

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

Independent oil palm smallholder management practices and yields. Can RSPO certification make a difference?

To cite this article before publication: Rosanne Elisabeth de Vos *et al* 2021 *Environ. Res. Lett.* in press <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac018d>

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is “the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an ‘Accepted Manuscript’ watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors”

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2021 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 3.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 3.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Independent oil palm smallholder management practices and yields.

Can RSPO certification make a difference?

Rosanne E. de Vos

Plant Production Systems, Wageningen University

Aritta Suwarno

Environmental Systems Analysis, Wageningen University; Van Hall Larenstein University of Applied Sciences

Maja Slingerland

Plant Production Systems, Wageningen University

Peter J. van der Meer

Van Hall Larenstein University of Applied Sciences

Jennifer M. Lucey

Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford

Abstract

Smallholders are a substantial part of the oil palm sector and thus key to achieve more sustainable production. However, so far their yields remain below potential. The Roundtable on Sustainable Oil Palm (RSPO) aims to include smallholders in sustainability certification to strengthen rural livelihoods and reduce negative environmental impacts. This study aims to determine if and how certified smallholders perform differently from their non-certified counterparts in terms of management practices and yields, and to what extent this is related to RSPO certification. As expected, certified smallholders had significantly better management practices in terms of planting material (*tenera*) and fertiliser use (16.8 vs 4.8 bags/ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and had significantly higher yields (22.5 vs 14.5 ton FFB, corrected for palm age). Planting material and harvesting frequency significantly explained higher yields. These differences could not be attributed to certification per se but were probably due to pre-certification conditions, including strong group organisation. It remains a question how sustainability certification can be a driver of change by including smallholders who have relatively larger yield gaps, and who lag behind on eligibility criteria for certification.

Keywords: oil palm; smallholders; RSPO certification; plantation management; sustainability; Indonesia

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1. Introduction

Oil palm smallholders are critical to achieve a more sustainable palm oil sector which protects both rural livelihoods and the environment (Razak *et al* 2020; Jezeer *et al* 2019). However, smallholder yields often lag far behind large-scale estates (Euler *et al* 2016; Monzon *et al* 2021). Yield gaps are rooted in the use of low quality planting material and limited fertiliser use (Jelsma *et al* 2019; Woittiez *et al* 2018), as well as a combination of inefficient plantation design, irregular harvesting, soil and climate conditions, and water availability (Fairhurst and Griffiths 2014; Rhebergen *et al* 2016). Improving yields through better management practices is not straightforward, because there is a time lag of 20-30 months before maximum yield increases are achieved after improving management practices (Woittiez *et al* 2017). In addition, particularly independent smallholders, untied to mills, do not have guaranteed access to the market; their FFB may be rejected by mills in times of surplus, or achieve low prices (Molenaar *et al* 2013). It has been difficult to address these problems, as independent oil palm smallholders have limited access to certification schemes, extension programs, agricultural inputs, credit schemes, and replanting programs (Brandi *et al* 2015).

This study aims to better understand independent oil palm smallholder management practices and yields to explore potential impacts of RSPO certification on sustainable yield intensification: achieving increased yields, while using agri-inputs in a more efficient and environmentally friendly way. To this end, we compare management practices and yields between certified and non-certified independent smallholders in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. So far, 12,753 independent (31 groups from Indonesia) and 151,260 scheme smallholders¹ have obtained RSPO certification (RSPO.org, 2021), including seven groups in Central Kalimantan.

¹ Participants in a nucleus-plasma outgrower scheme, also defined as ‘plasma smallholders’.

The rationale behind smallholder certification is that this should improve smallholders' socio-economic conditions, provide access to markets, and reduce negative environmental impacts of palm oil production and land conversion (Selvaraj and Richards 2019). Moreover, certification can lead to better management practices, increasing yields and oil extraction rates (OER), thus improving smallholders' incomes, while reducing negative environmental impacts through safe and limited use of chemical fertilisers and herbicides (Rhebergen *et al* 2016; Woittiez *et al* 2018). Higher yields could reduce the need for expansion, threatening peat and forest areas (Lee *et al* 2014), although higher yields could also be an incentive for new expansions (Maghfirah 2018). For smallholders, reasons to opt for certification include expectations of improved relations with mills, better prices, and access to training and assistance from NGOs or companies (Hutabarat *et al* 2018, see also Blackman and Rivera 2008).

However, there are several challenges for smallholders to become and remain certified. The main constraints include: requirements regarding group organization and land legality; limited capacity and capital to improve management practices; a lack of knowledge about and connection to the RSPO; and the costs of certification (Brandi *et al* 2015; Rietberg and Slingerland 2016; Hutabarat *et al* 2018; Tey *et al* 2021).

To address these constraints, in 2019, the RSPO introduced a phased approach to independent smallholder certification, enabling smallholders to sell part of their Crude Palm Oil (CPO) as certified prior to achieving all eligibility criteria. At the same time, in several regions, including Central Kalimantan, a jurisdictional approach is promoted as a way to ensure smallholder inclusion through strong government involvement (Pacheco *et al* 2017; Suwastoyo 2019). While this approach is gaining momentum in debates about sustainable agricultural production and responsible resource management, so far little empirical evidence is available on the outcomes of this approach (Pirard *et al* 2017).


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

In light of debates about the merits and challenges of new initiatives to make certification more inclusive for independent smallholders, it is necessary to examine if and how RSPO certification leads to different plantation management practices and increased yields, and how this contributes to livelihoods and environmental sustainability. This study aims to find out if and how certified smallholders in Central Kalimantan perform different from their non-certified counterparts in terms of management practices and yields, and to what extent this is related to RSPO certification. The key questions are: 1) how do certified and non-certified smallholders manage their plantations compared to standards for Good Agricultural Practices, 2) how do different management practices explain variances in yields, and 3), how is this related to RSPO certification.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Sites

Figure 1: map of Kalimantan and study sites (above: non-certified areas; below: certified area).



We conducted surveys among 228 independent oil palm smallholders, including 128 RSPO certified smallholders in Kotawaringin Barat District and 100 uncertified smallholders in Seruyan District, Central Kalimantan (figure 1). The survey included questions on general characteristics of the smallholders, plantation characteristics (age, cropping system, palm density), plantation management practices, FFB yield, and livelihoods.

Non-certified area

The non-certified area includes the villages A and B in Seruyan District, considered as one area for this study. The villages are predominantly inhabited by indigenous Dayak, whose main

sources of income include oil palm, banana and plantation labour (BPS Seruyan 2018). At least 56% of the respondents practice intercropping, combining oil palm with fruit crops (mostly banana), especially when the oil palms are still young (<10 years). Oil palm is a relatively new crop in Seruyan; people have started planting oil palm around 2010.

All oil palm smallholders in the villages are independent, and have never been engaged in a nucleus-plasma scheme. Most independent smallholders in indigenous communities in Central Kalimantan have not received any form of training on cultivating oil palm (INOBU 2016), yet, smallholders in our study gained some experience from working for company plantations. There are no farmer groups or cooperatives for smallholder palm oil production in the area (BPS Seruyan 2018). Oil palm smallholders sell their FFB through local middlemen and all smallholders receive the same price which is determined by the mill.

Certified Area

The certified area, village C in Kotawaringin Barat District, is largely inhabited by transmigrants from Java who settled in the area in the 1980s, and make up 76% of the population in the district (INOBU 2016). Village C is a relatively wealthy village: in addition to oil palm villagers receive income from rubber, livestock, small enterprises, mining, and government employment (BPS Kotawaringin Barat 2017). In 1994, the villagers became engaged in a nucleus-plasma scheme with an oil palm company. Now all plasma smallholders have repaid their plasma loans, received land titles for their plots, and have become independent. The land that was previously part of the plasma scheme continues to be fully managed by the former plasma cooperative. However, most people own additional land on which they cultivate oil palm independently. This study concerned only this independent land. As a consequence of the plasma history, the oil palm plantations are mostly managed as monocultures, but 5 (4.6%) respondents practiced intercropping with fruit trees, black pepper, maize or rubber. Moreover,

as smallholders could follow the example of their plasma plantation, the design of the independent plantations (palm density, planting pattern, and management in the immature phase) is likely to be more optimal than the design of non-certified plantations. In 2017, the cooperative in village C was the first association in Kalimantan to obtain RSPO certification; by 2020 most oil palm smallholders in this village obtained both RSPO and ISPO certification. The cooperative provides fertilisers, credit, and it has multiple business units, such as a supermarket and a travel agency. While the cooperative collectively sells FFB from the plasma area to a mill, FFB from independent plots is sold through middlemen. Certified smallholders do not receive a premium price for their certified FFB, but use the online book-and-claim system Palm Trace (see Hutabarat *et al* 2018).

2.2. Data analysis

To enable comparison between smallholder management practices we developed a scoring matrix for 8 management practices: quality of planting material (lower yielding *dura* or the higher yielding hybrid variety *tenera*), harvesting frequency, harvest criteria, weeding frequency, weeding methods, pruning frequency, use of fronds after pruning, and fertiliser application (frequency, type and amount). For some management practices, (use of *tenera* seedlings) we used a score of no (0) or yes (1). For other practices, we used a scoring system of 1-5, from bad to good, based on the standard for Good Agricultural Practices by Woittiez *et al* (2016) (see Annex I in the supplementary material). With these scores we calculated a total score for management practices, rescaling all management practices in the same way so that all categories had a maximum score of 1 (Annex I).

We used a multivariate regression model to determine which practices significantly differed between certified and non-certified smallholders. After removing all missing data cases, the input for this model was data of 143 respondents (63 certified; 80 non-certified). A Wilcoxon

rank-sum test was used to analyse differences in medians for total management scores (Annex II).

Yield data for the non-certified area is based on respondent estimations regarding ton of FFB per hectare per year, as records were not available (see Annex I for a note on uncertainty in yield calculation). For the certified area we used yield records from the cooperative.


To analyse variation in yield, we fitted an LM model to model yield as a function of smallholder type, plantation characteristics, and management practices. After removal of missing data cases, the input for this model was 119 (42 certified and 77 non-certified). We used this model to identify which set of predictor variables predicted differences in yield (Annex II). All statistical analyses were conducted in RStudio, using the OLSRR package (R Core Development Team 2011).

3. Results

3.1. Plantation Management Practices

Certified plantations had significantly older palms of 10.5 ± 4.05 year (n=122) versus 7.7 ± 2.8 (n=100) for non-certified, significantly higher palm density of 136 ± 11.2 palms ha⁻¹ (n=125) versus 133 ± 11.4 (n=100) for non-certified and mostly monocultures (95.4% versus 44% for non-certified). In both areas, plantations were in their first planting cycle and located on mineral soils.


Figure 2: Radar chart indicating mean management scores in 8 management categories for certified (orange) and non-certified (blue) smallholders.



Overall, the certified smallholders scored significantly higher for plantation management practices than the non-certified smallholders. This difference was due to significant higher scoring for planting material ($p < 0.001$) and fertiliser application ($p < 0.001$) by the certified smallholders; both groups scored similarly for other aspects of management and below standard (figure 2, Annex II).


The certified smallholders mostly obtained planting material from formal distributors, such as the government plantation service, or company nurseries, which are more likely to sell the hybrid *tenera* variety. In contrast, uncertified smallholders often used pulled saplings or discarded seeds from company plantations, which may consist of lower quality *dura* or even sterile *pisifera* palms, or bought saplings through informal distributors, who are more likely to sell the less expensive *dura* variety (figure 3). This means that certified smallholders have a higher yield potential from their higher quality planting material.

Figure 3: graph showing where certified and non-certified smallholders obtained their planting material. Disbun (Dinas Perkebunan) is the government plantation extension service.



The non-certified smallholders apply small amounts of fertiliser, and 51% only use a nitrogen-based fertiliser (urea, 4.8 bags/ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), which gives a nutrient imbalance (figure 4). In comparison, the certified smallholders all use compound fertiliser (NPK Ponska, 16.8 bags/ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), which includes Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), and Potassium (K), and apply various other nutrients. Yet, nutrient amounts and combinations in certified smallholders were also not optimal: mean score = 0.67, while maximum score =1 would mean at least 8 bags of NPK per hectare, and use of empty fruit bunches or borate in addition (Woittiez *et al* 2016). Figure 4 shows that not all certified smallholders applied enough NPK and many did not apply additional nutrients (Annex II).

Figure 4: graph showing fertiliser score for amount, combination and frequency of fertiliser application. Certified smallholders scored significant higher for fertiliser than non-certified smallholders.



Certified and non-certified respondents stressed that fertilisers were expensive and not always available. The non-certified smallholders only had access to the government subsidised fertiliser Urea, which is actually intended for paddy. Certified smallholders can obtain different kinds of subsidised fertiliser through the cooperative, and order non-subsidised fertilisers through the oil palm middlemen. Organic fertiliser is not applied by the non-certified respondents. In contrast, 43.7% of the certified smallholders apply organic fertiliser: many certified smallholders owned cows and the cooperative in village C has a livestock program (separate from oil palm plantations). The cooperative also has arrangements with mills to receive back empty fruit bunches, but respondents said this was expensive due to transportation costs.

Good nutrient management includes maintenance tasks, like weeding and pruning, for optimal nutrient uptake. These tasks are done less often than recommended, but we found no significant difference between certified and non-certified smallholders. From our respondents, 54% keeps understory grasses and weeds between the palms; 42% weed their plantation completely clean. Most respondents used either paraquat or glyphosate, or both as herbicide.


We did not find a significant difference for harvesting frequency; the majority of our certified respondents (85%) and non-certified respondents (64%) said they adhere to harvesting cycles of 14 days, transporting FFB within 24 hours after harvesting. However, from follow-up interviews, we learned that in the non-certified area harvesting intervals are often irregular. Respondents explained that the decision to harvest is partly based on cash needs, the price for FFB, and uptake availability of the middlemen.

3.2. Yields

Certified smallholders had significant higher fresh fruit bunch (FFB) yields than non-certified ones irrespective of palm age (figure 5): 22.5 versus 14.6 t ha⁻¹year⁻¹, respectively. To investigate variation in yield we modelled a set of predictor factors. After model selection three predictors remained in the final model based on 119 observations. No significant interactions between predictors were found (Annex II).

Model 1: yield ~ certification (yes/ no) + palm age + palm density + education + land size + intercropping + planting material + fertiliser score + harvesting frequency + certification*palm age + intercropping*palm age + certification * harvesting frequency + certification * planting material.

Figure 5: Graph representing estimated yield in relation to palm age, comparing certified (orange) and uncertified (blue) smallholders. The outlier of 53 t per ha per year was excluded from analyses. Note that a normal physiological relationship between palm age and yield would start with a steep line up and then reaching a plateau at around ten years.



Certification, planting material of the *tenera* variety and increased harvesting interval of 14 days compared to 30 days had a significant positive impact on yield. A harvesting interval of 21 days compared to 30 days did not significantly explain variance in yield. The factor certification refers to being a member of the certified group or not, it does not relate to the impact of certification itself.

4. Discussion

4.1 Differences in management practices

The certified respondents scored significantly higher for management practices. The key differences were in quality of planting material and fertiliser application. Certified respondents

1
2
3 mostly used *tenera* seedlings whereas non-certified smallholders used *dura* seedlings. This
4
5 means that the certified smallholders have a higher yield potential (Corley and Tinker 2008).
6
7 Planting material was chosen years before certification, therefore this is not a consequence of
8
9 certification. However, as smallholders may convert new land into oil palm, or replace
10
11 underperforming palms, knowledge about seed varieties can importantly impact yield potential.
12
13
14 Certified respondents used more and a larger variety of compound and organic fertilisers,
15
16 whereas non-certified smallholders typically only used one type of single-nutrient fertiliser in
17
18 low amounts. Appropriate fertiliser application allows the better seeds to express their higher
19
20 yield potential (Woittiez *et al* 2017). Harvesting, weeding and pruning intervals were similar,
21
22 but for both areas this was below the recommended frequency. An optimal harvesting interval
23
24 of 7-10 days increases total harvested yields (Lee *et al* 2014). Although non-certified
25
26 respondents said to harvest every 14 days in reality this is irregular and strongly dependent on
27
28 the price of FFB and uptake availability of the middlemen, hence their average is probably
29
30 lower than for certified smallholders. Timely pruning and weeding of circle around the trunk
31
32 are important to enable efficient harvesting. However, clean weeding of the entire plantation,
33
34 practiced by 42 % of all respondents, is not in line with GAP recommendations which stress
35
36 that understory vegetation is important for soil biodiversity and decomposition (Ashton-Butt
37
38 2018) and reduces run-off of topsoil and of applied nutrients. While paraquat is forbidden by
39
40 the RSPO, except in exceptional cases of weed outbreak under strict conditions, at least 53%
41
42 of the certified smallholders use this herbicide. Respondents reported that it is difficult to find
43
44 an alternative to deal with woody weeds.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 Our findings show that there is scope for yield increase through improvement of management
53
54 practices, in both the certified and the non-certified areas. Moreover, cost reduction might be
55
56 achieved with more balanced nutrient management and reduced use of herbicides. RSPO
57
58
59
60

certification could contribute to these management practices by providing trainings on oil palm cultivation. However, the implementation of knowledge is dependent on input supply, capital and labour availability as well as local supply chain conditions determining collection frequency and pricing of FFBs. RSPOs contribution to shaping these conditions is equally important. Following Rhebergen (2019) we propose to start with improving low cost “yield taking” management (shorter harvest intervals, proper weeding and plantation access) which give immediately higher yields through better crop recovery. When resources are available this can be followed by investing in more expensive “yield making” management (proper fertilisation) that lead to more and larger bunches but have a larger time span before giving results. Improved seeds can only be implemented at (re)planting.

4.2. Differences in yields

The certified respondents had significantly higher yields compared to the non-certified respondents (22.5 and 14.5 ton FFB ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, respectively). When compared to yields that can be obtained from plantations in Central Kalimantan under optimal conditions, yields found in this study are far below attainable yield. However, yields of the uncertified smallholders are comparable to yields obtained by other smallholders in Central Kalimantan (INOBU 2016; Monzon *et al* 2021) and other regions (e.g. Molenaar *et al* 2013; Euler *et al* 2016; Woittiez 2019), while the certified smallholders had higher yields. Their yields are comparable to yields obtained by large-scale plantations in Central Kalimantan (Monzon *et al* 2021; Annex III) albeit at different palm ages, hence the yield gaps of these smallholders are still larger.

In our study harvesting frequency and planting material significantly explain higher yields. These two factors are also evident from other studies (e.g. Corley and Tinker 2008 for planting material, and Lee *et al* 2014 for harvesting frequency). This study could not confirm the contribution of good nutrient management, although this is proven by other studies (e.g.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Woittiez *et al* 2018). The reasons for this might be that fertiliser application is irregular and it depends on the availability and quality of the fertilisers. Moreover, as uncertified smallholders did not keep records of yields, their estimations may have been over-optimistic. Another factor that might have diluted the relationship between management practices and yield is that respondents do not necessarily score well on every aspect of management, and good nutrient management may be cancelled out by poor planting material and low frequency of harvesting. Moreover, as there is a time lag between applying better management practices and the production of new palm fruits, it might be too soon to tell if yields increased after certification.

4.3. Potential impact of RSPO certification on management practices and yields

While this study was originally intended to assess the impact of RSPO certification, it appeared that non-certified and certified smallholders had fundamentally different starting positions, potentially confounding with the impact of certification (see Sellare *et al* 2020). For example, the smallholders that were certified by the RSPO were already organised in farmer groups and cooperatives, had multiple sources of income, and they had more than 20 years of experience with producing palm oil as plasma smallholders. Also, the village was located close to one of the main roads crossing the province, which enables easy transportation of FFB to mills and facilitates access to shops and distributors of agri-inputs..

Multiple studies have found that independent smallholders are a highly heterogeneous group, and that transmigrants with a plasma background, like the certified smallholders in this study, have favourable preconditions for RSPO certification (see Jelsma *et al* 2017; Hutabarat 2019; Dharmawan *et al* 2021). However, as these smallholders already perform relatively well with regards to sustainability standards, the impact of certification might be higher for smallholders who are further away from such standards (Sellare *et al* 2020). Therefore, the challenge for the RSPO is to reach smallholders that are not already well organised and lack knowledge about

1
2
3 producing palm oil. If our non-certified respondents wish to opt for certification, they will face
4 several challenges, including to organise in a group and complete land legality. It will also be
5 difficult to achieve compliance to standards for good agricultural practices, when the reasons
6 for non-compliance are rooted in socio-economic conditions and organisation of the supply
7 chain as much as in lack of knowledge (Jelsma *et al* 2017). In particular, access to good planting
8 material and fertilisers is limited, and smallholders do not have guaranteed access to markets.
9

10
11 However, it is striking that compared to the certified area, the management practices of non-
12 certified respondents were not too different. Regarding practices that are important for
13 environmentally responsible palm oil production, certified and non-certified respondents used
14 similar amounts of herbicides, including highly toxic paraquat. In the non-certified areas the
15 majority of the respondents practiced permanent intercropping in their oil palm plantations
16 which was also found for independent smallholders elsewhere (Azhar *et al* 2017). Should
17 smallholders need to change their management practices to comply with RSPO Principles and
18 Criteria, then it is important to assess how this would impact their other crops. Especially in
19 areas where yields are low and uptake is irregular, it may be attractive for smallholders to
20 maintain their other crops to reduce their dependence on oil palm.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **4.4. Limitations and future research**

42
43 The key limitation of our study is that findings on management practices and yields from our
44 small sample cannot be generalised for independent smallholders across Indonesia.
45 Management practices and the extent to which they impact yield will vary depending on supply
46 chain, as well as soil and climate conditions. However, the key contribution of this case study
47 is to show that the way smallholders manage their plantations varies, and that options for yield
48 intensification depend on local supply chain conditions (e.g. access to fertiliser) as well as
49 knowledge increase (e.g. knowledge on correct harvesting).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Our finding that non-certified and certified smallholders have different pre-certification conditions is highly relevant for the current debate on the merits of both a phased approach to certification and a jurisdictional approach. The new RSPO standard for independent smallholders addresses the costs and benefits of certification by advancing revenues as reward of partial certification. This may be a solution to overcome the slow return on investment related to the slow yield response to improved management practices. However, the standard does not provide solutions to challenges regarding legality and group organisation. These issues may be addressed better in (tandem with) a jurisdictional approach with a strong involvement of the local government. The current focus by the Indonesian government on making their national ISPO certification mandatory, may lead to an acceleration of granting official land titles (but see Dharmawan *et al* 2021). At present, the non-certified smallholders seem to have a long way to go before they can obtain certification as the requirements set by the RSPO standards are not in accordance with their situation. The outcomes of this study indicate the need to research heterogeneity among independent oil palm smallholders, in terms of group organisation, supply chain connections, legality, productivity and plantation management practices, including different preferences for monoculture or intercropping, in order to align certification strategies with the abilities, motivations and needs of different smallholder types.

5. Conclusion

The certified smallholders in our study had significantly higher management scores and higher yields. They scored better on aspects that facilitate higher yields, such as good quality planting material and good nutrient management. The pre-certification conditions of the certified smallholders, such as prior experience as plasma smallholders, high-level group organisation, and access to agri-inputs and credit through the cooperative, possibly explain higher scores for management practices and higher yields. In contrast, in areas where smallholders are relatively

new to oil palm, where the infrastructure and supply chain around palm oil are not yet well established, and smallholders are not organised in groups, and lack the required legal documents, it will be more challenging for smallholder to achieve certification. It needs to be further examined how RSPO certification can include smallholders that have less favourable pre-certification conditions.

Acknowledgements

We thank all of our respondents for their willingness to cooperate in this research. Thanks to our local partners at the Lambung Mangkurat University and the University of Palangka Raya. Comments on an earlier version of this manuscript were kindly provided by Andrew J. Suggitt, Sarah A. Scriven, Izabela Delabre, Lotte Woittiez, Prof Keith Hamer, Prof Jane K. Hill. We also thank two anonymous reviewers for their constructive feedback during the review of this manuscript. Thanks to Rens Brouwers and Bastiaan Boekeloo for assistance with statistical analysis, and Akhmad Rifky for his contribution to the field research. This research was supported by the Socially and Environmentally Sustainable Palm Oil Research (SEnSOR) programme, which receives funding from the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) and is facilitated by the South East Asia Rainforest Research Partnership (SEARRP).

References

- Ashton-Butt A *et al* 2018 Understory vegetation in oil palm plantations benefits soil biodiversity and decomposition rates *Front. For. Global Change* **1** 10
- Azhar B, Saadun N, Prideaux M, Lindenmayer, D B 2017 The global palm oil sector must change to save biodiversity and improve food security in the tropics *J. Environ. Manage.* **203** 457-466
- Barton, K 2018 MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. R package version 1.40.4

- 1
2
3 [Http://CRAN.R-Project.Org/Package=MuMIn](http://CRAN.R-Project.Org/Package=MuMIn) Retrieved from
4
5 <https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/20000872765/en/>
6
7
8 Blackman A, Rivera J 2011 Producer-level benefits of sustainability certification. *Conserv.*
9
10 *Biol.* **25** 6 1176-1185
11
12
13 Brandi C, Cabani T, Hosang C, Schirmbeck S, Westermann L, Wiese H 2015 Sustainability
14 standards for palm oil: challenges for smallholder certification under the RSPO *J.*
15
16 *Environ. Dev.* **24** 3 292-314
17
18
19
20 BPS Kabupaten Kotawaringin Barat 2017 Pangkalan Lada dalam Angka. BPS- Statistics of
21
22 Kotawaringin Barat Regency
23
24
25 BPS Kabupaten Seruyan 2018 Batu Ampar dalam Angka BPS- Statistics of Seruyan Regency
26
27
28 Corley R H V, Tinker P B 2015 *The Oil Palm* (5th edn) (Oxford: John Wiley & Sons)
29
30
31 Dharmawan A H *et al* 2021 The Agrarian, Structural and Cultural Constraints of Smallholders'
32
33 Readiness for Sustainability Standards Implementation: The Case of Indonesian
34
35 Sustainable Palm Oil in East Kalimantan. *Sustainability* **13** 2611
36
37
38 Dormann C F *et al* 2013 Collinearity: A review of methods to deal with it and a simulation
39
40 study evaluating their performance *Ecography* **36** 1 027–046
41
42
43 Euler M, Hoffmann M P, Fathoni Z, Schwarze S 2016 Exploring yield gaps in smallholder oil
44
45 palm production systems in eastern Sumatra, Indonesia *Agric. Syst.* **146** 111-119
46
47
48 Fairhurst T, Griffiths W 2014 *Oil Palm: Best Management Practices for Yield Intensification*
49
50 (Singapore: IPNI)
51
52
53 Hutabarat S, Slingerland M, Dries L, Rietberg P 2018 Cost and Benefit of Certification for
54
55 Independent Oil Palm Smallholders *Int. Food Agrib. Manag. Review* **19** 4
56
57
58
59
60

- Hutabarat S, Slingerland M, Dries L 2019 Explaining the “Certification Gap” for Different Types of Oil Palm Smallholders in Riau Province, Indonesia *J. Environ. Dev.* **28** 3 253-281
- INOBU 2016 *A Profile of Small-Scale Oil Palm Farmers and the Challenges of Farming Independently. The Case of Seruyan and Kotawaringin Barat Districts in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia* INOBU, Oct 2016
- Jelsma I, Schoneveld G C, Zoomers A, van Westen, A C M 2017 Unpacking Indonesia’s independent oil palm smallholders: An actor-disaggregated approach to identifying environmental and social performance challenges *Land Use Policy* **69** 281-297
- Jelsma I, Woittiez L S, Ollivier J, Dharmawan A H 2019 Do wealthy farmers implement better agricultural practices? An assessment of implementation of Good Agricultural Practices among different types of independent oil palm smallholders in Riau, Indonesia *Agric. Syst.* **170** 63-76
- Jezeer R, Slingerland M, van der Laan C, Pasiecznik N 2019 Improving smallholder inclusiveness in palm oil production — a global review Introduction in Jezeer and Pasiecznik (eds.) 2019 *Exploring inclusive palm oil production* ETFRN and Tropenbos International, Wageningen, the Netherlands p.vi-xix
- Lee J S H, Garcia-Ulloa J, Ghazoul J, Obidzinski K, Koh L P 2014 Modelling environmental and socio-economic trade-offs associated with land-sparing and land-sharing approaches to oil palm expansion *J. Appl. Ecol.* **51** 5 1366-1377
- Maghfira A 2018 *Is RSPO Certification Having an Impact on Smallholders' Decisions to Expand or Intensify Production? A science for policy paper for the RSPO Wageningen University output for SenSor Programme led by SEARRP*

- 1
2
3 Molenaar J W, Persch-Orth M, Lord S, Taylor C, Harms J 2013 *Diagnostic study on Indonesian*
4
5 *Oil Palm Smallholders* (Jakarta: IFC)
6
7
8 Monzon J P *et al* 2021 Fostering a climate-smart intensification for oil palm *Nat. Sustain.*
9
10 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00700-y>
11
12
13 Razak, S A, Saadun N, Azhar B, Lindenmayer D B 2020 Smallholdings with high oil palm
14
15 yield also support high bird species richness and diverse feeding guilds *Environ. Res.*
16
17 *Lett.* **15** 094031
18
19
20 R Core Development Team 2011 R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing
21
22 Vienna <http://www.r-project.org>
23
24
25 Rhebergen T, Fairhurst T, Zingore S, Fisher M, Oberthür T, Whitbread A 2016 Climate, soil
26
27 and land-use based land suitability evaluation for oil palm production in Ghana *Eur.*
28
29 *J. Agron.* **81** 1-14
30
31
32 Rhebergen T 2019 Yield gap analysis in oil palm production systems in Ghana *PhD thesis*
33
34 Wageningen University, Wageningen
35
36
37 Rietberg P, Slingerland M 2016 Cost and benefits of certification for independent
38
39 smallholders A science for policy paper for the RSPO. Wageningen University output
40
41 for SenSor Programme led by SEARRP
42
43
44 RSPO.org 2021 Smallholder certification in numbers [Accessed Apr 15 2021]
45
46
47 <https://www.rspo.org/smallholders#sh-cert-numbers>
48
49
50 Selvaraj A, Fay R 2019 RSPO's vision and progress towards inclusivity. ETFRN News 59 -
51
52 Exploring inclusive oil palm production
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- Sellare J, Meemken E, Kouamé C, Qaim M 2020 Do sustainability standards benefit smallholder farmers also when accounting for cooperative effects? Evidence from Côte d'Ivoire *Amer. J. Agr. Econ.* **102** 2 681–695
- Suwastoyo B Aug 5 2019 The Jurisdictional Approach in Palm Oil Production: The Case of Central Kalimantan [accessed Nov 18 2019]
<https://thepalmscribe.id/the-jurisdictional-approach-in-palm-oil-production-the-case-of-central-kalimantan/>
- Tey Y S, Brindal M, Djama M, Hadi A H I A, & Darham S 2020 A review of the financial costs and benefits of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil certification: Implications for future research *Sustain. Prod. Consump.* 26 824-837
- Woittiez L S, Haryono S, Turhina S, Dani H, Dukan T P, Smit H H 2016 Smallholder Oil Palm Handbook Wageningen University and SNV International Development Organisation, Wageningen, The Netherlands
- Woittiez L S, van Wijk M, Slingerland M, van Noordwijk M, Giller K E 2017 Yield gaps in oil palm: a quantitative review of contributing factors *Eur. J. Agron.* **83** 57-77
- Woittiez L S, Turhina S, Deccy D, Slingerland M, van Noordwijk M, Giller K E 2018 Fertiliser application practices and nutrient deficiencies in smallholder oil palm plantations in Indonesia *Exp. Agric.* **55** 4 543-559
- Woittiez L S 2019 On yield gaps and better management practices in Indonesian smallholder oil palm plantations *PhD Thesis* Wageningen University, Wageningen
- Yield Gap Atlas, Wageningen University [accessed 13 May 2021]
<http://www.yieldgap.org/indonesia-oil-palm>