

Running head: HIV disclosure and adolescent adherence

‘HIV is like a *tsotsi*. ARVs are your guns’: Associations between HIV-disclosure and adherence to antiretroviral treatment among adolescents in South Africa

Lucie D CLUVER^{ab}, Rebecca HODES^c, Elona TOSKA^a, Khameer K KIDIA^d, F Mark ORKIN^{ae}, Lorraine SHERR^f & Franziska MEINCK^a

^a Centre for Evidence-Based Intervention, Department of Social Policy & Intervention, University of Oxford Barnett House, 32 Wellington Square, Oxford, OX1 2ER, UK

^b Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, South Africa

^c AIDS and Society Research Unit, Centre for Social Science Research, University of Cape Town, South Africa

^d Arnhold Global Health Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA

^e DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in Human Development, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

^f Health Psychology Unit, Department of Infection & Population Health, University College London, London, UK

Corresponding author (and requests for reprints):

Lucie Cluver

Centre for Evidence-Based Intervention, Department of Social Policy and Intervention
Oxford University, Oxford, OX1 2ER, United Kingdom

Phone: 01865 270 325, Fax: 01865 270324

Email: Lucie.Cluver@spi.ox.ac.uk

Funding: This work was supported by the Nuffield Foundation under Grant CPF/41513, the International AIDS Society through the CIPHER grant (155-Hod), the Philip Leverhulme Trust

(PLP-2014-095), the Economic and Social Research Council (IAA-MT13-003), and the Clarendon-Green Templeton College Scholarship (Elona Toska). Additional support for Lucie Cluver and Franziska Meinck was provided by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ ERC grant agreement n°313421. Additional support for Rebecca Hodes was provided by South Africa's National Research Foundation and the University of Cape Town's Humanities Faculty.

‘HIV is like a *tsotsi*. ARVs are your guns’: Associations between HIV-disclosure and adherence to antiretroviral treatment among adolescents in South Africa

Abstract

Objectives: WHO guidelines recommend disclosure to HIV-positive children by school age in order to improve ART adherence. However, quantitative evidence remains limited for adolescents. This study examines associations between adolescent knowledge of HIV-positive status and ART-adherence in South Africa.

Design: Cross sectional study of the largest known community-traced sample of HIV-positive adolescents. N=684 ART-initiated adolescents aged 10-19 (52% female, 79% perinatally-infected) were interviewed.

Methods: In a low-resource health district, all adolescents who had ever initiated ART in a stratified sample of 39 health facilities were identified and traced to 150 communities (n=1102, 351 excluded, 27 deceased, 40 (5.5%) refusals). Quantitative interviews used standardised questionnaires and clinic records. Quantitative analyses used multivariate logistic regressions, and qualitative analyses used grounded theory for 18 months of interviews, focus groups and participant observations with 64 adolescents, caregivers and healthcare workers.

Results: 36% of adolescents reported past-week ART non-adherence, and 70% of adolescents knew their status. Adherence was associated with fewer opportunistic infection symptoms (OR .55 CI 0.40-0.76). Adolescent knowledge of HIV-positive status was associated with higher adherence, independently of all co-factors (OR 2.18 CI 1.47-3.24). Among perinatally-infected adolescents who knew their status (n=362/540) disclosure prior to age 12 was associated with higher adherence (OR 2.65 CI 1.34-5.22). Qualitative findings suggested that disclosure was

Running head: HIV disclosure and adolescent adherence

undertaken sensitively in clinical and family settings, but that adults lacked awareness about adolescent understandings of HIV status.

Conclusion: Early and full disclosure is strongly associated with improved adherence amongst ART-initiated adolescents. Disclosure may be an essential tool in improving adolescent adherence, and reducing mortality and onwards transmission.

Key words: adolescent adherence, HIV/AIDS, disclosure, antiretroviral therapy

Word count: 3432

Introduction: Adolescent adherence to antiretroviral medication remains lower than adult rates in both high- [1, 2] and lower-income settings [3]. Non-adherence leads to morbidity, mortality [4], viral resistance [5] and increased risk of onwards transmission of the virus [6]. The World Health Organization's guidelines for disclosure to HIV-positive adolescents recommend that 'children of school age should be told their HIV positive status' in order to improve health outcomes including adherence [7]. However, these guidelines note that the quantitative evidence-base for linkages between disclosure and adolescent ART adherence remains very limited.

In 2013, a systematic review [8] identified five quantitative studies examining this link, all of which were conducted in single health facilities. In a clinic in India (145 children aged >5, mean age 9.1), caregivers reported no differences in adherence between disclosed and non-disclosed children [9]. In a clinic in Nigeria (96 children aged 6-14, of which 20 were aged over 10 years) [10] and a hospital in Thailand (103 children aged 6-16, 41 aged over 10 years) [11], caregivers reported better adherence among disclosed-to children. A study of 96 children in a hospital in Zambia [12] with additional measures of viral load, electronic monitoring and pill-counts, found associations between disclosure and improved ART adherence, but only 37 children in the sample were older than 10 years. In a clinic in Ghana, (71 children aged 8-14, median age 10) [13], there were no associations between disclosure and CD4 count. Since this review, a further three studies have been identified, with mixed results. In a hospital in Thailand, 260 children and adolescents aged 6-19 (228 over 10 years old) showed no associations between HIV disclosure and ART adherence, using measures of pill count, CD4 or viral load [14]. In Nigeria, a study of 213 children (45 over 10 years old) used caregiver or child report and found improved adherence where status was known ($p=0.008$)[15]. Lastly, in Kenya, a study of 792 children aged 6-14 in four 'Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare' (AMPATH) clinics (339 aged over 10 years) found that disclosure was associated with non-adherence based

on child report ($p < .03$) [16].

These studies are of great value in the field of adolescent HIV care. However, it is notable that - despite 62% of HIV-positive adolescents living in Southern and Eastern Africa [17] - only two studies with a combined sample size of $N=376$ adolescents come from this region, with only $N=441$ adolescents studied in all African studies combined. Additionally, all studies sampled only adolescents attending healthcare facilities, thus excluding adolescents not actively retained in care. This study augments existing evidence by testing associations of adolescent HIV-status disclosure and ART adherence. It uses a large, community-traced adolescent sample in South Africa that includes those lost-to-follow-up, and intermittent/ non-attenders of clinic appointments.

Disclosure is increasingly understood not as a single event, but as a complex and dynamic process [18, 19], and can include disclosure of parental HIV-status [20]. This can include 'partial disclosure' where a child may know that they are ill but not with HIV/AIDS. Full disclosure is often described as naming HIV, but research indicates that HIV-positive children or adolescents may not fully understand the meaning of positive serostatus [21]. This study uses a more comprehensive approach: adolescents are defined as being disclosed to if they have been informed that they are HIV-positive ('diagnostic disclosure'), and if they have a basic understanding of HIV and ART [22, 23]. Recognizing the complexity of disclosure and in order to identify lessons for programming, this study also qualitatively examines adherence-relevant experiences amongst adolescents whose HIV-positive status has been disclosed to them, as well as healthcare workers and caregivers.

Methods: This study used iterative quantitative and qualitative methods, following recommendations for research approaches of HIV diagnosis among children [7, 24]. Qualitative research findings informed the structure and content of quantitative tools, and emerging quantitative trends informed qualitative research topics.

Participants and procedures: The Eastern Cape is South Africa's poorest province, with an antenatal HIV-prevalence of 29.1% (95% CI 27.3-30.9) [25]. In one mixed rural/urban health sub-district, all public health facilities were visited in 2013, and all clinics that provided ART to >4 adolescents (n=32) were identified. Subsequent to this mapping exercise, the national health policy of decentralization of HIV treatment programs resulted in an increase of the clinic sample (n=39 facilities). Within these facilities, all adolescents aged 10-19 who had ever initiated ART and who had a first or last name and address or village/township recorded, were identified. All adolescents were either met at clinics or followed up in their homes, to ensure inclusion regardless of clinic attendance or loss-to-follow-up. Of an initial n=1102, 351 were excluded due to mis-recorded age, false names or addresses, or having moved to another province, 40 adolescents or caregivers refused to participate, and 27 adolescents had died. 684 ART-initiated adolescents were interviewed.

Voluntary informed consent was obtained from caregivers and adolescents for a 90-minute interview (refusal rate 5.5%). Permission to record interviews and focus groups was requested from all qualitative participants. No incentives were provided, but all participants were given a pack containing a snack, toothbrush and toothpaste, and all received a certificate acknowledging participation. To prevent adolescents being identified or stigmatized through participating in HIV-related research, the study was presented as focusing on the needs of adolescents using public health services, and adolescents in neighbouring homes were also interviewed (n=259, not included in these analyses).

Participants for the qualitative study were purposively recruited from the quantitative sample based on: (1) consent and availability to participate in in-depth research, and (2) reported experience of HIV disclosure and ART initiation. 72 in-depth interviews were conducted with 43 HIV-positive teenagers, and 30 interviews with 22 caregivers of HIV-positive adolescents.

Four focus groups were conducted with (i) adolescent girls, (ii) adolescent boys, (iii) adolescent girls and boys combined and (iv) caregivers of HIV-positive adolescents. Eighteen months of direct participant observation and healthcare worker interviews were conducted at the family planning, antenatal care, antiretroviral and trauma units of five public health facilities (three hospitals and two health centres).

Questionnaires, interview schedules and consent forms were translated from English into Xhosa, and reviewed subsequent to back-translation. Adolescents participated in the language of their choice. Interviewers were trained in conducting research with HIV-affected adolescents. Confidentiality was maintained, except in cases of significant harm or when participants requested assistance. Where participants reported recent abuse, rape or risk of significant harm, referrals were made to child protection and health services, with follow-up support. Ethical protocols were approved by the Universities of Cape Town and Oxford, the Provincial Departments of Health and Education and ethical review boards of participating hospitals.

Quantitative measures: *ART adherence* was measured by adolescent self-report [26], using the standardized Patient Medication Adherence Questionnaire [27] and measures developed in Botswana for adolescents for past-week, past-three days and past-weekend adherence [28]. After piloting in South Africa, and in order to reduce social desirability bias, vignettes were added that preceded each adherence item, e.g. ‘Andiwe knows he is supposed to take his ARVs every day. Even if he tries his best sometimes unexpected things get in the way and prevent him from taking them.... This is not his fault’. Non-adherence was measured as any missed dose in the past week, based on a 95% adherence cut-off [29].

Opportunistic infection symptoms associated with non-adherence were measured as sores on the body or face, tuberculosis symptoms (coughing blood and night sweats), shingles and mouth

ulcers [30], using a verbal symptom checklist [31], validated in previous studies of adults in South Africa.

Adolescent knowledge of HIV-status was initially assessed from clinic records and healthcare worker report and then checked with primary caregivers in the consent process. However, discrepancies in record-keeping and caregiver report meant that it was essential to check whether adolescents understood their status [32], and to prevent unintentional disclosure through the research process. Adolescents were asked about whether they knew what their illness was, whether they had ever tested for HIV, and whether they knew what their medication was for. Those who reported not to know their status were asked about ‘illness’ and ‘medication’ instead of ‘HIV’ and ‘ART’ throughout.

Potential co-factors included socio-economic and household factors of adolescent age, gender, language, urban/rural location and formal/informal housing, measured using items adapted from the South African census. Household assets were measured using an index of access to the eight highest socially-perceived necessities for children, corroborated by >80% of the population in the nationally-representative SA Social Attitudes Survey [33]. Care-related factors included the relationship of the primary caregiver to the adolescent, and measures of maternal and paternal death using items from a South African national survey of AIDS-affected children [34]. HIV and medication factors included perinatal/horizontal infection, using modelling data from Southern Africa [35]. Adolescents were coded as perinatally-infected (during pregnancy, birth or breastfeeding) if they had started ART prior to age 12 or if they had been on treatment for more than 5 years. Daily pill burden was recorded through adolescent-reported pill burden and medication changes in the past year. Healthcare factors included local clinic/hospital care, travel time to the clinic, and whether adolescents attended clinic alone or with a caregiver/supporter.

Analyses: Analyses used an iterative approach. Initial qualitative findings identified potentially important themes that were included as co-factors in quantitative analyses. Subsequent to this,

qualitative analyses investigated themes arising from the quantitative findings. Qualitative interviews were recorded and transcribed in full or (where participants preferred not to be recorded) written notes were taken. Thematic codes were developed from the data, based on the principles of grounded theory [36], and were triangulated through cross-checking with study participants.

Quantitative analyses were conducted in three stages in SPSS 22, using the sample of adolescents who had ever initiated ART (n=684). Prior to analyses, socio-demographics were assessed (Table 1). Then, rates of self-reported past-week non-adherence were calculated, and associations with having two or more opportunistic infection symptoms were tested using logistic regressions and controlling for socio-demographics (gender, age, language, location, formal/informal housing and access to necessities) (Table 2).

Second, associations between adolescent knowledge of HIV-positive status and ART-adherence were tested in multivariate hierarchical logistic regressions, following Hosmer and Lemeshow tests [37] (Table 3). In the initial model, all potential predictors and co-factors were included (child age, gender, language, urban/rural location, informal housing, household access to basic necessities, presence of biological caregiver, maternal and paternal orphanhood, perinatal or horizontal infection, pill burden and past-year changes of medication, clinic or hospital care, travel time to clinic and visiting clinic alone/accompanied). In the second model, all factors associated $p < .1$ with adherence were included, and in the final model all factors associated $p < .05$ were shown.

Third, associations of age of disclosure and ART-adherence were examined for perinatally-infected adolescents who knew their status (n=362 of 540 perinatally-infected) (Table 4). Horizontally-infected adolescents were excluded as the processes of point-of-care testing do not entail family/healthcare worker choice about whether and when to disclose. The WHO

guidelines recommend disclosure by the age of 12 for perinatally-infected adolescents, and so associations between disclosure under age 12 and adherence were examined in multivariate logistic regression, using the same process and co-factors as on the full sample above.

Results:

Socio-demographic factors are shown in Table 1. Children were 10-19 years old (mean 13.4, SD 2.67), 52% female and 79% perinatally-infected. 96% of adolescents spoke Xhosa as a first language, and 18% lived in informal 'shack' housing while the remainder lived in rural traditional or urban formal structures. 67% lacked basic necessities, 45% lived with a biological parent. Maternal/paternal orphanhood was 45% and 29% respectively, and 15% were double orphans. 70% of ART-initiated adolescents knew their HIV-status.

Self-reported non-adherence in the past week was 36%. Independent of socio-demographics, past-week full adherence was associated with lower likelihood of adolescents experiencing two or more of concurrent shingles, mouth ulcers or tuberculosis symptoms (OR .55 CI 0.40-0.76, $P<.001$) (Table 2).

Associations of adolescent knowledge of status to adherence. (Table 3). In the final adjusted model, adolescent knowledge of their HIV-status doubled the odds of past-week full adherence (OR 2.18 CI 1.47-3.24, $P<.001$), independent of all co-factors of age, gender, location, ethnicity, informal housing, poverty, biological caregiver relationship, maternal/paternal orphanhood, perinatal infection, medication burden, changes in medication, clinic type, travel time to clinic and lone/accompanied clinic attendance. Full adherence was negatively associated with being older (OR 0.85 CI 0.80-0.91, $p<.001$) and longer travel time to the clinic (OR 0.72 CI 0.57-0.89, $p<.005$).

Associations of younger-age disclosure to non-adherence: (Table 4). In the final adjusted model, among perinatally-infected adolescents who knew their status (n=362), disclosure prior to age 12 was associated with past-week full adherence (OR 2.07 CI 1.34-5.22, $P<.005$), independent of all co-factors of age, gender, location, ethnicity, informal housing, poverty, biological caregiver relationship, maternal/paternal orphanhood, perinatal infection, medication burden, changes in medication, clinic type, travel time to clinic and lone/accompanied clinic attendance. Full adherence was also negatively associated with longer travel time to the clinic (0.54 CI 0.39-0.73, $P<.001$).

Qualitative findings identified largely positive experiences of disclosure that may explain associations with higher adherence. In both home and clinic settings, disclosure provided opportunities to ask challenging questions, to express feelings of anxiety, shame and anger, and to improve treatment literacy. Disclosure was often reported as a dialogue, and efforts were made to modify information in accordance with the age, emotional maturity and clinical history of individual children or adolescents. In some healthcare settings, disclosure could also facilitate access to other forms of support such as social grants or support groups.

Many reports of disclosure included locally-adapted analogies that healthcare workers used with the aim of explaining the virus and enhancing patient self-efficacy. In the most common of these analogies, healthcare workers described HIV as a *tsotsi* [gangster], the adolescent as a policeman, and ART as a weapon: ‘When you drink them [ART], it puts the HIV in jail’, explained a doctor, [direct observation, Hospital X, 2014]. A doctor recounted: ‘At that point I also explain to them that they have a future, and they can live until they are old. They can go to university, and have a car, and have babies, and get married, and do all of the things that they want to do, as long as they take the ARVs.’ In a few cases, strategies to induce fear during disclosure processes were reported to be counter-productive. Where adolescents were threatened

with social censure, illness or death should they default from ART, disclosure processes were characterized by anxiety, anger and risks of prompting rebellion through ART defaulting.

Qualitative findings may also help to understand why earlier disclosure was associated with positive outcomes of higher adherence among perinatally-infected adolescents. Healthcare workers described earlier disclosure as reducing levels and extent of deception: ‘That’s why I know it’s better if you tell them earlier, at age ten or eleven...When we’ve told older children, their reaction is worse... Firstly, you’ve lied to them, and they feel very, very hurt by that. And secondly, they are in such a difficult stage of their lives, to add to that, “Now you’ve got HIV”, and all the implications of that, it slays them.’ [Hospital Y 2014]

However, qualitative findings also indicated that understandings of disclosure may vary between healthcare workers, caregivers and adolescents. For some adolescents, disclosure was only partially understood at first: ‘My grandmother said that I will take these pills until I die, because they are my life. And she said I have HIV. At that time I didn’t understand. I only understood when I was eleven years old...I thought she was kidding with me, but I took them anyway because I was sick and I thought the tablets were for my fever’ (14-year old HIV-positive girl, 17 December 2014). Complexities also emerged in the process of undertaking the quantitative research, which identified discrepant perceptions of disclosure. These were primarily cases in which clinics and caregivers reported that adolescents were unaware of their status, but adolescents identified that they were fully aware. For these adolescents, status knowledge had occurred through a range of processes, including ‘googling’ their medication, learning about HIV treatment at school, asking older cousins or siblings, and having been told by a previous, now-deceased caregiver.

Discussion: 2.1 million adolescents worldwide are currently living with HIV, of which 1.3 million live in Southern and Eastern Africa [17]. Pediatric access to antiretroviral medication in

the region is 29%, far behind adult access at 59% [38]. For those adolescents who have access to HIV-treatment, it is essential that they are supported to maintain adherence and thus survive into adulthood [39].

This study provides strong empirical support that full disclosure to adolescents of their HIV-positive status is associated with higher ART adherence. Amongst perinatally-infected adolescents, early disclosure prior to age 12 is associated with further improved adherence. Adherence was associated with reduced opportunistic infection symptoms. It is clear that pediatric disclosure is important, ideally undertaken early, and may be insufficiently utilized as a means to improve treatment outcomes.

Findings also suggest that pediatric disclosure can be done well in a low-resource context and in a range of government health facilities. Qualitative findings report that healthcare workers and caregivers are able to appreciate the incremental nature of disclosure, and that adolescents may require multiple opportunities to comprehend the meaning of their status. This aligns with HIV literature suggesting that disclosure is a multi-stage process rather than an event [40] and must adapt for pediatric developmental processes, perhaps especially when accompanied by HIV-related cognitive delays [41]. Disclosure may also need to be sensitive to the caregivers' stages of disclosure of their own HIV-status [23].

These findings add to a mixed but small literature. Of the seven known studies worldwide, only two include samples of over 100 adolescents, and find either no associations between disclosure and adherence in Thailand [14] or negative associations in Kenya [16]. However, both studies combined adolescent data with that of children as young as 6, and so adolescent-disaggregated analyses would allow more opportunity for comparison. In three smaller African studies [10] [15] [12], disclosure was associated with higher adherence. Other studies examine outcomes linked to adherence, such as a recent study in Cote D'Ivoire that found disclosure positively

associated with adolescent retention in care [42]. A recent meta-analysis of disclosure to children under age 12 found a 20%, but statistically non-significant association with adherence [40].

However, findings, and those of other studies in the region [18], also show inaccurate assumptions about whether and the extent to which disclosure has taken place. Clinic documentation may be unreliable, and caregivers may inaccurately assume that adolescents know or understand their HIV-status. Such confusion may be exacerbated by changes in continuities of care, for instance when adolescents change clinics, transition from pediatric to adult care, or change caregivers due to illness or bereavement. Findings additionally suggest that adolescents are not passive recipients of disclosure, but are actively engaging in searches to understand their illness and medication. Very few studies assess the effectiveness of programmes to support the process of paediatric disclosure, although recent encouraging evidence from a South African study of a family-based disclosure intervention for younger children shows increased disclosure, reduced parental and child psychological distress and improved child behavioral outcomes [43, 44]. Emerging data from Zimbabwe and South Africa suggest positive adherence and communication impacts of family-based programmes for HIV-positive adolescents [45-47].

This study has a number of limitations. Firstly, although all disclosure had taken place prior to reporting of past-week adherence, the cross-sectional nature of the data limits corroboration of causal pathways. Secondly, only self-reported measures of adherence were available, due to infrequent testing of viral load and CD4 in low-resource health services. Thirdly, some of the qualitative findings, such as potential negative effects of ultimatum-based disclosure, were unable to be substantiated by testing in the quantitative data. And fourthly, of the 1075 living adolescents originally recorded in clinic records, 351 were unable to be traced due to false names or addresses given, or migration. This reflects the challenges facing both HIV-research

and HIV-services serving a highly stigmatized and mobile young population. However, despite these limitations, this study has notable strengths. It is the largest known study of ART adherence and disclosure among adolescents, and it is the only known study to use community-tracing in order to include adolescents not actively engaged in clinical care. Of 724 adolescent-caregiver dyads approached, refusal rates were very low at 5%. Self-reported adherence was strongly associated with lower rates of multiple opportunistic infection symptoms. Disclosure definitions followed guidelines requiring both naming and basic understanding of HIV [40], and disclosure was assessed sensitively and using multiple sources.

Adolescent adherence to ART remains a major challenge. But adherence is also a gateway to reducing HIV-mortality, preventing onwards transmission and limiting viral resistance. Disclosure of HIV status to children and adolescents may be daunting, particularly for families negotiating stigma, illness and bereavement [48]. But this study shows substantive adherence benefits of HIV-status disclosure to pediatric and adolescent patients. It also shows that disclosure can and does take place well within resource-constrained state health services and family settings. It provides the first empirical testing and strong evidential support of the WHO's recommendations to disclose under the age of twelve. Full, enabling and developmentally-appropriate disclosure of their HIV-status to pediatric populations may be a vital tool in promoting adolescent adherence.

Acknowledgements:

This was a collaborative study between the Universities of Oxford and Cape Town, UNICEF, the South African National Departments of Health, Basic Education and Social Development, Pediatric AIDS Treatment for Africa and local CBOs the Raphael Centre, Small Projects Foundation and Keiskamma Trust. The authors would particularly like to thank Julia Rosenfeld, Maya Isaacohn, Marija Pantelic, Janina Steinert, Lizzy Button, Craig Carty, Gerry Boon, Luntu

Galo, Sheree Goldswain, Justus Hofmeyr, Sibongile Mandondo and Nicoli Nattrass. This project would not be possible without excellent fieldworkers. We thank the healthcare workers, families and most of all we thank the adolescents who participated in this study.

References:

1. Rudy, B.J., et al., *Prevalence and interactions of patient-related risks for nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy among perinatally infected youth in the United States*. AIDS patient care and STDs, 2010. **24**(2): p. 97-104.
2. Sherr, L., et al., *Self-reported non-adherence to ART and virological outcome in a multiclinic UK study*. AIDS Care, 2010. **22**(8): p. 939-45.
3. Nachega, J.B., et al., *Antiretroviral therapy adherence, virologic and immunologic outcomes in adolescents compared with adults in southern Africa*. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes, 2009. **51**(1): p. 65-71.
4. Lowenthal, E., et al., *Rapid psychosocial function screening test identified treatment failure in HIV-positive African youth*. AIDS Care, 2012. **24**(6): p. 722-7.
5. Pillay, D., *The emergence and epidemiology of resistance in the nucleoside-experienced HIV-infected population*. Antiviral therapy, 2001. **6 Suppl 3**: p. 15-24.
6. WHO, *The HIV drug resistance report 2012*, 2012, World Health Organisation: New York.
7. WHO, *Guidelines on HIV disclosure counselling for children up to 12 years of age*, 2011, WHO: Geneva.
8. Vreeman, R.C., et al., *Disclosure of HIV status to children in resource-limited settings: a systematic review*. J Int AIDS Soc, 2013. **16**: p. 18466.
9. Bhattacharya, M., A.P. Dubey, and M. Sharma, *Patterns of diagnosis disclosure and its correlates in HIV-Infected North Indian children*. J Trop Pediatr, 2011. **57**(6): p. 405-11.
10. Brown, B.J., et al., *Disclosure of HIV status to infected children in a Nigerian HIV Care Programme*. AIDS Care, 2011. **23**(9): p. 1053-8.

11. Oberdorfer, P., et al., *Disclosure of HIV/AIDS diagnosis to HIV-infected children in Thailand*. J Paediatr Child Health, 2006. **42**(5): p. 283-8.
12. Haberer, J.E., et al., *Excellent adherence to antiretrovirals in HIV-positive Zambian children is compromised by disrupted routine, HIV nondisclosure, and paradoxical income effects*. PLoS One, 2011. **6**(4): p. e18505.
13. Kallem, S., et al., *Prevalence and pattern of disclosure of HIV status in HIV-infected children in Ghana*. AIDS Behav, 2011. **15**(6): p. 1121-7.
14. Sirikum, C., et al., *HIV disclosure and its effect on treatment outcomes in perinatal HIV-infected Thai children*. AIDS Care, 2014. **26**(9): p. 1144-9.
15. Ugwu, R. and A. Enah, *Factors influencing adherence to paediatric antiretroviral therapy in Portharcourt, South- South Nigeria*. Pan-African Medical Journal, 2013: p. 16-30.
16. Vreeman, R.C., et al., *A cross-sectional study of disclosure of HIV status to children and adolescents in western Kenya*. PLoS One, 2014. **9**(1): p. e86616.
17. UNICEF, *Towards an AIDS-Free Generation – Children and AIDS: Sixth Stocktaking Report*, 2013, UNICEF: New York.
18. Kidia, K.K., et al., *HIV status disclosure to perinatally-infected adolescents in Zimbabwe: a qualitative study of adolescent and healthcare worker perspectives*. PLoS One, 2014. **9**(1): p. e87322.
19. Dima, A., S. Stutterheim, and R. Marijn de Bruin, *Advancing methodology in the study of HIV status disclosure: The importance of considering disclosure target and intent*. Social science & medicine, 2014. **108**: p. 166-174.
20. Qiao, S., X. Li, and B. Stanton, *Theoretical models of parental HIV disclosure: a critical review*. AIDS Care, 2013. **25**(3): p. 326-36.
21. Santamaria, E.K., et al., *Psychosocial implications of HIV serostatus disclosure to youth with perinatally acquired HIV*. AIDS Patient Care STDS, 2011. **25**(4): p. 257-64.
22. Lesch, A., et al., *Paediatric HIV/AIDS disclosure: towards a developmental and process-oriented approach*. AIDS Care, 2007. **19**(6): p. 811-6.

23. John-Stewart, G.C., et al., *Prevalence, perceptions, and correlates of pediatric HIV disclosure in an HIV treatment program in Kenya*. AIDS Care, 2013. **25**(9): p. 1067-76.
24. Wiener, L., et al., *Disclosure of an HIV diagnosis to children: history, current research, and future directions*. J Dev Behav Pediatr, 2007. **28**(2): p. 155-66.
25. National Department of Health, *The 2012 National Antenatal Sentinel HIV and Herpes Simplex type-2 prevalence Survey, South Africa*, 2013: Pretoria.
26. Evans, S.D., et al., *HIV Treatment Adherence Measurement and Reporting Concordance in Youth with Perinatally Acquired HIV Infection and Their Caregivers*. AIDS Patient Care STDS, 2015. **29**(1): p. 43-51.
27. Duong, M., et al., *Evaluation of the Patient Medication Adherence Questionnaire as a tool for self-reported adherence assessment in HIV-infected patients on antiretroviral regimens*. HIV clinical trials, 2001. **2**(2): p. 128-35.
28. Lowenthal, E., et al., *Disclosure of HIV status to HIV-infected children in a large African treatment center: Lessons learned in Botswana*. Child and Youth Services Review, 2014. **(In press)**
29. Paterson, D.L., et al., *Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection*. Ann Intern Med, 2000. **133**(1): p. 21-30.
30. Agwu, A.L. and L. Fairlie, *Antiretroviral treatment, management challenges and outcomes in perinatally HIV-infected adolescents*. J Int AIDS Soc, 2013. **16**: p. 18579.
31. Lopman, B., et al., *Creating and Validating an Algorithm to Measure AIDS Mortality in the Adult Population using Verbal Autopsy*. Public Library of Science Medicine, 2006. **3**(8): p. 1273-1281.
32. Chaudoir, S.R., J.D. Fisher, and J.M. Simoni, *Understanding HIV disclosure: a review and application of the Disclosure Processes Model*. Soc Sci Med, 2011. **72**(10): p. 1618-29.
33. Pillay, U., B. Roberts, and S. Rule, eds. *South African social attitudes: changing times, diverse voices*. 2006, HSRC Press: Cape Town.
34. Cluver, L., et al., *Pathways from parental AIDS to child psychological, educational and sexual risk: developing an empirically-based interactive theoretical model*. Soc Sci Med, 2013. **87**: p. 185-93.

35. Ferrand, R.A., et al., *AIDS among older children and adolescents in Southern Africa: projecting the time course and magnitude of the epidemic*. AIDS, 2009. **23**(15): p. 2039-46.
36. Glaser, B. and A. Strauss, *The discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. 1967, Chicago: Aldine Publishing Company.
37. Hosmer, D. and S. Lemeshow, *Applied logistic regression*. 1989, New York: John Wiley & Sons.
38. UNAIDS, *Report on the global AIDS epidemic, 2013*, 2013: Geneva.
39. Lowenthal, E.D., et al., *Perinatally acquired HIV infection in adolescents from sub-Saharan Africa: a review of emerging challenges*. Lancet Infect Dis, 2014. **14**(7): p. 627-39.
40. Kraussa, D., et al., *Disclosure of HIV status to HIV-positive children 12 and under: A systematic cross-national review of implications for health and well-being*. Vulnerable Child Youth Studies, 2013. **8**(2).
41. Sherr, L., et al., *Developmental challenges in HIV infected children—An updated systematic review* Children and Youth Services Review, 2014. **45**: p. 74-89.
42. Arrivé, E., et al., *HIV Status Disclosure and Retention in Care in HIV-Infected Adolescents on Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) in West Africa*. PLOS ONE, 2012. **7**: p. e33690.
43. Rochat, T.J., N. Mkwana, and R. Bland, *Maternal HIV disclosure to HIV-uninfected children in rural South Africa: a pilot study of a family-based intervention*. BMC Public Health, 2013. **13**: p. 147.
44. Rochat, T., et al., *Maternal and child psychological outcomes of HIV disclosure to young children in rural South Africa: The Amagugu intervention*. . AIDS, 2015.
45. Bhana, A., et al., *The VUKA family program: piloting a family-based psychosocial intervention to promote health and mental health among HIV infected early adolescents in South Africa*. AIDS Care, 2014. **26**(1): p. 1-11.
46. Kasprzyk, D. and D. Montano, *A family-based intervention for HIV-affected families in Zimbabwe*, 2015.

47. Kasprzyk, D., et al., *Final Report for 5 R01 NR009920-05: "Providing Psychosocial Support to HIV/AIDS Affected Children in Zimbabwe"*. Submitted to the National Institute of Nursing Research, National Institutes of Health, October 17, 2011., 2011.
48. Hejoaka, F., *Care and secrecy: being a mother of children living with HIV in Burkina Faso*. Social science & medicine, 2009. **69**(6): p. 869-76.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics by HIV-status knowledge

Factors	Categories	Knows HIV status (n=480)	Does not know HIV status (n=201)	Total HIV-positive sample (n=684)
Gender	Female	259 (73.2)	95 (26.8)	354 (52.0)
	Male	221 (67.6)	106 (32.4)	327 (48.0)
Age	Age in years ^a (mean, SD)	14.12 (2.65)	11.72 (1.83)	13.41 (2.67)
	10-14 years old (mean, SD)	282 (60.6)	183 (39.4)	465 (68.3)
	15-19 years old (mean, SD)	198 (91.7)	18 (8.3)	216 (31.7)
Mode of Infection	Perinatal	362 (66.8)	180 (33.2)	542 (79.6)
	Horizontal	118 (84.9)	21 (15.1)	139 (20.4)
Time on Treatment	Years on antiretroviral treatment ^a	6.46 (4.56)	5.58 (3.90)	6.20 (4.39)
Residence	Urban	406 (71.6)	161 (28.4)	567 (83.1)
	Rural	74 (64.3)	41 (35.7)	115 (16.8)
Ethnicity	Xhosa	460 (70.2)	195 (19.8)	655 (96.2)
	Other	20 (76.9)	6 (23.1)	26 (3.8)
Housing Type	Informal	86 (71.1)	35 (28.9)	121 (17.8)
	Formal	394 (70.4)	166 (29.6)	560 (82.2)
Poverty	Missing any of the basic 8 necessities	327 (72.2)	126 (27.8)	453 (66.2)

Running head: HIV disclosure and adolescent adherence

Care	Residing with biological parent	201 (64.8)	108 (34.8)	310 (45.5)
Orphan status	Maternal Orphan	238 (78.0)	66 (21.6)	305 (44.8)
	Paternal Orphan	157 (80.1)	38 (19.4)	196 (28.8)
	Double Orphan	85 (84.2)	16 (15.8)	101 (14.8)
Healthcare	Hospital care	369 (76.9)	151 (75.1)	520 (76.0)
	Local clinic	111 (23.1)	50 (24.9)	164 (24.0)
Travel time to clinic	Travel time (hours)	.40 (.83)	.29 (.61)	.25 (.43)
Clinic attendance	Alone	157 (32.7)	15 (7.5)	173 (25.3)
	Accompanied	323 (67.3)	186 (92.5)	511 (74.7)
Opportunistic infections	Two or more	171 (35.6)	72 (35.8)	246 (36.0)
	One or less	309 (64.4)	129 (64.2)	438 (64.0)

Note: All values displayed are numbers (%) except for age in years^a and years on treatment^a. These display mean (SD). The first two columns display numbers and proportional percentages within groups, the third column displays numbers and shares between groups.

Table 2: Association of self-reported past-week adherence to concurrent 2+ opportunistic symptoms (shingles, mouth ulcers, tuberculosis symptoms)

	Suffering from 2+ opportunistic infections
	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Age	1.02 (0.96 - 1.09)
Gender	1.08 (0.78 - 1.50)
Xhosa language	1.08 (0.45 - 2.56)
Urban location	1.28 (0.84 - 1.94)
Informal housing	1.00 (0.65 - 1.55)
Access to basic necessities	0.76 (0.54 - 1.08)
Past-week full adherence	0.55 (0.40 - 0.76)***

Note: ***P<.001, **P<.005, *P<.05

Table 3. Associations between HIV status knowledge and self-reported past-week full adherence (full sample)

	Self-reported adherence		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
HIV status knowledge	2.00 (1.33-2.99)***	2.20 (1.48-3.27)***	2.18 (1.47-3.24)***
Age	0.87 (0.79-0.96)*	0.86 (0.80-0.92)***	0.85 (0.80-0.91)***
Gender	0.95 (0.68-1.33)		
Xhosa language	0.51 (0.18-1.44)		
Urban location	0.92 (0.59-1.44)		
Informal housing	0.98 (0.62-1.54)		
Access to basic necessities	1.40 (0.97-2.01) ^a	1.41 (0.99-2.01)	
Caregiver biological parent	0.91 (0.58-1.42)		
Maternally orphaned	1.15 (0.73-1.81)		
Paternally orphaned	0.80 (0.54-1.16)		
Perinatally infected	1.44 (0.88-2.37)		

Running head: HIV disclosure and adolescent adherence

5 or more pills per day	1.11 (0.77-1.58)		
Changed medication past year	1.30 (0.92-1.84)		
Travel time to clinic	0.74 (0.59-0.93)*	0.72 (0.58-0.89)**	0.72 (0.57-0.89)**
Attends clinic alone	1.41 (0.89-2.25)		
Treatment at hospital	1.24 (0.83-1.84)		

Note: ***P<.001, ** P<.005, * P<.05

Table 4: Associations between adherence and disclosure aged under 12 for perinatally HIV-infected adolescents who are aware of their status

	Adherence		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Odds Ratio (95%)
Aged under 12 when disclosed	2.65 (1.34 - 5.22)**	2.25 (1.18 - 4.28)*	2.07 (1.10 - 3.87)*
Age	0.86 (0.76 - 0.99)*	0.86 (0.76 - 0.98)*	0.91 (0.82 - 1.02)
Gender	0.87 (0.52 - 1.44)		
Xhosa language	0.15 (0.02 - 1.22) ^a	0.16 (0.02 - 1.27)	
Urban location	1.72 (0.80 - 3.71)		
Informal housing	1.05 (0.53 - 2.08)		
Poverty	1.19 (0.69 - 2.07)		
Caregiver biological parent	1.28 (0.63 - 2.60)		
Maternally orphaned	1.66 (0.83 - 3.33)		
Paternally orphaned	0.90 (0.52 - 1.56)		
Takes more than 5 pills/day	1.29 (0.76 - 2.20)		
Treatment changed in past year	1.42 (0.86 - 2.34)		

Running head: HIV disclosure and adolescent adherence

Travel time to clinic in hours	0.53 (0.38 - 0.74)***	0.54 (0.39 - 0.75)***	0.54 (0.39 - 0.73)***
Attends clinic alone	1.81 (0.95 - 3.44) ^a	1.88 (0.99 - 3.53)	
Treatment at hospital	0.81 (0.42 - 1.55)		

Note: *** P<.001, ** P<.005, * P<.05, ^a P<.1