

Application of Wireless Power Transfer Technologies and Intermittent Energy Harvesting for Wireless Sensors in Rotating Machines

Qingfeng Xia^{*1}, Longyang Yan²

^{*1}Dr. Qingfeng Xia, Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PJ, United Kingdom

²Mr Longyang Yan, Department of Electrical and Electronics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G1 1XW, United Kingdom

Battery-powered wireless sensor networks have been extensively deployed in condition monitoring and structural health monitoring systems, but the performance of wireless sensors are limited by battery capacity and difficulty of application in rotating machines. In this paper, a variety of commercial wireless charging solutions and coil-shaft configuration for magnetic coupling are compared, having in mind of the application of continuously charging wireless sensors on rotating machines. For the co-axial configuration of the transmitter coil and the receiver coil, a Qi standard compliant wireless charging kit and a custom charging circuit are successfully applied to charge wireless sensors on small rotating test rigs. In order to harvest and store intermittent energy input from the wireless power source, a prototype receiver circuit using a supercapacitor and low-dropout regulator is designed and validated. Based on the prototype circuit, the radial configuration of single transmitter coil and multiple receiver coils is demonstrated for wireless power transfer to the sensor nodes on the drivetrain of a small wind turbine test rig.

Key words: condition monitoring; rotating machine; supercapacitor; WPT; wireless sensor.

Corresponding author: Dr. Qingfeng Xia phone: +44 1865 275 1680, e-mail: qingfeng.xia@eng.ox.ac.uk/qingfeng.xia@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

Current challenges in large scale off-shore wind turbine condition monitoring (CM) and structural health monitoring (SHM) systems require robust wireless sensors that can provide operational information for long duration on rotating and shielded components continuously in a challenging environment. Condition monitoring of rotary components in a wind turbine system is critical for health assessment of the asset [1], minimising down time and reducing huge maintenance and logistics impact [2]. Having in mind of the long-term usability of wireless sensors in the off-shore wind turbine CM systems, this paper highlights the possibility of utilising wireless power transfer (WPT) technologies to power wireless sensor nodes on rotating machines [3].

Sensor nodes on rotating machines are typically deployed in two ways, namely wired and wireless connection. Wired connection, such as mechanical slip ring, ensures the reliable power supply and data exchanges between sensors and data acquisition system, but challenges are wear, limitation on

the rotating speed, spark hazard and difficulty in installation [4]. For applications in rotating machines, such as wind turbine drivetrains and blades, wireless sensor offers the simplified installation and increased robustness [5].

The long-term availability of wireless sensors is mainly constrained by power supply, despite the failure of sensor function or wireless communication link [2]. Along with the continuous improvement of sensor design, further research is invited for the reliable power supply to wireless sensor node with a high speed communication link like Wi-Fi [6]. The solutions can be divided in two categories, i.e. methods to extend battery service time and a variety of energy harvesting technologies. Adopting ultra-low power consuming wireless sensors and a wake-up mechanism, Wendt, T.M [7] extends batteries' service time to several years. Powercast Corporation [8] introduces a new commercial battery aiming at no replacement up to 15 years on wireless sensor node, but it is not cost-effective for massive deployment. The alternate approach is to harvest energy from solar radiation, vibration, thermal gradient, ambient radio frequency or other natural sources [9]. The energy harvesting technologies have been reviewed and discussed by Gyuhae [10], and it is concluded that the energy captured is either inadequate to independently drive majority of electronic sensors or unaffordable for massive deployment [9, 11]. For example, passive radio-frequency identification (RFID) receiver [12], piezoelectric vibration [13] and thermal gradient [14] harvester deployed under normal operational temperature, can not power common sensors continuously for the application of high speed condition monitoring. Other higher density natural energy sources, such as solar panels and small scale wind turbines, have the fluctuating output depending on weather conditions [9].

The rapidly developing WPT technologies, such as electro-magnetic induction charging, magnetic resonant inductive coupling, radio-frequency (RF) radiation, laser beam charging and micro-wave conversion, provide the potential energy sources for wireless sensor networks [15]. Among these approaches, magnetic induction charging is considered relatively mature in the commercial electronics market for a wide range of standard compliant commercial products [15]. The Qi standard [16], which is defined and certified by the industrial alliance of Wireless Power Consortium (WPC), is promising for the short distance WPT based on tightly-coupled magnetic induction. Another competing standard from the Alliance for Wireless Power (A4WP) targets at the mid-long range charging using magnetic resonance [17]. Magnetic resonance is a relatively new wireless charging technology [18] firstly demonstrated in the WiTricity project. The researchers successfully power a 60 W light bulb from a distance of 2 metres [18, 19]. These WPT solutions can supply a stable and powerful energy source to the sensor nodes on rotating machines.

In this paper, different WPT solutions are compared and magnetic coupling based solutions are experimentally tested to power wireless sensors continuously. Four different configurations of the transmitter and receiver coils are investigated on rotating machines. In order to harvest and store intermittent energy input from a wireless power source, the supercapacitor gathering energy from the intermittent power supply from the receiver coil is validated against a prototype circuit. Moreover, the prototype circuit of multiple receiver coils is built to power wireless sensors on a small wind turbine test rig.

II. TECHNOLOGY SELECTION

A) Comparison of Different Technologies

Near field non-radiative magnetic coupling are the mainstream approaches to achieve WPT, as other approaches including radio-frequency radiation, capacitive coupling, laser beam charging and micro-wave conversion are under development [20]. Magnetic coupling has been commercially adopted to power a wide range of devices, from low power rating electronics to high power rating electric vehicles; some detailed reviews can be found [21, 22] for electric vehicles and wireless sensor network. According to the unique requirement of the high reliability but low power rating, commercial-off-the-shelf WPT solutions are preferred for powering the wireless sensor networks. Furthermore, the WPT solution should be less sensitive to the angular movement of wireless sensor nodes on rotating machines.

In addition to low frequency magnetic coupling, it is possible to separate signals and energy from RF waves for energy harvesting. Energy harvesting from passive RFID receiver falls into this category [12, 23]. The wave energy emitted from the transmitter antenna of the base station can be harvested by the receiver antenna. The charging distance can be largely extended to several metres, because RF common RF emission has a very high frequency like 915MHz [24]. However, power transfer efficiency for RF emission is limited, because RF waves decay quickly with distance [10, 11]. It is demonstrated that the best output of a typical RF energy harvester is approximately 0.049 W for a 3 W input [25]. Even though the transfer efficiency is low, this method is still suitable for some ultra-low power applications, e.g. some humidity sensors with a power consumption of 0.01 W [25]. The lack of directivity is another cause for the low transfer efficiency; the receiver can only capture a small portion of the total energy. Like the magnetic resonance approach, the potential health hazard of RF radiation to humans remains as a concern for the high energy density at the frequency around 1 GHz [26].

Three potential WPT solutions suitable for powering wireless sensors on rotating machines, are compared in Table I. Magnetic induction has the advantages of higher power rating and less safety concern for the application of wireless sensor charging. In contrast, WPT solutions via magnetic resonance and RF radiation naturally overcome the difficulties for charging sensors in motion, since a flexible receiver positioning and a longer charging range is allowed. Currently, the technology of magnetic resonance charging is not commercialized as widely as the tight coupling technology for experimental evaluation; a wide range of sensors can be not powered by the limited power rating transferred by the RF radiation. Microwave or visible light radiation has higher transfer efficiency for directed energy transmission, but the application in mobile devices is limited to line of sight propagation. Therefore, this paper focuses on the solutions based on magnetic coupling.

TABLE I Comparison of common wireless power transfer technologies

Technology	Tightly coupled magnetic link	Loosely coupled magnetic link	RF radiation
Power rate	W to kW	W to kW	~10 mW [25]
Active range	0.005 m, 0.04 m [27]	6 m [18]	10 m or longer
Charging angle	Close alignment	360 degree	360 degree
Efficiency	70%-95% [28]	50-90% [29]	1.6% [25]

Strengths	Simplicity	Long charging distance	✓	Long charging distance
	High efficiency	High power rating	✓	Free positioning
	High power rating	1 to N charging [30]	✓	1 to N charging
	Availability as commercial off the shelf products	Insensitivity to receiver position	✓	Insensitivity to receiver position
Weakness	Short charging distance	Difficulty of frequency tuning	•	low transfer efficiency
	Requiring strict alignment	Lower transfer efficiency	•	Low power rating
	1 to 1 charging	Potential risk of safety of heating metal objective and EMI	•	Potential health hazards to humans for the high density RF radiation

B) Principles of Magnetic Coupling

The principle of wireless power transfer by magnetic coupling can be explained by the air coil transformer model, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The transmitter (primary) coil and the receiver (secondary) coil form an adjustable contactless transformer. According to the theory of Faraday's Law [16], the change of the magnetic flux in a coil results in an inductive current. If an alternating current (AC) flows in the transmitter coil, the generated varying magnetic field will induces a fluctuating voltage across the receiver coil.




Fig. 1. Demonstration of magnetic induction in tightly coupling and equivalent circuit.

For the non-resonant magnetic coupling circuit shown in Fig 1, power transfer link efficiency η as the ratio of power consumed by the receiver's load to the transmitter's power input, mainly depends on the mutual inductance [31], magnetic field angular velocity ω , and resistance matching of load and coil resistance R_1, R_2 .

$$\frac{V_{load}}{V_1} = \frac{j\omega R_{load}M}{(M^2 - L_1L_2)\omega^2 + (L_1R_2 + L_1R_{load} + L_2R_1)j\omega + R_1(R_{load} + R_2)} \quad (1)$$

$$\eta = \frac{R_{load}\omega^2 M^2}{((R_{load} + R_2)M^2 + R_1L_2^2)\omega^2 + R_1(R_{load} + R_2)^2} \quad (2)$$

Mutual inductance of the transformer model $M = k\sqrt{L_1L_2}$ depends not only on the coils' self-inductance L_1 and L_2 , but also on the coupling coefficient k , the ratio of magnetic flux through the secondary coil to the total flux generated by the primary coil. In other words, $k = 1$ means the two coils are perfectly coupled as in the ideal transformer model. If $k > 0.5$, the two coils are regarded as tightly-coupled, while the two coils are said to be loosely-coupled if $k < 0.5$. For the transmitter and receiver coils of similar diameter in coaxial alignment, the coupling coefficient k is given by [32],

$$k = \frac{\mu_0 N_1^2 A_1}{2L_1} \cdot \frac{r_2^2}{(r_1^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot K_{core}(f, d) \quad (3)$$

where A is in the coil cross-sectional area, z is the coils separation distance; r is the coil radius; μ_0 is the magnetic permeability of free space, N is in the number of coil turns. In particular, coefficient $K_{core}(f, d)$ takes the effect of a magnetic core inside a coil into account, which depends on d and excitation frequency f . A ferrite core increases the magnetic flux of the coils, moreover it acts as a guide for the magnetic field in order to increase the coupling between the two coils. Since the coupling coefficient k is significantly influenced by the relative positioning, e.g. the coils distance, lateral misalignment, orientation [33], close alignment of the coils is required for a highly efficient power transfer by magnetic induction. For a longer coil separation distance, the non-resonant induction method is inefficient and the majority of the energy is wasted in resistive losses in the primary coil [32].

For transmitter and receiver coils of distinct diameter and non-coaxial configuration, the coupling coefficient k or mutual induction M can be integrated from Neumann equation. Furthermore, a simplified formula is provided for the coils with both axial separation and lateral displacement [34].

$$M = \frac{\mu_0 \pi a^2 b^2}{2(a^2 + b^2 + z^2 + x^2)^{3/2}} \left[1 - \frac{3}{2} \delta + \frac{15}{32} \gamma^2 \left(1 - \frac{21}{2} \delta \right) + \frac{15}{16} (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \left(1 - \frac{7}{4} \delta \right) \right] \quad (4)$$

where a is the radius of the first coil, b is the radius of the second coil, z is coaxial separation, x is the lateral displacement. $\alpha = 2xa/(a^2 + b^2 + z^2 + x^2)$, $\beta = 2xb/(a^2 + b^2 + z^2 + x^2)$, $\gamma = 2ab/(a^2 + b^2 + z^2 + x^2)$, and $\delta = x^2/(a^2 + b^2 + z^2 + x^2)$. The coupling coefficient k drops quickly as the coaxial separation and lateral displacement increase; hence physical proximity of coils is required for high efficient WPT via non-resonant coupling.

Given a low coupling coefficient k , considerable amount of power can be transmitted via magnetic resonance. When one coil with an alternating current generates electromagnetic field of a certain frequency, other coils or metallic objects in the near field that has the same inherent frequency start to resonate and generate electrical current [18]. This phenomenon is similar to the mechanical resonance, produced by a tuning fork. Current is generated from the magnetic resonance in the receiver circuit, due to the oscillating magnetic field excited by the transmitter coil. To build a simple resonance charging circuit, the magnetic induction circuit is modified with additional capacitors to form the LC resonator [19], as shown in Fig. 2. The resonating primary circuit only increases the voltage ratio, while resonating secondary circuit can improve both voltage ratio and link efficiency [31].




Fig. 2. Demonstration of magnetic resonance and equivalent circuit.

Besides the coupling coefficient k , the wireless power transfer link efficiency η for magnetic resonance depends on the quality factor Q of the transmitter and receiver coils, especially for the high resonance frequencies.

$$Q = \frac{2\pi fL}{R} \quad (5)$$

Although the self-inductance of a coil L is less sensitive to the excitation frequency f while the equivalent series resistance R increases significantly with f , due to proximity effects, the skin-effect and potentially eddy current losses [35].

Based on the simplified circuit in Fig 2, the efficiency of resonant magnetic coupling is given [19],

$$\frac{P_L}{P_{g,max}} = \frac{4U^2 \frac{R_g R_{Load}}{R_s R_2}}{\left(\left(1 + \frac{R_g}{R_1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{R_{Load}}{R_2}\right) + U^2 \right)^2} \quad (6)$$

where P_L is the power delivered to the resistive load R_{Load} , $P_{g,max}$ is the maximum power consumption of the AC power source with an intrinsic resistance R_g . The maximum possible efficiency depends only on the figure of merit, $U = k\sqrt{Q_{Tr}Q_{Rr}}$.

$$\eta_{opt} = \frac{U^2}{(1 + \sqrt{1 + U^2})} \text{ when } \frac{R_1}{R_i} = \frac{R_{load}}{R_2} = \sqrt{1 + U^2} \quad (7)$$

Adding resonant capacitor will not improve the efficiency but the voltage ratio between the receiver output and transmitter input, while the matching frequency of both coils can increase the transfer efficiency significantly. The maximum efficiency and maximum power rate can be not achieved at the same time. The maximum power transfer is based on impedance matching, $R_{Load} = R_2$, which does not happen with the optimised efficiency.

Given the low coupling coefficient k for the coils not in close alignment, the high power transfer efficiency relies on the matching of resonant frequency f_0 between the transmitter and the receiver coils,

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{C_{Tr}L_{Tr}}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{C_{Rr}L_{Rr}}} \quad (8)$$

The introduction of intermediate coils potentially maintains the power transfer efficiency for a wider frequency range [29]. In addition, recent research suggests efficiency of wireless power transfer also depends on the impedance matching of coils [36]. Resonance circuit is essential to loosely coupled system, but applicable to tightly coupled magnetic link. For tightly coupling, LC resonance can improve the efficiency and maximum power rate. For example, the industrial WPT standard Qi also has a series capacitor to tune the WPT efficiency for lower coupling coefficient from 0.2 to 0.7, and the best results are achieved at a transmitting frequency that is slightly different from the resonant frequency of the Qi receiver. This off-resonant operation reaches the highest amount of power at the best efficiency; this mode is called "**inductive**". Therefore, the distinction of induction pre-dominant or resonance predominant solution depends on coupling coefficient and resonance frequency sensitivity.

C) Comparison of tightly and loosely coupling

Both tight coupling and loose coupling have their unique advantages for WPT. Tight coupling can obtain a transfer efficient up to 95% for close alignment, and the power rate reaches several kW.

Wireless charging by loose coupling offers flexibility, providing the longer charging range and more positional allowance [15]. As shown in Fig. 2, power transfer based on magnetic resonance does not require the transmitter and the receiver in close line-up position; free positioning of the coils is possible within several metres [19]. However, the efficiency of magnetic resonance is still sensitive to the coils distance and resonance frequency matching. For a strictly-aligned configuration, a high efficiency of 90% can be achieved at 1 m but only 50% at 2 m [18]. Moreover, the magnetic resonance approach is promising for charging multiple sensors simultaneously for wireless sensor network [30].

Tightly coupled wireless chargers, like Qi standard compliant products, have already been commercialized, and can be conveniently adapted to charge the wireless sensors in a cost effective manner. The Qi standard [27] is the first industrial standard for wireless charging that defines, standardizes and certifies the interfacing terminal, switching frequency range, quality of power and protocol of communication for commercial electronics. Due to the tight coupling characteristics, the Qi standard is designed for proximal wireless power transfer applications. According to the Qi specification version 1.0, the transmitted power rating is 5 W or less for low power applications and 120 W for medium power applications. In addition, the WPC recently announced that the Qi standard will extend the charging distance from 5 mm to 40 mm, which will significantly improve the charging performance and flexibility in transmitter and receiver positioning[16]. Based on the on-demand intelligent charging protocol, a Qi compliant receiver commences a two-way communication before charging. Another standard technology based on tightly coupling is defined by Power Matters Alliance (PMA), which has insignificant technical difference for interoperation with WPT. In 2015, the merge of PMA with magnetic resonance A4WP create the new industrial standard AirFuel™. Based on charging technology, this standard provides the flexibility of positioning, 1 to N charging configuration, and a longer charging range between chargers and power consumers [19].

For the specific application of WPT to rotating machines, device safety and human exposure should be considered. The ultimate goal of WPT to rotating machine is to power sensor and actuator; hence the introduction of WPT should not affect existent sensing and actuating circuit. For the typical magnetic coupling frequencies, 110kHz ~ 205kHz for tightly-coupled and 6.78MHz [37] for loosely-coupled solution, eddy current heating is considered a hazard if coils are surrounding the metal rotor. Loose coupling approach is ideal for charging multiple sensors in motion for the applications in rotating machines, but there is a risk of EMI. In addition, human exposure to electromagnetic field is worth of investigation before deployment. However, it is reported that the cell growth rate and cell cycle distribution is not affected with a resonant frequency of 12.5 MHz, while magnetic field at the positions of the cell culture dishes is approximately twice the reference level for occupational exposure by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) guidelines [38]. Considering of difficulty of shield AC magnetic field and heating effect, this study focuses on tightly coupled approaches, leaving the loosely coupled solution for future exploration.

D) Heating Effect

Dependence on coil-shaft configuration and shaft material, heating effect on proximal object by the transmitter coil is worth of investigation. If the transmitter coil is close to any metal surface, eddy current heating may elevate the surface temperature dramatically so that the microstructure and mechanical strength is compromised. For low magnetic permeability material like Aluminium and Austenitic stainless steel, the hysteresis loss is neglectable with air core. In case of transmitter coil riding on high magnetic permeability shaft, the heating effect must be evaluated. Both hysteresis loss and eddy current loss depend upon magnetic properties of the materials core, and they are extensively studied in transformer design [39]. Hysteresis loss in transformer is calculated by Steinmetz formula,

$$P_h = K_h(B_{max})^{1.6}mf \quad (9)$$

where, f is the frequency, K_h is the hysteresis coefficient and B_{max} is the maximum flux density, the empirical exponent of B_{max} varies from about 1.4 to 1.8 but is often given as 1.6 for iron. Eddy current loss in transformer is denoted as,

$$P_e = K_e(fK_fB_{max})^2mf \quad (10)$$

where K_e is eddy current constant, K_f is form constant. Due to the skin effect at high frequency, the eddy current happens only at a small depth.

Reducing the resonance frequency can reduce the eddy current and hysteresis loss, while the coupling efficiency is not affected according to Eq. (7). For example, a low frequency WPT is able to deliver 2.967 W power at 180 Hz to an 117.1 Ω resistor over 1 cm distance with 50% overall efficiency [40]. Due to the low operating frequency, RF radiation hazard and tissue absorption are largely avoided for implanted device. On the receiver coil, the reduction of open circuit output voltage $V(t)$ by the decreased frequency can be compensated by the high magnetic permeability of the shaft material enclosed by the transmitter coil. According to Eq. (11), the high magnetic permeability material serves as magnetic core for transformer that increases the magnetic field intensity within the coil.

$$V(t) = NA \frac{dB(t)}{dt} \quad (11)$$

where N is the turn of receiver coil, A is the enclosed area and $B(t)$ is the spatial averaged magnetic field intensity of the coil.

III. Experimental evaluation of wireless charging

In order to charge wireless sensors on rotating components, a tight but non-contact coupling of transmitter and receiver coils is considered for the magnetic coupling. Fig. 3 demonstrates some possible positioning configurations for transmitter and receiver coils. The feasibility of wireless charging by a Qi compliant charging kit (charging pair #1) is experimentally demonstrated at the shaft end of a small scale wind turbine test rig, and custom transmitter and receiver coils surrounding the rotating Aluminium shaft (charging pair #2) are validated on a small tribology rig, see Fig. 3 (a). Due to the axisymmetric shape of Qi standard compliant transmitter coils and the custom coils, the rotation of receiver coil has no effect on the magnetic induction process for axial positioning.

However, the perfect coaxial alignment of the rotating receiver coil and the stationary transmitter coil is required for highly efficient magnetic induction. On the other hand, the radial positioning is practical for rotating components with larger dimension; two possible radial configurations are illustrated in Fig. 3 (b). The receiver coil has a diameter bigger than the rotating shaft, and the stationary transmitter coil with a smaller dimension is fixed at a radial position over the rotating shaft (charging pair #3). This configuration guarantees the stable magnetic coupling in despite of shaft rotation, but the coupling coefficient is undermined for the non-coaxial misalignment. This configuration is not experimentally evaluated in this study; while the transmitter and multiple receiver coils of a similar size over the rotating shaft (charging pair #4) is investigated in this study. The charging power is intermittent due to the continuing alignment and misalignment of the rotating receiver coils relative to the static transmitter; supercapacitor is used to accumulate the intermittent power input in the receiver circuit.




Fig. 3. Schematic of (a) axial and (b) radial configurations for wireless charging coils on rotating machines.

A) Axial Configuration for Wireless Power Transfer

Several Qi evaluation kits are commercially available, e.g. TI bqTESLA Development Kit [41] and IDT 9030/9020 kit [42]. The highly integrated single chip devices of the IDT P9030 transmitter evaluation board and the IDT P9020 receiver demonstration board are selected for the current wireless charging evaluation experiments. The IDT P9030 uses the Qi standard compliant TX-A1 transmitter coil, which has a diameter of 43 mm [43]. The TX-A1 coil is driven by a 19 V direct current (DC) power adapter via a half-bridge inverter which converts the DC into AC form to excite the coil. The switching frequency of the inverter is between 110 kHz to 205 kHz at a constant duty cycle of 50%.

The performance of the IDT wireless charging kit is evaluated for the allowance of spatial misalignment and the power rating dependency on the charging distance. The IDT P9020 incorporates a Qi standard compliant RX-A1 coil, which has a length of 48mm and a height of 32 mm [42], as illustrated in Fig. 4 (a). Notably, the revised Qi specification version 1.1 has 12 standard transmitters. The receiver is powered by the RX-A1 coil and transfers the power to the external load through a full bridge rectifier, and the transferring efficiency is around 75%. The designed DC output

of the P9020 is 5 V at 1 A; this power rating is sufficient to power variety of wireless sensors. The active charging distance is stated as 5 mm in Z axis (co-axial direction normal to the non-contact transmitter and receiver coils plane) according to the specification, but there is no statement on the allowance on X and Y axis (lateral directions). The charging distance allowances without shutdown for wireless charging are measured by a digital calliper as 17 mm, 13 mm for X axis and Y axis respectively at $Z = 1$ mm. The minimum spatial freedom is 5.9 mm in Z axis without lateral misalignment in X or Y axis. These misalignment tolerances are sufficient for deployment on rotating machines without significant vibration. In order to obtain a high power rating, the charger has to be close to the receiver, according to (3) and the experimental measurement of nonlinear relationship of power rating and charging distance (see Fig. 4 (b)).

The axial positioning test (charging pair #1) has demonstrated the feasibility and reliability of charging the wireless sensor on a wind turbine test rig using the magnetic induction technology. The experimental setup for the axial positioning of wireless charging coils is indicated in Fig. 5 (a). The transmitter is fixed in front of the turbine hub where the receiver is attached, and an acceleration sensor node with a HC05 Bluetooth radio module is bonded onto one of the blades. The acceleration is measured by an on-board ADXL335 3-axix acceleration sensor of an MSP430 FRAM5739 microcontroller board for several rotations. The continuous transfer of acceleration readings via Bluetooth radio confirms that the IDT wireless charging kit, or other Qi standard compliant products, can provide adequate power to the wireless sensor node on rotating machines for this axial configuration.






Fig. 4. (a) Demonstration of Qi Standard compliant charger and coils; (b) power rate vs. charging distance.

Instead of the Qi standard compliant coils installed at the shaft end, custom transmitter and receiver coils can be applied surrounding the rotating shaft. As illustrated in Fig. 3 (a), wireless charging coil pair #2 can be flexibly mounted around the rotating shaft, given the custom coils matching the designed self-inductance of transmitter and receiver circuits. The self-inductance of the custom coils with a mean coil diameter of 38 mm is confirmed by the Fluke PM6303 LCR meter as $30\ \mu\text{H}$. This charging setup has a variable power performance of 20-600 mA at 5V, measured by the voltage-ampere method using a high power rating resistor. Similar trend of reduced power rating is observed if the co-axial distance increases from 1 to 20 mm. Furthermore, the custom wireless charging coil pair is tested on the Aluminium shaft of a small tribology test rig, shown in Fig. 5 (b). Reduced power rating and efficiency is observed for coil surrounding metal shaft, but it is still feasible to power a Bluetooth wireless sensor node.



(a) Qi Standard compliant coils at the shaft end



(b) Custom transmitter and receiver coils around the shaft

Fig. 5. Experimental setup of wireless power transfer with co-axial coil configurations

The inductive heating effect has been examined for this co-axial coil configuration. In addition to theoretical prediction of eddy current heating, experimental test of the custom coils is conducted on Aluminium cylinder and iron pipe. Insertion of Aluminium rod doubles the DC current input from 0.12 A to 0.21A, for the coil separation distance of 16mm. Once the Aluminium cylinder is sliding in, the receiver rectifier output drops to 2V. If the coil separation distance is reduced from 16mm to 5mm, the 5V output regains after the receiver rectifier. Moreover, 0.5W at 200 kHz is possible with closer coil gap of 3mm. Increased transmitter power input and dropped receiver voltage output are found for the iron pipe. About 2W (0.25A at 8V) is consumed as eddy current and hysteresis loss for a hollow low carbon steel pipe with a wall thickness of 2mm and outer diameter 32 mm, for the coil separation distance of 16mm. Compared with Aluminium cylinder, more heat loss but less receiver voltage drop is observed for high magnetic permeability material. Ferro-magnetic shaft material as a

magnetic core can alter the magnetic flux and potentially improve the inductive coupling. In addition, it is confirmed by different diameter of steel pipe that the eddy current loss is proportional to line density of shaft. Due to the high thermal conductive coefficient for metal material, the experiment shows no observable temperature rise for such a low power rate. Although the metal shaft decreases the transferring efficiency significantly, it is feasible to delivery reasonable power to the wireless sensor node on the rotating shaft. It is recommended to optimise the resonance frequency and coil-shaft positioning to reduce the metal heating.

B) Intermittent Energy Gathering and Storage Circuit

If the co-axial configurations of the charging coils are impractical for some large dimension rotating components or metal heating issue, the radial configurations in Fig. 3 (b) are worth of investigation. The charging pair #3 is less efficient for the lower coupling coefficient, and most energy is wasted in the transmitter coil if its diameter is significant smaller than the receiver coil. The difference in coil diameter and misalignment lead to the low coupling coefficient. It should be noted that the pairing of a small transmitter coil with a bigger receiver coil winding around the rotating shaft is an optimised configuration. Compared with the configuration of a bigger transmitter coil and a smaller receiver coil, a smaller transmitter coil reduces the electromagnetic interference to electronic components nearby. In theory, the steady wireless power transfer process is similar with the axial charging coil pair, except for a smaller inductive voltage ratio and power rating. However, the low coupling coefficient k suggests magnetic resonance could be a better solution for higher power rating and efficiency, which is out of the scope of this paper.

Alternatively, the charging pair configuration #4 is investigated by charging and receiving coils of similar size. Although the high coupling coefficient is guaranteed by the small coil distance in the close alignment position, the continuing alignment and misalignment results in the intermittent power input to the receiver coil. Storage of the intermittent input energy is a practical challenge for powering the sensors continuously on rotating components. Nevertheless, the Qi standard defines the receiver detection and communication procedure, which requires a significant duration to setup the charging even if the coils are aligned. Therefore, a self-built charging circuit is established for instant charging without the delay of complex communication.

Supercapcitor is preferred over Li-ion battery, in order to gather the intermittent energy input. Li-ion batteries have limited recharging cycles so pulse charging may reduce its lifetime dramatically [44]. Besides, the charging processes of Li-ion battery are complex which has a threshold voltage to trigger the charging [45]. In contrast, the emergent technology of supercapacitor can provide instant energy storage capability. A supercapacitor works in a similar manner with generic capacitors but offers larger capacitance and lower equivalent series resistance. Consequently, it scavenges energy immediately once there is a higher voltage applied across its terminals. The characteristic of high capacitance and low resistance is ideal to perform the instantaneous charging as requested in the current study [46, 47].

Intermittent energy gathering and instant storage by the supercapacitor (Cooper Busmann PB series. 1 F, 0.5 Ω) has been validated by a prototype circuit. A series of energy pulse is generated by a 5V DC

power supply (Thunder Instruments TS32021S) controlled by a MOSFET switch. The square-wave control signal for the MOSFET switch has a duty cycle of 10%. The pulses charge the supercapacitor which continuously stores the intermittent energy input and delivers a stable DC output voltage via a low-dropout regulator (LDO).

Fig. 6 presents the voltage variation from the experimental circuit for pulse energy gathering. The voltage across the supercapacitor (at the bottom of Fig. 6) is monitored with the reversed polarity for clear comparison with the input pulse which is in the normal polarity (on the top of Fig. 6). When the supercapacitor is fully charged, the voltage across the supercapacitor increases to 5V (shown in the downward axis). The experimental result demonstrates a high energy charging rate; the first several pulses can charge the supercapacitor up to the rated voltage of 5 V. After the supercapacitor voltage reaches 3.6 V, the LDO will output a constant 3.3 V voltage to power up the MSP430 FRAM5739 microcontroller. Due to the low power consumption of the MSP430 microcontroller, the discharging is illustrated as slow voltage dropping when no pulse energy is available. The voltage drops about 0.2-4.5 V before the next pulse input; this limited voltage fluctuation can guarantee the continuous output of 3.3 V from the LDO. Consequently, the sensor can be continuously powered, despite the intermittent energy input.




Fig. 6. Result from prototype circuit for pulse energy gathering by supercapacitor

C) Prototype Circuit for the Radial Configuration

The radial positioning (coil pair configuration #4) provides a flexible configuration for most rotating machines, as illustrated in Fig. 3 (b), but sufficient power rating should be guaranteed. The design of

multiple receiver coils circuit [48] is promising to increase the power transferred to the wireless sensor node on the rotating shaft. To exclude the interference between the multiple receiver coils, each coil has its own rectifier but shares the filtering capacitor and the supercapacitor after the full-bridge rectifiers, shown in the circuit schematic in Fig. 7 (a). Multiple receiver coils are arranged in different radial positions of the rotating shaft; the duty cycle of effective charging increases proportionally with the number of the coils.


In order to bypass the charging delay due to communication, a self-built induction excitation and receiving circuit is constructed with Qi-compliant standard transmitter and receiver coils, as illustrated in Fig. 7 (a). The circuit requires a 150-180 kHz square wave signal to excite a Qi-compliant TX-A1 coil to induce voltage across the receiver RX-A1 coils and in turn energizes a light emission diode (LED) indicator after the power conditioning circuit. A 30 W power amplifier amplifies the square wave from the RIGOL DG1022 function generator which has a peak-to-peak voltage of 2.5 V. After the full bridge rectifier, a 33 μ F filtering capacitor is incorporated to smooth the output of the rectifier. The following 5 V voltage regulator is used to charge the supercapacitor and isolates the supercapacitor from any potential over-voltage. Another 3.3 V voltage regulator will power the load of this wireless energy receiver, either an LED as an indicator or wireless sensor node. In the current experiments, the receiver circuit is bonded to the Gaia 11 kW wind turbine test facility while the transmitter coil is fixed over the main drive shaft with a 5 mm gap with the receiver coil in alignment; see Fig. 7 (b).

Furthermore, to reduce the energy waste as resistive loss in the primary coil when the receiver coil is not aligned [32], the excitation of the transmitter coil is switched on and off by a pair of infra-red LED and photonic transistor (see Fig. 7 (a)). The emission from the LED which can be reflected by the highly reflective tape on the receiver board results in the reduced resistance of the photo transistor. Thereby, the rising gate voltage of the MOSFET will switch on the power amplifier module only if the receiver board is facing the infra-red LED and photonic transistor pair. In other word, the transmitter coil is excited only if any receiver coil moved into the charging range.


Fig. 8 (b) demonstrated the process wireless charging to three receiver coils in a single rotation cycle; the voltage cross the rectifiers rises simultaneously as the receiver coil moves into the charging range. Voltage signals are wired to a digital oscilloscope which gives higher sampling rate data acquisition. Once the voltage of the rectifiers output surpasses that of the supercapacitor, effective charging continues until the receiver coil phases out. This process repeats as the next receiver coil moved into the active charging range of the charging circuit. The voltage across the supercapacitor rises about 0.3 V for each charging pulse, while the voltage drop is not significant due to the low power consumption of LED indicator. The fast response of the receiver coil together with the pulse gathering circuit makes the wireless charger applicable for the radial configuration of charger and receiver on rotating components.

Higher power rating is achievable by several approaches. The power rating delivered by the current prototype circuit is 4.5 W for one rotation cycle, while the average transferred power rating is 0.075 W for the tested shaft speed of 1 rotation per minute. Firstly, the excitation voltage across the

transmitter coil can be increased. The transmitter coil's AC voltage is approximately one third of that of the IDT charger coil. The stronger transmitter excitation can boost the power rating significantly, if the electrical safety of the transmitter circuit design is guaranteed. Secondly, the distance between the receiver coil and transmitter coil, which is 4 mm on average in the current setup, can be reduced further to increase the power rating. Otherwise, the resonant receiver circuit may increase power rate and extend the effective charging time of each pulse. In addition, a rectangular receiver coil may increase the effective charging time. The power input from the receiver coil is not a square wave pulse (see Fig. 8 (b)), if the receiver coil is rotating with the shaft. Since the voltage output from the rectifier is sensitive to the relative positioning of transmitter and receiver coils, less power is transferred in the phase-in and phase-out stages for the receiver coil. To make thing worse, effective charging will only start if the output voltage of the rectifier is higher that of the supercapacitor, when the both coils are reasonably aligned. Therefore, Qi standard compliant receiver coils are deployed with the longer edge along the circumferential direction in the current experimental study, and custom receiver coils with a bigger aspect ratio can increase the duration of coil alignment and the charging time for each receiver coil.



(a) Schematic of transmitter and receiver circuit



(b) Experimental setup on a small scale wind turbine test rig

Fig. 7. Radial configuration of single transmitter coil and multiple receiver coils




Fig. 8. (a) Prototype circuit with multiple receiver coils; (b) voltages monitoring for wireless charging on a rotary shaft.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, popular technologies and industrial standards for wireless power transfer are compared, and magnetic induction solutions are investigated for charging wireless sensors on the rotating machines. A Qi standard compliant wireless charging solution and a circuit with custom inductive coils are validated experimentally for continuous wireless sensor charging for the axial positioning configuration. The co-axial configurations are recommended for WPT to wireless sensor nodes on rotating machines for the convenient setup and reliable power transfer.

Besides the co-axial configurations of transmitter and receiver coils, a radial configuration is experimental tested. The challenge of gathering and storage of intermittent energy input from coils at

the radial positions of rotating machines is addressed by supercapacitor, and an experimental circuit using a supercapacitor to store the intermittent power input is designed and validated. Based on this circuit, multiple receiver coils are demonstrated as effective to increase the power rating for wireless power transfer design for the radial coil configuration.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. D. C. SEGOVIA GARCIA, M. J. T. REVIE, AND F. QUAIL, "CONDITION MONITORING DATA IN THE STUDY OF OFFSHORE WIND TURBINES' RISK OF FAILURE," IN *PROCEEDINGS OF THE 19TH AR2TS ADVANCES IN RISK, RELIABILITY AND TECHNOLOGY SYMPOSIUM*, 2013.
- [2] C. C. CIANG, J.-R. LEE, AND H.-J. BANG, "STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING FOR A WIND TURBINE SYSTEM: A REVIEW OF DAMAGE DETECTION METHODS," *MEASUREMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*, VOL. 19, P. 122001, 2008.
- [3] A. HAMILTON AND F. J. QUAIL, "DETAILED STATE OF THE ART REVIEW FOR THE DIFFERENT ON-LINE/IN-LINE OIL ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES IN CONTEXT OF WIND TURBINE GEARBOXES," *JOURNAL OF TRIBOLOGY*, VOL. 133, 2011.
- [4] D. C. LUDOIS, J. K. REED, AND K. HANSON, "CAPACITIVE POWER TRANSFER FOR ROTOR FIELD CURRENT IN SYNCHRONOUS MACHINES," *POWER ELECTRONICS, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON*, VOL. 27, PP. 4638-4645, 2012.
- [5] J. P. LYNCH AND K. J. LOH, "A SUMMARY REVIEW OF WIRELESS SENSORS AND SENSOR NETWORKS FOR STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING," *SHOCK AND VIBRATION DIGEST*, VOL. 38, PP. 91-130, 2006.
- [6] L. JIN-SHYAN, S. YU-WEI, AND S. CHUNG-CHOU, "A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF WIRELESS PROTOCOLS: BLUETOOTH, UWB, ZIGBEE, AND WI-FI," IN *INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS SOCIETY, 2007. IECON 2007. 33RD ANNUAL CONFERENCE OF THE IEEE*, 2007, PP. 46-51.
- [7] T. M. WENDT AND L. M. REINDL, "WAKE-UP METHODS TO EXTEND BATTERY LIFE TIME OF WIRELESS SENSOR NODES," IN *INSTRUMENTATION AND MEASUREMENT TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS, IMTC 2008.*, 2008, PP. 1407-1412.
- [8] P. CORPORATION, "USER MANUAL, P2110-EVAL-01, LIFETIME POWER ENERGY HARVESTING DEVELOPMENT KIT FOR WIRELESS SENSORS," ED, 2010.
- [9] S. CHALASANI AND J. M. CONRAD, "A SURVEY OF ENERGY HARVESTING SOURCES FOR EMBEDDED SYSTEMS," IN *SOUTHEASTCON, 2008. IEEE*, 2008, PP. 442-447.
- [10] G. PARK, T. ROSING, M. D. TODD, C. R. FARRAR, AND W. HODGKISS, "ENERGY HARVESTING FOR STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING SENSOR NETWORKS," *JOURNAL OF INFRASTRUCTURE SYSTEMS*, VOL. 14, PP. 64-79, 2008.
- [11] S. ARMS, C. TOWNSEND, D. CHURCHILL, J. GALBREATH, AND S. MUNDELL, "POWER MANAGEMENT FOR ENERGY HARVESTING WIRELESS SENSORS," IN *SMART STRUCTURES AND MATERIALS*, 2005, PP. 267-275.
- [12] V. CHAWLA AND H. DONG-SAM, "AN OVERVIEW OF PASSIVE RFID," *COMMUNICATIONS MAGAZINE, IEEE*, VOL. 45, PP. 11-17, 2007.
- [13] S. SAADON AND O. SIDEK, "A REVIEW OF VIBRATION-BASED MEMS PIEZOELECTRIC ENERGY HARVESTERS," *ENERGY CONVERSION AND MANAGEMENT*, VOL. 52, PP. 500-504, 2011.
- [14] X. LU AND S.-H. YANG, "THERMAL ENERGY HARVESTING FOR WSNs," IN *IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SYSTEMS MAN AND CYBERNETICS (SMC) ISTANBUL*, 2010, PP. 3045-3052.
- [15] S. HUI, W. ZHONG, AND C. LEE, "A CRITICAL REVIEW OF RECENT PROGRESS IN MID-RANGE WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER," *POWER ELECTRONICS, IEEE TRANSACTION ON*, VOL. 29, PP. 4500-4511, 2014.
- [16] P. MANIVANNAN AND S. BHARATHIRAJA, "QI OPEN WIRELESS CHARGING STANDARD—A WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY FOR THE FUTURE," *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE*, VOL. 2, P. 7, 2013.
- [17] R. TRIGGS. (2013, 25 AUGUST). *QI VS A4WP: WAR OF THE WIRELESS CHARGING STANDARDS*. AVAILABLE: [HTTP://WWW.ANDROIDAUTHORITY.COM/QI-A4WP-WIRELESS-CHARGING-STANDARDS-190836/](http://www.androidauthority.com/qi-a4wp-wireless-charging-standards-190836/)
- [18] A. KURS, A. KARALIS, R. MOFFATT, J. D. JOANNOPOULOS, P. FISHER, AND M. SOLJAČIĆ, "WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER VIA STRONGLY COUPLED MAGNETIC RESONANCES," *SCIENCE*, VOL. 317, PP. 83-86, 2007.
- [19] M. KESLER, "HIGHLY RESONANT WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER: SAFE, EFFICIENT, AND OVER DISTANCE," WITRICITY CORPORATION 2013.

- [20] H. SHOKI, "ISSUES AND INITIATIVES FOR PRACTICAL DEPLOYMENT OF WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER TECHNOLOGIES IN JAPAN," *PROCEEDINGS OF THE IEEE*, VOL. 101, PP. 1312-1320, 2013.
- [21] T. M. FISHER, K. B. FARLEY, Y. GAO, H. BAI, AND Z. T. H. TSE, "ELECTRIC VEHICLE WIRELESS CHARGING TECHNOLOGY: A STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW OF MAGNETIC COUPLING SYSTEMS," *WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER*, VOL. 1, PP. 87-96, 2014.
- [22] L. XIE, Y. SHI, Y. T. HOU, AND A. LOU, "WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER AND APPLICATIONS TO SENSOR NETWORKS," *WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, IEEE*, VOL. 20, PP. 140-145, 2013.
- [23] A. P. SAMPLE, D. J. YEAGER, P. S. POWLEDGE, A. V. MAMISHEV, AND J. R. SMITH, "DESIGN OF AN RFID-BASED BATTERY-FREE PROGRAMMABLE SENSING PLATFORM," *INSTRUMENTATION AND MEASUREMENT, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON*, VOL. 57, PP. 2608-2615, 2008.
- [24] POWERCAST. (2012, POWERCAST EXCEEDS 25-YEAR BATTERY LIFE IN WIRELESS SENSORS FOR BUILDING MONITORING AND CONTROL. AVAILABLE: [HTTP://WWW.POWERCASTSENSORS.COM/POWERCAST-EXCEEDS-25-YEAR-BATTERY-LIFE-IN-WIRELESS-SENSORS-FOR-BUILDING-MONITORING-AND-CONTROL-20120111/](http://www.powercastsensors.com/powercast-exceeds-25-year-battery-life-in-wireless-sensors-for-building-monitoring-and-control-20120111/)
- [25] L. XIE, Y. SHI, Y. T. HOU, AND W. LOU, "WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER AND APPLICATIONS TO SENSOR NETWORKS," *IEEE WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS MAGAZINE*, AVAILABLE AT [HTTP://FILEBOX.VT.EDU/USERS/WINDGOON/PAPERS/WCM13.PDF](http://filebox.vt.edu/users/windgoon/papers/WCM13.pdf), 2013.
- [26] A. AHLBOM, U. BERGQVIST, J. BERNHARDT, J. CESARINI, M. GRANDOLFO, M. HIETANEN, A. MCKINLAY, M. REPACHOLI, D. SLINNEY, AND J. STOLWIJK, "GUIDELINES FOR LIMITING EXPOSURE TO TIME-VARYING ELECTRIC, MAGNETIC, AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS (UP TO 300 GHz). INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON NON-IONIZING RADIATION PROTECTION," *HEALTH PHYS*, VOL. 74, PP. 494-522, 1998.
- [27] WIRELESS POWER CONSORTIUM, "SYSTEM DESCRIPTION WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER LOW POWER PART 1," ED, 2013.
- [28] H. H. WU, A. GILCHRIST, K. SEALY, P. ISRAELSEN, AND J. MUHS, "A REVIEW ON INDUCTIVE CHARGING FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES," IN *IEEE INTERNATIONAL ELECTRIC MACHINES & DRIVES CONFERENCE (IEMDC)*, 2011, PP. 143-147.
- [29] J. KIM, H.-C. SON, K.-H. KIM, AND Y.-J. PARK, "EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER WITH INTERMEDIATE RESONANT COIL," *ANTENNAS AND WIRELESS PROPAGATION LETTERS, IEEE*, VOL. 10, PP. 389-392, 2011.
- [30] J.-W. KIM, H.-C. SON, D.-H. KIM, K.-H. KIM, AND Y.-J. PARK, "ANALYSIS OF WIRELESS ENERGY TRANSFER TO MULTIPLE DEVICES USING CMT," IN *MICROWAVE CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS (APMC), 2010 ASIA-PACIFIC, 2010*, PP. 2149-2152.
- [31] H. ALI, T. J. AHMAD, AND S. A. KHAN, "MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF AN INDUCTIVE LINK FOR OPTIMIZING EFFICIENCY," IN *INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS & APPLICATIONS, 2009. ISIEA 2009. IEEE SYMPOSIUM ON*, 2009, PP. 831-835.
- [32] R. MATIAS, B. CUNHA, AND R. MARTINS, "MODELING INDUCTIVE COUPLING FOR WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER TO INTEGRATED CIRCUITS," IN *WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER (WPT), 2013 IEEE*, 2013, PP. 198-201.
- [33] K. VAN SCHUYLENBERGH AND R. PUERS, *INDUCTIVE POWERING: BASIC THEORY AND APPLICATION TO BIOMEDICAL SYSTEMS*: SPRINGER, 2009.
- [34] S. RAJU, R. WU, M. CHAN, AND C. P. YUE, "MODELING OF MUTUAL COUPLING BETWEEN PLANAR INDUCTORS IN WIRELESS POWER APPLICATIONS," *POWER ELECTRONICS, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON*, VOL. 29, PP. 481-490, 2014.
- [35] S. WIELANDT AND N. STEVENS, "INFLUENCE OF MAGNETIC DESIGN CHOICES ON THE QUALITY FACTOR OF OFF-THE-SHELF WIRELESS POWER TRANSMITTER AND RECEIVER COILS," IN *WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER (WPT), 2013 IEEE*, 2013, PP. 151-54.
- [36] T. C. BEH, T. IMURA, M. KATO, AND Y. HORI, "BASIC STUDY OF IMPROVING EFFICIENCY OF WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER VIA MAGNETIC RESONANCE COUPLING BASED ON IMPEDANCE MATCHING," IN *INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS (ISIE), 2010 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON*, 2010, PP. 2011-2016.

- [37] B. JOHNS. (2011, 05/AUG/2013). AN INTRODUCTION TO THE WIRELESS POWER CONSORTIUM STANDARD AND TI'S COMPLIANT SOLUTIONS. AVAILABLE: [HTTP://WWW.TI.COM/LIT/AN/SLYT401/SLYT401.PDF](http://www.ti.com/lit/an/slyt401/slyt401.pdf)
- [38] K. MIZUNO, J. MIYAKOSHI, AND N. SHINOHARA, "IN VITRO EXPOSURE SYSTEM USING MAGNETIC RESONANT COUPLING WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER," *WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER*, VOL. 1, PP. 97-107, 2014.
- [39] W. G. HURLEY, W. H. WOLFLE, AND J. G. BRESLIN, "OPTIMIZED TRANSFORMER DESIGN: INCLUSIVE OF HIGH-FREQUENCY EFFECTS," *POWER ELECTRONICS, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON*, VOL. 13, PP. 651-659, 1998.
- [40] J. HAO, Z. JUNMIN, L. DI, K. K. CHAO, L. SHYSHENQ, H. SHAHNASSER, R. FECHTER, S. HIROSE, M. HARRISON, AND S. ROY, "A LOW-FREQUENCY VERSATILE WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER TECHNOLOGY FOR BIOMEDICAL IMPLANTS," *BIOMEDICAL CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON*, VOL. 7, PP. 526-535, 2013.
- [41] TEXUS INSTRUMENT, "LOW POWER WIRELESS POWER EVAL-uation KIT - BQTESLA100LP," ED, 2013.
- [42] I. INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY, "INDUSTRY'S FIRST MULTI-MODE WPC COMPLIANT WIRELESS POWER RECEIVER IC," IN *IDT P9030*, ED, 2013.
- [43] INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY INC., "INDUSTRY'S FIRST MULTI-MODE WPC COMPLIANT WIRELESS POWER RECEIVER IC," IN *IDT P9020*, ED, 2013.
- [44] M. SIMON. (2013, 25/AUG/2013). *BATTERY HARVESTING VERSUS ENERGY HARVESTING*. AVAILABLE: [HTTP://WWW.ECNMAG.COM/ARTICLES/2013/08/BATTERY-HARVESTING-VERSUS-ENERGY-HARVESTING](http://www.ecnmag.com/articles/2013/08/battery-harvesting-versus-energy-harvesting)
- [45] D. CERICOLA, P. RUCH, R. KÖTZ, P. NOVÁK, AND A. WOKAUN, "SIMULATION OF A SUPERCAPACITOR/LI-ION BATTERY HYBRID FOR PULSED APPLICATIONS," *JOURNAL OF POWER SOURCES*, VOL. 195, PP. 2731-2736, 2010.
- [46] C. ABBEY AND G. JOOS, "SUPERCAPACITOR ENERGY STORAGE FOR WIND ENERGY APPLICATIONS," *INDUSTRY APPLICATIONS, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON*, VOL. 43, PP. 769-776, 2007.
- [47] W. CHOI, W. HO, X. LIU, AND S. HUI, "COMPARATIVE STUDY ON POWER CONVERSION METHODS FOR WIRELESS BATTERY CHARGING PLATFORM," IN *POWER ELECTRONICS AND MOTION CONTROL CONFERENCE (EPE/PEMC), 2010 14TH INTERNATIONAL*, 2010, PP. S15-9-S15-16.
- [48] B. L. CANNON, J. F. HOBURG, D. D. STANCIL, AND S. C. GOLDSTEIN, "MAGNETIC RESONANT COUPLING AS A POTENTIAL MEANS FOR WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER TO MULTIPLE SMALL RECEIVERS," *POWER ELECTRONICS, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON*, VOL. 24, PP. 1819-1825, 2009.

BIBLIOGRAPHIES

Dr Qingfeng Xia obtained his PhD degree from the University of Manchester in March 2012. Currently, he is a research associate at the Department of Engineering Science, the University of Oxford. His research interests include fluid visualization, condition monitoring of turbomachines, and sensor and instrumentations.