

1 **Sex Chromosomes and Speciation**

2

3 Bret A. Payseur¹, Daven C. Presgraves², and Dmitry A. Filatov³

4

5 ¹ Laboratory of Genetics, University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA

6 ² Department of Biology, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA

7 ³ Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RB, UK

8

9 Correspondence:

10 Bret A. Payseur

11 University of Wisconsin – Madison

12 2428 Genetics/Biotechnology

13 425-G Henry Mall

14 Madison, WI 53706

15 payseur@wisc.edu

16 Sex chromosomes are peculiar. In contrast to their autosomal counterparts, sex chromosomes are
17 inherited differently in females and males, can harbor distinct sets of genes with unusual
18 expression profiles, often experience reduced recombination, and may degenerate relatively
19 quickly over evolutionary time. These characteristics and others have manifold biological
20 implications.

21
22 One implication of particular evolutionary significance is that sex chromosomes play an outsized
23 role in speciation – the process by which one species becomes two. The most widespread and
24 convincing evidence for this conclusion stems from two empirical patterns that characterize
25 reproductive isolation between nascent species pairs (Coyne and Orr 1989). First, when hybrid
26 sterility or hybrid inviability is restricted to one sex, it is almost always the heterogametic sex
27 (Haldane 1922; Coyne 1992; Laurie 1997; Presgraves 2008). Second, hybrid dysfunction
28 differentially maps to the X chromosome in XY species and to the Z chromosome in ZW species
29 (Coyne and Orr 1989; Coyne 1992; Presgraves 2008). These “two rules of speciation” (Coyne
30 and Orr 1989) excite biologists because they raise the possibility of general mechanisms
31 responsible for the birth of new species.

32
33 This issue of *Molecular Ecology* is devoted to examining the causes and consequences of the
34 special connection between sex chromosomes and speciation. Collectively, authors update the
35 evidence from a variety of species, provide fresh ideas, and encourage the field to embrace
36 composite explanations.

37

38 Which special characteristics of the sex chromosomes explain their involvement in reproductive
39 barriers? Most work in this area has centered on elucidating the “two rules of speciation”, which
40 are focused on intrinsic postzygotic isolation. Coyne (2018) provides a historical and personal
41 perspective on the development of ideas in the field. He organizes the potential mechanisms
42 underlying the “two rules of speciation” into the following categories: differential gene action on
43 a hemizygous X, differential placement of genes on the X, faster evolution of genes on the X,
44 faster evolution of males than females, and other special aspects of the X (including disrupted
45 inactivation during spermatogenesis). Coyne’s (2018) succinct appraisal is that “...no single
46 theory can explain all observations, and every theory has problems explaining some
47 observations.” Coyne (2018) also shares the series of realizations and genetic studies that
48 culminated in the influential “two rules of speciation” book chapter (Coyne and Orr 1989).
49 Along the way, he espouses the value of the classic literature in evolutionary genetics and
50 laments the modern decline in scholarship.

51

52 *Faster Evolution of Sequences*

53 The unusual contributions of the sex chromosomes to reproductive isolation could simply reflect
54 faster sequence divergence. Recessive mutations arising on the X[Z] chromosome are
55 immediately exposed to selection in the hemizygous sex, whereas autosomal recessives are
56 initially masked in heterozygotes. This difference can lead to a higher fixation rate of new
57 adaptive mutations on the X[Z]. Charlesworth et al. (2018) review this theoretical prediction and
58 note its sensitivity to many parameters (including the degree of dominance, sexual antagonism,
59 selection at linked sites, the proportion of mutations that is weakly deleterious, starting mutation
60 frequencies, contrasting mutation rates in females and males, and differences in effective

61 population size between the X[Z] and the autosomes). Updating a literature with mixed support
62 for faster-X evolution, Charlesworth et al. (2018) argue that X-linkage indeed increases the
63 divergence rate at functional sites (and decreases the rate at non-functional sites), at least in
64 *Drosophila melanogaster* and closely related species. The primary cause of these adaptive faster-
65 X effects is inferred to be a higher fixation rate of beneficial recessives, though the challenge of
66 confounding explanations is acknowledged.

67

68 Enriching the faster-X discussion, Irwin (2018) synthesizes theory concerning sex chromosome
69 evolution and its impact on speciation in species with ZW sex determination. As in XY systems,
70 selection favoring recessive adaptive mutations and relaxed selection against weakly deleterious
71 variants are both expected to elevate divergence on the Z. Irwin (2018) highlights the potential
72 for sexually antagonistic traits, which should map preferentially to the sex chromosomes, to
73 generate selection for mutations involved in mating preference. Comparing within-species
74 polymorphism to between-species differentiation, Irwin (2018) estimates that the ratio of
75 effective population sizes on the Z and the autosomes ranges widely among bird species (from
76 0.135-0.806). He suggests that this observation, along with elevated differentiation on the Z, is
77 attributable to a higher frequency or strength of positive selection on this chromosome.

78

79 *Faster Evolution of Gene Expression*

80 Faster divergence at functional X[Z]-linked sequences predicts faster divergence of X[Z]-linked
81 gene expression, a hypothesis with some empirical support. Llopart (2018) reports fresh evidence
82 from *Drosophila santomea* and *D. yakuba* that positive selection elevates expression evolution
83 for genes on the X. Llopart (2018) shows that noncoding sequences upstream of X-linked genes

84 exhibit higher ratios of between-species divergence to within-species polymorphism than do
85 putatively neutral short introns or synonymous sites. In contrast, noncoding sequences upstream
86 of autosomal genes exhibit lower divergence/polymorphism ratios. The apparent enrichment of
87 adaptive regulatory substitutions on the X is strongest for genes with male-biased expression, as
88 predicted by the faster-X theory (Charlesworth et al. 2018).

89

90 Other processes could also accelerate evolution of expression on the X chromosome. Filatov
91 (2018) argues that in species with recently evolved gene-rich sex chromosomes, Y degeneration
92 and associated evolution of dosage compensation on the X chromosome can be fast enough to
93 proceed in a species-specific manner. He further argues that the observation of Haldane's rule
94 and/or the large X-effect in species with young Y chromosomes that have yet to fully degenerate
95 limits the generality of explanations based on hemizyosity. Focusing on two closely related
96 species of the flowering plants, *Silene latifolia* and *S. dioica*, with recently evolved sex
97 chromosomes, Filatov (2018) reveals higher divergence in expression at X-linked gametologs
98 with degenerate Y copies. This finding – the first demonstration of faster-X expression evolution
99 in plants – indicates that species-specific degeneration of recently evolved Y chromosomes and
100 compensatory expression evolution of X-linked gametologs can lead to sex-linked
101 incompatibilities in hybrids, even on short timescales. If genes located on sex chromosomes
102 experience faster sequence and/or expression evolution than their autosomal counterparts, there
103 should also be consequences for species-specific organismal phenotypes. To examine this
104 possibility, Liu and Karrenberg (2018) genetically dissect morphological, phenological, and life-
105 history differences between *S. latifolia* and *S. dioica*. The authors find that the sex chromosomes

106 harbor an excess of quantitative trait loci (QTL), suggesting that sex chromosomes can be
107 hotspots for species differences, some of which could be related to speciation.

108

109 Cutter (2018) showcases the promise of another emerging model system: nematode roundworms
110 from the genus *Caenorhabditis*. Multiple, partially inter-fertile species pairs have been recently
111 discovered, enabling reproductive isolation to be dissected genetically across a range of
112 evolutionary timescales. Early work on the genetics and genomics of hybrid dysfunction have
113 revealed large X-effects and implicated novel molecular mechanisms. For instance, aberrant
114 expression of X-encoded small RNAs is associated with developmental problems during
115 spermatogenesis in inter-species hybrids, suggesting incompatibilities in the regulation of small
116 RNAs.

117

118 *Selfish Genetic Elements and Genome Instability*

119 Selfish genetic elements that compete for transmission provide an alternative explanation for the
120 role of sex chromosomes in reproductive isolation. Patten (2018) reviews the history of a model
121 focused on meiotic drive (post-segregational bias, strictly speaking) that was initially dismissed
122 but has recently gained traction. Because X and Y chromosomes do not recombine with one
123 another, they are susceptible to the evolution of multi-locus drive systems — involving, for
124 example, separate drive, target, and modifier loci — which can in turn trigger evolutionary arms
125 races. Under this model, for instance, the X can evolve drive alleles that favor its transmission
126 during spermatogenesis but elicit the evolution of suppressors on the Y (to avoid being killed)
127 and on the autosomes (to restore the sex ratio). The resulting co-evolutionary arms race can lead
128 to the build-up of otherwise cryptic (suppressed) drive systems within species that become

129 unmasked in hybrids, where incompatible combinations of alleles reduce fertility. Patten (2018)
130 summarizes the growing empirical evidence for this model (including discoveries of cryptic X-
131 linked drivers that also cause incompatibility in hybrids) while emphasizing the contribution of
132 the X to speciation via other forms of intragenomic conflict (including sexual and parental
133 antagonism). Building on this theme, O'Neill and O'Neill (2018) discuss the myriad ways
134 selfish evolution of the sex chromosomes compromises genomic stability. Focusing on
135 mammals, the authors describe several examples of rapidly diversifying copy number variants
136 (CNVs) in repetitive DNAs on the sex chromosomes that could contribute to reproductive
137 isolation.

138

139 *Barriers to Gene Flow in Nature*

140 Like controlled crosses in the laboratory, species that mate in nature provide clues about the
141 genetic determinants of speciation. If lineages hybridize during species formation, mutations that
142 reduce hybrid fitness should be exchanged less frequently than the remainder of the genome, as
143 should variants linked to these mutations. This logic can be used to find loci responsible for
144 reproductive isolation by scanning genomes for elevated differentiation among nascent species
145 that currently hybridize or did so in the past. The disproportionate contribution of sex
146 chromosomes to reproductive barriers therefore predicts that these chromosomes will be
147 enriched for high-differentiation regions.

148

149 Presgraves (2018) provides compelling evidence in support of this prediction. By amalgamating
150 available population genomic data from a wide variety of taxa, Presgraves (2018) shows that F_{st}
151 – the most common measure of population differentiation – is routinely higher on the X[Z]

152 chromosome than on the autosomes. But this pattern need not reflect selection against gene flow
153 on the sex chromosomes, the author cautions. Higher differentiation on sex chromosomes is
154 expected under standard assumptions and, even beyond the standard expectations, a wide range
155 of population genetic circumstances can cause excess X-linked differentiation. On top of sex-
156 biased migration, heightened susceptibility to demographic processes that reduce effective
157 population size and to selection at linked sites can explain excess differentiation on the X[Z].
158 Presgraves (2018) advocates model-based comparisons of competing scenarios to determine the
159 extent to which this widespread pattern is connected to speciation.

160

161 Several additional contributions highlight the challenge of considering demographic history
162 when comparing population differentiation on the X and the autosomes. Van Belleghem et al.
163 (2018) analyze more than 200 whole genome sequences collected from a total of 36 populations
164 of the butterfly species *Heliconius erato* and *H. peliomene*. The authors find higher absolute
165 differentiation on the Z chromosome. However, simulations reveal that this pattern can be partly
166 explained by population expansion, demonstrating that absolute (as well as relative) measures of
167 differentiation can be shaped by population size change. At the same time, sympatric and
168 parapatric populations of the two species have higher Z/autosome divergence ratios than
169 allopatric populations, suggesting a lower rate of gene flow on the Z chromosome.

170

171 Moran et al. (2018) characterize RADSeq variation along a transect of two species of
172 *Teleogryllus* crickets that represent a rare exception to Haldane's rule. Between-species
173 differentiation is higher on the X compared to the autosomes, with a particular spike between
174 sympatric populations. X-linked loci are more variable than autosomal loci within populations.

175 The authors argue that inferred population expansions do not explain these patterns, instead
176 viewing them as consistent with a special role for the X in reproductive isolation.

177

178 Steinrücken et al. (2018) revisit evidence for gene flow between Neanderthals and modern
179 humans. Building on their earlier approach for reconstructing demographic history, Steinrücken
180 et al. (2018) present a new model-based method for inferring admixed ancestry along
181 chromosomes. Applying this demography-informed strategy to population genomic data, the
182 authors confirm that the human X harbors relatively less Neanderthal ancestry than autosomes.
183 After investigating genomic correlates of admixture, Steinrücken et al. (2018) conclude that
184 selection against gene flow stems from a higher load of deleterious mutations in Neanderthals
185 and not hybrid incompatibilities.

186

187 *Evolution of Sex Chromosome Systems*

188 Several characteristics that predispose sex chromosomes to their special role in speciation arise
189 from their function in sex determination. Consequently, the tempo with which sex determination
190 systems and correlated traits evolve could shape rates of speciation across phylogenies.

191 Conducting phylogenetic comparative analyses of data from teleost fish, squamate reptiles, and
192 amphibians, Pennell et al. (2018) infer a bias in transitions from environmental to chromosomal
193 sex determination. The authors also estimate that transitions between homomorphic and
194 heteromorphic sex chromosomes occur at similar rates, providing context for reports that
195 reproductive isolation evolves faster among species with heteromorphic sex chromosomes.

196

197 Ploidy is a key variable in sex chromosome evolution. Theory that compares expected patterns of
198 gene flow on the sex chromosomes and the autosomes focuses on diploids (for obvious reasons).
199 As Ghenu et al. (2018) point out, in the roughly 20% of animal species that are haplo-diploid,
200 “the whole genome behaves similarly to the X/Z chromosomes of diploids”. Motivated by an
201 unusual hybrid population of wood ants — in which hybrid males die before reaching adulthood
202 but hybrid females enjoy increased survivorship — the authors present a mathematical model
203 that combines hybrid incompatibility, heterozygote advantage, and assortative mating. Ghenu et
204 al. (2018) uncover a complex fitness landscape with multiple equilibria. For diploid systems,
205 these results are consistent with contrasting dynamics for sex chromosomes and autosomes,
206 including unique signatures of sexual antagonism and more efficient removal of hybrid
207 incompatibilities on the X.

208

209 *Lessons and Recommendations*

210 The 15 articles in this special issue of *Molecular Ecology* – which embody a diverse collection of
211 approaches and study systems – offer valuable lessons about the relationship between sex
212 chromosomes and speciation.

213

214 One message is that sex chromosomes appear to generate reproductive isolation for more than
215 one reason. There is convincing evidence that both sequences and expression levels diverge
216 faster when genes are X-linked, at least for some species pairs. The unusual susceptibility of the
217 X chromosome to the invasion and accumulation of selfish genetic elements primes it for intra-
218 genomic conflicts that can lead to hybrid dysfunction. Finally, across broad phylogenetic

219 timescales, species show the ability to transition between alternative sex chromosome systems,
220 perhaps setting the stage for differences in speciation rates among clades.

221
222 Another point of consensus is that genomic differentiation among species pairs sampled in nature
223 is higher on the X[Z] than on the autosomes. This pattern could indicate stronger selection
224 against gene flow on the X[Z] because of its important contributions to reproductive isolation.
225 But direct evidence for this inference remains limited. In practice, a variety of confounding
226 factors – especially disparities in effective population size between sex chromosomes and
227 autosomes – make it problematic to interpret elevated differentiation as a signature of
228 reproductive isolation.

229
230 Moving forward, there are several opportunities to accelerate progress in this area. First, viewing
231 the connection between sex chromosomes and reproductive isolation as a composite result of
232 many factors (rather than one or the other) could usefully shift how the field looks for underlying
233 mechanisms. Second, new analytical methods are needed that can distinguish signatures of
234 reduced gene flow from alternative explanations. Third, it is now clear that transitions between
235 sex determination systems and between sex chromosome systems occur more frequently over
236 phylogenetic timescales than previously appreciated, but the contribution of this turnover to
237 heterogeneity in speciation rates remains to be tested. Finally, systems in which mechanisms of
238 reproductive isolation can be unraveled *and* natural hybridization can be measured offer the best
239 prospects for meaningfully connecting causes and consequences of sex chromosomes as hotspots
240 of speciation.

241

242 **Acknowledgments**

243 We thank Loren Rieseberg for inviting us to edit this special issue of *Molecular Ecology*. We
244 thank Armando Geraldes for excellent assistance with the editorial process. Support for this
245 article was provided by NSF grant DEB 1353737 to B.A.P., NIH grant R01 GM123194 to
246 D.C.P., and BBSRC grant BB/P009808/1 to D.A.F.

247

248 **Author Contributions**

249 D.A.F., D.C.P. and B.A.P. co-edited the special issue. B.A.P. wrote a first draft of this

250 manuscript, and D.C.P., D.A.F. and B.A.P. revised it.

251

252 **References**

- 253 Charlesworth, B., Campos, J. L., & Jackson, B. C. (2018). Faster-X evolution: theory and
254 evidence from *Drosophila*. *Molecular Ecology*.
- 255 Coyne, J. A., & Orr, H. A. (1989). Two rules of speciation. In: *Speciation and Its Consequences*
256 (eds. Otte, D., & Endler, J.), pp. 180-207. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- 257 Coyne, J. A. (1992). Genetics and speciation. *Nature* **355**, 511-515.
- 258 Coyne, J. A. (2018). “Two rules of speciation” revisited. *Molecular Ecology*.
- 259 Cutter, A. D. (2018). X exceptionalism in *Caenorhabditis* speciation. *Molecular Ecology*.
- 260 Filatov, D. A. (2018). The two “rules of speciation” in species with young sex chromosomes.
261 *Molecular Ecology*.
- 262 Ghenu, A.-H., Blanckaert, A., Butlin, R. K., Kulmuni, J., & Bank, C. (2018). Conflict between
263 heterozygote advantage and hybrid incompatibility in haplodiploids (and sex chromosomes).
264 *Molecular Ecology*.
- 265 Haldane, J. B. S. (1922). Sex ratio and unisexual sterility in animal hybrids. *Journal of Genetics*
266 **12**, 101-109.
- 267 Irwin, D. E. (2018). Sex chromosomes and speciation in birds and other ZW systems. *Molecular*
268 *Ecology*.
- 269 Laurie, C. C. (1997). The weaker sex is heterogametic: 75 years of Haldane’s rule. *Genetics* **147**,
270 937-951.
- 271 Liu, X., & Karrenberg, S. (2018). Genetic architecture of traits associated with reproductive
272 barriers in *Silene*: Coupling, sex chromosomes and variation. *Molecular Ecology*.
- 273 Llopart, A. (2018). Faster-X evolution of gene expression is driven by recessive adaptive *cis*-
274 regulatory variation in *Drosophila*. *Molecular Ecology*.

275 Moran, P. A., Pascoal, S., Cezard, T., Risse, J. E., Ritchie, M. G., & Bailey, N. W. (2018).
276 Oposing patterns of intraspecific and interspecific differentiation in sex chromosomes and
277 autosomes. *Molecular Ecology*.

278 O'Neill, M. J., & O'Neill, R. J. (2018). Sex chromosome repeats tip the balance towards
279 speciation. *Molecular Ecology*.

280 Patten, M. M. (2018). Selfish X chromosomes and speciation. *Molecular Ecology*.

281 Pennell, M. W., Mank, J. E., & Peichel, C. L. (2018). Transitions in sex determination and sex
282 chromosomes across vertebrate species. *Molecular Ecology*.

283 Presgraves, D. C. (2008). Sex chromosomes and speciation in *Drosophila*. *Trends in Genetics*
284 **24**, 336-343.

285 Presgraves, D. C. (2018). Evaluating signatures of “the large X-effect” during complex
286 speciation. *Molecular Ecology*.

287 Steinrücken, M., Spence, J. P., Kamm, J. A., Wiczorek, E., & Song Y. S. (2018). Model-based
288 detection and analysis of introgressed Neanderthal ancestry in modern humans. *Molecular*
289 *Ecology*.

290 Van Belleghem, S. M., Baquero, M., Papa, R., Salazar, C., McMillan, W. O., Counterman B. A.,
291 Jiggins, C. D., & Martin, S. H. (2018). Patterns of Z chromosome divergence among
292 *Heliconius* species highlight the importance of historical demography. *Molecular Ecology*.