

Title Page

What is the evidence base for leadership coaching for postgraduate medical doctors? A scoping review.

Fiona Jane Day¹ Alexios-Fotios Mentis² , Cuncun Lu ³, Anna Mae Scott ^{4,5} Oscar Lyons^{6,7}

1. Fiona Day Consulting LTD, Leeds, LS7 3PD, UK.

2. BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, 518083, Guangdong, China

3. Institute of Basic Research in Clinical Medicine, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

4 Applied Health Research Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, UK;

5 Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

6 New College, Oxford University, Oxford, UK;

7 Thrum Leadership Ltd., Oxford, UK

Contributorship

All authors contributed to all parts of the process and the report. AS led the search strategy.

FD and AFM led the data extraction. FD is the guarantor.

3779 words

A. Funding info – librarian costs, Covidence software costs and journal article costs were paid for by Fiona Day Consulting LTD

B. Competing interests – please see DOI forms regarding competing interests. All other authors have no competing interest to declare.

C. Ethical approval information – this is a systematic review of the existing literature hence ethical approval was not sought.

Abstract

Background:

Coaching is increasingly used to benefit doctors' professional development. Our scoping review summarises the current state of the evidence relating to workplace leadership coaching for qualified doctors

Methods

A rapid scoping review was conducted, informed by Joanna Briggs' Institute guidelines, with protocol designed a priori. Medline, Embase, and APA PsycInfo were searched for relevant articles. Search results were screened for inclusion in duplicate. Data were synthesised descriptively and thematically, mapping the existing research and identifying knowledge gaps.

Results

A total of 5307 references were screened, and 94 met the inclusion criteria. 15 references (16%) were classified as 'Leadership Training Needs Assessments'; 15 references (16%) were classified as 'Evaluations of Leadership Development Interventions with Coaching'; 18 references (19%) were classified as 'Evaluations of Leadership Coaching Interventions'; one (1%) specifically evaluated a team leader coaching training programme; thirty-seven references (40%) were commentaries; one was a research protocol (1%); and seven (7%) were reviews.

The studies were positive about the role of coaching in medical leadership development, and as a skill for doctors to develop. The use of the term 'coaching' was frequently conflated with the term 'mentoring'. Most intervention references mentioned the coaches' qualifications or training. Only three used coaches with internationally recognised accreditation qualifications, and the majority used 'faculty coaches'.

Conclusion

Most of the coaching components of leadership interventions, reviews and commentaries included in our review were considered successful. Coaching appears to be increasingly requested as an intervention for leadership development, and identified as a training need for medical leaders.

Key Messages

What is already known on this topic – Coaching has been identified as an effective method to develop medical leadership competencies, however there have been no formal research syntheses to date.

What this study adds – This is the first synthesis of the literature evaluating leadership coaching interventions for postgraduate doctors. The references were generally evaluations of real-life interventions, and were universally positive about the role of coaching for medical leadership development. We also found that coaching was confused with mentoring, many coaches held supervisory authority, and few coaches met professional accreditation benchmarks.

How this study might affect research, practice or policy – Medical leadership researchers and commissioners should use definitions of workplace leadership coaching and mentoring in line with the academic literature, and report whether the coach has supervisory authority. We recommend (a) the coach's professional qualifications, and the presence/absence of individual accreditation by a professional body as well as the methodologies and coaching protocols used are documented, and (b) more rigorous experimental designs and standardised outcome measures are used in evaluations.

INTRODUCTION

Four systematic reviews have assessed the determinants of improving medical leadership, and collectively identified fifteen studies which included coaching as a helpful intervention [1–4]. Lyons et al [1] found that improved organisational level outcomes were more likely to include project work, mentoring, coaching, and the use of reflective instruments. Frich et al noted that while self-awareness is fundamental to leadership capacity, few medical leadership development programmes address personal growth, self-awareness, ways of 'being', or emotional intelligence [4]: these are aspects of leadership development which coaching, and specifically coaching psychology, is likely to be highly effective at addressing [5,6].

Coaching, with over a century of practice, involves goal setting, constructing solutions, and self-directed learning, and is being increasingly used in the workplace. An inclusive definition of workplace coaching frequently referred to is that it is '*a one-to-one custom-tailored, learning and development intervention that uses a collaborative, reflective, goal-focused relationship provided to all levels of employees by external or internal coaching practitioners who have no formal supervisory authority over the coachee*' [7,8]. In practice, workplace coaching can also be used as an intervention with teams, groups, on one's own ('self-coaching'), as well as one-to-one contexts, and in a range of other settings in addition to the workplace. A table of definitions relating to coaching and similar 'helping' interventions is provided in supplementary material (Supplementary Table 1).

Workplace coaching may cover a range of intended outcomes such as employee wellbeing or skill development. One common form of workplace coaching is that of coaching for leadership development, in order to enhance the professional development of managers and leaders, also referred to as 'executive coaching' or 'business coaching'. Leadership coaching typically involves two-way contracting between the coachee and coach, or three-way contracting between the coachee, coach and sponsoring organisation, with objectives that link back and are subordinated to wider organisational objectives [9].

There is a growing body of evidence on the effectiveness of leadership coaching in terms of a positive impact on a wide range of cognitive, meta-cognitive, affective and skills outcomes at individual, team, and organisational level, in a wide range of workplace contexts and occupational roles [7,9–16]. Of interest, an overall positive effect size of 0.59 was identified in a 2023 meta-analysis which included only randomised controlled trials of coaching studies, confirming the efficacy of coaching interventions in a variety of applications [16]. Coaching psychology, a form of coaching practiced by psychologists integrating the rigor of psychology practice applied in a coaching context, which has been shown in meta-analysis to have specific impacts on workplace outcomes over and above other approaches to coaching [11].

Regarding workplace coaching for medical leadership, coaching has been identified as an effective method to develop medical leadership competencies[5], however there have been no formal research syntheses to date. This scoping review[17] aims to map and characterise the existing literature relating to leadership coaching for postgraduate medical doctors, with particular attention to how coaching is conceptualised, implemented, and evaluated. [18].

Our thematic synthesis was shaped by three sub-questions

- i) What is the type and volume of the published literature relating to leadership coaching for postgraduate doctors?
- ii) To what extent does the current literature relating to leadership coaching for doctors use coaching interventions which meet internationally recognised definitions and core competencies of coaching?
- iii) What gaps exist in the literature, and what implications do these have for future research?

METHODS

This scoping review followed JBI methodology[19] and is reported in compliance with the PRISMA-ScR reporting checklist [20]. The protocol was designed a priori [18].

Inclusion Criteria

Commentaries and protocols were included, however letters, editorials, posters, and conference abstracts were excluded. Only references reported in English were included. We included published peer-reviewed references that reported on the purposeful use of coaching competencies, skills and/ or behaviours in the context of medical leadership development, received by or delivered by doctors as follows:

- We defined doctors as being licensed physicians, post-primary medical degree.
- We included coaching interventions described as one-to-one 'executive', 'business', 'workplace', 'professional' or 'leadership' coaching, including where leadership coaching is used as an element of a wider leadership training programme
- 'Team coaching', 'group coaching', 'peer-peer coaching' and 'self-coaching' were included
- Coaching in the context of doctors' personal lives was included only where this specifically related to leadership development
- We included references relating to both the presence and absence of 'supervisory authority' by the coach

Exclusion Criteria

We excluded references which were not in the English language, or which focused on:

- Medical students before their primary medical qualification
- Retired doctors
- Non-medical health professionals
- Other forms of workplace and non-workplace coaching (specifically 'health coaching'; 'microsystems coaching'; 'quality improvement coaching'; 'surgical coaching'; 'clinical skills coaching'; 'career coaching'; 'wellbeing coaching'; 'life coaching')

Search Strategy & Reference Selection Process

We searched for relevant articles via Medline (via PubMed), Embase (via OVID) and APA PsycInfo (via OVID) [21] from 1 Jan 1993 to 3 Apr 2024. We chose 1993 as the earliest date because we were not aware of any publications on this topic before this. Our full search strategy and reference selection process is provided in Appendix 1.

Screening

References were screened in three steps: title review, abstract review, and full-text review. Title review was conducted by all authors. Abstract review was conducted by FD only due to resource limitations, with 10% of abstracts also reviewed by a second author (AFM, OL) to establish consistency. Full-text review was conducted in duplicate by FD and AFM, with discrepancies resolved by consensus. Reasons for exclusion at the full-text stage were recorded. Any disagreements that arose between the reviewers at each stage of the selection process were resolved through discussion between the authors.

Data Charting

Two reviewers (FD& AFM) extracted data in duplicate. Disagreements were resolved through discussion between the authors.. Extracted data included participant demographics, coaching concept, setting/context, methods, coach qualification, and key findings relevant to the review questions. The full list of data items is provided in Appendix 2.

The quality of the coaching interventions was assessed as to whether they met one or more of the internationally recognised definitions and core competencies of coaching described by

three of the world's leading coaching professional bodies. Whilst recognising that other professional coaching bodies exist, specifically we were interested in documenting any reference to: the European Mentoring and Coaching Council Individual Accreditation Awards (EMCC [22]); the International Coach Federation Credential Award (ICF [23]). We were also interested in the British Psychological Society 'Standards for Coaching Psychology' (BPS, [24] and associated 'Chartered Registration in Coaching Psychology', a legally defined, doctoral level award integrating coaching and psychology practices in non-clinical populations) due to previously mentioned findings of the enhanced impact of coaching psychology on specific outcomes.

Synthesis of results

Data were synthesised descriptively and thematically, mapping the existing research and identifying knowledge gaps.

Patient and public involvement

No patients or public involved.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Literature

We identified 7385 references in aggregate. After deduplication using Bond University's Systematic Review Accelerator Deduplicator [25], 5357 references remained. 50 further duplicates were removed (15 manually, 35 by Covidence[26]). From the 5307 unique references, a total of 94 references met the inclusion criteria. Details about the reference selection process[20] are shown in Fig 1.

Insert Fig 1 here

The first reference was published in 2002. Three were published during 2005-09 (3%); 13 during 2010-14 (14%); 27 during 2015-19 (29%), and 50 during the period 2020-24 (53%).

The references were conducted in a total of 13 countries, primarily the United States of America (n=70, 75%). Six were set in the United Kingdom (6%), and six in other European countries (6%). Five (5%) were located in other countries (1 in each of Australia, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Singapore) and two were located in two countries (both set in the United States plus either Canada or UK).

There was a wide range of urban and rural settings in both public and private sector health care contexts, including whole health care systems, as well as academic, tertiary, secondary, primary, ambulatory, rehabilitation and community-based hospitals or clinics. References included cancer and trauma centres, military settings, as well as several in medical education establishments. Four references [27–30] focused on women in medical leadership roles, and one on minority junior faculty [31].

The mean proportion of female participants was 59% across the 22 references that reported gender. Participants were postgraduate doctors at a wide range of different career stages, from newly graduated doctors, interns, residents, experienced senior clinicians, to medical leaders including Chiefs. Sixteen of the intervention references related to residents specifically. Participants were from a range of specialities including: family medicine/ primary care; internal medicine; public health; orthopaedics and trauma; clinician-scientists; and ‘multiple specialties’. A small number also included non-medical participants.

Types of reference and key findings

We classified the types of reference as follows, see also Supplementary Tables 2 and 3.

1. Leadership Training Needs Assessments

15 references (16%) were classified as ‘Leadership Training Needs Assessments’ [29,32–45] to identify doctors’ training needs and preferred modalities regarding their leadership development, using a mixture of quantitative and qualitative methods. They found that coaching was considered a useful and/ or preferred method to support their leadership development by the doctors surveyed. They also reported that participants generally desired more training in coaching, or ‘coaching and mentoring’, skills to enhance their own leadership development.

2. Evaluations of Leadership Development Interventions with Coaching

15 references (16%) were classified as ‘Evaluations of Leadership Development Interventions with Coaching’ [27,28,30,46–57], and used a range of intervention methods of which one was coaching. One of these also included a leadership training needs assessment [49]. These intervention references were all reports of real-world leadership development interventions, and consistently reported that the development interventions were successful, and that the coaching elements had contributed positively to the improvement. The need for skilled coach development was mentioned [49,50,52]; one reference noted that experiential learning and coaching are required to acquire process skills and that both are ‘resource intensive and personally challenging’[49]. One reference used peer-peer coaching [58], and six references

were longitudinal in design or included evaluation over a longer time period post-intervention [28–30,52,54,57].

3. Evaluations of Leadership Coaching Interventions

18 references (19%) were classified as 'Evaluations of Leadership Coaching Interventions' [58–75]. These intervention references were all reports of real-world leadership coaching interventions. All reported a positive impact of leadership coaching for the doctors involved for outcomes ranging from general leadership development to team development to specific leadership skills including: time management and productivity; professional development; public speaking skills; as well as improving culture. Most outcomes measured were self-reported and/ or unvalidated with some exceptions: increased academic output [63]; professional learning plan creation [64]; improved lecture evaluations and public speaking invitations [58]; positive changes to multisource feedback results [65,67]; completion of planned initiatives [63]; and statistically significant improvements in leader's validated wellbeing scores [61]. Many reported changes in reflectivity, self-awareness and identity change [74], including 'viewing themselves as a leader for the first time' [66].

Coaching was often combined with 360° feedback [65,67,74]. Five references used peer coaching [27,58,63,69,70]; one reference used 'group coaching' [69]. Two references were longitudinal in design or included evaluation over a longer time period post intervention [65,67].

One reference reported that underlying needs were only identified following initiation of coaching sessions [72]. Two references commented that access to coaching enabled doctors to have 'a strong sense of being supported' [58] or to 'feel invested in and appreciated' [74].

Multiple benefits to faculty or peer coaches were described including: improved skills, self-reflection, networking, career advancement, and personal fulfilment [58]; and the rewards of observing teams understand and apply concepts, connecting with other members of the community, and gaining a deeper understanding of why quality improvement projects succeed or fail [70].

Challenges relating to faculty time constraints, variation in coaching ability, and funding issues were reported in one reference [71]. Ethical issues relating to the coaches having supervisory authority over participants was noted, with recommendations for the need for greater clarity of the coach's role [64]; the same reference also noted that trainees variably possess skills relating to self-directed learning and self-reflection.

4. Evaluation of Leadership Coaching Training Intervention

One reference (1%) specifically evaluated a team leader coaching training programme to provide clinical leaders with coaching tools they can use in their everyday work [76]. The training showed high potential but its effect on practice has not yet been evaluated. It was noted that a supportive working environment is necessary to develop and implement coaching skills, and that an approach that focuses on the interpersonal aspects of leadership plus a reflective stance was welcomed by participants.

5. Commentaries

Thirty-seven references (40%) were commentaries relating to medical leadership development. Of these, 23 were commentaries about the positive role of leadership coaching as a method of medical leadership development [77–99], and 14 were commentaries about a positive or a potential role for coaching in medical leadership development more generally [100–113].

6. Research Protocol

There was one research protocol (1%), for a randomised control trial of a physician coaching intervention in Belgium to promote self-reflective leadership and ethical decision making [114], it is believed this trial is currently in process.

7. Evidence Syntheses

We included 7 Literature, Scoping or Systematic Reviews (7%).

There were two non-systematic literature reviews: one reviewed leadership coaching models for physician and non-medical leaders [115] and highlighted the underutilisation of leadership coaching in healthcare; the other explored the application of coaching in surgery to enhance performance, wellbeing, and foster professional growth [116], with positive conclusions.

There were two scoping reviews and three systematic reviews, all in the context of wider medical leadership development rather than coaching specifically. One scoping review focused on female emergency physicians [117], concluding that mentoring and coaching were the most frequently encountered topic. The other scoping review concluded that mentoring and coaching in various formats, especially with senior faculty members, is a core element of effective academic faculty development [118]. A systematic review of evidence-based leadership development methods for physicians concluded that coaching was one of several effective methods of learning[2]; another systematic review of leadership training in graduate medical education concluded that small group teaching, mentoring, coaching and project work

appear to be the most effective approaches for leadership training[119]. The final systematic review sought to identify the effects of faculty development interventions to improve leadership abilities, concluding that a greater emphasis should be placed on peer coaching and mentorship [3].

Definitions of Coaching and Core Competencies

Due to our inclusive approach, we did not exclude coaches with supervisory authority, and the majority of the leadership development intervention references mentioned the coaches' qualifications and/or the coaches' training. We identified twelve references which referred to 'Faculty Coaches', [48,49,51,52,55–57,59,63,64,71,74]. Four references [47,53,68,74], of which three were based in North America, specifically referred to Professional Certification/Credentiailling by the 'International Coach Federation' (ICF) [24]. None directly referenced qualifications relating to the international professional body the European Mentoring and Coaching Council (EMCC) [22], nor the British Psychological Society 'Register of Chartered Coaching Psychologists' (BPS) [24]. Accreditation by both of these bodies was indirectly referred to in one reference, though the author did not report their dual accreditation with these bodies in the reference itself [61]. Three references referred to proprietary brands of coaching methods [60,65,69]. Three references referenced psychology or psychology qualifications [61,67,73]. The remainder, where reported, included the following: 'the coach was outside the residency programme... from the health system's Employee Assistance Programme'[72]; 'partial fulfilment of a postgraduate qualification in Management Coaching' [75]; 'previously participated in at least one public narrative workshop'[62]; 'practice improvement coaches'[50]; 'experts in communication skills coaching'[71]; or 'seasoned career development coaches' [55]. A minority mentioned the provision of supervision for the coach.

Six references included some form of coaching skills training for faculty coaches as an aspect of their leadership programmes. One reference referred to senior residents developing skills in emotional intelligence and mock feedback sessions with actors using a 'checklist to guide principles of collaborative coaching' [48]; another mentioned teaching of faculty 'a set of process skills that form the basis of effective work relationships' and referred to the ongoing development of coaching faculty through 'coaching faculty reflection conversations' [49]. The third reference referred to a professional development programme for course participants with four components, one of which was a one-hour session teaching principles of 'appreciative coaching' [27]. In another reference, faculty coaches' education occurred through 'regular, ongoing meetings with leadership' including 'focused faculty development'[64]. Another reference used a group coaching course for newly qualified doctors and included content on 'systemic coaching model', though it is not clear whether this was in order to understand the

coaching programme or to develop coaching skills in participants [69]. The final reference described the most detail relating to coaches' training [71].

DISCUSSION

Our review describes the wide and growing body of literature on leadership coaching for postgraduate doctors, showing an increase in publications in recent years. Most references were conducted in North America, which included the most diverse populations, and the most varied research interests. The majority of references relate to needs assessments and/ or evaluations of leadership development programmes and were of relatively low quality. The references were universally positive about the role of coaching in medical leadership development, and as a skill for medical leaders to develop.

We used an inclusive definition of coaching, as defined by the authors without excluding any references which did not meet commonly accepted definitions and standards in order to provide a comprehensive review of the literature. Definitions are heterogenous, and several references used the terms 'coaching' and 'mentoring' interchangeably, and/ or did not use definitions of coaching consistent with the literature. One reference highlighted deliberate use of a coaching framework branded as mentoring to reduce misinterpretation among participants [64]. Both coaching and mentoring support professional development but differ in their execution, as summarised in Supplementary Table 1.

Assessing the competency of coaches used in intervention studies is still exploratory and reliant on reported details in the included references. The optimal level of coach training and or accreditation for maximising medical leadership development is not yet known. Coaching remains an unregulated profession, with national and international voluntary accreditation bodies; the British Psychological Society's 'Register of Chartered Coaching Psychologists' is the only legally protected award globally which the authors are aware of relating to any form of coaching.

Whilst the majority of references mentioned the coaches' training, experience or qualifications to some extent, we were not able to draw conclusions as to the relationship between this and the impact on outcomes, and only three references focused explicitly on accredited coaches. Many 'Faculty Coaches' appeared to have supervisory authority over their coachee which is not 'pure' coaching according to the literature[7,8]. Boet et al (2023)[77] systematic review of coaching for physician wellness concludes that minimum standards of coach competency and conduct may be in participants' interests, if there is a relationship between coach certification and participant outcomes.

Given that coaching harms have been documented, and the coach's engagement in supervision has been found to reduce the incidence of this [120], coach accreditation is being increasingly used as a marker of coach competency and professionalism similar to medical licensing and revalidation [5]: ongoing regular supervision is a key requirement for coach accreditation, as are continued competency and ethical practice assessments. ..

Strengths and limitations.

To the best of our knowledge this is the first scoping review investigating the literature relating to leadership coaching for doctors. Strengths include the provision of a comprehensive documentation of the current literature in this field, combining commentaries with quantitative and qualitative references with coaching as a major or minor component across international boundaries, and a broad and inclusive definition of coaching, which enabled us to capture a wide range of references. There are a number of limitations, including: the exclusion of non-English language references; inherent publication bias of positive findings in terms of failed programmes not being reported or published – perhaps relating to conflicts of interest in programme providers seeking to generate credibility for their interventions; the lack of definitive definitions of both coaching skills, coaching qualifications, and leadership competencies; only one reviewer completed the Abstract screening.

Implications for Research and Practice

We have identified the following areas where the literature could be strengthened in future. To advance the evidence-base for medical leadership coaching it would be helpful if researchers clearly differentiated between coach, mentor and supervisor roles within their studies. In order to minimise confounders, dual or triple roles (coach, mentor and supervisor) need to be excluded from the research studies. We recommend that definitions of workplace leadership coaching and mentoring are used in line with the academic literature. The use of accredited coaches without supervisory authority is a topic of interest for future research and it would be helpful for future authors to describe qualifications, accreditation and coaching methodologies and/ or coaching protocols used, as well as whether the coach held supervisory authority, so that the literature can build with increasing granularity.

We recommend the use of validated leadership outcome measures plus other relevant measures relating to job satisfaction and wellbeing, the use of more objective measures to reduce risk of bias, to measure the impact on long-term outcomes from team members to the wider system and ideally outcomes including patient care, to use more rigorous experimental designs, to explore the impact specifically on diversity and inclusion (such leadership coaching for women and minorities), and to conduct studies beyond North America and English-speaking countries to allow the findings to become more generalisable over time. We also feel

it would be helpful to consider research scalability and the use of remote and / or digital coaching as potential means to democratise access for those in remote locations, underrepresented groups, and resource-poor environments, and to compare the relative cost-effectiveness of different methods of leadership coaching including the 'dose' and most appropriate format (eg 1:1, group, team) for the context, career stage, and speciality

Given the heterogeneity of approaches to medical leadership coaching, we also recommend that medical leadership coaching research and developmental interventions use a 'start with coaching psychology theory' approach when designing or commissioning coaching interventions in line with the advice of experienced researchers in the field [7,8], and to extend the research to other health professionals.

CONCLUSIONS

Most of the coaching components of leadership interventions, reviews and commentaries in our review have been deemed as successful, and coaching has been requested as an intervention both for leadership development as well as a training need for medical leaders. There continues to be capacity for improvement in terms of how medical leadership coaching initiatives are designed, delivered, implemented, and assessed to further increase the impact on personal, professional, team, organisational and broader patient and community health outcomes.

Medicine, and medical leadership, is a never-ending and constantly changing professional practice: current and future doctors should be equipped and trained to "learn, unlearn, and relearn"[121]. Our review maps and characterises the existing literature, and concludes that coaching is desired and increasingly used as a cornerstone of the ongoing professional development of medical leaders.

FUNDING

Fiona Day Consulting LTD sponsored the costs of the study. The role of the study sponsor was to pay for incidental expenses relating to the production of the manuscript: Covidence software costs and journal article costs. The funder did not influence the results/outcomes of the study despite author affiliations with the funder.

Fig 1. What is the evidence base for coaching for postgraduate medical doctors? A scoping review. PRISMA Summary.

Data Availability Statement

This is a scoping review of existing data using published data.

REFERENCES

1. Lyons O, George R, Galante JR, Mafi A, Fordwoh T, Frich J, et al. Evidence-based medical leadership development: a systematic review. *BMJ Lead*. 2021;5:206–13.
2. Geerts JM, Goodall AH, Agius S. Evidence-based leadership development for physicians: A systematic literature review. *Soc Sci Med*. 2020;246:112709.
3. Steinert Y, Naismith L, Mann K. Faculty development initiatives designed to promote leadership in medical education. A BEME systematic review: BEME Guide No. 19. *Med Teach*. 2012;34(6):483–503.
4. Frich JC, Brewster AL, Cherlin EJ, Bradley EH. Leadership Development Programs for Physicians: A Systematic Review. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2015 May 1;30(5):656–74.
5. Day FJ, Hothi D. Can coaching advance medical leadership development? *BMJ Lead*. 2024;8:358–62.
6. Lai YL, McDowall A. A systematic review (SR) of coaching psychology: focusing on the attributes of effective coaching psychologists. *Int Coach Psychol Rev*. 2014 Sep 1;9(2):120–36.
7. Bozer G, Jones RJ. Understanding the factors that determine workplace coaching effectiveness: a systematic literature review. *Eur J Work Organ Psychol*. 2018;27(3):342–61.
8. Bozer G, Jones R. Introduction to the Special Issue on Advances in the Psychology of Workplace Coaching. *Appl Psychol*. 2021;70:411–9.
9. Athanasopoulou A, Dopson S. A systematic review of executive coaching outcomes: Is it the journey or the destination that matters the most? *Leadersh Q*. 2018 Feb;29(1):70–88.
10. Jones RJ, Woods SA, Guillaume YRF. The effectiveness of workplace coaching: A meta-analysis of learning and performance outcomes from coaching. *J Occup Organ Psychol*. 2016;89(2):249–77.
11. Wang Q, Lai YL, Xu X, McDowall A. The effectiveness of workplace coaching: a meta-analysis of contemporary psychologically informed coaching approaches. *J Work-Appl Manag*. 2021 Jan 1;14(1):77–101.
12. Theeboom T. Does coaching work? A meta-analysis on the effects of coaching on individual level outcomes in an organizational context. *J Posit Psychol*. 2013 Sep 14;
13. Sonesh SC, Coultas CW, et al. The power of coaching: A meta-analytic investigation. *Coach Int J Theory Res Pract*. 2015;8(2):73–95.
14. Grover S, Furnham A. Coaching as a Developmental Intervention in Organisations: A Systematic Review of Its Effectiveness and the Mechanisms Underlying It. *PLOS ONE*. 2016;11(7):1–41.
15. Schermuly C. Erfolgreiches Business-Coaching: Positive Wirkungen, unerwünschte Nebenwirkungen und vermeidbare Abbrüche. Weinheim: Beltz; 2019.
16. De Haan E, Nilsson VO. What Can We Know about the Effectiveness of Coaching? A Meta-Analysis Based Only on Randomized Controlled Trials. *Acad Manag Learn Educ*. 2023;1–21.

17. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2018 Nov 19;18(1):143.
18. Day F, Mentis AF, Lyons O, Scott A, Lu C. Understanding the evidence base for leadership coaching for medical doctors: a rapid scoping review. [cited 2024 Dec 8]; Available from: <https://figshare.com/s/d3d789279ae38ffe0fbe?file=45587442>
19. Aromataris E, Lockwood C, Porritt K, Pilla B, Jordan Z, editors. *JBIManual for Evidence Synthesis* [Internet]. JBI; 2024 [cited 2025 Feb 7]. Available from: <https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL>
20. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation | *Annals of Internal Medicine* [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 7]. Available from: <https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M18-0850>
21. Dialog Solutions [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 7]. Dialog. Available from: <https://dialog.com/products-and-services/dialog/>
22. European Mentoring and Coaching Council. About EMCC Global Individual Accreditation (EIA) [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Jun 13]. Available from: <https://www.emccglobal.org/accreditation/eia/>
23. ICF - Credential Information [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 2]. Available from: https://www.coachingfederation.org.uk/credentialing/credential_path
24. BPS [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 2]. Division of Coaching Psychology. Available from: <https://www.bps.org.uk/member-networks/division-coaching-psychology>
25. Bond University. Systematic Review Accelerator: Deduplicator (relaxed algorithm) [Internet]. Queensland, Australia; 2024. Available from: <https://www.sr-accelerator.com/#/deduplicator>
26. Veritas Health Innovation. Covidence systematic review software [Internet]. Melbourne, Australia; 2024. Available from: <https://www.covidence.org/>
27. Kang JY, Croghan IT, Matchett CL, Raffals LE, Schletty AA, Monson TR, et al. Reflect, Inspire, Strengthen, and Empower 2.0 Program: Advancing Careers and Leadership for Women Physician Staff in an Academic Institution. *Womens Health Rep New Rochelle*. 2024;5(1):65–74.
28. Pelfrey CM, Cola PA, Gerlick JA, Edgar BK, Khatri SB. Breaking Through Barriers: Factors That Influence Behavior Change Toward Leadership for Women in Academic Medicine. *Front Psychol*. 2022;13:854488.
29. Schueller-Weidekamm C, Kautzky-Willer A. Challenges of work-life balance for women physicians/mothers working in leadership positions. *Gend Med*. 2012;9(4):244–50.
30. Schwartz JM, Markowitz SD, Yanofsky SD, Tackett S, Berenstain LK, Schwartz LI, et al. Empowering Women as Leaders in Pediatric Anesthesiology: Methodology, Lessons, and Early Outcomes of a National Initiative. *Anesth Analg*. 2021;133(6):1497–509.
31. Palermo AGS, Cornbill RK, Butts GC. Highly individualized career mentoring for minority faculty within an academic medical center setting. *Curr Womens Health Rev*. 2017;13(2):69–76.

32. Alluhaymid Y, Alalwan A, Alruwaitea A. Perspectives of family medicine residents in Riyadh on leadership training: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Med Educ.* 2023;23(1):183.
33. Blair RA, Eldam AM, Ramani S. From doing to leading: PGY-1 to PGY-2 transition. *Clin Teach.* 2023;20(1):e13550.
34. Budhoo MR, Spurgeon P. Views and understanding of clinicians on the leadership role and attitude to coaching as a development tool for clinical leadership. *Int J Clin Leadersh.* 2011;17(3-4):123–9.
35. Foglia MB, Cohen JH. Ethical Leadership and Employees' Perceptions About Raising Ethical Concerns to Managers in the Veterans Health Administration. *AJOB Empir Bioeth.* 2019;10(3):155–63.
36. Fraser TN, Blumenthal DM, Bernard K, Iyasere C. Assessment of leadership training needs of internal medicine residents at the Massachusetts General Hospital. *Proc Bayl Univ Med Cent.* 2015;28(3):317–20.
37. Gallagher E, Moore A, Schabert I. Leadership training in a family medicine residency program: Cross-sectional quantitative survey to inform curriculum development. *Can Fam Physician.* 2017;63(3):e186–92.
38. Hartzell JD, Yu CE, Cohee BM, Nelson MR, Wilson RL. Moving Beyond Accidental Leadership: A Graduate Medical Education Leadership Curriculum Needs Assessment. *Mil Med.* 2017;182(7):e1815–22.
39. Leenstra NF, Jung OC, Johnson A, Wendt KW, Tulleken JE. Taxonomy of Trauma Leadership Skills: A Framework for Leadership Training and Assessment. *Acad Med.* 2016;91(2):272–81.
40. Lin E, Crijns TJ, Ring D, Jayakumar P. Imposter Syndrome Among Surgeons Is Associated With Intolerance of Uncertainty and Lower Confidence in Problem Solving. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2023;481(4):664–71.
41. Lyons MD, Oyler J, Iossi K, Merriam S. Leadership Experiences of Internal Medicine Residents: A Needs Assessment for Leadership Curricula. *J Heal Leadersh.* 2022;14:155–61.
42. Merriam SB, Rothenberger SD, Corbelli JA. Establishing Competencies for Leadership Development for Postgraduate Internal Medicine Residents. *J Grad Med Educ.* 2021;13(5):682–90.
43. Seehusen DA, Rogers TS, Al Achkar M, Chang T. Coaching, Mentoring, and Sponsoring as Career Development Tools. *Fam Med.* 2021;53(3):175–80.
44. Sullivan EE, Moftah D, Mbye PM, Weillnau T, Tobin JN. An e-leadership training academy for practicing clinicians in primary care and public health settings. *J Clin Transl Sci [Internet].* 2021;5(1) (no pagination)(e83). Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-clinical-and-translational-science>
45. True MW, Folaron I, Wardian JL, Colburn JA, Sauerwein TJ, Beckman DJ, et al. Leadership Training in Endocrinology Fellowship? A Survey of Program Directors and Recent Graduates. *J Endocr Soc.* 2017;1(3):174–85.

46. Al Achkar M, Rogers TS, Weidner A, Seehusen DA, South-Paul JE. How to Sponsor, Coach, and Mentor: A Qualitative Study With Family Medicine Department Chairs. *Fam Med*. 2023;55(3):143–51.
47. Barton KI, Capozzi LC, Aker G, Yipp BG, Hollenberg MD, Rabi DM, et al. The Need for an Executive Leadership Curriculum in Scientist-Clinician Training Programs. *Clin Invest Med*. 2018;41(3):E144-e147.
48. Cerrone SA, Adelman P, Akbar S, Yacht AC, Fornari A. Using Objective Structured Teaching Encounters (OSTEs) to prepare chief residents to be emotionally intelligent leaders. *Med Educ Online*. 2017;22(1):1320186.
49. Eubank D, Geffken D, Orzano J, Ricci R. Teaching adaptive leadership to family medicine residents: what? why? how? *Fam Syst Health*. 2012;30(3):241–52.
50. Fernald DH, Deaner N, O’Neill C, Jortberg BT, degruy 3rd FV, Dickinson WP. Overcoming early barriers to PCMH practice improvement in family medicine residencies. *Fam Med*. 2011;43(7):503–9.
51. Fitzgerald AS, Fang M, Lee RS, Gann J, Burnet DL. The ACLGIM LEAD Program: a Leadership Program for Junior-Mid-Career Faculty. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2021;36(8):2443–7.
52. Foster T, Regan-Smith M, Murray C, Dysinger W, Homa K, Johnson LM, et al. Residency education, preventive medicine, and population health care improvement: the Dartmouth-Hitchcock Leadership Preventive Medicine approach. *Acad Med*. 2008;83(4):390–8.
53. Gascon GM, Chen HT, Morosanu L, Chen VH, Cass P, Falcone R. Evaluation of the Processes and Outcomes of a Physician Leadership Program: The Continuous Feedback Loop Design. *J Contin Educ Health Prof*. 2022;42(4):284–90.
54. Nosé B, Sankey E, Moris D, Doty J, Taylor D. Leadership Training in Medicine-12 Years of Experience From the Feagin Leadership Program. *Mil Med*. 2023;188(3–4):e510–5.
55. Palermo AGS, Cornbill RK, Butts GC. Highly individualized career mentoring for minority faculty within an academic medical center setting. *Curr Womens Health Rev*. 2017;13(2):69–76.
56. Patel D, Windish D, Hay S. A Mentor, Advisor, and Coach (MAC) Program to Enhance the Resident and Mentor Experience. *MedEdPORTAL*. 2020;16:11005.
57. Pohl SD, Van Hala S, Ose D, Tingey B, Leiser JP. A Longitudinal Curriculum for Quality Improvement, Leadership Experience, and Scholarship in a Family Medicine Residency Program. *Fam Med*. 2020;52(8):570–5.
58. Jordan J, Yarris LM, Dorfsman ML, Wolf SJ, Wagner MJ. Coaching educators: Impact of a novel national faculty development program for didactic presentation skills. *AEM Educ Train*. 2021;5(3):e10637.
59. Barr KP, Reyes MR, Kim S. ‘Hot Seat’ Simulation to Teach Conflict Management Skills to Residents. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2020;12(4):485–8.
60. Claes N, Storms H, Brabanders V. Personality of Belgian physicians in a clinical leadership program. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2018;18(1):834.

61. Day FJ. Psychologically informed leadership coaching positively impacts the mental well-being of 80 senior doctors, medical and public health leaders. *BMJ Lead* [Internet]. 2023; Available from: <https://bmjleader.bmj.com/content/7/4/301>
62. Emery EH, Shaffer JD, McCormick D, Zeidman J, Geffen SR, Stojicic P, et al. Preparing Doctors in Training for Health Activist Roles: A Cross-Institutional Community Organizing Workshop for Incoming Medical Residents. *MedEdPORTAL*. 2022;18:11208.
63. Goldman EF, Wesner M, Karnchanomai O, Haywood Y. Implementing the leadership development plans of faculty education fellows: a structured approach. *Acad Med*. 2012;87(9):1177–84.
64. Gonzalo JD, Wolpaw DR, Krok KL, Pfeiffer MP, McCall-Hosenfeld JS. A Developmental Approach to Internal Medicine Residency Education: Lessons Learned from the Design and Implementation of a Novel Longitudinal Coaching Program. *Med Educ Online*. 2019;24(1):1591256.
65. Gregory PJ, Ring D, Rubash H, Harmon L. Use of 360° Feedback to Develop Physician Leaders in Orthopaedic Surgery. 2018;27(2):85–91.
66. Harte S, McGlade K. Developing excellent leaders - the role of Executive Coaching for GP specialty trainees. *Educ Prim Care*. 2018;29(5):286–92.
67. Hu J, Lee R, Mullin S, Schwaitzberg S, Harmon L, Gregory P, et al. How physicians change: Multisource feedback driven intervention improves physician leadership and teamwork. *Surgery*. 2020;168(4):714–23.
68. Kirk VG, Kania-Richmond A, Chaput K. Executive Coaching for Leadership Development: Experience of Academic Physician Leaders. *Heal Q*. 2019;22(1):54–9.
69. Malling B, de Lasson L, Just E, Stegeager N. How group coaching contributes to organisational understanding among newly graduated doctors. *BMC Med Educ*. 2020;20(1):193.
70. Morley KE, Barysaukas CM, Carballo V, Kalibatas O, Rao SK, Jacobson JO, et al. Characteristics of Volunteer Coaches in a Clinical Process Improvement Program. *Qual Manag Health Care*. 2018;27(2):81–6.
71. Nassar AK, Sasnal M, Miller-Kuhlmann RK, Jensen RM, Blankenburg RL, Rassbach CE, et al. Developing a multi-departmental residency communication coaching program. *Educ Health Abingdon*. 2022;35(3):98–104.
72. Pepe RJ, Diggs L, Foley FW, Moore T, Williams DA, Patel NM. Leadership Coaching in Surgical Residency: Reasons for Referral and Topics Addressed to Improve Nontechnical Skill. *J Surg Educ*. 2023 Nov;80(11):1529–35.
73. Rathmell W, Brown NJ, Kilburg RR. Transformation to academic leadership: The role of mentorship and executive coaching. *Consult Psychol J Pract Res*. 2019;71(3):141–60.
74. Schwartz R, Weimer-Elder B, Wilkins E, Deka D, Wong S, Dang BK, et al. Developing a feedback-rich culture in academic medicine: the effect of coaching and 360-feedback on physician leadership. *BMC Med Educ*. 2022;22(1):733.

75. Spencer ED, Albertyn R. Existential leadership coaching in a medical partnership. *Leadersh Health Serv Bradf Engl.* 2019;32(1):69–82.
76. Küllenberg JK, Becker S, Körner M. The team leader coaching programme (TLCP) - a programme to implement team coaching in rehabilitation clinics - a feasibility study. *Leadersh Health Serv Bradf Engl.* 2021;34(2):131–45.
77. Boet S, Etherington C, Dion PM, Desjardins C, Kaur M, Ly V, et al. Impact of coaching on physician wellness: A systematic review. *PLOS ONE.* 2023 Feb 7;18(2):e0281406.
78. Ahn MS, Ziedonis D. Coaching Health Care Leaders and Teams in Psychiatry. *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* 2019;42(3):401–12.
79. Balsler JR. The case for executive coaching in academic medicine. *Consult Psychol J Pract Res.* 2019;71(3):165–9.
80. Berenstain LK, Markowitz SD, Byerly SI. Physician Coaching. *Anesth Clin.* 2022;40(2):337–48.
81. Berenstain L, Markowitz SD, Yanofsky SD, McElrath Schwartz J. Coaching to Improve Individual and Team Performance in Anesthesiology. *Anesth Clin.* 2023;41(4):819–32.
82. Bernstein DN, Bozic KJ. Coaching for the Orthopedic Surgery Leader. *Clin Sports Med.* 2023 Apr;42(2):209–17.
83. Cheesebrough KR, Bronzert J, Frazier-De La Torre E. Leadership, academia, and the role of career coaching. *Transl Behav Med.* 2020;10(4):870–2.
84. Freischlag JA. Put me in, coach: Reflections of one female physician turned academic leader on the transition of another. *Consult Psychol J Pract Res.* 2019;71(3):170–4.
85. Gatto RP, Berg WA, Mainiero MB. Coaching: A Strategy for Breast Radiologists' Professional Development. *J Breast Imaging.* 2022;4(5):530–6.
86. Geist LJ, Cohen MB. Commentary: Mentoring the mentor: executive coaching for clinical departmental executive officers. *Acad Med.* 2010;85(1):23–5.
87. Gerbarg Z. Physician leaders of medical groups face increasing challenges. *J Ambul Care Manage.* 2002;25(4):1–6.
88. Masters C, Robinson D, Faulkner S, Patterson E, McIlraith T, Ansari A. Addressing Biases in Patient Care with The 5Rs of Cultural Humility, a Clinician Coaching Tool. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2019;34(4):627–30.
89. Gorringer A. The place for coaching for clinical leadership. *Int J Clin Leadersh.* 2011;17(1):19–23.
90. Hoang K, Rassbach CE. Leadership & professional development: Coaching to develop clinicians, teachers, and leaders. *J Hosp Med.* 2023;18(7):622–3.
91. Leblanc C, Sherbino J. Coaching in emergency medicine. *Cjem.* 2010;12(6):520–4.
92. Maini A, Saravanan Y, Singh TA, Fyfe M. Coaching skills for medical education in a VUCA world. *Med Teach.* 2020;42(11):1308–9.

93. Schulte EE. Enhancing Leadership and Faculty Development: The Benefits of Coaching for Physicians and Physician Leaders. *J Pediatr*. 2023 Nov;113827.
94. Stephany AM, Archuleta P, Sharma P, Hull SK. Professional Coaching in Medicine and Health Care. *Clin Sports Med*. 2023 Apr;42(2):195–208.
95. Travis EL, Doty L, Helitzer DL. Sponsorship: a path to the academic medicine C-suite for women faculty? *Acad Med*. 2013;88(10):1414–7.
96. Walker BJ. Coaching surgeons and emergency-room physicians. *Consult Psychol J Pract Res*. 2019;71(2):120–9.
97. Wasylyshyn KM. Winning the rodeo: How executive coaching helped an academic physician succeed in a senior-leadership role. *Consult Psychol J Pract Res*. 2019;71(3):179–83.
98. Zahid A, Hong J, Young CJ. Coaching Experts: Applications to Surgeons and Continuing Professional Development. *Surg Innov*. 2018;25(1):77–80.
99. Schwartz JM, Wittkugel E, Markowitz SD, Lee JK, Deutsch N. Coaching for the pediatric anesthesiologist: Becoming our best selves. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2021;31(1):85–91.
100. Stoller JK. Commentary: Recommendations and remaining questions for health care leadership training programs. *Acad Med*. 2013;88(1):12–5.
101. Taylor DC, Hettrich CM, Dickens JF, Doty J. Coaching, Mentorship, and Leadership in Medicine: Empowering the Development of Patient-Centered Care. *Clin Sports Med*. 2023;42(2):xv–xviii.
102. Vande Vusse LK, Ryder HF, Best JA. Maximizing Career Advancement During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Recommendations for Postgraduate Training Programs. *Acad Med*. 2021;96(7):967–73.
103. Warren OJ, Carnall R. Medical leadership: why it's important, what is required, and how we develop it. *Postgrad Med J*. 2011;87(1023):27–32.
104. Neal MT, Lyons MK. Empowering qualities and skills for leaders in neurosurgery. *Surg Neurol Int*. 2021;12:9.
105. Padela AI. Designing academic career trajectories: identifying internal assets and evaluating external challenges. *Transl Behav Med*. 2020;10(4):890–5.
106. Green B, Mitchell DA, Stevenson P, Kane T, Reynard J, Brennan PA. Leading article: how can I optimise my role as a leader within the surgical team? *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2016;54(8):847–50.
107. Kassirer JP. Teaching clinical reasoning: case-based and coached. *Acad Med*. 2010;85(7):1118–24.
108. Blythe WR, Malekzadeh S, Wei JL. The Physician as Leader: Navigating the Breadth of Opportunity and Finding Your Best Fit. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am*. 2022;55(1):1–9.
109. Cerfolio RJ. Outside the Operating Room: Alternative Pathways for Doctors and Surgeons to Lead. *Thorac Surg Clin*. 2024;34(1):57–61.

110. Essien UR, Tipirneni R, Leung LB, Sterling MR. Surviving and Thriving as Physicians in General Internal Medicine Fellowship in the Twenty-First Century. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2020;35(12):3664–70.
111. Blankenship JC, Feldman B, Ranaweera P, Dent J, Huang X, Singer S. The interventional cardiologist as cath lab team leader. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2015;27(6):E98-105.
112. Winters RC, Chan TM, Barth BE. Five hats of effective leaders: teacher, mentor, coach, supervisor and sponsor. *BMJ Lead.* 2023 Jun 21;leader-2022-000733.
113. Woo KT. Physician leadership. *Singap Med J.* 2007;48(12):1069–73.
114. Benoit DD, Vanheule S, Manesse F, Anseel F, De Soete G, Goethals K, et al. Coaching doctors to improve ethical decision-making in adult hospitalised patients potentially receiving excessive treatment: Study protocol for a stepped wedge cluster randomised controlled trial. Nkomazana O, editor. *PLOS ONE.* 2023 Mar 21;18(3):e0281447.
115. Henochowicz S, Hetherington D. Leadership coaching in health care. *Leadersh Organ Dev J.* 2006;27(3):183–9.
116. Smith JM. Surgeon Coaching: Why and How. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2020;40 Suppl 1:S33-s37.
117. Frisch S, Desai R, Chung AS, Love JS, Adair White BA. Women’s professional development programs for emergency physicians: A scoping review. *AEM Educ Train.* 2024;8(2):e10971.
118. Misky GJ, Sharpe B, Weaver AC, Niranjana-Azadi A, Gupta A, Rennke S, et al. Faculty Development in Academic Hospital Medicine: a Scoping Review. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2023;38(8):1955–61.
119. Sadowski B, Cantrell S, Barelski A, O’Malley PG, Hartzell JD. Leadership Training in Graduate Medical Education: A Systematic Review. *J Grad Med Educ.* 2018;10(2):134–48.
120. Schermuly C, Graßmann C. A literature review on negative effects of coaching – what we know and what we need to know. *Coach Int J Theory Res Pract.* 2018 Oct 3;12:1–28.
121. Klammer A, Gueldenberg S. Unlearning and forgetting in organizations: a systematic review of literature. *J Knowl Manag.* 2019;(23):860–88.