

1 **A serological survey of *Bacillus anthracis* reveals widespread exposure to the pathogen in**  
2 **free-range and captive lions in Zimbabwe.**

3 Running title: Widespread exposure to *B. anthracis* in African lions.

4 <sup>1\*</sup> Norman L. Mukarati, <sup>2†</sup> Okechukwu C. Ndumego, <sup>8</sup> Andrew Loveridge, <sup>2</sup> Henriette van  
5 Heerden, <sup>1</sup> Gift Matope, <sup>3,4,5</sup> Alexandre Caron, <sup>9</sup> Tapiwa G. Hanyire, <sup>3,6,7</sup> Michel de Garine-  
6 Wichatitsky and <sup>1</sup> Davies M. Pfukenyi.

7 <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Zimbabwe, PO Box MP 167, Mt. Pleasant, Harare.  
8 Zimbabwe.

9 <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, Private Bag X 04, Onderstepoort 0110,  
10 Pretoria, South Africa.

11 <sup>3</sup> ASTRE, Univ. de Montpellier, CIRAD, INRA, Montpellier, France

12 <sup>4</sup> CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, RP-PCP, Maputo, Mozambique

13 <sup>5</sup> Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade Eduardo Mondlane, Maputo, Mozambique

14 <sup>6</sup> CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, Bangkok, Thailand

15 <sup>7</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand

16 <sup>8</sup> Recanati-Kaplan Centre, Tubney House, Department of Zoology, Oxford University  
17 OX13 5QL. UK.

18 <sup>9</sup> Department of Veterinary Services, 18 Borrowdale, Harare, Zimbabwe.

19 <sup>†</sup> Current address: Africa Health Research Institute, K-RITH Tower Building, Umbilo Road

20 Durban, 4013, South Africa

21 <sup>1</sup>\*Author for correspondence: Dr Norman Leo Mukarati, Department of Clinical Veterinary  
22 Studies, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Zimbabwe, PO Box MP 167, Mt. Pleasant,  
23 Harare. Zimbabwe. Email addresses: [mukaratin@gmail.com](mailto:mukaratin@gmail.com); [nmukarati@vet.uz.ac.zw](mailto:nmukarati@vet.uz.ac.zw)  
24 [Telephone number: +262 242 30321](tel:+26224230321); [Fax: +263 242 335 249](tel:+263242335249)

25

26

## 27 Summary

28 Numerous unknown factors influence anthrax epidemiology in multi-host systems, especially at  
29 wildlife/livestock/human interfaces. Serology tests for anthrax in carnivores is one tool which can  
30 be useful in identifying the presence or absence of *Bacillus anthracis* in a range, and it was  
31 employed in this study to ascertain if the disease pattern followed the recognized high and low risk  
32 anthrax zonation in Zimbabwe, and also to establish if anthrax was absent from Hwange National  
33 Park in which there has been no reported outbreaks. African lions (*Panthera leo*) (n= 114) drawn  
34 from free-range protected areas and captive game parks located in recognized high and low risk  
35 zones across Zimbabwe were tested for antibodies to anthrax PA antigen. Overall 21.9% (25/114)  
36 of the lions tested positive for antibodies to anthrax. Seropositivity was recorded in all the study

---

37 areas and there was no significant difference ( $p=0.852$ ) in seropositivity between lions in high and  
38 low risk anthrax zones. Results of this study indicate that anthrax could be more widespread than  
39 realized in Zimbabwe, and present in recognized high and low risk zones, including where it has  
40 not been reported in over 20 years such as Hwange National Park. The research results point to a  
41 need for revisiting the currently recognized anthrax risk zones in Zimbabwe. This should be based  
42 on improved surveillance of the disease in both wild and domestic animals for better understanding  
43 and control of the disease. Vigilance in feeding of captive carnivores with disease-free meat diets  
44 cannot be overemphasized.

#### 45 **Key words**

46 African lions (*Panthera leo*), anthrax (*Bacillus anthracis*), anthrax protective antibody antigen, ,  
47 protected areas, captive game parks

#### 48 **Introduction**

49 Anthrax, caused by *Bacillus anthracis* bacterium, is a zoonotic disease of warm-blooded  
50 animals that can be fatal for livestock, wildlife and humans (Hirsch & Biberstein, 2004; OIE,  
51 2012). There are numerous unknown factors which influence the epidemiology of anthrax in  
52 multi-host systems, especially at wildlife/livestock/human interfaces. Anthrax induces fatal acute  
53 to peracute syndromes with no or little protective antibody immunity in herbivores. When  
54 present, this protective antibody immunity often lasts less than a year in herbivores (Turnbull *et*  
55 *al.*, 1992; de Vos and Turnbull, 2004). However, the duration of antibody reactivity to anthrax  
56 has been found to be much longer in surviving carnivores, and indefinite in humans (Beyer and  
57 Turnbull, 2009). In Namibia, results of serological reactions to *B. anthracis* in wild carnivores

were related to the occurrence of anthrax in wild herbivores in Etosha National Park, thus constituting an epidemiological tool for monitoring anthrax distribution (Turnbull *et al.*, 1992).

Despite anthrax being considered endemic in some parts of Zimbabwe (Chikerema *et al.*, 2012; Mukarati *et al.*, 2018), its epidemiology in wildlife is poorly understood due to suboptimal surveillance and outbreak investigations. Over the past 20 years, no overt anthrax outbreaks have been reported in wildlife in Hwange National Park (HNP) despite sporadic outbreaks of the disease in livestock in adjacent communal areas of Tsholotsho District to the South-East of the park (Mukarati *et al.*, 2018). In this study, we hypothesized that low risk areas for anthrax such as HNP with no reported wildlife anthrax outbreaks for 20 years would result in significantly low seropositivity to anthrax in wild carnivores compared to high risk areas.

## Materials and methods

African lion (*Panthera leo*) sera samples (n = 114) were obtained from serum banks from wild carnivore conservation projects in protected areas and recreational game parks in Zimbabwe. Each sample was allocated to high or low risk areas for anthrax (Figure 1, Table 1) based on a previous classification (Chikerema *et al.*, 2013). The samples were collected from lions immobilized for various reasons over a period of 20 years (1996 – 2016) and stored frozen at -20°C at the Wildlife Unit of the Department of Veterinary Services, Ministry of Lands, Agriculture and Rural Resettlement, Zimbabwe.

The sera samples were tested for antibodies to *B. anthracis* capsule (PA) antigen as detailed in Mukarati *et al.* (2018). A conventional PA ELISA was used to analyze samples for specific immunoglobulins according to Hahn *et al.* (2004) and modified by Ndumnego *et al.*

(2013). For determination of the cut-off value for positive lion sera in the multi-species ELISA a similar approach depicted previously by Mukarati *et al* (2018) was adopted. Previously identified negative and positive control sera were sourced from a domestic cat presenting for an unrelated condition at the Onderstepoort Veterinary Academic Hospital and from a vaccinated goat (Ndumnego, Koehler, Crafford, Beyer, & van Heerden, 2018) respectively. Each ELISA plate contained duplicate wells of the known negative and positive control sera. Also six blank wells containing only the blocking solution (skimmed milk powder) were provided for each plate and background OD values from these wells were subtracted from the test sera wells. Seroprevalence estimates of anthrax with 95% confidence intervals were computed using Stata Version SE/11. The primary sampling strata were the recognized high and low anthrax risk zones. Wildlife management systems (protected areas vs recreational game parks), represented the secondary strata while the individual animals were the sampling units. Data analysis was done in Stata Version SE/11 for Windows (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) at a 95% confidence interval, and difference between strata noted.

## Results

Overall, 21.9% (25/114) of African lions in this study tested positive for antibodies to anthrax PA antigen. Seropositivity was recorded in almost all the study areas except for Antelope Game Park (Figure 1). A total of 5 lions (22.7%, n= 22) from high risk zones and 20 lions (21.7%, n = 92) from low risk zones respectively were positive for antibodies to anthrax PA antigen. However, the difference in seropositivity between the anthrax high and low risk zones was not significant ( $p=0.852$ ) (Table 1). Again seropositivity between free-range and captive lions was not significantly different ( $p = 0.951$ ) respectively at 22.6% (21/93) and 19.1% (4/21).

104 Figure 1. Map of Zimbabwe showing seroprevalence of anthrax in African lions sampled across  
105 the country. Anthrax risk zones adapted from Chikerema *et al.* (2013) with minor modifications.

106 With respect to lions in Hwange National Park which formed the bulk of the animals under  
107 this study, the sample distribution was biased towards the northern half of the park reflecting the  
108 spatial emphasis of the ongoing wild carnivore research projects from which the samples were  
109 drawn (Fig. 2).

110 Figure 2. Map of Hwange National Park (Zimbabwe) showing distribution of anthrax positive lions in  
111 sampled areas.

112

113 Seropositive lions were concentrated to the northeast of the park in areas which are adjacent  
114 to northern Tsholotsho (Ngamo) and Hwange Communal Lands although there were also positive  
115 lions far inland of HNP at about 90 km from the nearest park boundary. This distance was well  
116 outside the lions' home range of about 20km radius (Loveridge *et al.*, 2009) indicating unlikely  
117 influence of diseases between the lions and domestic livestock. No outbreaks of anthrax were  
118 reported in HNP and Hwange Communal Lands during this period under review. On the other  
119 hand, outbreaks of anthrax were reported in Tsholotsho Communal Lands including Ngamo area  
120 adjacent to southeastern HNP (Fig. 2) (Mukarati *et al.*, 2018).

121

## 122 Discussion

123 The anthrax protective antigen (PA), in addition to the edema and lethal factors (EF and  
124 LF), make up the tripartite anthrax toxin complex (Schwartz 2009). These anthrax toxins are  
125 encoded by the anthrax-specific virulence plasmid, pXO1, with PA combining with LF to form

Commented [WU1]: Andrew please double check this.  
Thanks.

126 the lethal toxin (LT). To date, no cross reacting antigens to PA are known and available data  
127 indicate anti-PA antibodies as being the most diagnostically stable (Turnbull, Doganay, Aygen,  
128 Lindeque, & McLaughlin, 1992) *Leppa, Robbins et al, 2002*). The use of PA as the sole antigen  
129 in diagnostic immunoassays has been validated in humans (Ghosh et al., 2015; Quinn et al.,  
130 2002; Semenova et al., 2012), horses (Caldwell, Hathcock, & Brock, 2017) and goats  
131 (*Ndumnego, Crafford et al, 2013*). In the latter, Ndumnego *et al.* (2013) compared and observed  
132 that the use of skimmed milk powder gives a lower background reading compared to the use of  
133 foetal calf serum. While there may be the risk of lion IgG detecting milk or any other ruminant  
134 proteins, there is no evidence of this in studies using the PA-ELISA to monitor *Bacillus*  
135 *anthracis* exposure in lions or other carnivore species (Hampson et al., 2011; Turnbull et al.,  
136 1992) *Bagamian, Alexander et al, 2013; Switzer, Munson et al, 2016*). Thus the presence of  
137 anti-PA antibodies in animals indicates that non-lethal systemic infection has taken place (Hugh-  
138 Jones & Blackburn, 2009; Turnbull et al., 1992) (*Ndumnego, Crafford et al, 2013*). Therefore  
139 based on these serological assays in African lions, there were indications that *B. anthracis*  
140 pathogen was present and distributed widely in Zimbabwe.

**Commented [WU2]:** Highlighted refs to be properly added once papers captured in online library. Papers yet to be downloaded. Okey may you help with pdf copies of these papers? Thanks.

141 In the case of HNP where anthrax outbreaks have not been reported in over 20 years, this  
142 represents the first confirmation of the presence and wide exposure of *B. anthracis* to lions in the  
143 park. There is a possibility that some lions from HNP could have been exposed to anthrax from  
144 consumption of livestock carcasses in adjacent anthrax endemic Tsholotsho Communal areas  
145 (Chikerema *et al.*, 2012) as the two areas share an unfenced porous interface. However, more  
146 seropositive lions were far removed from this community (more than 90 km away), a distance  
147 larger than an average lion home range in the area of about 20 km in diameter [A. Loveridge,  
148 person, comm.) It is most likely that such seropositive lions were exposed to other sources of *B.*

**Commented [WU3]:** Andrew please double check this- also see above. Thanks.

149 *anthracis* in HNP. Although this was not strictly a cross-sectional survey in that the lions were  
150 sampled over 20 years, it nonetheless provides useful information. That lions sampled over this  
151 period were positive for *B. anthracis* in the absence of reported disease outbreaks suggests either  
152 occurrence of undetected outbreaks of the disease or sublethal infections in wildlife in HNP  
153 (Hugh-Jones and Blackburn, 2009; Cizauskas *et al.*, 2014). Thus, the hitherto general belief that  
154 HNP was free of anthrax based on no reported cases is uncertain. The presence of antibodies to  
155 anthrax in lions in Mana Pools NP, Save Valley Conservancy and Malilangwe Wildlife Reserve  
156 indicates that *B. anthracis* was already circulating in the area long before the disease outbreaks  
157 occurred (Mukarati *et al.*, 2018; Clegg *et al.*, 2007; OIE, 1997). However, there was no  
158 correlation between anthrax outbreaks and seropositivity in lions from this dataset. Improved  
159 surveillance and additional studies on possible environmental and soil geochemical factors  
160 possibly influencing *B. anthracis* distribution in protected areas are necessary (Hugh-Jones and  
161 Blackburn, 2009; Griffin *et al.*, 2014).

162       There were no known anthrax outbreaks that could account for exposure and  
163 seroconversion in captive lions in this study. According to de Vos and Turnbull (2004), anthrax  
164 outbreaks affecting wildlife in captivity are limited to consumption of infected meat. Carnivores  
165 on small game parks are managed essentially as in zoological gardens and thus are presumably  
166 similarly exposed to anthrax. An anthrax outbreak occurred in lions and cheetahs in 1997 at Lion  
167 and Cheetah Park, Harare, when they were fed infected bovine meat donated by a farm (OIE,  
168 1997). On the other hand, wild carnivores in captivity maybe exposed to *B. anthracis* from infected  
169 meat without necessarily developing clinical disease either due to their relative resistance or  
170 exposure to sublethal anthrax doses (Beyer and Turnbull, 2009; Hugh-Jones and Blackburn, 2009;  
171 Cizauskas *et al.*, 2014).



172 A pertinent question which arises is the specificity of the serological assay used in this  
173 survey, given that there are few reports of atypical *B. cereus* strains causing similar disease in  
174 humans and wild primates (Marston *et al.*, 2016). Indeed, rare cases of anthrax-like illness in  
175 humans and wild chimpanzees caused by a *B. cereus* strain possessing the anthrax toxin genes  
176 have been reported in the US and West Africa (Hoffmaster *et al.*, 2004, 2006; Avashia *et al.*,  
177 2007; Klee *et al.*, 2006). However, to date there are no documented cases of outbreaks caused by  
178 this strain of *B. cereus* in wild or domestic ruminants, or the carnivores who predate on these  
179 animals. While not ruling out the possible exposure to environmental *B. cereus* in grazing  
180 animals, the presence of the rare toxin-producing *B. cereus* strains have not been reported in  
181 Southern Africa. Thus, the seropositivity of lions in this study is assumed to be attributable to  
182 exposure to *B. anthracis* strains.

Commented [WU4]: Should I take this out?? Please help.

183 The finding of no significant difference in seroprevalence between lions located in  
184 currently recognized high and low risk zones for anthrax ( $p = 0.852$ , Table 1) tally with earlier  
185 findings in domestic dogs (Mukarati *et al.*, 2018). A much wider range of anthrax endemic areas  
186 in Zimbabwe can be hypothesized similar to other endemic regions of the world. This suggests  
187 that the categorization of areas in Zimbabwe into high and low risk zones may not represent the  
188 true status of anthrax risks across the country. This needs review based on improved surveillance  
189 and epizootiological investigations. Anthrax serology in resident wild and/or domestic carnivores  
190 could serve as sentinel and indicator of anthrax circulation in given areas and thus can be useful  
191 epidemiological tools.

192 The widespread presence of anthrax antibodies in lions in protected areas, irrespective of  
193 absence of reported disease outbreaks in wild or domestic ungulates or humans, confirms a much  
194 larger circulation of *Bacillus anthracis* in Zimbabwe. These results raise new questions on the

195 epidemiology of anthrax in endemic regions. There is need to investigate local factors that could  
196 be associated with anthrax outbreaks apart from presence of the pathogen. On the other hand,  
197 there could be small outbreaks of anthrax occurring but going unnoticed in HNP similar to what  
198 has been noted elsewhere (Cizaukas *et al.*, 2014). Overall improved surveillance of anthrax in all  
199 animals could shed more light on whether outbreaks were indeed taking place but being missed  
200 because of inadequate surveillance and may also give pointers on risk factors.

201

## 202 Author contributions

203 *Norman Leo Mukarati* was the main researcher who conceived the ideas and designed the  
204 methodology as well as carrying out the field work and partly the laboratory work;

205 *Okechukwu C. Ndumnego* and *Henriette van Heerden* designed, carried out the serological  
206 testing of the samples for *B. anthracis* PA antigen antibodies and revised final manuscript.

207 *Andrew Loveridge* and *Tapiwa G. Hanyire* collected the blood samples from lions used in this  
208 study.

209 *Davies M. Pfukenyi* and *Gift Matope* carried out data analysis and redrafting of the manuscript  
210 especially epidemiological aspects.

211 *Alexandre Caron* and *Michel de Garine-Wichatitsky* –critiqued the manuscript and contributed  
212 to its redrafting.

213

## 214 Acknowledgements

215 This work was undertaken in the framework of the Research Platform “Production and  
216 Conservation in Partnership” (www.rp-pcp.org) and funded by the Ministère Français des  
217 Affaires Etrangères through the FSP-RenCaRe project (FSP n°2011/36). The University of  
218 Zimbabwe Research Board also contributed financially to this study. The authors would also like  
219 to thank the Hwange Lion Research Project/ Trans-Kalahari Predator Programme based in  
220 Hwange National Park, Zimbabwe who made available blood sera samples from lions in their  
221 conservation research project and Ms Jane Hunt for collection and facilitation of access to  
222 samples. Zimbabwe Parks and Wildlife Management Authority is gratefully acknowledged for  
223 allowing this publication.

224

#### 225 **Conflict of interest**

226 None.

227

#### 228 **References**

229 Beyer, W. & Turnbull, P.C.B. (2009). Anthrax in animals. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, 30,  
230 481–489.

231 Caldwell, M., Hathcock, T., & Brock, K. V. (2017). Passive protection against anthrax in mice  
232 with plasma derived from horses hyper-immunized against *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne strain.  
233 *PeerJ*, 2017(12), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3907>

234 Chikerema, S. M., Murwira, A., Matope, G. & Pfukenyi, D.M. (2013). Spatial modelling of  
235 *Bacillus anthracis* ecological niche in Zimbabwe. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 111, 25–  
236 30.

237 Chikerema, S.M., Pfukenyi, D.M., Matope, G. & Bhebhe, E. (2012). Temporal and spatial  
 238 distribution of cattle anthrax outbreaks in Zimbabwe between 1967 and 2006. *Tropical*  
 239 *Animal Health and Production*, 44, 63-70.

240 Cizauskas, C.A., Bellan, S. E., Turner, W. C., Vance, R.E. & Getz, W. M. (2014). Frequent and  
 241 seasonally variable sublethal anthrax infections are accompanied by short-lived immunity in  
 242 an endemic system. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 83, 1078–1090.

243 Clegg, S.B., Turnbull, P.C.B., Foggin, C.M. & Lindeque, P.M. (2007). Massive outbreak of  
 244 anthrax in wildlife in the Malilangwe Wildlife Reserve, Zimbabwe. *Veterinary Record*, 160,  
 245 113 – 118.

246 De Vos, V. & Turnbull, P.C.B. (2004). Anthrax. In: Coetzer, J.A.W. and Tustin, R.C. (eds).  
 247 *Infectious diseases of livestock*, 2nd Ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 178.

248 Ghosh, N., Gunti, D., Lukka, H., Reddy, B. R., Padmaja, J., & Goel, A. K. (2015). Development  
 249 & validation of a quantitative anti-protective antigen IgG enzyme linked immunosorbent  
 250 assay for serodiagnosis of cutaneous anthrax. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*,  
 251 142(AUGUST), 196–204. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-5916.164258>

252 Griffin, D., Silvestri, E., Bowling, C., Boe, T., Smith, D. & Nichols, T. (2014). Anthrax and the  
 253 geochemistry of soils in the contiguous United States. *Geosciences*, 4, 114-127.

254 Hahn, U.K., Alex, M., Czerny, C.P., Böhm, R. & Beyer, W. (2004). Protection of mice against  
 255 challenge with *Bacillus anthracis* STI spores after DNA vaccination. *International Journal*  
 256 *of Medical Microbiology*, 294, 35 -44.

257 Hampson, K., Lembo, T., Bessell, P., Auty, H., Packer, C., Halliday, J., ... Cleaveland, S.

258 (2011). Predictability of anthrax infection in the Serengeti, Tanzania. *Journal of Applied*  
 259 *Ecology*, 48(6), 1333–1344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2011.02030.x>

260 Hirsch, D.C. & Biberstein, B.L. (2004). Bacillus. In: Hirsch, D.C., MacLachlan, N.J. & Walker,  
 261 R.L. (eds). *Veterinary Microbiology*. Second Edition, London: Blackwell Publishing,  
 262 pp169-174.

263 Hugh-Jones, M., & Blackburn, J. (2009). The ecology of Bacillus anthracis. *Molecular Aspects*  
 264 *of Medicine*, Vol. 30, pp. 356–367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2009.08.003>

265 Loveridge, A. J., Valeix, M., Davidson, Z., Murindagomo, F., Fritz, H., & MacDonald, D. W.  
 266 (2009). Changes in home range size of African lions in relation to pride size and prey  
 267 biomass in a semi-arid savanna. *Ecography*, 32(6), 953–962. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.05745.x)  
 268 [0587.2009.05745.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.05745.x)

269 Mukarati, N.L., Ndumnego, O., Van Heerden, H., Ndhlovu, D.N., Matope, G., Caron, A. et al.  
 270 (2018). A serological survey of anthrax in domestic dogs in Zimbabwe: A potential tool for  
 271 anthrax surveillance. *Epidemiology and Infection*, 146, 1526 -1532.

272 Ndumnego, O.C., Crafford, J., Beyer, W. & van Heerden, H. (2013). Quantitative anti-PA IgG  
 273 ELISA; assessment and comparability with the anthrax toxin neutralization assay in goats.  
 274 *BMC Veterinary Research*, 9, 265.

275 Ndumnego, O. C., Koehler, S. M., Crafford, J. E., Beyer, W., & van Heerden, H. (2018).  
 276 Immunogenicity of anthrax recombinant peptides and killed spores in goats and protective  
 277 efficacy of immune sera in A/J mouse model. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 1–10.  
 278 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35382-8>

279 Quinn, C. P., Semenova, V. A., Elie, C. M., Romero-Steiner, S., Greene, C., Li, H., ... Perkins,  
 280 B. A. (2002). Specific, sensitive, and quantitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for  
 281 human immunoglobulin G antibodies to anthrax toxin protective antigen. *Emerging*  
 282 *Infectious Diseases*, 8(10), 1103–1110. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0810.020380>  
 283 Semenova, V. A., Schiffer, J., Steward-Clark, E., Soroka, S., Schmidt, D. S., Brawner, M. M., ...  
 284 Quinn, C. P. (2012). Validation and long term performance characteristics of a quantitative  
 285 enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for human anti-PA IgG. *Journal of*  
 286 *Immunological Methods*, 376(1–2), 97–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2011.12.002>  
 287 Turnbull, P. C. B., Doganay, M., Aygen, B., Lindeque, P. M., & McLaughlin, J. (1992). Serology  
 288 and anthrax in humans, livestock and Etosha National Park wildlife. *Epidemiology and*  
 289 *Infection*, 108(2), 299–313. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268800049773>  
 290 World Organisation for Animal Health (2012). Anthrax. OIE Terrestrial Manual, (May) 1–10.  
 291 World Organization for Animal Health (1997). Animal disease diagnosis, surveillance and  
 292 notification, In: Terrestrial Animal Health Code. Paris: OIE.  
 293  
 294  
 295  
 296 Avashia, S.B., Riggins, W.S., Lindley, C., Hoffmaster, A., Drumgoole, R.,  
 297 Nekomoto, T., Jackson, P.J., Hill, K.K., Williams, K., Lehman, L., Libal, M.C., Wilkins,  
 298 P.P., Alexander, J., Tvaryanas, A. & Betz, T. (2007). Fatal Pneumonia among  
 299 metalworkers due to inhalation exposure to *Bacillus cereus* containing *Bacillus anthracis*

toxin genes. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, **44**, 414-416. doi.org/10.1086/510429

Hoffmaster, A.R., Hill, K.K., Gee, J.E., Marston, C.K., De B.K., Popovic, T., Sue, D., Wilkins, P.P., Avashia, S.B., Drumgoole, R., Helma, C.H., Ticknor, L.O., Okinaka, R.T. & Jackson, P.J. (2006). Characterization of *Bacillus cereus* isolates associated with fatal pneumonias: strains are closely related to *Bacillus anthracis* and harbor *B. anthracis* virulence genes. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **44**, 3352–3360. doi: 10.1128/jcm.00561-06

Hoffmaster, A.R., Ravel, J., Rasko, D.A., Chapman, G.D., Chute, M.D., Marston, C.K., De, B.K., Sacchi, C.T., Fitzgerald, C., Mayer, L.W., Maiden, M.C.J., Priest, F.G., Barker, M., Jiang, L., Cer, R.Z., Rilstone, J., Peterson, S.N., Weyant, R.S., Galloway, D.R., Read, T.D., Popovic, T. & Fraser, C.M. (2004). Identification of anthrax toxin genes in a *Bacillus cereus* associated with an illness resembling inhalation anthrax. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* June 2004, **101**, 8449-8454. doi:10.1073/pnas.0402414101

Klee, S.R., Zel, M.O., Appel, B., Boesch, C., Ellerbrok, H., Jacob, D., Holland, G., Leendertz, F.H., Pauli, G., Grunow, R. & Nattermann, H. (2006). Characterization of *Bacillus anthracis*-like bacteria isolated from wild Great Apes from Coˆte d'Ivoire and Cameroon. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **188**, 5333–5344. doi:10.1128/JB.00303-06

Marston, C.K., Ibrahim, H., Lee, P., Churchwell, G., Gumke, M., Stanek, D. et al. (2016). Anthrax toxin-expressing *Bacillus cereus* isolated from an anthrax-like eschar. *PLoS ONE* 11(6): e0156987. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156987

**Commented [WU5]:** Remove these alongside paragraph on *B. cereus*? Please help. Thanks.

322

323






Table 1: Seroprevalence of anthrax in lions sampled in protected areas and captive recreational game parks in Zimbabwe.

Wildlife Management Area	District	*Anthrax risk zone	Total tested	Total positive	% Seroprevalence (95% CI)†	Year (s) of sample collection	Year (s) of reported anthrax outbreak (s) in the area
Hwange National Park	Hwange	L	73	17	23.3 (14.5-34.9)	2001-2015	Nil
Mana Pools National Park	Hurungwe	L	11	2	18.2 (3.2-52.3)	2001, 2002 & 2004	2011 (7)
Save Valley Conservancy	Bikita/Chiredzi	H	6	1	16.7 [0.9 – 63.5]	2003	2004-2005 (13)
Malilangwe Wildlife Reserve	Chiredzi	L	3	1	33.3 [1.8 - 87.5]	1999, 2003 & 2004	2004-2005 (13)
<b>Overall protected areas</b>			<b>93</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22.6* [14.8 - 32.7]</b>		
Lion & Cheetah Park	Norton	H	6	1	16.7 [0.9 - 63.5]	1996, 1997, 2002, 2003 & 2004	1997 Lion & Cheetah Park (14)
Chengeta Game Park	Chegutu	H	4	1	25.0 [1.3 - 78.1]	2002, 2016, 2017	Nil
Antelope Game Park	Gweru	L	5	0	0.0 [1.9 - 53.7]	1996, 1997	Nil
Bally Vaughan Game Park	Shamva	H	6	2	33.3 [6.0 - 75.9]	2002, 2004	Nil
<b>Overall captive recreational parks</b>			<b>21</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>19.1* [6.3 - 42.6]</b>		
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>114</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>21.9 [15.0 - 30.9]</b>		

\*Risk zone: H = high risk for anthrax, L = low risk for anthrax.

†There was no significant difference ( $p=0.852$ ) in anthrax seropositivity between lions in high and those in low anthrax risk zones, and between lions in protected areas and those in captive game parks ( $p=0.951$ ).

