

Fluid and Solute Transport across the Retinal Pigment Epithelium: a Theoretical Model

BY MARIIA DVORIASHYNA ¹, ALEXANDER J. E. FOSS ², EAMONN A. GAFFNEY ³
AND RODOLFO REPETTO ⁴

¹ *Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge,
Cambridge CB3 0WA, UK*

² *Department of Ophthalmology, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust,
Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK*

³ *Wolfson Centre for Mathematical Biology, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford,
Oxford OX2 6GG, UK*

⁴ *Department of Civil, Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Genoa,
Via Montallegro 1, Genoa, 16145, Italy*

The retina is composed of two main layers; the neuroretina and the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and they are separated by a potential gap termed the sub-retinal space (SRS). Accumulation of fluid in the SRS will result in a retinal detachment. A key function of the RPE is to prevent fluid accumulation in the SRS by actively pumping fluid from this space to the choroid.

We have developed a mathematical model of this process that incorporates the transport of seven chemical species: Na^+ , K^+ , Cl^- , HCO_3^- , H^+ , CO_2 and H_2CO_3 . This allows us to estimate solute and water fluxes and to understand the role of the different membrane ion channels.

We have performed a global sensitivity analysis, using the extended Fourier Amplitude Sensitivity Test (eFAST) to investigate the relative importance of parameters in generating the model outputs.

The model predicts that flow across the RPE is driven by an osmotic gradient in the cleft gap between adjacent cells. Moreover, the model predicts how water flux is modified in response to inhibition of membrane ion channels and carbonic anhydrase. It provides a possible explanation for how carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, which are used clinically to prevent fluid accumulation in the SRS, may be acting.

Keywords: retinal pigment epithelium, ion transport, fluid transport across epithelia

1. Introduction

The retina is composed of two main layers; the neuroretina and the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and they are separated by a potential space termed the sub-retinal space (SRS). The RPE sits on a connective tissue layer called Bruchs membrane and below which is




Figure 1. Sketch of the retinal pigment epithelium cell. Drawing by Prof. Federica Grillo (University of Genoa, Italy).

the choroid. The RPE is bounded above (the apical surface) by the SRS and below by the choroidal space, as shown in figure 1. The RPE cells themselves are coupled by tight junctions and this layer constitutes the outer blood-retinal barrier.

The photoreceptors face the apical surface of the RPE. The functions of the RPE include pumping fluid from the SRS to the choroid and transporting the carbon dioxide produced by the retina, and particularly the photoreceptors, to the choroid where it can be eliminated (Adjianto et al., 2009).

In the healthy retina, the RPE actively pumps fluid from the SRS to the choroid at a rate of about $4\text{--}10\ \mu\text{l/hr/cm}^2$ (Hughes et al., 1984; Shi et al., 2008). It is failure of this pumping that can lead to fluid accumulation in the SRS, which is associated with a number of pathological conditions, including serous retinal detachment, age related macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy (Marmor, 1990). The aim of this model is to understand how this fluid transport occurs.

The fluid transport is isotonic (i.e. without significant difference of osmolarity between the apical and basal regions) and the standard explanation is that ‘water follows the ions’, i.e. water transport occurs in the main direction of ion transport (Fischbarg, 2003). However the physical mechanisms behind this coupling is obscure.

A number of mathematical models have been developed to study isotonic fluid transport by epithelia. Diamond and Bossert (1967) first proposed what is known as the standing gradient osmotic flow (or local osmosis) model. This model postulates the presence of an osmolarity gradient that is generated in the cleft gap between two adjacent cells. This gradient is generated by the active pumping of ions and it drives fluid into and along the

cleft, resulting in the transepithelial water transport. This model has been extended and applied to study isotonic water transport in a number of epithelia (see e.g. Gin et al., 2007; Krahn and Weinstein, 1996; Weinstein and Stephenson, 1979, 1981).

Electro-osmosis has been proposed as an alternative mechanism. It occurs as a result of the interaction of an electric field with non-zero spatial charge density that forms in a thin region close to the cell membrane. We (Dvoriashyna et al., 2018) have developed a previous mathematical model that couples water and ion flow across the RPE that incorporates both local and electro-osmotic effects, allowing the investigation of the relative importance of these two possible mechanisms for fluid transport. This model looked at the presence of three different species: sodium (Na^+), potassium (K^+) and chloride (Cl^-). The model predicted that local osmosis, driven by the osmolarity gradient in the cleft gap, is comparable to the experimentally measured values of the flow and extensively dominates electroosmosis.

However, that model had a number of limitations and the objective of this work is to extend Dvoriashyna et al. (2018) to include the role of bicarbonate (HCO_3^-), carbon dioxide (CO_2), carbonic acid (H_2CO_3) and proton (H^+) along with the relevant ion channels, as they are thought to play an important role in fluid transport (Strauss, 2011), with the aim of generating a more accurate quantitative estimate of transepithelial water and ion fluxes. Adding HCO_3^- to our model is essential if one wants to describe physiological ion fluxes in detail. In our previous model, the Na^+ flux across the RPE, was imposed to be zero. The addition of these extra species now allows the sodium flux to be modelled.

The importance of CO_2 and bicarbonate in fluid transport is shown by the enhancement of fluid transport by carbonic anhydrase (CA) inhibitors (Kita and Marmor, 1992; Wolfensberger et al., 2000). The enzyme, carbonic anhydrase, catalyses the conversion between CO_2 and H_2O and carbonic acid (H_2CO_3) and it is well known that some patients with macular oedema will respond to carbonic anhydrase inhibition (Cox et al., 1988; Fishman et al., 1989). Adijanto et al. (2009) conducted in-vitro experiments on the human fetal RPE suggesting that increase of apical and basal CO_2 enhances water transport. The motivation behind developing the current model is to better understand such processes.

The main objectives of the present modelling work are thus as follows. First, we examine the coupling between water and ion transport in the context of the RPE. Second, we consider the impact of CO_2 , HCO_3^- , pH and H_2CO_3 on water transport, including alterations of the balance between the species via CA inhibition. Finally, we explore the effects of ion channel regulation on water transport.

2. Methods

(a) Model setup

We represent the RPE cell layer as a row of rectangles with length L and width H in a two dimensional space (see figure 2). The cells (denoted with superscript i for intracellular) are separated by a region of thickness $2h$, representing the cleft gap (g) and connected with tight junctions (tj). Above the cell layer there is the apical region (a), which is the SRS. The RPE sits on Bruchs membrane, and below this is the basal region (b), which is the choroid.





Figure 2. Left cell: Diagram of ion channels in the RPE cell membranes, with a sketched of the domain and coordinate system. Right cell: Typical values for species concentrations (in mM) and electrical potential (mV) under the open circuit condition. The prescribed values are in black and the values predicted by the model are in blue for the reference parameters of table 2, with identical concentration of CO_2 in the apical and basal regions.

The apical membrane is assumed to be folded, leading to an area increase of a factor of 10 (Adjianto et al., 2009), which we neglect in the geometry, but account for in the values of membrane permeability to water and uncharged solute. We introduce a Cartesian system of coordinates (x, y) , so that x spans the length of the cleft and $x = 0$ corresponds to Bruchs membrane (see figure 2).

We make the assumption that the solutions are well-mixed in the apical and basal regions. The solute concentrations in these spaces are fixed and we formulate a mathematical model for water and ion transport across the cell and the cleft gap. We consider the presence of seven species: Na^+ , K^+ , Cl^- , HCO_3^- , CO_2 , H_2CO_3 and H^+ .

CO_2 and HCO_3^- are subject to chemical rearrangement via the following two reactions:



The first reaction is the dissociation of H_2CO_3 into the ions HCO_3^- and H^+ , it is rapid and happens almost instantaneously. The second reaction is normally slow, but can be catalysed up to six orders of magnitude in speed by carbonic anhydrase (CA) (Krahn and Weinstein, 1996). There are a number of isoforms of CA. CA II is found in the cytosol of the RPE (Korte and Smith, 1993), while attached to the cell membranes and active in the extracellular membranes are CA IV or XIV (Nagelhus et al., 2005; Wolfensberger et al., 1994). In effect, the presence of CA activity can be considered to be ubiquitous. The rates of this reaction are reported in table 2.

Although, the second step of reaction (2.1) involves the production and consumption of water, the resulting changes are insignificant compared to the total water present. Accordingly the amount of water is considered to be fixed.

The concentrations of anions and cations do not balance in the cell; there is an excess of

cations. This deficiency of measured anions is called the anion gap and this is due to some species not included in the model, in particular both anions (such as lactate and phosphate) and non-diffusible proteins which carry a net negative charge.

We model the anion gap by assuming the presence of a combination of two non-diffusible species. The first one, a buffer termed B^- , will react with H^+ within the physiological range and the reaction can be modelled as follows



where HB is a protonated buffer, a product of the reaction (2.2), and it is not charged. The total buffer concentration is fixed and conserved, and we denote it with $C_B := B + HB$, where B and HB denote concentrations of B^- and HB respectively. We note that this representation of cytosolic buffering is highly simplified, representing a large number of different reactions.

The second group consists of non-diffusible molecules that are strong acids and do not react with H^+ in the physiological pH range. We denote their concentration with X and the corresponding fixed negative charge with $\tilde{X} := z_X X$, where z_X is the mean valence of these molecules. Therefore, the total concentration of fixed negative charge in the cell is $\tilde{X} + B$ and the total concentration of non-diffusible molecules is $X + C_B$.

The pumps, co-transporters and ion channels that we incorporate in the model are adapted from Reichhart and Strauß (2014) and Adjianto et al. (2009), see Figure 2. A peculiar feature of the RPE cell is that the Na^+/K^+ ATPase is located in the apical membrane. It pumps three Na^+ out of the cell and two K^+ in (denoted in the following with subscript *ATP*). The apical membrane also has the NKCC co-transporter that transports Na^+ , K^+ and two Cl^- (*NKCC*), the K^+ channels, the electrogenic channel NBC1 that co-transport two HCO_3^- and one Na^+ (*aNBC_{1:2}*) and the Na^+/H^+ exchanger (*NHE*) (Lin et al., 1992; Lin and Miller, 1991). Following Adjianto et al. (2009), we also account for the possible presence of the electroneutral $Na^+-HCO_3^-$ co-transporter (*aNBC_{1:1}*), where the *a* stands for apical.

The basolateral membrane has K^+ channels, Cl^- channels, the HCO_3^-/Cl^- exchanger AE2 (*AE*) and the NBC channel that co-transport Na^+ and HCO_3^- . The stoichiometry of the NBC channel is not clear, with estimates varying from 1:2 ($Na^+:2HCO_3^-$) or 1:3 (Adjianto et al., 2009). We have modelled this NBC channel as a combination of channels with stoichiometry 1:2 (*bNBC_{1:2}*) and 1:3 (*bNBC_{1:3}*) in the basolateral membrane.

The tight junction is considered to be impermeable to anions (Adjianto et al., 2009).

We assume that both cell membranes are permeable to CO_2 and H_2CO_3 , since these molecules are not polarised and can pass through the lipid bilayer. Water is transported across the membranes by aquaporins and we have attributed a given hydraulic conductivity to each membrane.

Throughout this paper, we adopt the notation presented in table 1 and the values reported in table 2 as baseline. Unless stated otherwise, we use superscripts to label regions and subscripts to label species, which we number in the following way:

Na^+	K^+	Cl^-	HCO_3^-	H^+	CO_2	H_2CO_3
0	1	2	3	4	5	6

a, b, g, i	values of s labelling apical, basal, cleft gap and intracellular regions, respectively
$n_k^s, *(i, g)$	concentration of species k in region s (mM) such that $n_0^s = [\text{Na}^+]^s$, $n_1^s = [\text{K}^+]^s$, $n_2^s = [\text{Cl}^-]^s$, $n_3^s = [\text{HCO}_3^-]^s$, $n_4^s = [\text{H}^+]^s$, $n_5^s = [\text{CO}_2]^s$ and $n_6^s = [\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3]^s$
$X, *(i)$	concentration of non-diffusible anions in the cell (mM)
$B, *(i)$ and $HB, **$	concentration of cytosolic and protonated buffer (mM)
z_k	valence and charge of species k
$f_k^s, **$	kinetic description of reactions of species k in region s
$\phi^s, *(i, g, a)$	electrical potential in region s (V)
$\mathbf{j}_k^s, **$	flux of species k per unit area in region s (mol/m ² /s)
$J_k^{sm}, **$	membrane flux of solute k per unit area from region s to region m (mol/m ² /s)
$Q^{sm}, **$	water flux per unit area from region s to region m (m ² /s)
$\mathbf{u}, **$	fluid velocity in the cleft gap (m/s)
$p, *(g)$	fluid pressure in the cleft gap (Pa).

Table 1. List of notations and variables used in the model. Superscripts s and m denote generic regions from the set $\{a, b, i, g\}$. We highlight with a ‘*’ the unknowns computed by the model in the regions reported in parenthesis. With ‘**’ we denote the variables that are functions of the unknowns.

We first present a spatially resolved model of solute transport with reactions. We then simplify the model using asymptotic expansions in terms of the aspect ratio of the cleft, $\delta = h/L$, and exploiting the fact that different time scales characterise reaction and diffusion processes. We then model fluid transport in the cleft. This model does not include electroosmosis in the cleft, as it has been demonstrated to be subdominant (Dvoriashyna et al., 2018), and instead focuses on water transport driven by osmosis. Finally, we impose water balance in the cell and describe the solution procedure.

(b) Model of solute transport and water

Each ion $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$ is conserved in region $s \in \{i, g\}$

$$\frac{\partial n_k^s}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_k^s + f_k^s, \quad (2.3a)$$

where $\nabla = (\partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y)$, and is transported by electrodiffusion and advection (Probstein, 2005)

$$\mathbf{j}_k^s = -D_k \left(\nabla n_k^s + \frac{z_k F}{RT} n_k^s \nabla \phi^s \right) + \mathbf{u} n_k^s. \quad (2.3b)$$

The anion gap is modelled to ensure electroneutrality,

$$\sum_{k=0}^4 z_k n_k^s = X^s, \quad s \in \{i, g\}, \quad (2.3c)$$

where $X^i = z_X X + B$ and $X^g = 0$.

In the cell we have an additional equation for the negatively charged cytosolic buffer (which we assume cannot diffuse)

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = f_B^i. \quad (2.3d)$$

Parameter	Value	Source
Geometry		
Length of the cell, L	10 μm	Marmor (1998)
Height of the cell, H	10 μm	Marmor (1998)
Width of the cleft, $2h$	20 nm	
Thermodynamics		
Ideal gas constant, R	8.314 J/K/mol	
Temperature, T	310 K	
Faraday constant, F	96485 C/mol	
Membrane transport		
Factor of apical membrane folding, A_a	10	Adijanto et al. (2009)
Average valence of fixed negative charge in the cell, z_X	1.5 eq/mol	
Total concentration of intracellular buffer, C_B	60 mM	
Representative diffusion coefficient, D	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$	
Representative species concentration, N	100 mM	
Pump, co-transporter and antiporter coefficients, mol/s/m ²		
P_{ATP} $1.77 \cdot 10^{-6}$	P_{NKCC} $1.78 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$P_{aNBC_{1:2}}$ $5.62 \cdot 10^{-7}$
	$P_{aNBC_{1:1}}$ 10^{-7}	P_{NHE} $3.16 \cdot 10^{-7}$
	P_{AE} $5.62 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$P_{bNBC_{1:2}}$ $5.62 \cdot 10^{-7}$
		$P_{bNBC_{1:3}}$ 10^{-7}
valence z_k , diffusion coefficient D_k , permeability of membrane s , P_k^s of species k		
molecule	Na ⁺	K ⁺
	Cl [−]	HCO ₃ [−]
	H ⁺	CO ₂
	H ₂ CO ₃	
k	0	1
z_k	+1	+1
D_k , 10 ^{−9} m ² /s	1.7	1.7
P_k^a , m/s	0	$2.23 \cdot 10^{-7}$
P_k^b , m/s	0	$3.16 \cdot 10^{-8}$
P_k^{tj} , m/s	10^{-5}	10^{-5}
n_k^s , $s = a, b$, mM	143.7	5
	122.5	26.2
	pH=7.45	1.7
		0.005
Reaction rates		
Hydration of CO ₂ (catalysed), k_h	$1.45 \cdot 10^3 \text{ 1/s}$	Weinstein (2005)
Dehydration of CO ₂ (catalysed), k_d	$4.96 \cdot 10^5 \text{ 1/s}$	
Equilibrium constant of H ₂ CO ₃ dissociation, $K_d = k_1/k_{-1}$	5.3 1/mM	Adijanto et al. (2009)
Equilibrium constant of buffering reaction, $K_b = k_{-3}/k_3$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mM}$	
Fluid dynamics		
Hydrodynamic permeability of the membrane, k	$1.28 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ m/s/Pa}$	Mathias and Wang (2005)
Hydrodynamic permeability of the tight junction, k^{tj}	$1.28 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m/s/Pa}$	
Dynamic viscosity, μ	$0.75 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ Pa/s}$	water at 35°C

Table 2. Model reference parameters; please refer to the text for further details on their motivation.

The detailed choice of the parameters is described in the supplementary material.

The concentration of HB can be found from the relation $HB = C_B - B$. The f_k^s are the reaction terms, modelled via the law of mass action (Keener and Sneyd, 2009) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_3^s &= k_{-1}n_6^s - k_1n_3^sn_4^s, & f_B^i &= k_{-3}HB - k_3n_4^iB, & f_4^s &= f_3^s + f_B^s, \\
 f_5^s &= -k_hn_5^s + k_dn_6^s, & f_6^s &= -f_5^s - f_3^s, & s &\in \{i, g\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

with all other f_k^s equal to zero.

We start simplifying our equations by comparing the timescales for reaction and diffusion

processes. The time scale for diffusion in the cell is about $L^2/D \approx 0.06$ s, where $D = 1.7 \cdot 10^{-9}$ m²/s (K^+ in water, Miller et al., 1978) is a representative diffusion coefficient.

The time required for the first step of the reaction (2.1) and for the buffer reaction (2.2) is about 10^{-7} s. It thus makes sense to assume that the first step of the reaction (2.1) and the reaction (2.3d) are both effectively in equilibrium. This leads to the following relations:

$$n_6^s = K_d n_3^s n_4^s, \quad K_d = \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}}, \quad s \in \{i, g\}, \quad (2.5a)$$

$$B = \frac{K_b C_B}{K_b + n_4^i}, \quad K_b = \frac{k_{-3}}{k_3}. \quad (2.5b)$$

We also note that the time scale for lateral diffusion in the cleft is much faster than in the cell, due to its small width. Nevertheless, the relation (2.5a) still holds as we prove in the supplementary material.

In the second step of the reaction (2.1), catalysed hydration of CO_2 takes about $\approx 6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ s and dehydration $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ s. These reaction rates are up to 10^6 times slower in the absence of carbonic anhydrase. As we are interested to study the effect of CA inhibition, we do not assume that this step of the reaction (2.1) is in equilibrium (Weinstein, 2005).

We obtain an equation for total CO_2 conservation by considering (2.5a) and adding equations (2.3a) for $k = 3, 5, 6$ (bicarbonate, carbon dioxide and carbonic acid respectively) resulting in:

$$\frac{\partial n_3^s}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_5^s}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_6^s}{\partial t} = -(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_3^s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_5^s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_6^s), \quad s \in \{i, g\}. \quad (2.6)$$

We can further manipulate equations (2.3a) by subtracting equation (2.3a) for $k = 4$ from equation (2.3a) for $k = 3$ and adding (2.3d), to obtain the following relationship for conservation of charge

$$\frac{\partial n_3^s}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial n_4^s}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_3^s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_4^s, \quad s \in \{i, g\}. \quad (2.7)$$

We will be using equations (2.6) and (2.7) along with (2.5a) and (2.5b) instead of equations (2.3a) for $k = 3, 4, 6$ (HCO_3^- , H^+ and H_2CO_3 respectively) and (2.3d).

We do not explore transients (for example the effects of transitioning from light to dark) and only focus on the steady state behaviour of the system. Therefore, we have dropped all time derivatives.

(i) Boundary conditions

We prescribe concentrations of solute in apical and basal regions n_k^s , $k \in \{0, \dots, 6\}$, $s \in \{a, b\}$, as in table 2.

We impose at the membrane separating the generic regions s and m (either a cell membrane or a tight junction), the condition that the flux on the s side of the membrane of species k to be equal to the function J_k^{sm} .

$$\mathbf{n}^{sm} \cdot \mathbf{j}_k^s = J_k^{sm}, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, 6\}, \quad (2.8)$$




Figure 3. Sketch of the imposed boundary conditions.

This describes both the passive and active flows of species k across this membrane and the choice of the function is described in § (ii). Here, $\mathbf{n}^{sm}$ is the unit normal pointing from region s to region m .

Without loss of generality, we impose the potential in the basal region (at the level of Bruchs membrane) to be zero. We prescribe Dirichlet conditions for all variables at the bottom of the cleft gap, $x = 0$ (which is also at the level of Bruchs membrane), so that

$$n_k^g = n_k^b \quad \phi^g = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, 6\}. \quad (2.9a)$$

We also have symmetry conditions, at $y = 0$ and $y = H/2 + h$, which read

$$\partial \phi^s / \partial y = \partial n_k^s / \partial y = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, 6\}, \quad s \in \{i, g\}. \quad (2.9b)$$

Finally, we impose zero current at the apical region to simulate the open circuit condition and to be able to compute the potential in the apical region (ϕ^a)

$$\int_h^{H/2+h} \sum_{k=0}^4 z_k \mathbf{j}_k^i \cdot \mathbf{n}^{ia} dy + \int_0^h \sum_{k=0}^4 z_k \mathbf{j}_k^g \cdot \mathbf{n}^{ga} dy = 0, \quad \text{at } x = L. \quad (2.9c)$$

A schematic representation of boundary conditions is shown in figure 3. The problem in the cell and cleft is simplified to a set of ODEs along the x -axis in both cell and cleft (see the supplementary material for the derivation) with an error of approximation of order $\delta = h/L \approx 10^{-3}$. The resulting system of equations is summarised in the supplementary material § 1,2.

(ii) Ion fluxes through cell membranes and tight junctions

There are two main pathways for fluid and solutes to cross the RPE. These are the transcellular pathway (where the transported material passes through the cell) and the paracellular pathway (where the material crosses the tight junctions and then passes down the cleft). The flux through cell membranes and the tight junctions of solute k that accounts for all active and passive transport from region m to region s is in general given by the following expression

$$J_k^{ms} = \mathcal{J}_k^{ms} + \mathcal{A}_k^{ms} + \sum_{l=0}^6 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^{ms}} s_{lk}^\alpha P_\alpha \Delta \bar{\mu}_l^{ms}. \quad (2.10)$$

We note that $J_k^{ms} = -J_k^{sm}$. The first term in (2.10) accounts for passive flux of solute through the membrane. In case of ions, the passive flux through ion channels is modelled with the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz expression (Keener and Sneyd, 2009),

$$\mathcal{J}_k^{ms} = P_k^s V_k^{ms} \frac{n_k^m - n_k^s \exp(-V_k^{ms})}{1 - \exp(-V_k^{ms})}, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, 4\}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $V_k^{ms} = \frac{z_k F}{RT} (\phi^m - \phi^s)$ and P_k^s is the permeability of membrane s to ion k . We refer the reader to tables 1 and 2 for the meaning of other symbols and values of the constants in this expression. We model the flux of CO_2 and H_2CO_3 , across the cell membranes with the following expressions

$$\mathcal{J}_k^{ms} = P_k^s (n_k^m - n_k^s), \quad k \in \{5, 6\}. \quad (2.12)$$

The second term in (2.10), $\mathcal{A}_k^{ms}$, accounts for the active transport, that in the case of RPE is due to the presence of Na^+/K^+ ATPase in the apical membrane. We take the flux through this channel to be represented by the following expression (Strieter et al., 1990)

$$\mathcal{J}_{ATP} = P_{ATP} \left(\frac{n_0^i}{K_{Na} + n_0^i} \right)^3 \left(\frac{n_1^a}{K_K + n_1^a} \right)^2, \quad (2.13)$$

where P_{ATP} is the parameter that determines maximum pump capacity, $K_{Na} = 0.2 (1 + n_1^i / (8.33 \text{ mM})) \text{ mM}$ and $K_K = 0.1 (1 + n_0^a / (18.5 \text{ mM})) \text{ mM}$ are apparent Na^+ and K^+ dissociation constants. Therefore, the terms representing active transport for Na^+ and K^+ are $\mathcal{A}_0^{ia} = 3 \mathcal{J}_{ATP}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1^{ia} = -2 \mathcal{J}_{ATP}$, with the other $\mathcal{A}_k^{ms}$ equal to zero.

Finally, the last term in (2.10) represents fluxes through co-transporters and exchangers (excluding ATP), which are modelled using a linear non-equilibrium thermodynamics approach (similar to Krahn and Weinstein, 1996). The set of such channels in the apical membrane is denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{ia} = \{aNBC_{1:1}, aNBC_{1:2}, NKCC, NHE\}$ and in the basolateral one by $\mathcal{C}^{ib} = \mathcal{C}^{ig} = \{AE, bNBC_{1:2}, bNBC_{1:3}\}$ (with no such channels in the tight junctions). The inner sum in (2.10) is performed for all channels in $\mathcal{C}^{ms}$ for given regions m and s . The factor s_{lk}^α is the product of the number of ions l and k in the stoichiometry of channel α , $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^{ms}$. For each channel α this approach requires only one parameter to describe flux through this channel, P_α , $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^{ms}$, with the values reported in Table 2. $\Delta \bar{\mu}_k^{sm}$ is the dimensionless chemical potential difference of ion l between regions m and s , $\Delta \bar{\mu}_l^{ms} = \ln \frac{n_l^m}{n_l^s} + V_l^{ms}$.

(c) Fluid flow

Fluid flow in the cleft is governed by Stokes equations for incompressible flow

$$-\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (2.14a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (2.14b)$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ is fluid velocity, p is pressure and μ is fluid dynamic viscosity. We use the fact the equations have a gauge freedom in the pressure to assign zero pressure in the

cell, $p^i = 0$. Following the experimental evidence that the pressure jump across the RPE is negligible (Emi, 1989), we further assume it has values of zero in the apical and basal regions, $p^a = p^b = 0$, treating these regions as zero dimensional compartments. Across cell membranes and tight junctions there are osmotic and pressure driven fluxes, given by expressions

$$Q^{ag} = k^j \left(RT \sum_{k=0}^6 (n_k^a - n_k^g(L)) + p(L) \right), \quad (2.15)$$

$$Q^{is} = k^s \left[RT \left(\sum_{k=0}^6 (n_k^i - n_k^s) + X + C_B \right) - p^s \right], \quad s \in \{a, b, g\}, \quad (2.16)$$

where $k^b = k^g = k$, $k^a = A_f k$ and $p^g = p(x)$. Therefore boundary conditions on the cell walls and tight junction of the cleft are $v(x, \pm h) = \mp Q^{ig}$ and $u(L, y) = Q^{ag}$. We also assume non-slip conditions for the longitudinal velocity at $y = \pm h$, $u(x, \pm h) = 0$. We use lubrication theory to simplify the problem to an ODE for the pressure in the cleft (see the supplementary material, § 3, for the derivation).

Finally, we impose water balance in the cell using the following relation

$$\int_0^H Q^{ia} dy + \int_0^H Q^{ib} dy + 2 \int_0^L Q^{ig} dx = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

This balance is required for the volume of the cell to remain constant and it provides the equation to determine the concentration of large negatively charged molecules in the cell, X . We note that X is related to concentration of ions in the cell through the electroneutrality condition (2.3c), providing an additional coupling between fluid and ion transport.

(d) Summary of the model

The mathematical model described above has been formulated in two dimensions. We use asymptotic expansions with respect to the small aspect ratio of the cleft $\delta = h/L$ to simplify it to a one dimensional problem in the x -direction (see supplementary material for the derivation). The model has 19 variables: concentration of all species in the cell ($s = i$) and the cleft ($s = g$) ($n_k^s(x)$, $k \in \{0, \dots, 6\}$), electrical potential ($\phi^s(x)$), concentration of negatively charged molecules in the cell (X), intracellular buffer ($B(x)$), fluid pressure ($p(x)$) and transepithelial potential (ϕ^a). These variables are found from solving numerically (see supplementary material for the details) the nonlinear system of 6 algebraic equations (2.5a), (2.5b), (2.3c) and (2.9c) along with 13 ODEs derived from equations (2.3a) for $k = 0, 1, 2$, (2.6), (2.7), (2.14a) and an integral condition (2.17). All the simplified equations along with boundary conditions, the solution procedure and methods are presented in the supplementary material § 4.

(e) Sensitivity analysis

Parameter estimation is always challenging as some of the necessary values are reported in the literature while others have a range of values reported. Our chosen set of baseline

Membrane permeability	Range, 10^8 m/s
P_1^b - permeability of basal membrane to K^+	[1, 10]
P_1^a - permeability of apical membrane to K^+	[10, 50]
P_2^b - permeability of basal membrane to Cl^-	[0.5, 3.16]
Amplitudes of ion channels	Range 10^6 mol/m ² /s
P_{ATP} - amplitude of the pump	[1, 3.16]
P_{NKCC} - amplitude of co-transporter	[0.5, 5]
$P_{aNBC_{1,2}}$ - amplitude of apical $Na^+-HCO_3^-$ co-transporter	[0.1, 3.16]
$P_{aNBC_{1,1}}$ - amplitude of apical $Na^+-HCO_3^-$ electroneutral co-transporter	[0.01, 1]
$P_{bNBC_{1,2}}$ - amplitude of basal $Na^+-HCO_3^-$ co-transporter 1:2	[0.1, 3.16]
$P_{bNBC_{1,3}}$ - amplitude of basal $Na^+-HCO_3^-$ co-transporter 1:3	[0.01, 1]
P_{AE} - amplitude of Cl^- - HCO_3^- exchanger	[0.1, 3.16]
P_{NHE} - amplitude of Na^+-H^+ exchanger	[0.01, 10]
Tight ion junction permeability	Range, 10^6 m/s
P^{tj} - permeability of tight junction to Na^+ and K^+	[1, 100]

Table 3. *Parameters for the sensitivity analysis and their allowed ranges in the sensitivity study.*

parameters are reported in table 2, and we describe how the choices were made in the supplementary material § 6.

Owing to the large number of parameters we wish to determine their relative importance on the model output, focusing on their effect on water and ion fluxes. We accordingly perform global sensitivity analysis (GSA), using a variance-based method, known as extended Fourier amplitude sensitivity test (eFAST), proposed by Saltelli et al. (1999) and adapted to biological models by Marino et al. (2008). We consider the 12 parameters listed in table 3 and assume that their logarithms are uniformly distributed within given ranges, with the purpose to span several orders of magnitude. We further note that the qualitative results of the GSA are valid and in conclusions reported below are unchanged if the parameters are instead uniformly distributed across their range.

eFAST produces two sensitivity indexes for each parameter; the first order sensitivity index S_i and the total sensitivity index S_{Ti} . S_i describes the ‘main effect’ of each parameter and is a measure of the expected reduction of variance of the model output if that parameter was kept fixed. S_{Ti} captures both this first order effect and the interaction of a given parameter with other parameters. In other words, it measures the expected variance that would be left if all but a given parameter were fixed. These indexes allow one to determine parameters which affect the model output most. A detailed meaning and definition of these indexes can be found in Saltelli et al. (1999). In § 5 of the supplementary material we report the particular choices we made for the implementation of the method.

3. Results

Photoreceptors have a different level of metabolic activity varying with exposure to light: it is much higher in the dark. This means that in dark conditions CO_2 is produced in the SRS a higher rate. We therefore focus on two physiologically relevant cases. In the first one we impose the same values of CO_2 concentration in the apical and basal regions, representing retina exposed to light. We first show the results for the baseline parameter values reported




Figure 4. (a) Left axis: Deviation of the ion concentrations along the cleft from those in the basal region for the reference parameters in table 2. The x -axis goes along the symmetry mid line ($y = 0$) of the cleft, from the basal region to the tight junction. Right axis: electrical potential along the x -axis in the cleft. (b) Osmolarity distribution along the cell ($y = H/2 + h$) and cleft ($y = 0$). The osmolarity in apical and basal regions are shown with a circle and a triangle, respectively. Inner figure: schematic representation of the direction and magnitude of water fluxes across cell membranes.

in table 2 and then we provide the results of the sensitivity analysis. In the second case we consider higher CO₂ concentration in the apical region than in the basal, representing retina in the dark.

(a) Equal CO₂ concentration in the SRS and the choroid

In the absence of concentration jumps across the RPE the concentration of all species in the cell is constant. In figure 2 (right cell) we report the values of the concentrations and the electrical potential computed by the model. The concentrations predicted for Na⁺, K⁺ and TEP (ϕ^a) compare well to experimentally measured values (Reichhart and Strauß, 2014; Strauss, 2011).

The measured values of Cl⁻ concentration vary across the literature, from 62 mM in the bovine RPE (Bialek and Miller, 1994) to about 20 mM in the toad and chick RPE (Fujii et al., 1992; Gallemore and Steinberg, 1989). The value computed by the model is within this range, but we note that it is high compared to some other mammalian cell types. Nevertheless, the sensitivity analysis shows that this value does not significantly affect the behaviour of the system (results not reported).

HCO₃⁻ concentration and pH predicted values are comparable with the ones reported in Gallemore et al. (1997). We note that, owing to the large permeability of cell membranes to CO₂ and H₂CO₃, their concentrations in the cell are predicted to be almost exactly the same as the values imposed in the apical and basal regions, i.e. $n_5^i = 1.7$ mM and $n_6^i = 0.49 \cdot 10^{-2}$ mM.

With the reference parameter set from table 2 the model predicts the following ion fluxes

	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Cl ⁻	HCO ₃ ⁻
Ion flux, mol/m ² /s	$-1.44 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$-1.69 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$-2.34 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$-7.79 \cdot 10^{-7}$

directed from the SRS to the choroid (corresponding to a negative value). Measurements of ion fluxes across the RPE are limited and incomplete, with different authors even finding




Figure 5. First and total sensitivity indices for water flux. For the large bars, arrows indicate how the magnitude of water flux is modified, up or down, by an increase in that particular parameter. The dummy parameter is introduced to verify the results: its deviation from zero can be interpreted as the uncertainty in the method, e.g. due to insufficient number of points.

fluxes in opposite directions. Our model predicts a Na^+ flux in agreement with the value of $-1.5 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ mol/m}^2/\text{s}$ measured in isolated bullfrog RPE-choroid preparation (Hughes et al., 1984). The calculated K^+ and Cl^- fluxes are comparable with those in Dvoriashyna et al. (2018) and the predicted HCO_3^- flux is smaller than the one estimated by Hughes et al. (1984), $-3.13 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ mol/m}^2/\text{s}$.

We also find that concentration gradients do form in the thin cleft gaps that separate adjacent cells, due to the presence of active ion pumping, as shown in figure 4(a). In this figure we also show the electrical potential along the cleft gap. The potential gradient is positive, opposite to the prediction of Dvoriashyna et al. (2018). We attribute this to using a different value for the tight junction cation permeability. This change in value was required in order to obtain the experimentally observed values of TEP. This implies that the value of ϕ^s on approaching the apical region is closer to TEP.

Figure 4(b) shows the osmolarity in the cell and the cleft predicted by the model. The osmolarity in the cleft is higher than in the cell and this induces a local osmotic flux. The overall effect is the generation of a net water flux across the RPE, directed from the apical to the basal region. A schematic representation of water fluxes through the cell and the cleft is shown in the inset of figure 4(b). For the reference parameters in table 2 we find a value of the water flux per unit surface across the RPE equal to $Q \approx -9.36 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m/s}$, comparable with measured values of $-[1.1, 2.8] \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ m/s}$ (Shi et al., 2008).

(i) Global sensitivity analysis

We investigate the sensitivity of water and ion fluxes to the model parameters reported in table 3 with a global sensitivity analysis (GSA) algorithm, eFAST. The first order and total sensitivity indexes of model parameters for water flux are shown in the histograms of figure 5. The height of each bar is a measure of how much the corresponding parameter affects water flux. Inspection of figure 5 shows that first and total indexes lead to qualitatively similar results, identify the same parameters as being important for modifying water flux (the same is true for ion fluxes).

For the most significant parameters we show in figure 6 scatter plots with the values of water flux, Q , taken in each numerical experiment versus the corresponding value of the




Figure 6. Scatter plots for water flux Q vs four parameters with largest sensitivity indices: (a) P_{ATP} , (b) $P_{bNBC_{1,2}}$, (c) P_{NHE} and (d) P^{ij} . Each point corresponds to a numerical experiment. Different colours correspond to different searching curves.




Figure 7. Total sensitivity index for ion fluxes. The arrows indicate the direction of ion flux change with the increase of a given parameter.

parameter. In the plots we also report in black a curve corresponding to a second order polynomial function fitted to the data with the least squares method.

In figure 7 we report total sensitivity indexes for ion fluxes. In the figure indexes corresponding to different ions are reported with different colours. The sensitivity analysis for ion fluxes highlights the great importance the Na^+ - H^+ exchanger and the tight junction permeability have on the system.




Figure 8. Scatter plots for water flux Q vs (a) Na^+ , (b) K^+ , (c) Cl^- and (d) HCO_3^- flux. Each point corresponds to a numerical experiment.

It is believed that ion and water fluxes through epithelia are tightly linked to each other and that ‘water follows the ions’. We examine this statement in figure 8, where we plot water flux versus each of the ion fluxes. Each point corresponds to a numerical experiment and we identify a general trend in each scatter plot by reporting (in black) a line fitted with the least squares method. The figure shows that a strong link exists between water flux and Na^+ and HCO_3^- fluxes. To a smaller extent, Cl^- flux is also correlated with water flux. On the other hand, a clear correlation is not found for K^+ flux. The model does confirm that there is a link between ion and water fluxes, though it is not always conclusive and the concept that water follows the ions is not a general principle.

We simulated varying the CO_2 concentration on both sides of the epithelium from 1 to 13% and determined the predicted responses of water flux, following the experiments performed by Adijanto et al. (2009). Unlike in the experimental observations, our model does not predict any significant change in water transport with variation of CO_2 (results not shown).




Figure 9. The case of apical CO_2 of 7% and basal CO_2 of 5%. (a) Left axis: Deviation of ion concentrations from their average value in the cell along x -axis, $\hat{n}_k^i = \int_0^L n_k^i dx / L$. Right axis: electrical potential along the x -axis in the cell. (b) Osmolarity distribution in the cell, cleft, apical and basal regions. Inner figure: schematic representation of the direction and magnitude of water fluxes across cell membranes.

(b) *Different CO_2 concentration in the SRS and the choroid*

CO_2 is produced by photoreceptors at high rates in dark conditions. This results in higher CO_2 concentration in the SRS than in the choroid. To model this condition, we assume that CO_2 concentration in the basal region is fixed at 5% and we increase the concentration in the apical region to 7%. This creates a jump in CO_2 concentration across the RPE, which induces spatial variability of all variables in the x -direction in the cell. This induced departure of ion concentrations from the corresponding average values $\bar{n}_k^i$ along the cell are shown in figure 9(a). While the average values are approximately the same as reported in figure 2, there is a concentration gradient, with the concentrations of Na^+ and K^+ decreasing towards the apical membrane, whereas concentrations of Cl^- and HCO_3^- increase. Moreover, figure 9(a) also shows that a potential gradient also became established across the cell in a basal-to-apical direction.

Interestingly, despite these changes in the ions and the potential concentration gradients that were generated in the cell, there was little change in the net ion fluxes. This is because the concentration and the potential gradient act in opposite directions on the ion transport. With the established CO_2 gradient, there was a transepithelial CO_2 flux is equal to $-9.05 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ mol/m}^2/\text{s}$, and directed from the apical to the basal region.

The osmolarity in the cell and a schematic representation of water fluxes across the cell membranes are shown in figure 9(b). The decrease of osmolarity towards the apical membrane reduces the magnitude of the transepithelial water flux to the value of $-0.43 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ m/s}$.

We also performed a GSA for the case with a CO_2 gradient but do not report these results since the conclusions are similar to those discussed above.

(i) *Impact of carbonic anhydrase inhibition*

In order to test the effect of carbonic anhydrase (CA) inhibition, we introduce a factor α that multiplies the reaction rates (k_d and k_h) of equation (2.1) and their reduction simulates inhibition of this reaction. The response of water flux Q to a progressive decrease of




Figure 10. Water flux as a function of the CA activity. The values of α on the x-axes are factors that multiply the reaction rates k_d and k_h of the reaction (2.1). The value $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to full catalysis (the reaction rates from table 2); as the value of α decreases the catalyser is progressively inhibited. In (a) the typical solution, with other parameter values from table 2, is considered. Different curves correspond to different values of apical CO_2 concentration: 5, 7 and 9%, while basal CO_2 is kept at 5%. In (b) we vary 9 model parameters: table 3 parameters, keeping membrane permeabilities to ions (P_1^b , P_1^a and P_2^b) fixed, while inhibiting CA with apical CO_2 at 7% and basal CO_2 at 5%. Different colours correspond to different searching curves.

this factor is shown in figure 10(a). The three curves correspond to three different CO_2 concentrations (5, 7 and 9%) imposed in the apical region (the basal CO_2 concentration is kept fixed to 5%). The figure shows that inhibition of CA leads to a significant change in water flux towards the choroid. When a CO_2 drop across the RPE is imposed, water flux towards the choroid reduces. However, water flux increases significantly if reaction 2.1 is inhibited, especially when CO_2 jump across the RPE is imposed. When CO_2 concentration is the same in the apical and basal regions the water flux reaches a maximum value for $\alpha \approx 10^{-4}$. The scatter plot in figure 10(b) shows the effect of CA inhibition on water flux Q for various model parameters. The average behaviour suggests that Q increases in magnitude with CA inhibition.

4. Discussion

Fluid accumulation in the subretinal space (SRS) remains a relatively poorly understood problem, despite its clinical relevance for the formation and development of various sight threatening pathological conditions, such as macular oedema, retinal detachment and age related macular degeneration (Gallemore et al., 1998) and so a good understanding of this process is of considerable importance. Mathematical modelling has the potential to significantly contribute in this field by developing a framework that can help clarify underlying physical mechanisms and direct and interpret further experimental work.

In the present work we develop a fully coupled, spatially resolved model of fluid and solute dynamics across the RPE and aim to study mechanisms of their interaction, extending the study of Dvoriashyna et al. (2018). We also perform a global sensitivity analysis (GSA) to help identify which processes have the largest impact on transport.

The model predicts ion concentrations in the cell and transepithelial potential (TEP) are within physiological range. We also find that the concentration gradient in the cleft drives a water flux from the SRS to the choroid of order 10^{-8} m/s, which is compatible with the experimentally measured values of $[1.1, 2.8] \cdot 10^{-8}$ m/s (Hughes et al., 1984; Shi et al., 2008). The predicted ion fluxes also have magnitudes comparable to those found experimentally (Hughes et al., 1984; La Cour, 1992; Miller and Edelman, 1990).

The sensitivity results, from GSA, suggest that the tight junction plays a key role in Na^+ transport, and large tight junction permeability to cations is required for the Na^+ flux to be directed from the SRS to the choroid. This is in agreement with the hypothesis of Gallemore et al. (1998), who argued that the passive flux through the tight junction towards the choroid should exceed the active flux of Na^+ across the cell towards the SRS and establishes a net Na^+ transport towards the choroid. Our model also predicts that water flux is roughly proportional to Na^+ flux, with a proportionality constant of $0.0026 \text{ m}^3/\text{mol}$.

The HCO_3^- flux is closely related to Na^+ transport, due to their coupling through Na^+ - HCO_3^- co-transporters in cell membranes. Hughes et al. (1984) performed experiments on isolated bullfrog RPE-choroid preparations that suggested that fluid absorption is strongly dependent on the active absorption of HCO_3^- . The results of our model agree with this, since our model predicts that water flux is roughly proportional to HCO_3^- flux, and provides a proportionality constant of $0.0016 \text{ m}^3/\text{mol}$.

The GSA suggests that Cl^- transport is directed in most cases towards the choroid. We note that the direction of this flux is obtained from a balance between basal Cl^- - HCO_3^- exchanger and basolateral permeability to Cl^- . Our model confirms the hypothesis that fluid transport is enhanced by a transepithelial Cl^- flux (e.g. Bialek and Miller, 1994), although the effect is less evident than that for Na^+ and HCO_3^- .

The GSA indicates which manipulations to perform in order to adjust the flow. In particular, the model predicts that increasing the tight junction permeability to cations will result in an increase of the transepithelial Na^+ flux, and thus of water flux towards the choroid. To a smaller extent, inhibition of basal Na^+ - HCO_3^- (1:2) co-transporter and anion exchanger also increases the rate of fluid removal from the SRS. The effect of the anion exchanger on water flux has been confirmed experimentally by Iserovich et al. (2011).

The model predicts that the water flux is also sensitive to the amplitudes of Na^+ - H^+ exchanger (NHE) and Na^+ - K^+ ATPase, inhibition of which results in a decrease of water flux towards the choroid. Therefore, we anticipate that a side effect of NHE inhibitors, such as amiloride, or reduced activity of Na^+ - K^+ ATPase, e.g. due to low metabolic activity, may result in fluid accumulation.

The model also predicts that if CO_2 is being excessively produced in the SRS, then the water flux in the direction of the choroid reduces. This is because of the presence of spatial variability in ion concentration induced by a CO_2 gradient in the cell. This in turn implies a change in osmolarity from the basal to the apical region, such that the osmolarity in the cell decreases towards the apical membrane. As a result, the osmotic water flux across the apical membrane is reduced (or even reversed), resulting in an overall reduction of water flux towards the choroid. In other words, the model suggests that CO_2 accumulation in the SRS tends to decrease water transport. The implication is that acidosis and fluid accumulation in the SRS are predicted to be linked to each other, which is experimentally testable.

CA inhibitors are used to facilitate fluid transport (Kita and Marmor, 1992; Wolfensberger et al., 2000) or to treat macular oedema (Cox et al., 1988; Fishman et al., 1989). This behaviour is captured by the model since we find that, in the case of CO_2 gradient across the RPE, inhibition of CA smooths the gradients of all species in the cell (except for CO_2), resulting in an increase of water transport towards the choroid. Thus the model provides a possible explanation for the physical mechanism behind the functioning of CA inhibitors.

Adijanto et al. (2009) studied the dependency of water flux on CO_2 concentration in the apical and basal solutions. The authors found that an increase of CO_2 concentration on both sides of the epithelium from 5 to 13% results in doubling water flux, suggesting that water transport is facilitated by CO_2 . Our model suggests that water flux is independent of CO_2 equal concentration changes on both sides of the membrane, in disagreement with Adijanto et al. (2009), which may also indicate that the model is incomplete and additional factors may contribute for large uniform increases in CO_2 . However, the high level of coupling among numerous contributions to overall transport entails such possibilities, and their potential impact, are difficult to identify and assess.

To summarise, we present a mathematical model that explains some of the features of fluid and solute transport across the retinal pigment epithelium. It couples fluid, ion and CO_2 dynamics across the epithelium and shows that fluid transport can be driven by local osmosis in the cleft. The model provides possible explanations of the mechanisms behind the functioning of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors used to treat fluid accumulation in the sub-retinal space. The results of the model, and particularly of the global sensitivity analysis, may be used in providing mechanism-based insight for targeting inhibition of other channels to treat eye pathological states associated with fluid transport across the RPE. We finally remark that the model is based on factors that are common to all absorptive or secretive epithelia and, therefore, can constitute a basic framework for the study of fluid flow across other tissues.

Authors' contribution

A.J.E.F. conceived the idea of the model. All authors contributed to formulate the mathematical model. M.D. wrote the codes and carried out the calculations. All authors edited the manuscript and gave final approval for publication.

Competing interests

None of the authors has competing interests.

Data accessibility

All data created during this research are available to reviewers and Editors via the Supplementary Material File `supplementary_material_codes.tar.gz`. If published, all data created during this research will be available via a DOI at the Oxford University Research Archive (ORA).

Funding

The work has been supported by Macular Society and the UK Fluid Networks, funded by EPSRC grant EP/NO32861/1. M.D. acknowledges the support of Italian National Group for Mathematical Physics.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Prof. F. Grillo for drawing figure 1. M.D. acknowledges the Department of Civil, Chemical and Environmental Engineering of the University of Genoa (Italy), where most of this work was undertaken.

References

- Adijanto, J., Banzon, T., Jalickee, S., Wang, N. S., and Miller, S. S. (2009). CO₂-induced ion and fluid transport in human retinal pigment epithelium. *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 133(6):603–622.
- Bialek, S. and Miller, S. S. (1994). K⁺ and Cl[−] transport mechanisms in bovine pigment epithelium that could modulate subretinal space volume and composition. *J. Physiol.*, 475(3):401–417.
- Campbell, M. and Humphries, P. (2013). The blood-retina barrier. In *Biology and Regulation of Blood-Tissue Barriers*, pages 70–84. Springer.
- Cox, S. N., Hay, E., and Bird, A. C. (1988). Treatment of chronic macular edema with acetazolamide. *Arch. Ophthalmol.*, 106(9):1190–1195.
- Diamond, J. M. and Bossert, W. H. (1967). Standing-gradient osmotic flow: A mechanism for coupling of water and solute transport in epithelia. *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 50(8):2061–2083.
- Dvoriashyna, M., Foss, A. J. E., Gaffney, E. A., Jensen, O. E., and Repetto, R. (2018). Osmotic and electroosmotic fluid transport across the retinal pigment epithelium: a mathematical model. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 456:233–248.
- Dyka, F. M., May, C. A., and Enz, R. (2005). Subunits of the epithelial sodium channel family are differentially expressed in the retina of mice with ocular hypertension. *J. Neurochem.*, 94(1):120–128.
- Emi, K., Pederson, J. E. and Toris, C. B. (1989). Hydrostatic pressure of the suprachoroidal space. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.*, 30(2):233–238.
- Fischbarg, J. (2003). On the mechanism of fluid transport across corneal endothelium and epithelia in general. *J. Exp. Zool. A Ecol. Genet. Physiol.*, 300(1):30–40.
- Fishman, G. A., Gilbert, L. D., Fiscella, R. G., Kimura, A. E., and Jampol, L. M. (1989). Acetazolamide for treatment of chronic macular edema in retinitis pigmentosa. *Arch. Ophthalmol.*, 107(10):1445–1452.

- Fujii, S., Gallemore, R., Hughes, B. A., and Steinberg, R. (1992). Direct evidence for a basolateral membrane Cl^- -conductance in toad retinal pigment epithelium. *Am. J. Physiol., Cell Physiol.*, 262(2):C374–C383.
- Gallemore, R., Hughes, B., and Miller, S. (1998). Transport mechanisms in the retinal pigment epithelium. In Marmor, M. and Wolfensberger, T., editors, *The Retinal Pigment Epithelium*, pages 103–134. Oxford University Press New York.
- Gallemore, R. P., Hughes, B. A., and Miller, S. S. (1997). Retinal pigment epithelial transport mechanisms and their contributions to the electroretinogram. *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.*, 16(4):509–566.
- Gallemore, R. P. and Steinberg, R. H. (1989). Effects of DIDS on the chick retinal pigment epithelium. I. Membrane potentials, apparent resistances, and mechanisms. *J. Neurosci.*, 9(6):1968–1976.
- Gin, E., Crampin, E. J., Brown, D. A., Shuttleworth, T. J., Yule, D. I., and Sneyd, J. (2007). A mathematical model of fluid secretion from a parotid acinar cell. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 248(1):64–80.
- Hughes, B. A., Miller, S. S., and Machen, T. E. (1984). Effects of cyclic AMP on fluid absorption and ion transport across frog retinal pigment epithelium. Measurements in the open-circuit state. *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 83(6):875–899.
- Iserovich, P., Qin, Q., and Petrukhin, K. (2011). DPOFA, a $\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-$ -exchanger antagonist, stimulates fluid absorption across basolateral surface of the retinal pigment epithelium. *BMC ophthalmology*, 11(1):33.
- Keener, J. P. and Sneyd, J. (2009). *Mathematical physiology*, volume 1. Springer.
- Kita, M. and Marmor, M. (1992). Retinal adhesive force in living rabbit, cat, and monkey eyes. Normative data and enhancement by mannitol and acetazolamide. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.*, 33(6):1879–1882.
- Korte, G. E. and Smith, J. (1993). Carbonic anhydrase type II in regenerating retinal pigment epithelium. A histochemical study in the rabbit. *Experientia*, 49(9):789–791.
- Krahn, T. A. and Weinstein, A. M. (1996). Acid/base transport in a model of the proximal tubule brush border: impact of carbonic anhydrase. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.*, 270(2):F344–F355.
- La Cour, M. (1992). Cl^- transport in frog retinal pigment epithelium. *Exp. Eye Res.*, 54(6):921–931.
- La Cour, M. (2003). The retinal pigment epithelium. *Adler's Physiology of the Eye: Clinical Applications*, 10:348–357.
- Lin, H., Kenyon, E., and Miller, S. (1992). Na^+ -dependent pH_i regulatory mechanisms in native human retinal pigment epithelium. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.*, 33(13):3528–3538.
- Lin, H. and Miller, S. S. (1991). pH_i regulation in frog retinal pigment epithelium: two apical membrane mechanisms. *Am. J. Physiol., Cell Physiol.*, 261(1):C132–C142.

- Marino, S., Hogue, I. B., Ray, C. J., and Kirschner, D. E. (2008). A methodology for performing global uncertainty and sensitivity analysis in systems biology. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 254(1):178–196.
- Marmor, M. (1990). Control of subretinal fluid: experimental and clinical studies. *Eye*, 4(2):340–344.
- Marmor, M. (1998). Structure, function, and disease of the retinal pigment epithelium. *The Retinal Pigment Epithelium*, pages 3–12.
- Mathias, R. and Wang, H. (2005). Local osmosis and isotonic transport. *J. Membr. Biol.*, 208(1):39–53.
- Miller, S. S. and Edelman, J. L. (1990). Active ion transport pathways in the bovine retinal pigment epithelium. *J. Physiol. (Lond)*, 424:283.
- Miller, S. S. and Steinberg, R. H. (1977). Active transport of ions across frog retinal pigment epithelium. *Exp. Eye. Res.*, 25(3):235–248.
- Miller, S. S., Steinberg, R. H., and Oakley II, B. (1978). The electrogenic sodium pump of the frog retinal pigment epithelium. *J. Membr. Biol.*, 44(3-4):259–279.
- Nagelhus, E. A., Mathiisen, T. M., Bateman, A. C., Haug, F.-M., Ottersen, O. P., Grubb, J. H., Waheed, A., and Sly, W. S. (2005). Carbonic anhydrase XIV is enriched in specific membrane domains of retinal pigment epithelium, Müller cells, and astrocytes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 102(22):8030–8035.
- Probstein, R. F. (2005). *Physicochemical hydrodynamics: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Reichhart, N. and Strauß, O. (2014). Ion channels and transporters of the retinal pigment epithelium. *Exp. Eye. Res.*, 126:27–37.
- Saltelli, A., Tarantola, S., and Chan, K.-S. (1999). A quantitative model-independent method for global sensitivity analysis of model output. *Technometrics*, 41(1):39–56.
- Sharma, R. K. and Ehinger, B. (2003). Development and structure of the retina. *Adler's Physiology of the Eye: Clinical Applications*, 10:319–347.
- Shi, G., Maminishkis, A., Banzon, T., Jalickee, S., Li, R., Hammer, J., and Miller, S. S. (2008). Control of chemokine gradients by the retinal pigment epithelium. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.*, 49(10):4620–4630.
- Strauss, O. (2011). The retinal pigment epithelium. Webvision.
- Strieter, J., Stephenson, J. L., Palmer, L. G., and Weinstein, A. M. (1990). Volume-activated chloride permeability can mediate cell volume regulation in a mathematical model of a tight epithelium. *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 96(2):319–344.
- Weinstein, A. and Stephenson, J. (1979). Electrolyte transport across a simple epithelium. steady-state and transient analysis. *Biophys. J.*, 27(2):165–186.

- Weinstein, A. M. (2005). A mathematical model of rat distal convoluted tubule. ii. potassium secretion along the connecting segment. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.*, 289(4):F721–F741.
- Weinstein, A. M. and Stephenson, J. L. (1981). Models of coupled salt and water transport across leaky epithelia. *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 60(1):1–20.
- Wolfensberger, T. J., Chiang, R. K., Takeuchi, A., and Marmor, M. F. (2000). Inhibition of membrane-bound carbonic anhydrase enhances subretinal fluid absorption and retinal adhesiveness. *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.*, 238(1):76–80.
- Wolfensberger, T. J., Mahieu, I., Jarvis-Evans, J., Boulton, M., Carter, N. D., Nógrádi, A., Hollande, E., and Bird, A. C. (1994). Membrane-bound carbonic anhydrase in human retinal pigment epithelium. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.*, 35(9):3401–3407.