


Invited Perspective: Understanding the Links between Weather and Environmental Health to Strengthen Climate Resilience

Katrina J. Charles¹ 

¹University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

<https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP16766>

Refers to <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP14502>

In their paper¹ on the effectiveness of water, sanitation, and handwashing (WASH) interventions to reduce child diarrhea, published in this issue of *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Hubbard et al. write that we need to consider seasons in such assessments. The inclusion of seasons is not a new idea, but it remains a significant gap in the literature, and it is worthwhile to build the evidence base.

The authors used a systematic review and meta-analysis of 50 randomized and nonrandomized controlled WASH intervention trials to compare the impact on diarrhea by seasons. They demonstrated a stronger effect of WASH interventions, particularly drinking water and handwashing interventions, in the dry season than in the wet season. Water interventions were twice as effective in the dry season; however, the researchers should include a caveat noting consideration of the types of interventions for which data were available. Most water studies included in the review focused exclusively on household water treatment interventions. These represent low-cost interventions that can be applied at a single household scale; they do not address many aspects of safely managed drinking water services² known to affect health outcomes, such as distance to water sources, disruptions, or source water quality.³

Hubbard et al. explored two potential explanations for the seasonal differences: *a*) that there is more diarrhea in the wet season through non-WASH pathways, so the contribution of WASH is less significant; and *b*) that WASH interventions are less effective in rainy seasons. I believe it is likely a combination of both, but there is clear evidence for the latter; the increase in diarrhea and reduced effectiveness may be due to WASH services having been inadvertently designed for dry conditions, driven by the reality that data tend to be collected during the dry season (as highlighted by Hubbard et al.), when conditions are favorable for access.

A study on drinking water quality in Bangladesh, Nepal, and Tanzania found large drops in safe drinking water provision in the wet season based on detection of *E. coli* at the point of collection.⁴ Nationally representative data collection in Bangladesh also demonstrated the seasonality of water quality across improved water systems.⁵ Handwashing interventions have been shown to be ineffective in heavy rains,⁶ but to this author's knowledge, no further research has been done to refine handwashing guidance to account for these weather conditions, including factors that affect pathogen

growth (e.g., higher humidity) or efficacy of hygiene methods (e.g., more mud).

Although Hubbard et al. draw attention to the biases in the data, they state that these results should not be considered a new estimate of the overall impact because they are based on a partial dataset. The authors excluded 76 of 126 studies identified due to inadequate data to consider seasonal impact, including data missing on dates, seasons, location, and proximate weather information. This exclusion highlights a key challenge around how to collect data to ensure we are adequately capturing the performance of WASH services in a changing environment. Here I propose three key areas to strengthen data collection:

1. **Engage climate scientists.** Climate change is recognized as a threat to sustained delivery of WASH services,² and weather is a key variable in any WASH study, driving behavioral and environmental changes.⁴ Weather data are widely available, but different metrics and datasets have their own biases.⁷ Funders and researchers need to ensure collaboration across disciplines to embed appropriate collection and use of meteorological data into environmental health studies.
2. **Implement longitudinal data collection systems.** Planning research to capture specific weather conditions (e.g., extreme rainfall events) is challenging, and with climate change disrupting weather patterns, it is increasingly impractical.⁴ However, weather events can provide natural experiments where data collection is designed to adequately capture variability in performance. The ongoing seasonal variability in performance of WASH services implies that we do not have adequate data systems in place that provide feedback to inform and motivate improvements in WASH services in ways that build climate resilience. We need longitudinal data collection to develop evidence on the performance of WASH services and health outcomes across seasons and weather extremes for use in both research and practice.
3. **Reconsider evidence needs.** The relationships between climate and the health outcomes of WASH services are complex. Building an evidence base of randomized and non-randomized controlled trials reporting on diarrhea, which ignore environmental variables, is not fit for purpose. The focus in the literature on low-cost interventions at a household level, as identified by Hubbard et al., are not in line with current approaches to dominant policy approaches to safely managed drinking water services.² It is noteworthy that one study included in the review demonstrated the greatest diarrhea prevention during rainfall,⁸ particularly for sanitation and hygiene, although there is no analysis as to why this context or any interventions might have led to different results.⁹ Rather than repeating intervention trials, research should improve the contextualized measure of impacts that can foster learning and strengthening of systems.

The review by Hubbard et al. is part of a growing body of evidence that the WASH sector is overestimating the performance of WASH services by not considering the impact of weather. However, we need to do more than understand the impact of seasons. We need a shift in how we understand these systems and

Address correspondence to Katrina J. Charles. Email: katrina.charles@ouce.ox.ac.uk

The author declares she has no conflicts of interest related to this work to disclose.

Conclusions and opinions are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily reflect the policies or views of EHP Publishing or the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

Received 19 November 2024; Revised 7 January 2025; Accepted 22 January 2025; Published 4 February 2025.

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehpsubmissions@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

collect evidence on their impact to ensure that the impact remains relevant to the WASH systems being implemented and the social and environmental contexts they operate in.

References

1. Hubbard S, Wolf J, Oza HH, Arnold BF, Freeman MC, Levy K. 2025. Differential effectiveness of water, sanitation, and handwashing interventions to reduce child diarrhea in dry- and rainy seasons: a systematic review and meta-analysis of intervention trials. *Environ Health Perspect* 133(2):026001, <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP14502>.
2. WHO (World Health Organization), UNICEF (United Nations Children's Fund), World Bank. 2022. *State of the World's Drinking Water: An Urgent Call to Action to Accelerate Progress on Ensuring Safe Drinking Water for All*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
3. Cumming O, Arnold BF, Ban R, Clasen T, Esteves Mills J, Freeman MC, et al. 2019. The implications of three major new trials for the effect of water, sanitation and hygiene on childhood diarrhea and stunting: a consensus statement. *BMC Med* 17(1):173, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1410-x>.
4. Charles KJ, Howard G, Villalobos Prats E, Gruber J, Alam S, Alamgir ASM, et al. 2022. Infrastructure alone cannot ensure resilience to weather events in drinking water supplies. *Sci Total Environ* 813:151876, PMID: 34826465, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151876>.
5. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, UNICEF Bangladesh. 2021. *Bangladesh MICS 2019 Water Quality Thematic Report*. <https://psb.gov.bd/policies/wqtr2019.pdf> [accessed 6 December 2024].
6. Luby SP, Agboatwalla M, Painter J, Altaf A, Billhimer W, Keswick B, et al. 2006. Combining drinking water treatment and hand washing for diarrhoea prevention, a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Trop Med Int Health* 11(4):479–489, PMID: 16553931, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2006.01592.x>.
7. Montes C, Acharya N, Hassan SQ, Krupnik T.J. 2021. Intense precipitation events during the monsoon season in Bangladesh as captured by satellite-based products. *J Hydrometeorology* 22(6):1405–1419, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-20-0287.1>.
8. Luby SP, Rahman M, Arnold BF, Unicomb L, Ashraf S, Winch PJ, et al. 2018. Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions on diarrhoea and child growth in rural Bangladesh: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob Health* 6(3):e302–e315, PMID: 29396217, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(17\)30490-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30490-4).
9. Nguyen AT, Grembi JA, Riviere M, Heitmann GB, Hutson WD, Athni TS, et al. 2024. Influence of temperature and precipitation on the effectiveness of water, sanitation, and handwashing interventions against childhood diarrheal disease in rural Bangladesh: a reanalysis of the WASH Benefits Bangladesh trial. *Environ Health Perspect* 132(4):047006, PMID: 38602833, <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP13807>.