



Reply to Spreco et al.: Perceived corruption and preferences for COVID-19 vaccine allocations

Raymond Duch^{a,1}, Thomas S. Robinson^b, Philip M. Clarke^{c,d}, Laurence S. J. Roope^c, and Mara Violato^c

In the year since our experiment was fielded (1), vaccination rates around the world have exhibited marked variation. In their response to our original article, Spreco et al. (2) highlight an important challenge for global public health officials; states with a lower vaccinated population fraction also tend to be more corrupt, as measured by the corruption perception index (CPI) (2). Vaccines are a public good, and hence, governments play a critical role in vaccinating their populations. In contexts with high levels of governmental corruption, citizens will and should be skeptical as to whether they will have appropriate access to this critical public good.

We concur with the authors that this skepticism could affect citizen preferences for how vaccines are distributed to the general public. Citizens in high-corruption countries may prefer alternative vaccine distribution strategies based on markets and private provisions, if this avoids issues of government inefficacy. That said, a very reasonable concern is that private modes of distribution would be inequitable, with access favoring the rich over the poor. We would, therefore, also expect that support for private distribution would be tempered by individuals' financial ability to purchase vaccines on the private market.




Fig. 2. Comparison of subjects' willingness to purchase a COVID-19 vaccine privately across ideological self-placement and countries' level of corruption.




Fig. 1. Comparison of subjects' willingness to purchase a COVID-19 vaccine privately across individuals' incomes and countries' level of corruption. Subject income is categorized as "high" ("low") dependent on being above (below) the corresponding country's median income. A country's level of corruption is measured by the CPI, a 0 to 100 scale where higher scores indicate less corruption. We categorize CPI scores less than (greater than or equal to) 50 as having a high (low) level of corruption. The 95% CIs are shown for each proportion. The bracket indicates the significance of a Student's *t* test of the difference in means between all subjects in low- and high-CPI countries.

Indeed, we do find that public preferences favor private provision in countries with high levels of corruption. As shown in Fig. 1, subjects in more corrupt countries (indicated by low CPI scores) were more willing to purchase vaccines privately ($t = -33.7, P < 0.001$).^{*} On average, subjects in more corrupt countries were 1.7 times more likely to indicate they would be willing to purchase a vaccine privately. This evidence is consistent with the authors' proposed argument: that individuals will demand private access when they cannot trust their domestic government to provide an effective vaccination program. An important qualification, however, is that the poor are, as we would expect, less enthusiastic than the rich in both contexts.

We observe a similar pattern of enthusiasm for, or opposition to, private provision dependent on individuals' ideological leanings. Fig. 2 compares the enthusiasm of left- and right-leaning subjects for private provision. It is the case that those on the left are consistently less enthusiastic for market provision of COVID-19 vaccines than those on the right. This difference is observed in both high- and low-corruption settings. What is striking in Fig. 2 is that despite this ideological difference, both those on the left and right are

^{*}CPI scores were taken from the Transparency International website (<https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2020/>; accessed 7 February 2022).

significantly more enthusiastic for private provision of COVID-19 vaccines in the high-corruption countries in our sample.

As Spreco et al. (2) indicate, corruption is a serious threat to efforts to vaccinate the global population against COVID-19. One consequence that we elaborate on in this response is the relatively higher levels of enthusiasm in corrupt countries for private provision of vaccines. These correlations are suggestive and should be the basis for further well-identified research helping us to understand how corruption shapes public confidence in and preferences for vaccine allocation mechanisms.

Author affiliations: ^aNuffield College, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 1NF, United Kingdom; ^bSchool of Government and International Affairs, Durham University, Durham DH1 3TU, United Kingdom; ^cHealth Economics Research Centre, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF, United Kingdom; and ^dCentre For Health Policy, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

Author contributions: R.D., T.S.R., P.M.C., L.S.J.R., and M.V. designed research; R.D. and T.S.R. performed research; R.D. and T.S.R. analyzed data; and R.D., T.S.R., P.M.C., L.S.J.R., and M.V. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: raymond.duch@nuffield.ox.ac.uk.

Published May 4, 2022.

1. R. Duch et al., Citizens from 13 countries share similar preferences for COVID-19 vaccine allocation priorities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2026382118 (2021).
2. A. Spreco, T. Schön, T. Timpka, Corruption should be taken into account when considering COVID-19 vaccine allocation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 10.1073/pnas.2122664119 (2022).