

Hydrodynamic performance of a **dual-floater** hybrid system of a floating breakwater and an oscillating-buoy type wave energy converter

Hengming Zhang^a, Binzhen Zhou^{a, b*}, Christopher Vogel^b, Richard Willden^b, Jun Zang^{c**}, Jing Geng^a

^a*College of Shipbuilding Engineering, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China*

^b*Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, OX1 3PJ, United Kingdom*

^c*Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK*

Abstract

The high power generation cost impedes commercial-scale wave power operations. The main objective of this work was to provide a cost-sharing solution through combining the wave extraction and costal protection performance. A two-dimensional numerical wave tank was developed using Star-CCM+ Computational Fluid Dynamics software to investigate the hydrodynamic performance of a dual floater hybrid system consisting of a floating breakwater and an oscillating-buoy type wave energy converter (WEC). The new model was verified with published experimental results. The differences between the hydrodynamic performance of the hybrid system, a single WEC and a single breakwater were compared. The effects of the wave resonance in the WEC-breakwater gap and the geometrical parameters on the performance of the asymmetric WEC were also studied. It was found that the hybrid system demonstrated both better wave attenuation and wave energy extraction capabilities at low wave frequencies, i.e., wider effective frequency. The forces on the breakwater were generally reduced due to the WEC. Wave resonance in the narrow gap has an adverse effect on the hybrid system with an asymmetric WEC, while a beneficial effect with a symmetric WEC. The wave energy conversion efficiency of hybrid system can be improved by increasing the draft and width of the WEC and decreasing the distance between the WEC and the breakwater. The findings of this paper make wave energy economically competitive and commercial-scale wave power operations possible.

Key Word: Floating breakwater; Wave energy converter; Wave attenuation; Energy conversion efficiency; Wave resonance; Narrow gap

1. Introduction

The development of wave energy is limited by the high power generation cost which is mainly due to the high construction cost and low wave energy extraction performance of Wave Energy Converters (WECs) [1]. Integrating WECs with other marine structures may be an effective

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: zhoubinzhen@hrbeu.edu.cn (B.Z. Zhou); J.Zang@bath.ac.uk (J. Zang)

approach to reduce construction costs, promote wave extraction performance and achieve cost-sharing, space-sharing, multi-functionality. This will make wave energy economically competitive and commercial-scale wave power operations possible, which facilitates the development of floating breakwaters and WECs [2].

Proposed integrated systems include Oscillating Water Column (OWC) WEC devices integrated with breakwater and offshore wind turbines, overtopping type WEC integrated with breakwater, and oscillating-buoy (OB) type WEC integrated with floating breakwater. He et al. [3] carried out an experiment to study the oscillating air-pressures inside the two chambers of a device integrated an OWC-type converter with a slack-moored floating breakwater, and then the wave power extraction was investigated by He et al. [4]. The hydrodynamic performance of a pile-supported OWC breakwater was modeled analytically by He et al. [5] based on linear wave theory and the method of matched eigenfunction expansion. Xu et al. [6] experimentally studied the power extraction efficiency and hydrodynamic characteristics of a dual-functional device integrated OWC devices into a pile breakwater. Zheng et al. [7] developed a novel theoretical model based on the linear potential flow to study the performance of an OWC device integrated into a vertical structure. Giacomo et al. [8] investigated a WEC that combined a U-shape OWC and dielectric elastomer generator (DEG) power take-off (PTO) through theoretical and experimental studies. All of these studies showed that the power extraction and wave attenuation performance of the integrated OWC devices was improved. The hydrodynamic response of a hybrid wind-wave system that integrated an OWC type WEC with an offshore wind turbine on a monopile substructure was studied experimentally by Perez-Collazo et al. [9]. Han et al. [10] numerically investigated the performance of a multi-level breakwater for overtopping WEC, which consists of two reservoirs with sloping walls at different levels. As for the floating breakwater-OB type WEC system, including single-floater integrated systems and dual-floater hybrid systems, has attracted most attention.

The single-floater integrated system is comprised of a floating breakwater which also acts as the OB type WEC with a power take-off (PTO) system, and has been studied theoretically, numerically and experimentally. Ning et al. [11] investigated the hydrodynamic performance of an OB type WEC integrated into a pile-restrained floating breakwater with rectangular cross-section by an experiment. The results showed that the PTO damping force, wave height and draft of the floater affected the performance of the integrated system significantly. The same conclusions were drawn by Zhao et al. [12], who studied the same integrated system using the linear potential flow theory. The predicted capture width ratio and heave RAO were much larger than the experimental results as the effect of viscosity was neglected. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) methods are an alternative approach to solve this problem which is more expensive than potential flow theory, but the cost of CFD methods are still much lower than experiments, and CFD is capable of simulating

more complex problems. Chen et al. [13] presented a hybrid numerical model based on the particle-in-cell method to study the wave attenuation and energy extraction performance of a WEC type floating breakwater, which was experimentally studied by Ning & Zhao [11], and then further optimized the shape of this integrated system. In their results, the maximum energy efficiency of the single-floater integrated system was limited to the well-known maximum of 50% for heaving WECs with symmetric bottoms. However, the Berkeley Wedge, an asymmetric heaving energy-capturing floating breakwater proposed by Yeung et al. [14], improved the energy-capturing efficiency to 96.34% at the resonant frequency and the transmission coefficient was also improved significantly [15], and the forces were obtained by computation using the Weakly Compressible Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (WCSPH) method and model-scale experiments [16].

Previous studies have showed that the performance of the single-floater integrated system was significantly affected by the floater shape. Therefore, Zhang et al. [17] investigated the hydrodynamic performance of four single-floater integrated systems with different bottom shapes, including square bottom, triangular bottom, Berkeley-Wedge bottom and novel triangular-baffle bottom. Results showed that the integrated system with an asymmetric bottom had higher power conversion efficiency and better wave attenuation performance, especially for the Berkeley Wedge bottom and the triangular-baffle bottom. And the triangular-baffle bottom floater had simpler geometry than the Berkeley Wedge yet achieved similar wave attenuation and energy extraction performance characteristics, with maximum energy conversion efficiency up to 93%. Additional adjustments to the device geometry were shown to further improve the energy conversion performance. However, in low frequency region, the wave attenuation and energy extraction performance of the four integrated systems were all unsatisfactory, especially the system with square bottom.

The dual-floater hybrid system, which consists of a WEC and a floating breakwater behind the WEC, is an effective option to enhance the energy extraction and wave attenuation performance of the WECs, particularly in the low frequency region, and has been studied by a number of researchers. Ning et al. [18] developed an analytical model to investigate the hydrodynamics of a two-dimensional dual-pontoon floating breakwater that also worked as a WEC based on linear potential flow theory and matching Eigen-function expansion technique, showing a maximum conversion efficiency of this device up to 80%, but the viscous was not considered. Zhao & Ning [19] experimentally investigated a two-pontoon system consisting of a front oscillating buoy type WEC and a rear fixed pontoon, revealing the wave energy extraction performance of the novel two-pontoon system was improved compared to the single pontoon system and the system with smaller draft ratio had more excellent energy conversion performance. Zheng & Zhang [20] studied the performance of a hybrid WEC consisting of a fixed inverted flume and a long floating cube

hinged with the flume, and an analytical study on power capture capability of the device for various geometrical parameters showed that the maximum power efficiency reached 95%. Reabroy et al. [21] investigated the hydrodynamic and power capture performance of an asymmetric WEC integrated with a fixed breakwater using Star-CCM+ software and experiment, and showed the maximum power efficiency of the WEC was 0.376. Previous studies have focused on the hydrodynamic performance of hybrid systems with symmetric WECs, and have neglected wave resonance in the gap between the WEC and breakwater, which is one of the important differences between the dual-floater hybrid system and the single integrated system. Further, there has been little investigation to date on the performance of hybrid systems with asymmetric WECs.

Narrow gap wave resonance is a typical feature of dual-floater hybrid systems and has a significant impact on energy extraction performance. However, most research to date has studied the wave resonance in the narrow gap between two fixed bodies with symmetric bottoms, between a fixed box and a vertical wall, or between moving bodies without a PTO system, which are different with the cases with dual-floater hybrid systems. Li & Zhang [22] built a numerical wave tank based on the fully-nonlinear potential-flow theory to study the effects of the distance and draft on wave resonance of the gap liquid between two heaving barges. The results showed that the relative barge draft had a strong effect on resonance frequencies, and the relative breadth of two barges affected RAOs at resonance evidently. Jiang et al. [23] investigated 192 different cases of wave resonance in the narrow gap between two side-by-side non-identical fixed boxes by employing a two-dimensional numerical wave flume using OpenFOAM. They found that the resonant frequency tended to reduce with increasing gap breadth, upstream and downstream box drafts, and that the incident wave steepness had very little effect on the resonant frequency. Ning et al. [24] studied the wave response in the gap between two barges using a time-domain potential-flow solver where the artificial viscosity coefficient was calibrated by physical experiments. The results indicated that the wave frequency corresponding to the largest wave amplitude at the gap was found to decrease as barge draft increases, and the maximum wave height in the gap increased with the draft of the leeside barge, and decreased when incident waves propagated from larger draft barge to the smaller one. Feng et al. [25] presented a numerical study of the gap resonance between two side-by-side barges by using a multiphase Navier-Stokes equations model. The results revealed that large amount of vortices were generated by the sharp corners of the two barges and shed downward, and the viscous damping associated with the twin-barge system was demonstrated dependent on the incident wave steepness. Gao et al. [26] used OpenFOAM software to investigate the resonant water motion inside a narrow gap between two identical fixed boxes those were in the side-by-side configuration, and the free-surface elevation in the narrow gap, wave loads on the bodies and the effects of the incident wave height on the reflection, transmission and energy loss coefficients were

analyzed. It is not possible to infer the effect of wave resonance in the WEC-breakwater gap on the energy extraction performance of the dual-floater system from existing studies.

The motivation of this paper is to propose a feasible cost-sharing solution of WEC and breakwater to make the wave energy economically competitive and commercial-scale wave power operations possible. The novelty of this work is to investigate the aspects that are rarely studied before but important for the dual floater WEC-breakwater hybrid systems, mainly including two points. Firstly, the effect of the existing of a breakwater on the performance of the WEC with asymmetric bottom is studied, and the single WEC, the single breakwater and the dual-floater system are compared on the energy extraction and wave attenuation performance to illustrate the advantage of the dual-floater system. Secondly, the effect of the wave resonance in the WEC-breakwater gap on the energy extraction performance of the WEC is also analyzed.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the development of a two-dimensional numerical wave tank by Star-CCM+ CFD software and the definition of each performance coefficient is described. In Section 3, the convergence of the numerical model is studied and the proposed numerical wave tank is verified with published experimental results. In Section 4, the hydrodynamic performance of the dual-floater hybrid systems with symmetric and asymmetric WECs is studied and compared with their corresponding single-floater integrated system, and the effects of the wave resonance in the gap between a heaving WEC and a fixed breakwater on the hydrodynamic performance of the WECs are analyzed carefully. Then, the dual-floater hybrid system with asymmetric WEC is optimized in the terms of geometric parameters. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2. Numerical model

2.1 Numerical wave tank setup

A two-dimensional numerical wave tank was established using Star-CCM+ CFD software to simulate wave interaction with a hybrid system of a floating breakwater and an oscillating-buoy type WEC, as shown in Fig. 1. The WEC can only move in heave motion independently. The breakwater was assumed to be fixed because its motion was relatively small compared to the rear WEC. **There was no coupling between the WEC and the breakwater, and the mooring system was not considered.** The governing Navier-Stokes equations are spatially discretized using the finite volume method, and the Volume of Fluid (VOF) method is applied to capture the free surface interface between the air and water phases [27].




Fig. 1 A diagram of a two-dimensional numerical wave tank model

As shown in Fig. 1, the wave tank was divided into three zones: wave generation zone, working zone and wave absorbing zone. In this paper, the length of the numerical wave tank was six times the wavelength λ , which was verified in section 3.1, and the whole height of the wave tank was two times the water depth h . The length of wave generation and damping zones were both 1.5λ . The VOF waves model included wave forcing [28] and wave damping [29] capabilities, which both can reduce the computational domain size and thus reduce the disturbances by reflections from boundaries. The forcing method can be used at the inlet boundary to eliminate the reflecting waves before they reach the inlet boundary, while the damping approach cannot be applied at the inlet boundary to eliminate the effect of waves reflected by the body since the incoming waves would be damped as well. The previous study on these two wave absorbing methods demonstrated that the forcing method was better than the damping approach [17]. Therefore, the forcing method was applied in both wave generation and wave absorbing zones. The velocity inlet condition was assigned to both the inlet and outlet boundaries [17]. The inlet face velocity vector was specified as the velocity of a fifth-order VOF wave directly [30] and the working fluid was set to be two-phase flow of water and air. The top boundary was defined as a pressure outlet, where the pressure was specified as hydrostatic pressure of the fifth-order VOF wave [30] and the composition of fluid components was air. A no-slip wall boundary condition was assigned to the bottom of the domain. Since a purely two-dimensional planar model cannot be simulated with the Star-CCM+ software, the width of the model L_y in the y direction was set to 0.01m, which was verified in Zhang et al. [17], and symmetry conditions were applied to the lateral boundaries to ensure two-dimensionality [27][31].

Fig. 2 shows the mesh generation details of the wave tank model. A subtracted area was introduced when a floater was placed in the tank. No-slip boundary conditions were assigned to the body surface. The overset mesh condition was assigned to the outer four surfaces. A trimmed

mesher model was used to generate the meshes of the liquid level encryption zone, the liquid surface transition zone and the motion encryption zone, as shown in Fig. 2. The Star CCM+ Trimmer generates hexahedral meshes that accommodate arbitrary geometry, and provides good quality meshes that have low computational cost. An overset mesh zone was applied in order to divide the complex air-water interface region into simpler sub-domains. The flow in each sub-domain was calculated independently, and may overlap with each other. Matching and coupling at the intersection of the two domains are performed by interpolation, which is based on the dynamic distinction of different cell types. The cells can be active (solve), inactive (ignore) or dependent (interpolate) [32]. The overset mesh approach has been used increasingly widely in CFD codes such as Star CCM+ and PEGASUS, because the meshing approach offers improved accuracy in comparison to dynamic meshes for large-scale deformations.




Fig. 2 Mesh generation details of the wave tank model

In the previous investigation, the experiment of a single-floater integrated system with box bottom by Ning et al. [11] and the experiment of a Berkeley-Wedge floater by Madhi et al. [15] have been simulated using laminar flow model and large eddy simulation (LES) turbulence model, and the results of different turbulence models and laminar flow model were also compared. The preliminary study of higher-order turbulence models was not found to significantly affect floater motion when the width of the floater was relatively large [17]. The stability and accuracy of the wave tank using laminar flow model in making waves has also been verified [17], with the maximum attenuation of wave heights was about 3.5% in the middle of the wave tank, which was not verified repeatedly in this paper. The focus of the present study is the motion of the floater, rather than the details of the flow field, therefore, the laminar flow model was selected.

2.2 Motion and energy conversion of floater

For there was no coupling between the WEC and the breakwater and the mooring system was not considered, the total forces on the WEC floater include damping force and elastic stiffness force due to the power take-off (PTO) system, the gravity of the WEC floater, and the wave force. As the WEC was assumed to have heave motion only, the equation of motion is

$$m \ddot{z} + b_{pto} \dot{z} + c_{pto} z = -mg + F_w \quad (1)$$

where m is the mass of the floater; z , \dot{z} and \ddot{z} are the heave motion, velocity and acceleration of the floater, respectively; b_{pto} and c_{pto} are the mechanical damping and elastic stiffness due to the power take-off (PTO) system respectively, in which $c_{pto}=0$ is considered in present paper; F_w is the wave force, including buoyancy, in still water.

The resonance frequency is defined as [33]

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{c_{pto} + c_z}{m + a_z}} \quad (2)$$

For a single body with only a single mode of motion, the optimal damping coefficient b_{opt} under wave frequency ω can be written as [33]

$$b_{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{((m + a_z)\omega^2 - (c_{pto} + c_z))^2}{\omega^2} + b_z^2} \quad (3)$$

where a_z and b_z are the linear added mass and radiation damping coefficients of the floater, which are both functions of wave frequency and calculated through a two-dimensional numerical wave tank model based on potential flow theory [34][35]. $c_z = \rho g A_w$ is the restoring force coefficient due to the difference in the contributions from the hydrostatic term and the weight of the floater, in which A_w is the wetted surface of the floater.

The energy conversion efficiency η_e is an important indicator of the hydrodynamic efficiency of WECs [36], which can be expressed as

$$\eta_e = E_p / E_w \quad (4)$$

where the average wave energy conversion power and the incident wave power are calculated as:

$$E_p = \frac{b_{pto}}{nT} \int_t^{t+nT} V^2 dt \quad (5)$$

$$E_w = \frac{1}{16} \frac{\rho g H_i^2 \omega D_y}{k} \left(1 + \frac{2kh}{\sinh 2kh}\right) \quad (6)$$

where H_i is the incident wave height, h is the water depth, V is the velocity of the floater, T is the

wave period, D_y is the transverse length of floating breakwater, and n is the number of the floater motion period.

Two wave probes were placed at $x_1=-1.6\text{m}$ and $x_2=-1.0\text{m}$ in front of the WEC to separate the incident wave height H_i and reflection wave height H_r by using two-point method, and another one was placed at $x_3=0.8\text{m}$ behind the breakwater to measure the transmission wave height H_t , as shown Fig. 1. The reflection coefficient K_r is defined as $K_r=H_r/H_i$, and the wave transmission coefficient which is an important consideration of the wave protection role of a breakwater is defined as $K_t=H_t/H_i$. The placements of wave probes are proved to be precise by comparing the reflection coefficient and transmission coefficient of CFD results with those of experimental results by Zhao & Ning [19] in section 3.2. The dissipative wave energy, such as the wasted energy by vortex shedding at the edge of floaters, is measured by dissipation coefficient K_d , which is defined as

$$K_d = 1 - K_t^2 - K_r^2 - \eta_e \quad (7)$$

The motion response ζ is defined as $\zeta = H_{\text{RAO}}/H_i$, where H_{RAO} is floater motion amplitude .

3. Convergence study and verification

3.1 Convergence study

A hybrid system of a fixed breakwater and a WEC with triangular-baffle, a typical case in present paper, was chosen as an example to carried out the mesh and time convergence studies. The width of the triangular-baffle floater was $B_1/h=0.167$ and the draught was $d_1/h=0.267$, where the water depth $h=3.0\text{m}$. The width and the draught of the breakwater were $B_2/h=0.667$ and $d_2/h=0.4$, the incident wave height $H_i/h=0.1667$ and the distance between WEC and breakwater $B_d/h=0.0833$. Five hybrid system models (Model 1, Model 2, Model 3, Model 4 and Model 5) with different meshes and different time steps were investigated under the optimal PTO damping $b_{\text{opt}}=4.5\text{kg/s}$ at $\omega=4.06\text{rad/s}$. Details of the meshes and time steps for the convergence study with $H_i=0.5\text{m}$ at $T=1.72\text{s}$ are shown in Table 1.


Fig. 3 shows the comparison of the heave motion of a triangular-baffle floater of the hybrid system with different meshes and time steps. As can be seen in Fig. 3(a), the result of the Model 1 does not match well with the results of both Model 2 and Model 3 with the phase difference more than 5% and difference in peaks and troughs less than 5%, while the result of Model 2 is almost the same with the result of Model 3, only slight differences are observed in peaks and troughs, with the error being less than 5%. From Fig. 3 (b), it can be seen that, the difference observed between Model 2 and Model 5 is slight, less than 5% in peaks and troughs, while the result of Model 4 is obviously different from the other two models when $t/T>24$, with the phase difference $\Delta(t/T)$ more than 0.07 and difference in peaks and troughs less than 6%. These studies prove that the simulation

results of Model 2 with mesh $\Delta z=H/20$, $\Delta x=2\Delta z$ and time step $\Delta t=T/1000$ is considered to be sufficiently convergent. Therefore, the Model 2 is applied in following cases.


Fig. 4 shows the comparison of heave motion ζ of a triangular-baffle bottom WEC of the hybrid system with different lengths of tank, where the wave height $H_i/h=0.167$, the wave period $T=1.72s$. It can be seen that the result with $L_x=4\lambda$ is different from the other results with $L_x=6\lambda$ and $L_x=9\lambda$ as $t > 13T$, with the phase difference $\Delta(t/T)$ more than 0.03 and difference in peaks and troughs less than 6.6%, while only slight difference exists between the results of $L_x=6\lambda$ and $L_x=9\lambda$ in peaks and troughs, less than 6.5%. Therefore, $L_x=6\lambda$ is verified to be long enough to simulate this case.

Table 1 Time step and mesh size details of a hybrid system consisting of a fixed breakwater and a WEC with triangular-baffle for convergence study with $H_i=0.5m$ at $T=1.72s$

Models	Time steps	Meshes
1	$\Delta t=T/500$	$\Delta z=H_i/20, \Delta x=H_i/10$
2	$\Delta t=T/1000$	$\Delta z=H_i/20, \Delta x=H_i/10$
3	$\Delta t=T/2000$	$\Delta z=H_i/20, \Delta x=H_i/10$
4	$\Delta t=T/1000$	$\Delta z=H_i/10, \Delta x=H_i/5$
5	$\Delta t=T/1000$	$\Delta z=H_i/40, \Delta x=H_i/20$



(a) Convergence study with time step



(b) Convergence study with mesh resolution

Fig. 3 Convergence study with (a) time step and (b) mesh resolution for heave motion of a triangular-baffle bottom WEC of the hybrid system with $H_i=0.5m$ at $T=1.72s$




Fig. 4 Convergence study of tank length L_x for heave motion of a triangular-baffle bottom WEC of the hybrid system with $H_1=0.5\text{m}$ at $T=1.72\text{s}$

3.2 Comparison of published experimental and numerical results

To validate the present CFD model, the experiment of a breakwater-type WEC composed of **two floating pontoons with square bottom** by Zhao & Ning [19] was simulated, with drafts $d_1=d_2=0.125\text{m}$, breadths $a_1=a_2=0.6\text{m}$ and the distance between pontoons $s=0.2\text{m}$. **The front pontoon moved only in heave mode, and the rear one was fixed.** The still water depth was 1.0m . **Table 2 shows the test conditions for the dual-pontoon system, including** the PTO damping forces F_{pto} non-dimensionalized by $\rho g a_1 d_1 D_1$ (in which $D_1=0.78\text{m}$ is the transversal length of pontoon), related wave periods T and wave amplitude A . Fig. 5 compares the present CFD results using the laminar flow model and the experiment results by Zhao & Ning [19]. It can be seen that the results of CFD and experiment present similar trends. The additional damping forces due to factors such as the friction between floater and vertical pile, result in a small difference between the CFD results and experiment results, especially for the conversion efficiency η_e and the heave motion ζ . Nevertheless, the overall agreement between CFD results and experiment results is sufficient for the purposes of understanding the wave transmission and energy conversion trends of hybrid WEC-breakwater systems in this paper.

Table 2 Test conditions for the dual-pontoon system **by Zhao & Ning [19]** with $a_1=a_2=0.6\text{m}$, $d_1=d_2=0.125\text{m}$ and $s=0.2\text{m}$

T (s)	1.17	1.22	1.27	1.33	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.89
ω (rad/s)	5.37	5.15	4.95	4.72	4.49	4.19	3.93	3.69	3.24
A (m)	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07
F_{pto}	0.0169	0.0563	0.0679	0.0854	0.1036	0.0981	0.1017	0.1003	0.104




Fig. 5 Comparison of the present CFD results and the experiment results by Zhao & Ning [19]

3.3 Verification of optimal PTO damping

The optimal PTO damping coefficient B_{opt} used in the following investigation was obtained by Eq. (3), based on potential flow theory. To verify the accuracy of Eq. (3), a hybrid system CFD model consisting of a square bottom WEC and a stationary floating breakwater was established. The draft and width of WEC were $d_1/h=0.131$ and $b_1/h=0.233$, respectively. The draft of the floating

breakwater was $d_2/h=0.4$, and the width of the floating breakwater was $B_2/h=0.667$. The still water depth was $h=3\text{m}$ and the incident wave height was $H_i=0.5\text{m}$. The wave periods and relative PTO damping coefficients B_{pto} for a hybrid system consisting of a square bottom WEC and a stationary floating breakwater are shown in Table 3. Fig. 6 shows the variations of capture width ratio η_e versus PTO damping coefficient of the hybrid system CFD model at three different wave periods. For three wave periods, as shown in Fig. 6, the energy conversion efficiency η_e of WEC are maximised when $B_{\text{pto}}/B_{\text{opt}}=1$, illustrating that potential flow theory provides an accurate method for determining the optimal damping B_{opt} . In the following cases, the optimal damping coefficients were obtained based on Eq. (3), and then input into the present CFD models for maximising conversion efficiency.

Table 3 Details of wave periods and relative PTO damping coefficients for a hybrid system consisting of a square bottom WEC and a stationary floating breakwater

Wave periods	$B_{\text{opt}}/(\text{kg/s})$	$B_{\text{pto}}(\text{kg/s})$
1.5	7.94	3.94, 5.94, 7.94, 9.94, 11.94
1.72	8.38	4.83, 6.83, 8.83, 10.83, 12.83
2.0	10.05	6.05, 8.05, 10.05, 12.05, 14.05




Fig. 6 Variations of η_e versus PTO damping coefficient of a hybrid system CFD model consisting of a square bottom WEC and a stationary floating breakwater with $H_i=0.5\text{m}$ at different wave periods

4. Performance study on the hybrid system

4.1 Comparison of single and dual floaters

To investigate the interactions between the WEC and breakwater, three different models were considered: a single breakwater, a single WEC, and the combined breakwater-WEC system. It has previously been shown that an asymmetric floater (Triangular-baffle bottom) has higher power conversion efficiency and better wave attenuation performance than a symmetric one with square bottom in the absence of a breakwater. However, the presence of the breakwater may affect the

performance of a WEC in an integrated system due to wave reflection of the breakwater. Therefore, WECs with triangular-baffle and square bottoms integrated with a box-type breakwater were both considered in this study. The water depth was $h=3.0\text{m}$, and the normalized incident wave height was $H_i/h=0.167$. The distance between the WEC and the breakwater was $B_d/h=0.083\text{m}$ and the displacement of both WECs was $V=0.275\text{m}^3$. The other parameters are detailed in Fig. 7. The parameters of the WEC were the same with that of previous investigations on the single-floater integrated system [17]. The parameters of the breakwater were manually assumed. From Section 4.2 to Section 4.4, the setting of the parameter values refers to some previous studies, such as the studies of Zhang et al. [17], Zhao & Ning [19] and Jiang et al. [23].




Fig. 7 Schematic diagrams of a) triangular-baffle and b) square bottom WECs integrated with a box-type breakwater (Units: m)

The variation of the transmission coefficient K_t , reflection coefficient K_r , conversion efficiency η_e ,

heave motion ζ , dissipation coefficient K_d and wave response in the middle of gap H/H_i with wave frequency for the three models are shown in Fig. 8 for the triangular-baffle WEC and Fig. 9 for the square WEC. Fig. 8 (a) and Fig. 9 (a) show that the transmission coefficient K_t decreases in all cases with increasing wave frequency, implying that the wave attenuation performance of floaters is better for short rather than long waves. K_t for the hybrid system is generally the lowest among three models. K_t is greatly reduced compared with the WEC-only cases, with a maximum reduction ratio of 86.3% for the triangular-baffle and 92.6% for the square hybrid WEC-breakwater systems respectively, both at $\omega=3.65\text{rad/s}$. There is little difference between K_t for the breakwater and hybrid systems because the draft of breakwater is significantly larger than that of the WEC, which is the main factor for the transmission coefficient.

The reflection coefficient K_r of the hybrid system is generally less than that of the breakwater **but larger than that of the single WEC in lower frequencies**, as seen in Fig. 8 (b) and Fig. 9 (b), particularly near the resonance frequency ($\omega_n=3.65\text{rad/s}$). At higher frequencies the differences in K_r of the three models reduces. Deploying a WEC in front of the breakwater results in absorption of energy that reduces K_r , which is particularly significant at frequencies close to that for peak conversion efficiency. This effect is especially important for the asymmetric triangular-baffle WEC which is capable of achieving a higher conversion efficiency than the symmetric square WEC.


Fig. 8(f) and Fig. 9 (f) show there are wave resonant in the gap near $\omega=2.79\text{rad/s}$ for the triangular-baffle hybrid system and $\omega=2.62\text{rad/s}$ for the square one, similar with the findings of Jiang et al. [23]. **The wave elevation in the WEC-breakwater gap of the hybrid system with triangular-baffle WEC is larger than that of single breakwater measured in the same position around the wave resonance frequency ($3.14\text{rad/s}<\omega<3.8\text{rad/s}$) in the gap, as shown in Fig. 8(f). The situation is opposite for the hybrid system with square WEC, as shown in Fig. 9(f). In higher frequencies, the wave elevations in the WEC-breakwater gap of the hybrid systems are smaller than that of single breakwater measured in the same position. The wave elevations in the middle of WEC-breakwater gap are larger than that of single WEC measured in the same position for all frequencies.** According to the definition of dissipation coefficient in Eq. (7), it includes the contribution from the energy waste of vortex shedding at the edge of floaters and the energy in the gap region for the hybrid system. Therefore, the wave resonant in the gap leads to the increase of the dissipation coefficient in Fig. 8 (e) and reduction in heave motion in Fig. 8 (d) and conversion efficiency in Fig. 8 (c).

Fig. 8 (c) and Fig. 9 (c) show that the conversion efficiency η_e of the hybrid system increases substantially in the low frequency region for both the symmetric and asymmetric WEC designs compared with the single WEC. The maximum η_e of the square bottom WEC in the hybrid system is greatly improved compared with the single WEC, by up to 2.24 times, with a significant change

in the peak frequency ($\omega_n=2.62\text{rad/s}$) compared to the single WEC ($\omega_n=3.65\text{rad/s}$). It is notable that this is higher than the theoretical maximum energy-capture efficiency of 50% for a symmetric heaving device. There is almost no change in peak η_e for the asymmetric triangular baffle WEC when deployed in the hybrid system, in both cases reaching $\eta_e=0.72$. A portion of the waves transmitted by the WEC ahead of the breakwater are then reflected by the breakwater back towards the WEC, particularly at low frequency. The lower draft of the square WEC compared to the triangular-baffle WEC means that more waves are transmitted past the WEC and then reflected back by the breakwater. Consequently, the WECs in the hybrid system are also able to extract energy from waves reflected from the breakwater, as seen in the heave motion shown in Fig. 8(d) and Fig. 9 (d), boosting overall conversion efficiency in comparison to the standalone WEC cases. This effect is particularly significant for the square WEC as its symmetry means that the hydrodynamics of energy conversion is the same for both directions of wave propagation. The asymmetric triangular-baffle WEC does not have the same η_e for waves propagating in the forwards and backwards directions. η_e is very low for waves propagating in the backwards direction, and hence the triangular-baffle WEC has relatively less benefit from the presence of the breakwater.

Wave reflection from the breakwater in the hybrid system also results in larger wave resonance in the middle of the WEC-breakwater gap than those in the equivalent position behind the single WEC in the low frequency region $\omega < 3.80\text{rad/s}$, especially at $\omega=2.62\text{rad/s}$, where the maximum wave elevations occurs, i.e., waves resonant in the gap. Therefore, the heave motion ζ in Fig. 9 (d) and the conversion efficiency η_e in Fig. 9 (c) are improved greatly near $\omega=2.62\text{rad/s}$.

It can be seen from Fig. 8 (e) and Fig. 9 (e) that the dissipation coefficient K_d for the single WEC is smallest around the resonance frequency ($3.14\text{rad/s} < \omega < 3.8\text{rad/s}$) because most of the available energy is absorbed by the PTO system. It can also be seen that the smallest K_d of the hybrid system appears at the lower frequency mainly because the transmission and reflection coefficients at lower frequency are much larger than other frequencies. Since the ratio of the size of the floater to wave length becomes larger as wave frequency increases, viscous effects increase, leading to greater energy dissipation and thus larger K_d .









Fig. 8 Variations of K_t , K_r , η_e , ζ , K_d and H/H_i versus ω for different models under the optimal PTO damping







(c) Conversion efficiency



(d) Heave motion



(e) Dissipation coefficient



(f) Wave elevations in the middle of gap

Fig. 9 Variations of K_t , K_r , η_e , ζ , K_d and H/H_i versus ω for different models under the optimal PTO damping

Fig. 10 shows the ratio of vertical and horizontal force on the breakwater for the hybrid system with triangular-baffle bottom and square bottom WECs to that for the single breakwater. Deploying a WEC in front of the breakwater generally reduces the vertical and horizontal forces on the breakwater, especially near the resonance frequency where the WEC removes the most energy from the wave. The maximum reduction ratios for the breakwater with triangular-baffle WEC, relative to the single breakwater, are about 70.0% for the vertical force at $\omega=4.19$ rad/s and 80.0% for the horizontal force at $\omega=3.8$ rad/s, respectively. For the breakwater with square WEC, these ratios are 66.7% at $\omega=3.8$ rad/s for the vertical force and 70.7% at $\omega=4.19$ rad/s for the horizontal force, respectively. The forces on the breakwater are directly related to the wave elevation in front of breakwater because the transmitted waves in the back are relatively much smaller. Fig. 9 (f) show the wave elevation of the hybrid system in the gap with square WEC in front of breakwater are almost always smaller than that of the single breakwater, resulting the horizontal and vertical forces on the breakwater become smaller. However, the wave elevation of the hybrid system in the gap with triangular-baffle WEC in Fig. 8 (f) is larger than that of the single breakwater near the resonant

frequency, leading to the increase of the forces. Although the triangular-baffle WEC captures higher energy than the square WEC, the reduction in force on the breakwater is greater for the hybrid system with square WEC when $2.0 \text{ rad/s} < \omega < 3.65 \text{ rad/s}$.




Fig. 10 Comparison of vertical and horizontal forces on the single breakwater and the breakwaters of the hybrid system with triangular-baffle and square bottom WEC under the optimal PTO damping

4.2 Effect of distance between WEC and breakwater

The effect of the distance between the WEC and breakwater was investigated for the hybrid breakwater and triangular-baffle WEC system at three different distances of $B_d/h=0.0833, 0.167$ and 0.333 . All other dimensions remained unchanged. Fig. 11 shows the variation of transmission coefficient K_t , reflection coefficient K_r , conversion efficiency η_e , dissipation coefficient K_d , heave motion ζ and wave elevation in the middle of gap H/H_i of the hybrid system against wave frequency for different distances.

The transmission coefficient K_t is largely unaffected by the distance B_d , as K_t is primarily a function of the draft. K_r is minimum for all designs at $\omega=3.65 \text{ rad/s}$, where conversion efficiency η_e is maximised in all cases. Conversion efficiency η_e reduces more quickly as the distance increases as the wave frequency moves away from the resonance frequency, i.e. the effective frequency width is larger for the smaller distance. Jiang et al. [23] found that the resonant frequency tended to be smaller as the gap width increases, but the variation trend of wave resonance in the gap was not regular. Fig. 11 (f) also show that the resonant frequency and the wave resonance in the gap decrease as the gap width increases. The corresponding resonant frequencies are $\omega=3.14 \text{ rad/s}$, 2.62 rad/s , 2.24 rad/s for $B_d/h=0.0833, 0.167$ and 0.333 , respectively, which are almost in accordance with those where the dissipation coefficient increases in Fig. 11 (e) and the conversion efficiency in Fig. 11 (c) decreases suddenly occurs. The dissipation coefficient includes the contribution from the energy waste of vortex shedding at the edge of floaters and the energy in the gap for the hybrid

system. The previous one is almost the same for different gap width, but the energy in the gap increases with the increasing of the gap width due to the fluid mass increases, resulting the sudden increase of dissipation coefficient and decrease of conversion efficiency near these resonant frequencies.




Fig. 11 Variations of ζ , η_e , K_r , K_t , K_d and H/H_i versus ω for different hybrid models with triangular-baffle bottom under the optimal PTO

Fig. 12 shows the comparison of the vertical and horizontal forces on the breakwater of hybrid system with triangular-baffle bottom under the optimal PTO. Similar with Fig. 10, the horizontal and vertical forces on the breakwater are closely related to the wave elevation in the gap due to much smaller transmitted waves behind the breakwater, especially in the high frequency region. The horizontal and vertical forces are generally reduced due to the front WEC captures some energy of wave energy, expect near the wave resonant frequency in the gap. As the gap width increases, the forces decrease because of the smaller wave elevation in the gap.





Fig. 12 Comparison of the vertical and horizontal forces on the breakwater of hybrid system with triangular-baffle bottom under the optimal PTO

4.3 Effect of WEC draft d_1/h


Three different WEC drafts $d_1/h=0.333, 0.267, 0.2$ were considered in order to study the effect of the WEC draft d_1/h on the system performance. Fig. 13 shows the variation of transmission coefficient K_t , reflection coefficient K_r , conversion efficiency η_e , dissipation coefficient K_d , heave motion ζ and wave elevation in the middle of gap H/H_i of the hybrid system against wave frequency for models with different WEC drafts B_1/h under the optimal PTO.

As shown in Fig. 13 (a), the transmission coefficients K_t of the three cases is largely unchanged, as K_t is mainly determined by the breakwater draft. From Fig. 13 (b), it can be seen that the reflection coefficient for the largest WEC draft $d_1/h=0.333$ is the smallest in the region $2.25\text{rad/s} < \omega < 3.3\text{rad/s}$, while biggest when $3.45\text{rad/s} < \omega < 4.65\text{rad/s}$. As shown in Fig. 13(c), the maximum conversion efficiency η_e and the effective frequency range increase significantly with increasing WEC draft, with the maximum $\eta_e=61.3\%, 72.8\%, 77.9\%$ respectively. The only changes in heave really occur around the peak efficiency point, otherwise largely unchanged, as shown in Fig. 13(d). According to the linear theory, the resonance frequencies are 3.26 rad/s , 3.65 rad/s , and 4.2 rad/s for $d_1/h=0.333, 0.267, 0.2$, respectively, but the maximum conversion efficiency η_e all occurs at 3.65 rad/s . It can be seen from Fig. 13(e) that the dissipation coefficient K_d at $\omega=3.26 \text{ rad/s}$ is larger than that at $\omega=3.65 \text{ rad/s}$ for $d_1/h=0.333$, due to the wave resonance in the gap in Fig. 13 (f),


which results in the peak wave frequency shifts from 3.26 rad/s to 3.65 rad/s for $d_1/h=0.333$. Similarly, the dissipation coefficient K_d at $\omega=4.2$ rad/s is larger than that at 3.65 rad/s for $d_1/h=0.2$, due to the strong nonlinearity, which leads to the peak wave frequency shifts from 4.2 rad/s to 3.65 rad/s. There are sudden reductions of conversion efficiency η_e in Fig. 13 (c), and the corresponding wave frequencies are $\omega=2.62$ rad/s, 2.75 rad/s, 3.14 rad/s for $d_1/h=0.333$, 0.267 and 0.2, respectively, which are almost in accordance with those where the maximum wave elevations in the middle of the gap occurs, as shown in Fig. 13 (f), and the sharp increase of the dissipation in Fig. 13 (e). This means the wave resonance in the gap cause more energy dissipation, and then the reduction of conversion efficiency η_e . As the draft of WEC decreases, the resonance frequency of wave elevation in the gap shifts to the higher frequency, and the peak value decreases, which is in accordance with the previous study [24].




(a) Transmission coefficient



(d) Reflection coefficient



(c) Conversion efficiency



(d) Heave motion




Fig. 13 Variations of ζ , η_e , K_r , K_t , K_d and H/H_i versus ω for models with different WEC drafts d_1/h under the optimal PTO

4.4 Effect of WEC width B_1/h

In this section, three different WEC widths of $B_1/h=0.167$, 0.233, 0.3 were considered to investigate the effect of WEC width B_1/h on the hydrodynamic performance of the hybrid system of the breakwater and the WEC with triangular-baffle bottom. The other parameters were consistent with those in Section 4.1. Fig. 14 shows the variation of transmission coefficient K_t , reflection coefficient K_r , conversion efficiency η_e , heave motion ζ , dissipation coefficient K_d and wave elevation in the middle of gap H/H_i of the hybrid system against wave frequency for models with different WEC widths B_1/h under the optimal PTO.

As shown in Fig. 14(a), the transmission coefficient K_t is largely unaffected by the increase of the WEC width, because the WEC and breakwater drafts, which have the largest influence on K_t are kept constant. Fig. 14(b) shows the reflection coefficient for $B_1/h=0.3$ is the smallest in low frequencies but the largest in high frequencies. Increasing WEC width leads to increased conversion efficiency η_e for $\omega < 3.15$ rad/s, and a decrease in the higher frequency region $\omega > 3.15$ rad/s, as shown in Fig. 14 (c). Fig. 14(f) shows the wave resonance in the WEC-breakwater gap occurs near $\omega=2.85$ rad/s for different WEC widths, demonstrating that WEC width is less important than the distance between two bodies and the draft of the front floater on the resonance frequency of wave elevation in the gap. Conversion efficiency around the gap resonance frequency reduces because of the increased energy dissipation in the gap. The maximum value of η_e is largely unchanged, varying only 4% between the largest and smallest WEC widths. Linear theory predicts that the resonance frequencies are 4.06 rad/s, 3.65 rad/s, and 3.15 rad/s for $B_1/h=0.167$, 0.233, 0.3, respectively, but the maximum η_e occurs around $\omega=3.65$ rad/s in all cases. This is closely related to the dissipation coefficient K_d shown in Fig. 14 (e). The dissipation coefficient K_d at $\omega=3.15$ rad/s is larger than that at 3.65 rad/s for $B_1/h=0.3$ due to the wave resonance in the gap in Fig. 14 (f). Similarly, the

dissipation coefficient K_d at $\omega=4.06$ rad/s is larger than that at 3.65 rad/s for $B_1/h=0.167$, due to the strong nonlinearity. The reduction in heave motion with decreasing WEC width in Fig. 14 (d) is due to the corresponding reduction WEC mass. Consequently, the heave motion will be larger for an incident wave of a given size.




Fig. 14 Variations of ζ , η_c , K_r , K_t , K_d and H/H_1 versus ω for models with different WEC widths B_1/h under the optimal PTO

4.5 Effect of incident wave height H_i/h

In linear theory, the transmission coefficient K_t , reflection coefficient K_r , conversion efficiency η_e , heave motion ζ , dissipation coefficient K_d , and wave elevation in the middle of gap H/H_i are expected to be independent of the incident wave height H_i . However, the nonlinearity of wave interaction with floating bodies is closely related to the body shape and the ratio of incident wave height and wave length. The other parameters were consistent with those in Section 4.1. Hybrid system performance for three **manually assumed** incident wave heights $H_i/h = 0.033$, 0.1 and 0.167 under the optimal PTO is shown in Fig. 15 and Fig. 16 for the triangular-baffle and square WEC hybrid systems respectively.

Fig. 15(a) and Fig. 16(a) show that there is relatively little difference in K_t for two cases. **From Fig. 15(b), it can be seen that the reflection coefficient of the hybrid system with triangular-baffle WEC for incident wave height $H_i/h = 0.167$ is the largest in low frequencies, but for the hybrid system with triangular-baffle WEC, the trend is opposite, as shown in Fig. 16(b).** The conversion efficiency η_e in Fig. 15 (c) and Fig. 16 (c) decreases more significantly with the increasing incident wave height, especially at higher wave frequencies, similar with the heave motion, as shown in Fig. 15 (d) and Fig. 16 (d). The more distinct reduction in the conversion efficiency η_e and the heave motion ζ for the hybrid system with triangular-baffle bottom at higher wave frequencies is because of the variation of cross section during heave motion. The nonlinearity becomes more strong as the ratio of relative incident wave height and wave length H_i/λ increases, where the larger incident wave height H_i and the smaller wave length λ at higher frequency leads the ratio to be larger. The maximum reduction ratio of the conversion efficiency η_e reaches 78.5% for the triangular-baffle bottom, and 76.3% for the square bottom both at the highest wave frequency.

The dissipation coefficient K_d in Fig. 15 (e) and Fig. 16 (e) increases as the incident wave height H_i increases, except $\omega = 3.14$ rad/s for the triangular-baffle bottom. The increasement is more significant at higher frequency. This means the more energy is dissipated as the incident wave height H_i or the wave frequency increases due to stronger nonlinearity. Besides, more energy is dissipated for the square bottom generally, because much stronger vortices develop near the corner of the square bottom than the triangular-baffle bottom during the heave motion.

Jiang et al. [23] and Gao et al. [26] found that the wave response in the gap decreased with the increasing of wave height, while the resonant frequency in the gap were nearly the same. When the wave resonances in the gap near $\omega = 2.79$ rad/s, the dissipation coefficient increases and the conversion efficiency decreases suddenly for the hybrid system with the triangular WEC, as shown in Fig. 15. With the increasing of wave height, the stronger wave nonlinearity may lead to more energy loss in the gap, so the relative wave response in the gap decreases. The dissipation coefficient includes the contribution from the energy waste of vortex shedding at the edge of

floaters and the energy in the gap for the hybrid system. Although the energy in the gap decreases, the previous one increases more significantly due to the stronger nonlinearity, resulting the dissipation coefficients are very close, as shown in Fig. 15(e). The above comparisons show as the incident wave height increases, more energy is dissipated, and less energy is transmitted and extracted by the PTO system for the both bottoms.






Fig. 15 Variations of K_t , K_r , η_e , ζ , K_d and H/H_i versus ω with different wave heights under the optimal PTO for the


hybrid system with triangular-baffle bottom




(a) Transmission coefficient




(b) Reflection coefficient




(c) Conversion efficiency



(d) Heave motion



(e) Dissipation coefficient



(f) Wave elevation in the middle of gap

Fig. 16 Variations of K_t , K_r , η_c , ζ , K_d and H/H_i versus ω for different wave heights under the optimal PTO for the hybrid system with square bottom

From the above investigations in Section 4, it can be seen that the existing of the breakwater can improve the wave attenuation and wave energy extraction performance of the WEC at low wave frequencies, and the forces acting on the breakwater can be reduced due to the WEC. This means

the hybrid WEC-breakwater device has higher cost performance and longer maintenance cycle in the practical engineering, which reduces the cost of the wave energy utilization and wave attenuation. Wave resonance in the narrow gap between the WEC and breakwater has an adverse effect on the energy extraction performance of the hybrid system with an asymmetric WEC and increases the forces on the breakwater, which should be avoid in practical design. The geometrical parameters study can provide a guidance for device optimization.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, the hydrodynamic performance of a dual-floater hybrid system consisting of a floating breakwater and an oscillating-buoy type wave energy converter (WEC) is investigated using Star-CCM+ Computational Fluid Dynamics software, focusing on the wave energy conversion and attenuation performance of the hybrid system. The following conclusions can be drawn from this study:

(1) The transmission coefficient of the hybrid system is smaller than the single WEC and the single breakwater across all wave frequencies, especially compared to the single WEC. The conversion efficiency of the hybrid system increases greatly in the low frequency region for both symmetric and asymmetric bottoms compared with the single WEC.

(2) Compared to the single WEC, wave resonance in the narrow gap between the WEC and the breakwater leads to an increase in the dissipation coefficient and reduction in conversion efficiency of the hybrid system with asymmetric WEC, but leads to a decrease in the dissipation coefficient and the increase in conversion efficiency of the symmetric WEC. The vertical and horizontal forces on the breakwater of the hybrid system are generally reduced. The resonant frequency tends to be increase with decreasing distance between the WEC and breakwater and the WEC draft. The largest wave amplitude in the gap increases with decreasing gap distance and increasing incident wave height. However, the WEC width and incident wave height are less important than the distance and the WEC draft on the resonance frequency in the gap.

(3) Reducing the distance between the WEC and the breakwater can widen the effective frequency region, but not change the maximum conversion efficiency η_e . However, the forces on the WEC and the breakwater may be increased at some frequencies, which should be considered.

(4) As the incident wave height increases, the transmission coefficient, the conversion efficiency, the heave motion decrease, and the dissipated coefficient increases for both bottoms. The reflection coefficient increases for the triangular-baffle bottom at almost all wave frequencies, while decreases for the square bottom except near $\omega=3.14\text{rad/s}$.

The findings of this work can provide a valuable guidance for combing the wave extraction and costal protection performance to make the hybrid WEC-breakwater achieve cost-sharing, which can

make the wave energy economically competitive and commercial-scale wave power operations possible.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51761135013, 51409066), the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) through grant EP/R007497/1, the Natural Environment Research Council UK, the High-tech Ship Research Projects Sponsored by Ministry of Industry and Information Technology of the People's Republic of China-Floating Support Platform Project (the second stage) (MIIT201622), the Fundamental Research Fund for the Central University (GK2010260271), and the International Clean Energy Talent Program 2017 of China Scholarship Council.

This work was also supported by the Lloyd's Register Foundation (LRF) through the joint centre involving University College London, Shanghai Jiaotong University and Harbin Engineering University. The LRF helps to protect life and property by supporting engineering-related education, public engagement and the application of research.

References

- [1] Ferro BD. Wave and tidal energy. *Refocus* 2006;7(3):46-8.
- [2] Zhao XL, Ning DZ, Zou QP, Qiao DS, Cai SQ. Hybrid floating breakwater-WEC system: A review. *Ocean Eng* 2019; 186:106126.
- [3] He F, Huang ZH, Law WK. An experimental study of a floating breakwater with asymmetric pneumatic chambers for wave energy extraction. *Appl Energy* 2013; 106(11): 222-231
- [4] He F, Leng J, Zhao X. An experimental investigation into the wave power extraction of a floating box-type breakwater with dual pneumatic chambers. *Appl Ocean Res* 2017; 67:21–30.
- [5] He F, Zhang HS, Zhao JJ, Zheng SM, Iglesias G. Hydrodynamic performance of a pile-supported OWC breakwater: An analytical study. *Appl Ocean Res* 2019; 88:326-340.
- [6] Xu CH, Huang ZH. A dual-functional wave-power plant for wave-energy extraction and shore protection: A wave-flume study. *Appl Energy* 2018; 229: 963-976.
- [7] Zheng SM, Zhang YL, Iglesias G. Coast/breakwater-integrated OWC: A theoretical model. *Marine Struct* 2019; 66:121-135.
- [8] Giacomo M, Giovanni M, Andrea S, Luca D, Alessandra R, Rocco V, Marco F, Felice A. Modelling and field testing of a breakwater-integrated U-OWC wave energy converter with dielectric elastomer generator. *Renew Energy* 2020; 146: 628-642.
- [9] Perez-Collazo C, Greaves D, Iglesias G. Hydrodynamic response of the WEC sub-system of a novel hybrid wind-wave energy converter. *Energy Convers and Manage* 2018; 171:307-325.
- [10] Han Z, Liu Z, Shi HD. Numerical study on overtopping performance of a multi-level breakwater for wave energy conversion. *Ocean Eng* 2018; 150:94-101.
- [11] Ning DZ, Zhao XL. Hydrodynamic performance of a pile-restrained WEC-type floating breakwater: An experimental study. *Renew Energy* 2016; 95:531-541.

- [12]Zhao XL, Ning DZ, Zhang CW, Kang HG. Hydrodynamic Investigation of an Oscillating Buoy Wave Energy Converter Integrated into a Pile-Restrained Floating Breakwater. *Energies* 2017; 10:712.
- [13]Chen Q, Zang J. On the hydrodynamic performance of a vertical pile-restrained WEC-type floating breakwater. *Renew Energy* 2018; 146:414-425.
- [14]Yeung RW, Wehausen JV, Webster WC. Hydrodynamics of ships and ocean systems-II, lectures notes for course NAOE-241b. Tech.rep, University of California at Berkeley; 1983.
- [15]Madhi F, Sinclair ME, Yeung RW. The Berkeley Wedge: an asymmetrical Energy-Capturing floating breakwater of high performance. *Marine Syst & Ocean Tech* 2014; 9(1):05-16.
- [16]Madhi F, Yeung RW. On survivability of asymmetric wave-energy converters in extreme waves. *Renew Energy* 2018; 119:891–909.
- [17]Zhang HM, Zhou BZ, Vogel C, Willden R, Zang J, Zhang L. Hydrodynamic performance of a floating breakwater as an oscillating-buoy type wave energy converter. *Appl Energy* 2020, 257: 113996.
- [18]Ning DZ, Zhao XL, Zhao M, Hann M, Kang HG. Analytical investigation of hydrodynamic performance of a dual pontoon WEC-type breakwater. *Appl Ocean Res* 2017; 65:102-111.
- [19]Zhao XL, Ning DZ. Experimental investigation of breakwater-type WEC composed of both stationary and floating pontoons. *Energy* 2018; 155:226-233.
- [20]Zhen SM, Zhang YL. Analysis for wave power capture capacity of two interconnected floats in regular waves. *J. Fluids Struct* 2017; 75:158–173.
- [21]Reabroy R, Zheng XB, Zhang L, Zang J, Yuan Z, Liu MY, Sun K, Tiaple Y. Hydrodynamic response and power efficiency analysis of heaving wave energy converter integrated with breakwater. *Energy Convers Manage* 2019; 195:1174-1186.
- [22]Li YJ, Zhang CW. Analysis of wave resonance in gap between two heaving barges. *Ocean Eng* 2016; 17:210-220.
- [23]Jiang SC, Bai W, Tang GQ. Numerical simulation of wave resonance in the narrow gap between two non-identical boxes. *Ocean Eng* 2018; 156:38-60.
- [24]Ning DZ, Zhu Y, Zhang CW, Zhao M. Experimental and numerical study on wave response at the gap between two barges of different draughts. *Appl Ocean Res* 2018; 77:14-25.
- [25]Feng X, Bai W, Chen XB, Qian L, Ma ZH. Numerical investigation of viscous effects on the gap resonance between side-by-side barges. *Ocean Eng* 2017; 145:44-58.
- [26]Gao JL, Zang J, Chen LF, Chen Q, Ding HY, Liu YY. On hydrodynamic characteristics of gap resonance between two fixed bodies in close proximity. *Ocean Eng* 2019; 173:28-44.
- [27]Bilandi RN, Jamei S, Roshan F. Numerical simulation of vertical water impact of asymmetric wedges by using a finite volume method combined with a volume-of-fluid technique. *Ocean Eng* 2018; 160:119-131.
- [28]Kim JW, O’Sullivan J, Read A. Ringing Analysis on a Vertical Cylinder by Euler Overlay Method. *OMAE* 2012-84091.
- [29]Choi J, Sung BY. Numerical simulations using momentum source wave-maker applied to RANS equation model. *Coastal Eng* 2009; 56(10):1043-1060.

- [30]Fenton JD. A fifth-order stokes theory for steady waves. J Waterw Port, Coast Ocean Eng 1985; 111(2): 216-234.
- [31]Fábio MMM, António MGL, Almerindo DF. Numerical simulation of regular waves: Optimization of a numerical wave tank. Ocean Eng 2018; 170:89–99
- [32]Völkner S, Brunswig J, Rung T. Analysis of non-conservative interpolation techniques in overset grid finite-volume methods. Comput Fluids 2017; 148:39–55.
- [33]Sun SY, Sun SL, Wu GX. Fully nonlinear time domain analysis for Hydrodynamic performance of an oscillating wave surge converter. China Ocean Eng 2018; 32(5):582-592.
- [34]Zhou BZ, Ning DZ, Teng B, Bai W. Numerical investigation of wave radiation by a vertical cylinder using a fully nonlinear HOBEM. Ocean Eng 2013; 70:1-13.
- [35]Zhou BZ, Wu L, Xu GD. Resonance of the roll motion of a two dimensional barge induced by triple frequency wave force. Ocean Eng 2017; 134:13-23.
- [36]Budar K, Falnes J. A resonant point absorber of ocean-wave power. Nature 1975; 256 (5517):478-479.