



## Variations in the hospital management of self harm in adults in England: observational study

Olive Bennewith, David Gunnell, Tim Peters, Keith Hawton and Allan House

*BMJ* 2004;328;1108-1109  
doi:10.1136/bmj.328.7448.1108

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/328/7448/1108>

---

*These include:*

### Data supplement

*"Details of sampling process"*  
<http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/328/7448/1108/DC1>

### References

1 online articles that cite this article can be accessed at:  
<http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/328/7448/1108#otherarticles>

### Rapid responses

2 rapid responses have been posted to this article, which you can access for free at:  
<http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/328/7448/1108#responses>

You can respond to this article at:  
<http://bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/328/7448/1108>

### Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

---

### Topic collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[Other emergency medicine](#) (1307 articles)  
[Other Psychiatry](#) (731 articles)

---

### Notes

---

To order reprints of this article go to:  
<http://www.bmjournals.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to *BMJ* go to:  
<http://bmj.bmjournals.com/subscriptions/subscribe.shtml>

- 9 MacMahon S, Peto R, Cutler J, Collins R, Sorlie P, Neaton J, et al. Blood pressure, stroke and coronary heart disease, part 1: prolonged differences in blood pressure: prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. *Lancet* 1990;335:765-74.
  - 10 Hostetter TH, Rennke HG, Brenner BM. The case for intrarenal hypertension in the initiation and progression of diabetic and other glomerulopathies. *Am J Med* 1982;72:375-80.
  - 11 Williamson JR, Rowold E, Chang K, Marvel J, Tomlinson M, Sherman WR, et al. Sex steroid dependency of diabetes-induced changes in polyol metabolism, vascular permeability, and collagen cross-linking. *Diabetes* 1986;35:20-7.
  - 12 Mathiesen ER, Rønn B, Jensen T, Storm B, Deckert T. Relationship between blood pressure and urinary albumin excretion in development of microalbuminuria. *Diabetes* 1990;39:245-9.
  - 13 Coonrod BA, Ellis D, Becker DJ, Bunker CH, Kelsey SF, Lloyd CE, et al. Predictors of microalbuminuria in individuals with IDDM. Pittsburgh epidemiology of diabetes complications study. *Diabetes Care* 1993;16:1376-83.
  - 14 The Microalbuminuria Collaborative Study Group. Predictors of the development of microalbuminuria in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus: a seven-year prospective study. *Diabetic Med* 1999;16:918-25.
  - 15 Rossing P, Hougaard P, Parving H-H. Risk factors for development of incipient and overt diabetic nephropathy in type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25:859-64.
  - 16 The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 1993;329:977-86.
  - 17 Wang PH, Lau J, Chalmers TC. Meta-analysis of effects of intensive blood-glucose control on late complications of type I diabetes. *Lancet* 1993;341:1306-9.
  - 18 Rossing P, Tarnow L, Nielsen FS, Boelskifte S, Brenner BM, Parving H-H. Short stature and diabetic nephropathy. *BMJ* 1995;310:296-7.
  - 19 Brenner BM, Chertow GM. Congenital oligonephropathy and the etiology of adult hypertension and progressive renal injury. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1994;23:171-5.
  - 20 Fioretto P, Steffes MW, Sutherland DER, Goetz FC, Mauer M. Reversal of lesions of diabetic nephropathy after pancreas transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:69-75.
  - 21 Cordonnier DJ, Pinel N, Barro C, Maynard C, Zaoui P, Halimi S, et al. Expansion of cortical interstitium is limited by converting enzyme inhibition in type 2 diabetic patients with glomerulosclerosis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1999;10:1253-63.
  - 22 Perkins BA, Ficociello LH, Silva KH, Finkelstein DM, Warram JH, Krolewski AS. Regression of microalbuminuria in type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:2285-93.
- (Accepted 5 March 2004)
- doi 10.1136/bmj.38070.450891.FE

## Variations in the hospital management of self harm in adults in England: observational study

Olive Bennewith, David Gunnell, Tim J Peters, Keith Hawton, Allan House

Department of  
Social Medicine,  
University of  
Bristol, Bristol  
BS8 2PR

Olive Bennewith  
research associate  
David Gunnell  
professor of  
epidemiology

Division of Primary  
Health Care,  
University of  
Bristol, Bristol  
BS6 6JL

Tim Peters  
professor of primary  
care health services  
research

Centre for Suicide  
Research, University  
of Oxford  
Department of  
Psychiatry,  
Warneford  
Hospital, Oxford  
OX3 7JX

Keith Hawton  
professor of psychiatry

Academic Unit of  
Psychiatry and  
Behavioural  
Sciences, University  
of Leeds, Leeds  
LS2 9LT

Allan House  
professor of liaison  
psychiatry

Correspondence to:  
D Gunnell  
D.J.Gunnell@  
Bristol.ac.uk

BMJ 2004;328:1108-9

More than 140 000 people present to hospital after an episode of self harm each year in England and Wales.<sup>1</sup> Improving the general hospital management of these people is a key area in preventing suicide.<sup>2</sup> Although professional consensus has been reached on how self harm services should be organised and delivered,<sup>3</sup> wide variations in care delivery have been reported in two regions in England.<sup>4 5</sup> Using a nationally representative sample, we investigated the variation in services and delivery of care for self harm patients in hospitals in England.

### Participants, methods, and results

We selected a stratified random sample of 32 hospitals, four from each former health region (table and see [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)). At each hospital we interviewed two to five key emergency and psychiatric staff about hospital service structures and made arrangements with them to start audits of the processes of care. We assessed each hospital on 21 recommended self harm service standards (see table A on [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)).<sup>3</sup> In 2001-2 each hospital did a prospective eight week audit of their management of self harm (see [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)). Trust staff used emergency department, medical, and mental health records if audit data were incomplete.

A designated self harm or liaison service was available at 23 of the 32 hospitals. At 11 hospitals, more than half of the 21 recommended service structures were not in place (median score 12; range 7 to 20). The most commonly available aspects of service were guidelines for medical management (at 31 hospitals) and 24 hour access to specialist psychosocial assessments (at 30 hospitals) (see table A on [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)).


Guidelines for assessing the risk of suicide for use by staff in emergency departments were available at 17 hospitals. Only 14 hospitals had self harm service

planning meetings with mental health services, emergency department, or medical staff. Routine contact with patients' general practitioners within 24 hours of discharge from emergency departments happened at only half of the hospitals. Service scale scores were weakly associated with hospital size (rank correlation 0.20,  $P=0.28$ ).

During the eight week audit, staff identified 4222 episodes of self harm. Hospitals varied widely in the proportion of attendances leading to a psychosocial assessment (median 55%; range 36% to 82%), hospital admission (42%; 22% to 83%), psychiatric admission (9.5%; 2.5% to 23.8%), and mental health follow up (51%; 35% to 82%). Using metaregression techniques, we found no significant difference in the proportion of assessments (55% *v* 58%; odds ratio 0.88; 95% confidence interval 0.56 to 1.38;  $P=0.57$ ), admissions (42% *v* 52%; 0.65; 0.37 to 1.13;  $P=0.13$ ), psychiatric admissions (10.5% *v* 11.4%; 0.89; 0.59 to 1.37;  $P=0.61$ ), or arrangements for follow up (53% *v* 56%; 0.91; 0.66 to 1.25,  $P=0.54$ ) between hospitals with and without a designated service. However, at hospitals with a designated service, assessments were considerably less likely to be undertaken by junior (training grade) psychiatrists alone (22% *v* 75%; 0.04; 0.01 to 0.14;  $P<0.01$ ).

### Comment

Variability in organisation and provision of services for patients with self harm was striking. There was twofold variation across hospitals in levels of psychosocial assessment, fourfold variation in the proportion of

 Details of the sampling process, a table, and the audit form are on [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)

## Variation in management of self harm patients across 32 English hospitals

Hospital size (No of acute beds 2000-1 to nearest 100)*	Service scale score (maximum 21)	No (%) receiving psychosocial assessment†‡	No (%) assessed by senior house officer in psychiatry alone§	No (%) admitted to hospital bed¶	No (%) admitted to a psychiatric bed‡**	No (%) referred for specialist mental health follow up†††	No of self harm episodes during eight week audit
<b>Hospitals with a designated self harm or liaison service</b>							
600	11	174 (70.7)	1 (0.6)	149 (60.6)	13 (5.3)	128 (53.1)	246
400	11	91 (57.6)	0	77 (48.7)	11 (7.0)	81 (51.3)	158
400	11	66 (62.9)	21 (33.9)	58 (55.2)	4 (3.8)	55 (52.9)	105
1000	16	130 (48.5)	36 (34.6)	116 (43.5)	25 (9.6)	132 (51.0)	268
300	14.5	37 (44.1)	3 (10.0)	35 (41.7)	7 (8.3)	54 (64.3)	84
700	13.5	80 (55.2)	13 (24.5)	62 (42.5)	26 (17.9)	88 (61.1)	146
500	14	83 (60.6)	28 (38.9)	53 (38.7)	11 (8.2)	67 (49.6)	137
1000	8.5	118 (68.6)	3 (2.8)	120 (69.8)	9 (5.3)	83 (50.0)	172
300	14	40 (48.8)	0	42 (51.2)	2 (2.5)	32 (39.5)	82
600	7.5	43 (36.4)	0	30 (25.9)	10 (8.6)	76 (66.1)	118
1000	15.5	103 (50.2)	22 (23.7)	49 (24.4)	10 (5.0)	70 (34.7)	205
700	12	124 (70.5)	34 (34.3)	153 (83.2)	16 (9.6)	77 (46.1)	184
400	11.5	39 (38.2)	8 (36.4)	35 (34.0)	16 (16.0)	51 (51.5)	103
400	8.5	46 (35.7)	12 (35.3)	52 (40.0)	12 (9.2)	58 (45.3)	130
700	17.5	104 (55.0)§§	NA	59 (31.2)§§	29 (15.3)	95 (51.9)§§	189
800	13.5	97 (71.9)	11 (14.5)	40 (29.9)	19 (14.5)	63 (48.8)	135
400	8	32 (41.0)	0	17 (21.8)	12 (15.4)	31 (39.7)	78
700	7	69 (51.9)	¶	77 (57.5)	29 (22.7)	79 (63.2)	134
300	10.5	115 (71.9)	0	56 (35.0)	12 (7.5)	97 (61.0)	160
200	11	58 (55.8)	13 (31.0)	26 (25.0)	16 (15.4)	48 (46.2)	104
500	15.5	112 (44.8)	9 (11.1)	107 (42.5)	30 (12.1)	163 (66.5)	252
400	20	88 (65.2)	23 (32.4)	56 (41.5)	17 (12.6)	93 (68.9)	135
700	16	81 (54.7)	2 (3.0)	39 (26.4)	14 (9.5)	81 (55.4)	150
Summary: mean (range)	12.5 (7-20)	54.8% (35.7%-71.9%)	17.5% (0-38.9%)	42.2% (21.8%-83.2%)	10.5% (2.5%-22.7%)	52.9% (34.7%-68.9%)	151 (78-268)
<b>Hospitals with no designated self harm or liaison service</b>							
200	11.5	49 (76.6)	42 (95.5)	39 (60.9)	4 (6.3)	32 (50.0)	64
300	15	34 (53.1)	26 (100)	45 (70.3)	5 (7.9)	34 (54.0)	64
400	10	32 (42.1)	18 (90.0)	35 (44.3)	12 (15.2)	38 (48.7)	79
200	10	52 (76.5)	44 (100)	22 (32.9)	8 (11.4)	44 (63.8)	70
300	7.5	36 (49.3)‡‡	9 (33.3)‡‡	23 (31.5)‡‡	8 (11.3)‡‡	41 (56.9)‡‡	73‡‡
400	8	56 (67.5)	40 (90.9)	58 (69.1)	11 (13.3)	37 (44.6)	84
700	9.5	32 (38.1)	0	27 (32.1)	5 (6.0)	39 (47.6)	84
300	10	67 (81.7)	44 (91.7)	68 (82.9)	19 (23.8)	65 (82.3)	82
500	14	52 (36.4)	¶	70 (47.6)	11 (7.7)	72 (51.8)	147
Summary: mean (range)	10.6 (7.5-15)	57.9% (36.4%-81.7%)	75.2% (0-100%)	52.4% (31.5%-82.9%)	11.4% (6.0%-23.8%)	55.5% (44.6%-82.3%)	86 (64-147)

NA=not available.

\*From Department of Health Hospital Activity Statistics.

†Data missing for 26/4033 (0.6%).

‡Information on missing data not available for 189 episodes where management data were provided by the hospital from a recent in-house audit.

§Data missing for 8/1736 (0.5%); because of missing data, the data shown are for episodes where the patient was not admitted to a psychiatric bed.

¶Data missing for 10/4033 (0.3%). \*\*Data missing for 70/4033 (1.7%). ††Data missing for 100/4033 (2.5%). ‡‡Data for this hospital are for a six week period.

§§Data provided by the hospital from a recent in-house audit. ¶||Data not included as missing for &gt;10% episodes.

attendances leading to admission to a hospital bed, and 10-fold variation in the proportion admitted to a psychiatric bed, although for the latter we were unable to determine how many were readmissions of patients who had self harmed while already psychiatric inpatients.

There were wide variations in the implementation of the recommended service structures.<sup>3</sup> Although most hospitals had a designated self harm or liaison service, interdisciplinary working and service planning were less common. Future research should examine the relationships between the patient management and service structures described here and indicators of outcome such as repetition and suicide.

We thank Nav Kapur for his advice on the setting up and running of the audits and Andrew Newton, Jeremy Hyde, and Anthony Harrison for their help in piloting the interview and audit form. Emily Bennenwith helped enter audit data. We also thank hospital and mental health service staff in the 32 hospitals for their participation in the interviews and help in running the audits.

Contributors: DG, TJP, AH, and KH initiated the study. All the authors contributed to the design of the study. OB recruited and visited the hospitals, interviewed staff, facilitated and monitored the audits. OB, DG, and TJP analysed the data. All the authors contributed to and edited the paper. DG and OB are guarantors.

Funding: South West NHS R&D.

Competing interests: None declared.

Multi Centre Research Ethics.

- 1 Hawton K, Fagg J, Simkin S, Bale E, Bond A. Trends in deliberate self-harm in Oxford, 1985-1995: implications for clinical services and the prevention of suicide. *Br J Psychiatry* 1997;171:556-60.
- 2 Department of Health. *National suicide prevention strategy for England*. London: DoH, 2002.
- 3 Royal College of Psychiatrists. *The general hospital management of adult self-harm: a consensus statement on standards for service provision*. London: RCoP, 1994.
- 4 Kapur N, House A, Creed F, Feldman E, Friedman T, Guthrie E. Management of deliberate self poisoning in adults in four teaching hospitals: descriptive study. *BMJ* 1998;316:831-2.
- 5 Gunnell DJ, Brooks J, Peters TJ. Epidemiology and patterns of hospital use following parasuicide in the South West. *J Epidemiol Comm Health* 1996;50:24-29.

(Accepted 18 November 2003)