

# Homogenization of boundary value problems in plane domains with frequently alternating type of the nonlinear boundary conditions: critical case

J. I. Díaz<sup>12</sup>, D. Gómez - Castro<sup>13</sup>, A.V. Podolskiy, T.A. Shaposhnikova

In the present paper we consider a boundary homogenization problem for the Poisson's equation in bounded domain and with a part of the boundary conditions of highly oscillating type (alternating between homogeneous Neumann condition and a nonlinear Robin type condition involving a parameter). Our main goal in this paper is to investigate the asymptotic behavior as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of the solution to such a problem in the case when the length of the boundary part, on which the Robin condition is specified, and the coefficient, contained in this condition, take the so-called critical values. We show that in this case the character of the nonlinearity changes in the limit problem. The boundary homogenization problems were investigated for example in [1], [2], [4]. For the first time the effect of the nonlinearity character change via homogenization was noted for the first time in [5]. In that paper an effective model was constructed for the boundary value problem for the Poisson's equation in the bounded domain that is perforated by the balls of critical radius, when the space dimension equals to 3. In the last decade a lot of works appeared, e.g. [6-10], in which this effect was studied for different geometries of perforated domains and for different differential operators. We note that in [6-10] only perforations by balls were considered. In papers [11], [12] the case of domains perforated by an arbitrary shape sets in the critical case was studied.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \cap \{x_2 > 0\}$ , the boundary of which consists of two parts  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  where  $\Gamma_2 = \partial\Omega \cap \{x_2 = 0\} = [-l, l]$ ,  $l > 0$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega \cap \{x_2 > 0\}$ . The consideration of the case concerning higher dimensions will be the object of a separated work by the authors.

---

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Matemática Interdisciplinar, Univ. Complutense, Plaza de las Ciencias n 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Depto. Análisis Mat. y Mat. Aplicada, Univ. Complutense, Plaza de las Ciencias n 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería - ICAI, Universidad Pontificia Comillas, C/ Alberto Aguilera, 25, 28015 Madrid (Spain)

Denote  $Y_1 = \{(y_1, 0) | -1/2 < y_1 < 1/2\}$ ,  $\hat{l}_0 = \{(y_1, 0) | -l_0 < y_1 < l_0\} \subset Y_1$ ,  $l_0 \in (0, 1/2)$ . For a small parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 < a_\varepsilon < \varepsilon$  we introduce sets

$$\tilde{G}_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}'} (a_\varepsilon \hat{l}_0 + \varepsilon j) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}'} l_\varepsilon^j,$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}' = \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$  is a set of vectors  $j = (j_1, 0)$  and  $j_1$  is a whole number. Denote  $\Upsilon_\varepsilon = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}' | \bar{l}_\varepsilon^j \subset [-l + 2\varepsilon, l - 2\varepsilon] \times \{0\}\}$ . Consider  $Y_\varepsilon^j = \varepsilon Y_1 + \varepsilon j$  and

$$l_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} l_\varepsilon^j.$$




FIGURE 1. Domain  $\Omega$

It is easy to see that  $\bar{l}_\varepsilon^j \subset Y_\varepsilon^j$ . Denote  $\gamma_\varepsilon = \Gamma_2 \setminus \bar{l}_\varepsilon$ . Note that, for  $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}'$ ,  $|l_\varepsilon^j| = 2a_\varepsilon l_0$ ,  $|l_\varepsilon| \cong da_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1}$ .

In the domain  $\Omega$  we consider following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_\varepsilon = f, & x \in \Omega, \\ \partial_{x_2} u_\varepsilon = \beta(\varepsilon) \sigma(u_\varepsilon), & x \in l_\varepsilon, \\ \partial_{x_2} u_\varepsilon = 0, & x \in \gamma_\varepsilon, \\ u_\varepsilon = 0, & x \in \Gamma_1, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $a_\varepsilon = C_0 \varepsilon e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon}}$ ,  $\beta(\varepsilon) = e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon}}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $C_0 > 0$ ,  $\sigma(u) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and (for simplicity)  $\sigma(0) = 0$  and there exist positive constants  $k_1, k_2$ ,  $k_1 < k_2$  such

that

$$k_1 \leq \sigma'(u) \leq k_2. \quad (2)$$

The consideration of much more general nonlinear terms  $\sigma$  will be the object of a separated work by the authors.

**Remark 1.** *There are many applied models that lead to similar formulations as the stated in problem (1). For instance, the consideration of energy balance climate models for a deep ocean (see, e.g. [14]) leads to a quite similar problem where now boundary  $\Gamma_2$  corresponds to a part of the atmospheric surface (in this case the  $x_1$ -coordinate must be substituted as  $x_1 = L_1 - \hat{x}_1$  with  $\hat{x}_1 \in (0, L_1)$  and  $\hat{x}_1 = L_1$  representing the atmosphere surface and  $\hat{x}_1 = 0$  the bottom of the deep ocean). If such domain is taken in a neighborhood of the ice sheet, it is well-known, that there is a mushy region on the atmosphere surface in which there is a highly alternating co-existence of ice pieces and water (see, e.g. [15]). In a first approach to the modeling of the phenomenon it can be assumed that the formation of this set of infinitely many very small pieces of ice is due to the presence of a nonlinear Robin type condition which is surrounded by pure homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions in the exterior to the small pieces.*

The weak solution to the problem (1) is defined as a function  $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$  such that it satisfies integral identity

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_\varepsilon \nabla \psi dx + e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon}} \int_{l_\varepsilon} \sigma(u_\varepsilon) \psi dx_1 = \int_{\Omega} f \psi dx, \quad (3)$$

where  $\psi \in H^1(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$  is an arbitrary test function.

It's well known (see [13]) that the problem (1) has the unique weak solution and for that solution we have following estimate

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{2\varepsilon}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(l_\varepsilon)} \leq K, \quad (4)$$

where  $K$ , here and below, is a constant that does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ .

The estimate (4) implies that there exists a subsequence (we preserve for it the notation of the original sequence) such that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we have

$$u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ weakly in } H^1(\Omega), \quad (5)$$

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (6)$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a_\varepsilon = C_0 \varepsilon e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon}}$ ,  $\beta(\varepsilon) = e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon}}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $C_0 > 0$  and  $u_\varepsilon$  be solution to the problem (1).*

*Then the function  $u_0 \in H^1(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$  defined in (5) and (6) is a weak solution to the following boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_0 = f, & x \in \Omega, \\ \partial_{x_2} u_0 - \frac{\pi}{\alpha^2} H(u_0) = 0, & x \in \Gamma_2, \\ u_0 = 0, & x \in \Gamma_1, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where  $H(u)$  is the unique Lipschitz continuous and increasing function satisfying

$$\pi H(u) = 2l_0 \alpha^2 C_0 \sigma(u - H(u)). \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* We introduce auxiliary functions  $w_\varepsilon^j$  and  $q_\varepsilon^j$  as weak solution to the following problems

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in T_{\varepsilon/4}^j \setminus \overline{T_{a_\varepsilon}^j}, \\ w_\varepsilon^j = 1, & x \in \partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j, \\ w_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in \partial T_{\varepsilon/4}^j, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta q_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in T_\varepsilon^j \setminus \overline{l_\varepsilon^j}, \\ q_\varepsilon^j = 1, & x \in l_\varepsilon^j, \\ q_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in \partial T_{\varepsilon/4}^j. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Here,  $T_r^j$  denotes the ball of radius  $r$  centered at the point  $P_\varepsilon^j = (\varepsilon j, 0)$ .

Note that, due to symmetry,  $w_\varepsilon^j$  and  $q_\varepsilon^j$  are also solutions of the corresponding boundary value problems in the domains  $(T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+ \setminus \overline{T_{a_\varepsilon}^j}$  and  $(T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+$  respectively, where  $A^+$  denotes set  $A \cap \{x_2 > 0\}$  for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,




FIGURE 2. Domain  $T_{\varepsilon/4}^{+,j} \setminus \overline{T_{a_\varepsilon}^j}$  and  $l_\varepsilon^j$ .

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in (T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+ \setminus \overline{T_{a_\varepsilon}^j}, \\ w_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in \partial T_{\varepsilon/4}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}, \\ w_\varepsilon^j = 1, & x \in \partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}, \\ \partial_{x_2} w_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in \{x_2 = 0\} \cap (T_{\varepsilon/4}^j \setminus \overline{T_{a_\varepsilon}^j}). \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Note that we can find explicit solution to this problem

$$w_\varepsilon^j(x) = \frac{\ln(4r/\varepsilon)}{\ln(4a_\varepsilon/\varepsilon)}.$$

Analogously

$$\begin{cases} \Delta q_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in (T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+, \\ q_\varepsilon^j = 1, & x \in l_\varepsilon^j, \\ q_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in \partial T_{\varepsilon/4}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\} \\ \partial_{x_2} q_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in (T_{\varepsilon/4}^j \cap \{x_2 = 0\}) \setminus \overline{l_\varepsilon^j}, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where  $j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon$ ,  $l_\varepsilon^j = a_\varepsilon \hat{l}_0 + \varepsilon j$ .

Define

$$W_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} w_\varepsilon^j(x), & x \in (T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+ \setminus \overline{T_{a_\varepsilon}^j}, j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon, \\ 1, & x \in (T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \overline{T_{\varepsilon/4}^j}, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where  $\mathbb{R}_+^2 = \{x_2 > 0\}$ ,

$$Q_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} q_\varepsilon^j(x), & x \in (T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+, j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} (T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

We have  $W_\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$  and

$$W_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0, \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\Omega, \Gamma_1), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (15)$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $W_\varepsilon$  be a function defined by the formula (13),  $Q_\varepsilon$  be the function defined by (14). Then*

$$\|W_\varepsilon - Q_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq K\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Note that for an arbitrary function  $\psi \in H^1(T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)$  such that  $\psi = 0$  on  $l_\varepsilon^j$  we have

$$\int_{(T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+} \nabla q_\varepsilon^j \nabla \psi dx_1 dx_2 = 0.$$

We consider  $\psi = w^j - q_\varepsilon^j$  as a test function in the above equality and get

$$\int_{(T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+} \nabla q_\varepsilon^j \nabla (w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j) dx_1 dx_2 = 0. \quad (16)$$

In addition, we have

$$\int_{(T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+} \nabla w_\varepsilon^j \nabla (w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j) dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} \partial_\nu w_\varepsilon^j (w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j) ds. \quad (17)$$

By subtracting (16) from (17) we derive

$$\int_{(T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+} |\nabla (w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j)|^2 dx = \int_{\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} \partial_\nu w_\varepsilon^j (w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j) ds. \quad (18)$$

Note that  $\partial_\nu w_\varepsilon^j|_{\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j} = -\frac{1}{a_\varepsilon \ln(4a_\varepsilon/\varepsilon)}$ . Hence, (17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla (w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j)\|_{L^2((T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+)}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{a_\varepsilon |\ln(4a_\varepsilon/\varepsilon)|} \int_{\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} |w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j| ds = \\ &= \frac{1}{|\ln(4a_\varepsilon/\varepsilon)|} \int_{\partial T_1^j \cap \{y_2 > 0\}} |w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j| ds_y \equiv J_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Given that  $w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j = 0$  if  $y \in \hat{l}_0$  and using the embedding theorem, we get

$$J_\varepsilon \leq \frac{K}{|\ln(4a_\varepsilon/\varepsilon)|} \left( \int_{(T_1^j)^+} |\nabla_y(w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \leq K\varepsilon \|\nabla(w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j)\|_{L^2(T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+}. \quad (19)$$

From here we derive an estimate

$$\|\nabla(w_\varepsilon^j - q_\varepsilon^j)\|_{L^2((T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+)} \leq K\varepsilon.$$

From this estimate it follows that

$$\|W_\varepsilon - Q_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq K\sqrt{\varepsilon}. \quad (20)$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 1. By using the monotonicity of the function  $\sigma(u)$  we derive that  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfies integral inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla(v - u_\varepsilon) dx + e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon}} \int_{l_\varepsilon} \sigma(v)(v - u_\varepsilon) dx_1 \geq \int_{\Omega} f(v - u_\varepsilon) dx \quad (21)$$

for an arbitrary test function  $v \in H^1(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ .

We take  $v = \psi - Q_\varepsilon H(\psi)$  as a test function in (21), where  $\psi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\psi(x) = 0$  in neighbourhood of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $H(u)$  is solution to the functional equation (8). We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\psi - Q_\varepsilon H(\psi)) \nabla(\psi - Q_\varepsilon H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) dx + \\ & + e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon}} \int_{l_\varepsilon} \sigma(\psi - H(\psi))(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) dx_1 \geq \int_{\Omega} f(\psi - Q_\varepsilon H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We rewrite inequality (22) in the following way

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\psi - W_\varepsilon H(\psi)) \nabla(\psi - Q_\varepsilon H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) dx -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\Omega} \nabla((Q_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon})H(\psi)) \nabla(\psi - Q_{\varepsilon}H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon}) dx + \\
& + e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon}} \int_{l_{\varepsilon}} \sigma(\psi - H(\psi))(\psi - H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon}) dx_1 \geq \int_{\Omega} f(\psi - Q_{\varepsilon}H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon}) dx. \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

From the fact, that  $Q_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $H^1(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ , we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} f(\psi - Q_{\varepsilon}H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon}) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(\psi - u_0) dx, \quad (24)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \nabla(\psi - Q_{\varepsilon}H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon}) dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \nabla(\psi - u_0) dx. \quad (25)$$

Lemma 1 implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \nabla((Q_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon})H(\psi)) \nabla(\psi - Q_{\varepsilon}H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon}) dx = 0. \quad (25)$$

Consider the remaining integrals in (23). Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon} & \equiv - \int_{\Omega} \nabla(W_{\varepsilon}H(\psi)) \nabla(\psi - Q_{\varepsilon}H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon}) dx = \\
& = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla W_{\varepsilon} \nabla\{H(\psi)(\psi - Q_{\varepsilon}H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon})\} dx + \alpha_{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

It is to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon} & = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla W_{\varepsilon} \nabla\{H(\psi)(\psi - W_{\varepsilon}H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon})\} dx + \tilde{\alpha}_{\varepsilon} = \\
& = - \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_{\varepsilon}} \int_{(T_{\varepsilon/4}^j)^+ \setminus \overline{T_{a_{\varepsilon}}^j}} \nabla w_{\varepsilon}^j \nabla\{H(\psi)(\psi - w_{\varepsilon}^j H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon})\} dx + \tilde{\alpha}_{\varepsilon} = \\
& = - \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\partial T_{\varepsilon/4}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} \partial_{\nu} w_{\varepsilon}^j H(\psi)(\psi - u_{\varepsilon}) ds - \\
& - \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\partial T_{a_{\varepsilon}}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} \partial_{\nu} w_{\varepsilon}^j H(\psi)(\psi - H(\psi) - u_{\varepsilon}) ds + \tilde{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}, \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .



Given that  $\partial_\nu w_\varepsilon^j \Big|_{\partial T_{\varepsilon/4}^j} = \frac{4}{\varepsilon \ln(4a_\varepsilon/\varepsilon)} = \frac{4}{-\alpha^2 + \varepsilon \ln(4C_0)}$  and using the results of the paper [3] we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \int_{\partial T_{\varepsilon/4}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} \partial_\nu w_\varepsilon^j H(\psi)(\psi - u_\varepsilon) ds = \\
& = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{\alpha^2 - \varepsilon \ln(4C_0)} \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \int_{\partial T_{\varepsilon/4}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} H(\psi)(\psi - u_\varepsilon) ds = \\
& = \frac{\pi}{\alpha^2} \int_{\Gamma_2} H(\psi)(\psi - u_0) ds. \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us find the limit of the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \int_{\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} \partial_\nu w_\varepsilon^j H(\psi)(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) ds + \\
& + e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon}} \int_{l_\varepsilon} \sigma(\psi - H(\psi))(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) dx_1 = \\
& = \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \frac{(\alpha^2 C_0)^{-1} e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon}}{1 - \varepsilon \alpha^{-2} \ln(4C_0)} \int_{\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} H(\psi)(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) ds + \\
& + e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon} \int_{l_\varepsilon} \sigma(\psi - H(\psi))(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) dx_1 = \\
& = e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon} \int_{l_\varepsilon} \sigma(\psi - H(\psi))(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) dx_1 - \\
& - \frac{e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon}}{\alpha^2 C_0} \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \int_{\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} H(\psi)(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) ds + \hat{\alpha}_\varepsilon \equiv D_\varepsilon + \hat{\alpha}_\varepsilon, \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\alpha}_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

We introduce function  $m(y) \in H^1((T_1^0)^+)$ , with  $y = (y_1, y_2)$ , as the unique weak solution to the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y m = 0, & y \in (T_1^0)^+, \\ \partial_{y_2} m = 1, & y \in \hat{l}_0, \\ \partial_\nu m = \frac{2l_0}{\pi}, & y \in (\partial T_1^0)^+, \\ \partial_{y_2} m = 0, & y \in (\partial(T_1^0)^+) \setminus (\hat{l}_0 \cup (\partial T_1^0)^+). \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Consider

$$m_\varepsilon^j(x) = \varepsilon m \left( \frac{x - P_\varepsilon^j}{a_\varepsilon} \right), \quad x \in (T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+. \quad (30)$$

The function  $m_\varepsilon^j(x)$  is a solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_x m_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in (T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+, \\ \partial_\nu m_\varepsilon^j = \frac{\varepsilon a_\varepsilon^{-1} 2l_0}{\pi}, & x \in (\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+, \\ \partial_{x_2} m_\varepsilon^j = \varepsilon a_\varepsilon^{-1}, & x \in l_\varepsilon^j, \\ \partial_{x_2} m_\varepsilon^j = 0, & x \in (\partial(T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+) \setminus (\hat{l}_\varepsilon^j \cup (\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+). \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Denote  $h_\varepsilon = H(\psi)(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2l_0 \varepsilon a_\varepsilon^{-1}}{\pi} \int_{(\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+} h_\varepsilon ds - \varepsilon a_\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{l_\varepsilon^j} h_\varepsilon dx_1 \right| = \\ & = \left| \int_{(T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+} \nabla_x m_\varepsilon^j \nabla h_\varepsilon dx \right| \leq \|\nabla_x m_\varepsilon^j\|_{L^2((T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+)} \|\nabla h_\varepsilon\|_{L^2((T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+)}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Due to the fact that

$$\|\nabla_x m_\varepsilon^j\|_{L^2((T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+)}^2 = \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_y m(y)\|_{L^2((T_1^0)^+)}^2 \leq K \varepsilon^2,$$

we have

$$\sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \|\nabla_x m_\varepsilon^j\|_{L^2((T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+)}^2 \leq K \varepsilon. \quad (33)$$

From (32), (33) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon} \frac{\pi}{2l_0} \int_{l_\varepsilon} h_\varepsilon dx_1 - e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon} \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \int_{\partial T_{a_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} h_\varepsilon ds \right| \leq \\ & \leq \delta^{-1} \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \|\nabla_x m_\varepsilon^j\|_{L^2((T_{a_\varepsilon}^j)^+)}^2 + \delta \|\nabla h_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq K \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

if  $\delta = \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ .

Estimate (34) implies that the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of the expression  $D_\varepsilon$  in (28) is equal to zero. Indeed, from the fact that  $H(u)$  satisfies the functional equation (8) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_\varepsilon| &= \left| e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon} \int_{l_\varepsilon} \sigma(\psi - H(\psi))(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) dx_1 - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon}}{\alpha^2 C_0} \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \int_{\partial T_{d_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} H(\psi)(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) ds \right| \leq \\
&\leq \left| \frac{\pi}{2l_0 \alpha^2 C_0} e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon} \int_{l_\varepsilon} H(\psi)(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) dx_1 - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{e^{\alpha^2/\varepsilon}}{\alpha^2 C_0} \sum_{j \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon} \int_{\partial T_{d_\varepsilon}^j \cap \{x_2 > 0\}} H(\psi)(\psi - H(\psi) - u_\varepsilon) ds \right| \leq K \sqrt{\varepsilon}. \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used equation (8).

Therefore, from (22)-(35) we conclude that  $u_0 \in H^1(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$  satisfies the following inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \nabla (\psi - u_0) dx + \frac{\pi}{\alpha^2} \int_{\Gamma_2} H(\psi)(\psi - u_0) dx_1 \geq \int_{\Omega} f(\psi - u_0) dx, \quad (36)$$

where  $\psi$  is an arbitrary function from  $H^1(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ , and as  $H(u)$  is a non-decreasing function this is equivalent to say that  $u_0$  satisfies (7). This concludes the proof.

**Acknowledgments.** The research of J.I. Díaz and D. Gómez-Castro was partially supported by the project ref. MTM 2014-57113-P of the DGISPI (Spain).

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] G.A. Chechkin// Russian Math. of Science. Sbornik. Mathematics. V.79 (1), pp. 191-222, 1994.
- [2] M.E. Perez, T.A. Shaposhnikova// Doklady Mathematics, 2012.V. 85. 2. pp 1-6.

- [3] M.Lobo, O.A. Oleinik, M.E. Perez, T.A. Shaposhnikova// Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa. Cl. Sci. Ser 4. 25. pp. 611-629.
- [4] T.A. Shaposhnikova, M.N. Zubova// Funct. Differ. Equations, 16,pp. 639-653. 2009.
- [5] M.V. Goncharenko//Proceedings of the Conference "Homogenization and Applications to Material Sciences", Nice, 1995, Gakuto, pp. 203-213. Gakkotosho, Tokyo, 1997.
- [6] J.I. Díaz, D. Gomez-Castro, A.V. Podol'skii, T.A. Shaposhnikova// Advances in Nonlinear Analysis, 2017. DOI:10.1515/anona-2017-0140.
- [7] D. Gomez, M. Lobo, E. Perez, A.V. Podol'skii, T.A. Shaposhnikova// ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. 2017. DOI:10.1051/cocv/2017026.
- [8] J. I. Díaz, D. Gomez-Castro, A.V. Podol'skii, T.A. Shaposhnikova//Doklady Mathematics, 2017. 95(2).pp. 151-156.
- [9] W. Jager, M. Neuss-Radu, T.A. Shaposhnikova// Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications 15(2014).pp. 367-380.
- [10] D. Gomez, M. Lobo, E. Perez, T.A. Shaposhnikova//Appl. Anal. 2013. 92:218-237.
- [11] J.I. Díaz, D. Gomez-Castro, T.A. Shaposhnikova, M.N. Zubova// EJDE, v.2017(2017), 178,pp. 1-25.
- [12] A.V. Podol'skii, T.A. Shaposhnikova// Doklady Mathematics. 2017. V. 96. 3. pp. 601-606.
- [13] H. Brezis, W.A. Strauss. // J. Math. Soc. Japan. 25 (1973) 565-590
- [14] J. I. Díaz, A. Hidalgo and L. Tello. // Proc. R. Soc. A. 2014, pp. 470
- [15] J. I. Díaz. Mathematical analysis of some diffusive energy balance models in Climatology. // Research Notes in Applied Mathematics n° 27, Masson, Paris, 28-56, 1993