



Absorbed dose calculations for macromolecular crystals: improvements to *RADDOSE*. Erratum

Karthik S. Paithankar, Robin Leslie Owen and Elspeth F. Garman

J. Synchrotron Rad. (2018). **25**, 627–628



IUCr Journals

CRYSTALLOGRAPHY JOURNALS ONLINE

Copyright © International Union of Crystallography

Author(s) of this paper may load this reprint on their own web site or institutional repository provided that this cover page is retained. Republication of this article or its storage in electronic databases other than as specified above is not permitted without prior permission in writing from the IUCr.

For further information see <http://journals.iucr.org/services/authorrights.html>

Absorbed dose calculations for macromolecular crystals: improvements to *RADDOSE*. Erratum

Karthik S. Paithankar,^a Robin Leslie Owen^{a,b,†} and Elspeth F. Garman^{a*}

^aLaboratory of Molecular Biophysics, Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK, and ^bSwiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland.

*Correspondence e-mail: elspeth.garman@bioch.ox.ac.uk

Received 5 February 2018

Accepted 5 February 2018

† Present address: Diamond Light Source Ltd, Diamond House, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0DE, UK.

Keywords: absorbed dose; macromolecular crystallography; experimental dose limit; fluorescence escape; photoelectric absorption

Corrections to an equation and a figure in the paper by Paithankar *et al.* (2009). [*J. Synchrotron Rad.* **16**, 152–162] are made.

Correct versions of equation (5) and Fig. 4 and its legend in the paper by Paithankar *et al.* (2009). [*J. Synchrotron Rad.* **16**, 152–162] are given. The last line on page 155 and equation (5) on page 156 should read as follows:

$$\mu_K(E_i) = \left(\mu_{pe} - \frac{\mu_{pe}}{r} \right) = \mu_{pe} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right) = \mu_{pe} \left(1 - \frac{(\mu - \mu_K)}{\mu} \right), \quad (5)$$

The fraction of μ_{pe} attributable to *K*-shell ionization (above the *K*-edge), $\mu_K(E_i)$, at an incident X-ray energy E_i is equal to (see Fig. 4):

where r is the ‘edge ratio’, defined as $\mu/(\mu - \mu_K)$, and μ and μ_K are the total and *K*-shell photoelectric cross sections, respectively, at the *K*-edge.


(The revised version of Fig. 4 is given overleaf.)

Acknowledgements

We thank Joshua L. Dickerson (University of Oxford, UK) for pointing out the error in the original paper.

References

Paithankar, K. S., Owen, R. L. & Garman, E. F. (2009). *J. Synchrotron Rad.* **16**, 152–162.






Figure 4

The photoelectric cross section of iron (top) and uranium (bottom) as a function of energy. μ_K , μ_L and μ_M are the contributions of the K-, L- and M-shell cross sections to the total photoelectric cross section, and r is the edge ratio: $r = \mu/(\mu - \mu_K)$ and $\mu/(\mu - \mu_L)$ at any K- and L-edge, respectively.