TRANSPORT ONE-DIMENSIONAL DIFFUSIONS CONDITIONED TO CONVERGE TO A DIFFERENT LIMIT POINT
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Abstract. Let \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be a regular one-dimensional diffusion that models a biological population. If one assumes that the population goes extinct in finite time it is natural to study the \(Q\)-process associated to \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\). This is the process one gets by conditioning \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) to survive into the indefinite future.

The motivation for this paper comes from looking at populations that are modeled by diffusions which do not go extinct in finite time but which go ‘extinct asymptotically’ as \(t \to \infty\). We look at transient one-dimensional diffusions \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) with state space \(I = (\ell, \infty)\) such that \(X_t \to \ell\) as \(t \to \infty\), \(P^x\)-almost surely for all \(x \in I\). We ‘condition’ \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) to go to \(\infty\) as \(t \to \infty\) and show that the resulting diffusion is the Doob \(h\)-transform of \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) with \(h = s\) where \(s\) is the scale function of \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\). Finally, we explore what this conditioning does in two examples.

1. Introduction

Let \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be a one-dimensional diffusion with state space \(I := (0, \infty)\). If one assumes that \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) models a population, then the hitting time of 0, \(T_0 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = 0\}\), can be interpreted as the time of extinction. Throughout the paper we take \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) to be the canonical process on \(C(I)\) so that our diffusion is described by a family of probability measures \((P^x)_{x \in I}\) for which \(P^x\{X_0 = x\} = 1\).

In mathematical biology and ecology it is of interest to know what the distribution of the population looks like before extinction. Consequently, if \(P^x\{T_0 < \infty\} = 1\) for all \(x \in I\), it is relevant to study the so-called \(Q\)-process which corresponds to conditioning the process \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) to survive into the indefinite future.

Definition 1.1. For any \(s \geq 0\) and any Borel set \(B \subset C((0, s])\) consider the limit

\[ Q^x\{X \in B\} = \lim_{t \to \infty} P^x\{X \in B \mid T_0 > t\}. \]

When this limit exists, \((Q^x)_{x \in I}\) defines the law of a diffusion, called the \(Q\)-process, that never reaches 0. See [CCL+09].

Conditions for the existence and uniqueness of the \(Q\)-process have been studied in [CMSM95, CCL+09, CV14] while some examples of applications to ecology and genetics appear in [Lam08, EWY13].

One of the simplest ways of modeling a population living in one patch is assuming it is given by a geometric Brownian motion \((Y_t)_{t \geq 0}\), see [ERSS13, EHS15]. Then \((Y_t)_{t \geq 0}\) can be recovered as the solution to the following SDE

\[ dY_t = \mu Y_t dt + \sigma Y_t dW_t, \]

\[ Y_0 = y > 0. \]
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where \((W_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a standard Brownian motion; that is, there is exponential growth with a rate that varies stochastically in time. Here \(Y_t = y \exp \left(\sigma W_t + (\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) t\right)\), \(t \geq 0\). Starting from any \(y \in (0, \infty)\) the process \((Y_t)_{t \geq 0}\) does not hit 0: the population will not go extinct in finite time. The long term behavior of (1.1) is determined by the stochastic growth rate \(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\).

- If \(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} > 0\) then \(\lim_{t \to \infty} Y_t = \infty\), \(\mathbb{P}^y\)-almost surely for all \(y \in (0, \infty)\).
- If \(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} < 0\) then \(\lim_{t \to \infty} Y_t = 0\), \(\mathbb{P}^y\)-almost surely for all \(y \in (0, \infty)\).
- If \(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} = 0\) then \((Y_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is null-recurrent.

In the cases where the geometric Brownian motion \((Y_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is transient, one type of conditioning is to consider the limit as \(t \to \infty\) of the process obtained by conditioning on the event \(\{Y_t = a\}\) for some fixed \(a > 0\). It is not hard to see by direct computation using transition densities that this conditional distribution does not depend on \(\mu\), that the limit exists, the limit does not depend on \(a\), and the limit is just the (unconditional) distribution of \(Y\) with \(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} = 0\).

In general, if \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) has transition densities \(p_t(x, y)\) with respect to some reference measure \(m\), then \((X_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t}\) conditional on the event \(\{X_t = a\}\) is a time-inhomogeneous Markov process with transition densities

\[
q_{t,s}^{(t)}(x, y) := \frac{p_{t-s}(x, y)p_t(y, a)}{p_t(x, a)}.
\]

Under appropriate conditions, the limit

\[
h_u(z) := \lim_{v \to \infty} \frac{p_{v+u}(z,a)}{p_v(a,a)}
\]

exists, is strictly positive, and \(\mathbb{P}^x[h_u(X_w)] = h_{u+w}(x)\) for all \(x\) in the state space and all \(u \in \mathbb{R}_+\); see [CW05, BS02]. In such situations, the limit of the conditioned processes is the Doob \(h\)-transform process, a time-homogeneous Markov process with transition densities

\[
q_t(x, y) := h(x)^{-1}p_t(x, y)h(y).
\]

If one adds competition for resources in (1.1) then the population \((\tilde{Y}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is modeled by

\[
d\tilde{Y}_t = (\mu \tilde{Y}_t - \kappa \tilde{Y}_t^2)\,dt + \sigma \tilde{Y}_t\,dW_t,
\]

\[
\tilde{Y}_0 = \tilde{y} > 0.
\]

where \(\kappa > 0\) is the strength of intraspecific competition. One can show, see [EHS15], that almost surely the population \((\tilde{Y}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) does not go extinct in finite time and that when \(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} < 0\) one has

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \tilde{Y}_t = 0
\]

\(\mathbb{P}^\tilde{y}\)-almost surely for all \(\tilde{y} \in (0, \infty)\).

In the models defined by (1.1) and (1.2) with \(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} < 0\) the populations stay positive for all \(t \geq 0\) and go extinct asymptotically as \(t \to \infty\). As a result we felt that it is interesting to study an analogue of the \(Q\)-process, where we condition that the population does not go extinct asymptotically.

**Example 1.2.** Suppose \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a Brownian motion with negative drift \(-\mu < 0\). That is,

\[
X_t = W_t - \mu t, \ t \geq 0
\]

where \((W_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a standard Brownian motion. It is well known that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = -\infty
\]

\(\mathbb{P}^x\)-almost surely for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\). If we condition \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) on the event \(\{X_T \in (a, \infty)\}\) and then let \(T \to \infty\) and \(a \to \infty\) such that \(\frac{a}{T} \to c\) it is known that one gets a process \((Z_t)_{t \geq 0}\) that is Brownian motion with drift \(c\),

\[
Z_t = W_t + ct, \ t \geq 0.
\]

In other words, if we take Brownian motion with negative drift \(-\mu\) and condition it ‘to go to \(+\infty\’ we get a process that is Brownian motion with positive drift \(c > 0\).
We show, in Theorem 2.2, that when we have a diffusion $(\hat{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $(\ell, \infty)$ such that

$$\hat{X}_t \rightarrow \ell$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ almost surely for any starting point $x \in (\ell, \infty)$ and we suitably ‘condition’ $\hat{X}$ to go to $\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ what we get is the $h$-transform of $(\hat{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $h = s$ where $s$ is the scale function of $(\hat{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. The conditioning works as follows: we let $\zeta$ be an independent exponential with rate $\lambda$, condition $(\hat{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\{ \hat{X}_\zeta \in (a, \infty) \}$, kill the process at $\zeta$, and then let $a \rightarrow \infty$ followed by $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Our result is similar to Proposition 3.2 from [PR12].

As examples we explore what this conditioning does when applied to the models (1.1) and (1.2). We show that, as expected, the conditioning of a Brownian motion with negative drift $(W_t - \mu t)_{t \geq 0}$ gives us a Brownian motion with positive drift $(W_t + \mu t)_{t \geq 0}$. For the model (1.2) we show that the conditioning makes our process look, for large values, like

$$d\hat{Y}_t = (\mu \hat{Y}_t + \kappa \hat{Y}_t^2) dt + \sigma \hat{Y}_t dW_t$$

while for small values it behaves similar to

$$dZ_t = ((\sigma^2 - \mu)Z_t - \kappa Z_t^2) dt + \sigma Z_t dW_t.$$
\[ G f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2}(x) + b(x) \frac{df}{dx}(x) \]

with \( b, \sigma \in C(I) \) and \( \sigma^2(x) > 0 \) for all \( x \in (\ell, \infty) \) then the generator of \((Z_t)_{t \geq 0}\) acts on functions with compact support in \( C^2((\ell, \infty)) \) as

\[ G^s f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2}(x) + \left[ b(x) + \frac{\sigma^2(x) \exp \left( - \int_{\ell}^{x} \frac{b(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz \right)}{\int_{\ell}^{x} \exp \left( - \int_{\ell}^{w} \frac{b(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz \right) dy} \right] \frac{df}{dx}(x), \]

where \( w \in I \) is an arbitrary reference point.

**Remark 2.3.** We note that we get the same result in Theorem 2.2 if we take limits in the other order, namely if we let \( \lambda \downarrow 0 \) followed by \( a \to \infty \).

**Proof.** Suppose first that \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a one-dimensional diffusion in natural scale. By Theorem V.50.7 from [RW00], the Green function (or the density of the resolvent of \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) against the speed measure) is given by

\[ r_\lambda(x,y) = \begin{cases} c_\lambda \psi^\lambda_+ (x) \psi^\lambda_- (y) & \text{if } x \leq y \\ c_\lambda \psi^\lambda_- (x) \psi^\lambda_+ (y) & \text{if } y \leq x, \end{cases} \]

for a constant \( c_\lambda \) and certain functions \( \psi^\lambda_+ \) and \( \psi^\lambda_- \). Suppose that \( A = (a, \infty) \) for some \( a \) and that \( \zeta \) is an independent exponential random variable with rate \( \lambda > 0 \). Using the technical result, Corollary 2.12 from Appendix B, we know that \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) killed at \( \zeta \) and conditioned on \( \{X_{\zeta-} \in A\} \) is a diffusion with semigroup \((\bar{P}_t)_{t \geq 0}\)

\[ \bar{P}_t g(x) = \frac{1}{P^{\bar{P}} \{X_{\zeta-} \in A\}} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ g(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta > t\}} \mathbb{E}^{X_t} \{X_{\zeta-} \in A\} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{\infty} r_\lambda(x,y) m(dy)} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ g(X_t) \int_{a}^{\infty} r_\lambda(X_t,y) m(dy) \right]. \]

Assume that \( x < a \). Then, using (2.3) and (2.2) we have

\[ \bar{P}_t g(x) = \frac{1}{c_\lambda \psi^\lambda_+ (x) \int_{a}^{\infty} \psi^\lambda_- (y) m(dy)} \times \]

\[ \times \mathbb{E}^x \left[ g(X_t) \left( \int_{a}^{\infty} r_\lambda(X_t,y) m(dy) + c_\lambda \psi^\lambda_+ (X_t) \int_{a}^{\infty} \psi^\lambda_- (y) m(dy) \right) \right]. \]

Then

\[ \lim_{a \to \infty} \bar{P}_t g(x) = \frac{1}{\psi^\lambda_+ (x)} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ g(X_t) \psi^\lambda_+ (X_t) \right] \]

which yields

\[ \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \lim_{a \to \infty} \bar{P}_t g(x) = \frac{1}{\psi^\lambda_+ (x)} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ g(X_t) \psi^\lambda_+ (X_t) \right]. \]

We know that

\[ \frac{\psi^\lambda_+ (y)}{\psi^\lambda_0 (x)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}^y \{ T_z < \infty \} / \mathbb{P}^y \{ T_z < \infty \}}{\mathbb{P}^w \{ T_z < \infty \} / \mathbb{P}^w \{ T_z < \infty \}} \]

where \( T_z \) is the hitting time of \( z \). We have assumed that we are working in natural scale, but we see that this last quantity does not depend on that assumption. By assumption we have a process on the interval \((\ell, \infty)\) such that the endpoints are inaccessible and \( X_t \to \ell \) as \( t \to \infty \). Then, by the definition of the scale function \( s \),

\[ \mathbb{P}^y \{ T_z < \infty \} = \lim_{u \uparrow \ell} \frac{s(y) - s(u)}{s(z) - s(u)}. \]
with similar formulas for the other hitting probabilities. So, for the original process,

\[ \frac{\mathbb{P}^y \{ T_z < \infty \}}{\mathbb{P}^w \{ T_z < \infty \}} / \frac{\mathbb{P}^x \{ T_z < \infty \}}{\mathbb{P}^w \{ T_z < \infty \}} = \lim_{u \uparrow \ell} \frac{s(y) - s(u)}{s(x) - s(u)}. \]  

The assumption that \( (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \) wanders off to the left boundary point \( \ell \) implies that \( \lim_{u \uparrow \ell} s(u) \neq -\infty \) and so we can assume that the scale function is chosen so that this limit is 0. Equation (2.6) becomes

\[ \frac{\mathbb{P}^y \{ T_z < \infty \}}{\mathbb{P}^w \{ T_z < \infty \}} / \frac{\mathbb{P}^x \{ T_z < \infty \}}{\mathbb{P}^w \{ T_z < \infty \}} = \frac{s(y)}{s(x)}. \]

Our limit semigroup is therefore

\[ Q_t g(x) = \frac{1}{\psi_0^+(x)} \mathbb{E}^x [g(X_t) \psi_0^+(X_t)] = \frac{1}{s(x)} \mathbb{E}^x [g(X_t)s(X_t)]. \]

Note that this is just the \( h \)-transform with \( h := s \) of the semigroup of \( (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \). If \( (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \) has generator \( \mathcal{G} \) acting on functions with compact support in \( C^2((\ell, \infty)) \) as

\[ \mathcal{G} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2}(x) + b(x) \frac{df}{dx}(x) \]

then the scale function will be

\[ s(x) = \int_\ell^x \exp \left( - \int_w^y \frac{b(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} \, dz \right) \, dy, \]

while the speed measure will have density

\[ m'(x) = 2\sigma^{-2}(x) \exp \left( - \int_w^x \frac{b(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} \, dz \right) \]

where \( w \) is an arbitrary reference point. Note that

\[ \mathcal{G} s(x) = 0 \]

for all \( x \in (\ell, \infty) \).

According to Theorem 2.13 from Appendix B we see that the generator associated with the semigroup \( (Q_t)_{t \geq 0} \) acts on functions with compact support in \( C^2((\ell, \infty)) \) as

\[ \mathcal{G}^s f(x) = \frac{1}{s^2(y) m'(y)} \left( \frac{s^2(y)}{s'(y)} f'(y) \right)' = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} + \left( b(x) + \sigma^2(x) \frac{s'(x)}{s(x)} \right) \frac{df}{dx} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} + \left[ b(x) + \frac{\sigma^2(x)}{\int_\ell^x \exp \left( - \int_w^y \frac{b(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} \, dz \right) \, dy} \right] \frac{df}{dx}. \]

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.4.** If the diffusion \( (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \) is a strictly positive local martingale then one can see that on \( I = (0, \infty) \) the following conditions hold

- The boundary points 0, \( \infty \) are inaccessible.
- \( \lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = 0, \mathbb{P}^x \) - almost surely for all \( x \in I \).

The last conditions holds because of the following argument:

A strictly positive continuous local martingale \( X \) is a supermartingale since \( X \) is bounded below by 0. Also, if \( X^- := \max(-X_t, 0) \) then \( X^- \equiv 0 \) almost surely so

\[ \sup_{t > 0} \mathbb{E}^x[X^-_t] = 0 < \infty \]
By Doob's first martingale convergence theorem we get that
\begin{equation}
\mathbb{P}^x \{ X_\infty := \lim_{t \to \infty} X_t \text{ exists and } 0 \leq X_\infty < \infty \} = 1
\end{equation}
for all $x \in I$. Then since $\mathbb{P}^x (T_a \wedge T_b < \infty) = 1$ for any $a \leq x \leq b$ we see that
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} X_t \leq a
\]
or
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} X_t \geq b.
\]
This fact combined with (2.8) yields that
\[
\mathbb{P}^x \{ X_\infty = 0 \} = 1
\]
for all $x \in I$.

Note that we can get diffusions that are strictly positive martingales using the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** Suppose $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the solution to the SDE
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
dY_t &= \sigma(Y_t) Y_t dW_t, \\
Y_0 &= y > 0.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
where $\sigma(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $\sigma^{-2}$ is locally integrable on $(0, \infty)$. Then, solutions $Y$ to (2.9) do not hit zero almost surely for any starting point $y \in (0, \infty)$ if and only if
\[
\int_0^K x^{-1} \sigma^{-2}(x) \, dx = \infty
\]
for some $K > 0$.

**Remark 2.6.** Suppose the functions $\sigma, \mu \in C(\ell, r)$ satisfy
- $\sigma(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (\ell, r)$.
- $\frac{b(\cdot)}{\sigma^2(\cdot)}$ are locally integrable on $(\ell, r)$.

The stochastic differential equation
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
dY_t &= \sigma(Y_t) dW_t + \mu(Y_t) dt \\
Y_0 &= y \in (\ell, r)
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
has a solution for each $y \in (\ell, r)$ that does not explode and is unique in law. Then $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a regular diffusion with scale function density and speed measure density given by
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
m'(x) &= \frac{2}{\sigma^2(x)} \exp \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{2}{\sigma^2(y)} \mu(y) \, dy \right), \\
s'(x) &= \exp \left( - \int_x^\infty \frac{2}{\sigma^2(y)} \mu(y) \, dy \right).
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

By Remark 2.6 and Theorem 2.2, we have the following Corollary.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution to the one dimensional stochastic differential equation
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
dX_t &= \sigma(X_t) dW_t + b(X_t) dt \\
X_0 &= x \in I
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
on $I = (\ell, \infty)$ with $\ell, \infty$ inaccessible boundary points and such that
\[
\mathbb{P}^x \left\{ \lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = \ell \right\} = 1
\]
for all $x \in (\ell, \infty)$. Assume that
- $\sigma^2(x) > 0$ for all $x \in I$.
- $\frac{b(\cdot)}{\sigma^2(\cdot)}$ are locally integrable on $I$. 
• \( \zeta \) is an independent exponential with rate \( \lambda \).

If we condition \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) on \(\{X_{\zeta^{-}} \in (a, \infty)\}\) for \(a \in (\ell, \infty)\), kill the process at \(\zeta\), and let \(a \to \infty\) followed by \(\lambda \downarrow 0\) we get a diffusion \((\hat{Z}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) that can be represented as the solution to the SDE

\[
d\hat{Z}_t = \left[ b(\hat{Z}_t) + \frac{\sigma^2(\hat{Z}_t)}{\int_{\ell}^{\hat{Z}_t} \exp \left( - \int_{w}^{\hat{Z}_t} \frac{b(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} \, dz \right) \right] \, d\hat{Z}_t + \sigma(\hat{Z}_t) \, dW_t.
\]

2.1. Examples.

2.1.1. Brownian motion with negative drift. We do this type of construction with Brownian motion that has a negative drift \(-\mu < 0\)

\[
dx_t = -\mu \, dt + dW_t, t \geq 0.
\]

Note that in this case \(\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = -\infty\) \(\mathbb{P}^\circ\)-almost surely for all \(x \in (-\infty, \infty)\) and \(\ell = -\infty\). Since \(b(x) = -\mu\) and \(\sigma(x) = 1\) the scale function will be given by

\[
s(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \exp \left( - \int_{w}^{y} \frac{b(z)}{2 \sigma^2(z)} \, dz \right) \, dy = \frac{1}{2\mu} e^{2\mu(y-w)}.
\]

As a result

\[
\frac{s'(x)}{s(x)} = 2\mu
\]

and the drift \(\hat{b}\) of the conditioned limiting process \((\hat{Z}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is

\[
\hat{b}(x) = \left[ b(x) + \frac{\sigma^2(x)}{\int_{\ell}^{x} \exp \left( - \int_{w}^{x} \frac{b(z)}{2 \sigma^2(z)} \, dz \right) \, dy} \right] \,
\]

\[
= (-\mu + 2\mu)
\]

\[
= \mu.
\]

The limiting process \((\hat{Z}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a Brownian motion with positive drift \(\mu\)

\[
d\hat{Z}_t = \mu \, dt + dW_t.
\]

2.1.2. An example from population dynamics. Next, let us look what happens to the diffusion

\[
dx_t = X_t (\mu - \kappa X_t) \, dt + \sigma X_t \, dW_t.
\]

This SDE models the total population abundance of a species living in one patch. The intuitive meaning of the coefficients is the following

• \(\mu\) is the intrinsic growth rate of the population in the absence of stochasticity,

• \(\kappa\) is the strength of intraspecific competition,

• \(\sigma^2\) is the infinitesimal variance parameter of the stochastic growth rate.

We consider the case when \(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} < 0\). When this condition is satisfied one knows that the SDE has a strong solution which does not explode, satisfies \(X_t > 0\) for all \(t \geq 0\) and goes asymptotically to zero

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = 0
\]

\(\mathbb{P}^\circ\)-almost surely for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}_+\). See for example [EHS15]. Using the construction above with \(\ell = 0\), \(b(z) = \mu z - \kappa z^2\) and \(\sigma(z) = \sigma z\) we get that the scale function is

\[
s(x) = \int_{0}^{x} \exp \left( - \int_{w}^{y} \frac{b(z)}{2 \sigma^2(z)} \, dz \right) \, dy
\]

\[
= \int_{0}^{x} \left( \frac{y}{w} \right)^{-\frac{2\kappa}{\sigma^2}} \exp \left( \frac{2\kappa}{\sigma^2}(y-w) \right) \, dy.
\]
Thus as $x \to \infty$ we can write

$$s'(x) = \frac{x^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2b}{\sigma^{2}} x \right)}{\int_{0}^{x} y^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2b}{\sigma^{2}} y \right) dy}.$$ 

As a result the drift of the conditioned diffusion $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is

$$\tilde{b}(x) = \left[ b(x) + \frac{\sigma^{2}(x) \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{x} \frac{2 b(z)}{\sigma^{2}(z)} dz \right)}{\int_{0}^{x} \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{y} \frac{2 b(z)}{\sigma^{2}(z)} dz \right) dy} \right]$$

$$= \mu x - \kappa x^2 + \sigma^2 x^2 \frac{x^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2b}{\sigma^{2}} x \right)}{\int_{0}^{x} y^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2b}{\sigma^{2}} y \right) dy}$$

Let us study the asymptotics as $x \to \infty$ of $\tilde{b}(x)$. First look at

$$\int_{0}^{x} y^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2\kappa}{\sigma^{2}} (y - x) \right) dy.$$ 

Do the change of variables

$$z = \frac{2\kappa}{\sigma^{2}} (y - x).$$

As a result

$$y = x - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2\kappa} z$$

and

$$dy = -\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2\kappa} dz$$

so we can write

$$\int_{0}^{x} y^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2\kappa}{\sigma^{2}} (y - x) \right) dy = \int_{0}^{\frac{2\kappa x}{\sigma^{2}}} \left( x - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2\kappa} z \right)^{2} \exp(-z) \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2\kappa} dz.$$ 

Thus

$$\frac{\sigma^{2} x^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2b}{\sigma^{2}} x \right)}{\int_{0}^{x} y^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2b}{\sigma^{2}} y \right) dy} \approx \frac{2\kappa x^{2}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z} dz} = 2\kappa x^{2}$$

as $x \to \infty$ which shows that

$$\tilde{b}(x) \approx \mu x - \kappa x^2 + 2\kappa x^2 = \mu x + \kappa x^2$$

as $x \to \infty$.

This implies that the limiting diffusion $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ looks, for large values of $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$, like

$$d\tilde{Y}_t = (\mu \tilde{Y}_t + \kappa \tilde{Y}_t^2) dt + \sigma \tilde{Y}_t dW_t.$$ 

**Remark 2.8.** One can show that the process $(\tilde{Y}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ explodes in finite time.

Let us next study the asymptotics as $x \to 0$ of $\tilde{b}(x)$.

$$\tilde{b}(x) = \mu x - \kappa x^2 + \frac{\sigma^{2} x^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2b}{\sigma^{2}} x \right)}{\int_{0}^{x} y^{2} \exp \left( \frac{2b}{\sigma^{2}} y \right) dy}.$$
\[
\mu x - \kappa x^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 x - 2\mu + \sigma^2}{\int_0^x y \, dy} \\
= \mu x - \kappa x^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 x - 2\mu + \sigma^2}{x - 2\mu + 1} \\
= \mu x - \kappa x^2 + x(-2\mu + \sigma^2)
\]

The conditioned diffusion \((Z_t)_{t \geq 0}\) looks, for small values of \((Z_t)_{t \geq 0}\), like

\[d\hat{Z}_t = ((\sigma^2 - \mu)\hat{Z}_t - \kappa\hat{Z}_t^2) \, dt + \sigma \hat{Z}_t \, dW_t.\]

**Remark 2.9.** Note that \((\sigma^2 - \mu) - \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - \mu > 0\)

so that the process \((\hat{Z}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is not going to go to zero. This together with Remark 2.8 show that the process \((Z_t)_{t \geq 0}\) explodes in finite time.

**Appendix A**

We follow [BS02] for some basic facts about diffusions. In this paper we only consider **regular diffusions**; that is, diffusions such that for all \(x, y \in I\)

\[\mathbb{P}^x \{T_y < \infty\} > 0\]

where \(T_y := \inf\{t : X_t = y\}\) – any state \(y\) can be reached in finite time with positive probability from any state \(x\).

The diffusion \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) determines three basic Borel measures on the state space \(I\): a **scale measure** \(s\), a **speed measure** \(m\), and a **killing measure** \(k\) (see [IM74]). From now on we will consider that there is no killing, that is \(k \equiv 0\). It turns out to be convenient not to specify these objects absolutely but only up to a constant. If \((s^*, m^*)\) and \((s^{**}, m^{**})\) are two pairs of these objects, then \(s^{**} = cs^*\) for some strictly positive constant \(c\), in which case \(m^{**} = c^{-1}m^*\).

The scale measure \(s\) is diffuse. Both the scale measure and the speed measure have full support and assign finite mass to intervals of the form \((y, z), \ell < y < z < r\). If \((P_t^X)_{t \geq 0}\) is the transition semigroup of \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\), then there exists a density \(p\) that is strictly positive, jointly continuous in all variables, and symmetric such that

\[P_t^X(x, A) = \int_A p(t; x, y) m(dy), \quad x \in I, t > 0, \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{B}(I).\]

With a standard abuse of notation, as well as using \(s\) to denote the scale measure we write \(s\) for any **scale function** such that

\[s(z) - s(y) = \int_y^z s(dx).\]

For \(\alpha > 0\) the **Green function** \(r_{\alpha}(x, y)\) is given by

\[r_{\alpha}(x, y) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} p(t; x, y) \, dt,\]

where \(p(t; x, y)\) is the transition density with respect to the speed measure \(m\). Set

\[D_s^+ f(x) = \lim_{\eta \searrow x} \frac{f(\eta) - f(x)}{s(\eta) - s(x)},\]

and

\[D_s^- f(x) = \lim_{\eta \nearrow x} \frac{f(\eta) - f(x)}{s(\eta) - s(x)}\]

for a function \(f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}\).
The diffusion \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) determines and in turn is determined by its infinitesimal generator. The infinitesimal generator is specified by the scale, speed and killing measures and by boundary conditions on functions in the domain.

**Definition 2.10.** The (weak) infinitesimal generator of \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is the operator \(\mathcal{G}\) defined by

\[
\mathcal{G}f := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{P_tf - f}{t}
\]

applied to \(f \in C_b(I)\) for which the limit exists pointwise, is in \(C_b(I)\), and

\[
\sup_{t>0} \left\| \frac{P_tf - f}{t} \right\| < \infty.
\]

We denote by \(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})\) the set of such functions. One can show that \(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})\) is characterized by saying that \(f \in C_b(I)\) belongs to \(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})\) if \(D^- f\) and \(D^+ f\) exist and there exists a function \(g \in C_b(I)\) such that for all \(\ell < a < b < r\),

\[
\int_{[a,b)} g(x) m(dx) = D^- s f(b) - D^- s f(a) - \int_{[a,b)} f(x) k(dx).
\]

\[
\int_{[a,b]} g(x) m(dx) = D^+ s f(b) - D^+ s f(a) - \int_{[a,b]} f(x) k(dx).
\]

together with boundary conditions. See [BS02] for more details.

**Appendix B**

Let \((X_t, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^x, \theta_t, (\mathcal{F}_t))\) be a strong Markov process with state space a locally compact metric space \(E\). We let \(\Omega\) be the space of functions \(\omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \to E_0\) which are right continuous, admit an almost surely finite terminal time \(\zeta < \infty\), and have left limits. We can define \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) on this probability space by \(X_t(\omega) = \omega(t), \omega \in \Omega\). This spaces comes equipped with the shift operator \(\theta_t:\)

\[
\theta_t \omega(s) = \omega(s + t).
\]

We let \(\mathcal{F}_t^0\) be the natural filtration on \(\Omega\): \(\mathcal{F}_t^0 = \sigma\{X_s, 0 \leq s \leq t\}\). Set \(\mathcal{F}_t^0 = \bigcup_s \mathcal{F}_t^0\) and for an initial law \(\mu\) let \(\mathcal{F}_t^\mu\) denote the completion of \(\mathcal{F}_t^0\) relative to \(\mathbb{P}^\mu\) and let \(\mathcal{N}^\mu\) denote the \(\mathbb{P}^\mu\)-null sets in \(\mathcal{F}_t^\mu\).

Define then

- \(\mathcal{F} := \bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_t^\mu : \mu\) is an initial law on \(E\}\).
- \(\mathcal{N} := \bigcap \{\mathcal{N}_t^\mu : \mu\) is an initial law on \(E\}\).
- \(\mathcal{F}_t^\mu := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{N}^\mu\).
- \(\mathcal{F}_t := \bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_t^\mu : \mu\) is an initial law on \(E\}\).

The process \(X\) will be described by the probability family \((\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E}\) for which

\[
\mathbb{P}_x\{X_0 = x\} = 1
\]

for all \(x \in E\). We assumed \(X\) has an almost surely finite terminal time \(\zeta < \infty\). This means that \(\zeta\) has the property

\[
\zeta = s + \zeta \circ \theta_s,
\]
on the event \(\{\zeta > s\}\). In other words, if the process has not died by time \(s\), then the decision about when to die comes from looking at the future piece of path as though we are starting at time zero.

We call a function \(f : E \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}\) excessive if the following two conditions are satisfied

\[
(1) \quad \mathbb{E}^x f(X_t) \leq f(x)
\]

for all \(t \geq 0\) and \(x \in E\).
\( \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}^x f(X_t) = f(x) \)

for all \( x \in E \).

**Theorem 2.11.** Let \( \bar{f} : E \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) be excessive. The operators \( (P_t)_{t \geq 0} \) defined as

\[
P_t g(x) = \int \mathbb{P}^x [ \mathbf{1}\{\zeta > t\} g(X_t) \bar{f}(X_t)] \, dx
\]

define a submarkovian semigroup for a family of probability measures \( (\mathbb{Q}^x)_{x \in I} \) on \( \Omega \). The process \( X \) is strong (sub)Markov under \( (\mathbb{Q}^x)_{x \in I} \).

**Proof.** Since \( \bar{f} \) is excessive we can use Theorem 11.9 from page 325 in [CW05] to say that under \( (\mathbb{Q}^x)_{x \in I} \) the process \( X \) is a right-continuous killed strong Markov process which has left limits except possibly at its death time. \( \square \)

The next result shows that the process \( X \) conditioned to be in a set \( A \subset E \) right before the terminal time \( \zeta \) is strong Markov.

**Corollary 2.12.** Assume that \( \zeta \) is an independent exponential with rate \( \lambda > 0 \) and that \( A \subset E \) is such that

\[
\mathbb{P}^x \{ X_{\zeta^-} \in A \} > 0
\]

for all \( x \in E \). Then under the probability family defined by

\[
(2.12) \quad \mathbb{Q}^x(B) = \mathbb{P}^x \{ B \mid X_{\zeta^-} \in A \}
\]

\( X \) is a strong Markov process with transition semigroup

\[
(2.13) \quad \hat{P}_t g(x) := \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}^x \{ X_{\zeta^-} \in A \}} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ g(X_t) \mathbf{1}\{\zeta > t\} \mathbb{P}^x \{ X_{\zeta^-} \in A \} \right].
\]

**Proof.** Let

\[
\bar{f}(x) := \mathbb{P}^x \{ X_{\zeta^-} \in A \}
\]

and note that

\[
\mathbb{P}^x \{ X_{\zeta^-} \in A \} = U_\lambda \mathbb{1}_A(x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{P}^x \mathbb{1}_A(X_t) \, dt
\]

where \( U_\lambda \) is the \( \lambda \)-resolvent of \( X \). Since \( \mathbb{1}_A \) is a bounded positive function we can apply proposition 2 from page 46 of [CW05] to conclude that \( \bar{f} \) is \( \lambda \)-excessive for \( X \). As a result \( \bar{f} \) is excessive for \( X \) killed at the terminal time \( \zeta \). The result now follows by applying Theorem 2.11. \( \square \)

The following result tells us how the characteristics of a diffusion change under an \( h \)-transform.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let \( (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \) be a regular, transient diffusion living on \( I \) with null killing measure, speed measure \( m \) and scale function \( s \). Suppose that \( h \) is a strictly positive excessive function such that \( h(x_0) = 1 \) for some \( x_0 \) in the state space and that the boundary points of \( I \) are inaccessible. The Doob \( h \)-transform of \( (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \) is a regular diffusion \( (X^h_t)_{t \geq 0} \) with the following characteristics:

- **Scale measure**

\[
(2.14) \quad s^h(dy) = h^{-2}(y) s(dy).
\]

- **Speed measure**

\[
(2.15) \quad m^h(dy) = h^2(y) m(dy).
\]

- If \( m' \in C(I) \) and \( h, s' \in C^1(I) \) the generator of \( (X^h_t)_{t \geq 0} \) acts on functions with compact support in \( C^2((\ell, \infty)) \) as

\[
\mathcal{G}^h f(x) = \frac{1}{h^2(y)m'(y)} \left( \frac{h^2(y)}{h'(y)} f'(y) \right)'.
\]

**Proof.** For a proof of this fact see [EH15]. This result also seems to be known in the folk-lore but we were not able to find a proof for the general result. \( \square \)
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