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Abstract

This thesis examines accounts of electricity in journalism, short stories, novels, poetry and instructional writings, composed between 1830 and 1880 by scientific investigators, popular practitioners and fiction authors. The writings are approached as diverse and often incongruous impressions of electricity, in which the use of figurative and narrative techniques brings into question distinctions between science and literature. It is proposed that the unusual combination of electricity’s historical characterisation as an *elixir vitae*, intense investigation by contemporary scientists, and close alliance with new technologies offered unique opportunities for imaginative speculation. The thesis contends that engaging with these conflicting characteristics created a synthesis of scientific, social and literary responses that defy epistemological and generic categorisation. Fictionality is approached in chapter two as a central feature of scientific conceptualisation, experiment and discovery, particularly in the work of Michael Faraday and James Clerk Maxwell. In chapters three and four, the landscape of popular non-fiction books and periodicals is mapped, to show the ways in which the period’s publication contexts and forums, reading patterns, and use of literary practices contributed to wider engagement with ideas about electricity. Chapters five and six focus on fiction writings, identifying parallels and divergences between actual electrical science and its fictional portrayal. Short stories are shown to have emphasised associations between electricity, neurosis, deformity and the occult, complicating contemporary scientific optimism and presenting electricity as an alluring yet dangerous phenomenon, which disordered the natural world and man’s relationship with it. These characteristics are identified further in the metaphorical references of several canonical novelists, in the exploitation of electricity, elixirs and power depicted by William Harrison Ainsworth and Edward Bulwer-Lytton, and through a case study of the text and reception of a popular novel about electricity by Benjamin Lumley. The thesis contends that electricity’s anomalous and protean nature produced distinctively hybrid responses that enhance our understanding of contemporary popular writing, its contexts and how it was read.
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Introduction

‘There is perhaps no branch of experimental philosophy, which is received by persons of all ages with greater pleasure than Electricity’, declared Henry Minchin Noad in 1844.¹ The phenomenon was, he suggested, ‘calculated to arrest the attention’ and had the capacity to become more powerfully ‘fixed on the mind’ than any other science. By the time Noad writes, electricity had emerged as a distinct focus of study but, far from demystifying the phenomenon, scientific investigation continued to enhance electricity’s widespread and gripping appeal. As Noad also points out, electricity was perceived to have ‘secret and hidden influence’, in connection with ‘the most sublime and awful’ agencies of nature. In this and a variety of other ways discussed here, scientists and practitioners, journalists and fiction authors troubled narratives of electricity’s allure and revealed its fundamentally problematic nature.

This thesis proposes that literary responses to electricity were amalgamations of scientific, literary and cultural concerns that related directly to the phenomenon and its study. Many nineteenth-century sciences, such as biology, geology, botany and anthropology, elucidated the historical and material basis of the natural world and its relationship to human existence. Investigations of electricity were different because,

¹ Henry Minchin Noad, Lectures on Electricity: Comprising Galvanism, Magnetism, Electromagnetism, Magneto- and Thermo-electricity (London: George Knight and Sons, 1844), 3. Noad’s popular books about electricity are discussed further in chapter 3.
although they sought to address the materiality of nature, they also confronted what appeared to be an intangible phenomenon. Although electricity could be approached by empirical means, understanding its properties and representing them scientifically meant embracing previously inconceivable levels of abstraction and complexity. In that sense, the examination of electricity was both a part of and beyond the dominant visual culture of the nineteenth century, identified by Kate Flint and other scholars.² Although electricity was explored in terms of physical matter by scientists, the phenomenon and developments of it were perceived to distance man from the otherwise ‘natural’ world of direct, known experience. At the same time, investigations of electricity were closely affiliated to contemporary technological applications, developments that referred primarily to imagined futures and an essentially transient present, rather than a documentable past. The combination of these features made electricity a rich and varied imaginative resource but, as the writings I consider indicate, it also made literary responses to the phenomenon inherently unstable.

The period investigated by my research begins in 1831 with Michael Faraday’s discovery of electromagnetism and ends in 1881 with the first public supply of electricity.³ In these years, electricity acquired unprecedented significance in the public consciousness. Long witnessed as the natural phenomena of lightning or static shocks, electricity emerged in the nineteenth century as a unified scientific

---


³ Ian McNeil, ed., An Encyclopaedia of the History of Technology (London: Routledge, 1990), 369. The first public supply of electricity was offered in Godalming, Surrey, in the form of street lighting.
concept, with uses, meanings and implications never previously anticipated. The representation of electricity and experimentation involved confronting a range of ostensibly non-scientific obstacles and issues, which were added to by the period’s increasingly diverse participation in scientific exploration. My dissertation addresses the function of writers’ references to electricity by asking, firstly, why authors referred to electricity at all, what they believed it added to their writing, and what diverse purposes its representation actually performed. Secondly, I ask how these functions varied from one form of writing to another and what features appeared most prominently in scientific, popular and fiction writings. Finally, I ask what responses are provoked in contemporary readers and the extent to which representations of electricity were perceived to have value, either in communicating or subverting scientific knowledge.

The chapters of my dissertation are organised in terms of varieties of writing, a structure that might appear to pay homage to the centrality of genre; however, this is not the intention. Between 1830 and 1880, many of the literary genres with which the writings might be loosely affiliated, such as the novel or the short story, were still in the process of becoming established. The categorisation of writings about electricity is defied more fundamentally, too, by electricity’s intangibility. Writings about the phenomenon worried the boundaries of epistemological classifications, repeatedly employing features that might seem to belong to other forms of writing, in a continual exchange of technical, literary and cultural precepts. Scientific research on electricity drew heavily on fictional concepts and poetry about electricity was informed by several principles in physics, just as non-fiction incorporated narrative techniques as well as anecdotal short stories, and real scientific developments were
integrated and explored in fictional works. Rather than understanding these different forms of literature on the basis of a singular ‘genre-level meaning’, as Dallas Liddle proposes, I suggest that interchangeability was an integral and even characteristic aspect of the period’s writings about electricity.\(^4\)

The simultaneously literary and scientific phenomenon of electricity implicitly contests the opposition of the two, and instead supports the recognition of ‘one culture’ by leading scholars such as Gillian Beer, George Levine and Sally Shuttleworth.\(^5\) The labelling of literature as ‘literary’ or ‘scientific’ results, I suggest, from defining each category too narrowly. References to the literary often focus solely on features of rhetoric and form, particularly the use of metaphor and figurative language. When, for example, the historian of literature and science Patricia Fara discusses eighteenth-century writings about science, she proposes that ‘rhetorical techniques frame apparently objective scientific theories and practices.’\(^6\)

Despite the caveat of ‘apparently’, the marginal and detached ‘frame’ of rhetorical techniques makes them separate and distinct, rather than an integral component of the scientific picture, in a way that risks perpetuating long-contested distinctions between literary and scientific purposes. My dissertation seeks to illustrate a wider range of representational techniques, used by writers to conceptualise and communicate, which undermine this type of static positioning.

\(^4\) Dallas Liddle, *The Dynamics of Genre: Journalism and the Practice of Literature in Mid-Victorian Britain* (Charlottesville, VA: University of Virginia Press, 2009), 154.


Scientific knowledge has been defined by Karl Popper as that which is logical and empirically based – what he refers to as ‘the proof of consistency’. However, between 1830 and 1880, logical, empirical and consistent understandings about electricity were still being established; knowledge about electricity’s properties and processes was precarious rather than certain, a status that disrupted conventional distinctions about the scientific and literary. Definitions of the term ‘literary’ frequently rely on essentially unstable notions of creativity and artistic merit, factors that are often ignored in writings about science. The assumptions about epistemological characteristics are misleading, too, because they construct stereotyped portrayals of scientific and literary practices, as well as their subsequent development. As Laura Otis notes, ‘to understand how nineteenth-century people thought about communications, it is essential to read the works of scientists and novelists in parallel. Although they lived and worked quite differently, they faced the same challenge to communicate and answered it with cultural knowledge and creativity.’ I approach writings about electricity as frequently dissimilar in purpose but similarly motivated by authors’ equal desires to investigate, to communicate and to explore creative possibilities. Interdisciplinary scholarship tends to explore the pluralism of nineteenth-century readerships, seeking out literatures beyond the established canon and interrogating conceptions of literature beyond just ‘the best which has been thought and said in the world’. The increased recognition of literature as culturally and socially constructed has led to greater appreciation of

---

‘non-literary’ language. Yet the goal of learning how stories and poems make meaning is still described as ‘literary’ by, for example, historian of literature and science Laura Otis. My analysis of writings about electricity aims to take account of contexts that are variously perceived as both ‘literary’ and ‘non-literary’, with the intention of exploring not just how texts make meaning but also the kinds of meaning they make, and how those meanings are influenced by different authorial perspectives, forms of writing and intentions.

My dissertation does not suggest a hierarchy of knowledge, whereby scientific understandings are innately more or less valuable than the depiction of electricity by popular or fiction writers. Instead, I aim to show how narrative and fictional elements existed in a variety of writings, whether specialist or not. I propose that fictionality was a core feature of scientific conceptualising, and that representational techniques were understood as vital, albeit sometimes awkward, aspects of scientific progress. As Ralph O’Connor suggests, ‘rhetorical tropes and aesthetic forms did not merely decorate the science presented, but helped to construct it.’ I refer to salient examples from the research and correspondence of Michael Faraday and James Clerk Maxwell, among other lesser-known figures, and I consider Maxwell’s poetry in depth, to illustrate some of the alternative phenomenological responses offered by him.

Beyond oppositions of literature and science, my research explores the nature of fictionality. In the third and fourth chapters of my dissertation, I examine the

---

writings in books and periodicals by which information about electricity was often widely distributed. My research reveals levels of fictionality in ostensibly non-fiction and instructional writings, and contends that explanations of electricity were also forms of literary response, which were crucially shaped by a symbiotic relationship between content, purpose and reading practices. Subsequently, in discussing short stories and novels, I identify how fiction writers engaged with electrical concepts to interpret, transform and create new associations with electricity. Acknowledging that the distinction between fact and fiction is ‘unlikely to get us very far’, I seek to show how the more substantial correlative relationship between fiction and non-fiction in writings about electricity continually complicates notions of what is characterised as exclusively scientific or non-literary. 

My thesis contends that the conceptualisation and representation of electricity were inseparable from literary, social and material contexts. In the nineteenth century, exchanges between literature, science and society were relatively fluid: as Fara points out, ‘both literature and science are mutually shaped by each other and by the communities which generate them.’ To emphasise this inseparability is not, however, to ‘reduce the technical content of the sciences to a nexus of social interests’, for which the cultural historian Myles Jackson criticises 1970s sociologists of scientific knowledge. Instead, it reveals writings about electricity to have relied on both technical content and social interests. The unification of scientific and literary discourses about electricity does not mean either that the two are identical or function in the same ways. Scientific discourse often represents forms of knowledge

---

that can seem quite distinct from and even alien to many fictional approaches.\textsuperscript{16} The significance of scientific themes and developments in nineteenth-century literature has been acknowledged since Gillian Beer’s seminal study \textit{Darwin’s Plots} (1983); however, the study of historical scientific discourse as a form of literature remains contentious. The role of fiction in scientific development continues to be debated, not least because, as Geoffrey Cantor advises, ‘the evidence of direct influence is sometimes lacking’.\textsuperscript{17} Proper supporting evidence underpins rigorous literature studies, as well as those of history of science and science, but its role in all three areas can be paradoxical. As Beer suggests, ‘most major scientific theories rebuff common sense. They call on evidence beyond the reach of the senses and overturn the observable world. They disturb assumed relationships and shift what has been substantial into metaphor.’\textsuperscript{18} Many of the writings considered in my dissertation reveal just such transformations between the substantial, the fictional and the metaphorical, whereby methods of representation tend to confound demonstrable and conclusive influence. The ambiguous—indeed, fictional—nature of conceptualisation frequently obscures the mechanisms by which narrative fictions may have influenced science.

The historian Pamela Gossin has pointed out that ‘the literature and science of nineteenth-century Britain has generated more secondary studies than those of any other time and place to date.’\textsuperscript{19} In the rest of this chapter, I review the most germane

\textsuperscript{18} Beer (1983), 3.
and noteworthy scholarship that informs my research, from the history of electricity and scientific popularisation, publication history, and scholarship on nineteenth-century literature and electricity. Responses to electricity in nineteenth-century writings draw on a range of historically contingent associations. While discoveries and theoretical understandings by contemporary scientists may have informed writings by some authors, just as often, they were misunderstood and translated into what were entirely new and farther-reaching propositions. My thesis seeks to illustrate the integrated ways in which electricity was written about, represented and responded to by writers working in different fields in the nineteenth century and, by doing so, contribute to our more nuanced appreciation of both the texts and the contexts within which they existed.

Scholarship on the scientific history of electricity provides an important foundation for my historicist approach. Close reference to the century’s key scientific developments indicates the relationship between literatures, their authors and contemporary contexts, providing essential clues to help us clarify what might otherwise remain puzzling disparities, proximities and affinities between science and literature. Approaching scientific discoveries about electricity in this way allows us to see, too, that they did not remain within a firmly bounded scientific world, and contributed, instead, to a dynamic and highly creative relationship between scientists, non-specialists and fiction authors. Throughout the nineteenth century, and particularly in its early stages, there were many competing theories, both existing and emerging, about the nature of electricity. In the 1980s, these, the development of electricity as a science, and relationships to energy and matter became a key focus for history of science scholarship, prompting the seminal studies
Conceptions of Ether (1981) by Geoffrey Cantor and M. J. S. Hodge; Energy, Force, and Matter (1982) by Peter M. Harman; and The Uses of Experiment: Studies in the Natural Sciences (1989), edited by David Gooding, Trevor J. Pinch and Simon Schaffer.²⁰ These studies are highly relevant to my research on literary responses to electricity, as the original sources of debates that have since been taken up beyond the history of science. In Geoffrey Cantor’s chapter on ‘The Rhetoric of Experiment’, for example, he highlights the rhetorical quality of scientific narratives and reports, as writings that seek to influence and persuade, not least because contrasting scientific truth with rhetoric portrays the latter as ‘a refuge of false opinions’ and allows it ‘no legitimate place in science’.²¹ Like Cantor’s study, my thesis emphasises that ‘unless we are to believe that truth is manifest we need to view rhetoric as an integral part of science’.²² The extent to which a scientific concept is considered to be ‘true’ is heavily contingent upon its representation within a specific historical context, making it a misguided exercise to separate forms of representation from the concepts themselves. As David Gooding notes in his discussion of magnetism, representations ‘can be articulated as instrumentally useful concepts before they are incorporated into a theoretical framework, so it is plausible to suppose that they shape the theories developed to interpret and explain the phenomena they describe’ (author’s emphasis).²³ These considerations are central to my own approach to literatures of electricity, as core elements that enabled scientists and other authors to grasp and convey concepts about the phenomenon.


²² Ibid. 161.

Several historians of science have made electricity a particular focus of their scholarship. The first of these is Crosbie Smith who, in *The Science of Energy* (1998), observes that the technical development of energy physics was ‘symptomatic of a series of profound conceptual shifts which resulted in a whole new scientific vision, with accompanying changes in scientific practice, quite unlike anything that had preceded it.’

Smith’s account of the early nineteenth-century development of the energy sciences points out the important influence exerted by the formalisation of mathematics and the Scottish Enlightenment. Two further scholars whose work on the history of electricity relates directly to my own are Graeme Gooday and Iwan Rhys Morus. Graeme Gooday’s research focuses predominantly on the late nineteenth century, in works such as *The Morals of Measurement: Accuracy, Irony and Trust in Late Victorian Electrical Practice* (2004) and *Domesticating Electricity: Technology, Uncertainty and Gender, 1880-1914* (2008).

Gooday’s emphasis on the growing importance of measuring electricity critically informs the study of early nineteenth-century electricity as an unquantifiable ‘imponderable’ and contemporary tensions about representing electricity in verbal, symbolic or mathematical forms. Nevertheless, the entire context of electricity shifted so dramatically with the introduction of widespread electrical technologies in the early 1880s that, while Gooday’s research has been informative, it is only sometimes directly relevant to the present work.

---

Of greater significance for studying literary responses to electricity between the 1830s and 1880s is the work of Iwan Rhys Morus. As Morus has shown, before the mid-nineteenth century, a participative culture emerged around public demonstrations of electricity that interrogated developing relationships between scientific explorations, the practical applications of electrical science, and the fascination of non-specialist publics. Morus proposes the existence of a double narrative, comprised of ‘entertainment’ in the form of electrical displays and the ‘edification’ of scientific value. These map roughly onto the ‘two cultures of electricity’ he also proposes, the first of which was based in the lecture-room and the popular treatise and dealt with ‘sparks and shocks which are seen and felt’, while the other referred primarily to the ‘testing-office and the engineer’s specification’ and was more concerned with ‘currents and resistances to be measured and calculated’. While many traces of this binary division are evident in the writings of scientists and popularisers, my dissertation proposes that the distinction was not necessarily along these lines, not singular and not this clear-cut, particularly when we approach responses to electricity from a literary perspective. Circulating within the nexus of scientific, practitioner and non-specialist interests were multiple understandings, perceptions and misconceptions of the phenomenon, which constantly intermingled, rather than existing as distinct or dual narratives. The present work focuses on the written representation of electricity and its exploration through experimentation, writings that were a consequence of the century’s fluid forms of knowledge.

My thesis proposes that the newly diverse authorship of the period prompted interpretations of electricity that incorporated science, yet extended and altered its visionary scope. The contentions of epistemology and authority cast up by the movement from natural philosophy to physics were a further significant feature of early electrical practice. However, the apparently inexorable movement towards the scientific authority of quantification, precision and control was complicated by the increasing variety of writings about electricity, which sought to balance the new features of physics with older ideas, drawn from natural philosophy and other earlier associations with the phenomenon. For that reason, my research acknowledges the importance of the body, as a means of engaging with ideas about and experiences of electricity that several scholars have explored. However, the present work also aims to extend the scope of enquiry, to consider how writings about electricity queried the nature of corporeality, perception and interpretation.

The very different purposes electricity could perform engaged vastly different audiences. These differences were often based on the social status of practitioners, but they were also the result of differing approaches and aims in experimentation. Writings by scientists were frequently performative and their central purpose was to convey and communicate the experience of scientific discoveries about electricity, rather than just its technicalities. Whether they were written by scientists or lay practitioners, representations of electricity frequently constituted rhetorical performances, for example, in the published volumes of transcribed lectures or

newspaper reports of the same. The figures considered by my dissertation led developments in the modelling of electricity, particularly Michael Faraday, James Clerk Maxwell, William Whewell, Robert Hunt and John Tyndall, about whom there has been extensive scholarship.\(^{31}\) Many authoritative literary studies have also discussed the wider question of how scientific practices shaped nineteenth-century literature.\(^{32}\) It is less frequent for the two considerations to be brought together and for nineteenth-century scientists’ writings to be examined not just in relation to literature but also as literary forms themselves.\(^{33}\) My research examines research writings, correspondence and poems about electricity alongside the writings of ostensibly non-scientific authors, to reveal their similarities, their differences and their joint contribution towards the cultural integration of science. Electrical sciences did not exist in a vacuum but were integrated within nineteenth-century culture and society, and the contexts within which they emerged did not act only as background; they were key components in investigations of phenomena.\(^{34}\) The


writings about electricity I consider demonstrate the indispensable involvement of publication cultures and literary ideas, social and commercial changes, and evolving apprehensions of the self and the physical world. My thesis attempts to show the unified nature of these historical, scientific and literary perspectives in the nineteenth-century popularisation of ideas about electricity.

Nineteenth-century periodical writings are central to my thesis, as valuable and material evidence about the diversity of contemporary literature, publication forums and readerships. The increasing recognition of periodical texts has, as Matthew Rubery suggests, ‘profoundly influenced our understanding of the relationship between journalism and literature.’ In the 1980s, scholars felt that the ‘sheer bulk and range of the Victorian press seems to make it so unwieldy as to defy systematic and general study’. My research utilises the ‘electronic harvest’ of digitised archives and enhanced research tools developed since. The dramatic expansion of scholarship on both periodicals and popular writing has provided a wealth of insights that have substantially informed my research approach. It has been recognised, for example, that in the nineteenth century ‘periodicals rather than books provided the main means of dissemination’. Although there is still much work to be done before

popular science writing in periodicals is acknowledged to the same extent as other literary forms of the period, the value of periodical texts and the diversity of genres and epistemologies they represent is better recognised now than ever before.

Graeme Gooday points out that until the 1890s, ‘writing on electricity featured only occasionally in established British general periodicals’. By ‘established’, he appears to refer to the loftier journals and reviews of the day, such as the Quarterly Review which he cites as an example, a publication he says focused ‘predominantly on the life sciences and colonial exploration’ and offered only ‘a single piece on electric lighting published in October 1881’. The wide range of publications considered by my research provides a plenitude of periodical writings about electricity throughout the century. The publication market beyond the reviews and costly journals offers a much greater wealth of writings on electricity, and ones that stray beyond the editorial and stylistic constraints of publications that were weightier, as well as less frequently published. By drawing on the relatively cheap and broadly circulated periodicals, supplied to a range of audiences in terms of class, educational levels and ideologies, a new range of insights can be identified between science, writing and reading.

My research on fictions about electricity focuses not only on novels but on a selection of short stories, which were published in monthly publications in each decade of the period between 1838 and 1884. A particularly striking change in the nineteenth century was the growth in the circulation of ‘penny fiction-based

41 Ibid. 241.
weeklies catering to a relatively uncultivated audience’ of readers, who had only minimal levels of formal education.\textsuperscript{42} It would have been interesting to include greater consideration of this type of fiction in the present work; however, my research reveals that penny-weekly writers tended to refer to electricity only minimally, in the form of exhibition reviews or descriptions of past scientific figures, rather than engaging more directly with ideas about electricity.\textsuperscript{43} The idea of electricity is deployed in penny weeklies, too, as frequently as elsewhere, as an adjective to describe powerful emotions; for example, when a young heroine is flattered by a stranger and the narrator comments that ‘flattery flies to the heart as swiftly as electricity along the wire’, but then there is rarely any further mention of electricity.\textsuperscript{44} While it is not possible to pursue each instance of metaphor in relation to electricity, metaphorical responses are considered throughout my dissertation, in both non-fiction and fiction, and, in the later chapters, I focus more specifically on the function of electrical metaphors in fiction. Cataloguing every marginal and peripheral reference to electricity would go beyond the aims and scope of my dissertation. Instead, I consider a range of periodicals and the narratives they offered about electricity, focusing on engagements that constitute fuller and more direct explorations of the phenomenon, experimentation with it and the wider ramifications in each decade.

Writings about electricity appear in an eclectic array of forums and portray scientific activity, figures and knowledge beyond the laboratory. However, the restricted language commonly used in scholarship about science writings is a problem that today’s literary scholars share with historians of science. The terms available for describing writings, participants and practices have tended to be relatively limited. The problematic nature of terms such as ‘popularisation’ and ‘popular science’ is indicated by the seminal issue of the *History of Science* journal in 1994, which focused exclusively on such definitions. In the issue’s leading article, Roger Cooter and Stephen Pumfrey object to the political and ideological baggage of the term ‘popularisation’; they argue against the inherent assumptions it implies about class and cultural boundaries and the top-down models that derogate ‘popular’ culture as a watered-down and poorly understood form of ‘real’ science.\(^{45}\) They propose that the products of élite scientific culture were not merely used but also transformed by the ‘lower orders’ of the scientific hierarchy and that this process produced different but equally valid forms of science. Their view underpins the rationale of my own research, in presenting a mixture of ‘popular’ and scientifically ‘élite’ writings about electricity. Although text-based analysis is faulted initially by Cooter and Pumfrey as the ‘privileging of ideas and texts over practice and object’, ultimately, they too champion the benefit of ‘scrutinizing popular prose and non-scientific texts for (or as) signs of orthodox and unorthodox scientific authority’. Seeking just one predetermined outcome from the texts I consider would limit the investigative framework of this study and might mean missing other insights. Therefore, while contemporary authors’ relationship to authority and their political stance or social

origins are taken into account here, it is the wider purposes of scientific writing for
which the texts are examined.

Cooter and Pumfrey are not alone in their frustration with the available language.
Scholars such as James Secord, Jonathan Topham and Bernard Lightman, to name a
few, have also objected to the continuing limitations, associations and assumptions
of common descriptions. In Victorian Popularizers of Science (2007), Lightman
interrogates how the term ‘popularise’ became separated from specialist and
professional scientific discourse. The difficulties of credibility and validity
encountered by popular science lay partly in its greater accessibility and its non-
specialist origins; yet many of the Victorian popularisers described by Lightman
were relatively expert, so that their ‘popular’ writings and lectures were entirely
accurate scientific explications. The difference between popular and specialist forms
of science seems to be its degree of accessibility and this is exacerbated by the
ambiguity of what is ‘popular’. Lightman reminds us that the meaning of the term
has shifted away from ‘belonging to the people’ to ‘presenting knowledge in
generally accessible ways’, indicating that the ownership of knowledge changed,
from originating with ‘the people’ to being received by them. Certainly, there were
also ‘owners’ of early nineteenth-century science who strove to make knowledge
more accessible and many scientists were aware of the benefits of this movement; as
James Secord points out, for example, after the low sales of On the Connexion of the

---

Popularizers of Science: Designing Nature for New Audiences (Chicago: University of Chicago Press,
2007); Jonathan R. Topham, ‘Rethinking the History of Science Popularization/Popular Science’, in
Popularizing Science and Technology in the European Periphery, 1800-2000, ed. F. Papanalopoulou,
Physical Sciences (1834), the author Mary Somerville frequently assured her editors that she would make her writings more understandable to wider audiences.\(^{47}\)

As the nineteenth-century progressed, the more accessible forms of science often appear to have been taken less seriously as scientific discourse. The readerships of scientific writings and the publication climate were key factors in the shaping of this attitude towards 'popular science'. The new range of publications willing to include science writings meant that scientific knowledge was no longer simply produced by élite specialists and consumed by passive, non-scientific under-classes. As indicated by Lightman’s subtitle, Designing Nature for New Audiences, the relationship became more complex; there was a new dynamic, whereby producers and interpreters of scientific knowledge catered as much, if not more, to the purposes of their readerships, as to scientific hierarchies. As Richard Yeo reports, between 1781 and 1840, the Royal Society’s ‘monopoly’ was overthrown by the foundation of some two dozen specialist scientific societies.\(^{48}\) It is hardly surprising, then, that so many new forms of science and access to it were perceived by scientific authorities as threatening. Caroline Sumpter comments on the anxieties prompted by wider information dissemination and mass literacy, noting that it is no coincidence that publications such as the Popular Science Monthly also illustrated contemporary reservations about the ‘reading crowd’.\(^{49}\) Sumpter tells of the dismay expressed over wider news distribution and the emotions it might prompt in readers, so that ‘in England, many writers were equally fascinated and troubled by the notion of a

---

\(^{48}\) Richard Yeo (1993), 33.
contagious spread of emotions and ideas amongst crowds and newspaper publics.\footnote{Ibid. 240.}

The metaphor of disease she uses is particularly apt for the period, conveying as it does how changes that were ostensibly positive could also be associated with threats, such as social unrest, lawlessness and epidemic. As a new form of science, electricity and its potential development provoked similar levels of fear, anxiety and hostility.

Recent understandings of the relationship between nineteenth-century periodical literature and contemporary cultures have altered significantly and rapidly, in ways that directly influence my approach to writings about electricity and their relationship to both literary and scientific contemporary cultures. In the mid-1980s, it was thought that popular science periodicals ‘mirrored above all editors’ concerns, aspirations, and prejudices’.\footnote{Susan Sheets-Pyenson, ‘Popular Science Periodicals in Paris and London: The Emergence of a Low Scientific Culture, 1820-1875’, \textit{Annals of Science}, 42:6 (Nov., 1985), 552.} However, the model of print cultures ‘mirroring’ society can be questioned on the basis that any ‘mirrored’ reflection only ever presents a one-way image. In fact, print cultures constituted ‘a central component of that culture’ and printed material ‘can only be read and understood as part of that culture and society’.\footnote{Lyn Pykett, ‘Reading the Periodical Press: Text and Context’, in \textit{Investigating Victorian Journalism}, ed. Laurel Brake, Aled Jones and Lionel Madden (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1990), 7.} The recent revision of the cultural location of historical literatures diminishes the distance between print cultures and society; rather than writings in periodicals occupying a marginal position in relation to the concerns of literature, the topics they address are increasingly understood to be expressions of contemporary cultures themselves. For that reason, the employment of periodicals as a primary form is no longer peripheral to the study of either science or writing but, instead, a unique and crucial element of it; as N. Katherine Hayles proposes,
literature and science existed as a ‘feedback loop’, an enhanced version of Beer’s ‘two-way’ traffic, made up of the concepts and expressions of literary and scientific thinkers from which further ideas and developments were drawn. The model reflects the dynamism and multiplicity of relationships between the various elements, despite falling somewhat short of representing the transformative nature of the process. The actual content of scientific knowledge was altered dramatically by what authors and readers understood and, just as importantly, what they failed to understand or chose to understand from entirely different angles.

The growing appreciation of wider publication forums as literary resources has prompted intensive examination of periodicals, magazines and the press. This has been accompanied by extensive exploration of the influence of newly diverse readerships upon popular scientific knowledge, in relation to nineteenth-century culture, media and commerce. Scholars have focused also on the role of readership in popularisations and the material aspects of the press. What emerges from this body of work is that, in the first half of the nineteenth century, a remarkable fusion occurred between scientific development, literary contexts, institutional reform and private commerce. Four decades of factory acts and reformist legislation ushered in a rise in literacy rates at much the same time as the 1836 reduction in paper taxes and

stamp duties which, in turn, enabled the production of cheaper publications. More information was available to more people than ever before, and the years in which this phenomenon reached its zenith were precisely when the development of professionalised scientific knowledge began to flourish. It would seem then that the transformation of print culture, readerships and society was intimately connected to Britain’s commercial growth, as well as the democratisation and professionalisation of the sciences. The dynamism of the process is particularly evident in the periodical press, which was effectively the fastest moving and most responsive publication arena of the time. The ramifications of these connections are best understood by analysing the writings themselves, as my dissertation seeks to do.

The production and consumption of scientific knowledge through different forms of literature is especially pertinent to the study of electrical writings, because the practice of nineteenth-century electrical science was conducted from such a broad range of backgrounds and levels of expertise. Some participants were scientists but others were entertainers, educators or simply curious members of the public. Meanwhile, the writings they produced were distributed to and by an equally diverse range of non-specialist reading publics and publication methods. The periodical writings examined in this research are intended to highlight the fact that many impressions of science were recorded only in the fragmentary ephemera of scientists’ letters and lecture asides, the anecdotal fictions that feature in instructional writings, isolated short stories and the metaphors employed by novelists. The variations between these forms were not simply a matter of literary genre or style; they arose from fundamentally different aims, which shaped their representation in literature.

57 The 1801 Health and Morals of Apprentices Act; 1819 Factory Act; 1831 (Hobhouse) Factory Act; and 1847 Factory Act; the stamp duty reductions of 1836 were followed by their final abolition in 1855.
Changes in the consumption of scientific writing were accompanied by equally radical changes in the practice and purposes of science. Contrary to Matthew Arnold’s definition of science as ‘knowledge systematically pursued and prized in and for itself’, by the 1840s, science was no longer such an organised, gentlemanly, or insular pursuit; as Alan Rauch has pointed out in *Useful Knowledge* (2001), the allure of science was both macro-economic and micro-economic, and scientific progress was a matter of national pride, reflecting growing British prosperity, as well as the country’s desire to compete as an international power and devise potentially exciting technological advances. However, it also offered the individual possibility of ‘mental improvement’ (Rauch’s emphasis) and, with that, the hope of elevated social status.  

Despite the appearance of more available, frequent and diverse accounts of scientific practice and knowledge, as disciplines became more specialised, new distance occurred between expert knowledge and ‘common’ knowledge, and between scientific language and vernaculars. In her study of nineteenth-century electrical technologies, *When Old Technologies were New* (1988), Carolyn Marvin points out that ‘in scientific and technical literature, expert authority rejected the immediate sensory judgement, or direct experience of nature, as naïve empiricism’. Advances in science meant that Baconian empiricist approaches were no longer sufficient for specialist scientists; interacting directly with complex scientific concepts demanded that more abstract, theoretical approaches be devised. In contrast, popular science ‘appealed to the senses [and] referred to a way of knowing quite unlike the

---


59 Marvin (1988), 111.
controlled observational posture of empirical investigation.’ What this suggests is that the producers of scientific knowledge were motivated by very different interests than those that stimulated the interest of non-specialist consumers. As a model, it asserts two opposed ‘ways of knowing’, where a superior ‘controlled’ observational empiricism is preferable to the ‘naïve’ and sensory, random nature of popular science. I contend, however, that these two ‘ways of knowing’ were not always so starkly opposed and that, in Faraday’s experimentation as well as James Clerk Maxwell’s poems, for example, controlled observation could also be naïve and sensory.

The range of available approaches to science in the nineteenth century indicates that learning was not always the purpose of science writings, and that the more diverse readerships were, the less predictable intentions were. Some writers sought a degree of understanding about electricity and energy sciences but others, regardless of their educational or social status, sought nothing more than entertainment. Those who wrote about science had to do more than just interpret or simplify specialist scientific knowledge: they had to offer multiple narratives, rather than just the ‘double narrative’ Morus proposes, to engage newly disparate audiences.61

Two literary critics who have offered specific insights on electricity in nineteenth-century literatures are Martin Willis and James Mussell, although their primary interest has been in writings from the late nineteenth century, rather than the earlier period of my research. In *Mesmerists, Monsters, and Machines* (2006), Martin Willis locates his discussion of Mary Shelley’s *Frankenstein* in the climate of

---

60 Ibid. 109.
electrical investigation.\(^{62}\) He suggests that, despite scientific progress, magic and occultism ‘continued to infect’ early nineteenth-century sciences and that the gothic genre that emerged during the same period was a way of ‘interpreting the complex interplay of the mystical and the scientific’.\(^{63}\) Willis proposes, too, that the investigation of electricity represented a contest between ‘Romantic’ and ‘materialist’ viewpoints, with the goal of one eventually being legitimised over the other. In this scenario, Romantic science viewed electricity as a universal and supernatural force at the core of cosmic principles and human spirituality, based on the German concept of *Urphänomen*, as an absolute or primal force.\(^{64}\) Materialist science sought, in contrast, to prove that electricity was ‘just another natural phenomenon’, because proving this would ‘place materialist method and philosophies at the centre of scientific authority’.\(^{65}\) My research indicates that, like Morus’s ‘two cultures’, the two schools of thought were not so clearly opposed, in the transition between eighteenth- and nineteenth-century science. Electricity was frequently approached in terms of its materiality or spirituality, and as Alice Jenkins contends, ‘to equate the physical sciences in this period with an unambiguously materialist outlook is gravely to misread the evidence’.\(^{66}\) What is also evident is the persistence of what Willis refers to as a confusing ‘heterogeneity’ of electrical theory, which created space for uncertainty, contradiction and speculation.\(^{67}\) I contend that this confusion was, in reality, the array of perspectives produced by the diverse participants who sought to represent electricity.

\(^{62}\) Martin Willis, *Mesmerists, Monsters, and Machines: Science Fiction and the Cultures of Science in the Nineteenth Century* (Kent, OH: Kent State University Press, 2006), 63-93.
\(^{63}\) Ibid. 66.
\(^{64}\) Ibid. 71.
\(^{65}\) Ibid. 68.
\(^{67}\) Willis (2006), 71.
James Mussell also examines literary engagements with late nineteenth-century electricity, in *Science, Time and Space in the Late Nineteenth-Century Periodical Press: Movable Types* (2007), but he presents the period quite differently to Willis, as ‘the electronic age’.68 The period of Mussell’s research begins where my own leaves off, with the Electric Lighting Act in 1882 and its amendment in 1888, after which electric street-lighting proliferated. Mussell’s research incorporates considerations of fiction and non-fiction writings, and his observations about electricity in relation to time and space directly complement my own discussions.69 Mussell asserts that electricity’s ‘ontology remains inextricably linked to its representations’ (my emphasis), and the term ‘ontology’ conveys the deeply philosophical nature of nineteenth-century interest in electricity that my research also aims to illustrate.70 My focus on the intrinsic connection between presenting electricity and establishing that it existed is an aspect to which Mussell also draws attention.

The role of late nineteenth-century electricity in literature is addressed further by Jason Rudy and Barri Gold. While Jason Rudy’s *Electric Meters* (2009) deals with the same period as the present work, the material considered differs substantially, as does the approach.71 The ‘interplay of physiology and electricity’ is discussed by Rudy in the poetry of Alfred Tennyson, the ‘Spasmodic’ poets, Elizabeth Barrett Browning, Gerard Manley Hopkins, and Algernon Swinburne.72 The argument is that poets ‘look to electricity to make sense of poetry’s effects on the human body’;
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68 Mussell (2007), 183.
70 Ibid.
72 Ibid. 6.
however, it is debatable the extent to which this can be proven, even with the ‘larger framework for nineteenth-century poetry and poetic theory’, which is recommended in the book for reading this physicality. Rudy argues that ‘electricity is not simply a trope used to describe or to elaborate a poetic function’, although the later suggestion that ‘in the nineteenth century, electricity was the most prominent figure for a more widespread and pervasive interest in communication’ risks making it just such a trope. The claim privileges electricity, presenting it as a synecdoche for contemporary changes in communication and taking insufficient account of other equally influential developments in the period, such as the railways, improvements in literacy, and social changes, to name just a few.

*Electric Meters* emphasises that a ‘distance’ exists between the electrical experimentation of ‘bodily feeling (experiencing the electric shock)’ and experiences that entail ‘thoughtful engagement with scientific ideas (thinking through electrical theories, hypotheses, and physical laws)’. The division holds true for the underlying disparity between certain popular engagements with electricity and specialist analysis, but it becomes more problematic when we consider the relative and debatable nature of the terms employed. The distinction prompts questions of precisely what constitutes a ‘thoughtful’ engagement; the standards by which the ‘scientific’ is defined; and how far it is possible to distance experimentation from its context, let alone the human senses from ideas. My dissertation considers depictions of electrical experimentation that involve both bodily experiences and degrees of engagement with scientific ideas. The extent to which these can be described as ‘thoughtful’ is debatable; if we accept that the term
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73 Ibid. 5.
74 Ibid. 8.
75 Ibid. 6.
refers to some level of intellectual engagement, it still allows for a considerable variety of thinking. Hierarchies of thought are not fixed; indeed, as Irwin and Wynne observe, ‘the undermining of abstract, theoretical knowledge by “down to earth” observation is an everyday occurrence’.  

The issue of how far different modes of thinking or writing genuinely engage with scientific ideas (or, indeed, do not) is addressed by my dissertation. The question is examined in relation to a variety of writings, rather than focusing on just one genre, to investigate also the concomitant enquiries of whether and how far the engagement alters according to literary form.

The use of the term ‘scientific’ in Electric Meters presents further obstacles. Much of the writing I examine creates associations between electricity and a range of other contemporary interests, many of which have since fallen foul of the term’s increasingly rigorous definition. Rather than sensory experimentation being the opposite of science, in the nineteenth century, neither epistemology nor practice was certain or stable enough to remain distinct from the other for very long, if at all. Indeed, as demonstrated by Rudy’s own example of ‘the direct transfer of energy’ between physicality and poetry, in literature as well as science, the body and ideas are never so directly opposed. In the nineteenth century, the whole unsteady gamut of electrical theories, hypotheses and laws was always a fundamental part of the portrayals, explorations and speculations concerning bodily experiences with electricity.
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76 Alan Irwin and Brian Wynne, Misunderstanding Science?: the Public Reconstruction of Science and Technology (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996), 135.

77 Rudy (2009), 2.
My thesis investigates how a range of emerging conceptual approaches related to each other within and beyond scientific circles, and how they altered during the half-century period of study. It follows the critical lead set by Alice Jenkins’s *Space and the ‘March of Mind’: Literature and the Physical Sciences in Britain, 1815-1850* (2007) in recognising the importance of interactions between early nineteenth-century literature and the physical sciences. Electricity represented precisely the type of ‘immaterial, conceptual space’ described by Jenkins, and literary responses to it demanded the new forms of ‘spatial imagination’ she proposes.\(^7^8\) Writings about electricity expressed an array of authorial purposes, publication forums and genres, for which the early nineteenth-century intellectual exchanges discussed in *Space and the ‘March of Mind’* provided essential foundations, and to which my thesis regularly refers. By focusing on electricity, my dissertation also relates to *ThermoPoetics* (2010) by Barri Gold, which considers nineteenth-century literature in relation to heat, light, electricity, magnetism, gravitational attraction, and mechanical work, and illustrates how they became unified as ‘manifestations of the same thing’ in the concept of ‘energy’.\(^7^9\) The topics of light, heat and magnetism occasionally enter the frame of my research; however, my primary focus is on how writers conceptualised ideas of electricity and experimentation with it. In the writings about electricity I consider, Gold’s reference to the ‘conversation between Victorian literature and thermodynamics’ is particularly apt, for the liveliness and dynamism it conveys about the period’s interactions between literature and science.\(^8^0\)

My study resists the ‘diffusion model’ of science and society to which Gold also objects, whereby science influences literature but literature does not appear to
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\(^{78}\) Jenkins (2007), 3.


\(^{80}\) Ibid. 28.
influence science; as she notes, nineteenth-century literary engagements with science indicate that ‘literature has often, perhaps always, influenced science, especially in the delicate, early stages of a scientific development, before a phenomenon has been named or a hypothesis adequately articulated’. The proposition is particularly evident in the use of literary techniques by scientists, considered here in chapter two. My dissertation does consider the extent to which literature may have influenced science in the nineteenth century; however, it is important to note that writings about electricity frequently repudiate the consideration of ‘literature’ and ‘science’ as separate entities, in a way that also negates the demonstration of such definitive influence.

The scholarship identified in my introduction reflects the multifarious nature of nineteenth-century literary responses to electricity, and the ways in which they reflected the similar qualities of the phenomenon itself. As Jenkins observes, however, interactions of literary culture with physics and chemistry in the period have been paid less attention than those of the life sciences and earth sciences, to which Gold adds that ‘the complex relationship between the physical sciences and literature in the Victorian era has, to a surprising degree, been overlooked by literary scholars’. My research seeks to contribute to understandings of these relatively neglected and admittedly complex relationships and to the affinity of epistemologies that, otherwise, might seem remote from one another. By juxtaposing writings that are not traditionally aligned and exploring them as literary forms despite their apparent variations, I hope also to contribute to innovative interdisciplinary approaches in both literature and science.

81 Ibid. 15.
82 Jenkins (2007), 8; Gold (2010), 27.
i. **Introduction**

The ‘Torch of Science’, writes Thomas Carlyle, has been ‘brandished and borne about, with more or less effect, for five thousand years and upwards’ and now burns ‘perhaps more fiercely than ever’.¹ The Olympian metaphor portrays a smooth progression of scientific interest, which culminates in the passionate engagement of his own era. In reality, scientific practice underwent several unique and profound conceptual transitions in the nineteenth century, in which the investigation of electricity was central, and which can be understood as a narrative palimpsest of discovery, experiment and representation.

In considering nineteenth-century literary responses to electricity, it is important to begin by taking account of what information was available about electricity both before and after the 1830s when scientific study of the phenomenon began in earnest, how that information developed and what questions it presented for literary representations. Writers could choose whether or not to observe the information and, in order to establish how far they did, my dissertation provides this explanatory groundwork. In the later sections of the chapter, I examine how Michael Faraday,

James Clerk Maxwell and others perceived the role of writing and representation, and the overlaps and tensions that existed in terms of literary techniques and approach. I examine, particularly, the variety of methods they employed in conceptualising electricity, the obstacles they encountered, and the role of fiction in their explorations of electricity’s qualities.

**ii. Electricity’s Sensational and Imponderable History**

Before the nineteenth century, public awareness of electricity arose in response to the visual and sensational character of electricity, either in nature or through demonstrations of effects, which focused on novelty and spectacle, rather than analysis or investigation of its substance and operation. Eighteenth-century parlour games such as the ‘Electric Boy’, pioneered in part by Francis Hauksbee at the Royal Society, demonstrated how electricity could pervade the most palpably material substance—the human body. In William Watson’s image of the game (see Fig. 1), a rotating crank generates electricity that is transferred through the feet of a suspended figure; the electricity is transmitted to a girl’s hand, while her other hand makes contact with feathers or small pieces of paper, attracted by the electricity passing through her.
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2 Michael Faraday (1791–1867) and James Clerk Maxwell (1831–1879).
3 Francis Hauksbee (1668–1763), instrument maker and lecturer on science.
4 William Watson (1715–1787), physicist, physician, and botanist.
Throughout the first quarter of the nineteenth century, electricity was also widely popularised by Giovanni Aldini’s notorious experiments, which demonstrated the effects of electricity in moving the decapitated heads of cattle and the bodies of executed criminals (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1. William Watson, ‘The Electric Circuit’ (1748)

Fig. 2. Giovanni Aldini’s Electrical Experiments (1804)
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Illustrations such as these established lurid and sensational associations between electricity and the body.\(^7\) As James Secord comments, the effects of science ‘seemed accessible because they were made visible’, and he takes as an example John Henry Pepper’s ‘ghosts’, in which electricity was also used to amaze credulous publics.\(^8\) The use of the body to demonstrate electrical experiments was particularly common, for example, in Faraday’s reports of making an electrical circuit between his tongue and his eyeball, to ‘perceive the \textit{sensation} upon the tongue and the \textit{flash} before the eyes’ (author’s emphases).\(^9\) The historical relationship between electricity and the body is well-documented and considerable scholarship exists about it.\(^10\)

Carolyn Marvin attributes the persistent connection between electricity and the body to the latter’s role as ‘the most familiar of all communicative modes … a convenient touchstone by which to gauge, explore, and interpret the unfamiliar’.\(^11\) In my research, electrical experimentation with the body represents an initial foundation of

\(^7\) This was not necessarily Volta’s intention for, as Patricia Fara points out, ‘despite his renown as an inventor and experimenter, Volta regarded his theoretical research as a natural philosopher to be his greatest achievement’; see Patricia Fara, ‘Alessandro Volta and the Politics of Pictures’, \textit{Endeavour}, 33:4 (2009), 126.


\(^9\) Faraday, \textit{Experimental Researches in Electricity}, vol. 1 (London: Richard and John Taylor, 1839), 15. \textit{Experimental Researches} was published in thirty volumes between 1832 and 1856. The focus in the present work is predominantly on Faraday’s earliest experiments and conceptualisations of electricity, which are offered in the first volume and remain relatively unchanged in subsequent editions. I am grateful to the Daubeney Library at Magdalen College Library, Oxford, for granting me access to the first editions of all the volumes.


interest in the subject and a starting point in the transition of electrical science from sensational demonstration to abstract theory.

Early nineteenth-century representations of electricity’s substance and processes also stemmed from the eighteenth century. In 1733, Charles du Fay announced his ‘two fluids theory’ in which electricity was proposed to consist of two electricities: the ‘vitreous’ and the ‘resinous’.\(^\text{12}\) He showed that substances composed of the same electricity repel one another, while substances of opposite types were attracted, providing the basis of later concepts of negative and positive charge.\(^\text{13}\) Beyond this basic distinction, electricity, light, heat and magnetism were grouped together under the term ‘imponderables’, meaning that they appeared to have no quantifiable substance and yet their essences were so different, they could not interact with each other.\(^\text{14}\) Although the term ‘imponderable’ had a quite specific meaning in physics, it underwent a subtle semantic shift between the late-eighteenth and mid-nineteenth centuries.\(^\text{15}\) It described elements thought to have no perceptible weight, for


\(^\text{13}\) Electrical attraction and repulsion were demonstrated as an electrostatic force by Charles-Augustin de Coulomb (1736–1806), known as Coulomb’s Law (1783). Emmanuel Kant proposed that attraction and repulsion are two forces, from which electricity is produced; see discussion of Emmanuel Kant’s Metaphysical Foundations (1786) by Michael Friedman in Kant and the Exact Sciences (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1992), 236.


\(^\text{15}\) The term ‘physics’, referring to properties of non-living matter and energy, is relatively recent; its earliest British use in this sense appears to have been in 1834 by Mary Somerville (OED) but, as late as 1871, William Thomson called his textbook on physics a Treatise on Philosophy; see Greg Myers, ‘Nineteenth-Century Popularizations of Thermodynamics and the Rhetoric of Social Prophecy’, Victorian Studies, 29:1 (Autumn, 1985), 35-66. Peter Harman points out that, by the early nineteenth century, the term was being used ‘to denote the study of mechanics, electricity and optics, employing a mathematical and experimental methodology’; see Harman, Energy, Force, and Matter: the Conceptual Development of Nineteenth-century Physics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982), 1.
example, in George Adams’s description of ‘phlogiston, a substance as imponderable as fire’. Thereafter, it referred to light, heat and electricity and later to the luminiferous ‘ether’, as when John Imison observed that ‘light… is reckoned among the imponderable bodies’. However, its figurative meaning was also increasingly apparent, so that it came to refer to aspects of the natural world perceived as incalculable and unthinkable, such as in Herbert Mayo’s comment that ‘Mind, like electricity, is an imponderable force’. This conceptual transitioning implies a gradual recognition that the physical world could not be understood in purely quantitative terms, and that describing it inevitably involved an element of uncertainty and abstraction.

The relationship between conceptualising electricity’s properties and other responses to it can be understood best by reviewing how theoretical approaches emerged, and how old and new concepts competed with each other as representations. Theories of electricity’s imponderability were seriously challenged from 1800, when Volta demonstrated that electricity could travel between one metal plate and another in his voltaic pile. William Nicholson and Anthony Carlisle demonstrated further that imponderables could indeed interact because the current from the pile could decompose water, breaking it down through heat into its constituents of hydrogen and oxygen, as steam. Despite the revolutionary nature of such developments, their public dissemination was limited; as one contemporary writer remarks about his own
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16 Nat. and Exp. Philos., I. xi. 449, 1794 (OED).
17 Science and Art, 2:33, 1822 (OED).
18 Pop. Superst., 2nd ed., 70, 1851 (OED).
20 William Nicholson (1753–1815); Sir Anthony Carlisle, FRCS, FRS (1768–1840); Antoine-Laurent de Lavoisier (1743–1794) discovered oxygen in 1778 and hydrogen in 1783.
pamphlet on electricity in 1838, it was ‘contrary to the design of this work to enter into any lengthened examination of these theories’, on the basis that it might ‘bewilder the reader by leading him through the maze of speculative theory’.21 Experiments and demonstrations could be carried out by simply following instructions, without necessarily achieving or, indeed, seeking detailed understanding of how electricity worked.

By the 1830s, a degree of exasperation is evident over the prevailing mysteries of electricity in attempts to write explanations of it; a columnist in 1835 remarks, for example, that ‘we are ignorant of how it is roused, or of the manner of its existence in bodies’ and, three years later, the conclusion is still that ‘in what it consists or how it is constituted, are questions too difficult for us to solve. We do not even know whether electricity is material or not’.22 Superficial, spectacular and aesthetic representations of electricity continued to exist, but they did so alongside a fascination with the material nature of electricity and the extent to which it actually existed, questions that required the deeper analysis and greater precision of scientific investigation. It has been suggested that leading nineteenth-century scientists were an ‘elite’ body, which ‘formed the apex of a new intellectual class’.23 The structure both within and below that apex was less clear; as Graeme Gooday suggests, there was ‘neither a simple hierarchy nor neat demarcation between popular and technical treatment’ of electricity, although, as he points out, ‘different groups had

distinctively different concerns’. Inevitably, distinctions existed between the mere spectacle of electricity and the specialist knowledge by which it could be systematically investigated. Yet the two activities were not entirely separate. Understanding electricity did not deny the attractions of the phenomenon; quite the opposite. Analysing its complex and abstract matter meant delving into invisible and exciting mysteries that had never been modelled before.

To appreciate literary responses to electricity fully, it is useful and even necessary to understand, at the outset, how it came to be viewed as a single phenomenon and, just as importantly, the alternative methods of representation with which verbal descriptions competed, particularly mathematics. The unification of electricity as a single concept was achieved through a combination of theoretical developments in the first half of the century. By 1850, the ‘imponderables’ of light, heat and magnetism were largely unified under the concept of ‘energy’. As Peter Harman explains, ‘the physical problems of light, heat and electricity were conceptualised in a way that made them amenable to mathematical analysis and thereby fostered the unification of physics’. The revelation that electricity was not ‘imponderable’ marked a turning point in which, historians of science agree, the role of mathematics was fundamental. An important feature of the new electrical vision, as Graeme Gooday argues, was a marked and growing emphasis on measuring, accuracy and
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25 By figures such as Joseph Fourier, Hans Christian Oersted, Michael Faraday, William Thomson, James Prescott Joule, Hermann von Helmholtz, and James Clerk Maxwell.
27 Ibid. 4.
numbers, as the correct way to understand and represent electricity.\textsuperscript{29} Mathematics could extend the representative potential of verbal and visual techniques and, simultaneously, provide the precision essential for conceptualising increasingly complex concepts.\textsuperscript{30}

Mathematics was not always intimately associated with electricity; however, Newton’s \textit{Principia Mathematica} (1687) effectively ‘set the standard for the mathematical understanding of nature’.\textsuperscript{31} In the nineteenth century, electrical science (and the energy sciences more broadly) were increasingly formalised by mathematics, creating a tone in the physical sciences that sometimes persists today. The modern physicist Wayne Saslow suggests, for example, that ‘physics is a set of facts about the real world, and a coherent set of relationships between these facts, whose natural expression is through the language of mathematics.’\textsuperscript{32} The extent to which mathematics was a ‘natural’ expression is debatable, and, as Saslow later concedes, both Faraday and Maxwell also ‘thought visually’.\textsuperscript{33} Advanced understandings of electromagnetism were launched by the successful magnetisation of wires by Hans Christian Oersted (1777–1851) in 1820 and spurred on by the advent of practical developments, such as batteries and voltaic piles.\textsuperscript{34} Advances such as these were not necessarily reliant upon mathematics, if at all, but they did


\textsuperscript{30} The foundation is explored further by later scientists, such as William Thomson (1824-1907), George Gabriel Stokes (1819-1903), and Peter Guthrie Tait (1831-1901).


\textsuperscript{33} Ibid.

demonstrate crucial relationships between electricity, magnetism and matter, which, at least initially, could only be described using images and verbal descriptions.

A further decisive moment in the representation of electricity was the creation by André-Marie Ampère of a unit by which it could be measured with reasonable accuracy.\(^{35}\) Ampère’s contributions are well-known but the work of many others was also significant, such as Siméon-Denis Poisson, George Green and William Rowan Hamilton, which are summarised here before focusing more closely on the work of Michael Faraday and James Clerk Maxwell. In 1826, a summarised translation of Siméon-Denis Poisson’s (1781-1840) attempts to mathematise magnetic bodies appeared in the *Quarterly Journal of Science*; despite its relative obscurity, Poisson’s work represented one of the earliest attempts to apply mathematical theory to electrical science.\(^{36}\) Similarly obscure was George Green’s *An Essay on the Mathematical Analysis of Electricity and Magnetism* (1828), in which he attempted to determine the ‘density’ of electricity and magnetism using potential functions and equations. Like Poisson, Green remained almost entirely unknown until the 1850s, when his work was finally ‘recognised as a major text in potential theory’.\(^{37}\) The Irish mathematician William Rowan Hamilton (1805-1865) also made what has been described as ‘the earliest significant nineteenth-century contribution to mathematical physics’, an involvement that began in the 1830s when he devised a system of first-order partial differential equations involving a single function; these provided a central foundation for later laws of physics involving

\(^{35}\) The electrical unit of measurement, the ampere, was named after Ampère (1775-1836).


\(^{37}\) Ibid. 403.
gravitation, optics and dynamics, as well as electricity. By 1843, Hamilton’s place in the mathematical history of the energy sciences was secured by his invention of the algebraic quaternion, which allowed for much greater concision in using the equations of earlier European eighteenth-century theorists, such as Joseph-Louis Lagrange (1736-1813) and Pierre Simon de Laplace (1749-1827).

In approaching questions of scientific modelling from the perspective of literary studies, my central interest is in the parallels and divergences between the mathematical modelling, towards which electrical science appeared to move in the first half of the century, and writing, as a scientific modelling technique. The cognitive scientist Nancy Nersessian confirms that scientists’ modelling practices are not ‘inessential aids’ but, rather, ways of reasoning and understanding, creating and using theories. My research focuses primarily on written responses and techniques, but I also aim to show that correlations can exist between ostensibly different methodologies. Just as literary techniques like metaphor and imagery often rely upon visual appreciation, so mathematics and poetry share a fundamentally symbolic nature. As Barbara Maria Stafford remarks, true interdisciplinarity is ‘grounded in the acknowledgement that perception (aisthesis) is a significant form of knowledge (episteme), perhaps even the constitutive form.’ My research does not impose intellectual hierarchies on verbal, mathematical and visual forms of knowledge;
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instead, they are considered as equally vital means by which nineteenth-century scientists perceived and sought to understand electricity.

iii. Representing Concepts

My thesis contends that speculation was a vital element of conceptualising electricity in the nineteenth century, and that the hypothetical nature of speculation makes it a form of fictionalising—a model described by philosophers of physics as ‘heuristic fiction’. Speculation was absolutely central to Michael Faraday’s investigations of electricity and his view of scientific endeavour, a feature frequently eclipsed by the importance of his discoveries. Indeed, as Cantor, Gooding and James point out in their review of his life and work, ‘excessive concentration on discovery distorts our understanding of both scientists and the activity of science’. By considering the conceptual techniques Faraday employed in responding to electricity, I aim to recover some of the processes, activities and experiences that were as much a part of his scientific contribution as his discoveries.

Faraday’s creativity as a natural philosopher fuelled his resistance to the established mechanistic and Newtonian view of nature, as well as later mathematical approaches. By mid-century, his work was recognised as ‘unquestionably among the most important that the century has produced’ and, more particularly, as having
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‘given a direction to the ablest scientific thought of our day.’\textsuperscript{45} Faraday’s research on electricity presented for the first time a ‘system of connected knowledge’, and the relationships he demonstrated between electricity, magnetism, gravity, light, thermodynamics and atomic matter showed the universe to be made up of ‘continuous matter possessed of kinetic energy’.\textsuperscript{46} In the creation of this new kinetic portrayal, however, scientists were increasingly aware of the distinctions between what they were representing and how they were representing it. The gap between ‘the structure of physical reality and the encompassing net of theory’ was, as Peter Harman asserts, ‘a theme of fundamental importance’ to nineteenth-century electrical investigators.\textsuperscript{47} It was also a breach that complicated what might, otherwise, appear to be a logical progression from mystery to mastery and it indicates the deeply speculative nature of nineteenth-century physical sciences.

Having trained under Humphry Davy, Faraday investigated electricity as an experimentalist; as he comments, ‘a physical line of force may be dealt with experimentally, yet without our knowing its intimate physical nature’ (Faraday’s emphasis).\textsuperscript{48} The shift towards an atomic and microscopic study of nature differed substantially in scale and intent from the previously passive observation of electricity’s effects, making responses to electricity also experiments in modelling and communication, as much as searches for understanding. Faraday argues, however, that the ‘physical character’ of electricity is ‘not proved’ by experimentation and suggests, instead, that

\textsuperscript{46} Cantor, Gooding and James (1991), 99; Smith (1998), 2.
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We know no more of the physical nature of the electric lines of force than we do of the magnetic lines of force; we fancy, and we form hypotheses, but unless these hypotheses are considered equally likely to be false as true, we had better not form them.\textsuperscript{49}

Faraday’s statement indicates that he neither sought to provide a Schopenhauerean ‘unclouded mirror of the world’ nor to follow an agenda of scientific realism, in portraying a ‘true’ picture of the world, ‘faithful in its details’.\textsuperscript{50} Instead, he declares that the science of electricity is based on hypotheses that should not be taken as proven or stable truths. Elsewhere, he argues that the conjectures of hypothetical speculations are ‘wonderful aids in the hands of the experimentalist and mathematician’ and that, as long as they are ‘cautiously advanced’, they are ‘useful in rendering the vague idea more clear for the time’, while further experiment and calculation takes place.\textsuperscript{51} David Gooding suggests that it was Faraday’s ability to use conjectural models that allowed him to move so easily between the particular phenomena of his experiments and the more general features of dynamic structures.\textsuperscript{52}

Faraday perceives speculations to be an essential part of the creative scientific process because ‘they lead on, by deduction and correction, to the discovery of new phenomena, and so cause an increase and advance of real physical truth’.\textsuperscript{53}

Faraday’s use of the word ‘experimental’ in the title of his Experimental Researches

\textsuperscript{49} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{51} Michael Faraday, ‘Experimental Researches in Electricity’ [1852], in Literature and Science in the C19th Century: An Anthology, ed. Laura Otis (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002), 56. Although the word ‘speculation’ has additional older and newer meanings (eyesight, financial ventures), its primary meaning remains the same as the sense in which Faraday uses it.
\textsuperscript{52} David Gooding, ‘From Phenomenology to Field Theory: Faraday’s Visual Reasoning’, Perspectives on Science, 14:1 (Spring 2006), 40-65.
\textsuperscript{53} Faraday (1852), 56.
in Electricity, published between 1832 and 1856, is not casual; it reflects the tentative and exploratory nature of Faraday’s methodology and the wider character of nineteenth-century investigations of electricity. Faraday explicitly acknowledges, as Cantor, Gooding and James point out, that his theories are just that—‘always provisional’.\textsuperscript{54} The ‘speculative thread’ of Faraday’s science is an important and characteristic feature of his research on electricity, and it has been recognised as ‘a crucially important influence on the physics of the late-nineteenth and early-twentieth centuries’.\textsuperscript{55} The influence is evident, for example, in Werner Heisenberg’s famous ‘uncertainty principle’ of 1927.\textsuperscript{56} More recently, too, Stephen Hawking indicates the importance of speculation when he claims that, in scientific research, ‘all one can do is describe what has been found to be a very good mathematical model... and say what predictions it makes.’\textsuperscript{57} In conceptualising and modelling complex problems, speculation is a vital component that is shared by both mathematics and verbal forms of knowledge-making.

Samuel Smiles claimed that Faraday’s scientific achievements were realised not by sudden flashes of inspiration but ‘by dint of mere industry and patient thinking’.\textsuperscript{58} The image is supported by the meticulous nature of Faraday’s research notes, writings that can themselves be read as forms of literature and narratives of scientific practice. Faraday indicates that he writes about his experiments and results ‘not as they were obtained, but in such a manner as to give the most concise view of the
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whole.’ He writes in the first person and, in a distinctly literary manner, he presents his experiences and findings in the form of a story. Hovering alongside the apparatus and operations is Faraday the narrator who, for example, has to ‘refrain (though much tempted) from offering further speculations.’ The man within the science peeps through the parenthetical window – ‘refrained’ yet ironically present.

Faraday seems far from driven by the type of self-abnegation recent scholarship attempts to associate with nineteenth-century science. Indeed, he refers regularly to his hopes, his expectations and even his determination not to despair; he seeks answers to one experiment ‘with great anxiety’ and is ‘strongly stimulated’ by the ‘beautiful’ results of another. He portrays the scientific self as a vital part of the undertaking’s creativity, and historians agree that his experimentation was a ‘highly reflective and a very personal activity’, one that was ‘directed by goals and values outside his laboratory’. He is also keenly self-aware, admitting at one point that he has to guard ‘with great suspicion of the influence of favourite notions over myself’ and he reveals his thinking at various stages, his motivations and key developments by other scientists. Rather than striving for the objectivity that ‘scruples to filter out the noise that undermines certainty’, Faraday documents the flawed, subjective and error-ridden nature of electrical experimentation by himself and his fellow scientists. He comments excitedly on the thrilling and sensational nature of
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experimentation and the ‘exceedingly remarkable and novel consequences’ of his experiments. The environment he portrays is part of, not separate from contemporary life, and more akin to Bruno Latour’s contention that ‘when we go from “daily life” to scientific activity, from the man in the street to the men in the laboratory, from politics to expert opinion, we do not go from noise to quiet, from passion to reason, from heat to cold.’ Instead of seeking selfless impartiality, Faraday portrays himself as inseparable from the processes and interpretation of science. As the historian Theodore Porter suggests, it is important to look ‘outside the autonomous development of science and examine its place in the larger world of political and economic life.’ In reading Faraday’s research, we are presented with a highly subjective response to electricity by one of its most celebrated figures, who welcomes individuality as an essential feature of science.

The extent to which Faraday’s accounts are literary presentations is evident if we consider his association with Ampère. Throughout Experimental Researches, Faraday regularly quoted Ampère, described his experiments and even compared their experimental failures, indicating the existence of a close relationship between the two men beyond the text. However, as Latour also suggests, ‘when we approach the places where facts and machines are made, we get into the midst of controversies... We go from controversies to fiercer controversies.’ Any affiliation between Ampère and Faraday was, effectively, a fiction; however, Faraday, ever the gentleman, declines to mention the serious flaws he had exposed in Ampère’s work.

---

The controversy between Faraday and Ampère was, indeed, about ‘facts and machines’ but it was also about scientific approaches and reputations. Ampère’s theory of electrodynamics was based on mathematical suppositions, rather than experiment and, while in certain respects ‘Ampèrean currents were not incompatible with Faraday’s views’, Faraday did reject both Ampère’s approaches and his hypothesis as a whole. Interestingly, Faraday declared to William Whewell in 1835 that his denial of Ampère’s ideas was based ‘more on a general feeling than any thing founded on distinct objections’. When he repeated Ampère’s experiments, he discovered the operation of continuous electromagnetic rotation, the principle of the electric motor, a phenomenon not predicted by Ampère and not even possible within the latter’s theoretical framework. Faraday appears to feel it unnecessary to publicise the episode or the flaws in Ampère’s theory, perhaps because they were widely publicised elsewhere. However, the episode vindicated Faraday’s ‘lifelong scepticism’ about the superiority of mathematical approaches, over experimental ones, for describing nature. For Faraday, mathematics, theory and speculation was rooted in a combination of experimental proof and the altogether less ‘scientific’ response of gut instinct.
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In his published notes, Faraday regularly left the laboratory and lecture room to experiment in clearly identified and familiar locations. Doing so gave readers of his research a newly participative role, for they could go to the same places to re-imagine and even sometimes watch his experiments, even if the scale of them made it unlikely that they could repeat them. In his experiment on Waterloo Bridge, for example, Faraday describes having stretched lengths of copper wire nine hundred and sixty feet long from the parapet of the bridge, whilst attaching other wires to metal plates on the water, in order to gauge the electromagnetic current generated by the force of the water flow.  

Fig. 3. Faraday’s Waterloo Bridge Experiment

After three days of experimenting in vain, even Faraday was forced to admit defeat, but he was also undaunted. Without pausing to discuss the failure in his report, he immediately envisioned an even grander real-world circuit, one where ‘a line be imagined passing from Dover to Calais through the sea, and returning through the land beneath water to Dover’. Clearly, Faraday’s scientific experimentation and theorising were imaginative as well as technical; for him, science does not appear to have been limited to the laboratory and neither was the laboratory itself limited. By

---

75 Faraday would certainly have known about experiments to determine the speed of electricity near London Bridge in 1747 by Folkes, Cavendish and Bevis on the Thames. Fittingly, a bronze statue of Faraday now stands at the north end of Waterloo Bridge, outside the Institution of Electrical Engineers.
77 Faraday (1839), 56.
literally connecting electricity to London’s familiar rivers and bridges, he eradicated boundaries between his science, his narrative and the world. In that sense, narrative offered Faraday an unfettered creative space, as well as an outlet for the essentially expressive and imaginative features of both his speculations and his explorations.

Representational methodologies were crucial building blocks in Faraday’s approach, rather than simply vehicles for ideas or activities peripheral to scientific endeavour. His explications of electricity in *Experimental Researches* depended on verbal descriptions and labelled diagrams, rather than mathematics. He did not feel that verbal representational methods lessened the importance of precision; indeed, he stressed how essential the ‘habit of forming clear and precise ideas’ (Faraday’s emphasis) is for good judgement. He emphasised, too, the importance of ‘clear and definite language, especially in physical matters’ and of ‘giving to a word its true and full, but measured meaning, that we may be able to convey our ideas clearly to the minds of others.’ Faraday’s promotion of ‘measured meaning’ reflects a desire for careful, specific communications between scientists, as well as with the wider public, in the collaborative push against the boundaries of existing knowledge.

Faraday writes of using analogy ‘to work out a discovery’, indicating that he viewed representation as a central, rather than secondary, feature of scientific practice. The importance of fiction as a characteristic of scientific innovation and representation is
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increasingly recognised by scholars, in what constitutes a reassessment of assumptions about fiction and fact, which is long overdue. The importance of language in scientific modelling is indicated by Robert Oppenheimer’s suggestion that ‘science is an immensely creative enriching experience…full of novelty and aspiration; and it is in order to get to these that analogy is an indispensable instrument.’ More recently, Peter Godfrey-Smith proposes that imaginary systems are explored and used ‘as the basis for an understanding of more complex real-world systems.’ Analogy is useful not merely to convey previously formulated information but also to discover new knowledge, particularly about phenomena such as electricity which, in the nineteenth century, had never been fully modelled in physical or conceptual terms.

Writing about electricity meant experimenting almost as much with words and images as with laboratory equipment; as Alice Jenkins suggests, in the 1830s, ‘one of the crucial problems with designing a vocabulary for it was that there was as yet no universally agreed explanation of the nature of electricity.’ How electricity was imagined, represented and communicated was fundamental to scientific advancement, prompted in part by what has been described elsewhere as the 1840s ‘crises of vocabulary.’ Analogies such as ‘lines’ of magnetic force allowed increasingly fine distinctions to be made in what Frank James describes as the
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radical ‘reconceptualization of the nature of electricity’. Yet no matter how expert the metaphor or elaborate the description, language appeared to be an inadequate tool for describing electricity’s qualities, so that contemporary writers expressed reservations as early as 1834 about the worryingly ‘loose and indefinite’ first principles of physical science. The rapid development of electrical studies created further instability in communication, in the proliferation of new terms. In consultation with William Whewell, Faraday introduced the words ‘anode’, ‘cathode’, ‘anions’, ‘cations’ and ‘ions’, and, with William Nicholl, ‘electrolyte’ and ‘electrolyzed’. The new terms provided a specific yet usable rhetoric of electricity, so that their introduction constituted both ‘a heuristic device and a tool of persuasion’, as well as a vital component in understanding relationships between nineteenth-century science and wider culture. Whewell, however, expressed concern that notation, nomenclature and complex numbering might actually be ‘hindrances to the progress of science’ and even ‘retard its progress’. Ironically, Faraday claimed in reply to have overcome the ‘hot objections’ to his first public use of the word ‘ion’ by holding up what he refers to as ‘the shield’ of Whewell’s authority. The accelerated conceptualisation of electrical concepts also resulted in the new deployment of familiar concepts, such as ‘currents’ and ‘fields’. It has been suggested that the use of these terms may well be ‘without the intention of a
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point-by-point comparison’. However, the term ‘current’ certainly appears to rely heavily on early conceptions of electricity as an imponderable fluid. The word ‘field’, meanwhile, allows Faraday to convey the idea of an area of activity, of attention, and of space, as well as a region filled with lines of electric or magnetic force.

Faraday discussed with James Clerk Maxwell, too, how best to communicate about electricity in 1857, asking (somewhat plaintively) whether after mathematical conclusions had been reached, they might not be expressed in equally clear ‘common language’. While he could manage to interpret Maxwell’s notations himself, Faraday felt they were slower and more unwieldy than plain descriptions and all the more so for those with less knowledge. He proposed to Maxwell that ‘translating them out of their hieroglyphics’ would be ‘a great boon’ because more people might then be able to ‘work upon them by experiment’. The notation and symbols of mathematics offered an alternative and more precise form of representation, but they were problematic. Even mathematicians shared concern about specialist knowledge being too narrow, for example, when the mathematician Charles Babbage bemoaned the ‘ignorance’ of university students educated solely in mathematics and the classics. For Faraday, the practicality of experiments worked in tandem with representation, a relationship recognised by David Gooding when he suggests that ‘experimentation has a constructive, inventive aspect’, which ‘plays an important enabling role in the presentation, interpretation and subsequent critical scrutiny of
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Faraday’s discussions with Whewell and Maxwell about scientific representation reflect his continuing interest that concepts should be conveyed in a ‘popular useful working state’, as well as in more specialist forms. It is no coincidence that Faraday raised the issue with Maxwell; it was Maxwell’s equations that would simultaneously advance the sciences of electricity and yet distance them almost irredeemably from non-specialist audiences.

iv. Fiction, Analogy and Mathematics

James Clerk Maxwell’s reputation stems almost as much from his mathematisation of Faraday’s work as from his own endeavours. By the time Maxwell published his *Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism* in 1873, as Alice Jenkins indicates, ‘the language of field theory had shifted irrevocably away from words.’ In the face of electricity’s abstraction, verbal description and imagery was forced to give way, at least in part, to a revolution of quantification and mathematics, albeit slow and impartial; the ‘existing paradigm’ had, in Thomas Kuhn’s phrasing, ‘ceased to function adequately in the exploration of an aspect of nature to which the paradigm itself had previously led the way.’ Faraday’s experimental conclusions provided the basis for Maxwell’s concept of electromagnetic radiation and field equations; however, to advance the enquiry further, Maxwell brought to bear a new grade of ‘mathematical correctness’ on his speculative lines of force, taking Faraday’s
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discussions in ‘new and exciting’ directions. Yet the characterisation of Faraday as a practical experimenter and Maxwell as a theoretical mathematician eclipses more complex aspects of their responses to ideas about electricity, which have suffered scholarly neglect. Faraday and Maxwell were scientific writers, as well as practitioners. In this section, I consider how Maxwell responded to ideas about electricity by employing fictional concepts and the reservations he expressed about the popularisation of scientific knowledge. Both these considerations provide useful foundations for the final section of this chapter, which examines Maxwell’s poetry as an alternative form for understanding abstract concepts.

For Maxwell, analysis may have been ‘grounded in both mathematics and mechanistic analogy’ but it was also not exclusively or comfortably so. Perhaps surprisingly, in view of his own role as a mathematician, Maxwell shared many of the reservations held by Faraday and Whewell about the increasingly specialised terminology of electrical science. He expressed regret, for instance, that ‘the present state of electrical science seems peculiarly unfavourable to speculation’, largely because of the domination of advanced mathematics, and he appeared genuinely to sympathise with students who had to familiarise themselves with such a ‘considerable body of the most intricate mathematics ... the mere retention of which in the memory materially interferes with further progress’. Despite his own extraordinary mathematical capabilities, Maxwell did not portray himself as above these intellectual struggles. Instead, he included himself when he suggested that, in battling with the conceptual connections between real-world referents and
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mathematics, ‘we entirely lose sight of the phenomena to be explained’ (my emphasis).\textsuperscript{102} The obstacles to conceptualising and communicating scientific ideas about electricity led him to remark that ‘we must therefore discover some method of investigation which allows the mind at every step to lay hold of a clear physical conception’.\textsuperscript{103} He celebrates how Faraday’s and William Thompson’s analogies bring ‘before the mind, in a convenient and manageable form, those mathematical ideas which are necessary to the study of electricity’.\textsuperscript{104} In his view, the connection between electrical science and accessible written communication was fundamental to its future progress.

Maxwell chose the fluid analogy as a model of electricity’s operation, a surprising choice perhaps, in view of the confusion that already existed about electrical fluids. The model indicates the importance of the distinctions Maxwell wished to convey, between mathematics and empirical science. Maxwell’s analogies are described by Kevin Lambert as ‘a new cognitive tool’, which offered valuable insights into the complex array of social and religious influences involved in the making of scientific knowledge in the nineteenth century, as well as the role of analogy in Maxwell’s mathematics.\textsuperscript{105} Certainly, in combination with mathematical methods, analogies allowed a greater degree of concision and, indeed, more precision than was possible previously through experimental observation alone or accompanying verbal descriptions. Lambert’s suggestion, however, that ‘On Faraday’s Lines of Force’ was ‘the result of Maxwell’s attempt to think on paper with theoretical objects in a
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way analogous to Faraday’s thinking with objects in the laboratory’ risks neglecting
the absolute centrality of pre-representational and mental modelling in science. The imaginary and fictional stages of problem-solving were critical features of Maxwell’s thinking and they took place in his mind, long before his pen ever met paper. His mathematisation of Faraday’s experiments was about more than introducing expert notation; in some respects, it took concepts of electricity beyond the ordinary or ‘real’ world of empiricism, observation and sensation, and into previously inconceivable realms of abstraction.

What is particularly exciting about Maxwell’s mathematical modelling is its use of fictional concepts to interpret and understand abstract realities. Mathematical theory involved more than reinforcing what Lambert describes as the ‘strict distinction’ between fact-producing experiments and theory and bringing ‘order to those facts’.

Maxwell represents electricity as an ‘imaginary fluid’, an adjective he accentuates. Genter and Genter propose that ‘people who think of electricity as though it were water import significant physical relationships from the domain of flowing fluids when they reason about electricity’. However, Maxwell draws only partially on earlier concepts of liquid electricity, the luminiferous ether, or even fluidity as a concept. The fluid referent has only one or two relevant properties—the most obvious of which is motion. Maxwell asserts, though, that his electrical fluid is ‘not even a hypothetical fluid’, but, rather, a ‘purely geometrical idea’; it moves like an ordinary fluid and it is similarly incompressible but, Maxwell stresses, it is
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‘merely a collection of imaginary properties.’\textsuperscript{110} That phrase is crucially important, because it shows the extraordinary and intriguing sophistication of Maxwell’s representation. He does not simply adopt metaphors to describe observed processes—what he describes cannot be observed. Instead, he uses an entirely invented form to describe the actual matter of electricity. Furthermore, the conceptual model he devises to suit his purposes is also a physical impossibility. Maxwell, arguably the most mathematical of electrical scientists, did not merely embrace the ‘scientific imagination’; he exploited the possibilities of simultaneously imaginary yet scientific concepts. It was a narrative feat that allowed him to set out how electricity behaved, in a re-imagined fictional form. Certainly, there are few better examples of Gillian Beer’s observation that ‘when it is first advanced, theory is at its most fictive.’\textsuperscript{111} Maxwell’s employment of imaginary options reveals epistemological features more commonly associated with fiction, and the way in which he uses analogy illustrates the fundamentally imaginative aspects of specialist scientific research. Scientific explanations—and perhaps mathematical theories particularly—are generally perceived to consist of factual certainties, but that notion is tested also by ‘imaginary’ numbers (also known as ‘nonsense’, ‘inexplicable’, ‘incomprehensible’ and even ‘impossible’ numbers).\textsuperscript{112} Maxwell’s writing about electricity did not just relate to literature; it was a form of literature, in that it sought to address the core purpose of representing and interpreting reality.
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Uncertainty did not denote vagueness in Maxwell’s practice; he saw both speculation and clarification as central to science, for example, in his suggestion that the object of the paper ‘On Physical Lines of Force’ (1861) was ‘to clear the way for speculation’ before he went on to clarify the mechanical consequences of observed phenomena relating to magnetism and electricity.\textsuperscript{113} However, he also demonstrated ambivalence about the wider ramifications of speculation. In his introductory lecture on experimental physics at Cambridge, he began by acknowledging how ‘we are daily receiving proofs that the popularisation of scientific doctrines is producing as great an alteration in the mental state of society as the material applications of science are effecting in its outward life.’\textsuperscript{114} There exists an implied ambiguity about the nature of that ‘alteration’, which he related further to the popularisation of science in the same lecture, by urging scientists to engage actively with the increasing public interest in scientific issues that he considered often arbitrary and misinformed. Rather than scientists accepting the wider deployment of ideas as a process beyond the remit of science, he claimed that scientists should consider themselves responsible for its ‘diffusion and cultivation’ and the ‘spirit of sound criticism’, based on proper examinations of evidence. Maxwell suggested that empiricism gave science its ultimate authority, and recommended that students refer to the scientific principles that were made apparent by observation and evidence.

For Maxwell, only astute explanations based on true observations could ‘rescue our scientific ideas from that fake condition in which we too often leave them, buried among the other products of lazy credulity’.\textsuperscript{115} His passionate defence of scientific
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authenticity demonstrates an awareness of the specialist community’s responsibilities, akin to George Levine’s suggestion that ‘ideas live in culture not disembodied, but as actions, attitudes, assumptions [and] moral imperatives.’

His view of scientific expertise also indicated his increasing concern about the relationship of science to culture and its associated obligations. The significance of Maxwell and Faraday’s multi-faceted and highly subjective involvement in how science was conveyed and communicated emerges primarily when we study their writings as literary responses, rather than simply as accidental by-products of some artificial scientific vacuum. Faraday and Maxwell remained to be convinced of the exclusive virtues of any one approach and argued for an active combination of approaches.

v. Maxwell’s Electric Poetry

Poetry offered Maxwell an established literary form by which to respond to the unobservable and abstract nature of electricity. Contemporary science and poetry did not always make for easy bedfellows; contemporary writers such as Tennyson asserted that ‘science and poetry “feel” Nature in different ways’ and that ‘they have different “dreams” of Nature’. Nevertheless, as Jason Rudy maintains, between Victorian poetry and physiology, there also existed a ‘curious, persistent interplay through the nineteenth century between poetry and electricity.’

Maxwell’s poems relate to electricity, but those that do offer interesting unifications of literary and scientific techniques.\footnote{119} What appears to be scientific poetry is not always genuinely so. As Michael Whitworth argues ‘scientific facts in literary texts need to be understood primarily as a rhetorical ploy’ because ‘the literary context evacuates them of their content.’\footnote{120} Maxwell’s satire on differential calculus, ‘A Problem of Dynamics’, is distinctive because it uses the notation and processes of a real experiment, offering science a status that is more than metaphorical, rather than giving only an appearance of science.\footnote{121} As Gentner and Gentner suggest, ‘a mathematical model predicts a small number of relations which are well-specified enough and systematic enough to be concatenated into long chains of prediction.’\footnote{122} The study of electricity is essentially the investigation of matter and predictions about how it behaves when subjected to various forces. Dynamics, therefore, are central. Maxwell declares that the ‘the aim of physical science is to observe and interpret natural phenomena’, a purpose that corresponds closely to the main occupation of poetry.\footnote{123} ‘A Problem of Dynamics’ portrays an ostensibly simple experiment, in which a horizontal chain is pulled from one end and the curve changes shape, yet the poem also illustrates the invisible forces and tensions involved. Maxwell deploys authentic mathematical formulae and terminology to explore very real scientific interests and, instead of subordinating
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the scientific to the poetic, he makes them equal. It is a reassignment that signals the poetic composition by a practising scientist and the increasing status and authority of scientific endeavour at the time.

The reading of such a technical poem is doubtless enhanced by understanding the relevant mathematical concepts, but a comprehensive explication of these would go considerably beyond the scope of this study. The full text of the poem is provided in an appendix because, although I do not analyse it in depth here, it has particular interest as an expression of contemporary anxieties about how to convey complex scientific information. Using a combination of words, numbers and symbols, it articulates abstract features of electricity that might seem only possible for mathematics to convey. ‘Narrative fantasy’ was once considered to have ‘nothing to do with the mathematical logic of pure science’, as James Secord reminds us; however, the poem acts as a simultaneously rhetorical, fantasy-based and mathematical vehicle.\textsuperscript{124} To model abstract concepts accurately, contemporary scientists were forced to develop mathematical methods such as vector calculus, which aimed to describe events in nature and how they worked. In that sense, experimental and theoretical types of knowledge may well be closer than they appear, as David Gooding proposes.\textsuperscript{125} Indeed, Gooding emphasises the ‘seamless’ nature of what he describes as the ‘web of practical, intellectual and social interactions that made up the scientific culture in which Faraday thrived’.\textsuperscript{126}
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Maxwell’s equations symbolised an extension of that web and the progression of science beyond physical and visual modelling. His poetry on subjects related to electricity amalgamates the two seemingly opposed methods of abstract mathematics and verbal imagery and presents them as equally valid.

The scientific ‘problem’ addressed by Maxwell’s poem is resolved by the ‘chain’ of interrelated equations incorporated in the text and an accompanying graph.\textsuperscript{127} The dilemma remains, that any detailed discussion of the poem’s content is hampered by the hurdle of verbalising its content. What can be discussed instead is the relationship between the issue of representing scientific concepts and literary analysis. Scientific descriptions and imagery, like literary analysis, often rely on uncertainties and less-than-precise devices, shifting real-world referents and unreliable associations. As a text, ‘A Problem of Dynamics’ represents not just scientific theory but also a stage of intellectual development when information about electricity became so advanced that it almost defied verbal expression. Rather than ignoring these concerns, Maxwell uses poetry—that most keenly ‘literary’ of vehicles—to communicate phenomenological and theoretical essences. It is exactly the complexity of Maxwell’s subject that makes poetry such a suitable means of expression; as Tyndall suggests, ‘our difficulty is not with the quality of the problem, but with its \textit{complexity}’ (Tyndall’s emphasis).\textsuperscript{128} Just as mathematical symbols are a method of shorthand, the condensed poetic form can signify elusive nuances, which ostensibly lie beyond verbal description. Poetry can employ a consistency of form and brevity that also provides unexpectedly clear scientific explications; as Alan

\textsuperscript{127} The editors, Campbell and Garnett, do not say whether the accompanying graph was devised by Maxwell.

Rauch argues, the highly structured forms of poetry make it both ‘scientific’ and ‘empathetic to the rule-governed practices of scientific enquiry.’ Maxwell’s execution of a complex mathematical study in just sixty-four lines of deceptively naïve, rhyming couplets demonstrates the correspondence between the two forms. At the same time, the poetic form reveals additional imaginative possibilities, which might have remained obscure otherwise. Tumbling cascades of tightly-packed syllables carry the incessant mesmeric quality of a scientific chant, blending poetry with science and the technical with the artistic. Instead of science simply revealing nature, poetry exposes the aesthetic appeal that exists within the science.

The operation of physical forces is a central feature of electricity, and literary techniques were indispensable in understanding and explaining them. As Robert Crawford notes in his study of contemporary science and poetry, ‘science itself is often underwritten by the formulations and imaginative structures developed and articulated by poets’. In ‘Recollections of Dreamland’ (1856), it is precisely the formulations and imaginative structures of science that Maxwell explores, as a poet-scientist. In the same year, Maxwell was re-imagining Faraday’s ‘lines of force’ as an ‘imaginary fluid’, which moved in thin tubes as a continuous, incompressible liquid. When Maxwell asks whether the mind itself might be a simultaneously liquid and electrical medium, with dreams as ‘empty bubbles, floating upwards through the current of the mind?’ (l.47), he conveys the sense in which Faraday’s lines were visual yet still essentially imaginary representations. Multiple levels of
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meaning and perspective are evident in the literary *cum* scientific nature of Maxwell’s poetic imagination, offering a simultaneity of reading levels that confounds the stereotypes of both discourses, in which neither is classified as more creative, imaginative or multi-faceted than the other.

Barri Gold claims in *ThermoPoetics* that Maxwell’s ‘equations of electricity and magnetism are rather more elegant and timeless than his occasional verse’, and that ‘the two together suggest how analogous, intertwined, and mutually productive, poetry and physics may be.’ Maxwell enjoys playing with the electrical current analogy, adopting it, for example, as a technological metaphor for romance when a love-sick telegraph clerk exclaims in the jokingly entitled ‘Valentine by a ♂ Telegraph Clerk to a ♀ Telegraph Clerk’: ‘O tell me, when along the line/From my full heart the message flows,/What currents are induced in thine?’ (ll.1-3). The metaphor resembles the speaker of Tennyson’s ‘Locksley Hall’ (1842), who declares to Amy that ‘all the current of my being sets to thee.’ The metaphors refer to more than sentimental whimsy or even to fluidity; interlocking circles of force had been imagined previously by Faraday, in what M. Norton Wise describes as ‘the mutual embrace of electricity and magnetism’. In a later satire on Tyndall’s materialism, Maxwell’s speaker describes how ‘liquid stars their watery rays/Shoot through the solid crystal’. Elsewhere, too, light is imaged as a fluid:

The lamp-light falls on blackened walls,
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133 James Clerk Maxwell, ‘Valentine by a ♂ Telegraph Clerk to a ♀ Telegraph Clerk’, in Campbell and Garnett (1884), 320.
134 Alfred Lord Tennyson, ‘Locksley Hall’ (1842), ll. 24.
And streams through narrow perforations,
The long beam trails o’er pasteboard scales,
With slow-decaying oscillations.
Flow, current, flow, set the quick light-spot flying,
Flow current, answer light-spot, flashing, quivering, dying [...] (ll.1-6)\textsuperscript{137}

Yet Maxwell was all too aware of how misleading the fluid analogy could be and, in the latter poem, its potential flaws are made apparent when the speaker subsequently disparages his student’s superficial understanding of science, by claiming that her eyes were given ‘to mirror heaven…And not for methods of precision’ (ll.15-16).

If we examine Maxwell’s other occasional verses further, though, we can see that simple rhyme schemes and brevity sometimes mask what are exceptionally ‘elegant and timeless’ expositions of scientific complexities. ‘Reflex Musings: Reflection from Various Surfaces’ (1853), in particular, describes three interrelated experiments that compare and contrast some of the distinctions Maxwell was exploring scientifically.\textsuperscript{138} Initially, the title’s pairing of reflexes and musings appears straightforward but, on closer inspection, we can see that the juxtaposition mimics the intimate and complex relationship between literary and scientific forms, such as poetry and mathematics. The term ‘reflex’ refers to instinctive and immediate responses that are usually bodily or physical, while ‘musings’ are by definition mental, meditative and constructed. The two words are oxymoronic, in presenting a diametric opposition of body and mind, but ‘musings’ are not quite the same as thoughts; instead, they are free from the confines of rationality and logic. They emanate from similarly intuitive sources, making them relatively synonymous.

\textsuperscript{137} James Clerk Maxwell, ‘Lectures to Women on Physical Science (I)’, in Campbell and Garnett (1884), 321.
\textsuperscript{138} James Clerk Maxwell, ‘Reflex Musings: Reflection from Various Surfaces’, in Campbell and Garnett (1884), 593.
In the second part of the title, the ‘reflections’ are also both the same as and different from one another. The poem is about ‘reflections’ in two senses: firstly, the reflections of thoughts and, secondly, the operation of the scientific Law of Reflection in light, acoustics and fluids. The two halves of the poem and the unwavering ABAB/BABA rhyme scheme represent the self-contained mirroring of ‘various surfaces’ within each stanza, allowing Maxwell to elucidate the complex patterns of images, events, form and sound through which physical contexts are perceived.

The poem opens with what appears at first to be an incidental reference to an urban crowd. Like a scientific observer, the speaker watches the crowd’s shifting formations from above.

In the dense entangled street,
    Where the web of Trade is weaving,
Forms unknown in crowds I meet
    Much of each and all believing;
Each his small designs achieving
    Hurries on with restless feet,
While, through Fancy’s power deceiving,
    Self in every form I greet.  

(ll. 1-8)

The scene portrayed in this first stanza is highly significant. The phenomenon of ‘the dense entangled street’ was an innovation of nineteenth-century physical movement that accompanied urbanisation, but it is also an early literary conceptualisation of one of the most important concepts in the history of physics, the thought experiment later known as ‘Maxwell’s Demon’, in which an imaginary
figure tracks and sorts the passing molecules.\textsuperscript{139} As Dedre Gentner and Albert L. Stevens explain, ‘besides the hydraulics model, the most frequent spontaneous analogy for electricity is the moving-crowd analogy’.\textsuperscript{140} In the comparison, electricity is imaged as fast-moving objects passing through passageways: the numbers of people represent current; how much they push indicates voltage; and the concept of resistance is introduced by a gate or, in Maxwell’s proposal, a turnstile. Effectively, the moving ‘forms’ of the poem represent the atomic elements of Maxwell’s scientific observations, scaled up, personified and made manifest in a contemporary urban scene.

Maxwell’s representation of electricity through analogy does not stop there though. In the same stanza, the ‘web of Trade’ and the ‘birches’ shadow’ represent abstract physical processes which, like electrical processes, exist despite their apparent lack of visible substance. The examples pursue the same goals as the mathematical formulae and equations of Maxwell’s ‘On Physical Lines of Force’ but they use familiar natural phenomena to make the transition from observable to abstract levels. The process of economic trade cannot be understood by examining the constituent parts, of individual transactions, currencies or interest rates, just as the process by which light creates shadow involves more than identifying the tree’s presence or location. Similarly, electricity cannot be understood by looking at the material components of a battery, because it is a process rather than a substance.

\textsuperscript{139} James Clerk Maxwell, \textit{Theory of Heat} (London, 1871). ‘Maxwell’s Demon’ presented a theoretical challenge to the Second Law of Thermodynamics, set out in 1854 by William Thomson, 1st Baron Kelvin (1824–1907), which states that heat differences will always be resolved by irreversible heat distribution (entropy) to reach an equilibrium. For a full explanation of the ramifications of Maxwell’s Demon, see Peter Harman, \textit{The Natural Philosophy of James Clerk Maxwell} (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), 134-144.

\textsuperscript{140} Gentner and Stevens (1983), 111.
The nature of ‘invisible’ phenomena such as electricity, Maxwell’s poem proposes, can only be understood by adopting a holistic perspective, achievable through the use of fictions. To achieve such a perspective demands a conceptual mediation of space, which combines two ways of organising information that Alice Jenkins suggests are quite different: the first is a ‘hub-and-ray model of spatial arrangement’ in which objects, ideas or information are arranged in a pattern of circles and straight lines, ‘like a wheel whose spokes all lead to a central point’; the second is an ‘aerial view model which organizes by flattening an array of objects into a plane surface and lifting the observer above that plane so that it is all visible from a single point of view’.\textsuperscript{141} The speaker in ‘Reflex Musings’ seeks a unified yet imaginary space, in which information is arranged in the patterns described and, simultaneously, can be viewed from an aerial perspective. The ‘hub and ray’ images, as Jenkins indicates, made information ‘accessible in a quasi-mystical, visionary way’.\textsuperscript{142} A similarly revelatory and intuitive element is evident in Maxwell’s suggestion that ‘before we can count any number of things, we must pick them out of the universe, and give each of them a fictitious unity by definition’.\textsuperscript{143} There is something of Goethe’s approach to science in Maxwell’s phenomenology, a recognition of ‘the science of the wholeness of nature’, which can only be gained through observing the interrelatedness of effects.\textsuperscript{144} While their understandings of phenomena also differed substantially, Goethe and Maxwell were both intrigued by how light and colours

\textsuperscript{141} Jenkins (2007), 59. Jenkins identifies the hub-and-ray metaphor in the work of Coleridge and De Quincey, the German Romantic writer Novalis and the Naturphilosophie movement.
\textsuperscript{142} Jenkins (2007), 62.
\textsuperscript{143} James Clerk Maxwell, ‘Are there Real Analogies in Nature?’, Feb., 1856, in Campbell and Garnett (1884), 236. The primary subject of the paper is the potential relationship between scientific principles and moral laws.
were perceived and how those perceptions related to scientific explanation. The individual observations portrayed in Maxwell’s poem compare to Goethe’s understanding of colours as ‘the deeds of light’ and that ‘we may expect from them some explanation respecting light itself.’ Maxwell explores not just how to represent what can be seen (be it currencies, trees, or battery components) but also the importance of fictions and the imagination in thinking about the complex processes by which physical phenomena are related.

The speaker in ‘Reflex Musings’ is integral to Maxwell’s unification of observation, experimentation and processes; he represents an amalgamation of individual and collective perspectives, as well as unified dimensions of time and space. The speaker finds himself replicated in ‘every form I greet’, so that distinctions between the individual self and others slip away, in the passing entities’ undulations of attraction and repulsion. As though to underline the mutability of physical distinctions, the second stanza describes the speaker’s image alternately advancing and retreating on the well-water’s surface, reflecting back and forth, just as it did earlier with the passing faces on the street. Barri Gold proposes that the poem ‘explores the likeness of light and water, nicely anticipating the fluid analogy through which Maxwell eventually develops his equations of electricity and magnetism’. Maxwell does, indeed, use light and water in the poem’s study of electricity’s invisible forces and processes; however, he also explores how the fluid
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147 Gold (2010), 16.
analogy relates to other ostensibly non-fluid concerns, such as optics, sound and space. In the second stanza, he writes

Oft in yonder rocky dell
Neath the birches’ shadow seated,
I have watched the darksome well,
   Where my stooping form, repeated,
   Now advanced and now retreated
With the spring’s alternate swell,
Till destroyed before completed
As the big drops grew and fell. (ll. 9-16)

The well is a crude form of ‘wave tank’, the shallow glass chamber commonly used to demonstrate the properties of waves in physics and engineering. We might wonder, then, why the term ‘wave’ is entirely absent, despite the poem’s recurrent exploration of wave principles. The term was certainly available, having been used in physics from the early 1830s, and wave actions appear to connect the various phenomena Maxwell investigates. His avoidance of the term derives, perhaps, from the fact that the poem attempts to explore the differences between the phenomena of sound, light and matter, as well as their affinities. The fluid associations of waves might have introduced a level of misinterpretation, and restricted the conceptual scope of the poem’s explorations. The term ‘wave’ represents an extension of the fluid analogy while, in fact, the speaker explores ‘various’ surfaces and different types of wave action. Fluid and sound waves represent just one type of wave (the longitudinal); what the poem’s experiments

148 The first use of the term ‘wave’ to describe sound waves appears to have been by David Brewster (1781–1868), Letters on Natural Magic: Addressed to Sir Walter Scott (London: John Murray, 1832), 219.
investigate is the action of the other, invisible yet connecting forces of electromagnetic (transverse) waves.\(^\text{149}\)

In what appears to be an oblique reference to Plato’s ‘Myth of the Cave’, the speaker’s observations of the well’s visible and fluid substance are followed by an investigation of the seeming emptiness or lack of substance in shadows, hollows, caves and echoes.

By the hollow mountain-side
    Questions strange I shout for ever,
While the echoes far and wide
    Seem to mock my vain endeavour;
Still I shout, for though they never
    Cast my borrowed voice aside,
Words from empty words they sever –
    Words of Truth from words of Pride. \(^\text{150}\)

In a Tennysonian ‘hollow echo of my own’, the speaker’s shout disappears into the apparently endless ‘for ever’ of the hollow cave—his speech ‘borrowed’ and disembodied. ‘Words of Pride’ are reduced to ‘vain endeavour’, exposing the meaningless futility of language and words in the face of the ephemeral and abstract concepts of matter and space, which Maxwell sought to understand and represent.\(^\text{150}\)

The echoing, alternate rhymes of the poem ‘reflect’ the speaker’s impressions, illuminating the dark interiority of his mind for the reader and providing a route, too,

\(^{149}\) In ‘transverse’ waves, particle movement is perpendicular to the motion of the wave motion; in longitudinal waves, particles move in parallel to the direction in which the wave moves. Sound and fluid waves are longitudinal, whereas electromagnetic waves are transverse. (José M. Carcione, \textit{Wave Fields in Real Media: Wave Propagation in Anisotropic, Anelastic and Porous Media} (Oxford: Pergamon 2001), 321-2).

\(^{150}\) Alfred Lord Tennyson, \textit{In Memoriam} (1850), III, ll. 85-88:
    ‘And all the phantom, Nature, stands—
    With all the music in her tone,
    A hollow echo of my own, —
    A hollow form with empty hands.’
for the speaker’s growth of understanding. The poem shows how the natural world and the self can be explored through representation and language.

In the final stanza, the speaker gathers together his preceding but ostensibly disjointed realisations about matter, to form a final coherent conclusion.

Yes, the faces in the crowd,
    And the wakened echoes, glancing
From the mountains, rocky browed,
    And the lights in water dancing –
    Each, my wandering sense entrancing,
Tells me back my thoughts aloud,
    All the joys of Truth enhancing
Crushing all that makes me proud.                      (ll. 25-32)

The speaker’s single unqualified ‘yes’ (l. 25) can be read as a muted ‘Eureka’ moment of profound understanding, which answers the earlier ‘questions strange’ (l.18), even as it resembles the technique employed by seventeenth-century metaphysical dialogues, as a response to an additional presence or preceding comment. Empirical observation is presented in the poem as just one form of scientific perception, one that can also result in the misleading illusions of self-referential experience, in that it only ‘tells me back my thoughts aloud’ (l.30). Instead of presenting merely scientific observations, the poem attempts to represent and convey the actual experience of science, and the profound understanding of physical processes to which it leads. It is the combination of the words on the page and the fictions those words create in the mind that allows the processes to be fully investigated, portrayed and, most importantly, understood. The poem’s fictional form allows Maxwell to convey the vast potential of both empirical and abstract
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151 For example, George Herbert’s ‘The Bishop’, sonnet 25 (1633) or John Donne’s ‘Canonisation’ (1633).
knowledge, whereby experimentation reaches beyond material processes and towards metaphysical self-questioning.\footnote{My focus is on the literary qualities of Maxwell’s scientific concepts. For specific discussion of Maxwell’s Christianity in relation to his scientific theories, see Matthew Stanley, *Huxley’s Church and Maxwell’s Demon: From Theistic Science to Naturalistic Science* (forthcoming, 2012).}

The poem’s final line reveals Maxwell’s profound fascination with the visual nature of fluid motion, in the reflected image of the speaker’s individual self being ‘destroyed before completed’ once again. The individual ‘big drops grew and fell’, demonstrating the wave motion of concentric circles on the water’s surface before they are immersed within the spring’s collective swell. The ambiguity of the ‘drops’ allows them to be interpreted either as rain, with the water from above mingling with that from the spring below, or as tears falling from the ‘stooping’ speaker. Reading the drops as tears is not simple sentimentality, though. The poem portrays fluidity as a means for the individual and human matter of the internal, physical and emotional self to be unified with nature’s water, as the external and natural source of life. The poem interrogates the validity of experimentation being grounded solely in seventeenth-century Baconian empiricism, yet it also refuses to reify nineteenth-century Positivist science. The pastoral and mountainous locations might suggest an association between the Romantic sublime and creativity but the sites are not idealised. Instead, in a distinctly mid-nineteenth-century manner, they represent ‘laboratories’ for the understanding of scientific and natural phenomena.

Maxwell’s use of poetic fictions reveals the interwoven representational fabric of literature and science about electricity. At this stage in his career, Maxwell seemed to be working towards a type of ‘new language’, which existed somewhere between
mathematical formulae and physical hypotheses. Numbers were not divorced from fiction in his conceptualisation of electricity, an approach indicated by his assertion that ‘there is nothing more essential to the right understanding of things than perception of the relations of number’. Maxwell’s poems about electrical forces illustrate the love of concision that underpinned his mathematical skill. ‘Reflex Musings’ is not simply science in the form of a poem; the poem is a form of science, which allows considerations and conclusions not available elsewhere. While writers such as Faraday and Maxwell sought precision in certain aspects of representing electricity, they did not always aim for singularity of meaning. As David Gooding points out, ‘Faraday had to record and describe in two dimensions an interpretation of something that he imagined as happening in three dimensions.’ Faraday’s and Maxwell’s imaginary experiments intimate that scientific discourse often demands multiple and simultaneous reading levels, in which analogy and metaphor are vital constituents.

So what does the juxtaposition of the writings in this chapter offer that cannot be gained from considerations of the individual texts? I would suggest, firstly, that much more is revealed than specific scientific advances in understandings of electricity. Comparing the different types of writing helps us see how scientific responses to electricity can also be simultaneously literary and multi-faceted, offering continually overlapping interactions between existing understandings, immediate experiences and future expectations. Secondly, while modern physicists propose that the twenty-first century is ‘the century of complexity’, the awareness of
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complexity as the central issue in science arises directly from nineteenth-century negotiations of complex natural phenomena such as electricity. Finally, understanding relationships between literary and scientific responses demonstrates the prominent role of representation, both beyond and as part of the process of discovery.

The approaches to electricity examined in this chapter demonstrate that a gradual transition took place between the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, in which the spectacle of electricity gave way to empirical investigation and abstract theory. However, the transition was repeatedly complicated by the phenomenon’s outward intangibility. Electricity had to be imagined in order to be understood or represented, making fictionality an embedded feature of its perception and conceptualisation. It was electricity’s characteristically elusive nature to which both scientists and fiction writers responded. Science struggled to establish and represent its substance, while fiction writers reflected on the ramifications of its allure, as I discuss in the fifth and sixth chapters of my dissertation. Both were essentially literary responses and, as Faraday’s experiments and Maxwell’s analogies and poems illustrate, they demanded similar techniques. Reading them alongside one another indicates how the fundamental distinctions between the two tended to break down, revealing their intrinsically interrelated nature.

---

Electricity by the Book

i. Introduction

Before the early nineteenth century, the illustrious and authoritative Royal Society and the Royal Institution tended to dominate scientific endeavour, through a combination of learned memberships and royal patronage. From the 1820s, the exploration of electricity in particular underwent a unique cultural relocation so that it was no longer the exclusive domain of scientific elites and became an activity, increasingly known as popular science. Popular books on electricity represent an often overlooked yet vital contribution to the broader social revolution of nineteenth-century scientific participation by literary means. In this chapter, I map the landscape of cheap books in order to identify some of the processes by which information became more widely available and assess the ways it helped to shape public understandings of electricity.

Cheap books about science were significant factors of popularisation and, as Jonathan Topham suggests, played a major role alongside periodicals in the creation of popular science.\(^1\) Both books and periodicals existed within the same burgeoning market that came into being through popularisation, which radically altered the
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literary and scientific contexts of nineteenth-century reading. Indeed, the process of
popularisation, as Richard Whitley points out, complicated any sense that the
creation of knowledge was only ever a matter of production or acquisition.\(^2\) Within
these contexts, popular books about electricity were one of the mediums which
significantly altered expert and popular engagements with science, through the
deployment of literary techniques that created an entirely new dynamism between
authors, readers and editors. To illustrate the ways in which they did so, I begin by
examining literary precedents established by Jeremiah Joyce and others around the
turn of the century, and then consider a selection of the most popular instructional
books available to reading publics from the 1830s onwards.

**ii. From Enlightenment to Experiment**

Before the 1830s, electricity had yet to be perceived as a subject of distinct scientific
focus; it was just one element of natural philosophy, within the broader grouping of
‘imponderables’ discussed previously, part of the late eighteenth-century
investigations of chemistry by Humphry Davy, James Keir and Joseph Priestley,
developments of machine technology by Richard Lovell Edgeworth and James Watt,
and early considerations of evolution, such as Erasmus Darwin’s ‘The Temple of
Nature’.\(^3\) At the beginning of the nineteenth century, electricity was still viewed as a
‘latent, mysterious and powerful agent’.\(^4\) However, for electricity to be utilised, it

\(^2\) Richard Whitley, ‘Knowledge Producers and Knowledge Acquirers: Popularization and a Relation
between Scientific Fields and their Publics’, in *Expository Science: Forms and Functions of

\(^3\) Erasmus Darwin, *Temple of Nature, Or, The Origin of Society: A Poem, with Philosophical Notes*,

\(^4\) T. G. Gale, *Electricity, or Ethereal Fire* (Troy: Moffit and Lyon, 1802), 3.
needed to be understood and this made explication a dominant and recurrent purpose of books on the subject.

Important changes took place in British publishing from the 1820s that were particularly conducive to writings about electricity. The introduction of steam presses, refinements of lithographic processes, stereotyping, bleached wood-pulp paper and cloth binding reduced the cost of materials and printing processes, allowing the number of published works to grow dramatically and the price of books to fall. More detailed and larger images of high-quality lithographic reproductions and pull-out illustrations made explanations of electricity increasingly accessible and attractive. The enhancements were accompanied by the development of institutions that sought to promote access to scientific topics, such as the Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge (1826) and the British Association for the Advancement of Science (1831). Like the SDUK, the British Association was established to give ‘a stronger impulse and a more systematic direction to scientific inquiry’, as well as remove ‘any disadvantages of a public kind that may impede its progress.’ The popularisation of scientific writing arose as a result of the simultaneous changes in publication climates, purposes and readerships, connections we can start examining by considering the types of publications produced from the beginning of the century.

The earliest books about electricity were designed for children rather than adults. Jeremiah Joyce’s *Scientific Dialogues* (1805), for example, was, as its subtitle suggests, originally ‘intended for the instruction and entertainment of young people’. Joyce’s pedagogical ‘conversations’ on electricity followed in the educational tradition of Robert and Maria Edgeworth’s *The Parent’s Assistant* (1796) and *Essays on Practical Education* (1801), and Sir Richard Phillips’s *An Easy Grammar of Natural and Experimental Philosophy: for the Use of Schools* (1807). Even when publications were less explicitly directed towards children, their price confined circulation to wealthier readerships. Initially, the individual volumes sold for two shillings and six pence, making them relatively low-priced, although as Simon Eliot reminds us, for a slim educational book in a series costing fifteen shillings in total, ‘the price was not insignificant and restricted sales to the middle classes.’ John Issitt adds, too, that the price of the *Dialogues* gradually fell to a price of two shillings and sixpence for the whole set, making it available to ‘a much wider audience.’ The changes in readership echoed those of contemporary society and print, demonstrating the interconnected nature of social, economic and publication cultures.

Joyce’s *Dialogues* responds to ideas about electricity through literary, theatrical and experiential means, in a series of lessons between a tutor and two boys called
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7 Jeremiah Joyce, *Scientific Dialogues: intended for the instruction and entertainment of young people; in which the first principles of natural and experimental philosophy are fully explained* (1805; repr. London: William Tegg, 1842); the edition is the first that would have been available to readers during the period considered in the present work.


Charles and James. The repeated revision and publication of the volume suggests its popularity and how the demonstration, drama and dialogue of science continued to engage increasingly diverse nineteenth-century readerships. Joyce’s approach to science rejected the idea that scientific study had to be distanced or objective; instead, he saw it as a form of enquiry which was, like Goethe’s, ‘attuned to the dynamic relation between objective and subjective experience’. The dynamism is particularly evident in the interactive teaching methods the volume promotes, with the boys learning about electricity through experiences of mild electrocution—harmlessly, albeit somewhat alarmingly to modern readers. In a darkened room, the tutor and the boys enjoy demonstrating the sensational displays of crackling, flashing and darting sparks produced by an electrical machine. The informal teaching scenarios deliver information about electricity in the form of a realistic and engaging narrative. Representing the unique aural and visual qualities of electricity showed what a delight it was to explore and that the exploration of science and technology was an aesthetic, theatrical and emotional experience.

In effect, if not by original intention, the process of price reduction made texts such as Joyce’s more popular, in the sense that they were purchased by more readers. However, to describe the text as popular does not convey fully or accurately the multiple processes of popularisation; instead, it implies a commonality between published volumes, journals, lectures and illustrations, based largely on assumptions about readers’ limited education levels or desire for information. Approaching

10 Ibid. 45. Joyce tutored the third Earl Stanhope’s sons, Charles and James for several years. The Earl was particularly interested in electricity and printed his own Principles of Electricity (1779). Joyce does not mention his own imprisonment for political activities in the 1790s.
11 Joyce’s Dialogues were revised and reprinted at least ten times before 1868.
12 Bernhard Kuhn, Autobiography and Natural Science in the Age of Romanticism: Rousseau, Goethe, Thoreau (Farnham: Ashgate, 2009), 62.
popular science in this way ignores important distinctions between the diversity of popular publication available to contemporary readers. In the writings about electricity selected for discussion in this chapter, I endeavour to show that many different approaches existed in popular texts, both in terms of writing and reading.

The rapidly growing market for published works in the 1840s produced a new type of scientific authorship, one that sought to address complex scientific subjects such as electricity and to convey, beyond formal institutional frameworks, developments in science to readers. The scientific expertise of authors varied considerably but they attempted, nonetheless, to write about science in ways that would be simultaneously engaging, authoritative and understandable. The resulting non-fiction writings were intended to satisfy the demands of newly literate readers who were often relatively uninformed about electricity or, worse, badly misinformed. Authors’ motives were not solely altruistic or educational though; one of the underlying purposes in producing interesting writings about science was to generate and sustain sales. It is not possible to gauge accurately or assert the impact on book sales of the burgeoning periodicals’ market, due to the other concurrent factors discussed previously, which would have been equally significant. More confidently, we can assert that the massive growth of public interest in science during the period, revealed by Aileen Fyfe and Bernard Lightman in *Science in the Marketplace*, created an expanded demand for writings about science in both books and periodicals that stimulated, in turn, more varied scientific authorship and increased quantities of information, for wider and more diverse readerships.\(^{13}\)

My discussion of books about electricity draws attention to the significance of readers in the processes of popularisation. Recognising contemporary readers as active participants in ‘the processes by which the authoritative audience relations of science were actually accomplished’ is, as Jonathan Topham suggests, vital in understanding the processes themselves.\textsuperscript{14} Popularisation involved issues beyond the difficulties of terminology discussed in my introduction; interactions between authors, knowledge and readers did not comply with diffusionist and passive models of ‘reception’, ‘diffusion’ or ‘transmission’, concepts Stephen Shapin rejects in favour of popularisation.\textsuperscript{15} The books and journals about electricity discussed in this and the next chapter confirm that, regardless of the terms we use, the process of popularisation was neither ‘the transmission of a simplified version of research findings to a passive public’ nor the ‘passive lay consumption of learned products’.\textsuperscript{16} Within the broader construction and dissemination of scientific knowledge, books about electricity were popular because, compared with their predecessors, they were, firstly, affordably priced and, secondly, they offered access to information, rather than simplification.\textsuperscript{17}


iii. The Popular Professionalism of the Electrical Book

The concept of what is ‘popular’ reading about electricity involves several associations. One definition refers to the term’s social and political connotations in describing the ‘popular’ as ‘pertaining to, or consisting of the common people, or the people as a whole, as distinguished from any particular class’ (OED). A second definition draws attention to the relationship between circumstance and resources, in defining the ‘popular’ as ‘suited to the understanding or the means of ordinary people’ (Chambers). In both definitions, reception is a vital aspect of what is ‘popular’ and the relationship between production and consumption is central. As James Secord suggests, ‘the debate about [what] forms knowledge should take was at every point implicated in the making of knowledge.’ As a result, the understanding of electricity was not separate from how it was written about. Indeed, the books that presented scientific knowledge to wider audiences were instrumental in shaping both what knowledge was available and the actual nature of the knowledge.

The making of knowledge through experimentation contributed substantially to the presentation of electricity as ‘curious’, ‘singular’ and ‘interesting’, as well as an activity that could be pursued by anyone. In Richard Yeo’s study of the changing public status of scientific knowledge, he suggests that, in the early years of the
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20 William Sturgeon, Recent experimental researches in electro-magnetism, and galvanism: comprising an extensive series of curious experiments, and their singular and interesting results; showing that electro-magnetic action may be developed and modified by processes not generally [sic] known.--With some practical and theoretical observations on that department of science (London: Sherwood, Gilbert and Piper, 1830).
nineteenth century, ‘books on science were scarce – most reports on experiments and discoveries were published in the transactions of scientific societies’. From the 1830s, though, the rapid development of electrical studies led to a proliferation of books; as one commentator declares, ‘on no subject, perhaps, do text-books go sooner out of date than on the widely interesting one of electricity and its kindred phenomena of magnetism, so rapid are the strides of progress in these sciences’. 

Popular pamphlets on electricity, by writers such as William Sturgeon (1783-1850) and William Ritchie (1790-1837), drew equally on lectures, experiments and periodical contributions, and presented the study of electricity as both practical and theoretical. Explication was key, rather than original discovery; as Aileen Fyfe contends, ‘in the 1830s scientific reputation was not yet so tightly tied to the publication of original research’. In writing about electricity, the affiliations between experiment, research and publication were as close as those between authorship, science and reading.

How texts might be used and why people might be interested in reading them were prominent features of books on electricity, and how they were titled contributed to their cultural location as popular writings. In 1827, on William Whewell’s recommendation, a treatise by French mathematician Jean Firmin Demonferrand (1795-1844) was translated and published as *A Manual of Electrodynamics*. The
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23 For example, William Sturgeon (1830); William Ritchie, *Experimental Researches in Voltaic Electricity and Electro-magnetism* (London: Richard Taylor, 1832). 
24 Aileen Fyfe, ‘Conscientious Workmen or Booksellers’ Hacks? The Professional Identities of Science Writers in the Mid-Nineteenth Century’, *Isis*, 96:2 (June, 2005), 192. 
direct translation of the French *manuel* provided a useful term for approachable writings about electricity beyond scientific treatises or discourses. The term ‘manual’ has further significance, though, in associating electrical science with practical and physical endeavours, based on first principles, rather than loftier mental or scholarly pursuits. The shift of emphasis lent a new direction and purpose to writings about electricity, which incorporated the circumstances and aspirations of new readerships, as well as future expectations of electrical science. As we see from subsequent popular writings, the new awareness of readerships also altered the content of publications and the ways in which writers presented information.

Experimentation with electricity assumed an additional role as an inspiring and morally admirable achievement, for example, in William Leithead’s *Electricity: its Nature, Operation and Importance in the Phenomena of the Universe* (1837). Leithead humbles his effort by dedicating the volume to Michael Faraday, ‘as a mark of esteem for his scientific attainments’, and he claims that his own work ‘has no pretensions to the title of a scientific treatise’. Rather than portraying himself as a comparable scientific innovator, Leithead presents his work as an interpretation of complex scientific thinking for non-specialist audiences. Leithead wrote in a style that aimed to engage a broad readership, but it was also perceived to compromise his scientific integrity. A reviewer for the *British Magazine* comments, for example, that while most of Leithead’s treatise was written in a ‘plain and intelligible manner’,
at times, he gets ‘carried away by his enthusiasm and writes in a somewhat more elevated style’\textsuperscript{29}. With a further suggestion of spite, the same reviewer comments that the treatise is ‘intended, apparently, for non-scientific readers’\textsuperscript{30}. The high rhetorical style of Leithead’s volume was due also to the volume being based on his lecturing, a common source for nineteenth-century publications about electricity. To understand the role of popular writings, we need to keep in mind the influence of these more ephemeral and oral forms, and the way in which they shaped written responses.

The community responsible for popular depictions of electricity was multi-faceted, with celebrity scientists working alongside less-remembered figures, whose publications could have equal influence over public perceptions of the phenomenon. George Henry Bachhoffner (1810–1879), for example, is a long-forgotten populariser whose lectures, judging by contemporary reviews and advertisements, were tremendously popular in Victorian London.\textsuperscript{31} Despite his German surname, Bachhoffner was a Londoner born and bred; he authored \textit{A Popular Treatise on Voltaic Electricity and Electro-Magnetism} (1838) and co-founded the London Polytechnic Institution as a place of popular instruction in science, lecturing there until August 1855.\textsuperscript{32} Bachhoffner’s 1838 \textit{Treatise} was one of the earliest popular

\textsuperscript{29} Review: ‘Electricity; its Nature, Operation, and Importance in the Phenomena of the Universe by William Leithead’, \textit{British Magazine}, 12 (Dec., 1837), 675. The reviewer’s use of the term ‘enthusiasm’ may refer to associations with evangelical fervour. The relevance of the association would depend on whether Leithead was a dissenter; however, this is not clear from the minimal records that exist of Leithead’s life.

\textsuperscript{30} Ibid.


\textsuperscript{32} George Henry Bachhoffner, \textit{Chemistry as Applied to the Fine Arts} (London, 1837) and \textit{A Popular Treatise on Voltaic Electricity and Electro-Magnetism: Illustrated by Numerous Interesting Experiments} (London: Simpkin and Marshall, and E. Palmer, 1838). Bachhoffner was principal of
publications on electricity and helped establish a tradition many would follow.\textsuperscript{33}

Bachhoffner sought to guide ‘amateurs’ and ‘those unacquainted with the subject’ by means of practical experiments that were elaborately described and illustrated.\textsuperscript{34} In that sense, he used the potential of book publication to reach new and wider readerships; however, he also exploited the possibility books offered for more sustained engagement by readers than his public lectures could offer.

Bachhoffner’s \textit{Treatise} is representative of how electricity was presented to reading publics in non-fiction books, a proper sense of which we can gain by considering some salient extracts. The book begins with a description of how Galvani discovered the concept of electrical conduction, by witnessing muscular contractions in frogs, and a summary of Volta’s further electrical theories. Bachhoffner reports developments but admits the prevailing lack of understanding about electricity, claiming that ‘we are still ignorant of the nature of the electric fluid’.\textsuperscript{35} Throughout the volume, he credits Humphry Davy, Wollaston, Oersted, Faraday and others as the discoverers of electro-magnetism and defers to the scientific authorities of the day, for example, in confirming the ‘relative merits’ of different batteries.\textsuperscript{36} Here, as in many of the other popular writings about electricity, there is a characteristic reluctance to attempt advanced explanations of electricity. Bachhoffner focuses on describing the apparatus for exhibiting electrical actions, rather than the science that

\textsuperscript{33} For example, William Snow Harris, \textit{Rudimentary Magnetism} (London, 1850); \textit{Rudimentary Treatise on Galvanism, and the General Principles of Animal and Voltaic Electricity} (London, 1856); \textit{On a General Law of Electrical Discharge [1856?]}; \textit{A Treatise on Frictional Electricity} (London, 1867).

\textsuperscript{34} Bachhoffner (1838), 3.

\textsuperscript{35} Ibid. 20.

\textsuperscript{36} Ibid. 14.
lay behind them. Repeatedly, the primary focus is the demonstration and applications of electricity, rather than the ostensibly mysterious workings of the phenomenon itself. However, Bachhoffner used the book format to encourage readers to pursue further experiments, for example, in his ‘Catalogue of Electro-Magnetic and Voltaic Apparatus’, which cross-indexes illustrations with the equipment available locally and its prices. Instrument-makers frequently advertised in the concluding pages of scientific volumes but Bachhoffner’s book refers to them explicitly, creating a connection between the book’s content and purpose and the commercial elements of scientific experiment. The prices ranged from just a shilling for helical coils or magnetising bars, to twenty-five pounds for working models of pumps. The equipment prices suggest the multiple readerships at which the book’s content was aimed and illustrate again the inseparability of scientific practice from contemporary authorship, publication and readerships.

The popularisation of misunderstandings about electricity—rather than understandings—represented just the type of ‘intellectual anarchy’ about which John Stuart Mill warned at the beginning of the decade. However, William Whewell and Michael Faraday offered undeniably expert and authoritative interventions. Whewell chose not to pursue ‘a life professionally devoted to the science’ but he occupied a position in science writing that was, according to Simon Schaffer, ‘peculiar’ because it was simultaneously literary, scientific, philosophical and
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37 The instruments were manufactured by Edward Palmer, Philosophical Instrument Maker, Newgate Street, London.
critical.\textsuperscript{39} Whewell’s ‘massive and erudite’ \textit{History of the Inductive Sciences} (1837) and his subsequent volume, \textit{Philosophy of Inductive Sciences} (1840) provided two essential contributions to experimental science and the investigation of electricity.\textsuperscript{40} His focus was not just on the stages of experimentation but also on how participants in scientific investigations thought, what fuelled the process of discovery, and the different ways in which scientific evidence could be interpreted. He related the progress and formulation of scientific laws to the advances of contemporary culture, presenting new discoveries as the pinnacle of intellectual sophistication and advancement, rather than as threats to the \textit{status quo}.

Whewell argued that, rather than phenomena being subject to unreliable explanations based on individual conclusions, they were best pursued as a collective endeavour. In this spirit, he founded the British Association for the Advancement of Science, which encouraged widened participation. His writings on electricity offered clear expositions of concepts, independent of advanced theories, for readers from a variety of educational and social origins. While he described experiments in detail, his approach departed radically from the type of Baconian empiricism which, as Lorraine Daston explains, ‘thought only the strict discipline of method could counteract the inborn tendency of the human understanding to infuse observation with theory.’\textsuperscript{41} Whewell argued, in contrast, that facts and observations were

\begin{flushright}


\end{flushright}
secondary to the essential connections identified between them, by means of inductive reasoning. The creativity of this process in science was exemplified by the century’s new understanding of electricity. While the ‘facts’ of electricity had always been observed, in lightning, sparks or static, its true meaning and applications could only be understood when inductive reasoning allowed it to be recognised that light, heat and magnetism all stemmed from the same fundamental energy source. In *Philosophy of the Inductive Sciences*, Whewell suggested that ‘the vague and obscure persuasion that there must be some connection between electricity and magnetism, so long an idle and barren conjecture, was unfolded into a complete theory’ (Whewell’s emphases).\(^{42}\) For him, understanding electricity exemplified the essential relationship between the active mind and scientific progress; scientific discovery was creative in the sense that, without the imaginative and narrative framework offered by the mind, there is only a collection of unconnected facts, phenomena and observations.

The work of Whewell’s friend, Michael Faraday, revealed the potential of responding creatively to electricity. I have discussed aspects of how Faraday approached the representation of electricity in the previous chapter; however, his role as a populariser and ‘the father of electricity’ can also be considered briefly here in terms of book publication.\(^{43}\) The publication of Faraday’s research papers in volumes between 1839 and 1856 offered readers a vast source of expert knowledge.

\(^{42}\) Whewell (1840), 361.  
about electricity. In that sense, Faraday may demonstrate Barri Gold’s contention that ‘many scientists had what we now call literary aspirations and acted as their own popularizers.’ Faraday’s volumes also support Peter Bowler’s view that the professionalisation of science and popular writing were not necessarily opposed; indeed, practitioners were able to have ‘limited participation in projects which were seen to have educational merit or publicity value for science as a whole.’ As David Knight suggests, popularising only came to be somewhat ‘despised’ in the early twentieth century. Before 1836, Faraday’s papers were available only to the relatively limited audiences who could either attend his lectures or afford to purchase the Royal Society’s *Philosophical Transactions*. The intended audience for Faraday’s published papers is not specified but he does state in the preface that they were offered ‘at a moderate price… to those who may desire to have them.’ The egalitarian tone of Faraday’s comment suggests a wish for widened scientific participation, so that others might follow his lead as a self-taught philosopher, scientist and discoverer.

Faraday was the field’s leading specialist but he was also a writer about electricity. He was described by contemporaries as promoting awareness about the nature of electricity with a ‘perfect simplicity of thought and language’, and seeing the study
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46 Bowler (2006), 160.
47 Knight (2003), 75.
48 Michael Faraday’s first published paper on electricity was ‘Experimental Researches in Electricity,’ *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 122 (1832), 125-162.
49 Faraday (1852), 2.
of electricity as a key aspect of society’s future progress.\textsuperscript{50} This is evident in Faraday’s own comments on the extraordinary ‘progress which electricity has made in the last thirty years’ and his declaration that ‘no branch of knowledge can afford so fine a field for discovery as this’.\textsuperscript{51} Faraday’s informal yet vibrant first-person narratives left readers in no doubt as to the importance of studying electricity and, in doing so, he provided a template for writing about electricity upon which many of the other books discussed in this chapter were based.

The popularisation of scientific ideas can be considered as a process by which we can assess writers’ and readers’ awareness of electricity and, as the books considered so far indicate, it was undertaken by both specialist and non-specialist authors. The titles of books on electricity provided clues for contemporary readers as to their contents; reviewing some of the most popular ones enables us to see how writers advertised their approaches and the labels considered to attract readers. A key figure in the history of popular writing about electricity is Henry Minchin Noad, with whose thoughts this dissertation began.\textsuperscript{52} Noad lectured on chemistry and electricity from 1836, at literary and scientific institutions in Bath and Bristol. Rather than publishing a ‘treatise’ that might intimidate less-erudite readers, Noad’s writings were explicitly aimed at non-specialist readers, and included \textit{A Course of Eight Lectures on Electricity, Galvanism, Magnetism, and Electro-Magnetism} (1839), which went through four editions; the two-volume \textit{Manual of Electricity} (1857); and,

\textsuperscript{51} Faraday, Untitled, \textit{Annals of Electricity, Magnetism and Chemistry}, 4:6 (July, 1839), 9.
\textsuperscript{52} Henry Minchin Noad, FRS (1815–1877).
finally, *The Student’s Textbook of Electricity* (1867).\(^{53}\) As noted by contemporary reviewers, Noad’s primary skill was in gathering together the various new contributions to the study of electricity, which were now ‘scattered over such a multitude of scientific journals, home and foreign.’\(^{54}\) The cover of *A Course of Eight Lectures* advertised Noad’s membership of the short-lived London Electrical Society, an affiliation that set him apart from the earlier authorities of natural philosophy and justifies his description as an ‘electrician’ by Iwan Morus.\(^{55}\)

Significant, too, is that the course was based on lectures, indicating the new level of accessibility that would quickly become characteristic of popular writings. Like Bachhoffner, Noad limited the extent to which he tried to explain the actual phenomenon of electricity, claiming that the volume did not ‘pretend to a scientific character, or to convey original information’ but catered, instead, to ‘the taste of the public in general’.\(^{56}\) His statement reflects the contemporary perception that what was suited to public taste was not generally scientific or original, as well as anticipating any inaccuracies in his explanations. Doubts about the existence and nature of electricity were still evident in the 1830s, as indicated by Noad’s assurance that its ‘identity’ was now ‘decided, as to admit of no doubt in the minds even of the most sceptical’.\(^{57}\) Noad’s view of electrical studies can appear contradictory; he celebrates electrical science as ‘one of the grandest and most mysterious of the sciences’, for example, but also undercuts its importance by suggesting that the
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\(^{53}\) Noad also published *The Student’s Text-book of Electricity* (London, 1867), which was revised with additional chapters in 1879 by W. M. Preece.


\(^{57}\) Ibid. iii.
subject is of ‘sufficient interest to beguile an idle hour’. His comments reflect in part the publication’s early date, when scientific experiment was still in the process of becoming a pursuit of wider significance and potential, beyond the social class privilege of leisure. The new participation in electrical experimentation produced alternative representations, and they prompted disciplinary questions about how electricity should be approached.

iv. Electrical Investigation: Art or Science?

Interest in electricity encompassed descriptions of experiments, lectures and exhibitions, and electricity’s properties were often significant in all three. Robert Hunt focused on the combination of these features in his writings about electricity, as well as his lectures on mechanical and physical sciences. Hunt rose to prominence as the Chair of experimental physics at the Royal School of Mines and became a fellow of the Royal Society in 1854. He was well-known to contemporary readers through his periodical contributions and his volumes *Researches on Light* (1844), *Economic Geology* (1846) and *The Poetry of Science* (1848). *Researches on Light* focuses primarily on chemical reactions to light in daguerreotyping and photography and what Hunt describes as ‘the magnetising power of the solar rays.’ The *Poetry
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58 Ibid.
59 Ibid.
of Science is more relevant here because it approaches as a literary, philosophical and artistic endeavour the science of understanding phenomena, including electricity.

Hunt’s Poetry of Science views electrical sciences and associated technologies from an unerringly positive perspective. His writings recognise the increasingly commercial possibilities of electricity, conjoined with a spiritual and moral influence, which he claimed emanated from the innate power of science. Indeed, he might now be described as a follower of ‘scientism’, in believing that science can act as the source of guidance for virtually all other disciplines, occupations and trades.63 His text is a form of performance, a type of contemporary writing James Secord describes as the ‘rhetoric of spectacular display’.64 It was a powerful combination, which engaged readers immediately and on several levels. It also owed less to the Romantic interpretation of science as a form of mysticism than to a distinctively Victorian presentation of science and technology that relied on the aural imagination, developed in contemporary lecture halls and institutions, as well as readers’ visual resources. However, Hunt’s promotion of science sometimes met with criticism. A reviewer of the second edition in 1849 expressed, for example, his difficulty in seeing ‘the realities of matter with the same enthusiastic eye’ and he doubted Hunt’s conclusion that ‘every scientific truth is essentially poetical’.65 He also had reservations about the wider agenda attributed to science by Hunt, and argued that developments such as the new electrical sciences lacked the innate and empathetic

63 ‘Scientism’: ‘the belief that science is the only valuable part of human learning, or the view that it is always good for subjects that do not belong to science to be placed on a scientific footing’ (Tom Sorell, Scientism: Philosophy and the Infatuation with Science (London: Routledge, 1991), 1).
sentiment of poetry for ‘when Oersted discovered the electro-magnetic principle, he did not foresee that it was to transmit with the velocity of lightning messages of love and war.’ The reviewer concludes that Hunt, whom he professes to know little about, was more a poet than a philosopher and that his partiality must be due to limited technical understanding. In fact, as Hunt’s publications indicate, he was adept in a number of different emerging sciences, often at relatively advanced levels.

Significantly, Hunt refers to the ‘poetry of science’ in his title, not ‘poetry and science’, and this reflected his argument that poetry is an integral feature of science. He presents the literary techniques of metaphor, imagery and rhetoric as innate features of the profound poetic beauty he perceived to exist within science. In the opening, he declares that the superior combination of science, poetry and philosophy goes beyond ‘mere economic applications’ and has, instead, the ‘power of exalting the mind to the contemplation of the Universe’s profound powers’. For Hunt, the literary expression of new scientific understanding enabled man to explore ‘the secret machinery which stirs the whole.’ This might seem to conform to the Romantic aspect of Willis’s Romantic-materialist opposition, discussed in my introduction; however, Hunt’s inclusion of the word ‘machinery’ shows his perception of scientific self-transformation to have been a uniquely nineteenth-century view. Furthermore, Hunt locates the notion of self-discovery through scientific understanding within the uniquely democratised, participative discourse of the nineteenth century, applying it to the ‘ordinary man’ who has previously been ‘but a mystery to himself’. In his view, man was fundamentally altered by the

66 Ibid. 121.
67 Hunt (1848), vii.
combination of science and literature, and he portrays this as an almost chemical or mathematical process:

Poetry seizes the facts of the one, and the theories of the other; unites them by a pleasing thought, which appeals for truth to the most unthinking soul, and leads the reflective intellect to higher and higher exercises; it connects common phenomena with exalted ideas...  

Joseph Bizup proposes in his research on early Victorian manufacturing cultures that the advancement of science and manufacture typically entailed a Ruskinian form of ‘aesthetic deterioration.’ It was perhaps in reaction to this that in the 1830s ‘superpositions [sic] of natural and useful knowledge were commonplace’ in the rhetoric of popular scientific culture, as Iwan Morus points out. Envisioning the creation of a better world through technology was highly typical, but Hunt took technological optimism still further. He cited geology, for instance, as an exemplar of scientific exploration that not only fuels future scientific and artistic developments but is also inspired by ‘powers working within’:

The solid rock obeys a power which brings its congeries of atoms into a thousand shapes, each one geometrically perfect... The animal organism quickened by higher impulses,—powers working within, and modifying the influence of the external forces—presents, from the Monad to the Mammoth, and through every phase of being up to Man, a yet more wonderful series of combinations, and features still more strangely contrasted.

The passage demonstrates Hunt’s characteristic use of poetic devices to describe scientific development. The way in which he envisages a rock formation at an
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68 Ibid. xviii.
71 Hunt (1848), xx.
atomic level, based on the laws of geometry, is a distinctly scientific form of figurative language. He deliberately combines poetic and scientific language in showing ‘how the great phenomena of nature are explained by inductive science and the high order of poetry [,] which connects itself with the deduction of philosophy.’

Hunt’s writing is an important contribution to wider perceptions of the period’s science, its perceived purpose, and its relationship to literature. The exploration of unseen worlds, whether geological or electrical, is not merely visualised but actually enabled and urged on by specifically nineteenth-century advances in optical technologies like photography, electric lighting, and printing techniques. Rather than being just imaginary endeavours, the reality of empirical sciences such as geology, mining and electricity provided the basis for a literary means by which to imagine past yet populated ‘vanished worlds’.

The excesses of Hunt’s hyperbole were not the only obstacles apparent in the transitional morass that lay between scientific and literary works. The Athenaeum reported in 1850, for example, that ‘the very discursive system’ of the mechanics’ institutes ‘has been fraught with evil consequences’, such as ‘a superficial acquaintance with the pursuits of science’ and ‘a lamentable and vicious dilettantism’. Works such as Robert Weale’s series, Rudimentary Works for Beginners (1848), sought to address the gaps in public knowledge left by public lectures and other less reliable forms of information. The volume on electricity in
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75 Other volumes in John Weale’s series were Divers Works of Early Masters in Christian Decoration (1846) and Rudimentary Dictionary of Terms used in Architecture, Building, and Engineering (1849–50).
the series, Sir William Snow Harris’s *Rudimentary Electricity* (1850), was deemed to provide ‘a sound and practical view of the subject’ and ‘essentially a contradiction to the pernicious doctrine of its danger’.76 The fact that a market existed for yet more explanations of electricity indicates the tension that existed around ostensibly correct versions of scientific theories about electricity; even as they sought to provide these, popular versions and speculations continued to represent a threat to scientific integrity to be guarded against.

Information about electricity was not simply delivered to readers in a straightforward fashion, and that left room for negotiation about the phenomenon’s properties and nature. The difficulties encountered by contemporary readers attempting to understand electricity are evident in the *Edinburgh Review*’s lengthy review of *Rudimentary Electricity*, also from 1850.77 Again, readers’ interest in electricity’s technological applications is forefront, in electricity being described as the ‘letter-carrier and message-boy’ of the age; however, we are also told that magnetism ‘is unclothed of mystery, and set to drive turning lathes.’ The persistent question of ‘what is electricity?’ still arose but now, the reviewer asks, perhaps the question ‘may be set aside, as not requiring to be answered before the effects of electricity are considered’.78 Two quite different views of electricity are outlined, the first as ‘a state, condition, or power of matter’, the other as ‘a peculiar substance, or form or kind of matter’.79 Perhaps surprisingly, it is the second option that the writer decides
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76 Review (1850), 1025; William Snow Harris, Sir (1791–1867), *Rudimentary Electricity, being a Concise Exposition of the General Principles of Electrical Science* (London: John Weale, 1848). Harris’s volume was revised and enlarged in 1872 by Henry Noad.
77 Review: Art. IV.-1. ‘Rudimentary Electricity; being a concise Exposition of the General Principles of Electrical Science, and the Purposes to which it has been applied’, *Edinburgh Review*, 90:182 (Oct., 1849), 441.
78 Ibid. 443.
79 Ibid. 444.
is ‘the more easily apprehended hypothesis’, because it allows electricity to be envisaged as ‘an elastic fluid, such as hydrogen and gas, but infinitely lighter’ and because ‘the unknown something or condition, or kind of matter, which is the cause of many other phenomena, is electricity.’ The reviewer lists the increasingly confusing array of electrical explanations and concludes that the phrasing of scientific treatises ‘is very apt to lead and perplex those who consult them for information concerning special points’. He adds, furthermore, that ‘the distracted reader, who finds one electricity perplexing enough, loses count and heart, and closes the treatise in despair.’ Learning about electricity was confusing enough without having to deal with a multiplicity of conflicting accounts, new concepts and new terms; as Faraday, Maxwell and Whewell had recognised previously, emerging ideas and terminologies in electrical science could represent a serious deterrent in efforts to learn about electricity.

The financial ramifications of explaining electricity were also many and varied. Interpreting the terms and concepts of specialist electrical investigations provided lucrative publishing opportunities; as James Secord points out, ‘the forging of the sciences as a distinctive field of enquiry was the product of a much wider contest about access to print and the audiences for knowledge.’ In the unstable understanding about electricity, treatises and books continued to be important sources of information about electrical science. While changes in publication climates reduced the cost of book production and enabled the expansion of publication forums beyond the book, price was only one aspect of readers’ access to
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subjects such as electricity. New publications forms both catered to and reflected the changes of participation in electrical investigations and science.

v. Correcting Misconceptions

Noad’s knowledge of electricity compared well to the flawed understanding of writers such as Robert M. Ferguson, author of *Electricity* (1867). Ferguson was a member of the Edinburgh Institution and his volume was part of Chambers’s Educational Course, which purported to provide ‘a popular and accurate view of the main principles of the science of Electricity’. It was certainly ‘popular’ but it was less than ‘accurate’ in referring, for instance, to defunct ‘fluid theories of electricity’ alongside Faraday’s field theories. The changing relationship between science and reading publics warranted further changes in explications of theory and some of these were offered by John Tyndall. Tyndall is best known for his research on radiant heat, diamagnetism and magnetic-crystallic action but he also studied air, sound, light, magnetism, comets, molecular physics, radiation, fermentation, and glaciers. Between 1853 and 1866, he was something of a protégé to Faraday at the Royal Institution and, after Faraday’s death, he published two popular volumes on electricity, *Notes of a Course of Seven Lectures on Electrical Phenomena and Theories* (1870) and *Lessons in Electricity at the Royal Institution, 1875-6* (1876).

Tyndall’s writings about electricity were not just explanations of fundamental principles; they also responded to contemporary criticism of popular explications.
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Too often, contemporary commentators observed, it was ‘difficult to say where the old ends and the new begins’, due to redundant ideas such as ‘imponderables’ being reiterated so that ‘much of great importance is omitted altogether.’

Tyndall’s Notes specified very particularly the dates of each step of electrical science and identified participants, stating, for example, that ‘this is Oersted’s discovery’ or ‘this is Sturgeon’s application of Arago’s discovery’, in an attempt to explain also the development of competing theories of electricity. Tyndall’s approach was essentially historicist rather than scientific and, rather than disparaging the ignorance of earlier electrical pioneers, he was notably respectful of earlier works. He praised, for example, the historical electrical experiments conducted by Charles, Viscount Mahon, afterwards Earl Stanhope, in Principles of Electricity (1779), as ‘models of scientific clearness and precision’, and incorporated lengthy extracts of Stanhope’s work in his research, together with illustrations that show figures in eighteenth-century clothing (see Fig. 4).
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87 Tyndall (1870), 2, 4.
Tyndall’s techniques were appreciated, prompting reviewers to comment that ‘the numerous historical notices scattered through the book greatly add to its interest’.\textsuperscript{89} Tyndall employed several established electrical conceptions and terms, rather than inventing new forms that might confuse readers further. However, in doing so, he did also introduce new notions, referring to electricity being ‘at rest (static electricity)’, for example, or ‘in motion (dynamic electricity)’, as well as providing details of new experiments on mechanisms, discharges, and wires.\textsuperscript{90} The images that accompanied Tyndall’s explanations of nineteenth-century electricity (see Figs. 5 and 6) were quite unlike the instructive, hand-drawn illustrations provided by popular writers such as Anthony Peck, whose articles are discussed in chapter four; instead, they have the crispness of a modernist aesthetic appeal evident in late nineteenth-century short stories about electricity discussed in chapter five. Rather

\textsuperscript{88}Tyndall (1876), 65.
\textsuperscript{89}Review: ‘Elements of Physics’ (1877), 119.
\textsuperscript{90}Tyndall (1876), 11. ‘Static’: pertaining to forces in equilibrium, or to bodies at rest: opposed to dynamic. 1850 Grove, \textit{Corr. Phys. Forces}, 2\textsuperscript{nd} ed., 74: ‘I have used...the terms dynamic and static to represent the different states of magnetism’ (OED).
than offering explanation or interpretation, the illustrations were created by electricity itself and showed stark, abstract patterns of light and shade.

Fig. 5. The Dust Produced by Deflagrated Silver Wire (on white paper)\textsuperscript{91}

Tyndall recognised the value of portraying the investigation of electricity as an active, practical and collective endeavour, and presented electrical science as a vibrant field, still full of opportunities for discovery. He posed questions that had not yet been answered fully, about the magnetisation of an iron bar at a distance, for example, asking ‘how is the power transmitted from the one to the other? Is it an action at a distance, or does it require a medium for its propagation?’; rather than answering the questions, though, he admits candidly, ‘I do not know. The question at present profoundly interests investigators’.\textsuperscript{92} He did not present understandings of electricity as a \textit{fait accompli}; instead, his accounts were animated by the immediacy of ongoing exploration, a portrayal that invited readers to become involved, by experimenting and contributing their own explanations.


\textsuperscript{92} Ibid. 3.
For much of Lessons, Tyndall discussed familiar forms of electricity, such as electrical sparks on the body and the atmospheric electricity of lightning. The discussion of electricity’s effects differed from earlier demonstrations by detailing new developments of electrical machines, and the juxtaposition of the older and newer accounts allows us to pose interesting questions about Tyndall’s narrative choices. In one instance, for example, he casually mentions Benjamin Franklin ‘striking a pigeon blind, and killing a hen and turkey with the electrical discharge’ but then he illustrates the story with a seemingly bland, even generic illustration based on the results of the machine in question (see Fig. 6).

**Fig. 6. The Long Spark Obtained from Tyndall’s Ebonite Machine**

In this way, he interprets pioneering work on electricity through the medium of new scientific innovations. When we consider the anecdote and the illustration, we might wonder, though, why Tyndall chose not to capitalise more on the gruesome yet memorable image of Franklin’s wounded poultry. Certainly, the simpler the illustration was, the cheaper the price of publication; however, the same publication
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also offers more elaborate illustrations, which would appear to negate that justification. Instead, we might note that the image portrays electricity and scientific experimentation as relatively harmless. Tyndall opts for the least sensationalist representation, to present electrical technologies as modern and progressive, rather the something to be afraid of, and perhaps also to offset anticipated criticism of the overtly popular nature of the volume. Justifiable fears still existed about the dangers of electricity and these had a bearing, perhaps, on Tyndall’s choice of abstract images and the presentation of the phenomenon as aesthetically appealing, rather than alarming or dangerous.

Tyndall’s responses to electricity were substantially influenced by his opinions about the practice of science, about which he wrote a great deal more than on electricity. In 1868, Tyndall published *Fragments of Science for Unscientific People: A Series of Detached Essays, Lectures, and Reviews*, a title that presented him as a populariser of science but was also designed to appeal to audiences who perceived themselves as ‘unscientific’. The volume reveals an approach to science that both resembled and differed from those of his predecessors, and it is worthwhile taking this into account before we consider his specific discussions of electricity. A key essay in the volume, ‘The Scope and Limits of Scientific Materialism’, indicates the importance Tyndall placed on the representation of science as a factor of scientific progress and the wider recognition that science was not grounded solely in factual certainties.

---

wrought’, in a way that suggested that elusive yet important aspects of science features existed beyond its facts or figures.\(^97\)

Tyndall’s populist approach to science and writing about electricity is evident in his 1870 collection of essays, which included two of his most memorable and widely publicised British Association lectures, ‘Scientific Use of the Imagination’ and the ‘Scientific Limit of the Imagination’, as well as a shorter essay called ‘Earlier Thoughts’.\(^98\) The volume is significant in terms of popular writing because, rather than referring exclusively to specialist research, Tyndall shared popular responses to his own work with readers, by incorporating nine anonymous letters from *The Times*, *The Saturday Review*, *The Guardian*, *The English Churchman*, *The Lancet*, *The Pall Mall Gazette*, and *The Spectator*.\(^99\) The letters offer insights into the conflicted public response to Tyndall’s ideas. Some correspondents were enthused by Tyndall’s promotion of the scientific imagination and described it as ‘the victory of conscience and reason, the emancipation of the soul, the new birth of an intelligence.’\(^100\) Other respondents were vehemently opposed to the promotion of the imagination in scientific practice and viewed it as detrimental to the technical skills of science. ‘The glory of the Natural Philosopher,’ one complains sarcastically, ‘appears to depend less on the power of the imagination to explore minute recesses or immeasurable space than on the skill and patience with which, by observation and

\(^{97}\) Ibid. 111.

\(^{98}\) John Tyndall, *Essays on the Use and Limit of the Imagination in Science* (London: Longmans, Green, and Co, 1870). The ‘Scientific Use of the Imagination’ was delivered before the British Association at Liverpool on September 16, 1870; the ‘Scientific Limit of the Imagination’ (13-51) was delivered as an ‘Address on the Limit of the Imagination in Science’ (52-65) to the Mathematical and Physical Section of the Association at Norwich on August 19, 1868; ‘Earlier Thoughts’ (66-72) was an extract from an article headed ‘Physics and Metaphysics,’ published in the *Saturday Review*, August 4, 1860. The edition referred to here was published in 1871.


experiment, he assures us of the certainty of these invisible operations.’  

He goes on to suggest that ‘there is, perhaps, more danger of our imagination being exercised too freely than of its not being exercised sufficiently’. Another writer demands querulously, ‘is imagination the faculty to which are to be given the chief honours of this conquest of a new realm of physics?’ The comments suggest some of the contentions about approaches to science and the strength of resistance that existed towards investigative innovation that dared to reach beyond strictly empirical methods. The letters were published at the front of the volume before the essays, rather than at the back as some form of afterthought, in a way that suggests Tyndall was willing to enter into dialogue with non-specialist readers, as valued participants in the shaping of electrical science.

Tyndall responds to the letters by commenting that scientific methods will probably replace ‘ancient metaphysical channels’ eventually; however, he also allowed for the continuing uncertainty of scientific practice and conclusions in claiming

I do not think that he [the Materialist] is entitled to say that his molecular groups and his molecular motions explain everything. In reality, they explain nothing. The utmost he can affirm is the association of two classes of phenomena, of whose real bond of union he is in absolute ignorance.  

Tyndall’s distinctions about materialism were not just semantic; they shifted the focus from the practitioner to the process and made the imagination an inherent feature of scientific enquiry. Rather than Tyndall being the thoroughgoing

---

102 Tyndall (1871), 2.
104 Tyndall (1871), 111, 120.
materialist his contemporaries sometimes perceived him to be, he was, as William Brock suggests, ‘an idealist who saw matter itself pantheistically as containing the potency of life.’ The methodological shifts taking place in approaches to science were also, as the letters in the volume indicate, key factors in wider responses to scientific development.

In the volume’s preface, Tyndall describes the lectures as ‘brief but definite statements of the relation of Life and Consciousness to Matter and Force’, a description that appeared to go beyond the mechanistic details of both experimentation and theory and hark back to the Romantic conception of electricity as a somewhat mystical and sensational force. As Barri Gold points out, ‘the recognition of this protean quality is at once what makes energy physics possible and what made it for so long elusive.’ Tyndall’s writings about electrical phenomena offer conspicuously nineteenth-century additions to the Romantic inheritance. In his discussion ‘Theories of Electricity: Electric Fluids’, Tyndall responds to his own rhetorical question of ‘what is electricity?’ by suggesting that ‘the human mind has made many attempts to imagine the inner cores of electric action, and it still continues to make such attempts.’ He portrayed the process of conceptualising not just as an important feature of science but as actually reliant upon the ability to imagine, rather than only to explain. If, as he suggested, successful scientific experimentation was largely due to ‘the genius of the investigator’ or ‘true’ scientist,

---


106 Gold (2010), 16.

107 Tyndall (1876), 11.
there were few better ways of expressing that subjective genius than through the authorship of imaginative and literary representation.

Tyndall’s emphasis on the practitioner’s imagination disturbed the certainties and apparent objectivity of experimental observation and mathematical exactitude, and the candidness of his approach did so further. Despite the apparent progress in the field in terms of discovery, he still hesitated to specify precisely what electricity was, claiming that, although the mechanical causes of light and heat were becoming better understood, still ‘no similar clearness has as yet been attained with regard to electricity’ as a whole. In 1868, he noted that ‘physical science has of late years assumed a momentous position in the world ... and it is destined to produce immense changes’ but, by 1870, he can still offer little more than a ‘provisional conception’ of the theory of electric fluids, and that only with the caveat that existing notions are merely a way to ‘classify our facts, though it is not to be regarded as demonstrated.’

Despite being some three quarters of the way through the century, the mysteries of earlier years continued to linger over contemporary understandings of electricity. Tyndall conceded that ‘mystery is not without its uses’ and that scientific questions themselves ‘lead beyond the region of sense, and into that of the imagination.’ He wrote about electricity against a still-shifting backdrop of obstacles and possibilities; however, he also participated in a new literary dynamic, one in which the scientist was also the scientific commentator, making the scientific perspective inseparable from its moment of authorship.

---

108 Ibid.
109 Tyndall (1871), 111; ibid.
110 Tyndall, ‘From a Lecture addressed to Teachers at the South Kensington Museum, April 30, 1861’, reprinted in Essays (1870), 3; Tyndall (1871), 122.
Tyndall recognised that complicated methodologies could, to use Maxwell’s phrase, make one ‘lose sight’ of what was being studied; as a result, he sought to maintain the visual aspects of scientific conceptions: ‘to present the mathematical ideas to the mind in an embodied form … not as mere symbols, which neither convey the same idea, nor readily adapt themselves to the phenomena to be explained.’

He presented the nomenclature of electrical science as constantly changing and explained carefully concepts such as the horseshoe magnet, the precise meaning of poles or magnetic polarity, or the reasons why terms such as vitreous or resinous electricity were ‘improper’. There is an air of compromise in his attitude to the new expression *coercive force*, which he described as ‘not a happy term, but it is the one employed’. Despite the rapid progress of understandings about electricity, clearly the means by which it was communicated was still contentious. Tyndall’s *Notes* and *Lessons* were designed to appeal to popular interests in electricity, rather than theoretical analysis but they were still authoritative accounts. As Richard Yeo notes, ‘the institutional and educational status of science became more secure [but] this did not mean that the problem of authority was resolved; rather, it assumed different forms.’ The greater authority of electrical science gave electricity an additional role, not just as the focus of scientific analysis or theory but also as a central component of industrial, domestic and technological applications like electroplating, lighting and telegraphy.

---


112 Tyndall (1876), 2, 3, 6, 10.

113 Ibid. 7.

In the conclusion to *Lessons*, Tyndall offered a summarised list of sources and forms of electrical power; as with the *Notes*, his interest in electricity was more often directed towards its past and its future, than its existing state. The final sentence of *Lessons* stated that ‘these are different manifestations of one and the same power; and they are all evoked by an equivalent expenditure of some other power.’ The summary had the authority of the last word on the subject but, at the same time, it referred obliquely to thermodynamics, the field that held the answers about electricity that science had yet to locate. In *Notes*, Tyndall’s discussion moved away from questions of electricity’s operations; newly invented electrical technologies and machines were on a par with theoretical speculations, and in authority and urgency they contribute to what was still essentially an uncertain field. The new alliances between science and other cultural authorities such as economics, manufacturing and politics meant that the scientist’s role was increasingly understood as a mediation between scientific development and society.

The texts discussed in this chapter often embody expert knowledge about electricity, supporting the contention discussed in the first chapter by Cooter and Pumfrey that popularisation did not convey simply ‘watered down’ knowledge. The distinction between the two is not as clear as it might at first appear; yet assumptions about scientific knowledge continue to plague today’s understandings of how, why and by whom science is produced and popularised. As Richard Whitley observes,

---

115 Tyndall discusses three areas of advancement: firstly, technologies, such as the telegraph, electro-chemistry and electrolysis; secondly, electrical theories, such as Ohm’s Theory, Faraday’s Electrolytic Law and Nobili’s Iris Rings; and, thirdly, electrical machines, such as the condenser, magneto-electric machines and the Leyden battery.

‘essentially, popularisation is not viewed as part of the knowledge production and validation process but as something external to research which can be left to non-scientists, failed scientists or ex-scientists as part of the general public relations effort of the research enterprise.’\textsuperscript{117} The readership of popular books about electricity was wider than that of the scientific treatise. The lessening of price restrictions made the knowledge they offered more available and open to interpretation, whether the information and interpretations were correct or incorrect. The repeated revision and republication of the popular instructional books considered here testifies to their continuing popularity with reading publics, as well as to the adaptable and diverse nature of the market for writings about electricity. However, it was the way in which electricity was written about, by figures such as Joyce, Leithead, Noad, Hunt and Tyndall, that sustained wider interest in electricity and in science more generally. Their work represents a new phenomenon of shared scientific discourse, which established vital connections between the period’s scientific exploration, its literary forms and its social development.

\textsuperscript{117} Whitley, in Shinn and Whitley (1985), 3.
Non-fiction in the Periodical

i. Introduction

Non-fiction is often excluded from studies of ‘literature’ and ‘literary’ writing, restricting the usage of the two terms, as Ralph O’Connor notes, to a relatively limited range of fictional works, such as novels, poems and plays.¹ The non-fiction responses to electricity discussed in this chapter illustrate literary qualities, not just in writings about science but also in the reading methods upon which they relied and the interrelated changes in social and publication contexts, particularly the emergence of the popular periodical. The variety of periodical publications, contributors and readers between the 1830s and 1880s negates any possibility of a single, unified or representative stance. I aim to convey, instead, the varying approaches to the subject and the degrees of knowledge apparent in periodical writings, by examining relevant articles from four markedly different publications: the *Annals of Electricity*, *Reynolds’s Miscellany*, *Punch* and *Once a Week*. I also investigate the extent to which non-specialist writers relied on scientific understandings of electricity and how the context of electrical science in the periodical allowed authors to explore new avenues of engagement with the phenomenon.

The emergence of the periodical press is inseparable from the wider context of contemporary publishing, which it is important to take into account. The British periodical press was a site of significant change, particularly in the mid-nineteenth century, when its dramatic expansion was prompted by the combined force of new reading audiences, innovations in printing and transport technologies, and reduced publishing costs; as Dawson, Noakes and Topham point out, newspaper taxes were reduced in 1836 and repealed in 1853 and 1855, while taxes on paper and rags were abolished 1860 and 1861.² The increase in cheap, frequent publications was both produced for and driven by the emergence of a more broadly literate mass audience. The periodical is now recognised as a crucial element of contemporary responses to innovations such as electricity. Their significance and cultural location is increasingly understood, in that ‘readers outside the relatively small and elite intellectual community depended largely on magazines, periodicals, and newspapers for their understanding of contemporary cultural issues’.³ Secondly, there is greater recognition that scientific topics, to which electricity often related, were widely discussed beyond specialist forums. As scholars have noted, ‘general periodicals probably played a far greater role than books in shaping the public understanding of new scientific discoveries, theories, and practices’.⁴ Nonetheless, the heterogeneity of periodical contents continues to elude easy categorisation and makes analysing them uniquely challenging. As Lyn Pykett notes, periodical scholars require a seemingly impossible ‘total knowledge of a past culture’ and ‘a degree of conceptual

possession of a “documentary” culture which must elude them even in relation to a living culture.\textsuperscript{5} Today’s digitisation and new technologies allow considerable headway to be made in studying the nineteenth-century periodical though, as I now discuss, considerable methodological obstacles still remain.

One method of ascertaining the prominence of electricity in periodicals is to identify and survey when, where and how writers refer to the phenomenon. Before examining individual writings, therefore, we can note the extent to which electricity was mentioned in both non-fiction and fiction articles of the period.\textsuperscript{6}

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Example Keyword Search: Periodicals Archive Online}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Keyword} & \textbf{Articles} & \textbf{Book Reviews} & \textbf{Other (unindexed front and back matter)} \\
\hline
Electricity & 11,917 & 138 & 3,259 \\
\hline
Electrical & 6,556 & 68 & 1,189 \\
\hline
Electric & 20,632 & 195 & 3,322 \\
\hline
Total & 39,105 & 401 & 7,770 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}


\textsuperscript{6} British Periodicals Online and the Periodical Archive Online are the most relevant sites in this instance, due to the range of publications to which they refer and their use of digitised originals. Other online archive resources are valuable in different ways: Science in the Nineteenth-century Periodical is more finely tuned and highly effective for investigating the site’s selection of publications, by means of modern summaries rather than the original texts, while 19\textsuperscript{th}-century UK Periodicals is well-suited to broader explorations that do not require specifying the genre of the work.
Table 2. Example Keyword Search: British Periodicals Online

(Citations, Excerpts and Article Full Text; January, 1831 to December, 1881)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Advertisements, Articles, Graphics, Reviews</th>
<th>Drama, Fiction, Poems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>9,850</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>5,161</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric</td>
<td>14,363</td>
<td>2,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td><strong>29,374</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,130</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Identifying references by means of relevant keywords provides a degree of unrefined information, based on the limited generic definitions of computerised search facilities. It makes it possible to deduce that the term ‘electric’ may have been used more frequently than that of ‘electrical’ (see Table 1 and 2), or that electricity was referred to more frequently in non-fiction than fiction (see Table 2). A degree of further analysis is possible in terms of trends, genres and individual publications. However, identifying periodical references in this way reveals at least two methodological problems. Firstly, the volume of references to electricity makes it difficult to assess how representative selected examples are, and, secondly, for the significance of references to be properly evaluated, they would need to be categorised more tellingly—a process beyond the purposes of the present work. Thirdly, as previously noted, writings about electricity have a tendency to defy this type of specific classification. Keyword searches are a useful means of initial identification but ascertaining which references offer real insights into contemporary perceptions of electricity involves more extensive scrutiny, comparison and analysis.
My examination of several thousand individual instances indicates that many
references to electricity were peripheral to the main content of the article, and that
terms relating to electricity were frequently used in an unspecific or metaphorical
sense, or with reference to topics on the margins of this dissertation.7 A great many
other references simply repeat the history of discoveries about electricity, with little
further reflection.

With the caveats mentioned here in mind, the publications, series and articles
discussed in this chapter have been chosen because they engage both at length and in
depth with the subject of electricity. The aim is to illustrate how non-fiction writers
used the literary possibilities of the periodical, in terms of editing and formatting,
serialisation and illustrations, to shape popular awareness of ideas about electricity,
and to consider the particular insights they offer into contemporary perceptions of
electricity and experimentation.

ii. Conflict and Community in the Annals of Electricity (1836-43)

The place held by science in nineteenth-century periodicals was particularly
appropriate for writings about electricity. Not only were periodicals ‘an
indispensable forum for science’, as Richard Yeo suggests, but also ‘outside the
major periodicals there were even more divergent notions of science’.8 Continuing
speculation about electricity and uncertainties about its scientific basis allowed it to
be viewed from a variety of perspectives and to find a venue in the increasing wide-

---

7 Topics discussed include the telegraph, light, atmospheric electricity in lightning or fog, spiritualism
and ‘planchette’, now more commonly known as a ‘Ouija’ board.
8 Richard Yeo, Defining Science: William Whewell, Natural Knowledge and Public Debate in Early
ranging periodicals market. In this section, I seek to show how the literary forum of the *Annals of Electricity* also revealed an assortment of social and political tensions surrounding the perception, investigation and representation of electricity.

The authorship of periodical contributions, as Matthew Rubery points out, was never ‘straightforward’; more often it involved ‘collaboration among proprietors, editors, writers, illustrators, and even advertisers.’ The diversity complicated the specialisation of the electrical sciences, as did the emergence of electricians, a group one contemporary medical journalist describes as those ‘educated to the lower grades of the profession’. The new practitioners were perceived as a new breed of investigator, for their interest was electrical measurements and technological applications, rather than the electricity’s fundamental properties. From the 1830s onwards, writers discussing electricity in periodicals bolstered their scientific and literary authority by referring to public demonstrations and well-known figures at the Royal Institution or the London Electrical Society. As James Secord notes, ‘it is increasingly evident that the usual categories used to define scientific writing, such as “popular science”, “specialist science”, “original discovery”, are inadequate.’

Like the authors of books on electricity, popular writers did not report the spectacle of scientific experimentation merely for readers’ entertainment or edification; many also engaged fully with the specialist lectures and papers they described, further blurring the distinctions between popular and specialist science.

---

An important and central resource for those who wanted to learn, read and write about electricity, between 1836 and 1843 at any rate, was the monthly *Annals of Electricity, Magnetism and Chemistry, and Guardian of Experimental Science*, which was published in manageable eighty-page issues, priced at around two shillings, and edited by the formidable William Sturgeon.\textsuperscript{12} Although technically the journal is just one publication and lasted only seven years, it is worth studying in detail because it is also the only periodical in the period specifically devoted to electricity. For that reason, it was contributed to by numerous electrical experimentalists on a variety of levels, and it illustrates some of the key characteristics of the era’s electrical community. William Sturgeon’s editorship also represents an intriguingly literary-scientific performance, which subverts conventional divisions between writing, editorship and reading.

Scientific integrity was paramount, so much so that the title page of the *Annals* declared it to be the ‘guardian of experimental science’. Experimental proof was central to the journal’s mission of vanquishing superstition and the supernatural from investigations of electricity; as Geoffrey Cantor suggests, ‘the appeal to experiment is generally the most effective persuasive strategy when arguing for or against some theory or doctrine’ and ‘the rhetoric of experiment is endowed with considerable power.’\textsuperscript{13} Inaccuracies of knowledge were dealt with severely by Sturgeon and his knowledge allowed him to be more rigorous than many reviewers. In reviewing William Leithead’s book on electricity, he claims that the author’s reasoning tends to

\textsuperscript{12} The Waterloo Directory entry states the price as one shilling; however, the prices stated on the original editions are between two shillings two pence and, on later issues, two shillings six pence.

rest on ‘acknowledged assertions’ rather than ‘acknowledged facts’ and he questions Leithead’s spurious correlation between cold weather and depression, which was based on a claim that ‘the electroscope invariably indicates a negatively electrical state of the atmosphere’. Sturgeon retorts sharply that ‘the electroscope indicates no such thing: nor do we know from what source the supposed “fact” has been derived.’ Sturgeon also takes issue with the term ‘silent thunder’, which Leithead describes as a commonly used and ‘appropriate term’ for the electricity of lightning. Sturgeon asserts that ‘we do not remember meeting with this “appropriate term” before; nor do we think that it expresses anything more definite than silent thunder would do’, and he reminds readers that the proper term is ‘sheet lightning’. Sturgeon warned his contributors and readers to avoid ‘whimsical hypotheses which have no reality in nature’, and his advice indicates the menaces that speculation and imagination were perceived to be in studying electricity.

Sturgeon’s idiosyncratic editorship focused on the progress of electrical studies, in an environment that was more competitive than we might now realise. In his 1837 inaugural address to the London Electrical Society, he refers to the *History of Electricity* (1767) by ‘the first electrician of the age’, Joseph Priestley, a lifelong promoter of broadened access to scientific knowledge. Priestley described electricity as ‘the youngest daughter of the sciences’ and looked forward to a time when she would ‘set the example to the rest, and show that she thinks herself

---

15 Ibid. 77.
17 Ibid. 68.
considerable enough to make an appearance in the world without the company of her sisters’. Sturgeon employs Priestley’s analogy of sibling and class rivalry to criticise the institutions of his own day, as still ‘very far from being well-adapted’ to experimentation in specialist sciences like electricity and he asks, what Priestley would have thought of electricity having since become ‘a parent of other sciences’, yet one still not ‘deemed worthy of a separate establishment among their temples’.

The ownership of electrical experimentation had yet to be securely claimed and the real enemy of progress in electrical science, Sturgeon felt, would be the continuation of restricted access to knowledge.

If, as Foucault suggests, ‘to “govern” is to structure the possible field of action of others’, the opportunities provided by Sturgeon’s journal also made him something of a governing social reformer. He dismissed as ‘groundless’ and ‘detrimental’ the view that only those ‘who are deeply skilled in experimental investigation can be really useful to science’, and he argued that such prejudices were especially inappropriate in experimental electrical sciences. The investigation of electricity was clearly contentious, as Willis indicates, in terms of its connections with class, education and power. Sturgeon’s perspective was shared by Andrew Crosse, who declared that ‘electricity is no longer the paltry, confined science which it was once fancied to be’ and, he asks, ‘what rank in the tree of science electricity is to hold’.

Sturgeon and Crosse’s arguments showed that future understandings of electricity
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18 Ibid. 66-67.
20 Sturgeon (1837), 71.
21 Martin Willis, *Mesmerists, Monsters, and Machines: Science Fiction and the Cultures of Science in the Nineteenth Century* (Kent, OH: Kent State University Press, 2006), 71.
could only be achieved in parallel with social and educational change, supporting
David Gooding’s point that ‘empirical access to Nature is both a cognitive and social
process.’ The practice of electrical investigation was controversial because
increased participation effectively destabilised previously restricted and privileged
access to information.

Disputes were not only about class and hierarchy though. Iwan Rhys Morus has
documented the several disagreements between Sturgeon and Faraday, conducted in
the pages of the Annals, about experimental practice and methods of interpretation.
Issues between scientists and practitioners often dominated the history of electrical
science; indeed, even the discovery of electromagnetism and the invention of the
electro-magnetic motor were contested and are still sometimes credited to Sturgeon
rather than Faraday. Neither were Sturgeon and Faraday the only contenders for
the discovery of electromagnetism; as Frank James notes, when Faraday publicised
his discovery of the principle in the Quarterly Journal of Science, ‘a rumour went
around suggesting that he had plagiarized some of Wollaston’s electro-magnetic
work’. The desire to define electricity’s substance and the multiple explanations
that continued to emerge made electrical investigation a site of fierce competition,
and individual claims of discovery were often determined by highly subjective
factors, such as popularity, patronage and publication.

23 David Gooding, “‘Magnetic Curves’ and the Magnetic Field: Experimentation and Representation
24 Iwan Rhys Morus, Frankenstein’s Children: Electricity, Exhibition, and Experiment in Early-
25 Lance Day and Ian McNeil, eds., Biographical Dictionary of the History of Technology (London:
Routledge, 2003), 1179.
Sturgeon, as James Secord notes, ‘lambasted the formality of the existing periodicals’. The *Annals* provided, in contrast, an exciting mix of scientific papers, explanations of experiments, correspondence between scientists and practitioners, tables of experimental results, translated research papers, and practitioners’ notes. The journal form allowed particular innovations in the presentation of electrical science that altered the relationship with readers. The annals’ form has been criticised for its lack of ‘narrative component’. However, small-scale electrical experiments are frequently connected in the journal to wider topical interests, for example, in the series of experiments Sturgeon conducts from month to month on clay provided by Robert Were Fox, and the observations he relates to natural geological phenomena and industrial productivity. The physical form of the periodical was important too, as a key narrative element in engaging and maintaining readers’ interests. Each monthly issue was carefully indexed and, as a physically smaller and shorter publication than many, readers could scan easily back and forth between the contents and issues. Experiments were effectively serialised by means of editorial references, which cross-indexed the issues, and when Sturgeon undertook to pursue a topic in a future issue, he invariably did so. As a result, the publication format leant itself to a rich and connected narrative and created a sense of anticipation about experimental results, in a manner that was simply not possible previously or in the format of the book.

---

30 The *AoE* page size was 22cm, with an average 80 pages per issue; the Royal Society’s *Philosophical Transactions*, in contrast, was 29 cm with considerably more pages.
The letters published in the *Annals* gave readers unprecedented access to the world of specialist science, with a discursive familiarity difficult to achieve in other explanatory writings. In a letter to Faraday in April 1836, for example, Frederic Daniell describes his experiments on voltaic electricity, but he also pauses to interrupt his own account and say ‘before I state the results, I wish to direct your attention to some observations...’, which he then explains. The journal allowed readers to share the thinking processes of scientific innovators as well as their camaraderie and, in doing so, it dismantled some of the basic distinctions between knowledge producers and consumers, offering ‘every electrician’ an alternative to the passive reception of expertise. The precise dating of entries, contributions and experiments also gave readers a sense of urgency and immediacy, and allowed them to relate events to their own activities and lives.

The contribution of observations and papers, letters and drawings by readers further disrupted linear distinctions between production and consumption. In January 1841, a competition was opened to readers regardless of their experience or qualifications, ‘to stimulate and promote experimental enquiry’. Readers had to provide either a description of an electro-magnet or offer new ideas for an electrical machine, with the prize of bound volumes providing a form of patronage to deserving students of electricity, addressing (albeit in some small way) the rigid scientific hierarchy that Sturgeon opposed. Specifications for entries were precise, and the competition effectively made the consumers of information into producers; indeed, one of the

---

31 Frederic Daniell, ‘Professor Daniell’s Additional Observations’, *AoE*, 1:18 (April, 1836), 102.
32 Sturgeon (1837), 68.
prize-winners was a very young James Prescott Joule, whose subsequent work on the conservation of energy led to the development of the first law of thermodynamics.\textsuperscript{34}

In concluding the competition, Sturgeon emphasised that the study of electricity did not benefit from ‘making too nice distinctions between discoveries or inventions’.\textsuperscript{35} However, he was working against the tide that would separate specialist from the generalist approaches to electricity. The journal failed in 1843, a demise brought on, I would suggest, by the change of contents that further limited its marketability in the last six months. Features that had made the journal popular in the first place were removed and they were replaced with tremendously long and advanced scientific articles, often reproduced from other publications, such as Sturgeon’s old rivals the *Philosophical Magazine* or *Philosophical Transactions*.\textsuperscript{36} The later issues indicate that Sturgeon’s knowledge of electricity had advanced to an extent that made him less responsive or sympathetic to the needs and wishes of readers, who often had only a basic understanding of the subject. Steven Shapin argues that ‘the notion of a clear distinction between expert scientist and lay audience is inappropriate in the early Victorian period.’\textsuperscript{37} However, as Sturgeon learned to his cost and fiction writings about electricity indicate, readers’ interests did relate to their knowledge of the subject. While it was possible to read about electricity with limited knowledge of the subject, the declining popularity of the *Annals of Electricity* indicates that

\textsuperscript{34} James Prescott Joule, FRS (1818–1889); the other prize-winner was the little-known experimentalist William Henry Weeks/Weekes (1790–1850). I am indebted to the IET archivists, particularly Bill Burns, for their expert assistance in establishing the identity of Weeks.

\textsuperscript{35} [William Sturgeon], ‘Award of Prizes’, *AoE*, 6:36 (June, 1841), 512.


many readers did not have the knowledge or interest to keep up. Instead, a new
demand had emerged, one that related less to the pursuit of advanced electrical
research than to experiments readers could perform themselves, as participants in the
future of technological applications.

iii. Narrative Fiction in Explanation and Instruction

Popular writings about scientific developments were narratives, which called upon
readers to imagine experiments at one remove. In this section, I examine a series
called ‘Papers on Popular Science’ by Anthony Peck, published in Reynolds’s
Miscellany. Similar but shorter series were published in The Saturday Magazine,
The Art Journal, The Westminster Review and The Penny Magazine; however,
Peck’s ran the longest and illustrates most clearly the use of fictional techniques in
popular non-fiction writings about electricity. Popular non-fiction performed a dual
function, not only conveying accurate scientific information but also seeking to
arouse and encourage readers’ participation in its development.

In Martha Turner’s study of the relationship between mechanistic science and fiction
narratives, she suggests that, from the late eighteenth century, writers ‘saw
themselves as engaged in a project consciously designed to provide an alternative to
scientific methodology and a technologically oriented civilization’.38 Yet writings
on electricity indicate that ‘scientific methodologies’ were not necessarily opposed to
social developments; explanatory writings sought to perform social and literary
functions, as well as scientific ones, and to establish new relationships between

writers and readers. Naturally, these purposes did not always work in harmony; indeed, they involved a number of social and political conflicts that have been investigated from a history of science perspective by Iwan Morus. Nonetheless, the representation of scientific developments was often an integral feature of popular writings about electrical science. As Greg Myers proposes, ‘popularisers do not simply transmit or water down the writing of professionals; they transform scientific knowledge as they put it in new textual forms and relate it to other elements of non-scientific culture’. Authors such as Peck sought to publicise new understandings and, at the same time, appeal to wider readerships, in ways that are sometimes not so distant from engagements in fiction with concepts of electricity. Popular writings about electricity frequently represented an amalgamation of edification and entertainment that conferred unique demands upon authors and their responses to concepts of electricity.

Anthony Peck’s ‘Papers on Popular Science’ were published between 1846 and 1847 and G. W. Reynolds, the editor and proprietor of Reynolds’s Miscellany, announced the first edition with the following summary of its aims:

Stimulated by the growing improvement in the public taste, and convinced that the readers of Cheap Literature are imbued with a profound spirit of inquiry in respect to Science, Art, Manufacture, and the various matters of social or national importance, the Projector of this 'Miscellany' has determined to blend Instruction with Amusement; and to allot a fair proportion of each Number to Useful Articles, as well as to Tales and Light Reading.

Reynolds’s shameless flattery of his readers’ improved taste and multi-faceted intelligence was based on a commercial and literary relationship between the periodical and its readers. The variety of contents, Reynolds suggested, responded to perceptions that ‘Cheap Publications contain too much light manner’ and ‘Periodicals are too heavy’. Rather than depending on established hierarchies of knowledge, the publication’s contents were closely allied to readers’ demands. As John Feather explains in his study of British book production and markets in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, ‘new physical forms of printed matter, together with the newspapers and magazines, had a wider appeal primarily because they were cheaper’ and because they ‘were not designed for a cultural élite but for the contemporary equivalent of a mass market’.

Affordably priced at a shilling, Reynolds’s Miscellany claimed ‘to steer the medium course’ and, in doing so, provide a new forum for writings about science. To achieve this goal, Reynolds argued that periodicals ‘must provide a literary aliment suited to the improved taste of the present day’. In so saying, he makes the literary an integral quality of writings about ostensibly less literary realms, such as science, art, manufacturing and politics, rather than an elective feature. By 1855, his approach had been proved correct in the publication’s sales reaching 30,000 issues a week.

We might assume that scientists and other writers shared a desire to explore, to represent and to explain electricity, which would involve similar techniques; however, this would not be entirely accurate. Instead, a range of different types of fiction is apparent in writings about electricity. While Hunt and Oersted tend to
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employ figurative language to discuss and explain electricity, periodical writers such as Peck used other methods.\textsuperscript{45} The purpose of non-fiction periodical writings about electricity was explanatory and, again, the focus was on how electricity was produced, rather than its nature as a phenomenon. Yet they, too, relied on readers’ imaginations in different ways. More than half of Anthony Peck’s ‘Papers on Popular Science’ dealt with electricity, its applications and technological development and, although his identity is now lost to the mists of time, it is telling that he began the series with the question: ‘what \textit{practical} use is the science of electricity, the reader may perhaps say?’ (author’s emphasis).\textsuperscript{46} The question is significant because it prioritises, firstly, the application of science about electricity, rather than just its properties, and, secondly, the reader’s role in the examination of electricity. Peck’s direct reference to his readers presents the investigation of electricity as a collaborative venture; it is their interests that are paramount, he seems to say, rather than his own. In doing so, he brings his own production of popular non-fiction writings about electricity closer to their consumption, obscuring the distinctions between them.

Popular explanations of electricity’s properties drew on the more abstract scientific investigations discussed in the previous chapter but they also contributed to the fictions about electricity’s future applications and associated technologies, discussed later in my dissertation. The increased prominence of electricity’s practical purposes brought forth a diversity of scientific discourse and participation; as Patrice Flichy points out, science could be written about ‘not only by technicians but also by

\textsuperscript{45} Robert Hunt, \textit{The Poetry of Science} (1848) and Hans Christian Oersted, \textit{The Soul in Nature} (1852).

“literary persons”: novelists, popularisers, journalists and so on’. Like reviews, non-fiction periodical writings were not necessarily extensions of specialist science; instead, they represented new forms of discourse about electricity, which functioned somewhere between the two.

The first article of Peck’s series offers several insights into contemporary presentations of electricity and their readerships. He begins by clarifying that ‘galvanism, electro-magnetism, &c. are simply branches of the science, and that the parent stem is—electricity’. The fact that he feels the need to specify this connection over a decade since the initial discovery of electro-magnetism suggests that considerable uncertainty still existed about the nature of electricity and associated sciences. Peck’s confident assertion of how electricity differed from galvanism and electro-magnetism implies his expert authority but, at the same time, he appears to work against this. His claim, for example, that he is not writing for ‘the information of those who are versed in the science’, indicates how closely the purposes of periodical readership related to writers’ purposes.

He represents the production and consumption of writing as a shared venture and proposes that ‘we shall studiously avoid entering into a disquisition on the merits of this or that theory; but restrict ourselves to a plain statement of the acknowledged facts’. Peck’s use of ‘we’ and ‘ourselves’ is ambiguous—which ‘we’ does he mean? Is he referring, on the one hand, to the ‘we’ who really know about science and condescend to pass that knowledge on to less-informed readers, or to the ‘we’ of a shared group that includes himself and readers? Peck appears to refer to both groups simultaneously.
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in a way that complicates the diffusionist model of ‘popularisation’, whereby scientific ideas emanate from the experts who discover and validate them, and then communicate them to passive non-expert readers. As Jonathan Topham suggests, the diffusionist model is ‘utterly inadequate as a characterization of the actual processes of scientific communication’. Certainly, the model does not reflect the complex audience-relations around Peck and his readership. He does not propose that the ‘acknowledged facts’ about electricity emerge from more complex engagements with electrical theory; instead, he sets up an opposition between theoretical science and the more accessible ‘plain statement’. Peck implies that the latter is preferable to the scientific ‘disquisition’ but that the difficulty of separating the two discourses is also evident. Peck concedes that ‘we shall be unable wholly to exclude’ technical terms and, while he deems this acceptable as long as ‘they are uniformly used in scientific works’, he also warns that ‘we should be careful to accompany them with the requisite explanation’. The caveats introduced by Peck indicate the troubled negotiation of space for writing about science for wider audiences.

Peck makes his own discussion distinct from the superstition and speculation with which it might still be associated by referring to ‘the science of electricity’. By the 1840s, according to others, there were ‘few persons who have not seen an electrical machine and witnessed the spark which passes from it’. Yet experiments on
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electricity, optics and magnetism were also still thought (albeit often satirically now) to have ‘a kind of magical appearance’, one which ‘among the ignorant and credulous might easily pass for miracles’.\textsuperscript{56} Peck emphasises that the importance of scientific investigation ‘is materially increased by the utility which it is found to possess’.\textsuperscript{57} Rather than claiming that scientific investigations of electricity were interesting because they advanced science, popular discussions like Peck’s often focused on their practical applications. Morus suggests that the advent of electrometallurgy in the 1840s made the battery a type of an ‘economic machine’ and that electricity increasingly became ‘a matter of commercial, economic speculation’, particularly in terms of the financial rewards of nineteenth-century electrical exhibitions and spectacles.\textsuperscript{58} However, the widespread recognition of electricity’s commercial potential was a very gradual process and electricity also related to financial concerns for other reasons. It is important to note that the relevance of scientific developments and participation was related directly to cost. Peck and other popular writers on electricity described how to make machines that could exhibit electricity’s effects because, it was ‘so very expensive a piece of apparatus, and so liable to accident, that few of our readers will probably be induced to purchase one from a mathematical instrument maker’.\textsuperscript{59} On a wider level, too, the promise electricity might offer as a ‘moving power’ is related to the increased convenience and reduced cost of everyday matters such as transport, for example, in Peck’s description of how the German electrical experimenter Emil Stöhrer was hoping to use electricity to reduce the existing five-pound cost of steam.

\textsuperscript{56} ‘On the Origin of the Black Art, or Magic’, \textit{The Penny Satirist}, 375 (June 22, 1844), 3.
\textsuperscript{57} Peck, 1:8 (1846), 125.
\textsuperscript{58} Morus (1998), 167, 165.
\textsuperscript{59} ‘Simple Electrical Machines’, \textit{Peter Parley’s Annual: A Christmas and New Year’s Present for Young People} [Date Unknown], 51 [19th-century UK Periodicals; Gale Document Number: DX1901717113].
transportation from Leipzig to Dresden to a mere six shillings. Such apparently non-scientific aspects of electricity and experimentation might appear peripheral but they were part of the distinctive interactions between consumer culture and the production of popular writing about electricity.

Peck attempted to distance his investigations and his writing from specialist accounts of electricity but he does refer to the core conceptual developments. He confirms, for example, that ‘modern investigations’ have proved ‘beyond a doubt that whatever electricity may be, it exists more or less in every state of the atmosphere, and in all known substances’. As he explains, his use of the phrase ‘whatever electricity may be’ reflects the difficulty of representing the phenomenon itself, and its ambiguities are even further evident when he asserts that electricity ‘is generally supposed to be a subtle fluid, pervading matter in all its states.’ Considering the similarity of this all-pervading characteristic to earlier ideas of the ether, it is easy to see why non-specialist readers, such as those of Reynolds’s Miscellany, continued to be confused. Peck struggles to explain the fundamentals of electricity. Whether the medium of communication was specialist or popular, conceptualising electricity was prone to many of the same obstacles.

The nature of writings such as Peck’s is neither fixed nor predictable; in fact, they frequently demand to be read on two quite different levels. Some of the simpler experiments could be performed by readers as they read, much as a recipe is read
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while cooking or an instruction manual is followed when fixing an appliance (see Fig. 7).

**Fig. 7. Attraction and Repulsion (1846)**

Reading in this ‘real-time’ way is, however, less feasible when we consider the more advanced electrical equipment discussed by Peck, about which he offers much more detailed instruction, explanations and drawings (see Fig. 8).

**Fig. 8. Illustrations of Electrical Equipment (1847)**

A cylindrical electric machine

To make the stand for the cushion

To make the cylinders
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To make a cushion to rub against the cylinder

To make the stand for the cylinder

To make the prime conductor

Peter Broks argues that before the nineteenth century ‘readers were rarely invited to participate in science’. Peck does precisely that in the text, the illustrations and the focus of his articles. The naïve quality of the drawings and their close-up perspective indicates that he intended readers to construct the equipment for themselves. However, he also solicits his readers’ more profound engagement with the subject by stating that ‘the first question which necessarily proposes itself to us for consideration is, “what is matter”’ (Peck’s emphasis). He provides the conceptual, practical and visual tools for anyone, regardless of their circumstances, to make themselves specialists in the subject, and he supplements this by offering the information they needed to make electrical equipment, understand its processes and conduct their own experiments.

---

Peck attempts to dismantle the inherent material issues of investigating electricity by giving explanations, instruction and advice, for practical and interested readers to construct a range of electrical equipment. He tries to show not just how electricity works but also how readers might make it work for them. Yet the material circumstances of Peck’s articles dictated how they were read and this has significant implications for non-fiction science writing. Critics have suggested that difficulties in obtaining appropriate materials made physical sciences, such as chemistry and (presumably) electrical science, more ‘aloof’ than natural sciences. Certainly, collecting rocks from beaches was easier to do than making a glass cylinder. While readers might have had certain materials to hand, often this would have been unlikely. Equipment such as leather or cutting blades would have been relatively commonplace, with which to follow Peck’s instructions for making cylinder cushions: ‘now cut a piece of coloured thin leather, one inch longer than the wood, and so wide as to go nearly round it’. To make the actual cylinders, though, Peck advises the reader to ‘get a large sample phial, and take care that the sides of it are straight, smooth, and regular’. This would have been considerably more difficult and expensive to accomplish. Very few people at the time had access to scientific laboratories so it would have been a challenge for most to secure the type of phial specified. What then was the function of Peck’s advice? It is unlikely that he thought readers would actually acquire the equipment; they were expected, instead, to follow the described experiments ‘virtually’ or in their minds. Peck’s articles would have been read largely for the information they conveyed, with experiments followed imaginatively, rather than actually using the required equipment.
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verbal descriptions and illustrations act as imagined scenarios and visualisations of the experiments. Peck’s narrative of construction, experimentation and explanation might not appear to be fictional by nature but it becomes so in the reading. The nature of the contents is non-fictional but, effectively, they could only ever be fictional scenarios due to the nature of contemporary readerships and forums.

There were several other ways in which Peck’s non-fiction writings incorporated fiction techniques. While the primary difficulty for specialist scientists lay in grasping the abstractions of electricity beyond the sensory realm, for writers appealing to less specialist audiences, there was the additional difficulty of making the subject understandable. Peck achieves this is by referring to a range of authorities, deploying not just his own knowledge of electrical science or specialist developments but also information from the numerous experimenters who were investigating electricity by constructing equipment, undertaking dedicated studies, and communicating with celebrities such as Faraday. It would be anachronistic to describe these reader-experimenters as ‘amateurs’ for, as scholars such as Knight and Eddy have pointed out, the professionalisation of science only began during the nineteenth century. In Peck’s early articles, he mentions several key figures in electrical research, such as Benjamin Franklin, Michael Faraday, Luigi Galvani and Alessandro Volta. The wider interests of Peck’s readerships appear to shape his presentation of these celebrities, for example, in the following description of Madame Galvani’s role in her husband’s accidental discovery of galvanism:

Madame Galvani, the wife of a distinguished Italian philosopher, being recommended by her medical adviser to partake of broth prepared from frogs, several of these little animals were procured, and were placed, prior to their being cooked, in the laboratory of her husband. Some of Monsieur Galvani’s friend happened to be amusing themselves at the time with an electrical machine, which was standing in the room, and, by chance, one of the frogs was touched with a scalpel. To Madame Galvani’s surprise, she observed the limbs of the frogs exhibit a convulsive motion. Upon examining them closely, she perceived that the muscles were affected at the very time, when sparks were received from the machine. When her husband returned, she acquainted him with the circumstance.71

In Peck’s telling of the anecdote, the initial discovery of galvanism is actually attributed to Madame Galvani, although he does explain later that Luigi Galvani was already experimenting with the effects of electricity on muscular action. The extract is significant in the portrayal of discovery as accidental, in this case when a scalpel made contact with a frog. The potential for innovative yet happy accidents is at the core of how Peck portrays discoveries about electricity. Readers are introduced to Galvani’s science by means of his home life, through his wife and the illness for which she has been prescribed the frog broth. Rather than the frogs for this purpose being kept in a kitchen, as one might expect, they are in Galvani’s laboratory, very much a part of his scientific activities and the rest of his life. His laboratory is neither separate nor even particularly serious; it is a social space, where Galvani’s friends play about with the scientific equipment. In Peck’s depiction, electrical experimentation is grounded in the familiar, the domestic and the social, circumstances that make science possible for anyone, regardless of their social position or education. Peck could have explained galvanism without including such a homely anecdote; the fact that he does so is very much part of his positioning and portrayal of science, and his role as a populariser.

Peck’s articles also sought to appeal to readers by including many figures other than the celebrities of the day, describing them on the basis of their experiments and everyday contributions to scientific knowledge, rather than their formal qualifications or illustrious reputations. In one article alone, he mentions Mr. Armstrong, ‘a gentleman of high scientific attainments’ who wrote to Faraday; Mr. Ibbertson, who furnished a report to the London Electrical Society; Mr. Pine, ‘who has made the electricity of plants his peculiar study’; and Mr. Finlayson ‘to whom we are indebted for several facts in connection with this subject’. Peck describes the experiments these men conduct, the equipment they use, their advice for achieving successful results, and their conclusions. The figures are not described in detail and it would be challenging to trace their identities now; nevertheless, they provide a cast of background characters in Peck’s narrative of experimentation. He encourages his readers to emulate them, in their ‘deeper research into these important branches of knowledge’. He asserts, too, that ‘the chances of profit and reputation are equal and accessible to all’ and that scientific research might offer his readers ‘the way to fame and fortune’. Beyond these individual benefits, he refers also to the ‘social interests’ of readers pursuing electrical research, suggesting that ‘the humble and self-manufactured apparatus of the mechanic may achieve discoveries denied to the highly-finished machines of the opulent amateur or the tutored professor.’ There seems a satirical edge to his use of the adjectives ‘highly-finished’, ‘opulent’ and even ‘tutored’, in contrast to which readers’ experiments were potentially more authentic, innovative and even superior. The implication is
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that his readers are intellectually equal to practitioners and scientists who had greater economic or educational privileges. Conducting electrical research offered individual rewards but its popularisation by writers such as Peck presented the even more alluring, significant and widespread reassignment of social, intellectual and economic power.

The publications in which writings about electricity were published were part of both real and imagined communities. Peck did not separate his readers from electrical experimentation or its concerns, as though the one was scientific and the rest were not. Instead, like Faraday, he created an image of a vibrant, active community of scientific readers beyond his narrative, by referring to the ‘numerous letters’ about his series received from correspondents. However, as the next section of this chapter illustrates, there were a number of other factors that continued to break up the coherence of a singular response to ideas of electricity, let alone a positive one.

iv. Universal Electricity

Scientists, practitioners and educators shared their interest in electricity through specialist scientific and educational texts, but other forms of popular writing about electricity appealed to more generalist readerships, with entirely different interests. As specialist ideas about electricity continued to be refined, they appeared to become increasingly distanced from writings by and for non-specialist readers, particularly in the formalisation of electrical theories through mathematics. As Amanda Caleb
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suggests, towards the 1870s, the ‘segregation of science from the public was reinforced in the professionalization of the sciences’. The wider interest demonstrated by responses in generalist periodicals indicates that electricity’s practical uses continued to elicit more interest than its operation and properties. At the same time, comic aspects of electrical applications were rapidly becoming an integral feature of nineteenth-century literary responses to the phenomenon.

In view of the ambivalence already evident towards science and electricity, it would be surprising if there was not some level of satirical response to electrical science. The magazine *Punch* was published in London from 1841 and long into the twentieth century, as one of the most popular and largely non-scientific periodicals of the period. Nevertheless, the fiction and non-fiction writings carried by the magazine referred repeatedly to electricity and they demonstrate the variety of understandings about electricity. *Punch* was not overly concerned with scientific investigations of electricity; however, the novelty of electricity meant that it was not only scientific. The magazine’s anonymous articles during the 1840s and 1850s associated electricity with a tremendous range of potential applications, including ‘The Electric Parliament’, ‘Police by Electricity’, ‘American Electricity’, ‘Electrical Clocks’, ‘The Landlord’s Electro-biology’, ‘Our Electric Selves’ and ‘Cooking by Electricity’, all of which were considered to be great novelties. The comic potential for puns and metaphors was also recognised, for example, in the review

77 Caleb (2007), 2.
‘Our Electric Selves’ (1854), which discussed a new book (unfortunately unidentified) about ‘electricity and the human body, and the modes of developing it’. The columnist plays with how the terms ‘shock’, ‘attraction’, and ‘repulsion’ apply to both electricity and social behaviour. The laws governing the ‘phenomena of mutual attraction and repulsion’ are reported to depend on such erroneous factors as hair colour and ‘sparks’ given off by the eyes. Women are proposed to be the most ‘eligible form’ by which we might treat ‘the body as an electrical machine’ because of the power of the hour-glass figure to ‘electrify’ an entire ballroom in an instant. In these jokes, there is no interest in engaging with electricity’s scientific composition and only a basic, if any, comprehension of its operation. There is, however, a keen awareness of readers’ fascination with the effects of electricity on the body, society and, indeed, themselves.

Richard Noakes notes in his study of mid-nineteenth-century science in the magazine that, ‘although Punch acts as a reliable barometer of the ways in which medical and technological developments were fitting in (or not) into Victorian culture, it does not always give one a sense of the dramatic changes in the “purer” sciences’. Even when Michael Faraday is mentioned in Punch, it is in terms of publicising water pollution or debunking spiritualism. This is not unexpected in terms of Punch’s largely non-scientific purpose but, as Noakes also points out, electricity and magnetism ‘did matter in Punch’, even if it was ‘only insofar as such forces were
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used to entertain, improve communication or otherwise improve daily existence’.  

Indeed, electricity was often welcomed in *Punch* as effusively as elsewhere, for example, when one writer exclaims that ‘of all gifts which Science has presented to Art in these latter days, the most striking and magnificent are those in which the agency of electricity has been evoked’.  

However, *Punch* also provided a distinctly satirical viewpoint, which affected and even determined the sense in which electricity and magnetism were shown to ‘matter’. 

Despite the relatively minimal development of widespread electrical applications by 1858, one *Punch* columnist had already declared the ‘universality of electricity’ and envisages the ‘good time coming’ due to electricity. The future will be, he delights, a time when electricity will ‘do everything for us’:

It will cook our dinner, sew on our buttons, write our letters, make our clothes, whip our children, black our boots, shave our stubby chins, and even help us to a pinch of snuff... carry us up to bed, undress us, tuck us up, and blow out the candle, when we are too tired, or indifferent to do it ourselves.  

Interestingly, no machine is mentioned; instead, it is electricity itself that is personified, as the perfect domestic servant who is capable of any task and never tires. The personification of electrical servitude is echoed elsewhere in the periodical press in the comment, for example, that, ‘if electricity, in its wild and natural state, be to man a furious and fitful enemy, it is, when tamed, a patient slave, an obsequious agent.’  

The *Punch* scenario might appear utopian but, if we look more closely, there is another darker vision of technology than simply ‘all the
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wonder that would be’. 86 The employer of electricity can be seen to have become
distanced from his/her responsibilities, effectively infantilised and sapped of energy.
The portrayal harks back to Thomas Carlyle’s reservations about electricity, in
‘Signs of the Times’ (1829) that ‘not the external and physical alone is now managed
by machinery, but the internal and spiritual also’. 87 The human is represented as
mere recipient of daily life’s habitual conventions and somewhat pointless, as well as
reliant on electricity. The depiction stands in marked contrast to the increasing use
of electricity as a medical cure for persistent lethargy, rheumatism and hysteria,
where patients ‘received benefits from’ a series of mild electric shocks. 88 The
‘universality of electricity’ in Punch shows the employer to be invalided by
electricity and, as a result, invalidated as his own agent. For contemporary writers
and readers, the dangers of electricity were not restricted to the physical impact of
shocks; the technological advance of electricity’s convenience, cheapness and charm
could be as dangerous as it was rewarding. The ambivalence towards electricity and
its accompanying technological advances contribute to the negative fiction portrayals
I discuss in the next two chapters, as well as the dystopian fiction of the later
nineteenth century.

Ambivalence about electricity and its possibilities was evident in the World of
Science, too, a weekly publication that was more explicitly concerned with science
than Punch and which carried numerous reports of electrical experiments. 89 Its price
of two pence made it more affordable but, despite its production being partially
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funded by commercial advertising, it only survived in the period’s fiercely
competitive periodicals’ market from 1867 to 1868.\textsuperscript{90} The title page portrayed palm
trees and ships alongside electrical equipment, creating associations between
scientific development, exotic travel and exploration, as well as art and
manufacturing, which set it apart from political, reformist and religious competitors.
\textit{World of Science} columnists engaged with concepts of electricity in detail but not in
the same way as Peck. The extract below is representative and useful, albeit lengthy,
to show the style of engagement with electricity that was common in the \textit{World of
Science}: 

The mutual repulsion of bodies that are similarly electrified gives rise to many
interesting experiments. A small figure in the shape of a human head covered with
hair, when placed upon the conductor, and electrified, will exhibit the appearance of
terror, from the bristling up and divergence of the hair. But these alternative and
rapid movements are best seen by placing small bodies between two metallic plates,
the one over the other, at a certain distance, the upper one communicating with the
prime conductor, the lower one with the ground. If figures are cut out of paper and
placed between the two plates, they will exhibit a rapid dance...\textsuperscript{91}

In what appears to be a simple demonstration of static electricity, there is a more
complicated, underlying relationship between metaphor and electricity. The physical
effects of electricity are not depicted as separate from powerful emotions; instead,
y they mimic them. Electrification produces an ‘appearance of terror’ as well as the
excited activity of ‘a rapid dance’. The effects of electricity were frequently
described in terms of metaphors relating to emotions, bodies and actions, suggesting
a degree of sensationalism to be a recurrent and even inherent feature not just of
electricity but also electrical experimentation. New concepts of electricity were
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frequently applied to practical ends, but the results could be both informative and sensational. One writer describes his astonishment at how ‘electrizing’ [sic] a plant seed not only hastened its development but made it germinate with the ‘head downwards, and root upwards, in the air’.92 Another marvels at how electrical currents can ‘melt a large iron wire, on passing through it, but which at the same time will pass through the human frame unfelt’.93 Electricity’s unusual qualities prompted writers to speculate on the practical yet equally extraordinary ways in which electricity might extend human powers and fundamentally change living conditions.

The development of electricity contributed substantially to the utopian discourses of the nineteenth century but not consistently so; as Peter Broks points out, ‘what was new was their proliferation and popular appeal’.94 Scientific investigations of electricity revealed the unique and vast potential of its practical applications and the metaphors used to describe them were part of what Broks describes as ‘a triumphalist vision of the coming century’.95 Metaphor was an essential feature in descriptions of an electrical future and yet it also conveyed some of the more problematic aspects of that vision. In 1868, a World of Science columnist describes the possibility of warning shipping with electrical buoys along coastlines. He adopts the metaphor of electrical science being as yet an ‘infant’ and speculates that ‘what its manhood will be, no one can imagine, even keeping in view this gigantic first offspring’.96 The writer converts the intimidating possibilities of electricity to a
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human scale but, even so, there is something of the monstrous about the gigantic infant he envisages. The ways in which electricity could be simultaneously rewarding and threatening are indicated, too, in the ironic reporting of an invented ‘telegastrograph’, whereby the flavour of food and drink could be transmitted to the palate from any distance, allowing enjoyment at no cost but also providing no nutrients.⁹⁷ Faced with such unknown yet clearly extraordinary possibilities, metaphors like this indicate that, while there was excitement about electricity, there was also something disturbing about the uncertainty of its future development.

The ‘cultural embeddedness’ of science is demonstrated by the range of topics nineteenth-century writers relate to electricity.⁹⁸ Electricity was viewed by readers in terms of scientific development and technological applications, but it also symbolised a number of issues relating to the future progress of the human race. Scientific investigations of electricity provided the understandings necessary for the development of new electrical technologies but they did so in the context of a several other factors. As Thomas Hughes points out, ‘technological affairs contain a rich texture of technical matters, scientific laws, economic principles, political forces, and social concerns’.⁹⁹ These links were simultaneously edifying and entertaining, rather than separate as Morus suggests.¹⁰⁰ Although Hughes focuses on late-nineteenth-century electrical distribution systems rather than responses to electricity or its representation, his observation that ‘electrical power systems embody the physical,
intellectual, and symbolic resources of the society that constructs them’ is also relevant to writings about electricity before the 1880s.\textsuperscript{101}

v. Discovery and Disorientation

New concepts about physical matter caused a radical upheaval of Victorian perceptions about the natural world; however, their significance is less recognised than that of other nineteenth-century conceptual revolutions in theories of, say, evolution or geology. As we saw earlier from the concerns expressed by Faraday, Maxwell and Whewell, complex and abstract ideas about physical matter did not lend themselves easily to the narrative forms by which information could be conveyed. Those who wrote about electricity were also not necessarily knowledgeable practitioners; writers responded to, transformed and fictionalised concepts of electrical power, within a range of forms. The article, ‘What is Electricity?’ (1861) is of particular interest because it engages with ideas about electricity at sufficient length and depth to reward further scrutiny. The article was published in the two-penny, illustrated weekly \textit{Once a Week} and reveals both public perceptions and inherent conflicts about electricity and its nineteenth-century development.\textsuperscript{102}

The article’s author begins by posing the question that continued to trouble nineteenth-century public perceptions of electricity: ‘what definitely am I to think of

\textsuperscript{101} Hughes (1983), 2.
\textsuperscript{102} ‘What is Electricity?’ \textit{Once a Week}, 4:84 (Feb. 2, 1861), 163-165. \textit{Once a Week} (London: Bradbury and Evans, 1859-1880) started with a price of two pence; unfortunately, prices do not appear on later editions.
when I say that word?" His initial response is to review eighteenth-century understandings of electricity, by mentioning the Leyden-jar, Benjamin Franklin, Humphry Davy and De la Rive, and then briefly describing the new processes of electro-chemistry and electrolysis. However, despite these illustrious and empirical authorities, he is not satisfied. He suggests that it is insufficient to recognise simply that electricity is not two fluids and that it is a single force; as he states ‘but, “what kind of force?” is still the question’. Unusually, in view of his original question, he does not mention the work of more recent scientists involved in electrical science or physics. Instead, he acknowledges that nineteenth-century investigations of electricity are barely more than ‘fanciful speculations’ and that the new theories are not ‘established truth’; for him, they merely constitute a hypothesis that ‘seems best to harmonise and bind together a great body of anomalous facts’ and which will ‘stand or fall’ as knowledge increases. The importance of establishing fine distinctions is clear from his account and his review, like the new science he discusses, makes it distinct from more whimsical conjectures.

After the writer’s candid presentation of the technical and historical background of electrical science, he turns to what he sees as the repercussions of electricity’s new role in the world. He starts by drawing attention to how investigations of electricity have fundamentally altered man’s understanding of existence itself. Old and new understandings are imaged in terms of substance, with solidity and certainty being replaced by the new fast-moving fluidity of questions and revelations: now, he says, ‘we see the firmest unions dissolved, the elements in definite proportions carried this

103 Ibid. 163.
104 Ibid. 163-4.
105 Ibid. 164.
106 Ibid.
way and that, and forced into new combinations’. The changes are not entirely positive though; as he suggests, revelations about physical matter introduce a degree of confusion about life whereby nothing seems certain anymore. There is a palpable sense of the disorientation such radical discoveries prompted when he compares the extent of change in perceptions to those brought about by astronomy, which revealed that the earth was not comfortably ‘slumbering on its broad foundations, but hung baseless mid infinity, “it taketh no rest”’. The expression paraphrases a biblical quotation that describes man’s anxious disquiet, in the face of his own vain and futile existence. It conveys the off-kilter perspectives of a time that had also yet to recover from the implications of Charles Darwin’s *On the Origin of Species*, published just two years before. Warming to his theme, the article’s author suggests that perhaps people have been ‘equally deceived at the opposite end of the scale’ and that the conception of matter as solid, with relatively stationary particles, ‘may be overthrown’; indeed, if ‘ceaseless motion proved the condition of existence for atoms as for worlds. What then?’ There is a hint of alarm and desperation about the climactic two-word question. To contemporary writers, electrical research was clearly disconcerting; as another contemporary commentator remarks, electrical science was ‘altering the relations between the empire of man and the worlds of time and space.’ Despite the rewards offered by the development of electricity, it also demanded deep emotional and psychological adjustments, in the face of nineteenth-century scientific concepts that we now take for granted.
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107 Ibid.
108 Ibid. 165.
109 Ecclesiastes 2.22-23: ‘For what hath man of all his labour, and of the vexation of his heart, wherein he hath laboured under the sun? For all his days are sorrows, and his travail grief; yea, his heart taketh not rest in the night. This is also vanity’ (my emphasis).
110 Ibid.
Even successes in understanding electricity could prompt reservations. As the Once a Week columnist points out, ‘substances that had baffled all other means of chemical analysis and were regarded as elementary, electricity has resolved’. He portrays electricity as more than a tool for discovery; it is also an agent of discovery. In his depiction, electricity assumes a similar degree of agency to the perfect servant in the Punch portrayal. He suggests that man’s senses are unreliable and that he would be unwise to consider sensory evidence as ‘impregnable ground’.\(^\text{112}\) In contrast, scientific investigation has the rigour of a lawyer interrogating the integrity of the senses: ‘science deals with them as an able lawyer deals with a pack of stupid or roguish witnesses: cross-questions them, sets one against the other, sifts and balances conflicting evidence, marshals it, puts sense into it,—and in the end triumphantly draws truth out of it’\(^\text{113}\). The metaphors of the battleground and the courtroom reflect a marked antagonism between empirical science and the new scientific processes of investigation. However, the writer also defends the ‘stupid and roguish’ senses, claiming that ‘we cannot afford to despise our senses, since through them alone comes our report of the world without… It is but shallow philosophy to sneer at the senses, for without them man’s reason would be a king without a kingdom’\(^\text{114}\). Even as the author celebrates the ‘ingenuity’ of science, he presents the senses as vital to man’s rationalism, without which there would be no point to his nature as a reasoning being.

The article’s conclusion describes how science enhances man’s capacities, in ways that are both attractive and disturbing. The telescope, for example, makes man’s

\(^\text{112}\) Ibid. 164.
\(^\text{113}\) Ibid. 165.
\(^\text{114}\) Ibid.
eyes ‘the eyes of a giant’ and the microscope allows him to see into ‘the mysteries of
the smallest flower, like King Oberon himself’. Man’s technological capabilities
are figured as affecting the nature of humanity itself, and the new electrical
equipment as ideal for capturing the elusive nature of ‘Nature’s stealthiest agent’—
that is, electricity. He reveals the confusion and disquiet prompted by
investigations of the physical sciences and particularly electricity, aspects which are
often neglected in histories of nineteenth-century science. As suggested previously,
in my introduction, electricity and increasing knowledge about it appeared to
exemplify a growing disjunction between man and the natural world. While the
phenomenon’s power and potential were acknowledged, its intimidating, mystical
and even furtive qualities persisted, and, as the writings considered in chapters five
and six demonstrate, these features were also identified by fiction authors as core
characteristics of the phenomenon.

The objections to electricity expressed by these periodical writers are not the same as
those of Wordsworth or those described by Harriet Ritvo as ‘preservationists’, whose
tone she describes as ‘nostalgic, emotional, and evocative of aesthetic value’. No
pastoral ideal was envisaged or defended, but electricity was portrayed as invasive
and simultaneously appealing and damaging; indeed, part of its menace was its
appeal and vice versa. The disturbing revelations about the nature of physical
matter, inherent in electrical research, took place against the questioning of other
long-held certainties, such as biblical authority, grand historical narratives, and
social hierarchies. Little wonder, then, that the anonymous columnist of Once a

115 Ibid.
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Week appeared so disoriented or the *Punch* writer so sceptical. The interrogation of what was ‘real’ shook the basis of non-fiction as a form of representation for, if reality was suddenly so uncertain, perhaps any attempt to represent it realistically was also futile.

The difficulty of representing electricity was an important factor in its attractiveness as a subject for literary representation, whether the author’s purpose was explanatory or metaphorical. Electricity occupied a similarly intriguing and ‘contested space’ to that which Iwan Rhys Morus allocates machinery. Like the increasingly sophisticated machinery being developed during the 1830 to 1880 period, electricity could be controlled, at least potentially, and offered the ability to control what was beyond one’s self. What made electricity uniquely alluring, though, was its invisibility—and that gave it a secret quality. The secret nature of electricity appears to underlie its continuing allure and offers a key to understanding the differences that appear in writings about it. Different writers sought to share the secrets of electricity yet revelled equally in the enigmas and paradoxes of electricity; their responses are also reactions to man’s understandings of and relationship to the worlds within and around him.

---
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Periodical Fiction

i. Introduction

The period from the 1830s to the 1880s was a time of growing excitement, uncertainty and awareness about electricity, despite what might sometimes have appeared to be the ‘stumbling progress’ of electrical investigation. During the same period, the cheap periodical emerged as the era’s most popular form of reading and became a regular venue for short-fiction responses to electricity. The conceptual scope of ideas about electricity expanded within the heterogeneous content of the periodical form and in response to the new demands of changing readerships, giving fiction authors the freedom to explore the subject as a literary and imaginative force, as well as a science, so that it gained entirely new associations. Fiction in British periodicals remains comparatively unexplored; however, the popularity of nineteenth-century periodicals alone makes the fiction they carried important, and particularly as a literary response to electricity. When short fiction in periodicals is discussed, examples are rarely presented or examined in any depth. In an attempt to avoid these pitfalls, I give detailed consideration of five examples, which span the period between the 1830s and 1880s, as follows:

2. Anon., ‘Reminiscences of a Medical Student,’ *New Monthly Magazine and Humorist* (1842).³
3. Anon., ‘The Tree of Knowledge’, *Dublin University Magazine* (1853).⁴

The stories have been chosen for several reasons, the first being that each seeks to portray electricity’s processes and effects, as well as characters who experimented with them. This makes them unusual, as electricity tends to be referred to in periodical fiction either briefly or metaphorically, a response that underlies many of the writings considered so far and which I consider both here and in the final chapter on novels. In this chapter, I seek to demonstrate the variety of fiction responses to electricity, which ranges from comic ephemera to elaborate tales of the supernatural.

For clarity, the stories are grouped according to the key concepts by which they relate to one another. Each story emphasises a different aspect relating to electricity, the sum of which provides an informed and useful overview of contemporary impressions. They are discussed in terms of how they engage with ideas of electricity, and they have been chosen from each decade during the period of study to reflect how responses to electricity changed in short fiction over time. The early nineteenth century has been described as ‘an extraordinary period in British cultural history’, because public discourse about science and literature and the relationship between the two was conducted within a ‘uniquely broad range of social and
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³ ‘Reminiscences of a Medical Student’, *New Monthly Magazine and Humorist*, 64:253 (Jan., 1842), 123-141. The title may be an oblique reference to the third chapter of Thomas Carlyle’s *Sartor Resartus*, which is also entitled ‘Reminiscences’.
⁴ ‘The Tree of Knowledge’, *Dublin University Magazine* 41:246 (June, 1853), 663-675.
intellectual contexts. The stories discussed in this chapter illustrate the changing nature of the relationship and contexts, and how they influenced writings about electricity. I focus on investigating and comparing the stories, rather than the nature of the publication forums; however, the examples were also chosen because they were published in demonstrably popular periodicals, making the ideas they expressed available to relatively wide and non-specialist readerships and potentially influential. For transparency, the prices and circulation figures for the publications are provided below (see Table 3).

**Table 3. Prices and Circulation Figures for Selected Periodicals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Short Story</th>
<th>Periodical Title</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Circulation per issue (monthly)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Mr. Hippsley, The Electrical Gentleman</td>
<td>Bentley’s Miscellany (1838)</td>
<td>2s 6d</td>
<td>6,000 (1837) to 8,500 (1839-40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Reminiscences of a Medical Student</td>
<td>New Monthly Magazine and Humorist (1842)</td>
<td>3s 6d</td>
<td>5,000 (1830) to 3,000 (1860)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>The Tree of Knowledge</td>
<td>Dublin University Magazine (1853)</td>
<td>2s 6d</td>
<td>4,000 (1844) to 3,000 (1860)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>An Anachronism from the Tomb</td>
<td>Tinsley’s Magazine (1879)</td>
<td>1s</td>
<td>10,000 (1870)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Doctor Beroni’s Secret</td>
<td>Temple Bar (1884)</td>
<td>1s</td>
<td>15,000 (c.1884)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whether considered as a group or individually, the stories may not ever be regarded as esteemed contributions to the literary canon, but they do represent the way in which electrical science and experimentation simultaneously emerged out of and reflected contemporary interests and anxieties. Their shortness and ephemerality as literary forms is also important, as part of the less sustained reading methods which
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are increasingly recognised to have been a significant, even dominant, feature of Victorian reading practices. The unifying factor between the stories is their engagement with the topic of electricity, which offers some characteristic ‘unity of impression’. More directly, the purpose of studying them is to explore what Gowan Dawson describes as the addition of ‘new and unexpected connotations to the original scientific ideas’. In that sense, direct correlations between the fictions and electrical sciences are explored here when they arise, but the aim is also to show what further thinking was prompted by ideas about electricity. Rather than approaching the writings as productions of either literature or science, the aim is to show the ways in which writings about electricity were more frequently what Amy King describes as ‘hybrid forms – neither strictly literary nor strictly science’ but, rather, varieties of both at the same time.

Before considering the individual stories, it is important to establish the significance of the periodical publication context of the short fiction discussed and define the terminologies I have used in approaching them, which I seek to achieve in the following section. In doing so, I also interrogate some of the assumptions about short fiction that have shaped recent scholarship, as well as the original reception of the stories.
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10 Edgar Allan Poe suggests that the quality of short fiction depends upon creating a ‘unity of impression’ that can achieved only if a tale is read in one sitting (‘The Philosophy of Composition’ in *The Oxford Book of American Essays*, ed. Brander Matthews (New York: Oxford University Press, 1914), 101).
ii. The Development of Short Fiction

The liveliness of nineteenth-century exchanges between culture, literature and forms of print was a defining influence in the development of popular awareness about scientific ideas. As Tim Killick suggests, the vibrancy of the interactions is also especially apparent in the magazines of the period.\(^\text{13}\) The nineteenth-century serialisation of novels in the periodical press continues to enjoy considerable scholarly attention.\(^\text{14}\) However, apart from a few notable exceptions, there has been relatively little study of short fiction in periodicals, let alone the way its authors engage with scientific topics.\(^\text{15}\) This neglect ignores a vast body of material that was a primary reading source for Victorian readers, but references to short periodical fiction still tend to be limited to study guides on the short story or, more recently, anthologies.\(^\text{16}\) Recent scholarship on periodical fiction examines literatures either from before the 1830s, such as Gillespie et al’s *Romantic Prose Fiction* (2008), or from after the 1880s, such as Winnie Chan’s *The Economy of the Short Story in British Periodicals of the 1890s* (2007).\(^\text{17}\) While there is also extensive scholarship on short fiction, it too tends to begin from 1880 or to focus on writings by non-British or female authors.\(^\text{18}\) Although it is not possible to consider these studies in


depth here, I refer to them to indicate the considerable gap in scholarship that exists and which this chapter seeks to address. The examples of short fiction I consider were published between 1838 and 1884, a period in which, as discussed in the previous chapters, ideas about electricity were at their least stable. The period undertaken is considerably wider than that of comparable studies on nineteenth-century literature and periodicals, such as Dallas Liddle’s *The Dynamics of Genre: Journalism and the Practice of Literature in Mid-Victorian Britain* (2009), which concentrates on the 1850s and 1860s and also focuses exclusively on fiction. Both fiction and non-fiction are studied in the present work, in order to compare the conventions that arose between the different forms and to illustrate the vital exchanges that took place between them, as neglected areas of scholarship.

The content of short fiction in the nineteenth century is directly related to its struggles for literary status and negative assessments of its literary worth. The demand for short fiction altered significantly between 1800 and 1900 but its cultural location is still an ongoing subject of debate. As Harriet Devine Jump suggests, what was once known as the ‘tale’ escaped the limitations of its original market within ‘low’ or popular genres, and became part of the greater ‘aesthetic and cultural

---


Dallas Liddle, *The Dynamics of Genre: Journalism and the Practice of Literature in Mid-Victorian Britain* (Charlottesville, VA: University of Virginia Press, 2009).
prestige’ of the short story. In contrast, Cheryl and David Malcolm propose that contemporary readers continued to favour the novel and particularly the serialised novel, with the result that short fiction remained ‘undervalued and scarcely reflected on by its practitioners and readers’. The value contemporary writers and readers accorded to short fiction is impossible to gauge properly, though, without considering three important contextual factors. Firstly, short fiction was published predominantly in the periodical and was associated, therefore, with what was essentially a popular and relatively ephemeral forum. Secondly, short fiction in cheap periodicals may have held considerable greater value to readers with limited resources in terms of finance, leisure time and literacy, for whom it frequently constituted the only form of literature to which they had access. Thirdly, assessments of the extent to which short fiction was ‘reflected on’ are inherently flawed by the absence of readers’ opinions, which were largely under-represented or unrecorded. Considerable further scholarship would need to be conducted on short fiction in the periodical and its readers before its role in contemporary readers’ lives can be assessed with any accuracy. One consequence of cursory judgements of nineteenth-century short fiction is the modern perception that it lacks literary merit, and its dismissal as ‘unoriginal’ at best and ‘patronising’ at worst. The resulting critical neglect of the form represents a significant gap in literary scholarship, which is perhaps exacerbated by the reluctance to ‘let go of the book’ in favour of periodicals noted by Amy King. However, as Killick points out, to treat any era as
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23 King (2007), 1485.
‘one of relative infertilit[24]y’ effectively also ‘marginalises a large part of the history of the genre’. If we move beyond judgements based on current literary criteria, short fiction in periodicals can be understood as a certain type of literary practice, which deliberately seeks to engage with other literatures and concerns in a form beyond the canonical text.

To call short fiction before mid-century a ‘genre’ would be somewhat artificial and anachronistic, as the boundaries of many literary genres had yet to be established. However, in order to discuss responses to electricity in periodical fiction further, we need to identify a stable terminology. Although Edgar Allan Poe claimed in 1842 that ‘the Tale has peculiar advantages which the novel does not admit’, in the first half of the nineteenth century, as Killick points out, ‘the distinction between tale, sketch, essay, and so forth was not as clear-cut as that which modern criticism would impose.’ Short fiction was an altogether messier affair, in accordance with the characteristic ‘messiness’ scholars have identified in many nineteenth-century art forms. Rather than occupying a neatly bordered category of its own, short fiction was more usually associated with a variety of other ‘ephemeral and elastic’ modes of writing, such as comic extracts, single-volume tales, narrative essays and sketches.

In periodicals, it was as nebulous and ‘fragmentary’ as the forum itself which, as James Mussell suggests, gestured to a range of spaces. In my discussion, I defer to Killick’s argument that ‘short fiction’ conveys an appropriate indistinctness and

24 Killick (2008), 5, 7.
27 Killick (2008), 19.
avoids the more theoretically loaded associations of ‘short story’. However, where terms such as ‘story’ or ‘tale’ improve fluency or clarity, I employ these too.

In the fiction considered here, responses to electricity are closely coupled with concerns about electrical experimentation, and concepts of conductivity, fluidity and electrical circuitry are particularly prominent. Before the middle of the century, understandings of battery operations were often sketchy and, even after mid-century, confusion about electrical theories appears to dominate. Although scientists and other practitioners attempted to root contemporary investigations of electricity in the well-informed results of experiments, as my discussion of scientific conceptualising indicates, the principles of electricity were equally ruled by hypotheses. In a period when even specialists appeared unable to represent or articulate consistent facts about electricity, fiction authors relied on their own interpretations and responded to electricity by means of their various understandings. In nineteenth-century fictions about electricity, facts are rarely correct; however, unlike non-fiction writings, they were designed to entertain, rather than disseminate accurate information. Writings about electricity occupied what, in 1845, the lecturer Abraham Hume dubbed ‘the debatable ground between science and fiction.’

The ideas about electricity disseminated by means of fiction were unequivocally interpretations and speculations but, even as such, they were not necessarily so distant from the hypothetical speculations of contemporary science.

29 Killick (2008), 10.
30 Abraham Hume, ‘Remarks on the Vestiges of the Natural History of Creation,’ Liverpool Journal, 1 Feb. 1845, 5; quoted in James A. Secord, Victorian Sensation: the Extraordinary Publication, Reception, and Secret Authorship of Vestiges of the Natural History of Creation (Chicago, Ill.: University of Chicago Press 2000), 230. In this instance, Hume was voicing objections to the Vestiges of Natural Creation (1844). I am grateful to James Secord for confirming that the figure to whom he refers is Abraham Hume (1814–1884), who was a lecturer in English Literature at the Liverpool Mechanics Institute and the Collegiate Institution in Liverpool.
iii. Electrified Men

Metaphors for electricity were especially persistent in short fiction. Indeed, it is possible that the brevity of the form makes metaphor especially useful because, as one of the most influential scholars of the short story Charles May suggests, brevity means that each ‘detail is transformed into metaphoric significance’.\(^{31}\) With the condensed nature of shorter fiction, as with poetry, literary methods that convey multiple meanings and nuances, simultaneously and succinctly, are particularly valuable. The transformative potential of metaphor is evident in the first fictions I consider: Richard Johns’s ‘Mr. Hippsley, The Electrical Gentleman’ (1838) and Richard Dowling’s ‘An Anachronism from the Tomb’ (1879), both of which respond to notions of electricity, particularly conductivity, the fluid analogy and electrical circuitry.\(^{32}\)

The earlier story, ‘Mr. Hippsley’ (1838), is a comedy and it was published in the monthly *Bentley’s Miscellany*, just when the magazine was nearing the peak of its circulation (see Table 3). The eponymous Charles Hippsley believes that he is so charged with electricity that he is a danger to others. As a result, he has broken off his engagement with his fiancée, Catherine, and is increasingly distraught. We understand that he is electrified from the protagonist’s presentation of his condition and the images he adopts from contemporary science. He describes himself as a
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\(^{32}\) Johns (1838); Dowling (1879).
‘walking electrical machine’ and a ‘walking galvanic battery’.33 By the 1830s, the concept of electrical conductivity was already well established, having been announced in 1732 by the experimental naturalist, Stephen Gray.34 In Gray’s eighteenth-century experiments on conductivity, he examined various materials including ‘silk cords’ for their quality as conductors.35 ‘Mr. Hippsley’ appears to be informed by a version of this basic understanding of conductivity, supplemented by an awareness of new battery technology. When Hippsley arrives at a meeting with Catherine, for example, it is noted that Catherine’s ‘dress, and even her gloves, were of silk, [and are] consequently non-conductors of electricity’.36 Writing in the late 1830s, Johns’s understanding of the non-conductivity of silk was probably gained also from more recent sources than Gray, such as Edward Turner’s Elements of Chemistry: including the Recent Discoveries and Doctrines of the Science (1835).37 Turner refers repeatedly to the non-conductivity of silk, in reports of electrical experiments, and the volume was ‘for some years the text book used by almost all teachers’.38 Indeed, the book is still considered to have been ‘one of the best of all nineteenth-century textbooks of chemistry’.39 Although Johns incorporates actual and specific aspects of conductivity in his story, he also adapts the concept and exploits his readers’ misunderstandings for their comic potential.

33 Johns (1838), 374.
34 Michael Ben-Chaim, Experimental Philosophy and the Birth of Empirical Science: Boyle, Locke and Newton (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2004), 25. Gray’s discovery was followed in the early 1730s by the work of his colleague Granvil Wheler, and of Charles Dufay from the Académie Royal des Sciences, and the Royal Society’s curator John Desaguliers.
35 Ibid. 27.
36 Johns (1838), 378.
The contemporary image of the electrified body engaged with real-world referents that were simultaneously conceptual, physical and social. Johns combines the body-as-battery analogy with the electrical fluid analogy in his narrator’s depiction of ‘the subtle electric fluid coursing through the muscles’, an image of man that perhaps related to the eighteenth-century ‘Electric Boy’ parlour games discussed in chapter two. The metaphor worked both ways, with the battery described as an invention that ‘requires but little food, and with that little will perform an honest day’s work, whether it be to suspend a weight, bring platina wire into a red heat, or keep up a powerful rotary motion’ (author’s emphasis). The analogy was not restricted to fiction and sometimes acted as the basis for popular explanations of how the body worked, for example, in an 1839 columnist’s description of how ‘the human body, in a natural state of health, not exhausted by fatigue nor depressed by cold, has a perceptible + electricity’ and ‘the – electricity of the exhalation’. As a conceptual aid, the idea of man as an electrical being persisted well into the century. In 1850, the chemist and surgeon, Arthur Smee, described an ‘electro-biological doctrine’ that ‘man acts by electricity, which is set in motion through the muscular structures’, an assertion he illustrated with images of the skin, ear, eye and muscle connecting to the brain along wire-like nerves (see Fig. 9). Mechanical and electrical metaphors for the body’s operation were so common and so persuasive that, even a decade after Smee, scientists such as George Henry Lewes were still protesting about how ‘misleading’ it was, to think of ‘the brain as a galvanic battery of which the nerves
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40 Johns (1838), 379.
41 ‘Odds and Ends’, John Bull, 854 (April 24, 1837), 94 (author’s emphasis).
are conducting wires'. With the uncertainty about both bodily sensations and the nature of electricity, the two were easily superimposed and provided a ready supply of easily available metaphors.

Fig. 9. Arthur Smee, *Instinct and Reason* (1850)\(^{45}\)

\(^{45}\) Smee (1850), 210.  Smee’s accompanying explanation suggests that the human body has lower and higher functions, such as sensation, action and will. Brain and nerve fibres, labelled 3, 4 and 5, illustrate the similarity between the two.
A further aspect of Hippsley’s existence as an electrified man is offered in his description of himself as a ‘human upas’ tree, a reference that amalgamates the electrical fluid analogy with the wider contemporary scientific interests of botany and exploration. The ‘upas’, a deciduous tree, reported to exist in Africa and Asia in the late eighteenth century, as well as the mid-1830s. The tree yielded latex, which was used by local hunters as an arrow poison, and it was obtained by a puncture or incision being made to release the yellowish-coloured sap. Mr. Hippsley’s comparison of himself to one of these trees is intended to convey the poisonous nature of his electrified condition, but, in imaging a plant whose essence is liquid, it can also be read as an underlying extension of the fluid analogy for electricity.

The comic potential of being electrified was fully recognised by late 1830s and 1840s periodical writers. However, literary engagements with scientific concepts were often determined by publication forums and readerships as much as authors’ understandings of scientific developments. In 1836, just two years before the publication of Johns’s tale, John Frederic Daniell devised the wet-cell battery. In the story, it is the concept of an electrical circuit which provides both the complication and the resolution of the story, as well as Mr. Hippsley’s condition and it is adapted to suit the purposes of the fiction. When Hippsley falls from his horse,
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46 Johns (1838), 379.
47 The ‘upas’ tree (*Antiaris toxicaria*) was first reported to exist in Java in the 1780s by N. P. Foersch, a Dutch East India Company surgeon, but his claim was later discredited. The tree was documented for the first time in the anonymous article ‘Upas, The Poison Tree of Java’, *Encyclopaedia Britannica*, vol. 17 (1823), 58, and, later, in the *London and Edinburgh Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, vol. 6, ed. David Brewster, Richard Taylor and Richard Phillips (London: Taylor and Francis, 1835), 218.
he is told that his arm has been broken and that ‘the fracture in your frame has
destroyed that unity of parts, that wonderful sympathetic combination which had
rendered you an electrical phenomenon’. The oblique reference to electrical
circuitry is as technical as the tale gets; *Bentley’s Miscellany*, in which the story
appears, is known to have steered clear of ‘specifically scientific and religious
matters’. The author is not entirely naïve about scientific ideas though; as the
narrator comments, ‘the doctor knew he was talking nonsense [to Hippsley], but
looked wonderously grave to conceal this fact’ and throughout the story everyone
but Hippsley knows he is deluded. A similar degree of irony is evident in the
*Punch* article ‘The Electrical Minister’, which proposes experiments to prove Prime
Minister Robert Peel’s ‘powers of attraction and repulsion’, because he is ‘quite as
powerful as an electric eel’. What Richard Johns’s story indicates is that he and
contemporary readers were sufficiently acquainted with electrical concepts to laugh
off the notion of someone being an ‘electrical gentleman’. It was not necessary for
readers to understand the technical or accurate details of scientific concepts to
establish a coherent literary response; the intention was only that they understood
the references sufficiently to understand their literary function.

The later short fiction ‘An Anachronism from the Tomb’ (1879) by Richard Dowling
engages with further aspects of electrical circuitry and fluid analogies. Despite the
analogies perpetuating misunderstandings about the nature of electricity, the images
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49 Johns (1838), 382.
50 Logan Browning, ‘The Irregular Publication of “Regular Habits”: Dr. Charles Julius Roberts and
“Bentley’s Miscellany”, *Victorian Periodicals Review*, 23:2, Wellesley Index Special Issue (Summer
1990), 60.
51 Johns (1838), 382.
52 ‘The Electrical Minister’, *Punch*, 10 (March 28, 1846), 145.
53 There is no definite biographical information about Dowling; however, he may be the Irish novelist
Richard Dowling (1846-1898), whose romance novel was published in the same year by the Tinsley
Brothers, proprietors of *Tinsley’s Magazine*. }
they portrayed continued to be familiar and in common use, not least, as we have already seen, by Faraday and Maxwell. In the story, a scientist called Byron Favell attempts to create ‘frozen electric fluid’, although, as he points out, it is ‘a contradiction in terms, but a convenient manner of expressing your meaning’. The author and narrator make it clear that the analogy is not a literal explanation and is used somewhat ironically. Favell succeeds in freezing ‘two cubic feet’ of electricity, using mercury mixed with ‘two hundredweight of frozen oxygen in cubes and one hundredweight of frozen chlorine in pellets’. However, unlike the narrative undercutting of Mr. Hippsley’s electrical delusion, Favell’s feat is made to appear as convincing as possible.

The account of Favell’s electrical experiment might appear to be based on mere fantasy but certain aspects engage closely with contemporary science. In 1877, just two years before, gases with low critical temperatures such as oxygen were successfully liquified by the French physicist Louis Paul Cailletet (1832-1913) and Swiss chemist Raoul Pierre Pictet (1846-1929), independently. Cailletet and Pictet made their discovery known by telegram to John Tyndall and it was clearly a matter of great excitement, as its announcement in the London Times indicates. Explorations of cryogenic methods began in the 1820s, with Faraday’s success in liquefying gases with high critical temperatures and the properties of the elements and gases mentioned in the story, such as mercury, oxygen and chlorine, were still
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54 Dowling (1879), 147.
55 Ibid. 154.
57 ‘Liquefaction of Oxygen’, The Times Dec. 25, 1877; pg. 4; Issue 29134; col F.
under investigation. In the story, there is an awareness of the methodological obstacles presented by low temperatures, if not complete understanding. We are told that ‘the thick walls of the Leyden jar shrank to the thinness of tissue-paper’ before Favell succeeds in manufacturing a ‘globule’ of electricity, which he handles with long tongs and carries ‘suspended by a fine silk thread, and in a current of ether spray’. The term used to describe Pictet’s method was ‘the cascade principle’. The fictitious Favell, meanwhile, achieves a comparable ‘brisk shower of electricity in a liquid form’. How widely circulated reports of Pictet’s work were is hard to establish, but a degree of resemblance emerges between the non-fiction and fiction writings. Tinsley’s Magazine, in which the story was published was based in London and, assuming that the author was too, it is not unlikely that he had at least heard about The Times report of the development and adopted the terminology used to describe it. Even if the author was not aware of Pictet’s metaphor, the language of both chemist and author appears to be similarly inspired by the fluid analogy.

The cryogenic processes about which Dowling writes were at the cutting-edge of nineteenth-century scientific endeavour and they were understood properly by only very few specialists. Nevertheless, fictions such as ‘An Anachronism from the Tomb’ do not refer only to specific, factual developments; they also convey the cultural framework of contemporary scientific innovations. They envision the scientific processes, the people involved and the applications to which discoveries might be put. By considering this wider framework within which fiction writings
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59 Dowling (1879), 155, 156.
61 Dowling (1879), 155.
62 ‘Liquefaction of Oxygen’ (1877).
about science operated, we remain open to the ‘greater plurality of the sites for the making and reproduction of scientific knowledge’, as Cooter and Pumfrey suggest.\textsuperscript{63} In short periodical fiction, inaccuracy does not necessarily detract from authenticity; instead, it conveys the further contexts of scientific exploration, the excitement of its revelations, and the possibilities of its applications. Rather than seeking direct representations of science, these aims allow us, perhaps, to be somewhat more forgiving of the scientific elements in ‘An Anachronism from the Tomb’, not to mention the tale’s increasingly absurd progression.

Electricity and experimentation gather further ghoulish associations, in which the liquid electricity soon becomes an agent for the eerily unnatural. As Martin Willis suggests, since the time of Isaac Newton ‘a confusing range of opinions’ existed about whether electricity was a solid or a fluid and the fluid theory itself was ‘indicative of the mystical importance of electrical force.’\textsuperscript{64} Favell uses the frozen electricity in conjunction with a small galvanic battery, to resurrect a corpse from a neighbouring cemetery, inserting the globule into its mouth and then applying the battery to the topmost vertebra that connects the skull and spine, ‘causing the current to pass from a point as close as possible from the atlas to the heel of the right foot’.\textsuperscript{65} The use of electricity to revive the corpse relates the fiction to actual contemporary experiments with the medical uses of electricity, even as it alludes to earlier associations with electrical reanimation in the Vitalism debate, the experiments on cadavers by Galvani and Aldini discussed in chapter two, and Mary Shelley’s

\textsuperscript{64} Martin Willis, \textit{Mesmerists, Monsters, and Machines: Science Fiction and the Cultures of Science in the Nineteenth Century} (Kent, OH: Kent State University Press, 2006), 68.
\textsuperscript{65} Dowling (1879), 160.
Frankenstein. The fictitious corpse turns out to be that of Oliver Goldsmith, who was, in fact, buried in central London, as the story suggests.\footnote{Oliver Goldsmith (1728? – 1774) was buried at Temple Church, while the cemetery in the story is located at an apparently fictitious ‘St. Bridget’s Street’.} His body is found intact, having been in suspended animation as a result of catalepsy, and it is ‘suddenly loosed from that frozen condition by means of a galvanic spark’.\footnote{Dowling (1879), 160.}

Although the story was published long after Goldsmith’s death, it appears to be an extended pun on the author’s An History of the Earth, and Animated Nature (1774), which was published in the last year of his life. The volume was so popular that it went through over twenty editions, which might itself be deemed a perpetual renewal of life, about which the short story is also perhaps an implicit joke.\footnote{Dowling (1879), 166.} Once revived, Goldsmith fails to persuade nineteenth-century publishers to publish his work and, this being more than he can stand, he commits suicide by deliberately making himself the conductor in an electrical circuit, seizing ‘the fatal wire’ of a battery and bringing his foot swiftly down on another.\footnote{The story is unlikely to be connected to death by electrocution, which was not introduced until 1888 in New York. For information about the introduction of electrocution as a form of capital punishment, see Paul Finkelman, Encyclopedia of American Civil Liberties, vol. 1 (New York: Routledge, 2006), 244.}

Electricity is given a central function in what is, otherwise, little more than entertainment and at points the author does refer to real contemporary scientific developments and possible future electrical applications. However, the narrator makes clear his awareness of the ludicrous basis of the fiction and the way in which the story unfolds is laden with irony. The author’s reluctance to engage fully or seriously with the scientific elements of electricity accords with the growing perception that fictional engagements with science somehow diminished narrative
authority or credibility. To read electricity as solely scientific would be to deny its other equally important facets; however, the phenomenon can be characterised partly as scientific, and writings about it may have been influenced by the lack of literary authority and canonicity that later presided over science fiction. The relationship between writings about electricity and science fiction are discussed more fully in the next chapter; however, it can be noted at this point that certain literary engagements with electricity resemble the early forms of science fiction that, as Tom Shippey proposes, constituted a ‘threat’ to ‘conservative groups’—in both literature and science. By inference, they would then operate like satire, as ways of broaching established social, scientific and literary boundaries.

Scientific themes have a specific role and character in short stories; however, the self-containment of the short story form may also have acted as a form of censorship on scientific complexity. The short story secures readers’ attention only briefly and even for a single instance, unlike serialised fiction to which readers repeatedly return to follow the discussion of a continuing theme or topic. As I mentioned earlier in this chapter, the form’s limitations have been suggested by Charles May as a reason for the predominance of the metaphor, as a conceptual short-cut. Scholars acknowledge that serialised fiction ‘incorporated theories of mind or exploited metaphors derived from botanical taxonomy or energy physics’, and there is no reason to suppose that the same would not apply to individual short fictions. The stories considered so far respond to ideas about conductivity, fluidity and circuitry, but they do so indirectly and without attempting to offer explanations. The short
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story’s uniquely fleeting nature, in terms of how it was read, may also contribute to its characteristic engagement with multiple, overlapping and seemingly disparate interests. Effectively, short stories published in nineteenth-century periodicals were widely cast nets, aiming to capture the broad interests of readers as quickly as possible.

iv. Experimenters and Experiments

A particularly recurrent connection that emerges in relation to electricity is with unbalanced psychological states, and not just in relation to the medical uses of electricity that predated the 1830s.72 It is apparent from the fictional characters affected by electricity discussed here, that electricity involved scientific obsession and neurosis. The association is immediately apparent if we review the psychological states of the characters endowed with electricity, firstly, in the stories already discussed. Mr. Hippsley, the ‘wretched hypochondriac’, is also described as ‘a maniac’; during his meeting with Catherine, he ‘paced the room in a state of excitement bordering on frenzy’; and, at the end, everyone is delighted ‘at the restoration of the invalid to a natural state of mind’, with the implication that his previous state was ‘unnatural’.73 In ‘An Anachronism from the Tomb’, Oliver Goldsmith becomes increasingly ‘exasperated and depressed’ and has ‘a fit of the spleen’, until ultimately he commits suicide in distress at his literary failure.74 In each case, electricity is portrayed as causing a level of physical excitability that leads to psychological abnormalities.

72 See, for example, Michael La Beaume, Remarks on the History and Philosophy but Particularly on the Medical Efficacy of Electricity in the Cure of Nervous and Chronic Disorders (London: F. Warr, 1820).
73 Johns (1838), 376, 378, 382.
74 Dowling (1879), 164.
The association with electrical excitement as an abnormal condition appears to draw on the early adoption of the term ‘excited’ by electrical science, which was first employed in relation to electricity in the seventeenth century by Robert Boyle in a literary comparison of ‘seraphic love’ with the electrostatic attraction between two needles. By the late eighteenth century and early nineteenth century, the metaphor of excitement features commonly in references to electrified bodies in contemporary scientific dictionaries. The way in which short fictions share the metaphor of excitement can be viewed as a form of engagement with contemporary electrical sciences, but only on a relatively superficial level. What makes the engagement by fiction more profound is the personification of electrical excitement. By humanising the metaphor, fiction authors expand its possibilities and use it to explore other related contemporary interests, such as the causes of psychological imbalance, its social implications and the curative potential of new technologies.

Substantial scholarship already exists on the use of electricity as a medical treatment in the nineteenth century and its concerns lie beyond the immediate scope of the current study. Of greater relevance here is the suggestion that contact with electricity could cause psychological problems, through excessive exposure, experimentation or scientific interest. As Tim Killick suggests, during the
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nineteenth century, short fiction ceased to be associated solely with ‘sentimental
romance, simplistic allegory, and explicit moral didacticism’ and, instead, began to
share the novel’s concerns with psychological and social realism, as well as its
aspirations to artistic and historical credibility. In the short fiction examined here,
responses to electricity are often inseparable from responses to other sciences,
especially those undergoing similarly rapid development during the same period.
The interconnectedness of nineteenth-century electricity with the emerging sciences
of psychology and botany is particularly interesting in the two anonymous short
fictions ‘Reminiscences of a Medical Student’ (1842) and ‘The Tree of Knowledge’
(1853). Both stories focus on electrical experimentation but the other sciences
mentioned also feature prominently enough to help determine the portrayal of both
electricity and those who were involved with it.

Although ‘Reminiscences of a Medical Student’ was published in an ostensibly
humorous journal, it is more darkly entertaining than comic. It tells the story of
Elias Johns, a fellow medical student at Guy’s Hospital of the now elderly narrator.
The narrator mentions that they studied together during the time of ‘the Hunters,
Franklin, Watt, Lavoisier, [and] Jenner’, figures who were most active in science
between the 1760s and 1780s. In reading ‘Reminiscences’ as a response to
electricity, we need to keep in mind that the narration and authorship straddle two
vastly different periods, as far as electricity is concerned. Its 1842 publication
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79 Anon. (1842); Anon. (1853).
80 Guy’s Hospital, London (f. 1721) was at the leading edge of anatomical sciences in the early
nineteenth century. For more information about the early history of Guy’s, see S. J. Peitzman,
‘Bright’s Disease and Bright’s Generation—Toward Exact Medicine at Guy’s Hospital,’ Bulletin of
81 The scientists mentioned are John Hunter (1728-1793); William Hunter (1718-1783); Benjamin
Franklin (1706-1790); James Watt (1736-1819); Antoine Lavoisier (1743-1794); and Edward
Anthony Jenner (1749-1823).
reaches back to eighteenth-century scientific explorations and places them alongside the most significant early nineteenth-century discoveries about electricity. In doing so, the tale is effectively a palimpsest of impressions on the subject.

In the figure of Elias Johns, a possible association emerges between religious non-conformity and electrical experimentation. Elias is described as ‘a most singular being’—an eccentric but brilliant medical student who is obsessed with studying electricity, in order to re-vitalise corpses.\(^82\) He is usually found to be alone, although ‘his manner was most winning’ and he was ‘a desirable friend’.\(^83\) The narrator emphasises that, despite the name ‘Elias Johns’ sounding Jewish, the character is not.\(^84\) The comment not only affirms that he is not Jewish but also leads the reader to speculate on what Elias’s origins might be. We are told at the outset that Elias is ‘science mad’ and that ‘his particular hallucination was electricity, with its collaterals, galvanism, and the sciences of heat and light’.\(^85\) As Susan Tucker shows in her study of the semantic development of the term, ‘enthusiasm’ was increasingly associated with dissenters.\(^86\) In the late nineteenth century, the surname ‘Johns’ belonged almost exclusively to residents of Wales and Cornwall, regions that were also predominantly Non-Conformist.\(^87\) As Paul Wood and Geoffrey Cantor have established, there was a particular tradition of scientific and electrical
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\(^82\) Anon. (1842), 123. I refer to the character by his first name to avoid confusion with Richard Johns, author of ’Mr. Hippsley’, the story discussed previously.

\(^83\) Ibid. 124.

\(^84\) Ibid. 123.

\(^85\) Ibid. 125.


experimentation among dissenters. In ‘Reminiscences’, therefore, we may reasonably read an intended association between Elias Johns’s electrical experimentation and the characterisation of the nineteenth-century dissenter.

While there is virtually no technical detail in the story, Elias’s belief in the unity of electrical phenomena echoes Faraday’s theories. The narrator characterises Elias as a misguided materialist and, significantly, if the portrayal is of a dissenter, his fixation with electricity is shown to border on blasphemy. Although the fictional account draws on earlier ideas of electrical reanimation and vitalism, the technology Elias envisages also resembles defibrillation technology, which would not be demonstrated until 1899 at the University of Geneva. Elias ‘worshipped his electrical deity’ and believed that the electric fluid was the God of Nature,—that the human soul, and all other intelligences were but modifications, but portions of this principle, and at death returned to it again. That it pervaded the universe, was the cause of all phenomena—the source of every change in matter—the creator of worlds, and the chain of systems.

The 1842 authorship of the story predates the establishment of a British school of practical chemistry, which started in London in 1845 as the Royal College of Chemistry. So, although Elias is from a poor background, he has to pay for all his own equipment to be made, in order to continue experimenting. It is his father who
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90 Anon. (1842), 125.
91 The Royal College of Chemistry was originally based on Oxford Street in central London. It operated between 1845 and 1872, after merging with the Royal School of Mines in 1853. It was the first constituent college of Imperial College, London and eventually became the Chemistry Department there.
brings him funds and when he does, there is a manic aspect to Elias’s joy; indeed, he ‘appeared completely possessed’ and ‘his eye burned with a wild enthusiasm’. Meanwhile, his faith in electricity has hints of egomania; as the narrator tells us, “Give me,” was a favourite sentence of his, “give me boundless space, matter in atoms, Electrical Attraction and Repulsion, and I will soon create you a universe!” The exclamation modifies the Cartesian declaration, ‘give me matter and motion, and I will construct the universe’—the ultimate materialist reduction of existence. Although the original statement might have suited Elias’s goals just as well, the crazed new version demonstrates his mania and it is electricity that constitutes the core tension.

Eventually Elias’s experiment succeeds and very grandly so, culminating in the public resurrection of a hanged criminal at a large anatomical theatre. However, as soon as the corpse rises, Elias realises to his horror that it is his own father, who has been committing robberies to pay for his son’s experiments and equipment. Before we have time to consider the scenario’s comedy or moral message, Elias falls into ‘a fit of catalepsy or some anomalous nervous affection’, a condition of unconsciousness and bodily rigidity. He dies after experiencing a curious feeling, footnoted in the text as the *aura epileptic*, a physical warning sensation, characteristic ‘to those afflicted with epilepsy and other nervous disorders when a fit is about to come on’. There has been no mention previously of Elias suffering from epilepsy but, throughout the tale, his state of mind has been questioned. He is described as being unusually pale and thin, with eyes that have ‘an absent, wild,
dreamy, mystic sort of an expression’; ‘he took food as he did sleep, by snatches, quick and hurried, reading as he ate’ and ‘even when he walked about, he was continually calculating or scheming’. 96 Taken as a whole, the depiction suggests Elias’s propensity towards nervous disorder, which combines with his obsessive study of electricity to cause his final collapse and death.

On a deeper level, ‘Reminiscences’ responds to electricity as an underlying feature of connections between the body, brain and behaviour, and it was published at a time when understandings of epilepsy and mental illness were undergoing critical development. In ways that predate late nineteenth-century medical uses of electricity, the portrayals of Elias and his death indicate the embedded nature of electricity in contemporary medicine and psychiatry.97 By the nineteenth century, the aura epileptic had long been recognised as a genuine medical phenomenon.98 From 1857, the terms ‘positive’ and ‘negative’, so commonly used in electrical science, began to be used for referring to levels of activity, excitability and behaviour in epileptic and schizophrenic conditions.99 The foundations were established for references to electricity in relation to later medical understandings

96 Ibid. 123, 127.
97 See, for example, G. Beard and A. Rockwell, On the Medical and Surgical Use of Electricity (New York: William Wood and Company, 1891).
98 The aura epileptic (‘aura’, L. and Gr. breath, breeze; ‘epilepsy’, L., to take hold of) was originally documented by Galen (c.130-210AD), whose writings on epilepsy were translated by several authors in the early twentieth century. (See, for example, Mervyn J. Eadie and Peter F. Bladin, eds., A Disease Once Sacred: A History of the Medical Understanding of Epilepsy (Eastleigh: John Libbey 2001), 24). See also the seminal text on epilepsy by Owsei Temkin, The Falling Sickness: a History of Epilepsy from the Greeks to the Beginnings of Modern Neurology ([1945; rev. 1971; Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1994]).
99 German E. Berrios, ‘Positive and Negative Symptoms and Jackson: A Conceptual History’, Archives of General Psychiatry 42:1 (Jan., 1985), 95. According to Berrios (1985), the terms were first used in the context of psychiatric conditions by John Russell Reynolds (1828-1896) in the paper ‘On the Pathology of Convulsions’ (1857), delivered to the North London Medical Society. The terms were employed further in 1875 by John Hughlings Jackson (1835-1911) to describe the example of a deluded patient who thinks his nurse is his wife (positive element), which relies on his not knowing that she is his nurse (negative element), an association between ‘not knowing’ and ‘wrong knowing’ (J. H. Jackson, ‘The Factors of Insanities’, Med Press Circular, 108 (1894), 617).
and terms for epilepsy.\textsuperscript{100} By the 1870s, behaviour was firmly linked to mental states, on the basis that inhibitory centres were more ‘powerful’ in some individuals than others, and that ‘one of the earliest signs in many cases of insanity is a diminution in the inhibitory power of these centres.’\textsuperscript{101} In ‘Reminiscences’, Elias’s obsessive behaviour originates in his innate lack of inhibition but, ultimately, it is his misguided and excessive exposure to electricity that debilitates and finally destroys him.

The catalepsy Elias suffers was more usually associated with female hysteria, as it was described in detail in the medical journals and press of the day.\textsuperscript{102} It was also thought that ‘persons of a nervous temperament’ were susceptible to catalepsy, especially when faced with conditions of ‘violent anger, protracted grief, hatred, and sudden terror’ or ‘long continued and intense mental application’.\textsuperscript{103} Elias’s mania represents an excess of ‘positive’ psychological symptoms, which find expression in the multi-layered electrical metaphor. His brilliance is represented by his edginess and his obsession with electricity and, in the father’s resurrection, the revitalising electrical shock is transferred psychologically and physically to the son. Elias aimed to achieve an electrically resurrected life but, instead, he becomes the conductor in the circuit between life and death.

\textsuperscript{100} ESES (epilepsy with electrical status epilepticus) is the modern term for epilepsy with continuous spikes and waves during slow sleep. See Joseph Roger, \textit{Epileptic Syndromes in Infancy, Childhood and Adolescence, International Workshop on Childhood Epileptology} (London: John Libby, 1985), 265-284.
\textsuperscript{101} W. M. Rutherford, ‘Lectures on Experimental Physiology: Lecture IV: Innervation’, \textit{The Lancet} 1 (1871), 566; Rutherford also quoted in Berrios (1985), 96.
\textsuperscript{102} See, for example, ‘Dr. Duncan on “Catalepsy”’, \textit{The Lancet}, 2 (London: Elsevier, 1830), 277-284.
\textsuperscript{103} John Eberle, \textit{Treatise on the Practice of Medicine}, vol. 2 (Philadelphia, Pa.: Grigg and Elliot, 1835), 73.
The obsession with electricity is also the downfall of the narrator of ‘The Tree of Knowledge’ (1853).\(^{104}\) Again, the narrator is an old man but this time he is called Melchior, who is not only ‘brilliant’, ‘eloquent’ and ‘extraordinary’ but, we are told, his research ‘seemed to have exhausted every branch of human knowledge’.\(^{105}\) Like the narrator of ‘Reminiscences’, Melchior tells a story of youthful obsession with electricity but this time it is his own story. As a young man, Melchior goes to study in Germany and one day, when he is experimenting with the effects of positive and negative electrical currents, he notices that the marks left by electricity bear ‘a strange and very striking resemblance to the foliage of a tree’.\(^{106}\) From this point, he notices the pattern everywhere, including in the frost on windows, and begins to think that electricity is the source of everything.\(^{107}\) What he describes as ‘the demon desire of knowledge’ leads him to think that if he can harness the pervasive power of electricity, he might discover ‘the original cause and germ of vegetable life’.\(^{108}\) Like Elias Johns, he shuts himself away to pursue his experiments, ‘literally intoxicated’ by the creative potential electricity might give him; however, unlike ‘Reminiscences’, the first person narration encourages us to share his experiences.\(^{109}\) Melchior thinks of creating a new plant species using electricity, an idea that quickly acquires a separate and relentless identity. The obsession is conveyed as follows:

It filled my thoughts by day, my dreams by night; it never left me time for food or relaxation; it haunted me like a familiar; in the street, in the lecture room, in the

\(^{104}\) ‘The Tree of Knowledge’, *Dublin University Magazine*, 41:246 (June, 1853), 663-675. Despite its name, the magazine’s circulation was not restricted to Dublin University; it was published between Jan. 1833-Dec. 1877 and, in 1853, circulation was between three and four thousand issues per month (WDEFP).

\(^{105}\) Anon. (1853), 675.

\(^{106}\) Ibid. 666.

\(^{107}\) We might note also the correlation between these ideas and Arthur Smee’s electrical images in 1850 (see Fig. 9).

\(^{108}\) Anon. (1853), 667.

\(^{109}\) Ibid.
fields, in my own chamber, wherever I moved or rested, it was for ever with me, and whispering to me.\textsuperscript{110}

The personification of Melchior’s idea mimics the schizophrenic delusion of a separate entity and completely supplants his earlier affection for Margaret, the daughter of his professor. He becomes so ‘besotted’ with his work that he suffers from the paranoid delusion that she and her father are colluding to distract him from his great discovery. He builds himself a glass chamber in which to conduct his experiments, from materials that were ‘best adapted to the influence of the electrical laws’.\textsuperscript{111} At one point, he is found raving, full of ‘anguish and madness’, oppressed by an insupportable sense of loneliness. Unlike Elias Johns, though, he recovers and returns to work ‘more calmly’, albeit in the face of Margaret’s entreaties for him not to proceed with what she sees as a ‘dangerous’ and ‘unhallowed’ experiment.\textsuperscript{112} The paradigm of the presumptuous electrical experimenter is evident again, as when the dying Elias Johns was described in ‘Reminiscences’ as a ‘vain and presumptuous mortal’, ‘proud and blasphemous’, and the narrator asked ‘how fearfully has thy deep sin been visited upon thee, poor child of clay! ...Where are thy theories now, thy scoffs and arguings, that led away many a weak spirit into eternal ruin?’\textsuperscript{113} The obsession with understanding electricity is portrayed as contravening both the natural and Christian boundaries of man’s knowledge, as well as his control of his free will.

Exchanges of scientific practice between electricity and botany are evident in Melchior’s nurturing of the mysterious plant and he confesses that he ‘loved it like a

\textsuperscript{110} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{111} Ibid. 668.
\textsuperscript{112} Ibid. 670.
\textsuperscript{113} Anon. (1842), 136.
The depiction seems designed to appeal to the era’s ‘countless amateur botanists’, to whom Amy King refers in her study of nineteenth-century botany, as well as to electrical hobbyists. Melchior applies powerful batteries to the ‘dewy globules’ and eventually a living plant emerges, the leaves of which are described as being ‘of a sickly white hue, almost like dead flesh’. A small bulbous head and a ‘deep sullen purple’ flower soon develop, like an anemone in shape and colour ‘but of a thick and fleshy texture’; however, it is also described as ‘strange, uncouth, misshapen’ and a ‘wizard tree’. It makes manifest Thomas Carlyle’s warning in *Signs of the Times* (1829) of how dangerous technology could be for man, sending up ‘over his whole life and activity, innumerable stems,—fruitbearing and poison-bearing.’ The tree bears the protean nature of electricity, in adopting human characteristics, for example, when Melchior plants it in the garden and notes its ‘unnatural and weird antipathy’ towards Margaret. At the same time, the plant reacts like the electric creation it is, responding positively to Melchior’s watering but negatively to Margaret’s presence, drooping and shrinking from her touch.

The climax of the story seamlessly combines scientific references with visual spectacle, drama and moral allegory, exemplifying the hybridity of writings about electricity. The night before his wedding to Margaret, Melchior dreams of a tree similar to his own, and he is urged by a disembodied voice to eat its fruit. In the
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114 Anon. (1853), 671.  
116 Anon. (1853), 670.  
117 Ibid. 671, 672.  
119 Anon. (1853), 672.
morning, he finds his real tree laden with ‘gorgeous golden globes ... like
pomegranates, of a fiery red’ but, when he plucks the fruit, the broken stem swells
and turns purple, ‘not unlike a tumour on a human body’ and a green insect crawls
from the ‘orifice of the wound’. Melchior describes the insect as ‘about the size of
a common fly, but snouted and pig shaped, and covered with diminutive bristles’. The depiction resembles closely the electro-crystallisation experiments of Andrew
Crosse in 1837, which appeared to result in the production of living mites. Like
the fictional Melchior, Crosse was roundly attacked as a charlatan and an atheist, for,
as James Secord puts it, it was felt he had ‘broken the ancient boundary between life
and matter.’ Crosse’s experiment provoked such a furore that it was widely
discussed at all the London institutions and in the popular press, and the issue
continued to be debated for decades afterwards. The description of the insects
emerging from Melchior’s tree corresponds directly with the ‘elongated snout’,
‘elongated snoutish head’ and ‘bristles’ of Andrew Crosse’s description, as well as
the illustrations of his ‘created’ mites (see Fig. 10). Melchior’s insects are
‘preternaturally monstrous’, ‘hideous in form’ and ‘loathsome in colour’; just like
the extreme ugliness of Crosse’s mites, which prompted suggestions in the press that
they should be called *Acarus horridus*.  

\[\text{\begin{footnotesize}
120 Ibid.
121 Ibid.
122 Andrew Crosse (1784-1855).
124 Ibid. 339.
126 Anon. (1853), 673.
\end{footnotesize}}\]
When Melchior eats one of the fruits, he describes the sensations that follow as similar to ‘the effects of opium’. The effects are so pleasurable that, on their wedding night, he urges Margaret to feast on the fruit too, after which they consummate their marriage and fall asleep. Although he wakes in the morning, to his horror, she is not only dead but covered all over with ‘loathsome purple spots’ and ‘from every ulcerous wound were crawling forth hideous, green, mis-shapen, insect reptiles’. Like Charles Hippsley’s ‘upas’, Melchior’s tree has turned out to be poisonous. On one level, the tale’s ghastly reminder of mortality imitates man’s Fall from the Garden of Eden, while, on another, it creates vivid associations between nineteenth-century colonial exploration, sexuality, and diseases thought to be imported from the Empire, such as syphilis, the primary symptom of which is distinctive lesions. The opiate effects of the fruit resonate with Melchior’s earlier explanation of his prematurely aged appearance, which he compares to that of ‘Eastern dreamers who have fed on opium, and grown unnaturally old before their time’. In a reversal of electricity’s purportedly health-enhancing properties, the
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129 Anon. (1853), 674.  
131 Anon. (1853), 664.
electrically bred fruit of the plant has sapped Melchior’s vitality, youth and energy, enacting instead the laws of magnetism, where like rejects like.

The scenario engages with the politics of the botanical industry and trade, the expansion of Empire and fears about its consequences. In 1853, the same year ‘The Tree of Knowledge’ was published, the Scottish botanist and traveller Robert Fortune (1812-1880) published his two-volume account of his travels in China for the East India Company. The ‘Tree of Knowledge’ was published at a mid-point between the Anglo-Chinese Opium Wars, when China sought to restrict British opium traffickers. Melchior’s plant, with its bulbous head covered in ‘dewy globules’ and its ‘sullen purple flower’, is remarkably like the opium poppy (see Fig. 11).

**Fig. 11. Opium Poppy, *papaver somniferum* (bulb and flower)**


133 The First Opium War took place 1839-42 and the Second, 1856–1860.

So how, we might ask, are such varied topics as anatomy and insects, trees and opium, related to electricity? What the fictions illustrate is the extent to which electricity was connected to much wider concerns, including the development of other contemporary sciences, colonial trade and politics. Clearly, electricity was in no way distinct from other spheres of Victorian experience, imagination and culture; rather than belonging to a solely scientific sphere, electricity was inextricably linked to numerous other, sometimes unexpected aspects of contemporary life.

A more specific correlation exists between the ‘gorgeous golden globes’ depicted in ‘The Tree of Knowledge’ and the bulbous shape of the electric light bulb – a correspondence which may not be as anachronistic as it might at first appear. Before the 1880s, electric lighting was available predominantly in the form of arc lamps or incandescent gas mantles, and incandescent filament light bulbs were neither efficient nor economical enough to be in widespread use. More recently, though, scholars have suggested that by the 1840s and 1850s there had already been ‘considerable progress’ in the development of incandescent lamps. In 1850, Joseph Swan was working on the electric light bulb using carbonised paper filaments, and, in 1856, nitrogen-filled (filament) bulbs were used to light up the St. Petersburg harbour. Unfortunately, ascertaining with any certainty how much the author of the ‘The Tree of Knowledge’ knew about early electrical devices is stymied by his/her anonymity. The image of the bulb is most likely to have been
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136 Ibid. The first incandescent electric light was made in 1800 by Humphry Davy but, in 1878, Sir Joseph Wilson Swan (1828-1914), British physicist and chemist, received the British patent for the carbon filament lamp.
adopted by both electrical science and literature from contemporary discussions of vegetation and perhaps other forms of lighting, such as the Polish invention of a new safe, odourless oil lamp in 1853. However, the possibility of a connection represents an interesting dynamic between the terminology used to describe ‘natural’ items, such as bulbs, and nineteenth-century technological devices. The story was published in the period when electrical devices like bulbs were being devised and so, whether it replicated them, provided inspiration for them, or simply shared a developing idea, perhaps the correspondence should not be entirely ruled out.

In both ‘Reminiscences’ and ‘The Tree of Knowledge’, there is a persistent connection between electricity and what is natural or unnatural. The relationship between the three is one of contrast, rather than affinity, which draws on Romantic warnings that ‘our meddling intellect/Misshapes the beauteous forms of things’. As Carolyn Marvin points out, the existence of similar oppositions are often evident in ‘expert tales’ about electricity, which present particular ambivalence about connections between man, Nature and technology. Marvin’s description of the relationship is particularly relevant to ‘Reminiscences’ and ‘The Tree of Knowledge’:

Man with his tools knew better than nature; he had foiled and humbled it, laughed at its ignorance, and made it run to do his bidding... the underlying fabulous and mythic encounter between nature and the magic wand of human invention – a melodramatic, magical confrontation full of the stuff of fairy tales – was disguised in

---

the vocabulary of scientific knowledge and achievement... Nature damaged or threatened by electricity was evidence of human triumph over instinctual forces.\textsuperscript{139}

In both the stories, electricity acts as the opposite of natural processes, such as birth and death, and its productions, such as plants and trees. However, if electricity is evidence of ‘human triumph’, Elias Johns’ and Melchior’s victories are short-lived and hollow, with the first being killed by his obsession with electricity and the second barely surviving. Electricity is depicted as a distorting power which, as well as resulting in the protagonists’ monomania, reverses the death of a father and causes the death of a son in ‘Reminiscences’, and gives birth to monstrous insects and slays an innocent bride in ‘The Tree of Knowledge’. Overall, writers respond to electricity as a deviant force that had an unnatural influence upon what was otherwise natural. Electricity was perceived to occupy an anomalous position within the order of nature; despite being a natural phenomenon, it had destructive qualities that could prey on man’s vulnerable sensibilities. In contrast to the perception by scientists and practitioners of electricity as a useful and positive resource, its exploration was portrayed in fiction as one of the period’s more dangerously deluded contemporary fascinations.

\textbf{v. The Romantic Supernatural and Modern Futures}

Short fictions like ‘Reminiscences’ bring into question distinctions between earlier forms of ‘Romantic’ science and nineteenth-century movements towards systematic science between the 1830s and 1880s. The narrator reminisces about a pre-electric

\textsuperscript{139} Carolyn Marvin, \textit{When Old Technologies were New: Thinking about Electric Communication in the Late Nineteenth Century} (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1988), 116.
time, a time when ‘romance... used to hang about chemistry, physiology, electricity and the rest’. 140 Although the term ‘scientist’ was coined at the beginning of the period, in 1833 by William Whewell, the nature of what was ‘scientific’ was still indistinct. As Louise Henson explains, ‘apparitions and spectral illusions were widely discussed in the early and mid-nineteenth-century mental philosophy’ and particularly so ‘in relation to the involuntary functions of the mind, including dreaming, somnambulism, reverie, and more serious cases of mental derangement.’ 141 Nineteenth-century fiction authors appear to be keenly aware of the tension between the emerging authority of laboratory-based science and the anecdotal science of the supernatural, and they explore the fictional possibilities of both. The supernatural, not being evidence-based, may not meet modern criteria of what is scientific; however, it is considered here to add to our understanding of contemporary responses to electricity, and to examine how actual scientific advances may have contributed to the frequent alliance between the supernatural and nineteenth-century fictions about the phenomenon.

In the short fictions already discussed, Charles Hippsley described himself as a ‘man-monster’; Elias Johns’s eyes had a ‘mystic sort of an expression’; the results of Melchior’s electrical experiments were ‘almost magical’; and the hundred-year old corpse of Goldsmith was found lying ‘round and smooth and life-like’. 142 Each story displays elements of the ‘natural’ straying beyond what is ‘normal’. Viewed as a

140 Anon. (1842), 123.
141 Henson, Louise, ‘“In the Natural Course of Physical Things”: Ghosts and Science in Charles Dickens’s All the Year Round’, in Culture and Science in the Nineteenth-Century Media, ed. Louise Henson, Geoffrey Cantor, Gowan Dawson, Richard Noakes, Sally Shuttleworth, and Jonathan R. Topham (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2004), 115.
whole, the instances might appear distant from the concerns of nineteenth-century
electricity and science if, as one contemporary reviewer put it, ‘supernatural and
natural modes of enquiry... mutually exclude each other’. However, as Fred
Botting suggests, science and art often draw on shared cultural references, and
science is often ‘implicated in and affected by’ the ‘infectious myth-making’ of
art. Botting attributes negative associations with electricity to Mary Shelley’s
*Frankenstein*, in that ‘linked to a monster, electricity came to signify the unnatural
birth of a dangerous and uncontrollable phenomenon’. In the fictions about
electricity so far, portrayals of electrical experimentation can be linked to distorted
versions of birth and rebirth not least because, as Carolyn Williams points out, ‘of all
the resuscitative means, electricity was the most exciting’, as well as the most
controversial. Nineteenth-century associations between electricity and the
unnatural do not, however, relate solely to Mary Shelley’s tale or the depiction of
monstrosity. Distinctions between what was man-made and what was artificial were
articulated well before 1818 and they were often tenaciously linked to electricity.

After the eighteenth century, forms of electricity beyond the atmospheric were
termed ‘artificial electricity’. With the increasing association of experimentation
and electricity between the 1830s and 1880s, it was the combination of the two that
led to distortions of the natural, rather than electricity alone. Experimentation with
electricity was an activity that questioned the *status quo* and the nature of normality
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because it meant dealing with the unknown, as a purpose of specialist science.

Before mid-century, science itself had yet to be properly defined as an endeavour, so electricity was not simply a matter of science. As a result, literary responses to electricity, whether by scientists or fiction authors, are also often responses to uncertainty and hypothesis.

Botting suggests that fears about electricity were often based on its associations with the new and rational, the secular and humanist. However, the prevailing features of the story to which we now turn seem markedly different. ‘Doctor Beroni’s Secret’ (1884) was published shortly after 1880, but its late authorship provides an interesting contribution and comparison. The tale opens with the narrator’s childhood recollection of gazing at an electrical machine in his father’s laboratory, ‘half in terror, half in delight, at the fuming and hissing retort’. Immediately electricity is associated with excitement, danger and experiment. The narrator’s name is John Glendinning and he tells of how, rather than going to Oxford to study, his father taught him ‘chemistry, electricity, and one or two other sciences’, before sending him to Germany to be taught by ‘the blue-spectacled professors there’. Electricity is characterised as a distinct scientific subject but, by going to Germany to study medicine rather than Oxford, the narrator is shown to have stepped outside ordinary educational conventions and cultural mores, as a maverick personality. As a response to electricity, the narrative is quickly dominated by elements of the supernatural, magic and fairytale, supporting the Illustrated London News claim in 1853 that ‘industrial technology was unable to dispel the rising tide of

149 Anon. (1884), 187.
150 Ibid.
Recent scholarship on the supernatural in nineteenth-century literature proposes that the ‘collapsing of time and distance’ by new technologies transforming daily life was itself often felt to be ‘uncanny’. Rather than nineteenth-century technologies being opposed to the supernatural, they made the material world seem more supernatural, and the mysteriousness of electricity simply added to the impression of a world ‘full of invisible, occult forces’. Unlike non-fiction popularisations, which dealt with understandings of electricity, fiction writings on the subject were enhanced by readers’ lack of scientific understanding.

The instability in the authority of scientific practices, the occult and marginal sciences is apparent in the depiction of electrical experimentation and experimenters. The integrity and authority of the eponymous Dr. Beroni is made uncertain by Glendinning’s remark that he ‘could not be sure whether Dr. Beroni was a man to be trusted or not’, not least because of his ‘craze for phrenology’. Beroni’s laboratory is in his house, placing Beroni’s electrical experiments at the centre of his inner, domestic life. Glendinning is given responsibility for charging chemical solutions with electricity, heating them in a furnace and tabulating the results but he describes Beroni’s experiments as ‘more electricity than chemistry’ and a ‘jumble of the two’. When Glendinning joins the doctor and his daughter, Ina, for dinner, the systematic and factual nature of scientific practice becomes increasingly hazy as the father and daughter explain their shared fascination for mesmerism, telepathy and card tricks. In a footnote, the author cites a real article on ‘Thought Reading’.

151 Quoted in Jason Marc Harris, Folklore and the Fantastic in Nineteenth-century British Fiction (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2008), 10.
153 Ibid.
154 Anon. (1884), 189.
155 Ibid. 206.
published in the June 1882 edition of the *Nineteenth Century* magazine, creating an interesting overlap between the interior of the fiction and the external world of its authorship.\(^{156}\)

Scientific boundaries are brought into question in the story’s climax, in which Glendinning reluctantly takes part in a metaphysical experiment with Beroni and his daughter. The three kneel together and stare into a crystal ball, in which Glendinning sees an image of a stranger about to kill Beroni, before suddenly losing consciousness. At this point, the narrator hints at the doctor’s involvement with a society called the ‘Brothers of the People’ and the ‘Brotherhood’.\(^{157}\) The name may refer to the Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood, founded in 1848 and dominated by the Italian Rossettis; however, *Mafia* organisations originated in the early nineteenth century and one of the largest, the ‘Fratellanza’ of Girgenti was legally investigated in 1884, the same year that ‘Dr. Beroni’s Secret’ was published.\(^{158}\) The conclusion of the story involves a swift return to the focus on electricity when Dr. Beroni’s previous assistant, Lord Danzil, who has been on the boundaries of the story throughout, is found dead with an electric wire still in his hand, having been killed by ‘a current of terrible force’.\(^{159}\) Meanwhile Dr. Beroni is found stabbed, with a note thrust into his jacket declaring him a traitor and, although it is not specified what or whom he has betrayed, it is revealed that the actual aim of Beroni’s experiments was to manufacture diamonds.\(^{160}\)

\(^{156}\) Ibid. 194; W. F. Barrett, Edmund Gurney, and Frederic W. H. Myers, ‘Thought Reading’, *Nineteenth Century*, 11:64 (June, 1882), 890-901.

\(^{157}\) Anon. (1884), 354, 359.


\(^{159}\) Anon. (1884), 358.

\(^{160}\) We might note further also that, while graphite was used as an electrical conductor in the electrodes of arc lamps, diamonds are carbon allotropes, or structurally similar; see Danail Bonchev.
In ‘Doctor Beroni’s Secret’, the still relatively new practices of electrical science are aligned with interest in mesmerism, phrenology, monomania, and telepathy, all of which are undercut by the final connection with money and industrial manufacturing. The story merges the boundaries between electricity and less explainable realms, such as the supernatural and illusions, magic and fairytale. Beroni performs the role of the exotic and mysterious wizard-magician, while Glendinning is the charismatic suitor for the hand of his beautiful daughter, Ina. Features of melodrama, magic and fairytale have long been recognised in writings about electricity.161 Neither were they specific to ‘popular’ fiction; by the second half of the century, as Jack David Zipes points out, ‘the use of the fairy tale as commentary was pervasive in high and low culture’.162 In *Household Worlds*, Dickens refers to readers’ ‘delight in the Inscrutable’ and suggests that man is always most interested in what is least explainable; indeed, he places that interest at the very centre of electrical science by asking ‘would Faraday wield such a magician’s rod over the British Institution, if he did not refer a million marvels of nature’s forces to the one infinite, incomprehensible power of electricity?’163 A key appeal of electricity to readers, whether they were looking either for entertainment or scientific understanding, was its danger, its unpredictability and its mystery.

Martin Willis proposes that, ‘in the early nineteenth century, to label the form of knowledge “scientific” was to see it as distinct from the magic and occultism of the
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preceding centuries’, while ‘to label knowledge “magical” was to suggest it was archaic and without relevance to the contemporary world.’ In ‘Doctor Beroni’s Secret’, it is the combination of the scientific and magical that makes it appealing—a combination so attractive that it spawned the creation of several nineteenth-century occult societies. In the stories considered here, the young heroes are repeatedly training to be scientists and it is precisely because they are rational, scientific heroes that their seduction by supposedly outdated and ostensibly less scientific methodologies is so interesting.

In fiction, at any rate, electricity was a part of both new developments of science and older, pre-Christian forms of magic. Glendinning’s willingness to experiment beyond the boundaries of science is not a movement away from electricity; instead, it indicates his acceptance of what is portrayed as the true nature of electrical experimentation. As Linda Simon suggests in her discussion of the late nineteenth-century electrical fiction ‘The Electric Lady’, the hero masters the heroine because he accepts ‘electricity and all that it implies: urbanization, new stimuli, and sexual excitement.’ The séance room in Beroni’s house has been set up in elaborate Greco-Roman style as a pagan temple, with a ceiling of ‘deep blue flecked with golden stars’, ‘statues of white marble’ round the walls, ‘tripods holding braziers, from which rose clouds of incense, white, blue and rose coloured’ and ‘weird, sad and strange’ music. Beroni remarks on the ‘stage effect’, saying that the ‘Temple of Solomon had the same’ because ‘all the old religions’ resort to similarly

164 Willis (2006), 34.
165 Mystic societies founded in the nineteenth century included the Metaphysical Society, the Hermetic Order of the Golden Dawn, the Order Temporale Orientalis (O.T.O.), the Rosicrucians or the Fellowship of the Rosy Cross, and the Theosophical Society.
166 Linda Simon, Dark Light: Electricity and Anxiety from the Telegraph to the X-ray (Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2005), 164.
167 Anon. (1884), 199.
enigmatic means. Ancient astrology is presented as superior to modern science when the doctor derides the ‘shallow scepticism’ of new sciences, exclaiming: ‘On what rocks of accumulated experience has each of these mysterious rules and laws been based? And because it is not a “subject” in competitive examinations, you think it is not a branch of knowledge!’ Rather than older practices being separate from modern science, for Beroni, they provide its true foundations. By participating in a ritual within this setting, Glendinning demonstrates his compliance with alternative scientific paradigms.

Scientific heroes begin by investigating science in the short stories considered here, only to find themselves seduced by romance and mythology. A struggle emerges, as Tabitha Sparks suggests, ‘between the growing authority of professional medicine and the sentimental and intuitive feelings that inflect the convention of romance.’ As if to mirror internal changes, it is when Glendinning shows himself willing to engage with elements beyond the laboratory that the interior decor of the Beroni house alters. The possibility of male-female romance is an integral part of scientific allure; initially, Glendinning refuses to participate in Beroni’s séance but, when his daughter Ina asks, he agrees. As scholars acknowledge, ‘short fiction has always lent itself readily to what can most accurately be described as the love story’ and a ‘burgeoning “Romance” literature existed’ that emphasised the almost ‘supernatural wonder associated with electricity’. Romantic plots operate concurrently with scientific experimentation and curiosity, so that, in several of the fictions, young

168 Ibid. 201.
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protagonists fall in love with the beautiful daughter or sister of another scientist. Central to Beroni’s power of persuasion is his daughter, Ina, whom Glendinning describes as ‘so beautiful, so solitary, so charming in her manner’. From the outset, she and her beauty have a mesmerising and seductive effect upon him. Before Glendinning and Ina, Melchior falls in love with Margaret, Professor Inkleman’s daughter in ‘The Tree of Knowledge’; the narrator of ‘Reminiscences’ becomes romantically involved with Elias Johns’s sister Catherine; and in ‘Mr. Hippsley’, Charles pines for another Catherine, whose guardian is his physician. The fictional women are inseparable from the characters, practices and allure of science, and they are as beautiful, unavailable and pure as their names suggest.

Their beauty is always simple, unadorned and ‘natural’, yet there is also something otherworldly about them. Like the phenomenon of electricity, they have the vibrancy of the natural, alongside its dangerous potential. Ina Beroni has ‘a white face, and a crown of jet-black hair’, ‘perfect features’ and hands that are ‘thin and delicate’, while Margaret Inkleman has ‘a face radiant with pure and delicate health’, as well as a ‘warm and witching bloom’. They live with their fathers in isolated locations, situations that add to the sense of their being untouched and untainted. Ina dresses in white throughout and is described as ‘a recluse, as much out of the world as if she were in a convent’, with the purity of a votive maiden set apart from earthly realities.

Ina Beroni’s beauty emanates particularly from sharp contrasts of dark and light, in a way that seems to reflect the post-1881 authorship of the story and the introduction

172 Anon. (1884), 204.
173 The names ‘Catherine’ and ‘Margaret’ are Greek in origin and mean ‘pure’ and ‘pearl’ respectively; the name ‘Ina’ has no specific meaning but is a diminutive for various feminine names.
174 Anon. (1884), 192, 193; Anon. (1853), 665.
175 Ibid., 204.
of electric lighting. As Carolyn Marvin suggests, electric lights ‘invoked the world
of classical culture, clean, spare, and geometrically pure’.\textsuperscript{176} In the same way, the
stark purity of electric light seems to shape the characterisation of women in short
electrical fictions. Rather than adding realism, however, it was a change that
enhanced the tale’s surrealism; electric lights made things appear not to belong ‘to
the prosaic order of things’ but, rather, ‘to a natural and supernatural world that
seemed nearly, but not quite, beyond man’s creative power’.\textsuperscript{177} The clean, pure
ethereality of Ina and Margaret’s beauty is effectively and metaphorically the new
form of beauty, which is both electrified and electrifying.

In all the best fairy tales, young heroes fall in love with women who are beautiful
and pure. However, in short stories about electricity, the significance of their beauty
is more than a useful feature of romantic plots; instead, it establishes an important
link between narratives of the fairytale past and the future of science. The purity and
health described in the female characters engages with the aesthetic discourses of the
second half of the nineteenth century, which Angélique Richardson describes as
‘equating the ugly with disease and beautiful with health.’\textsuperscript{178} Electrical investigation
may have developed from a study of natural phenomena and a foundation of older
philosophies but, in the last quarter of the nineteenth century, it was also the science
of modernity and the path to the future. As Richardson explains, the later emergence
of the Eugenicist movement sought to portray what Francis Galton termed ‘the most

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{176} Marvin (1988), 165.
  \item \textsuperscript{177} Ibid.
  \item \textsuperscript{178} Angélique Richardson, \textit{Love and Eugenics in the Late Nineteenth Century: Rational Reproduction and the New Woman} (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003), 80.
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appropriate parents of the next generation’ in a ‘favourable light’.\textsuperscript{179} Genetically, the purest and most beautiful women were the most appropriate partners for science and the scientists who were creating the future.

Responses to electricity in fiction are never divorced from science. They engage with electricity as a distinct entity but they also treat it as inseparable from both past and contemporary issues. Electricity is both the science of the future and a vehicle for the past. As James Mussell suggests, ‘electricity can stand metonymically for the modern age’ in that it makes distinct ‘the lived experience of space and time’ of the past and present.\textsuperscript{180} The reluctance to relinquish the past adds a dystopian tone to the fictions; whatever progress electricity might have offered, it was almost always perceived to have a darker side. Electricity provided ideal material for nineteenth-century short-fiction authors, for it retained the mystery of man’s older, natural origins but also provided an icon of nineteenth-century ‘rational’ science and the ‘real-life’ technologies that were perceived to be shaping the future.

\textsuperscript{179} Ibid. Francis Galton, Galton Papers, 138/4, 10. The Eugenics Education Society was founded 1908, in which Sir Francis Galton FRS (1822-1911), Karl Pearson FRS (1857-1936) and Major Leonard Darwin (1850-1943) were instrumental.
\textsuperscript{180} Mussell (2007), 189.
Electricity in the Novel

i. Introduction

‘Historians have long urged’, Anne Secord suggests, ‘that a fuller understanding of nineteenth-century science will be gained if we broaden our sense of what constitutes scientific activity’.¹ The novels discussed in this chapter illustrate the broadening and transformation of scientific activity into spheres as diverse as the metaphorical association between electricity and emotion, the relationship of electricity to time, space and species’ evolution, and the visualisation of future medical treatments. I begin by discussing metaphorical references used by several leading novelists of the period, including Dickens, the Brontës, George Eliot, James Froude, Thackeray, and Wilkie Collins. The literary worth of these writers’ works is without doubt, but the merit of the other novels I consider varies considerably. The intention of examining novels that engage with ideas of electricity is not to see them reinstated as venerable works; however, canonicity is not a prerequisite for investigating works with other valuable features, as indicated by scholarship on many literature-related science

writings initially considered outlandish. In this chapter, William Harrison Ainsworth's *Auriol, or the Elixir of Life* (1850), Edward Bulwer-Lytton's *A Strange Story* (1862) and *The Coming Race* (1871), and Benjamin Lumley's *Another World, or Fragments from the Star City of Montalluyah* (1873) are read, firstly, as literary responses to electricity and, secondly, as illustrations of interactions between nineteenth-century concepts of electricity and other contemporary developments. On another level, too, I consider how the types of scientific engagement they pursue contributed to their exclusion from the canon, both by readers and writers.

As a literary form, the novel may appear to have become increasingly ‘elevated’ from other forms of writing in the nineteenth century, as well as distanced from scientific writings. However, the ‘privileged moment of individualization’ is illusory. Novels were created in contexts powerfully influenced by the scientific ideas, processes of popularisation and periodical writings discussed so far. Technically, the nineteenth-century novelist’s response to ideas about electricity was singular and dissimilar to that of the periodical contributor or scientific collaborator; however, novelists exist within societies and periods and, while their responses are not necessarily representative, they offer interpretations of scientific ideas that can reveal associations and ideas of the period, as well as connecting with a plurality of readerships. The nature of fiction makes its precise or tangible contribution to
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scientific practice difficult to measure, but we can consider also how novels allowed contemporary writers and readers to respond to concepts of electricity, in the form of longer narratives and reception histories.

The meanings and purposes of references to electricity may seem inseparable from the genre in which they occur; however, the novels by Ainsworth, Bulwer-Lytton and Lumley often teeter precariously between being fictions about science or ‘science fiction’. Without getting immediately bogged down in modern distinctions of literary merit, we can begin by approaching the novels through nineteenth-century views of scientific fiction. The term ‘science fiction’ appears to have originated in 1851 with the fiction author William Wilson (OED), for whom the primary value of the genre lay in ‘creating interest where, unhappily, science alone might fail.’\(^5\) For Wilson, fictions about science elicited quite different responses in readers than instructions or explorations of science; yet he does not view fiction as an introductory form of science. As he observes, his contemporaries felt science fiction had the capacity to convey ‘the truths of Science’ and that these could be ‘interwoven with a pleasing story which may itself be poetic and true’ (author’s emphasis).\(^6\) Fictional frameworks were not considered to detract from scientific merit; they both accompanied and fortified scientific insights. Wilson’s usage of the term ‘science fiction’ differs from its twentieth-century use; he views its role as a vehicle for conveying accurate facts and scenarios about science, whereas it is the futuristic, fantasist and technological elements of the genre that later come to the forefront. Nevertheless, as David Seed suggests, ‘exploration lies at the heart of

\(^6\) Ibid. 139.
SF. The history of electricity’s industrial and scientific development is also one of experimentation, bringing writings about it into close alignment with both conceptual and literary experiment.

The century’s new discoveries, Wilson remarks, have thrown ‘deeply into shade the old romances and fanciful legends’; for him, the magnetic needle ‘has more magic about its reality, than the wildest creations of child-fiction and legend have in their ideality’ (author’s emphases). He refers to Hans Oersted’s discovery in 1820 that not only is a magnetic needle deflected by an electric current, but the live electric wire is also deflected in a magnetic field. Breakthroughs such as this, in understanding electromagnetism, provided contemporary fiction authors with especially rich ground for intermingled scientific and literary meanings. From the 1820s onwards, researchers investigated, described and explained electricity, but fiction authors were free from the constraints of accuracy. As a result, they continued to explore features of the phenomenon that ranged from the realistic to the absurd and occult. Indeed, as Nathaniel Hawthorne comments, the 1840s was a time when ‘the comparatively recent discovery of electricity and other kindred mysteries of Nature seemed to open paths into the region of miracle’. Scientific interest in electricity fuelled literary speculation and vice versa; although scientific romance appeared to prevail, it was promoted by the developments in electrical science, rather than diminished by or opposed to them. Fiction authors expressed real
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contemporary interests and anxieties, and engaged with a number of concurrent and competing themes beyond electricity or science. In that sense, speculations about electricity were no more divorced from scientific speculation than from the rest of the period’s dominant interests.

ii. Electrical Metaphors

By the beginning of the nineteenth century, parallels were already well-established between electricity and particular associations, images and scenarios. Existing analogies between electricity and strong emotion, for example, allowed novelists to make allusions, to which readers could immediately relate. As Laura Otis suggests, metaphor allows both scientists and novelists to convey their ideas to a variety of readers; indeed, they ‘seize upon any ready analogies culture has to offer’ and ‘forge their own metaphors, which then enter the cultural store.’

My consideration of responses to electricity in novels works outwards from the metaphorical references authors make. At the same time, I seek to show how they contributed to the ‘cultural store’, as well as reinforcing and extending widespread perceptions of the phenomenon.
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Charles Dickens appears to have been the first to employ an electrical metaphor in the nineteenth-century novel.  

In *The Old Curiosity Shop* (1840), he describes as ‘electrical’ the effect of cooking smells upon a crowd coming in from the rain to eat, conveying effects that are simultaneously sudden, invisible and reviving by alignment with the same apparent features of electricity. Dickens refers largely to atmospheric electricity and the telegraph in his novels. However, he also uses the metaphor of electricity to accentuate visible effects, firstly, in *Martin Chuzzlewit* (1844), when Mr. Pecksniff starts back ‘as if he had received the charge of an electric battery’ and in *Dombey and Son* (1848), when the response to a dinner-table story is described as ‘like an electric spark’. The speed and power of electricity makes it appropriate as a metaphor for emotional shocks and for fear. In *Dombey and Son*, electricity becomes the metaphor for a particularly interesting type of fear. When Carker is on the run, creeping through the streets at night, we are told that ‘some other terror came upon him quite removed from this of being pursued, suddenly, like an electric shock.’ The reference to electricity signals a transformation of his fear from the ordinary and justified to the ‘visionary’, ‘unintelligible’ and ‘inexplicable’. It is mentioned at the moment when a presence flies out of the darkness, ‘associated with a trembling of the ground,—a rush and
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12 The first figurative use of the term ‘electrical’ is attributed to Laurence Sterne, *The Life of Tristram Shandy*, II, 19 (1760) (OED).
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sweep of something through the air, like Death upon the wing.' Carker shrinks back, ‘as if to let the thing go by’; yet as soon as it is gone, he knows that ‘it never had been there’ and he is left bewildered, with a sense of ‘startling horror’. Carker’s fear is a premonition of his death struck by a train, but it is accentuated by what is described as its ‘electric’ quality and the indefinable phenomenon he experiences has the invisibility, swiftness and danger of electricity, as well as that of modern technology. Theorists of metaphor indicate that ‘no claims have been made in the literature as to which metaphors are particularly important to fear’ and that ‘the conceptualization of fear is conventionally based on cold, not heat’. However, the use of electricity as a metaphor for fear by Dickens and several of the other authors discussed here indicates that it was important. The electrical metaphor for fear has a physiological element, too, in the rather inelegantly termed sensation of ‘piloerection’ (cutis anserine), more commonly known as the feeling of one’s hair standing on end with fear. It is now known that the sensation is the result of adrenaline surging from the adrenal gland to the sympathetic nervous system, but this was not discovered till the last decade of the nineteenth century. Before then, the speed of lightning combined with known experiences of electricity on nerves and muscles indicated that it might be the source of physical feeling. The physical sensation of fear being so akin to that of electrical shock meant that, before further explanations were devised, the analogy was very suitable.
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23 The discovery of adrenaline is most frequently attributed to George Oliver and Edward Schäfer at University College, London in 1895; see Walter Sneader, Drug Discovery: a History (Chichester: John Wiley, 2005), 155. Other papers on the subject were also produced around the same time in Poland and America.  
Moments of emotional disturbance also provide the basis of the Brontës’ use of electrical metaphors. When Emily Brontë’s Heathcliff is startled by a creaking oak, he feels it ‘like an electric shock’, and when Anne Brontë’s Mrs Graham is startled by Markham’s shadow, it ‘gave her an electric start’.\(^{25}\) Electricity denotes a disturbing nervousness and mental restlessness in characters, which also epitomised life’s unpredictability. In Charlotte Brontë’s Shirley (1849), Caroline Helstone asks ‘what is that electricity they speak of, whose changes make us well or ill; whose lack or excess blasts; whose even balance revives?’\(^{26}\) Caroline soliloquises over the influences that exist ‘about us in the atmosphere, that keep playing over our nerves... now a sweet note, and now a wail’.\(^{27}\) Like Dickens’s portrayal of Carker’s experience, Brontë’s depiction of electricity gives it a level of agency and personification, one that is spectral or at least ephemeral in character. In Villette (1853), the characterisation becomes distinctly diabolical. When Lucy Snowe is battling for creative inspiration with a French composition, she experiences the ‘rushing past of an unseen stream of electricity’, which she interprets as the herald of a stirring ‘irrational demon ... strangely alive’.\(^{28}\) The similarity of Lucy’s experience to Carker’s in Dombey and Son suggests that, despite increasing scientific knowledge, in the public imagination, electricity continued to be seen as a disturbing, unfathomable and ghostly phenomenon.


\(^{26}\) Charlotte Brontë, Shirley (1849; repr. London: John Murray, 1929), 433.

\(^{27}\) Ibid.

\(^{28}\) Charlotte Brontë, Villette (London: Smith, Elder and Co., 1853), 70.
In Zoltán Kövecses’s recent study of metaphor and emotion, he proposes that emotions may be ‘constructed’ using a combination of metaphor, culture and physiology, and he points out the metaphorical association between emotions and electricity with the example of ‘an electrifying experience’. Electricity was indeed used by nineteenth-century novelists to describe experiences but it also provided a metaphor for the essence of characters’ personalities. In Thackeray’s *The History of Pendennis* (1849), he adopts perceptions of the phenomenon as a metaphor for superficial emotion. When Laura Bell describes Blanche Amory’s friendship towards her as ‘a very sudden attachment’, Blanche replies with characteristic effusiveness that ‘all attachments are so. It is electricity—spontaneity. It is instantaneous. I knew I should love you from the moment I saw you. Do you not feel it yourself’.

Although Blanche uses the idea of electricity to profess the immediacy of her affections, in fact the alignment with electricity emphasises her staccato style of speech, as well as her impetuous and inconstant nature.

The analogy between powerful emotions and electricity offers George Eliot a way to suggest further physical and metaphysical connections. In Eliot’s *Scenes of Clerical Life* (1858), for example, a ‘deep bass note’ rings out from a harpsichord struck by Oswald, and Eliot writes that ‘the vibration rushed through Caterina like an electric shock’. It is a revelatory moment for Caterina, when we are told that ‘it seemed as if at that instant a new soul were entering into her, and filling her with a deeper, more significant life’.
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29 Zoltán Kövecses (2005), 83.  
32 Ibid.
are connected by means of comparison to electricity. Later in the novel, Janet experiences a similar epiphany when she remembers Mr Tryan’s sympathy towards her and ‘the thought was like an electric shock’. The reference compares, too, to the experience of Noel Vanstone’s housekeeper Mrs Lecount in Wilkie Collins’s *No Name* (1862), who reaches a moment of unexpected mental clarity through the effect of electricity. Mrs Lecount wakes in the night with her ‘head whirling as if she had lost her senses’ and yet ‘with electric suddenness, her mind pieced together its scattered multitude of thoughts, and put them before her plainly under one intelligible form.’ The metaphors exploit many of the contemporary speculations and demonstrations of electricity’s properties discussed in the preceding chapters here. As James Delbourgo suggests, the use of electrical metaphors to describe spiritual awakenings ‘resonated because of the material culture of electrical demonstration’. Metaphor provided a way of portraying fictional experiences and, simultaneously, making observations of the phenomenon itself. Electricity’s innate speed and abruptness suggest, for example, the tense liveliness of Collins’s characters, whose eyes are ‘hardly ever in repose’ or ‘strike through’ others ‘with an electric suddenness’, whose abruptness ‘fairly took away’ the breath, or who are unusually aware of ‘nervous influences’. Metaphors drew on shared perceptions of electricity’s inherently powerful yet capricious nature, and supplied further associations by aligning them with key attributes of memorable fictional characters.

---

33 Ibid. 257.  
The metaphor of love as electricity operates on a somewhat different level to the examples considered so far. Describing love in electrical terms is one of several metaphors pointed out in the seminal work *Metaphors We Live By* (1980) by Lakoff and Johnson, together with the interpretation of love as a patient, madness, magic or war.\(^37\) Like Lakoff and Johnson, Kövecses defines as a ‘physical force’ the metaphorical use of electricity to describe touch; however, he emphasises its association with ‘sexual magnetism’ (Kövecses’ emphasis) by listing it in the table ‘Metaphors and Metonymies of Lust’.\(^38\) The metaphorical association with sexuality is evident in nineteenth-century fiction, albeit less explicitly so, within the self-imposed censorship of contemporary discourse. In *Adam Bede* (1859), Eliot compares the integrated relationship of electricity to air with that of love and enthusiasm, claiming that ‘our love is inwrought in our enthusiasm as electricity is inwrought in the air’.\(^39\) After the mid-nineteenth century, the pejorative overtones of fanaticism with the ‘enthusiasm’ she refers to were diminishing towards associations with intense, spiritual and artistic emotion.\(^40\) In *Daniel Deronda* (1876), the electrical metaphor allowed Eliot to describe both new and old associations simultaneously, to express the profound communication between Mirah and Daniel. As Mirah watches Daniel clasp Mordecai’s hand for the first time, the narrator observes that the movement ‘seemed part of the flash from Mordecai’s eyes, and passed through Mirah like an electric shock’.\(^41\) Eliot employs electricity to denote


\(^{39}\) George Eliot, *Adam Bede*, vol. 2 (Leipzig: Bernhard Tauchnitz, 1859), 89.


the prophetic power and invisible bonds of heritage, a kinship that is both communicated and felt in physical terms.

Eliot appears to suggest her own century’s greater scientific understanding of electricity, when she reflects on the previous century as a time when man ‘had not had the slightest notion of that electric discharge by means of which they had all wagged their tongues mistakenly’. However, she also endows it with mysterious qualities, particularly when she refers to Deronda ‘touching the electric chain of his own ancestry.’ The same metaphor is used by James Froude in The Nemesis of Faith (1849), when the protagonist Markham Sutherland exclaims

To be an author—to make my thoughts the law of other minds!—to form a link, however humble, a real living link, in the electric chain which conducts the light of the ages! Oh! how my heart burns at the very hope.

Eliot knew Froude from her time editing the Westminster Review in the 1850s, and it has been suggested that her English translation of Leben Jesu (1846) prompted the religious doubts expressed in his partly autobiographical novel. Down the ‘ages’ of the intervening decades, Froude’s metaphor connects to Daniel Deronda’s concern with literary influence, heritage and connectivity, creating precisely the ‘living link’ to which he refers. Electricity as a phenomenon provides a way in which to figure the invisible yet ‘vital’ power of metaphysical connectedness.

\[42\] Ibid. vol. 3, 129-130.
\[43\] Ibid. vol. 4, 196.
Froude’s protagonist also states, ‘I use magnetic illustrations, not because I think the mind magnetic, but because magnetic comparisons are the nearest we have, and the laws are exactly parallel.’\textsuperscript{46} The same would appear to be the case with electricity. The metaphors employed by authors adopt earlier understandings of vitality and electrical fluid, which were still undergoing development. As Nicholas Roe suggests, they ‘served as a helpfully ambiguous go-between, a material \textit{numen} infusing matter with a less tangible life force.’\textsuperscript{47} The function provides the basis of an ongoing analogy between romantic love and electricity, as a physically energising force. We can see this in Wilkie Collins’s \textit{Armadale} (1866), when Mr Bashwood meets Miss Gwilt again and his ‘motive power’ is ‘annihilated by the electric shock of her touch and her look’. The electrical analogy prompts transformations on several levels. Despite Bashwood having already become a ‘worn-out old creature who had not sung since his childhood’, he is transported to a ‘seventh heaven of fatuous happiness’ and, like an early electrical battery, he is depicted afterwards literally humming with delight.\textsuperscript{48}

The metaphorical responses considered here suggest that nineteenth-century authors viewed electricity with an unusual combination of fascination, delight and wariness. Yet, as George Slusser suggests, ‘the mention of science is quasi-absent from mainstream novels.’\textsuperscript{49} The examples discussed here indicate that mainstream novels engaged regularly with scientific ideas but that they did so only briefly or by means of metaphor, rather than direct references. Rather than investigating the internal

\textsuperscript{46} Froude (1849), 134.
\textsuperscript{49} Slusser, in Seed (2005).
detail of scientific technicalities, they explore the peripheries where scientific concepts meet human experience. They portray what individuals experience when they encounter what feels like electricity and they attempt to depict the relationship between the experience and similarly indefinable, invisible phenomena, such as clarity of mind or high emotion. While references to electricity may appear to be minimal or indirect in the novels discussed, in fact, they provide critical ways of conveying aspects of experience that would be hard to communicate otherwise.

iii. Auras, Elixirs and Enchantment

From the 1830s onwards, fiction authors added their own impressions of electrical exploration, as well as their hopes and fears for its future influence. This is particularly evident in William Harrison Ainsworth’s Auriol, or the Elixir of Life (1850). Although the novel was reviewed as ‘one of the best of the author’s works’, its literary merit remains debatable. However, it is one of the earliest novels to engage at length with ideas about electricity and it offers a number of ideas and associations that indicate wider perceptions of the phenomenon.

The title’s reference to the ‘elixir of life’ offers a gamut of associations relating to electricity, an intricate network of links between electricity, ancient mysticism,

---

Footnotes:

50 The edition referenced here is William Harrison Ainsworth, Auriol, or the Elixir of Life (London: George Routledge and Sons, 1890). The novel was serialised in the New Monthly Magazine and Humorist, 74:295 (July, 1845), 421-431 to 76:301 (Jan., 1846), 109-112. Ainsworth owned and edited the New Monthly Magazine from 1845 until 1870, as well as several other periodicals. He wrote around forty popular historical romances, including Guy Fawkes (1840), The Tower of London (1840), and Old Saint Paul’s (1841).

materiality, wealth, and literary style. ‘Auriol’ is also the name of the novel’s hero but it does not exist as a word; instead, it is an approximation and amalgamation of several similar words, which suggest the novel’s hybrid nature. It is closest in spelling and pronunciation to three words: ‘ariole’, meaning a soothsayer or diviner; ‘aureole’, which refers to a surrounding golden halo or corona, from the Latin for gold (aurum) on which the periodic symbol ‘Au’ is based; and, finally, ‘ Ariel’, the spirit who serves Prospero in Shakespeare’s The Tempest. Like the metaphorical references already discussed, the words have associations with mystery and mysticism, creating expectations that are at least partially fulfilled by the novel’s opening. Alice Jenkins suggests that ‘literature and science studies needs to pay attention not only to the challenge from the new but to the desirability for many purposes of the old.’ The allure of ‘the old’ is evident from the outset of Auriol’s adventures, in their beginning on the last night of 1599. Auriol has been mortally wounded and is brought for treatment to an aged alchemist called Dr. Lamb. The character of Lamb is probably based on the notorious John Lambe (1545/6–1628), an astrologer who was arrested for sorcery, Satanism, adultery and child rape, and acquitted, before he was beaten to death by an angry mob on his release. Although the original Dr. Lamb was a sixteenth-century figure, he was known in nineteenth-century literature through Sir Walter Scott’s description in Letters on Demonology.
In Ainsworth’s fiction, Lamb is revealed to be Auriol’s long-lost grandfather, who has spent his life secretly working to create an elixir of immortality. The old man’s appearance is described as that of ‘an archfiend presiding over a witches’ sabbath’, while the firelight in the laboratory ‘chamber’ nightmarishly transforms its contents, making the gourds ‘great bloated toads bursting with venom’ and bolt-heads ‘monstrous serpents’. The scene of dark magical arts resembles the associations with electricity referred to by other fiction authors, while the phenomenon’s hot, popping, distorting nature is prefigured in the sibilance and fitful plosives of the description.

On the night Dr. Lamb meets Auriol, he has finally succeeded in creating the long-sought elixir and he is determined to complete and consume it, despite Auriol lying prostrate on the sofa, on the brink of death. Just as Lamb reaches at last for the magic phial, he is struck down—too feeble to reach it. Auriol, desperate to save himself, ignores Lamb’s pleas for help, forces him aside and swallows the contents himself, deliberately allowing the old man to die. The illustration from the serialised version of the story (see Fig. 12) renders the scene in the chamber, where masks and skulls peer out from the walls on the left and a skeleton hovers ominously beyond the curtain on the right.

The moment when Auriol swallows the elixir is portrayed as though he has been electrocuted: ‘flashes of light passed before Auriol’s eyes and strange noises smote
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57 Ainsworth (1850; repr. 1890), 14.
his ears’. The objects in the room ‘reeled and danced around him’ and the room’s phantasmagoric contents appear to come horribly to life, as if to emphasise the elixir’s unnatural life-giving qualities.

Fig. 12. Auriol, ‘The Elixir of a Long Life’ (1845)

Auriol is transformed by the elixir into Ainsworth’s super-powered hero. In consuming the elixir, he seems not simply affected by electricity but also momentarily inside it, witness to fundamental changes in apparently solid objects, brought about by force, heat and liquefaction:

Ibid. 21.

Ibid. The dramatic physical sensations undergone by Auriol may also be an oblique reference to Richard Horne’s depiction of the suffering of genius in The Poor Artist; or, Seven Eye-sights and One Object (London: John Van Voorst, 1850), where the protagonist loses his romantic heroine ‘Aurelia’.

‘The Elixir of a Long Life’ (illustration), New Monthly Magazine and Humorist, 74:295 (July, 1845), 420.
The glass vessels and jars clashed their brittle sides together, yet remained uninjured; the furnace breathed forth flames and mephitic vapours; the spiral worm of the alembic became red hot, and seemed filled with molten lead [...]⁶¹

The elixir’s fiery, electrifying power transforms Auriol’s physical constitution, allowing him to pass through a lofty ‘oriel’ (or window) in time and occupy apparently contradictory dimensions, protected by the elixir from physical degeneration. Auriol’s body is healed and revitalised by the elixir, so that all traces of his earlier wound vanish and he is endowed with ‘preternatural strength’.⁶² The description demonstrates James Mussell’s contention that ‘electricity, with its rapid movement through conductors or across space through induction, provides a convenient metaphor for the networks which mobilize and stabilize objects.’⁶³ The experience also gestures towards the word ‘aura’, a term used in electrical science to describe the outer envelope of effective influence surrounding various bodies, especially the atmosphere around electrified bodies, and the sphere within which the attractive force of a magnet acts. The word was used in relation to electricity by Benjamin Franklin in the late eighteenth century and by Samuel Taylor Coleridge in referring to an ‘electrical aura’ in 1810 (OED).

The medical and transformative etymology of the term ‘elixir’ is also relevant to Auriol’s experience, as a treatment created by means of alchemy.⁶⁴ Ainsworth’s depiction is characteristic of speculations about electrical power throughout the

---

⁶¹ Ainsworth (1850; repr. 1890), 21-22.
⁶² Ibid. 22.
⁶⁴ Elixir, n: [a. med.L. elixir (cf. Fr. élixir, It. elissire, Sp. elíxir, Pg. elexir), ad. Arab. al-iks r (= sense 1), prob. ad. Late Gr. ‘desiccative powder for wounds’] (OED).
nineteenth century in both non-fiction and fiction. The characterisation of electricity as an elixir and vice versa was not new; in 1839, a Fraser’s columnist speculates that electricity may actually be the long-sought mysterious power because, not only is it ‘as well known to the ancients as ourselves’ and the ‘hermetic fire of the alchemists’ but because medieval researches were also ‘close approximations’ to modern experiments by Faraday, Fox and Crosse. 65 He proposes, too, that ‘this fire was expressly called electricity’ (author’s emphasis). 66 The concept continued to be portrayed in short fiction into the 1870s, for example, when a character is depicted quenching his thirst and hunger, ‘the solids melted in his mouth, the liquid raged through his veins like oil charged with electricity and elixir vitae’. 67 The immortalising force of Ainsworth’s fictional elixir can be read as a similar juxtaposition of metaphor, modern technology and medical mysticism.

In Auriol, it is 1830 when we meet the hero again, and a beautiful young woman called Ebba Thornycroft has fallen in love with him. However, Auriol has to give her up to an evil character called Cyprian Rougemont, according to a pact they made in 1800, where they regularly exchange the women who fall in love with Auriol for Rougemont’s fabulous riches. After Rougemont takes Ebba away, Auriol goes in search of her, as does her father, accompanied by a gang of street characters who have featured throughout the novel. The chapter in which electricity makes its most significant appearance is called ‘The Cell’, in what appears to be a reference to an electrical battery. Humphry Davy employed the term ‘cell’ in electricity from 1801 and, though his usage did not always exactly match the modern term, it did establish

66 Ibid.
as commonplace references to cells in galvanic piles and the technology of later
electrical batteries.\textsuperscript{68} The décor of the house where Rougemont is hiding hints at his
exotic and mystical beliefs, as well as the interests of Ainsworth’s readership. The
fifth and final cell-like chamber has ‘dusky oak’ panels, a tapestry ‘representing the
Assyrian monarch Ninus, and his captive Zoroaster, King of the Bactrians’, as well
as ‘squares and circles’ traced on the floor and scattered ‘conjuring apparatus’.\textsuperscript{69}
Rougemont is a Mephistophelean figure whom, we see later, is accompanied by the
familiars of a monkey and a dwarf called Flapdragon. The ancient religion of
Zoroastrianism was barely known in the West until the end of the eighteenth
century.\textsuperscript{70} However, from the 1820s, there is evidence of interest from British
literary figures such as the poet Felicia Hemans and the Anglican hymnist, Reginald
Heber.\textsuperscript{71} Ainsworth’s depiction may also reflect the increasing awareness of oriental
concepts, due to imperial expansion, such as the Hindu idea of \textit{prana} as the
underlying vital energy of the universe, which was known in the West from the late
eighteenth century.\textsuperscript{72}

The dramatic climax of the story draws on some of the most recent scientific
developments of the period, even as it is infused with ideas of the archaic. In the
chamber, three of Thorneycroft’s group sit down in chairs near an electrical machine,

\begin{footnotes}
\item[69] Ainsworth (1850; repr. 1890), 164.
\item[70] Mary Boyce, \textit{A History of Zoroastrianism} (Leiden: Brill, 1975), ix.
\item[72] Charles Wilkins, Sir, \textit{Bhagvat-geeta, or Dialogues of Kreeshna and Arjoon} (London: Nourse, 1785), 113. Wilkins refers to ‘the two spirits of Pran and Opan’, by which the universe is determined (OED).
\end{footnotes}
the chairs that prove to be the ‘enchanted chairs’ after which the chapter is named.\(^{73}\) Suddenly, the men receive a powerful electric shock that forces the weapons from their hands; their arms are pinioned by wooden hooks that jump out of the chair-backs and their legs are caught by fetters that spring out of the floor.\(^{74}\) Heavy bell-shaped helmets drop from holes in the ceiling, ‘fashioned like those worn by divers at the bottom of the sea’ with round eyelet-holes of glass and, despite their shouting and swearing, they are all mercilessly ‘extinguished’.\(^{75}\) The type of diving helmet to which Ainsworth refers had only been invented in 1837 and was still in development; the reference gives the scenario a modern twist, as does the technological application of electricity. The electric chair would not be used as a means of execution for another three decades, in the 1880s.\(^{76}\) Instead, Ainsworth’s depiction combines the newest nineteenth-century diving technology with his own imaginings of electricity’s potential. Yet his interest is not focused entirely on the future and technology. The adjective ‘enchanted’ endows the chairs with archaic, magical powers and they are ‘large, high-backed and grotesquely-carved’, like instruments of medieval torture.\(^{77}\) The fashionable Gothic design of the electrical chairs makes them a meeting-point between the story’s different time-frames of the sixteenth and nineteenth centuries. However, it is Ainsworth’s imagined application of their use as lethal electrical instruments, which makes them truly macabre.

In the story’s rather unsatisfactory conclusion, Rougemont reveals that Ebba has never existed and he supplies an antidote elixir. To his astonishment, Auriol finds
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\(^{73}\) Ainsworth (1850; repr. 1890), 163-4.
\(^{74}\) In fact, powerful electric shocks cause muscle contraction, rather than release.
\(^{75}\) Ibid. 176, 177.
\(^{77}\) Ainsworth (1850; repr. 1890), 163.
himself back in the original chamber, which is ‘precisely as he had seen it above two centuries ago’ and where his grandfather is alive and well, working on the elixir with no memory of what had happened with Auriol. Auriol concludes that his experiences were nothing but the delirium of a fever, but also senses that he has lived for centuries in a few nights. It is an uninventive and somewhat cursory ending, although one fittingly suggestive of medieval dream visions, such as *Piers Plowman* or *Pearl*.

While *Auriol* can be shown to engage with particular aspects of electricity and its applications, contemporary scientific practice is still predominantly on the margins of the novel. The role of electricity in the novel is emblematic, placing it closer to the metaphorical responses than to the progress of actual mid-century scientific investigations. The technique uses fiction to distance the reader from the realities of science and experiment. Dr. Lamb’s egocentric and medieval alchemy appears to contradict the altruistic pragmatism of Anthony Peck and William Sturgeon and the atomic investigations of Faraday and Maxwell. *Auriol* appears designed to appeal to contemporary nostalgia for the inexplicable; yet, like the romantic history of ‘Dr. Beroni’s Secret’, electricity is also portrayed as a power that offered an innate possibility of escaping limitations of location, time and individual circumstance.

Until the 1870s, very few novels referred at length or directly to electricity; it tends to be mentioned in the form of random, metaphorical or implied references, with few instances of extended or meaningful engagement with the subject. We might ask, therefore, why there are not more sustained engagements with electricity,
particularly after several decades in which the subject had been studied so systematically. Why were the subsequent years not bristling with electrical stories? Acknowledging the absence in the period of novels about electricity is an important part of understanding literary responses to contemporary scientific developments. The historical progression of electrical science is key—showing, again, the extent to which literary analysis can be vitally informed by the history of science. As discussed earlier, before the 1870s, electricity was barely understood sufficiently by specialist scientists for it to be represented, let alone responded to coherently, and fiction authors were generally at greater distance from explorations and discoveries in the field than scientists. In the 1870s and 80s, fiction authors appear to have become sufficiently comfortable with the subject to incorporate it into recognisable literary genres and, at that point, the distinction between writing about electricity and science fiction breaks down almost entirely. As illustrated by the novels discussed in the rest of this chapter, electricity became then more commercially viable as a source of popular literary sensation.

The persistent association between elixirs and electricity is especially apparent in Edward Bulwer-Lytton’s novel *A Strange Story* (1862). The characterisation of the ‘elixir of life’ draws on the author’s combined interest in the occult, mesmerism and electricity. Initially, the novel’s narrator, Allen Fenwick, is a determinedly materialist doctor with a recreational interest in electricity; however, from the outset, the workshop in which he keeps the electrical battery for his hobby is also described
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78 Edward Bulwer-Lytton, *A Strange Story* (Boston: Gardner A. Fuller, 1862). The novel was serialised in the weekly *All the Year Round* the year after Dickens’s *Great Expectations*, under the pseudonym ‘The Author of My Novel Rienzi’. In 1861, *All the Year Round* was priced at 2d and its circulation rose from 120,000 (1859-1860) to 300,000 (1869) per issue.

79 Ibid. 376.
as his ‘sanctuary’; ‘the house-maid was forbidden to enter it with broom or duster except upon special invitation’ and it is ‘cut off from the main house’, establishing electricity and experimentation as liminal pursuits that are entirely separate from home, family life and professional occupations.\(^{80}\) Fenwick is helped in operating the new battery by the peculiar yet charismatic Margrave, who proposes that the human body is an integral part of the electrical circuitry and attributes the failure of the battery to a burn on Fenwick’s hand, which interrupts the circuit because ‘the least scratch in the skin of the hand produces chemical action on the electric current’.\(^{81}\) Fenwick is impressed that ‘in a moment the needle of the galvanometer responded to [Margrave’s] grasp on the cylinder’, but he also laughs at how Margrave’s knowledge of electricity relies arbitrarily on both new and archaic sciences—a narrative voice that indicates Bulwer-Lytton’s awareness of his readers’ different levels of knowledge.\(^{82}\) The quest for an immortalising elixir that dominates the novel, together with the inclusion of mysterious, veiled and Oriental characters, such as Ayesha and the Syrian Haroun, reflects Bulwer-Lytton’s fascination with nineteenth-century interpretations of Eastern mysticism, as well as the awkward boundaries of emerging western sciences.\(^{83}\)

As the novel progresses, it is revealed that Margrave has gained immortality from ingesting the secret, liquid contents of a ‘glittering phial’, an intriguing transformation that makes him, like the other electrically animated creatures of the

\(^{80}\) Ibid. 85.
\(^{81}\) Ibid. 87.
\(^{82}\) Ibid.
\(^{83}\) Bulwer-Lytton’s interest in Roscrucianism, for example, is made explicit in the title and focus of his previous novel, *Zanoni: A Rosicrucian Tale* (1842).
period’s fiction, a fusion of the human and disturbingly non-human. At the museum with Margrave, Fenwick is cast into a spell-like trance by Sir Philip Derval, which lets him see inside Margrave’s body. In the trance, he is transported back in time and can see the red and azure lights of Margrave’s original constitution, as well as the bright, indestructible ‘silvery spark’ that, he notes, was absent in the museum’s animal specimens when they were alive. Just as he realises that the silver light is a halo around the soul, rather than the soul itself, the light disappears, leading Fenwick to conclude that Margrave’s soul has vanished in the transformation to immortality and that, as a result, his being has become ruled by grossly distorted moral, mental and sensual faculties. Bulwer-Lytton’s portrayal of the association between electricity, elixirs and experimentation is more elaborate and complex than its presentation in the short fictions or Auriel; it affirms equally, however, the disfiguring repercussions of their juxtaposition for man’s moral character and for the natural, ordered balance of the physical.

The life-giving elixir is described repeatedly in terms of light, heat and electricity, particularly in the climactic ceremony to re-create it in a cauldron, significantly surrounded by lights meant to keep at bay other dark and supernatural forces. The ritualistic and occult scene resembles the séance in the short story ‘Doctor Beroni’s Secret’, while the ‘dazzle’ and ‘flash’ of the ‘molten red’ potion is reminiscent of its representation in Ainsworth’s novel. During the rite, Margrave’s crazed behaviour resembles particularly that of Elias Johns or Melchior, in the short stories discussed earlier, as he murmurs gleefully, ‘see the bubbles of light, how they sparkle and

84 Ibid. 166.
85 Ibid. 129.
86 Ibid. 377.
dance! I shall live! I shall live!’ and, to his ultimate cost, he forces his way forward to secure the elixir for himself.\footnote{Ibid. 114.} His greedy desperation mirrors Auriol’s, and reaffirms the psychological imbalance and moral bankruptcy portrayed as inseparable from the downfall of those who become too closely involved with experimentation, elixirs and electricity.


The inspiration for Bulwer-Lytton’s depiction of Margrave may also have included the work of James Hinton, a leading aural surgeon and philosopher, whose series of studies on ‘physiological riddles’ was published in several issues of the \textit{Cornhill Magazine} just a year before the publication of \textit{A Strange Story}.\footnote{Ibid. 114, 294, 295.} Hinton considered...
the origins of what he referred to as the ‘active power’ of the animal body and envisaged the body itself as a machine. Hinton proposed also that the body’s equilibrium is constantly overthrown by various stimuli and that its functions are, therefore, a ‘ceaseless round of force-mutation throughout nature, each one generating, or changing into, the other’. He also alleged that the laws of energy conservation provided ‘the plan on which the animal creation is constructed’. Hinton’s depiction of the body’s power and forces as a form of electrical circuitry provides important scientific context and validation for Bulwer-Lytton’s characterisation of Margrave as electrically charged. While Bulwer-Lytton does not appear to have had any official affiliation with the Cornhill, it was one of the century’s best-selling periodicals, with a circulation that reached 87,500 a month by 1860. A Strange Story is, Andrew Brown suggests, characteristically ‘underpinned’ by Bulwer-Lytton’s ‘voracious reading in the relevant scientific and philosophical literature’. The combination of the two factors makes it seem at least likely that Bulwer-Lytton would have read or heard about Hinton’s depictions.

 Responses to electricity were also responses to technological progress and to the century’s new understandings of functions and systems; as Louise Henson suggests, in the 1860s period of advancement in telegraphy and early photography, ‘metaphors associating the mediatory physiological processes of the body with those
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90 Ibid. (July, 1860), 21.
91 Ibid. 23.
92 Ibid. 24.
of technological activity abounded’. Bulwer-Lytton juxtaposes new and old concepts, explanations and metaphors. As he observed, ‘art and science have their meeting point in method’; it is only that ‘in art, method is less perceptible than in science’. In fiction, the ‘method’ of electrical science was not essential for a story to be understood and Bulwer-Lytton’s purpose was not necessarily one of interpretation or explanation; nevertheless, the ideas of science and speculation about its procedures influence and often act as a crucial impetus for his fiction.

iv. Imagining Electrical Power

In The Coming Race (1871), Bulwer-Lytton interrogates the possibilities of electricity further and steps away from the medievalist supernaturalism of Ainsworth’s response, as well as that of his own earlier novels. In The Coming Race, electricity plays a significant role and one that interacted with the whole series of new technologies beginning to emerge in the second half of the century, such as the electric telegraph (1858), the telephone (1876), the light bulb (1879), the electric train (1879), the car (1885), and the radio (1895). The innovations depicted in the novel indicate how substantially electricity had, by the beginning of the 1870s, already transformed perceptions of man’s potential for communication and

95 Louise Henson, “‘In the Natural Course of Physical Things’: Ghosts and Science in Charles Dickens’s All the Year Round”, in Culture and Science in the Nineteenth-Century Media, ed. Louise Henson, Geoffrey Cantor, Gowan Dawson, Richard Noakes, Sally Shuttleworth, and Jonathan R. Topham (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2004), 117.

movement. As Herbert Sussman suggests in his seminal discussion of the impact of Victorian technology, in the nineteenth-century technological revolution, animal and human ‘muscle power’ was not just transformed but also replaced by the energy generated by steam and electricity.\textsuperscript{97} The changes happened at a pace never experienced before and the speed technology could provide was increasingly sensationalised. \textit{The Coming Race} was unashamedly sensationalist but, like some of the fictions already discussed, the novel also envisages the repercussions of electricity, technology and their effects upon society and human nature.

In \textit{The Coming Race}, the unnamed narrator and his friend, an engineer, attempt to investigate some lights beneath a fresh mine shaft, and discover a vast system of underground caverns. The novel’s publication in the same year as Charles Darwin’s \textit{Descent of Man} (1871) makes it possible that the title and opening depiction are thinly-veiled references to evolutionary competition between and within species and, as a social satire on contemporary society, the novel certainly engages with these issues and others.\textsuperscript{98} The most recent edition of the novel emphasises the novel’s connection with the 1870s revival of the ‘hollow earth’ discourse and Peter Sinnema describes it as ‘the crowning achievement’ of the hollow earth genre.\textsuperscript{99} The theory was initiated by the seventeenth-century astronomer Edmond Halley and revived in 1871 through the publication of a ‘treatise’ by an American military man, John Cleves Symmes, Jr. (1780-1829), who proposed that the poles were the earth’s openings and lobbied for funding to lead an expedition to the planet’s interior.

\textsuperscript{97} Herbert Sussman, \textit{Victorian Technology: Invention, Innovation, and the Rise of the Machine} (Santa Barbara, Ca.: ABC-CLIO, 2009), 5.


throughout the 1820s. Sinnema also suggests that the theory had ‘become something of a vogue in certain learned and literary circles’ by the 1820s, indicating a level of dilettantism that means its relevance should not be overstated. The Coming Race was published in 1871, however, half a century after the idea had peaked in British popular literary culture and by which time interest was much more marginal, as indicated by the theory’s ‘religio-philosophical’ publication. Like Bulwer-Lytton’s unfinished posthumous novel, Parisians (1873), The Coming Race is predominantly a satire upon ‘frivolous society’, a key feature of which was the rapidly increasing technological advancement of electricity.

As the two men make their way down the mine shaft, the engineer falls to his death with the climbing equipment, leaving the narrator alone with no means of escape. He soon has his first encounter with one of the occupants of the underworld, the Vril-ya, who are highly intelligent and live in a brightly lit, cavernous underworld. The source of power is ‘vril’ and it is not precisely synonymous with electricity; indeed, as Eckhart Voigts-Virchow argues, ‘it is not a metaphor for electricity, but for the potential of electricity’. Electrical power in the form of ‘vril’ has also distorted the Vril-ya’s physical appearance, although to a lesser extent than the gnarled and


twisted tree discussed in the previous chapter.\textsuperscript{104} The Vril-ya’s ancestors were frogs, in what is possibly an oblique reference to Galvani’s original experiments, but they have become extremely tall with ‘large black eyes, deep and brilliant’; they have the shared expression of a ‘sculptured sphinx’ and each one has wings ‘folded over its breast and reaching to its knees’\textsuperscript{105} Although they stand upright like humans, with the addition of their electrical wings, they also resemble bats, creatures usually allied to darkness. The initial hybridity of their genetic origins has been developed further through their development of a power similar to electricity, which allows them to fly. While they are not portrayed as repellent, the combination represents a distortion of otherwise logical, ‘natural’ or even evolutionary developments.

The Vril-ya’s wings, we learn later, are ‘electric contrivances’, which not only give them the ability to fly but also demonstrate their mastery of electrical technologies, reflecting contemporary interest in electricity as a means to develop controlled and manned, sustainable flight. When the narrator compares the Vril-ya to birds, it is the expertise of their flight that he admires, noting that it is ‘as swift as an eagle’s’ and that they perform complex flying modes with ease, swooping, hovering and ‘undulating’ with ‘fantastic grace’.\textsuperscript{106} Long before the nineteenth century, manned flight had been contemplated in ancient Greece, China, and Leonardo da Vinci’s fifteenth-century sketches of ‘ornithopters’.\textsuperscript{107} However, from the 1830s, electrical magnetos provided the promise of reliable ignition systems and real progression in aeronautical engineering, although the four-stroke internal combustion engine would

\textsuperscript{104} ‘The Tree of Knowledge’ (1853), see discussion in chapter 5, section iv.
\textsuperscript{106} Bulwer-Lytton, Sweet (2007), 13, 16.
\textsuperscript{107} See Richard P. Hallion, Taking Flight: Inventing the Aerial Age from Antiquity through the First World War (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003), 25.
not be invented by N. A. Otto until 1876. Electrical technologies could transform flight from the stuff of wishful thinking and fantasy to scientific reality. The British Aeronautical Society of Great Britain was founded in 1866 and constructed the first research wind tunnel in 1871, the same year as Bulwer-Lytton’s novel, as a means to derive ‘data on which a true science of aeronautics can be founded.’ At the same time, the French led the way as aviation pioneers during the 1870s, and Victor Hugo proposed the flying machine or ‘aéroscape’ as a crucial part of a ‘future divine and pure’. The concurrent development of sciences relating to the technological development of electricity enhanced interest in the subject further and made the possibilities depicted in fiction seem all the more feasible.

As we have seen previously in relation to non-fiction periodical writings, manipulating electricity did not necessarily mean understanding it. In The Coming Race, the opposite is the case: the Vril-ya mastery of ‘vril’ is inseparable from its influence within their highly developed culture. They are portrayed as entirely the opposite of the volatile characters we have seen connected with electricity in short fiction. The Vril-ya’s countenances are described as ‘smoothly serene’, their demeanour ‘grave and courteous’, and their interactions as ‘stately’; indeed, they ‘despise any vehement emotional demonstration’. Like Rougemont’s house in Auriol, the influence of colonialism is apparent in the ‘oriental splendour’ of the Vril-ya’s homes, as well as the reference to their having the ‘gravity and quietude of

111 Bulwer-Lytton, Sweet (2008), 12, 13, 15.
It is precisely this detached composure that makes them so adept in mastering the phenomenon.

The contrast between the two types of behaviour is especially apparent shortly after the narrator receives an electric shock from touching his host’s wing. The narrator’s behaviour quickly deteriorates into the type of mental and physical imbalance represented in short fiction portrayals of electrical practitioners. First, he feels his mind begin ‘to wander’ and then suffers a sudden onset of ‘terror’ and ‘wild excitement’, repelling his kindly host’s concern ‘with vehement gesticulation, and forms of exorcism, and loud incoherent words’. Finally, despite being shown that the wings are ‘but a mechanical contrivance’, he springs at his host’s throat ‘like a wild beast’, and has to be ‘felled to the ground’ by a further electric shock. The narrator’s regression in response to electricity represents an early example of later Victorian degenerationist fears, where man’s uncontrollable savagery was perceived to lie just beneath his apparently civilised appearance, waiting to emerge, perhaps as the direct result of ‘over-civilisation’. In *The Coming Race*, as Barri Gold points out, electricity has ‘brought about peace through the capacity for total annihilation’. In that sense, electricity retains the dual character that authors repeatedly emphasise; although it is a unifying force, it is simultaneously a means of civilisation and degeneration, healing and harm, creation and destruction.

---

112 Ibid. 11.
113 Ibid. 17.
The Vril-ya’s world epitomises its inhabitants’ serenity, grace and efficiency but it also has more eerie qualities. The mechanical automatons that perform menial services stand motionless yet poised at various points along the walls; they are animated by electricity and it gives them the appearance of ‘living form’, in an updated version of electricity as a life-giving elixir. Despite the narrator knowing they are machines, he admits that he cannot help thinking of them as ‘dumb and motionless’ and ‘phantom-like’. They have agency but they are not personified; in fact, for him, they are confusingly non-human. Like the ‘unseen stream’ experienced by Lucy Snowe in Villette, they have ‘a rapid and gliding movement, skimming noiselessly over the floor’. Just as electricity transforms the Vril-ya’s potential for movement, it endows machines with an artificial, preternatural speed and smoothness, serving to emphasise the narrator’s own organic and ‘natural’ basis.

Electricity accentuates the stark contrasts in the novel between man and machine, but there is also a notable lack of contrast in the underworld’s electric light. The narrator remarks that there is a ‘serene lustre diffused over all by a myriad of lamps’, making the underworld seem both ‘splendid’ and sombre, ‘lovely’ as well as ‘awful’, and lending the vista a ‘wild and solemn beauty impossible to describe’. His contrasting impressions convey the contradictions that responses to electricity so often involved. The application of electricity to lighting took place within a context of increasing visual awareness. The light it gave was appealing but, in its

---

118 Ibid. 16.
artificiality, it was also persistently disturbing. Bulwer-Lytton’s portrayal of electric light as a measure of species development offers further moral associations, within the context of the novel’s social satire. The Vril-ya have a distaste for darkness and associate it with ignorance, primitivism and disgust; they refer to the ‘primeval savages’ and ‘barbarous tribes’ who live in the most ‘desolate and remote recesses of uncultivated nature,’ unacquainted with other light than that they obtain from volcanic fires, and ‘contented to grope their way in the dark, as do many creeping, crawling and flying things’.120 As Edward Beasley notes, in the predominantly black and white illustrations appearing in the nineteenth-century press from around the world, particularly the colonies, people were ‘graded along a single continuum of lightness and darkness’.121 Light and dark increasingly signified civilisation and savagery, and not just in respect of colonial races. In Charles Booth’s mapping of London’s poverty in the 1880s, for example, rich and poor areas were denoted by lighter or darker shading. Like these, Bulwer-Lytton’s novel contributed to understandings of light as a feature related to civilisation, just as the Vril-ya suggest. To this, movement was again added as a means by which different forms of life were distinguished from one another, in terms of their level of civilisation and material nature. From the racehorse’s gait to lady-like deportment, smooth movement suggested superior cultivation and advancement. Electricity provided a way of achieving smooth, silent movement; however, its artificiality also tapped into age-old fears, of things that move without human agency. The new dimensions made possible by electricity problematised the ‘natural’ developmental features of civilisation, against which Bulwer-Lytton’s narrator constantly assesses the rank of his own species.

120 Bulwer-Lytton, Sweet (2007), 19.
Although the power wielded by the Vril-ya is called ‘vril’ and the narrator suggests that it is almost synonymous with electricity, it also includes numerous ‘other forces of nature’, providing a form of ‘unity in natural energetic agencies’ referred to as ‘magnetism, galvanism, etc’. By the early 1860s, galvanism was already widely recognised as ‘long ago obsolete’, albeit valuable in terms of theory and history.

The narrator adds the more up-to-date reference of Michael Faraday’s ‘forces of matter’, which have ‘one common origin’ and are ‘convertible into one another.’ The reference to common origins occurs immediately after the narrative focus on different life forms and species, aligning issues of evolution and biology with those of physical matter and electrical theory. The reference to electricity’s scientific development in the midst of a work of fiction bears testament to the after-life and literary discourse around Faraday, as well as the impact of his research. Although Faraday died in 1867, several years before the publication of The Coming Race, it is he whom Bulwer-Lytton quotes rather than any of the other scientists who were still active in the field.

Figures such as John Tyndall, for example, were conducting tremendously popular lectures on electricity at the Royal Institution, which were available in published form while Bulwer-Lytton was writing The Coming Race. Indeed, Bulwer-Lytton quotes the same passage that was re-produced in Tyndall’s Faraday as a Discoverer (1868) so it is possible that Bulwer-Lytton even referred to

124 Ibid.
125 Other leading electrical scientists who were especially active at the time included James Joule, Balfour Stewart, James Clerk Maxwell, George Gabriel Stokes and William Thomson.
126 For example, John Tyndall, Light and Electricity (London: D. Appleton and Company, 1871) and Notes of a Course of Nine Lectures on Light (London: Longmans, Green, 1870).
Tyndall’s volume.\textsuperscript{127} At the same time, Faraday’s celebrity meant that there was no need to explain to readers who he was, and, of course, as he was no longer living, he could not object to the associations Bulwer-Lytton attached to him.

The narrator emphasises that ‘vril’ is used ‘scientifically’ and that it gives the \emph{Vril-ya} power over the weather, minds and bodies ‘to an extent not surpassed by the romances of our mystics’.\textsuperscript{128} Throughout the novel, the narrator is at pains to present himself as rational, systematic and sceptical, in a way that indicates Bulwer-Lytton’s wish to distance himself from fashionable pseudo-sciences. The narrator’s internal monologue, for example, is studiously scientific in tone; he refers to his ‘cerebral organisation’ rather than his mind or brain, and he tells the \emph{Vril-ya} girl, Zee, that the effects of electricity ‘upon certain abnormal constitutions’ had been ‘fairly examined and analysed’ in his own world and that the effects were found to be ‘very unsatisfactory’.\textsuperscript{129} Zee replies that when her own race was in ‘the infancy of their knowledge’ about ‘vril’, there were ‘similar instances of abuse and credulity’ about the possibilities it offered for flight, telepathy and healing, as well as social reformation.\textsuperscript{130} The implication is that the underworld’s development has intellectually surpassed the narrator’s world and that, in the actual Victorian world, electricity could be developed in the same way, for good or ill. However, the \emph{Vril-ya}’s knowledge of ‘vril’ gives them telepathic and mesmeric powers, medical practices that were as experimental as electrical treatments in the 1870s. As Fred Kaplan points out, ‘mesmerism particularly fascinated an age almost obsessed by the possibility of curing all illnesses and that suffered various epidemics of its own,
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particularly plagues of the nervous system and the psyche. Like these other practices, in Bulwer-Lytton’s underworld utopia, electricity is represented as Janus-like in character; as the short stories indicate, it could be the cause of nervous conditions and distortions but also their possible cure.

_The Coming Race_ ran through eight editions in eighteen months and was reissued twenty-five times, in multi-volume collections of Bulwer-Lytton’s complete novels in Britain and America between 1875 and 1900. While publication figures of this scale indicate the novel’s popularity, they do little to confirm whether readers’ interest was prompted by Bulwer-Lytton’s response to electricity. The reception history provides more detailed insights into the basis of the novel’s popularity and allows us to gauge how far its literary success was related to the portrayal of electricity. The novel appears to have been read primarily as a satire on contemporary society but, as an early reviewer remarks,

Readers who could hardly have borne to be told in plain language that they were a loathsome and contemptible race of beings could sympathize with the scorn directed against their grotesque representatives.

The novel was published anonymously but its misanthropy was considered to be relatively palatable, in the tradition of Jonathan Swift, with whose work the novel was regularly compared. Without doubt, it is the social, moral and behavioural improvements in _Vril-ya_ society that are most frequently asserted to be the novel’s
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most interesting features. The technological advances portrayed in the novel were sometimes treated with irony, as stylised features of the utopian genre; the same Saturday Review writer sardonically observes, for example, that the Vril-ya ‘can of course fly—a capacity which is in great request amongst inhabitants of ideal worlds’. The causal connection between the Vril-ya’s mastery of electricity and their social sophistication was also quickly identified, in that their ‘progress in the line of physical discovery, has profoundly modified their relations as individuals and members of society’. The development of technological prowess was increasingly understood to affect not just the individual but also the way in which society fitted together.

Bulwer-Lytton’s apparent promotion of electrical advancements repeatedly demonstrates his discomfort, particularly as a feature of social progress. This was apparent to readers too; as another reviewer asserts with unmistakable irony, ‘eradicate all human instincts; nip all human aspirations in the bud; remove all that makes life worth having; and then, but not sooner, expect to realise your democratic ideal.’ It is this feature of the novel that modern scholars describe as a ‘Romantic streak of ambivalent technophobia’, identifiable in other novels published at much the same time, such as George Chesney’s The Battle of Dorking: Reminiscences of a Volunteer (1871) and Samuel Butler’s Erewhon (1872). Imitations of The Coming Race’s dystopianism were recognised quickly thereafter. When the novel Columbia (1873) was set in the South Sea islands, for example, it was remarked that ‘soon every part of the world, or perhaps of the solar system, will have its mysterious

135 Ibid.
137 T. H. S. Escott, ‘Bulwer’s Last Three Books’, Fraser’s, 9:54 (June, 1874), 765.
Electricity was recognised by the novel’s readership as central to the ostensibly advanced Vril-ya society—not just a result of advancement but also an important determining factor of it.

The effect of electricity on the Vril-ya’s individual and collective nature is invisible but pervasive, like the nature of the phenomenon. The social vision portrayed by the novel was as exotic as the applications of electricity, most of which had yet to be thought of, let alone heard of. The combination of electricity’s possibilities and the social advancements portrayed in The Coming Race set a precedent for depicting electricity as a technology of the future, one that might alter human capabilities and, literally, man’s place in the world. Investigations of quality artificial lighting, reliable flying machines and faster communications were the focus of technological innovation and the stuff of fiction; they took place not simply on earth but also deeply within it. As such, like the development of electricity itself, they represented what lay beneath the earth’s visible surface and revealed the very centre of human existence.

139 ‘Colymbia’, Saturday Review, 35:905 (Mar. 1, 1873), 289.
v. CASE STUDY

*Another World, or Fragments from the Star City of Montalluyah* (1873) by Benjamin Lumley.

Fiction writings about electricity are located in more distant regions in *Another World, or Fragments from the Star City of Montalluyah* (1873) by Benjamin Lumley.\(^{140}\) I approach the novel as a case study because it demands to be treated differently from the writings already examined. The novel’s basis as a fiction is relatively unusual and contemporary responses to it provide several unusual insights into contemporary perceptions of writings about electricity. I also make extensive use of contemporary reviews to illustrate the novel’s transition from popularity to obscurity, and explore further some of the questions of literary and scientific authority prompted by my thesis. In both these aspects, as well as several others, *Another World* is a particularly fruitful resource.

Benjamin Lumley (1811-1875) was a solicitor who, from 1841, became opera manager of the illustrious His Majesty’s Theatre, London.\(^{141}\) His pen-name, Benjamin Lumley, *Another World, or, Fragments from the Star City of Montalluyah, by ‘Hermes’* (London, 1873). Lumley published his first novel at the age of 51, a relatively inconsequential moral romance called *Sirenia: or, Recollections of a Past Existence* (1862); see ‘Sirenia’, *Saturday Review*, 13:336 (1862: Apr. 5), 390. Lumley’s other writings include a standard book on *Parliamentary Practice on Passing Private Bills* (1838); an account of a legal dispute with the Earl of Dudley, *The Earl of Dudley, Mr. Lumley and Her Majesty’s Theatre* (1863); and his memoirs, *Reminiscences of the Opera* (1864).

however, was ‘Hermes’.\textsuperscript{142} Between 1851 and 1855, he was a science journalist for the \textit{Critic} magazine, reporting on contemporary developments in a huge range of sciences from electricity to anatomy, in two series entitled ‘Scientific Summary’ and ‘Science and Inventions’.\textsuperscript{143} Knowing this background is vital in reading \textit{Another World} because, unlike most of the fiction writers discussed so far in this chapter, he was relatively well-informed about electricity. The fictional ‘electricities’ of his novel differ wildly from actual scientific methods but not apparently as a result of his ignorance. In the 1850s, he reported on the electrical researches of François Arago, David Brewster, John Tyndall and Robert Hunt, to name but a few, and he was a stickler for accuracy; he postponed summarising one of Faraday’s papers, for example, due to ‘correctness in scientific reports being of much more importance than mere rapidity of communication’\textsuperscript{144} As a literary response to electricity, \textit{Another World} appears to be an extravagant fantasy about electricity as a perfect route to technological advancement and social progress. The articulation of ideas about electricity is necessarily oblique, as indicated in the earlier discussion of engagements by Faraday and Maxwell, making it futile and even misguided to seek exact parallels. As Gillian Beer points out, ‘we should not look for stable one-to-one correspondences between scientific exposition and literary creation’.\textsuperscript{145} While correspondences can be identified in writings about electricity, multi-faceted perceptions of the phenomenon also act as sources of instability. \textit{Another World}
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occupies the unusual position of being informed by Lumley’s background of documenting early nineteenth-century developments of electrical science, but it can be approached as a form of ‘science fiction’, in that it ‘compels the reader to revise presumptions of plausibility’.146 Despite the novel’s apparent whimsicality, Lumley was vehemently opposed to those he described as ‘the charlatan and the quack’; indeed, he claimed that ‘no approbation, however stern and unqualified, can be too severe’ for what he described as their ‘pseudo-science’.147 He felt it his duty as a reporter to point out ‘the errors and follies of the day’; however, he also thought it perfectly acceptable to indulge in what he termed ‘the vagaries of the imagination, but all in good faith’.148 *Another World* is not an attempt to represent science faithfully or accurately; it is a work of imagination, which responds to and adapts developments of electricity to engage with the further hopes and concerns of the period.

The novel is set on a planet called Montalluyah, in an unspecified time when electricity is the basis for almost every aspect of life. Electricity and light are considered to be the essence of spirituality, ‘the point of union between the immortal soul and the perishable portions of man’—a relationship between light, spirit and body that echoes many of the previously discussed characterisations of electricity as enigmatic and spiritual.149 To this depiction, Lumley adds ideas about how electricity might affect a range of other contemporary concerns about the future,

146 Seed (2005).
147 Hermes, ‘Science and Inventions’, *Critic*, 11:261 (Feb. 16, 1852), 102. Lumley was objecting to spurious explanations of what was termed ‘Vital Magnetism’. His use of the term ‘approbation’ meaning ‘approval’ may seem mistaken in this context; however, Lumley appears to employ the term’s original meaning, now obsolete, of ‘probation’ or ‘trial’. See, for example, Shakespeare *Measure for Measure* (1623) i. ii. 166: ‘This day, my sister should the Cloyster enter, And there receive her approbation’ (OED).
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such as fuel supplies, food production and medical treatments. The reviews of the novel offer evidence of contemporary readers’ perceptions; by considering them first, I seek to place the novel’s reception on a par with the text, adopting for the treatment of a fiction of science Jonathan Topham’s suggestion that

Until the readers for science are brought into the foreground, and considered as actively engaging with what they read, the processes by which the authoritative audience-relations of science were actually accomplished will not be adequately understood.¹⁵⁰

By foregrounding reviews, I aim to embrace a wider range of responses to the novel’s core themes, and particularly its focus on electricity. The reviews of Another World suggest that, as a response to electricity, it was perceived as helpful and instructive to more general ‘readers for science’. Today, Another World is virtually unheard of and, although it is mentioned in Bleiler’s catalogue of early science fiction, it has not been the focus of any further scholarship.¹⁵¹

a. Reception and Interpretation

When Lumley’s novel was published in March, 1873, it was heralded as ‘an extraordinary and wide-spread sensation’ and, by June, a third edition had already been published, ‘about which intelligent people in all circles have been talking for months past’.¹⁵² These claims appeared in the Musical World, a prestigious weekly

¹⁵¹ Bleiler (1990), 359.
for which Lumley regularly wrote. His influence over the magazine’s contents should not be underestimated, beyond his role at His Majesty’s Theatre. No editor is cited in the magazine’s records and, with Lumley’s profession unknown at the time, his own possible promotion of the novel should be kept in mind. The volume’s pricing might offer an alternative way to assess the novel’s popularity but the mid-nineteenth-century introduction of free circulating libraries makes retail pricing less relevant to readership figures or distinctions. More reliable testament to the novel’s popularity is that extracts continued to be published not only in *Musical World* but also in Dickens’s magazine *All the Year Round*, for over a year after the publication of the volume’s first edition. The extracts appeared under the relevant topic from the novel or in the series ‘Planetary Life’, many of them the same extracts, appearing in *All the Year Round*, first, and then in *Musical World* some two weeks later. In terms of material form, the ‘branding’ of extracts in *All the Year Round* was not as apt; they were printed with a bamboo-style border while in *Musical World* they were always printed with wavy border lines, reminiscent perhaps of electrical waves (see Fig. 13).

---


154 His Majesty’s Theatre was rebuilt in 1869, after being destroyed by fire, and remained empty until 1874, when it was used for Revivalist spiritual meetings; theatrical performances did not resume until 1877. The theatre now changes its name according to the gender of the British monarch (Her Majesty’s Theatre: [http://www.london-theatre-tickets.org.uk/her-majestys-theatre-history.htm](http://www.london-theatre-tickets.org.uk/her-majestys-theatre-history.htm); accessed Aug. 20, 2011).

155 The first edition was priced at twelve shillings, higher than *The Coming Race*, which cost seven shillings and sixpence; the third edition had an increased price of fifteen shillings (see advertisement, *Evening Post*, 10:4 (Feb. 20, 1874), 4.

156 Hermes, ‘Planetary Life’, *All the Year Round*, 11:257 (Nov. 1, 1873) to 11:265 (Dec. 27, 1873) and from 52:11 (Mar. 14, 1874) to 52:20 (May 16, 1874).

157 Extracts cited the source as either the novel or *All the Year Round*; see ‘Planetary Life’, *All the Year Round*, 11:257 (Nov. 1, 1873), 5 and ‘Planetary Life’, *Musical World*, 51:46 (Nov. 15., 1873), 770. On other weeks, there would be an extract in *All the Year Round* and an additional commentary by Hermes in *Musical World*, with a different extract (see ‘Planetary Life’, *All the Year Round*, 11:260 (Nov. 22, 1873), 84 and ‘Planetary Life’, *Musical World*, 51:47 (Nov. 22, 1873), 783.
The novel was published in volume form under the ‘Hermes’ pseudonym, keeping the author’s identity a mystery in much the same way as Bulwer-Lytton’s anonymous publication of *The Coming Race*. Any degree of public credibility the novel might have drawn from Lumley’s previous scientific reporting would have been negligible by this point anyway, after a two decade gap; but the anonymity performed another function, as the ground for the novel’s simultaneously mediated and first-person narration. The author claims that he is actually just the novel’s editor and the story has been dictated to him by the ruler of Montalluyah. Such a far-fetched explanation meets with inevitable derision from modern readers and prompts

---

Bleiler, for example, to dismiss the novel as ‘an infantile effort’. Some contemporary readers were also dismissive, describing it as ‘the flight of a wild fancy—the freak of a keen imagination’ and demanding that, in order to be believed, ‘we want a proof!’ Others were more forgiving, though, reading it as gently satirical; as Macmillan’s Magazine suggests, the author had too much of ‘a glow of good humour [...] to find room on his lip for a sneer’. Perhaps more surprisingly, the Sunday Times judged that the novel ‘gives a faithful, though at present incomplete, account of an actually existing world, whose inhabitants are formed like those of our own planet.’ The reviewer’s comment implies, very over-generously, that some readers might have believed an entire population could ‘actually’ exist on another planet.

The novel plays on persistent connections between electricity and the nature of physical phenomena—issues not set apart from scientific explorations of electricity. As Peter Harman suggests, they were also ‘interwoven with debates on the nature of physical reality’. The author’s claims that the novel was dictated by the unearthly figure of Montalluyah’s ruler prompted the Spiritualist’s reviewer to suggest that ‘it is not impossible that spirits from another planet may sometimes communicate, with more or less precision through a medium’. In the Morning Post, too, Hermes’s views of electrical science were noted as ‘peculiar’; electricity was still considered to be both ‘the great executive of nature’ and ‘almost as occult as what is called

159 Bleiler (1990), 360.
161 ‘Another World’, Macmillan’s Magazine, 28:164 (June, 1873), 140.
164 ‘Another World (from The Spiritualist, March 15)’, Musical World, 51:17 (Apr. 26, 1873), 263.
spiritualism’. 165 The Saturday Review, in contrast, described the novel as ‘a very curious book’, the ‘exact purport’ of which was hard to understand; the reviewer praised the novel’s ‘plain, matter of fact, and even minute descriptions’ as entirely different from the ‘spiritual manifestations which are fast taking place among the bores of the period’ in ‘this table-rapping age’. 166 The three reviews capture the debates over ‘Spiritism’ that dominated the context of the novel’s publication and other literary responses to electricity. It is worth noting too that, in 1869, not long before the novel’s publication, the London Dialectical Society was formed to report on spiritual manifestations of unexplained phenomena, which electricity was frequently considered to be. As the Orchestra magazine reported, the Society invited several leading scientists to participate but G. H. Lewes refused and T. H. Huxley declared that it would be ‘better live a crossing-sweeper than die and be made to talk twaddle by a “medium” hired at a guinea a séance’. 167 After two and half years, Dr. James Edmunds (the committee’s Chair) was forced to admit that apparently spiritual phenomena were of a ‘thoroughly contemptible nature’ and nothing more than ‘unconscious action’, ‘self-delusion’ or ‘imposture’. 168 Within this context, the title of Another World appears to present a satirical association between the novel’s extra-terrestrial location and contemporary fascination with the supernatural.

The novel’s purpose as a utopian fiction was more widely agreed upon. The society of Lumley’s fictitious planet is overtly ideal and electricity is fundamental to its advanced state. There is no suggestion of ambivalence; indeed, the narrator asserts
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that ‘we were greatly aided by our extended knowledge of electricity’, which has allowed technological and social progress.\(^{169}\) The narrator emphasises that, on Montalluyah, elements do not have to operate in the same way as on Earth, thereby creating leeway for the novel to stray from actual contemporary science. Many of Lumley’s descriptions appear, at least initially, to refer to the realities of nineteenth-century electrical science. He claims, for example, that the Montalluyahns had recognised that ‘all electricities were in reality one and the same’, in reference to the unity proposed by Michael Faraday, although this is not specifically mentioned. Perhaps anticipating objections, the narrator praises ideas about unified electricity as arrived at by ‘truly great men, for they had opened the gates of science’.\(^{170}\) The narrator declares that ‘the principle’ of unified electricity is right, but he then suggests that ‘as was subsequently shown, the application and the conclusion were wrong’.\(^{171}\) Lumley’s fictional narrator can depart with impunity from the realities of electrical discovery, forestalling criticism about his own lack of scientific knowledge and allowing, as a result, greater imaginative possibilities of electricity to be explored. He is free to assert, for example, that ‘tangible and visible proofs exist beyond doubt that every kind of body and substance, whether animate or inanimate, contains electricity of its own’.\(^{172}\) Within his fictional framework, he does not need to pause to present the detail of any of those ‘proofs’.

Completely in opposition to the propositions of contemporary electrical science, the narrator differentiates its different types, claiming that
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Some electricities are diffused and attenuated; some are concentrated; others are so tenacious of the body to which they belong that they are all but steadfast. Some are sympathetic; some antipathetic, attracting or repelling each other; some mingle gently; others, when brought into contact, cause violent explosions.\textsuperscript{173}

To make his explanations more credible, Lumley adopts concepts and vocabulary from the sciences; his reference to ‘diffusion’, for example, referred to the early nineteenth-century description of how gases and liquids moved.\textsuperscript{174} He also employs terms in relation to electricity that came into common use several years later. ‘Attenuation’, for example, was an established general synonym for ‘thinning’ or ‘diminishing’ but only in the 1880s was it used to refer to the lessening of an electrical signal or current, as Lumley uses it.\textsuperscript{175} The example hints at the unseen contributions that literature might have made to scientific terminologies, despite the difficulty of tracing their routes of transmission. Indeed, as the novel illustrates, Lumley shows remarkable prescience in imagining technologies that would not be discovered until almost a century later.

b. Electricity, Nature and Production

In \emph{Another World}, the natural world is the source of all electricity: as the narrator explains, ‘many beasts, birds, insects, fish, reptiles, trees, plants, water, in short, all substances organic and inorganic, possess each its own peculiar electricity’.\textsuperscript{176}

\begin{flushright}
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Rather than being portrayed as artificial, as electricity has been in the fictions discussed previously, it is presented as a source of fuel inseparable from other products in the natural world, which is simply harnessed by the inhabitants of Montalluyah. The novel describes the social and moral advances on the planet, almost all of which arise from advances in the processing and technology of electricity. The depiction goes beyond simply portraying the results of using electricity, as Bulwer-Lytton did; instead, the novel offers a detailed vision of how electricity might answer some of the most pressing issues of 1870s Britain.

Technological utopianism was a characteristic feature of 1870s literature, which provided the foundation for similar fictions in the 1880s. While Another World might be read as simple literary escapism, it also posits suggestions for some of the period’s key industrial concerns. While electricity is used partly ‘to delight and instruct the people’, to enhance singing and produce soothing music, most of its uses are more sober. The economic climate at the time makes this unsurprising, as industrial growth in Britain registered a sharp fall in the 1860s and 1870s. Modern scholarship suggests that the utopian impulse in the period’s literature was allied to the ‘Great Depression’ between the mid-1870s and the mid-1890s, which exposed a distinct decline in Britain’s industrial supremacy. How the country’s industrial productivity and future progress would be powered was a central question in what Gowan Dawson describes as the ‘ever more “technocratic”’ society of the
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1870s.\textsuperscript{181} It was an especially transitional period in terms of fuel; with diminishing supplies of inefficient wood, the ‘phenomenal expansion’ of coal mining began in earnest.\textsuperscript{182} Electricity was in competition with gas, coal and, from the 1850s, kerosene, as the fuel of the future. Lumley did not have the scientific knowledge to suggest actual fuel alternatives, and Another World does not explicitly propose the adoption of Montalluyahn sources or methods. Instead, electricity appears to be portrayed as an exemplar of a clean, efficient and abundant fuel source, and one that might offer the prospect of reliable future progress.

The novel’s concerns about fuel related to the wider issue of what we now call ‘sustainability’, particularly in the novel’s proposed use of electricity for agricultural production. In 1871, less than two years before Another World was published, the Siege of Paris had resulted in horrific stories of starvation in the British press.\textsuperscript{183} British fears about the reliability of food supplies were intensified further by reports of the 1868 and 1870 famines in India, in which almost one and a half million people died of starvation.\textsuperscript{184} Yet rather than focusing on the production of food, Another World offers an extensive depiction of how electricity might be used to improve flower production. Careful consideration of the novel in relation to contemporary fears, as well as today’s hindsight, indicates that the target of Lumley’s satire was the frivolity of electrical applications, in the face of much greater concerns of life and
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death. The irony was lost on most contemporary readers, though; many of whom still thought electricity would cure the world’s ills.

The artificial light on Montalluyah has a particular, almost feminised beauty—‘not as vivid as that of the external world, but subdued and beautifully soft’. Electricity is the source of organic production, whereby plants ‘attract a sufficient quantity of electricity of the ground’ and when ‘the two electricities are, as it were, married, their united heat and power force the seed to burst’, a nostalgic image that calls up Erasmus Darwin’s eighteenth-century electrical vision of the ‘two electric streams that conspire’ at the beginning of creation. The beauty and scents of natural flowers are increased by electricity but it also creates distinctly nineteenth-century productions, whereby metal flowers are created, based on their natural counterparts. There is something distorted and grotesque about the exaggeration of the natural flower and its replication in metal. In a strange combination of nineteenth-century hydroponics and electroplating, the metal is shaped around the original flower and a ‘bag of sympathetic electricity’ is ‘arranged to fit closely around the form of the metal-flower in such a way that the electricity has no escape’. The fragile natural form is trapped and held in place by the circulating electricity, in a metaphor of electricity’s effect on what is natural. The narrator explains that, in the process of electroplating:
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It is essential that the charge should be sufficiently strong to modify or overpower the electricity already existing in the plant, in order to change the form which this would otherwise take.  

Rather than the Romantic depiction of science revealing nature to expose electricity, electricity becomes the dominant force, overpowering the natural form sufficiently to change it. Like some of the short-fiction portrayals, electricity’s distorting effects are evident, as if to expose its underlying falsifying capacity and incompatibility with organic forms.

Electricity from plants produces an expanded colour spectrum on Montalluyah, not just ‘roses, pink, blue, green, lilac, brown’, but also ‘fire-colour’ and ‘sun-colour’. Lumley’s fascination with the colour spectrum reflects contemporary scientific interest in the subject, evident in James Clerk Maxwell’s published papers on colour, its perception and colour ‘blindness’ between 1855 and 1872. Lumley’s scientific reporting preceded Maxwell’s work in the 1850s, but Maxwell’s paper ‘On Colour Vision’ was published in 1872, the year before the publication of Lumley’s novel.

The fictional framework of Another World allows electricity, light and colour to be bound together, so that the electricities of birds and gold-fish give ‘lovely colours’, ‘moss gives a colour resembling fire-sparks’ and ‘frogs produce a beautiful violet’. Precisely how they do so is not explained but the fiction touches on genuine electrical theories, for example, of popular early nineteenth-century reports of violet as the colour Sir Isaac Newton identified in the short-wavelength end of the
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visible spectrum.\textsuperscript{193} Violet was strongly associated with femininity, partly after it was categorised as such by the German painter and theorist Phillip Otto Runge in 1809.\textsuperscript{194} The colour became tremendously fashionable after its introduction in the textile industry, as an aniline dye in 1856.\textsuperscript{195} The narrator’s reference to violet may be made in passing but it may also have been a conscious effort to capture the interest of female readers.

The production of colour is portrayed as a harmless manipulation of the natural world, as invented as the process of hunting, in which electricity also plays a vital role. Wild birds are caught by using a combination of seeds and ‘sympathetic electricity’, with a long hollow metal tube. The tube has a globe at the bottom containing a powerful acid, which is released when the bird alights, causing ‘a drop of acid in the globe to escape into the tube, and so set in movement a current of electricity’. Being ‘calmed by the electricity’, the birds do not ‘flutter or struggle when thus secured’ and netted.\textsuperscript{196} Similarly, although vivisection is practiced, ‘the animal’s eyes are bandaged, so that he does not even know what is going on, but is free from pain’.\textsuperscript{197} The reassurances were likely aimed at supporters of the growing anti-vivisection movement, which resulted in the law for the Protection of Animals Liable to Vivisection in 1875, shortly after the publication of \textit{Another World}.\textsuperscript{198}
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The electrical applications Lumley depicts tend to address how activities, such as electroplating flowers, colour production and hunting, are pursued rather than why. The novel can be read as a flawed satire or, alternatively, as a pastiche of flawed understandings of electricity resulting in ill-conceived solutions to contemporary problems. The fictionality of the novel was often understood by readers, even if they were somewhat confused as to the author’s intent. On the one hand, the *Morning Post* praised the novel as ‘a good, if not sublime, effort of creative ability’.

The *Echo*’s reviewer claimed, on the other hand, that ‘the mode of action ascribed to [electricity] would make electricians stare’ and that the author’s knowledge of electricity was ‘not hazy enough for jest, yet too hazy for sober earnest’. Even so, he praised the social applications of electrical inventions in the novel and claimed that, for readers who still knew little about electricity, the novel offered ‘amusement combined with no little instruction’. The novel’s ‘no little instruction’ could be understood as none at all; however, most of the novel’s reviews indicate that it was viewed as an effective and entertaining way of introducing non-specialist readers to concepts of electricity.

c. **Electrical Futures**

Lumley’s novel appears to be a satire that missed its mark, one that was understood by some readers to be a genuine introduction to electrical concepts, as the reviews indicate. The novel is precariously positioned between science, satire and
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utopianism, but, as Peter Godfrey-Smith suggests in his discussion of how model-based scientific practices relate to fictional models, ‘the behavior of the imaginary system is explored, and this is used as the basis for an understanding of more complex real-world systems.’ Another World presented an imaginary existence, by which readers attempted to understand electricity and its future possibilities. Their interest was engaged by a combination of motivations. The fictional framework was free of material, technical and political restrictions, allowing readers not just entertainment but also the possibility of being part of future developments. The book’s liminal character exploited an intriguing position that drew on both fiction and science, and yet, as I consider further now, it also occupied a position between them.

Scientific theory does not inevitably precede fiction portrayals or provide the basis for imaginary depictions. The wider non-specialist audience included those developing the whole range of contemporary sciences, many of whom had no more understanding of electricity than other non-specialist readers. Contemporary scientists were no different from other readers, in enjoying reading novels; to take just two examples, James Clerk Maxwell notes in his diary, ‘I have been reading Villette by Currer Bell alias Miss Brontë’, and it is known that Charles Darwin’s wife, Emma, regularly read novels to him. While scientists’ reading of fiction is not always clearly documented, these instances indicate that fiction was read by...

them. The ideas portrayed in fiction may well have helped inspire scientific efforts; in fact, all the more so, if the ideas were exciting but the methodologies poor.

The lack of methodological rigour in Lumley’s novel is undeniable but the novel’s key themes are not entirely divorced from the actual science. David Samuelson’s scholarship on twentieth-century science fiction argues that the depiction of science in fiction is not dissimilar to explorations by science and engineering, in that it ‘makes plausible models of beings, places, and times nobody has yet encountered.’ In the short stories discussed earlier, electrical reanimation of the dead resembled subsequent defibrillation technologies. In Another World, ‘concentrated light’ aids microscopy, providing an interesting example of where fiction might have preceded science, by prefiguring later developments in 1893 with August Köhler’s innovative use of light techniques known as ‘Köhler illumination’. In Another World, electricity is stored in a large central building called ‘The Electrical Store-house’, a portrayal probably inspired by the construction of the Edison Electric Light Station, which generated hydro-electric power through the Holburn Valley Viaduct in the centre of London. Although the plant did not begin operating until 1882, the visibly prominent, six-year construction project was completed in 1869, a few years before Another World was published (see Fig. 14), and was a major technological development in the public mind.
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Lumley’s account of electricities ‘secured in non-conducting pouches’ and separated into ‘sympathetic’ and ‘antagonistic’ electricities, which might explode otherwise, seems informed by assorted concepts of magnetism and electrical conductivity, attraction and repulsion.\(^{208}\) In 1836, John Daniell had invented the ‘Daniell cell’, which produced a reliable constant current, while liquid electrodes to produce electricity were devised by William Grove in 1839 and Robert Bunsen in 1842.\(^{209}\) These were followed by continental innovations, including Gaston Plante’s lead acid storage battery in 1859 and, just before the publication of Lumley’s novel, Georges Leclanché’s invention of the single-fluid electric-generating battery using zinc in
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Although usable electrical batteries would not become commercially available until the 1880s, well after the publication of *Another World*, electrical storage technologies existed sufficiently to be widely known in science and in fiction by 1873. The novel’s mixture of obsolete ideas about electricity with the century’s newest science seems consciously designed to confuse readers and, in some cases, it succeeded. Even Bleiler concedes that ‘the level of science is very high’ in the novel, and that ‘this is basically due to the understanding of electricity.’ However, as Lumley had suggested previously, the purpose of the novel was not to report his own knowledge but to play with the possibilities electricity might present.

d. Electrical Medicines and Treatments

Lumley presents electricity as the future of anaesthesia and neurosurgery, participating in the speculation and debates of emerging medical science. In the 1860s, safe pain relief was not yet a matter of fact but it was, as Stephanie Snow suggests, ‘a touchstone for humanitarianism’. *Another World* does not aim to simplify the scientific realities of pain relief and anaesthesia, nor does it work to interpret existing theories; it operates on an altogether different level. Lumley’s fiction accords with the goals of 1870s research on effective pain relief and
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anaesthesia, a paradigm shift that historian of anaesthesia David Zuck describes as the ‘acceptance of the idea that one might safely and reversibly deliberately produce unconsciousness, hitherto an ominous sign of life-threatening illness’ (author’s emphasis). Like the imaginary concepts used by Faraday or Maxwell, the fictions of Lumley’s novel represent a form of problem-solving; Lumley’s vision of anaesthesia, for example, accords with Brian Boyd’s notion of fiction as a form of ‘cognitive play’, rather than as an earnest model of anaesthesia. The novel presented, albeit in fictional form, the possibility of pain relief as an easily available, safe and manageable option; in doing so, it contributed to a particular shift in medical practices.

In the novel, pain-lulling electricity is extracted ‘from a small pet-bird of pink and green plumage’. The concept of electrical anaesthesia through such a juxtaposition echoes Newton’s suggestion that ‘bodies act upon another by the attractions of gravity, magnetism, and electricity’. However, the fictitious pain-lulling electricity is ‘attracted to the nerves of sensation, and the sense of feeling remains suspended during several hours while the nerves and muscles continue to function normally’, an explanation that shares nineteenth-century scientific aspirations during an especially unstable period in the development of pain relief and anaesthesia. The novel was published at the mid-point of nineteenth-century
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disputes about chemical pain relief and contemporary reviews compared the ‘pain-luller’ to chloroform.\textsuperscript{219} Although the British Medical Journal campaigned for the use of ether in surgery, which was considered safer for patients, Queen Victoria’s use of chloroform during the birth of Prince Leopold in 1853 persuaded medical authorities to endorse it as the favoured anaesthetic in 1861.\textsuperscript{220}

Electrical pain relief on Montalluyah was critical to the other medical advances Lumley presented in the novel, for it allowed the brain to be viewed and its electrical basis to be revealed. Surgery takes place in which the patient’s skull is made transparent ‘by the aid of concentrated light and of an instrument called an “electric viewer,”’ [and] the currents of electricity in the brain’ are made visible.\textsuperscript{221} Synaptic currents are revealed as ‘myriads of electrical lines’ and they are ‘literally composed of electricity’; like ‘a mathematical line’, they have ‘length without breadth.’ The narrator specifies carefully how the brain’s ‘lines’ (and we might think here of Faraday’s ‘lines of force’) are not just metaphorically but actually made up of electricity. The novel attempts again to predict developments yet to happen by means of electricity, rather than just representing the goals of actual contemporary medicine. \textit{Another World} predates the 1895 discovery of x-rays by more than twenty years, but Lumley recognised the significance of electricity for developing the technology in brain surgery and neuroscience.\textsuperscript{222}
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The 1870s was a critical period in the relationship between electrical science and experimental neurology. Fiction writings about electricity, like Lumley’s, contributed to the investigative environment of the period for, as Godfrey-Smith suggests, ‘we engage in games of make-believe about an actual object, with the purpose of learning more about that very object.’ Reliable and controllable electrical currents were essential for carrying out surgical procedures in neurology. By 1873, David Ferrier (1843–1928) had already begun performing electrical stimulations of the cortex, the results of which he reported at the British Association. His experiments in the 1880s at the West Riding Lunatic Asylum in Yorkshire and several London hospitals experiments were widely publicised, alongside those of John Hughlings Jackson (1835–1911) and James Crichton-Browne (1840–1937). In Another World, the narrator depicts neurosurgery but he also describes the brain’s physical composition and basis as electrical, with ‘filaments’ that are ‘set in motion by the impulsion of thought’. Although the term ‘filament’ was used in relation to nerves by psychologist Alexander Bain in 1855, it was not associated with electricity until 1881. Lumley’s narrator describes the appearance of the brain’s electrical ‘filaments’ as ‘straight, spiral, and otherwise curved, and of varied length and colours’, like the light bulbs that had yet to be fashioned and with which the term would become tightly linked. In the novel, the patient undergoing the operation is able to talk with the surgeons while they watch the lines moving in his brain, in response to electrical stimuli and the different subjects they discuss with him. Lumley’s fictional scenario is extraordinary because it not only envisages improvements in nineteenth-century medical science but also
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envisages surgical procedures such as the ‘awake craniotomy’, which have only become possible in the last fifty years.²²⁶ Lumley’s fiction offered no specific advice on achieving the scientific actuality but, in tandem with the century’s other ongoing developments, it would have expanded the *imagined* possibilities of neurosurgery.

Nineteenth-century neurosurgery was often psychosurgery, surgical operations performed on the brain to treat mental illness. In *Another World*, the procedures are portrayed as ‘valuable’ investigations, rather than treatments for mental illness. They are also described as rare because of the effects on patients, who could be ‘insensible for some time afterwards, and felt the effects for years’. We are also told that patients were not allowed to marry afterwards, due to unspecified but ‘serious consequences’.²²⁷ Mental illness or ‘madness’ is mentioned only briefly in the novel and not in relation to medical treatments or innovations.²²⁸ Like the short fictions about electricity discussed earlier, the character described as mad in *Another World* is also portrayed as a misunderstood genius; it is his untimely recognition of electricity’s potential power that leads the rest of society to condemn him as a ‘madman’.

The assessment of Lumley’s *Another World* and his earlier novel *Sirenia* (1862) merely as ‘experiments in what would later be called science fiction’ underplays the literary sensation that *Another World* caused in its day.²²⁹ Nevertheless, the novel

²²⁷ Lumley (1873), 67.
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provided many of the core elements for more celebrated late-nineteenth-century fictions that portray electricity as a combination of realistic science, the supernatural and the imagined, such as Marie Corelli’s *A Romance of Two Worlds* (1886), and the time travels of W. H. Hudson’s *A Crystal Age* (1887) or H. G. Wells’s *The Time Machine* (1895).

The precise contribution that fiction writings made to scientific developments remains difficult to gauge. However, as Kuhn recognises, ‘perhaps science does not develop by the accumulation of individual discoveries and inventions’ but, rather, by the influences of their wider contexts. The description in popular novels of the contexts, experiences and potential ramifications of electricity contributed substantially to understandings and, indeed, misunderstandings of the phenomenon. At the same time, portrayals of electrical experimentation and how they were received in the press shaped wider perceptions of science, its practice and practitioners. While direct correlations between fictions about electricity and the shape of nineteenth-century sciences cannot always be established, it is clear that a lively exchange existed between them.

Several implications can be drawn from the comparison of references to electricity in canonical works and the other contemporary novels. The canonical works discussed earlier in the chapter engage with ideas of electricity, but they do so by means of metaphor, which tend to rely on commonly experienced features of the phenomenon, such as volatility, speed or invisibility. The novels mentioned have a literary credibility and worth that they have retained; however, in terms of electricity, they

offer engagements that are invariably oblique. The novels offer little in the way of reflection about the phenomenon, in terms of its technical properties, its contemporary significance, or its role within the broader narrative of each novel. In contrast, popular novels such as *Auriol, A Strange Story, The Coming Race* and *Another World* offered sustained and detailed depictions of electricity’s properties, possibilities and repercussions. While their literary merit is sometimes debatable, their popularity makes them significant as contributions to contemporary awareness of electricity. Novels such as *Another World* prompted fascination, questioning and understandings (or misunderstandings) of scientific developments, as the reviews indicate. They were equally important elements of nineteenth-century literature, which often had more substantial influence on contemporary society than canonical works. For that reason, it is important to look beyond the canon and consider ostensibly ‘marginal’ novels, as well as scientists’ writings, popular books and periodical writings, as part of the evaluation and reassessment of literatures in the nineteenth century. It is only through understanding more fully the pluralistic nature of nineteenth-century authorships, contexts and reading that we can achieve a more informed and balanced view of nineteenth-century literatures and culture.
Conclusion

The comparison of different literatures considered in the present work reveals a nexus of integrated nineteenth-century literary, scientific and cultural interests. ‘Before doing physics,’ Jean-François Lyotard asserts, ‘one must study the essence of the physical fact’. However, the allure of electricity went beyond what was distinctly physical or factual, and embraced altogether murkier realms, such as phenomenology, philosophy and psychology. Literary responses expressed scientists’ questions about how electricity could be represented, popularisers’ challenges of who should be representing it, and fiction authors’ conjectures about what it might mean. The juxtaposition of their writings reveals, however, that the three perspectives frequently overlapped and diverged, and that crucial ambiguities often prevailed across the groupings.

The investigation of electricity was never straightforward, not least because it presented considerable obstacles to established processes of experimentation and observation. Understanding electricity’s properties meant addressing not just provable facts but also the nature of cognition and representation, elements of a literary and philosophical nature that were integral features of the phenomenon. Distinctions between literature and science and between fiction and non-fiction are
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rarely fixed, as the writings illustrate. As George Levine suggests, ‘fully to understand the “science”… one must recognise how the language contributed to it, evoked resistances, entailed compliance.’ Ideas about nineteenth-century electricity were formed and conveyed by being communicated, processes that revealed implicit instabilities in the boundaries of science, its relationship to literature and its cultural location. Responses to ideas about electricity differed in terms of audience and purpose, yet authors employed and adapted similarly available forms of representation. As I contend in chapter two, writings about electricity work against aspirations of objectivity and self-abnegation. The subjectivity evident in fictional portrayals is apparent in Faraday’s and Maxwell’s accounts of electrical experimentation, as well as the highly personalised depictions offered by Sturgeon, Peck and Tyndall. Awareness and understandings of electricity are shaped by how, where and to whom it is presented; as the examples discussed throughout this dissertation indicate, rather than conforming to a two-fold progression of production and consumption, the mechanisms by which knowledge was created and communicated in the nineteenth century were decidedly multi-dimensional in nature. 

Readers of instructional books about electricity were interested in how the phenomenon worked and what it could do, rather than what it actually was. However, even the most pragmatic of reading purposes about electricity relied heavily upon elements of fictionality and imagination, to envisage the experiments described by Peck, the scientific communities portrayed by Faraday and Sturgeon,

and the wider benefits of the endeavour proposed by Robert Hunt. For practitioners, the appeal of electricity was modernity. Its associated technologies promised a projected ideal of ease, convenience and cheapness, as well as financial reward. Enlisting electrical power could protect against the conflicts and challenges of the future, by providing what was later described ironically as the ‘brilliant electric armour of the modern world’.

In that sense, knowledge about electricity brought forth technologies that supplemented man’s ‘natural’ capacities, an aspect that prompted conflicting responses from fiction authors. Despite electricity’s origins in the natural world, it had a disjointed relationship with what was perceived to be the natural order. Scientific understanding and technological developments of electricity exacerbated this impression by potentially distancing human beings from the timings, processes and expectations of the natural world. The accentuation of electricity’s aesthetic and sensational appeal in short stories and its presentation as a preternatural force in novels points to the Luddite and Romantic nostalgia noted in the period by modern scholars. The novels portray advanced developments of electricity as an artificial power that stood in opposition to the natural forms and rhythms, of which man had always been a part. The responses compare, for example, to John Ruskin’s citation of electricity alongside other developments in Modern Painters. To emphasise the conflict between technological developments and man’s fundamental nature, he suggests that

To watch the corn grow, and the blossoms set; to draw hard breath over ploughshare or spade; to read, to think, to love, to hope, to pray,—these are the things that make men happy; they have always had the power of doing these, they never will have
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power to do more. The world’s prosperity or adversity depends upon our knowing and teaching these few things: but upon iron, or glass, or electricity, or steam, in no wise (author’s emphasis).  

Ruskin’s objection reacts to the increasingly important role that electricity and contemporaneous developments played in ‘the world’s prosperity or adversity’ and the new aspirations that drove them. Fiction writings about electricity encapsulated and expressed further dimensions of Ruskin’s fears, in representing not just scientific developments but the phenomenon of electricity itself as an alluring yet stealthily distorting influence, which had the potential to alter man’s connectedness with natural patterns of existence and established orders. At the same time, as Iser contends, ‘fiction proves to be a matrix for all kinds of processes’.  

Ostensibly naïve fictional responses that describe characters such as ‘Mr Hippsley’ or Elias Johns in ‘Reminiscences’ mask a complex network of affiliated anxieties about the psychological and physical effects of electricity and man’s engagement with it. Disquiet about the pace of nineteenth-century scientific and technological change prompted portrayals of electrical experimentation as a poisonous and dehumanising process that results in the repulsive productions of the ‘Tree of Knowledge’, the dehumanised and intimidating Vril-ya, or the other-worldly artificiality of Montalluyah. The authors engage with science by portraying individual experiences of electrical experimentation, rather than the processes of producing a collective and useful bank of technical knowledge; in doing so, they also seek to reveal the damaging repercussions of the period’s widespread fascination with electricity.

---

Assumptions of narrative form, epistemology and audience were repeatedly questioned by nineteenth-century responses to electricity and experimentation, which resulted in a veritable mélange of impressions. Scientific and practical ideas existed alongside fictions about electricity. By examining them together, the interactions between them become apparent, allowing us to gain a more complete and accurate understanding of how electricity was perceived by contemporary scientists, writers and readers. Nineteenth-century writings about electricity demonstrate that responses to electricity blended new ideas with old, scientific with literary, and conceptual with manifest. In studying one, we also find the other. We are provided, as a result, with new ways to understand how knowledge is formed, how it develops, and the fundamental the role of literature in both specialist and non-specialist explorations of complex ideas—understandings that remain as relevant and important today as they were in the nineteenth century.
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'Reflex Musings: Reflection from Various Surfaces' (18th April, 1853)

By James Clerk Maxwell

In the dense entangled street,  
Where the web of Trade is weaving,  
Forms unknown in crowds I meet  
Much of each and all believing;  
Each his small designs achieving  
Hurries on with restless feet,  
While, through Fancy’s power deceiving,  
Self in every form I greet.

Oft in yonder rocky dell  
Neath the birches’ shadow seated,  
I have watched the darksome well,  
Where my stooping form, repeated,  
Now advanced and now retreated  
With the spring’s alternative swell,  
Till destroyed before completed  
As the big drops grew and fell.

By the hollow mountain-side  
Questions strange I shout for ever,  
While the echoes far and wide  
Seem to mock my vain endeavour;  
Still I shout, for though they never  
Cast my borrowed voice aside,  
Words from empty words they sever –  
Words of Truth from words of Pride.

Yes, the faces in the crowd,  
And the wakened echoes, glancing  
From the mountains, rocky browed,  
And the lights in water dancing –  
Each, my wandering sense entrancing,  
Tells me back my thoughts aloud,  
All the joys of Truth enhancing  
Crushing all that makes me proud.

---

'A Problem in Dynamics’ (19th February, 1854)\(^9\)

By James Clerk Maxwell

An inextensible heavy chain
Lies on a smooth horizontal plane,
An impulsive force is applied at A,
Required the initial motion of K.
Let \( ds \) be the *infinitesimal* link,
Of which for the present we’ve only to think;
Let \( T \) be the tension, and \( T + dT \)
The same for the end that is nearest to B.
Let \( \alpha \) be put, by a common convention,
For the angle at M ‘twixt OX and the tension;
Let \( V_t \) and \( V_n \) be \( ds \)’s velocities,
Of which \( V_t \) along and \( V_n \) across it is;
Then \( V_n \) the tangent will equal, \( V_t \)
Of the angle of starting worked out in the sequel.

In working the problem the first thing of course is
To equate the impressed and effectual forces.
K is tugged by two tensions, whose difference \( dT \)
(1) Must equal the element’s mass into \( V_t \),
\( V_n \) must be due to the force perpendicular
To \( ds \)’s direction, which shows the particular
Advantage of using \( da \) to serve at your
Pleasure to estimate \( ds \)’s curvature.
For \( V_n \) into mass of a unit of chain
(2) Must equal the curvature into the strain.

Thus managing cause and effect to discriminate,
The student must fruitlessly try to eliminate,
And painfully learn, that in order to do it, he
Must find the Equation of Continuity.
The reason is this, that the tough little element,
Which is the force of impulsion to beat to a jelly meant,
Was endowed with a property incomprehensible,
And was “given,” in the language of *Shop*, “inextensible.”
It therefore with such pertinacity odd defied
The force which the length of the chain would have modified,
That its stubborn example may possibly yet recall
These overgrown rhymes to their prosody metrical.
The condition is got by resolving again,
According to axes assumes in the plane.

\(^9\) Ibid. 625.
If then you reduce to the tangent and normal,
(3) You will find the equation more neat tho’ less formal.
(4) The condition thus found after these preparations,
   When duly combined with the former equations,
   Will give you another, in which differentials
(5) (When the chain forms a circle), become in essentials
   No harder than those that we easily solve
(6) In the time a T totum would take to resolve.

Now joyfully leaving $ds$ to itself, a-
Tend to the values of $T$ and of $a$.
The chain undergoes a distorting convulsion,
Produced first at $A$ by the force of impulsion.
In magnitude $R$, in direction tangential,
(7) Equating this $R$ to the form exponential,
   Obtained for the tension when $a$ is zero,
   It will measure the tug, such a tug as the “hero
   Plume-waving” experienced, tied to the chariot.
   But when dragged by the heels his grim head could not carry aught,
(8) So give $a$ its due at the end of the chain,
   And the tension ought there to be zero again.
   From these two conditions we get three equations,
   Which serve to determine the proper relations
   Between the first impulse and each coefficient
   In the form for the tension, and this is sufficient
   To work out the problem, and then, if you choose,
   You may turn it and twist it the Dons to amuse.