

1 **Patterns of runoff and sediment production in response to land-use changes in an ungauged**  
2 **Mediterranean catchment**

3

4 Xavier Rodriguez-Lloveras<sup>a\*</sup>; Gianbattista Bussi<sup>b,e</sup>; Félix Francés<sup>b</sup>; Emilio Rodriguez-Caballero<sup>c</sup>;  
5 Albert Solé-Benet<sup>d</sup>; Mikel Calle<sup>a</sup> and Gerardo Benito<sup>a</sup>.

6

7 <sup>a</sup> Department of Geology, National Museum of Natural Sciences, CSIC, Serrano 115 dupl.,  
8 28006 Madrid, Spain. \*Corresponding author: xavier@rodriguez-lloveras.com.es

9 <sup>b</sup> Research Institute of Water and Environmental Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de  
10 València, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022, Valencia, Spain.

11 <sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, University of Almería; Now at: Multiphase Chemistry Department,  
12 Max-Planck-Institute for Chemistry, Hahn-Meitner-Weg 1, 55128, Mainz, Germany.

13 <sup>d</sup> Desertification and Geo-ecology Group, Experimental Station of Arid Zones, EEZA-CSIC, Ctra.  
14 de Sacramento s/n, La Cañada de San Urbano, 04120, Almería, Spain.

15 <sup>e</sup> School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, OX13QY,  
16 Oxford, United Kingdom.

17

18 **ABSTRACT:**

19 Modelling hydrology and sediment yield and its scale dependency has been limited by the  
20 quantity and quality of instrumental data. This paper aims to apply alternative methods to  
21 estimate runoff and sediment production rates at the event-scale and to characterize the  
22 hydro-sedimentary response of a highly torrential Mediterranean catchment to historical  
23 changes in land use and vegetation. A selection of well-dated and spatially-distributed check  
24 dams within the catchment were used to calibrate and validate a hydro-sedimentary  
25 distributed model (TETIS). Sediment volumes deposited by individual runoff events and  
26 trapped in check dams were estimated on the bases of detailed stratigraphic descriptions and

subsequent GPS/TLS surveys. The model results showed a good agreement with the observed water flows and sediment volumes deposited behind several check dams. Management and land uses proved to be a decisive factor in the hydrological behaviour of the catchment, especially affecting erosion and sediment yield. It was observed that while the hydrological response of the catchment was sensitive to the percentage of each land use type, the sedimentary response was more dependent on the spatial distribution of land use. These differences between hydrology and sedimentary behaviour imply that the optimal soil use distribution for soil conservation may differ from the optimal soil use scenario oriented to attenuate flood peaks. This study suggest that soil use policies and erosion mitigation strategies should consider a holistic hydro-sedimentary approach, in order to become an adaptive option to reduce and mitigate the effects of erosion and flood peaks under global change.

KEY WORDS: TETIS; check dams; Scale factor; Extreme events; erosion; Land use.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In Mediterranean regions, soil erosion and desertification studies have been mainly focused on the hill slope or small catchment ( $<5 \text{ km}^2$ ) scales (García-Ruiz and López-Bermúdez, 2009; Nadal-Romero et al., 2011). However, watershed and land management practices demand reliable assessment of runoff and sediment yield at the catchment and regional scales. The extrapolation of on-site erosion rates to catchment sediment yield requires attention to high level properties of the catchment and the up-scaling of the surface processes to the scale of interest (de Vente et al., 2007; Wasson, 2002). Moreover, the sediment budget at any point in a catchment is the net result of all processes occurring upstream and conveys spatial and time scale problems related to the sediment connectivity, erosion sources and depositional sinks (Walling, 1983). For instance, in SE Spain the annual sediment yield recorded in a variety of

plots and catchment sizes range from 0.02 to 90.68 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> (Romero-Díaz et al., 2007). This reflects an inherent difficulty to characterize sediment production across different spatial and temporal scales. Over the last decades, major research efforts have focused on the development and application of catchment scale modelling as a mean to assess sediment production rates and to analyse the sensitivity of soil erosion to environmental changes (land use/land cover under similar meteorology; de Vente and Poesen (2005). Understanding the hydro-sedimentary response of a catchment to diverse land use configurations requires the implementation of conceptual or physically based models to simulate soil erosion and sediment transport processes across varying spatial and temporal scales. The state-of-art generation of spatially distributed catchment models have provided promising insights concerning the simulation of sediment dynamics from slope erosion to sediment routing along stream channels at event scale over long-term simulations (Aksoy and Kavvas, 2005). One of these physically based distributed models is TETIS, which was used in this study due to its good performance in simulating Mediterranean catchments. TETIS is a distributed rainfall-runoff model that includes a sediment yield sub-model capable to simulate catchment hydrology and sediment transport budgets at event scale over long term simulations (Bussi et al., 2013) as well as for future climate scenarios (Bussi et al., 2014a).

Regardless of the hydro-sedimentary model chosen, a major methodological problem to face for its implementation is the lack of reliable benchmark data to support the calibration and validation process. To cope with this limitation, reservoir sediment volumes have been considered in the literature as an alternative indirect method to obtain sediment production rates (Avendaño et al., 1997; Boix-Fayos et al., 2008; Romero-Díaz et al., 2007). This approach provides total sediment yield over time intervals between surveys, but does not supply information of the partial contribution of individual runoff events (Bussi et al., 2013). In Mediterranean regions, large intense rainfall events, as defined by González-Hidalgo and Peña-Monné (2007) and González-Hidalgo et al. (2012), are contributing a high percentage of the

total sediment yield. Therefore it is important to characterize their individual hydro-sedimentary contribution (Benito et al., 2015; Lewin et al., 1995; Machado et al., 2011). In a recent study, Bussi et al. (2013) presented a new methodology for model calibration and validation based on stratigraphic records from sediments stored in a check dam following sedimentary criteria normally used in paleo-hydrology studies (Baker et al., 1983; Benito et al., 2010; Benito et al., 2003; Corella et al., 2014; Machado et al., 2011). This approach introduces additional aspects to be considered, such as the representativeness of the retained volume of sediment in one check dam compared to the total sedimentary yield from the catchment, as well as the connectivity of sediment delivered by different inhomogeneous sub-catchments. Hence, it is necessary to test this calibration method on larger and more complex catchments where sediment contribution may vary among sub-catchments in relation to the lithology and land-use/land cover conditions. In order to assess the spatial patterns of soil erosion and sediment transport, in this study we propose the use of detailed infill stratigraphy from several check dams distributed all over the catchment area. This allows quantifying with high accuracy the sediment yield of different sub-catchments, which can then be related to land-use variations in space and time.

As a result of the scarcity of data and the complexity of the processes, the hydrological response and the sediment transport in arid and semi-arid Mediterranean areas are challenging to assess. We propose to use jointly check dam infill volumes, check dam stratigraphy and a distributed sediment and hydrological model to gain insights of the hydrological and sedimentary response of a highly complex and flashy semi-arid catchment.

The characteristics of the study area can be considered representative of many other Mediterranean catchments in terms of data availability and hydro-sedimentary response. The complexity of the case study requires the use of a distributed model along with indirect data estimation techniques to determine the hydro-sedimentary response of this catchment.

Thus, the purposes of this paper are: (a) to present a multi-disciplinary methodological approach for implementation of a distributed hydro-sedimentary model calibrated and validated with sedimentary records from check dams infill deposits in an ungauged Mediterranean catchment, (b) to test the performance of this model to quantify the hydro-sedimentary response to different configurations and historical changes in land use and vegetation cover at the catchment scale and on the long term, and (c) to provide environmental lessons learned from past land-use/land-cover changes implemented during the 20<sup>th</sup> for water and sediment conservation practices.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. STUDY AREA

The study area is the upper Guadalentín River catchment (SE Spain), with a drainage area of 429 Km<sup>2</sup> and elevations ranging between 2045 and 687 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1A). The study catchment comprises two main sub-catchments (Fig. 1B): the northern sector is drained by Rambla Mayor and the southern part by the Alcaide River. The Caramel River results from the junction of both streams upstream of the Valdeinfierno reservoir. The climate is Mediterranean (mean annual temperature ~13 °C) with semi-arid characteristics on the lower part of the catchment, and mountainous features in the high elevation reliefs. The mean annual precipitation ranges from 460 mm at the Sierra de Maria to 320 mm at the Valdeinfierno reservoir. Extreme rainfall (>30 mm h<sup>-1</sup>) is generally characterized by short events (from a few hours to a day) and takes place mainly in spring and autumn. Summers are characterized by dry weather. Soils within the study area show a poor development in agreement with its semi-arid Mediterranean characteristics. In the northern part of the catchment, soils are highly degraded with dominant occurrence of Calcaric Regosols, Cambisols and Calcisols. In the southern part Leptosols are concentrated on the uplands and Regosols on the lowlands (the latter especially in the eastern

part, where the majority of agricultural land is located). The soil organic matter content is usually moderately high, in general between 2 and 10%, with maximum values at 17%. The soils are thicker in the lowlands (depth: 50 – 100 cm) and thinner in the uplands (20 – 30 cm). The soil texture is mostly clay loam, loam and silt loam, with some sandier patches located in the central part.

The study area is representative of semi-arid Mediterranean regions with an environmental history characterized by dry land agriculture, mainly cereals with rotations of unseeded fallow, and grazing even on steep slopes. Since early 20th century, the studied catchment was the focus of extensive afforestation activities by the Spanish Water Authority to fight against soil erosion and reservoir siltation, which is already a major problem in the study area ( >70% of the Valdeinfierno reservoir silted; CEDEX, 1995). The extensive afforestation led to the declaration of the Natural Park of Sierra de Maria-Los Velez as an area of high environmental and cultural value in 1987. The current land management based on forest in the mountain reliefs and agricultural lands in the valley bottoms is representative of other Mediterranean regions (MME, 1998).

## 2.2. TETIS MODEL AND INPUT INFORMATION DESCRIPTION

The TETIS distributed hydrological and sediment model (Francés et al., 2007; Bussi et al., 2013; Bussi et al., 2014a, 2014b) was used to reproduce the hydro-sedimentary response of the Guadalentín River catchment up to the Valdeinfierno reservoir. This model was chosen because it has been largely used in similar catchments and it has also been previously employed for land-use change analysis (e.g. Velez et al., 2009; Salazar et al., 2013; Bussi et al., 2014a; Buendia et al., 2015).

TETIS is composed by two main sub-models: the hydrological and the sediment transport sub-models. The hydrological sub-model is based on a tank structure, where each tank represents a hydrological process (snowmelt, canopy interception, soil static storage, soil gravitational

156 storage and aquifer storage). The precipitation first fills the canopy interception and soil static  
157 storage tanks, which can only be emptied by evapotranspiration. Then, the remaining flow is  
158 divided into overland flow and infiltration, depending on the soil infiltration capacity. The  
159 water infiltrated in the soil is divided into interflow and aquifer flow depending on the soil and  
160 aquifer properties. The total flow to the drainage network is calculated by the sum of the  
161 overland flow, the interflow and the base flow. The total flow is routed downstream using the  
162 geomorphological kinematic wave methodology, which is based on the hydraulic geometry of  
163 the drainage network.

164 The sediment sub-model is based on the balance between sediment transport capacity by flow  
165 and sediment availability. The sediment transport capacity of the overland flow is calculated by  
166 the modified Kilinc-Richardson equation (Julien, 2010), using the overland flow computed by  
167 TETIS as input, and the river transport capacity is computed through the Engelund and Hansen  
168 (1967) equation, using the river water discharge simulated by the TETIS model as input. The  
169 available material is divided into three classes based on the grain size (sand, silt and clay), and  
170 the transport capacity is used to transport all the available material downstream. Then, the  
171 particle settling velocity is used to separate the transported material into suspended and  
172 deposited sediment.

173 The split-structure of the TETIS parameters (Francés et al., 2007) allows its calibration without  
174 changing the spatial structure of the parameter maps. In particular, the model parameter is  
175 the product of the observed or measured value of the parameter (the “actual” parameter  
176 value) and a correction factor, which is modified to take into account errors and uncertainty.

177 The model calibration is carried out adjusting up to nine correction factors for the hydrological  
178 sub-model ( soil static storage, evapotranspiration, infiltration capacity, overland flow velocity,  
179 percolation capacity, interflow velocity, deep aquifer percolation capacity, base flow velocity  
180 and river flow velocity), and three for the sediment sub-model (sediment transport capacity  
181 for overland flow, gully flow and river channel flow). The TETIS model is capable of simulating

the hydrological response and the sediment production under different hydro-meteorological conditions, including seasonal streams such as Mediterranean rivers. To implement the TETIS model, several thematic layers and on-site data are needed, including topographical data, lithology, soils, land-use practices, vegetation cover and its seasonal phenology, and meteorological data. This information allows the determination of the hydrologic and sedimentary parameters of the TETIS model (Fig. 1C). In addition, it is necessary to collect hydrological and sedimentary information to calibrate and validate the model.

The topographical information of the catchment was obtained from a digital elevation model (DEM; Table 1). The DEM data was used to generate other raster maps of slope, accumulated cells, flow direction and overland flow velocity. Geological maps were reclassified by lithology and used to calculate the percolation capacity within the area (Table 1).

Soil information maps were adapted from the Spanish Ministry of Agriculture project LUCDEME (Table 1). Based on the LUCDEME project map, the catchment was divided in 50 soil units. A soil unit is an area with the same type of soil, following the LUCDEME map. Soil description and sampling were carried out in 59 profiles. At least one soil sample was collected in each soil unit from the A soil horizon of each soil type, after cleaning all the superficial organic matter, from trenches of 0.1 to 1 m deep. In addition, in areas with anthropic influence such as ploughing, a second deeper sample was collected in order to analyse soil characteristics with and without human influence. All the soil samples were analysed in the laboratory. Analyses included bulk density, organic matter content, electrical conductivity and particle size. The soil sample results were extended to the all matching soil units to create maps of soil hydraulic parameters. In this way, the spatial structure of the soil maps is given by the LUCDEME map and the actual values of the soil properties are obtained from our soil sample analysis.

Temporal changes in land use were taken into account to analyse the impacts of land use and agricultural policies on the hydro-sedimentary response of the catchment. A total of five land



208 use maps were collected and used in this study, representing major land-use changes over the  
209 41 years (1971-2012) of the simulated time period (Table 1). They represented the land uses  
210 for specific years and time periods: 1956 to P1, 1976 to P2, 1990 to P3, 2000 to P4 and 2006 to  
211 P5 and P6 (refer to Table 2 for the beginning and end dates of the time periods). The land use  
212 was considered constant over the above mentioned periods, similarly to what was done by  
213 Buendia et al. (2015).

214 All the land use maps were resampled to 100x100m pixel resolution with five types of land use  
215 based on level 3 of the European project "CORINE Land Cover" following the method described  
216 by Baartman et al. (2013). The five land use categories considered are Dense Forest, Forest,  
217 Scrubland, Agricultural and Urban. For each category a monthly vegetation evapotranspiration  
218 coefficient was calculated based on the local vegetation typology and its seasonal phenology,  
219 as well as its maximum rain interception. These parameters were obtained from previous  
220 studies on the local plant species (Belmonte-Serrano and Romero Díaz, 2006; Belmonte-  
221 Serrano et al., 1999).

222 The soil information was combined with different land uses to calculate soil moisture, static  
223 storage (soil water holding capacity) and hydraulic conductivity (Fig. 1C). In particular, in this  
224 model application, land use was used to estimate three model parameters: the soil static  
225 storage (the vegetation determines the soil depth and thus the available water content), the  
226 canopy interception (different vegetation types have different interception capacity) and the C  
227 factor of the USLE (the land cover influences soil production). For the first two parameters, all  
228 the land uses were aggregated to obtain the five land uses presented above in order to  
229 simplify the spatial variability and patchiness of the catchment, as done previously in other  
230 works (Buendia et al., 2015; Bussi et al., 2014a, 2013). The C USLE factor, which represents the  
231 vegetation and management factor, was estimated considering a more detailed classification,  
232 following the guidelines provided by Almorox et al. (1994) and also used by Alatorre et al.  
233 (2010), Bussi et al. (2014) and Buendia et al. (2015) in other areas of Spain. This classification

included a more detailed description of types of agricultural land as well as bare soil and badlands areas which have a larger erodibility. Given that the TETIS model is a distributed model, the parameters influenced by land use are also distributed in space. Therefore, the hydro-sedimentary processes affected by land use, such as evapotranspiration, runoff generation and sediment production, are variable in space (and obviously in time). Hence, considering the model as a representation of the natural processes, the model results allow interpreting the effect of land use and its variability on the hydro-sedimentary response of the catchment. Rainfall and temperature series from thirteen meteorological stations provided a discontinuous record since 1918 and continuous since 1971 (Fig. 1B). Nine meteorological stations (Spanish Meteorological Agency; AEMET) contained the longest record at daily scale (1918-present). The other four stations belong to the Automatic Hydrological System (SAIH) of the Segura District Water Authority, with data since 1997 at a half hour resolution. The precipitation records were used to drive the model, while temperature was used to estimate potential evapotranspiration, using the Hargreaves equation (Allen et al., 1998). As the study catchment lacks of stream flow records and the calibration of TETIS model requires hydrological and sediment yield information, water and sediment data were estimated from indirect methods. In particular, the stream flow was derived from the daily water balance of the Valdeinfierno Reservoir whereas the sediment yield was estimated based on reservoir and check dam sedimentation volumes.

#### 2.2.1. HYDROLOGICAL SUB-MODEL IMPLEMENTATION

The nine correction factors of the hydrological sub-model were adjusted in order to reproduce the estimated inflow at the Valdeinfierno Reservoir from 1976 to 1984. This period was selected because it has an extended dry period with one major flood. The model was firstly validated for a two-year period from 2011 to 2012. This period was selected for being a short dry period with one severe flooding event. The aim of the selection of this period is to

determine if the model is capable of simulating correctly individual flash floods, which are responsible of sediment pulses, in short-timed simulations considering a long calibration period.

#### 2.2.2.SEDIMENTARY SUB-MODEL IMPLEMENTATION

The sedimentary sub model calibration was carried out using sedimentation volumes trapped in check dams. A total of 279 check dams built from 1956 to 2009 were identified in the study area through unpublished data from Armas et al. (1996), from the Andalucía regional government and from aerial photograph identification. These check dams are over 2.5 m high and have a drainage area of at least 10 ha. A field inspection demonstrated that most of them were of none or little use for our purpose of model calibration/validation due to failing on one or several of the following four criteria: 1) Construction date known; 2) Structural integrity (many of them had serious structural issues); 3) Representativeness of drainage area and 4) filling capacity (most of them where completely filled and the date of filling was unknown). These criteria were established on previous studies addressing the use of check dam siltation volumes to reconstruct sediment transport in the Mediterranean area (Bellin et al.,2011; Romero-Díaz et al., 2007; Sougnez et al.,2011).

The size, capacity and drainage area of check dams are also key criteria to represent the average sediment yield at the sub-catchment, and not just of local hill slope/gully erosion processes. For instance, Romero-Díaz et al. (2007) used check dams with drainage areas between 0.2 and 3163 ha, Bellin et al. (2011) between 3.9 and 911.1 ha and Sougnez et al. (2011) from 1.5 and 311 ha. According to the above mentioned criteria, only a total of 10 check dams build between 1976 and 2009 were used as sedimentary proxies for the sedimentary sub-model calibration (Fig. 2A).

The selected check dams cover in different proportions all land use categories described in the study area (Table 3). Two check dam catchments are dominated by afforested areas (3 and 10),

286 two by arable land (7 and 8), one is dominated by scrubland (2) and the rest present mixed  
287 land use. In terms of bare land or badland area, almost all check dams present severely eroded  
288 areas within their sub-catchments, with check dams 2 and 6 having a large proportion of their  
289 drainage area affected by badlands. In addition, check dam sub-catchment areas cover a broad  
290 range of catchment sizes, from 0.36 km<sup>2</sup> to 9.71 km<sup>2</sup>, thus accounting for different spatial  
291 scales, and therefore different sediment production-transport-deposition dynamics. Given  
292 these considerations and despite representing only just above 6% of the total surface, the  
293 selected check dams are considered representative of all the hydro-sedimentary processes in  
294 the catchment. Furthermore, it should be noticed that the hydrological sub-model (on whose  
295 results the sediment sub-model is based) was calibrated and validated on the whole  
296 catchment, using reservoir inflow data spanning a period of more than 40 years, thus providing  
297 a realistic description of the hydrological cycle at the scale of the whole Valdeinfierno  
298 catchment.

299 The superficial area and geometry of the sediment deposits were measured using high-  
300 resolution topography surveyed by terrestrial laser scanner (TLS) or differential GPS depending  
301 on vegetation cover, accessibility and presence of water in the dam. Where it was possible, a  
302 terrestrial laser scanner (Leica Scanstation 2) was used to acquire height point clouds for the  
303 superficial area and geometry of the sediment trapped. The instrument has a 360° horizontal  
304 and 270° vertical field-of-view, 1-mm accuracy, and can record up to 50,000 points/sec. The  
305 laser was mounted on a tripod and it was programmed to record points in a 0.02 x 0.02 m grid  
306 with 1-mm precision. Different scans were made of each plot to reduce shadows and occlusion  
307 from vegetation and topography. Cyclone 7.1 software (Leica Geosystems) was used to overlap  
308 the point clouds recorded for each plot, by means of at least four targets in common between  
309 scans. In dams where the use of TLS was not possible, topographic data was taken as one point  
310 per second measures using Real-Time kinematic (RTK) with a differential GPS (Trimble 4700;  
311 between two and three thousand points measured in each dam). GPS data were also collected

312 measuring all the edges of important morphologies within the dam sediment, and dense and  
313 spatially distributed random measures in more homogenous surfaces. TLS data were filtered to  
314 remove the vegetation signals from the laser points by using two coupled methods: 1)  
315 Generalize data resolution to 0.1x0.1 m pixel, assigning the lowest height value to the pixel,  
316 and 2) In 1x1 m areas, erase pixels with anomalous higher values and interpolating values  
317 among all neighbour cells (Rodriguez-Lloveras et al., 2014). Filtering was not needed in GPS  
318 data.

319 At least two trenches were dug across the dam sedimentary infill, namely at the front and  
320 middle-to-distal sectors (Fig. 2B). On these trenches, detailed stratigraphic profiles and  
321 longitudinal diagrams were elaborated. During stratigraphic analysis, a special emphasis was  
322 given to contacts between sedimentary units and to the identification of features indicating  
323 sub-aerial exposure contacts, which are indicative of post-flow surface exposure. These  
324 contacts were used to estimate the sediment volume for each layer (individual flood). In  
325 addition, sediment sampling was carried out, in order to perform physical and chemical  
326 analysis of each sedimentary layer (organic matter content, conductivity, grain size, etc.). The  
327 data collected provided the number of runoff events since the dam construction as well as the  
328 level-volume curve for each check dam (Fig. 2C).

329 Owing to the stratigraphic analysis, time series of sediment pulses were reconstructed at each  
330 check dam site, representing the sediment volume accumulated during floods occurred  
331 between the check dam construction and the date of the survey. The number of events  
332 recorded in the stratigraphy of the dams varied depending on the construction date (Fig. 2C)  
333 from 2 (dam Z3) to 9 (dam Z2), with volumes varying from 0.007 (check dam Z3) to 150 m<sup>3</sup>  
334 (check dam Z6). Given the size of the sub-catchments and the characteristics of the catchment  
335 hydrological response, it appears reasonable to think that these sediment layers were  
336 deposited during events which lasted less than one day. Therefore, daily time series of  
337 sediment deposited behind each check dam could be generated.

After the first survey campaign, an extraordinary flood event occurred in 28<sup>th</sup> of September 2012 (~50-yr return period event), and a second survey campaign was conducted. The comparison between surveys provided high precision quantification of sediment volume retained in the dams during the 2012 flood that it was later used for calibrating the TETIS sedimentary sub-model. Unfortunately, check dams Z3, Z7 and Z10 were damaged and Z1 and Z2 were completely silted during the 2012 flood event.

The three sediment sub-model correction factors were adjusted in order to reproduce the reconstructed sediment time series at each check dam. Bulk density of each layer was measured in order to convert from volume of sediment transported by the flow to volume of sediment deposited. The sediment trap efficiency of each check dam was taken into account dynamically (i.e. time-variant) by coupling a trap efficiency model (Verstraeten and Poesen, 2001) to the TETIS model, as presented by Bussi et al. (2013). The TETIS sediment sub-model was therefore used to produce time series of sediment volumes deposited behind all check dams, which were contrasted with the results of the stratigraphic analysis and the data collected before and after the 2012 event. This allowed assigning a date to each layer and to calibrate the sediment sub-model. The sediment sub-model validation was carried out using reported data of the Valdeinferno reservoir sedimentation volumes obtained from comparison of bathymetric surveys conducted by CEDEX in 1976, 1984 and 1995 (CEDEX, 1976; CEDEX, 1984; CEDEX, 1995).

The TETIS model performance during the calibration and validation processes was evaluated using the Nash-Sutcliffe (NS) efficiency index (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970) for the hydrological sub-model, and the percent bias (Pbias) and NS coefficients for the sediment sub-model (Moriassi et al., 2007).

### 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. MODELLING PERFORMANCE

#### 3.1.1. Hydrological modelling

The hydrological model performance was satisfactory, with a Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient of 0.67 for calibration (1976-1984, P2 in Table 2) and 0.97 for the initial validation period (2011-2012). In both cases the simulated flow ( $Q_{sim}$ ) showed a good temporal and magnitude agreement with the observed flow ( $Q_{obs}$ ) and proportional to precipitation ( $P$ ) (Fig. 3). When all the simulation periods are considered, it is observed that the hydrological sub-model tends to under-estimate the maximum peak flows ( $Q_{max}$ ; Table 2). Concerning the rest of time periods, the best simulation accuracy is observed in periods P1 ( $NS=0.91$ ) and P6 ( $NS=0.84$ ) both covering short time spans with at least one high magnitude flow peak. On the contrary the simulation presents a poor accuracy in periods P3 ( $NS=0.25$ ) and 5 ( $NS=-0.20$ ), representing long time intervals with low number of flows per year (P3), or low magnitude peak flows (P5). Nevertheless, low accuracy in P3 and P5 do not have a significant influence on the long term behaviour of the catchment, as the simulation for the total interval of 41 years shows a good model performance ( $NS=0.81$ ).

#### 3.1.2. Sediment yield modelling

The analysis of the sediment accumulated behind the check dams across the catchment, combined with the hydrological simulation, allowed the analysis of sediment patterns corresponding to different land-use environments and drainage areas. Dams damaged during the 2012 event (Z1, Z2, Z3, Z7 and Z10) showed channel formation and/or sand bar progradation upstream the check dam. Check dams not damaged by the 2012 event presented a uniform sediment infill (Z5, Z6, Z6b, Z8 and Z9), with evidences of slope erosion and lateral accumulation (Fig. 4). Sediment volume accumulated during the 2012 event ( $\Delta Volume$ ) showed that catchment erosion was not proportional to the drainage area. In fact, the check

dam with smallest drainage area (Z9) presented the highest area-specific sediment yield, while the one with largest drainage area (Z6b) presented the lowest rate of sediment yield per unit area. Other check dams as Z5 and Z8 showed similar erosion rates with very different drainage area. The calibration of sedimentary sub-model with sediment trapped in check dams gave a Nash-Sutcliffe (NS) coefficient of 0.67 and Pbias index of -13.175 whereas the validation (based on 1976 and 1984 reservoir bathymetries) provided a NS of 0.99 and Pbias index of 2.5, giving a satisfactory performance for validation and calibration, in accordance with the hydrological simulation performance (Fig. 5). Considering for validation the second period between the Valdeinfierno Reservoir bathymetries (1984-95), also showed a good performance with values of NS of 0.95 and Pbias of 7.7.

The simulation results showed that the sediment yield within a sub-catchment strongly depends on the maximum peak flow during the analysed period (Table 4). This dependence is either stronger during short periods with a high magnitude events, in which about 85% of total sediment may be displaced, or weaker during long periods with small maximum events where maximum flows transports about 25% of the total sediment.

### 3.2. Hydro-sedimentary response to changes on land-use

Fig. 6 shows the historical evolution of the land use. Forest land tends to correspond to steep slopes while agricultural fields cover valley bottoms or low slope areas. It can be observed that forested areas tend to decrease with time while agricultural lands tend to extend. Differences in each use extension have little effect on the total runoff volume ( $\Delta$  2.97% between minimum and maximum) and maximum daily flow ( $\Delta$  1.73% between minimum and maximum). On the contrary, the sediment yield is highly sensitive to changes in land use, presenting variations of 12.31% in sedimentary volume and 17.86% in daily maximum sediment production (Table 5). Following the model results, the highest runoff total volume (Total Vol. water) and maximum daily flow (Qmax/day water) are generated by the land use configurations with the highest



percentage of agricultural lands and low cover of forest land (Fig. 6 and Table 5). Within the configurations analysed, the lowest runoff production was obtained for the 1976 land use, characterized by the highest extension of forest use and the lowest extension agricultural land cover. Conversely, the highest runoff volume occurred corresponding to the 2006 land use configuration, which contains the lowest extension of forest and the highest surface of agricultural land. Concerning sediment transport, the values of total volume (Total Vol. sediment) and maximum daily sediment flow ( $Q_{\max}/\text{day sediment}$ ; Table 5) are highly influenced by the spatial distribution of land uses. Distributions with low cover of forested areas showed the highest values of sedimentary production (i.e. land uses of 1956, 1976), while distributions with more extended forested areas on the slopes gave rise to the lowest sediment yield (i.e. land use of 2000). Differences in vegetation position on the slope between similar land use configurations also gave rise to variations in sediment production. Comparing the two land use configurations with the highest sedimentary production (1956 and 1976), it can be observed that more mature vegetation in gentle slopes, as in 1956 map, gave a higher value of total sediment volume, while distributions with more mature vegetation in the high relief mountain as 1976 map present higher value of maximum daily sedimentary flow. These results demonstrate the high sensitivity of sediment transport to land use changes.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The TETIS model simulations using proxy and reservoir data in an ungauged catchment showed good performance compared with the accuracy ranges for spatially distributed models presented by Moriasi et al. (2007). It was observed that the TETIS simulations are influenced by local and regional climatic conditions, precipitation volume and intensity, flow characteristics (intensity and magnitude), length of simulated period and the initial data accuracy (Bussi et al., 2014a; Velez et al., 2009). The best model performance was obtained during relatively short periods of simulation containing a high flow peak, presenting very accurate results simulating

442 high magnitude floods. Conversely, the poorest model performance was obtained during long  
443 periods with low magnitude events.

444 Comparing the results of the hydrological sub-model with the observed water discharge values  
445 obtained from reservoir level records, it was detected that the TETIS model tended to  
446 underestimate the flow peaks. This is a recurrent result as it was described in different studies  
447 using TETIS (Bussi et al., 2013; Coccia and Todini, 2011; Velez et al., 2009), but did not appear  
448 to have a major effect on the sediment model performance, which was still very good  
449 (following Moriasi et al., 2007).

450 Comparison of simulated sediments with sediment trapped in check dams and in the reservoir  
451 at different time length periods indicated a good performance at the catchment and check  
452 dam sub-catchments scales and reduced to acceptable levels the scale lumping described by  
453 Walling (1983). The amount of check dams with the optimal characteristics available to  
454 determine the sediment transport was smaller than in previous studies (i.e. Romero-Díaz,  
455 2007; Bellin et al., 2011; Sougné et al., 2011). It is believed that this factor adds extra value to  
456 the model results, since the combination of a mathematical model with sedimentary  
457 information provided a satisfactory description of the catchment sedimentary behaviour. This  
458 information (filled check dams and/or large reservoirs) can be found in many arid and semi-  
459 arid Mediterranean catchments, and therefore the methodology presented in this study can  
460 be considered highly transferable. The results presented in this study disagree with previous  
461 works, which indicate that calibration of hydrologic and sedimentary distributed models in  
462 small catchments is not representative of larger areas (Takken et al., 1999; Van Rompaey et al.,  
463 2005). As presented by Romero-Díaz et al. (2011), different techniques for measuring erosion  
464 in similar environments may give rise to a wide range of denudation results. Several  
465 techniques and studies considered by Romero-Díaz et al. (2011) such as geomorphologic  
466 transects (Takken et al., 1999), are focalized in small areas with predominant processes such as  
467 badlands, formation or slope erosion and do not cover all the environments within the basin.

Other techniques, implemented at the catchment scale, such as reservoir bathymetries (Van Rompaey et al., 2005), are not precise enough to reproduce the erosion processes during single events. In our study, these problems were minimized by using the sediment volumes deposited behind check dams for the sediment sub-model calibration in catchments covered by a variety of land-uses and erosion processes, combined with an acceptable sedimentary connectivity between the different sub-catchments.

Sediment sub-model results showed that the largest sediment volumes were produced during the largest precipitation-runoff events, which it is an inherent characteristic of the hydro-sedimentary behaviour of Mediterranean catchments (González-Hidalgo et al. 2007 and González-Hidalgo et al. 2012; Baartman et al., 2013; Bussi et al., 2013). Hence, the basin sedimentary behaviour is controlled by the frequency and magnitude of these events, implying that analysis and management of erosion should consider its spatial and temporal distribution individually, since average erosion rates may not be representative of the phenomena. This statement is supported by the model results, which indicated that a single flow event can contribute most of the sediment produced over a long period. This observation is in agreement with other studies in southern Spain (Lopez-Bermudez, 1990; Puigdefábregas, 1998). This also implies that the underestimation of flow peaks may lead to the underestimation of total erosion. However, the good agreement between the observations and TETIS simulations of the hydrologic and sedimentary behaviours of the catchment suggested that TETIS model represents a good approach for characterization of a Mediterranean torrential basin with slight tendency to underestimate flow peaks.

The lack of proportionality between accumulated sediment volume and drainage area can be explained by differences in land-use and land-cover conditions in the sub-catchments, including accelerated erosion processes such as badlands formation. Results of different land use conditions demonstrated the critical role of land uses influencing the hydrology and the sedimentary behaviour of the catchment. This is in accordance with empirical evidence and

the literature, as land use is known to affect runoff generation, evaporation, groundwater recharge, stream discharge and sediment production (e.g. Chase et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2001; Benyon et al., 2006 and Piao et al. 2007). It was noticed that runoff production is dependent of the percentage of each land use category considered. On the other hand, the catchment sedimentary behaviour is more dependent on the spatial distribution of each soil use category. This statement agrees with previous studies in Spain and other Mediterranean areas (Garcia-Ruiz, 2010; Van Rompaey et al., 2005), where the use, expansion and location of forest and agricultural land uses and their management have a strong influence on erosion rates (Hooke and Sandercock, 2012). Therefore, soil erosion management may be improved when optimized spatial distribution of land uses is considered (Van Rompaey et al., 2005). The setting of natural vegetation on gentle slope areas reduces runoff volume and can attenuate maximum peak flow in the lower areas of the catchment (Zhang et al., 2001), though it may have a minor influence on the sediments produced at the higher, more marked slopes of the catchment, where most of the processes of accelerated erosion take place. On the contrary, natural vegetation in areas of steep slope reduces significantly the runoff energy in these environments and, in extension, the sediment transported along the catchment (Kosmas et al., 2000 and Cerdà, 2007). However, an overabundance of natural vegetation restricts the human activities, especially limiting the extension of arable land. For that reason it is necessary to analyse all the available variables influencing soil erosion to asses land use management in Mediterranean catchments.

The analysis of historical land-use and land-management evolution of the study area combined with local climate and crop cycle, can help to identify the land use configurations that can reduce flow peak magnitude and improve soil conservation. In this study, we highlighted the importance of changes in the extension of agricultural land. In this study region, the land occupied by agriculture extended in time, an opposite trend compared to other mountain regions of Spain such as Pyrenees, where abandonment of agricultural land have prevailed

over the last 60 years (Garcia-Ruiz, 2010; Gallart and Llorens, 2004). Furthermore, since the most extended crop of the area is cereal, set-aside land management is extensively used. As shown by Boellstorff and Benito (2005), the implementation of set-aside land management can lead to increased erosion. Given the seasonal phenology of the cereals growth in the area, the set-aside season is usually at the end of the summer – beginning of autumn, coinciding with the most important period of heavy rainfalls thus increasing soil erosion. This implies that, in this catchment, agricultural land areas respond to extreme events like abandoned agricultural land described for example by Lesschen et al. (2008) and García-Ruiz et al. (2013). The critical role of land use conditions for water and sediment production implies a high potential of land use management as an excellent adaptive option to reduce and mitigate the effects of erosion caused by climate change in torrential Mediterranean catchments.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

The distributed hydro-sedimentary model TETIS was calibrated with stratigraphic and volumetric records of sediments accumulated behind check dams during recent runoff events. The TETIS model provided a good performance in reproducing the hydro-sedimentary response of the catchment, demonstrating its capability as a robust tool for the characterization of runoff and sediment yield at different time periods and spatial scales. In the study catchment, the hydrological, sedimentary and morpho-dynamical behaviour was driven by high intensity and magnitude rainfall phenomena. For this reason, in Mediterranean catchments sediment production models should provide a good performance at the event-scale, since calculating average erosion over a given period of time can result in non-representative erosion rates.

The implementation of the hydro-sedimentary model TETIS allowed the understanding of the water and sediment cycle under different historical land-use configurations. The largest runoff volume and maximum daily discharge were produced by the land-use configurations with the

highest percentage of agricultural land and the lowest cover of forest. On the other hand, the sediment transport (total sediment volume and maximum daily sediment flow) showed a strong dependence on the spatial distribution of land uses, with the lowest sediment production corresponding to land-use configurations where the forested areas and shrubs covered the low parts of the hillslopes.

The differences in the impacts of land use characteristics on hydrology and sediment yield imply that the land use policies and the erosion mitigation strategies should consider holistic hydro-sedimentary scenarios, in order to optimize the response to hydrologic and sedimentary extremes, and minimize its impact on the catchments. Land use cover in the Valdeinfierno catchment proved to be a critical factor in the hydrological and sedimentological response. For that reason, and because land-use management allows a fast local action, land-use distribution and its management should be viewed as an adaptive option to reduce and mitigate the effects of erosion in torrential Mediterranean areas.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge to Cristina Armas (EEZA-CSIC) the access to an unpublished database of the sedimentation status in 1996 of the check dams within the study basin. This study was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through the research projects FLOOD-MED (ref. CGL2008-06474-C02-01/02), CLARIES (ref. CGL2011-29176), ECOTETIS (ref. CGL2011-28776-C02-01), and E-HIDROMED (ref.CGL2014-58127-C3), and the special action ref. CGL2007-29834 to acquire the TLS. The hydro-meteorological data was provided by the Automatic Hydrological Information System of the Spanish Segura River Authority (SAIH-CHS), the Spanish Meteorological Agency (AEMET) and the Spanish Centre for Studies and Experimentation in public works (CEDEX). Check dam information was provided by the Regional Government of Andalusia (Spain).

572 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 573 Aksoy, H., Kavvas, M.L., 2005. A review of hill slope and watershed scale erosion and sediment  
574 transport models. *Catena*, 64(2-3): 247-271. DOI:10.1016/j.catena.2005.08.008
- 575 Alatorre, L.C., Beguería, S., García-Ruiz, J.M., 2010. Regional scale modelling of hill slope  
576 sediment delivery: A case study in the Barasona Reservoir watershed (Spain) using  
577 WATEM/SEDEM. *J. Hydrol.* 391, 109-123. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.07.010
- 578 Allen, R.G., Pereira, L., Raes, D., Smith, M., 1998. Crop evapotranspiration: guidelines for  
579 computing crop water requirements. FAO. Irrigation and drainage paper, 56. Food and  
580 Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, 300 pp.
- 581 Almorox, J., de Antonio, R., Saa, A., Cruz Díaz, M., Gascó, J.M., 1994. Metodos de estimación de  
582 la erosion hídrica. Editorial Agrícola Española S.A.
- 583 Armas, C., Del Barrio, G. y Puigdefabregas, J., 1996. Check dam condition as an indicator of  
584 catchment features associated with storm flood Generation in southern Spain. In: IECA  
585 (Ed.), *Proceeding of the First European Conference on Erosion Control*, Sitges, Spain.
- 586 Avendaño, C., Sanz Montero, M.E., Cobo, R., Gomez, J.L., 1997. Sediment Yield at Spanish  
587 Reservoirs and its relationship with the drainage basin area. In: *Dams*, I.I.C.o.L. (Ed.),  
588 19th Symposium of Large Dams. ICOLD (International Committee on Large Dams),  
589 Florence, pp. 863-887.
- 590 Baartman, J.E.M., Temme, A.J.A.M., Veldkamp, T., Jetten, V.G., Schoorl, J.M., 2013. Exploring  
591 the role of rainfall variability and extreme events in long-term landscape development.  
592 *Catena*, 109(0): 25-38. DOI:10.1016/j.catena.2013.05.003
- 593 Baena Pérez, J., 1972. Mapa geológico de España-931: Zarcilla de Ramos. In: *Energía,*  
594 *s.d.p.d.m.d.i.y.* (Ed.), *Mapa geológico nacional (MAGNA)*. Instituto Geologico y Minero  
595 de España (IGME), Madrid. DOI:M-9396-1973

596 Baena Perez, J., de Torres, T., Geel, T., Roep, T.B., 1976. Mapa geológico de España-952: Vélez  
 597 Blanco. In: Energía, s.d.p.d.m.d.i.y. (Ed.), Mapa geológico nacional (MAGNA).  
 598 InstitutoGeologico y Minero de España (IGME), Madrid. DOI:M-42644-1977  
 599 Baena Perez, J., Guzman del pino, J.L., Jerez Mir, F., 1978. Mapa geológico de España-951:  
 600 Orce. In: Energía, s.d.p.d.m.d.i.y. (Ed.), Mapa geológico nacional (MAGNA). Instituto  
 601 Geologico y Minero de España (IGME), Madrid. DOI:M-6791-1978  
 602 Baker, V.R., Kochel, R.C., Patton, P.C., Pickup, G., 1983. Palaeohydrologic analysis of Holocene  
 603 flood slack-water sediments. *Modern and ancient fluvial systems*, 6: 229-239.  
 604 Bellin, N., Vanacker, V., Van Wesemael, B., Solé-Benet, A., Bakker, M.M., 2011. Natural and  
 605 anthropogenic controls on soil erosion in the Internal Betic Cordillera (southeast  
 606 Spain). *Catena* 87, 190–200. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2011.05.022  
 607 Belmonte-Serrano, F., Romero Díaz, A., 2006. Medida de la precipitación incorporada al suelo  
 608 bajo distintas cubiertas vegetales en Ambiente Mediterráneo semiárido, tomando  
 609 Como indicador las variaciones de humedad. *Papeles de Geografía*, 43: 5.  
 610 Belmonte Serrano, F., Romero Díaz, A., López Bermúdez, F., Hernández Laguna, E., 1999.  
 611 Óptimo de cobertura vegetal en relación a las pérdidas de suelo por erosión hídrica y  
 612 las pérdidas de lluvia por interceptación. *Papeles de Geografía*, 30: 5-15.  
 613 Benito, G., Macklin, M.G., Zielhofer, C., Jones, A., Machado, M.J., 2015. Holocene flooding and  
 614 climate change in the Mediterranean. *Catena*, 130, 13-33.  
 615 DOI:10.1016/j.catena.2014.11.014  
 616 Benito, G., Rico, M., Sánchez-Moya, Y., Sopeña, A., Thorndycraft, V.R., Barriendos, M., 2010.  
 617 The impact of late Holocene climatic variability and land use change on the flood  
 618 hydrology of the Guadalentín River, southeast Spain. *Glob.Planet. Change* 70, 53–63.  
 619 doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2009.11.007  
 620 Benito, G., Sopena, A., Sanchez-Moya, Y., Machado, M.J., Perez-Gonzalez, A., 2003.Palaeoflood  
 621 record of the Tagus River (Central Spain) during the Late Pleistocene and Holocene.



622 Quaternary Science Reviews, 22(15-17): 1737-1756. DOI:10.1016/s0277-  
 623 3791(03)00133-1

624 Benyon, R.G., Theiveyanathan, S., Doody, T.M., 2006. Impacts of tree plantations on  
 625 groundwater in south-eastern Australia. Aust. J. Bot. 54, 181–192.  
 626 doi:10.1071/BT05046

627 Boellstorff, D., Benito, G., 2005. Impacts of set-aside policy on the risk of soil erosion in central  
 628 Spain. Agriculture, Ecosystems&Environment, 107(2–3): 231-243.  
 629 DOI:10.1016/j.agee.2004.11.002

630 Boix-Fayos, C., de Vente, J., Martinez-Mena, M., Barbera, G.G., Castillo, V., 2008. The impact of  
 631 land use change and check dams on catchment sediment yield. Hydrological Processes,  
 632 22(25): 4922-4935. DOI:10.1002/hyp.7115

633 Buendia, C., Bussi, G., Tuset, J., Vericat, D., Sabater, S., Palau, A., Batalla, R.J., 2015. Effects of  
 634 afforestation on runoff and sediment load in an upland Mediterranean catchment. Sci.  
 635 Total Environ. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.07.005

636 Bussi, G., Francés, F., Horel, E., López-Tarazón, J., Batalla, R., 2014a. Modelling the impact of  
 637 climate change on sediment yield in a highly erodible Mediterranean catchment. J Soils  
 638 Sediments, 14(12): 1921-1937. DOI:10.1007/s11368-014-0956-7

639 Bussi, G., Francés, F., Montoya, J.J., Julien, P.Y., 2014b. Distributed sediment yield modelling:  
 640 importance of initial sediment conditions. Environ. Model. Softw. 58, 58–70.  
 641 doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.04.010

642 Bussi, G., Rodríguez-Lloveras, X., Francés, F., Benito, G., Sánchez-Moya, Y., Sopeña, A., 2013.  
 643 Sediment yield model implementation based on check dam infill stratigraphy in a  
 644 semiarid Mediterranean catchment. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 17, 3339–3354.  
 645 doi:10.5194/hess-17-3339-2013CEDEX, 1976.Reconocimientobatimétricodelebalse  
 646 de Valdeinfierno. In: hidráulicas, D.g.d.o. (Ed.). Ministerio de obraspúblicas y  
 647 urbanismo, pp. 27.

648 CEDEX, 1984.Reconocimientobatimétricodelembalse de Valdeinfierno. In: hidráulicas, D.g.d.o.  
649 (Ed.). Ministerio de obraspúblicas y urbanismo, Madrid, pp. 31.

650 CEDEX, 1995.Reconocimientobatimétrico de embalses.Embalse de Valdeinfierno. In:  
651 hidráulicas, D.g.d.o. (Ed.). Ministerio de obraspúblicas y urbanismo, Madrid, pp. 92.

652 Chase, T.N., Pielke Sr., R.A., Kittel, T.G.F., Nemani, R.R., Running, S.W., 2000. Simulated impacts  
653 of historical land cover changes on global climate in northern winter. Clim. Dyn.  
654 doi:10.1007/s003820050007

655 Coccia, G., Todini, E., 2011. Recent developments in predictive uncertainty assessment based  
656 on the model conditional processor approach. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 15(10): 3253-  
657 3274. DOI:10.5194/hess-15-3253-2011

658 Corella, J.P., Benito, G., Rodriguez-Lloveras, X., Brauer, A., Valero-Garcés, B.L., 2014. Annually-  
659 resolved lake record of extreme hydro-meteorological events since AD 1347 in NE  
660 Iberian Peninsula. Quaternary Science Reviews, 93(0): 77-90.  
661 DOI:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.03.020

662 deVente, J., Poesen, J., 2005. Predicting soil erosion and sediment yield at the basin scale:  
663 Scale issues and semi-quantitative models. Earth-Science Reviews, 71(1-2): 95-125.  
664 DOI:10.1016/j.earscirev.2005.02.002

665 deVente, J., Poesen, J., Arabkhedri, M., Verstraeten, G., 2007. The sediment delivery problem  
666 revisited. Progress in Physical Geography, 31(2): 155-178.  
667 DOI:10.1177/0309133307076485

668 EEA, 1995.CORINE Land Cover Commission of the European Communities Copenhagen.

669 EEA, 2000. CORINE land cover technical guide Addendum 2000, Commission of the European  
670 Communities Copenhagen.

671 EEA, 2006.CORINE Land Cover.Commission of the European Communities Copenhagen.

672 Engelund, F., Hansen, E., 1967.A monograph on sediment transport in alluvial streams.Monogr,  
673 Denmark Tech Univ, Hydraul Lab.

674 Frances, F., Velez, J.I., Velez, J.J., 2007. Split-parameter structure for the automatic calibration  
 675 of distributed hydrological models. *Journal of Hydrology*, 332(1-2): 226-240.  
 676 DOI:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.06.032

677 Gallart, F., Llorens, P., 2004. Observations on land cover changes and water resources in the  
 678 headwaters of the Ebro catchment, Iberian Peninsula. *Phys. Chem. Earth* 29, 769–773.  
 679 doi:10.1016/j.pce.2004.05.004

680 García-Ruiz, J.M., 2010. The effects of land uses on soil erosion in Spain: A review. *Catena*,  
 681 81(1): 1-11. DOI:10.1016/j.catena.2010.01.001

682 García-Ruiz, J.M., López-Bermúdez, F., 2009. La erosión del suelo en España. *Sociedad Española*  
 683 *de Geomorfología*, Zaragoza, 441 pp.

684 García-Ruiz, J.M., Nadal-Romero, E., Lana-Renault, N., Beguería, S., 2013. Erosion in  
 685 Mediterranean landscapes: Changes and future challenges. *Geomorphology*, 198: 20-  
 686 36.

687 González-Hidalgo, J.C., Peña-Monné, J.L., de Luis, M., 2007. A review of daily soil erosion in  
 688 Western Mediterranean areas. *Catena* 71, 193–199. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2007.03.005

689 González-Hidalgo, J.C., Batalla, R.J., Cerdà, A., de Luis, M., 2012. A regional analysis of the  
 690 effects of largest events on soil erosion. *Catena* 95, 85–90.  
 691 doi:10.1016/j.catena.2012.03.006

692 Guzman del Pino, J.L., Baena Perez, J., 1978. *Mapa geológico de España-930: Puebla de Don*  
 693 *Fadrique*. In: *Energía, SDPMDIY (Ed.), Mapa Geológico Nacional (MAGNA)*.  
 694 *Instituto Geológico y Minero de España (IGME), Madrid*. DOI:M-5797-1978

695 Hooke, J., Sandercock, P., 2012. Use of vegetation to combat desertification and land  
 696 degradation: Recommendations and guidelines for spatial strategies in Mediterranean  
 697 lands. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 107(4): 389-400.  
 698 DOI:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2012.07.007

699 Julien, P.Y., 2010. *Erosion and sedimentation*, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press.

700 Junta\_de\_Andalucía, 2003. Metodología para la elaboración de una cartografía de vegetación y  
 701 usos del suelo a escala 1:25.000 de Andalucía. In: Consejería de medio ambiente, J.d.A.  
 702 (Ed.). Junta de Andalucía, Sevilla, pp. 26.

703 Lesschen, J.P., Cammeraat, L.H., Nieman, T., 2008. Erosion and terrace failure due to  
 704 agricultural land abandonment in a semi-arid environment. *Earth Surface Processes*  
 705 *and Landforms*, 33(10): 1574-1584. DOI:10.1002/esp.1676

706 Lewin, J., Macklin, M.G., Woodward, J.C., 1995. Mediterranean Quaternary River  
 707 Environments- Some future research needs, Balkema, Rotterdam, 284 pp.

708 Lopez-Bermudez, F., 1990. Soil-erosion by water on the desertification of a semiarid  
 709 Mediterranean fluvial basin - the Segura basin, Spain. *Agriculture Ecosystems &*  
 710 *Environment*, 33(2): 129-145. DOI:10.1016/0167-8809(90)90238-9

711 Machado, M.J., Benito, G., Barriendos, M., Rodrigo, F.S., 2011. 500 Years of rainfall variability  
 712 and extreme hydrological events in southeastern Spain drylands. *Journal of*  
 713 *Arid Environments*, 75(12): 1244-1253.

714 MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, P.Y.A., 1976a. Orce (Granada). Mapa de cultivos y  
 715 aprovechamientos hoja nº 951 In: MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, P.Y.A. (Ed.), mapas de  
 716 cultivos y aprovechamientos ministerio de agricultura, pesca y alimentación, Madrid.

717 MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, P.Y.A., 1976b. Puebla de Don Fadrique (Granada). Mapa de  
 718 cultivos y aprovechamientos hoja nº 930 In: MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, P.Y.A. (Ed.),  
 719 mapas de cultivos y aprovechamientos ministerio de agricultura, pesca y alimentación,  
 720 Madrid.

721 MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, P.Y.A., 1976c. Vélez-Blanco (Murcia). Mapa de cultivos y  
 722 aprovechamientos hoja nº 952 In: MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, P.Y.A. (Ed.), mapas de  
 723 cultivos y aprovechamientos ministerio de agricultura, pesca y alimentación, Madrid.

724 MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, P.Y.A., 1976d. Zarcilla de Ramos (Murcia). Mapa de cultivos y  
 725 aprovechamientos hoja nº 931. In: MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, P.Y.A. (Ed.), mapas

726 de cultivos y aprovechamientos ministerio de agricultura, pesca y alimentación,  
 727 Madrid.

728 MME, 1998. Spanish Forestry Strategy. Ministry of Environment Secretariat-General for the  
 729 Environment Directorate-General for Nature Conservation.  
 730 [http://www.magrama.gob.es/es/desarrollo-rural/temas/politica-forestal/sfs\\_tcm7-](http://www.magrama.gob.es/es/desarrollo-rural/temas/politica-forestal/sfs_tcm7-30498.pdf)  
 731 [30498.pdf](http://www.magrama.gob.es/es/desarrollo-rural/temas/politica-forestal/sfs_tcm7-30498.pdf).

732 Moriasi, D.N., Arnold, J.G., Van Liew, M.W., Bingner, R.L., Harme, R.D., Veith, T.L., 2007. Model  
 733 evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed  
 734 simulations. Trans. ASAE 50, 885–900.

735 Nadal-Romero, E., Martínez-Murillo, J.F., Vanmaercke, M., Poesen, J., 2011. Scale-dependency  
 736 of sediment yield from badland areas in Mediterranean environments. Progress in  
 737 Physical Geography, 35(3): 297-332. DOI:10.1177/0309133311400330

738 Nash, J.E., Sutcliffe, J.V., 1970. River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I — A  
 739 discussion of principles. Journal of Hydrology, 10(3): 282-290. DOI:10.1016/0022-  
 740 1694(70)90255-6

741 Piao, S., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Labat, D., Zaehle, S., 2007.  
 742 Changes in climate and land use have a larger direct impact than rising CO<sub>2</sub> on global  
 743 river runoff trends. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104, 15242–15247.  
 744 doi:10.1073/pnas.0707213104

745 Puigdefábregas, J., 1998. Ecological impacts of global change on drylands and their  
 746 implications for desertification. Land Degradation and Development, 9(5): 393-406.

747 Pujalte, A.P., Naturaleza, I.N.p.I.C.d.I., Espanya. Ministerio de Agricultura, P.y.A., Zaidín, E.E.d.,  
 748 Científicas, C.S.d.I., 1990. Orce - 951: Proyecto Lucdeme : Mapa de suelos. (Escala  
 749 1:100.000). Ministerio de Agricultura Pesca y Alimentación.

750 Pujalte, A.P., Naturaleza, I.N.p.I.C.d.I., Espanya. Ministerio de Agricultura, P.y.A., Zaidín, E.E.d.,  
 751 Científicas, C.S.d.I., 1993. Velez Blanco - 952: ProyectoLucdeme :Mapa de suelos.  
 752 (Escala 1:100.000). Ministerio de AgriculturaPesca y Alimentación.  
 753 Rodriguez-Lloveras, X., Rodriguez-Caballero, E., Soler, A., Benito, G., 2014.Approach to  
 754 sediment production during an extraordinary precipitation event, using a Terrestrial  
 755 Laser Scanner (TLS). In: Schnabel, S., Gutiérrez, Á.G. (Eds.), Avances de la  
 756 Geomorfología en España 2012-2014. Sociedad Española de Geomorfología, Cáceres,  
 757 pp. 343-346.  
 758 Romero-Díaz, A., Alonso-Sarriá, F., Martínez-Lloris, M., 2007. Erosion rates obtained from  
 759 check dam sedimentation (SE Spain). A multi-method comparison. Catena, 71(1): 172-  
 760 178.  
 761 Romero-Díaz, A., Ruiz-Sinoga, J.D, Belmonte-Serrato, F., 2011. Hydric erosion rates in the  
 762 region of Murcia. Boletín de la Asociación de GeógrafosEspañoles(56): 129-153.  
 763 Salazar, S., Francés, F., Komma, J., Blume, T., Francke, T., Bronstert, A., Blöschl, G., 2013.A  
 764 comparative analysis of the effectiveness of flood management measures based on the  
 765 concept of “retaining water in the landscape” in different European hydro-climatic  
 766 regions. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 12, 3287–3306. doi:10.5194/nhess-12-3287-2012  
 767 Sougnez, N., Van Wesemael, B., Vanacker, V., 2011. Low erosion rates measured for steep,  
 768 sparsely vegetated catchments in southeast Spain. Catena 84, 1–11.  
 769 doi:10.1016/j.catena.2010.08.010  
 770 Takken, I., Beuselinck, L., Nachtergaele, J., Govers, G., Poesen, J., Degraer, G., 1999. Spatial  
 771 evaluation of a physically-based distributed erosion model (LISEM). Catena 37, 431–  
 772 447. doi:10.1016/S0341-8162(99)00031-4  
 773 Universidad\_de\_Murcia, 1990a.ProyectoLucdeme: Puebla de donfadrique - 930. Mapa de  
 774 SuelosEscala 1:100.000. ICONA.

775 Universidad\_de\_Murcia, 1990b. Proyecto Lucdeme: Zarcilla de Ramos - 931. Mapa de  
 776 Suelos Escala 1:100.000. ICONA.  
 777 Van Rompaey, A., Bazzoffi, P., Jones, R.J.A., Montanarella, L., 2005. Modeling sediment yields  
 778 in Italian catchments. *Geomorphology*, 65(1-2): 157-169.  
 779 DOI:10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.08.006  
 780 Velez, J.J., Puricelli, M., Unzu, F.L., Frances, F., 2009. Parameter extrapolation to ungauged  
 781 basins with a hydrological distributed model in a regional framework. *Hydrol. Earth*  
 782 *Syst. Sci.*, 13(2): 229-246.  
 783 Verstraeten, G., Poesen, J., 2001. Modelling the long-term sediment trap efficiency of small  
 784 ponds. *Hydrol. Process.* 15, 2797-2819. doi:10.1002/hyp.269  
 785 Walling, D.E., 1983. The sediment delivery problem. *Journal of Hydrology*, 65(1-3): 209-237.  
 786 DOI:10.1016/0022-1694(83)90217-2  
 787 Wasson, R., 2002. What approach to the modelling of catchment scale erosion and sediment  
 788 transport should be adopted. In: Summer, W., Walling, D.E. (Eds.), *Modelling erosion,*  
 789 *sediment transport and sediment yield*. UNESCO, Paris, pp. 1-11.  
 790 Zhang, L., Dawes, W.R., Walker, G.R., 2001. Response of mean annual evapotranspiration to  
 791 vegetation changes at catchment scale. *Water Resour. Res.* 37, 701-708.  
 792 doi:10.1029/2000WR900325

TABLES:

Table 1. Spatial thematic layers of the study catchment used on the model implementation.

Spatial information type	scale	pixel Res. (m)	Periods	Source
Digital elevation model	-	25x25	All	National Geographical Institute (IGN)
Geological map	1:25,000	-	All	Geological Survey (IGME); (Baena Pérez, 1972; Baena Perez et al., 1976; Baena Perez et al., 1978; Guzman del pino and Baena Perez, 1978)
Soil map	1:100,000		All	LUCDEMEproject (Pujalte et al., 1990; Pujalte et al., 1993; Universidad_de_Murcia, 1990a; Universidad_de_Murcia, 1990b)
Land use map year 1956	1:25,000	-	P1	(Junta_de_Andalucía, 2003)
Forest and agriculture map year 1976	1:50,000	-	P2	(Ministerio de Agricultura 1976a; 1976b; 1976c; 1976d)
Land use map year 1990	-	100x100	P3	(EEA, 1995)
Land use map year 2000	-	100x100	P4	(EEA, 2000)
Land use map year 2006	-	100x100	P5 & P6	(EEA, 2006)

Table 2. Results of the hydrologic simulation for the different periods

Period	Nº years	Nº days/year with Q	Vol. Obs (Hm³)	Vol. Sim (Hm³)	Q max Obs (m³/s)	Q max Sim (m³/s)	NS
P1 (1971-76)	5	35.8	35.6	23.5	245.6	180	0.91
P2 (1976-84)	8	17.4	21.3	10.5	56.6	41.8	0.67
P3 (1984-95)	11	17.5	33.3	15.1	43.1	24.4	0.25
P4 (1995-01)	6	44.7	9.9	9.4	35.4	28.6	0.67
P5 (2001-09)	8	21.5	13	7.8	12.8	33.4	-0.20
P6 (2009-12)	3	55	11.3	6.7	52.3	59.3	0.84
Total	41	27.3	124.3	73.1	245.6	180	0.81



Table 3. Soil use percentages and characteristic data and results of sediment comparison before and after the event of September 2012, for all the check- dams considered in the study

Check dam	Constr. year	Drainage área (Km <sup>2</sup> )	Dense forest	Forest	Shrub -land	Agri- culture	Urban	$\Delta$ Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Error (m <sup>3</sup> )	Catchment Basinerosion (t/Haha)	Error (t/ha)
z1	2001	0.37	31%	25%	0%	44%	0%	-24.8	1.5	-0.8	0.049
z2	2009	2.41	13%	39%	48%	0%	0%	77.9	7.7	0.5	0.049
z3	2009	0.51	88%	0%	6%	6%	0%	9.5	0.3	0.3	0.007
z5	2009	3.79	60%	0%	20%	20%	0%	1116.8	111.7	3.3	0.325
z6	2009	7.51	40%	8%	15%	36%	0%	431.4	43.1	0.6	0.060
z6b	2009	7.71	40%	8%	15%	36%	0%	193.4	17.2	0.3	0.024
z7	2009	0.77	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100.6	2.6	1.5	0.039
z8	2009	0.44	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	150.8	3.6	4.0	0.094
z9	2009	0.36	91%	0%	0%	9%	0%	362.8	7.6	12.6	0.262
z10	1976	9.71	73%	4%	0%	23%	0%	154.0	3.1	0.2	0.004

Table 4. Results of the sedimentary simulation for the different periods

Period	Nº years	Total Vol (Hm <sup>3</sup> )	Daily Q max (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Erosion Q max (t/ Ha)	Annual Erosion (t/ha)	Q max contributio n on total erosion
P1 (1971-76)	5	7.9	75.9	157.6	38.0	82.9%
P2 (1976-84)	8	1.6	9.5	19.8	4.7	52.1%
P3 (1984-95)	11	1.6	4.2	8.7	3.5	22.3%
P4 (1995-01)	6	1.1	3.6	7.5	4.5	27.7%
P5 (2001-09)	8	1.1	4.9	10.2	3.2	40.6%
P6 (2009-12)	3	1	9.8	20.3	7.9	85.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>14.3</b>	<b>75.9</b>	<b>157.6</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>46.0%</b>

Table 5. Results of the hydrologic and sedimentary simulations for the different soil use scenarios. The grey boxes highlight the lowest values and bold font the highest.

Variable	Allmap s	1956	1976	1990	2000	2006	Max dif. %
Total Volwater (Hm <sup>3</sup> )	73.1	73.2	71.3	73.3	73.2	73.5	2.97%
Qmax/day water (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	180.0	180.0	177.7	180.4	179.2	180.8	1.73%
Total Volsediment (Hm <sup>3</sup> )	14.3	14.6	14.5	14.3	12.8	14.4	12.31%
Qmax/day sediment (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	75.9	75.9	80.9	75.1	66.5	75.1	17.86%