

1 The Late Pliocene to Early Pleistocene Lomekwi faunas, West Turkana, Kenya: systematics,
2 paleoecology, and biochronology

3 Denis Geraads^{a,*}, René Bobe^{b,c,d}, Thomas A. Püschel^d, Carol V. Ward^{e,f}, J. Michael Plavcan^g,

4 Fredrick Kyalo Manthi^h

5 ^a CR2P, UMR 7207, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités,
6 CP 38, 8 rue Buffon, 75231 PARIS Cedex 05, France

7 ^b ICArEHB, Interdisciplinary Center for Archaeology and the Evolution of Human Behaviour,
8 Universidade do Algarve, Faro, Portugal

9 ^c Department of Science, Gorongosa National Park, Mozambique; School of Anthropology,
10 University of Oxford, UK

11 ^d Institute of Human Sciences, School of Anthropology & Museum Ethnography, University
12 of Oxford, United Kingdom

13 ^e Department of Pathology and Anatomical Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO
14 65212, USA

15 ^f Department of Anthropology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

16 ^g Department of Anthropology, 330 Old Main, Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA

17 ^h Department of Earth Sciences, National Museums of Kenya, P.O. Box 40658, Nairobi,
18 Kenya

19

20 * Corresponding author

21

22 Abstract: We describe here the vertebrate fauna collected by the West Turkana Research

23 Project at Lomekwi, a site best known for yielding the holotype of *Kenyanthropus platyops*

24 and Lomekwian stone tools, and consisting of several collecting areas providing fossil

25 samples ranging in age from about 3.6 to 2.2 Ma. Analysis of the newly recovered material,

26 alongside a thorough revision of earlier collections, has led to the identification of 85

27 vertebrate taxa., which provide biochronological indications that help refine the site's
28 chronology and shed light on the paleoenvironmental conditions prevailing during a critical
29 period of early hominin diversification. The newly described fauna illustrates that during the
30 time of *Kenyanthropus*, hominins shared their landscapes with a rich assemblage of
31 vertebrates, including abundant megaherbivores, some 28 species of artiodactyls, diverse
32 large and medium-size carnivores, and giant crocodiles as apex predators in the lakes and
33 rivers of the Turkana region in the Pliocene. Among primates, the recurring association of
34 *Theropithecus* with hominins is found at Lomekwi as it is elsewhere. Paleoenvironmental
35 proxies from the Turkana region emphasize the complex and dynamic nature of the habitats
36 that supported this rich biodiversity.

37 Keywords: paleoenvironment, Hominin, Mammalia, *Kenyanthropus*, East Africa

38

39 **1. INTRODUCTION**

40 1.1 HISTORY

41 The paleontological site of Lomekwi in West Turkana, Kenya, has produced a large
42 collection of vertebrates dating from the early Late Pliocene to the earliest Pleistocene.
43 Among these specimens, there is the holotype of the hominin species *Kenyanthropus platyops*
44 (from locality LO6) dating to c. 3.5 Ma, and the paratype from LO5, dating to c. 3.3 Ma.
45 Lomekwi is also renowned as the site of the earliest known stone tools, discovered at the
46 LOM 3 locality and dated to 3.3 Ma (Harmand et al., 2015). Although the associated fauna
47 from LOM 3 is not analyzed in this study, the archeological site lies within the broader LO5
48 collecting area described here. Despite its importance, the paleontological context of the
49 hominins and archaeological sites remains to be fully documented. A thorough study of the
50 Lomekwi fauna can help answer questions about the paleoecology of these early hominins
51 and the environments of the earliest archaeological sites. Here we present the first

52 comprehensive description of fossil vertebrates from the paleontological sites at Lomekwi,
53 dating from 3.6 to 2.2 Ma.

54 The time interval from 3.6 to 2.2 Ma is of considerable interest for African
55 paleoanthropologists, archaeologists, and paleontologists because it represents the first known
56 diversification of hominin species at about 3.6 to 3.3 Ma (Brunet et al., 1996; Haile-Selassie
57 et al., 2015; Haile-Selassie et al., 2016; Haile-Selassie et al., 2012; Leakey and Harris, 1987;
58 McNutt et al., 2021; Spoor et al., 2010; Spoor et al., 2016), the first occurrences of
59 archaeological materials in eastern Africa - and globally (de la Torre, 2019; Harmand et al.,
60 2015; McPherron et al., 2010), and a transition from environments dominated by woodlands
61 and wooded grasslands in the Pliocene to the more open conditions of the Pleistocene (Bobe,
62 2006; Bobe et al., 2022; deMenocal, 2011; Villaseñor et al., 2023; Vrba, 1988). The hominin
63 clade further diversified with the emergence of *Homo* and *Paranthropus* around 3 Ma or
64 shortly thereafter (Bobe and Wood, 2022; Plummer et al., 2023; Villmoare et al., 2015).

65 Research at Lomekwi began in 1980, when a team from the National Museums of
66 Kenya initiated paleontological and geological surveys of sedimentary sequences between the
67 Lomekwi and Topernawi river drainages west of Lake Turkana. Fieldwork in West Turkana
68 in the 1980s under the umbrella of the West Turkana Research Project (WTRP) resulted in the
69 recovery of several key hominin specimens (Brown et al., 1985; Walker et al., 1986) and a
70 collection of fossil vertebrates dating from the Late Pliocene and Early Pleistocene described
71 in a monograph by John Harris and colleagues (Harris et al., 1988). However, Harris and
72 colleagues lamented "the relatively small samples retrieved from the West Turkana localities",
73 and especially "the sparse mammalian faunas from horizons predating the Tulu Bor Tuff" (a
74 tuff dated to 3.4 Ma: Brown & McDougall, 2011). Since 1996, archaeological fieldwork has
75 been led by the West Turkana Archaeology Project (WTAP), which has resulted in the
76 documentation of about 40 archaeological sites in the Nachukui Formation (Brugal and

77 Roche, 2022; Brugal et al., 2003). Meave Leakey led renewed fieldwork at Lomekwi in 1998-
78 1999, resulting in the discovery and description of *Kenyanthropus platyops* from locality
79 LO6, with other hominin specimens from localities LO4, LO5, LO9 (Leakey et al., 2001). In
80 2009, a crew led by Kyalo Manthi (National Museums of Kenya) surveyed the Lomekwi
81 sites, and this resulted in the recovery of additional remains attributed to *K. platyops*, as well
82 other faunal taxa. In 2012, Kyalo Manthi, Michael Plavcan (University of Arkansas) and
83 Carol Ward (University of Missouri) launched the West Turkana Paleo Project (WTPP) with a
84 focus on Pliocene localities. Field efforts by the WTPP between 2016-2021 significantly
85 increased the number of fossil vertebrates from the time window 3.9-2.2 Ma, most of which
86 are described here for the first time.

87

88 1.2 CONTEXT

89 The Lomekwi sites occur in the Nachukui Formation, a sedimentary sequence that
90 spans from about 4.3 Ma to 0.7 Ma. After several decades of fieldwork, the sedimentology
91 and geochronology of the sequence are relatively well established (Feibel, 2011; Feibel and
92 Brown, 1993; Lepre et al., 2011; Roche et al., 2003; Sier et al., 2017). The Nachukui
93 Formation is best understood as part of the Omo Group deposits that also include the Koobi
94 Fora, Shungura, and Usno Formations straddling the border of Kenya and Ethiopia (Brown,
95 1994, 1995; Brown and McDougall, 2011; Feibel, 1999, 2011; Feibel et al., 1989). The
96 specimens described here derive from the lower members of the Nachukui Formation: the
97 Kataboi, Lomekwi, Lokalalei, and lower Kalochoro members.

98 During the earlier part of the Lomekwi sequence, i.e., in the upper Kataboi Member
99 (c.3.6 to 3.44 Ma), the Omo-Turkana region was dominated by a large and shallow lake that
100 fluctuated in size. This is called the Lokochot lake (Feibel, 2011). The holotype of
101 *Kenyanthropus platyops* from locality LO6 was preserved along the western margins of this

102 lake (Leakey et al., 2001). By 3.4 Ma, the lake no longer existed and was replaced by a large
103 river that crossed the Omo-Turkana Basin and exited toward the Indian Ocean. Thus, many of
104 the fossil sites from 3.4 to c.2.4 Ma derive from fluvial floodplain deposits (the Tulu Bor
105 floodplain) (Feibel, 2011). Most of the other hominin fossils derive from **LO5 and LO4** which
106 represent the lower portions of the Lomekwi Member, close to 3.3 Ma (Leakey et al., 2001),
107 and also have been attributed to *Kenyanthropus platyops* (Cerling et al., 2013; Leakey et al.,
108 2001; Spoor et al., 2016). Hominins and associated fauna also have been recovered from LO9
109 and LO10 which represents middle and upper portions of the Lomekwi Member (Leakey et
110 al., 2001). However, in this study, we do not evaluate the hominin fossils themselves beyond
111 their relevance to the associated faunal assemblages and paleoenvironmental context.

112 The fossils from Lomekwi are broadly contemporaneous with the Tulu Bor Member
113 of the Koobi Fora Formation and Members B and C of the Shungura Formation.

114

115 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

116 We examined a total of 1,885 fossil specimens housed at the National Museums of
117 Kenya in Nairobi, the majority of which were collected by the West Turkana Paleo Project
118 (WTPP), supplemented by several notable specimens from earlier fieldwork (Suppl. data2).
119 The most fossil-rich localities (Tab. 1) are LO5 and LO4 (including LO4E and, tentatively,
120 LO4E east), dated to 3.5–3.2 Ma (Harris et al., 1988), each yielding over 600 specimens
121 (Leakey et al., 2001). These are followed by LO9 and LO10, dated to approximately 2.9 Ma,
122 with more than 200 specimens each (Leakey et al., 2001). In contrast, the earliest locality,
123 LO6, as well as the early Pleistocene localities LO1, LO3, and LO8 (Harris et al., 1988), are
124 considerably less productive. The fossiliferous localities form well-defined spatial clusters,
125 and only a small number of specimens have reported GPS coordinates outside these core areas
126 (Fig. 1). Specimens were collected with standard surface collection protocols. All fossils

127 collected were mapped using GPS and checked against geological maps to confirm
128 geochronological setting. Upper teeth are in uppercase, lower teeth in lowercase.
129 Abbreviations: L = length; W = width; max. = maximum.

130 The Lomekwi fossils were directly compared with those from several relevant Kenyan
131 sites in the National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi, and indirectly with those from Ethiopian
132 sites in the National Museums of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa, from Moroccan sites in the Institut
133 National des Sciences de l'Archéologie et du Patrimoine, Rabat, Morocco, and from Laetoli in
134 the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany. Modern comparative collections are housed in
135 the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.

136

137 **4. SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY**

138 4.1 CERCOPITHECIDAE

139 4.1.1 Colobinae

140 *Rhinocolobus* sp. and/or *Paracolobus* sp.

141 Except for the partial skeleton KNM-WT 16827 of *Paracolobus mutiwa* from LO1
142 (Harris et al., 1988; Anderson, 2021), colobines are mostly represented by isolated teeth (Fig.
143 2S) and a few postcranials. Based upon their size, these teeth could belong to either
144 *Rhinocolobus turkanaensis* or *P. mutiwa*, although they can be slightly larger or smaller than
145 in these taxa. Both species are present at Omo (Leakey, 1987) and Koobi Fora (Jablonski &
146 Leakey, 2008), but formal identification is impossible, although they are definitely larger than
147 modern colobines or fossil *Colobus*. Some of the postcranials can be identified as colobine
148 based on their morphological characters, and it might be that an in-depth study would allow
149 identification of some more specimens. A proximal ulna KNM-WT 71864 from LO5 has a
150 straight caudal border and a rectangular, not retroflexed olecranon, and is perhaps also
151 colobine. KNM-WT 48231 from LO5 is a tibial diaphysis that is quite deep anteroposteriorly

152 at mid-length, unlike the similar sized *Theropithecus*, but resembling the smaller *Colobus*
153 *freedmani* KNM-ER 5896. KNM-WT 69465 from LO4E is a talus the size of that of
154 *Theropithecus*, but the lips of the trochlea are strongly divergent distally, unlike in this genus,
155 but more like that seen in colobines (Strasser, 1988). This specimen could represent
156 *P. mutiwa*, although there are some differences with this species (Anderson, 2021, fig. 21).

157

158 *Cercopithecoides* sp.?

159 The upper molar KNM-WT 75507 from LO4E is the only colobine tooth that is
160 definitely too small (L = 7.3; W = 6.8) to belong to either of the previous species.

161

162 4.1.2 Cercopithecinae

163 *Parapapio* sp.

164 Harris et al. (1988) assigned to this genus the mandibles KNM-WT 16751 and KNM-
165 WT 16752, both from LO4. We keep these identifications, although distinction of the former
166 specimen from *Theropithecus* might be due to weathering. In addition, KNM-WT 77121 from
167 LO8 (Fig. 2R) is a large m3 comparable in size to the species that Jablonski et al. (2008)
168 called '*Parapapio* sp. indet. A' from Koobi Fora; it can be confidently assigned to this genus.
169 KNM-WT 77160 from LO5 (Fig. 2P) is similar but smaller. Identification of other specimens
170 is less secure. Among them, KNM-WT 71836 from LO9 is an almost unworn upper molar
171 that resembles *Theropithecus* but is quite brachyodont. KNM-WT 75500 from LO9 (Fig. 2Q)
172 is an upper molar that lacks the strong crests of *Theropithecus*. *Parapapio* (or a closely related
173 genus) is not common at that time at Koobi Fora (Jablonski & Leakey, 2008), and has not
174 been reported from Omo.

175

176 *Theropithecus brumpti* Arambourg, 1947

177 The most complete specimen is the skeleton KNM-WT 39368 from LO5 (Jablonski et
178 al., 2002). An almost complete female mandible KNM-WT 48327 from the same site (Fig.
179 2O) can be assigned to *T. brumpti* on the basis of the presence of a mandibular fossa, probably
180 shallower than it was in life because of crushing. KNM-WT 69009, also from LO5, is a male
181 partial mandible with worn teeth; the very incompletely preserved corpus is slightly depressed
182 below the premolars; the canine is very large, and p3 has a very long, oblique anterior flange;
183 both teeth are much larger than in specimens that we assign to *T. cf. oswaldi* (Fig. 3, Suppl.
184 data2). Many other teeth and partial jaws belong to *Theropithecus*, but species identification is
185 impossible, because of the similarity of the two species and intra-specific variation. However,
186 it is likely that most of them belong to *T. brumpti*, because it is by far the most common
187 species in the Turkana Basin at that time (Eck, 1987; Eck & Jablonski, 1987; Jablonski et al.,
188 2008; Frost et al., 2022), and because their teeth are larger for their age than those of
189 *T. oswaldi*. For instance, teeth from LO4, LO5, and LO6 are distinctly larger than those of
190 *T. oswaldi darti* from the roughly contemporaneous Sidi Hakoma Mb of the Hadar Fm (Fig.
191 3, Suppl. data2). Those from LO9 and LO10 are comparable in size to those of *T. oswaldi*
192 from the much younger Upper Burgi Mb of the Koobi Fora Fm. The Lomekwi teeth match
193 better the measurements of the *T. brumpti* teeth from Koobi Fora; still, those from LO4, LO5,
194 and LO6 are somewhat larger than those from the Lokochot Mb, while those from LO9 and
195 LO10 correspond in size to those of *T. brumpti* from Shungura Mb C and average somewhat
196 larger than those from the Tulu Bor Mb. Other teeth from these two localities are also quite
197 large, especially a p4 KNM-WT 75932, a m1 or m2 KNM-WT 73074, and canines KNM-WT
198 71761 and KNM-WT 75506 that are 15 and 17.1 mm wide, respectively; these dimensions are
199 not reached before the Upper Burgi Mb at Koobi Fora. Thus, overall, the Lomekwi teeth look
200 somewhat larger than those from Omo or Koobi Fora; whether this is a merely a sample bias,

201 a regional variation, or an indication that the ages of the Lomekwi localities lie in the younger
202 part of their ranges rather than in the earlier, remains to be determined.

203 The humerus KNM-WT 69168 from LO1 is assigned to *Theropithecus* because of its
204 size (max. length = 220; max. distal W = 40; max. distal depth = 26.5) and laterally shifted
205 distal end, as in several specimens from Koobi Fora. Identification of other humeri is more
206 tentative. The ulnae KNM-WT 48232 and KNM-WT 69213 from LO5 have at least some
207 olecranon retroflexion.

208 KNM-WT 48221 from LO5 is an astragalus that has a narrow trochlea (much
209 narrower than in Colobinae or Papionini) that broadens only slightly anteriorly, and a very
210 wide medial malleolar facet. It does not fully conform with other *Theropithecus* but matches
211 this genus (especially KNM-KNM-ER 3842 assigned to cf. *Theropithecus* by Jablonski &
212 Leakey, 2008) better than others. KNM-WT 69233 from LO10 also has a rather narrow,
213 parallel-sided tibial trochlea, and is probably also of *Theropithecus*. KNM-WT 69465 from
214 LO4E also fits better *Theropithecus* than other Turkana cercopithecids in its rather narrow
215 trochlea, which has a deep central groove, as in KNM-ER 3842.

216

217 *Theropithecus* cf. *oswaldi* (Andrews, 1916)

218 KNM-WT 48247 (Fig. 2N) from LO5 is a partial mandible with well-preserved right
219 tooth-row; the p3 is relatively short, and the preserved upper part of the corpus shows no
220 indication of a mandibular fossa. We tentatively refer it to *T. oswaldi*, a species that is much
221 less common in the Turkana Basin than *T. brumpti*. There is no definite evidence of a small
222 cercopithecine, or of another genus than those mentioned above, but their presence cannot be
223 excluded, as there are several fragmentary specimens. In any case, there is no doubt that
224 *Theropithecus* was by far the dominant taxon among Cercopithecidae, even though this may
225 be due in part to a taphonomic or collecting bias.

226

227 4.2 CARNIVORA

228 4.2.1 Canidae

229 *Nyctereutes* cf. *barryi* Werdelin & Dehghani, 2011

230 KNM-WT 39938 from LO4W is a much-weathered partial mandible with worn and
231 poorly preserved m1 whose large talonid is indicative of *Nyctereutes*, but with at most an
232 incipient subangular lobe, in contrast to most representatives of this genus. KNM-WT 71916
233 from LO5 is a partial maxilla with incomplete M1, whose enlarged lingual part, together with
234 the large size of M2 alveolus, also suggest *Nyctereutes*. The size and dental proportions of
235 these specimens are close to those of *N. lockwoodi* from the Hadar Fm (Geraads et al., 2010)
236 and ?*N. barryi* from Laetoli (Werdelin & Dehghani, 2011). These two species differ in some
237 cranial characters, not observable here, but also in the lack of subangular lobe in the Laetoli
238 species. Accordingly, we tentatively assign the Lomekwi form to this species.

239 *Nyctereutes* is very rare in the East African fossil record and has not been reported
240 from Omo (Howell & Petter, 1976) or Koobi Fora (Werdelin & Lewis, 2013).

241

242 *Lupulella* sp.

243 KNM-WT 40178 from LO4W is a mandible lacking molars; the premolars are
244 slenderer than those of *Nyctereutes*. KNM-WT 48340 from LO5 is also a partial mandible
245 lacking the molars. Together with a few isolated teeth, these specimens certainly represent a
246 jackal, but the lack of molars prevents detailed comparisons. On the whole, canids are quite
247 rare at Lomekwi, as they are at Koobi Fora (Werdelin & Lewis, 2013). Canids are fully absent
248 from Omo.

249

250 4.2.2 Mustelidae

251 *Enhydriodon* sp.

252 A few incomplete teeth represent a giant bunodont otter. The P4 KNM-WT 39625
253 from LO4 (Fig. 2I) differs from that of *E. dikikae* Geraads et al. (2011) from the Basal Mb of
254 the Hadar Fm (c. 3.5 Ma) in its slightly larger parastyle, and in the absence of crista
255 connecting the paracone to the protocone, which is smaller and less lingually located,
256 resulting in a less triangular tooth outline. In this latter feature, it resembles *E. omoensis* from
257 Omo (Grohé et al., 2022). There is no clear difference with *E. ekecaman* from Kanapoi
258 (Werdelin, 2003; Werdelin & Manthi, 2012), except the distinctly larger size (Tab. 2). KNM-
259 WT 75529 could be part of another P4.

260 On the M1 KNM-WT 39625 (Fig. 2J), the hypocone is distinctly smaller than in both
261 *E. dikikae* and *E. omoensis*. Compared to *E. ekecaman*, the cingulum and hypocone of the
262 incomplete Lomekwi M1 are weaker, and the buccal part is longer (Werdelin, 2003; Werdelin
263 & Manthi, 2012). *Enhydriodon afman* from Koobi Fora (Werdelin & Lewis, 2013) and
264 *E. kamuhangerei* from Uganda (Morales & Pickford, 2005) are known only by m1s,
265 preventing comparison with the Lomekwi upper teeth.

266 A complete femur KNM-WT 37400 from LO4, a distal femur KNM-WT 48346 from
267 LO1, and a humerus KNM-WT 39331 from LO4 (Fig. 2K), are also of *Enhydriodon* (and
268 were identified as such on the accompanying tags by M. Lewis). The humerus is about a
269 quarter smaller than that of *E. dikikae*. The femur was studied by Lewis (2008); it is
270 somewhat smaller than those from Hadar and Dikika, and much smaller than the Omo one,
271 which has a much more proximally directed head and a more medial lesser trochanter (Grohé
272 et al., 2022).

273 Distinction between all these species is not clear-cut; it is rather unlikely that several
274 lineages coexisted in the Turkana Basin, and we may surmise that some of the differences in
275 size and morphology result from evolutionary changes or regional variations.

276

277 *Lutra* s.l. nov. sp.?

278 KNM-WT 40180 from LO4E (Fig. 2M) is a partial mandible with incomplete p4,
279 lacking the tip of the main cuspid, and slightly eroded m1 (p4 = 10.0 x 7.0; m1 17.2 x 8.9) .
280 The relatively small size of the talonid shows that it belongs to a fish-eating otter (*Lutra* s. l.).
281 It is the size of Koobi Fora specimens assigned to *Torolutra* Petter et al., 1991, by Werdelin &
282 Lewis (2011) but the p4 is broader, the trigonid of m1 is more widely open, with the
283 metaconid more distally located, and the talonid is distinctly shorter, with a less distinct
284 hypoconid. At least the characters of m1 are more reminiscent of *Lutra* s. str., but a revision
285 of African fish-eating otters remains to be undertaken, as already discussed by Geraads et al.
286 (2015). Indeed, of the diagnostic characters listed by Petter to define her new genus *Torolutra*
287 (translated in Grohé et al., 2022: "Mandible with a relatively deep masseteric fossa separated
288 from the ventral margin of the ramus and with the anterior border located behind the alveolus
289 for m2; p4 robust, with an oval outline, surrounded by a thick and continuous cingulid; m1
290 with tall and sharp trigonid cuspids, the protoconid being the tallest trigonid cuspid, a narrow
291 talonid, with a flat and obliquely oriented lingual face of the hypoconid.") only the first one is
292 not found in *Lutra*, and is quite subtle. In fact, the conspicuous, rather lingually located
293 hypoconid of the specimens assigned to "*Torolutra*" (KNM-ER 4568, KNM-ER 5895 [and
294 KNM-ER 44462, not studied by Werdelin & Lewis]) make the talonid distinctly less flat than
295 in *Lutra*, and this is perhaps the best diagnostic feature of this taxon. The talonid of KNM-WT
296 40180 is more *Lutra*-like, but shorter than that KNM-ER 1486, the much-worn holotype of
297 *Hydrictis gudho* Werdelin & Lewis, 2011.

298 KNM-WT 16858 from LO4 is a mandible fragment with badly broken p4 and m1; it
299 could be of a smaller individual of the same species.

300

301 4.2.3 Herpestidae

302 *Mungos dietrichi* Petter, 1963

303 KNM-WT 17575 from LO1 is an associated mandible and maxilla (Fig. 2G). The
304 characters of its lower teeth allow confident assignment (following Harris et al., 1988) to
305 *M. dietrichi*, a species of mongoose only known from Laetoli and Olduvai (Petter, 1963,
306 1973, 1987; Werdelin & Dehghani, 2011). The upper P4, however, is somewhat longer
307 relative to its breadth than LAET 3741 (Petter, 1987, fig. 7.2.11); we do not know whether
308 this has taxonomic significance. A mandible with broken m1-m2, KNM-WT 71918 from
309 LO4E, is probably of the same species.

310

311 *Herpestes* cf. *palaeoserengetensis* Dietrich, 1942

312 Pending a revision of the fossil forms, we include *Galerella* in *Herpestes* s.l.. KNM-
313 WT 39333 from LO5 is a partial cranium with a well-preserved palate but lacking most teeth
314 except right P3 and M1. It resembles modern *Herpestes* and thus probably belongs to the
315 Laetoli species, the only one known in the Pliocene of East Africa (Petter, 1987; Werdelin &
316 Dehghani, 2011), even though the P3 is somewhat larger (P3 = 6.05 x 3.9; max. width of M1
317 = 6.5). KNM-WT 48339 from LO5 (Fig. 2H) is a partial mandible with p4-m1, certainly of
318 the same species. Sample size is small for the fossil forms, but the lower teeth appear to be
319 slightly broader than those of extant *Herpestes* species and *H. abdelalii* from Ahl al Oughlam,
320 in connection with the less open trigonid of m1 (paraconid less forwardly directed). Figure
321 8.11 in Werdelin & Dehghani (2011) shows this for the Laetoli m1 L5315, but this is still
322 more true of KNM-WT 48339, especially for p4 (Tab. 3). KNM-WT 71927 from LO5 is a
323 mandibular fragment with m1 and the m2 alveolus.

324 Herpestids are very poorly known at Omo (Wesselman, 1984), and unknown at Koobi
325 Fora, presumably because of taphonomic or collecting biases.

326

327 4.2.4 Viverridae

328 '*Viverra*' *leakeyi* Petter, 1963

329 KNM-WT 38764 from LO1 (Fig. 2L) is an m1 that much resembles the m1 of the
330 living *Civettictis civetta*, but looks less derived in having the trigonid cuspids less closely
331 appressed against each other and perhaps less high; it is also larger (16.3 x 8.5) than the m1s
332 of *C. civetta* (12.2–15 x 7.4–8.6 in a modern sample). This tooth also resembles '*Viverra*'
333 *leakeyi* Petter, 1963, first described from Laetoli on upper teeth, and later recognized at Omo
334 (Petter & Howell, 1977) and Ahl al Oughlam, Morocco (Geraads, 1977); the m1 from this
335 latter site has a trigonid that is slightly more widely open lingually and is still larger (17.7 x
336 9.5), but an m1 from Shungura Fm Mb E (Omo 151-745) is virtually identical with the
337 Lomekwi tooth. KNM-WT 39923 from LO4E is a weathered, smaller m1 (14.3 x 7.3) that is
338 probably of the same species. A maxilla preserving only the I3, canine, and P1, but lacking
339 other cheek-teeth, KNM-WT 16852 from LO10 was assigned to *Civettictis* by Harris et al.
340 (1988). It is, however, slightly larger than all specimens of *C. civetta* that we could measure
341 and probably belongs to '*V.*' *leakeyi* as well.

342 KNM-WT 39934 from LO4W is an incomplete M1 that resembles that of
343 *Pseudocivetta ingens*, present at Koobi Fora (Werdelin & Lewis 2013), Omo (Petter &
344 Howell, 1977) and Olduvai (Petter, 1966) but is smaller; we do not attempt generic
345 identification.

346

347 4.2.5 Hyaenidae

348 *Crocota eturono* Werdelin & Lewis, 2013

349 The type of this large relative of the spotted hyena is KNM-WT 40181 from LO6S,
350 and several other specimens from LO4, LO5, and LO6 can be assigned to the same species,

351 on the basis of their large size and broad premolars (Suppl. data1, fig. A–C; Suppl. data2);
352 even the more recent *C. ultra* and *C. crocuta* average smaller. The main specimens not
353 mentioned by Lewis & Werdelin (2022) are KNM-WT 39214 from LO5 (Fig. 2F), a maxilla
354 with P3 and a very long P4, and KNM-WT 48344 from LO4E, an m1 fully lacking a
355 metaconid. Those from LO9 and LO10 are also large, but could belong to *C. ultra*, a common
356 form in late Pliocene / early Pleistocene deposits. *Crocuta eturono* is a rare species but is also
357 present at Koobi Fora (Werdelin & Lewis, 2013), at Dikika (Geraads et al., 2015), and at
358 Hadar and East Gona (Lewis & Werdelin, 2022).

359 KNM-WT 16831 from LO4 is a poorly preserved ulna identified as *Homotherium* by
360 Harris et al., 1988 (as KNM-WT 16881) but the caudal border is too concave, the anconeal
361 process not distally inclined, and the shaft diameters sharply decrease distally; these authors
362 were probably misled by its large size, and the bone is in fact clearly hyenid; we tentatively
363 assign it to *C. eturono*.

364 Several West Turkana and Koobi Fora specimens were assigned to *Pachycrocuta* by
365 Werdelin (1999). Later, recognition of *Crocuta eturono* by Werdelin & Lewis (2013) led
366 them to re-assign KNM-ER 3748 to this new species, and to remove *Pachycrocuta* from the
367 Koobi Fora fauna list. It remains to be ascertained whether it should also be removed from the
368 Lomekwi fauna list. Of the specimens studied by Werdelin (1999), KNM-WT 16849 from
369 LO5, with its very large premolars (the largest p3 in Suppl. data1 fig. C), and KNM-WT
370 16851 from LO10 would be the best supports for retaining this genus but the sample of
371 *C. eturono* is still too small to fully assess its variation, and it is more parsimonious to include
372 these specimens in this species. We tentatively remove *Pachycrocuta* from the Lomekwi list.

373

374 *Crocuta venustula* Ewer, 1955

375 A smaller species of *Crocuta* is represented at LO4W by a p3, KNM-WT 39928, at
376 LO4E by a mandible fragment KNM-WT 69008, at LO5 by a p3 KNM-WT 16853, and
377 possibly at LO9 by a partial m1 KNM-WT 77197 that has a metaconid, but whose talonid is
378 short enough for *Crocuta*. KNM-WT 39928 is not much smaller than the smallest p3s of
379 *C. ultra*, but is significantly earlier, and most likely represents a form close to *C. 'dietrichi'*
380 from Laetoli (Petter & Howell, 1989; Werdelin & Dehghani, 2011), regarded (along with
381 *C. dbaa* Geraads, 1997) as a synonym of *C. venustula* Ewer, 1955, by Lewis & Werdelin
382 (2022), to which they assigned this specimen. It must be stressed that species delimitation in
383 Pliocene and Pleistocene African hyenas is not easy, as they form a size continuum, but the
384 large size difference between this specimen and the larger, contemporaneous, *C. eturono*
385 implies species distinction.

386

387 *Crocuta ultra* Ewer, 1954

388 In addition to the LO9 and LO10 specimens mentioned above, KNM-WT 39209 from
389 LO8 is also smaller than *C. eturono*, and should probably be referred to *C. ultra*, as done by
390 Lewis & Werdelin (2022).

391

392 *Hyaena* sp. and / or *Ikelohyaena* cf. *abronia* (Hendey, 1974)

393 A few isolated teeth attest the presence of a small hyenid with narrow teeth that could
394 be close to either the *H. makapani* described at Koobi Fora (Werdelin & Lewis, 2013), *I.* cf.
395 *abronia* from Laetoli (Werdelin & Dehghani, 2011), or *Parahyaena* from Kanapoi (Werdelin
396 & Manthi, 2012). These are a p4 KNM-WT 72987 from LO3, and a p2 KNM-WT 71805
397 from LO9.

398

399 4.2.6 Felidae

400 *Felis cf. silvestris* Schreber, 1777

401 KNM-WT 73014 from LO5 (Fig. 2B) is a felid upper canine that fits *F. silvestris* or a
402 similar small cat in size.

403

404 Cf. *Lynx* sp.

405 A few teeth and postcranials indicate the presence of a felid the size of a serval or
406 caracal, but the protocone of an incomplete P4 KNM-WT 39932 from LO4E (Fig. 2C) is
407 stronger than in these species, and more like that of a lynx, but generic identification is very
408 tentative. A similar-sized felid is present at Koobi Fora (Werdelin & Lewis, 2013). KNM-WT
409 69540 from LO5 is a felid unprepared metacarpal that could be of the same taxon, but is
410 straighter and more slender than in lynxes.

411

412 *Panthera* sp. nov.?

413 KNM-WT 71794 from LO4E (Fig. 2A) is a maxilla with the base of the canine, and
414 P3-M1 is poor condition. It is close to the average size for modern *Panthera pardus*, but
415 differs in the rather large size of M1 (obviously a primitive character), and in the greater
416 length of P4 relative to P3, to the canine, or to the distance between the canine and P4,
417 compared to other African leopard-size *Panthera* (Suppl. data1, Fig. D; Suppl. data2). In this
418 regard, it is probably still more different from the Laetoli leopard (Werdelin & Dehghani,
419 2011), which has a long lower p3. Such a long P4 is also found in *Dinofelis*, but the canine is
420 much smaller and distinctly less transversely compressed than in this genus. Interestingly,
421 these P3/P4 proportions are close to those found in the jaguar, *P. onca* (the P3/P4 length
422 proportions of the Lomekwi maxilla would fit within the larger sample available to Hemmer,
423 2022, fig. 2), but the canine is distinctly larger in the jaguar. If KNM-WT 71794 is not just a
424 *P. pardus* outlier, more detailed analysis might say whether this resemblance with *P. onca*

425 only reflects the primitive tooth proportions, is a mere convergence, or supports Hemmer's
426 hypothesis of an African origin for jaguars.

427 A few other Lomekwi specimens might also be of a relative of *Panthera*. KNM-WT
428 77313 is an astragalus the size of that of *D. aronoki*, but the bone is less massive, the trochlea
429 more asymmetrical, and the head smaller, and it definitely better matches *Panthera* (modern
430 specimens and KNM-ER 2013); we tentatively assign it to *Panthera*. KNM-WT 71797 from
431 LO4W is a P3, the size of a small *P. pardus*.

432 KNM-WT 39224 from LO5 is a damaged p4 (mesiodistal length = 19.5, buccolingual
433 width = 9.5) whose anterior accessory cuspid is better separated from the main cuspid than in
434 *Panthera*, but the crown and especially the anterior cuspid are too low for *Dinofelis*. It might
435 belong to *Panthera* sp.nov.?

436

437 *Dinofelis* sp.

438 An isolated lower carnassial, KNM-WT 48214 from LO6 and a mandible fragment
439 bearing the poorly preserved carnassial, KNM-WT 48216 (Fig. 2D) from LO9 (but its
440 coordinates indicate instead LO10), are intermediate in size (respectively 23.6 x 11.3 and 23.1
441 x -) between *P. pardus* and *P. leo*. In KNM-WT 48214, the mesial edge of the paraconid is
442 more vertical and straighter than in most *Panthera*, but resemble instead the *Dinofelis* from
443 Ahl al Oughlam (Geraads, 2004) and *D. aronoki* KNM-ER 1549. They might belong to the
444 *Panthera* sp. nov.? described above, but we prefer to assign them to *Dinofelis*. Both teeth are
445 somewhat smaller than those of *D. aronoki* from Koobi Fora, however. In KNM-WT 48216,
446 the m1 is less slender than those of the Koobi Fora *Dinofelis* (Werdelin & Lewis, 2013), but
447 not than the one from Ahl al Oughlam (Geraads, 2004). On the partial p4, the distal cingulum
448 is not very elevated, but the tooth is decidedly narrower than in *Panthera*. The upper canine
449 KNM-WT 16846 from LO4 was identified as *Dinofelis petteri* by Werdelin & Lewis (2001);

450 its measurements are almost identical to those of KNM-KNM-ER 3880 (*D. aronoki* according
451 to Werdelin & Lewis, 2013). KNM-WT 69487 from LO5 is the anterior part of an upper
452 carnassial, whose parastyle is taller than long, in contrast to that of *Panthera*. The proximal
453 ulna KNM-WT 39643 from LO4 was identified as "probably *D. aronoki*" by M. Lewis on its
454 accompanying tag. The edentulous mandible KNM-WT 16832 from LO10 was assigned to
455 *D. petteri* by Werdelin & Lewis (2001); the long m1 (from the alveolus) relative to p4 and the
456 posterior location of the mental foramen support the identification as *Dinofelis*, but the long
457 p3 (certainly longer than half that of m1), the very robust canine, and the long diastema
458 compared to the best mandible of *D. petteri*, KNM-KP 30397 from Kanapoi, are differences
459 that, in our opinion, preclude this identification. KNM-WT 75613 from LO9 is a calcaneus
460 whose articular part is more expanded laterally than in *Panthera*, but as in KNM-ER 4419
461 (*D. aronoki* according to Werdelin & Lewis, 2001), KNM-ER 722 and KNM-ER 40482
462 (*D. piveteaui*). It seems safer not to attempt species identification for the Lomekwi *Dinofelis*.
463

464 *Homotherium* sp.

465 KNM-WT 71898 from LO5 (Fig. 2E) is an unmistakable nice upper canine. It shows
466 no crenulation, but the cutting edges are slightly worn. It is slightly longer and more slender
467 than an upper canine from Laetoli (Werdelin & Dehghani, 2011) and many other
468 *Homotherium*, but its size and compression are close to the average for this genus (Suppl.
469 data1, Fig. E; Suppl. data2). KNM-WT 69344 is a p4 fragment, also from LO5, again with
470 crenulations worn out.

471 KNM-WT 16833 from LO4 is a distal metapodial, identified as *Homotherium* by
472 Margaret Lewis on its tag. KNM-WT 39229 from LO5 is a weathered partial humerus; the
473 distal medial epicondyle is weaker than in *Panthera* or *Dinofelis*. KNM-WT 39654 from

474 LO4W include tarsal elements that suffered matrix expansion, but are possibly of

475 *Homotherium*.

476

477 4.3 PROBOSCIDEA

478 4.3.1 Deinotheriidae

479 *Deinotherium bozasi* Arambourg, 1934

480 Harris et al. (1988) reported mostly fragments from Lomekwi, but they include a
481 poorly preserved M1 from LO2. Recent collections include a complete p3 from LO4W,
482 KNM-WT 71967, and an M2 KNM-WT 69527 from LO4E. Measurements of all these teeth
483 are similar to those of other East African sites (p3: mesiodistal length = 75, buccolingual
484 width = 56.5; Fig. E; M2: mesiodistal length = c. 112, buccolingual width = c. 112; Suppl.
485 data2). There is no doubt that it belongs to the common deinotherere of the Pliocene and
486 Pleistocene of Africa (Arambourg, 1947; Harris, 1991; Sanders, 2011).

487

488 4.3.2 Elephantidae

489 *Elephas recki* Dietrich, 1915

490 Elephants are uncommon and complete molars are extremely rare (Tab. 4). *Elephas*,
491 certainly the dominant genus, is represented by members of the lineage *E. ekorensis* –
492 *E. recki*; the latter species has been subdivided by Beden (1979) into successive subspecies.
493 However, although the general trends noticed by him (enamel thinning, increase in the
494 number of plates, hypsodonty) are certainly correct, isolated teeth or even tooth fragments
495 cannot reliably be identified to subspecies (Todd, 2005). Even identification at species level is
496 difficult without knowledge of the geological age, and more than once, species identification
497 had to be revised after reevaluation of the age of the specimen. KNM-WT 73002 from LO3
498 looks quite primitive and would probably be identified as *E. ekorensis* if found in older

499 deposits; KNM-WT 71983 from LO4E is rather derived for its geologic age, being distinctly
500 higher than broad. Rather than attempting identifications that might lead to circular reasoning,
501 we prefer to assign all new material to *E. recki*.

502 A few specimens were assigned to *Loxodonta adaurora* by Harris et al. (1988), who
503 characterized this species by its "thick enamel and widely spaced plates"; unfortunately, this
504 applies to any primitive elephant, and we tentatively include these specimens into *E. recki* as
505 well.

506

507 *Loxodonta* cf. *exoptata* Dietrich, 1942

508 Harris et al. (1988) assigned the very brachyodont molar KNM-WT 16458 from LO4
509 to *L. exoptata*, on the basis of its central sinus, leading to the formation of a loxodont pattern
510 in worn plates; this is the only distinctly loxodont specimen, and no molar from the recent
511 collections displays a similar pattern, but we keep this species in the fauna list. However, the
512 coexistence of two species of *Loxodonta* at LO4 and the survival of the type-subspecies of
513 *L. adaurora* until the early Pleistocene of LO8 (Saarinen & Lister, 2023) are unlikely.

514

515 4.4 PERISSODACTYLA

516 4.4.1 Rhinocerotidae

517 *Diceros bicornis* L.

518 A skull with associated mandible KNM-WT 41576 from LO1 (Fig. 4D), briefly
519 mentioned by Geraads (2005), is one of the best-preserved rhino skulls of the East African
520 Plio-Pleistocene. Their morphology is that of the modern *D. bicornis*, and measurements are
521 close to the mean values for modern specimens of this species (Guérin, 1980), so that it can
522 confidently be identified as *D. bicornis*, showing that this species was definitely present at the
523 Plio-Pleistocene boundary. KNM-WT 16731 from LO3 is an occipital, also of *Diceros*.

524 A partial tarsus with associated Mt-IV KNM-WT 38850 from LO4 is clearly of this
525 genus because the Mt-IV is much slenderer than in *Ceratotherium*, the cuboid facet does not
526 occupy the entire proximal surface, the cuboid is distinctly higher laterally than medially, and
527 the astragalus trochlea is strongly asymmetrical. Other specimens are far less complete, but
528 many of them can be identified to the genus level, showing that *Diceros* is not much less
529 abundant than *Ceratotherium*.

530

531 *Ceratotherium* cf. *simum* (Burchell, 1817)

532 The molars are more primitive than those of the modern *C. simum* and are reminiscent
533 of early forms of the 'white' rhino, often called *C. praecox* or *C. efficax* but that Geraads
534 (2005, 2010, 2020) assigned to *C. mauritanicum*. Intermediate forms are not always readily
535 identifiable to species. Overall, upper molars from early Lomekwi sites look more derived
536 than the contemporaneous or later teeth from Hadar in their more oblique lophs. Because we
537 know that *C. mauritanicum* survived in North Africa well after the emergence of *C. simum* in
538 East Africa, we tentatively suggest that the split took place around that time, the Lomekwi
539 rhino possibly being the earliest representative of the branch leading to *C. simum*.

540

541 4.4.2 Equidae

542 '*Hipparion*' cf. *hasumense* Eisenmann, 1983

543 Equids are represented by a number of isolated teeth, some postcranials, and a few
544 partial tooth-rows (Fig. 4A–C). We assign them to a form close to the species defined at
545 Koobi Fora in levels dated to c. 3 Ma, but that taxon might be present in earlier levels as well.
546 There is no obvious evidence that more than one species is present at Lomekwi, but
547 identification of isolated equid teeth is too uncertain to be sure about this. Harris et al. (1988)
548 also assigned the Lomekwi material to '*Eurygnathohippus*' *hasumense*.

549 In the upper teeth, the protocone is long and moderately compressed. In the lower
550 teeth, the premolars are not much larger than the molars. The ectostylid is absent or quite
551 small on p2, but increases in size towards the center of the tooth-row, although it is almost
552 certainly absent in a few teeth (KNM-WT 75882 from LO4E; KNM-WT 77165 from LO6S;
553 KNM-WT 69489 from LO5), and decreases again towards m3, in which it is usually absent.
554 The double knot is of the 'caballine' type. The complete lower series KNM-WT 69563 from
555 LO4E clearly shows these features, as well as the small difference in size between molars and
556 premolars.

557 KNM-WT 69413 from LO4E (Fig. 4C) is a complete Mc III whose dimensions
558 (Suppl. data1, Fig. F; Suppl. data2) are comparable to specimens from Hadar probably
559 belonging to '*E.* *hasumense*', but do not much differ either from '*H.* *pomeli*' from the latest
560 Pliocene of Morocco (Eisenmann & Geraads, 2007), or from metacarpals from the Middle
561 Awash that might belong to '*H.* *feibeli*'; the best match is perhaps with AL361-1 from the
562 Kada Hadar Mb, which Eisenmann (1976) called '*H.* *afarensis*'. Obviously, the material at
563 hand prevents definite identifications.

564

565 *Equus* sp.

566 The lower molar (probably m2) KNM-WT 77182 from LO8 has a labially (rather than
567 mesially) directed anterior 'horn' of the prefossetid and is thus almost certainly of *Equus*; two
568 P2s from the same locality (KNM-WT 38777 and KNM-WT 38785), whose protocone is
569 connected to the protoloph might also be of this genus.

570

571 4.5 ARTIODACTYLA

572 4.5.1 Camelidae

573 *Camelus grattardi* Geraads, 2014

574 KNM-WT 71974 from LO5 is a lower molar, and KNM-WT 75544 from LO3 is an
575 incomplete upper molar of members of the Camelidae. This family, so abundant today, is
576 exceedingly rare in the African fossil record, especially in eastern Africa (Harris et al., 2010;
577 Geraads et al., 2019). The tooth from LO3 probably belongs to *Camelus grattardi* Geraads,
578 2014, the only species known at that time in East Africa, but that from LO5 is roughly
579 contemporaneous with the transition between *Paracamelus* and *Camelus*, and we prefer not to
580 suggest identification at genus level. Present-day camels are adapted to barren lands, but it
581 may be that these are only refuges where other ungulates are unable to thrive, and there is no
582 evidence that Pliocene forms favored desert areas.

583

584 4.5.2 Hippopotamidae

585 '*Hippopotamus' protamphibius* Arambourg, 1944

586 Hippos are not as common at Lomekwi as they usually are in East African sites of this
587 age, and we may surmise that they were not systematically collected. Most hippo remains are
588 isolated teeth and postcranials, and the best specimen of significance for taxonomic
589 identification is a partial skull with associated partial mandible, KNM-WT 75638 from LO4E.
590 The cranium preserves no teeth besides a P4 (erroneously glued to the lower jaw) and the
591 M3s, but the front part of the mandible is well-preserved (Fig. 4E). The symphysis is short,
592 but the mandible is much expanded across the canines, which are small. Remarkably, there
593 are only four incisor alveoli, the central ones being by far the largest. It cannot entirely be
594 ruled out that, the animal being rather old (although not senile), the third pair of incisors was
595 shed with age, but there is no evidence for this. KNM-WT 38973 from LO5 (Fig. 4F) is
596 similar: i1 much larger than i2, i3 doubtfully present, and in any case quite small. The
597 difference in size between i1 and i2 is still greater in KNM-WT 38974 from LO3 (Fig. 4G),
598 suggesting that the Lomekwi hippo became more and more clearly tetraprotodont, and Harris

599 et al. (1988) mention that all West Turkana hippos are tetraprotodont. By contrast, all other
600 hippos of this age are hexaprotodont. However, skull KNM-WT 16588 from LO1 bears three
601 incisors in its left premaxilla (but only one in the left one), and this skull is longer relative to
602 its breadth than the LO4E one; this might reflect an evolutionary change. Postcranials indicate
603 a more lightly built animal than the modern *H. amphibius*.

604 In addition, Harris et al. (1988) assigned to *Hip. cf. kaisensis* a partial juvenile
605 mandible KNM-WT 16386 from LO6, on the basis of its slightly larger size and greater
606 hypsodonty of m1, but we do not believe that they justify species distinction.

607 Systematics of Pliocene hippos are still confused. In addition to pygmy species,
608 several larger species have been named in the Turkana Basin alone. *Hippopotamus*
609 *protamphibius* Arambourg, 1944 became tetraprotodont during the course of deposition of the
610 Omo series (Gèze, 1980, 1985), the earliest tetraprotodont specimen being from locality L1,
611 around 3 Ma. Its symphysis resembles KNM-WT 75638 in being short and broad. The
612 Lomekwi specimen would be earlier but, given the overall similarity, it might be identified as
613 '*Hippopotamus*' *protamphibius*, a species to which Harris et al. (1988) assigned most of the
614 Lomekwi material. Assuming some variability in the number of incisors, we tentatively assign
615 the LO1 skull to the same species, following Harris et al. (1988).

616 Boisserie (2020) identified the Kanapoi hippo as 'aff. *Hippopotamus* cf. sp. Hadar' (but
617 this form can be called '*Hexaprotodon*' cf. *corydoni*, since this is the name that was given to
618 the Hadar form by Gèze, 1985). Compared to KNM-WT 75638, the Kanapoi hippo has a
619 longer mandibular symphysis that is less expanded across the canines, and its six incisors are
620 much less unequal in size. Thus, there seem to be no close connection between the Kanapoi
621 and Lomekwi forms.

622

623 *Hippopotamus* sp.

624 KNM-WT 69474 from LO5 is a much-worn m3 whose size (L = 81.5; W = 43.5)
625 definitely indicates a larger species, similar to that of the modern *H. amphibius* (m3 length in
626 the previous species ranges from 58 to 62, N = 4). Species identification is obviously
627 impossible for an isolated tooth, but its large size is noticeable at this early age.

628

629 4.5.3 Suidae

630 *Nyanzachoerus kanamensis* Leakey, 1958

631 Few specimens from the recent collections can unambiguously be assigned to
632 *N. kanamensis*, but Harris et al. (1988) identified a handful of specimens from LO4, LO5 and
633 LO6. Of these, at least a complete M3 from LO6 KNM-WT 16266, and an m3 KNM-WT
634 16270 from LO4 (Fig. 5G) were certainly correctly identified.

635

636 *Notochoerus jaegeri* Coppens, 1971

637 We assign to this species KNM-WT 71900 from LO4W (Fig. 5I), a right mandible
638 with complete m3 but lacking the premolars, and a beautiful mandible KNM-WT 77312 from
639 LO5 (Fig. 5H), with all teeth except the incisors. On both specimens, the m3 has three fully
640 formed pairs of pillars, plus a smaller fourth pair and a terminal central pillar. Thus, this tooth
641 is slightly more derived than the average condition in *N. jaegeri* from Kanapoi (Geraads &
642 Bobe, 2017), in which the fourth pair is usually distinctly smaller than the others but is similar
643 to the type of this species from the Pliocene of Hamada Damous in Tunisia (Coppens, 1971),
644 and its morphology fits within the Kanapoi variation. Metrically, it plots among the Kanapoi
645 teeth (Suppl. data1, Fig. G; Suppl. data2). It differs from most m3s of *Notochoerus euilus* by
646 being broader and more brachyodont, whereas the latter species has distinctly hypsodont
647 teeth. The mandible KNM-WT 71900 is noticeable because of its reduced symphyseal area,
648 an impression accentuated by the absence of the incisor alveolar area, but it was certainly

649 narrow (74 mm) across the diastema, like the mandible KNM-KP 30184, supposed to be a
650 female of *N. jaegeri* by Geraads & Bobe (2020a), and the canines of KNM-WT 71900,
651 although long, are indeed definitely more slender than in the males from Kanapoi.

652 *Notochoerus jaegeri* is a rather rare species, best known from Kanapoi, but some
653 remains from the Denen Dora and Sidi Hakoma Hadar Formation Members are possibly also
654 of this species, although they were included in *N. euilus* by Fessaha (1999). The range of their
655 mediiodistal x buccolingual dimensions includes that of KNM-WT 71900.

656

657 *Notochoerus euilus* (Hopwood, 1926)

658 *Notochoerus euilus* is the most common suid at Lomekwi. The evolution of the genus
659 is marked by a lengthening but also narrowing of the third molars (Cooke, 1976; Harris &
660 White, 1979; White & Suwa, 2004). Lower m3s from the lower part of the Lomekwi
661 succession are at about the same evolutionary stage as those from Hadar, and can be called
662 *N. euilus*, while a tooth from LO3 is distinctly longer, and better matches the more derived
663 species *N. scotti* (Fig. 6B, Suppl. data2). Upper M3s from LO4 and LO5, however, look
664 distinctly more derived, and one of them (KNM-WT 16363 from LO5) even plots with Omo
665 teeth of significantly younger age (Fig. 6A; Suppl. data2). This specimen was accordingly
666 included in *N. scotti* by Harris et al. (1988). This discrepancy between the size of the upper
667 and lower teeth, and the derived proportions of some teeth remain unexplained. A larger
668 sample might solve the issue. For now, we regard the co-occurrence of both the ancestral and
669 daughter species as unlikely and, although the metric range of variation of the teeth is large,
670 we prefer not to draw an arbitrary limit within them; thus, we recognize only *N. euilus* at LO4
671 and LO5.

672

673 *Kolpochoerus afarensis* and *K. limnetes* (Hopwood, 1926)

674 The genus is not rare and represented in the new collections by about 20 teeth
675 including some well-preserved third molars (Fig. 5A–C). Sample size is small, but upper M3s
676 from LO8 (whose size matches the teeth from Omo D-E-F) are distinctly larger than that from
677 LO10 (whose size matches those from Hadar and Omo B) (Fig. 6C; Suppl. data2). Some of
678 the lower ones from the earliest localities (Fig. 5A, B; Fig. 6D; Suppl. data2) are smaller, and
679 could even be called *K. millensis*, a species defined at Woranso-Mille in Ethiopia, from sites
680 dated to c. 3.8 – 3.6 Ma (Haile-Selassie & Simpson, 2013). The Turkana Basin *Kolpochoerus*
681 has traditionally been identified as *K. limnetes* but, in size, the early Lomekwi forms clearly
682 fit better *K. afarensis* from the Lower Awash Valley.

683

684 *Metridiochoerus* cf. *shawi* (Dale, 1948)

685 A few specimens, including several M3s/m3s document the earliest known
686 representatives of the genus *Metridiochoerus* (Fig. 5D–F). They resemble the teeth that White
687 et al. (2006) tentatively called *M. shawi*, from Usno and the lower part of the Shungura
688 sequence. They are also similar in size but, surprisingly, the teeth from LO4 and LO5 are
689 larger than those from LO3 and LO9; the difference is slight and sample size is small,
690 however (Suppl. data 1, Fig. M; Suppl. data2).

691

692 *Metridiochoerus* cf. *andrewsi* Hopwood, 1926

693 If *M. shawi* is regarded as a valid species, the only specimen that could be referred to
694 the type-species of the genus is KNM-WT 16279 from LO8, an incomplete m3 or M3
695 talon(id), which is far more hypsodont than those of *M. shawi*. Species distinction is not
696 doubtful, but alternative identifications, like *M. hopwoodi*, could be possible as well.

697

698 Suidae gen. et sp. indet.

699 KNM-WT 48357 is a suid molar from LO4E. It is rather worn, and details are hardly
700 visible, but it looks anachronic, as it resembles Miocene suids. The catalogue says that it was
701 found "In a channel below the Omo (paleo) system". We suspect it is not from the main
702 Lomekwi deposits, and we do not include it in the list.

703 We have not attempted identification of suid post-cranials at genus level.

704

705 4.5.4 Giraffidae

706 *Sivatherium maurusium* (Pomel, 1892)

707 Harris et al. (1988) reported only an m3 from LO3. From the same site, KNM-WT
708 75687 is a large part of horn (often called ossicone, but this name should be restricted to
709 *Giraffa* and close relatives); the species is also present at LO9 (p4 KNM-WT 73054) and at
710 LO5 (upper premolar KNM-WT 69520 and m3 KNM-WT 75694). The third lower molars are
711 similar in size, and also very similar to other m3s from Koobi Fora (Harris et al., 1988, Harris,
712 1991, and personal data) and Laetoli (Robinson, 2011, and personal data). The p4s are of the
713 same size as the Hadar specimens (Geraads et al., 2013), and no evolution in size is apparent
714 during that limited time period, although a general trend towards smaller size and shorter
715 metapodials can be evidenced during the whole Pliocene (Geraads, 1996).

716

717 *Giraffa pygmaea* Harris, 1976, *Giraffa jumae* Leakey, 1965, and/or *Giraffa stillei* Harris,
718 1976

719 Giraffes are represented by two incomplete ossicones, dental remains and a few tarsal
720 bones. Of the few morphological features that allow the distinction between the various
721 species potentially present at that time (Geraads et al., 2013), the only observable one is the
722 presence of two articular facets for the metatarsal on the cubonavicular KNM-WT 69180 from

723 LO1, in contrast to *G. camelopardalis*. Other identifications (Suppl. data 2) rest upon
724 measurements only.

725 At LO5, the full size-range is present; the rare *G. pygmaea* is documented by an upper
726 tooth-series KNM-WT 73062, while larger teeth attest to at least another species (*G. stillei*
727 and / or *G. jumae*; Fig. 7F). Association of at least two taxa is the rule in other sites, but the
728 largest taxon is absent from LO6, and the smallest from the youngest sites. Study of the Omo
729 giraffes and revision of the Koobi Fora ones might allow more precise taxonomic
730 assignments, but distinction between species is far from clear-cut, as can be seen by the
731 astragalus measurements (Suppl. data1, Fig. I; Suppl. data2).

732

733 4.5.5 Bovidae

734 4.5.5.1 Tragelaphini

735 *Tragelaphus* cf. *kyaloi* Harris, 1991

736 Most dental measurements (mesiodistal length of m3 = 31.1 – 34.7; N = 7) are
737 homogeneous in the lower and middle parts of the Lomekwi sequence; the section of most
738 horncore pieces is more rectangular than in the *T. saraitu* – *T. rastafari* – *T. nakuae* lineage
739 (Reed & Bibi, 2010), and more like in the rare *T. kyaloi*, defined at Kosia and also present at
740 Kanapoi (Geraads & Bobe, 2020), and we tentatively assign them to this species.

741

742 *Tragelaphus* cf. *rastafari* Bibi, 2011 and/or *T. nakuae* Arambourg, 1941

743 The most complete tragelaphin remain from Lomekwi is KNM-WT 39208 from LO5,
744 a braincase with part of the left horncore (Fig. 7C), which clearly belongs to the *T. rastafari* –
745 *T. nakuae* lineage. It lacks the strong transverse supraoccipital torus of the latter species,
746 which emerges from the former at c. 2.8 Ma (Bibi, 2011). KNM-WT 39208 is of large size
747 (occipital width = 142 mm; occipital height from top of foramen magnum = 75 mm), but

748 some horncores of *T. rastafari* from the Sidi Hakoma and Denen Dora Mbs of the Hadar Fm
749 approach this size, however. Horncore pieces from younger Lomekwi sites might belong to
750 *T. nakuae*, to which Harris et al. (1988) assigned all Lomekwi tragelaphins (Suppl. data1, Fig.
751 J; Suppl. data2).

752

753 *Tragelaphus* medium and small size

754 KNM-WT 16473, a maxilla fragment from LO5, is distinctly smaller than in the
755 previous species. Harris et al. (1988) also mentioned a small mandibular fragment from LO3.
756 These specimens certainly belong to at least one additional species, not retrieved by the recent
757 field seasons.

758

759 4.5.5.2 Bovini

760 Bovini gen. et sp. indet. A

761 KNM-WT 73245 from LO9 consists of a mandible fragment that is undoubtedly
762 bovin, a pair of horncores that resemble a very small *Pelorovis turkanaensis*, a species known
763 from the Upper Burgi onwards at Koobi Fora, and of a reduncin m3. The latter specimen
764 shows that the catalogue # KNM-WT 73245 includes two taxa, so that the bovin nature of the
765 horncores is not fully established. Still, we believe this is the most likely identification, but
766 absence of information regarding their insertion on the skull forbids genus attribution.

767

768 Bovini gen. et sp. indet. B

769 KNM-WT 16508 from LO8 is a skull part, from the orbits to the occipital condyles,
770 unfortunately strongly distorted. The horncores, of which only the base is preserved, are
771 strongly compressed anteroposteriorly, diverge by about 50°, and are inserted above the orbits
772 and moderately inclined backwards. Harris et al. (1988) assigned it to *Ugandax* n.sp., but the

773 skull is too crushed for reliable identification. It is unlikely to be of the same taxon as sp. A,
774 because horncores similar to those of *P. turkanaensis* were certainly inserted farther back on
775 the skull and farther apart. Size range of dental remains also suggests that two species might
776 be present among the Bovini.

777

778 4.5.5.3 Reduncini

779 There are many horn-cores but most of them lack their cranial insertions, making
780 identifications difficult. There are at least two, and more probably three species.

781

782 *Kobus sigmoidalis* Arambourg, 1941

783 At Omo, the species is known from Shungura Member C onwards (Gentry, 1985), but
784 it appears as early as the Moiti Mb at Koobi Fora (Harris, 1991), and Harris et al. (1988)
785 reported it from LO4. The new collections include some additional specimens from the early
786 sites (LO4 and LO9), confirming that *K. sigmoidalis* definitely appears earlier in Kenya than
787 at Omo. The species is known up to LO8.

788

789 *Kobus oricornus* Gentry, 1985 and *Menelikia leakeyi* Harris, 1991

790 We include in this group the taxa called *K. oricornus*, *Kobus* sp. A, *Kobus* sp B, *Kobus*
791 sp. C, *Kobus* sp. D, *Menelikia lyrocera*, and *Menelikia* sp. by Harris et al. (1988); the first
792 four of them were lumped into *K. oricornus* by Harris (1991). Its horncores, which make up
793 the bulk of reduncin horncores from Lomekwi, share the characters of being rather straight,
794 with incipient to slight homonymous torsion, weak transverse or anteroposterior compression,
795 moderate divergence in anterior view increasing upwards, and distinct inclination backwards.
796 All these characters show some variability, and they might reflect the presence of two species,
797 but the material at hand, which consists almost only of horncores lacking frontal insertions,

798 does not allow for a clear distinction. It may be that we are dealing with a rather
799 polymorphous species, gradually evolving from a more *K. oricornus*-like morphology (no
800 torsion, strong inclination, anteroposterior compression) to a more *Menelikia*-like morphology
801 (homonymous torsion, more upright insertion, slight transverse compression). However, some
802 horncores from LO3 do have a *K. oricornus*-like morphology, supporting the suggestion by
803 Harris (1991) that this species survived later in the southern part of the Turkana Basin than at
804 Omo, where it is restricted to Member B of the Shungura Fm. *Menelikia leakeyi* is known
805 throughout the Tulu Bor Mb of the Koobi Fora Fm, and in Member C of the Shungura Fm;
806 thus, if correctly identified here, it would also survive later in West Turkana.

807

808 *Menelikia* cf. *lyrocera* Arambourg, 1941

809 Harris et al. (1988) assigned the frontlet KNM-WT 16241 from LO7 (a locality not
810 represented in the new collections) to the Caprini but acknowledged that it might belong
811 instead to a small *Menelikia*. This is indeed the more likely identification, as *M. lyrocera* is
812 abundant in contemporaneous Omo deposits, and this frontlet is only slightly smaller.

813

814 4.5.5.4 Hippotragini

815 *Oryx* sp.

816 Harris et al. (1988) had reported a single *Oryx* horncore from LO1, but KNM-WT
817 69003 from LO4E, a left horncore with part of the frontal, showing a large sinus in the
818 horncore pedicel, seems to be another specimen. The horn-cores were almost parallel at their
819 base, strongly inclined backwards (more so than in alcelaphins), and had a roughly circular
820 cross-section (diameters about 30 x 30). The genus *Oryx* appears only later elsewhere in the
821 Turkana Basin (Shungura Mb C at Omo: Gentry, 1985; Upper Burgi Mb at Koobi Fora:

822 Harris, 1991), but this might only be artefact of its rarity, as it is present in the Sidi Hakoma
823 and Denen Dora Mbs of the Hadar Fm (Geraads et al., 2012).

824 The lower m3 KNM-WT 75930 from LO9 resembles Bovini, but the strong goat fold
825 speaks against this. A few other teeth are perhaps of this tribe as well.

826

827 4.5.5.5 Alcelaphini

828 They are by far the most common bovids, especially in the older sites. The histogram
829 of m3 length (one of the most common teeth, and the only one whose rank can be ascertained;
830 Suppl. data2) clearly does not reflect a normal distribution, but suggests instead the presence
831 of at least three taxa, of small, medium, and large size. Horncores, as usual in alcelaphins, are
832 much less abundant than teeth, and most of them are only fragments lacking frontal insertions;
833 they show that there are in fact more than three species, but identifications of horncore
834 fragments are tentative.

835

836 *Parmularius cf. parvicornis* Gentry, 2011

837 KNM-WT 69202 from LO4W is a partial horncore with frontal; the horncore is small,
838 has a moderate transverse compression, slight backward inclination, slight divergence from its
839 counterpart, and absence of backward curvature. Some other horncores from LO5 and LO9
840 show the same small size, straight course, and moderate transverse compression, and probably
841 belong to the same species. It resembles *P. parvicornis* from Laetoli (Gentry, 1987; 2011) but
842 is somewhat larger; the lengths of the m3s, mostly from LO5 and LO9, are similar to those of
843 a small *Parmularius* from Hadar (Geraads et al., 2012).

844

845 *Parmularius* sp.

846 KNM-WT 16423 (LO1) and KNM-WT 16426 (LO5) are horncore bases showing
847 posterobasal expansion, suggesting *Parmularius* rather than *Damaliscus*, but they are too
848 incomplete for identification at species level.

849

850 *Damaliscus* cf. *lunatus* (Burchell, 1824)

851 KNM-WT 40246 from LO5 (Fig. 7D) is a frontlet with almost complete horncores that
852 are inserted rather uprightly, transversely compressed, little divergent at the base but with a
853 slight heteronymous torsion. They resemble those of *P. altidens* from Olduvai Bed I and the
854 Ndolanya beds (Gentry & Gentry, 1978; Gentry, 2011), but the horncore pedicel is shorter
855 (the base of the horncore is closer to the orbit), more like in *Damaliscus*. Size is smaller and
856 torsion more distinct than in the widespread, later *D. niro*; horncores are inserted closer, and
857 their curvature more regular than in *D. eppsi* Harris, 1991, from the upper part of the Koobi
858 Fora sequence. The modern features of this frontlet are unexpected at this age, but too little is
859 known for formal identification.

860 A pair of horncores KNM-WT 16623 from LO5 attributed by Harris et al. (1988) to
861 *Parmularius* is probably of the same species. KNM-WT 69251 from LO3 is a horncore
862 without its basal insertion. It is little compressed but distinctly spiraled, thus resembling the
863 early Pleistocene forms *D. agelaius* Gentry and Gentry, 1978 from Olduvai and
864 *D. strepsiceras* Geraads et al., 2004 from Melka Kunture; it can provisionally be called *D. cf.*
865 *lunatus*.

866

867 *Damaliscus* aff. *lunatus* (Burchell, 1824)

868 KNM-WT 73243 from LO5 (Fig. 7A) is a partial cranium consisting of a braincase
869 with both relatively complete horncores, and the left maxilla. It is of medium size (M1-M3
870 length = 65.5), the anterior premolars not much reduced, and P2 was present. The horncores

871 are inserted close together, rather far from the orbit, and are without transverse compression
872 (anteroposterior diameter at base = 55; transverse diameter = 56). They are strongly curved
873 backwards, moderately divergent at the base, but the divergence strongly increases upwards
874 before lessening distally, resulting in a strong heteronymous torsion. The parietal plane is long
875 (length of parietal bone from frontal suture to top of occipital = 78), devoid of boss, and is
876 strongly angled on the frontal plane (c. 105°).

877 Other measurements are: length from M3 to orbit = c.90 (estimate); diameters of orbit
878 = 48x59; distance from horncore to orbit = c. 55; biorbital width = 154; width across pedicles
879 = 115; max. occipital width = 120; basioccipital width over posterior tuberosities = 52; width
880 over anterior tuberosities = 28.7; length of parietal from frontal suture to top of occipital = 78;
881 width over center of supraorbital pits = 63.

882 This species is assigned to *Damaliscus* rather than to *Parmularius* because of the
883 absence of parietal boss, of a long, common pedicle of the horncores, and of basal swelling.
884 The most noticeable characters of KNM-WT 73243 are the large size, absence of transverse
885 compression of the horncores, their strong divergence beginning a short distance above the
886 base, and the clear heteronymous torsion. These characters are close to those of the modern
887 tsessebe, *D. lunatus lunatus*, but this subspecies is somewhat smaller (see, e.g., Vrba, 1971,
888 fig.1). Modern East African *Damaliscus* have instead less spiraled and more compressed
889 horncores. KNM-WT 73243 probably belongs to a species distinct from *D. lunatus* but,
890 because of the strong regional variation of horn shape in several antelope species, we prefer
891 not to erect a new species name. In any case, KNM-WT 73243 is noticeable for its modern
892 aspect contrasting, for instance, with *Damaliborea* from contemporaneous localities in the
893 Hadar Fm, Ethiopia (Geraads et al., 2012). This latter genus is rare in the Turkana Basin,
894 where it was described as *P. cf. angusticornis* by Harris (1991; see Vrba, 1997, and Gentry,

895 2010). In the Awash Valley, the fossil most similar to the LO5 cranium is the unpublished
896 frontlet A.L. 542-1 from the Busidima Formation, postdating 2.9 Ma.

897

898 *Damaliscus* sp. A

899 KNM-WT 16421 from LO1 is the base of a horncore that curves distinctly backwards
900 and is very uprightly inserted, as in *D. epsi* from Upper Burgi and KBS Mbs at Koobi Fora
901 (Harris, 1991, figs. 5.51, 5.52), but formal identification would be reckless. KNM-WT 16422,
902 also from LO1, shows that the horncores were rather long.

903

904 *Damaliscus* cf. *niro* (Hopwood, 1936)

905 KNM-WT 75570 from LO10 is a piece of horncore lacking the base, but probably of a
906 distinctly larger species, perhaps related to the Pleistocene *D. niro*.

907 Other horncores do not preserve their frontal insertions, making orientation, hence
908 identification, virtually impossible, but some probably also represent *Damaliscus*. The
909 horncore piece KNM-WT 16239 from LO5 was assigned to the Caprini by Harris et al. (1988)
910 but it is very incomplete and weathered and could well be an alcelaphin. The Caprini, a tribe
911 very rare in eastern Africa, can probably be removed from the Lomekwi fauna lists.

912

913 *Damalborea* sp.?

914 KNM-WT 75635 from LO3 is a left horncore with part of the frontal. It looks
915 alcelaphin, as also suggested by its large frontal sinus, but its tribal status cannot be definitely
916 established. It was certainly short (the diameters decrease quickly upwards), is inserted above
917 the orbit on quite a short pedicle, is distinctly compressed transversely, and curves towards
918 the lateral side (or slightly towards the posterolateral side) with incipient homonymous
919 torsion. In this rare direction of curvature, it resembles some Olduvai specimens of

920 *Parmularius* (see Gentry & Gentry, 1978) but the horncore is farther away from the orbit in
921 this genus. It also resembles *Damalborea* and *Rabaticeras*. The former is known throughout
922 the Hadar Fm; its terminal members (Geraads et al., 2012) have short horncores whose
923 divergence increases upwards and may show homonymous torsion. The latter is a pan-African
924 genus of Pleistocene age, with clear homonymous torsion.

925

926 4.5.5.6 'Ovibovini'

927 Gen. et sp. indet.

928 We assign to this tribe, extremely rare in the African fossil record, the upper tooth
929 series KNM-WT 69252 from LO3 (Fig. 7E). Their hypsodonty, short premolars, and molars
930 that are long relative to their breadth resemble alcelaphins, but the angular lingual walls of the
931 teeth completely differ from the rounded ones of this tribe. They much resemble instead the
932 teeth of the paratype of *Makapania broomi* from Makapan (Wells & Cooke, 1956, fig.15),
933 and we are confident about the tribal assignment. *Makapania* was first regarded as an
934 alcelaphin, but Gentry (1970) moved it the Ovibovini (a tribe whose content is disputed). The
935 only other African 'ovibovins' are *Budorcas* from Hadar (Gentry, 1996; Geraads et al., 2012),
936 an incomplete horncore from Omo (Gentry, 1985), a mandible piece from Kanapoi (Geraads
937 et al., 2013), and perhaps *Nitidarcus asfawi* Vrba, 1997 from the Pleistocene of Ethiopia;
938 these elements are too few to attempt detailed comparisons, and generic assignment of KNM-
939 WT 69252 is impossible.

940

941 4.5.5.7 Antilopini

942 *Gazella cf. harmonae* Geraads, Bobe, and Reed, 2012

943 Gazelles are represented by teeth and incomplete horncores only, but in size (Suppl.
944 data1, Fig. K; Suppl. data2), moderate compression, and upright insertion, most of them

945 match the species that Gentry (1985) had recognized at Omo and Olduvai, and which is also
946 present at Hadar (Geraads et al., 2012) and probably Mille-Logya (Geraads et al., 2021). If
947 correctly identified, the species would be present through most of the Lomekwi succession
948 (LO5, LO6, LO10, LO8).

949

950 *Gazella* sp.

951 KNM-WT 77116 from LO8 is the basal part of a horncore showing stronger transverse
952 compression, and certainly of another species, perhaps the same as the one reported by Harris
953 et al. (1988) as *G. janenschi*, a species best known from Laetoli. Following Gentry (2011), we
954 doubt the presence of the large living species *G. granti*, and we assume that horncores of this
955 species are recent intrusives.

956

957 *Antidorcas* sp.?

958 KNM-WT 71690 from LO9 is a horncore lacking the base, with a rapidly decreasing
959 anteroposterior diameter and a sharp curve backwards. Identification as *Antidorcas* is
960 tentative, but Harris et al. (1988) also reported a springbok horncore from the same site.

961

962 4.5.5.8 Aepycerotini

963 *Aepyceros shungurae* Gentry, 1985

964 The impala might be the most common antelope at Lomekwi, but only two frontlets
965 and a female (hornless) cranium have been recovered; horncores pieces are common but hard
966 to identify to species. The frontlet KNM-WT 69175 from LO4W (Fig. 7B) resembles
967 *A. shungurae* from Omo (Gentry, 1985; the holotype is from Shungura Fm Member B11) and
968 the lower part of the Koobi Fora sequence (Harris, 1991) in its unexpanded frontal between
969 the horncores, which are but slightly divergent. The Lomekwi *Aepyceros* much differs from

970 *A. afarensis* from Woranso-Mille (Geraads et al., 2009) and from *A. dietrichi* Gentry, 2011
971 from Laetoli in its smaller size (Suppl. data1, Fig. L; Suppl. data2) and much smaller horncore
972 divergence at the base. On the average, the anterior valley of p4 is less closed than at Omo,
973 and more like the condition in *A. datoadeni* from Hadar (Geraads et al., 2012). Available
974 characters do not allow a clear distinction from the latter, but identification with the Turkana
975 species is more likely on the basis of geographic proximity.

976 The range of m3 mesiodistal length at mid-height is large (full range 19.5–24.2 mm;
977 mean = 22.6 mm; Suppl. data1, Fig. M; Suppl. data2), and there is no trend towards size
978 increase. By contrast, while horncores size range is large in any locality, those from LO9 and
979 LO10 are among the largest ones, and may document the transition towards the next species,
980 if they are of the same lineage.

981

982 *Aepyceros* cf. *melampus* (Lichstenstein, 1812)

983 A frontlet KNM-WT 77248 from LO10 clearly differs from KNM-WT 69175 in the
984 greater inflation of the frontal between horncores, and in its horncores that are larger, more
985 divergent from the very base, and more distinctly spiraled. A horncore KNM-WT 69160 from
986 LO3 was also more divergent from its counterpart than in the previous species. These features
987 resemble those of the living impala, present at Koobi Fora from the Upper Burgi Member
988 upwards (Harris, 1991), in the Seraitu and Uraitele assemblages at Mille-Logya (Geraads et
989 al., 2021), and perhaps in the Kada Hadar Member of Hadar (Geraads et al., 2012). All this is
990 consistent with the replacement of primitive *Aepyceros* by *A. melampus* at c. 3 Ma.

991

992 4.5.5.9 Neotragini

993 Cf. *Raphiceros* sp.

994 Members of this tribe are rare in the fossil record, except at Laetoli, but this is
995 probably because of their small size. Two horncores fit better *Raphicerus* than *Madoqua*, the
996 common genus at Laetoli, in their relatively upright insertion, and a partial lower tooth row
997 has an m3 with a large third lobe, again in contrast with *Madoqua*.

998

999 4.5.5.10 Cephalophini ?

1000 Gen. et sp. indet.

1001 KNM-WT 68979 from LO5 is an upper molar with strong labial pillars but weak
1002 styles, completely lacking the mesostyle; the entostyle is fused with the protocone at this wear
1003 stage. These characters are unlike all other tribes present at Lomekwi but match *Cephalophus*
1004 *sylvicultor*, the modern forest duiker. Formal identification and paleoecological conclusions
1005 would be risky based on this single tooth, however.

1006 We have not attempted identification of bovid postcranials at genus level.

1007

1008 4.6 LAGOMORPHA

1009 *Serengetilagus praecapensis* Dietrich, 1941

1010 A few mandibles belong to hares, and preserve the p3, which is the most characteristic
1011 tooth but displays wide intra-specific variation. KNM-WT 48334 from LO5 (Fig. 8A), and
1012 KNM-WT 71914 from LO4W (Fig. 8B) can be assigned to *Serengetilagus praecapensis*, best
1013 known from Laetoli (Erbajeva & Angermann, 1983; Winkler & Tomida, 2011), but also
1014 reported from the Apak Mb at Lothagam (Winkler, 2003) and the Middle Awash
1015 (Wesselmann et al., 2008).

1016

1017 Cf. *Trischizolagus* sp.

1018 Mandible KNM-WT 72956 from LO5 (Fig. 8C) seems to differ from the previous
1019 ones. Unlike them, it has a deep paraflexid and a large mesofossetid. Either of these features
1020 may occasionally be present in *Serengetilagus* (Winkler & Tomida, 2011; Erbajeva &
1021 Angermann, 1983) but their association is unreported in this genus. It may be present, instead,
1022 in the Palearctic *Trischizolagus* (Averianov & Tesakov, 1997; Cermak et al., 2019)
1023 especially in *T. meridionalis* from the latest Pliocene of Ahl al Oughlam, Morocco (Sen &
1024 Geraads, 2023), and in *T. raynali* (Geraads, 1994) from the Pleistocene of North Africa (Sen
1025 et al., 2024). However, the genus has never been reported from Eastern Africa, and formal
1026 identification would be risky on the basis of a single specimen. More material would be
1027 welcome.

1028

1029 4.7 RODENTIA

1030 4.7.1 Hystricidae

1031 *Hystrix* cf. *makapanensis* Greenwood, 1958

1032 A few teeth from LO4E, LO5, LO9, and LO10 belong to porcupines. Most of them are
1033 much worn, but KNM-WT 69189 from LO10 is a well-preserved and little worn upper molar,
1034 of moderate size (8.8 x 7.2). Its noticeable features are an anterior mesoflexus opening
1035 labially and connecting the hypoflexus, and a posterior mesoflexus connecting the lingual
1036 mesofossette. These characters are shared by *Hystrix makapanensis* known in several eastern
1037 and southern African sites (Azzarà et al., 2022), but this species is somewhat larger, and
1038 identification would be premature.

1039

1040 4.7.2 Gerbillidae

1041 Cf. *Gerbilliscus* sp.

1042 KNM-WT 59708 from LO4W is a mandible with m2 (width c. 1.45mm) and m3; the
1043 cuspids of m2 are completely fused into transverse crests, and there is no longitudinal
1044 connection. These features conform with *Gerbilliscus*, but the lack of m1 prevents definite
1045 identification.

1046

1047 4.7.3 Muridae

1048 *Golunda* cf. *gurai* Sabatier, 1982

1049 KNM-WT 59707 from LO5 (Fig. 8D) is a mandible with well-preserved, moderately
1050 worn molars. We tentatively assign it to *Golunda gurai*, a species defined at Hadar (Sabatier,
1051 1982), and also recognized in Omo Mb B and C (Wesselman, 1984). The isolation of the
1052 tubercles and strong mesial displacement of the lingual tubercles relative to the labial ones are
1053 their main distinctive features. The anterior tubercles of m1 are connected (a rare feature at
1054 Hadar, but present on the single Omo m1) but have no accessory cuspid (tma) between them.
1055 There is a posterior accessory cuspid (cp) on m1 and m2, a strong anterolabial accessory
1056 cuspid (tE) and a weak medial one (c1) on m2, but none on m3. The teeth are slightly larger
1057 than the few teeth from Omo Mb B but very similar in size to those from Hadar (Tab. 5).

1058

1059 Muridae gen. et sp. indet. A

1060 KNM-WT 39636 from LO8 is a murid mandible with m2 and m3. On m2, the
1061 connection of the anterior cuspids (tC and tD) and the smaller size (buccolingual width of m2
1062 = c. 1.5 mm) clearly differ from *Golunda*, but in the absence of m1 no identification at genus
1063 level can be proposed.

1064

1065 4.8 REPTILES

1066 4.8.1 Chelonia

1067 KNM-WT 72995 from LO4E is a Chelonian osteoderm.

1068

1069 4.8.2 Squamata (identifications, based on photos, by S. Bailón)

1070 *Python* sp.

1071 Vertebras from LO4, LO5, LO9, and LO10 belong to *Python* sp.

1072

1073 *Varanus* sp.

1074 Vertebrae from LO4 and LO5, and a maxilla from LO5, are of *Varanus* sp.

1075

1076 4.8.3 Crocodylidae

1077 *Euthecodon* sp.

1078 KNM-WT 71984 from LO4W is an unmistakable long, very slender rostrum of

1079 *Euthecodon*, a fish-eating crocodile first described from Egypt as *E. nitriae* Fourtau, 1920, but

1080 best-known from the Turkana Basin, where it is described as *E. brumpti* (Joleaud, 1920). A

1081 few other diagnostic specimens are present at LO4 and LO5. Pending revision of the genus,

1082 species identification would be premature, as the two species names might be synonymous

1083 (Brochu, 2020).

1084

1085 *Crocodylus thorbjarnarsoni* Brochu & Storrs, 2012

1086 A symphyseal part of mandible KNM-WT 71973 from W of LO4W and a very large

1087 tooth KNM-WT 71881 from LO6 belong to this genus. Brochu & Storrs (2012) referred the

1088 partial skull KNM-WT 38977 from LO5 to the giant form *C. thorbjarnarsoni*, and it is likely

1089 that these two additional specimens are of the same species. KNM-WT 38981 from LO5 (Fig.

1090 4I) is a snout; no obvious feature distinguishes it from the modern *C. niloticus*, but Brochu

1091 and Storrs (2012) and Brochu (2020) observed that Plio-Pleistocene East African specimens

1092 referred to *C. niloticus* belong in fact to *C. thorbjarnarsoni*, and we prefer to include all
1093 specimens into this latter species.

1094

1095 *Mecistops* sp.

1096 KNM-WT 77311 from LO5 are pieces of a cranium with parts of the attached
1097 mandible, indicating a rather narrow snout, more like *M. cataphractus* than like *Crocodylus*;
1098 the nasals tips remain far from the external nares. KNM-WT 77311 resemble the *Mecistops*
1099 skull KNM-ER 929, but is slightly larger (snout width at Pmx/Mx constriction 79 vs. 69.5).
1100 Another difference is that, in contrast to other East African *Mecistops* (Koobi Fora, Kanapoi,
1101 Omo; photos kindly provided by C. Brochu; Brochu, 2020), the dorsal premaxillary/maxillary
1102 suture does not run obliquely, but its middle part has a straight, transverse course (Fig. 4H).
1103 We have not attempted detailed comparisons of this specimen, however.

1104

1105 4.9 AVES (preliminary identifications, based on photos, by A. Louchart)

1106 cf. *Mycteria* sp.

1107 Two tarsometatarsus, from LO3 and LO10, probably belong to *Mycteria*, size of
1108 *M. ibis*, the yellow-billed stork, which lives and feeds in shallow waters.

1109

1110 *Struthio* cf. *molybdophanes*

1111 The ostrich is represented by eggshells only, from LO5 and LO4W.

1112

1113 Numididae indet.

1114 A distal humerus from LO5 belongs to a Guinea fowl, *Numida* sp. or *Guttera* sp.

1115

1116 *Anas* sp.

1117 A distal femur from LO4W is slightly larger than that of the mallard duck.

1118

1119 *Anhinga melanogaster* Pennant, 1769

1120 Three bones from LO1, LO9, and LO10, can be referred to a 'darter', or snake-bird.

1121

1122 *Leptoptilos falconeri* Milne-Edwards, 1868

1123 A single phalanx from LO1 belongs to an extinct species of marabou stork, one of the largest
1124 flying birds that ever existed.

1125

1126 4.10 PISCES

1127 *Lates niloticus* L.

1128 Fish remains from LO4, LO5, and probably LO8 were identified by K. Stewart (*in*
1129 *litt.*) as *Lates niloticus*, the Nile perch; skull length indicates specimens almost two meters
1130 long. *Lates* has been reported from a number of eastern and northeastern African sites, from
1131 the late Miocene onwards (Stewart, 2001).

1132

1133 Siluriform ? indet.

1134 KNM-WT 39232 from LO8 is a cranial roof that does not seem to match any known
1135 species, but is probably of a catfish (K. Stewart, pers. comm., 2024).

1136

1137 In addition, the Lomekwi collection contains a puzzling fossil, a mandible with two
1138 rather worn and imperfectly preserved teeth, KNM-WT 39223 (Fig. 2T); it is supposed to
1139 come from LO5, but no coordinates are available. It somewhat resembles lorisooids, but we
1140 think a much more likely identification is with one of the new Hyracoidea recently described
1141 by Vitek et al. (2024) from the Oligocene of Topernawi. As noticed by Vitek (*comm. pers.*),

1142 the teeth (p3 and p4 if it is a hyracoid) have a weaker or absent paraconid, but we think the
1143 similarities outweigh the differences. The Topernawi hyracoids were collected very close to
1144 some of the LO5 fossils (westernmost specimens of Fig.1, which are definitely not of
1145 Oligocene age) and it is likely that KNM-WT 39223 also comes from this area where
1146 Oligocene and Pliocene sediments must be in close contact. Therefore, we do not include it in
1147 the Lomekwi fauna list.

1148

1149 **5. DISCUSSION**

1150 5.1 FAUNAL DIVERSITY, TAXONOMIC AND EVOLUTIONARY FAUNAL CHANGES

1151 The total number of specimens identified by us in the eight main localities amounts to
1152 1866, distributed as shown in Tab. 1. Localities dated to c. 3.5 to 3.3 Ma are the best sampled,
1153 and have, accordingly, the longest fauna lists (Tab. 6). While absence of evidence should be
1154 treated with caution, particularly in less well-sampled localities, some tentative shifts in
1155 faunal composition through time can nonetheless be identified.

1156 Among Primates, *Theropithecus* is the most abundant taxon, with most specimens
1157 likely belonging to the species *T. brumpti*. This species is also common in the Lokochot and
1158 Tulu Bor members of the Koobi Fora Formation and Shungura Members B-D. The presence
1159 of *Theropithecus* in the Lomekwi hominin localities reinforces a long-standing faunal
1160 association between these monkeys and early hominins, beginning with the appearance of
1161 both *Theropithecus* and *Australopithecus* at Kanapoi more than 4 Ma (Bobe et al. 2020). The
1162 apparent disappearance of *Theropithecus* at LO8 is probably only due to the very low sample
1163 size of fossils from this collecting area.

1164 Localities LO4 and LO5 each have 12 species of Carnivora, which can be regarded as
1165 a high number for assemblages consisting of between 500 and 600 specimens. As elsewhere
1166 in Africa, the carnivore fauna is dominated by hyenids, and as many as three species of

1167 *Crocuta* may have coexisted at LO5 and LO9, of which only the medium-size *C. ultra*
1168 (probable ancestor the modern spotted hyena) survived in the younger sites. The diversity of
1169 felids is also remarkable, with up to five species of various sizes at LO5. In addition to the
1170 large felids, *Dinofelis*, *Megantereon*, and *Panthera*, the Lomekwi localities have a noteworthy
1171 representation of mesocarnivores including cf. *Lynx*, *Felis*, the civet-like ‘*Viverra*’, *Herpestes*,
1172 *Mungos*, the giant otter *Enhydriodon*, the fish-eating *Lutra*, *Nyctereutes* (with no living
1173 representatives in Africa), and *Lupulella*. This diversity is not unusual in healthy African
1174 ecosystems but emphasizes that hominins lived in environments with a thriving fauna of
1175 medium-size and large carnivores.

1176 There are few recognized lineages among East African bovids, but the Lomekwi
1177 specimens included in the *Kobus oricornus* / *Menelikia leakeyi* group could document such a
1178 local change, over a longer period than at Omo or Hadar. The material is unfortunately too
1179 incomplete to confirm this evolution. Another fairly certain transition is the replacement of
1180 *Aepyceros shungurae* by *A. melampus* at c. 3 Ma, but most other bovids also lack a sufficient
1181 number of diagnostic elements to define their chronological range and evolution.

1182 Several species of mammalian megaherbivores occurred at Lomekwi: the two genera
1183 of rhinocerotids *Diceros* and *Ceratotherium*, the proboscideans *Deinotherium*, *Elephas*, and
1184 perhaps *Loxodonta*, the giraffids *Sivatherium* and *Giraffa*, two species of hippopotamids, and
1185 the rare camel *Camelus*. Thus, all genera of very large mammals that could potentially be
1186 present are documented, at least in the richest sites. At the small end of the mammalian
1187 spectrum in body mass, Lomekwi also has a representation of hares, i.e., *Serengetilagus*, and
1188 rodents, e.g., *Hystrix*, *Gerbilliscus*, and *Golunda*.

1189 Vertebrates other than mammals are also represented in the Lomekwi sample,
1190 including the gigantic crocodile *Crocodylus thorbjarnarsoni*, the slender-snouted *Mecistops*,

1191 and the fish-eating crocodile *Euthecodon*. Turtles, squamates (*Varanus*, *Python*), birds, and
1192 fish enrich our view of biodiversity in the Pliocene Turkana region.

1193 Paleoenvironmental reconstructions of the Omo-Turkana Basin during the late
1194 Pliocene and earliest Pleistocene emphasize the heterogeneous and dynamic nature of the
1195 vegetation, with extensive woodlands but also forests and wooded grasslands (Negash et al.
1196 2024, Villaseñor et al. 2023). The Lomekwi hominin localities provide a view of rich
1197 biodiversity, first along the shores of a fluctuating freshwater lake (the Lokochot lake c.3.5
1198 Ma) and then the Tulu Bor floodplains that dominated the basin from 3.4 to 2.4 Ma. The
1199 vertebrate fauna included formidable predators like *Panthera*, *Dinofelis*, and *Megantereon* on
1200 land, with the gigantic crocodile *Crocodylus thorbjarnarsoni* dominating the lakes and rivers.
1201 A high diversity of megaherbivores, mesocarnivores, and bovids and suids would have
1202 established the complex ecological systems to which hominins seem to have been well
1203 adapted.

1204 As in most East African localities of this age, bovids form the bulk of the large
1205 mammal assemblages, with proportions varying between about 47 and 64% in the richest sites
1206 (Suppl. data1, Fig. N). The Pliocene sites are dominated by *Aepyceros* and alcelaphins,
1207 antilopins being also well-represented; these tribes are especially common at LO9, testifying
1208 to open country. Both tribes are decidedly more common than at Omo, where the Shungura
1209 Member B assemblage is dominated by *Aepyceros*, Tragelaphini, and Bovini (two tribes that
1210 are rare at Lomekwi). In the Pleistocene sites, the reduncins become dominant, suggesting an
1211 environment that becomes less dry, but not woodier, near the Plio-Pleistocene boundary. This
1212 does not imply a regional climate change, but may result merely from the proximity of these
1213 Pleistocene sites (LO1, LO3 and LO8) to the center of the floodplain or Kokiselei lake. The
1214 variations in relative abundance of the Suidae are remarkably slight, and they are always
1215 much less common than bovids, in contrast to the Omo Shungura Fm, where they outnumber

1216 them before 3 Ma, although their abundance sharply decreases in the Late Pliocene (Bobe &
1217 Behrensmeyer, 2004).

1218 Except at LO6 and LO1 that seem to sample peculiar and poor assemblages,
1219 cercopithecids are not rare, but consist mostly of the terrestrial *Theropithecus*.

1220 Thus, the main change in the large mammal assemblage is the increase in number of
1221 water-dependent reduncins but, as mentioned above, this does not imply a regional climate
1222 change. On the whole, the faunal composition is rather stable, and the area was perhaps less
1223 well-vegetated than assumed previously (Leakey et al., 2001; Harmand et al., 2015).

1224

1225 5.2 BIOCHRONOLOGY

1226 The biostratigraphic frame of the Lomekwi area is already well-known, and the
1227 vertebrate fauna obviously does not demand major changes in the dating of the sites, but it
1228 does suggest some possible adjustments (Fig. 9) and, perhaps more importantly, it cautions
1229 against the indiscriminate use of evolutionary changes in some commonly used taxa for high
1230 resolution biochronology.

1231 The *Theropithecus* m3s from LO4 and LO5 are large. Because they probably belong to
1232 *T. brumpti* rather than to *T. oswaldi*, it is not surprising that they are distinctly larger than
1233 those from the Woranso Mille sites, from the Sidi Hakoma Mb of the Hadar Formation, and
1234 even from the Denen Dora Mb, but they also average larger than those from the Lokochot and
1235 Tulu Bor Members at Koobi Fora, assigned to *T. brumpti* (Jablonski et al., 2008); this
1236 relatively large size would rather support an age closer to 3.2 Ma than to 3.4 Ma for LO4 and
1237 LO5.

1238 Proboscidean remains are incomplete, but most of them fit in the *Elephas recki*
1239 complex, although KNM-WT 73002 and KNM-WT 71983, discussed above, seem to be out

1240 of place, supporting recent suggestions (e.g. Larramendi et al., 2020) that the evolution of this
1241 group was not as simple as previously thought.

1242 In the Lomekwi area, *Equus* is first attested at LO8 (2.3-2.1 Ma), and the genus has
1243 not been identified at LO1 and LO3, suggesting that these sites may be older than 2.33 Ma,
1244 the date of the appearance of the genus in East Africa (Geraads et al., 2004). The
1245 *Ceratotherium* from LO4 and LO5 seems to be a forerunner of the modern *C. simum*, and
1246 looks more derived than the Hadar form.

1247 The most common hippo is already tetraprotodont at LO4 and LO5, as in Omo locality
1248 L1, dated to c. 3 Ma, and LO5 also has a hippo of large size; thus, both taxa suggest an age
1249 not much greater than 3 Ma.

1250 Among Suidae, the most noticeable event is the disappearance of *Notochoerus jaegeri*
1251 and *Nyanzachoerus kanamensis* after LO5; these two taxa that are best known from Kanapoi
1252 (Geraads & Bobe, 2020a). *Nyanzachoerus kanamensis* is also known from Koobi Fora, up to
1253 the Tulu Bor Mb, and from Member A of the Shungura Fm, but *N. jaegeri* is unknown in
1254 these sites; its latest previously documented occurrence in the Turkana Basin is Ekora (Harris
1255 & White, 1979), supporting an early age for LO4 and LO5. The *Kolpochoerus* from the early
1256 Lomekwi sites are distinctly smaller than those from Omo B, and compare better with those
1257 of *K. afarensis* from the Hadar Fm, but most of them are even smaller, especially those from
1258 LO6, and should perhaps be called *K. millensis*, defined at Woranso-Mille in sites dating to
1259 between 3.8 and 3.6 Ma, thus pushing the early Lomekwi sites towards this age. By contrast,
1260 *Notochoerus* third molars from LO4 and LO5, especially upper ones, average slightly longer
1261 and narrower than those from Hadar, although not than those from the Tulu Bor Member.

1262 The Bovidae also testify to diachronic changes. *Kobus sigmoidalis*, present at LO4, is
1263 not known before Member C at Omo, although it is already present in the Moiti Member of
1264 Koobi Fora. In the *K. oricornus* / *Menelikia* group, *K. oricornus* seems to survive until LO3,

1265 whereas it is restricted to Member B at Omo, and *M. leakeyi* also survives later than at Omo.
1266 Modern-looking representatives of *Damaliscus* are present at LO4 and LO5, and the
1267 *Tragelaphus* from LO5 is large for its age.

1268 Thus, although the evolutionary stages of large mammals are generally in good
1269 agreement with the dating of the localities, there are also several instances of discrepancies
1270 between them. In a few cases, fossils may have been transported or re-deposited, but in most
1271 instances, especially among suids and bovids, there is strong evidence, either of diachronic
1272 changes along the Rift, or of differentiation of local populations, if not species, within narrow
1273 groups. The best candidates are perhaps the complexes of *Elephas recki*, early *Kolpochoerus*
1274 and *Notochoerus*, and *Kobus oricornus* / *Menelikia*. Closely related living species of
1275 mammals may be virtually indistinguishable on the basis of their skeleton and would likely be
1276 confused if found as fossils; this may well have happened in the past, and only finer analyses
1277 might be able to tell them apart.

1278

1279 Acknowledgments: We thank the Government of the Republic of Kenya and the National
1280 Museums of Kenya for permission to carry-out this research, and all members of the West
1281 Turkana Paleo Project, who made it possible. Funding was provided by the National Science
1282 Foundation (BCS 1551992 to CVW, JMP and FKM), and the Leakey Foundation and
1283 Palaeontological Scientific Trust (PAST) of South Africa during the 2009 field season (to
1284 FKM). We are grateful to S. Bailón, A. Louchart and K. Stewart for identifications of
1285 squamates, birds, and fishes respectively, and to C. Brochu, T. Harrison, S. Sen (but the
1286 responsibility of the tentative identification of cf. *Trischizolagus* is ours!), and N. Vitek, for
1287 their help and comments, to Melissa Boyd for helpful discussions about the Lomekwi
1288 geochronology, and to all members of the Department of Palaeontology at the National

1289 Museums of Kenya, Nairobi, for their much appreciated help with the collections. The
1290 manuscript benefited from the thoughtful comments of three anonymous reviewers.

1291

1292 The authors declare no competing interests.

1293

1294 **Supplementary files:**

1295 Suppl_data1.docx

1296 Suppl_data2.xlsx

1297

1298 REFERENCES

1299 Arambourg, C., 1947. Mission Scientifique de l'Omo 1932–1933. Tome 1: Géologie -
1300 Anthropologie; fasc. 3: Contribution à l'étude géologique et Paléontologique du bassin
1301 du lac Rodolphe et de la basse vallée de l'Omo; 2: paléontologie. Muséum, Paris.

1302 Averianov, A.O., Tesakov, A.S., 1997. Evolutionary trends in Mio-Pliocene Leporinae, based
1303 on *Trischizolaqus* (Mammalia, Lagomorpha). *Paläontol. Zeitschr.* 71, 145–153. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03022556)
1304 [doi.org/ 10. 1007/ BF030 22556](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03022556).

1305 Barry, J., 1987. 7.2. Large carnivores (Canidae, Hyaenidae, Felidae) from Laetoli, in: Leakey,
1306 M.D., Harris, J.M. (Eds.), *Laetoli, a Pliocene site in Northern Tanzania*. Clarendon
1307 Press, Oxford, pp. 235–258.

1308 Beden, M., 1979. *Les éléphants (Loxodonta et Elephas) d'Afrique Orientale: systématique,*
1309 *phylogénie, intérêt biochronologique*. Thèse, Univ. Poitiers.

1310 Bobe, R., 2006. The evolution of arid environments in eastern Africa. *J. Arid Environ.* 66,
1311 564–584.

1312 Bobe, R., Behrensmeyer, A.K. 2004. The expansion of grassland ecosystems in Africa in
1313 relation to mammalian evolution and the origin of the genus *Homo*. *Palaeogeog.*,
1314 *Palaeoclim.*, *Palaeoecol.* 207, 399–420.

1315 Bobe, R., Wood, B., 2022. Estimating origination times from the early hominin fossil record.
1316 *Evol. Anthropol.* 31, 92–102. Bobe, R., Manthi, F.K., Ward, C.V., Plavcan, J.M.,
1317 Carvalho, S., 2020. The ecology of *Australopithecus anamensis* in the early Pliocene
1318 of Kanapoi, Kenya. *J. Hum. Evol.* 140, 102717.

1319 Bobe, R., d’Oliveira Coelho, J., Carvalho, S., Leakey, M., 2022. Early hominins and
1320 paleoecology of the Koobi Fora Formation, Lake Turkana Basin, Kenya, in: Reynolds,
1321 S.C., Bobe, R. (Eds.), *African Paleoecology and Human Evolution*. Cambridge
1322 University Press, Cambridge, pp. 311–331.

1323 Boisserie, J.-R., 2020. Hippopotamidae (Cetartiodactyla, Hippopotamoidea) from Kanapoi,
1324 Kenya, and the taxonomic status of the late early Pliocene hippopotamids from the
1325 Turkana Basin. *J. Hum. Evol.* 140, 102377.
1326 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2017.07.017>

1327 Brochu, C.A., 2020. Pliocene crocodiles from Kanapoi, Turkana Basin, Kenya. *J. Hum. Evol.*
1328 140, 102410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2017.10.003>

1329 Brochu, C.A., Storrs, G.W., 2012. A giant crocodile from the Plio-Pleistocene of Kenya, the
1330 phylogenetic relationships of Neogene African crocodylines, and the antiquity of
1331 *Crocodylus* in Africa, *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* 32, 587–602.
1332 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2012.652324>

1333 Brown, F.H., 1994. Development of Pliocene and Pleistocene chronology of the Turkana
1334 Basin, East Africa, and its relation to other sites, in: Corruccini, R.S., Ciochon, R.L.
1335 (Eds.), *Integrative Paths to the Past*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, pp.
1336 285–312.

- 1337 Brown, F.H., 1995. The potential of the Turkana Basin for paleoclimatic reconstruction in
1338 East Africa, in: Vrba, E.S., Denton, G.H., Partridge, T.C., Burckle, L.H. (Eds.),
1339 Paleoclimate and Evolution with Emphasis on Human Origins. Yale University Press,
1340 New Haven, pp. 319–330.
- 1341 Brown, F.H., McDougall, I., 2011. Geochronology of the Turkana Depression of northern
1342 Kenya and southern Ethiopia. *Evolutionary Anthropology* 20, 217–227.
- 1343 Brown, F.H., Harris, J.M., Leakey, R.E., Walker, A., 1985. Early *Homo erectus* skeleton from
1344 west Lake Turkana, Kenya. *Nature* 316, 788–792.
- 1345 Brugal, J.-P., Roche, H., 2022. Paleoeology and paleoenvironments of Early Quaternary
1346 faunal assemblages from the Nachukui Formation in Kenya: insights from the West
1347 Turkana Archaeological Project, in: Reynolds, S.C., Bobe, R. (Eds.), *African*
1348 *Paleoecology and Human Evolution*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp.
1349 298–310.
- 1350 Brugal, J.-P., Roche, H., Kibunjia, M., 2003. Faunes et paléoenvironnements des principaux
1351 sites archéologiques plio-pléistocènes de la formation de Nachukui (Ouest-Turkana,
1352 Kenya). *C. R. Palevol* 2, 675–684.
- 1353 Brunet, M., Beauvilain, A., Coppens, Y., Heintz, E., Moutaye, A.H.E., Pilbeam, D., 1996.
1354 *Australopithecus bahrelghazali*, une nouvelle espèce d'Hominidé ancien de la région
1355 de Koro Toro (Tchad). *C. R. Acad. Sci.* 322, 907–913.
- 1356 Cerling, T.E., Manthi, F.K., Mbua, E.N., Leakey, L.N., Leakey, M.G., Leakey, R.E., Brown,
1357 F.H., Grine, F.E., Hart, J.A., Kaleme, P., Roche, H., Uno, K.T., Wood, B.A., 2013.
1358 Stable isotope-based diet reconstructions of Turkana Basin hominins. *PNAS* 110,
1359 10501–10506.

1360 Čermak, S., Joniak, P., Rojay, B., 2019. A new early Pliocene locality Tepe Alagoz (Turkey)
1361 reveals a distinctive tooth phenotype of *Trischizolagus* (Lagomorpha, Leporidae) in
1362 Asia Minor. *Palaeontol. Electron.* 22.1.14A, 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.26879/924>.

1363 Cooke, H.B.S. 1976. Suidae from Plio-Pleistocene strata of the Rudolf basin, in: Coppens, Y.,
1364 Howell, F.C., Isaac, G.L., Leakey, R.E.F. (Eds.), *Earliest Man and Environments in*
1365 *the Lake Rudolph Basin*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 251–263.

1366 Cooke, H.B.S., 1978. Pliocene-Pleistocene Suidae from Hadar, Ethiopia. *Kirtlandia* 29, 1–63.

1367 Coppens, Y., 1971. Une nouvelle espèce de suidé du Villafranchien de Tunisie,
1368 *Nyanzachoerus jaegeri* nov. sp. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 272, 3264–3267.

1369 de la Torre, I., 2019. Searching for the emergence of stone tool making in eastern Africa.
1370 *PNAS* 116, 11567.

1371 deMenocal, P.B., 2011. Climate and human evolution. *Science* 331, 540-542.

1372 Eck, G.G., 1987. *Theropithecus oswaldi* from the Shungura Formation, Lower Omo Basin,
1373 Southwestern Ethiopia, in: Coppens, Y., Howell, F.C. (Eds.), *Les faunes Plio-*
1374 *Pléistocènes de la Basse Vallée de l’Omo (Éthiopie)*. Tome 3, *Cercopithecidae de la*
1375 *Formation de Shungura*. Cahiers de Paléontologie, Travaux de Paléontologie Est-
1376 *Africaine*, CNRS, Paris, pp. 123–139.

1377 Eck, G.G., Jablonski, N.G., 1987. The skull of *Theropithecus brumpti* compared with those of
1378 other species of the genus *Theropithecus*, in: Coppens, Y., Howell, F.C. (Eds.), *Les*
1379 *faunes Plio-Pléistocènes de la Basse Vallée de l’Omo (Éthiopie)*. Tome 3,
1380 *Cercopithecidae de la Formation de Shungura*. Cahiers de Paléontologie, Travaux de
1381 *Paléontologie Est-Africaine*, CNRS, Paris, pp. 11–122.

1382 Eisenmann, V., 1976. Nouveaux crânes d'Hipparions (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) plio-
1383 pléistocènes d'Afrique orientale (Ethiopie et Kenya): *Hipparion* sp., *Hipparion* cf.
1384 *ethiopicum*, et *Hipparion afarensis* nov. sp. *Geobios* 9, 577–605.

- 1385 Eisenmann, V., Geraads, D., 2007. The hipparion from the late Pliocene of Ahl al Oughlam,
1386 Morocco, and a revision of the relationships of Pliocene and Pleistocene African
1387 hipparions. *Paleontol. Africana* 42, 51–98.
- 1388 Eisenmann, V., Alberdi, M.-T., Giuli, C. de, Staesche, U., 1988. Studying fossil horses.
1389 Volume I: Methodology, in: Woodburne, M.O., Sondaar, P.Y. (Eds.), *Collected Papers*
1390 after the “New York International Hipparion Conference, 1981”. Brill, Leiden, pp. 1–
1391 71.
- 1392 Erbajeva, M. A., & Angermann, R. 1983. Das Originalmaterial von *Serengetilagus*
1393 *praecapensis* Dietrich, 1941 – ergänzende Beschreibung und vergleichende
1394 Diskussion. *Schriftenreihe geol. Wiss.* 19/20, 39–60.
- 1395 Ewer, R.F., 1954. The fossil carnivores of the Transvaal caves. The Hyaenidae of Kromdraai.
1396 *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 124, 565–585.
- 1397 Ewer, R.F., 1955. The fossil carnivores of the Transvaal caves. The Hyaenidae, other than
1398 *Lycyaena*, of Swartkrans and Sterkfontein. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 124, 815–837.
- 1399 Ewer, R.F., 1956. The fossil carnivores of the Transvaal caves: Felinae. *Proc. Zool. Soc.*
1400 *London* 126, 83–95.
- 1401 Feibel, C.S., 1999. Basin evolution, sedimentary dynamics, and hominid habitats in East
1402 Africa: an ecosystem approach, in: Bromage, T.G., Schrenk, F. (Eds.), *African*
1403 *Biogeography, Climate Change, and Human Evolution*. Oxford University Press,
1404 Oxford, pp. 276–281.
- 1405 Feibel, C.S., 2011. A geological history of the Turkana Basin. *Evol. Anthropol.* 20, 206–216.
- 1406 Feibel, C.S., Brown, F.H., 1993. Microstratigraphy and paleoenvironments, in: Walker, A.,
1407 Leakey, R.E. (Eds.), *The Nariokotome Homo erectus skeleton*. Harvard University
1408 Press, Cambridge, pp. 21–39.

1409 Feibel, C.S., Brown, F.H., McDougall, I., 1989. Stratigraphic context of fossil hominids from
1410 the Omo Group deposits: Northern Turkana Basin, Kenya and Ethiopia. *Amer. J. Phys.*
1411 *Anthrop.* 78, 595–622.

1412 Fessaha, N., 1999. Systematics of Hadar (Afar, Ethiopia) Suidae. Ph.D. Thesis, Howard Univ.

1413 Fourtau, R., 1920. Contribution à l'étude des vertébrés miocènes de l'Égypte. Egypt Survey
1414 Department, Cairo.

1415 Frost, S.R., 2001. Fossil Cercopithecidae from the Afar Depression, Ethiopia: Species
1416 Systematics and Comparison to the Turkana Basin. Ph.D. Dissertation, City Univ.
1417 New York.

1418 Frost, S.R., Jablonski, N.G., Haile-Selassie, Y., 2014. Early Pliocene Cercopithecidae from
1419 Woranso-Mille (Central Afar, Ethiopia) and the origins of the *Theropithecus oswaldi*
1420 lineage. *J. Hum. Evol.* 76, 39–53.

1421 Frost, S.R., Ward, C.V., Manthi, F.K., Plavcan, M.J., 2020. Cercopithecoid fossils from
1422 Kanapoi, West Turkana, Kenya (2007–2015). *J. Hum. Evol.* 140, 102642.
1423 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2019.102642>

1424 Frost, S.R., White, F.J., Reda, H.G., Gilbert, C.C., 2022. Biochronology of South African
1425 hominin-bearing sites: A reassessment using cercopithecoid primates. *PNAS* 119 (45)
1426 e2210627119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2210627119>

1427 Gebreyesus, H., 2011. The origin and evolution of *Notochoerus euilus* (Suidae): fossil
1428 evidence from Woranso-Mille, Central Afar, Ethiopia. Master's Thesis, Univ. Addis
1429 Ababa.

1430 Gentry, A.W., 1970. Revised Classification for *Makapania broomi* Wells and Cooke
1431 (Bovidae, Mammalia). *Palaeontol. Afr.* 13, 63–67.

1432 Gentry, A.W., 1985. The Bovidae of the Omo deposits, Ethiopia, in: Coppens, Y., Howell,
1433 F.C. (Eds.), *Les faunes Plio-Pléistocènes de la Basse Vallée de l'Omo (Éthiopie)*. T. 1:

- 1434 Perissodactyles, Artiodactyles (Bovidae). Cahiers de Paléontologie, Travaux de
1435 Paléontologie Est-Africaine, CNRS, Paris, pp. 119–191.
- 1436 Gentry, A.W., 1987. Pliocene Bovidae from Laetoli, in: Leakey, M.D., Harris, J.M. (Eds),
1437 Laetoli, a Pliocene site in Northern Tanzania. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 378–408.
- 1438 Gentry, A.W., 1996. A fossil *Budorcas* from Africa, in: Stewart, K.M., Seymour, K.L. (Eds.),
1439 Palaeoecology and Palaeoenvironments of Late Cenozoic Mammals, Tributes to the
1440 Career of C.S. (Rufus) Churcher. University of Toronto Press, Toronto, pp. 571–587.
- 1441 Gentry, A.W., 2010. Bovidae, in: Werdelin, L., Sanders, W.J. (Eds.), Cenozoic Mammals of
1442 Africa. University of California Press, Berkeley, pp. 741–796.
- 1443 Gentry, A.W., 2011. Bovidae, in: Harrison, T. (Ed.), Paleontology and geology of Laetoli:
1444 human evolution in context, vol. 1. Springer, New York, pp. 363–465.
- 1445 Gentry, A.W., Gentry, A., 1978. Fossil Bovidae (Mammalia) of Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania.
1446 Part I. Bull. Br. Mus. (Nat. Hist.) Geol. 29, 289–446.
- 1447 Geraads, D., 1994. Rongeurs et Lagomorphes du Pléistocène moyen de la "Grotte des
1448 Rhinocéros", carrière Oulad Hamida 1, à Casablanca, Maroc. N. Jb. Geol. Paläont.
1449 Abh. 191, 147–172.
- 1450 Geraads, D., 1996. Le *Sivatherium* (Giraffidae, Mammalia) du Pliocène final d'Ahl al
1451 Oughlam (Casablanca, Maroc) et l'évolution du genre en Afrique. Paläontol. Zeitschr.
1452 70, 623–629.
- 1453 Geraads, D., 1997. Carnivores du Pliocène terminal de Ahl al Oughlam (Casablanca, Maroc).
1454 Geobios 30, 127–164.
- 1455 Geraads, D., 2004. First record of *Dinofelis* (Felidae, Mammalia) from North Africa. N. Jhb.
1456 Geol. Paläontol. Monatsh. 2004(5), 308–320.

1457 Geraads, D., 2005. Pliocene Rhinocerotidae (Mammalia) from Hadar and Dikika (Lower
1458 Awash, Ethiopia), and a revision of the origin of modern African rhinos. *J. Vertebr.*
1459 *Paleontol.* 25, 451–461.

1460 Geraads, D. 2010. Rhinocerotidae, in: Werdelin, L., Sanders, W.J. (Eds.), *Cenozoic Mammals*
1461 *of Africa*. University of California Press, Berkeley, pp. 669–683.

1462 Geraads, D., 2014. *Camelus grattardi* nov. sp., a new camel from the Shungura Formation,
1463 Omo valley, Ethiopia, and the relationships of African fossil Camelidae (Mammalia).
1464 *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* 34, 1481–1485. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2014.880447>

1465 Geraads, D., 2020. Perissodactyla (Rhinocerotidae and Equidae) from Kanapoi. *J. Hum. Evol.*
1466 140, 102373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2017.07.013>

1467 Geraads, D., Bobe, R., 2020a. Suidae from Kanapoi. *J. Hum. Evol.* 140, 102337.
1468 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2017.05.004>

1469 Geraads, D., Bobe, R., 2020b. Ruminants (Bovidae and Giraffidae) from Kanapoi. *J. Hum.*
1470 *Evol.* 140, 102383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2017.08.006>

1471 Geraads, D., Eisenmann, V., Petter, G., 2004. The Large Mammal Fauna of the Oldowayan
1472 sites of Melka-Kunturé, Ethiopia, in: Chavaillon, J., Piperno, M. (Eds.), *Studies on the*
1473 *early Palaeolithic site of Melka Kunture, Ethiopia*. Istituto Italiano di Preistoria e
1474 *Protostoria*, Florence, pp. 169–192.

1475 Geraads, D., Raynal, J.-P., Eisenmann, V., 2004. The earliest human occupation of North
1476 Africa: a reply to Sahnouni et al. (2002). *J. Hum. Evol.* 46, 751–761.

1477 Geraads, D., Melillo, S., Haile-Selassie, Y., 2009. Middle Pliocene Bovidae from Hominid-
1478 bearing sites in the Woranso-Mille area, Afar region, Ethiopia. *Palaeontol. Africana*
1479 44, 59–70.

1480 Geraads, D., Alemseged, Z., Bobe, R., Reed, D., 2010. *Nyctereutes lockwoodi* n.sp., a new
1481 canid from the Middle Pliocene of Dikika, Ethiopia. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* 30, 981–
1482 987.

1483 Geraads, D., Alemseged, Z., Bobe, R., Reed, D., 2011. *Enhydriodon dikikae* n. sp. (Carnivora:
1484 Mammalia), a gigantic otter from the Pliocene of Dikika, Lower Awash, Ethiopia. *J.*
1485 *Vertebr. Paleontol.* 31, 447–453. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25835837>

1486 Geraads, D., Bobe, R., Reed, K., 2012. Pliocene Bovidae (Mammalia) from the Hadar
1487 Formation of Hadar and Ledi-Geraru, Lower Awash, Ethiopia. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*
1488 32, 180–197. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2012.632046>

1489 Geraads, D., Bobe, R., Manthi, F.K., 2013. New ruminants (Mammalia) from the Pliocene of
1490 Kanapoi, Kenya, and a revision of previous collections, with a note on the Suidae. *J.*
1491 *Afr. Earth Sci.* 85, 53–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2013.04.006>

1492 Geraads, D., Reed, K., Bobe, R., 2013. Pliocene Giraffidae (Mammalia) from the Hadar
1493 Formation of Hadar and Ledi-Geraru, Lower Awash, Ethiopia. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*
1494 33, 470–481. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2013.723657>

1495 Geraads, D., Bobe, R., Reed, D., Alemseged, Z., 2015. Pliocene Carnivora (Mammalia) from
1496 the Hadar Formation at Dikika, Lower Awash Valley, Ethiopia. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 107,
1497 28–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2015.03.020>

1498 Geraads, D., Alemseged, Z., Barr, W.A., Laurin, M., Reed, D., 2019. New remains of
1499 *Camelus grattardi* (Mammalia, Camelidae) from the Plio-Pleistocene of Ethiopia
1500 demonstrate a late diversification of the genus *Camelus*. *J. Mamm. Evol.*
1501 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-019-09489-2>

1502 Geraads, D., Reed, D., Barr, W.A., Bobe, R., Stamos, P., Alemseged, Z., 2021. Plio-
1503 Pleistocene mammals from Mille-Logya, Ethiopia, and the post-Hadar faunal change.
1504 *J. Quat. Sci.* 36, 1073–1089 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3345>

1505 Gèze, R., 1980. Les Hippopotamidae (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) du Plio-Pléistocène de
1506 l'Ethiopie. Thèse, Univ. Paris VI.

1507 Gèze, R., 1985. Répartition paléoécologique et relations phylogénétiques des Hippopotamidae
1508 (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) du néogène d'Afrique Orientale, in: L'environnement des
1509 Hominidés au Plio-Pléistocène. Fondation Singer-Polignac, Paris, pp. 81–100.

1510 Grohé, C., Uno, K., Boisserie, J.-R., 2022. Lutrinae Bonaparte, 1838 (Carnivora, Mustelidae)
1511 from the Plio-Pleistocene of the Lower Omo Valley, southwestern Ethiopia:
1512 systematics and new insights into the paleoecology and paleobiogeography of the
1513 Turkana otters. C. R. Palevol 21, 681–705. [https://doi.org/10.5852/cr-](https://doi.org/10.5852/cr-palevol2022v21a30)
1514 [palevol2022v21a30](https://doi.org/10.5852/cr-palevol2022v21a30)

1515 Haile-Selassie, Y., Simpson, S.W., 2013. A new species of *Kolpochoerus* (Mammalia:
1516 Suidae) from the Pliocene of Central Afar, Ethiopia: its taxonomy and phylogenetic
1517 relationships. J. Mamm. Evol. 20, 115–127.

1518 Haile-Selassie, Y., Saylor, B.Z., Deino, A., Levin, N.E., Alene, M., Latimer, B.M., 2012. A
1519 new hominin foot from Ethiopia shows multiple Pliocene bipedal adaptations. Nature
1520 483, 565-569.

1521 Haile-Selassie, Y., Gibert, L., Melillo, S.M., Ryan, T.M., Alene, M., Deino, A., Levin, N.E.,
1522 Scott, G., Saylor, B.Z., 2015. New species from Ethiopia further expands Middle
1523 Pliocene hominin diversity. Nature 521, 483-488.

1524 Haile-Selassie, Y., Melillo, S.M., Su, D.F., 2016. The Pliocene hominin diversity conundrum:
1525 Do more fossils mean less clarity? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
1526 113, 6364-6371.

1527 Harmand, S., Lewis, J.E., Feibel, C.S., Lepre, C.J., Prat, S., Lenoble, A., Boes, X., Quinn,
1528 R.L., Brenet, M., Arroyo, A., Taylor, N., Clement, S., Daver, G., Brugal, J.-P., Leakey,
1529 L., Mortlock, R.A., Wright, J.D., Lokorodi, S., Kirwa, C., Kent, D.V., Roche, H., 2015.

1530 3.3-million-year-old stone tools from Lomekwi 3, West Turkana, Kenya. *Nature* 521,
1531 310–315.

1532 Harris, J., Geraads, D., Solounias, N., 2010. Camelidae, in: Werdelin, L., Sanders, W.J.
1533 (Eds.), *Cenozoic Mammals of Africa*. University of California Press, Berkeley, pp.
1534 815–820.

1535 Harris, J., Solounias, N., Geraads, D., 2010. Giraffoidea, in: Werdelin, L., Sanders, W.J.
1536 (Eds.), *Cenozoic Mammals of Africa*. University of California Press, Berkeley, pp.
1537 797–811.

1538 Harris, J.M., 1976. Pleistocene Giraffidae (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) from East Rudolf,
1539 Kenya. *Fossil Vertebr. Africa* 4, 283–332.

1540 Harris, J.M., 1983. Family Deinotheriidae, in: Leakey, M.G., Leakey, R.E., Harris, J.M.
1541 (Eds.), *Koobi Fora Research Project, Vol. 2, The fossil ungulates: Proboscidea,*
1542 *Perissodactyla, and Suidae*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 22–39.

1543 Harris, J.M., 1983. Family Suidae, in: Leakey, M.G., Leakey, R.E., Harris, J.M. (Eds.), *Koobi*
1544 *Fora Research Project, Vol. 2, The fossil ungulates: Proboscidea, Perissodactyla, and*
1545 *Suidae*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 215–302.

1546 Harris, J.M., 1991. Family Bovidae, in: Leakey, M.G., Leakey, R.E., Harris, J.M. (Eds.),
1547 *Koobi Fora Research Project, Vol. 3, The fossil ungulates: geology, fossil artiodactyls*
1548 *and palaeoenvironments*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 139–320.

1549 Harris, J.M., 1991. Family Giraffidae, in: Leakey, M.G., Leakey, R.E., Harris, J.M. (Eds.),
1550 *Koobi Fora Research Project, Vol. 3, The fossil ungulates: geology, fossil artiodactyls*
1551 *and palaeoenvironments*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 93–138.

1552 Harris, J.M., Brown, F.H., Leakey, M.G., 1988. Stratigraphy and paleontology of Pliocene and
1553 Pleistocene localities west of Lake Turkana, Kenya. *Natural History Museum of Los*
1554 *Angeles County, Los Angeles*.

1555 Harris, J.M., White, T.D., 1979. Evolution of the Plio-Pleistocene African Suidae. Trans. Am.
1556 Philos. Soc. 69, 1–128.

1557 Hemmer, H. 2022. The identity of the “lion”, *Panthera principalis* sp. nov., from the
1558 Pliocene Tanzanian site of Laetoli and its significance for molecular dating the
1559 pantherine phylogeny, with remarks on *Panthera shawi* (Broom, 1948), and a revision
1560 of *Puma incurva* (Ewer, 1956), the Early Pleistocene Swartkrans “leopard”
1561 (Carnivora, Felidae). Palaeobiodiv. Palaeoenvir. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s12549-022-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12549-022-00542-2)
1562 00542-2

1563 Hendeby, Q.B., 1974. The late Cenozoic Carnivora of the South-Western Cape Province. Ann.
1564 S. Afr. Mus. 63, 1–369.

1565 Howell, F.C., Petter, G., 1976. Carnivora from Omo group formations, southern Ethiopia, in:
1566 Coppens, Y., Howell, F.C., Isaac, G.L., Leakey, R.E.F. (Eds.), Earliest Man and
1567 Environments in the Lake Rudolf Basin. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp.
1568 314–331.

1569 Jablonski, N.G., Leakey, M.G., Antón, M., 2002. A new skeleton of *Theropithecus brumpti*
1570 (Primates: Cercopithecidae) from Lomekwi, West Turkana, Kenya. J. Hum. Evol. 43,
1571 887–923.

1572 Jablonski, N.G., Leakey, M.G., Antón, M., 2008. Systematic paleontology of the
1573 cercopithecines, in: Jablonski, N.G., Leakey, M.G. (Eds.), Koobi Fora Research
1574 Project, Vol. 6, The Fossil Monkeys; California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco,
1575 pp. 103–300.

1576 Joleaud, M.L., 1920. Sur la présence d’un Gavialidé du genre *Tomistoma* dans le Pliocène
1577 d’eau douce de l’Ethiopie. C.R. Acad. Sci. 170, 816–818.

- 1578 Kullmer, O., Sandrock, O., Bence Viola, T., Hujer, W., Said, H., Seidler, H., 2008. Suids,
1579 elephantoids, paleochronology, and paleoecology of the Pliocene hominid site Galili,
1580 Somali Region, Ethiopia. *Palaios* 23, 452–464.
- 1581 Larramendi, A., Zhang, H., Palombo, M.R., Ferretti, M.P. 2020. The evolution of
1582 *Palaeoloxodon* skull structure: disentangling phylogenetic, sexually dimorphic,
1583 ontogenetic, and allometric morphological signals. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 229,
1584 106090. Leakey, M., 1987. Colobinae (Primates) from the Omo valley, Ethiopia, in:
1585 Coppens, Y., Howell, F.C. (Eds.), *Les faunes Plio-Pléistocènes de la Basse Vallée de*
1586 *l’Omo (Éthiopie)*. Tome 3, Cercopithecidae de la Formation de Shungura. *Cahiers de*
1587 *Paléontologie, Travaux de Paléontologie Est-Africaine*, CNRS, Paris, pp. 147–169.
- 1588 Leakey, M.D., Harris, J.M., 1987. *Laetoli: a Pliocene site in northern Tanzania*. Clarendon
1589 Press, Oxford.
- 1590 Leakey, M.G., Spoor, F., Brown, F.H., Gathogo, P.N., Kiarie, C., Leakey, L.N., McDougall, I.,
1591 2001. New hominin genus from eastern Africa shows diverse middle Pliocene
1592 lineages. *Nature* 410, 433–440.
- 1593 Lepre, C.J., Roche, H., Kent, D.V., Harmand, S., Quinn, R.L., Brugal, J.-P., Texier, P.-J.,
1594 Lenoble, A., Feibel, C.S., 2011. An earlier origin for the Acheulian. *Nature* 477, 82–
1595 85.
- 1596 Lewis, M.E., 2008. The femur of extinct bunodont otters in Africa (Carnivora, Mustelidae,
1597 Lutrinae). *C. R. Palevol* 7, 607–627.
- 1598 Lewis, M.E., Werdelin, L., 2022. A revision of the genus *Crocota* (Mammalia, Hyaenidae).
1599 *Palaeontogr. A*, 322, 1–115.
- 1600 Mbua, E., Kusaka, S., Kunimatsu, Y., Geraads, D., Sawada, Y., Brown, F., Sakai, T.,
1601 Boisserie, J.R., Saneyoshi, M., Omuombo, C., Muteti, S., Hirata, T., Hayashida, A.,
1602 Iwano, H., Danhara, T., Bobe, R., Jicha, B., Nakatsukasa, M., 2016. *Kantis*; A new

1603 *Australopithecus* site on shoulders of the Rift Valley, near Nairobi, Kenya. *J. Hum.*
1604 *Evol.* 94, 28–44.

1605 McNutt, E.J., Hatala, K.G., Miller, C., Adams, J., Casana, J., Deane, A.S., Dominy, N.J.,
1606 Fabian, K., Fannin, L.D., Gaughan, S., Gill, S.V., Gurtu, J., Gustafson, E., Hill, A.C.,
1607 Johnson, C., Kallindo, S., Kilham, B., Kilham, P., Kim, E., Liutkus-Pierce, C., Maley,
1608 B., Prabhat, A., Reader, J., Rubin, S., Thompson, N.E., Thornburg, R., Williams-
1609 Hatala, E.M., Zimmer, B., Musiba, C.M., DeSilva, J.M., 2021. Footprint evidence of
1610 early hominin locomotor diversity at Laetoli, Tanzania. *Nature* 600, 468–471.

1611 McPherron, S.P., Alemseged, Z., Marean, C.W., Wynn, J.G., Reed, D., Geraads, D., Bobe, R.,
1612 Béarat, H.A., 2010. Evidence for stone-tool-assisted consumption of animal tissues
1613 before 3.39 million years ago at Dikika, Ethiopia. *Nature* 466, 857–860.

1614 Morales, J., Pickford, M., 2005. Giant bunodont Lutrinae from the Mio-Pliocene of Kenya
1615 and Uganda. *Estud. Geol.* 61, 233–246.

1616 Negash, E.W., Alemseged, Z., Barr, W.A., Behrensmeier, A.K., Blumenthal, S.A., Bobe, R.,
1617 Carvalho, S., Cerling, T.E., Chritz, K.L., McGuire, E., Uno, K.T., Wood, B., Wynn,
1618 J.G., 2024. Modern African ecosystems as landscape-scale analogues for
1619 reconstructing woody cover and early hominin environments. *J. Hum. Evol.* 197,
1620 103604.

1621 Palmqvist, P., Torregrossa, V., Pérez-Claros, J.A., Martínez-Navarro, B., Turner, A., 2007. A
1622 re-evaluation of the diversity of *Megantereon* (Mammalia, Carnivora,
1623 Machairodontinae) and the problem of species identification in extinct carnivores. *J.*
1624 *Vertebr. Paleontol.* 27, 160–175.

1625 Petter, G., 1963. Etude de quelques Viverridés (Mammifères, Carnivores) du Pléistocène
1626 inférieur du Tanganyika (Afrique orientale). *Bull. Soc. Géol. Fr., sér. 7*, 5, 265–274.

- 1627 Petter, G., 1973. Carnivores pléistocènes du ravin d'Olduvai (Tanzanie). *Fossil Vertebr. Afr.*
1628 3, 43–100.
- 1629 Petter, G., 1987. Small carnivores (Viverridae, Mustelidae, Canidae) from Laetoli, in: Leakey,
1630 M.D., Harris, J.M. (Eds.), *Laetoli, a Pliocene site in Northern Tanzania*. Clarendon
1631 Press, Oxford, pp. 194–234.
- 1632 Petter, G., Howell, F.C., 1977. Diversification des Civettes (Carnivora, Viverridae) dans les
1633 gisements pléistocènes de l'Omo. *C. R. Acad. Sci., D*, 284, 283–286.
- 1634 Petter, G., Howell, F.C., 1988. Nouveau féliné machairodonte (Mammalia, Carnivora) de la
1635 faune pliocène de l'Afar (Ethiopie): *Homotherium hadarensis* n. sp. *C. R. Acad. Sci.*,
1636 II, 306, 731–738.
- 1637 Petter, G., Howell, F.C., 1989. Une nouvelle espèce du genre *Crocota* Kaup (Mammalia,
1638 Carnivora, Hyaenidae) dans la faune pliocène de Laetoli (Tanzanie): *Crocota dietrichi*
1639 n.sp.; origine du genre. *C. R. Acad. Sci.*, II, 308, 1031–1038.
- 1640 Petter, G., Pickford, M., Howell, F.C., 1991. La loutre piscivore du Pliocène de Nyaburogo et
1641 de Nkondo (Ouganda, Afrique orientale): *Torolutra ougandensis* n.g., n.sp.
1642 (Mammalia, Carnivora). *C. R. Acad. Sci.*, II, 312, 949–955.
- 1643 Plummer, T.W., Oliver, J.S., Finestone, E.M., Ditchfield, P.W., Bishop, L.C., Blumenthal,
1644 S.A., Lemorini, C., Caricola, I., Bailey, S.E., Herries, A.I.R., Parkinson, J.A.,
1645 Whitfield, E., Hertel, F., Kinyanjui, R.N., Vincent, T.H., Li, Y., Louys, J., Frost, S.R.,
1646 Braun, D.R., Reeves, J.S., Early, E.D.G., Onyango, B., Lamela-Lopez, R., Forrest,
1647 F.L., He, H., Lane, T.P., Frouin, M., Nomade, S., Wilson, E.P., Bartilol, S.K., Rotich,
1648 N.K., Potts, R., 2023. Expanded geographic distribution and dietary strategies of the
1649 earliest Oldowan hominins and *Paranthropus*. *Science* 379, 561–566.
- 1650 Primo NYCEP Morphometrics Database; <https://primo.nycep.org/>

1651 Reed, K.E., Bibi, F., 2010. Fossil Tragelaphini (Artiodactyla: Bovidae) from the Late
1652 Pliocene Hadar Formation, Afar Regional State, Ethiopia. *J. Mamm. Evol.* 18, 57–69.

1653 Robinson, C., 2011. Giraffidae, in: Harrison, T. (Ed.), *Paleontology and geology of Laetoli:
1654 human evolution in context*, vol. 1. Springer, New York, pp. 339–362.

1655 Roche, H., Brugal, J.-P., Delagnes, A., Feibel, C., Harmand, S., Kibunjia, M., Prat, S., Texier,
1656 P.-J., 2003. Les sites archéologiques plio-pléistocènes de la formation de Nachukui,
1657 Ouest-Turkana, Kenya : bilan synthétique 1997-2001. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 2,
1658 663–673.

1659 Saarinen, J., Lister, A.M. 2023. Fluctuating climate and dietary innovation drove ratcheted
1660 evolution of proboscidean dental traits. *Nature Ecol. Evol.*
1661 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02151-4>

1662 Sanders, W.J., 2011. Proboscidea, in: Harrison, T. (Ed.), *Paleontology and geology of Laetoli:
1663 human evolution in context*, vol. 1. Springer, New York, pp. 233–262.

1664 Sardella, R., Iurino, D.A., 2012. The latest Early Pleistocene sabertoothed cat *Homotherium*
1665 (Felidae, Mammalia) from Monte Peglia (Umbria, central Italy). *Boll. Soc. Paleontol.*
1666 *Ital.* 51, 15–22.

1667 Sen, S., Geraads, D., 2023. Lagomorpha (Mammalia) from the Pliocene-Pleistocene locality
1668 of Ahl al Oughlam, Morocco. *Palaeobiodiv. Palaeoenviron.* [doi.org/10.1007/s12549-022-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12549-022-00569-5)
1669 [00569-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12549-022-00569-5)

1670 Sier, M.J., Langereis, C.G., Dupont-Nivet, G., Feibel, C.S., Joordens, J.C.A., van der Lubbe,
1671 J.H.J.L., Beck, C.C., Olago, D., Cohen, A., 2017. The top of the Olduvai Subchron in a
1672 high-resolution magnetostratigraphy from the West Turkana core WTK13, hominin
1673 sites and Paleolakes Drilling Project (HSPDP). *Quat. Geochronol.* 42, 117-129.

1674 Spoor, F., Leakey, M.G., Leakey, L.N., 2010. Hominin diversity in the Middle Pliocene of
1675 eastern Africa: the maxilla of KNM-WT 40000. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.*
1676 365, 3377-3388.

1677 Spoor, F., Leakey, M.G., O'Higgins, P., 2016. Middle Pliocene hominin diversity:
1678 *Australopithecus deyiremeda* and *Kenyanthropus platyops*. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B:*
1679 *Biol. Sci.* 371, 20150231.

1680 Stewart, K.M., 2001. The freshwater fish of Neogene Africa (Miocene-Pleistocene):
1681 systematics and biogeography. *Fish Fish.* 2, 177–230.

1682 Todd, N.E., 2005. Reanalysis of African *Elephas recki*: implications for time, space and
1683 taxonomy. *Quat. Intern.* 126–128, 65–72.

1684 Turner, A., 1984. The interpretation of variation in fossil specimens of spotted hyaena
1685 (*Crocuta crocuta* Erxleben, 1777) from Sterkfontein valley sites (Mammalia:
1686 Carnivora). *Ann. Transvaal Mus.* 33, 399–418.

1687 Villaseñor, A., Uno, K.T., Kinyanjui, R.N., Behrensmeyer, A.K., Bobe, R., Advokaat, E.L.,
1688 Bamford, M., Carvalho, S.C., Hammond, A.S., Palcu, D.V., Sier, M.J., Ward, C.V.,
1689 Braun, D.R., 2023. Pliocene hominins from East Turkana were associated with mesic
1690 environments in a semiarid basin. *J. Hum. Evol.* 180, 103385.

1691 Villmoare, B., Kimbel, W.H., Seyoum, C., Campisano, C.J., DiMaggio, E.N., Rowan, J.,
1692 Braun, D.R., Arrowsmith, J.R., Reed, K.E., 2015. Early *Homo* at 2.8 Ma from Ledi-
1693 Geraru, Afar, Ethiopia. *Science* 347, 1352–1355.

1694 Vitek, N.S., Seiffert, E.R., Heritage, S., Gaiku, M.W., Feibel, C.S., Sousa, F.J., Nengo, I.O.,
1695 Aoron, E.E., Princehouse, P.M. 2024. Hyracoidea from the Oligocene of Topernawi,
1696 Turkana Basin, Kenya. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* 44, e2409326.
1697 doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2024.2409326

- 1698 Vrba, E.S., 1988. Late Pliocene climatic events and hominid evolution, in: Grine, F.E. (Ed.),
1699 The Evolutionary History of the Robust Australopithecines. Aldine de Gruyter, New
1700 York, pp. 405–426.
- 1701 Vrba, E.S., 1997. New fossils of Alcelaphini and Caprinae (Bovidae: Mammalia) from
1702 Awash, Ethiopia, and phylogenetic analysis of Alcelaphini. *Palaeontol. Afr.* 34, 127–
1703 198.
- 1704 Walker, A., Leakey, R.E., Harris, J.M., Brown, F.H., 1986. 2.5-Myr *Australopithecus boisei*
1705 from west of Lake Turkana, Kenya. *Nature* 322, 517–522.
- 1706 Werdelin, L., 1999. *Pachycrocuta* (hyaenids) from the Pliocene of east. Africa. *Paläontol.*
1707 *Zeitschr.* 73, 157–165.
- 1708 Werdelin, L., 2003. Carnivores from the Kanapoi hominid site, Turkana Basin, northern
1709 Kenya. *Contrib. Sci.* 498, 115–132.
- 1710 Werdelin, L., Dehghani, R., 2011. Carnivora, in: Harrison, T. (Ed.), *Paleontology and geology*
1711 *of Laetoli: human evolution in context*, vol. 1. Springer, New York, pp. 189–232.
- 1712 Werdelin, L., Lewis, M.E., 2001. A revision of the genus *Dinofelis* (Mammalia, Felidae).
1713 *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 132, 147–258.
- 1714 Werdelin, L., Lewis, M.E., 2013. *Koobi Fora Research Project, 7: The Carnivora*. California
1715 Academy of Sciences, San Francisco.
- 1716 Werdelin, L., Manthi, F.K., 2012. Carnivora from the Kanapoi hominin site, northern Kenya.
1717 *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 64, 1–8.
- 1718 Wesselman, H.B., 1984. The Omo micromammals. *Contrib. Vertebr. Evol.* 7, 1–219.
- 1719 Wesselman, H.B., Black, M.T., Asnake, M., 2009. Small mammals, in: Haile-Selassie, Y.,
1720 Woldegabriel, G. (Eds.), *Ardipithecus kadabba*, Late Miocene evidence from the
1721 Middle Awash, Ethiopia. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, pp. 105–133

- 1722 White, T.D., Howell, F.C., Gilbert, H., 2006. The earliest *Metridiochoerus* (Artiodactyla:
1723 Suidae) from the Usno Formation, Ethiopia. *Trans. R. Soc. S. Afr.* 21, 75–79.
- 1724 White, T.D., Suwa, G., 2004. A new species of *Notochoerus* (Artiodactyla, Suidae) from the
1725 Pliocene of Ethiopia. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* 24, 474–480.
- 1726 Winkler, A.J., 2003. Rodents and Lagomorphs from the Miocene and Pliocene of Lothagam,
1727 Northern Kenya, in: Leakey, M.G., Harris, J.M. (Eds.), *Lothagam - The dawn of*
1728 *humanity in Eastern Africa*. Columbia University Press, New York, pp. 169–198.
- 1729 Winkler, A.J., Tomida, Y., 2011. The lower third premolar of *Serengetilagus praecapensis*
1730 (Mammalia: Lagomorpha: Leporidae) from Laetoli, Tanzania, in: Harrison, T. (Ed.),
1731 *Paleontology and geology of Laetoli: human evolution in context*, vol. 1. Springer,
1732 New York, pp. 55–66.
- 1733

1734 Figure captions

1735

1736 Fig. 1. Map of the Lomekwi area (Google Earth) with the occurrences of fossil mammals
1737 identified by us, the approximate extent of the main collecting areas, and the location of the
1738 type specimen of *Kenyanthropus platyops* (Leakey et al., 2001).

1739

1740 Fig. 2. Carnivora and Primates. A) KNM-WT 71794 (LO4E), *Panthera* sp.nov.?, left maxilla,
1741 occlusal view; B) KNM-WT 73014 (LO5), *Felis* cf. *silvestris*, right upper canine, labial view;
1742 C) KNM-WT 39932 (LO4E), Cf. *Lynx* sp., incomplete left upper P4, occlusal view; D) KNM-
1743 WT 48216 (LO9?), *Dinofelis* cf. *aronoki*, right mandible with incomplete p4, and m1, lateral
1744 view; E) KNM-WT 71898 (LO5) *Homotherium* sp., left upper canine, lingual view; F) KNM-
1745 WT 39214 (LO5), *Crocota eturono*, left upper P3–P4, occlusal view; G) KNM-WT 17575
1746 (LO1), *Mungos dietrichi*, right mandible: G1, occlusal view, G2, lingual view, G3, buccal
1747 view; H) KNM-WT 48339 (LO5), *Herpestes* cf. *palaeoserengensis*, right mandible with p4–
1748 m1: H1, lingual view, H2, occlusal view; I) KNM-WT 39625 (LO4), *Enhydriodon* sp., left
1749 upper P4, occlusal view; J) KNM-WT 39625 (LO4), *Enhydriodon* sp., right upper incomplete
1750 M1(reversed), occlusal view; K) KNM-WT 39331 (LO4), *Enhydriodon* sp., left humerus: K1,
1751 front view, K2, posterior view; L) KNM-WT 38764 (LO1), '*Viverra*' *leakeyi*, right m1,
1752 occlusal view; M) KNM-WT 40180 (LO4E), *Lutra* nov. sp.?, left mandible with p3–m1,
1753 occlusal view; N) KNM-WT 48247 (LO5), *Theropithecus oswaldi* ?, partial mandible: N1,
1754 right lateral view, N2, occlusal view; O) KNM-WT 48327 (LO5), *Theropithecus brumpti*,
1755 partial mandible: O1, occlusal view, O2, left lateral view; P) KNM-WT 77160 (LO5),
1756 *Parapapio* sp., right upper molar, occlusal view; Q) KNM-WT 75500 (LO9), *Parapapio* sp.?,
1757 right upper molar, occlusal view; R) KNM-WT 77121 (LO8), *Parapapio* sp., left M3,
1758 occlusal view; S) KNM-WT 48225 (LO5), Colobinae indet., left P3–M1, occlusal view; T)
1759 KNM-WT 39223 (LO5?), Hyracoidea gen. et sp. indet.?, mandible fragment with ?p3–p4: T1,
1760 left lateral view, T2, occlusal view. Scale equals 5 cm for Figs. A, D, F, G2, G3, I, J, N, O1,
1761 10 cm for Fig. E, O2, 20 cm for Fig. K, 2.5 cm for all others.

1762

1763 Fig. 3. Plot of length x width measurements of lower third molars of *Theropithecus*. Data
1764 from Eck, 1987; Eck & Jablonski, 1987; Jablonski et al., 2008, Frost, 2001; Frost et al., 2014;
1765 Frost et al., 2020, 2023; Primo Database). Ranges of values are shown for *T. darti* (or
1766 *T. oswaldi darti*) from Wormil, and convex hulls for the LO4, LO5, and LO6 sample, for

1767 *T. brumpti* from the Lokochot and Tulu Bor Mb at Koobi Fora, and for *T. brumpti* from Mb
1768 C-G of the Shungura Fm.

1769

1770 Fig. 4. Perissodactyla, Hippopotamidae, and crocodiles. A) KNM-WT 69857 (LO5),
1771 *Hipparion* cf. *hasumense*, right upper tooth series; B) KNM-WT 69563 (LO4E), *Hipparion*
1772 cf. *hasumense*, right lower tooth series; C) KNM-WT 69413 (LO4E), *Hipparion* cf.
1773 *hasumense*, left metacarpal: C1, proximal view, C2, anterior view; D) KNM-WT 41576
1774 (LO1), *Diceros* cf. *bicornis*, cranium: D1, right lateral view, D2, ventral view; E) KNM-WT
1775 75638 (LO4E), '*Hippopotamus*' *protamphibius*, mandibular symphysis, front view; F) KNM-
1776 WT 38973 (LO5), '*Hippopotamus*' *protamphibius*, mandibular symphysis, front view; G)
1777 KNM-WT 38974 (LO3), '*Hippopotamus*' *protamphibius*, mandibular symphysis, front view;
1778 H) KNM-WT 77311 (LO5), *Mecistops* sp., snout fragment, dorsal view (front towards the top
1779 of the page, the arrow points to the premaxilla/maxilla suture); I) KNM-WT 38981 (LO5),
1780 *Crocodylus thorbjarnarsoni*, snout: I1, ventral view, I2, dorsal view. Scale equals 15 cm for
1781 Fig. D, 12 cm for Figs. C and E–I, 4 cm for Figs. A–B.

1782

1783 Fig. 5. Suidae. A) KNM-WT 69065 (LO6S), *Kolpochoerus* cf. *afarensis*, left lower m3,
1784 occlusal view; B) KNM-WT 16264 (LO4), *Kolpochoerus* cf. *afarensis*, right lower m3,
1785 occlusal view; C) KNM-WT 16152 (LO10), *Kolpochoerus* cf. *afarensis*, left upper M3,
1786 occlusal view; D) KNM-WT 77302 (LO4E), *Metridiochoerus* cf. *shawi*, right lower m3: D1,
1787 occlusal view, D2, buccal view; E) KNM-WT 73142 (LO10), *Metridiochoerus* cf. *shawi*,
1788 right lower m3: E1, occlusal view, E2, buccal view; F) KNM-WT 73172 (LO9),
1789 *Metridiochoerus* cf. *shawi*, right upper M3: F1, occlusal view, F2, buccal view; G) KNM-WT
1790 16270 (LO4), *Nyanzachoerus kanamensis*, left lower m3: G1, buccal view, G2, occlusal view;
1791 H) KNM-WT 77312 (LO5), *Notochoerus jaegeri*, mandible: H1, dorsal view, H2, occlusal
1792 view of left m3; I) KNM-WT 71900 (LO4W), *Notochoerus jaegeri*, right m3, occlusal view;
1793 J) KNM-WT 48352 (LO5), *Notochoerus euilus*, left m3, occlusal view. Scale equals 20 cm
1794 for Fig. H1, 5 cm for all others.

1795

1796 Fig. 6. Length x width biplots of suid third molars. A: *Notochoerus* upper M3s. B:
1797 *Notochoerus euilus* lower m3s. C: *Kolpochoerus* upper M3s. D: *Kolpochoerus* lower m3s.
1798 Data from Harris (1983), Cooke (1976, digitized), Haile-Selassie & Simpson (2013), Geraads
1799 et al. (2021), and personal data.

1800

1801 Fig. 7. Ruminantia. A) KNM-WT 73243 (LO5), *Damaliscus* aff. *lunatus*, partial cranium: A1,
1802 right lateral view, A2, front view; B) KNM-WT 69175 (LO4W), *Aepyceros shungurae*,
1803 frontlet: B1, left lateral view, B2, front view; A1; C) KNM-WT 39208 (LO5), *Tragelaphus* cf.
1804 *nakuae*, braincase: C1, occipital view, C2, dorsal view; D) KNM-WT 40246 (LO5),
1805 *Damaliscus* cf. *lunatus* frontlet: D1, left lateral view, D2, front view; E) KNM-WT 69252
1806 (LO3), 'Ovibovini' gen. et sp. indet., right upper tooth-row, occlusal view; F) KNM-WT
1807 48319 (LO4W), *Giraffa* cf. *stillei*, left lower tooth-row, occlusal view. Scale equals 5 cm for
1808 Fig. E, 10 cm for Fig. F, 20 cm for all others.

1809

1810 Fig. 8. Rodentia and Lagomorpha. A) KNM-WT 48334 (LO5), *Serengetilagus praecapensis*,
1811 right lower p3–m3; B) KNM-WT 71914 (LO4), *Serengetilagus praecapensis*, right lower p3–
1812 p4; C) KNM-WT 72956 (LO5), *Trischizolagus* sp.?, right lower p3–m2; D) KNM-WT 59707
1813 (LO5), *Golunda* cf. *gurai*, right lower m1–m3. Scale equals 10 mm for Figs. A–C, 5 mm for
1814 Fig. D.

1815

1816 Fig. 9. Chronology of the Lomekwi sites compared to some other East African localities.