

Editorial

Is age 45 years the cut-off for using autologous oocytes?

John L Yovich^{a,b}, Michael J Chapman^c, Kevin N Keane^{a,b}, Julian Savulescu^d

^aPIVET Medical Centre, Perth, Western Australia 6007 jlyovich@pivet.com.au

^bSchool of Pharmacy and Biomedical Science, Faculty of Health Sciences, Curtin University,
Perth, Western Australia 6845 Kevin.Keane@curtin.edu.au

^cDiscipline of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, School Women's and Children's Health,
University of New South Wales, Royal Hospital for Women, Locked Bag 2000, Randwick,
NSW Australia 2031 m.chapman@unsw.edu.au

^dUehiro Chair in Practical Ethics
Director, Oxford Uehiro Centre for Practical Ethics
Co-Director, Wellcome Centre for Ethics and Humanities
University of Oxford OX1 1PT julian.savulescu@philosophy.ox.ac.uk

Introduction

This editorial accompanies the Case report from the team of respected academic Norbert Gleicher (Gleicher et al, 2018) which invites a philosophical debate around two women, both aged 47 years, who became pregnant from autologous oocytes, one progressing to a pre-term livebirth at 35 weeks by which stage the mother was now aged 48 years. Each woman received adjuvant therapy, either dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) or growth hormone (GH). The case report also notes two further livebirths in 2016 from women aged 45 years.

Advanced Age and IVF

The assisted reproductive technology (ART) journey has steadily progressed towards improved outcomes from each oocyte pick-up (OPU) procedure - by this we mean the chance of achieving a live healthy infant, at term, without significant risk to the mother (avoiding ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, ovarian torsion, ectopic and heterotopic pregnancies) and without significant risk to the infant (avoiding multiple pregnancy, IUGR and severe pre-term). This year the first IVF "child" will have her 40th birthday and will be among an estimated 7 million such offspring. However, this glowing picture can be subdivided into favourable or unfavourable prognostic groups governed by the woman's age and ovarian reserve; measured by the antral follicle count (AFC) in the ovaries and/or the serum level of anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH). A recent report (Mustafa et al, 2017) shows the Productivity rate (for fresh and frozen transfers from a single autologous OPU) combined with the cumulative livebirth rate (CLBR from repeated OPU cycles) ranges from

45.5% with PIVET Algorithm Group A (AFC ≥ 20 antral follicles or AMH ≥ 20 pmol/L) steadily declining down the grades to 18.4% for Group E (AFC < 5 antral follicles or AMH ≤ 5 pmol/L). Focussing on older women (≥ 40 years) with Group E, the CLBR across 4 OPU cycles was 13.6%. Whilst this appears satisfactory at first glance, a closer breakdown showed that no livebirths (from 29 cycles) were obtained after age 44 years. Of interest younger women with Group E showed a 30% livebirth rate across 4 OPU cycles. It was concluded that when financial concerns are not the deciding issue, fully informed women aged < 45 years should not be deprived of the opportunity to undergo repeated autologous IVF attempts. In that study the chance of livebirth was often higher in the 4th OPU cycle, hence it was not clear at which point the woman should be advised to desist from further autologous attempts. Certainly, for those who will accept the idea, donor oocytes from younger women can provide a much higher chance of livebirth and this is probably best undertaken at a “youngish” age, 45-49 years (prior to established menopause).

Advanced Age Data from Authorities

From the Australia and New Zealand Assisted Reproduction Database (ANZARD) the Annual Report (ANZARD, 2017) for procedures conducted during the year 2015 showed that live births per initiated cycle for women aged ≥ 45 years using autologous fresh cycle was 0.3%, whilst autologous frozen cycle was 7.0%, with oocytes having been cryopreserved at a younger age < 45 years. From the American Society of Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) the SART National Summary Report (2017) indicated a 3.2% live birth rate for women > 42 years from autologous cycles. However, no data is provided for specific years in this older range although the SART website does enable potential patients to calculate their chance of livebirth. Entering age 51 years, height 1.7 meters, BMI 24.3 Kg/m² and using autologous eggs showed a cumulative livebirth chance from one cycle as 1% and 2% after three cycles. The comparable rates for age 48 years is shown as 2% after one cycle, 3% after two cycles and 4% after three cycles. It is not clear whether these estimations are based on real experience in ART or estimated from spontaneous pregnancy data. From the European Society for Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) reporting on data from the year 2013 (ESHRE 2017), provided an age range of 15-45 years for livebirths, but nil documented thereafter.

Of interest the National Vital Statistics Report from the United States Department of Health and Human services (2016) showed birth rates for women > 45 years as 0.9 per thousand women and 786 of these births occurred in women aged ≥ 50 years being 0.7 per ten thousand women in the age range 50-54 years. The nature of these pregnancies (natural or assisted) is not described. Perhaps the oldest woman achieving a livebirth from autologous oocytes utilising IVF is aged 50 years; documented in the prestigious journal Fertility and Sterility by the authors from West Bengal, India as a rare case (Rani et al, 2015). However given the rarity of such pregnancies from ART, the report can be treated sceptically given that 50% of births in India still occur at home; birth certificates may not be generated in real time; and the reported case relied on a government-issued Voter's card to indicate the woman's age.

The largest single centre report from respected academic Zev Rosenwaks (Gunnala et al, 2017) showed live birth rates per initiated autologous cycle of 2.9% for the 679 cycles in

women aged 45 years; 0.5% for the 198 cycles in women aged 46 years; nil for the 19 cycles in women aged 48 years; and nil for the 5 cycles in women aged 49 years. Among the entire group of women aged ≥ 45 years it was noted that a minimum of 4 mature oocytes was required to generate any pregnancy.

Adjuvants for Advanced Age

The question of whether adjuvants can assist women with advanced age or those with low ovarian reserve to join the ranks of success with autologous cycles has only recently started to become clearer. Two of the authors from this editorial have been exploring the relevance of GH and DHEA with recent publications which project the most current knowledge, albeit that the studies have identifiable weaknesses, mainly related to being retrospective. When GH was used for the first time in women classified as poor prognosis for one or more of four reasons (advanced age, poor egg numbers, poor embryo development or repetitive failed implantations), GH improved the chance of livebirth by 6-fold overall and the effect was most marked in the age range 35-39 years (almost 15-fold benefit), but with diminishing benefit after 40 years, and no detectable benefit at ≥ 42 years (Keane et al, 2017). A further study which examined the effects of DHEA with or without GH showed no clear benefit for DHEA alone or any modifying or potentiating effect on live birth chance to the GH benefit (Keane et al, 2018). These studies covered the initiated fresh cycle outcomes. Analysis of the outcomes of GH-generated or DHEA-generated embryos which have been cryopreserved has yet to be undertaken hence the biological mechanism for the GH benefit as embryo-mediated or endometrium-mediated has yet to be determined.

Ethical Considerations

There are three major ethical considerations in advanced age ART. The first is the welfare or best interests of the child. There is no indication from the evidence above that the use of autologous oocytes in women seeking to achieve a pregnancy at or after 45 years is associated with a significantly increased risk of non-lethal abnormalities. The main effects appear to be failure to implant or miscarriage.

The second consideration is the autonomy of the woman. Women in public or private must be informed of the latest reliable statistics of success and failure, as tailored as possible to their subgroup. Provided women are presented with the evidence above, as well as the risks and costs of ART, and not coerced into choosing ART, their choice should be respected, even if the chances of success are low. A 1/100 chance of a healthy live birth can still be worth taking if the costs are low.

Lastly, distributive justice will determine whether advanced age ART should be offered within a public health system. Whether it is cost effective at low probabilities (1/100 or less) will depend on how the metric is constructed: if the quality-adjusted life years of the newborn created by ART are included, it will almost certainly be cost-effective. However, if relief of maternal psychological symptoms and improved quality of life is the only positive outcome, it will probably be of marginal or poor cost-effectiveness. None of these arguments would apply in private where a patient is meeting the full costs of ART.

Conclusions

The 40-year success story of ART has been accompanied by new knowledge in Reproductive Medicine enabling the generation of pregnancies and ensuing livebirths in previously infertile circumstances. However, we have also learnt about some of the limitations, the main one being that related to the age of the female when using autologous oocytes. Best evidence does imply that subfertile women have almost no (<1.0%) chance of livebirth undertaking autologous fresh ART procedures after 45 years. They can conceive using donor oocytes from younger women or even using autologous oocytes or embryos cryopreserved at younger ages. Whilst women over 45 years who are prepared to cover all costs should be enabled to undertake a treatment cycle attempting to achieve pregnancy with autologous oocytes in order to “come to terms” with their infertility status, they need to be given the best available advice; as contained within this Editorial.

References

ANZARD 2017. Fitzgerald O, Harris K, Paul RC, Chambers GM. Assisted reproductive technology in Australia and New Zealand 2015 (ANZARD Report 2017). Sydney: National Perinatal Epidemiology and Statistics Unit, the University of New South Wales. 83p. ISBN 978-0-7334-3761-8.

ASRM. SART National Summary Report 2017 for the year 2015. SART Website: https://www.sartcorsonline.com/rptCSR_PublicMultyear.aspx?reportingYear=2015

ESHRE 2017. Assisted reproductive technology in Europe, 2013: results generated from European registers by ESHRE: The European IVF-monitoring Consortium (EIM) for the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE). Calhaz-Jorge C, De Geyter C, Kupka MS, de Mouzon J, Erb K, Mocanu E, Motrenko T, Scaravelli G, Wyns C, Goossens V. Human Reproduction, 2017; 32 (10): 1957–1973. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dex264

Gleicher N, Kushnir VA, Darmon SK, Albertini DF, Barad DH. Giving older women an IVF chance with own eggs: Framing the issue with two oldest reported IVF pregnancies and a live birth. 2018 Reprod Biomed Online; *this issue*

Gunnala V, Irani M, Melnick A, Rosenwaks Z, Spandorfer S. One thousand seventy eight autologous IVF cycles in women 45 years and older: the largest single-center cohort to date. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2017; doi: 10.1007/s10815-017-1088-y. [Epub ahead of print]

Kevin N Keane, John L Yovich, Anahita Hamidi, Peter M Hinchliffe, Satvinder S Dhaliwal. A Single Centre Retrospective Analysis of Growth Hormone Supplementation in Poor-Prognosis IVF Patients. BMJ Open. 2017 Oct 8;7(10):e018107. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018107.

Kevin N Keane, Peter M Hinchliffe, Philip K Rowlands, Gayatri Borude, Shanthi Srinivasan, Satvinder S Dhaliwal, John L Yovich. DHEA Supplementation Confers No Additional Benefit to that of Growth Hormone on Pregnancy and Live Birth Rates in IVF Patients Categorised as Poor Prognosis. *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 2018; doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00014

Mustafa KB, Keane KN, Walz NL, Mitrovic KI, Hinchliffe PM, Yovich JL. Live Birth Rates are satisfactory following multiple IVF treatment cycles in poor prognosis patients. *Reprod Biol* 2017; 17: 34-41

Rani G, Goswami S, Chattopadhyay R, Ghosh S, Chakravarty B, Ganesh A. Live birth in a 50-year-old woman following in vitro fertilization–embryo transfer with autologous oocytes: a rare case report. *Fertil Steril*. 2015; 103:414–6.

US Health statistics 2017. National Vital Statistics Reports. National Vital Statistics Reports. 2016; 1–70.