




Letter

Motivic coaction and single-valued map of polylogarithms from zeta generators

Hadleigh Frost¹ , Martijn Hidding^{2,3} , Deepak Kamlesh¹ ,
Carlos Rodriguez^{2,*} , Oliver Schlotterer² 
and Bram Verbeek² 

¹ Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, OX2 6GG Oxford, United Kingdom

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, 75120 Uppsala, Sweden

³ Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

E-mail: carlos.rodriguez@physics.uu.se

Received 7 January 2024; revised 5 June 2024

Accepted for publication 3 July 2024

Published 16 July 2024



CrossMark

Abstract

We introduce a new Lie-algebraic approach to explicitly construct the motivic coaction and single-valued map of multiple polylogarithms in any number of variables. In both cases, the appearance of multiple zeta values is controlled by conjugating generating series of polylogarithms with Lie-algebra generators associated with odd zeta values. Our reformulation of earlier constructions of coactions and single-valued polylogarithms preserves choices of fibration bases, exposes the correlation between multiple zeta values of different depths and paves the way for generalizations beyond genus zero.

Keywords: single-valued map, polylogarithms, multiple zeta values, motivic coaction

1. Introduction

The advent of multiple polylogarithms (MPLs) [1–5] led to a wealth of new perturbative results and structural insights in quantum field theories [6–10], string theory [11, 12] and numerous other areas of theoretical physics where iterated integrals of rational functions are encountered.

* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.



Original Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Apart from systematizing the integration over marked points on the sphere, MPLs enjoy Hopf-algebra structures including the motivic coaction and the single-valued map which exposed surprising unifying phenomena in the recent amplitudes literature.

The motivic coaction [4, 13–16] mapping MPLs to tensor products of similar iterated integrals turns out to stabilize and connect perturbative quantities in a variety of quantum field theories and string theories [17, 18]. Examples include periods in ϕ^3 and ϕ^4 theory [19, 20], the anomalous magnetic moment of the electron [21], $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang–Mills [22], various families of Feynman integrals [23–29], the disk integrals in string tree-level amplitudes [30, 31] and the antipodal duality between amplitudes and form factors [32–34]. In this broad spectrum of cases, the motivic coaction of MPLs in physical quantities hints at novel types of symmetries under the so-called cosmic Galois group [18, 35]. Such Galois symmetries extract an infinite pool of perturbative information from amplitude contributions at low loop orders.

The single-valued map [17, 36, 37] in turn solves the problem of eliminating the monodromies of MPLs by systematically adding complex conjugate MPLs and multiple zeta values (MZVs), while preserving holomorphic differential equations. The resulting single-valued MPLs [38] and their associated single-valued MZVs [17, 36] became key ingredients for studying the multi-Regge regime of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang–Mills [39–42], the high-energy limit of more general gauge theories [43, 44] and numerous classes of Feynman integrals [17, 45–47]. Moreover, the single-valued map is a number-theoretic bridge between open- and closed-string interactions in flat spacetime, with a detailed understanding at tree level [30, 48–52] and first loop-level echoes in [53–57]. Finally, the single-valued map has initiated bootstrap approaches to string amplitudes in AdS backgrounds [58–61].

In this work, we provide a new construction of both the motivic coaction and the single-valued map of MPLs in any number of variables. The main novelty is the use of Lie-algebra structures that efficiently reorganize the appearance of MZVs and lower-complexity MPLs. Apart from the well-known braid operators for marked points on a sphere, our construction makes essential use of Lie-algebra generators associated with odd Riemann zeta values [62, 63]. While state-of-the-art formulations of both the motivic coaction [4, 13, 15, 26] and single-valued MPLs [38, 40, 41] in principle cover cases in any number of variables and of arbitrary transcendental weight, the advantages of our alternative construction are

- (i) The results are in fully simplified form with respect to relations among MPLs and MZVs over \mathbb{Q} , i.e. are automatically cast into a fibration basis of MPLs.
- (ii) The appearance of odd Riemann zeta values completely determines the coefficients of MZVs beyond depth one and products of arbitrary MZVs.
- (iii) The Lie-algebraic approach inspires generalizations beyond genus zero where additional generators are associated to all moduli of the surface.

While the first point (i) may be viewed as a computational benefit, the exposure of correlations (ii) between MZVs of different depths is a structural virtue of our approach to coaction formulas and single-valued periods. The last point (iii) already found an explicit genus-one manifestation in section 4.1.2 of [64]⁴ for Brown’s single-valued iterated Eisenstein integrals [70–72] which indicates that the series of zeta generators in this work are in fact a genus-agnostic building block. In this way, our results should unlock new perspectives on the appearance of MZVs and genus-zero MPLs in coaction formulas for elliptic MPLs [73–76] and

⁴ In follow-up work [65], generating series of single-valued iterated Eisenstein integrals will be shown to exhibit even closer parallels to the genus-zero results of this work once the non-geometric parts z_{2k+1} of the zeta generators in [64] are completed to generators σ_{2k+1} including Tsunogai’s derivations dual to holomorphic Eisenstein series [66–69].

ultimately their generalizations to higher-genus surfaces or even higher-dimensional varieties. Hence, the results of this work are hoped to serve as a stepping stone towards an all-loop understanding of the role of the cosmic Galois group in quantum field theory and string theory, as well as the interplay of open- and closed-string interactions in different backgrounds.

2. Review of MPLs

We follow the standard conventions in [4] and define MPLs recursively by

$$G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_w; z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G(a_2, \dots, a_w; t) \quad (1)$$

with labels $a_1, \dots, a_w \in \mathbb{C}$, argument $z \in \mathbb{C}$, (transcendental) weight $w \in \mathbb{N}$ and convention $G(\emptyset; z) = 1$. Endpoint divergences are shuffle-regularized⁵ with the assignment $G(0; z) = \log(z)$ and $G(z; z) = -\log(z)$ of regularized values at weight one (see e.g. [77]). Evaluation of MPLs with the restricted alphabet $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ at $z = 1$ yields MZVs

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} &= \sum_{0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r}^{\infty} k_1^{-n_1} k_2^{-n_2} \dots k_r^{-n_r} \\ &= (-1)^r G\left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_r-1}, 1, \dots, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_2-1}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_1-1}, 1; 1\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

of depth r and weight $n_1 + \dots + n_r$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_r \geq 2$.

2.1. Motivic coaction

Goncharov's and Brown's work [4, 13, 14, 78] gives an explicit formula for the motivic coaction of MPLs (1) at arbitrary $a_i, z \in \mathbb{C}$. The outcome of the coaction is conventionally denoted by a tensor product, where the first and second entry are mathematically understood as motivic periods and de Rham periods, respectively; see [13, 14, 16, 79] for the deep algebraic-geometry background of these two types of periods. We will drop the tensor product and rely on superscripts to distinguish between the motivic and the de Rham entry: throughout this work, we will write G^{m} instead of $G^{\text{m}} \otimes 1$ and G^{dr} instead of $1 \otimes G^{\text{dr}}$ (and similarly for $\zeta^{\text{m}}, \zeta^{\text{dr}}$). De Rham periods are only defined up to discontinuities such that powers of $i\pi$ are set to zero in the second entry of the coaction, i.e. we have $\zeta_{2k}^{\text{dr}} = 0$.

A simple class of terms in the motivic coaction of MPLs is obtained from deconcatenating its labels a_i

$$\Delta G^{\text{m}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_w; z) = \sum_{j=0}^w G^{\text{m}}(a_{j+1}, \dots, a_w; z) \times G^{\text{dr}}(a_1, \dots, a_j; z) + \dots \quad (3)$$

However, the coaction formula of [4, 13] typically yields numerous additional terms in the ellipsis which are more challenging to state in closed form and involve at least one factor of ζ^{dr} or $G^{\text{dr}}(\dots; a_i)$ with $a_i \neq z$. The simplest example, with $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, is the last term of

$$\Delta G^{\text{m}}(0, 0, 1, 1; z) = G^{\text{dr}}(0, 0, 1, 1; z) + G^{\text{m}}(1; z) G^{\text{dr}}(0, 0, 1; z)$$

⁵ Shuffle-regularization amounts to imposing that the shuffle product $G(\vec{a}; z)G(\vec{b}; z) = \sum_{\vec{c} \in \vec{a} \sqcup \vec{b}} G(\vec{c}; z)$ (for ordered sets $\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}$ of labels) universal to iterated integrals extends to regularized values of formally divergent cases.

$$\begin{aligned}
& + G^{\mathfrak{m}}(1, 1; z) G^{\mathfrak{dr}}(0, 0; z) + G^{\mathfrak{m}}(0, 1, 1; z) G^{\mathfrak{dr}}(0; z) \\
& + G^{\mathfrak{m}}(0, 0, 1, 1; z) + G^{\mathfrak{m}}(1; z) \zeta_3^{\mathfrak{dr}}. \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

A main result of this work is a streamlined description of the general form of the ellipsis in (3). In particular, we will employ generating series to formulate the completion of (3) for MPLs in any alphabet, $a_i \in \{0, 1, y, \dots\}$, that excludes the endpoint z of the integration path. The complexity of this problem grows rapidly with the cardinality of the alphabet. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta G^{\mathfrak{m}}(1, y; z) &= G^{\mathfrak{m}}(1, y; z) + G^{\mathfrak{m}}(y; z) G^{\mathfrak{dr}}(1; z) + G^{\mathfrak{dr}}(1, y; z) \\
&+ G^{\mathfrak{m}}(1; z) [G^{\mathfrak{dr}}(1; y) - G^{\mathfrak{dr}}(0; y)] - G^{\mathfrak{m}}(y; z) G^{\mathfrak{dr}}(1; y). \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

2.2. f -alphabet

The so-called f -alphabet [14, 78] provides a useful description of MZVs that exposes the entirety of their currently known relations over \mathbb{Q} . The f -alphabet is given by

- one commutative generator, f_2 , whose n th powers capture the even Riemann zeta values, $\zeta_{2n} \in \mathbb{Q}\pi^{2n}$
- an infinite set of non-commutative generators, f_3, f_5, f_7, \dots , in odd degrees ≥ 3 , which capture the odd Riemann zeta values ζ_{2k+1}

A word in the f -alphabet, $f_2^{n_1} f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \dots f_{i_r}$, for some $n \geq 0$ and any $i_a \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$, is said to have weight $2n + i_1 + \dots + i_r$. The number of independent words in the f -alphabet (recalling that $f_{i_a} f_{i_b} \neq f_{i_b} f_{i_a}$) at fixed weight, w , is equal to the expected number of \mathbb{Q} -independent MZVs at weight w [80]. The space of f -polynomials has a multiplication induced by the shuffle product on the non-commutative generators:

$$(f_2^{n_1} f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \dots f_{i_r}) \sqcup (f_2^{m_1} f_{i_{r+1}} f_{i_{r+2}} \dots f_{i_s}) = f_2^{m+n} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(r,s)} f_{i_{\sigma(1)}} f_{i_{\sigma(2)}} \dots f_{i_{\sigma(r+s)}}. \quad (6)$$

Here, the permutations $\sigma \in \Sigma(r, s)$ of (i_1, \dots, i_{r+s}) preserve the ordering among the first r and the last s elements. E.g. $f_{i_1} \sqcup f_{i_2} = f_{i_1} f_{i_2} + f_{i_2} f_{i_1}$.

By formally passing to motivic MZVs⁶, $\zeta_{n_1, \dots, n_r} \rightarrow \zeta_{n_1, \dots, n_r}^{\mathfrak{m}}$, we can isomorphically map MZVs to words in the f -alphabet, though this isomorphism is not unique. Any such isomorphism, ϕ , respects products, in the sense that $\phi(\zeta_{\vec{n}}^{\mathfrak{m}} \cdot \zeta_{\vec{u}}^{\mathfrak{m}}) = \phi(\zeta_{\vec{n}}^{\mathfrak{m}}) \sqcup \phi(\zeta_{\vec{u}}^{\mathfrak{m}})$ (with $\vec{n}, \vec{u} \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$), and obeys the following normalization condition at depth one:

$$\phi(\zeta_{2k+1}^{\mathfrak{m}}) = f_{2k+1}, \quad \phi(\zeta_2^{\mathfrak{m}}) = f_2. \quad (7)$$

The images of conjecturally indecomposable higher-depth MZVs, such as $\phi(\zeta_{3,5}^{\mathfrak{m}})$, are determined by imposing that ϕ preserves the motivic coaction. With the notation $^{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $^{\mathfrak{dr}}$ to distinguish the first and second entry of the tensor product, the coaction in the f -alphabet is defined by deconcatenation:

⁶ For the purposes of this work, the key point of the elaborate definition of motivic MZVs in algebraic-geometry literature [13, 14, 16, 79] is that they by definition obey no relations other than the currently known \mathbb{Q} -relations among conventional MZVs.

$$\Delta(f_2^{n_1} f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_r})^m = \sum_{j=0}^r (f_2^{n_1} f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_j})^m (f_{i_{j+1}} \dots f_{i_r})^{\partial r}. \quad (8)$$

However, this requirement does not fix the coefficient of $f_{n_1+\dots+n_r}$ in $\phi(\zeta_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^m)$ (with $f_{2n} = \frac{\zeta_{2n}}{(\zeta_2)^n} f_2^n$ in case of even weight), so the image of ϕ beyond depth one is non-canonical. Following [30], our convention is to single out the higher-depth MZVs at weight $w \geq 8$ that lead to the conjectural \mathbb{Q} -basis of [81],

$$\text{basis MZVs} \ni \zeta_{3,5}, \zeta_{3,7}, \zeta_{3,3,5}, \zeta_{3,9}, \zeta_{1,1,4,6}, \dots \quad (9)$$

and to impose f_w to be absent in their ϕ images. For instance, with this convention, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\zeta_{3,5}^m) &= -5f_3f_5, & \phi(\zeta_{3,7}^m) &= -14f_3f_7 - 6f_5f_5 \\ \phi(\zeta_{3,3,5}^m) &= -5f_3f_3f_5 - 45f_9f_2 - \frac{6}{5}f_7f_2^2 + \frac{4}{7}f_5f_2^2 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Note that one can add a \mathbb{Q} -multiple of f_8, f_{10} and f_{11} to the expressions for $\phi(\zeta_{3,5}^m)$, $\phi(\zeta_{3,7}^m)$ and $\phi(\zeta_{3,3,5}^m)$ in (10) without altering the compatibility of ϕ with products and the coaction. This illustrates that the isomorphism ϕ is not canonical and that our choice of the above convention is an ad-hoc choice.

2.3. Single-valued map

The MPLs of (1) are meromorphic and exhibit monodromies when the integration path from 0 to z is deformed to wind around the singular points a_1, \dots, a_w of the integration kernels. Still, one can construct single-valued versions $\text{sv}G(a_1, \dots, a_w; z)$ that share the holomorphic differential equations of (1)

$$\partial_z \text{sv}G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_w; z) = \frac{\text{sv}G(a_2, \dots, a_w; z)}{z - a_1} \quad (11)$$

by combining meromorphic MPLs with their complex conjugates and MZVs. Explicit constructions of single-valued MPLs in the alphabets $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $a_i \in \{0, 1, y, \dots\}$ can be found in [38] and [40, 41], respectively. Generalizations of single-valued MPLs that also accommodate primitives of $\frac{1}{z\bar{z} + az + b\bar{z} + c}$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ are developed in [82] and go beyond the scope of this work (see [20, 83] for applications).

Similar to the contributions (3) to the motivic coaction of MPLs, the generating series in [38, 40, 41] show that the single-valued map includes the following simple class of terms:

$$\text{sv}G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_w; z) = \sum_{j=0}^w G(a_1, \dots, a_j; z) \times \overline{G(a_w, \dots, a_{j+1}; z)} + \dots \quad (12)$$

A second main result of this work is a new streamlined formulation of the additional terms in the ellipsis. The number of terms grows rapidly with the size of the alphabet, $a_i \in \{0, 1, y, \dots\}$, and the coefficients of these terms are MZVs or single-valued MPLs in smaller alphabets.

Similar to (2), evaluation at $z = 1$ of single-valued MPLs, with $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, defines single-valued MZVs [17, 36]

$$\zeta_{n_1, \dots, n_r}^{\text{sv}} = (-1)^r \text{sv}G\left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_r-1}, \dots, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_2-1}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_1-1}, 1; 1\right). \quad (13)$$

Single-valued MZVs are expressible in terms of MZVs, but form a proper subset since for instance $\zeta_{2n}^{\text{sv}} = 0$ and $\zeta_{2n+1}^{\text{sv}} = 2\zeta_{2n+1}$. Examples at higher depth include

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{3,5}^{\text{sv}} &= -10\zeta_3\zeta_5, & \zeta_{3,7}^{\text{sv}} &= -28\zeta_3\zeta_7 - 12\zeta_5^2 \\ \zeta_{3,3,5}^{\text{sv}} &= 2\zeta_{3,3,5} - 5\zeta_3^2\zeta_5 + 90\zeta_2\zeta_9 + \frac{12}{5}\zeta_2^2\zeta_7 - \frac{8}{7}\zeta_2^3\zeta_5.\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

The single-valued map, $\text{sv}: \zeta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} \rightarrow \zeta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r}^{\text{sv}}$, takes the most convenient form in the f -alphabet:

$$\text{sv} f_2^n f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \dots f_{i_r} = \delta_{n,0} \sum_{j=0}^r f_{i_j} \dots f_{i_2} f_{i_1} \sqcup f_{i_{j+1}} \dots f_{i_r}. \quad (15)$$

This annihilates $\text{sv} f_2 = 0$ and resembles the deconcatenation formula (8) for the coaction of odd-degree generators f_{2k+1} . Even though the single-valued map is only well-defined in a motivic setting [36], we omit the superscripts ζ^{m} and G^{m} in a slight abuse of notation, except where they specify the entries of coaction formulas. Note that the single-valued map preserves the product structure $\text{sv}(A \cdot B) = \text{sv}(A) \cdot \text{sv}(B)$ for arbitrary combination A, B of MPLs and MZVs.

3. Key generating series

Our results for the motivic coaction and the single-valued map of MPLs are best expressed using generating series. In this section, we define the relevant generating series of MPLs and MZVs.

3.1. Polylogarithmic generating series

Let the alphabet A be a set of labels, $a \in A$, excluding the endpoint z of the integration path in (1), and introduce non-commuting formal variables e_a for each label. The meromorphic MPLs are organized into the following generating series

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{G}_A(z; e_a) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_r \in A} e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_r} G(a_r, \dots, a_2, a_1; z) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{a_1 \in A} e_{a_1} G(a_1; z) + \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in A} e_{a_1} e_{a_2} G(a_2, a_1; z) + \dots\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

The generating series $\mathbb{G}_A(z; e_a)$ is a solution to the following Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov (KZ) equation

$$\partial_z \mathbb{G}_A(z; e_a) = \mathbb{G}_A(z; e_a) \sum_{a_j \in A} \frac{e_{a_j}}{z - a_j}. \quad (17)$$

This is a special case of multivariable KZ equation

$$\partial_{z_i} \mathbb{F}(z_a; x_{bc}) = \mathbb{F}(z_a; x_{bc}) \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{x_{ij}}{z_i - z_j} \quad (18)$$

where the braid operators $x_{ij} = x_{ji}$ obey the Yang–Baxter relations [84, 85]

$$[x_{ij}, x_{ik} + x_{jk}] = 0, \quad [x_{ij}, x_{kl}] = 0 \quad (19)$$

for pairwise distinct i, j, k, l . The KZ equation (17) of the polylogarithmic series (16) is obtained by setting $z_i \mapsto z$, $z_j \mapsto a_j$, and identifying x_{ij} with e_{a_j} in (18). Since (19) implies no relations among the x_{ij} at fixed i , the universal enveloping algebra of the e_{a_j} in (16) is freely generated. An individual MPL, $G(a_1, \dots, a_r; z)$, is uniquely specified by the series $\mathbb{G}_A(z; e_a)$ by isolating the word $e_{a_r} \cdots e_{a_1}$.

3.2. Drinfeld associator

Restricting (16) to the alphabet $A = \{0, 1\}$ gives the generating series, $\mathbb{G}_A(z; e_0, e_1)$ of MPLs that depend only on z . Evaluating this at $z = 1$ yields the Drinfeld associator [86, 87]

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(e_0, e_1) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_r \in \{0, 1\}} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_r} G(a_r, \dots, a_2, a_1; 1) \\ &= 1 + \zeta_2[e_0, e_1] + \zeta_3[[e_0, e_1], e_0 + e_1] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

This is the generating series of shuffle-regularized MZVs, (2), with $G(0; 1) = G(1; 1) = 0$ [88]. Suppose the MZVs in the series $\Phi(e_0, e_1)$ are decomposed into the conjectural \mathbb{Q} -basis with higher-depth elements in (9) [81]. Then, each basis MZV $\zeta_{\vec{n}}$ at weight w is accompanied by a rational degree- w polynomial in e_0, e_1 , which we will refer to as *coefficients* of the basis MZVs to be denoted by $\Phi(e_0, e_1)|_{\zeta_{\vec{n}}}$. At odd weights, $w = 2k + 1$, the coefficients of ζ_{2k+1} obtained with our choice of basis are Lie polynomials $W_{2k+1}(e_0, e_1)$ of degree $2k + 1$

$$W_{2k+1}(e_0, e_1) \equiv \Phi(e_0, e_1)|_{\zeta_{2k+1}}. \quad (21)$$

Starting from weight $2k + 1 = 11$, these $W_{2k+1}(e_0, e_1)$ are non-canonical, but specified by our ad-hoc choice to fix the MZV basis (9). This freedom to pick a basis is the same as the freedom to choose the isomorphism ϕ .

3.3. Zeta generators

For the results in this paper, it is important to introduce a second generating series of MZVs, \mathbb{M} , adapted to the f -alphabet. Introducing non-commuting formal generators M_3, M_5, \dots , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M} &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \phi^{-1}(f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_r}) M_{i_1} \cdots M_{i_r} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i_1 \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \zeta_{i_1} M_{i_1} + \sum_{i_1, i_2 \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \phi^{-1}(f_{i_1} f_{i_2}) M_{i_1} M_{i_2} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The *zeta generators*, M_{2k+1} [62, 63], have no relations among themselves and cannot be expressed in terms of braid operators e_{a_i} . However, we will later encounter an algebra on the M_{2k+1} and e_{a_i} , such that commutators $[M_{2k+1}, e_{a_i}]$ are equal to nested commutators of the braid operators, e_{a_i} . In other words, the braid algebra is normalized by the M_{2k+1} .

However, recall that the f -alphabet of MZVs is non-canonical. For instance, departing from our convention specified in the discussion around (10) would redefine M_{11} by adding a rational multiple of $[[M_3, M_5], M_3]$. Similar ambiguities apply to M_{13}, M_{15}, \dots , which can be redefined by nested brackets of an odd number of M_{2k+1} .

The generating series \mathbb{M} is related to similar series encountered in open-string tree-level amplitudes [30] and configuration-space integrals over marked points on the sphere [89].

However, in these contexts, one studies specific matrix representations of the Lie algebra satisfied by the M_{2k+1} and e_{a_i} . It is important for our results to refrain from specifying a matrix representation. In this way, we ensure that no terms in (22) drop out due to non-generic relations that can arise in specific matrix representations.

3.4. Inverse series

Both polylogarithms and the f -alphabet algebra obey the shuffle relations. This makes it straightforward to verify that the series inverses of \mathbb{G}_A and \mathbb{M} with respect to concatenation are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{G}_A(z; e_a)^{-1} &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_r \in A} e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_r} G(a_1, \dots, a_r; z) \\ \mathbb{M}^{-1} &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \in 2N+1} \phi^{-1}(f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \dots f_{i_r}) M_{i_r} \dots M_{i_2} M_{i_1}.\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

4. Polylogarithms in one variable

We begin by presenting our results as applied to MPLs with the alphabet $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, to be referred to as *MPLs in one variable*. The generalization to larger alphabets (or ‘MPLs in more than one variable’) is given in later sections. Recall that the generating series for MPLs in one variable is $\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z) = \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z; e_0, e_1)$, where the alphabet in (16) is specialized to $A = \{0, 1\}$.

4.1. The motivic coaction

Our first main result is a formula for the motivic coaction. We claim that the motivic coaction on the generating series is given by

$$\Delta \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z) = (\mathbb{M}^{\text{dr}})^{-1} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z) \mathbb{M}^{\text{dr}} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^{\text{dr}}(z) \quad (24)$$

where the coaction Δ of individual MPLs in one variable is uniquely specified by the coefficient of $e_{a_r} \dots e_{a_2} e_{a_1}$ in the generating series $\Delta \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)$ ⁷,

$$\Delta G^m(a_1, \dots, a_r; z) = \Delta \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z) |_{e_{a_r} \dots e_{a_2} e_{a_1}}. \quad (25)$$

The ‘motivic’ and ‘de Rham’ superscripts of $\mathbb{G}^m, \mathbb{G}^{\text{dr}}, \mathbb{M}^{\text{dr}}$ apply to the MPLs and MZVs in the respective expansions (16) and (22), and they distinguish the first and second entry of the series $\Delta \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)$. The multiplication of the generating series in (24) is just the concatenation product of the braid operators e_0, e_1 and the zeta generators M_{2k+1} .

As a first check of (24), note that the simple terms (3) in the coaction formula for MPLs are recovered by ignoring all the MZV terms in \mathbb{M} , i.e. setting $\mathbb{M}^{\text{dr}} \rightarrow 1$ in (24). The additional terms involving MZVs arise in our formula (24) from commutators of the M_{2k+1} and e_a . This algebra is specified below in section 4.3.

⁷ While the restriction $|_{\zeta_{2k+1}}$ in (21) isolates words in e_0, e_1 multiplying ζ_{2k+1} after basis decompositions specified above, the restriction $|_{e_{a_r} \dots e_{a_2} e_{a_1}}$ to words in free-Lie-algebra generators e_a of (25) and (27) and similar formulae below isolates combinations of MPLs and MZVs. In both cases, the results of the restrictions $|_{\zeta_{2k+1}}$ and $|_{e_{a_r} \dots e_{a_2} e_{a_1}}$ are referred to as *coefficients*.

4.2. The sv map

Our second main result is a formula for the single-valued map, sv. We claim that the sv map on MPLs in one variable is given by

$$\text{sv } \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z) = (\text{sv } \mathbb{M})^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z)}^t (\text{sv } \mathbb{M}) \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z) \quad (26)$$

where the action of sv on individual MPLs is uniquely specified by the coefficient

$$\text{sv } G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; z) = \text{sv } \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z) \big|_{e_{a_r} \dots e_{a_2} e_{a_1}} \quad (27)$$

of the generating series $\text{sv } \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z)$.

The factor $\overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z)}^t$ in (26) is defined from the series $\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z)$ by applying complex conjugation to the MPLs and word reversal on the braid operators: $(e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_r})^t = e_{a_r} \dots e_{a_1}$. The factor $\text{sv } \mathbb{M}$ in (26) is a generating series of single-valued MZVs. This is defined from the series expansion (22) of \mathbb{M} by acting with the sv on the f -algebra, (15). For example, the first few terms are

$$\text{sv } \mathbb{M} = 1 + 2 \sum_{i_1 \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \zeta_{i_1} M_{i_1} + 2 \sum_{i_1, i_2 \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \zeta_{i_1} \zeta_{i_2} M_{i_1} M_{i_2} + \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \phi^{-1}(\text{sv}(f_{i_1} f_{i_2} f_{i_3})) M_{i_1} M_{i_2} M_{i_3} + \dots \quad (28)$$

The factors of 2 arise from $\text{sv}(f_i) = 2f_i$ and $\text{sv}(f_i f_j) = 2f_i \sqcup f_j$, and $\phi^{-1}(\text{sv}(f_{i_1} f_{i_2} f_{i_3}))$ at weight $i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \geq 11$ comprise (conjecturally) irreducible single-valued MZVs at depth ≥ 3 , see for instance (10) and (14).

As a first check of (26), note that the simple terms (12) in the expression for single-valued MPLs are recovered by setting $\text{sv } \mathbb{M} \rightarrow 1$ in (26), i.e. ignoring all MZVs.

4.3. Commutators with zeta generators

Both of the new formulas, (24) and (26), for the motivic coaction and sv map of MPLs in one variable involve conjugations by a series in MZVs and zeta generators M_{2k+1} . In order to attain well-defined coefficients of $e_{a_r} \dots e_{a_1}$ in (25) and (27), we must specify how conjugations with M_{2k+1} conspire with the braid operators to yield a series solely in e_i .

As a first step, we expand the conjugation of an arbitrary series \mathbb{G} in the braid operators e_0, e_1 with \mathbb{M} in terms of nested commutators

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \left((\mathbb{M})^{-1} \mathbb{G} \mathbb{M} \right) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \dots f_{i_r} \times \left[[\dots [\mathbb{G}, M_{i_1}], M_{i_2}], \dots, M_{i_{r-1}} \right], M_{i_r} \\ &= \mathbb{G} + \sum_{i_1 \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} f_{i_1} [\mathbb{G}, M_{i_1}] + \sum_{i_1, i_2 \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} f_{i_1} f_{i_2} [[\mathbb{G}, M_{i_1}], M_{i_2}] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The second step is to specify the following commutation relations among the zeta generators M_{2k+1} that normalize the free algebra generated by e_0, e_1

$$[e_0, M_{2k+1}] = 0, \quad [e_1, M_{2k+1}] = [W_{2k+1}(e_0, e_1), e_1] \quad (30)$$

where $W_{2k+1}(e_0, e_1)$ are the Lie polynomials appearing in the expansion of the Drinfeld associator, (21). These relations will be motivated in section 4.4, below. They are uniquely determined by \mathbb{Q} -relations among MZVs at weight $2k+1$, together with the basis choice that allows us to fix the $W_{2k+1}(e_0, e_1)$. For example, identifying $W_3(e_0, e_1) = [[e_0, e_1], e_0 + e_1]$ from (20),

$$[e_0, M_3] = 0, \quad [e_1, M_3] = [[[e_0, e_1], e_0 + e_1], e_1]. \quad (31)$$

These relations featured in the context of the stable derivation algebra⁸ [90, 91] and were later observed for specific matrix representations in (4.23) of [89].

Upon iterative use of the commutators (30) in (29), all the zeta generators entering (24) and (26) via $(\mathbb{M}^{\partial\tau})^{-1}\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)\mathbb{M}^{\partial\tau}$ and $(\text{sv}\mathbb{M})^{-1}\overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)^t}(\text{sv}\mathbb{M})$ conspire to yield series $\Delta\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)$ and $\text{sv}\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z)$ solely in e_0 and e_1 . This is a crucial prerequisite to extract the motivic coaction and single-valued map of MPLs in one variable from the respective coefficients in (25) and (27).

For example, the simplest contribution to the motivic coaction (24) involving a MZV from \mathbb{M} is

$$(\mathbb{M}^{\partial\tau})^{-1}\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z)\mathbb{M}^{\partial\tau}\big|_{\zeta_3^{\partial\tau}} = G(1;z)[[e_0, e_1], e_0 + e_1, e_1] + \dots \quad (32)$$

with MPLs of weight ≥ 2 and words involving ≥ 5 braid operators in the ellipsis. Isolating the word $e_1e_1e_0e_0$ in (32), this reproduces the contribution $G^m(1;z)\zeta_3^{\partial\tau}$ to $\Delta G^m(0,0,1,1;z)$ in the introductory example (4).

Likewise, the simplest MZV contribution to (26) is

$$(\text{sv}\mathbb{M})^{-1}\overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)^t}(\text{sv}\mathbb{M})\big|_{\zeta_3} = 2\overline{G(1;z)}[[e_0, e_1], e_0 + e_1, e_1] + \dots \quad (33)$$

where the ellipsis contains words with ≥ 5 braid operators. Isolating the word $e_1e_1e_0e_0$, this reproduces the contribution $2\zeta_3\overline{G(1;z)}$ to $\text{sv}G(0,0,1,1;z)$.

4.4. Equivalence with earlier results

We claim that the formula (24) for the motivic coaction on MPLs in one variable is equivalent to the Ihara formula [92]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z; e_0, e_1) &= \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z; e_0, e'_1)\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^{\partial\tau}(z; e_0, e_1) \\ e'_1 &= \Phi^{\partial\tau}(e_0, e_1)e_1\Phi^{\partial\tau}(e_0, e_1)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

which is implicit in (6.6) of [36] and explicit in proposition 8.3 of [93]. Similarly, we claim that the formula (26) for the generating series of single-valued MPLs is equivalent to the following construction of Brown [38]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sv}\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z; e_0, e_1) &= \overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z; e_0, \hat{e}_1)^t}\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z; e_0, e_1) \\ \hat{e}_1 &= (\text{sv}\Phi(e_0, e_1))e_1(\text{sv}\Phi(e_0, e_1))^{-1} \\ &= \text{sv}e'_1. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Unlike our expressions, (24) and (26), the earlier formulas, (34) and (35), employ a change of alphabet $e_1 \rightarrow e'_1$ or \hat{e}_1 for one of the braid operators within the series $\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)$ or $\overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)^t}$.

A full proof that our results follow from these earlier formulas is to be given in [94]. Here we sketch the argument in brief. First, insert $1 = \mathbb{M}^{\partial\tau}(\mathbb{M}^{\partial\tau})^{-1}$ and $1 = \text{sv}\mathbb{M}(\text{sv}\mathbb{M})^{-1}$ between

⁸ For instance, W_3 satisfies the equation for commutators ‘ f ’ in the formulation of standard derivation algebra as stated in section 2.2 of [90]. Taking the commutators in (31) with respect to M_3 gives rise to the corresponding derivation D_{W_3} on the free Lie algebra generated by e_0, e_1 .

any pair of braid operators e_i in the series $\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)$ in (24) and $\overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z)^t}$ in (26), respectively. Given that e_0 commutes with the M_{2k+1} ,

$$(\mathbb{M}^{\partial r})^{-1} e_0 \mathbb{M}^{\partial r} = (\text{sv } \mathbb{M})^{-1} e_0 (\text{sv } \mathbb{M}) = e_0 \quad (36)$$

our formulas, (24) and (26), can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z; e_0, e_1) &= \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m \left(z; e_0, (\mathbb{M}^{\partial r})^{-1} e_1 \mathbb{M}^{\partial r} \right) \times \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^{\partial r}(z; e_0, e_1) \\ \text{sv } \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z; e_0, e_1) &= \overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}} \left(z; e_0, (\text{sv } \mathbb{M})^{-1} e_1 \text{sv } \mathbb{M} \right)^t} \times \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z; e_0, e_1). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Next, it remains to show that

$$(\mathbb{M}^{\partial r})^{-1} e_1 \mathbb{M}^{\partial r} = \Phi^{\partial r}(e_0, e_1) e_1 \Phi^{\partial r}(e_0, e_1)^{-1}. \quad (38)$$

As a first check, note that the coefficients of $\zeta_{2k+1}^{\partial r}$ on both sides of this equation agree, as a consequence of the commutators (30). In the full proof of (38), to be given in [94], matching the appearance of $f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_r}$ at $r \geq 2$ relies on the coaction properties of $\Phi(e_0, e_1)$ and \mathbb{M} .

Note that the changes of alphabet in the earlier formulas, (34) and (35), cannot be rewritten by conjugating $\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^m(z)$ or $\overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(z)^t}$ with the Drinfeld associator, $\Phi^{\partial r}(e_0, e_1)$ or $\text{sv } \Phi(e_0, e_1)$. This is because the Drinfeld associator does not commute with e_0 . Our formulas are only made possible by introducing the zeta generators M_{2k+1} outside the universal enveloping algebra of e_0, e_1 .

4.5. Correlations between different MZVs

Our formulas, (24) and (26), for the motivic coaction and the single-valued map, expose a key fact. In both cases, the appearance in these generating series of higher-depth MZVs $\phi^{-1}(f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_r})$ ($r \geq 2$) is completely determined by the appearance of the odd zeta values, ζ_i . This correlation between MZVs of different depth is closely related to the simple properties

$$\Delta \mathbb{M}^m = \mathbb{M}^m \mathbb{M}^{\partial r}, \quad \text{sv } \mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}^t \mathbb{M} \quad (39)$$

of the series \mathbb{M} and can be understood from the formal similarity of (22) with a path-ordered exponential of the sum over combinations $f_{2k+1} M_{2k+1}$. In the adjoint actions of \mathbb{M} in (24) and (26) the zeta generators act via the basis-dependent Lie polynomials W_{2k+1} in (30) and generate the motivic Lie algebra. By contrast, the Drinfeld associator does not satisfy any direct analogues of (39). The expressions for $\Delta \Phi^m(e_0, e_1)$ and $\text{sv } \Phi(e_0, e_1)$ [31, 36] necessitate the above change of alphabet $e_1 \rightarrow e'_1$ or \hat{e}_1 .

The proof of (38) in [94] follows from understanding how these properties of \mathbb{M} and $\Phi(e_0, e_1)$ are related. The Drinfeld associator enjoys an expansion similar to that of \mathbb{M} in (22), in which the concatenation product on the zeta generators M_{2k+1} is replaced by a certain product \circ on the Lie polynomials W_{2k+1} [31, 95].

5. Polylogarithms in two variables

The results above for MPLs in one variable (i.e. MPLs with the alphabet $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$) generalize to larger alphabets. We consider MPLs $G(a_1, \dots, a_w; z)$ with alphabets $a_i \in \{0, 1, y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ that exclude z (i.e. $y_i \neq z$) and refer to these as MPLs in $(r+1)$ variables. Before presenting the general result in the next section, we devote this section to the case of MPLs in two variables,

i.e. $a_i \in \{0, 1, y\}$. In this way, the additional features of the Lie algebras governing the multivariable case (as opposed to MPLs in one variable) will be illustrated in a combinatorially simple setting.

We study MPLs in two variables, y and z , in the fibration basis involving products of MPLs $G(a_1, \dots, a_r; z)$ ($a_i \in \{0, 1, y\}$) and $G(b_1, \dots, b_s; y)$ ($b_i \in \{0, 1\}$). The respective generating series for these MPLs are now defined using two sets of braid-group generators. To avoid confusion, we use the superscript (2) to distinguish the new generators from the braid operators e_0, e_1 of the one-variable case. Hence, the protagonist of this section is the generating series (16) with $A = \{0, 1, y\}$,

$$\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}(z) = \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}\left(z; e_0^{(2)}, e_1^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}\right). \quad (40)$$

5.1. The results

For MPLs in two variables, we claim that the motivic coaction is generated by the formula

$$\Delta \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}^m(z) = \left(\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^{\text{dr}}(y)\right)^{-1} (\mathbb{M}^{\text{dr}})^{-1} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}^m(z) \times \mathbb{M}^{\text{dr}} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^{\text{dr}}(y) \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}^{\text{dr}}(z). \quad (41)$$

Similarly, for MPLs in two variables, our formula for the single-valued map is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sv} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}(z) &= (\text{sv} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(y))^{-1} (\text{sv} \mathbb{M})^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}(z)}^i \\ &\quad \times (\text{sv} \mathbb{M}) (\text{sv} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(y)) \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

see (26) and (28) for the generating series $\text{sv} \mathbb{M}$ and $\text{sv} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(y)$ of single-valued MZVs and one-variable MPLs, respectively.

The action of Δ and sv on a specific MPL $G(a_1, \dots, a_r; z)$ in two variables ($a_i \in \{0, 1, y\}$) is uniquely specified by extracting the coefficient of the word $e_{a_r}^{(2)} \dots e_{a_1}^{(2)}$ in (41) and (42), respectively. However, both (41) and (42) feature zeta generators M_{2k+1} and the distinct braid operators e_0, e_1 of the one-variable case on the right-hand side. To use these formulas, one must eliminate all of M_{2k+1}, e_0, e_1 in favor of the generators $e_0^{(2)}, e_1^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}$ on the left-hand side. This can be done by using the Yang–Baxter relations of the braid algebra, (19), and also extending the braid algebra by specifying the commutators of the M_{2k+1} with the braid operators.

5.2. The braid algebra

In the present notation, the braid-algebra relations for two variables are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_0^{(2)}, e_0] &= 0, & [e_0^{(2)}, e_1] &= 0 \\ [e_1^{(2)}, e_0] &= [e_1^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}], & [e_1^{(2)}, e_1] &= [e_y^{(2)}, e_1^{(2)}] \\ [e_y^{(2)}, e_0] &= [e_0^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}], & [e_y^{(2)}, e_1] &= [e_1^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}]. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

These commutators can be derived from the Yang–Baxter relations (19) under the identifications

$$e_0^{(2)} = x_{z0}, \quad e_1^{(2)} = x_{z1}, \quad e_y^{(2)} = x_{yz}, \quad e_0 = x_{y0} + x_{yz}, \quad e_1 = x_{y1} \quad (44)$$

which follow from the KZ equation (18) of the product, $\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(y)\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}(z)$, as in [89].

The key point is that the braid-algebra relations (43) do not feature any operators e_0, e_1 of the one-variable case on their right-hand side. One can therefore eliminate any appearance of e_0, e_1 in favor of $e_i^{(2)}$ on the right-hand sides of our main formulas (41) and (42). More specifically, iterative use of (43) ensures that the expansion of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(y)^{-1} \mathbb{X} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(y) &= \mathbb{X} + \sum_{a_1 \in \{0,1\}} G(a_1; y) [\mathbb{X}, e_{a_1}] \\ &+ \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \{0,1\}} G(a_1, a_2; y) [[\mathbb{X}, e_{a_2}], e_{a_1}] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

preserves the alphabet $\{e_0^{(2)}, e_1^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}\}$ for any series \mathbb{X} in these three variables.

5.3. The extension by zeta generators

Finally, to complete the presentation of the main results (41) and (42) in terms of $e_0^{(2)}, e_1^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}$, we extend the braid algebra (43) by the following commutators for zeta generators:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_0^{(2)}, M_{2k+1}] &= 0 \\ [e_y^{(2)}, M_{2k+1}] &= [W_{2k+1}(e_0^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}), e_y^{(2)}] \\ [e_1^{(2)}, M_{2k+1}] &= [W_{2k+1}(e_0^{(2)} + e_y^{(2)}, e_1^{(2)}) + W_{2k+1}(e_0^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}) \\ &+ W_{2k+1}(e_y^{(2)}, e_0 - e_y^{(2)}), e_1^{(2)}]. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Again, W_{2k+1} are the Lie-polynomial coefficients (21) of the series expansion (20) of the Drinfeld associator. These commutators involving $e_i^{(2)}$ generalize the Lie brackets (30) with zeta generators in the one-variable case. The derivation of (46) will be given in [94] and is based on analytic continuations of the series $\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}(z)$ in two-variable MPLs. Note that the braid-algebra relations (43) can be used to eliminate the e_0 from the Lie polynomial $W_{2k+1}(e_y^{(2)}, e_0 - e_y^{(2)})$ in the last line of (46).

The relations (46) appear new to the literature. They are not immediate consequences of the stable derivation algebra of Ihara but still have indirect connections, as will be explained in the proof of these relations in [94].

The extended braid algebra in (46) and (43) can now be used to extract the coaction and sv map of individual MPLs in two variables from their generating series (41) and (42). The first step is to conjugate by \mathbb{M}^{dr} (or by $\text{sv} \mathbb{M}$) via (29), and to then eliminate the M_{2k+1} from the series using the commutators (46). The second step is to conjugate by $\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^{\text{dr}}(y)$ (or by $\text{sv} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(y)$) via (45), and to then eliminate the e_0, e_1 using the braid relations (43).

The introductory example (5) for $\Delta G^{\text{m}}(1, y; z)$ can be extracted from the coefficient of $e_y^{(2)} e_1^{(2)}$ in the coaction formula (41). The weight-one contributions from $\mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,y\}}^{\text{m}}(z)$ on the right-hand side suffice to recover the second line of (5) from the conjugation (45) and the braid algebra (43).

5.4. Equivalence with earlier results

The proof of our results, (41) and (42), again follows by showing that they are equivalent to earlier formulas. In [94], it will be shown that our coaction formula (41) is equivalent to

the multivariate generalization of the Ihara formula in [93], and that our formula for the sv map (42) is equivalent to the results in [40] for single-valued MPLs in two variables.

As in the single-variable case, these earlier formulas introduce a change of alphabet, defining new letters such as $\hat{e}_1^{(2)}, \hat{e}_y^{(2)}$ in case of the single-valued map [40]. The first step of the proof is to show that the conjugation by $\mathbb{M}^{\text{dr}} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}^{\text{dr}}(y)$ in (41) and by $\text{sv}(\mathbb{M} \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}}(y))$ in (42) can be rewritten as a change of alphabet from $e_0^{(2)}, e_1^{(2)}, e_y^{(2)}$ to the new alphabet of [93] and [40]. Note that $e_0^{(2)}$ is not changed in this substitution, and this is consistent with the fact that $e_0^{(2)}$ commutes with M_{2k+1}, e_0, e_1 (see for comparison the monodromy arguments of [40]).

6. General multivariable result

This section gives our results for the motivic coaction and the single-valued map of MPLs in full generality, for any number n of variables. The formulas are structurally analogous to those for MPLs in two variables presented in the previous section. As we will see, the additional challenges of the n -variable case are mostly of combinatorial nature, in particular to present the explicit form of the braid algebra and its extension by zeta generators.

At the end of this section, we comment on how our general results relate to the properties of period matrices, and explain how the new results resonate with previous works on configuration-space integrals at genus zero subject to multivariable KZ equations.

6.1. Notation

We again specify a fibration basis for MPLs where the labels of $G(a_1, \dots, a_r; z_n)$ are restricted to $a_i \in \{0, 1, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$. In order to state the general result, it is helpful to introduce the following shorthand for the generating series of n -variable MPLs

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_n &:= \mathbb{G}_{A=\{0,1,z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{n-1}\}} \left(z_n; e_i^{(n)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_r \\ \in \{0,1,z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{n-1}\}}} e_{a_1}^{(n)} \dots e_{a_r}^{(n)} G(a_r, \dots, a_1; z_n). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

In this notation \mathbb{G}_n , the $n+1$ letters in the alphabet A and the associated braid operators $e_i^{(n)}$ are left implicit. In particular, for $n=1$ or $n=2$ variables, we now write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_1 &= \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1\}} \left(z_1; e_0^{(1)}, e_1^{(1)} \right) \\ \mathbb{G}_2 &= \mathbb{G}_{\{0,1,z_1\}} \left(z_2; e_0^{(2)}, e_1^{(2)}, e_{z_1}^{(2)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

with $e_i^{(1)} = e_i$ in earlier sections. In this notation, our formulas for $n=2$, (41) and (42), become

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbb{G}_2^{\text{m}} &= (\mathbb{M}^{\text{dr}} \mathbb{G}_1^{\text{dr}})^{-1} \mathbb{G}_2^{\text{m}} \mathbb{M}^{\text{dr}} \mathbb{G}_1^{\text{dr}} \mathbb{G}_2^{\text{dr}} \\ \text{sv} \mathbb{G}_2 &= \text{sv}(\mathbb{M} \mathbb{G}_1)^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{G}_2^{\text{r}}} \text{sv}(\mathbb{M} \mathbb{G}_1) \mathbb{G}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

6.2. The results

For MPLs in any number n of variables, our main results for the motivic coaction and the single-valued map are generated by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta G_n^m &= (\mathbb{M}^{\partial r} G_1^{\partial r} \dots G_{n-1}^{\partial r})^{-1} G_n^m \mathbb{M}^{\partial r} G_1^{\partial r} \dots G_{n-1}^{\partial r} G_n^{\partial r} \\ \text{sv } G_n &= \text{sv } (\mathbb{M} G_1 \dots G_{n-1})^{-1} \overline{G}_n^t \text{sv } (\mathbb{M} G_1 \dots G_{n-1}) G_n\end{aligned}\quad (50)$$

where the second line recursively determines $\text{sv } G_n$ in terms of generating series $\text{sv } G_j$ in fewer variables $j < n$.

As a key advantage of these formulas over earlier expressions for the coaction [4, 13, 14, 78] and the single-valued map [40, 41], the right-hand sides are already in fully simplified form. First, the right-hand side of (50) incorporates all known \mathbb{Q} -relations among MZVs by virtue of the f -alphabet representation (22) of \mathbb{M} . Second, all MPLs $G(b_1, \dots, b_r; z_j)$ in $j \leq n$ variables on the right-hand side of (50) are already in a uniform fibration basis with $b_i \in \{0, 1, z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}\}$.

The proof of our main results (50) will be given in [94], by demonstrating that the coaction formula is equivalent to the multivariate Ihara formula [93], and that the sv formula is equivalent to the construction of single-valued polylogarithms in [40]. On the one hand, the individual zeta generators M_{2k+1} and the f -alphabet used in intermediate steps of our construction depend on a choice of basis of MZVs, say (9) in our case. On the other hand, the proof in [94] will expose that the expressions for ΔG and $\text{sv } G$ obtained from (50) do not depend on this choice of basis.

In order to read off the coaction and single-valued map of individual n -variable MPLs, it remains to understand the braid algebra obeyed by the operators $e_i^{(j)}$ at $j \leq n$ and its extension by the zeta generators M_{2k+1} . Using the commutation relations of this algebra, the series in (50) can be systematically expanded in terms of solely the braid operators $e_i^{(n)}$ with $i \in \{0, 1, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$ at fixed n . Since the universal enveloping algebra of the $e_i^{(n)}$ entering G_n in (47) is freely generated, the coaction and single-valued map of n -variable MPLs $G(a_1, \dots, a_r; z_n)$ is uniquely specified by the coefficients of $e_{a_r} \dots e_{a_1}$ (50).

Following the treatment of the two-variable case, we will present the commutation relations for the extended braid algebra in two steps in the next subsections: the Yang–Baxter relations for the braid algebras in the n -variable case in section 6.3, followed by the brackets of $e_i^{(n)}$ with zeta generators in section 6.4.

6.3. The braid algebra

In the present notation, the braid algebra for the n -variables case is defined by the commutators $[e_a^{(n)}, e_b^{(m)}]$, with $m < n$. We group these commutators according to the following three cases:

- let $m < n$ and $j < n$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix} e_0^{(n)}, e_0^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} &= 0, & \begin{bmatrix} e_1^{(n)}, e_0^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} e_1^{(n)}, e_{z_m}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} e_0^{(n)}, e_1^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} &= 0, & \begin{bmatrix} e_1^{(n)}, e_1^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} e_{z_m}^{(n)}, e_1^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} e_0^{(n)}, e_{z_j}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} &= 0, & \begin{bmatrix} e_1^{(n)}, e_{z_j}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} &= 0\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

- let $m < n$ and $i < n$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{z_i}^{(n)}, e_0^{(m)}] &= \begin{cases} [e_{z_i}^{(n)}, e_{z_m}^{(n)}], & i < m \\ [e_0^{(n)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{n-1} e_{z_j}^{(n)}, e_{z_m}^{(n)}], & i = m \\ 0, & i > m \end{cases} \\ [e_{z_i}^{(n)}, e_1^{(m)}] &= \begin{cases} [e_1^{(n)}, e_{z_m}^{(n)}], & i = m \\ 0, & i \neq m \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

- let $m < n$, $i < n$, and $j < m$, then:

$$[e_{z_i}^{(n)}, e_{z_j}^{(m)}] = \begin{cases} [e_{z_m}^{(n)}, e_{z_i}^{(n)}], & i = j \\ [e_{z_j}^{(n)}, e_{z_m}^{(n)}], & i = m \\ 0, & i \neq j, m. \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

The key point is that these commutation relations reduce any commutator $[e_a^{(n)}, e_b^{(m)}]$ with $m < n$ to the braid operators $e_i^{(n)}$ that appear in the generating series \mathbb{G}_n .

The commutation relations (51)–(53) follow from the Yang–Baxter relations (19) under the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} e_0^{(n)} &= x_{z_n 0}, \quad e_1^{(n)} = x_{z_n 1}, \quad e_{z_j}^{(n)} = x_{z_n z_j}, \quad e_0^{(m)} = x_{z_m 0} + \sum_{j=m+1}^n x_{z_m z_j}, \quad e_1^{(m)} = x_{z_m 1} \\ e_{z_j}^{(m)} &= x_{z_m z_j}, \quad m < n \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

to be motivated in the discussion around (56) below.

6.4. The extension by zeta generators

To complete the description of the extended braid algebra for n variables, we spell out the commutators of the zeta generators M_{2k+1} with the braid operators $e_i^{(n)}$ entering \mathbb{G}_n . The combinatorially more involved generalization of the one- and two-variable cases (30) and (46) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_0^{(n)}, M_{2k+1}] &= 0 \\ [e_{z_j}^{(n)}, M_{2k+1}] &= \left[W_{2k+1} \left(e_0^{(n)} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n-1} e_{z_i}^{(n)}, e_{z_j}^{(n)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n-1} \left\{ W_{2k+1} \left(e_0^{(n)} + \sum_{r=i+1}^{n-1} e_{z_r}^{(n)}, e_{z_i}^{(n)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + W_{2k+1} \left(e_{z_i}^{(n)}, e_0^{(i)} - e_{z_i}^{(n)} \right) \right\}, e_{z_j}^{(n)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

with $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, where we define $e_{z_0}^{(n)} = e_1^{(n)}$ to also specify $[e_1^{(n)}, M_{2k+1}]$ through the $j=0$ instance of the last three lines. The sums over i in the second and third line are absent

when $j = n - 1$. The Lie polynomials, W_{2k+1} , are defined by (21). A derivation of the commutators (55) will appear in [94], based on studying analytic continuations of the generating series for MPLs.

The key point for our present purposes is that the commutators (55), together with the Yang–Baxter relations, suffice to extract the motivic coaction and the single-valued map of individual MPLs from our main formulas in (50). First, the commutators (55) can be applied in our formulas to eliminate the zeta generators M_{2k+1} in favor of the braid operators $e_i^{(j)}$. The final step is to eliminate all braid operators $e_i^{(m)}$ with $m < n$ in favour of the $n + 1$ generators $e_i^{(n)}$ that occur in \mathbb{G}_n by means of the braid algebra (51)–(53).

6.5. Relation to period matrices

We briefly relate our results to earlier work through alternative presentations of our formulas. Consider the concatenation product

$$\mathbb{F}_n = \mathbb{M} \mathbb{G}_1 \mathbb{G}_2 \dots \mathbb{G}_n \quad (56)$$

of the generating series \mathbb{G}_j at $1 \leq j \leq n$. This product \mathbb{F}_n solves the multivariable KZ equations (18). The particular braid operators in (54) can be identified by integrating this KZ connection along a specific path connecting the origin $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and a generic point (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) ⁹. By induction on n , one can show that the main results (50) of this work can be written compactly as

$$\Delta \mathbb{F}_n^m = \mathbb{F}_n^m \mathbb{F}_n^{\partial \tau}, \quad \text{sv } \mathbb{F}_n = \overline{\mathbb{F}_n^r} \mathbb{F}_n. \quad (57)$$

In practice, these attractive formulas are not as computationally useful as the formulas (50) involving \mathbb{G}_n . This is because \mathbb{F}_n takes values in the universal enveloping algebra of all of M_{2k+1} and $e_i^{(j)}$ with $j \leq n$ subject to a multitude of relations. To compare the coefficients of the words in $e_i^{(j)}$ and M_{2k+1} appearing on both sides of the formulas in (57)—in order to extract a formula for $\Delta G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; z_m)$ or $\text{sv } G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; z_m)$ —it is necessary to make exhaustive use of the extended braid-algebra commutators (51)–(53) and (55) to line up the ordering of generators on the left- and right-hand sides. By contrast, the $n + 1$ generators $e_i^{(n)}$ in \mathbb{G}_n generate a free algebra, and there is no need to specify any ordering convention when using our formulas, (50), to compute $\Delta G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; z_m)$ or $\text{sv } G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; z_m)$.

However, the formulas (57) help to illustrate the relation of our results to earlier work. In [89], configuration-space integrals over marked points on a disk or sphere are shown to give rise to solutions to KZ equations with the same product structure as \mathbb{F}_n in (56). These solutions in the reference can be obtained from products $\mathbb{P} \mathbb{F}_n$ (with a generating series $\mathbb{P} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_2^k P_{2k}$ in even zeta values) by choosing particular matrix representations of the braid algebra, its extension by zeta generators M_{2k+1} , and the left-multiplicative matrices P_{2k} . In fact, our main results were initially anticipated from matrix representations of (56) investigated in [89]. However, in the present work, the Lie-algebra generators $e_i^{(j)}$ and M_{2k+1} are studied without specializing to the matrix representations in the reference. This ensures that the generators satisfy only the extended braid-algebra relations (51)–(53) and (55), without obeying any additional relations that arise as an artifact of the choice of representation.

⁹ The required path is a series of straight lines moving each z_i coordinate from 0 to its non-zero value, in order from $i = 1$ to n .

The products $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{F}_n$ in [89] take the role of twisted period matrices for configuration spaces at genus zero with different numbers of integrated and unintegrated marked points. By the corollary $\mathbb{P}^{\text{dr}} = 1$ of $\zeta_{2k}^{\text{dr}} = 0$, the period matrices $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{F}_n$ in the reference obey the same coaction properties as \mathbb{F}_n in (57). Hence, the specializations of our main coaction formula in (57) to matrix representations yield examples of the so-called ‘master formula’ [25, 26] proposed for the motivic coaction of more general (twisted) period matrices, see [93, 96] for proofs in certain cases. Moreover, the formula (57) for $\text{sv}\mathbb{F}_n$ lines up with the general theory of single-valued period matrices in [37]. When specializing to the matrix representations in [89], the expression for $\text{sv}\mathbb{F}_n$ in (57) can be derived from the generalization of the genus-zero KLT relations to an arbitrary number of unintegrated marked points [51, 97], with further details to be given in [94].

7. Conclusion and outlook

In this work, we have provided a new formulation of the motivic coaction and single-valued map for MPLs in any number of variables. Our results are based on Lie-algebra structures that intertwine braid operators with additional generators for odd Riemann zeta values. The main result (50) (which is specialized to the one- and two-variables cases in (24)–(26) and (41) and (42)) is expressed in terms of generating series that combine these two types of generators with MPLs and MZVs. The coaction and single-valued map of the individual MPLs can be read off after applying the Lie-brackets of the generators that we determine explicitly from Yang–Baxter relations and the Drinfeld associator. Unlike earlier approaches, the MPLs and MZVs in our formulas are automatically fully simplified with respect to changes of fibration basis and MZV relations over \mathbb{Q} .

A longer companion paper [94] will give both heuristic derivations and proofs of the results reported here. In particular, the construction of the single-valued map from the coaction and the antipode [36, 40] will make manifest that all of these results are underpinned by the same extension of the braid algebra by zeta generators.

For the genus-zero MPLs studied in this work, the motivic coaction and the single-valued map already find a broad spectrum of applications to quantum-field-theory and string amplitudes. The coaction gives rise to smoking guns for new symmetries of physical theories under the so-called cosmic Galois group. The single-valued map in turn is a rich source of integration techniques and relations between open- and closed-string amplitudes. However, both of these intriguing structures have mostly been studied at the level of genus-zero contributions to scattering amplitudes, i.e. MPLs and MZVs. Accordingly, investigations of coactions and single-valued maps of elliptic polylogarithms and more general functions beyond MPLs encountered in amplitudes will have important implications for understanding the symmetries of and relations among fundamental interactions.

Our reformulation of coactions and single-valued maps at genus zero via zeta generators is tailored to facilitate generalizations to elliptic polylogarithms and beyond. Indeed, Brown’s equivariant and single-valued iterated Eisenstein integrals [70–72] at genus one give another class of functions where zeta generators control the appearance of MZVs in non-holomorphic modular forms. More specifically, Brown’s construction can be rewritten in terms of adjoint actions of generating series similar to the one $\text{sv}\mathbb{M}$ in this work [64, 65], where the zeta generators act on certain derivations dual to Eisenstein series [66–69], instead of on the braid operators at genus zero. The close analogy between functions of the modular parameter at genus one and MPLs in one variable at genus zero will be highlighted in upcoming work [65],

and this connection calls for future investigations of multivariable cases and implications for coaction formulas.

In the long run, our unified approach to the motivic coaction and single-valued map via Lie-algebra structures including zeta generators might offer a systematic line of attack for MPLs on surfaces of arbitrary genus. It appears promising to look for similar results using these methods for more general classes of iterated integrals depending on increasing numbers of marked points and complex-structure moduli.

Data availability statement

All data that support the findings of this study are included within the article (and any supplementary files).

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Ruth Britto, Francis Brown, Daniele Dorigoni, Mehregan Doroudiani, Joshua Drewitt, James Drummond, Axel Kleinschmidt, Carlos Mafra, Lionel Mason, Sebastian Mizera, Pierre Lochak, Franziska Porkert, Leila Schneps, Oliver Schnetz and Yoann Soehnle for combinations of valuable discussions and collaboration on related topics. The research of H F is supported by Merton College, Oxford, and received additional support from the European Research Council under ERC-COG-724638 GALOP. The research of M H is supported in part by the European Research Council under ERC-STG-804286 UNISCAMP and in part by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation under Grant KAW 2018.0116. The research of D K was supported by the European Research Council under ERC-COG-724638 GALOP. The research of C R and O S is supported by the European Research Council under ERC-STG-804286 UNISCAMP. The research of B V was supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation under Grant KAW2018.0162.

ORCID iDs

Hadleigh Frost  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0142-7236>
Martijn Hidding  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1840-9862>
Deepak Kamlesh  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7232-6147>
Carlos Rodriguez  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6523-0841>
Oliver Schlotterer  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1048-661X>
Bram Verbeek  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4210-396X>

References

- [1] Goncharov A B 1995 Geometry of configurations, polylogarithms and motivic cohomology *Adv. Math.* **114** 197–318
- [2] Goncharov A B 1998 Multiple polylogarithms, cyclotomy and modular complexes *Math. Res. Lett.* **5** 497–516
- [3] Remiddi E and Vermaseren J A M 2000 Harmonic polylogarithms *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15** 725–54
- [4] Goncharov A B 2001 Multiple polylogarithms and mixed Tate motives (arXiv:[math/0103059](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0103059))
- [5] Vollinga J and Weinzierl S 2005 Numerical evaluation of multiple polylogarithms *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **167** 177

- [6] Duhr C 2015 Mathematical aspects of scattering amplitudes *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics: Journeys Through the Precision Frontier: Amplitudes for Colliders* pp 419–76
- [7] Henn J M 2015 Lectures on differential equations for Feynman integrals *J. Phys. A* **48** 153001
- [8] Bourjaily J L *et al* 2022 Functions beyond multiple polylogarithms for precision collider physics *Snowmass* vol 2021
- [9] Abreu S, Britto R and Duhr C 2022 The SAGEX review on scattering amplitudes chapter 3: mathematical structures in Feynman integrals *J. Phys. A* **55** 443004
- [10] Weinzierl S 2022 *Feynman Integrals: A Comprehensive Treatment for Students and Researchers (Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics)* (Springer Cham)
- [11] Berkovits N, D'Hoker E, Green M B, Johansson H and Schlotterer O 2022 Snowmass white paper: string perturbation theory *Snowmass* vol 2021
- [12] Mafra C R and Schlotterer O 2023 Tree-level amplitudes from the pure spinor superstring *Phys. Rept.* **1020** 1–162
- [13] Goncharov A B 2005 Galois symmetries of fundamental groupoids and noncommutative geometry *Duke Math. J.* **128** 209
- [14] Brown F 2012 Mixed Tate motives over \mathbb{Z} *Ann. Math.* **175** 949–76
- [15] Duhr C and algebras H 2012 coproducts and symbols: an application to Higgs boson amplitudes *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP08(2012)043**
- [16] Brown F 2014 Motivic periods and the projective line minus three points *Proc. ICM 2014*
- [17] Schnetz O 2014 Graphical functions and single-valued multiple polylogarithms *Commun. Numer. Theor. Phys.* **08** 589–675
- [18] Brown F 2017 Feynman amplitudes, coaction principle and cosmic Galois group *Commun. Numer. Theor. Phys.* **11** 453–556
- [19] Panzer E and Schnetz O 2017 The Galois coaction on ϕ^4 periods *Commun. Numer. Theor. Phys.* **11** 657–705
- [20] Borinsky M and Schnetz O 2022 Recursive computation of Feynman periods *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP08(2022)291**
- [21] Schnetz O 2018 The Galois coaction on the electron anomalous magnetic moment *Commun. Numer. Theor. Phys.* **12** 335–54
- [22] Caron-Huot S, Dixon L J, Dulat F, Von Hippel M, McLeod A J and Papathanasiou G 2019 The cosmic Galois group and extended Steinmann relations for planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM amplitudes *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP09(2019)061**
- [23] Abreu S, Britto R, Duhr C and Gardi E 2014 From multiple unitarity cuts to the coproduct of Feynman integrals *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP10(2014)125**
- [24] Abreu S, Britto R and Grönqvist H 2015 Cuts and coproducts of massive triangle diagrams *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP07(2015)111**
- [25] Abreu S, Britto R, Duhr C and Gardi E 2017 Algebraic structure of cut Feynman integrals and the diagrammatic coaction *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** 051601
- [26] Abreu S, Britto R, Duhr C and Gardi E 2017 Diagrammatic Hopf algebra of cut Feynman integrals: the one-loop case *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP12(2017)090**
- [27] Tapusković M 2021 Motivic Galois coaction and one-loop Feynman graphs *Commun. Numer. Theor. Phys.* **15** 221–78
- [28] Abreu S, Britto R, Duhr C, Gardi E and Matthew J 2019 Generalized hypergeometric functions and intersection theory for Feynman integrals *PoS* **375** 067
- [29] Gürdoğan O 2021 From integrability to the Galois coaction on Feynman periods *Phys. Rev. D* **103** L081703
- [30] Schlotterer O and Stieberger S 2013 Motivic multiple zeta values and superstring amplitudes *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** 475401
- [31] Drummond J M and Ragoucy E 2013 Superstring amplitudes and the associator *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP08(2013)135**
- [32] Dixon L J, Gürdoğan O, McLeod A J and Wilhelm M 2022 Folding amplitudes into form factors: an antipodal duality *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** 111602
- [33] Dixon L J, Gürdoğan O, Liu Y-T, McLeod A J and Wilhelm M 2023 Antipodal self-duality for a four-particle form factor *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130** 111601
- [34] Dixon L J and Liu Y-T 2023 An eight loop amplitude via antipodal duality *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP09(2023)098**

- [35] Cartier P 1998 La folle journée, de Grothendieck à Connes et Kontsevich. évolution des notions d'espace et de symétrie *Publ. Math. l'IHÉS* **S88** 23–42 (available at: www.numdam.org/item/PMIHES_1998__S88__23_0/)
- [36] Brown F 2014 Single-valued motivic periods and multiple zeta values *SIGMA* **2** e25
- [37] Brown F and Dupont C 2021 Single-valued integration and double copy *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **2021** 145–96
- [38] Brown F 2004 Polylogarithmes multiples uniformes en une variable *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris I* **338** 527–32
- [39] Dixon L J, Duhr C and Pennington J 2012 Single-valued harmonic polylogarithms and the multi-Regge limit *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***10(2012)074**
- [40] Del Duca V, Druc S, Drummond J, Duhr C, Dulat F and Marzucca R *et al* 2016 Multi-Regge kinematics and the moduli space of Riemann spheres with marked points *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***08(2016)152**
- [41] Broedel J, Sprenger M and Torres Orjuela A 2017 Towards single-valued polylogarithms in two variables for the seven-point remainder function in multi-Regge-kinematics *Nucl. Phys. B* **915** 394–413
- [42] Del Duca V *et al* 2020 All-order amplitudes at any multiplicity in the multi-Regge limit *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** 161602
- [43] Del Duca V, Dixon L J, Duhr C and Pennington J 2014 The BFKL equation, Mueller-Navelet jets and single-valued harmonic polylogarithms *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***02(2014)086**
- [44] Del Duca V, Duhr C, Marzucca R and Verbeek B 2017 The analytic structure and the transcendental weight of the BFKL ladder at NLL accuracy *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***10(2017)001**
- [45] Drummond J M 2013 Generalised ladders and single-valued polylogarithms *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***02(2013)092**
- [46] Brown F and Schnetz O 2015 Single-valued multiple polylogarithms and a proof of the zig-zag conjecture *J. Number Theory* **148** 478–506
- [47] Duhr C and Porkert F 2023 Feynman integrals in two dimensions and single-valued hypergeometric functions (arXiv:2309.12772)
- [48] Stieberger S 2014 Closed superstring amplitudes, single-valued multiple zeta values and the Deligne associator *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47** 155401
- [49] Stieberger S and Taylor T R 2014 Closed string amplitudes as single-valued open string amplitudes *Nucl. Phys. B* **881** 269–87
- [50] Schlotterer O and Schnetz O 2019 Closed strings as single-valued open strings: a genus-zero derivation *J. Phys. A* **52** 045401
- [51] Vanhove P and Zerbini F 2022 Single-valued hyperlogarithms, correlation functions and closed string amplitudes *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **26** 455–530
- [52] Brown F and Dupont C 2021 Single-valued integration and superstring amplitudes in genus zero *Commun. Math. Phys.* **382** 815–74
- [53] D'Hoker E, Green M B, Gürdogan O and Vanhove P 2017 Modular graph functions *Commun. Numer. Theor. Phys.* **11** 165–218
- [54] Broedel J, Schlotterer O and Zerbini F 2019 From elliptic multiple zeta values to modular graph functions: open and closed strings at one loop *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***01(2019)155**
- [55] Gerken J E, Kleinschmidt A and Schlotterer O 2019 Heterotic-string amplitudes at one loop: modular graph forms and relations to open strings *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***01(2019)052**
- [56] Zagier D and Zerbini F 2020 Genus-zero and genus-one string amplitudes and special multiple zeta values *Commun. Numer. Theor. Phys.* **14** 413–52
- [57] Gerken J E, Kleinschmidt A, Mafra C R, Schlotterer O and Verbeek B 2022 Towards closed strings as single-valued open strings at genus one *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **55** 025401
- [58] Alday L F, Hansen T and Silva J A 2022 AdS Virasoro-Shapiro from single-valued periods *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***12(2022)010**
- [59] Alday L F, Hansen T and Silva J A 2023 Emergent worldsheet for the AdS Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** 161603
- [60] Alday L F and Hansen T 2023 The AdS Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***10(2023)023**
- [61] Fardelli G, Hansen T and Silva J A 2023 AdS Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude with KK modes *J. High Energy Phys.* *JHEP***11(2023)064**

- [62] Deligne P and Goncharov A B 2005 Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixte *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **38** 1–56
- [63] Brown F 2017 Zeta elements in depth 3 and the fundamental Lie algebra of the infinitesimal Tate curve *Forum Math. Sigma* **5** e1
- [64] Dorigoni D *et al* 2022 Modular graph forms from equivariant iterated Eisenstein integrals *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP12(2022)162**
- [65] Dorigoni D *et al* 2024 Non-holomorphic modular forms from zeta generators (arXiv:2403.14816)
- [66] Ihara Y 1991 Braids, Galois groups and some arithmetic functions *Proc. Int. Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)* (Mathematical Society of Japan) pp 99–120
- [67] Ihara Y 2002 Some arithmetic aspects of Galois actions in the pro- p fundamental group of $P1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ *Arithmetic Fundamental Groups and Noncommutative Algebra* vol 70 (American Mathematical Society) pp 247–273
- [68] Tsunogai H 1995 On some derivations of Lie algebras related to Galois representations *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **31** 113–34
- [69] Pollack A 2009 Relations between derivations arising from modular forms (available at: <https://dukespace.lib.duke.edu/dspace/handle/10161/1281>)
- [70] Brown F 2014 Multiple modular values and the relative completion of the fundamental group of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ (arXiv:1407.5167)
- [71] Brown F 2018 A class of non-holomorphic modular forms I *Res. Math. Sci.* **5** 7
- [72] Brown F 2020 A class of non-holomorphic modular forms II: equivariant iterated Eisenstein integrals *Forum Math., Sigma* **8** 1
- [73] Broedel J, Duhr C, Dulat F, Penante B and Tancredi L 2018 Elliptic symbol calculus: from elliptic polylogarithms to iterated integrals of Eisenstein series *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP08(2018)014**
- [74] Wilhelm M and Zhang C 2023 Symbology for elliptic multiple polylogarithms and the symbol prime *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP01(2023)089**
- [75] Forum A and von Hippel M 2023 A symbol and coaction for higher-loop sunrise integrals *SciPost Phys.* **6** 050
- [76] Tapušković M 2023 The cosmic Galois group, the sunrise Feynman integral, and the relative completion of $\Gamma_1(6)$ (arXiv:2303.17534)
- [77] Panzer E 2015 Feynman integrals and hyperlogarithms *PhD Thesis* Humboldt U
- [78] Brown F 2012 On the decomposition of motivic multiple zeta values *Galois-Teichmüller Theory and Arithmetic Geometry (Adv. Stud. Pure Math.)* vol 63 (Mathematical Society of Japan) pp 31–58
- [79] Brown F 2015 Notes on motivic periods *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **11** 557–655
- [80] Zagier D 1994 *Values of Zeta Functions and Their Applications* (Birkhäuser) pp 497–512
- [81] Blümlein J, Broadhurst D J and Vermaseren J A M 2010 The multiple zeta value data mine *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** 582–625
- [82] Schnetz O 2021 Generalized single-valued hyperlogarithms (arXiv:2111.11246)
- [83] Borinsky M and Schnetz O 2022 Graphical functions in even dimensions *Commun. Numer. Theor. Phys.* **16** 515–614
- [84] Jimbo M 1989 Introduction to the Yang-Baxter equation *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **4** 3759–77
- [85] Kassel C 2012 *Quantum Groups* vol 155 (Springer Science & Business Media)
- [86] Drinfeld V 1989 Quasi Hopf algebras *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** 1419–57
- [87] Drinfeld V 1991 On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ *Leningrad Math. J.* **2** 829–60
- [88] Le T and Murakami J 1996 Kontsevich’s integral for the Kauffman polynomial *Nagoya Math. J.* **142** 93–65
- [89] Britto R, Mizera S, Rodriguez C and Schlotterer O 2021 Coaction and double-copy properties of configuration-space integrals at genus zero *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP05(2021)053**
- [90] Furusho H 2000 The multiple zeta value algebra and the stable derivation algebra *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **39** 695–720
- [91] Ihara Y 1992 On the stable derivation algebra associated with some braid groups *Isr. J. Math.* **80** 35–153
- [92] Ihara Y 1989 The Galois representation arising from $\mathbb{P}^1 - 0, 1, \infty$ and Tate twists of even degree
- [93] Brown F and Dupont C 2023 Lauricella hypergeometric functions, unipotent fundamental groups of the punctured Riemann sphere and their motivic coactions *Nagoya Math. J.* **249** 148–220
- [94] Frost H, Hidding M, Kamlesh D, Rodriguez C, Schlotterer O and Verbeek B 2024 work in progress

- [95] Deligne P P 1989 Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points *Galois Groups Over \mathbb{Q}* (Springer) pp 79–297
- [96] Kamlesh D, Motivic coaction on generalized hypergeometric functions, confirmation thesis submitted to the University of Oxford in 2023
- [97] Kawai H, Lewellen D C and Tye S-H H 1986 A relation between tree amplitudes of closed and open strings *Nucl. Phys. B* **269** 1–23