

VANISHING VISCOSITY APPROACH TO THE COMPRESSIBLE EULER EQUATIONS WITH GEOMETRIC STRUCTURE

GUI-QIANG G. CHEN AND MATTHEW R. I. SCHRECKER

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with globally-defined entropy solutions to the Euler equations for compressible fluid flows in transonic nozzles with general cross-sectional areas. Such nozzles include the de Laval nozzles and other more general nozzles whose cross-sectional area functions are allowed at the nozzle ends to be either zero (closed ends) or infinity (unbounded ends). To achieve this, in this paper, we develop a viscosity method to construct globally-defined approximate solutions and then establish essential uniform estimates in weighted L^p norms for the whole range of physical adiabatic exponents $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, so that the viscosity approximate solutions satisfy the general L^p compensated compactness framework established in Chen-Perepelitsa [3]. The viscosity method is designed to incorporate artificial viscosity terms with the natural Dirichlet boundary conditions to ensure the uniform estimates. Then such estimates lead to the convergence of the approximate solutions and to an existence theory of globally-defined finite-energy entropy solutions to the Euler equations for transonic flows that may have different end-states in the class of nozzles with general cross-sectional areas for all $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$. The approach and techniques developed here apply to a wide variety of problems. In particular, we successfully apply them to construct globally-defined spherically symmetric entropy solutions to the Euler equations for all $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are concerned with globally-defined entropy solutions to the Euler equations for transonic nozzle flows with general cross-sectional areas and related compressible flows of geometric structure including spherically symmetric flows. Then the Euler equations may be reduced to the following one-dimensional Euler system with geometric terms:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + m_x + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)}m = 0, \\ m_t + \left(\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p(\rho)\right)_x + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)}\frac{m^2}{\rho} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, where $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is the density of the fluid, $u = \frac{m}{\rho} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the velocity, and $A = A(x)$ is a given C^2 -function $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. The equation of state is assumed to be that of a polytropic gas:

$$p(\rho) = \kappa \rho^\gamma, \quad \gamma > 1,$$

where $\kappa := \frac{(\gamma-1)^2}{4\gamma}$ by scaling (without loss of generality).

We first focus on the Cauchy problem for system (1.1):

$$(\rho, m)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, m_0), \quad (1.2)$$

Date: October 28, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q35, 35Q31, 35B25, 35B44, 35L65, 35L67, 76N10.

Key words and phrases. Vanishing viscosity, global solutions, Euler equations, compressible flows, transonic nozzle flows, general cross-sectional areas, closed ends, unbounded ends, viscosity method, approximate solutions, large initial data, different end-states, relative finite-energy, uniform estimates, energy estimates, compensated compactness, weak convergence argument, spherically symmetric flows, adiabatic exponent.

where the initial data $(\rho_0, m_0)(x)$ have end-point states (ρ_{\pm}, m_{\pm}) at $x = \pm\infty$, for constants $\rho_{\pm} \geq 0$ and $m_{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}$. The end-states are allowed to be different, that is, $(\rho_+, m_+) \neq (\rho_-, m_-)$.

The Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) models transonic nozzle flow through a variable-area duct, where $A(x)$ describes the cross-sectional area of the nozzle at point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (*cf.* [5, 8, 10, 12, 18, 19, 20, 26]). The existence of global solutions of this problem was first obtained in [18], by incorporating the steady-state building blocks with the Glimm scheme [11], provided that the initial data have small total variation and are bounded away from both sonic and vacuum states. Some shock capturing methods were introduced to construct/compute transient gas flows in a de Laval nozzle and other compressible flows of geometric structure in [2, 10, 12]. A mathematical analysis of the qualitative behavior of nonlinear waves for nozzle flow was given in [20]. In this paper, our main concern is on general transonic nozzle flows such that the initial data are allowed to be arbitrarily large with different end-states and relative finite-energy and that the cross-sectional areas are allowed to tend to either 0 (closed ends) or ∞ (unbounded ends) as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$. In particular, the corresponding cross-sectional area function $A(x) > 0$ is a C^2 -function that requires only either

$$\left\| \frac{A'}{A} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|A'\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} < \infty \quad (1.3)$$

or

$$\left\| \frac{A'}{A} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|A'\|_{L^1(0, \infty)} < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

This general class of nozzles especially includes the de Laval nozzles with closed ends. To achieve this, we first develop a viscosity method to construct globally-defined approximate solutions and then establish several essential uniform estimates for the whole interval of adiabatic exponents $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, so that the viscosity approximate solutions satisfy the general L^p compensated compactness framework established in Chen-Perepelitsa [3]. Our viscosity method is carefully designed to incorporate artificial viscosity terms with the Dirichlet boundary conditions naturally to ensure the uniform estimates for all $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$. Then these uniform estimates lead to the convergence of the approximate solutions and to an existence theory of globally-defined entropy solutions of problem (1.1)–(1.2) for initial data of finite-energy for all $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, provided that the cross-sectional area function $A(x)$ satisfies (1.3) or (1.4).

The case that $A : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with $A(x) = \omega_n x^{n-1}$, $\omega_n > 0$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ corresponds to the equations for the isentropic Euler equations with spherical symmetry, which does not satisfy condition (1.3) or (1.4). The study of spherically symmetric solutions dates back to the 1950s, and is motivated by many important physical problems such as flow in a jet engine inlet manifold and stellar dynamics including gaseous stars and supernovae formation (*cf.* [5, 13, 15, 24, 25, 26]). The central feature is the strengthening of waves as they move radially inward, especially near the origin. Various evidence indicates that spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible Euler equations may blow up near the origin at a certain time in some situations. A longstanding open, fundamental problem is whether a concentration could be formed at the origin, that is, the density becomes a delta measure at the origin, especially when a focusing spherical shock is moving inward towards the origin (*cf.* [5, 24, 26]). A first global existence of entropy solutions including the origin was established in Chen [1] for a class of L^∞ Cauchy data of arbitrarily large amplitude, which model outgoing blast waves and large-time asymptotic solutions. Also see Slemrod [25] for the resolution of the spherical piston problem for isentropic gas dynamics via a self-similar

viscous limit, and LeFloch-Westdickenberg [14] for a compactness framework to ensure the strong compactness of spherically symmetric approximate solutions with uniform finite-energy norms for the case $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{5}{3}$. In Chen-Perepelitsa [4], under the assumption that $\gamma \in (1, 3]$, the existence of globally-defined spherically symmetric entropy solutions is established via the vanishing viscosity approximation. As a direct application of the approach and techniques developed in this paper for the general transonic nozzle problem, we successfully remove the restriction that $\gamma \in (1, 3]$ and establish the existence of globally-defined finite-energy entropy solutions for the general case of large initial data for the full range $\gamma > 1$, including the unsolved case $\gamma > 3$. This implies that there exist globally-defined spherically symmetric solutions with large initial data of finite-energy for the whole range $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, which do not form concentrations at the origin.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In §2, we discuss the properties of weak entropy functions of system (1.1), introduce the definition of entropy solutions, and present the main theorems, Theorems 2.1–2.2.

In §3–§5, we develop the viscosity method to construct the afore-mentioned approximate solutions and demonstrate their convergence to entropy solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2), first under the following assumptions on the cross-sectional area function A :

$$0 < A_0 \leq A(x) \leq A_1 < \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\|A\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|A'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq A_2 < \infty. \quad (1.6)$$

The construction of the approximate solutions in §3 employs the standard theory of quasilinear parabolic systems as detailed in [17]. In §4, we then derive *uniform* estimates, independent of the viscosity coefficient ϵ , on the approximate solutions, so that they satisfy the requirements of the general L^p compensated compactness framework in [3] to pass to the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and hence deduce, in §5, the existence of globally-defined entropy solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) with general large initial data of different end-states and relative finite-energy in the sense of Theorem 2.1.

In §6, we describe how the additional assumptions on $A(x)$ in (1.5)–(1.6) can be removed to obtain the expected results in Theorem 2.1 for the general case (1.3) or (1.4).

Finally, in §7, we show how the approach and techniques developed in §3–§6 can be extended to the general setting of spherically symmetric solutions to the Euler equations, leading to our second main theorem, Theorem 2.2, for the whole range of adiabatic exponents $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, especially including the unsolved case: $\gamma > 3$.

2. ENTROPY SOLUTIONS AND MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we discuss the properties of weak entropy functions of system (1.1), introduce the definition of entropy solutions, and present the main theorems, Theorems 2.1–2.2.

2.1. Entropy. An entropy-entropy flux pair (or entropy pair, for simplicity) is a pair of functions $(\eta, q) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\nabla q(\rho, m) = \nabla \eta(\rho, m) \nabla \left(\frac{m}{\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p(\rho)} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where ∇ is the gradient with respect to the conservative variables (ρ, m) . For example, the mechanical energy and its flux form an entropy pair:

$$\eta^*(\rho, m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2}{\rho} + \frac{\kappa}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma, \quad (2.2)$$

$$q^*(\rho, m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^3}{\rho^2} + \frac{\kappa\gamma}{\gamma-1} m \rho^{\gamma-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

We also use the well-known fact (*cf.* [7, 16]) that any weak entropy pair (that is, the corresponding entropy vanishes at $\rho = 0$) for system (1.1) can be expressed as

$$\eta_\psi(\rho, m) = \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{m}{\rho} + \rho^\theta s\right) [1 - s^2]_+^\lambda ds, \quad (2.4)$$

$$q_\psi(\rho, m) = \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m}{\rho} + \theta \rho^\theta s\right) \psi\left(\frac{m}{\rho} + \rho^\theta s\right) [1 - s^2]_+^\lambda ds, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\lambda = \frac{3-\gamma}{2(\gamma-1)}$, and $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a generator of the entropy pair (η_ψ, q_ψ) .

2.2. Entropy Solutions. Now we introduce the definition of entropy solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2).

Definition 2.1. An *entropy solution* of the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) is a pair $(\rho, m) : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$ such that

(i) For any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} (\rho \phi_t + m \phi_x) A(x) dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0(x) \phi(0, x) A(x) dx = 0; \quad (2.6)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \left(m \phi_t + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \phi_x + p(\rho) \left(\phi_x + \frac{A'}{A} \phi\right)\right) A(x) dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} m_0(x) \phi(0, x) A(x) dx = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

(ii) For any convex $\psi(s)$, with sub-quadratic growth at infinity, generating the entropy pair (η^ψ, q^ψ) ,

$$(\eta^\psi A(x))_t + (q^\psi A(x))_x + A'(x) (m \eta_\rho^\psi + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \eta_m^\psi - q^\psi) \leq 0 \quad (2.8)$$

in the sense of distributions.

In order to define the energy with respect to the end-states $(\rho_\pm, m_\pm) = (\rho_\pm, \rho_\pm u_\pm)$, where $u_\pm = \frac{m_\pm}{\rho_\pm}$ if $\rho_\pm \neq 0$, or $u_\pm = 0$ otherwise, we follow [3] in defining smooth, monotone functions $(\bar{\rho}(x), \bar{u}(x))$ such that, for some $L_0 > 0$, independent of ϵ ,

$$(\bar{\rho}(x), \bar{u}(x)) = \begin{cases} (\rho_+, u_+), & x \geq L_0, \\ (\rho_-, u_-), & x \leq -L_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

By the Galilean invariance of the system, without loss of generality, we may assume either $u_- = 0$ or $u_+ = 0$.

We define the relative mechanical energy with respect to $(\bar{\rho}(x), \bar{m}(x)) = (\bar{\rho}(x), \bar{\rho}(x) \bar{u}(x))$:

$$\bar{\eta}^*(\rho, m) = \eta^*(\rho, m) - \eta^*(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) - \nabla \eta^*(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) \cdot (\rho - \bar{\rho}, m - \bar{m}) = \frac{1}{2} \rho |u - \bar{u}|^2 + \bar{h}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \geq 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\bar{h}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) = h(\rho) - h(\bar{\rho}) - h'(\bar{\rho})(\rho - \bar{\rho})$, and $h(\rho) = \frac{\kappa \rho^\gamma}{\gamma-1}$.

Definition 2.2. The *relative total mechanical energy* for (1.1) with respect to the end-states (ρ_{\pm}, m_{\pm}) through $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m})$ is

$$E[\rho, m](t) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\eta}^*(\rho(t, x), m(t, x)) A(x) dx \geq 0.$$

We say that a pair (ρ, m) is of *relative finite-energy* with respect to the end-states (ρ_{\pm}, m_{\pm}) if $E[\rho, m](t) < \infty$ for any $t > 0$.

2.3. Main Theorems. We now present the two main theorems.

Theorem 2.1 (Transonic Nozzle Solutions). *Let the cross-sectional area function $A(x) > 0$ be a C^2 -function satisfying (1.3) or (1.4). Assume that $(\rho_0, m_0) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\rho_0 \geq 0$ is of relative finite-energy with respect to the prescribed end-states (ρ_{\pm}, m_{\pm}) . Then there exists a global finite-energy entropy solution $(\rho, m)(t, x)$ of the transonic nozzle problem (1.1)–(1.2) in the sense of Definitions 2.1–2.2 such that*

$$(\rho, m) \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \quad \text{for } p \in [1, \gamma + 1) \text{ and } q \in [1, \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3}). \quad (2.11)$$

Remark 2.3. Condition (1.3) or (1.4) allows for the nozzles to open up at one end (with a unbounded cross-sectional area), and to shrink at both ends with rate $|x|^{-\alpha}$ for any $\alpha > 0$ (closed ends). In particular, a positive lower bound, or upper bound, of the cross-sectional area function is not required.

The existence of entropy solutions presented in the above theorem will be established as a vanishing viscosity limit of appropriately designed approximate solutions. We will therefore first develop the viscosity method to construct such approximate solutions and then derive the uniform estimates of the approximate solutions so that they satisfy the requirements of the L^p compensated compactness framework in [3]. Moreover, as a consequence of the approach and techniques developed below, we are able to prove the following result for the spherically symmetric Euler equations, including the unsolved case: $\gamma > 3$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(\rho_0, m_0) \in (L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+))^2$ be finite-energy initial data such that $\rho_0 \geq 0$ and*

$$E_*[\rho_0, m_0] := \int_0^{\infty} \eta^*(\rho_0, m_0) x^{n-1} dx < \infty.$$

Then, for the whole range $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a global entropy solution $(\rho, m)(t, x)$ of the spherically symmetric Euler equations with $A(x) = \omega_n x^{n-1}$, $x \geq 0$, for the surface area ω_n of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n such that $(\rho, m) \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for $p \in [1, \gamma + 1)$ and $q \in [1, \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3})$, and

$$E_*[\rho, m](t) := \int_0^{\infty} \eta^*(\rho, m) x^{n-1} dx \leq E_*[\rho_0, m_0] < \infty. \quad (2.12)$$

3. VISCOSITY APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS

We now develop the viscosity method to construct the viscosity approximate solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) and make some necessary estimates. Throughout this section and §4–§5, we first assume for simplicity of presentation that there exist $A_0, A_1, A_2 > 0$ such that condition (1.5)–(1.6) hold for the cross-sectional area function $A(x)$.

For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we consider the following approximate equations for $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (a, b)$:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t^\epsilon + m_x^\epsilon + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} m^\epsilon = \epsilon (\rho_{xx}^\epsilon + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \rho_x^\epsilon), \\ m_t^\epsilon + \left(\frac{(m^\epsilon)^2}{\rho^\epsilon} + p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \right)_x + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \frac{(m^\epsilon)^2}{\rho^\epsilon} = \epsilon \left(m_x^\epsilon + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} m^\epsilon \right)_x, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$p_\delta(\rho) = \kappa \rho^\gamma + \delta \rho^2, \quad \delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0 \text{ with } \delta(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

The introduction of this additional term $\delta \rho^2$ into the pressure function prevents cavitation (*i.e.*, the formation of a vacuum state) in the approximate solutions when $\epsilon > 0$. Here $a = a(\epsilon)$ and $b = b(\epsilon)$ are chosen such that

$$a(\epsilon) < -L_0, \quad a(\epsilon) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$b(\epsilon) > L_0, \quad b(\epsilon) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for L_0 in (2.9), independent of ϵ . We can take $a(\epsilon)$ and $b(\epsilon)$ to diverge at the same rate in $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, but this rate is not arbitrary. However, we have the freedom to design the approximating problems in a convenient manner so that this rate can be chosen carefully in §4–§5.

We pose approximate initial and Dirichlet boundary data:

$$\begin{cases} (\rho, m)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0^\epsilon, m_0^\epsilon)(x), & x \in (a, b), \\ (\rho, m)|_{x=a} = (\rho_-^\epsilon, m_-^\epsilon), & t \geq 0, \\ (\rho, m)|_{x=b} = (\rho_+^\epsilon, m_+^\epsilon), & t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\rho_\pm^\epsilon > 0$, and $(\rho_\pm^\epsilon, m_\pm^\epsilon) \rightarrow (\rho_\pm, m_\pm)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The imposition that the end-states for ρ be strictly positive is to prevent the possibility of cavitation. One of the motivations for us to impose the Dirichlet boundary data for the approximate solutions is to allow for the use of the weak maximum principle for obtaining the L^∞ estimate of the approximate solutions for the whole range $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$; see Lemma 3.3.

With this carefully designed initial-boundary value problem (3.1)–(3.4), the next goal of this section is now to establish the existence of globally-defined approximate solutions. Throughout this section, for $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $C^{2+\beta}([a, b])$ and $C^{2+\beta, 1+\frac{\beta}{2}}(Q_T)$ denote the usual Hölder and parabolic Hölder spaces on the interval $[a, b]$ and the parabolic cylinder $Q_T := [0, T] \times [a, b]$, respectively, as defined in [17].

Theorem 3.1. *For $\epsilon > 0$, let $(\rho_0^\epsilon, m_0^\epsilon) \in (C^{2+\beta}([a, b]))^2$ be a sequence of functions such that*

(i) $\inf_{a \leq x \leq b} \rho_0^\epsilon(x) > 0$;

(ii) $(\rho_0^\epsilon, m_0^\epsilon)$ satisfies (3.4) and the compatibility conditions at $x = a(\epsilon)$ and $b(\epsilon)$:

$$m_{0,x}^\epsilon = \epsilon A(x)^{-1} (A(x) \rho_{0,x}^\epsilon)_x, \quad \left(\frac{(m_0^\epsilon)^2}{\rho_0^\epsilon} + p_\delta(\rho_0^\epsilon) \right)_x = \epsilon A(x)^{-1} (A(x) m_0^\epsilon)_x;$$

(iii) $\int_a^b \left(\frac{(m_0^\epsilon)^2}{2\rho_0^\epsilon} + \frac{\kappa(\rho_0^\epsilon)^\gamma}{\gamma-1} \right) A(x) dx < \infty$;

(iv) $(\delta(\epsilon), a(\epsilon), b(\epsilon))$ satisfy (3.2)–(3.3).

Then there exists a unique global solution $(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)(t, x)$ of problem (3.1)–(3.4) for $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$ such that $(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon) \in (C^{2+\beta, 1+\frac{\beta}{2}}(Q_T))^2$ with $\inf_{Q_T} \rho^\epsilon(t, x) > 0$ for all $T > 0$.

We assume from now on that $a = a(\epsilon)$ and $b = b(\epsilon)$ are constants depending on $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\left(1 + \left| \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)' \right| \right) \epsilon |a - b| \leq M, \quad (3.5)$$

where $M > 0$ is a constant, independent of ϵ . For simplicity of presentation, we drop the explicit ϵ -dependence of all functions in this section, since the results hold for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$. Moreover, we use the following notation:

$$h_\delta(\rho) = \rho e_\delta(\rho), \quad e_\delta(\rho) = \int_0^\rho \frac{p_\delta(s)}{s^2} ds. \quad (3.6)$$

By the theory detailed in [17], in order to establish Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that, for a generic $C^{2,1}(Q_T)$ solution of (3.1), the following *a priori* bound holds:

$$\left\| \left(\rho, \rho^{-1}, \frac{m}{\rho} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} < \infty,$$

where the bound may depend on ϵ , T , and the initial-boundary data. Then this implies that a generic solution of the equations with the prescribed regularity remains in a bounded region, away from the singularities of the coefficients of the quasilinear parabolic system (3.1). The results in §5 and Theorem 7.1 in §7 of [17] then lead to the conclusion of Theorem 3.1.

The remainder of this section consists of making a series of energy estimates to deduce these bounds.

Our fundamental energy estimate concerns the control of the relative mechanical energy for system (3.1). We define a modified entropy-entropy flux pair:

$$\eta_\delta^* = \frac{m^2}{2\rho} + h_\delta(\rho), \quad q_\delta^* = \frac{m^3}{2\rho^2} + m h'_\delta(\rho),$$

and further modify these to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\eta}_\delta^*(\rho, m) &= \eta_\delta^*(\rho, m) - \eta_\delta^*(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) - \nabla \eta_\delta^*(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) \cdot (\rho - \bar{\rho}, m - \bar{m}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \rho |u - \bar{u}|^2 + \overline{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{m} = \bar{\rho} \bar{u}$ and $\overline{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) = h_\delta(\rho) - h_\delta(\bar{\rho}) - h'_\delta(\bar{\rho})(\rho - \bar{\rho})$.

Then the *relative total mechanical energy* for (3.1) with respect to the end-states (ρ_\pm, m_\pm) through $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m})$ is

$$E[\rho, m](t) := \int_a^b \overline{\eta}_\delta^*(\rho(t, x), m(t, x)) A(x) dx \geq 0.$$

Now we obtain

Proposition 3.1. *Let*

$$E_0 := \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \int_a^b \overline{\eta}_\delta^*(\rho_0^\epsilon(x), m_0^\epsilon(x)) A(x) dx < \infty.$$

Then there exists $M > 0$, independent of ϵ , such that, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u - \bar{u}|^2 + \overline{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \right) A(x) dx \\ &+ \epsilon \int_{Q_T} \left(h''_\delta(\rho) \rho_x^2 + \rho u_x^2 + \left| \left(\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \right)' \rho u (u - \bar{u}) \right| \right) A(x) dx dt \leq M(E_0 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Furthermore, for any $t \in [0, T]$, $|\{\rho(t, \cdot) > \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}\}| \leq c_2 E_0$, where $c_2 > 0$ may depend on T .

Before we prove Proposition 3.1, we note that there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$, independent of ϵ , depending only on $\bar{\rho}$ and γ such that $\overline{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \geq c_1 \rho (\rho^\theta - \bar{\rho}^\theta)^2$.

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (3.1) by $(\overline{\eta_\delta^*})_\rho A(x)$, the second equation by $(\overline{\eta_\delta^*})_m A(x)$, and then adding them together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\overline{\eta_\delta^*} A(x))_t + \left[(q_\delta^* - m(\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) - (\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p_\delta(\rho))(\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m})) A(x) \right]_x + ((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x m A(x) \\ & + ((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x (\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p_\delta(\rho)) A(x) + p_\delta(\rho)(\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) A'(x) \\ & = \epsilon(A(x)\rho_x)_x (\overline{\eta_\delta^*})_\rho + \epsilon A(x) (A(x)^{-1} (A(x)m)_x)_x (\overline{\eta_\delta^*})_m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Observe that, at the end-points a and b ,

$$q_\delta^* - m(\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) - (\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p_\delta(\rho))(\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) = -\frac{m_\pm}{\rho_\pm} p_\delta(\rho_\pm).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dE}{dt} - \frac{m}{\rho} p_\delta(\rho)|_a^b + \int_a^b \left[m((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x + ((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x (\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p_\delta(\rho)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} (\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) p_\delta(\rho) + \epsilon \left(\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \right)' m((\eta_\delta^*)_m - (\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m})) \right] A(x) dx \\ & = -\epsilon \int_a^b (\rho_x, m_x) \nabla^2 \overline{\eta_\delta^*} (\rho_x, m_x)^\top A(x) dx + \epsilon \int_a^b (\rho_x ((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x + m_x ((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x) A(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\rho + p_\delta(\rho) \leq M(\overline{h_\delta}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) + 1)$ and $\|A'\|_{L^1(-\infty, L_0)} \leq M$ and that $(\bar{\rho}_x, \bar{m}_x)$ are supported in $(-L_0, L_0)$. Moreover, since $\bar{m} = 0$ and $(\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) = 0$ for $x > L_0$ (recall we have used the Galilean invariance to impose that $u_+ = 0$ without loss of generality), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \left(m((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x + ((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x (\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p_\delta(\rho)) + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} (\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) p_\delta(\rho) \right) A(x) dx \\ & \leq M(E + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, integrating by parts gives

$$\epsilon \int_a^b (\rho_x ((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x + m_x ((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x) A(x) dx \leq M\epsilon(E + L_0 + \|A'\|_{L^1(-L_0, L_0)}).$$

Finally, we have

$$\int_a^b \epsilon \left| \left(\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \right)' m((\eta_\delta^*)_m - (\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m})) \right| A(x) dx \leq \epsilon M E + \epsilon M |b - a| \left(1 + \left| \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)' \right| \right).$$

Since $(\rho_x, m_x) \nabla^2 \overline{\eta_\delta^*} (\rho_x, m_x)^\top$ dominates $h_\delta''(\rho)|\rho_x|^2 + \rho|u_x|^2$ as in [4], we may combine all of these inequalities to obtain

$$\frac{dE}{dt} + \epsilon \int_a^b ((2\delta + \kappa\gamma\rho^{\gamma-2})|\rho_x|^2 + \rho|u_x|^2) A(x) dx \leq M(E + 1).$$

Hence, by the Gronwall inequality, we have

$$E(T) + \epsilon \int_{Q_T} ((2\delta + \kappa\gamma\rho^{\gamma-2})|\rho_x|^2 + \rho|u_x|^2) A(x) dx \leq M(T)(E_0 + 1). \quad (3.9)$$

In particular, we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b (\rho(u - \bar{u})^2 + \overline{h_\delta}(\rho, \bar{\rho})) A(x) dx \leq M(E_0 + 1). \quad (3.10)$$

Since $A(x) \geq A_0 > 0$, and $\overline{h_\delta}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \geq 0$ is quadratic in $(\rho - \bar{\rho})$ for ρ near $\bar{\rho}$ and grows as $\rho^{\max\{\gamma, 2\}}$ for large ρ , we conclude

$$|\{\rho(t, \cdot) > \frac{3}{2}\check{\rho}\}| \leq cE_0,$$

where $\check{\rho} = \max\{\rho_-, \rho_+\}$. □

The following lemma is a simple consequence of the fundamental theorem of calculus and the main energy estimate (Proposition 3.1), so its proof is omitted; see the proof of [4, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 3.2. *There exists $C = C(\epsilon, T, E_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^T \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^{2\max\{2,\gamma\}} dt \leq C.$$

From now on, the constants labelled C may depend on ϵ , whereas the constants labelled M are independent of ϵ . The following maximum principle result for the Riemann invariants gives *a priori* control on $\|(\rho, u)\|_{L^\infty}$ in terms of the Dirichlet boundary data and initial data.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists $C = C(\epsilon, T, E_0)$ such that, for any $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\|(\rho, u)\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq C(\|u_0 + R(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} + \|u_0 - R(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}) + C(\rho_\pm, u_\pm),$$

where

$$R(\rho) := \int_0^\rho \frac{\sqrt{p'_\delta(s)}}{s} ds.$$

Proof. The eigenvalues of the Euler system with pressure function $p_\delta(\rho)$ are

$$\lambda_1 := u - \sqrt{p'_\delta(\rho)}, \quad \lambda_2 := u + \sqrt{p'_\delta(\rho)},$$

and the corresponding Riemann invariants are

$$w := u + R(\rho), \quad z := u - R(\rho).$$

We first note that these Riemann invariants are quasiconvex:

$$\nabla^\perp w \nabla^2 w (\nabla^\perp w)^\top \geq 0, \quad -\nabla^\perp z \nabla^2 z (\nabla^\perp z)^\top \geq 0.$$

Following [4, Lemma 2.2], we multiply the first equation in (3.1) by w_ρ , multiply the second by w_m , and then add them together with a short calculation to derive that

$$w_t + \left(\lambda_2 - \epsilon \frac{A'}{A}\right) w_x - \epsilon w_{xx} = -\epsilon(\rho_x, m_x) \nabla^2 w(\rho_x, m_x)^\top - u \sqrt{p'_\delta} \frac{A'}{A} + \epsilon \left(\frac{A'}{A}\right)' u. \quad (3.11)$$

Notice that

$$(\rho_x, m_x) = \alpha \nabla w + \beta \nabla^\perp w,$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{w_x}{|\nabla w|^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\rho_x w_m - m_x w_\rho}{|\nabla w|^2}.$$

With this notation, we re-write (3.11) above as

$$w_t + \lambda w_x - \epsilon w_{xx} = -\epsilon \beta^2 \nabla^\perp w \nabla^2 w (\nabla^\perp w)^\top - u \sqrt{p'_\delta} \frac{A'}{A} + \epsilon \left(\frac{A'}{A}\right)' u,$$

where

$$\lambda := \lambda_2 - \epsilon \frac{A'}{A} + \epsilon \alpha^2 \nabla w \nabla^2 w (\nabla w)^\top + 2\epsilon \alpha \beta \nabla^\perp w \nabla^2 w (\nabla w)^\top.$$

Then, using the quasiconvexity property of w , we find that

$$\tilde{w}_t + \lambda \tilde{w}_x - \epsilon \tilde{w}_{xx} \leq 0$$

for \tilde{w} defined by

$$\tilde{w}(t, x) := w(t, x) - \int_0^t \left\| u \sqrt{p'_\delta} \frac{A'}{A} - \epsilon \left(\frac{A'}{A}\right)' u \right\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} d\tau.$$

The maximum principle for parabolic equations gives us

$$\max_{Q_t} \tilde{w} \leq \max\{w_0, \max_{[0,t] \times (\{a\} \cup \{b\})} \tilde{w}\}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{[0,t] \times (\{a\} \cup \{b\})} \tilde{w} &\leq \max\{u_- + R(\rho_-), u_+ + R(\rho_+)\} \\ &\quad + \check{\rho} \sup_{(a,b)} \left\{ \left| \frac{A'}{A} \right|, \left| \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)' \right| \right\} \int_0^t (1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma-1\}}) \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{Q_t} w &\leq \max_{(a,b)} w_0 + \max\{u_- + R(\rho_-), u_+ + R(\rho_+)\} \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t (1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma-1\}}) \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{Q_t} (-z) &\leq \max_{(a,b)} (-z_0) + \max\{u_- - R(\rho_-), u_+ - R(\rho_+)\} \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t (1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma-1\}}) \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\rho \geq 0$, we see that

$$\max_{Q_t} |u| \leq \max_{(a,b)} |w_0| + \max_{(a,b)} |z_0| + C \int_0^t (1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma-1\}}) \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} d\tau.$$

Since $\max\{1, \gamma-1\} < 2\gamma$, we obtain that, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{Q_t} |u|^2 &\leq C \left(\max_{(a,b)} |w_0| + \max_{(a,b)} |z_0| \right)^2 \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_0^t (1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^{\max\{1, \gamma-1\}}) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^2 d\tau \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\max_{(a,b)} |w_0| + \max_{(a,b)} |z_0| \right)^2 + C \int_0^t \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We then conclude the estimate for $\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_t)}$ by using the Gronwall inequality.

The estimate for ρ is now a direct consequence of the estimates of the Riemann invariants and the estimate of u above. In particular, we have

$$\max_{Q_t} R(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \max_{Q_t} (w - z) \leq C + C \int_0^t (1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma-1\}}) d\tau \leq C.$$

□

The next result provides the afore-mentioned higher order energy estimates that we require to conclude the upper bound of $\|\rho^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}$.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists $C = C(\epsilon, \|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}, \|(\rho_0, m_0)\|_{H^1(a,b)}, \gamma) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b (|\rho_x|^2 + |m_x|^2) dx + \int_{Q_T} (|\rho_{xx}|^2 + |m_{xx}|^2) dx dt \leq C.$$

Proof. Throughout this proof, $\Delta > 0$ is a constant which will be taken small at the end of the argument. We make frequent use of the upper bounds on (ρ, u) from Lemma 3.3.

Multiplying the first equation in (3.1) by ρ_{xx} and the second by m_{xx} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\rho_t \rho_x)_x - \frac{1}{2} (|\rho_x|^2)_t + (m_t m_x)_x - \frac{1}{2} (|m_x|^2)_t - \epsilon (|\rho_{xx}|^2 + |m_{xx}|^2) \\ &= -m_x \rho_{xx} - (\rho u^2 + p_\delta)_x m_{xx} - \frac{A'}{A} m \rho_{xx} - \frac{A'}{A} (\rho u^2 m_{xx} - \epsilon \rho_x \rho_{xx}) + \epsilon \left(\frac{A'}{A} m \right)_x m_{xx}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over Q_T and recalling that ρ and m are constant on $x = a$ and b , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b (|\rho_x|^2 + |m_x|^2) \Big|_0^T dx + \epsilon \int_{Q_T} (|\rho_{xx}|^2 + |m_{xx}|^2) dx dt \\ &= \int_{Q_T} (m_x \rho_{xx} + \frac{A'}{A} m \rho_{xx}) dx dt + \int_{Q_T} (\rho u^2 + p_\delta)_x m_{xx} dx dt \\ &+ \int_{Q_T} \frac{A'}{A} (\rho u^2 m_{xx} - \epsilon \rho_x \rho_{xx}) dx dt - \epsilon \int_{Q_T} (\frac{A'}{A} m)_x m_{xx} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the uniform bounds from the maximum principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (|\rho_x(T, x)|^2 + |m_x(T, x)|^2) dx + \epsilon \int_{Q_T} (|\rho_{xx}|^2 + |m_{xx}|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_T} (|\rho_{xx}|^2 + |m_{xx}|^2) dx dt + \int_a^b (|\rho_{0,x}(x)|^2 + |m_{0,x}(x)|^2) dx \\ & + C_\Delta \int_{Q_T} (|\rho_x|^2 + |m_x|^2) dx dt + C_\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Absorbing the first term by the left via taking Δ small, we conclude the expected estimate. \square

It now remains only to demonstrate an *a priori* upper bound for ρ^{-1} . To this end, we define

$$\phi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\rho - \tilde{\rho}}{\tilde{\rho}^2}, & \rho < \tilde{\rho}, \\ 0, & \rho > \tilde{\rho} \end{cases}$$

for some $\tilde{\rho} > 0$. Note that

$$\phi'(\rho) = \left(-\frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}^2}\right) \chi_{\{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}}, \quad \phi''(\rho) = \frac{2}{\rho^3} \chi_{\{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}}.$$

Lemma 3.5. *There exists $C > 0$ depending on $\|\phi(\rho_0)\|_{L^1(a,b)}$ and the other parameters of the problem such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \phi(\rho(t, \cdot)) dx + \int_{Q_T} \frac{|\rho_x|^2}{\rho^3} dx dt \leq C.$$

Proof. Multiply the first equation of (3.1) by $\phi'(\rho)$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_t + (u\phi)_x - \epsilon \phi_{xx} + 2\epsilon \frac{\rho_x^2}{\rho^3} \chi_{\{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}} \\ &= 2\left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}}\right) u_x \chi_{\{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}} + \frac{A'}{A} \rho u \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}^2}\right) \chi_{\{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}} + \epsilon \frac{A'}{A} \rho_x \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}^2} - \frac{1}{\rho^2}\right) \chi_{\{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating in (t, x) and using the boundary conditions ($\tilde{\rho} < \min\{\rho_-, \rho_+\}$ is chosen), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \phi(\rho) dx + \epsilon \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_x|^2}{\rho^3} dx dt \\ & \leq \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}} 2\left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}}\right) u_x dx dt \right| + \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}} \frac{A'}{A} \rho u \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}^2}\right) dx dt \right| \\ & + \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}} \epsilon \frac{A'}{A} \rho_x \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}^2} - \frac{1}{\rho^2}\right) dx dt \right| \\ & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \tilde{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_x|^2}{\rho^3} dx dt + C_\Delta \left(1 + \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) dx dt\right) \end{aligned}$$

and conclude the estimate by the Gronwall inequality. \square

For $x \in (a, b)$, we take x_0 to be the closest point to x such that $\rho(t, x_0) = \tilde{\rho}$ (if no such point exists, then we already have an upper bound on ρ^{-1}). By writing

$$|\rho(t, x)^{-1}| \leq \left| \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\rho_y(t, y)}{\rho^2(t, y)} dy \right| + |(\tilde{\rho})^{-1}|,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\rho(t, \cdot)^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} dt &\leq C \left(1 + \left(\int_{Q_T} \frac{|\rho_x|^2}{\rho^3} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(\int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right) \right) \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \left(\int_{Q_T} \frac{|\rho_x|^2}{\rho^3} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Finally, we use the previous lemmas to show the lower bound on ρ .

Lemma 3.6. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$C^{-1} \leq \rho(t, x) \leq C, \quad \int_0^T \left\| \left(\frac{m_x}{\rho}, \frac{\rho_x}{\rho}, u_x \right) (t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} dt \leq C.$$

Proof. By the Sobolev embedding and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\| \frac{m_x(t, \cdot)}{\rho(t, \cdot)} \right\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} dt &\leq \int_0^T \|m_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \|\rho^{-1}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \left(\int_a^b |m_{xx}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \left(\int_a^b \frac{|\rho_x|^2}{\rho^3} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_a^b \phi(\rho) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) dt \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate for $\frac{\rho_x}{\rho}$ is obtained in the same way.

For u_x , note first that $u_x = \frac{m_x}{\rho} - \frac{u\rho_x}{\rho}$ and argue similarly to the above. Finally, let $v = \frac{1}{\rho}$. Then, by (3.1), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} v_t + \left(u - \epsilon \frac{A'}{A} \right) v_x - \epsilon v_{xx} &= -\frac{\rho_t}{\rho^2} - \left(u - \epsilon \frac{A'}{A} \right) \frac{\rho_x}{\rho^2} + \epsilon \frac{\rho_{xx}}{\rho^2} - 2\epsilon \frac{\rho_x^2}{\rho^3} \\ &= \frac{\rho u_x}{\rho^2} - \frac{2\epsilon \rho_x^2}{\rho^3} + \frac{A'}{A} \frac{u}{\rho} \leq \left(u_x + \frac{A'}{A} u \right) v. \end{aligned}$$

By the maximum principle,

$$\max_{Q_T} v \leq C \max \{ \|v_0\|_{L^\infty}, \rho_-^{-1}, \rho_+^{-1} \} e^{\int_0^T \|(u_x, u)(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} d\tau} \leq C \max \{ \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(a, b)}, \rho_\pm^{-1} \}.$$

□

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4. UNIFORM ESTIMATES

As mentioned earlier, the purpose of this section and §5–§6 is to establish the proof of Theorem 2.1 by making the uniform estimates of the approximate solutions, independent of ϵ . Throughout this section, the universal constant $M > 0$ is independent of ϵ , and we assume that the area function $A(x) \in C^2$ satisfies (1.5).

The first estimate concerns the local higher integrability properties of the density.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact set such that $K \subset (a, b)$. Then, for $T > 0$, there exists a constant $M = M(K, T)$, independent of ϵ , such that*

$$\int_0^T \int_K (\rho^{\gamma+1} + \delta \rho^3) dx dt \leq M. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is by now standard. We simply observe that, on the compact set K , functions A , $\frac{A'}{A}$, and $\frac{A''}{A}$ are bounded uniformly by a constant $M = M(K)$. We therefore argue as in [4, Lemma 3.3] (compare also [3, Lemma 3.3]) to conclude (4.1). \square

The other key estimate concerns a local higher integrability property for the velocity:

$$\int_0^T \int_K (\rho|u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) dx dt \leq M.$$

The proof of this estimate relies on the observation that a careful choice of the generating function $\psi = \psi(s)$ yields an entropy flux function of strictly higher growth rate than its associated weak entropy function. This observation was first made in [16] and is contained in the next two results, which have been taken and adapted from Chen-Perepelitsa [3, 4].

Lemma 4.2 ([3], Section 2 and Lemma 3.4). *Let $\psi_{\#}(w) = \frac{1}{2}w|w|$. Then the entropy pair $(\eta^{\#}, q^{\#})$ corresponding to $\psi_{\#}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta^{\#}(\rho, m)| &\leq M(\rho|u|^2 + \rho^{\gamma}), & q^{\#}(\rho, m) &\geq \frac{1}{M}(\rho|u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}), \\ |\eta_m^{\#}(\rho, m)| &\leq M(|u| + \rho^{\theta}), & |\eta_{mm}^{\#}(\rho, m)| &\leq \frac{M}{\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

and, in the (ρ, u) -coordinates,

$$|\eta_{mu}^{\#}(\rho, \rho u)| \leq M, \quad |\eta_{m\rho}^{\#}(\rho, \rho u)| \leq M\rho^{\theta-1}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{\#}(\rho, 0) &= \eta_{\rho}^{\#}(\rho, 0) = 0, \\ q^{\#}(\rho, 0) &= \frac{\theta}{2}\rho^{3\theta+1} \int |s|^3 [1 - s^2]_+^{\lambda} ds > 0, \\ \eta_m^{\#}(\rho, 0) &= \alpha\rho^{\theta} \quad \text{with } \alpha := \int |s| [1 - s^2]_+^{\lambda} ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta^{\#}(\rho, m) = \alpha\rho^{\theta}m + r_2(\rho, m) \quad \text{with } |r_2(\rho, m)| \leq M\rho|u|^2.$$

Lemma 4.3. *For $\psi(s) = \psi_{\#}(s - u_-)$, the associated entropy pair $(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{q})$ can be written as*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}(\rho, m) &= \eta^{\#}(\rho, m - \rho u_-), \\ \tilde{q}(\rho, m) &= q^{\#}(\rho, m - \rho u_-) + u_- \eta^{\#}(\rho, m - \rho u_-), \\ \tilde{\eta}(\rho, m) &= \alpha\rho^{\theta+1}(u - u_-) + r_2(\rho, \rho(u - u_-)), \\ |r_2(\rho, \rho(u - u_-))| &\leq M\rho|u - u_-|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for the notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}(\rho, m) &:= \tilde{\eta}(\rho, m) - \nabla \tilde{\eta}(\rho_-, m_-) \cdot (\rho - \rho_-, m - m_-), \\ \tilde{q}(\rho, m) &:= \tilde{q}(\rho, m) - \nabla \tilde{\eta}(\rho_-, m_-) \cdot (m, \frac{m^2}{\rho} + p), \end{aligned}$$

the following inequalities and identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\eta}(\rho, m)| &\leq M(\rho|u - u_-|^2 + \rho(\rho^{\theta} - (\rho_-)^{\theta})^2), \\ \tilde{q}(\rho, m) &\geq \frac{1}{M}(\rho|u - u_-|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) - M(\rho + \rho|u - u_-|^2 + \rho^{\gamma}), \\ \tilde{q}(\rho_-, m_-) &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| -\check{q} + m\check{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho}\check{\eta}_m \right| \leq M\check{q} + M, \\
& \check{\eta}_m = \alpha(\rho^\theta - (\rho_-)^\theta) + (u - u_-)r(\rho, u), \quad |r(\rho, u)| \leq M, \\
& |m\check{\eta}_m| \leq M(\rho(u - u_-)^2 + \rho(\rho^\theta - (\rho_-)^\theta)^2 + \rho), \\
& |(\check{\eta}_m)_\rho| \leq M\rho^{\theta-1}, \quad |(\check{\eta}_m)_u| \leq M, \\
& |\check{\eta}_m| \leq M(|u - u_-| + |\rho^\theta - (\rho_-)^\theta|).
\end{aligned}$$

Before proving Lemma 4.6, we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *For any compact set $K \subset (a, b)$, there exists $\beta > 2$ such that*

$$\epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^3 A \, dy \, dt \leq M(|a|^\beta + 1) \quad \text{for any } x \in K, \quad (4.2)$$

where $M = M(K)$ is independent of $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. The proof is divided into three cases.

1. When $\gamma \in (1, 2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^3 A \, dy \, dt \\
& \leq M\epsilon(|a| + 1) \int_0^T \sup_{(a, x)} \rho^{3-\gamma} \, dt \\
& \leq M\epsilon(|a| + 1) + M\epsilon(|a| + 1) \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^{3-\frac{3\gamma}{2}} |(\rho^{\frac{\gamma}{2}})_y| \, dy \, dt \\
& \leq M\epsilon(|a| + 1) + M\epsilon(|a| + 1) \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^{6-3\gamma} A^{-1} \, dy \, dt + M\epsilon(|a| + 1) \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^{\gamma-2} |\rho_y|^2 A \, dy \, dt \\
& \leq M\epsilon(|a| + 1) + M\epsilon(|a| + 1) \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^{6-3\gamma} A^{2-\gamma} A^{\gamma-3} \, dy \, dt \\
& \leq M\epsilon(|a| + 1) + M\epsilon(|a| + 1) \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^3 \left(A \frac{1}{2(|a| + 1)M} + M|a|^{\beta_1(\gamma)} A^{\frac{\gamma-3}{\gamma-1}} \right) \, dy \, dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Young inequality. Then

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^3 \, dy \, dt \leq M\epsilon|a|^{\beta(\gamma)},$$

where $\beta(\gamma) = \beta_1(\gamma) + 1$.

2. Now we consider the case: $\gamma \in [2, 3]$. Note that $\int_a^x \rho A \, dy \leq M(E_0) + M(|a| + 1) \leq M(|a| + 1)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^3 A \, dy \, dt \leq \int_0^T \sup_{(a, x)} \rho^2(t, \cdot) \left(\int_a^x \rho A \, dy \right) \, dt \\
& \leq M(|a| + 1) \left(1 + \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho |\rho_y| \, dy \, dt \right) \\
& = M(|a| + 1) \left(1 + \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \rho^{\frac{\gamma-2}{2}} |\rho_y| \, dy \, dt \right) \\
& \leq M(|a| + 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^{4-\gamma} A^{-1} \, dy \, dt \right) \\
& \leq M(|a| + 1) \left(1 + \epsilon^{-1} + \int_0^T \int_a^x (\rho^\gamma A + A^{-\frac{4}{2\gamma-4}}) \, dy \, dt \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq M(|a| + 1)(1 + \epsilon^{-1} + |a|),$$

where we have written $A^{-1} = A^{\frac{4-\gamma}{\gamma}} A^{-\frac{4}{\gamma}}$ and applied the Young inequality with exponents $\frac{\gamma}{4-\gamma}$ and $\frac{\gamma}{2\gamma-4}$.

3. Finally, for $\gamma > 3$, the estimate follows directly from the main energy estimate, Proposition 3.1. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let (η_ψ, q_ψ) be an entropy pair such that the generating function ψ satisfies*

$$\sup_s |\psi''(s)| < \infty.$$

Then, for any $(\rho, m) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$|\xi^\top \nabla^2 \eta_\psi(\rho, m) \xi| \leq M_\psi \xi^\top \nabla^2 \eta^*(\rho, m) \xi,$$

where M_ψ depends only on ψ and γ .

The proof is direct; see [3]. Also recall that the mechanical energy $\eta^*(\rho, m)$ is convex.

The next lemma concerns the higher integrability property for the velocity and is another crucial estimate for applying the compensated compactness framework of [3].

Lemma 4.6. *Let $K \subset (a, b)$ be compact. Then there exist a constant $M = M(K, T)$ and an exponent $\beta > 2$, both independent of ϵ , such that*

$$\int_0^T \int_K (\rho |u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) dx dt \leq M \left(1 + \frac{|a|^\beta \delta}{\epsilon} + \epsilon |a| \right).$$

Proof. We multiply the continuity equation in (3.1) by $\tilde{\eta}_\rho A$ and the momentum equation by $\tilde{\eta}_m A$ to obtain that, after a short calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\eta} A)_t + (\tilde{q} A)_x + A'(-\tilde{q} + m \tilde{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \tilde{\eta}_m) + A' \tilde{\eta}_m(\rho_-, m_-) p(\rho) \\ &= \epsilon(\rho_{xx} + \frac{A'}{A} \rho_x) \tilde{\eta}_\rho A + \epsilon(m_x + \frac{A'}{A} m)_x \tilde{\eta}_m A - (\delta \rho^2)_x \tilde{\eta}_m A. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Integrating, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T A(x) \tilde{q}(x) dt &= \int_0^T A(a) \tilde{q}(a) dt - \int_a^x (\tilde{\eta}(T, y) - \tilde{\eta}(0, y)) A(y) dy \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_a^x A'(-\tilde{q} + m \tilde{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \tilde{\eta}_m) dy dt \\ &\quad + \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x A(\rho_{yy} + \frac{A'}{A} \rho_y) \tilde{\eta}_\rho + A(m_y + \frac{A'}{A} m)_y \tilde{\eta}_m dy dt \\ &\quad - \delta \int_0^T \int_a^x A(\rho^2)_y \tilde{\eta}_m dy dt - \int_0^T \int_a^x A' \tilde{\eta}_m(\rho_-, m_-) p(\rho) dy dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Now we make use of the crucial observation that the first term, which is poorly controlled in absolute value, is actually negative by Lemma 4.3, and so may be neglected. Considering the fourth integral on the right hand side, we integrate by parts to see

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x [(\rho_{yy} + \frac{A'}{A} \rho_y) \tilde{\eta}_\rho + (m_{yy} + \frac{A'}{A} m)_y \tilde{\eta}_m] A dy dt &= \epsilon \int_0^T A(y) (\tilde{\eta}(t, x))_x dt \\ &\quad - \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x A(y) (\rho_y (\tilde{\eta}_\rho)_y + m_y (\tilde{\eta}_m)_y) dy dt + \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x (\frac{A'}{A})_y m \tilde{\eta}_m A(y) dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

as $\tilde{\eta}_\rho = \tilde{\eta}_m = 0$ at $x = a$ by construction. Likewise, integrating by parts in the fifth integral,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\delta \int_0^T \int_a^x (\rho^2)_y \tilde{\eta}_m A(y) dy dt \\ & = \delta \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^2 [(\tilde{\eta}_m)_\rho \rho_y + (\tilde{\eta}_m)_u u_y] A(y) + \rho^2 \tilde{\eta}_m A'(y) dy dt - \int_0^T \rho^2 \tilde{\eta}_m A(x) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Combining these bounds and applying the bound for $-\tilde{q} + m\tilde{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho}\tilde{\eta}_m$ from Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \tilde{q}(x) A(x) dt & \leq I(x) + M \int_0^T \int_a^x |A'(y)| (\tilde{q}(t, y) + 1) dy dt \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \int_0^T (A(x) \tilde{\eta}(t, x))_x dt - \delta \int_0^T \rho^2 \tilde{\eta}_m A(x) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) & = M \int_a^x A(x) |\tilde{\eta}(T, y) - \tilde{\eta}(0, y)| dy dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x |A(\frac{A'}{A})_y m \tilde{\eta}_m| dy dt \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x |A \rho_y (\tilde{\eta}_\rho)_y + A m_y (\tilde{\eta}_m)_y| dy dt + \delta \int_0^T \int_a^x |A \rho^2 ((\tilde{\eta}_m)_\rho \rho_y + (\tilde{\eta}_m)_u u_y)| dy dt \\ & \quad + \delta \int_0^T \int_a^x |A' \rho^2 \tilde{\eta}_m| dy dt + \int_0^T \int_a^x |A' \tilde{\eta}_m (\rho_-, m_-) p(\rho)| dy dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T |A'| |\tilde{\eta}(t, x)| dt \\ & = I_1 + \dots + I_7. \end{aligned}$$

Note that I is increasing in x and $|\frac{A'}{A}| \leq M$. By the Gronwall inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T A \tilde{q}(x) dx & \leq I(x) e^{\int_a^x |A'| dy} + \varepsilon \int_0^T (A \tilde{\eta}(t, x))_x dt - \int_0^T \delta \rho^2 \tilde{\eta}_m A dt \\ & \quad + \int_a^x (\varepsilon (\int_0^T A \tilde{\eta}(t, y) dt)_y - \int_0^T \delta \rho^2 \tilde{\eta}_m A dt) |A'| e^{\int_y^x |A'| ds} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

We take a smooth cut-off function $\omega(x)$ with compact support in K such that $\omega(x) \leq 1$. Observe first that by the bound $|\tilde{\eta}(\rho, u)| \leq M(\rho|u - u_-|^2 + \rho(\rho^\theta - (\rho_-)^\theta)^2)$, we obtain, from Proposition 3.1,

$$\int_a^x \tilde{\eta}(t, y) A(y) dy dt \leq M(E_0 + 1). \quad (4.9)$$

Thus we may integrate (4.8) against ω and estimate the terms on the right as follows.

$$\left| \int_K \omega \int_a^x \varepsilon (\int_0^T A \tilde{\eta}(t, y) dt)_y |A'| e^{\int_y^x |A'| ds} dy dx \right| \quad (4.10)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon M (\|A'\|_{L^1}, \|A''\|_{L^\infty}) \int_K \omega \int_0^T \int_a^x A(y) \tilde{\eta}(t, y) dy dt dx + M \leq \varepsilon M |a|,$$

$$\left| \int_a^x (\int_0^T \delta \rho^2 \tilde{\eta}_m A dt) |A'| e^{\int_x^y |A'| ds} dy \right| \leq M \int_0^T \int_a^x \delta \rho^3 A dy dt \leq M \frac{|a|^\beta \delta}{\varepsilon}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$|\varepsilon \int_K \omega \int_0^T (A \tilde{\eta}(t, x))_x dt dx| = |\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_K \omega_x A \tilde{\eta}(t, x) dx dt| \leq M, \quad (4.12)$$

where we have made use of Lemma 4.4.

Thus, the lower bound $\tilde{q} \geq \frac{1}{M}(\rho|u - u_-|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) - M(\rho + \rho|u - u_-|^2 + \rho^\gamma)$ yields, after multiplying by ω and integrating in x ,

$$\int_K \int_0^T \omega A (\rho|u - u_-|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) dt dx$$

$$\leq \int_K \omega(x) I(x) e^{\int_a^x |A'| ds} dx + M \int_K \omega \int_0^T (|\rho|u - u_-|^2 + \rho^\gamma + \rho) dt dx + M \left(1 + \frac{|a|^\beta \delta}{\epsilon} + \epsilon |a|\right).$$

For the term involving $I(x)$, we have seen $\int_K |I_1| dx \leq M$ by (4.9). Now we may use the inequality for $|m\tilde{\eta}_m|$ from Lemma 4.3 to see

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \omega |I_2| dx &\leq M \left| \int_K \omega \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x \left| A \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)_y m \tilde{\eta}_m \right| dy dt dx \right| \\ &\leq M \int_K \omega \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x \left| A \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)_y (\rho + \rho(u - u_-)^2 + \rho(\rho^\theta - (\rho_-)^\theta)^2) \right| dy dt dx \\ &\leq M \int_K \omega \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x \left| A \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)_y (1 + \overline{\eta}_\delta^*) \right| dy dt dx \\ &\leq \epsilon M \left\| A \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)' \right\|_{L^\infty(a, x)} (|a| + 1) \\ &\leq \epsilon M (|a| + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $x \in K$ and M depends on K .

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &= \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x |A \rho_y (\tilde{\eta}_\rho)_y + A m_y (\tilde{\eta}_m)_y| dy dt \\ &= \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x A |(\rho_y, m_y) \nabla^2 \tilde{\eta}(\rho_y, m_y)^T| dy dt \\ &\leq M_\psi \epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x A(\rho_y, m_y) \nabla^2 \overline{\eta}^*(\rho_y, m_y)^T dy dt \\ &\leq M E_0, \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.5.

Next, also by Lemmas 4.3-4.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &= \delta \int_0^T \int_a^x |A \rho^2 ((\tilde{\eta}_m)_\rho \rho_y + (\tilde{\eta}_m)_u u_y)| dy dt \\ &\leq \delta \int_0^T \int_a^x A \rho^2 (M \rho^{\theta-1} |\rho_y| + |u_y|) dy dt \\ &\leq \delta M \left(\int_0^T \int_a^x A \rho^3 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T \int_a^x A \rho^{\gamma-2} |\rho_y|^2 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \delta M \left(\int_0^T \int_a^x A \rho^3 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T \int_a^x A \rho |u_y|^2 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} M \left(\int_0^T \int_a^x A \rho^3 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq M \frac{|a|^\beta \delta}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, from Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5| &\leq M \delta \int_0^T \int_a^x |A' \rho^2 (\alpha |\rho^\theta - (\rho_-)^\theta| + M |u - u_-|) dy dt \\ &\leq \delta M \int_0^T \int_a^x A(y) (\rho^3 + \rho(\rho^\theta - (\rho_-)^\theta)^2 + \rho |u - u_-|^2) dy dt \\ &\leq \delta (M + M \int_0^T \int_a^x A \rho^3 dy dt) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (M + M \frac{|a|^\beta \delta}{\epsilon}).$$

Since $|\frac{A'}{A}|$ is uniformly bounded,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_6| &= \int_0^T \int_a^x |A' \tilde{\eta}_m(\rho_-, m_-) p(\rho)| dy \leq M \int_0^T \int_a^x (A \bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) + A') dy \\ &\leq MT(E_0 + \|A'\|_{L^1(-\infty, \sup(K))}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_K |I_7| dx = \int_K \omega \int_0^T |A'| |\tilde{\eta}| dt dx \leq M \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} A(y) |\tilde{\eta}(t, y)| dx dt \leq M.$$

These estimates for I_j , $j = 1, \dots, 7$, show that

$$\int_K \omega(x) I(x) e^{\int_a^x |A'| ds} dx \leq M,$$

and hence we conclude the proof. \square

Once we have shown the above estimates to be uniform, we may apply the compensated compactness techniques from [3], as in [4]. To make this rigorous, we require our approximate solutions in the construction to satisfy the following:

- (i) $(\rho_0^\epsilon, m_0^\epsilon) \rightarrow (\rho_0, m_0)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, where we take $(\rho_0^\epsilon, m_0^\epsilon)$ to be the zero extension of $(\rho_0^\epsilon, m_0^\epsilon)$ outside (a, b) ;
- (ii) $\int_a^b \bar{\eta}_\delta^*(\rho_0^\epsilon(x), m_0^\epsilon(x)) A(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\eta}^*(\rho_0(x), m_0(x)) A(x) dx$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$;
- (iii) $\frac{\delta |a|^\beta}{\epsilon} \leq M$;
- (iv) $\epsilon |b - a| \leq M$,

where $M < \infty$ is independent of $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$.

With these properties of the approximate solutions, the bounds in the above lemmas become uniform in ϵ .

Proposition 4.7. *Let (η, q) be the entropy pair generated by $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then the entropy dissipation measures:*

$$\eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_t + q(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_x,$$

lie in a compact subset of H_{loc}^{-1} .

Proof. We divide the proof into six steps.

1. We first recall the following fact from Lemma 2.1 in [3]: For a C^2 function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of compact support, the associated entropy pair (η, q) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta(\rho, m)| + |q(\rho, m)| &\leq M_\psi \rho, \\ |\eta_m(\rho, m)| + |\rho \eta_{mm}(\rho, m)| &\leq M_\psi, \\ |\eta_\rho(\rho, m) + u \eta_m(\rho, m)| &\leq M_\psi (1 + \rho^\theta), \\ |\eta_{mu}(\rho, \rho u)| + |\rho^{1-\theta} \eta_{m\rho}(\rho, \rho u)| &\leq M_\psi, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last inequality, we regard η_m as a function of (ρ, u) .

2. Write $\eta^\epsilon = \eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)$ and $q^\epsilon = q(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)$ with $m^\epsilon = \rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon$. Then

$$\eta_t^\epsilon + q_x^\epsilon = -\frac{A'}{A} \rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon (\eta_\rho^\epsilon + u^\epsilon \eta_m^\epsilon) + \epsilon \left(\frac{A'}{A} \rho_x^\epsilon \eta_\rho^\epsilon + \left(\frac{A'}{A} m^\epsilon \right)_x \eta_m^\epsilon \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\epsilon(\rho_x^\epsilon(\eta_\rho^\epsilon)_x + m_x^\epsilon(\eta_m^\epsilon)_x) + \epsilon\eta_{xx}^\epsilon - (\delta(\rho^\epsilon)^2)_x \eta_m^\epsilon \\
& = : I_1^\epsilon + \dots + I_5^\epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

We want to prove that this is a sum of the terms uniformly in $L^1(0, T; L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ and the terms that are compact in $W_{loc}^{-1, q}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for some $q > 1$. Then the compact Sobolev embedding of L^1 into $W^{-1, q}$ (locally in \mathbb{R}_+^2) gives the compactness of the entropy dissipation measures in some $W_{loc}^{-1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$.

3. To this end, first observe that

$$|I_1^\epsilon(t, x)| \leq M \left| \frac{A'}{A} \right| |\rho^\epsilon| u^\epsilon | (1 + (\rho^\epsilon)^\theta) \leq M \left| \frac{A'}{A} \right| (\rho^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^2 + \rho^\epsilon + (\rho^\epsilon)^\gamma)$$

is uniformly bounded in $L^1(0, T; L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}))$.

Now we see

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^\epsilon &= \epsilon \left(\frac{A'}{A} \eta_x^\epsilon + \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)_x m^\epsilon \eta_m^\epsilon \right) = \epsilon \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)_x (m \eta_m^\epsilon - \eta^\epsilon) + \epsilon \left(\frac{A'}{A} \eta^\epsilon \right)_x \\
&= : I_{2a}^\epsilon + I_{2b}^\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that $|\eta^\epsilon - m^\epsilon \eta_m^\epsilon| \leq M(\rho^\epsilon + \rho^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^2)$. Thus, $I_{2a}^\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Next, for $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \left| \int_{\text{supp } \omega} I_{2b}^\epsilon \omega(t, x) dx dt \right| &= \left| \epsilon \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \frac{A'}{A} \eta^\epsilon \omega_x dx dt \right| \\
&\leq \epsilon M(\text{supp } \omega) \|\rho^\epsilon\|_{L^{\gamma+1}(\text{supp } \omega)} \|\omega\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $I_{2b}^\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $H_{loc}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Moreover,

$$|I_3^\epsilon| = \epsilon |\langle \nabla^2 \eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)(\rho_x^\epsilon, m_x^\epsilon), (\rho_x^\epsilon, m_x^\epsilon) \rangle| \leq M_\psi \epsilon \langle \nabla^2 \eta^*(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)(\rho_x^\epsilon, m_x^\epsilon), (\rho_x^\epsilon, m_x^\epsilon) \rangle,$$

which is uniformly bounded in $L^1(0, T; L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ by the main energy estimate, Proposition 3.1.

4. The next step is to show that $I_4^\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $W_{loc}^{-1, q}$ for some $q > 1$.

Claim. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ be compact, $0 < \Delta < 1$. Then

$$\int_0^t \int_K \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_x^\epsilon|^2 dx dt \leq M(T, \text{supp } \omega) (\Delta + \varepsilon + \varepsilon \Delta^{4-\gamma} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\Delta}).$$

Hence

$$\int_0^T \int_K \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_x^\epsilon|^2 dx dt \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\epsilon \eta_x^\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^q(0, T; L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R})) \text{ for some } q \in (1, 2).$$

We now drop the superscript ϵ and prove the claim. Define

$$\phi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho^2}{2}, & \rho < \Delta, \\ \frac{\Delta^2}{2} + \Delta(\rho - \Delta), & \rho \geq \Delta, \end{cases}$$

so that $\phi''(\rho) = \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}}$, and

$$\rho \phi'(\rho) - \phi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho^2}{2}, & \rho < \Delta, \\ \frac{\Delta^2}{2}, & \rho \geq \Delta. \end{cases}$$

Let $\omega = \omega(x) \geq 0$, $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. From the approximate continuity equation (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi\omega^2)_t + (\phi u\omega^2)_x - 2\phi u\omega_x\omega &= \phi'(\rho)\rho_t\omega^2 + \phi'(\rho)\rho_x u\omega^2 + \phi(\rho)u_x\omega^2 \\
&= -\phi'(\rho)\rho_x u\omega^2 - \phi'(\rho)\rho u_x\omega^2 + \phi'(\rho)\rho_x u\omega^2 + \phi(\rho)u_x\omega^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{A'}{A}\phi'(\rho)\rho u\omega^2 + \epsilon\phi'(\rho)\left(\rho_{xx} + \frac{A'}{A}\rho_x\right)\omega^2 \\
&= -\frac{A'}{A}\rho u\omega^2 \min\{\rho, \Delta\} - \frac{1}{2}u_x\omega^2(\rho^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}} + \Delta^2\chi_{\{\rho>\Delta\}}) \\
&\quad + \epsilon(\phi'\omega^2\rho_x)_x - \epsilon\omega^2|\rho_x|^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}} - 2\epsilon\min\{\rho, \Delta\}\omega_x\rho_x\omega + \epsilon\frac{A'}{A}\min\{\rho, \Delta\}\rho_x\omega^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by integrating, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int \epsilon\omega^2|\rho_x|^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}} dx dt \\
&= -\int \phi\omega^2|_0^T dx + 2\int_0^T \int \phi u\omega_x\omega dx dt - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \int (\rho^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}} + \Delta^2\chi_{\{\rho>\Delta\}})\omega^2 u_x dx dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T \int \frac{A'}{A}\rho u\omega^2 \min\{\rho, \Delta\} dx dt - 2\int_0^T \int \epsilon\min\{\rho, \Delta\}\omega_x\rho_x\omega dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int \epsilon\frac{A'}{A}\min\{\rho, \Delta\}\rho_x\omega^2 dx dt \\
&=: J_1 + \dots + J_6.
\end{aligned}$$

The first four terms, J_1, \dots, J_4 are easily bounded using the uniform energy estimates, giving a bound of $M\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$. We therefore focus on the last two terms, J_5 and J_6 .

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_5| &\leq 2\sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^T \int \sqrt{\epsilon}\rho\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}}|\omega_x|\omega|\rho_x| dx dt + 2\sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^T \int \sqrt{\epsilon}\Delta\chi_{\{\rho>\Delta\}}|\omega_x|\omega|\rho_x| dx dt \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}\int_0^T \int |\rho_x|^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}}\omega^2 dx dt + M\epsilon\int_0^T \int \Delta^2|\omega_x|^2 dx dt \\
&\quad + M\sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^T \int \sqrt{\epsilon}|\rho_x|\rho^{\frac{\gamma-2}{2}}\chi_{\{\rho>\Delta\}}\rho^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}\Delta|\omega_x|\omega dx dt \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}\int_0^T \int |\rho_x|^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}}\omega^2 dx dt + \epsilon\Delta^2M \\
&\quad + M\sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^T \int \epsilon\rho^{\gamma-2}|\rho_x|^2\omega^2 dx dt + M\sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^T \int \chi_{\{\rho>\Delta\}}\rho^{2-\gamma}\Delta^2\omega_x^2 dx dt \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}\int_0^T \int |\rho_x|^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}}\omega^2 dx dt + \epsilon\Delta^2M + \sqrt{\epsilon}M \\
&\quad + M\sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^T \int \Delta\chi_{\{\Delta<\rho\}}\rho^{3-\gamma}\omega_x^2 dx dt \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}\int_0^T \int |\rho_x|^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}}\omega^2 dx dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}M + \sqrt{\epsilon}\Delta^{4-\gamma}M,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that on the one hand, if $\gamma \in (1, 3]$, then $\rho^{3-\gamma} \leq \rho^{\gamma+1}$ and applied Lemma 4.1 and, on the other hand, if $\gamma > 3$, then on the set $\{\Delta < \rho\}$, we have that $\rho^{3-\gamma} \leq \Delta^{3-\gamma}$.

Next, we apply a similar argument to J_6 , using the bounds from Proposition 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_6| &\leq \epsilon \int_0^T \int \left| \frac{A'}{A} \right| \omega^2 \rho \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} |\rho_x| dx dt + \epsilon \int_0^T \int \left| \frac{A'}{A} \right| \omega^2 \Delta \chi_{\{\rho > \Delta\}} |\rho_x| dx dt \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} \int_0^T \int |\rho_x|^2 \omega^2 \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} dx dt + M \epsilon \Delta^2 \left\| \frac{A'}{A} \right\|_{L^\infty(\text{supp } \omega)} |\text{supp } \omega \times [0, T]| \\
&\quad + M \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int \sqrt{\epsilon} \left| \frac{A'}{A} \right| |\rho_x| \rho^{\frac{\gamma-2}{2}} \chi_{\{\Delta < \rho\}} \rho^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}} \Delta \omega^2 dx dt \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} \int_0^T \int |\rho_x|^2 \omega^2 \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} dx dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} \Delta M + \sqrt{\epsilon} \Delta^{4-\gamma} M.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^T \int \epsilon \omega^2 |\rho_x|^2 \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} dx dt \leq M(\sqrt{\epsilon}(1 + \Delta^{4-\gamma}) + \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int \epsilon \omega^2 |\rho_x|^2 \chi_{\{\rho > \Delta\}} dx dt \\
&\leq M \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int \epsilon \rho^{\gamma-2} |\rho_x|^2 \omega^2 \chi_{\{\Delta < \rho\}} dx dt + M \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int \epsilon \chi_{\{\Delta < \rho\}} \rho^{2-\gamma} \omega^2 dx dt \\
&\leq \sqrt{\epsilon} M + \sqrt{\epsilon} M \int_0^T \int \epsilon \chi_{\{\Delta < \rho\}} \omega^2 (1 + \rho^{\gamma+1}) dx dt \leq \sqrt{\epsilon} M + \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M}{\Delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^T \int \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_x|^2 \omega^2 dx dt \leq M(\Delta + \epsilon + \epsilon \Delta^{4-\gamma} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{\Delta}),$$

so that

$$\int_0^T \int \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_x|^2 dx dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

For the second part of the claim, note that

$$|\eta_x| \leq M(|\rho_x| |\eta_\rho + u \eta_m| + \rho |u_x|) \leq M(|\rho_x| (1 + \rho^\theta) + \rho |u_x|).$$

Let $q \in (1, 2)$ be such that $\frac{q}{2-q} = \gamma + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_K \epsilon^q |\eta_x|^q dx dt &\leq M \int_0^T \int_K \epsilon^q |\rho_x|^q dx dt + \int_0^T \int_K \epsilon^q |\rho_x| \rho^\theta + \rho |u_x| dx dt \\
&\leq \Delta + \frac{M}{\Delta} \int_0^T \int_K \epsilon^2 |\rho_x|^2 dx dt \\
&\quad + M \int_0^T \int_K \epsilon^q \rho^{\frac{q}{2}} (|\rho^{\frac{\gamma-2}{2}} \rho_x|^q + |\sqrt{\rho} u_x|^q) dx dt \\
&\leq \Delta + \frac{M \sqrt{\epsilon}}{\Delta} \int_0^T \int_K \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_x|^2 dx dt \\
&\quad + \epsilon^{q-1} M \int_0^T \int_K \epsilon (\rho^{\gamma-2} |\rho_x|^2 + \rho |u_x|^2) dx dt \\
&\quad + \epsilon^{q-1} M \int_0^T \int_K \epsilon \rho^{\frac{q}{2-q}} dx dt \\
&\leq \Delta + \frac{M \sqrt{\epsilon}}{\Delta} \int_0^T \int_K \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_x|^2 dx dt + \epsilon^{q-1} M.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $I_4^\epsilon = \epsilon \eta_{xx}^\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $W_{loc}^{-1,q}$.

5. Consider finally $I_5^\epsilon = (\delta(\rho^\epsilon)^2)_x \eta_m^\epsilon$. In the case that $\gamma \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_K |I_5^\epsilon| dx dt &\leq M_\psi \int_0^T \int_K \delta \rho |\rho_x| dx dt \\ &\leq M \int_0^T \int_K (\epsilon \rho^{\gamma-2} |\rho_x|^2 + \frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon} \rho^{4-\gamma}) dx dt \\ &\leq M \left(\int_0^T \int_K \frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon} \rho^3 dx dt + \frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq M \left(\frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\delta}{\epsilon} + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is uniformly bounded in ϵ .

If $\gamma > 3$, consider the above on the domains: $\{\rho < 1\}$ and $\{\rho > 1\}$. On the latter domain, we argue as above to obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{K \cap \{\rho > 1\}} |I_5^\epsilon| \leq M,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_K |I_5^\epsilon| dx dt &\leq M + M_\psi \int_0^T \int_{K \cap \{\rho < 1\}} \delta \rho |\rho_x| dx dt \\ &\leq M + M(T|K|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T \int_{K \cap \{\rho < 1\}} \delta^2 \rho^2 \rho_x^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq M + M \left(\int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon \delta \rho_x^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, I_5^ϵ is uniformly bounded in $L^1(0, T; L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}))$.

6. In Steps 1–5 above, we have shown that $\eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_t + q(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_x$ can be written as

$$\eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_t + q(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_x := f^\epsilon + g^\epsilon,$$

where f^ϵ is uniformly bounded in $L^1(0, T; L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}))$, and $g^\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $W_{loc}^{-1, q}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for some $q \in (1, 2)$.

Thus, there exists $q_1 \in (1, 2)$ such that

$$\eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_t + q(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_x \quad \text{is pre-compact in } W_{loc}^{-1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

We also know, from Lemma 4.1, that $\eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)$ and $q(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)$ are uniformly bounded in $L_{loc}^{\gamma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$.

Then, by the compensated compactness interpolation theorem, as $\gamma + 1 > 2$,

$$\eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_t + q(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_x \quad \text{is pre-compact in } W_{loc}^{-1, 2}(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

□

5. CONVERGENCE TO ENTROPY SOLUTIONS

Proposition 4.7, combined with the uniform estimates above, implies that the sequence of approximate solutions satisfies the compensated compactness framework in Chen-Perepelitsa [3]. With this framework, specifically the results of §5 and §7 in [3], we conclude that

$$(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon) \rightarrow (\rho, m) \quad \text{a.e. } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2,$$

and also in $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, for $p \in [1, \gamma + 1)$ and $q \in [1, \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3})$. This restriction may be seen as $|m|^q = \rho^{\frac{q}{3}} |u|^q \rho^{\frac{2q}{3}} \leq \rho |u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+1}$, precisely when $q = \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3}$.

The uniform estimates also give us the convergence of the mechanical energy as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$:

$$\eta^*(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon) \rightarrow \eta^*(\rho, m) \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

Moreover, by (3.10), we use that η^* is a convex function to see that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta^*(\rho, m)(t, x) A(x) dx dt \leq M(t_2 - t_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta^*(\rho_0, m_0)(x) A(x) dx + M,$$

hence, for a.e. $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta^*(\rho, m)(t, x) A(x) dx \leq M \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta^*(\rho_0, m_0)(x) A(x) dx + M.$$

This implies that no concentration of the density ρ is formed at any point in finite time.

We also see that, for any convex $\psi(s)$ with sub-quadratic growth, the uniform energy estimates of Lemmas 4.1–4.3 and the main energy estimate, Proposition 3.1, give that the sequences:

$$\eta_{\psi}^{\epsilon}, \quad q_{\psi}^{\epsilon}, \quad m^{\epsilon} \partial_{\rho} \eta_{\psi}^{\epsilon}$$

are equi-integrable. Thus, given such a function ψ , we multiply (4.13) by $A(x)$ and integrate against a smooth, compactly supported test function in \mathbb{R}_+^2 , and then pass to the limit to obtain the entropy inequality (2.8).

It remains only to check the limit in the equations in the sense of distributions. This is straightforward by multiplying the equations of (3.1) by a test function and integrating by parts before passing to the limit and using the uniform bounds above. Note that, in the momentum equation, the term $\delta \rho^2$ vanishes in the limit as $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This completes the proof of the main theorem, Theorem 2.1.

6. TRANSONIC NOZZLES WITH GENERAL CROSS-SECTIONAL AREAS

As mentioned in the introduction, the approach laid out above applies to much more general situations, for example an infinitely narrowing nozzle with closed-ends or an expanding nozzle with unbounded ends. In this section, we remove the additional assumptions placed on $A(x)$ in §3–§5 to conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1 for the transonic nozzles with general cross-sectional area function $A(x)$ satisfying (1.3), or (1.4).

More precisely, we now relax the lower bound on A to $A(x) > 0$ and allow A' is only in $L^1(-\infty, 0)$ or $L^1(0, \infty)$, especially allowing that the cross-sectional area function may converge to 0 as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and to ∞ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ or ∞ . For ease of reference, we restate the main theorem, Theorem 2.1, in the following:

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that $(\rho_0, m_0) \in (L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}))^2$ with $\rho_0 \geq 0$ is of relative finite-energy and that the cross-sectional area function $A \in C^2$ satisfies (1.3) or (1.4). Then there exists a sequence of approximate solutions $(\rho^{\epsilon}, m^{\epsilon})(t, x)$ solving (3.1)–(3.4) for some initial data $(\rho_0^{\epsilon}, m_0^{\epsilon})$ such that $(\rho_0^{\epsilon}, m_0^{\epsilon}) \rightarrow (\rho_0, m_0)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, with $(\rho_0^{\epsilon}, m_0^{\epsilon})$ taken to be the zero extension of $(\rho_0^{\epsilon}, m_0^{\epsilon})$ outside (a, b) , and $(\rho^{\epsilon}, m^{\epsilon}) \rightarrow (\rho, m)$ for a.e. $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ and in $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for $p \in [1, \gamma + 1)$ and $q \in [1, \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3})$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, such that $(\rho, m)(t, x)$ is a global finite-energy entropy solution of the transonic nozzle problem (1.1)–(1.2) for end-states (ρ_{\pm}, m_{\pm}) .*

First we recall that, without loss of generality, we may assume $u_+ = 0$ by the Galilean invariance of the original multidimensional Euler equations.

As we continue to impose the Dirichlet boundary conditions for the approximate problems (3.1), the existence of the approximate solutions is obtained as before (observe that once we restrict attention to interval (a, b) , we recover $0 < A_0(\epsilon) \leq A(x) \leq A_1(\epsilon) < \infty$). However, we then need to proceed with more care to demonstrate the uniform energy estimates of Proposition

3.1 and of §4 that enable us to employ the compensated compactness framework in [3] to pass to the vanishing viscosity limit and obtain an entropy solution of the transonic nozzle problem. In order to make these estimates uniform with respect to ϵ , we choose $\delta(\epsilon)$, $a(\epsilon)$, and $b(\epsilon)$ such that the following hold for a constant M independent of ϵ .

- $\epsilon|b - a| \leq M$,
- $\epsilon\|(\frac{A'}{A})'\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}\|A\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}|b - a| \leq M$,
- $\epsilon\|A''\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} \leq M$,
- $\delta\epsilon^{-1}\|A\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}|a|^{\beta(\gamma)}\|A^{\frac{\gamma-3}{\gamma-1}}\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} \leq M$,
- $\delta\epsilon^{-1}\|A\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}|a| \leq M$,
- $\delta\|A\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}|a|^2\|A^{-\frac{4}{2\gamma-4}}\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} \leq M$.

Such choices of the parameters can be achieved as long as the cross-sectional area function $A \in C^2$ satisfies (1.3) or (1.4).

6.1. Uniform Estimates. As mentioned, the first step to establish Theorem 6.1 for more general nozzles is to obtain the uniform energy estimate, which is the subject of the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *Let*

$$E_0 := \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \int_a^b \overline{\eta_\delta^*}(\rho_0^\epsilon(x), m_0^\epsilon(x)) A(x) dx < \infty.$$

Then there exists $M > 0$, independent of $\epsilon > 0$, such that, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u - \bar{u}|^2 + \overline{h_\delta}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \right) A(x) dx + \epsilon \int_{Q_T} \left(h_\delta''(\rho) \rho_x^2 + \rho u_x^2 + \left| \left(\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \right)' \rho u (u - \bar{u}) \right| \right) A(x) dx dt \\ & \leq M(E_0 + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. Exactly as in the proof of Proposition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dE}{dt} - \frac{m}{\rho} p_\delta(\rho)|_a^b + \int_a^b \left[m((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x A(x) + ((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x \left(\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p_\delta(\rho) \right) A(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) A'(x) p_\delta(\rho) + \epsilon \left(\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \right)' m((\eta_\delta^*)_m - (\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m})) \right] dx \\ & = -\epsilon \int_a^b (\rho_x, m_x) \nabla^2 \overline{\eta_\delta^*}(\rho_x, m_x)^\top A(x) dx + \epsilon \int_a^b (\rho_x((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x + m_x((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x) A(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe now that

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \int_a^b (\rho_x((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x + m_x((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x) A(x) dx \\ & \leq -\epsilon \int_{-L_0}^{L_0} ((\rho((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x + m((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x) A'(x) dx \\ & \quad - \epsilon \int_{-L_0}^{L_0} (\rho((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_{xx} + m((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_{xx}) A(x) dx \\ & \quad + \epsilon (\rho((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x + m((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x) A|_a^b \\ & \leq \epsilon M(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m})(E + \|A'\|_{L^1(-L_0, L_0)} + \|A\|_{L^\infty(-L_0, L_0)} L_0) \\ & \leq M(E + 1), \end{aligned}$$

since $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m})$ are constant outside $(-L_0, L_0)$ so that $((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x$ and $((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x$ vanish outside this interval. We have also used that $|a(\epsilon)| > L_0$ and $|b(\epsilon)| > L_0$ to see that the boundary term in the integration by parts vanishes. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b m((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x A(x) dx &\leq M \|A\|_{L^\infty(-L_0, L_0)} L_0 (E + 1), \\ \int_a^b ((\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}))_x \left(\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p_\delta(\rho) \right) A(x) dx &\leq M \|A\|_{L^\infty(-L_0, L_0)} L_0 (E + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Using the uniform bound $|\frac{A'}{A}| \leq M$, and $(\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) = 0$ for $x > L_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b p_\delta(\rho)(\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m}) A'(x) dx &\leq \int_a^{L_0} (MA(x) \bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) + |A'(x)|) dx \\ &\leq ME + \|A'\|_{L^1(-\infty, L_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we make the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^b \epsilon \left| \left(\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \right)' m((\eta_\delta^*)_m - (\eta_\delta^*)_m(\bar{\rho}, \bar{m})) \right| dx \\ &\leq \epsilon \left\| \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)' \right\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} M \int_a^b (\rho(u - \bar{u})^2 + \rho \bar{u}^2) A(x) dx \\ &\leq \epsilon \left\| \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)' \right\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} M (E + \|A\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} |b - a|) \\ &\leq M(E + 1). \end{aligned}$$

With these estimates, we use the Gronwall inequality to obtain the result as before. \square

We must now consider the key estimates of Lemma 4.6. Before that, we first consider Lemma 4.4. In the first line of the proof, we make the initial estimate:

$$\epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^3 A(y) dy dt \leq M \|A\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \epsilon (|a| + 1) \int_0^T \sup_{(a, x)} \rho^{3-\gamma} dt.$$

Continuing with the proof as before, we find that, by assumption,

$$\epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^3 dy dt \leq M \epsilon \|A\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} (|a|^{\beta(\gamma)} \|A^{\frac{\gamma-3}{\gamma-1}}\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} + 1) \leq M \epsilon \delta^{-1}.$$

The other case, $\gamma \in [2, 3]$, requires a similar modification, leading to

$$\epsilon \int_0^T \int_a^x \rho^3 A dy dt \leq M \|A\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} |a| (\epsilon + 1 + \epsilon |a| \|A^{-\frac{4}{2\gamma-4}}\|_{L^\infty(a, b)}) \leq M \epsilon \delta^{-1}.$$

Finally, we examine the proof of Lemma 4.6. Turning attention to estimates (4.10)–(4.12), we see that they remain uniform, under the assumption that $\epsilon \|A''\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \leq M$ and the uniform bound of Lemma 4.4.

We need to make the bounds on all of terms $I_j, j = 1, \dots, 7$, uniform in ϵ in order to conclude the expected result. Note first that I_1 and I_3 need no adjustment. We have seen that

$$\int_K \omega |I_2| dx \leq M \epsilon |a| \left\| A \left(\frac{A'}{A} \right)' \right\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \leq M,$$

by assumption. Examining the estimate for I_4 shows that

$$|I_4| \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} M \left(\int_0^T \int_a^x A \rho^3 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M \quad \text{uniformly in } \epsilon.$$

The bounds for I_5 and I_6 are seen to be uniform, due to the uniform bound of Lemma 4.4 and $|\frac{A'}{A}| \leq M$. Finally, we have

$$\int_K |I_7| dx = \left\| \frac{A'}{A} \right\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^T \int_K \omega(x) |\tilde{\eta}(t, x)| A(x) dx dt \leq M.$$

6.2. Compactness. With the uniform estimates discussed above, the only thing remaining to prove is Proposition 4.7. Its proof is seen to follow as before by using the uniform bound $|\frac{A'}{A}| \leq M$ and by observing that, in each of terms $J_1, j = 1, \dots, 6$, all the integrals are taken over the support of the test function ω , so that the factors of A, A^{-1} , etc., may be introduced freely. This concludes the proof of the main theorem, Theorem 2.1.

7. SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS TO THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL EULER EQUATIONS

In this section, we explain how the approach and techniques developed in §3– §6 above can be generalized to construct global spherically symmetric solutions to the multidimensional Euler equations for compressible fluid flows, as considered in Chen-Perepelitsa in [4]. This situation corresponds to the case that $A(x) = \omega_n x^{n-1} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, where ω_n is the surface area of the unit n -dimensional sphere.

In [4], it is allowed for the possibility of a blow-up of the density at the origin by imposing a Neumann boundary condition at the left boundary for the approximate solutions. By the Galilean invariance, the velocity can be taken to vanish at $x = \infty$, so the end-states $(\rho_+, u_+) = (\bar{\rho}, 0)$ at $b(\varepsilon)$ are imposed, where $\bar{\rho} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then the solutions of this approximate problem were constructed under the assumption that $\gamma \in (1, 3]$, while the convergence of the approximate solutions to the limit is shown for all $\gamma > 1$ in [4].

The following theorem gives the extension of this result for all the physical interval $\gamma > 1$, especially including the unsolved case $\gamma > 3$, which leads to Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $(\rho_0, m_0) \in (L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+))^2$ be finite-energy initial data such that $\rho_0 \geq 0$. Then there exists a global finite-energy entropy solution of the spherically symmetric Euler equations:*

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + m_x + \frac{n-1}{x} m = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ m_t + \left(\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p(\rho) \right)_x + \frac{n-1}{x} \frac{m^2}{\rho} = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ (\rho, m)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, m_0), & x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases}$$

where $p(\rho) = \kappa \rho^\gamma$ with $\gamma > 1$. This global entropy solution is the vanishing viscosity limit of the approximate solutions of the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t^\varepsilon + m_t^\varepsilon + \frac{n-1}{x} m^\varepsilon = \varepsilon x^{-(n-1)} (x^{n-1} \rho_x^\varepsilon)_x, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)), \\ m_t^\varepsilon + \left(\frac{(m^\varepsilon)^2}{\rho^\varepsilon} + p_\delta(\rho^\varepsilon) \right)_x + \frac{n-1}{x} \frac{(m^\varepsilon)^2}{\rho^\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \left(m_x^\varepsilon + \frac{n-1}{x} m^\varepsilon \right)_x, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)), \\ (\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon), & x \in (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)), \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

with appropriately chosen boundary conditions; that is, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \rightarrow (\rho, m) \quad \text{for a.e. } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$$

and in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for $p \in [1, \gamma+1)$ and $q \in [1, \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3})$. Here $p_\delta(\rho) = \kappa \rho^\gamma + \delta \rho^2$, $a(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and $b(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $(\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon) \rightarrow (\rho_0, m_0)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where $(\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)$ are taken to be the zero extension of (ρ_0, m_0) outside (a, b) .

To overcome the obstacle encountered in [4] for the unsolved case $\gamma \geq 3$, we develop two approaches here, thereby providing two methods for re-solving the problem for the spherically symmetric solutions for the whole range $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$. In §7.1, rather than taking the Neumann data for ρ at $a(\varepsilon)$ in [4], we choose the Dirichlet data at both ends here. One of the motivations is the fact that the boundary data are allowed not to be preserved in the limit (as boundary layers) by choosing $(\rho, u)|_{x=a} = (\bar{\rho}, 0)$ for the same $\bar{\rho}$ as at the other end-point in the construction of the approximate solutions. This allows us to use the above construction from §3 (especially the maximum principle for the approximate equations) to demonstrate the existence of the approximate solutions.

In §7.2, we consider the same problem but with the Neumann boundary data initiated in [4]. We are able to demonstrate how the higher order *a priori* energy estimates may be obtained for the approximate solutions even in this situation to conclude the existence of the approximate solutions for all $\gamma > 1$, especially including the unsolved case $\gamma > 3$, concluding the scheme set out in [4].

7.1. Dirichlet Boundary Conditions for the Density. We first demonstrate how the imposition of the Dirichlet boundary conditions for the approximate system (7.1) may be used to obtain the existence of globally defined, spherically symmetric entropy solutions of the compressible Euler equations. We therefore add to problem (7.1) with the Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$(\rho, m)|_{x=a} = (\bar{\rho}, 0), \quad (\rho, m)|_{x=b} = (\bar{\rho}, 0), \quad (7.2)$$

where $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Once again, the main point to check is that the key energy estimate holds for the approximate solutions. Indeed, the imposition of the Dirichlet data (rather than the Neumann data) enables us to apply the framework in §3 directly to construct the approximate solutions. Moreover, by choosing the same boundary data at the two end-points, we may take the monotone reference functions $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u})$ to be the constant state $(\bar{\rho}, 0)$. Then the relative mechanical entropy becomes

$$\overline{\eta}_\delta^*(\rho, m) = \eta_\delta^*(\rho, m) - \eta_\delta^*(\bar{\rho}, 0) - (\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0)(\rho - \bar{\rho}).$$

Proposition 7.1. *Let*

$$E_0 := \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \int_a^b \overline{\eta}_\delta^*(\rho_0^\epsilon(x), m_0^\epsilon(x)) A(x) dx < \infty.$$

Then there exists $M > 0$, independent of ϵ , such that, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \overline{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \right) x^{n-1} dx \\ & + \epsilon \int_{Q_T} \left(h_\delta''(\rho) \rho_x^2 + \rho u_x^2 + (n-1) \frac{\rho u^2}{x^2} \right) x^{n-1} dx dt \leq M E_0. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. With the above choice of $A(x) = \omega_n x^{n-1}$, equation (3.8) is simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} & (\overline{\eta}_\delta^* x^{n-1})_t + ((q_\delta^* - (\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0))_x + \varepsilon(n-1)m(\eta_\delta^*)_m x^{n-3} \\ & = \varepsilon(\rho_x x^{n-1})_x ((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho - (\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0)) + \varepsilon(m_x x^{n-1})_x (\eta_\delta^*)_m. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this over the parabolic cylinder Q_T and then integrating by parts with the new Dirichlet boundary conditions implies

$$\int_a^b \bar{\eta}_\delta^* x^{n-1} dx + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} ((\rho_x, m_x) \nabla^2 \bar{\eta}_\delta^* (\rho_x, m_x)^\top + \frac{n-1}{x^2} \frac{m^2}{\rho}) x^{n-1} dx dt = E_0,$$

as desired. Then we conclude as in Proposition 3.1 by the convexity of $\bar{\eta}_\delta^*$. \square

With this estimate, we are now in the situation of §3 of this paper. We may therefore proceed with the maximum principle estimate of Lemma 3.3 to obtain *a priori* upper bounds on the density and velocity of the fluid, and continue to deduce the existence of solutions $(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)$ of the initial-boundary problem (7.1)–(7.2), exactly as in Theorem 3.1:

Lemma 7.2. *For $\epsilon > 0$, let $(\rho_0^\epsilon, m_0^\epsilon) \in (C^{2+\beta}([a, b]))^2$ be a sequence of functions such that*

- (i) $\inf_{a \leq x \leq b} \rho_0^\epsilon(x) > 0$;
- (ii) $(\rho_0^\epsilon, m_0^\epsilon)$ satisfies (7.2) and the compatibility conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{n-1} m_0^\epsilon)_x|_{x=a} &= 0, \\ m_{0,x}^\epsilon|_{x=b} &= \epsilon x^{-(n-1)} (x^{n-1} \rho_{0,x}^\epsilon)|_{x=b}, \\ \left(\frac{(m_0^\epsilon)^2}{\rho_0^\epsilon} + p_\delta(\rho_0^\epsilon) \right)_x|_{x=b} &= \epsilon x^{-(n-1)} (x^{n-1} m_0^\epsilon)_x|_{x=b}; \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) $\int_a^b \left(\frac{(m_0^\epsilon)^2}{2\rho_0^\epsilon} + \frac{\kappa(\rho_0^\epsilon)^\gamma}{\gamma-1} \right) x^{n-1} dx < \infty$.

Then there exists a unique global solution $(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)$ of (7.1)–(7.2) for $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$ such that $(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon) \in (C^{2+\beta, 1+\frac{\beta}{2}}(Q_T))^2$ with $\inf_{Q_T} \rho^\epsilon(t, x) > 0$ for all $T > 0$.

To conclude the proof of Theorem 7.1, we note that, as remarked in [4], the arguments to derive the uniform estimates and convergence of approximate solutions in [4] do not depend on the choice of $\gamma \in (1, 3]$, but rather work for all $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$. In addition, we now observe that, in obtaining these uniform estimates, the integrals were considered only over compact regions $K \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, or over intervals (x, b) with $x \in K$ for a compact region $K \subset \mathbb{R}_+$. Therefore, our modification of the boundary condition at $a(\varepsilon)$ in the above does not affect these estimates at all. In particular, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose that $(\rho_0^\epsilon, m_0^\epsilon)$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 7.2 and that*

$$\sup_\epsilon \int_a^b \left(\frac{(m_0^\epsilon)^2}{2\rho_0^\epsilon} + \frac{\kappa(\rho_0^\epsilon)^\gamma}{\gamma-1} \right) x^{n-1} dx < \infty.$$

Let $\delta(\varepsilon), a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)$, and $\bar{\rho}(\varepsilon)$ satisfy the following relation:

$$\bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n \leq M,$$

where M is a constant independent of ε . Then, for any compact region $K \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, there exists $M > 0$ independent of ε such that

$$\int_0^T \int_K ((\rho^\epsilon)^\gamma + \delta(\rho^\epsilon)^3 + \rho^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^3 + (\rho^\epsilon)^{\gamma+\theta}) dx dt \leq M.$$

In addition, if $\psi(s)$ is any smooth, compactly supported test function on \mathbb{R} , then the associated entropy pair (η^ψ, q^ψ) satisfies

$$\eta^\psi(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_t + q^\psi(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_x \quad \text{are compact in } H_{loc}^{-1}.$$

The convergence of the approximate solutions to an solution of the spherically symmetric Euler equations now follows from the standard argument in [4], concluding the proof of Theorem 2.2.

7.2. Neumann boundary conditions for the density. Finally, we consider the approximate solutions of system (7.1) with the boundary data given by

$$(\rho_x, m)|_{x=a} = (0, 0), \quad (\rho, m)|_{x=b} = (\bar{\rho}, 0). \quad (7.4)$$

This choice of Neumann data for the density at $x = a$ has the advantage that it allows the density of the approximate solutions to become very large near the origin, a motivation for its choice in [4].

From [4, Proposition 2.1], we see that the mechanical energy is uniformly bounded:

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose that (ρ, u) is a $C^{2,1}(Q_T)$ solution of problem (7.1) and (7.4). Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{[0, T]} \int_a^b \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \right) x^{n-1} dx \\ & + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \left(h''_\delta(\rho) |\rho_x|^2 + \rho |u_x|^2 + (n-1) \frac{\rho u^2}{x^2} \right) x^{n-1} dx dt \leq E_0. \end{aligned}$$

Observe now that $\bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho})$ grows, for ρ large, as ρ^γ . In order to close the estimates of [4, Lemma 2.3] for all $\gamma > 1$, we require an additional higher order integrability of density ρ . To this end, we take the test function $\psi(s) = s^4$ and consider the entropy η^ψ generated from it. We observe that, by a simple calculation, η^ψ satisfies

$$\rho u^4 + \rho^{2\gamma-1} \leq M \eta^\psi(\rho, m) \quad \text{for all } (\rho, m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, \quad (7.5)$$

where constant M depends only on $\gamma > 1$.

Lemma 7.5. *Let*

$$\mathcal{E}_0 := \int_a^b \eta^\psi(\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon) x^{n-1} dx < \infty.$$

Then solutions $(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)$ of (7.1) and (7.4) satisfy that, for any $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \int_a^b \eta^\psi(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) x^{n-1} dx \leq \mathcal{E}_0. \quad (7.6)$$

In particular, there exists $C = C(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \int_a^b \rho^{2\gamma-1} x^{n-1} dx \leq C. \quad (7.7)$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma follows from the same calculation as that of [4, Proposition 2.1], but with the new entropy η^ψ in place of η^* . \square

We are now in a position to see that the theorem regarding the existence of the approximate solutions for the spherically symmetric Euler equations in [4, Theorem 2.1] (under the assumption of the Neumann boundary data for density ρ) can be extended to the full range of γ -law gases, especially the case: $\gamma > 3$. We observe that it suffices to show only Lemma 2.3 in [4], since the rest of the argument follows as in the case: $\gamma \in (1, 3]$ considered already in [4]. We therefore provide a sketch of the proof of this result, highlighting the places where this new estimate is used.

We aim to show for the whole range $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$ that there exists C , depending on $\varepsilon > 0$, such that the approximate solutions $(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)$ satisfy

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \int_a^b (|\rho_x^\varepsilon|^2 + |m_x^\varepsilon|^2) dx + \int_{Q_T} (|\rho_{xx}^\varepsilon|^2 + |m_{xx}^\varepsilon|^2) dx dt \leq C.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of [4, Theorem 2.1] and Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b (|\rho_x(t, x)|^2 + |m_x(t, x)|^2) dx + \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} (|\rho_{xx}|^2 + |m_{xx}|^2) dx d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b (|\rho_{0,x}|^2 + |m_{0,x}|^2) dx + \int_{Q_t} (m_x \rho_{xx} + \frac{n-1}{x} m \rho_{xx}) dx d\tau + \int_{Q_t} (\rho u^2 + p_\delta)_x m_{xx} dx d\tau \\ & \quad + (n-1) \int_{Q_t} \left(\frac{1}{x} \rho u^2 m_{xx} - \frac{\varepsilon}{x} \rho_x \rho_{xx} \right) dx d\tau - (n-1) \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} \left(\frac{m}{x} \right)_x m_{xx} dx d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We here give only the estimate of the critical term $(\rho u^2)_x m_{xx} = u^2 \rho_x m_{xx} + 2\rho u u_x m_{xx}$. Following [4, Theorem 2.1], we obtain that, for $\Delta > 0$ to be chosen later,

$$\int_{Q_t} |u^2 \rho_x m_{xx}| dx d\tau \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{xx}|^2 dx d\tau + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(\|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^4 \int_a^b h_\delta''(\rho) |\rho_x(\tau, x)|^2 dx \right) d\tau.$$

From the maximum principle lemma, [4, Lemma 2.2],

$$\|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^4 \leq C \left(1 + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_\tau)}^{2\max\{1, \gamma-1\}} \right),$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_t} |u^2 \rho_x m_{xx}| dx d\tau \\ & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{xx}|^2 dx d\tau + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(\left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \|\rho(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{2\max\{1, \gamma-1\}} \right) \int_a^b h_\delta''(\rho) |\rho_x(\tau, x)|^2 dx \right) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can use the improved estimate (7.7) and the argument of [4, Lemma 2.1], and also compare with Lemma 3.2 to show that

$$\|\rho^{2\gamma+1}\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \leq C \left(1 + \int_a^b |\rho_y|^2 dy \right).$$

We therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_t} |u^2 \rho_x m_{xx}| dx d\tau \\ & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{xx}|^2 dx d\tau + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(\left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_a^b |\rho_x(s, x)|^2 dx \right) \int_a^b h_\delta''(\rho) |\rho_x(\tau, x)|^2 dx \right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

for the whole range $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, especially including $\gamma > 3$.

Arguing similarly for the other terms, we conclude the result.

This result now allows us to conclude the argument of Theorem 2.1 in [4] as it is done there. As remarked previously, this is sufficient to apply the results of §3 in [4] to conclude Theorem 7.1, and hence Theorem 2.2.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Feimin Huang and Mikhail Perepelitsa for helpful discussions. The research of Gui-Qiang G. Chen was supported in part by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council Award EP/E035027/1 and EP/L015811/1, and the Royal Society–Wolfson Research Merit Award (UK). The research of Matthew R. I. Schrecker was supported in part by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council Award EP/L015811/1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, G.-Q., *Remarks on spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible Euler equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **127 A** (1997), 243–259.
- [2] Chen, G.-Q., Glimm, J., *Global solutions to the compressible Euler equations with geometrical structure*, Comm. Math. Phys. **179** (1996), 153–193.
- [3] Chen, G.-Q., Perepelitsa, M., *Vanishing viscosity limit of the Navier-Stokes equations to the Euler equations for compressible fluid flow*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **63** (2010), 1469–1504.
- [4] Chen, G.-Q., Perepelitsa, M., *Vanishing viscosity solutions of the compressible Euler equations with spherical symmetry and large initial data*, Comm. Math. Phys. **338** (2015), 771–800.
- [5] Courant, R., Friedrichs, K.O., *Supersonic Flow and Shock Waves*, Springer, New York (1962).
- [6] Dafermos, C. M., *Hyperbolic Conservation Laws in Continuum Physics*, 4th Edition, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2016.
- [7] DiPerna, R. J.: *Convergence of the viscosity method for isentropic gas dynamics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **91**, 1–30 (1983)
- [8] Embid, P., Goodman, J., Majda, A., *Multiple steady states for 1-D transonic flow*, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comp. **5** (1984), 21–41.
- [9] Germain, P., LeFloch, P. G., *Finite energy method for compressible fluids: the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg model*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), 3–61.
- [10] Glaz, H., Liu, T.-P., *The asymptotic analysis of wave interactions and numerical calculations of transonic nozzle flow*, Adv. Appl. Math. **5** (1984), 111–146.
- [11] Glimm, J., *Solutions in the large for nonlinear hyperbolic systems of equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (1965), 697–715.
- [12] Glimm, J., Marshall, G., Plohr, B., *A generalized Riemann problem for quasi-one-dimensional gas flow*, Adv. Appl. Math. **5** (1984), 1–30.
- [13] Guderley, G., *Starke kugelige und zylindrische Verdichtungsstöße in der Nähe des Kugelmittelpunktes bzw. der Zylinderachse*, Luftfahrtforschung **19**(9) (1942), 302–311.
- [14] LeFloch, P. G., Westdickenberg, M., *Finite energy solutions to the isentropic Euler equations with geometric effects*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **88** (2007), 389–429.
- [15] Li, T., Wang, D., *Blowup phenomena of solutions to the Euler equations for compressible fluid flow*, J. Diff. Eqs. **221** (2006), 91–101.
- [16] Lions, P.-L., Perthame, B., Tadmor, E., *Kinetic formulation for the isentropic gas dynamics and p -system*, Comm. Math. Phys. **163** (1994), 415–431.
- [17] Ladyzhenskaja, O.A., Solonnikov, V.A., Uraltseva, N.N., *Linear and Quasi-linear Equations of Parabolic Type*, LOMI-AMS (1968).
- [18] Liu, T.-P., *Quasilinear hyperbolic systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), 141–172.
- [19] Liu, T.-P., *Nonlinear stability and instability of transonic flows through a nozzle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **83** (1982), 243–260.
- [20] Liu, T.-P., *Nonlinear resonance for quasilinear hyperbolic equation*, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987), 2593–2602.
- [21] Lu, Y.-G., Gu, F., *Existence of global entropy solutions to the isentropic Euler equations with geometric effects*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **14** (2013), 990–996.
- [22] Makino, T., Mizohata, K., Ukai, S., *The global weak solutions of compressible Euler equation with spherical symmetry*, Jpn. J. Ind. Appl. Math. **9** (1992), 431–449.
- [23] Makino, T., Takeno, S., *Initial-boundary value problem for the spherically symmetric motion of isentropic gas*, Jpn. J. Ind. Appl. Math. **11** (1994), 171–183.
- [24] Rosseland, S., *The Pulsation Theory of Variable Stars*, Dover Publications Inc., New York (1964).
- [25] Slemrod, M., *Resolution of the spherical piston problem for compressible isentropic gas dynamics via a self-similar viscous limit*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb. **126 A** (1996), 1309–1340.
- [26] Whitham, G. B., *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, Wiley, New York (1974).
- [27] Yang, T., *A functional integral approach to shock wave solutions of Euler equations with spherical symmetry I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **171** (1995), 607–638.

- [28] Yang, T., *A functional integral approach to shock wave solutions of the Euler equations with spherical symmetry II*, J. Diff. Eqs. **130** (1996), 162–178.