

Complementary roles of two resilient Neotropical mammalian seed dispersers

Adriana de Almeida^{a,b,c}, Rebecca J. Morris^{b,d}, Owen T. Lewis^b, Sandra B. Mikich^{b,c,1}

^a Curso de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Animal. Instituto de Biociências, Letras e Ciências Exatas. Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho. Rua Cristóvão Colombo 2265, 15054-000, São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, Brasil.

^b Department of Zoology. The Tinbergen Building, South Parks Road. University of Oxford, OX1 3PS, Oxford, United Kingdom.

^c Embrapa Florestas. Estrada da Ribeira Km 111, CP: 319, 83411-000, Colombo, Paraná, Brasil.

^d Biological Sciences, Faculty of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Life Sciences Building 85, University of Southampton, Highfield Campus, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK.

¹ Corresponding author at: Embrapa Florestas. Estrada da Ribeira Km 111, CP: 319, 83411-000, Colombo, Paraná, Brasil. Phone number: +55 (41) 3675-5703

E-mail addresses: adri_dealmeida@hotmail.com (A. de Almeida), rebecca.morris@zoo.ox.ac.uk (R. J. Morris), owen.lewis@zoo.ox.ac.uk (O. T. Lewis), sandra.mikich@embrapa.br (S. B. Mikich).

ABSTRACT

Capuchin monkeys (*Cebus* spp. and *Sapajus* spp.) and coatis (*Nasua* spp.) coexist in most neotropical forests, including small forest remnants. Both capuchins and coatis eat fruit and disperse seeds, but little is known about whether their roles in seed dispersal are redundant or complementary. We compiled 49 studies from the literature on feeding by capuchins and/or coatis, of which 19 were comprehensive enough for our analyses. We determined the relative importance of fruit eating to each species and compared their diets. Additionally, we analysed the structure of three fruit–frugivore networks built with both animal groups and the fruits they eat and evaluated whether fruit traits influenced the network topology. Fruits represented the largest part of capuchin and coati diets, even though coatis have been known for their opportunistic and generalist diets. Capuchins and coatis also exhibited similar general diet parameters (niche breadth and trophic diversity). The three networks exhibited high connectance values and variable niche overlap. A Multiple Correspondence Analysis, failed to detect any trait or trait combination related to food use. In conclusion, capuchins and coatis both have generalist diets; they feed on many different species of fruits and exhibit important complementarity as seed dispersers. Both are likely to be particularly important seed dispersers in disturbed and fragmented forests.

Keywords: *Cebus*, Ecological networks, Frugivory, *Nasua*, *Sapajus*, Seed dispersal

1. Introduction

Plant–animal interactions are among the main processes that generate and maintain biodiversity (Odum and Barrett, 2008). In the tropics, mutualisms such as pollination and seed dispersal play a central role, with up to 90% of plant species dependent on animals for their reproduction (Howe and Smallwood, 1982; Jordano, 2000). Many plant species produce fruits with edible parts which attract frugivorous animals (Howe and Smallwood, 1982). These animals benefit from the interaction because the fruits act as an energy source, while the plants have their seeds carried away from parent plants, increasing their probability of recruitment (Howe and Miriti, 2004; Janzen, 1971). Seed dispersal by frugivores is a key process in plant population dynamics (Terborgh, 1995), and in highly fragmented landscapes it can increase the chances of restoration of degraded lands (Duncan and Chapman, 2002) and gene flow among natural vegetation patches (Jordano and Godoy, 2002).

However, medium and large-sized frugivores are becoming extinct or have extremely reduced populations in many tropical ecosystems (Wright et al., 2007), mainly as a result of hunting and habitat loss (Chiarello, 1999; Cullen Jr. et al., 2000; Peres and Palacios, 2007). This can impact ecosystem structure and dynamics due to the disruption of key interactions, including those related to seed dispersal (Donatti et al., 2011; Galetti et al., 2013; Galetti and Dirzo, 2013; Peres and van Roosmalen, 2002). In this scenario, some resilient seed dispersing species may become very important because they can provide continuity to essential processes in the forest (Alves-Costa and Eterovick, 2007).

Capuchin monkeys (Primates: Cebidae, genera *Cebus* Erxleben and *Sapajus* Kerr) and coatis (Carnivora: Procyonidae, genus *Nasua* Storr) are among the medium-bodied frugivores that can persist in small Neotropical forest fragments (Chiarello, 1999). These two mammal groups are omnivores, but fruits can comprise a major part of their diets, reaching up to 89% for

capuchins (Galetti and Pedroni, 1994; Janson, 1985; Mikich, 2001; Moscow and Vaughan, 1987; Rocha, 2001), and 72% for coatis (Aguar et al., 2011; Gompper, 1996; Mikich, 2001; Russell, 1983).

Capuchin monkeys are arboreal and social primates (Freese and Oppenheimer, 1981), which are widely distributed throughout the Neotropics. Species of the genus *Cebus* are found in the Amazon and Central America, while species of the genus *Sapajus* are distributed through South America, occupying a variety of habitat types, from dense rainforests and wetlands to areas of Cerrado and Caatinga (Freese and Oppenheimer, 1981; IUCN, 2013).

Coatis are scansorial (they can travel and forage on the ground but are also adapted for climbing trees, where they can forage, avoid predators, sleep and breed), social mammals, although adult males are solitary (Gompper, 1995; Gompper and Decker, 1998). The two extant species have non-overlapping distributions: *Nasua narica* (Linnaeus, 1766) in Central America and southern North America, and *Nasua nasua* (Linnaeus, 1766) in South America, from Colombia and Venezuela to Uruguay and Argentina (IUCN, 2016).

Capuchin monkeys and coatis are relatively common to abundant in Neotropical forests (Eisenberg and Thorington Jr., 1973; Gompper and Decker, 1998), and their geographical ranges overlap. Given their potential importance as frugivores in highly disturbed habitats, where large-seeded plant species may depend on only a few frugivorous species for seed dispersal (Alves-Costa and Eterovick, 2007; Vidal et al., 2013), information on their diets and interactions is valuable to inform forest conservation and restoration programmes. Due to their diets, habits and behaviour, it is expected that these two mammal groups will exhibit both similarities and dissimilarities regarding their ecological functions and interactions, even in shared habitats, which may affect the wider ecological community.

The mutualisms between animals and plants form a complex network of interactions (Bascompte and Jordano, 2007) affecting the structure and stability of a community (Maruyama et al., 2014; Vázquez et al., 2009). Plant ecological traits can shape the interactions between plants and animal visitors, constraining the number and identity of interacting species (Jordano et al., 2003), and therefore affecting the patterns of interactions or the network structures, both for plant–pollinator (Junker et al., 2013; Maglianesi et al., 2014; Maruyama et al., 2014; Schleuning et al., 2015; Vizentin-Bugoni et al., 2014) and frugivory and seed dispersal networks (Dehling et al., 2014; Gonzalez-Castro et al., 2015). Trait-based analyses have proven the importance of traits of interacting species for the dynamics of interactions, for example morphological matches between plants and their pollinators in pollination networks (Junker et al., 2013; Maglianesi et al., 2014). Morphological matches have also been shown to be important in determining community structure, as well as species abundances, for plant and avian–seed dispersal interactions (Gonzalez-Castro et al., 2015). However, studies incorporating the influence of species’ traits in structuring ecological networks are still scarce (Kissling and Schleuning, 2015), particularly for plant–seed dispersal systems. Furthermore, little is known about the role of species’ traits in structuring networks involving frugivore groups other than birds.

Thus, in this paper, our objectives were: (1) to determine the importance of fruits in the diets of capuchins and coatis, and the consistency of the diets of both groups across their geographical distribution; (2) to compare diet parameters between these two mammalian groups, and to investigate the possible causes of any differences; and (3) to identify key plant traits linked to these groups’ fruit preferences. We predicted that fruits should represent a lower fraction of coatis’ diets compared to capuchins’, considering their different life histories, foraging habits and general behaviour. Due to morphological and behavioural differences between the two groups, we expected diet breadth and diversity to differ, with capuchins

favouring fruit consumption over other items, and as a result exploiting a higher fruit species diversity than coatis. We also expected plant, fruit and/or seed traits to explain the trophic interaction structure for these two taxa. It is known that morphological traits of fruits, such as colour, fruit and seed sizes, and type of fruit, can be associated with character syndromes associated with different taxa (Gautier-Hion et al., 1985). Primates tend to favour bright or multicoloured and arillate fruit species while other mammals are particularly more attracted to dull-coloured, large, and fibrous fruit species (Gautier-Hion et al., 1985).

To test our predictions, we gathered data from a systematic literature review to evaluate frugivory by capuchin monkeys and coatis and their interactions with fruit plants over the Neotropical region. Additionally, for three case studies where detailed data were available on capuchin and coati feeding associations, we investigated fruit-frugivore network structure in order to evaluate the influence of fruit/plant traits on the use of these resources by capuchin monkeys and coatis.

2. Methods

2.1. Data compilation

We performed a broad review, using the *Web of Science* database (<http://apps.webofknowledge.com>), our own knowledge of the literature, dissertations, theses, and our own unpublished data about the diets of wild populations of capuchin monkeys and coatis. For capuchins, the search in the database was performed using the keywords [(“*Cebus*” OR “*Sapajus*”) AND “diet”], and all studies listed until August 2016 were analysed. For coatis, the investigation included all studies published until August 2016 that resulted from the search of the term “*Nasua*”. We did not use the “diet” filter in this last search because there were already few results and we did not want to miss any relevant

studies. In order to compare the diet parameters (trophic diversity and niche breadth) between the two mammalian groups, we selected diet studies that described all recognisable food items, and not just the dominant foods, conducted during ≥ 12 months, and where the relative frequencies of occurrence of the different items in their diet (e.g. fruit + seeds, non-fruit plant parts and animal matter) were reported or could be calculated. We used studies where the method could quantify the frequency of occurrence of different items as this tends to include even rare and important food sources (Robinson, 1986). We used original datasets whenever available, and secondary data when the original data were not accessible.

The taxonomy of both capuchins and plants can be controversial. For the capuchins, we followed the proposal of Alfaro *et al.* (2012a, 2012b). For plants, scientific names and classifications were verified according to The Plant List database (<http://www.theplantlist.org>).

2.2. Case studies

Despite a reasonable number of studies published on the diets and/or seed dispersal of both groups (35 studies for capuchin monkeys and 16 studies for coatis) and the considerable overlap in their geographical ranges, only two study sites had the diets of both groups investigated at the same time and with the same methodology (Mikich, 2001; Rocha, 2001). Additionally, one study site (Barro Colorado Island, Panama) had a number of independent, detailed and consistent observational studies on the diets of capuchins and coatis (Oppenheimer, 1968 apud Freese; Oppenheimer, 1981; Hladik & Hladik, 1969; Kaufmann, 1962; Russell, 1983), making it possible to combine data from different sources for some analyses.

Our network analyses therefore focused on these three case studies, where each study had the same sampling effort for capuchins and coatis within the study—although sampling effort was not consistent across studies—which we now describe in detail.

The first study system included data on *Sapajus nigritus* (Goldfuss, 1809) and *N. nasua* interacting with 101 plant species (S. B. Mikich, in prep.). This author collected the data in a protected area called Vila Rica do Espírito Santo State Park, which is located in the interior portion of the Atlantic forest of Parana state, southern Brazil, municipality of Fenix (23°54' S, 51°58' W; 440 m a.s.l.), hereafter named Fenix. The climate is subtropical (Cfa, according to the Köppen-Geiger classification; Kottek et al., 2006) with mean temperatures below 18°C during winter and above 22°C during summer; annual rainfall between 1400 and 1500 mm with twice as much rain in the summer than in the winter. The vegetation type is semi-deciduous seasonal forest in the form of a 354-ha fragment of old secondary forest (almost 400 years old and similar to primary forests according to Mikich and Silva, 2001) surrounded by an agricultural matrix. Although this fragment is protected, poaching occurs (Rocha-Mendes et al., 2005), but the main threats to wildlife are the hunting by domestic dogs, and the reduced size and isolation of the fragment (Mikich and Oliveira, 2003). Due to the scarcity of top predators and the abundance of food, the population of both capuchins and coatis have increased considerably (Mikich and Oliveira, 2003; Rocha-Mendes et al., 2005) so they are now among the most abundant mammal species within this protected area. The sampling methods were both direct observation *ad libitum* and faecal samples collected monthly between May 1990 and December 1999 along a trail system (9 km long) (Mikich, 2001). Each visual encounter with one feeding individual or group was taken as a feeding record, as was each item or plant species found in the faecal samples, making the visual and faecal data points equivalent in this case, totalling 5,808 feeding records by capuchin monkeys and 729 by coatis.

The second study system had the same monkey and coati species found in Fenix, interacting with 50 plant species (Rocha, 2001). It is a 680-ha protected area also located in Parana state, municipality of Londrina, called Mata dos Godoy State Park (23°27' S, 51°15' W; 700 m a.s.l.), hereafter named Godoy. The climate, vegetation type and surrounding matrix are all similar to Fenix. Godoy is a relatively well-preserved area and it is connected to neighbouring forest fragments with different levels of disturbances, totalling approximately 1,800 ha of forests (Rocha, 2001). According to Rocha (2001), capuchin monkeys and coatis are among the most commonly observed mammals in the area, which may be related to a low number of top predators. The author conducted monthly samplings between October 1993 and September 1994 and between April 1996 and March 1998. Data collection and counting of feeding records were the same employed in Fenix and totalled 241 feeding records by capuchin monkeys and 134 by coatis (Rocha, 2001).

The third study system is based on data presented in four different studies conducted in Barro Colorado Island, Panama (9°09' N, 79°51' W; 25 m a.s.l.), merged as a single dataset, hereafter named BCI. Barro Colorado is a 1,560-ha island formed following the construction of the Panama Canal, between 1911 and 1914, when the River Chagres was dammed (Croat, 1978). The climate is equatorial monsoon climate (Am, according to the Köppen-Geiger classification; Kottek et al., 2006), annual rainfall ranges from 190 to 360 mm. The vegetation type is semi-evergreen moist tropical forest (Croat, 1978). The island is composed of late secondary forest (Knight, 1975) and poaching is under control. *Cebus capucinus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *N. narica* are among the most abundant mid-sized fruit-eating mammals in the area (Wright et al., 1994). The first study was conducted by Kaufmann (1962) on *N. narica* between July 1958 and June 1960, when the author followed five groups of coatis during a total of 1,370 hours recording 19 consumed plant species through direct observation. The second study, conducted by Oppenheimer (1968 *apud* Freese and Oppenheimer, 1981) between March 1966

and August 1967, recorded 66 plant species in the diet of *C. capucinus* through direct observation. The third study, also on the diet of *C. capucinus*, was performed between November 1966 and January 1968 by Hladik and Hladik (1969). They followed the monkeys and recorded the consumption of 45 plant species also through direct observation. The last study was conducted by Russell (1983), who recorded feeding bouts in 24 fruit species by two habituated groups of *N. narica* during 14 months (between August and September 1975, June and October 1977 and January and July 1978). The combined dataset totalled 108 distinct plant species. Inevitably that there are some methodological limitations in combining these data into a single BCI network. Although the different studies were all sampled using direct observation by following habituated bands during long periods, they involved different sampling efforts, were conducted by different investigators, were not concurrent and had different durations. Study duration will also influence the size of the network and the plant species recorded and therefore this needs to be taken into consideration. Although the data were collected over an extended period, there was little change in the plant species recorded, or in the extent of forest on BCI. We therefore we decided that combining the data sets was appropriate for our purposes.

2.3. Plant traits

Using plant identities would make it difficult to perform further comparisons among the three case studies since there is little overlap in plant species, especially with BCI, due to geographical and climatic differences between the sites. Therefore, we instead used plant traits known to be important for frugivores, aiming to find key traits that might have been favoured by each mammal in the study sites. Plant life form, fruit type, fruit colour, fruit size, seed size, number of seeds per fruit were compiled for the 293 plant species found in the

studies based on published data (Croat, 1978; Jordano, 1995; Mikich and Silva, 2001; Wright et al., 2010). To perform the analyses, these traits were standardized as follows: five categories of plant life forms (climber; epiphyte; herb; shrub; and tree), seven categories of fruit types (aril; berry; drupe; fleshy aggregates; grain; pod; and samara), eight categories of fruit colours (black; brown; blue + purple; green; orange; red + burgundy; white; yellow), five categories of number of seeds per fruit (one = 1 seed; two = 2 seeds; three = 3 to 4 seeds; four = 5 to 10 seeds; and five = > 10 seeds), and five intervals of fruit sizes (one = [0, 6] mm; two =]6, 10] mm; three =]10, 20] mm; four =]20, 50] mm; and five =]50, ∞ [mm) and seed sizes (one = [0, 3] mm; two =]3, 6] mm; three =]6, 10] mm; four =]10, 20] mm; and five =]20, ∞ [mm).

2.4. Data analyses

To compare patterns in the composition and diversity of capuchin and coati diets, we calculated trophic diversity (Shannon's diversity index, H') and niche breadth (Levin's index, B) based on the relative percentage of occurrence of the main food categories (fruits and seeds, non-fruit plant parts, and animal matter) in their diets (see Zhou et al., 2011). These indexes were obtained for each study containing information on the proportion of different food items consumed. To assess differences in trophic diversity and niche breadth between capuchin and coati diets, we used t -tests.

Food web analyses were performed to describe the topology of the three case study communities with data on fruit consumption by both capuchins and coaties. Thus, these were sub-networks of the larger (unstudied) plant-frugivore networks at each site, restricted to the two focal frugivores and the fruit species they eat. These networks had only presence-absence data, allowing us to determine some qualitative characteristics. We used six metrics to describe the structure of the three networks: network size (S), connectance or connectivity (C),

mean number of links per species (\bar{L}_x), niche overlap (NO), modularity (M), and mean normalised degree of the species on the higher trophic level (ND). NO indicates the mean similarity in the pattern of interactions between species of the same trophic level. We calculated the NO between the species in the higher trophic level, in this case capuchins and coatis. It was determined by the *Horn* index (Dormann et al., 2009), and can vary from 0 (no niche overlap) to 1 (total niche overlap). Modularity is characterized by groups of species that are highly connected between them that have only a few connections with other species belonging to other groups in the network (Olesen et al., 2007). To calculate it we used Barber modularity index, M_B (Barber, 2007), which determines how many interactions a species has within its module, relative to those expected at random. ND is a measure of generalisation defined as the proportion of species a particular species interacts with, out of the total possible in the network (Martín González et al., 2010). Statistical significances of the indices C , \bar{L}_x , and NO were calculated after comparison with values obtained from 1000 random matrices, created based on the 'null model 2', where each simulated network is the same size of each empirical network and the probability of an interaction between one animal species and one plant species is proportional to the total number of interactions (Bascompte et al., 2003).

A Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA) was performed to identify patterns in the plant trait data for each of the three study cases. Prior to the MCA, we performed an imputation analysis to handle missing values (Josse and Husson, 2012) in the matrices of plant traits, since we were not able to find information in the literature for all traits concerning all plant species included in capuchin monkeys and coatis' diets. We did not include in the MCA those plant species with more than four traits with missing values. This method fills in missing values with plausible values using regularized iterative MCA algorithms in order to have a complete dataset that can be statistically analysed (Josse and Husson, 2012).

All statistical analyses, except M_B , were carried out in the statistical computing environment *R* 3.1.2 (R Core Team, 2014) using packages *R commander* 2.0-0 (Fox, 2005) for diet indices and t-tests, *bipartite* 2.02 (Dormann, 2011; Dormann et al., 2009, 2008) for food web and graph analysis, *missMDA* 1.7.2 (Josse and Husson, 2012) and *FactoMineR* 1.27 (Husson et al., 2014; Lê et al., 2008) for imputation and MCA. M_B and its statistical significance were calculated using the software *MODULAR* 0.21 (Marquitti et al., 2014).

3. Results

3.1. Overall diet

Data on the diet of wild capuchin monkeys, genera *Cebus* and *Sapajus*, were obtained from 35 studies: 29 peer-reviewed articles, three book chapters, one dissertation, one thesis, and one unpublished dataset. They included three *Cebus* (*C. albifrons* [Humboldt, 1812], *C. capucinus*, and *C. olivaceus* Schomburgk, 1848) and six *Sapajus* species (*S. apella* [Linnaeus, 1758], *S. cay* [Illiger, 1815], *S. libidinosus* [Spix, 1823], *S. macrocephalus* [Spix, 1823], *S. nigritus*, and *S. xanthosternos* [Wied-Neuwied, 1826]). There were 1,480 records of fruit consumption by these species involving 940 plant species. We found seven additional references related to occasional records of fruit consumption. Twelve out of 35 diet studies (one of which had information about two groups located in two different study sites) were conducted for ≥ 12 months and had the relative frequency of occurrence of the different items in capuchins' diet reported or with enough information to be calculated (Appendix).

Data on the diet of wild coatis (*N. narica* and *N. nasua*) were obtained from 16 studies: 10 peer-reviewed articles, one book chapter, one dissertation, three theses and one unpublished dataset. There were 414 records of fruit consumption by these species, involving 272 plant species. We found seventeen additional references related to occasional records of fruit

consumption. Ten out of 16 diet studies were conducted for ≥ 12 months and had the relative frequency of occurrence of the different items in coatis' diet reported or with enough information to be calculated (Appendix).

Fruits plus seeds and animal matter (comprised mainly of invertebrates) were the main food categories eaten by both capuchins and coatis (Table 1; Appendix). More infrequent food categories included non-fruit plant parts, such as leaves or roots, as well as crops/human waste.

Table 1. Dietary composition in capuchin monkeys and coatis in the neotropical region. Values correspond to median percentages (interquartile ranges).

	capuchins	coatis
N*	12	10
Fruits and seeds	47 (27)	49 (37)
Non-fruit plant parts	16 (21)	2 (6)
Animal matter	27 (17)	48 (25)

* Number of reviewed studies (see Appendix).

Average trophic diversity (H') and niche breadth (B) for capuchins were 1.14 (SD 0.25) and 2.78 (SD 0.86), respectively. The same indexes for coatis were 1.07 (SD 0.15) and 2.52 (SD 0.37), respectively. The two mammal groups did not differ significantly in H' ($t = 0.752$; $df = 18.4$; $P = 0.462$) or B ($t = 0.965$; $df = 15.4$; $P = 0.349$) (Fig. 1).




Figure 1. Comparisons of the mean values (\pm SE) of trophic diversity (a) and niche breadth (b) for capuchin monkeys and coatis.

Considering the geographical distribution of both groups, we found studies reporting the diet composition of capuchin monkeys in four different geographical regions: Amazonia ($n = 2$ studies), Coastal Atlantic Forest ($n = 1$), Interior Atlantic Forest ($n = 8$), and Pantanal ($n = 1$). Coatis were studied on their diet compositions in only two geographical regions: Interior Atlantic Forest ($n = 8$ studies), and Mesoamerica ($n = 2$) (Appendix).

3.2. Case studies

The three focal communities showed differences in their network structures (Table 2; and see Fig. 2). At Fenix, a much higher percentage of fruiting species was shared by the two mammal taxa (57%) compared to Godoy (20%) and BCI (16%). The number of links also differed considerably among communities (Table 2).

Table 2. Network metrics (S = size; \bar{L}_x = mean number of links per species; C = connectance; NO = niche overlap; ND_{ca} = mean normalised degree for capuchins; ND_{co} = mean normalised degree for coatis; and M_B = modularity) for three fruit–frugivore neotropical communities.

S	\bar{L}_x	C	NO	ND_{ca}	ND_{co}	M_B
-----	-------------	-----	------	-----------	-----------	-------


Fenix	103	1.54	0.79	0.73	0.90	0.34	0.18 ^{NS}
Godoy	52	1.15	0.60	0.33	0.86	0.36	0.31 ^{NS}
BCI	110	1.14	0.58	0.27	0.79	0.67	0.34 ^{NS}

NS stands for statistically non-significant metrics.

Connectance, mean number of links per species and niche overlap were all statistically significant when compared with null models for the three networks. Fenix network showed higher number of links, connectance values, and niche overlap between capuchins and coaties (Table 2). The normalised degree for capuchins did not vary greatly in the three networks but the same index for coaties had a greater variation among Fenix and the other networks (Table 2). None of the networks were significantly modular (Table 2).

3.3. Plant traits

We recorded a wide diversity of plant traits in the three studied communities (Fig. 2). Both mammal groups included in their diets fruits from each category of traits.



348

349 **Figure 3.** Multiple correspondence analysis representation of the individual plants (dots) and categories
350 of plant traits in the first two axes for each focal community: Fenix (a), Godoy (b), and BCI (c).

351

352 **4. Discussion**

353 Fruits comprised the major part of the diet of both capuchins and coatis throughout the
354 Neotropical region. Both groups presented similar values for niche breadth and trophic
355 diversity, meaning that the average variety of foods they ate in different sites was similar,
356 although animal matter was more frequently recorded for coatis. Coatis ingested a smaller
357 number of fruit species compared to capuchins in all three case studies, but numbers
358 increased with sampling effort, suggesting that long term studies are necessary to get a

comprehensive picture of the diets of these mammals. Accordingly, the communities taken as case studies differed in the number of shared plant species by coatis and capuchins, but diet overlap was higher for the system sampled for a longer period (Fenix). A novel approach using a MCA to evaluate plant ecological and morphological traits on fruit choice by capuchins and coatis failed to detect any trait or trait combination that could be directly related to food choice.

The large representation of fruits plus seeds and animal matter (mostly insects) in both capuchin and coati diets indicates a very flexible diet, which is known to be affected by seasonal availability of food types (Alves-Costa et al., 2004; Hirsch, 2009; Mikich and Liebsch, 2014; Peres, 1994), cultivated crops (Beisiegel and Mantovani, 2006; Freitas et al., 2008; Galetti and Pedroni, 1994; Ludwig et al., 2005; Mikich, 2001; Pérez and Pacheco, 2006), and other anthropogenic disturbances (Aguar et al., 2011; Ferreira et al., 2013; Sabbatini et al., 2008). This flexibility in diet is a possible explanation for the variation in feeding composition observed across the studies for each group.

Animal matter, especially arthropods, represented an important food source for coatis, being often chosen over fruits, although it may be more related to resource availability than to any feeding preference (Alves-Costa et al., 2004; Hirsch, 2009). Capuchins, on the other hand, consumed more non-fruit plant parts—as flowers, leaves and roots—than did the coatis. These monkeys have the ability to explore a wider range of different food items because of their manipulative skills and tool use (Boinski et al., 2000; Frigaszy et al., 1990; Mannu and Ottoni, 2009; Ottoni and Izar, 2008). Despite marked morphological and behavioural differences between the capuchins and coatis, they showed, on average, similar patterns of trophic diversity and niche breadth, confirming the overall generalist nature of their diets (Freese and Oppenheimer, 1981; Gompper, 1995; Gompper and Decker, 1998).

To date, there are few network studies documenting interactions between fruiting plants and generalist fruit-eating mammals (Bufalo et al., 2016; Hawes et al., 2013; Pires et al., 2014; Stevenson et al., 2015; Vidal et al., 2013). The three networks analysed had higher connectance values when compared with other fruit-frugivore networks such as bat– or bird–fruit networks with mean *C* values of 0.30 and 0.22, respectively (Mello et al., 2011). In fact, previous analyses of food webs found that small webs dominated by generalist omnivores tended to be those with higher connectance values (Dunne et al., 2002), as in our study. Niche overlap between the diets of coatis and capuchins regarding fruit species composition was particularly low in BCI and Godoy. Thus, the proportion of fruit species shared by the two taxa differed among the three communities, but in general most species were consumed by only one mammalian species. However, as already stated, sampling effort, especially study duration in years, may be affecting the results.

Since capuchins and coatis have been shown to disperse the seeds of most fruit species they eat (Alves-Costa and Eterovick, 2007; Mikich et al., 2015; Valenta and Fedigan, 2008; Wehncke and Domínguez, 2007), these two groups are likely to be important seed dispersers in disturbed habitats. Considering the differences observed in their diets and reported differences in movement patterns (Hirsch et al., 2013), they should have complementary roles in seed dispersal at the study sites (Schleuning et al., 2015). The normalised degree index indicates the generalisation of species (Martín González et al., 2010). In all three areas capuchins achieved high normalised degree values and included a wide range of fruit species in their diets, so the vast majority of fruit species available (considering what was eaten by both capuchins and coatis in the same community) were eaten by these primates. In turn, coatis showed high variation across communities in the quantity of fruit species eaten, in relation to the number of species available (also considering the total amount of species eaten by both taxa), which was highlighted by the contrasting values of normalised degree observed. Our

results indicate that coatids are very flexible regarding the fruits included in their diet suggesting that fruit choice is related to availability.

Plant traits of the species eaten by capuchins and coatids at Fenix, Godoy and BCI were variable, including different plant abundances, life forms, number of seeds per fruit, seed sizes, and fruit types, colours and sizes. We did not detect any trait or trait combination closely linked to fruit choice by either coatids or capuchins. Due to their low dietary specialisation and relatively large size, capuchins and coatids appear to use a broad variety of fruit. In tropical ecosystems, frugivores have been found to have generalized roles in networks because they require a high diversity of fruit plants (Dalsgaard et al., 2017). This contrasts with more specialized temperate fruit–frugivore systems, such as plant–bird networks, where particular fruit traits (e.g. fruit size) can constrain fruit choice, simply due to beak size determining the size of seed that can be consumed (Dehling et al., 2014). Future studies should focus on comparing mammals with contrasting levels of frugivory and diet specialization, in order to evaluate the effect of plant and fruit traits on diet composition and, consequently, on the structure of the community.

Whilst we did not find any diet restrictions caused by plant traits, capuchins and coatids have different life histories, which in turn influences their nutritional demands and diet compositions (Hirsch, 2009; Janson et al., 2012). Additionally, differences in terms of movement patterns and foraging patterns (Hirsch et al., 2013) may help to explain the differences observed in their frugivorous diets. Coatids are scansorial, but they forage primarily on the ground where they eat fallen fruits among other items (Hirsch et al., 2013; Russell, 1983), while capuchins are primarily arboreal and feed in the forest canopy (Hirsch et al., 2013). Capuchins also have handling skills and tool use ability, which allows them to exploit a large range of fruits and seeds (Boinski et al., 2000).

Habitat loss and fragmentation can directly affect mammalian assemblages in disturbed habitats, particularly for frugivores (Chiarello, 1999). Coatis and capuchins can persist in relatively small and disturbed habitats, and even benefit from anthropogenic disturbances, persisting in sites where other mid-sized and large mammals cannot (Alves-Costa and Eterovick, 2007; Chiarello, 1999). Although there is some level of redundancy in seed dispersal by coatis and capuchins with other medium-sized mammals and large birds (Alves-Costa and Eterovick, 2007; Mikich, 2001), there still are a considerable proportion of fruit species that rely on these animals for seed dispersal. Therefore, these two groups are likely to perform key roles in forest maintenance and restoration through seed dispersal in such habitats. Our study was unfortunately unable to directly assess the level of seed dispersal caused by capuchins and coatis, however, since capuchins and coatis can disperse the seeds of most fruit species they eat (Alves-Costa and Eterovick, 2007; Mikich et al., 2015; Valenta and Fedigan, 2008; Wehncke and Domínguez, 2007), we can infer that seed dispersal is likely to be highly correlated with fruit consumption.

Our study is an important initial attempt to investigate the interactions between capuchins, coatis, and the fruit species they eat and disperse, using a network and trait based approach. These taxa alone do not reflect the entirety of mutualistic interactions occurring in an intact forest where a large assemblage of frugivores may share and compete for the same resources. Nevertheless, taking into account the ongoing fragmentation and habitat loss throughout the Neotropical region (Dirzo and Raven, 2003; Laurance, 2007; Myers et al., 2000; Silva and Tabarelli, 2000), and their complementary seed dispersal services in disturbed habitats, capuchins and coatis are likely to play an essential role in Neotropical forest maintenance and recovery.

Author contributions – All authors conceived and designed the research; AA conducted the data collection; AA and RJM performed the analyzes; all authors discussed the results; AA wrote the manuscript; and RJM, SBM and OTL edited the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Ana C. Franken and Juliana B. Ruim, for their assistance in literature review, and to S. Joseph Wright, for providing plant trait data from BCI. Financial support was provided by Embrapa through a post-doctoral scholarship to S. B. Mikich and by CAPES Foundation through both a PDSE scholarship (Process no. 99999.012756/2013-00) and a doctorate scholarship to A. de Almeida.

Appendix

Relative frequency of occurrence of food items in the diets of capuchin monkeys (genera *Cebus* and *Sapajus*) and coatis (genus *Nasua*) in the neotropical region.

Mammal Species	Region ¹	Sampling method	Fruits plus seeds	Non-fruit plant parts	Crops	Animal matter	References
<i>C. olivaceus</i>	AM	visual	53	9	0	38	(Robinson, 1986)
<i>S. cay</i>	PT	visual	3	72	0	25	(Brown and Zunino, 1990)
<i>S. libidinosus</i>	IA	visual*	52	5	30	9	(Freitas et al., 2008)
<i>S. macrocephalus</i>	AM	visual	41	14	0	45	(Stevenson et al., 2000)
<i>S. nigritus</i>	IA	visual	37	23	0	40	(Brown and Zunino, 1990)
<i>S. nigritus</i>	IA	visual	69	18	13	0	(Galetti and Pedroni, 1994)
<i>S. nigritus</i>	IA	visual/ faeces	66	6	6	23	(Ludwig et al., 2005)
<i>S. nigritus</i>	IA	faeces	18	40	12	30	**
<i>S. nigritus</i>	IA	faeces	58	6	7	29	(Mikich, 2001)

<i>S. nigritus</i>	IA	visual	20	36	20	24	(Rímoli et al., 2008)
<i>S. nigritus</i>	IA	visual/ faeces	89	8	1	2	(Rocha, 2001)
<i>S. xanthosternos</i>	CA	visual	38	26	0	36	(Canale and Bernardo, 2016)
<i>N. narica</i>	ME	visual	60	0	0	40	(Gompper, 1996)
<i>N. narica</i>	ME	faeces	46	0	0	54	(Valenzuela, 1998)
<i>N. nasua</i>	IA	stomach content	20	7	0	73	(Aguiar et al., 2011)
<i>N. nasua</i>	IA	faeces	63	17	0	20	(Amaral, 2007)
<i>N. nasua</i>	IA	faeces	39	0	0	61	(Bianchi et al., 2014)
<i>N. nasua</i>	IA	faeces	11	9	21	59	(Ferreira et al., 2013)
<i>N. nasua</i>	IA	visual	52	0	5	43	(Hirsch, 2009)
<i>N. nasua</i>	IA	faeces	66	5	3	26	(Mikich, 2001)
<i>N. nasua</i>	IA	visual/ faeces	63	4	0	34	(Rocha, 2001)
<i>N. nasua</i>	IA	faeces	9	0	5	86	(Rocha-Mendes et al., 2010)

¹ AM: Amazonia; CA: Coastal Atlantic; IA: Interior Atlantic; ME: Mesoamerica; PT: Pantanal.

* In this case 4% of food items were not identified. ** S.B. Mikich *et al.*, unpublished

References

- Aguiar, L.M., Moro-Rios, R.F., Silvestre, T., Silva-Pereira, J.E., Bilski, D.R., Passos, F.C., Sekiama, M.L., Rocha, V.J., 2011. Diet of brown-nosed coatis and crab-eating raccoons from a mosaic landscape with exotic plantations in southern Brazil. *Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ.* 46, 153–161. doi:10.1080/01650521.2011.640567
- Alfaro, J.W.L., Boubli, J.P., Olson, L.E., Di Fiore, A., Wilson, B., Gutiérrez-Espeleta, G.A., Chiou, K.L., Schulte, M., Neitzel, S., Ross, V., Schwochow, D., Nguyen, M.T.T., Farias, I., Janson, C.H., Alfaro, M.E., 2012a. Explosive Pleistocene range expansion leads to widespread Amazonian sympatry between robust and gracile capuchin monkeys. *J. Biogeogr.* 39, 272–288. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2011.02609.x
- Alfaro, J.W.L., Silva, J. de E.S.E.S.E., Rylands, A.B., 2012b. How different are robust and gracile

484 capuchin monkeys? An argument for the use of *Sapajus* and *Cebus*. *Am. J. Primatol.* 74,
485 273–86. doi:10.1002/ajp.22007

486 Alves-Costa, C.P., Eterovick, P.C., 2007. Seed dispersal services by coatis (*Nasua nasua*,
487 Procyonidae) and their redundancy with other frugivores in southeastern Brazil. *Acta*
488 *Oecologica* 32, 77–92. doi:10.1016/j.actao.2007.03.001

489 Alves-Costa, C.P., Fonseca, G.A.B. Da, Christófar, C., 2004. Variation in the diet of the brown-
490 nosed coati (*Nasua nasua*) in southeastern Brazil. *J. Mammal.* 85, 478–482.
491 doi:10.1644/1545-1542(2004)085<0478:VITDOT>2.0.CO;2

492 Amaral, C., 2007. Dieta de duas espécies carnívoras simpátricas graxaim-do-mato *Cerdocyon*
493 *thous* (Linnaeus, 1766) e quati *Nasua nasua* (Linnaeus, 1766) nos municípios de Tijucas do
494 Sul e Agudos do Sul, estado do Paraná. Universidade Federal do Paraná.

495 Barber, M.J., 2007. Modularity and community detection in bipartite networks. *Phys. Rev. E* 76,
496 1–11. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.76.066102

497 Bascompte, J., 2010. Structure and dynamics of ecological networks. *Science* (80-.). 329, 765–
498 766. doi:10.1126/science.1194255

499 Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., 2007. Plant-animal mutualistic networks: The architecture of
500 biodiversity. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 38, 567–593.
501 doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095818

502 Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., Melián, C.J., Olesen, J.M., 2003. The nested assembly of plant-
503 animal mutualistic networks. *PNAS* 100, 9383–9387. doi:10.1073/pnas.1633576100

504 Beisiegel, B.M., Mantovani, W., 2006. Habitat use, home range and foraging preferences of the
505 coati *Nasua nasua* in a pluvial tropical Atlantic forest area. *J. Zool.* 269, 77–87.
506 doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.2006.00083.x

507 Bianchi, R. de C., Campos, R.C., Xavier-Filho, N.L., Olifiers, N., Gompper, M.E., Mourão, G.,
 508 2014. Intraspecific, interspecific, and seasonal differences in the diet of three mid-sized
 509 carnivores in a large neotropical wetland. *Acta Theriol. (Warsz)*. 59, 13–23.
 510 doi:10.1007/s13364-013-0137-x

511 Boinski, S., Quatrone, R.P., Swartz, H., 2000. Substrate and tool use by brown capuchins in
 512 Suriname: ecological contexts and cognitive bases. *Am. Anthropol.* 102, 741–761.

513 Brown, A.D., Zunino, G.E., 1990. Dietary variability in *Cebus apella* in extreme habitats:
 514 evidence for adaptability. *Folia Primatol.* 54, 187–195. doi:10.1159/000156443

515 Bufalo, F.S., Galetti, M., Culot, L., 2016. Seed dispersal by primates and implications for the
 516 conservation of a biodiversity hotspot, the Atlantic Forest of South America. *Int. J.*
 517 *Primatol.* 37, 333–349. doi:10.1007/s10764-016-9903-3

518 Canale, G.R., Bernardo, C.S.S., 2016. Predator-prey interaction between two threatened
 519 species in a Brazilian hotspot. *Biota Neotrop.* 16, e0059. doi:10.1590/1676-0611-BN-
 520 2015-0059

521 Chiarello, A.G., 1999. Effects of fragmentation of the Atlantic forest on mammal communities
 522 in south-eastern Brazil. *Biol. Conserv.* 89, 71–82.

523 Croat, T.B., 1978. *Flora of Barro Colorado Island*. Stanford University Press, Stanford.

524 Cullen Jr., L., Bodmer, R.E., Pádua, C.V., 2000. Effects of hunting in habitat fragments of the
 525 Atlantic forests, Brazil. *Biol. Conserv.* 95, 49–56. doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00011-2

526 Dalsgaard, B., Schleuning, M., Maruyama, P.K., Dehling, D.M., Sonne, J., Vizentin-Bugoni, J.,
 527 Zanata, T.B., Fjeldså, J., Böhning-Gaese, K., Rahbek, C., 2017. Opposed latitudinal patterns
 528 of network-derived and dietary specialization in avian plant-frugivore interaction
 529 systems. *Ecography (Cop.)*. doi:10.1111/ecog.02604

530 Dehling, D.M., Töpfer, T., Schaefer, H.M., Jordano, P., Böhning-Gaese, K., Schleuning, M., 2014.
 531 Functional relationships beyond species richness patterns: trait matching in plant-bird
 532 mutualisms across scales. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 23, 1085–1093. doi:10.1111/geb.12193
 533 Dirzo, R., Raven, P.H., 2003. Global state of biodiversity and loss. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*
 534 28, 137–167. doi:10.1146/annurev.energy.28.050302.105532
 535 Donatti, C.I., Guimarães, P.R., Galetti, M., Pizo, M.A., Marquitti, F.M.D., Dirzo, R., 2011.
 536 Analysis of a hyper-diverse seed dispersal network: Modularity and underlying
 537 mechanisms. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 773–781. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01639.x
 538 Dormann, C.F., 2011. How to be a specialist? Quantifying specialisation in pollination networks.
 539 *Netw. Biol.* 1, 1–20.
 540 Dormann, C.F., Fründ, J., Blüthgen, N., Gruber, B., 2009. Indices, graphs and null models:
 541 analyzing bipartite ecological networks. *Open Ecol. J.* 2, 7–24.
 542 Dormann, C.F., Gruber, B., Fründ, J., 2008. Introducing the bipartite Package: Analysing
 543 Ecological Networks. *R news* 8, 8–11.
 544 Duncan, R.S., Chapman, C.A., 2002. Limitations of animal seed dispersal for enhancing forest
 545 succession on degraded lands, in: Levey, D.J., Silva, W.R., Galetti, M. (Eds.), *Seed Dispersal*
 546 *and Frugivory: Ecology, Evolution and Conservation*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp.
 547 437–450.
 548 Dunne, J.A., Williams, R.J., Martinez, N.D., 2002. Food-web structure and network theory: The
 549 role of connectance and size. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 12917–12922.
 550 doi:10.1073/pnas.192407699
 551 Eisenberg, J.F., Thorington Jr., R.W., 1973. A preliminary analysis of a neotropical mammal
 552 fauna. *Biotropica* 5, 150–161.

553 Ferreira, G.A., Nakano-Oliveira, E., Genaro, G., Lacerda-Chaves, A.K., 2013. Diet of the coati
554 *Nasua nasua* (Carnivora: Procyonidae) in an area of woodland inserted in an urban
555 environment in Brazil. *Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat.* 86, 95–102.

556 Fox, J., 2005. The R commander: A basic statistics graphical user interface to R. *J. Stat. Softw.*
557 14, 1–42.

558 Fragaszy, D.M., Visalberghi, E., Robinson, J.G., 1990. Variability and adaptability in the genus
559 *Cebus*. *Folia Primatol.* 54, 114–118.

560 Freese, C.H., Oppenheimer, J.R., 1981. The capuchin monkeys, genus *Cebus*, in: Coimbra-Filho,
561 A.F., Mittermeier, R.M.M. (Eds.), *Ecology and Behavior of Neotropical Primates*.
562 Academia Brasileira de Ciências, Rio de Janeiro, pp. 331–390.

563 Freitas, C.H. De, Setz, E.Z.F., Araújo, A.R.B., Gobbi, N., 2008. Agricultural crops in the diet of
564 bearded capuchin monkeys, *Cebus libidinosus* Spix (Primates: Cebidae), in forest
565 fragments in southeast Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Zool.* 25, 32–39. doi:10.1590/S0101-
566 81752008000100006

567 Galetti, M., Dirzo, R., 2013. Ecological and evolutionary consequences of living in a defaunated
568 world. *Biol. Conserv.* 163, 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.04.020

569 Galetti, M., Guevara, R., Cortes, M.C., Fadini, R., Von Matter, S., Leite, A.B., Labacca, F., Ribeiro,
570 T., Carvalho, C.S., Collevatti, R.G., Pires, M.M., Guimaraes, P.R., Brancalion, P.H., Ribeiro,
571 M.C., Jordano, P., 2013. Functional extinction of birds drives rapid evolutionary changes
572 in seed size. *Science* (80-.). 340, 1086–1090. doi:10.1126/science.1233774

573 Galetti, M., Pedroni, F., 1994. Seasonal diet of capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*) in a
574 semideciduous forest in south-east Brazil. *J. Trop. Ecol.* 10, 27–39.

575 Gautier-Hion, A., Duplantier, J.-M., Quris, R., Feer, F., Sourd, C., Decoux, J.-P., Dubost, G.,

576 Emmons, L., Erard, C., Hecketsweiler, P., Moun-gazi, A., Roussilhon, C., Thiollay, J.-M.,
577 1985. Fruit characters as a basis of fruit choice and seed dispersal in a tropical forest
578 vertebrate community. *Oecologia* 65, 324–337.

579 Gompper, M.E., 1996. Sociality and asociality in white-nosed coatis (*Nasua narica*): foraging
580 costs and benefits. *Behav. Ecol.* 7, 254–263. doi:10.1093/beheco/7.3.254

581 Gompper, M.E., 1995. *Nasua narica*. *Mamm. Species* 487, 1–10.

582 Gompper, M.E., Decker, D.M., 1998. *Nasua nasua*. *Mamm. Species* 580, 1–9.

583 Gonzalez-Castro, A., Yang, S., Nogales, M., Carlo, T.A., 2015. Relative importance of phenotypic
584 trait matching and species' abundances in determining plant-avian seed dispersal
585 interactions in a small insular community. *AoB Plants* 7, plv017.
586 doi:10.1093/aobpla/plv017

587 Hawes, J.E., Calouro, A.M., Peres, C.A., 2013. Sampling effort in neotropical primate diet
588 studies: Collective gains and underlying geographic and taxonomic biases. *Int. J. Primatol.*
589 34, 1081–1104. doi:10.1007/s10764-013-9738-0

590 Hirsch, B.T., 2009. Seasonal variation in the diet of ring-tailed coatis (*Nasua nasua*) in Iguazu,
591 Argentina. *J. Mammal.* 90, 136–143. doi:10.1644/08-MAMM-A-050.1

592 Hirsch, B.T., Tujague, M.P., Di Blanco, Y.E., Di Bitetti, M.S., Janson, C.H., 2013. Comparing
593 capuchins and coatis: causes and consequences of differing movement ecology in two
594 sympatric mammals. *Anim. Behav.* 86, 331–338. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.05.023

595 Hladik, A., Hladik, C.M., 1969. Rapports trophiques entre végétation et Primates dans la forêt
596 de Barro Colorado (Panama). *Rev. d'Écologie (La Terre La Vie)* 23, 25–117.

597 Howe, H.F., Miriti, M.N., 2004. When seed dispersal matters. *Bioscience* 54, 651–660.
598 doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0651:wsdm]2.0.co;2

599 Howe, H.F., Smallwood, J., 1982. Ecology of seed dispersal. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 13, 201–
600 228. doi:10.1146/annurev.es.13.110182.001221

601 Husson, F., Josse, J., Lê, S., Mazet, J., 2014. FactoMineR: Multivariate Exploratory Data Analysis
602 and Data Mining with R. R package version 1.27.

603 IUCN, 2016. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016-1.

604 IUCN, 2013. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.2.

605 Janson, C.H., 1985. Aggressive competition and individual food consumption in wild brown
606 capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 18, 125–138.
607 doi:10.1007/BF00299041

608 Janson, C.H., Baldovino, M.C., Di Bitetti, M., 2012. The Group Life Cycle and Demography of
609 Brown Capuchin Monkeys (*Cebus [apella] nigratus*) in Iguazú National Park, Argentina, in:
610 Kappeler, P.M., Watts, D.P. (Eds.), *Long-Term Field Studies of Primates*. Springer Berlin
611 Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 185–212. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-22514-7_9

612 Janzen, D.H., 1971. Seed predation by animals. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 2, 465–492.
613 doi:10.1146/annurev.es.02.110171.002341

614 Jordano, P., 2000. Fruits and frugivory, in: Fenner, M. (Ed.), *Seeds: The Ecology of Regeneration*
615 in Plant Communities. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp. 125–166.

616 Jordano, P., 1995. Angiosperm fleshy fruits and seed dispersers: a comparative analysis of
617 adaptation and constraints in plant-animal interactions. *Am. Nat.* 145, 163–191.
618 doi:10.1086/285735

619 Jordano, P., Bascompte, J., Olesen, J.M., 2003. Invariant properties in coevolutionary networks
620 of plant-animal interactions. *Ecol. Lett.* 6, 69–81. doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00403.x

621 Jordano, P., Godoy, J.A., 2002. Frugivore-generated seed shadows: a landscape view of
 622 demographic and genetic effects, in: Levey, D.J., Silva, W.R., Galetti, M. (Eds.), *Seed*
 623 *Dispersal and Frugivory: Ecology, Evolution and Conservation*. CABI Publishing,
 624 Wallingford, pp. 305–321.

625 Josse, J., Husson, F., 2012. Handling missing values in exploratory multivariate data analysis
 626 methods. *J. la Société Française Stat.* 153, 79–99.

627 Junker, R.R., Blüthgen, N., Brehm, T., Binkenstein, J., Paulus, J., Schaefer, H.M., Stang, M.,
 628 2013. Specialization on traits as basis for the niche-breadth of flower visitors and as
 629 structuring mechanism of ecological networks. *Funct. Ecol.* 27, 329–341.
 630 doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12005

631 Kaufmann, J.H., 1962. Ecology and social behavior of the coati, *Nasua narica*, of Barro Colorado
 632 Island, Panama. *Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool.* 60, 95–222.

633 Kissling, W.D., Schleuning, M., 2015. Multispecies interactions across trophic levels at
 634 macroscales: retrospective and future directions. *Ecography (Cop.)*. 38, 346–357.
 635 doi:10.1111/ecog.00819

636 Knight, D.H., 1975. A phytosociological analysis of species-rich tropical forest on Barro
 637 Colorado Island, Panama. *Ecol. Monogr.* 45, 259–284. doi:10.2307/1942424

638 Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B., Rubel, F., 2006. World map of the Köppen-Geiger
 639 climate classification updated. *Meteorol. Zeitschrift* 15, 259–263. doi:10.1127/0941-
 640 2948/2006/0130

641 Laurance, W.F., 2007. Have we overstated the tropical biodiversity crisis? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 22,
 642 65–70. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2006.09.014

643 Lê, S., Josse, J., Husson, F., 2008. FactoMineR: An R package for multivariate analysis. *J. Stat.*

644 Softw. 25, 1–18.

645 Ludwig, G., Aguiar, L.M., Rocha, V.J., 2005. Uma avaliação da dieta, da área de vida e das
646 estimativas populacionais de *Cebus nigritus* (Goldfuss, 1809) em um fragmento florestal
647 no norte do estado do Paraná. *Neotrop. Primates* 13, 12–18.

648 Maglianesi, M.A., Blüthgen, N., Böhning-Gaese, K., Schleuning, M., 2014. Morphological traits
649 determine specialization and resource use in plant-hummingbird networks in the
650 neotropics. *Ecology* 95, 3325–3334. doi:10.1890/13-2261.1.sm

651 Mannu, M., Ottoni, E.B., 2009. The enhanced tool-kit of two groups of wild bearded capuchin
652 monkeys in the Caatinga: tool making, associative use, and secondary tools. *Am. J.*
653 *Primatol.* 71, 242–251. doi:10.1002/ajp.20642

654 Marquitti, F.M.D., Guimarães Jr., P.R., Pires, M.M., Bittencourt, L.F., 2014. MODULAR: Software
655 for the autonomous computation of modularity in large network sets. *Ecography (Cop.)*.
656 37, 221–224. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2013.00506.x

657 Martín González, A.M., Dalsgaard, B., Olesen, J.M., 2010. Centrality measures and the
658 importance of generalist species in pollination networks. *Ecol. Complex.* 7, 36–43.
659 doi:10.1016/j.ecocom.2009.03.008

660 Maruyama, P.K., Vizentin-Bugoni, J., Oliveira, G.M., Oliveira, P.E., Dalsgaard, B., 2014.
661 Morphological and spatio-temporal mismatches shape a Neotropical savanna plant-
662 hummingbird network. *Biotropica* 46, 740–747. doi:10.1111/btp.12170

663 Mello, M.A.R., Marquitti, F.M.D., Guimarães, P.R., Kalko, E.K.V., Jordano, P., de Aguiar, M.A.M.,
664 2011. The modularity of seed dispersal: Differences in structure and robustness between
665 bat- and bird-fruit networks. *Oecologia* 167, 131–140. doi:10.1007/s00442-011-1984-2

666 Mikich, S.B., 2001. Frugivoria e dispersão de sementes em uma pequena reserva isolada do

667 Estado do Paraná, Brasil. Universidade Federal do Paraná.

668 Mikich, S.B., Liebsch, D., 2014. Damage to forest plantations by tufted capuchins (*Sapajus*
669 *nigratus*): Too many monkeys or not enough fruits? *For. Ecol. Manage.* 314, 9–16.
670 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.11.026

671 Mikich, S.B., Liebsch, D., de Almeida, A., Miyazaki, R.D., 2015. O papel do macaco-prego
672 *Sapajus nigratus* na dispersão de sementes e no controle potencial de insetos-praga em
673 cultivos agrícolas e florestais, in: Parron, L.M., Garcia, J.R., Oliveira, E.B. de, Brown, G.G.,
674 Prado, R.B. (Eds.), *Serviços Ambientais Em Sistemas Agrícolas E Florestais Do Bioma Mata*
675 *Atlântica*. Embrapa, Brasília, pp. 257–265.

676 Mikich, S.B., Oliveira, K.L. de, 2003. Revisão do plano de manejo do Parque Estadual Vila Rica
677 do Espírito Santo, Fênix – PR. *Mater Natura - Instituto de Estudos Ambientais / Fundo*
678 *Nacional do Meio Ambiente*, Curitiba/PR.

679 Mikich, S.B., Silva, S.M., 2001. Composição florística e fenologia das espécies zoocóricas de
680 remanescentes de floresta estacional semidecidual no centro-oeste do Paraná, Brasil.
681 *Acta Bot. Brasilica* 15, 89–113. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-33062001000100010

682 Moscow, D., Vaughan, C., 1987. Troop movement and food habits of white-faced monkeys in a
683 tropical-dry forest. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* 35, 287–297.

684 Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., da Fonseca, G.A.B., Kent, J., 2000. Biodiversity
685 hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403, 853–858. doi:10.1038/35002501

686 Odum, E.P., Barrett, G.W., 2008. *Fundamentos de Ecologia*, 5th ed. Cengage Learning, São
687 Paulo.

688 Olesen, J.M., Bascompte, J., Dupont, Y.L., Jordano, P., 2007. The modularity of pollination
689 networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 19891–19896. doi:10.1073/pnas.0706375104

690 Ottoni, E.B., Izar, P., 2008. Capuchin monkey tool use: Overview and implications. *Evol.*
 691 *Anthropol.* 17, 171–178. doi:10.1002/evan.20185
 692 Peres, C.A., 1994. Primate responses to phenological changes in an Amazonian Terra Firme
 693 Forest. *Biotropica* 26, 98. doi:10.2307/2389114
 694 Peres, C.A., Palacios, E., 2007. Basin-wide effects of game harvest on vertebrate population
 695 densities in Amazonian forests: implications for animal-mediated seed dispersal.
 696 *Biotropica* 39, 304–315.
 697 Peres, C.A., van Roosmalen, M., 2002. Primate frugivory in two species-rich neotropical forests:
 698 implications for the demography of large-seeded plants in overhunted areas, in: Levey,
 699 D.J., Silva, W.R., Galetti, M. (Eds.), *Seed Dispersal and Frugivory: Ecology, Evolution and*
 700 *Conservation*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp. 407–421.
 701 Pérez, E., Pacheco, L.F., 2006. Damage by large mammals to subsistence crops within a
 702 protected area in a montane forest of Bolivia. *Crop Prot.* 25, 933–939.
 703 doi:10.1016/j.cropro.2005.12.005
 704 Pires, M.M., Galetti, M., Donatti, C.I., Pizo, M.A., Dirzo, R., Guimarães, P.R., 2014.
 705 Reconstructing past ecological networks: the reconfiguration of seed-dispersal
 706 interactions after megafaunal extinction. *Oecologia* 175, 1247–1256.
 707 doi:10.1007/s00442-014-2971-1
 708 R Core Team, 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Version 3.1.2.
 709 Rímoli, J., Strier, K.B., Ferrari, S.F., 2008. Seasonal and longitudinal variation in the behavior of
 710 free-ranging black tufted capuchins *Cebus nigratus* (Goldfuss, 1809) in a fragment of
 711 Atlantic Forest in Southeastern Brazil, in: Ferrari, S.F., Rímoli, J. (Eds.), *A Primatologia No*
 712 *Brasil*. Sociedade Brasileira de Primatologia, Biologia Geral e Experimental – UFS, Aracaju,

713 pp. 130–146.

714 Robinson, J.G., 1986. Seasonal variation in use of time and space by the wedge-capped
 715 capuchin monkey, *Cebus olivaceus*: implications for foraging theory. *Smithson. Contrib.*
 716 *to Zool.* 1–60. doi:10.5479/si.00810282.431

717 Rocha-Mendes, F., Mikich, S.B., Bianconi, G. V, Pedro, W.A., 2005. Mamíferos do município de
 718 Fênix, Paraná, Brasil: Etnozoologia e Conservação. *Rev. Bras. Zool.* 22, 991–1002.
 719 doi:10.1590/S0101-81752005000400027

720 Rocha-Mendes, F., Mikich, S.B., Quadros, J., Pedro, W.A., 2010. Feeding ecology of carnivores
 721 (Mammalia, Carnivora) in Atlantic forest remnants, southern Brazil. *Biota Neotrop.* 10,
 722 21–30.

723 Rocha, V.J., 2001. Ecologia de mamíferos de médio e grande portes do Parque Estadual Mata
 724 dos Godoy, Londrina (PR). Universidade Federal do Paraná.

725 Russell, J.K., 1983. Timing of reproduction by coatis (*Nasua narica*) in relation to fluctuations in
 726 food resources, in: Leigh Jr., E.G., Rand, A.S., Windsor, D.M. (Eds.), *The Ecology of a*
 727 *Tropical Forest: Seasonal Rhythms and Long-Term Changes.* Oxford University Press,
 728 Oxford, pp. 413–431.

729 Sabbatini, G., Stammati, M., Tavares, M.C.H., Visalberghi, E., 2008. Behavioral flexibility of a
 730 group of bearded capuchin monkeys (*Cebus libidinosus*) in the National Park of Brasília
 731 (Brazil): consequences of cohabitation with visitors. *Brazilian J. Biol.* 68, 685–693.

732 Schleuning, M., Fründ, J., García, D., 2015. Predicting ecosystem functions from biodiversity
 733 and mutualistic networks: an extension of trait-based concepts to plant-animal
 734 interactions. *Ecography (Cop.).* 38, 380–392. doi:10.1111/ecog.00983

735 Silva, J.M.C. da, Tabarelli, M., 2000. Tree species impoverishment and the future flora of the

736 Atlantic forest of northeast Brazil. *Nature* 404, 72–74. doi:10.1038/35003563

737 Stevenson, P.R., Link, A., González-Caro, S., Torres-Jiménez, M.F., 2015. Frugivory in canopy
 738 plants in a western Amazonian forest: Dispersal systems, phylogenetic ensembles and
 739 keystone plants. *PLoS One* 10, 1–23. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140751

740 Stevenson, P.R., Quiñones, M.J., Ahumada, J.A., 2000. Influence of fruit availability on
 741 ecological overlap among four neotropical primates at Tinigua National Park, Colombia.
 742 *Biotropica* 32, 533–544. doi:10.1646/0006-3606(2000)032

743 Terborgh, J., 1995. Wildlife in managed tropical forests: A Neotropical perspective, in: Lugo,
 744 A.E., Lowe, C. (Eds.), *Tropical Forests: Management and Ecology*, Ecological Studies.
 745 Springer New York, New York, NY, pp. 331–342. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-2498-3_13

746 Valenta, K., Fedigan, L.M., 2008. How much is a lot? Seed dispersal by white-faced capuchins
 747 and implications for disperser-based studies of seed dispersal systems. *Primates* 49, 169–
 748 75. doi:10.1007/s10329-008-0087-0

749 Valenzuela, D., 1998. Natural history of the White-nosed Coati, *Nasua narica*, in a tropical dry
 750 forest of western Mexico. *Rev. Mex. Mastozoología* 3, 26–44.

751 Vázquez, D.P., Chacoff, N.P., Cagnolo, L., 2009. Evaluating multiple determinants of the
 752 structure of plant–animal mutualistic networks. *Ecology* 90, 2039–2046. doi:10.1890/08-
 753 1837.1

754 Vidal, M.M., Pires, M.M., Guimarães Jr., P.R., 2013. Large vertebrates as the missing
 755 components of seed-dispersal networks. *Biol. Conserv.* 163, 42–48.
 756 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.03.025

757 Vizentin-Bugoni, J., Maruyama, P.K., Sazima, M., 2014. Processes entangling interactions in
 758 communities: forbidden links are more important than abundance in a hummingbird-

plant network. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281, 20132397. doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2397

Wehncke, E. V, Domínguez, C.A., 2007. Seed dispersal ecology of non-restricted frugivores, capuchin monkeys in three neotropical forests. *J. Trop. Ecol.* 23, 519–528. doi:10.1017/S0266467407004257

Wright, S.J., Gompper, M.E., DeLeon, B., 1994. Are Large Predators Keystone Species in Neotropical Forests? The Evidence from Barro Colorado Island. *Oikos* 71, 279–294. doi:10.2307/3546277

Wright, S.J., Kitajima, K., Kraft, N.J.B., Reich, P.B., Wright, I.J., Bunker, D.E., Condit, R., Dalling, J.W., Davies, S.J., Díaz, S., Engelbrecht, B.M.J., Harms, K.E., Hubbell, S.P., Marks, C.O., Ruiz-Jaen, M.C., Salvador, C.M., Zanne, A.E., 2010. Functional traits and the growth-mortality trade-off in tropical trees. *Ecology* 91, 3664–3674.

Wright, S.J., Stoner, K.E., Beckman, N., Corlett, R.T., Dirzo, R., Muller-Landau, H.C., Nuñez-Iturri, G., Peres, C.A., Wang, B.C., 2007. The plight of large animals in tropical forests and the consequences for plant regeneration. *Biotropica* 39, 289–291. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7429.2007.00293.x

Zhou, Y.-B., Newman, C., Xu, W.-T., Buesching, C.D., Zalewski, A., Kaneko, Y., Macdonald, D.W., Xie, Z.-Q., 2011. Biogeographical variation in the diet of Holarctic martens (genus *Martes*, Mammalia: Carnivora: Mustelidae): adaptive foraging in generalists. *J. Biogeogr.* 38, 137–147. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02396.x

782
783
784