aneeka/Antigone, in an impassioned exchange with Eamonn Lone, states:

Commented [AQ14]: By the way, this is a very charged point, especially for people who may not be familiar with the novel. Perhaps expand a little bit? Who is Eamonn Lone?

why didn't you mention that among the things this country will let you achieve if you're Muslim is torture, rendition, detention without trial, airport interrogations, spies in your mosques, teachers reporting your children to the authorities for wanting a world without British injustice?<sup>45</sup>

Deleted: whom she has seduced (but also fallen in love with) to help bring her brother home,

Shamsie weaves into the plot of *Home Fire* instances of the atrocities mentioned above and also fleshes out the Muslim citizen's negotiation of what they know about Palestine, Iraq, and Afghanistan, with what Arun Kundnani calls "the fantasies of the war on terror," fantasies moderate Muslims must fall into step with to hold on to that coveted designation.<sup>46</sup> Kundnani estimated in 2014 that the FBI had one counterterrorism agent per 94 Muslims in the US, pointing out that one of the lists the authorities had drawn up about suspected radicals in the UK included 300 children under 15. Aneeka Pasha's claim to grieve, therefore, is also a claim to the right to reshape ethical discourse. Later in the novel, in the scene of martyrdom she has staged for Karamat Lone and a growing global TV audience, Aneeka says:

Commented [AQ15]: Please explain this a bit more.

In the stories of wicked tyrants, men and women are punished with exile, bodies are kept from their families – their heads impaled on spikes, their corpses thrown into unmarked graves. All these things happen according to the law, but not according to justice. I am here to ask for justice.<sup>47</sup>

Helene Foley, commenting on the revolutionary charge of funereal rituals performed by women in Greek tragedy, draws attention to the use of lamentation to expose injustice, mobilise witnesses, and win public sympathy. Aneeka's statement to the gathered news agencies in this apocalyptic scene conveys her blocked and incomplete mourning, carefully

Commented [AQ16]: Oh, I see you have Foley in here. Best also to mention her earlier in relation to the arguments Honig puts forward.

Commented [AQ17]: Why apocalyptic?

chosen words of social protest connecting her profound and private loss to everyday atrocities. It is a theatrical tour de force, her face a muddy mask from the dust storm raging through the park, on which she draws lips with a spit-licked thumb. As Foley observes of Antigone, “she uses lamentation to carry her point assertively in a public context that might otherwise have silenced her speech.”<sup>48</sup>

The social justice Aneeka seeks is also racial. A majority of Britons who have lost their citizenship in recent years are immigrants.<sup>49</sup> Nationality is overwhelmingly revoked from citizens who hail from Muslim countries: this is often done on the basis of secret evidence and on the unsubstantiated assumption that the dual national would be able to become a citizen of another country. A fabricated narrative of never quite belonging to the adoptive country fuels this practice. The novel captures the toxic xenophobia peddled by some British tabloids, their blatant lying and **hatemongering**. After Parvaiz Pasha’s death unnamed sources **come to the fore**, falsely claiming the boy had idolised his militant father from his childhood. “Aneeka ‘Knickers’ Pasha” and the “Muslim fanatic Parvaiz ‘Pervy’ Pasha” become criminal accomplices in this lurid retelling. ‘Knickers,’ so christened because of her opportunistic use of the Home Secretary’s son to help her twin, is now the “terrorist’s Twisted Sister” and the key player in “Hojabi”gate. The **melancholy** “Aneeka” section of the novel, **heavy with her introjected object of grief**, drowns **out** her thoughts and words in waves of reported hate speech.<sup>50</sup>

- He won’t be buried here?
- No. We will not let those who turn against the soil of Britain in their lifetime sully that very soil in death.<sup>51</sup>

The pre-ordained tragedy of lives doubly victimised by an unequal society and a tiered citizenship system is occasionally alleviated by Shamsie’s wicked humour. *Home Fire* gives

**Commented [AQ18]:** A couple of examples of hatemongering by the tabloids would work wonders for your argument here.

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** crawl out of the woodwork

**Commented [AQ20]:** Why melancholy? Care to gloss this from a psychoanalytical perspective a little bit?

us GWM – or the surveillance systems trained on Googling While Muslim – “ecosystem beards,” and “passports that look like toilet paper to the rest of the world.”<sup>52</sup>

Antigone speaks her last words 410 lines before the end: she is, in other words, missing from nearly a third of the play. She speaks approximately 218 lines to Creon’s 344. Kamila Shamsie circumvents this curious marginalisation of Antigone in the eponymous play by titling her reworking *Home Fire*. The novel, Shamsie’s seventh, is set in London, with excursions to Karachi, Amherst, Massachusetts, Syria. The five acts of the play are five of the chapters in this work of prose fiction, only one of these named after Aneeka. While this is not plague-ridden London, the twins are shown to inhabit a world reeking of death: their father and mother lost at a young age, grandparents, now Parvaiz. Like Antigone and her brothers, “Grief was the step-sibling they had grown up with, unwanted and inevitable.”<sup>53</sup> The nexus of death and home is dramatized in the way Parvaiz dies in a drive-by shooting in front of the British Consulate in Istanbul, where he had gone to find a way back to London; Aneeka meets her fate as she tries to bring him home, because he, the dead brother, “wants to come home.”<sup>54</sup> In the course of the novel, Aneeka’s suffering is transmuted to a cautionary tale about despots and their deadly politics. If *Antigone* is consumed with the desire for proper burial, Kamila Shamsie’s *Home Fire* is also about bringing unburied truths home. Both original and belated tragedy lose interest in the personal lives and loves of their protagonists.

The twinned trajectories of Aneeka and Parvaiz – identical in some stretches, parallel and divergent in others – are eventually subsumed by the tableau of the former’s spectacular defense of her stranger’s rights in a park lined with Banyan trees. In the end, as the Pakistani High Commissioner tells Karamat Lone, Aneeka is not British, no one’s sister, not even a grieving teenage girl, but “a woman who has stood up to a powerful government, and not just any powerful government but one that has very bad PR in the matter of Muslims.”<sup>55</sup>

Deleted: s

### **Antigone's Suffering in Exegetical Literature**

In the *Ethics of Psychoanalysis*, Lacan states that “*Antigone* reveals to us the line of sight that defines desire.”

We know very well that over and beyond the dialogue, over and beyond the question of family and country, over and beyond the moralizing arguments, it is Antigone herself who fascinates us, Antigone in her unbearable splendour. She has a quality that both attracts and startles us, in the sense of intimidates us; this terrible, self-willed victim disturbs us.<sup>56</sup>

As audience, we fall under the spell of Antigone. Lacan seems to regard Antigone as the subject, not object of fascination. Antigone's fascination is what orients her ethically (and here Lacan's reading differs from other readings of the play). For Hegel, for instance, Antigone and Creon represent complimentary ethical claims – which must be balanced and synthesised for Sophocles's tale to be morally uplifting. Lacan does not see in Antigone's desire an opposition to Creon's law: her actions are not responses to Creon's demands. What she embodies, instead, is the drive to bury her brother, her one duty. It is this “unshakeable, unyielding position,” Lacan says, that bestows her with the “unbearable splendour” which, as mentioned above, fascinates us.<sup>57</sup>

The history of Antigone's critical reception is the history of a longstanding fascination, as George Steiner argues in his 1984 book (his term for it is “obsession”). Matthew Arnold stated that the play turns upon “the conflict between the heroine's duty to her brother's corpse and that to the laws of her country.”<sup>58</sup> George Eliot accused him of misreading, but echoes of the Arnoldian reading can be heard in her interpretation that the play was about the struggle between “elemental” and “established” laws, between the “outer life” and “inner needs.”<sup>59</sup> The symbolic overload of Antigone figurations in Hegel, Kierkegaard, Goethe, Lacan, Woolf, Steiner, Butler, and many others helps contextualise her

strange reincarnation in postcolonial fiction, such as Shamsie's *Home Fire*. In exegetical literature, Antigone stands interchangeably as the ethical subject, the force of resistance to the interdictions of state power, the claims of kinship (in fierce, if also tragic, opposition to Creon's claim of universality), messianic violence, anti-authoritarianism, and feminism. Martha Nussbaum reads the *Antigone*, a play which begins with the question, "Do you know?" as a tragedy of deliberative reason. Each of the protagonists has a "simple deliberative standard and a set of concerns neatly ordered in terms of this."<sup>60</sup> And although one is far more correct in the content of her decision, both have, with devastating consequences, narrowed their sights. Shamsie's evocation of Antigone goes against the grain of Hegel's reading of her as hypostatised law and Lacan's deciphering in her actions the vicissitudes of the death drive. Nor is the figure easily reducible to the claims of the non-normative family, as Judith Butler powerfully argues. Like other works of postcolonial fiction before hers, Shamsie foregrounds the raced body at the intersection of love and politics, society, faith and family: Antigone, here, is both timeless myth and a contingent redrawing of polity in the twenty-first century for the socially marginalised who seek cultural intelligibility. In a correction to Martha Nussbaum's vision of the antinomies of a deliberative world, Shamsie's *Home Fire* seems to suggest that there so practical wisdom, no good human living to be had, for those doomed to make choiceless choices, or a bad choice which is also the only viable one to be made. After all, Sophocles had envisioned her as the foreigner – as Françoise Meltzer points out, his Antigone echoes the "leitmotif on homelessness begun in *Oedipus at Colonus*," a foreignness which takes on "ontological proportions since it partakes of what Kierkegaard called 'the fellowship of the already dead'."<sup>61</sup> According to Meltzer, this explains her inscrutable desire and how home for her increasingly comes to mean "the foreign country of death."<sup>62</sup>

Commented [AQ21]: Meaning?

Sophocles's *Antigone*, which was the most popular play of the trilogy until Freud's introduction of the Oedipus complex in the early twentieth century, has been used to critique global wars (Seamus Heaney), the German occupation of Paris and Nazi censorship (Jean Anouilh), patriarchy (Simone de Beauvoir), masochism (Jacques Lacan), and heteronormativity (Judith Butler). Seamus Heaney's *Burial at Thebes* used the contemporary parallel of the Bush administration forcing its coercive justification for the war on Iraq: as the edict from Creon reads, "whoever isn't for us / Is against us in this case."<sup>63</sup> It is highly relevant that Heaney's version was commissioned to mark the centenary of the revolutionary Abbey Theatre in Dublin. Antigone has been read as the embodiment of the death drive and the desire for death – by no means the same thing – by Jean Anouilh, boredom, also by Anouilh, Adamic sin and guilt by Kierkegaard, the fundamental antinomy between state and kinship in Racine (La Thébaidé),<sup>64</sup> In his remarks on tragedy, Hegel identifies Antigone as the category of tragic situation which arises from a conflict between "ethical life in its social universality and the family as the natural ground of moral relations."<sup>65</sup> She has also, almost always, been read as the flip side of the Creon (sovereign) coin.

Antigone, who upholds blood ties, reverences "the gods of the nether worlds," Hades to Creon's heaven.<sup>66</sup> Both Creon and Antigone represent forces that upset the natural order of things. Creon has confused the upper and lower worlds, Teiresias says: "You have sent a life to settle in a tomb; you keep up here that which belongs below, the corpse unburied."<sup>67</sup> And Antigone's desire is not for law but "unwritten and unchanging" laws.<sup>68</sup> She – and this is the Lacanian reading – is the excess of the symbolic, of the registers of law, kinship, nomenclature. Hers is not a phallic jouissance: her wanting is not in response to man's fascination with *objet a*. She is a being of radical "solitude," Lacan states, hers is a jouissance "that is beyond."<sup>69</sup> In *Antigone's Claim*, Judith Butler argues that desire which bursts forth in this way, overtaking symbolic norms, leads to death. She also sees in Antigone's excessive

Deleted: the

Deleted: ,

Commented [AQ22]: Sources?

Commented [AQ23]: Publication sources. Useful for people who might want to look deeper into the fine points you are making here.

grief for Polyneices the traces of her unspoken mourning for Eteocles, the other brother and the ungrievable bodies of her parents Oedipus and Jocasta. For the melancholic, language “becomes the event of the grievance.” “Emerging from the unspeakable, language carries a violence that brings it to the limits of speakability,” Butler states.<sup>70</sup>

Kamila Shamsie follows the dramatist Jean Anouilh in making Antigone the younger rebel sister, not the older, law-abiding Ismene/Isma. In one of the many Sophoclean echoes in the play, Isma says, “She is my sister. Almost my child.”<sup>71</sup> However, there is no room for a voice of compromise and accommodation in the original or its retelling. “Her wry cleverness is so compelling that it is difficult not to pine for her in the later stages of the novel, from which she is largely absent,” says Natalie Haynes of the character of Isma in her *Guardian* review [of Shamsie’s novel](#).<sup>72</sup> In Sophocles’s version too, Ismene had a paltry 60 lines. Hapless heirs to an incestuous marriage, each sister is heavily invested in the propriety of social ritual: “If we defy the King’s prerogative / And break the law, our death will be more shameful / Even than theirs,” Ismene cautions, evoking the sins of the son-husband and mother-wife, two names in one made obscene by that very collocation.<sup>73</sup> If, in her last moments, Antigone is not able to count her only surviving sibling as a friend despite Ismene’s ardent declarations of love for her errant sister, it is because nothing can avail against her hopeless, impossible task, just as Ismene had predicted. In the final scene between the sisters in *Home Fire*, Isma is speaking words of love to Aneeka, trying to end her thrall to the dead Parvaiz, who, she beseeches, was also her baby brother. Rendered increasingly absurd in her blind love for and protectiveness toward her sister, she asks Aneeka to go back to [university](#), study the law, remember the dear departed in her prayers, as normal people tend to do. Each sister calls the other’s love useless, the utilitarian logic [of these statements](#) a strange one to bring to bear on love objects and loving in the first place. The grieving Aneeka

Formatted: Font: Italic

drives Isma out of her life, preoccupied as it is with Parvaiz's phantasmal presence: "this house was for the twins only now."<sup>74</sup>

Helene Foley has argued in her feminist interpretation of *Antigone* that the play's eponymous lead has difficulty communicating the meaning of her actions with her audiences both inside and outside the play. This, Foley observes, is because "there is no traditional or recognized procedure for a female moral agent in classical Athens," and also because *Antigone's* predicament forces her to abandon "one set of traditional female obligations (to marry and to obey her guardian) to pursue another."<sup>75</sup> Kamila Shamsie's *Home Fire* grapples with the additional challenge of creating an intelligible Muslim *Antigone* with agency and heroic traits which, ironically, are to be read in conjunction with the morally unintelligible act of forsaking the living for the dead or endangering living kith and kin to honour the dead. Why, we may ask of the twenty-first century retelling is the brother (Parvaiz) irreplaceable but not the sister (Isma) or Eamonn (who is his parents' beloved son and his sister's beloved brother)? Why does Aneeka throw away her own bright life so lustily? Helene Foley identified it as *Antigone's* "moral myopia," the classical heroine's refusal to betray her brother despite the brother's act of treachery to the state.<sup>76</sup> The collective suffering cloaking the Shamsie novel offers further insights. Instead of the plague, *Home Fire* describes the virulent Islamophobia of post 9/11 US and the UK which afflicts and quarantines all Muslim life, irrespective of class, faction, or acculturation. The novel had, after all, begun, with Isma's protracted security interrogation at a London airport on her way to graduate school in the US: "Do you consider yourself British?" "I am British," she answers, painfully aware that despite not having a second country of which she was a citizen and despite her ready acquiescence with the passive citizenry which is the lot of the Muslim immigrant, this claim would sound equivocal.<sup>77</sup> Her sister Aneeka, a lawyer-in-training, knowingly eschews the law for the abstractions of justice.

Commented [AQ24]: Which twins? You just said Aneeka drives her sister out of the house.

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Font: Italic

What does it mean when Antigone says “I have to please/The dead far longer than I need to please/The living”?<sup>78</sup> Is the key to Antigone’s incommunicable suffering to be found in this speech act, with which she disavows rest and companionship in life for chimeras of rest and companionship in a wished-for **afterlife?** While Antigone evokes sacred laws to justify her stance, it is arguable that even to a contemporary audience of Greek tragedy it would be adjudged “reckless” and “hopeless.”<sup>79</sup> The chorus seem certain that “None are so foolish as to long for death,” and, baffled by her death-defying stunts, proceed to call her stubborn, too bold and too reckless.<sup>80</sup> The role of Haemon in *Antigone*, which adds to the sum total of suffering in this drama, if not the suffering of Antigone herself, raises further questions about Antigone’s allegiance to the living. As commentators have pointed out, love scenes were considered improper in ancient Greek drama, nor can we find modern love on that **Greek stage**. Even so, there is no hint of romantic love between the pair. Antigone names Haemon just once in the play – “O my dear Haemon! How your father wrongs you!” – and even that line is attributed to Ismene in most manuscripts.<sup>81</sup> The introverted, melancholic charge of Antigone is such that she does not know that Ismene did eventually intervene on her behalf, or that warm-blooded Haemon has overcome his submissiveness to lecture his father Creon on the civic virtues of dialogue, deliberation, and amenability. It is Creon who comes closest to understanding Antigone’s love of the dead and the concomitant choice of a buried life: when he consigns her to a subterranean cave, imprisoning but not killing her outright, he says “she may live/Or die, as she may choose” in this new home which, like her old home, is a tomb.<sup>82</sup> After all, as Antigone has said herself to Ismene, “I have given/My life already, in service of the dead.”<sup>83</sup>

Formatted: Indent: First line: 1.27 cm

Commented [AQ25]: Meaning?

Deleted: ness?

Commented [AQ26]: Which stage? The modern stage? Or the Greek stage?

Antigone names her father's unconscious sin as "the source of all my anguish," a grudging statement which casts doubt on what had seemed, at first, an unhealthy fixation to her family of origin.<sup>84</sup> This lament is in response to the chorus's "For some old sin you make atonement," their unequivocal pronouncement that her act of civil disobedience, so fraught with risk and danger, should be seen as a daughter's paying for the sins of her father.<sup>85</sup> It is a peculiar evacuation of agency on the part of a female protagonist who had provoked her sister to make her act of transgression known, warning Ismene that she would hate her more if the latter kept it a secret. As with the references to the plague discussed in the earlier section, Antigone's tragic predicament is mapped between the extremities of collective or inherited guilt and suffering and personal innocence. Antigone ponders here the harsh fate that befell the race of Labdacus, the paternal grandfather of Oedipus, reaching its apogee of "blind madness" in the marriage of her parents. The apostrophe that follows could refer to Oedipus or Polyneices, and presents Antigone's own life as pre-scripted by fateful necessity:

O brother, through an evil marriage you were slain;

and I

Live – but your dead hand destroys me.<sup>86</sup>

The chorus recognise the piety inherent in an act Antigone has previously deployed the language of moral requirement to justify, but point out at the same time that it transgressed authority, which brooks no disobedience. Simon Goldhill reads the ambivalence in the chorus's response to this interjection astutely:

... their judgement on her attitude is unswerving. Her temper is self-willed (*autognōtos* clearly echoes *autonomos*): it is a further gloss on *mōne*: her temper has left her separate from the community.<sup>87</sup>

Her unhappy and undead life is a suffering she has brought upon herself, the chorus insinuates, and Creon, lurking in the background in this scene, crudely reinforces the verdict.

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ,

However, the slain becoming the slayer of the living is a recurrent theme in Sophoclean and Euripidean tragedy, and powerfully attaches to the synecdochal “dead hand.” While Antigone pursues death, she is pursued by death in turn, benumbing her life to regain its vitality. This might explain her compulsive need for the second burial, which suggests that she was not content with the first burial’s lack of human attribution (and the dire consequences her own role in it would invite). “Is the deed to be valorized not by its own symbolic power, not by having been performed once and sufficiently, but by Antigone’s discovery, arrest and punishment?” Harold Schweizer asks. “Is she to rival the death of Polyneices?”<sup>88</sup>

I had suggested at the start of the essay that what is baffling about the suffering of Sophocles’s Antigone is that there is no discernible *eros* in her piety. The Antigone refigured by Kamila Shamsie is, by contrast, a moral agent who acts in the name of love. The inscrutability of her actions – her vigil at the side of her brother’s putrefying body in a public park in Lahore, for instance – is attributed to the “madness of love.” The Pakistan High Commissioner, for instance, evokes a mythic tale of star-crossed lovers to the unmoved

Formatted: Font: Italic

Home Secretary:

Remember your Laila-Majnu, Karamat? The lover so grief-stricken at the loss of beautiful beloved that he wanders, in madness, in the desert. This beautiful girl in a dust storm has managed to become Laila and Majnu combined in the nation’s consciousness.<sup>89</sup>

Home Fire presents tangled vocabularies of love: the maternal love of Isma, a young woman herself, for her orphaned twin siblings; the transfiguring passion which changes Parvaiz in a matter of weeks and which his sisters mistake for his being in love for the first time; the devouring love (and subsequently rage) Parvaiz inspires in his twin; the unconditional love for her brother Eamonn admires in Aneeka; the love of Aneeka which makes Eamonn step out of his father’s shadow; the worldly Karamat Lone’s love for his beautiful, unworldly boy

who precipitates his downfall. This overabundance of love does not, however, make way for better understanding and communication between the characters and it certainly does not better their lives. Parvaiz does not get to tell her twin he is coming home to and for her; Aneeka does not get to express her abiding love for Eamonn except through the violent epithalamion at the novel's end. Antigone's suffering and the sum total of suffering in *Antigone*, with or without the tribulations of love, remain inscrutable because they are particular and peculiar in the original as well as in the retellings, and constitute a mythic madness resistant to generalisation. *Home Fire* ends with an effulgent sight made uncannily by deadly afterimages, which the reader doesn't find verbalised but must imagine instead. As with the Chorus in *Antigone*, there are no commensurate words (of lamentation) with which to make sense of the tragedy.

Formatted: Font: Italic

In young Antigone's final lament, she grieves for herself because no one is left or allowed to grieve for her truncated life. She descends to Hades "all unwept of friends," and with no community of offspring.<sup>90</sup> Look what I suffer, at whose hands, for having respect for piety, she says: "How savagely impious men use me. / For keeping a law that is holy."<sup>91</sup> Her lament, which is not a recant, resonates in the interstices of the public (in front of the chorus) and private (in the royal enclave of Creon). As Charles Segal points out, she is performing both the female function of wailing and lamenting (*thrēnos*) and the male one of delivering her own funeral oration.<sup>92</sup>

Deleted: ,

Behold me, O lords of my native city!

Now I make my last journey;

Now do I see the last

Sun that ever I shall behold."<sup>93</sup>

She is led to the execution like a man, but hangs herself with her veil of femininity, her *hijab*, if you will. Neither an ideologue nor a fundamentalist, Antigone is, in fact, various and

changeable in her dramatic monologues, lamenting the fate of dying “Unwept, unwedded and unbefriended” when she is not rejoicing at the prospect of meeting loved ones in the afterlife.<sup>94</sup> She seems to be reminding the audience of the deceptiveness of appearances and that, childless though she may be, she has shown an overabundance of maternal devotion in performing the last rites for her departed kin: “For when you died it was my hands that washed /And dressed you, laid you in your graves, and/poured/The last libations.”<sup>95</sup> Where Western subjectivity is about a “dyadic unbridgeability” between categories,<sup>96</sup> Antigone, with her uneasy kinship with death and her transgression of gender registers, is excess and extra, the sovereign subject-to-come. It is this non-synchronicity with her time that is, I claim, the ground of her dreamless, public, furious yet non-violent suffering. [It is not a coincidence that both Sophocles’s Antigone and Kamila Shamsie’s Aneeka are portrayed as defiant children.](#) In her final moments, it remains for the hitherto judgemental [\(and adult, if not aged\)](#) chorus to not simply see the action from both sides, but feel and weep for Antigone in a way she was unable to care for herself. From this point in the play, most of the lines are sung, not spoken.

I too, when I see this sight, cannot stay

Within bounds; I cannot keep back my tears

Which rise like a flood.<sup>97</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Martha Nussbaum, *The Fragility of Goodness: Luck and Ethics in Greek Tragedy and Philosophy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986), p. 64.

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 64.

<sup>3</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 51.

<sup>4</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 56.

<sup>5</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 63.

<sup>6</sup> G. W. F. Hegel, *Hegel on Tragedy* trans. F. P. B Osmastan et al , ed. Anne Paolucci and Henry Paolucci (Smyrna, Del, 2001), p. 269.

<sup>7</sup> Judith Butler, *Antigone’s Claim: Kinship Between Life and Death* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2000), p. 52.

Deleted: ,



See also: 'Islamic State 'Beatles' duo: UK 'will not block death penalty' , *BBC News* (23 July 2018) <<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-44921910>>, [ accessed 17 October 2018)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>41</sup> Ibid.

<sup>42</sup> <https://www.theguardian.com/books/2018/nov/17/unbecoming-british-kamila-shamsie-citizens-exile>

<sup>43</sup> <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-47312207>

<sup>44</sup> Shamsie, p. 230.

<sup>45</sup> Ibid., p 90-91.

<sup>46</sup> Arun Kundnani, *The Muslims are Coming! Islamophobia, Extremism, and the Domestic War on Terror* (London: Verso Books, 2014), p. 110.

<sup>47</sup> Shamsie, 224-225.

<sup>48</sup> Helene P. Foley, *Female Acts in Greek Tragedy* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009), p. 33.

<sup>49</sup> For a detailed discussion of the two-tier citizenship system as it effects transnational migrants, see Anusheh Farahat, "The Exclusiveness of Inclusion: On the Boundaries of Human Rights in Protecting Transnational and Second Generation Migrants," *European Journal of Migration and Law* 11.3 (2009): 253-269.

<sup>50</sup> [I am using the Freudian definition of melancholia here, which differs from mourning in its narcissistic identification with the lost object. If mourning involves severing ties with the dead, the melancholic, unable to form a new libidinal investment in the world of the living, fosters affective bonds with the dead. See "Mourning and Melancholia," \*Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological works of Sigmund Freud\*, ed. and trans. James Strachey, vol. 24 \(London: Hogarth Press, 1957\), pp. 237-60.](#)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>51</sup> Ibid., p. 188.

<sup>52</sup> Ibid., p. 65, 122, 209

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>53</sup> Ibid., p. 193.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>54</sup> Ibid., p. 196.

<sup>55</sup> Ibid., p. 228.

<sup>56</sup> Jacques Lacan, *The Ethics of Psychoanalysis: The Seminar of Jacques Lacan Book VII*, ed. Jacques-Alain Miller, trans. Dennis Porter (London and New York: Routledge, 1992), p. 290.

<sup>57</sup> Ibid., p. 247.

<sup>58</sup> Cited in Simon Goldhill, *Sophocles and the Language of Tragedy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012),

<sup>59</sup> Ibid.

<sup>60</sup> Nussbaum, p. 52.

<sup>61</sup> Françoise Meltzer, "Theories of Desire: Antigone Again," *Critical Inquiry* 37.2 (Winter 2011), p. 175. Meltzer's reading, which focuses on Anouilh's Antigone, makes a valuable point about the misreading of Antigone's death drive as a desire for death: as with Freud's interpretation of *Thanatos*, it is an unconscious, cellular drive that has nothing to do with desire.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>62</sup> Ibid., p. 171.

<sup>63</sup> Seamus Heaney, "The Burial at Thebes: A Version of Sophocles' 'Antigone'" (London: Faber and Faber, 2011), p. 3.

<sup>64</sup> [Notable literary and philosophical interpretations of Antigone, referred to in passing but not elaborated on in this essay, include the following: Jean Racine, \*La Thébaïde: ou Les Frères Ennemis\*, in \*Théâtre de Jean Racine\* \(Paris: Hachette Livre, 2018\); Søren Kierkegaard, "The Tragic in Ancient Drama Reflected in the Tragic in Modern Drama," \*Either/Or Part 1: Kierkegaard's Writings 3\*, ed. and trans. Howard V. Hong and Edna H. Hong \(Princeton, NJ: Princeton UP, 1087\); Simone de Beauvoir, "Moral Idealism and Political Realism," \*Beauvoir: Philosophical Writings\*, ed. Margaret A. Simons, trans. Anne Deing Cordero](#)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

(Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press, 2004); Jean Anouilh, *Antigone* (London: Methuen Drama, 2000); George Steiner, *Antigones: The Antigone Myth in Western Literature, Art, and Thought* (New York: Oxford UP, 1986),

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>65</sup> Hegel, p. 69.

<sup>66</sup> Hegel, *The Philosophy of Fine Art*, cited in *A Companion to Sophocles* ed. Kirk Ormand (Oxford: John Wiley and Sons, 2015), p. 277.

<sup>67</sup> Sophocles, *Antigone*, 1068-1071.

<sup>68</sup> *Ibid.*, l. 455.

<sup>69</sup> Lacan, p. 76.

<sup>70</sup> Butler, p. 80.

<sup>71</sup> Shamsie, p. 235.

<sup>72</sup> <https://www.theguardian.com/books/2017/aug/10/home-fire-kamila-shamsie-review>

<sup>73</sup> Sophocles, 59-61.

<sup>74</sup> Shamsie, p. 199.

<sup>75</sup> *Ibid.*, 183.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>76</sup> Foley, p. 197.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>77</sup> *Ibid.*, 5.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>78</sup> *Ibid.*, 74-76.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>79</sup> *Ibid.*, l. 47, l. 92, both spoken by Ismene.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>80</sup> *Ibid.*, l. 220.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>81</sup> *Ibid.*, 572-73.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>82</sup> *Ibid.*, 888-89.

<sup>83</sup> *Ibid.*, 559-60.

<sup>84</sup> *Ibid.*, 856-57.

<sup>85</sup> *Ibid.*, l. 855.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>86</sup> *Ibid.*, 861, 869-71.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>87</sup> Simon Goldhill, *Sophocles and the Language of Tragedy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012), p. 112.

<sup>88</sup> Harold Schweizer, *Suffering and the Remedy of Art* (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1997), p. 89.

<sup>89</sup> *Home Fire*, 227-28

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>90</sup> *Ibid.*, l. 846.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>91</sup> *Ibid.*, 942-43.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Italic

<sup>92</sup> See Charles Segal, *Sophocles's Tragic World: Divinity, Nature, Society* (Cambridge, MA: 1995),

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>93</sup> *Ibid.*, 806-09.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>94</sup> *Ibid.*, l. 876.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>95</sup> *Ibid.*, 900-03.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<sup>96</sup> Meltzer, p. 185.

<sup>97</sup> Sophocles, 802-04.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt