Silver Bullet without a Revolver: Grounding AI Adoption in the Operational Realities of Northeast England's Non-Tech SME Economy

Dr Selvakumar Ramachandran[1,4,*], Richard Wilson[2], SP Alaguraja[1], Clare Teunissen[3]

1 Kerckhoffs Ltd, United Kingdom
2 Bydaybreak Solutions, United Kingdom
3 University of Sunderland, United Kingdom
4 University of Oxford, United Kingdom

** Corresponding author. E-mail address: selvakumar.ramachandran@conted.ox.ac.uk.*

Keywords:

Artificial intelligence adoption
Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)
Digital readiness
Regional economic policy
Northeast England
Knowledge Transfer Partnerships

Abstract

Across national policy circles and technology forums, artificial intelligence is routinely presented as a solution to weak productivity. For the small business owner, the offer is straightforward: adopt a tool, automate routine administration, and growth follows. This paper examines that offer against the operating reality of Northeast England's non-tech SME economy, where the overwhelming majority of businesses sit outside the technology sector. It sets out the conditions that must be in place, beyond the tool itself, before AI adoption can take hold, and what public funding should support to make that happen.

Executive summary

Most policy attention on AI adoption focuses on the tool: which platform to fund, which course to run. Northeast England's non-tech SMEs show why that focus is misplaced. A tool cannot fix a business that keeps its stock records on paper or runs several pieces of software that never speak to each other. A range of non-tech enterprises engaged for this study, across retail, hospitality and logistics, wanted to save time and cut costs through AI. The great majority did not have the underlying infrastructure to make that possible.

This paper extends the familiar silver bullet framing. A bullet needs a working revolver to fire it, a safety catch so it cannot go off in the wrong hands, a steady hand to aim it, and a genuine target. Applied to policy, that means funding digital infrastructure, governance, mentored support and problem diagnosis together, not the tool alone. Digital Reverse Mentoring is one useful route to the steady hand condition, among several the region should fund.

1. The Economic Baseline: A Non-Tech SME Landscape

To understand why standard AI interventions, struggle here, it helps to look at the industrial make-up of the region. Enterprise data across Northeast England shows a business population of roughly 55,000 active private enterprises [1,3]. Around 78 per cent, some 43,000 businesses, are micro-enterprises employing fewer than ten people. Small firms employing between 10 and 49 people account for a further 17 per cent, roughly 9,500 businesses. Fewer than one in twenty regional enterprises employs more than fifty people.

Size band	Staff count	Enterprises	Share of total
Micro	0 to 9	approx. 43,000	78%
Small	10 to 49	approx. 9,500	17%
Medium and large	50+	approx. 2,500	5%
Total	—	approx. 55,000	100%

Table 1. Northeast England's enterprise population by size band. Figures are rounded. Sources: ONS [1], Nomis [2].

In Sunderland, one of the region's principal urban economies, this pattern is particularly pronounced. The city's own enterprise register lists approximately 7,730 active businesses, of which 78.3 per cent are micro-enterprises and a further 17.3 per cent are small firms employing between 10 and 49 people [2]. Over 95 per cent of Sunderland's registered enterprises sit outside the technology sector, a proportion broadly consistent with the sector mix seen elsewhere in the region [2,3].

These non-tech firms, in Sunderland and across the wider region, form the backbone of the Northeast's domestic economy: automotive and precision engineering supply chains, independent retail, neighbourhood hospitality, transport, construction and local commercial services.

They do not typically employ software architects, data engineers or dedicated IT managers. Operational capacity is taken up by three demanding tasks at once: physical labour, customer-facing work and day-to-day commercial firefighting. Expecting these enterprises to adopt advanced AI unaided, without foundational support, takes little account of how local business actually runs.

2. The Reality on the Ground: Disconnected Systems and Paper Trails

The practical limits of AI readiness became clear during the AI Buddy Framework's own proof-of-concept field research, which engaged a range of non-tech businesses across Northeast England, including several firms in Sunderland. The businesses engaged spanned customer-facing retail, high-turnover hospitality venues and regional logistics providers, and consistently expressed genuine interest in adopting AI. They wanted to save time, cut overheads and streamline operations.

Diagnosing their actual workflows revealed a recurring problem: many businesses did not know what they did not know. They were looking for a silver bullet to solve operational friction, but their working environments had no mechanism to fire one.

The paper baseline. Several enterprises still run foundational processes on physical paper. Rotas, delivery notes, daily stock takes and supplier orders are recorded by hand, in logbooks or on printed clipboards.

Disconnected digital silos. Where digital tools do exist, they operate in isolation from one another. A hospitality business might run an electronic till that never speaks to its inventory spreadsheet, while its accounting software sits apart from both. In logistics, scheduling may happen on a desktop spreadsheet while driver communication runs through an unmonitored messaging app.

Recent scholarly research supports these field observations. Studies of AI organisational readiness confirm that foundational digital capability is a precondition for successful adoption, not an optional extra [5]. Peer-reviewed work on small businesses points to the same barriers repeatedly: disconnected data, thin technical skills and limited financial headroom, well before AI enters the conversation [6]. An AI tool cannot extract insight, predict stock levels or automate a workflow from a paper ledger or a database that cannot talk to any other system. Handing a firm in this position an AI subscription is handing it ammunition with no working firearm. Without the plumbing, the technology cannot deliver, whatever it promises to do.

3. Five Conditions: What It Actually Takes to Fire the Bullet

The silver bullet framing is usually stopped at one point: businesses need better infrastructure, so build the revolver and the job is done. Field evidence from across the region suggests the metaphor needs to run further. A revolver that exists but does not work reliably is as useless as no revolver at all. A working revolver in unsafe hands is a liability. A perfectly maintained revolver aimed at nothing in particular wastes everyone's effort.

Five conditions need to hold at once for AI adoption to genuinely take hold in a non-tech SME, not just be attempted.

No.	Condition	What it means
1	The bullet	A tool fit for the actual need, chosen because it solves a real problem, not because it is fashionable.
2	The revolver	Digitised, integrated infrastructure that functions day to day, not software bought and left unused.

No.	Condition	What it means
3	The safety catch	Basic data governance and risk controls, so handling customer or payroll data does not expose the firm [9].
4	The steady hand	Someone close to the business, with the skills and time, to guide implementation and troubleshoot.
5	The target	A clearly diagnosed business problem, identified before any tool is chosen.

Table 2. The five conditions behind successful AI adoption in a non-tech SME. Source: AI Buddy Framework proof-of-concept field research.

Each condition depends on the one before it. All five fit on the funding menu in Section 5.

Skip any one of these and the whole chain fails, however good the intention behind it. Most current policy funds only the bullet, occasionally the revolver, and almost never the other three.

4. Why Conventional Policy Interventions Fail

Traditional policy mechanisms typically address this gap in three ways: generic classroom training, direct software capital grants, or publicly funded placement and internship schemes run through universities and colleges. All three consistently fail non-tech micro-firms.

Generic digital bootcamps and lecture-style seminars place an unreasonable burden on small business managers. A manager balancing deliveries, payroll and staff cover cannot take several days out to study data governance or prompt writing in the abstract. Generic training also assumes a baseline of digital infrastructure the SME does not have. When the owner returns from a training day to the shop floor or warehouse, the theory dissolves against messy, real-world operations.

Capital voucher schemes that subsidise software licences create a different problem: digital shelfware. Firms sign up for sophisticated platforms, discover they lack the integrated data pipelines to populate them, and quietly abandon the tools once the subsidy runs out. The bullet gets bought. The revolver never gets built.

University and college placement schemes carry the same flaw in a different guise. A single student or graduate, however capable, is rarely able to supply both the technical skill an AI project needs and the sector-specific judgement to apply it sensibly to a live business problem. Without an experienced mentor working alongside them, drawn from academia or industry, the candidate has nobody to turn to when the work moves beyond their experience, and the host business ends up carrying that support role instead. Where a business is left to guide the placement through both the technical and the domain side itself, it will not take the programme seriously, and a scheme meant to lighten the owner's workload adds to it instead. Innovate UK's Knowledge Transfer Partnerships were built around exactly this lesson: a placement only delivers at scale when a senior expert stands behind it throughout [4,7].

Intervention	What it assumes	What happens in practice
Generic classroom training	Managers have spare time and existing digital infrastructure	Lessons cannot survive contact with the shop floor
Software capital vouchers	A licence is enough to create capability on its own	Tools go unused once the subsidy ends; digital shelfware
University and college placements	A single candidate can supply both technical and domain expertise unsupported	The business ends up guiding the placement itself; the scheme is not taken seriously

Table 3. Three conventional tools, and why they miss non-tech SMEs. Sources: AI Buddy Framework field research; literature review [5,6].

5. The Policy Remedy: Funding the Whole Chain

If government bodies, regional authorities and national funders want genuine AI adoption rather than another round of shelfware, funding needs to address all five conditions together, not just the most visible one. That means a menu of interventions, not a single scheme.

Fund the plumbing first. Before any AI tool is discussed, intermediary specialists should map a business's operational pain points directly, converting paper logs into structured digital data and linking siloed applications through standard integrations. This is unglamorous work, and it is the precondition for everything else.

Set safe-use standards. Funders should curate a shortlist of enterprise-grade tools with clear data-handling protocols, so small firms are not left to judge security and compliance risk on their own. This protects both the business and its customers.

Build a shared pool of expert mentors for university and college placements. No single placement candidate should be expected to supply both the technical build and the sector knowledge a project needs. Funders should establish a shared pool of technical and domain experts, drawn from academia and industry, that any university or college-run placement programme can call on to support a candidate throughout their time with a host business. Digital Reverse Mentoring is one model for organising this support, pairing a placement candidate with a business under the close oversight of a senior expert so that neither the candidate nor the business owner is left to work through unfamiliar problems alone. This builds on the same logic as Innovate UK's Knowledge Transfer Partnerships, referenced in Section 4, where a senior supervisor materially improves outcomes for smaller enterprises [4,7]. It should sit alongside other forms of intermediary support, such as sector-specific consultancy and shared-service models for firms too small to justify an individual placement.

Require a target before funding a tool. Grant conditions should ask a business to name the specific operational problem it wants solved before software spend is approved. This single step would filter out many adoption attempts that would otherwise fail for want of a clear problem to solve.

Monitor performance, not just uptake. Funders should track whether tools are still in active use after six and twelve months, not only whether they were purchased. A performing revolver needs maintenance, and funding models should assume that and budget for light-touch follow-up support.

6. Recommendations

1. Fund a plumbing-first diagnostic for every non-tech SME before any AI tool is discussed or subsidised.
2. Publish a shortlist of vetted, enterprise-grade AI tools with clear data-handling standards that funders will underwrite.
3. Fund a shared pool of technical and domain experts that any university or college placement programme can draw on, with Digital Reverse Mentoring as one delivery model among several.
4. Require every grant applicant to name the specific operational problem an AI tool is meant to solve before funding is approved.
5. Track tool use at six and twelve months, not only at the point of purchase, and build light-touch follow-up support into every funding round.
6. Give one named contact within each intermediary programme responsibility for a business's full adoption journey, from paper records to a performing tool.
7. Review the five-condition framework annually against the enterprises it serves, and shift funding weightings where any one condition is consistently unmet.

7. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence holds real potential to lift productivity across Northeast England. But potential is not the same as readiness, and for the great majority of the region's business community operating outside the technology sector, AI cannot remain an abstract ambition handed down as a policy slogan.

The temptation in public policy is to keep distributing silver bullets: grants, subscriptions, awareness campaigns. Each one assumes a revolver that, for most non-tech SMEs, simply is not there yet, and says nothing about the safety catch, the steady hand or the target. Regional economic resilience will not be built that way.

It will be built by funding the whole chain at once: a bullet worth firing, a revolver that works, a safety catch that protects the business, a steady hand close enough to help, and a target worth aiming at in the first place. Get those five conditions right together, and the technology stops being a slogan and starts doing the job it was funded to do.

"The bullet was never the problem. Not much funding for the revolver, the safety catch, or the steady hand needed to aim it."

Declaration of competing interest

The field engagement described in this paper was carried out by the AI Buddy Framework consortium under its own publicly funded proof-of-concept, established specifically to identify the adoption barriers set out here [8]. The funding model recommended in Section 5 is one route by which that proof-of-concept could be extended and tested at greater scale. Readers should weigh the recommendations with that interest in mind.

Funding

This work was supported by UK Government funding through the TechFirst programme.

Project information

This briefing is produced by the AI Buddy Framework consortium, bringing together industrial, technology and community delivery partners with the backing of public funding. Consortium partners delivering the embedded adoption model described in this paper are Kerckhoffs Ltd, Bydaybreak Solutions and Sunderland Community Soup Kitchen.

About the authors

Dr Selvakumar Ramachandran

Dr Selvakumar Ramachandran is a senior researcher at Kerckhoffs Ltd, developing solutions for societal problems through emerging technologies. He also holds a permanent academic post at the University of Oxford, teaching Artificial Intelligence (AI), Software Engineering, and Social Innovation.

Richard Wilson

Richard Wilson is the founder of Bydaybreak Solutions and an AI leader in the region, with two decades of experience in SME operations, digital transformation, and enterprise learning solutions.

SP Alaguraja

SP Alaguraja is a Director at Kerckhoffs Ltd, bringing more than 18 years of management experience across the manufacturing sector. He is a leader in applying AI to manufacturing and higher-level business processes.

Clare Teunissen

Clare Teunissen is a lecturer in International Hospitality, Business and Tourism at the University of Sunderland, as well as an expert in employability. She is a leader in AI for Women, and works closely with businesses across Northeast England to adopt AI.

References

[1] Office for National Statistics (ONS). UK business: activity, size and location. Official statistics providing the structural data on enterprise counts and employee size bands across the UK economy, sourced from the Inter-Departmental Business Register (IDBR). Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/business/activitysizeandlocation/methodologies/ukbusinessactivitysizeandlocationqmi

[2] Nomis: Official Labour Market Statistics. Local Authority Profile: Sunderland and North East Region. Datasets used for breaking down local business populations, micro-enterprise density and commercial survival rates specific to the region. Available from: https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/reports/lmp/lad/1778385146/report.aspx

[3] North East Evidence Hub (North East Mayoral Combined Authority). Regional Business Population and Productivity Data. Regional economic insights detailing the concentration of traditional, non-tech industries across local authority areas. Available from: https://evidencehub.northeast-ca.gov.uk/

[4] Innovate UK. Knowledge Transfer Partnerships (KTP). The official policy framework outlining the model of pairing academic expert consultants with dedicated associates in industry placements. Available from: https://iuk-ktp.org.uk/

[5] Kalleparambil SA, Akoum M. AI Organisational Readiness for SMEs: A Tailored Model for AI Adoption Success. World Scientific; 2025. Available from: https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/10.1142/S0219649225500765

[6] MDPI. Artificial Intelligence in SMEs: Enhancing Business Functions. 2025. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/16/5/415

[7] Emerald Insight. A critical account of knowledge management: agentic orientation. Longitudinal study evaluating the structural impact of Knowledge Transfer Partnerships (KTP) on the innovation process within smaller enterprises. 2007. Available from: https://www.emerald.com/ijebr/article/13/2/64/129226/A-critical-account-of-knowledge-management-agentic

[8] Ramachandran S, Wilson R, Alaguraja S, Teunissen C. Closing the Readiness Gap: Embedded AI Adoption in Regional Industry. Zenodo; 2026. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23222660

[9] Ramachandran S, Wilson R, Alaguraja S, Teunissen C. Rules Before Tools: A Plain English AI Policy for Small and Medium Sized Firms. Zenodo; 2026. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23223077