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This thesis constructs an ethnography for the nineteenth century |Xam Bushmen of the Northern Cape Province of South Africa, known primarily through a nineteenth century manuscript collection of oral narrative (the Bleek-Lloyd archive), which has, over the past twenty-five years, increasingly become the focus of scholarly attention, mined for insights about the cultural world of southern Bushman societies. It draws on the Bleek-Lloyd archive to produce a detailed ethnographic case study, focusing on the ideological and ontological concepts that underpinned the differentiation of |Xam society. Firstly, the thesis situates the archive and |Xam society within their particular environmental and historical contexts, providing valuable supplementary information that informs readings of the narratives. By producing a fully searchable transcription of the entirety of the archive, paying close attention to emic terminology, and examining the recurrence of thematic associations of this phraseology throughout the narratives, the analysis explores the constitution of |Xam ‘personhood’ and examines the extent to which the ‘hunter-gatherer’ category forms a useful heuristic for understanding |Xam society, with a particular focus on models of the ‘animic ontology’. The |Xam deployed a series of positively and negatively evaluated traits in the creation of dimensions of authority, obligation, and social responsibility, embedded in particular social identities; central to these constructions and to the differentiation of these identities were the techniques and resources of |Xam subsistence practices, salient in the production of admirable (socially-responsible hunters), reprehensible (antagonistic ‘beasts of prey’), and more ambiguous (ǃgiːtǝn ritual specialists) identities. Recognising this internal differentiation, the thesis outlines |Xam ‘subsistence strategies’ and suggests they should be defined broadly to include their contacts and interactions with non-|Xam groups, with domesticated animals, and with the novel material culture of the colonial period; these interactions were a consequence of their ‘hunter-gatherer’ strategies rather than a negation of them. Such strategies generated experiences that reinforced and reconstituted |Xam ideological frameworks, incorporating the dynamics of the nineteenth century ‘frontier’ scenario and provided avenues for social change that ultimately led to the collapse of independent hunter-gatherer lifeways, and to the adoption of strategies that incorporated |Xam individuals within rural and urban ‘Coloured’ populations of the Northern Cape; placing the |Xam in a comparative colonial context, the thesis stresses the wider relevance of this particular ethnography for understanding hunter-gatherer engagements with food-producing, state-level societies.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

The Bleek-Lloyd Archive

This thesis owes its existence to a remarkable project of late nineteenth century South Africa. In 1862, the German linguist Wilhelm Bleek became the curator of the Grey Collection at the South African Library in Cape Town. Bleek was interested in the |Xam language (Appendix A, orthography and pronunciation guide:377) spoken by southern African ‘Bushman’ populations, seeing it both as in imminent danger of extinction and (guided by contemporary social theory) as illustrative of primitive stages of language evolution (Moran, 2009:125). Beginning in the late 1850s and continuing throughout the 1860s, Bleek interviewed Khoekhoe and Bushman (Appendix C, notes on nomenclature:387) convicts in the Breakwater prison, eventually gaining permission to have convicts transferred to his house. The information collected from the |Xam individuals\(^1\)

\(^1\) The main informants were five men, la!kunta, lkabbo, ikasin, Dia!kwain, ln!kass’o , and one woman, !kweitǝn-ta-ǃkɔŋ.
released to his custody constitutes what is now known as the Bleek-Lloyd archive, a collection of traditional narrative, personal histories and ethnographic information. The production of aǀXam language dictionary was central to Bleek’s project, and as part of this process narratives were taken down verbatim inǀXam, with English translations subsequently pencilled in alongside. Bleek was assisted by his sister-in-law Lucy Lloyd, who came to play an increasingly central role in the project, continuing it and bringing it to fruition after his death in August 1875. In total, over eleven thousand notebook pages or approximately half a million (translated) words were recorded, around eighty-five percent of them collected by Lloyd. This archive continued to have an impact on southern African scholarship throughout the early decades of the twentieth century; Bleek’s daughter Dorothea oversaw the production of a comparative Bushman vocabulary (Bleek, 2011 [1929]) and the Bushman Dictionary (Bleek, 1956)², the publication of notebook material³ in a series of Bantu Studies papers (Bleek, 1931, 1932, 1932a, 1932b, 1933, 1933a, 1935, 1936, 1936a), and undertook substantial research on extant Bushman communities herself (Bleek, 1924; 1927; 1936b). The archive sank into relative obscurity during the middle decades of the twentieth century as a new wave of anthropologists came to focus on Kalahari hunter-gatherers (Lee and deVore, 1968, 1976), but from the mid-1970s, Hewitt’s presentation of his doctoral thesis focused attention once more on theǀXam Bushmen. The subsequent publication of his research (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]) helped cement the archive’s significance for a wider audience.

² Hereafter, the Dictionary.
³ Bleek (1874; 1874a 1875; 1875a) and Lloyd (1880; 1889) both published reports and summaries of material.
Throughout this thesis I rely upon digital scans of the primary texts, first published alongside Skotnes (2007) and now available online. Previously published portions of the archive alter the information contained in the notebooks to varying degrees; Dorothea Bleek’s *Bantu Studies* papers (Bleek 1931, 1932, 1933, 1934, 1935, 1936) attempted to minimise the loss of information, preserving the bilingual format and the complex suite of diacritics and non-standard orthographic symbols employed by Bleek and Lloyd. Even here, the standardisation of publication removes the ‘fluidity’ and ambiguities of the notebooks, which record not only the stories themselves but also the process of their collection (Bank, 2006:83-87). Recent presentations of |Xam material vary in their editing approach (Lewis-Williams, 2000:35-41), but can be separated into two strands: ‘academic’ works emphasising fidelity to the notebooks (*e.g.* Guenther, 1989; Hollman, 2004), and more ‘artistic’ works drawing inspiration from |Xam narratives (*e.g.* Watson, 1991; James, 2001; Krog, 2004). Even scholarly works require considerable editing, and the simplification of diacritics, elimination of the marginalia, and even the removal of the |Xam language component altogether is common. More ‘artistic’ collections attribute authorship to the |Xam informants in varying degrees, discussing with very different emphases the ‘authenticity’ or faithfulness of the texts presented, and often are situated firmly in post-apartheid contemporary South Africa. Moran (2001) discusses Watson’s (1991) presentation of Bleek-Lloyd material as an attempt to assure readers of a similarity between themselves and a non-racist, indigenous

---

Bushman population, for example by eliding descriptions of white and black men (Moran 2001:48). Given these issues, I rely primarily on my own transcriptions throughout (Appendix B, Bleek-Lloyd archive references:381), with comparison between these and published extracts where appropriate. I pay particular attention to the original |Xam terminology. Although Bleek and Lloyd’s work constitutes the fundamental basis of our understanding of |Xam, close reading of terms in the wider context of the whole corpus allows for reassessment of some translations, particularly in earlier notebooks; these often mistranslate words, a fact appreciated by the original translators themselves (e.g. Lloyd’s corrections of her confusing |gaua, to seek, with |gaua, to lie in the shade, LL.II.11.1142’)

Within contemporary South Africa, the texts have taken on a special meaning as a rare historical moment of collaboration and cooperation rather than the more usual colonial relationships of conflict and oppression. Brought to the attention of a new audience in the 1980s, by 1997 the collection was inscribed in the UNESCO ‘Memory of the World’ programme. Both Bleek and Lloyd have been characterised as people out of their time, a prescient foreshadowing of the liberal white academic in later twentieth century South Africa. While the verbatim texts do mark the collection as atypical, allowing us to revisit the translations and introduce |Xam voices (however distorted), such a reading of the project and the relationships unfolding within it has not passed unchallenged (Bank, 2000). Bleek and Lloyd collected the narratives under conditions that, although less overtly destructive than many colonial relationships, included marked power discrepancies between the informants and the collectors; their collection therefore
is necessarily partial, and subsequent commentators have remarked upon the lack of emphasis on documenting nineteenth century conditions (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:32).

However tempting it may be, the archive cannot then be viewed as ‘primary’ ethnographic contact, transcribing |Xam ideas, beliefs, and practices. The creation of the texts represents a particular form of European writing (Wessels, 2010:188), and issues of translation are key. The stylistic choices employed by the translators impart a specific ‘flavour’ to the narratives; the accuracy of their convoluted syntax and quasi-Elizabethan English (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:165) in mirroring |Xam idioms and expressions is unclear. Literal translations from |Xam often have a ‘poetic’ cadence in English (WB.IV.554-555), but this does not necessarily mean that the |Xam intended them as poetry. While the narratives were part of a creative aesthetic tradition, valorisation of this tradition may easily lead to the imposition of tones and cadences considered appropriate for a ‘primitive’ hunter-gatherer literature. While distortion in translation is inevitable, examining the application of this distortion (with particular concern for information loss) is crucial. Even single word translations illustrate this point well: the term \k"wakka, rendered variously as decayed, putrid, and ill-smelling (WB.IV.540), incorporates notions of personal smell that were part of a complex suite of ideas relating to identity (Chapter 5a:134; see glossary\textsuperscript{5} \textit{!k"wakkǝn-lkūŋ, !k"wakka-tukǝn}:436).

It is clear from these wider contexts of its use that \textit{!k"wakka} was a complex term

\textsuperscript{5} |Xam terminology is used extensively throughout Chapters 5-8; the glossary (Appendix D:390) provides definitions and notes for key terms, highlighted in bold when first encountered and thereafter italicised. Where explicit reference to the glossary is required, the relevant term is again highlighted in bold and underlined.
connoting stinginess or incompetence in meat acquisition and distribution, both of which had social implications (Chapter 6b:183). The difficulty of accurately conveying such concepts in English translations is obvious.

Furthermore, the collection of the texts should not be viewed as a single ahistorical event. It represents a series of relationships, developing over time and varying with the informants and collectors involved, situated in the broader context of colonial contact. The project was dependent on the support of colonial government, necessitating the production of official publications for specific audiences, with reports presented to the Cape Parliament (Bleek, 1873; 1875a; Lloyd, 1880) betraying different sentiments to those evident in the marginalia of the notebooks. Intellectual tools employed by Bleek and Lloyd structure the archive, woven throughout the texts with pasted-in newspaper articles and notes from correspondence with various specialists. Both collected information under the aegis of ‘folklore’ (Bleek and Lloyd, 1911), a central category for emergent social science disciplines in the late nineteenth century, particularly in British, German, and Scandinavian approaches (Georges and Owen Jones, 1995:32; Roper, 2007). Beginning ‘at home’ in Europe (where early folklorists were concerned with demonstrating the coherence and antiquity of ‘national character’) and founded on a division between urban, industrialised modernity and the primitive, the discipline was transferred easily to the study of colonised populations. For Bleek, Bushman folklore and the medium through which it was transmitted (his own specialist field, language) revealed the true character of this nation, a nation associated with a suite of biological characteristics (i.e. ‘race’),
theoretical underpinnings that guided his approach to the narratives (Bank, 2000; Moran, 2001; Hewitt, 2008[1986]). Study of the archive as ‘narrative’ (or folklore) has remained central in contemporary scholarship, with shifts in aims and theoretical underpinnings. Both Guenther (1989, 1999) and Wessels (2010) point out the key role of the audience, with the performative nature of storytelling and the implications of the circumstances of collection for the types and styles of tales included becoming an increasingly important theme. Wessels (2010) also stresses the need to ‘entangle’ the stories by focusing on the total semantic content of the signifiers within each tale. Close reading of many tales (preferably all of the archive) can help approximate the cultural world of the late nineteenth century |Xam, elucidating the complex web of interrelated ideas that underlay the deployment of individual signifiers, the implications of specific tales, and the meanings fore-grounded or inferences left for the audience to draw.

The |Xam had only one word for their narratives, _kum_ (pl. _kukumm-i_, Bleek, 1956:106), differentiated in Bleek and Lloyd’s translations as stories, histories, talk, and news; these _kukumm-i_ incorporate topics and narrative styles ranging from accounts of personal experiences of arrests and imprisonment (LL.II.1.266-272; LL.II.1.242-250) to formal narration of the creation of the |Xam world by various non-human agents (LL.II.3.394-475). Scholarship (including that by the original researchers) has dealt with this variety by employing a series of analytical categories (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:33-47), which have at one extreme ‘myths’ dealing with ontological themes and, at the other, anecdotes drawn from the informants’ life histories: in between are a series of ‘folkloric’ narrations,
displaying various degrees of stereotypical, formalised structure. Such divisions clearly misrepresent emic narrative differentiation; indeed, Guenther’s (1986, 1999) characterisation of Bushman narrative traditions undermines any approach heavily based on categorisation, as he considers Bushman narrative traditions as highly fluid and ambiguous, and characterised by a willingness to entertain alternative experiences and explanations. However, even discounting the analytical difficulties attendant on removing categorisation, approaching the archive as a homogeneous unit would equally misrepresent the information contained within it, ignoring the contextual information specific to each story that houses much of its meaning. Without suggesting that these categories reflect emic divisions, I employ the basic tripartite separation outlined above: narratives concerning the Early-Race (see Glossary, !xwe-!na-!s’o-!k’e:440) times, information drawn from first-hand experience, and a vaguer interstitial category (legends, memorates, fabulates, &c.).

Rather than providing detailed analyses of specific stories, this thesis extrapolates information about |Xam ways of understanding the world, applying this to our understandings of their interactions with the colonial frontier. As stories from all three categories can reveal important information about |Xam conceptions, the tripartite division is often peripheral to this aim but remains a useful tool facilitating discussion. One point that it is important to stress here, though, is that the relationship between memorates (Honko, 1964; Dégh, 2001:2-4) and ‘|Xam belief’ is a problematic one. Unlike more stereotyped narrative genres that may be assigned to a cultural tradition despite the idiosyncrasies of any specific
manifestation, the memorate records personal experience. Although this experience will be shaped by socio-cultural factors, it may also record a highly idiomatic ‘reading’, a problem exacerbated by the small number of |Xam informants, and memorates claimed to pertain to ‘|Xam thought’ in general must therefore be treated with caution. A good example of this is the ǂkhâ:-ka-mumu (Glossary: 447; translated by W. Bleek as ‘spectre lion’, WB.XIII.2190’), a phenomenon mentioned only once in the archive; ǁkabbo’s note appears to relate to his ‘making sense’ of a particular, unusual experience he had, and it would be misleading to attribute it to a general belief the |Xam had about ‘lion ghosts’, though the idea was obviously not nonsensical to at least one informant.

Contemporary exploration of the archive also requires careful consideration of one’s own theoretical approach. In this thesis, I discuss the relationship of the archive to one of the major debates in southern Africa hunter-gatherer scholarship of the later twentieth century: the extent to which ethnographically documented hunter-gatherers can be used as analogues for hunter-gatherer populations in the past (i.e. the ‘Kalahari Debate’). The degree to which the ‘classic’ ethnographies of central Kalahari groups can be used as proxies for hunter-gatherers geographically or temporally separated from them is still contested, but since the rise of revisionist critiques (Wilmsen, 1989; R. Gordon, 1992), the influence of particular historical circumstances on specific ethnographic observations has become an important theme. The eclipsing of the archive during the rise of Kalahari ethnography as the source of dominant models for hunter-gatherer societies has meant the |Xam Bushman have not significantly contributed to the
Kalahari Debate, although such major dialogues have had impacts on contemporary study of the Bleek-Lloyd archives: there now exists a corpus of historical work focusing on elucidating the circumstances existing in the Karoo over the colonial period (Penn, 2005). Missing from this discussion is an exploration of the ways in which the Bleek-Lloyd archive should be viewed in light of the wider study of hunter-gatherers in contact scenarios. The apparent paradox of “Later Stone Age hunter-gatherers” using metal and keeping livestock can only be resolved with careful consideration of the way in which we are using the term ‘hunter-gatherer’ and what this means in relation to other, non- ‘hunter-gatherer’ groups; exploring anthropological approaches that deconstruct and re-examine the use of this term, I ask whether looking at the !Xam as exemplars of a series of processes occurring in the context of hunter-gatherer interactions with colonising populations is a useful approach (Chapter 2:31; Chapter 9:342).

A thorough understanding of the wider situation in the nineteen century Cape Colony is necessary before we can hope to properly understand the archive. The oldest informant (Ìkabbo) was born around 1815 (Bank, 2006:129), and the youngest (Ìa!kunta) in the early 1850s (Bank, 2006:74). Firsthand accounts therefore date approximately from the third decade of the nineteenth century onwards, while second-hand narratives (attributed to parents or grandparents) record the experiences of Karoo Bushmen from the late eighteenth century. Penn (1995, 2005) has synthesised in detail the primary literature for the eighteenth century (Chapter 4b:82), but there is no comparable overview for the nineteenth century. Authors (Strauss, 1979; Kallaway 1982; Anderson, 1987) focusing on
specific issues or groups for the region during the period, and wider syntheses of southern African history (Elphick and Giliomee, 1979; Newton-King, 1999; Etherington, 2001; Elbourne, 2002; Legassick, 2010 [1969]), are relevant for understanding the position of theǀXam at this time; Chapter 4c (110) introduces archival evidence from the nineteenth century in the light of this scholarship. Building on the idea of contextualisation, other forms of evidence including the material culture and built environment accessible through archaeology (Chapter 4a:82), as well as environmental considerations, climate, biota and geology (Chapter 3:45, 64), are introduced in the initial sections of the thesis, defining the parameters of the nineteenth century Karoo.

Although references in the archive toǀXam lifeways predominantly take the form of brief, scattered notes (J. Deacon, 1996a:253), the records contain a considerable amount of information that has never been collated, or discussed with an overarching focus onǀXam strategies. Hewitt (2008 [1986]) provided a brief ethnographic sketch, but was concerned primarily with the structure of the texts themselves; subsequent works have continued this trend or focused on ‘mining’ the archive for specific kinds of information (particularly, the ‘mental’ or religious world of theǀXam). Chapters 5, 6, 7, and 8 are concerned with the detailed reconstruction ofǀXam practice during the nineteenth century. I investigate the extent to which Bushman participation in hunting and gathering practices was connected to a specific identity that we might characterise as distinctively ‘hunter-gatherer’. Focusing on concepts of agency, I examineǀXam understandings of the fundamental attributes of personhood, before moving on to
consider the way in which this structured interactions between the various identities of the nineteenth century |Xam world. Differentiating between various ‘internal’ |Xam identities, and introducing a range of non-Bushman groups, I consider the ways in which |Xam understandings influenced their relationships with these individuals and their material culture, and particularly whether behaviours inherent in these contacts represent the disaggregation of any ‘hunter-gatherer’ identity and practice. These themes are then placed within a broader global context in a concluding chapter (Chapter 9:337), which draws upon examples of encounters between colonial populations and arid-zone ‘hunter-gatherer’ societies elsewhere in the world and examines the degree to which this contextualisation improves our understanding of the |Xam situation.
Chapter 2: Theorising Foraging in a Colonial Context

The |Xam as ‘Hunter-Gatherers’

The following discussion introduces the central anthropological constructs employed throughout the thesis. If analyses of the specific situation of the nineteenth century |Xam are to have wider applicability, it is crucial that a theoretical justification for extending any insights obtained is explored; this exploration also attempts to challenge the limitations of the nineteenth century theoretical framework (Chapter 1:16; cf. Bank, 2000; Moran, 2001, 2009) and access the ‘ethnographic’ data of the archive. Beginning with contemporary characterisations of ‘Bushman’ populations, we can see that at least one component of nineteenth century characterisations has remained a central point of interest. Societies practising hunting and gathering subsistence strategies have come to play an increasingly significant role for social science disciplines over the
course of the twentieth century, and while this is not the place for an extensive review of this development (for ‘hunter-gatherer studies’ historiography, see Ingold, Riches and Washburn, 1988, 1988a; Bettinger, 1991; Barnard, 2004), the placement of |Xam populations within this category renders pertinent some of its themes.

Starting from the basic observation that subsistence-led group definitions simplify real-world complexities, it is imperative for us to examine the types of differences that are elided and the sorts of commonalities that are stressed, returning to the premises and assumptions embedded in such definitions. In a southern African context, the |Xam must be situated relative to hunter-gatherer populations further north in Namibia and Botswana, groups that have become dominant examples of southern African hunter-gatherer strategies; their more complete ethnographic record has favoured an emphasis on the similarities between these Kalahari populations and no longer extant Karoo hunter-gatherers, overshadowing potential differences between northern populations and the |Xam (and other southern groups). While this assumption has been justified through its role in generating insights regarding southern Bushmen practices not documented ethnographically (see Glossary, !gi:xa:415), it deserves critical scrutiny if we are to avoid essentialising features of both northern and southern Bushman populations, removing them from their specific contexts. It is with this overall aim that I introduce three thematic strands: outlining some of the long-term impacts of eighteenth and nineteenth century conceptualisations of the ‘hunter-gatherer’, moving on to consider issues of historicity in hunter-gatherer societies that arose
in later twentieth century scholarship (the ‘Kalahari debate’), and concluding with an examination of the impact of these debates on redefining the category, with a particular focus on the increasing significance of the relationship between practice and ideology.

The development of the ‘hunter-gatherer’ as a discrete category of human sociality has a considerable pedigree in Western thought as part of subsistence-based schemes for classifying and understanding societies in a broad, comparative manner (c.f. Barnard, 2005:375-380). While interest in identifying differences and similarities between human societies was stimulated by colonial expansions that brought about increased contacts between European and non-European populations, attempts to define the ‘hunter-gatherer society’ began not as a result of ethnographic observation but rather derived from political philosophies emergent in late eighteenth century European revolutionary thought, for which the notion of the ‘natural man’ took on a particular salience. Although the social and intellectual upheavals of this time drew heavily on and reacted to Renaissance and mediaeval philosophy (Pluciennik, 2004), the codification of ‘hunter-gatherer society’ is most appropriately situated within the milieu of ‘Enlightenment’ Europe and its colonial encounters. A key theme of this milieu was an increasing concern with ‘rationality’, a preoccupation that had significant impacts on a diverse range of social phenomena including religious institutions (Haakonssen, 1996), notions of criminality (Foucault, 1977), and theories of illness (Foucault, 2001 [1964]). When defining hunter-gatherer societies, this stress on rationality manifested itself in the belief that reliance on hunted or gathered resources was
'irrational’ or indolent, demonstrating ‘animal’ modes of interaction with the environment. In John Locke’s (1764 [1689]) link between legitimate control of territory and ‘industrious and rational’ use of land, this belief was tied intimately to the colonial experience, forming a cornerstone of ‘terra nullius’ doctrines attempting to legalise settler land claims (Claeys, 2010:15-20). Such ‘irrationality’ grouped hunter-gatherers with a range of other ungoverned (or ungovernable) groups, represented in Enlightenment terms as those who could not properly regulate themselves in accordance with the norms of civilised society; those who consequently had to be made subject to the coercive and violent elements of state power to prevent their disruption of these norms. In the eighteenth and nineteenth century Cape Colony, this amalgamation was very much in evidence, and ‘Bushman’ groups formed part of a heterogeneous ‘anti-social’ population including criminal fugitives, escaped slaves, and marginalised indigenes fleeing colonial society.

The expansion of colonial holdings in geographic spread and settler population density created a concomitant intensification of interaction with indigenous societies, and over time European (and colonial) intellectuals became increasingly aware of variability in the societies they encountered. Attempting to make sense of this variability, Enlightenment scholars situated these societies within classificatory systems that inevitably assigned hunter-gatherers a ‘primitive’ status, differentiated least from animal societies. As the nineteenth century progressed, this position was strengthened, particularly with the widespread
dissemination of evolutionary theory\textsuperscript{6}, and the increasing popularity of this model (a convenient locus unifying theories of biological differences between human populations with the aforementioned social theories) for explaining human variability. For nineteenth century audiences, hunter-gatherers became ‘contemporary primitives’, snapshots of the human past (or even ‘missing links’ between humans and animals) isolated either by geographical remoteness or biological incapacity from the transformative historical processes that farming and state-level societies had undergone.

As the developing notion of the significance of this subsistence category was tied intimately to colonial encounters, the next salient question becomes whether the term has any contemporary utility, if we reject nineteenth (and early twentieth) century models of universal social evolution. Of central relevance is the putative link between ‘primitiveness’ and pristineness, and whether ‘authentic’ hunter-gatherers must maintain a status as isolated, autonomous, and ‘uncontaminated’ groups with no (or at least, very little) contact with societies employing alternate modes of subsistence. Despite shifts in emphasis following the consensus rejection of social evolutionary models, this contention has remained significant through to the present. As the explanatory power of evolutionary theory in modern biology became increasingly apparent, the ‘hunter-gatherer’ category retained its vital importance in attempts to understand the theory as applied to the human species. When conceptualising humans as the result of evolutionary trajectories, hunting and gathering practices acquire a special significance, as they

\textsuperscript{6} Darwin himself famously regarded Yaghan hunter-gatherer populations as straddling the gap between humans and animals (Bettinger, 1991:3; Browne, 2009:10)
must *in some form* have been important dimensions of the human evolutionary environment; even recent approaches exploring hunter-gatherer historicity often begin with observations emphasising the time-depth of hunter-gatherer strategies relative to food production (Barnard, 2004:1). For African groups, the issue is compounded by the fact that our hominin lineage evolved on this continent, as it is here that we might expect to find the closest parallels for the environmental conditions that influenced this evolution (Lee, 1976). This observation lies at the core of a range of mid-twentieth century approaches in hunter-gatherer studies, proposing various kinds of ‘ecologies’, cultural, evolutionary and behavioural (e.g. Winterhalder, 2001) as methods for understanding hunter-gatherer practice. Our information about hunter-gatherer subsistence practices stems only from contemporary or historically-documented hunter-gatherer populations; the question becomes whether they represent privileged sources of analogical information as (in modern evolutionary terms) groups with less derived characteristics. Crucial within this inquiry is exactly the aforementioned issue of ‘pristineness’, as the impacts that contacts with farming or pastoralist societies in shaping the ‘ecologies’ of ethnographically-documented hunter-gatherer societies represent one very obvious reason to doubt the appropriateness of privileging such societies as analogues for the ‘deep’ evolutionary past.

Analyses of hunter-gatherer societies drawn from the evolutionary tradition have tended to characterise them as (relatively) isolated social units whose subsistence practices were, being well-suited to their particular ecological setting, in some form of stable equilibrium with their environment. Revisionist critiques suggested
that such analyses rendered hunter-gatherers ‘people without history’, subject to change through shifting environmental conditions rather than any agency of their own; such analyses were theoretically situated in anthropological attempts to reconcile the notions socio-cultural ‘structure’ with the exercise of agency and individual practice, and politically located in ‘post-colonial’ revisions of intellectual categories developed under the aegis of colonial milieus (Lee and Guenther, 1993:186-187). For scholars of southern African hunter-gatherers, this is succinctly encapsulated by application of these critiques to the ‘classic’ Kalahari ethnographies (Juǀ’hoansi, and, to a perhaps lesser extent, the Gǀwi and Gǀana). Constraints of space prohibit in-depth discussion of the specifics of this ‘Kalahari debate’, which has produced an extensive (and often polemic) literature: Barnard (1992a) provides an overview of the considerable body of work pertinent to the topic that had developed even twenty years ago.

For my purposes, the debate can be separated into two major facets. Firstly, the revisionist critique stressed that particular ethnographies down-played the interaction of Kalahari foragers with food-producing societies. Anticipated to a degree by other authors (e.g. Schrire 1980), this critique coalesced around Wilmsen’s (1989) premise that many of the features observed by the Harvard Research Group for the NyaeNyae and Dobe Juǀ’hoansi were consequent on their incorporation as a low status community within the wider society of their Bantu-speaking farmer neighbours. Although the ‘founding’ ethnographic texts (Lee and DeVore, 1968, 1976) had from the outset recognised an element of historicity in these groups, it is certainly true that such elements were muted in favour the
aforementioned equilibrium model. Revisionist approaches attempted to demonstrate that this muting was an understatement of the degree to which Bushman institutions and practices were consequent on their interactions, by exploration of the temporal extent of this interaction. Specific applications of this approach have been criticised on empirical (Lee and Guenther, 1993) and interpretive (Solway and Lee, 1990) grounds, and the imperfect and partial nature of our archaeological understandings of precolonial interactions in the Kalahari (Sadr, 1997:109-111) is a considerable obstacle in attempts to reconstruct longer-term trajectories for the region. However, at least one positive outcome of Kalahari revisionism was an increased awareness that NyaeNyae and Dobe groups should not necessarily be considered “the” paradigmatic group within a variable set of Kalahari Bushman strategies (cf. Kent, 1992), and that the specific histories of these diverse populations were central to the inferences that might be drawn from their study.

This historicising trend is well-supported in the case of eighteenth and nineteenth century ǀXam populations in the Cape Colony (Chapter 4b:99; Chapter 4c:110); even a cursory historical overview demonstrates that they were not only merely ‘in contact’ with food-producing populations, but that a great deal of their subsistence behaviour was oriented towards species that could not be termed ‘wild’ by any definition (Chapter 8a:282). Although they seem not to have

---

7 These authors suggest revisionist approaches tend to elide issues of autonomy and isolation, conflating contact with domination and subordination.
incorporated horses\textsuperscript{8}, there are many instances of \textit{Xam} individuals obtaining stock (through raiding or intermarriage with herders), or engaging in labour relations that allowed them access to domesticated resources (Chapter 8c:318). Colonial populations considered certain forms of \textit{Xam} engagement with their livestock as not just coherent with, but almost as emblematic of, their hunter-gatherer identity. Subsistence was always a significant factor in colonial characterisations of ‘hunter-gatherers’, but it was not always structured around the exploitation of wild \textit{versus} domesticated resources; raiding practices were classified as an interaction that was in many ways the \textit{premier} activity of hunter-gatherer groups. Although other groups (Khoekhoe herders, agro-pastoralist Xhosa) were associated with raiding, ‘Bushman’ involvement in the same practices was characterised in a very particular manner, as ahistorical, ‘passive’ extensions of hunter-gatherer relationships with wild fauna rather than as trajectories emergent from the engagement of active agents with their specific historical circumstances; not recognising the concept of private property, the hunter-gatherer was supposed to treat the colonists’ stock simply as any other form of wild resource. Later in the nineteenth century, after decades of violence had sapped the capacity for Bushman populations to resist large-scale encroachment of their territories, other populations (Korana, Chapter 4c:116) became the ‘quintessential’ raiders, and hunter-gatherers were characterised as the ‘harmless’ people (Thomas, 1959) unwisely exploiting a precarious and unpredictable suite of wild resources, destined to vanish before the vigorous

\textsuperscript{8} Some Bushman populations in the Drakensberg-Maluti ranges used horses as the basis of raiding subsistence strategies (Challis, 2009:104-106; Wright, 1971; 2007:127-128), demonstrating the variable trajectories emergent from hunter-gatherer engagements with colonial societies.
expansion of herding or farming societies; where such societies were themselves indigenous, the characterisation of such expansions as ‘colonisation’ was politically useful for European settlers.9

One consequence of the Kalahari debate has been an increasing acceptance that hunter-gatherer groups of the region experienced variable historical trajectories, and that, for some at least, interactions with farming groups have a considerable time depth. The issue now becomes a more theoretical one, of whether the relative isolation of these groups means they remain acceptable analogues for prehistoric hunter-gatherers, or whether historicity represents an insurmountable problem for extending insights into the pre-farming past. This debate is far from resolved, and, although it no longer provokes the (often acrimonious) dialogue of the early 1990s, its themes continue to arise: Humphreys’ (2007) recent suggestion that Australian Aboriginal hunter-gatherer groups may provide better analogies (than the Kalahari ethnographies) for pre-pastoralism Later Stone Age southern Africa is based on the absence of indigenous pastoralism in the former areas. Recognising varying degrees of contact between hunter-gatherer and food-producing societies, the question of why this interaction is to be considered such a profound moment in hunter-gatherer historical trajectories requires a re-examination of why subsistence is valorised as the primary factor in defining differences between societies, and what might replace or modify this factor.

9 Stow’s (1905) subtitle for his history of South Africa casts the ‘intrusion of the Hottentots and Bantu on the hunting-grounds of the Bushmen’ in this light.
Definitions for hunted and gathered resources often seem initially straightforward, as with Winterhalder’s (2001:12) restriction of them to species that are ‘not actively managed’ by people. This simplicity is swiftly complicated by the fact that definitions revolve not only around the classification of subsistence resources themselves, but must incorporate the suite of human behaviours and practices directed toward these resources. Focusing solely on exploitation in terms of domesticated versus wild resources is not without problems, as it neglects the specifics of what people actually do in their subsistence strategy and how they think about doing it. For example, the distinction between foraging and subsistence production as it relates to wild versus domesticated resources depends on a definition for ‘growing’; Ingold (2000) suggests that employing economic metaphors drawn from the production of material culture (items made from raw materials) misrepresents farming and herding practices. As farmers or herders at best exert an imperfect control over the reproduction of their herd or crop, a more appropriate definition would stress the “relative scope of human involvement in establishing the conditions for growth” (Ingold, 2000:86). Ingold sees this involvement as having more similarities with the production of socialised human individuals (bringing up children) than manufacturing processes; with such a definition, hunter-gatherer concern with the resource ‘socialisation’ (see below) overlaps with food production.

10 Standard criterion for domestication emphasise genetic distinctness from wild progenitors, through evolutionary selection pressures determined by human aims and desires or (if species can become ‘self-domesticated’) by close association with humans.
Control over reproduction is a crucial determinant of *domestication* in a genetic sense, but is only incidental to plant cultivation and animal husbandry in experiential terms. Ecological differences between species mean that human engagements with them will be highly differentiated depending on the demands of the resources exploited; large, long-lived plant domesticates (*e.g.* olive trees) demand different relations to annual grass species, and human involvement in honeybee reproduction is very different to human control of breeding cattle. Resources accessed by hunter-gatherer populations are also highly differentiated from one another, and may in practice require relationships more similar to certain domesticated resources than to other wild resources; interactions with wild honeybee nests are markedly more similar to apiculture than to hunting large game, while relationships between man and reindeer in Siberian populations often blur the lines between hunting and herding (Willerslev, 2007:3–4).

The impacts of resource differentiation on hunter-gatherer subsistence strategies became well-established in anthropological research by the early twentieth century; Steward’s (1936) definition of the ‘band’ society stressed influences of ecological constraints (*e.g.* scattered resources) on social organisation and subsistence."""

Although Steward’s model recognised specific development sequences within certain areas, he focus on ecological variability rather than ‘social evolution’ as a source of differentiation in socio-cultural forms. 

"""
and children residing in a communally-owned territory were considered characteristically ‘hunter-gatherer’. Ecological differentiation and socio-cultural organisation were incorporated in the formulation of later twentieth century models dividing the ‘hunter-gatherer’ society into a number of subcategories, recognising and attempting to systematise hunter-gatherer diversity. Woodburn’s (1982) separation of immediate-return and delayed-return populations and Binford’s (1980) division between ‘foragers’ and ‘collectors’ both extrapolate many socio-cultural features not proximally related to subsistence practices within the broad ecological factors that dictate the parameters within which any given socio-cultural unit must operate (e.g. attributing food-sharing practices to risk-aversion in subsistence strategies). Other models (Testart, 1982; T. Price and Brown, 1985; Arnold, 1996) focused more particularly on a continuum of socio-cultural ‘complexity’ (social differentiation, the emergence of ‘rank’) as separating types of hunter-gatherer society. Expanding on this notion of rank and relations between people, issues of resource-sharing and exchange relationships (situated within Marxist economic theory that emphasises social and political dimensions of modes of production) were incorporated into these subdivisions, with reciprocity, kin relationships and notions of egalitarianism (Ingold, 1999:400-404) valorised as distinctive ‘economic’ features of hunter-gatherer lifeways.

Ecological and (at least partly correlated) sociological variability in hunter-gatherer society is an integral component of contemporary definitions: while the details of such variability are contested, the basic premise of diversity in hunter-
gatherer lifeways is recognised widely. This recognition provides a convenient point of introduction for the final issues I wish to discuss, located in the relatively small step from the incorporation of socio-cultural features to the adoption of ‘ideological’ attributes (which re/create socio-cultural phenomena) into definitions; this development relates also to intellectual dissatisfaction with the relegation of more abstract cultural components as largely epiphenomenal to concrete subsistence realities, a portrayal often framed as a basic disconnection between humanistic and scientific approaches to the study of human societies (Jordan, 2008:455). The identification of a ‘foraging mode of thought’ (Barnard, 2002) has become a major preoccupation of later twentieth century anthropological hunter-gatherer scholarship, emerging from a re-conceptualisation of nineteenth century definitions of ideological and ontological components in hunter-gatherer cultures, including one of the earliest theoretical constructs of the anthropological discipline, Tylor’s concept of animism (Tylor, 1871:377-453; for a review, see Bird-David, 1999). The revisiting and redefining of animism arose primarily from ethnographies of lowland South American societies (Descola, 1992, 1996), where it has found much purchase within the literature specific to the region and been subject numerous modifications. De Castro’s (1998) interpretations of their cosmological systems as a particular form of ‘perspectivist’ animism characterises Amazonian hunter-gatherer notions of personhood as ones in which sentient beings (possessed of a soul or vital force) engage in relationships with one other, sharing a common subjective experience with entities occupying similar bodies to themselves (i.e. bodily form shapes
perspectives) but embedded in networks of communication and interaction that transcend their bodily form. Descola (2010:218) characterises this interaction as an exchange of signs, with a basic contiguity in subjective experience allowing beings to comprehend one another’s intentions in practice; the capacity to exchange *perspectives* in such societies is a ‘special’ ability, and humans who can perform such exchanges are usually ritual specialists for these groups.

Several approaches have explored the insights of these models beyond Lowland South America (*e.g.* Bird-David’s ‘animistic epistemology’, 1999:S77-S79), perhaps becoming most widely known in Ingold’s (2000) deployment of similar models when characterising the ontological underpinnings of relationships between humans and their environment in various subsistence strategies, providing idealised models derived from ethnographic examples. Suggesting that a pervasive ‘Enlightenment’ division between the human and natural worlds continues to underlie definitions of ‘hunter-gathererness’, he sees this as an imposition undermining the most basic emic premise: that the quality of being *animated* is a principle shared amongst human and non-human communities. He contrasts totemic and animic ontological systems as alternative forms of hunter-gatherer ideologies, situating the former among Australian Aboriginal societies; an apposite reminder, given the origins of the word totem (derived from the North American Ojibwa), that his models are tools for understanding rather than attempts to produce accurate reifications of *particular* emic perspectives. The

---

12 Ingold uses ‘Enlightenment’ and ‘Western’ as shorthand for the ‘rational’ worldview that (loosely) became codified during the Enlightenment, while recognising variability in these societies; Ingold (2000:176-178) himself develops approaches based upon the work of ‘Western’ zoologists, such as Seboek (1963) and von Uexküll (1957 [1934]).
notion of the Dreamtime (the creation of the landscape by ancestral beings, who imbued it with their creative essence) is the prototype of his model for totemic systems: the particular forms that living beings manifest are drawn from their relationship with their landscape, which (if ‘looked after’ in the correct manner) continues to engender new life derived from these creative essences.

Form in animic systems is generated by life processes and interactions between agents, and the movement of animating principles between ontologically similar inhabitants is vital for the continuity of the living world. Ingold draws on ethnographies of indigenous American societies\textsuperscript{13}, for whom notions of circulation and reciprocity in exchanges of ‘vital force’ are salient in ontological understandings: “the powers that bring forth life ... [are] ... distributed among the manifold beings that inhabit it” (Ingold 2000:113). Agents share ontologically equivalent vitality but live in a manner consistent with their form, relying for their continued existence on sharing their vitality through interactions with a range of different forms. Where in totemic systems, hunting practices form part of a pre-established order that constitutes the ancestrally mandated ‘proper’ way of living\textsuperscript{14}, animic interactions between different types of ‘body’ are necessary for the vital force to circulate; these interactions are vital for the reproduction of both human and non-human societies (Ingold, 2000:113-114). Having significant consequences for the construction of relationships between human and non-

\textsuperscript{13} Ingold draws on ethnographies from the circumpolar North, but there are clear resonances with the South American models.

\textsuperscript{14} Resource acquisition is therefore subsumed under the rubric of relationships with ancestral beings, and is not of particular cosmological significance \textit{in and of itself}. 
human agents, these ontological models are implicated fundamentally in subsistence strategies.

Ingold (2000) discusses another heuristic tool pertinent to this theme of relationships between human and non-human entities, defining trust and domination as two dimensions that allow us to contrast ‘hunter-gatherer’ and ‘pastoralist’ orientations. He derives these from a more general premise involving the establishment of social relationships with non-human agents, and places them along a continuum; ‘hunter-gatherer’ and ‘pastoralist’ here represent convenient labels for the kind of practice involved, rather than particular ‘categories’ of society. Although Ingold’s definition of ‘domination’ (suggesting that master-slave relationships obtain between pastoralists and their livestock) has been criticised as neglecting dimensions of care and co-operation in interactions with domesticated animals (Armstrong Oma, 2010:176, 180-181), such critiques serve to emphasise his more general point that a shift between hunter-gatherer and pastoralist activities is a “transition [that] involves a change in the terms of engagement” (Ingold, 2000:75, emphasis in original).

Trust, the ‘hunter-gatherer’ mode of interaction, represents a combination of autonomy and dependency, requiring a particular response from another person, but not attempting to force this response. This dimension can be located in any relationship between persons; Ingold suggests that resolves a contraction of Woodburn’s immediate-return model by accounting for the co-occurrence of

---

15 (Subsistence) pastoralists might have ‘trust’ relationships with some non-human agents, and vice versa.
strong emphases on personal autonomy with enduring relationships. Proposing that characterisations of hunter-gatherer ontologies as analogical extensions of human sociality misrepresent emic ontologies by imposing an Enlightenment division between social-cultural and biological-natural worlds, Ingold unites the cultivation of relationships with hunted and gathered species with the maintenance of connections between human agents; that is, hunter-gatherers attempt to make non-humans ‘behave properly’. From an emic perspective, the characterisation of hunter-gatherers as focusing on wild, ‘out of control’ (Ingold, 2000:62, 67) resources is inappropriate: these groups focus on making game act appropriately and on controlling prey species by cultivating correct relationships with them (Ingold, 2000:69).

Emergent in a range of ‘ontologically’ oriented approaches to hunter-gatherer societies is a concern with the relationship between ideology and practice, particularly how the ‘working through’ of ontological understandings shapes and is shaped by experience: Willerslev (2007) discusses at length the relationship between the encounters between hunters and their quarry, and the strengthening of their animic understandings that arise from their interpretations of these. A clear implication is that if the terms of the encounters changes, we should expect alterations in the ontological model (and vice versa). These theories of hunter-gatherer identity are therefore situated in humanistic interpretations that

---

16 Ingold’s models focus on animals, but themes discussed echo the approaches of authors looking at hunter-gatherer groups occupying forest environments, including the Nayaka of south India (Bird-David, 1990) and the Huaorani in Amazonian Ecuador (Rival, 1993, 2002) for whom the notion of ‘trust’ is expanded to include the inculcation of relationships of ‘unconditional giving’ on the part of the forest.
emphasise individual agency within socio-cultural contexts, a manifestation of practice theory (Bourdieu, 1977 [1972]) and located at the intersection of structural models for human societies and behaviour, and those relying on experiential agent-led approaches. Performance theory (Schieffelin, 1996, 1998:201-204) is concerned specifically with its expression of practice theory in ‘presentation’, affective action, and relationships between agents; traditionally formulated for structured performative contexts (theatre, ritual contexts, storytelling\textsuperscript{17}), a wider definition can incorporate the performative dimensions of everyday actions. It contributes a crucial component when introducing non-subsistence components to ‘hunter-gatherer’ definitions, as the performative dimension of identity remains manifest in relationships with other identities and is enacted with material culture, both in terms of bodily action (if one understands the human body as a form of material culture; Sofaer, 2006) and use of specific items\textsuperscript{18}. As participation in certain lifestyles requires the employment of specific forms of material culture, and identities based around such participation are linked to this material culture, performance theory forces us to return to the actual practices involved in the construction of more abstract components of identity.

Landscape, the largest domain of material culture, has long been considered a central element in hunter-gatherer lifestyles, due in large part to its salience in the cultural world of Australian Aboriginal societies (\textit{cf.} Morphy, 1993:206; Head, 2000:17-18) occupying an important role in hunter-gatherer ethnography since the

\textsuperscript{17} Well suited to the exploration of the Bleek-Lloyd archive (\textit{cf.} Bauman, 1992)

\textsuperscript{18} Employed not only when undertaking specific actions, but also participating as a social agent in and of itself (Appadurai, 1986; Knappett and Malafouris, 2008).
emergence of the anthropological discipline. Although unusual in the degree to which they emphasise people-to-landscape relations, themes made obvious in Australian ethnographies have found widespread resonance outside this continent. In colonial contexts, the necessity of incorporating these components of hunter-gatherer worldviews is evident: colonial projects are tied intimately to issues of landscape as attempts to incorporate new territory under the aegis of a particular polity. Such incorporation is shaped by the pre-existing landscape and requires substantial modification of it, as colonial populations arrive with established modes of interacting with land. Both indigenous and incoming populations are forced to re-negotiate these established relations: map-making and boundary delineation in the European colonies was crucial to the development and consolidations of issues of nationhood and sovereignty (D. Martin, 2011:146-151; Sparke, 2011:430-433). In the Cape Colony, the maps that accompanied early traveller accounts of their journeys in the subcontinent illustrate processes of interaction, reflecting their dependence on local knowledge and guides for the successful completion of these journeys, and demonstrating what D. Martin (2011:160) characterises as colonial agents’ simultaneous desire to obtain (and take advantage of) and to eradicate indigenous systems of knowledge. Allowing that space has a fundamentally social dimension (cf. Lefebvre, 1991 [1974]), this re-construction of ‘space’ (farm portions, official maps, borders) production can be seen as intrinsically colonial, manifesting and attempting to impose a particular definition of ‘authentic’ places.
When attempting to formulate an understanding of hunter-gatherers in colonial situations, the relationship between practice and identity becomes increasingly significant. The ‘structure’ (pre-existing identities possessed by indigenous and settler individuals and groups) influences substantially the nature of the colonising process in terms of abilities and desires for compromise or conflict, while the interaction of groups of people previously unknown to one another allows for the radical transformation of previous identities: colonial experiences must therefore be regarded as simultaneously destructive, constructive, and transformative of identities, for both indigenes and incomers. If material culture is a constitutive element of the performance of identity, there can be considerable interaction between hunter-gatherer and non hunter-gatherer groups, even in the absence of settled populations of colonisers. This links well to the notion of an increasingly ‘closed’ (Chapter 4b:107) frontier scenario: when down-the-line introduction of material culture represents the extent of colonial intrusion, indigenous groups selectively incorporating new ‘identities’ (material culture) exert considerable power in their interactions.

I have discussed here some of the limitations of ‘strict’ subsistence-led definitions for “hunter-gatherer” societies. Although retaining a focus on subsistence as a major component of everyday experience and practice is crucial, the debate emerging from attempts to pin down the ‘essential’ characteristics of populations defined by this factor uncovers a range of variability such that even where we have a situation of ‘hunters in a world of hunters’ (Lee and deVore, 1968a:4), issues of ‘pristineness’ and contamination remain important concerns. The scope
for hunter-gatherers behaving in ways similar to agriculture-intensive groups is limited in some ways, but it should not be dismissed out of hand; ethnographies for extant and historical hunter-gatherer groups presumably do not document the full range of past hunter-gatherer variability. As forms of farming such as intensive agriculture or stock-keeping represent only one method of food production, there might be a greater degree of similarity between ‘wild’ and ‘domestic’ resource exploitation than is at first obvious. With a spectrum-based view of subsistence practice, the concept of contact-as-contamination becomes less of a stark either/or division. Although contact and interaction may lead to considerable shifts in subsistence practice, at least as important is the manner in which people conceptualise their interaction with the resources incorporated in their subsistence strategies. Models of ‘ontological orientation’ overlain onto more subsistence-based constructs provide a useful adjunct that remind us that shifts in practice need to be understood: novel material culture, practices, and ideas can all be incorporated within existing ideologies, and this incorporation leads to change. The relevance of any given ideological system is grounded in experience situated within life histories; this fundamentally temporal component ensures ideology and practices are not shifting concurrently, and are differentiated between individuals with their own particular histories. It is with these thoughts in mind that I shall generate an historical ethnography of the |Xam, focusing on the ways in which their socio-cultural world incorporated their colonial context.
Chapter 3: Exploring Definitions of the |Xam-ka-!xoe

Chapter 3a: Physical and climatological parameters of the Karoo environment

This chapter outlines the geographical parameters for the groups referred to in this thesis, drawing together relevant environmental, ecological, climatological and geological aspects to contextualise |Xam hunter-gatherers in space, and providing the requisite background for understanding of some of the factors with which their subsistence and social strategies had to engage. The area that these groups inhabited lies within the Karoo regions of South Africa: this is a complex term encompassing substantial environmental variance, used extensively in twentieth century zoological and botanical studies (Acocks, 1953, 1975), with many authors creating subtly different definitions. It developed out of colonial experiences in
the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, where it was used to refer to the
regions into which trekboer settlers moved as they expanded from the South-Western Cape (Chapter 4b:100). Moving from environments characterised by the
distinctive floral kingdom of the Cape (a unique flora that represents one of the
richest areas for plant diversity in the world) and away from the winter-rainfall
zone that facilitated European agricultural practices, these settlers came to refer to
the complex range of environments they encountered to the north and east as
“Karoo” and subdivided it based on local variation: in South Africa today,
regional toponyms identify several ‘Karoos’, including the Tanqua (Tankwa),
Moordenaar’s, Groot, and Klein Karoo.

Derived from now-extinct languages spoken by Cape Khoekhoen, the precise
etymology of the term is uncertain. Early writers translated it as ‘dry’ (Sparrman,
1785:197; Burchell, 1822:75), while comparisons with Korana suggest ‘hard’ as
another possibility (Wuras, 1920; Meinhof, 1930). Nienaber (1963) concludes that
the term primarily signifies aridity, an observation backed up by the fact that the
ǀXam term /karru relates to concepts of dryness and heat (Bleek, 1956:454):
although they did not apply it to the ǀXam-ka-ǃxoe (Glossary:414), the ǀXam (-
speakers)’s country, Karoo and its cognates likely constitute part of a general
Khoisan linguistic complex. The Karoo represents an extensive inland plateau
separated from the coastal regions by the broken country and mountain ranges of
the southern- and westernmost extensions of the Great Escarpment, and separated
into two distinct biomes: a western Succulent Karoo that spreads from the coast
over the Escarpment and its foothills, and the Nama-Karoo typifying the interior
Figure 3.1: Succulent Karoo (green outline) and Nama-Karoo (yellow outline) biomes, with the Kenhardt-Brandvlei-Vanwyksvlei red triangle (home territories of the Bleek-Lloyd |Xam informants)

Figure 3.2: Rainfall zones of the Cape (modified after Hoffmann and Cowling, 1987:3, and Watkeys, 1999:25)
plateau proper (Rutherford and Westfall, 1986; Low and Rebelo, 1996; Rutherford, 1997; Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; Figure 3:1:47). This chapter does not consider in detail the Succulent Karoo: although the area was inhabited by hunter-gatherers who almost certainly spoke languages (or even dialects) related to !Xam, it was outside the experiences of the Bleek-Lloyd informants and had a different colonial (as well as prehistoric) trajectory (Rohde, Hoffmann and Allsopp, 2003:v-vi).

I begin by considering the Karoo’s climate, the key structuring principle for Karoo environments and ecology. Like other arid environments, the infrequency and stochastic nature of water input influences the Nama-Karoo biome strongly (Lovegrove, 1993:18). Water availability and, to a lesser extent, temperature stress are major limiting factors for plant growth and faunal strategies, and substantially influence soil formation. Climate within the region is the result of the intersection of a wide range of weather systems (Figure 3.2:47), notably the shift between the two major rainfall zones of the subcontinent (Desmet and Cowling, 1999:3). Rainfall in most of southern Africa is governed by subtropical monsoonal systems of the Indian Ocean, falling maximally in summer, but the extreme South-West Cape has a ‘Mediterranean’ climate with hot dry summers and wet winters, determined by Atlantic and Antarctic systems (Reason and Rouault, 2005). The Nama-Karoo is interstitial between these two rainfall regimes, falling at the margins of one or other of the systems or in the overlap between the two. The shift from winter-rainfall dominated to summer-rainfall dominated zones determines the boundaries of Karoo biomes, with the Succulent
Karoo experiencing rainfall from Atlantic weather-systems and the Nama-Karoo receiving mainly summer rains (Desmet and Cowling, 1999:4). Moving north-east, summer-rainfall becomes increasingly dominant; the reverse is true for south-westerly movement, and central regions are influenced by a subtle mix of the two (Figure 3.3:51). Marginal to both systems, the volume of rain experienced is low, between the 100-200mm and 200-300mm isohyets for mean annual rainfall (Figure 3.4:51), and orographic rainfall associated with the Escarpment ensures that systems approaching the Karoo from any direction lose a large portion of their moisture before they arrive.

The weather systems of both regions are predominantly anticyclonic, with strongly subsiding air masses that result in clear, dry conditions prevailing for weeks at a time. These are more common in winter but can also occur in summer, where they manifest as extremely hot and desiccating conditions (Desmet and Cowling, 1999:10) contributing significant heat stresses in an already arid environment. Rainfall from convective thunderstorms is rare in the Karoo, relative to elsewhere in South Africa, though it does occur. Winds are for the most part dry, and in the central Nama-Karoo blow mainly from the south-west in summer and the north in winter; although small whirlwinds and dust devils are common, larger dust storms are rare (Desmet and Cowling, 1999:10). Topographic relief, such as valley wind channels, plays an important role on a local scale (Werger, 1986).
The unpredictability of rainfall is as important as its generally low overall volume. Broadly, the pattern for rainfall reliability follows that of volume of rainfall, and areas that receive less rain also receive their rain less regularly (Figure 3.5:52).

Comparing areas with the same annual rainfall in the two different biomes suggests that the winter-rainfall Succulent Karoo experiences more reliable rainfall than the central Nama-Karoo (Desmet and Cowling, 1999:9), where droughts\textsuperscript{19} are common (Venter, Mocke and de Jager, 1986:41). There are indications of periodicity in the form of wetter and drier cycles over 15-20 year intervals, though the complexity of rainfall regimes and lack of temporal depth for detailed climate records prevent the construction of comprehensive models (Rouault and Richard, 2003:499-500); although periodic global climate events such as the southern oscillation of El Niño may influence South African regimes, there is no simple correlation between particular events and observed climatic data (Kane, 2009:206).

Fog and dew provide additional sources of moisture. The former is associated predominantly with coastal regions, where its significance has long been appreciated despite difficulties of quantification: adaptations of Namibian fauna (\textit{e.g.} Hamilton and Seely, 1976:284) to the acquisition of moisture from coastal fog are well-known. Although the Orange (Gariep) River allows for the penetration of fogs some distance inland, they do not play a major role in the central interior, though heavy dews may partly replicate their effects (Desmet and Cowling, 1999:5). Dewfall responds to air temperature, humidity, and turbulence:

\textsuperscript{19} 12 month periods in which rainfall is less than 60% of average annual rainfall.
Figure 3.3: Distribution of summer (Oct-Mar) rainfall as percentage of mean annual (after Venter, Mocke and de Jager, 1986:40)

Figure 3.4: Isohyets of mean annual rainfall (mm) in Karoo biomes (after Venter, Mocke and de Jager, 1986:40)
Figure 3.5: Reliability of rainfall; percentage years with rainfall ≥85% mean annual (after Venter, Mocke and de Jager, 1986:42)

Figure 3.6: Mean annual temperatures (°C) in the Karoo biome (after Venter, Mocke and de Jager, 1986:46)
the low night-time temperatures of the arid interior during April-May (summer-rainfall) and July-August (winter-rainfall) favour this form of precipitation. Both fog and dewfall likely provide important counterpoints to rainfall by providing relatively reliable and widespread sources of moisture; although the volume of water made available in this way is unlikely to be considerable, its regularity over large areas may be significant in maintaining basic water requirements for an overall low level of plant cover.

Temperature, another key factor, is influenced by latitude, continentality and topographic variation. Movement northwards towards the equator, and inland onto the central plateau, combines to render the |Xam territories some of the hottest areas of the Karoo (Figures 3.6:52, 3.7:54, and 3.8:54). The Orange River valley experiences consistently higher temperatures than its surroundings. In summer the central areas of the Karoo experience large temperature ranges combining the effects of continentality with the absence of cloud cover, and in some areas day-to-night shifts of over 25°C are not uncommon (Venter, Mocke and de Jager, 1986:44). In winter, compounding effects of altitude and continentality ensure that the high interior plateau experiences some of the coldest temperatures of the subcontinent (Desmet and Cowling, 1999:9). Frosts are not uncommon, and snow may even fall at higher altitudes (more commonly to the south of the |Xam homelands, but not impossible further north, Figure 3:9:55). Disturbances in the westerly airstream (winter-rainfall system) produce characteristic cold snaps that can penetrate well into the Karoo, associated with precipitation and determining
Figure 3.7: Isotherms of mean annual summer temperatures (°C) (after Venter, Mocke and de Jager, 1986:47)

Figure 3.8: Mean annual frequency of days with maximum temperatures above 30°C (after Venter, Mocke and de Jager, 1986:47)
snowfall for higher-lying areas: the |Xam homelands experience some of both the greatest annual and daily ranges of temperature on the subcontinent.

With the increasing interest in the geology of the interior during the later part of the nineteenth century, the term Karoo was also adopted as a label for the Karoo Sequence geological formations. Although our geologic understanding of the region at more local levels continues to be refined, the area as a whole is fairly well documented (Figure 3:10:58). Much of the current surface of the Nama-Karoo is dominated by sedimentary deposits, with numerous igneous intrusions and contact metamorphoses associated with them (Watkeys, 1999:19). The Karoo Sequence (Carboniferous to Jurassic in age) overlies the Cape Supergroup,
exposed in areas to the south and west of the central Nama-Karoo: the interface between these two formations corresponds to specific types of Karoo (Succulent Karoo in the west; Klein Karoo in the south), and is partly responsible for this variation. The ǀXam homelands lie entirely within the exposure of Karoo Sequence rocks. The oldest rocks of this sequence represent the deposition of glacial tillite (Dwyka tillite), associated with the retreat of the continental ice-sheet, during the late Carboniferous-early Permian period. It is exposed only in a narrow band abutting the mountains of the Cape Folded Belt, and to the north along some stretches of the Orange River, with larger areas of exposure to the north in Gordonia. In terms of its impact for stone tool-using hunter-gatherers, this element is important chiefly because of the glacial erratics it deposited, providing varied sources of high-quality lithic material. Above the Dwyka tillite are the shales of the Ecca and Beaufort Series, deposits formed under a vast inland sea (Ecca) or in poorly-drained alluvial plains (Beaufort). These series include the mudstones, sandstones, and siltstones that constitute the bedrock of the Karoo landscape; their horizontal erosion planes are responsible both for the flat aspect of the country, and (where capped by harder rocks) for its distinctive low flat-topped hills or koppies (Visser, 1986:7-8). Large horizontal beds of sedimentary rocks create a gently sloping plain, around 900-1000masl, running from the Escarpment in the south to the incised channel of the Orange River in the north. Combined with low levels of rainfall, this creates extensive pans (periodic shallow lakes, often extensive in area) and determines the endorheic catchment of
several periodic rivers in the central Karoo. Not present in the interior Karoo, the final sedimentary rocks of the Karoo Sequence are the Cape Sandstones (Molteno and Clarens Formations), which in the mountains of the Escarpment erode to form rockshelters and the ‘canvas’ for much of the painted rock art of South Africa.

The Karoo Sequence terminates with an igneous component (Stormberg and Drakensberg basalts), a result of disturbances associated with the early Jurassic break up of Gondwanaland and manifest in a range of forms largely responsible for the vastly different landforms incorporated within area covered by rocks of the Karoo Sequence. In the mountainous uKhahlamba-Drakensberg/Maluti region, the extrusion of Drakensberg lavas created basaltic caps that cover the sedimentary element to depths of up to 1400m, contributing to a dramatic vertical topography (Haskins and Bell, 1995:287-288). In the interior, however, these igneous deposits did not extrude and instead form numerous vertical dykes and horizontal sills (Visser, 1986:12), leading to considerable contact metamorphosis (primarily, the induration of shales) and converting the mudstones and siltstones into harder rocks such as hornfels (lydianite). These harder igneous and metamorphic deposits form lenses within their sedimentary contexts, responsible for such vertical topography as exists in the central Karoo; their differential susceptibility to erosion leaves them ‘capping’ softer sedimentary rocks as koppies (Visser, 1986:12). Harder metamorphosed shales also provided a ready and widespread source of fine-grained raw materials for lithic production.

---

20 Only the larger rivers in the region drain into the Orange River.
Figure 3.10: Simplified geological map of the Nama-Karoo (after Visser, 1986:2)
dominating some of the archaeological lithic assemblages from the central interior Karoo (Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, 1995).

In the colonial period, ‘Karoo’ came also to refer to soil types associated with Karoo regions: Lichtenstein (1812:122) reports that ‘yellow-tinted soils throughout the colony’ were referred to as Karoo ground. Two of Watkeys’ (1999:17) Karoo soil regions cut across theǀXam homelands (Figure 3.11:59): region 2, ‘Namaqualand, Bushmanland and Korannaland’, covering a band to the south of the Orange River, and region 3, ‘Great Karoo (North)’, in the central interior. Region 2 soils are commonly red or yellow, reflecting the well-draining
and oxidising environment in which they are generated. Granite and dolerite are important parent materials, and these soils are common where Dwyka tillite is the underlying substrate. They tend to have an impoverished organic component, or to lack one altogether, are not waterlogged, and range from very sandy (less than 6% clay) in the west, where dune areas can form, to sandy (6-15% clay) in the east. The distinctive geology around the Orange River itself gives rise to local and restricted soil variations (e.g. infertile soils derived from the weathering of siliceous quartzite hills), and in granitic environments north of Kenhardt shallow (less than 400mm) sandy loam soils develop, overlaying calcareous or calcrete hardpans (Ellis and Lambrechts, 1986:26). Region 3 represents a pedologically young landscape with a range of calcareous, medium-texture soils, from sandy loams to sandy-clay loams. Soil variation here corresponds with floral divisions, dwarf shrublands developing on saline or calcareous soils and low grasslands on acidic Aeolian sands (Palmer, Novellie and Lloyd, 1999:210). These soils are the most widespread of all Karoo soils; shallow upland soils or recent alluvium interspersed with patches of bare rock. In some low rainfall areas (e.g. between Brandvlei and Carnarvon) lime is abundant. Along larger river channels (the Orange, Zak and Hartebeest Rivers), deep unconsolidated deposits form in alluvial terraces that can be over 1000mm in depth, and are calcareous throughout (Ellis and Lambrechts, 1986:35).

Low rainfall and large temperature ranges favour mechanical rather than chemical weathering of the bedrock, tending to produce weakly structured, shallow, but fairly fertile soils, with high mineral contents (Burke, 2002). Formed by in situ
weathering, variations in local geology can have considerable impacts upon the soils present. Dwyka tillite substrates supply large amounts of sodium chloride to the groundwater (Hodgson, 1986:88); this is registered toponymically in a large number of place names and landscape features (Humphreys and Thackeray, 1983:27-31) incorporating the Afrikaans Sout- (salt-) and Brak- (brackish-). Burke’s (2002) study of soil variations associated with koppies in Namibia suggests that the localised geology and topography of these mountains is mirrored in the physical and chemical composition of their soils. Areas covered by Karoo Sequence rocks show considerable variations in groundwater (Hodgson, 1986:88), and doleritic intrusions (especially dykes) are influential in determining its local availability. These intrusions often form landform features (such as koppies), creating small ‘islands’ of environmental variation, with special floral communities, water supplies, and soils.

The Karoo produces less than 6.5% of South Africa’s mean annual surface water runoff despite covering around 45% of its surface area, as large shallow pans contribute to large evaporative losses in an already-low precipitation region (Görgens and Hughes, 1986:54-55). The contribution of rainfall to erosion and soil redistribution is generally low; wind represents the primary agent of erosion, as the karroid bushes here seldom provide a protective closed canopy, leaving substantial bare patches on which it can act (Roux and Opperman, 1986:97). Sporadic but often violent rainfall can cause large scale erosion and redistribution of Karoo soils, as their weak structure and the absence of substantial vegetal cover mean that occasional flash floods (e.g. the 1981 Laingsburg floods) can cause
massive movements of sediment and soil loss into river drainage systems. The extensive pans are dominated by transported soils, accumulating as adequate rainfall occurs until a sufficiently large rainfall event causes substantial erosion (Ellis and Lambrechts, 1986:33). Water features, even though seldom flowing, therefore create special dynamic environmental locales with particular successional flora communities.

Karoo soils are vulnerable to anthropogenic or zoogenic forms of erosion, such as that associated with overstocking. Detrimental impacts of colonial sheep farming on Karoo vegetation were noted as early as 1864 (Roux and Opperman, 1986:94); the effects of trampling (soil compaction) and path formation (opening erosion channels) remain current issues for livestock farmers. Once the Karoo was divided into private farms in the late nineteenth century (Chapter 4c:119), owners engaged in substantial efforts to ‘improve’ the land by burning the veld, draining or watering lands by breaking down embankments and digging furrows, and controlling access to pasture around springs. These changes significantly undermined the ability of wild fauna to subsist in competition with livestock, leading to substantial alterations of the veld (Acocks, 1975), and contributing to the difficulties faced by the ǀXam in maintaining a hunter-gatherer lifestyle at this time (Chapter 4c:121). However, it should not be imagined that anthropogenic erosion impacts on Karoo soils were initiated with colonisation; indigenous herders utilised these landscapes prior to European arrival and, while their strategies of exploitation were doubtless not identical, the impact their herds had on vulnerable soils must have been comparable. Large scale burning of the veld,
as part of the !Xam exploitation of swarming locusts (LL.VIII.7.6627-6633), must also have had similar effects on the landscape. Zoogenic factors on the part of wild species can also be considerable; springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*) and other migrant species were formerly responsible for veld deterioration and subsequent secondary succession, forming very dense populations far beyond that of the normal carrying capacities of the region and causing large-scale floral change through trampling and grazing, creating bare patches then subject to further erosion (Roux and Opperman, 1986:96). Smaller indigenous fauna contribute to erosion on local scales, through the burrowing actions of suricates (*Suricata suricatta*), springhare (*Pedetes capensis*), ground squirrels (*Xerus inauris*), and hyraxes (*Procavia capensis*).
Chapter 3b: Karoo biota

The two Karoo biomes are partly defined by vegetation differences between, and similarities within, them. Two basic forms typify Karoo vegetation, and the form and development of both is determined by water stress: dwarfed woody shrubs with ericoid (or otherwise xeromorphic) leaves, and leaf or stem succulents (Werger, 1978:236). Unsurprisingly, the latter dominate the Succulent Karoo while the former are more characteristic of the Nama-Karoo, but both forms can be found in abundance in both of the Karoo biomes. A similar suite of flora, divisible based on species proportions, can be identified across much of the Nama-Karoo, highly endemic at a species level with numerous advanced adaptations to the aridity of the region. Werger (1978:242) suggests Karoo flora is the result of long-term adaptation of fynbos and tropical floras to the conditions of the interior plateau and that arid conditions have persisted (not necessarily as a stable system) in the region for a considerable period; Acocks (1953) too sees karroid vegetation as modifications of the fynbos of the temperate southwest and the tropical Sudano-Zambezian grass- and bush-velds. Typical Nama-Karoo flora in |Xam territories consists of evergreen shrublands with an understory of grasses. Common Karoo shrub bushes include *Pentzia* spp., *Eriocephalus* spp., *Rosenia* spp., *Salsola* spp., *Galenia* spp., *Pteronia* spp., *Ruschia* spp., and *Zygophyllum*
spp. (Vorster and Roux, 1983:19), and in some (especially sandier) areas spiny caespitose desert grasses of *Stipagrostis* spp. and *Aristida* spp. (Vorster and Roux, 1983:19), can dominate. These shrublands vary with moisture availability, underlying soils, and intensity of grazing, from being extremely open to relatively closed, with larger shrubs and trees (*Acacia* spp., *Rhigozum* spp., *Rhus* spp. and *Lycium* spp.) confined to water courses and springs (Lovegrove, 1993:25).

Variation between biomes does not exhaust the total floral variation of the region, as geological and pedological factors, landform features such as the Orange River channel and the rain shadow of the Escarpment, altitude, and topography modify substantially, at more local levels, the climatically-driven biome division. The ‘bleeding over’ of components from biomes in close physical proximity (savannah and grasslands to the north and east, fynbos to the southwest) creates atypical floral assemblages around the margins of biomes, partaking of two or more biome communities. In terms of the floral environment, three major zones exist in the central areas of the Nama-Karoo: an area to the north focusing on the Orange River and (through its major tributaries) extending some distance to the south; the ‘core’ǀXam area; and areas along the southern, south-western and south-eastern margins of this centre, characterised by a transition to more broken country as one approaches the Escarpment. Variation in South African vegetation has been the focus of several classificatory schemes, the first major one being Acocks’ (1953).

Subsequent authors (Low and Rebelo, 1996) modify his model by amalgamating or splitting his ‘veld types’, grouping together some of Acocks’ ‘deteriorated’ veld types as variation within particular parent veld types rather than providing a
major re-working of the basic units (for the central interior Karoo, at least). For
the purposes of this summary, I largely follow Acocks’ discussion with references
for both classifications (Figure 3:12:67; Figure 3:13:69).

Acocks’ (1953) work was prompted by the impression that veld deterioration was
a reality, one that would have severe economic consequences. His organisation of
floral variation and delineation of veld types was designed with farming in mind,
specifically defining a veld type as a vegetal unit with the same ‘farming
potentialities’ (Acocks, 1953:2). His classification was not designed to record and
emphasise variation that might have been of significance to hunter-gatherer
groups, formulated on a much larger scale than would have been relevant to
everyday gathering activities. However, for general understandings of the ecology
of the region, and for the capacity of areas to support medium to large bovids, his
model has considerable utility. Six of his categories overlap with ǀXam-ka-ǀxoe;
Orange River Broken Veld (Type 32), Central Upper Karoo (Type 27), False Arid
Karoo (Type 35), Arid Karoo (Type 29), False Succulent Karoo (Type 39) and
Western Mountain Karoo (Type 28). Arid Karoo and the Central Upper Karoo
represent the most typical, widespread systems, and others are associated with
specific landforms, proximity to other veld types, or veld deterioration.

The Orange River Broken Veld revolves around the Orange River channel, with
boundaries more-or-less identical to Hoffman’s (1996b) Type 51, Orange River
Nama Karoo. It is derived mainly from tropical flora exploiting a favourable
channel into the Karoo, and is separated from other Karoo veld types by the
incorporation of larger plants. Along the broken topography of the river, quiver
Figure 3.12: Simplified diagram of Acock's (1953) veld types
trees (*Aloe dichotoma*) and Bushman poison trees (*Euphorbia avasmontana*) are common, and thickets of trees including acacias (*Acacia mellifera, A. eriolaba*), wild tamarisk (*Tamarix usneoides*) and buffalo thorn (*Ziziphus mucronata*) are abundant along the Orange River channel and the (usually dry) channels of its tributaries. Dependent on higher moisture levels than are typical, this veld type does not extend far into the central interior: the Hartebeest River channel represents the major incursion of this veld type into |Xam territories.

The False Succulent Karoo represents deteriorating, overgrazed veld (Acocks, 1953:116) in which winter-rainfall adapted Succulent Karoo species (*e.g.* *Mesembryanthemum* sp.) sparsely colonise autumn-rainfall areas formerly characterised by Arid Karoo veld\(^{21}\). Coupled with impoverishment of the Arid Karoo substrate, this gives the veld type almost the character of a true desert. The Arid Karoo, to the east, covers the vast majority of the |Xam-inhabited areas, as close to a ‘typical’ vegetation as should be imagined for the region. Perennial grasses and geophytes are well represented, and while the Karoo bush species are abundant, they are less dominant than in regions further south. Grasses are represented chiefly by silvery-white desert species (*Aristida, Eragostis* and *Stipagostis* spp.), particularly prevalent in the sandier western areas: the |Xam term for Bushmen from this area (see Glossary, lkhe:-len:408, Chapter 7a:220) reflected this ecological division. In the north, the Arid Karoo is represented by *blomkool* (Afr. cauliflower) *ganna* (*Salsola tuberculata*) veld, consisting of small karroid bushes and grasses: Acocks (1953) suggested that this was the

\(^{21}\) Hoffman (1996) combines the two as Bushmanland Upper Nama Karoo (Type 49).
climax community for the Arid Karoo, and that before overgrazing it would have been much more widespread. In the central parts of the Arid Karoo, a transition to *driedoring* (Afr. three-thorn, *Rhigozum trichotomum*) veld, a degraded, semi-desert, stony veld, represents the combination of overgrazing and subsequent erosion; the exposure of the dark Dwyka tillite or Ecca shales overheats surrounding soils, retarding floral colonisation and creating extensive denuded plains. Along the southern margins of the Arid Karoo (more broken and less arid
areas closer to winter-rainfall systems), a more mixed veld has developed, incorporating a considerable number of *Mesembryanthemum* species with *blomkoolganna* veld.

The Central Upper and False Arid Karoo mark the easternmost extensions of |Xam territories, the best watered velds of their homelands. Acocks (1953:113) notes that the False Arid Karoo is an invasion (a ‘thickening up’ of Arid Karoo species) within his Central Upper Karoo; the two are so thoroughly mixed that it is impossible to pick them apart, as overgrazing and erosion have facilitated the spread of Arid Karoo species. While a False Arid Karoo ‘border zone’ between the two veld types probably always existed, it is impossible to reconstruct the dynamics of this margin. Within the Central Upper Karoo, well-watered areas display dense coverings of Karoo bushes with large numbers of grass species, and may reflect conditions prior to the impact of over-grazing (Acocks, 1953:94). At its eastern margins, the Central Upper Karoo merges into the more broken country of the Western Mountain Karoo (Acocks’ Veld Type 28), which is both cooler and wetter than previously discussed regions. The bushes in this more mesic area grow considerably larger than commonly observed elsewhere in the Karoo (Acocks, 1953:95), though taller evergreen shrubs do penetrate into the Arid Karoo along dolerite ridges (Hoffmann and Cowling, 1987:9), reflecting variation in water availability.

Temperature, and the timing or volume of rainfall, determines floral phenological responses, which in the Nama-Karoo means that this occurs at any time of year if

---

22 Hoffman (1996a) links them as Upper Nama Karoo (Type 50).
sufficient precipitation occurs. Although autumn and spring (outside of temperature extremes) represent period of greatest activity, highly localised precipitation commonly creates a ‘mosaic’ of resource patches in any given area, displaying diversity in floral composition and in growth stages (Hoffmann and Cowling, 1987:11-12). Trees, Karoo shrubs and bushes, and grasses have different growth cycles, and while these often overlap or are stimulated by the same phenological events, this creates a ‘staggered’ availability for higher quality browse (Vorster and Roux, 1983). Much variation in floral communities occurs at a local level, reflecting patchiness of certain resources within any given area over time or shifts in relative frequencies of species within a specific veld ‘type.’ As the key determining factor of plant communities (rainfall) is highly stochastic, floral resource predictability is low; aridity adaptations of Karoo plants enable them to survive water-stressed periods at a low density or in diapauses, until large ‘rainfall events’ provoke responses that can alter veld composition for years (Hoffmann and Cowling, 1987:2). Zoogenic impacts on karroid flora composition can be considerable, chiefly in the context of fenced farming or in periodic high-density population irruptions of indigenous species (Acocks, 1975). Irruptions of arthropods may defoliate particular species (e.g. Karoo caterpillar, Loxostege frustalis, favours Pentzia sp. bushes), with successional effects (Vorster and Roux, 1983:20). Depictions of Karoo flora are therefore fairly coarse, framing a range of possibilities or general character; certain patterns, such as the distinct resource ‘islands’ associated with vertical topography or the role of water sources as local refugia, can be observed across the whole region. Specific veld
composition exhibits small-scale variation that, for people relying heavily on these resources (farmers and their livestock as much as hunter-gatherers), plays important roles in determining the specifics of day-to-day choices and movements around the landscape.

Unfortunately, our understanding of the capacity of Nama-Karoo flora to support human populations remains limited. There are sound nutritional reasons (e.g. the generally low fat content of African bovids) to suspect that, as with ethnographically documented Kalahari hunter-gatherers, plant foods would have represented key caloric resources (H.J. Deacon, 1993:86). A large number of Karoo plants have nutritional value (Wehmeyer, 1986), many of which have passed into Afrikaans vernacular plant knowledge as veldkos (wild plant foods), presumably in part from Khoekhoe or Bushman sources (Youngblood, 2008:1). Floral palatability and productivity with respect to livestock requirements have been well examined (e.g. Vorster and Roux, 1983), but there have been few detailed studies of the productivity, distributions, and life histories of plants suited for human consumption; the detailed information recorded for Kalahari hunter-gatherer plant resource strategies (Silberbauer, 1981:198-204) was not collected by observers of Karoo hunter-gatherers. The nutritive potential of species likely to have been significant can be assessed, but the integration of this potential into overall subsistence strategies is poorly understood. Ethnohistorical records give some indication of the plants eaten by Bushmen (Chapter 7c:257), and an understanding of the dynamics of Karoo floral systems allows us to expand on this, by looking at categories of resources and exploring the ecological
implications contingent on the exploitation of certain types. Fleshy-fruiting plants requiring significant water inputs are rare in arid environments (Bronstein et al., 2007:148), but they do occur, providing irruptions of resources circumscribed in time and space. In the Karoo, cucurbits are a major fruit resource, well represented in ǀXam subsistence (Appendix E:484). As this fruit production relies upon often unreliable rainfall cues, it generates irregular ‘pulses’ of productivity, important not only for the nutritional value of the fruit but as key components in faunal subsistence strategies, especially those of birds (Bronstein et al. 2007:151) and some reptiles (S. Milton, 1992). Faunal dispersal of seeds is an important factor in maintaining a heterogeneous resource distribution crucial for Karoo occupation; species move across the landscape in a non-random fashion, creating ‘patches’ (Bronstein et al. 2007:154-155).

In the relatively treeless Karoo biomes, geophytes represent an obvious source of carbohydrates (H.J. Deacon, 1993:87). Well represented in the ethnohistorical accounts, geophytes are reliable food sources that provide year-round caloric supplies, though their nutritive value and palatability does vary with the season. They often produce distinct ‘patches’ of resources, as many propagate vegetatively, forming clusters in restricted areas, thereby contributing to a heterogeneous resource distribution. Youngblood (2004, 2008) examines the nutritive content and productivity of a range of geophytic species in the Upper Seacow Valley; although located to the east of the ǀXam-ka-ǃxoe (in a slightly wetter form of Karoo veld), her study provides a useful reference point for considering the significance of geophytes, giving some indication of their
densities (Youngblood, 2004:56; 2008:23-24) and highlighting their productivity. In the summer-to-autumn rainfall zone (the eastern portions of \(Xam-ka-xoe\)), geophytes would ideally be harvested in winter (Youngblood, 2004:61), rendering them complementary to other Karoo flora (undergoing productivity bursts in the spring and autumn).

The Karoo’s fauna displays a low level of endemism, with larger species (particularly mammals) primarily derived from other biomes. Differences in the reliability of seasonal rainfall in Nama-Karoo versus Succulent Karoo biomes form effective barriers between the two for many Succulent Karoo species: Nama-Karoo birds and larger mammals stem from savannah and grassland faunas, with species adopting nomadic or partly migratory strategies utilising resources that are patchy in time and/or space (Vernon, 1999:79). Much of the Karoo fauna has been severely affected by anthropogenic factors associated with colonial expansion, many species becoming locally extinct or restricted to reduced ranges. I refer primarily to the eighteenth- and nineteenth-century fauna, insofar as this can be reconstructed from historical sources (Skead, 1980, 1987). Relying on the unsystematic and impressionistic observations of sporadic travellers and hunters for an accurate picture of the population dynamics of Karoo ecosystems is problematic (cf. Boshoff and Kerley, 2010), but the low level of endemism of Karoo species allows us to combine the historical record with analogical data from behavioural and ecological studies of these species elsewhere in Africa to glean useful information. Combining these studies with our knowledge of arid
environments in general allows the reconstruction of some dimensions of this ecosystem as it existed in the colonial and immediately precolonial past.

The non-endemic nature of many Nama-Karoo species entails several important ethological consequences that structure potentials and constraints for hunter-gatherer strategies. For some species, Karoo exploitation represents an opportunistic phase within a larger subsistence pattern; species not particularly adapted to aridity find the Karoo a challenging environment, and successful expansion into it requires certain behavioural modifications, such as the adoption of highly mobile ‘retreating’ cycles of resource acquisition (Lovegrove, 1999:156). Species utilise favourable variations of the Karoo (e.g. river channels) to reach resources in an area ordinarily unable to support them, retreating to refugia zones in stressed periods. Species can also be sensitive to climatic variation on intra-annual and inter-annual scales, entering the Karoo when its aridity is most ameliorated. While all the variants of the Karoo represent arid environments, there is considerable variation in how this aridity is spatially and temporally distributed, facilitating short-term expansions of range.²³

All water-dependent herbivores in the Karoo utilised these adaptations to varying degrees; equids, preferential (not necessarily obligate) grazers, are more reliant on water than many savannah bovids, and some species (*Equus zebra zebra*) will modify their environment by digging to expose water in dry riverbeds, where possible (Estes, 1991:235). Two equid species formerly dwelt in the Nama-Karoo;

---

²³ Both strategies were important for pastoralist occupation. Cattle and sheep require open water, forcing pastoralists to control access to permanent sources, and/or to rely on movement to favourable areas.
the extinct quagga (*Equus quagga quagga*\(^2\)), over much of the region, and the Cape mountain zebra (*Equus zebra zebra*), along its southern fringes. The early date for the quagga’s extinction (Skead, 1980) throughout its range perhaps relates to increased stress on its subsistence strategies (relative to less water reliant species), incurred by increasing farmer monopolisation of water sources. Alcelaphines, represented in the Nama-Karoo by black wildebeest (*Connochaetes gnou*), blue wildebeest (*Connochaetes taurinus*) and hartebeest (*Alcelaphus buselaphus*), are also fairly water-dependent, retreating to water sources in the dry season (in savannah environments). This suggests they were probably vagrants in the Nama-Karoo, utilising channels (*e.g.* the Hartebeest River) to reach graze and forage deeper into this area. Blue wildebeest are the most water-dependent of the three (drinking at least every other day, Estes, 1991:150), almost certainly limiting their Nama-Karoo range to regions bordering the Orange River, while black wildebeest can incorporate a greater degree of browse (*i.e.* karroid bushes) in their diet, increasing tolerance of arid conditions. Hartebeest will drink regularly if water is available, but in the Kalahari will incorporate tsamma melons (*Citellus lanatus*) and geophytes to alleviate water stress (Estes, 1991:138), a possible strategy for Karoo environments. Other species pursue similar tactics; the willingness of brown hyenas (*Hyaena brunnea*) to incorporate tsamma melons and gemsbok cucumbers (*Acanthosicyas naudinianus*) into their dry carrion-based diet likely contributes to their successful colonisation of arid zones (Lovegrove, 1993:60). This constitutes a second key adaptation to aridity; dietary modification,  

and extraction of sufficient water from food to permit survival in the absence of standing water. Many bovids achieve a degree of water-independence with these strategies, and some extract sufficient water from their ordinary diet to permit year-round Karoo occupation without recourse to migration or dependence on specific plants (with unusually high-water content). Springbok employ selective grazing strategies but primarily are browse specialists of the dwarf shrubs (especially Pentzia sp.) and succulents characteristic of the Nama-Karoo biome (Lovegrove, 1993:100-101), allowing them water-independence\textsuperscript{25}. The combination of selective grazing, exploitation of key areas of environmental variation, and large territories maintained low-density populations of a range of bovid species.

For larger mammals and birds with low locomotive costs, long distance movements become viable mechanisms for avoiding the extremes of Karoo environments: smaller or less mobile species are forced to adopt different strategies to cope with these extremes. Physiological strategies of diapause followed by rapid florescences are crucial in the lifecycles of a range of algae, bacteria and protozoa species: the ability of these species to survive long desiccating periods is the foundation of the short-lived ecosystems of Karoo water-courses. In effect only for brief stretches, these systems introduce temporally- and spatially-confined variation providing crucial resources many species; quite dramatic population aggregations, such as those of sandgrouse and flamingos, develop around shallow temporary water sources (Lovegrove,\textsuperscript{25}

\textsuperscript{25} They will drink, tolerating a high mineral content (Estes, 1991:80).
Fauna employing xeromorphic physiological mechanisms (e.g. retraherence, Lovegrove, 1993:152) allowing them to tolerate arid conditions are prominent among Karoo fauna; reptiles are diverse and abundant and tortoises are notably common, with species endemic to both biomes. Short-term retreat from the extremes of Karoo environments to the shade reduces heat stresses and water consumption, while full-scale nocturnalism represents a more extreme form of this avoidance strategy (Lovegrove, 1999:154): both are widespread strategies for small fauna, particularly among rodents. Burrows and nest structures represent the creation of favourable micro-environments for retreat when external conditions become intolerable (Lovegrove, 1999:154-155): they are employed by invertebrates and rodents as well as larger mammals such as aardvarks (Orycteropus afer), aardwolves (Proteles cristatus) and bat-eared foxes (Otocyon megalotis). The success of these strategies for smaller fauna, facilitating continual occupation of the Karoo, maintains relatively stable, local faunal populations: combined with floral resources, the species constitute the basic carrying capacity of Karoo environments for hunter-gatherer strategies.

Social insects play a crucial role in the maintenance of the Karoo environment: their tolerance for its extremes is considerable, with some ant species withstanding body temperatures of over 50°C (Curtis, 1985). They contribute a vital organic component to the shallow soils and increase the availability of soil nutrients: old termite nests creating distinctive micro-habitats that contribute significantly to plant diversity (Lovegrove, 1993:40,106). Physiological (Formicidae) or behavioural (Termitoidae) adaptations allow social insects to
thrive where competitors struggle, allowing for high population densities. They therefore constitute significant resources for Karoo insectivores; several large mammals in the Nama-Karoo focus more-or-less exclusively on these species. Aardvarks (Shoshani, Goldman and Thewissen, 1988), aardwolves (Estes, 1991:344) and bat-eared foxes (Estes, 1991:392) specialise in these insects, and chacma baboons (Papio ursinus) utilise them when in arid areas (Estes, 1991:509).

The other invertebrate of major significance to Karoo biomes is the brown locust (Locustana pardalina), which remains a contemporary economic concern (R. Price and Brown, 2000:37-38). Lovegrove (1999:162) suggest this species personifies reproductive adaptation with respect to the unpredictability of Karoo environments; in its cryptic solitaria phase it behaves much like other Karoo orthoptera, with a low density occupation that can survive through drought periods. The characteristic swarming of the gregaria phase (stimulated by overcrowded solitaria hoppers) initiates massive colonisation of new environments once conditions improve, and longer periods of low density occupation are punctuated by large, but sporadic and short-lived, irruptions. The Afrikaans term for migratory gregaria locusts, treksprinkane, echoes the term for the famous large-scale springbok population movements, trekbokke. Prior to the fencing of Karoo farms (Roche, 2008), springbok undertook large scale population movements in response to rainfall conditions, and herds comprising many thousands of animals are well-documented (Dunn, 1873). These nomadic movements were primarily oriented along north-south and northeast-southwest
axes, crossing from summer-rainfall dominated to winter-rainfall zones (Lovegrove, 1993:163) and responding to localised good rains. Springbok treks were not seasonal migratory movements of stable populations from one area to another, but rather represented population irruptions with subsequent movement to favourable conditions: relatively wet years allowing large increases in population, and subsequent drought provided the catalyst for movement, creating periodically abundant resources that represented significant components of |Xam subsistence strategies (Chapter 7b:237).

Although Karoo environments can appear homogeneous, even monotonous, there is considerable variation at the local level: Venter and Watson (2008) identify seven habitats even within a small study area, demonstrating the significance of this variation in determining forage strategies and population growth among the bovid population. Highlighting the importance of the small-scale and of detailed information in understanding ecosystem dynamics, this study recalls the difficulties for understanding |Xam hunter-gatherer strategies: for the nineteenth century Karoo this information is largely irrecoverable, though such reconstruction as we can attempt outlines the broad issues facing arid-zone hunter-gatherer groups, and the impacts of certain variables. Periodicity rather than seasonality is central to understanding many elements of the Nama-Karoo biome; compared with surrounding biomes, it is difficult to place temporal variation in a strong bimodal pattern. Although many plants have phenological lifecycles, the absence of consistently marked seasons means that this potential for ‘seasonality’ is unevenly distributed across the landscape. This suggests that
aggregation-dispersal residence patterns observed for Kalahari Bushman groups (Lee, 1979:360; Barnard, 1992:43-45) are unlikely to have played a major role in ǀXam life; while there are references to seasonal variation in resources on the part of the Bleek-Lloyd informants (LL.II.23.2044-2045; Chapter 7c:259), there is little indication that this was accompanied by shifts in population density\textsuperscript{26}. References to activities employing larger numbers of people in resource collection, such as some forms of springbok hunting (LL.VIII.23.8028-8029; LL.VIII.32.8841'; Dewar \textit{et al.}, 2006), the collection of locusts, and the large groups noted in the late eighteenth century (Chapter 4b:103), suggest that ǀXam populations did occasionally come together: the maintenance of low population densities with the fluidity to mobilise larger units during population irruptions of key resources represents a common Nama-Karoo strategy.

\textsuperscript{26} Taking one’s wife and children to live in \textit{lo} (translated as ‘solitude’ by Lloyd, LL.II.30.2711’), rather than ‘being with one’s ‘fellows’, perhaps broadly corresponded with aggregation-dispersal models; little detail is available about this practice, unfortunately.
Chapter 4: Historical Contexts

Chapter 4a: Precolonial history

Archaeological interest in the interior Karoo is long-standing: in the latter decades of the nineteenth century, the emergent discipline considered Bushman groups to be useful sources of information regarding the human past. As in other colonial settings (Finzsch, 2005), the persistence in the arid interior of hunter-gatherer lifestyles was seen as an opportunity to observe, through the actions of ‘primitive’ groups in the present, the prehistory of peoples elsewhere. Paralleling Bleek’s interest in Bushman languages, interest in the material culture of stone-tool using populations reflected a belief that this information would construct the overarching narrative of prehistoric social development. Material signatures of hunter-gatherer practices were employed to establish the supposed lack of innovation and change these societies had undergone, representing them as long-
standing, ‘static’ occupants of their current territories. Dunn (1872) characterised ostrich eggshell fragments and lithic debris in the Karoo as evidence of long-term *Bushman* occupation; the evidence of materials ‘serviceable to primitive man’ was linked with contemporary Bushman populations though ethnographic vignettes (Dunn, 1873:34), and earlier authors (Stow, 1905) drew heavily upon archaeological material to construct culture history models for the region. With the cessation of hunter-gatherer lifestyles in the Karoo, the region became less central to the construction of archaeological narratives. Isolated from major population centres and lacking the deep rock shelter deposits found elsewhere in the country, the interior Nama-Karoo remains a relatively neglected contributor to our understanding of southern African prehistory.

The Early (ESA) and Middle Stone Age (MSA) occupation of what would become the iXam territories in the central Nama-Karoo is poorly understood, with only a few sites identified and excavated; the extent to which this paucity reflects a real absence rather than taphonomic (and research history) issues is debatable. The aridity of the region implies a challenging environment for colonisation on the part of early hominins. Surface lithic scatters typologically assignable to Pleistocene industries can be found across much of the area (Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, 1995), but more securely dated material from the late Holocene periods recording abandonment during climatic deterioration suggests a ‘patchy’ occupation history. The only major rock shelter site in the central interior with deep stratified Pleistocene deposits is Wonderwerk cave (Beaumont and Vogel, 2006), north of the Orange and Vaal rivers; confirming long-term hominin
exploitation of arid interior biomes (Chazan et al. 2009), it has little to say about the central Nama-Karoo biome directly. Characteristic *pans* (*e.g.* Bundu Pan, Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, 1995:241) of the Nama-Karoo provide stratified sequences and therefore partly replicate rock shelters in their potential for dating. Analysis of Bundu Pan faunal assemblages suggests that hominin occupation during the Middle Pleistocene was strongly influenced by climate, associated with a grassland biome faunal suite implying increased water availability (Kiberd, 2001, 2006:200); this (admittedly limited) evidence is consistent with patterns noted for MSA occupation elsewhere in the Nama-Karoo (Sampson, 1985).

Later Stone Age (LSA) industries of the late Pleistocene and Holocene across much of southern Africa are characterised by increasing diversity in both time and space (P. Mitchell, 2002:161-191), and the LSA archaeology of the Nama-Karoo corresponds well with these trends, shifting away from prepared core (mode 3) lithic technologies towards a formal component dominated by microlithic tools and a range of scraper forms, a formal component displaying considerable variation (J. Deacon, 1984) that has been the basis for separating the period into several key industries (see McKay, 2009 for a critique). For the purposes of this discussion, I focus on the local manifestations of the later Holocene industries that presumably segue into the material record of antecedent |Xam populations.

Precise dynamics for interior Karoo climate change at a local scale remain elusive, but this factor appears to have been a significance component in a human occupation characterised by repeated movements in to and out of the region. While a paucity of dated material charting Late Pleistocene occupation may
reflect a relative dearth of research rather than a ‘real’ gap in occupation, even the well-surveyed Seacow Valley (Sampson, 1985) lacks material typologically assignable to Robberg industries (Last Glacial Maximum until c. 12-10 000 BP; P. Mitchell, 2002:120). ‘Oakhurst Complex’ assemblages of the terminal Pleistocene and early Holocene are also poorly documented for Bushmanland itself; Beaumont and Vogel (1989:75) report an ‘undoubted Oakhurst aggregate’ on the far eastern margins of the Karoo, and more tentatively assign material from Springbokoog 1 (Bushmanland) to this industry. As assemblages with less microlithic tendencies are known from surrounding regions (e.g. the Lockshoek, Sampson, 1974), we might imagine these hints of occupation to represent low-density and sporadic utilisation of Bushmanland proper during the early Holocene.

The period approximately 7000 BP-4000 BP appears to have been marked by a transition to a drier environment than exists in the Nama-Karoo today (Meadows and Watkeys, 1999:33); a period corresponding to spread of the Wilton (Classic Wilton) assemblages in South Africa. Wilton assemblages are characterised by high incidences of backed artefacts, particularly in the form of segments (P. Mitchell, 2002:144) and a wide range of other microlithic tools including borers and small scrapers; Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, (1995) identify the Springbokoog industry as the manifestation of the Wilton in the central interior
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27 Bousman (2005) notes Robberg assemblages at Blydefontein, on the extreme eastern margins of the biome and Orton (2008) identifies a similar Late Pleistocene microlithic industry at a site in coastal Namaqualand, on the western extremes of the Succulent Karoo.
Nama-Karoo. Microlithic in overall character, the formal component of this industry is dominated (50-80%) by backed blades (in contrast to contemporaneous scraper-dominated assemblages from the south and east; J. Deacon, 1984) and a preference for hornfels (indurated shales or ‘lydianite’) raw material. Consistent with climate data, this Springbokoog industry reflects highly ‘pulsed’ occupations, concentrated in two phases (4500-4300 BP and 2600-2300 BP, Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, 1995), with some hints of earlier occupation perhaps associated with fine-line engravings that depict fauna more typically associated with Savannah biomes (Beaumont and Vogel, 1989) and therefore reflecting periods of climatic amelioration and shifts to increased summer rainfall. Later manifestations of Wilton assemblages blend into a range of ‘post-Classic’ industries retaining a general microlithic character, but with fewer segments; referred to under various headings (Interior or Inland Wilton, Late Wilton, Post-Wilton and Post-Climax Wilton; Deacon and Deacon, 1999), these industries are of most relevance for the archaeology of the \Xam. For the Ceramic LSA (approximating the last two thousand years) of the central Nama-Karoo, Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, (1995) propose two distinct assemblages, incorporating differences in lithic industry, pottery manufacture, bead sizes and settlement pattern, designated as the Swartkop and Doornfontein.

The lithic component of the Swartkop industry is very similar to the Springbokoog, with a high percentage of blades and bladelets, predominantly on hornfels: the retouched component primarily consists of backed bladelets (up to sixty percent of formal tools). More recent manifestations of the Swartkop have
fewer bladelets, more ceramics, and rely more heavily on local raw materials for lithic sources. ‘Coarse’ Swartkop ceramics are undecorated and often (not exclusively) contain grass-temper, and iron is occasionally present in the assemblages, which are usually found close to periodic water sources, on low koppies, or in deflation hollows. The presence of iron suggests that, at the historic end of the scale, the Swartkop industry reflects the material signature of |Xam populations (Chapter 7d:267), and that its earlier manifestations relate to the ancestors of these groups.

By contrast, Doornfontein lithic assemblages are dominated by larger, irregular flakes, most often on quartz: the formal element is extremely impoverished (and may be completely absent), but includes backed pieces and a range of scraper forms (Parsons, 2007:5). Doornfontein pottery is thin-walled and thick-based, with grit temper and often incorporating structural features including lugs, spouts, and decorated rim and neck sherds. A degree of temporal variation is observed, with assemblages older than AD 700 having no lugs and a larger formal lithic component and later manifestations incorporating grass-tempered wares, more iron and copper objects, and larger ostrich eggshell beads. Restricted to major, reliable water sources (especially the Middle Orange River; A. Smith, 1999:251), these sites have (primarily by contrast with the Swartkop, but also through the presence of distinctive features such as lugged pottery) been linked with a pastoralist occupation assumed to segue into historically documented Khoekhoe populations (Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, 1995).
Surface scatters found on both sides of the Middle Orange River may document LSA groups employing different socio-economic strategies occupying the same environment (Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, 1995:263); substantial herding encampments have been identified within the floodplain of this river (Morris and Beaumont, 1991), though intensive farming of these terraces likely obscures much precolonial exploitation (P. Mitchell, 2002:246). Highly productive, well-watered zones encapsulated by aridity, these floodplain terraces would have constituted attractive environments for both hunter-gatherers and herders: processes of exclusion demonstrated by the separation between herder sites along the river and purportedly hunter-gatherer sites in its hinterland may therefore reflect the increasing marginalisation of groups pursuing foraging subsistence modes (Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, 1995). Such interpretations accord well with those applied elsewhere in southern Africa, where increased presence of food-producing societies has been associated with hunter-gatherer retreat to more marginal mountainous or arid zones (e.g. Parkington and Hall, 1987:10, for the southwest Cape).

The supposed correlation of Swartkop/Doornfontein material signatures with hunter and herder 'ethnic' identities situates the archaeology of central Nama-Karoo within investigations of the emergence and spread of pastoralism, a long-standing and on-going debate in the prehistory of the subcontinent, combining evidence from archaeology, linguistics and documentary sources (cf. P. Mitchell, 2002:227-258). As the spread of livestock (and/or people) to the southwest Cape necessitates a negotiation of some form of Karoo veld, Karoo sites (outside the
central Nama-Karoo) have provided important information for this debate: early
dates confirm the presence of sheep in Namaqualand (a hyper-arid area of the
western Succulent Karoo) from c. 2100 BP (at Spoegrivier; Sealy and Yates,
1994), while sheep-dominated faunal sequences from 1400-1300 BP at
Jakkalsberg A (Webley, 1997) demonstrate the consolidation of herding practices
in the region. On the opposite margins of the Karoo, the ongoing Zeekoe Valley29
Archaeological Project (instigated by Garth Sampson in the late 1970s) provides a
detail case study of contact scenarios between hunters and herders during the
latter part of the LSA, plotting thousands of open-air sites and excavating eleven
rock shelters (Sampson, 2010:843). This extensive study (Sampson, 1984, 1986,
1988, 1996, 2010) explores the dynamics of interactions between pastoralists and
hunter-gatherers, with a particular focus on the extent to which their ceramics may
reflect cultural identities (Sampson et al. 1989; Sampson and Vogel, 1995, 1996;
Bollong, Sampson and Smith, 1997; Sampson, Bailiff and Barnett, 1997; Sadr and
Sampson, 1999; Sampson and Sadr, 1999).

In light of this ‘pastoralist’ debate, the theoretical and methodological basis for
the Swartkop/Doornfontein separation has been subject to scrutiny, with Orton
2007) re-examined the original sites, revising her earlier acceptance of the
distinctiveness of the two industries (Parsons, 2007:2), with assemblages
attributed to these industries subject to considerable diachronic and synchronic
variation that creates a ‘fuzzy boundary’ between the two (Parsons, 2007:9). The

---
29 A valley running approximately north-south to the Orange River from the northern side of the
Sneeuberg Mountains.
'pastoralist’ debate has suggested increasingly that insistence on rigid hunter-gatherer versus pastoralist identities misrepresents the variability of the archaeological record (Sadr, 2003), obscuring the processes whereby domesticated animals spread into the region; although the adoption of pastoralist lifestyles on the part of indigenous hunter-gatherer populations remains a contentious issue, it is clear that the intrusion of domesticated species cannot be represented (only) as the movement of discrete culturally and ethnically distinct populations. Returning to Parsons’ work, we can see that while the division represents a convenient starting point (Parsons, 2007:8), the recognition of areas of overlap between the two suggests that hunter-gatherer and herder practices are not isolated or completely dissimilar. ‘Fuzzy’ examples such as Vlermuisgat (a ‘Swartkop’ site with sheep remains) recall Sadr’s (2004) notion of ‘hunters-with-sheep’, reminding us that foraging-to-pastoralism is a transition rather than an ‘all-or-nothing’ proposition.

No discussion of Northern Cape archaeology would be complete without mention of its engraved rock art. The Bleek-Lloyd material has contributed to our understanding of rock art on a global scale; the seminal work of David Lewis-Williams (1981; 1983), combining this corpus with data from extant southern African hunter-gatherer populations and applying his ‘shamanic’ rock art interpretation (Chapter 9:337; Glossary, !gi:xa:415), cements the archive’s wider relevance for archaeology. Perhaps the one downside of the richness of southern Africa’s painted imagery is that engraved sites have remained relatively neglected. Although engraved imagery is the most common form of
representation in the |Xam homelands, the painted imagery has proven more amenable to detailed analyses, leading the way in refining understandings: Dowson’s (1992) application of the ‘shamanic’ model to the engraved imagery was informed by earlier work on painted imagery (e.g. Lewis-Williams and Dowson, 1988; Dowson, 1989; Lewis-Williams and Dowson, 1989).

Historically, the engravings have been the focus of considerable archaeological attention. Initiated in 1906, Maria Wilman’s (1933) work on Northern Cape engravings coincided with Dorothea Bleek’s ‘Bushman researches’ (Parkington, Morris and Rusch, 2008:33), directly linking emergent archaeology, ongoing ethnographic work, and the Bleek-Lloyd project. Despite this, there was little attempt to employ Bleek-Lloyd accounts in interpretation: early- and mid-twentieth century South African archaeologists focused primarily on documentation of ‘style’ and typological seriation, rather than substantial interpretation of the images (Parkington, Morris and Rusch, 2008:34-36). Recent refinements of this approach (Beaumont and Vogel, 1989) outline three ‘styles’ for Karoo rock engravings: fine-line incised, scraped, and pecked engravings (Beaumont and Vogel, 1989:73). Comparing these styles with the dating of spatially associated surface scatters, these authors conclude the latter two styles were contemporaneous, antedating earlier fine-line imagery. The spatial distribution of scraped engravings (Beaumont and Vogel, 1989:79) corresponds broadly with the territory occupied by |Xam groups, and that hunter-gatherer communities of the region were responsible for the execution of a considerable portion of the engravings is well accepted.
However, the nature of the nineteenth century informants’ relationship with the engravings is less secure (J. Deacon, 1988:132). Bleek and Lloyd’s use of rock art copies (LL.V.22.5739-5742,5755-5775; LL.VIII.19.7639-7641; LL.VIII.22.7969-7975,7983-7993; LL.VIII.23.7999-8004) obtained information evoked by the informants interpretation of the content of the copies (LL.V.22.5755-5775), rather than exploration of the contexts, practices and meanings associated with the production or use of ‘rock art’ itself. The lack of direct commentaries on rock art practices per se may reflect ‘real’ absence of practice or the effects of the unusual context of the imagery shown (cf. Bank, 2006:94-95). The narrators do not mention engraving as part of personal histories and daily activities, excepting in two ambiguous annotations; a lack of clarity in Lloyd’s handwriting (LL.V.24.5963’) implies that Dia!kwain’s father himself engraved, and in publication Lloyd very strongly alludes to this being the case (Lloyd, 1889:19). If Lewis-Williams’ model is an accurate representation of rock art in Bushman culture, this seeming lack of interest\(^\text{30}\) in discussing it is rather surprising, implying that (even if Dia!kwain recognised his ‘fathers’ role\(^\text{31}\) in its production) it had relatively little significance in contemporary life.

The archive documents the strong affective attachments \(\mid\)Xam individuals felt towards particular places in the landscape (‘topophilia’; Tuan, 1974). Deacon (1988:136) examines the way in which these narrative themes can inform our reading of engraved sites, connecting the story of the Agama lizard (incorporating


\(^{31}\) The connection between his father/ancestors and rock art incorporated a water source; other references to fathers and water (Chapter 6b:187) suggest this may state ‘ownership’.
an aetiological ‘explanation’ for two hills), this animal’s link to rainfall, and the imagery of engravings located on these hills. Morris’ (2002, 2008) work discussing Driekopseiland as a significant ‘place’, crossing cultural boundaries just as easily other more mobile forms of material culture, provides a useful and innovative perspective on issues of shared cultural practice across a spectrum of hunter-gatherer and herder identities: many of the beliefs connecting water, serpents and female menarcheal rituals (likely informing the placement of engravings) had resonance beyond a single ‘ethnic’ or cultural identity.

Potentially valuable in providing context for understanding of historical sources, research exploring the archaeology of colonial expansion has been patchy (Malan, 2002:510) and often directed by specific links with the historical record, as with rockshelter excavations that provide an alternative form of ‘documentation’ for sites (Humphreys, 1975; Wadley, 2001). The South-western Cape region has received the most sustained work (cf. Hall and Markell, 1993), focusing on vernacular architecture (Brink, 1990), local foodways, and material culture as a signature of non-literate experiences (e.g. Malan, 1998) in the development of Cape Town itself; outside of the city, sites such as Oudepost 1 (Schrire, 1988:218-222; Schrire, Cruz-Uribe and Klose, 1993) and Vergelegen (Markell, Hall and Schrire, 1995) record the initial expansion of European populations into the Cape interior, but little archaeology of the northern expansion of colonial frontier districts has been attempted. Here, vernacular architecture remains a central concern, exploring the production of distinctive forms of ‘corbelled houses’ (Kramer and Malan, 2007; Maguire, 2007, Walton, 2007 [1989]; Kramer et al.,...
2008), and other stone built structures such as *wolwehokke* (hyena traps, Walton, 1975); these structures are discussed as material signatures of the intrusion and impositions of colonial encroachment, relating to issues of settlement, livestock control, and predator eradication. Seacow Valley material pertinent to historical period hunter-gatherers (combined with written and cartographic sources) has yielded observations on the acquisition of new forms of material culture (Saitowitz and Sampson, 1992; Moir and Sampson, 1993; Westbury and Sampson, 1993) and livestock (Sampson, 1995; Voigt, Plug and Sampson, 1995), on the settlement patterns and mobility infrastructure of colonial settlers (Neville, Sampson and Sampson, 1994; Sampson, Sampson and Neville, 1994), and on emergent inequalities in relationships between settlers and indigenes, negotiated through control of access to certain resources (Sampson, 1993; Plug and Sampson, 1996).

![Stone walling associated with European colonisation, Northern Cape](image)

*Figure 4.1: Stone walling associated with European colonisation, Northern Cape*
Figure 4.2: Aerial view of Karoo farm walling (from figure 4.1) (GoogleMaps image)
The generally low incidence of large-scale redistribution of sediment in the Karoo environments means that ‘structural’ site features, such as stone walling reflecting stock-keeping, residence, and larger-scale works associated with the ‘improvement’ of farmland (Figure 4.1:94; Figure 4.2:95), can remain highly visible. Living surfaces are unlikely to be buried and preserved, and there is a continually accumulating palimpsest of human and faunal activity; Swartkop (and Doornfontein) assemblages likely incorporate !Xam material, but in the absence of chronologically-sensitive colonial material culture it is difficult to separate this from an LSA background. Substantial historical information is necessary to identify sites with (fairly) certain !Xam contributions to the assemblage: Deacon’s (1986; 1988; 1995) work represents the major application of this to !Xam sites, while parallel approaches by Kinahan (1996) and Humphreys (1989) also combine extensive documentation with their archaeological analyses of non-!Xam historical period sites.

Connecting contemporary locales with places mentioned on a map produced by Bleek (Figure 4.3:98), Deacon excavated sites corresponding to known places in the !Xam world, one at Arbeidsvreugd and another at Gifvlei. She concludes that the archaeology contributes relatively little ethnographic evidence, limited to ‘ethnographic snap’ confirming specific observations in the archive (Deacon, 1995), but that despite the allusive nature of !Xam references to their practices, the archive greatly enriches archaeological interpretations, imbuing obscure or apparently mundane elements of the material record with wider social and cultural meaning. The absence of a comprehensive ethnological analysis of the !Xam
material is problematic for this use of Bleek-Lloyd material (or its deployment in the interpretation of other archaeological evidence such as rock art). The sheer scope of the archive facilitates the ‘mining’ of narratives for quotes to support particular perspectives, but treating the corpus piecemeal (without considering the ǀXam language component and examining the translation process) fails to integrate these quotes within a framework explicating ǀXam beliefs and practice: Chapters 5 (132), 6 (168), 7 (213), and 8 (282) return to the original Bleek-Lloyd notebooks in an attempt to develop such a framework.
Figure 4.3: Bushmanland (Kenhardt-Brandvlei-Vanwyksvlei triangle) showing places mentioned on the Bleek map and in manuscripts (after J. Deacon, 1986).
Chapter 4b: The Cape Colony in the eighteenth century

Significant as they are, the Bleek-Lloyd archives do not exist in a vacuum, forming merely a single component in the web of colonial documents referring to the ǀXam Bushmen. Missionaries, explorers, colonial military officials and constabulary, farmers, and traders of the northern border districts all left accounts alluding to ǀXam demographics, subsistence strategies, and cultural practices, highlighting components absent from the archive, outside the aims of the project and the specific life experiences of the informants. This provides an important balance for the relatively small number of informants, allowing us to judge the wider applicability of their experiences. Additionally, it forces us to consider ǀXam society of the Bleek-Lloyd archive as a product of the nineteenth century context within which the informants grew up and dwelt.

This context originates in seventeenth and eighteenth century interactions between Europeans and indigenous populations in the fynbos regions of the south-western corner of the subcontinent. As in other colonial situations (Chapter 9:342), exported attitudes and perspectives interacted with indigenous counterparts producing a complex shared trajectory; numerous syntheses describe the overall
course of this trajectory (Beck, 2000; Feinstein, 2005; Ross, 2008). Here, I provide a brief sketch of the processes whereby colonial society and ǀXam Bushmen came into contact, drawing out some central themes in their interaction.

In 1652, the Dutch East India Company (Vereenigde Oost-Indische Compagnie, VOC) established a supply post at Table Bay, on the spice trade route to Batavia (Jakarta). Supplies were traded from indigenous Khoekhoe pastoralists, whose large herds of cattle and sheep were a major incentive dictating the placement of the station. The VOC, having little interest in creating a potentially expensive colonial state, attempted to maintain strict control of trade and territorial expansion, regulating the interactions of colonists with indigenous populations. However, as local Khoekhoe became reluctant to trade their increasingly depleted herds, settlers began to farm for themselves to produce the goods the company required (Ross, 2008:22-23). Around Cape Town, settlers developed farming strategies incorporating European crops (vines and wheat), relying on slave labour brought from VOC territories in the East Indies, or elsewhere in Africa. With an increasing population, farmers moved further into the interior, where environmental differences undermined strategies based on European crops: as settlers moved into drier Karoo regions a transhumant pastoralist system, relying on indigenous labour and incorporating considerable mobility, developed. Close contact between settlers and indigenous societies (coupled with considerable gender imbalances in initial European immigrants) favoured the formation of creolised populations, including the Bastaards (descendants of Europeans and Khoekhoen) and Oorlams (descendants of Khoekhoen and slaves),
that would in the nineteenth century influence considerably the trajectory of the northern border districts (Legassick, 1979).

A prominent institution arising in the early contact period was the commando system, a major structuring principle constructing ‘dominating’ and ‘dominated’ classes in the Northern Cape (Penn, 2005:110). Requiring access to firearms and horses, the commando responded to the demands of conflict with widely-scattered hunter-gatherer populations, in areas themselves only sparsely populated by colonists, revolving around rapid, local mobilisation of armed forces to retrieve stolen livestock and exact punitive retribution. Late eighteenth century Bushman resistance along the north-eastern border beyond the Sneeuberg Mountains catalysed an escalation of the commando system; the scale of resistance in this area provoked a withdrawal of settlers, and in response the colonial authorities organised the ‘Great Commando’ in 1774, increasing markedly the scale and centralised control of the system. These two forms of the commando (local and large-scale) continued throughout the nineteenth century, a source of tension between colonial authorities (finding commandos prohibitively expensive) and individual farmers (resenting colonial appropriation of their labour).

Commandos captured large number of indigenous individuals, primarily women and children, distributing them amongst commando participants and establishing them as a rural labour force crucial for settler farming strategies. These individuals had numerous options for resistance; Newton-King (1999) sees the violence of the system as a coercive attempt to maintain unequal labour relations.
While commandos were not slave raids in the sense that they supplied a market\textsuperscript{32}, the labour relations set up and maintained by the commando were substantially similar to slavery; these unequal labour relations represented the major constraint imposed upon Bushmen in their relationship with colonial agents, requiring their successful engagement with an often violently coercive regime. However, commandos also formed a major locus for ‘concerted’ action between colonists and indigenes, incorporating both European and Khoekhoe/Bushman participants. Large-scale commandos could be numerically dominated by Khoekhoe and Bushman-descended individuals\textsuperscript{33}, despite official concerns regarding provisioning these individuals with firearms (Marks, 1972:76); ‘Tame Hottentot’ participation was a (highly constrained) choice, measured against their other options (e.g. flight from the colony), demonstrating a reliance on indigenous communities even in creating fundamental colonial institutions.

The eighteenth century Northern Cape was often violent, but no single group had a monopoly on the production of this violence. VOC authorities struggled to exert their influence on populations nominally under their control, as geographical isolation and limited infrastructure hampered effective communication (Penn, 2005:41). Diffuse central political control attracted a disparate group of people wishing to avoid the colonial authorities, from criminals fleeing the judicial system, to marginalised populations seeking to escape colonial society, to individuals attempting to access lucrative trading opportunities. Some individuals

\textsuperscript{32} Official discourse represented the captives as ‘rewards’ for participation in punitive, anti-stock theft measures.

\textsuperscript{33} Farmers were loathe to leave livestock unattended for extended periods.
came to have considerable influence forming loci of new social groups; Stephanos, a forger fleeing the colonial authorities, amassed a considerable following preaching among Khoekhoe populations of the middle Orange River (Kicherer, 1804), and leaders of creolised groups shaped polities integral to the historical trajectories of the interior throughout the nineteenth century. *Droster* gangs (Penn, 1999:147-166), originally formed by escaped slaves but increasingly incorporating disaffected Khoekhoe and Bushman farm servants fleeing the colony, represented a different form of (often violent) colonial intrusion, attempting to set up viable strategies that would allow them to exist outside of colonial control. Some Bushman populations in the Nama-Karoo began to aggregate into large units; the presence of the Bushman ‘Groot Kraal’ (supposedly comprising several hundred individuals) along the Zak River boundary was a matter of considerable concern for colonists exploiting the same region (Kicherer, 1804; Burchell, 1822); large aggregations would have demanded very different subsistence strategies to those discussed by Bleek-Lloyd informants (Chapter 7b:225; Chapter 7c:250), and the incorporation of livestock (as raiders, pastoralists, or both) seems likely.

Bantu-speaking groups expanded their range into the Nama-Karoo during the late eighteenth century, as power struggles among Xhosa chiefs in the Eastern Cape resulted in the movement of some groups westwards towards the colony. The consolidated eastern frontier of the colony effectively curtailed this movement, leaving Xhosa polities resisting suzerainty under larger chiefdoms with decreasing space for traditional strategies of fission. These groups adopted new strategies
facilitated by the colonial presence; raiding stock, ‘begging’ from, trading with, and labouring for colonial farmers. Several groups comprising hundreds of individuals moved into the arid interior, travelling extensively across Bushmanland to the Orange River; initial inexperience with the Karoo environment coupled with Bushman hostility (Burchell, 1822:268) presented considerable challenges for these groups (Lichtenstein, 1815:225-226). By employing a new suite of practices based on hunting, raiding, and stock-keeping, these groups became increasingly adept in managing their new environment (Kallaway, 1982); upon securing access to firearms, the Xhosa groups became foci for multi-ethnic coalitions vying with the pastoralists of the Orange River valley (Anderson, 1987) for control of access to the large cattle markets of southern Tswana towns. Just as colonial populations were coming to know them as ‘Bushmanland’, then, the |Xam homelands had become newly incorporated into the subsistence strategies of a wide range of groups. Though permanent pastoralist occupation remained impractical, given water limitations, the increased mobility of horses and ox-wagons, coupled with opportunities for participation in new trading networks, strengthened links between the South West Cape and the Orange River.

The British arrived at the Cape in 1795, enforcing a claim to the colony motivated primarily by a desire to prevent Napoleonic France from acquiring a station for naval forces (Ross, 2008:37). Creating increasingly close ties between European and colonial societies, this encapsulates the essence of early British presence at
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34 Karoo rainfall regimes could not support the cereal crops employed by Bantu-speaking groups.
the Cape. Symptomatic of these ties, this period also saw the arrival of evangelical missionaries, importing novel attitudes towards the Bushmen. Proselytising efforts at the Cape began with the short-lived Baviaanskloof (Genadendal) Moravian mission, running 1737-1742 (Humphreys, 1989), but it was not until the evangelical revivals of the late eighteenth century that missionary practice became widespread (Elbourne, 2002). Although British colonial and missionary ‘Bushman policy’ co-opted livestock subscriptions aimed at curtailing Bushman stock-raiding (developed among farmers after the conflicts of the 1770s; Sampson, 1995), differences between British notions of appropriate colonist-indigene relationships and those generated over the past one hundred and fifty years at the Cape, soon became a source of tension between the colonial government and the farmers.

With the transition to British governance, the London Missionary Society (LMS) became interested in the Cape; Bushmen (and other hunter-gatherers) were important to late eighteenth century Evangelical missionary organisations relying on public subscriptions for the maintenance of missionaries. Mission propaganda stressed the moral duty of Christians to support attempts to minister to ‘benighted’ individuals ignorant of the Bible, and the Bushmen were emblematic of those most in need of conversion (Kicherer, 1804:6-7). Attention was directed to the northern colonial boundary through the presence in Cape Town of Bushman ‘kapteins’ asking for missionaries to be sent to them: missionaries were necessary to establish the claims to Christianity and ‘civilised’ status that facilitated a

35 A popular movement founded on opposition to established church hierarchies, and not receiving funding from them.
privileged access to imported resources (especially gunpowder), powerful tools in the northern border regions (cf. Legassick, 2010:77).

Kicherer’s (a Dutch LMS missionary; Schoeman, 1996a; Penn, 2005:237) first mission to the Bushmen was not a resounding success. The mobility strategies and scattered populations of Bushman groups were difficult to incorporate into missionary visions of residential missions with a strong focus on cultivation, and Kicherer himself was often away from the station visiting pastoralist and creolised communities of the Orange River, whose zeal and numbers swiftly made them the major focus of missionary work; Tswana agro-pastoralists north of the Orange River also became increasingly important targets as Europeans became aware of them (Comaroff and Comaroff, 1991). After the perceived failures of this first mission, Bushman-focused endeavours in the central Nama-Karoo were reduced and drifted eastwards through a series of short-lived stations (Schoeman, 1993, 1993a), with out-stations manned by indigenous converts (generally more successful in maintaining Bushman stations) coming to dominate the LMS strategy for hunter-gatherer populations. Bushmen who elected to visit stations set up among creolised (Bastaards, Oorlams) and pastoralist (Namaqua, Korana) populations continued to be accepted, and mission stations developed into early examples of multi-ethnic aggregation sites (similar to nascent urban centres in the nineteenth century), locales whereby Bushman individuals could incorporate themselves into the mixed-heritage populations underpinning emergent ‘Coloured’ identities in the Northern Cape (Sales, 1975).
Although the ‘long nineteenth century’ (Hobsbawm 1962; 1975; 1987) was developed as a coherent temporal unit of analysis for European societies, it has considerable relevance for approaching the incorporation of Karoo societies within the Cape Colony, corresponding to a period in the colonial history of South Africa running from the beginnings of British interest in the area (1790s) to the formation of the Union of South Africa (1910), and approximates the chronological period shaping the bulk of the first- and second-hand experiences of Bleek and Lloyd’s informants (Bank, 2006). It was over the long nineteenth century that Bushman society underwent its most radical transformations. In the closing decades of the eighteenth century, largely independent ǀXam groups interacted with the various groups exploiting their territories; colonial farmers consolidating their positions along the southern fringes these areas (moving seasonally to exploit more arid regions; Penn, 2005:81-87), and creolised groups, criminals, escaped slaves, hunters, and traders spreading the colony’s influence beyond its official geographical frontier.

The concept of the ‘frontier’ in South African historiography is complex (cf. Penn, 2005:10-14; Legassick, 2010:3-10), but one dimension that has remained important, despite challenges and refinements, is Giliomee’s (1979) distinction between ‘open’ frontiers (no single group exercising hegemonic control) and ‘closed’ frontiers (balance of power favouring one group). I use ‘frontier’ primarily as shorthand for the geographical area of the ‘northern frontier’: at the

\[36\] L. Mitchell (2009) suggests the model assumes rather than explains colonial conquest; she favours Guelke’s (1985) notion of ‘orthodoxy’ (the construction of colonial authority), with both indigenous and incoming communities participating in the construction of new orthodox (supporting) and heterodox (challenging) strategies for dealing with this authority.
end of eighteenth century this was officially delineated by the Zak River (Figure 4.4:109), and by the mid-to-late nineteenth century the ‘northern border districts’ more-or-less coincided with the !Xam-ka-ǃxoe. Physical and environmental features (especially river channels) channelled the expansion and contraction of these frontiers, tending towards encapsulation of sporadically-exploited !Xam territories, but even geographically definitions cannot escape a social dimension. In practice the nominal boundary did not limit the movements and impact of colonial society, and as a frontier must be an area of contact between populations, we must therefore identify a series of overlapping frontiers incorporating Xhosa moving in from the east, Tswana-speaking groups to the north-east, Khoekhoen and non-ǃXam Bushmen to the north, and Europeans and Bastaards from the south.

Though faced with considerable destructive violence in the course of their interactions with incoming colonial populations, hunting and gathering strategies remained viable subsistence alternatives for Bushman populations. By the early twentieth century, however, they were incorporated as an ‘underclass’ of rural labourers together with other dispossessed indigenous groups; ‘the !Xam’ as a linguistically and culturally distinct entity no longer existed. The process of this disintegration, explored in the following section, is crucial in attempts to understand the production of the Bleek-Lloyd texts, speaking to global themes in hunter-gatherer interactions with colonial states (Chapter 9:342, 362).
Figure 4.4: Map of the boundaries of the Cape Colony (1795)
Chapter 4c: Encapsulation on the northern borders

Official interest in the interior waned from the first half of the nineteenth century until the closing years of the 1860s, when the discovery of diamonds along the Vaal River attracted government attention toward the mineral wealth of the region; the transformation of the Transvaal into a major gold producing region in the 1880s (Ross, 2008:75) cemented this interest. The first decades of the nineteenth century saw considerable continuity in the colonial exploitation of the arid Karoo, with white and Bastaard farmers maintaining their eighteenth century strategies (Penn, 2005:81-87) and a relative lack of government intrusion facilitating the persistence of hunter-gatherer lifestyles, retarding the arrival of the apparatus of colonial government that finally ‘closed’ the Karoo frontier to these strategies.

Governor Sir Harry Smith’s proclamation at the end of the Seventh Xhosa War (1846-1847) extended the official colony boundary to the Orange River, redefining the nebulous northern boundaries of the colony as the ‘natural’ boundary of the Orange River and incorporating land eastwards to the Keiskamma River into the dependency of British Kaffraria (Peires, 1981). Annexation to the Orange River in theory incorporated the entirety of the |Xam-ka-ǃxoe, but there
was little incentive for government intrusion; at the time, the incorporation of this largely unprofitable and potentially expensive land (in terms of demands for government protection) attracted censure (Wodehouse, 1868). Although on paper these regions became part of the colony, little changed in practice. Two decades after annexation, the region was still sparsely populated by a heterogeneous set of mobile communities (Wodehouse, 1868); Moffat (1858:155), travelling in 1854, noted that the region remained poorly understood by surrounding European settlers.

The mobile strategies of indigenous herders and hunter-gatherers caused colonial farmers a great deal of consternation, undermining their ability to command the labour of Khoekhoe and Bushman servants, largely acquired as captives commando raids (Chapter 4b:101). This *de facto* ‘slave raiding’ placed farmers in opposition to an early nineteenth century British government concerned with the abolition of slavery; in the colony this manifested with the passing of the ‘Hottentot Proclamation’ (Harlow and Madden, 1953) in 1835, aimed at codifying the rights and obligations of these farm labourers. However, colonial authorities’ habit of emphasising that their jurisdiction ended at the official border and their lack of interest in the interior meant that commando acquisition of Khoekhoe and Bushman servants in the border regions persisted throughout the nineteenth century, with Bastaards often playing a ‘middle man’ role (Smit, 1823; Moffat, 1858:155). Although the ‘open’ (or heterodox) frontier undermined colonial farming strategies of controlling labour by facilitating independent hunter-gatherer existence or the adoption of raiding lifestyles (see below), the
unregulated violence that persisted in consequence of attempts to ‘close’ it contributed in a major way to the eventual collapse of |Xam society.

In 1863, Louis Anthing presented a report to the Cape Parliament (Anthing, 1863) detailing the results of his investigation of allegations of ongoing violence towards Bushman groups. His involvement as an advocate for the |Xam (J. Deacon, 1996:13) is well established in the literature\(^\text{37}\), and it will suffice here to summarise his report (J. Deacon, 1996:13-16). Interviewing traders and inhabitants of the Karoo, Anthing concluded that the Bushmen were subject to a ‘wholesale system of extermination’ in northern districts (Anthing, 1863:447), the structure of which was predicated upon eighteenth century patterns, with Bushmen being killed in ‘opportunistic’ encounters and by commandos with this express purpose (Anthing, 1863:449). Noting the role of European colonists in violent encounters, he also emphasised that indigenous or creolised populations played a significant parts, paralleling the Bleek-Lloyd accounts that stress conflict between Bushmen and Bantu-speakers and between |Xam and Korana pastoralists as much as they do violence in relationships with European farmers (LL.VIII.27.8436'; LL.II.34.2960'; LL.II.36.3244', Chapter 8b:303, 315).

‘Wholesale extermination’ does not exhaust the range of interactions that existed between hunter-gatherers and colonial agents. Contrary to characterisations of |Xam interaction with other indigenes, the literature has under-emphasised less antagonistic dimensions of European and |Xam relationships. Although the |Xam

\(^{37}\) His reports concerning the Koranna betray rather more typical colonial attitudes towards indigenous groups (Anthing, 1868; 1868a).
are not generally considered ‘specialist producer’ hunter-gatherers\textsuperscript{38}, they traded extensively with the colony, and their specialised environmental knowledge underpinned their roles as guides, employed by travellers beyond the borders on hunting and trading expeditions. Faunal resources were important to all groups occupying the arid interior: pastoralists hunted for subsistence, preserving their stock and acquiring the raw materials for a range of products otherwise only attainable in distant markets, while ‘commercial’ hunting extracting lucrative resources (ivory, ostrich feathers, and hides) was also common. The specialised prey selection of semi-professional hunters was accompanied by slaughter of game animals; minimising provisioning costs, they relied on wild fauna for subsistence. This provided new resources for Bushman groups attaching themselves to such parties, as hunters discarded the offal of game shot and would not eat the flesh of elephant and zebra they shot.ǀXam groups also participated directly in the trade in faunal products; ostrich feathers became a lucrative export item in the mid-nineteenth century (offering greater potential returns than ivory; van Sittert, 2005:274), and ǀXam acquisition of these feathers for trade is noted in the Bleek-Lloyd archive (LL.II.7:771-776; Chapter 8c:335).

Nineteenth century ǀXam also engaged in relationships of labour exchange or appropriation, the foundation of their incorporation into a rural underclass (R. Gordon, 1992) in the early twentieth century. A major motivation for colonising populations to interact with indigenous hunter-gatherers, these relationships represent arguably some of the most enduring, stable accommodations made by

\textsuperscript{38} Hunter-gatherer societies where exchange relationships with food-producers have an important subsistence role (Chapter 9:359).
Bushmen with their colonial situation; it is within this stability that we should situate linguistic interactions between the two groups. Traill (2007:146) notes that frontier farmer bilingualism in ǀXam and Afrikaans implies settler-ǀXam social relationships moved beyond an ‘exclusive’ hostility; Ḣkabbo’s list of ǀXam-speaking farmers (WB.XI.1120) provides evidence for linguistic exchange covering at least sixty years, presumably in the context of working relationships that induced strong motivations for mutual comprehension. Afrikaans (contemporaneously known as Cape Dutch) was certainly dominant, persisting in the long term, but at a more local level there was scope for the continuance and even spread of the ǀXam language into new socio-cultural contexts.

‘Successful’ Bushman assimilation into colonial society is often largely invisible in official discourse concerned with policies dealing with ‘the Bushmen’, but legal documentation such as death notices and wills can give an occasional glimpse into the lives of these Bushmen. These *Death Notices* (1849; 1849a; 1857) record the acquisition of livestock herds of around 50-100 animals, and colonial material culture (firearms) by individuals, who, while undoubtedly represented the colonial poor (the estates being described as ‘trifling’), negotiated the colonial scenario successfully in the face of increasingly untenable foraging strategies and widespread commando violence. Assimilation moved individuals into a ‘Colonial (or ‘tame’) Hottentot’ category, as colonial definitions of Bushmen as inveterate raiders (incapable of civilised practice) or as primitive (thus unchanging) allotted little space for ‘successful’ hunter-gatherer engagement with the frontier as
labourers; individuals who did so moved out of the Bushman category, obscuring the extent to which Bushmen became part of the creolised societies of the colony.

Although colonial policy was tailored around constructions of indigenous ethnicities, responses to raiding practices were fairly uniform; multi-ethnic participation in these practices helped create new forms of shared experience between indigenous groups. Direct subsistence competition and settler appropriation of reliable water sources (Chapter 3a:61; Chapter 3b:71) meant that indigenous herding groups faced more immediate crises than hunter-gatherer populations. Deprived of livestock, herder societies could not by definition continue; opportunities for pursuing ‘interstitial’ independent subsistence are more constrained for pastoralists than hunter-gatherers, and issues of incorporation versus flight or resistance more acute. However, the potential for losing stock did not arrive with European settlers, and responses to stock dispossession were substantially prefigured by indigenous conceptions. Familiarity with hunting and gathering strategies or links with hunter-gatherer groups were therefore necessary components of Khoekhoe subsistence strategies, as fallbacks for times of resource stress (cf. Marks, 1972; Elphick, 1977). Raiding to replenish herds was endemic among Khoekhoe groups of the middle Orange River (Penn, 1995:39), as were ‘clientship’ strategies drawing on kinship or affinal ties to build up new herds. Khoekhoe interactions with settlers extended these strategies to the colonial situation; working as shepherds for European farmers within their original territories represented a form of clientship, though one that offered little chance of regaining subsistence independence. Colonial stresses on livestock populations
increased interactions with hunter-gatherer populations (or promoted increasingly similar Khoekhoe and Bushman practice), and for the Northern Cape, intensive interaction between |Xam and Korana populations is confirmed in the life histories of Bleek and Lloyd’s informants (Chapter 8b:299). Small-scale stock raiding of colonial farmers was incorporated in Korana strategies from the early nineteenth century, taking place as farmers took their stock to graze beyond the colonial boundary in territories claimed by the Korana (Wade, 1832; Redelinghuis, 1834; Ryneveld, 1846, 1846a), and increasingly employing colonial material culture in the form of horse and firearms (Ouzman, 2005).  

As colonists came to place greater strains on the resources of the middle Orange River, the Korana became the quintessential enemy of colonial settlement; farmer depictions of their raiding in *Memorials Received* (1846) by Government demonstrate the use of this ‘enemy’ to convey the relative competences of frontier officials, to excuse themselves from government demands on their labour, and to make claims on Government resources (munitions; Redelinghuis, 1834). At the end of 1860s, the government responded to their representations with large-scale efforts, in a series of conflicts known contemporaneously as the Korana Wars (Ross, 1975; Strauss, 1979). With the 1847 border shift, Korana territories became potential targets for permanent colonial settlement; Bastaard and Oorlams farmers (marginalised within colonial society), whose movement was facilitated by the same colonial material culture that supported European settlers, were initially the primary agents of this settlement. Permanent occupation increased competition for
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39 These became sufficiently well established in Koranna strategies to present significant problems for colonists during the Koranna Wars (Anthing, 1868).
resources, with some Korana groups losing large numbers of stock in internecine warfare, which they then sought to replace through raiding practices (Strauss, 1979). Colonial farmers (now major livestock-owning groups in Korana territories) became major targets for these raiders, who moved along the Hartebeest River channel deep into the northern districts (Anthing, 1868a) and retreated to formidable redoubts on the islands of the Middle Orange River to secure their stolen livestock (Anthing, 1868). Combined with drought conditions, these raids prompted widespread farmer retreat, leaving |Xam and Korana groups largely in sole possession of the region in mid-1868 (Strauss, 1979:37).

Although the major combatants were Korana, these conflicts also mark the last example of |Xam participation in large-scale violent resistance to colonial occupation, and the nature of this participation was symptomatic of the changes |Xam society experienced during the nineteenth century. The large Bushmen aggregations reported by travellers and missionaries during the opening years of the nineteenth century (Collins, 1808; Penn, 2005:225) were no longer an option, as deaths associated with commando raiding undermined the demographic capacity for independent |Xam resistance; a denser population and relatively late contact with the colonial frontier meant that the Korana retained this capacity, and Bushmen pursuing these strategies amalgamated with the Korana, either though election or coercion. Official reports grouped the Korana and Bushmen together as the contiguous ‘restless Tribes’ of the northern border (with Bushman playing a subsidiary role), but emphasised Bushman participation as the result of Korana antagonism, with Bushmen being compelled or ‘employed’ by Korana to serve as
members of raiding parties (Jackson, 1879:98). These reports suggest a range of
different forms of Bushman involvement in Korana activity, and it is likely that
the degree of compulsion *versus* election varied a great deal with geographical
location (Chapter 7a:222). Although stock-raiding parties were composed
predominantly of Korana, linked to Korana political leaders, and originated in
Korana territories, there was a general impression at the time that raiding groups
were largely multi-ethnic in composition (Jackson, 1879); Xhosa groups settled
around mission stations in the Kareeberg were heavily implicated in their actions,
for example (Layton, 1879), and it seems likely that they would attract those
Bushmen unable to pursue such tactics independently.

Border conflicts also continued practices more typically associated with the
unregulated commando practices reported by Anthing. The Korana Wars suffered
from a considerable bad press, with emergent metropolitan perceptions of
Bushman as ‘a poor unarmed lot’ (Jackson, 1879) creating an impression in
colonial government and the wider public that the colonial agents involved were
applying an excessive amount of force. Attacks such as the engagement of van
Niekerk’s commando against a group of ‘Bushmen40,’ killing forty-six people
(Jackson, 1879), contributed to this impression and to the realities of the border
conflicts; the presence of children among the victims of this commando suggests
that the group was not (only) a raiding party, and that, for Bushmen groups,
officially delineated ‘wars’ must be placed within the continuum of violent
relationships that persisted throughout the nineteenth century.

40 Historical accounts refer to this both as a mixed band of Xhosa, Khoekhoen and Bushmen raiders, and as a Bushman group.
After the Second Korana War, colonial authorities collected testimonies from farmers regarding their stock losses, assessing their claims for compensation from the government. These accounts reveal another dimension of Bushman involvement in the raiding, recounting small-scale opportunistic thefts of large and small stock (Upington, 1879:xxxv-xxxvi; House of Assembly, 1880). These instances appear to represent highly individualised responses, part of an on-going modification of subsistence strategies to deal with an environment in which the medium-to-large bovid fauna was beginning to be dominated by domesticated species: tellingly, this type of small-scale raiding did not end with the border conflicts, but rather came to be defined differently by the government, provoking a different response. It is in this process of shifting definitions that we see the impact of border conflicts in consolidating the processes of encapsulation that ensured, within a generation, the destruction both of the viability of hunter-gatherer subsistence in the Northern Cape, and of |Xam cultural identities.

The final three decades of the nineteenth century saw the Crown Lands of the northern districts surveyed and divided into farms. After the Second Korana War, a report commissioned by the colonial authorities (Upington, 1879) found the northern border districts largely un-surveyed. Some attractive, well-watered areas (along the Orange River) had been divided into large farms occupied on one year leases\(^4\) and used for grazing livestock, but much (certainly in the |Xam homelands) was still used communally, as scarce water supplies away from the river itself meant transhumant strategies remained essential. Once land survey and

\(^4\) Some held by Europeans, but most by Bastaards (Upington, 1879:iv).
sale began, however, it progressed with remarkable rapidity: the equivalent report at the end of 1880s (House of Assembly, 1888) found the whole region occupied by settlers living in permanent structures on privately-owned land or by farmers on lease lands utilising more ephemeral housing. This marked change was facilitated by the widespread adoption of two new forms of technology (wire fencing and borehole windmills), spreading as a result of a confluence of economic, political, social and technological factors. Private ownership of land promoted the installation of ‘improvements’, particularly with the expanding markets of large urban mining settlements in the interior that drove up the price of mutton and increased the farmers’ investment capital. Borehole and windmill (Archer, 2000:683) technology allowed easier access to water, and tapping artesian water sources allowed settlers to occupy the Karoo on a more permanent basis, by creating new locales from which grazing resources could be reached. These long-term occupations increased both the settler population and intensified its exploitation of resources, encouraging private ownership of land that could now be delineated with cheap wire fencing. By impeding the free movement of fauna across the landscape and creating static, alienated areas where mobile strategies had once flourished, this constituted a practically and symbolically significant step in the imposition of settler conceptions of land and resource ownership; wire fences inscribed the landscape with the processes whereby the colonial authorities took possession of the land, representing concretely the surveying and commercial sale of the rights to access designated areas of land
In addition to alienating hunter-gatherers from the resources necessary for independent subsistence, these phenomena of colonial expansion had considerable impact upon the resources themselves. Attitudes of European colonists toward faunal resources had, in combination with the arrival of new forms of material culture, devastating impacts on the ecology of already-fragile arid regions (Chapter 3b:74). The collapse of the *trekbokke* phenomenon at the end of nineteenth century was a crucial component in establishing modern land use patterns in the arid interior; severe drought, over-hunting during the springbok trek of 1895-1896, and a reduction of range through the spread of wire fencing triggered the sudden and cataclysmic collapse of mass springbok migrations, dependent upon critical population densities as a trigger (Roche, 2008). Doubtless in part a consequence of the influence of large-scale ecological processes in the *trekbokke* phenomenon, springbok persisted at periodically high densities long after other game species had suffered dramatic losses (Dunn, 1873:39). The emphasis on this species in ǀXam hunting narratives (LL.VIII.7:6604-6605, 6607-6621; LL.VIII.23:8067-8073; Chapter 7b:237) points to its salience in nineteenth century ǀXam subsistence; as with the over-hunting of Great Plains bison herds in North America, the disappearance of the *trekbokke* undermined one of the last substantial resources (and a key cultural referent) of the ǀXam, greatly diminishing their ability to sustain themselves without recourse to stock-raiding or employment with farmers, even before land alienation.

Fencing also influenced the social world of the emergent Khoekhoe and Bushman rural underclass. Increased expansion into the arid interior coincided broadly with
an economic incentive for land claims. In the middle of the nineteenth century, decreases in wool output from Britain, and increased capacities for woollen good production, created a large demand for wool imports from the colonies (Anderson, 1987). Introduced breeds of wool-producing sheep, such as the merino, cemented the rise of wool as an important element of the colony’s export economy (Beck, 2000:50). Although Nama-Karoo farms remained relatively unproductive for non-native breeds, if large enough they could be used to graze sheep for wool. The shift to wool production for export helped ‘close’ the northern frontier (MacKenzie, 1988), as it left little space for the more flexible transhumant systems that had co-opted indigenous strategies (Kinahan, 1991:76-86), or for competing wild fauna. With borehole technology overcoming water demands, shifts to wool production became comprehensive, and by the 1870s farmers for the most part focused on merino breeds (Dunn, 1873:31-32). Wire fencing allowed the large farms of the region to be cheaply and quickly secured, reducing the demand for continual shepherding labour. The commercialisation of sheep farming geared toward wool production required large labour forces at specific times of the year (for shearing), supporting an emergent class of rural labourers travelling between farms to take advantage of this seasonal work, the peripatetic Karretjiemense (‘Donkey-cart People’; de Jongh, 2002). A creolised identity recalling those developing around population centres and on farms, this lifestyle incorporated Khoekhoe and Bushman individuals, representing another niche and strategy available within the constraints of an increasingly rigid colonial order.
Throughout the nineteenth century, stock-raiding was an important dimension of interethnic relationships in the Karoo, and a considerable disincentive for its incorporation into the purview of colonial government, which feared inhabitants would make expensive demands on it for protection from this raiding: prior to the discovery of mineral wealth, the expenses likely to be incurred by annexation outweighed the benefits of any revenue that would be generated. After the costly Korana campaigns, the government adopted new strategies for dealing with ‘disturbances’ on the northern border, significant for the ultimate demise of hunter-gatherer subsistence. Bushman (and Korana) opposition to colonial appropriation of their labour were increasingly defined as criminal rather than military resistance. The cumulative impact of a century of ‘wholesale extermination’ on hunter-gatherer populations and the recent disruption of relatively powerful Korana polities removed obvious loci for aggregation and large-scale raiding, but in spite of this demographic collapse, colonists were not able to exert an uncontested hegemonic control. Definitions of criminality constituted an attempt to exert colonial authority over the remaining heterodox space that allowed individuals to manoeuvre for continued recourse to hunter-gatherer strategies (including livestock raiding).

The application of legal frameworks and colonial constabularies to the northern districts imposed standards that left little space for interstitial or peripatetic hunter-gatherer existence. Vagrancy laws undermined mobility strategies, poaching legislation restricted access to key resources, and private land ownership

42 The Second Koranna War cost the government over £100 000 by the end of 1879 (Garcia, 1879).
sequestered Bushman populations from their traditional homelands, a ‘bureaucratization’ that marked the true encapsulation of the northern border regions. A regular police force and defined magistracies, land survey, farm portions delineation, road and rail construction, and improved communication networks (telegraph) dramatically reduced the ‘space’ available for non-orthodox participation in colonial strategies. While communal exploitation of Crown Lands continued, |Xam groups could access resources despite their increasing depletion through over-exploitation; colonial authorities recognised the difficulty of forcing Bushmen to accept employment without permanent farmer settlements, as they retired to unoccupied hinterlands for their subsistence (Legassick, 2006). Although official metropolitan discourse increasingly viewed Bushmen as harmless, their stock theft represented a problem for farmers even in the closing decades of the nineteenth century. Local magistrates were vital in incorporating these practical difficulties into authoritative discourse; Scott (Special Magistrate for the region from 1880) became increasingly sympathetic to the attitudes of the farmers during his residency (Legassick, 2006). While there was no systematic stock-raiding, domestic stock was occasionally killed for food on an opportunistic basis: Special Commissioner (and Magistrate) Jackson (1879:xxiv) explicitly characterised this in terms of criminality. The precarious economic situation of many frontier farmers in the more marginal areas of the Karoo meant that these practices could be ruinous on a personal level, despite their inability to affect colonial expansion generally.
Scott’s shift in attitude points to an underlying similarity of purpose in commando tactics and more ‘humane’ apparatus of the colonial state. Employing vastly different means and rhetoric, Scott’s approach was motivated by similar aims to earlier and more overtly violent systems, in attempting to control Bushman labour. Late nineteenth century colonial metropolitan definitions of primitive, pitiable Bushmen were echoed in academic discourse as emergent anthropological concerns for Bushman ‘survivals’: Bushmen emerged as scientific curiosities just as they ceased to be a serious threat to colonial settlement (Legassick, 2006:65). Attitudes developed in newly ‘policed’ border districts were fundamental in this re-definition of Bushmen as scientific objects to be researched: Scott himself suggested a Bushman camp be created on Robben Island, to facilitate ‘Bushman Reaches’. The significance of colonial internment to Bleek and Lloyd’s research is well known (J. Deacon, 1996:16-17; Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:8), and Robben Island too was a long-standing component of this disciplinary system (Peires, 1989: 300-301). This confluence of imprisonment, policing and research suggests that the Cape Colony saw the concomitant emergence of penal and scientific ‘discipline’ in mechanisms of colonial control, common elsewhere in the nineteenth century world (Foucault, 1975, 1977).

By the end of the long nineteenth century, a distinctive |Xam identity was swiftly fragmenting. Dorothea Bleek’s (Bleek, 1936b) interactions with |Xam Bushmen in 1910 and 1911 highlight this dissolution, providing a snap-shot of the final stages of |Xam transformation into a component of the rural underclass. The older generation interviewed and photographed here represented the end of |Xam
Bushman cultural identity, the last generation to have firsthand childhood memories of hunting and gathering lifestyles. Their life histories illustrate the issues the fragmentation this cultural identity; language loss had become severe, and while some could speak |Xam fluently\textsuperscript{43}, others lacked even |Xam names. Even individuals who both spoke the language and maintained aspects of |Xam culture, including songs, music and dances, could not provide D. Bleek with examples of the tales recorded less than forty years ago, attributing this to disruption caused by their being ‘driven about’ while young. The photographs\textsuperscript{44} document the shifting parameters of Bushman life as they moved to squat around urban settlements, displaying the incorporation of elements of European clothing and the use of cast-off colonial materials in the construction of matjieshuis\textsuperscript{45} dwellings in combination with the continued use of ‘traditional’ items and materials. With the sequestration of the traditional |Xam homelands into privately owned farms, and consequent relocation of |Xam populations, the imposition of settler landscapes on the prior Bushman cultural landscape (J. Deacon, 1988) was complete; ‘topophilic’ (Tuan, 1974) attachments to specific owned places (Glossary |xoe-s'o-|k'e:439; Chapter 6b:188) were not replicated, as colonists exercised increasing control over land.

Displacement and encapsulation in the colonial ‘underclass’ were not processes restricted solely to |Xam populations, contributing to the dissolution of distinctive

\textsuperscript{43} Perhaps more significantly, some had only a poor grasp of Afrikaans, suggesting limited contacts with settlers.

\textsuperscript{44} The implication of photography in creating a colonial ‘gaze’ (Foucault, 1975:89) in Bushmen representations has been widely recognised (Skotnes, 2001; Bank, 2006:105-107).

\textsuperscript{45} A traditional housing style of Northern Cape herders and hunter-gatherers consisting of reed or animal hide mats cast over a wooden frame (Boonzaier et al. 1996:37).
|Xam identities. Bleek’s (1936b) interviews document the integration of |Xam descendents with other impoverished individuals; while she excluded ‘mixed descent’ (Korana and |Xam) from her more detailed notes, their presence points to increasing contiguosness of these populations. Emergent population centres (paralleling the earlier mission stations) attracted individuals from a range of backgrounds, creating new locales for interaction such as Kakamas on the Orange River, occupied by ‘Bastards, Kafirs, Korannas, and Bushmen’ (Dunn, 1873:57). Loss of access to graze and water, as more land became alienated as private farms, made it difficult for indigenous herders to maintain livestock, and with reprisals for resistance to colonial encroachment that served to increase the rate of dispossession, they moved to increasingly-large population centres. After the Korana Wars ended the capacity for large-scale raiding (Nightingale, 1888) to replenish depleted herds, pastoralists who lost stock were in much the same position as |Xam groups severed from the wild resources of their lands: both groups now relied upon colonial populations for their subsistence. Through a combination of a colonial tendency to treat them in similar fashion and similar experiences of dispossession, Khoekhoe and Bushman populations came to inhabit increasingly similar worlds. The rural communities that emerged from this shared experience may be best discussed as ‘Khoisan’ in a cultural sense, retaining elements of |Xam and Khoekhoe beliefs that resonated among individuals drawn from both populations; even in the late twentieth century, a complex of beliefs centring on the relationships between water, snakes, and

---

46 *I.e.* in addition to their shared genetic heritage.
‘rainbulls’, paralleling themes in the !Xam narratives and in Khoekhoe ethnography, was extant among members of rural ‘Coloured’ communities, descended from these early twentieth century groups (Hoff, 1997, 1998; cf. Chapter 8a:293).

In terms of actual practice, living conditions would not have been conducive to the survival of detailed beliefs centring on hunting traditions. The !Xam in the early twentieth century relied upon government rations distributed by local magistrates, or laboured as shepherds (if male) or maids (if female). Employment by farmers revolved around livestock, and diminishing game populations in combination with increasingly strict and well-enforced hunting laws (van Sittert, 2005), offered little opportunity for experiencing hunting, certainly not of the kind described in the Bleek-Lloyd narratives (Chapter 7b:225). Those individuals who joined the urban underclass were even further removed from this possibility. If hunter-gatherer orientations need to be continually re-created through experience (Chapter 2:40), severe disruption in hunting practices cannot but have had considerable impacts on the meaningfulness of their transmission.

Although circumstances militated against continuation of hunter-gatherer subsistence practices and attitudes, this does not entail a complete discontinuity. For individuals residing on large Northern Cape farms, hunting and (more usually) gathering continued to play a supplementary subsistence role: one of Bleek’s (1936b) informants recalled subsisting on ‘ant’s eggs’ (termites), wild tubers, tortoises, springhare, porcupines (Hystrix afericaeaustralis) and springbok, even when in service, and combined working for settlers with gathering, using
their own tools. Although complete subsistence independence using these resources was no longer practicable, and cultural traditions and knowledge were increasingly shared between people from different cultural backgrounds, such practices went some way to preserving knowledge: contributions of Khoekhoe and Bushman lore to South African vernacular medicines based on wild plants are well documented (e.g. Musselman, 2003; van Wyk, 2008).

The terminal decades of the nineteenth century continued established trends inǀXam Bushman communities, but ultimately led to a dramatically altered situation. The violent frontier relationships initiated in the eighteenth century continued into the nineteenth, and hunter-gatherer groups began to lose the war of attrition. Increasing incorporation into international markets (the result of trends established with British occupation), and a transition from a heterodox, relatively lawless Karoo, with many different groups competing for access to resources, to a Karoo that was fully integrated into the colonial state, promoted an increasingly hegemonic colonial government presence that began to influence the behaviour of both colonists andǀXam. From the 1850s onwards, ostrich feather and wool trades created a potential for profits that rendered the area more attractive to settlers, who nonetheless struggled with the lack of open water sources, until borehole technologies became available in the late 1860s-1870s. For colonial government, neither of these goods was as significant as the fact that the northern districts represented a route through which the mineral wealth of the interior flowed toward international markets; resources invested to incorporate mining towns into the colonial state were crucial in allowing farming settlement to become dominant.
in the region. The establishment of a police force, magistracy, railways, roads, and the other paraphernalia of colonial bureaucracy cemented colonial presence in a new and enduring fashion. Government resources effectively imposed a colonial ‘landscape’ over the Karoo, one that no longer included the necessary space for the pursuit of hunter-gatherer or nomadic pastoral lifeways, now defined as illegitimate and illegal uses of land.

This increased level of interest in the interior shaped considerably a new relationship between Bushmen and the colony: hunting and gathering practices, surviving over a century of contact with colonial populations and a much longer prehistoric contact with pastoralists, finally ceased. As Bushman populations were considered as quintessential hunter-gatherers, the end of these practices has traditionally been seen as the end of the Bushmen. Though changes in practice are significant (indeed, the central theme of this thesis), it is important to emphasise that equating ‘Bushman’ and ‘hunter-gatherer’ is a theoretical imposition; |Xam-speaking populations, though diminished, did not vanish when they stopped hunting and gathering. In dealing with a situation that differed markedly from those previously experienced, the |Xam adopted new strategies with varying degrees of success. Bushman strategies of violent resistance to land alienation manifested with considerable variation, from leading multi-ethnic, horse-riding raiding groups as postulated for the south-eastern mountain ranges of southern Africa (Challis, 2009) to large-scale aggregations in the early nineteenth century Karoo. In the later nineteenth century, |Xam participated in raiding activities by attaching themselves (or being attached) to powerful Korana chieftaincies along
the middle Orange River. |Xam individuals also engaged in small-scale raiding, taking advantage of periods of chaotic irruption that masked their often opportunistic forays (or assigned them to other raiding parties).

Ultimately, Korana and Bushman successes in driving back settler populations did not allow |Xam groups to claim exclusive rights to their homelands, and they made (or were compelled to make) accommodations with the political realities of the closing decades of the long nineteenth century. Moving to urban centres to access wage labour and government rations, settling on farms to provide labour, or becoming peripatetic sheep-shears, such accommodations were highly individualised and flexible, traits that Guenther (1999) characterises as part of hunter-gatherer ideologies. Over time, the reproduction of hunter-gatherer subsistence practices and ideologies became increasingly irrelevant or impractical in the face of increasing colonial intrusion into their territory. As a result, the |Xam entered the rural underclass of the Northern Cape, a creolised group (with considerable variation across the vast areas of this province) incorporating Khoekhoe, Bushman, Tswana, Xhosa and European elements, in terms of people, language, and culture. Though by the end of the long nineteenth century, the |Xam language was all but extinct and their hunting and gathering practices curtailed, through their contribution to this emergent group they continued to contribute to the dynamics of Northern Cape history.
Chapter 5: Historical Ethnography

of the |Xam

Chapter 5a: Odours, winds, and the construction of

|Xam personhood

The following discussion centres on the extent to which Bushman participation in hunting and gathering practices was connected with a distinctively ‘hunter-gatherer’ identity (Chapter 2:36). Nineteenth century colonial populations applied wide classificatory labels to the peoples with whom they interacted (Appendix C:387), structuring their responses to complex scenarios by reducing local and contingent variability. As historical sources are predicated upon this categorisation process, disaggregation and re-evaluation of colonial constructs is a challenging prospect; the Bleek-Lloyd archive’s major strength is its verbatim record of |Xam narrative, allowing for reconstruction founded on principles
articulated in their own words. Focusing initially on |Xam understandings of the fundamental attributes of personhood, I then consider the impact of these understandings in interactions between the various identities encountered in nineteenth century contact experiences. |Xam terminology is employed where appropriate, and the reader is directed to the accompanying glossary (Appendix D:391) for definitions of key concepts.

Direct application of the animic ontology model (Chapter 2:37) is not appropriate for southern African |Xam Bushman groups; the narratives contain little evidence for notions corresponding to the ‘cycling’ of vital forces between humans and non-humans, and their ritual specialists consequently did not focus on regulating this cycle. However, the model was never intended to be applied in a straightforward, universalised manner to hunter-gatherer societies worldwide; Ingold (2000:112-113) describes both differences between hunter-gatherer populations, as well as similarities between hunter-gatherers and pastoralists (Ingold, 2000:69). I use it as a starting point for thinking through the narratives, suggesting that it has value for considering |Xam conceptions of the nature of personhood (particularly relationships between persons). Bleek and Lloyd were not attempting to explore this heuristic construct, and |Xam ideas regarding ‘personhood’ are therefore only revealed piecemeal through narratives that recount the interaction of historical, legendary, and mythological persons (Chapter 1:17). The Bleek-Lloyd informants came from different |Xam groups (Chapter 47).

There is little evidence for any explicit, emic terminology reflecting this concept. This may partly reflect the difficulties Bleek and Lloyd had with developing translations for ‘spiritual’ dimensions of |Xam life (see glossary, !gixa:414); there certainly are similarities between ‘animic’ systems and |Xam ideologies (cf. Dowson, 2007, 2009; Chapter 9:336).
7a:213) and had substantially different personal experiences of the northern frontier (Bank, 2006), but the archive presents an underlying ‘cultural logic’, informing both the formalised narratives themselves (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]) and a more nebulous collection of attitudes and beliefs, that transcends these differences. Later narratives (from different informants) often reiterate earlier concepts and Lloyd elicited direct commentary on earlier narratives from later informants (e.g. LL.II.26.2374); we can thus speak of overarching ‘|Xam’ notions despite variation in informants’ preoccupations and knowledge. The |Xam valorised several attributes in the construction of personal identity, ranging from concepts structuring generic ‘personhood’ to traits imbued with specific moral dimensions. I firstly examine more general structures before moving on to consider more specific traits, which must be placed in context (especially, their association with particular agents) to develop definitions of morally appropriate behaviour, necessary for understanding |Xam evaluation of non-|Xam populations. Obviously, this discussion is far from exhaustive and many complex dimensions have, for reasons of brevity, to be elided; I concentrate on aspects reiterated in numerous contexts (personal histories, and more stereotyped narratives), themes with resonance for ‘|Xam society’ as a whole.

In terms of general structure, the salient notion was one of ‘odour’, an attribute that remains significant for many Khoisan populations today (Low, 2004; 2007; 2007a). Scent and smelling were integral to many different kinds of |Xam ‘personhood’, constructing social roles in human society and embodying the specific traits or abilities of non-humans. The flesh of animals manifested
particular smells: caracal meat was smelt ‘strongly’ (LL.VIII.12.7103-7105), while the ostrich and the Kori bustard (Afr. *gompou, Ardeotis kori*)
48 had a pleasant scent (LL.II.3.461). The characteristic odours of game animals reflected the smell of their ‘stomachs’ (LL.II.11.1127’), which signalled their dietary habits: smells of their preferred food plants were perceptible in their rumen, with gemsbok (*Oryx gazella*) scent linked explicitly to grass in their stomachs (LL.II.11.1134’), and the springbok scent (LL.II.3.466) to the bushes they ate (both scents were *twai:i*, Glossary:400, or sweet, comfortable, nice, pleasant, Bleek, 1956:243). By way of contrast, the quagga and the baboon smelled like people (LL.V.23.5881’) because their (digestive) ‘organs’ resembled those of humans. As omnivorous primates, baboon and human digestive tracts share many traits (K. Milton, 1987:103), and while equid digestion (hindgut fermentation) necessitates differences in the size and complexity of their large intestines (Stevens and Hume, 1995:89) when compared to primate systems, in contrast with bovid ruminants (foregut fermentation) quagga might be considered as broadly similar to primates (Stevens and Hume, 1995:93). Perceptions of animal identities were therefore based (partly) on opportunities for close observation of the dietary habits, scat, and anatomy of the fauna that shared their environment, feeding back into the wider cultural context: the ‘human-ness’ of baboons and quagga demonstrated their continuing connection with the !xwe-la-nas’o-!k’e (Glossary:440, Early-Race).

48 See Appendix E (454) for species lists.
Protagonists in Early-Race stories consist primarily of animals, but also celestial bodies, meteorological phenomena, and a series of Rabelaisian ‘grotesques’ including lkhwai-hem (Glossary:448), !gwe-!kweitan-tu (Glossary:419), and !k"wakka-tukan (Glossary:435). Early-Race characters often displayed their identities in their scents: the young man who raised a lion cub as a dog let the cub smell his sweat, so that it would ‘know’ him (LL.II.29.2609), and the Lizard’s daughter (LL.VIII.30.8671-8702; LL.VIII.31.8703-8736) identified a visitor as the striped mouse (Rhabdomys pumlico), rather than the round-eared elephant-shrew (Macroscelides proboscideus), through his smell. The Early-Race narrative (LL.II.15.1432-1499, LL.II.16.1500-1548; LL.VIII.27.8393-8432; Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:71-82) of the Caracal (ǀku-g-ǀnwiŋ, Glossary:409) and the Day’s Heart Star (ǀgaue-i:-ta-ǀkwaŋtton, Glossary:414) illustrates with clarity the link between smell and identity, with most of the major personae involved manifesting distinctive odours. The Caracal’s (LL.II.15.1443) ‘sweet’ odour rendered her attractive, while the hyena (ǀgwaï, Glossary:418, Hyena brunnea) and jackal (koro, Glossary:396, Canis mesomelas) had a ‘different’ odour that smelt ‘badly’ (LL.II.15.1442)49 and constructed their shared, antagonist role in the narrative.

This concept of personal scent resonated in daily life, as human scent became salient in their interactions with animal species (gemsbok, LL.II.9.930-931; porcupines, LL.V.7.4449-4450; jackals; LL.II.21.1897) that could readily perceive it; other non-human entities, such as !khwa: (Glossary:424, ‘water/rain’) when he discovered a ‘cursed’ person (LL.V.13.4987-4988) or identified people

---

49 Difference was a negative attribute for the ǀXam (Chapter 8b:297; Chapter 6a:182).
that he intended to ‘stealthily kill’ (LL.V.22.5804-5807), also demonstrated this ability. As with animal scents, much of this was based in experience, encoding serious practical considerations for animal encounters. The movement of scent on the wind was important when hunting (LL.II.21.1899); ‘walking about’ careless of one’s smell would make the quarry wary, ruining the chance for successful ambushes (LL.VIII.16.7407-7408). Concrete demonstrations of the significance of scent in hunting and other interactions with wild fauna provided an experiential basis that underscored a wider employment of these traits: after hunting smell remained an important concern, for the hunter’s scent (if he behaved inappropriately, Chapter 5c:162) had potentially negative consequences for the meat of the animal (LL.V.18.5358-5359). Continuing hunting practices, illustrating faunal olfactory abilities, demonstrated the truth of such beliefs and underpinned their continuing relevance.

Specific !Xam ritual identities were connected with distinctive odours. For the !kwi-la-!ka:q (Glossary:433; New Maiden; cf. Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:205-211), a ‘provocative’ scent was paramount in cementing the relationship with !khwa: that was the foundation of the role. Her odour attracted !khwa:’s attention or anger both in Early-Race narratives (LL.V.2.3869) and contemporary practice, where much focus was placed on palliating the negative effects of her scent (LL.V.6.4393′-4394′). fo-loê-ka-!kwi (Glossary:399), ritual specialists in the use of ‘medicinal’ plants (de Prada-Samper, 2007), also displayed characteristic odours. Medicinal plants worked primarily through this medium: the !gauë-ta-Oho (lit. morning’s plant) was smelt when ill in the morning (LL.IV.1.3434-
and the effective component of buchu (LL.V.3.4120; sâ:, Glossary:398, Bleek, 1956:162) was its placating scent. By incorporating plant scents, the personal odours of fo-lōā-ka-ǃkw]i embodied their special relationships with the plants they used. The process of becoming proficient with these medicines was one of being ‘introduced’ to the plants under the guidance of an established expert, amalgamating the potentially-dangerous plant scent with the neophyte’s own scent. Intimate identification of fo-lōā-ka-ǃkw]i with dangerous fo-lōā scent meant they had to take care to ‘inoculate’ their close relatives; if they did not, these relatives were in danger just as if they had come into contact with the fo-lōā plant itself (LL.II.36.3281), a compelling illustration of the extension of the plant’s identity/smell. !gi:ton (Glossary:415, sing. !gi:xa), premier ritual specialists of the !Xam world, had scents that manifested their ‘coldness’ (LL.V.22.5763-5766), a peculiarity serving as a categorical marker of their difference vis-à-vis ordinary people; they were, for example, supposed to continue wearing their karosses (skin cloaks, with hair left on the skin) while everyone else was hot (LL.V.22.5766).

!gi:ton scent was not confined to their persons: their blood was in and of itself ‘cold’ (LL.V.22.5765), retaining something of the essential identity of the !gi:xa. It was believed to continue acting on their behalf even when separated from them, combating the antagonistic ‘magic power’ (!gi:, Glossary:414; !ko:ξoξ-de, Glossary:408; or !keq, Glossary:445) that caused sickness (LL.V.19.5529). It was one of the !gi:ton’s ‘possessions’ (i.e. things with which they ‘worked sorcery’)
and acted ‘as if alive’ because it partook of its possessor’s odour (LL.V.19.5500-5501). This living magic power manifesting ǃgi:tǝn-scent could even continue to act after their death (LL.V.22.5735’), at which time it constituted an entity that could be beseeched and interacted with in its own right (LL.V.11.4804’-4806’). This relationship parallels one present in numerous Early-Race narratives between ǀkaggǝn (Glossary:406, Mantis) and his ‘hartebeest children’ (quiver, shoes, arrows, kaross, &c.), which were imbued with marvellous abilities of speech and flight through their involvement in his identity (LL.II.6.674-675). These dimensions of ǀkaggǝn’s and ǃgi:tǝn scent introduce several concepts crucial for understanding the wider implications of the significance of ‘odour’ to general ontological constructions: odours were mobile (even motile), not confined to a single embodiment, and transferable. In particular, we shall see below that they were linked to bodily secretions, readily incorporated in other identities, and moved around on winds.

The potential for ‘identities’ to blend and mix was often a problematic complication, in ǀXam conceptions. In stalking and shooting an animal, hunters necessarily became increasingly entwined with its identity, closing an ontological ‘gap’ that was potentially detrimental to hunting success, mirroring concerns seen amongst many hunting-oriented populations elsewhere (Willerslev, 2004:364). This state of shared identity underpinned many of the Ḳanna-se (Glossary:437) behavioural prescripts fundamental to successful hunting performance, most comprehensively illustrated in eland (Taurotragus oryx) ‘hunting’ narratives (LL.V.6.4411-4434), which focus almost exclusively on these regulations.
(Chapter 7b:230). Some rules revolved around the establishment of ‘correct’ relations with the game (Chapter 6b:192), but others stemmed from basic connections between the hunters and hunted: urination rules for the hunter, for example, were supposed to prevent the animal similarly voiding the poison. Smelling the scent of cooking food (incorporating it within one’s scent) was presumably not normally an issue, but when sharing identities with the wounded eland it became a problem: if the eland also incorporated this food smell, it could escape the effects of the poison (LL.V.6.4421-4422). After shooting gemsbok, hunters were forbidden from picking up springbok meat or smelling the scent of their viscera (LL.V.17.5312-5316); transgression of this prohibition would allow the gemsbok to escape, by incorporating (via the hunter) something of the identity of the springbok that were ‘wont to walk about’ (LL.V.17.5305) at night. Valorising identity ‘contaminations’ while linked with game animals, !nanna-se rules emphasise general notions about the transferability and entanglement of identities.

The blending of identity could also be an active component in causing illness and death. I noted earlier that the potency of fo-ǀǀoä entailed negative consequences for people establishing an ‘incorrect’ relationship with its scent; if the smell got into meat, it would cause peoples’ stomachs to swell and kill them (LL.II.36.3274’), if women inhaled the smell of the plant, it would make their hearts ‘lean’ (LL.II.36.3284-3286), and the problems encountered in digging the plant without expert guidance resulted from incorrect scent incorporation (LL.II.36.3309, 3328). This detrimental incorporation of fo-ǀǀoä scent formed a component of broader
notions of foreign identities having negative consequences for people who amalgamated them with their own ‘scents’. A common vector for the transfer of these identities was bodily fluids; the dogs became afraid of Ttai-tchuen after her close encounter with a lioness, because its ‘milking’ on her had combined their scents (LL.V.12.4969). In the Caracal and Day’s-Heart story, the Caracal transformed itself into an anti-social ‘beast-of-prey’ (Ilkelke, Glossary:443) when she had incorporated the smell of the Hyena’s sweat, severing her from the relationships and obligations that constituted her former social identity (LL.VIII.27.8411). fo-loa-ka-!kwi redressed issues caused by naive engagement with the plant by using their bodily fluids to transfer their ‘correct’ incorporation of its scent to the victim, spitting in their eyes (LL.II.36.3305) or rubbing them with their perspiration (LL.II.36.3264').

Notions of negative incorporation were distilled in !gwaın (Glossary:403, getting into flesh, taking possession, Bleek, 1956:285), a form of ‘magical’ action which invariably connoted illness and death. Addressing it represented the primary function of the curative !gi:ton, who rubbed their blood (transferring their identities) on people to prevent their incorporation of negative agents (LL.V.3.4135) from a range of ‘foreign’ forces. Antagonistic !gi:ton might !gwaın someone with their ‘things’ (imbued with their scent) to cause sickness or death (LL.V.19.5500-5501), springbok would !gwaın people who did not comport themselves correctly towards the animals (causing illness, LL.VIII.14.7264'), and when !khwa: was angry with people he would send objects (!k"abbe, Glossary:434) to !gwaın and kill them (LL.V.20.5609-5611). People
with specialist knowledge or abilities (fo-ǀõã’s men, !gi:ton, New Maidens, hunters) were able to moderate the detrimental effects of overlapping identities or channelled them in socially-beneficial ways, a quality of knowing how to regulate interactions to which I return below when discussing competence (lkwakka, Glossary:448, ‘understanding’, Bleek, 1956:596) in !Xam constructions of social responsibility.

Beyond the direct application of materials embodying them, smells and identities could travel through more nebulous mechanisms, such as the creation and movement of smoke to effect changes on physically distant phenomena and agencies: the unpleasant smell of burning springbok horns, for example, caused dark rain clouds to disperse (LL.VIII.23.8031). fo-ǀõã-ka-ǀkwi employed similar tactics when they burnt plants in restorative practices (LL.II.36.3305) or when activating medicine that made springbok run ‘gently’ towards hunters (LL.II.36.3252), as did New Maidens when burning buchu to ‘fumigate’ their relatives in protections against !khwa: (LL.V.6.4393'). A more significant long-distance mechanism, however, was movement on winds. In hunting contexts, humans and animals interacted primarily through the medium of winds (Low, 2007a:S75), which had to be incorporated in successful hunting strategies. Although humans rely only peripherally on olfaction, for many mammals it is a major channel for inter- and intra-specific communication. Their consequent perceptual acuity empowered them with privileged access to the information contained in scents, a powerful trait emphasising the relatively poor sense of smell of humans: the olfactory superiority of non-human species endowed them with
supra-human abilities. Wind carried sensory information to game (LL.II.9.930; LL.V.4454) and mediated interactions between predator and prey (LL.II.21.1903), demonstrating their ability to ‘understand the wind’ (LL.II.11.1110). This concept of ‘understanding wind’ was widely employed in |Xam characterisations of animal abilities, underlying (to give one illustration) their belief that certain game animals had privileged knowledge about events passing at a distance (LL.V.9.4652-4673; Wessels, 2007:319).

The relationship between wind and smell directs attention toward a ‘meteorological complex’ (Guenther, 1999:79; Low, 2007a:S80) in |Xam thoughts on personal identity. The wind and the ‘son of the wind’ were themselves Early-Race characters, present only in a single narrative (LL.VIII.8.6687). This ‘son of the wind’ was supposed to have turned into a bird at the end of the Early-Race times (LL.VIII.8.6694'), and one short account (LL.VIII.8.6709-6724) described his interactions with contemporaneous |Xam individuals. His actions in this interaction strongly recall those of antagonistic /giːtǝn\(^\text{51}\); on being treated in a disrespectful manner, he ‘blew up dust’ and forced Igo-ka-Ikwı (lkabbo’s son) to take shelter. As dust was a mechanism whereby ill-intentioned individuals could cause sickness (LL.V.20.5537-5546), the parallels between this transformed Early-Race figure and anti-social /giːtǝn (Chapter 6c:209) become striking.

Winds in contemporary experience were differentiated through their connections with other meteorological phenomena; the south wind was cold (LL.II.33.3034), the north wind linked to rain (J. Deacon, 1988:134) and the west wind turned back

\(^{51}\) Like antagonistic non-living /giːtǝn (LL.VIII.14.7275-7276), he lived in a hole in the mountain.
clouds (LL.VIII.1.6097). Winds and clouds were essentially contiguous in observations of precipitation, as the wind blew ‘strengthening’ (‘hardening’) the rain’s ‘hair’ until it became (rain) clouds (LL.II.25.2246-2247). Together, they constituted another dimension of Xam personhood: individuals ‘possessed’ winds (LL.V.15.5141; LL.VIII.8.6727; LL.VIII.18.7566; LL.VIII.18.7590-7591), and ‘made clouds’ when they died (LL.V.15.5147). As with personal smells, not only humans, but also predators (LL.V.23.5854-5856) and game animals (LL.VIII.28.8459’) were possessed of winds. It is implied that this ‘possession’ of winds represented particular connections with one of the types of wind differentiated by the Xam (LL.VIII.8.6727), rather than a personal, unique attribute. This connection was particularly noticeable at time of death, for both animals and humans, when the wind they had possessed blew, taking away their footprints (LL.V.15.5147). This belief should be read in light of Xam notions of ownership, which incorporated the ability to influence or control certain forces (e.g. animals ‘possessed’ by !gi:ton; Lewis-Williams and Pearce, 2004:190); manifestations of winds at death reflected dissipation of this influence, and the ‘making of clouds’ said to accompany it, a natural consequence of the ‘hardening’ associated with winds blowing.

Some winds belonged to supernatural agents, such as the serre: wind that enacted !khwa:’s anger (LL.VIII.16.7419), or the !ho whirlwind that brought illness or knocked people down if they transgressed certain behaviour rules (LL.V.20.5559’-5568’). These winds manifested the desires of the agents with whom they were

52 If killed by a person, their cold winds would make the hunter’s (own) wind cold (LL.VIII.28.8459’), demonstrating again the contiguities of identity established while hunting.
associated, valuable tools for their enactment of the roles they were supposed to fulfil; lions, for example, used their winds to facilitate their hunting (of people), making their winds blow to hide their approach the huts from dogs (LL.V.23.5854-5856). As winds were necessary for game animals accessing olfactory information, they also could be employed usefully in human hunting tactics: Dia!kwain’s father engaged in this practice (LL.V.15.5141-5142), and \han\kass’o noted that the north wind could be useful deployed when hunting springbok (LL.VIII.8.6725), making the springboks’ superior perception work against them by inducing them to run into an ambush (LL.VIII.8.6730-6731). The connection between winds and clouds meant that !khwa-ka-gi:tan (Glossary:425, specialising in rain-making) had the greatest opportunity and motivation to demonstrate their influence over meteorological phenomena (controlling the winds that made rain clouds approach LL.V.14.5076'-5077’), but others too used such influence to claim a special status: \xarra\|xarra\| was entreated to employ her wind to blow away mosquitoes (LL.V.23.5842'-5844’), and also engaged her wind control to blow dust about, demonstrating her displeasure at being scolded. Winds might then equally be influenced by responsible human persons in achieving socially significant ends, or by anti-social forces working antagonistically toward human communities.

These dimensions of the |Xam person locate ‘identity’ as a mutable and shifting property not solely within a single individual: though not identical, this is markedly similar to ‘identities’ outlined in the animic ontology model. Labile

—

53 Though not described as a /gi:xa, her supposed influence over winds after death (LL.V.23.5864-5865) is reminiscent of their ‘sorcery’s things’.
aspects of identity point to fundamental equivalences between persons, with humans and non-humans ‘infecting’ one another equally with their identities. The ordering of relationships at the end of the Early-Race times (Chapter 5b:148) established rules for consumption and marriage (thereby forcing Early-Race characters into their animal forms), but attributes of personhood remained substantially similar for both animals and humans. The Early-Race times were less resolved than characterisations of Early-Race narratives as ‘creation’ stories would suggest, with certain categories of animals emerging as similar actors in both Early-Race and more anecdotal narratives. Baboons retained fluid identities, and were confusing animals that blended human and non-human identities: contemporary baboons were supposed to speak ǀXam (LL.V.24.5923’) and exhibit violent desires (LL.V.24.5930-5947) that paralleled their actions in Early-Race stories (LL.VIII.11.6978-7014; LL.VIII.12.7065-7094). The ambiguous status of some Early-Race people was sufficiently entrenched in ǀXam thought as to offer viable explanations of unusual events, as in the memorate of ǀgo-ka-ǃkwi ‘seeing the wind’ in the form of a bird at ǀkoaxu (Haasfontein, Figure 5.1:147). Ongoing engagements with non-human agents were important in ǀXam life; ǃkhwa: played an identical role in Bushman and Early-Race communities, and ǃkaggǝn remained a potent force in hunting beliefs. While different perspectives and aims ensured ‘persons’ acted very differently from one another, these actions often paralleled one another; lions would utilise similar strategies to those deployed by human hunters, for example. After death, differentiations of the second creation became blurred, with humans and game believed to ‘walk one path’ (LL.II.6.669’), and at
this time even ordinary Bushmen transitioned into non-human communities by entering into largely antagonistic relationships with their living counterparts. Between responsible adults displaying ‘proper’ behaviour and the anti-social activities of the dead and of predators (Chapter 6c:204), a series of liminal individuals engaged in what |Xam considered species-inappropriate behaviour or demonstrated proficiencies in non-ordinary skills. The examination of the dimensions of this propriety versus anti-sociality forms the focus of chapters 6b (182) and 6c (201).

Figure 5.1: Haasfontein (ǂkoaxa) in the Kenhardt-Brandvlei-Vanwyksvlei triangle
Chapter 5b: Incorporating identity

Rules regarding consumption were integral to the construction of identity: as the concept of transferral of identities through incorporation of foreign substances had widespread currency in |Xam belief, this comes as no surprise. Food proscriptions revolved around two basic axioms: avoiding the transferral of ‘dangerous’ identities to others, and avoiding the incorporation of similarly dangerous identities oneself. Their delineation constituted part of the ‘Anteater’s [aardvark] Laws’, an episode of secondary creation establishing proper relationships between species (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:92-95), and helped define identities both within and between Bushman groups; distributed along age and gender lines, they embodied major axes of social differentiation. Although institutionalised social hierarchies are notably lacking among ‘egalitarian’ northern Bushman groups (and, by extension, are assumed absent for southern Bushman populations), this clearly does not entail monolithic societies. Numerous authors (L. Marshall, 1961; Lee, 1969; Draper, 1978) have emphasised this egalitarianism in contemporary Bushman societies as requiring strongly coercive social mechanisms working (ideally) to prevent the formation of persistent hierarchies through complex distribution rules and systematic ‘belittling’ of performances that acquire desirable resources. The presence of such mechanisms points to the presence of
‘fracture’ lines in northern Bushman societies, and to axes along which tensions can potentially develop; for the |Xam, food proscriptions marked differentiation between people, and constructed roles subject to normative social mechanisms.

Some consumption was incompatible with human identity in an ontological sense. The comestible species list dictated to humans as part of the aetiological component of the ‘Anteater’s laws’ was much broader than for other animals (at least thirty-four species, LL.II.3.454-457), and the rules for humans emphasised rather the manner in which these species should be eaten, cooked instead of raw (LL.II.19.1705). Certain prohibitions did apply to humans in general. Cannibalism was forbidden: hare (*Lepus* sp.) thigh-meat (LL.VIII.27.8398') was rejected because it was considered a piece of human flesh incompletely transformed by the Anteater’s Laws. Wild dogs were excluded, resembling domesticated dogs too closely (LL.II.3.460; Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:95): this may represent an extension of the cannibalism prohibition, with domesticated dogs participating ambiguously in their owner’s identities (Chapter 8a:283). This ‘ontological’ consumption reflected continuing affiliation with a ‘human’ in-group, and ambiguous agents who had opportunities to shift allegiances might reflect this by altering their dietary preferences: antagonistic !gi:ton were supposed to consume similarly to lions (*i.e.* to eat people, LL.VIII.15.7304).

The |Xam avoided pigs, fish, shellfish and fowl (LL.VIII.30.8649; LL.IV.3.3736'), not under the rubric of the Anteater’s Laws but as part of the production of a Bushman identity in contrast to ‘others’. ŉkasin juxtaposed his (Korana) father’s willingness to eat fish with his (|Xam) mother’s avoidance of the same, while
Ikabbo used dietary habits in discriminating between !kaun-ka-ǃk’e (Glossary:422, Mountain Bushman) who ate baboons, and Swa:-ka-ǃk’e (Glossary:399, Flat Bushman), who did not (LL.II.7.798; Chapter 7a:219). This avoidance was not attributed to a fundamental ontological ‘law’, being instead presented as the result of baboon habitat preferences; it may thus be considered analogous to Korana (living along the Middle Orange River) consumption of aquatic resources. Not all dietary habits need be considered as reflecting deep-seated ontological constructions; adult avoidance of smaller birds and animals (LL.VIII.30.8649-8650) was probably more a reflection of the relatively low return obtained from these food sources, than a categorical statement about adult and child identity.

In general, ǀXam food proscriptions were framed as avoiding potential dangers (similar to soxa among the Hailom, Widlok, 1999:213-221), including foods inducing unwanted effects (including poisonous species) and those that attracted the destructive attentions of supra-human agencies. This variation existed in a single overlapping spectrum, with giant centipedes (Scolopendra sp.) avoided both because of their poison and because they belonged to ǃkhwa: (LL.VIII.1.6074-6076). In the former category, we find toxic plants that could not be safely consumed by humans (LL.II.7.783’; LL.VIII.8.6687”). These were avoided by everyone, though a few of them were considered poisonous only for specific people: gambro (Fockea edulis) was supposed to kill children if they ate it unaccompanied by animal products (LL.II.13.1254-1255). Other species were palatable only at specific times of the year: ǃkerri roots were avoided during the
summer, because they were not ‘sweet’ (*twai:*i, LL.VIII.18.7599-7600). ‘Sweetness’ was used to delineate other undesirable foodstuffs (such as lion’s flesh, WB.I.263), making assessments of relative attractiveness, and classifying non-*a:kan* (Glossary:392; Chapter 5c:162, 165) foods; briefly, this included bitterness, dryness, and associations with predatory species possessed of traits that one hardly wanted to incorporate though consumption.

Among the Flat Bushmen, women did not eat caracal (LL.VIII.12.7105*), caama fox (*Vulpes chama*), cheetah (*Acinonyx jubata*) (LL.II.1.235*), or jackal (WB.I.303). †hanikass'o imputed this avoidance to the animals’ smell, stating that women ‘dislike’ it, but that men in general do not (he noted two men who did ‘dislike’ it). The word used was †karitɔn (Bleek, 1956:559), an unusual term (only found in this fragment) that D. Bleek suggests may mean ‘to abstain from’. This construction was not a common form; displeasure (LL.II.25.2304) and disinclination (LL.V.4.4211) were usually expressed by combining an appropriate verb with a *k"auki* negation (Bleek, 1956:121). Although Lloyd’s translation at first evokes a simple (if culturally conditioned) personal preference based on affective qualities, the ‘smell’ of meat can hardly be considered a neutral, physical trait (Chapter 5a:134). Combined with unusual word choice, this ‘dislike’ of the women appears to imply something of a desire to avoid incorporating caracal-identity. The specific contrast of male and female habits in †hanikass'o’s presentation of the avoidance suggests that he saw it as a gendered performance, and considering the wider connotations of the ‘Caracal’ signifier, this division makes much sense: for hunters, the caracal (although a ‘beast-of-prey’) was *a:kan*
(LL.II.3.419; Chapter 6b:183; Chapter 7d:277) because it did not eat immoderately, was not particularly ‘anti-social’ (did not eat people, LL.II.3.425-426), and behaved ‘understandingly’ (LL.II.35.3240). From a female perspective, the most obvious referent is the transformation of the Early-Race she-Caracal into an anti-social being. In this light, the masculine avoidance (unfortunately lacking in detailed information) may point to the fact that masculinity and femininity themselves were not enacted identically by all people, representing contested domains.

Children were particularly vulnerable to the dangers of consumption, especially the incorporation of inappropriate foods; their food rules attempted to prevent these associations developing. Poorly considered consumption generated undesirable personal characteristics: ostrich gizzards caused disfigurement (LL.V.20.5573’) and springbok tongues induced clumsiness (LL.V.25.6026-6028). Leopard hearts were given preferentially to children, while jackal hearts were denied them (LL.VIII.16.7457-7458), in attempts to avoid them becoming li:-sa (‘cowards’, Chapter 6a:175). Adult identity was moulded by identities incorporated in childhood, alternatively manifest in the link between incorrect childhood consumption and the attentions of antisocial forces (lions) in later life, forces that attacked these individuals in retribution for earlier transgressions (LL.V.8.4576-4577). Negative developmental effects could also be inculcated by the consumption habits of individuals closely associated with the child: mothers
with young children avoided hartebeest\textsuperscript{54} meat to prevent $|kaggǝn$ from harming the child (LL.V.6.4415\textsuperscript{'}). This regulation of diet held considerable potential for establishing moral dimensions, and negative consequences were stressed throughout; mothers were told they must behave in certain ways\textsuperscript{55}, in instructions from older individuals and, while children were supposed to be self-regulating in their diet (becoming ‘afraid’ of inappropriate foods, LL.V.8.4577), this was largely controlled by adults who were supposed to create and sustain childhood consumption habits.

This hartebeest example falls into the highly-variable category of food avoided because of its links with dangerous non-humans: a range of non-human agents ($|khwa:|$, $|kaggǝn|$, $|nu-ka-!k'e|$, Glossary:413) guarded different resources, behaved differently depending on the transgressor’s identity, and effected different punishments for transgressions. I focus here on the ‘rain’s things’, perhaps the most heavily circumscribed component of the dietary laws. Animals standing in a relationship with $|khwa:|$ were subject to consumption rules differentiated by age and gender. They included some birds (swallows, LL.V.21.5698) and arthropods (LL.VIII.1.6074; LL.VIII.17.7463) not eaten by anyone, but mainly involved reptiles and amphibians; frogs and toads (LL.VIII.16.7449) and snakes and tortoises (LL.V.6.4383’-4384’)\textsuperscript{56}. These associations incorporate ethological resemblances, with leopard tortoises

\textsuperscript{54} Strongly associated with $|kaggǝn|$, perhaps manifest visibly in the similarity of hartebeest horns to mantis antennae.

\textsuperscript{55} Broadly reminiscent of New Maiden proscriptions that curtailed consumption and movement.

\textsuperscript{56} The connection between waterslang and tortoises in Griqua hokmeisie rituals (Waldman, 2003:665) links snakes, tortoises, and water for contemporary groups descended from Khoekhoe and Bushman populations.
(Stigomochelys pardalis) and puffadders (Bitis arietans), the quintessential ‘rain’s animals’, producing very similar warning hisses (Boycott and Bourquin, 1988:17). Some of the ‘rain’s things’ were permissible for general consumption (tortoises, LL.V.11.4813), but this remained risky enough to inspire fear, and for the young this risk was much increased. Particularly vulnerable, New Maidens were not even supposed to touch tortoises (LL.V.6.4381'-4382’) in case the tortoise ‘soiled’ (ts’erre, Glossary:400, bewitch, Bleek, 1956:215) them. Even in arid environments, urination and defecation are common chelonian responses to stress (Mann, O’Riain and Hofmeyer, 2006:374); the ability to eject forcibly water stored in the cloacal bursa is one of the few ‘active’ defensive mechanisms employed by these species (Boycott and Bourquin, 1988:19), a physiological capacity that may have reinforced (in a region with little surface water) a privileged connection between tortoises and !khwa. Tails of porcupines (also ‘rain’s things’) were not eaten by young men or girls lest hail strike and kill them (LL.V.13.5036), a proscription that applied men old enough to hunt porcupines (LL.VIII.16.7405). Only older people ate these tails (LL.VIII.16.7405, 7408’), recalling a restriction on consumption of !kappǝm water tortoises (Psammobates tentorius), eaten only by old women (LL.VIII.26.8303). The connection between !khwa: and fertility that underpinned his interest in youth in general (New Maidens in particular) rendered their consumption of his animals enough to attract

57 A good candidate for the ‘water tortoise’, being more active during or after rain (Boycott and Bourquin, 1988:88). The only true waterskilpad (Afr. terrapin, lit. ‘water tortoise’) of the region is the Cape Terrapin (Pelomedusa subrufa), a species name not recorded by Bleek and Lloyd (Appendix E:468).
his wrath (LL.VIII.26.8308) where older individuals could eat with relative impunity.

Consumption was central to the New Maiden role, regulating not only the type of food consumed, but also the manner of its ingestion. She was supposed to consume and imbibe ‘moderately’ (LL.V.2.3874-3881), given water to drink in a manner comparable to practices with small children (LL.V.2.3874’), and fed small pieces of food. This moderation of intake was structured by specially designed ‘restrictive’ material culture in the form of a xabbu (ostrich eggshell drinking vessel, LL.VIII.15.7360) with a small aperture and narrow reed straw (LL.V.2.3879’). Other restrictions of the role were facilitated by material culture; the New Maiden’s built environment, a small hut (the ‘illness’s house’, LL.VI.2.3997) with an equally small entrance (LL.II.28.2520’) occupied for a month following her first menses, was crucial to constructing limitations on her movements. These restrictions were enacted to inculcate similarly moderate habits in all people58 and to control the potentially disastrous consequences of her unrestrained fertility. Her performance incorporated various human agents, and a series of nonhumans (springbok, LL.II.28.2523; baboons, LL.V.24.5921; dogs, LL.V.20.5596; and of course !khwa:), all of whom had a stake in the role. Her emotions, glances, and ‘snapping of fingers’ became channels directing !khwa:’s negative attentions (LL.VI.2.4000; LL.V.20.5619), almost against her will. The food she collected became imbued with danger, placed in the same category as foods associated with !khwa: (LL.V.6.4392’); !khwa:’s: attraction effected her

58 ‘Small’ consumption was highly valued (Chapter 6b:182).
transformation into a ‘rain’s thing’, and her productions were as jealously guarded as the tortoises, porcupines, and other resources representing his normal jurisdiction, eaten only by older people. Her kin (especially males) and residence group (LL.V.6.4393’-4397’) had to be treated with buchu to render her gathered food safe for their consumption. The rules followed by the New Maiden, then, manifested both the mechanisms by which she attracted the attention of !khwa: (the cultural ‘logic’ of the role), and the wider social context of this identity, making statements about the contestable definitions of femininity, fertility, and moderation.

Numerous food rules enacted structural impositions with similarly significant social content. Women forbore from eating meat from springbok shoulderblades to !nanna-se their husbands’ arrows (LL.VIII.14.7263’), a restriction clearly applied only to a specific category of women. This behaviour was supposed to contribute to the relationship between arrows and springbok, inculcating correct (socially desirable) outcomes for the hunt and loaded with the same suite of exhortations applied to successful hunters (Chapter 6b:191, 192-193), combining implications of propriety and skill. Consumption rules of this ‘proper behaviour’ type were applied to both men and women. Flat Bushman men were supposed to bring porcupine meat back to camp before eating (LL.VIII.6.6590’-6594’), in a ‘gendered’ performance: men brought it to camp, women cooked it, men divided it, and, in conclusion, each gender consumed it differently (women eating the flesh, men ‘gnawing’ the backbone). !han!kass’o contrasted this with the behaviour of !khe-len (Grass Bushmen, Chapter 7a:221) who ‘ate up’ porcupines on the veld;
the negative connotations of unrestrained consumption implied by ‘eating up’ (Chapter 6b:182) make it clear he considered Flat Bushman behaviour more ‘proper’. A similar logic structured consumption rules for ritual roles. !gi:ton were not allowed to consume freely (LL.V.22.5768), and had to maintain certain standards that supported their particular skills. Their abilities were weakened through incorrect consumption (LL.V.3.4151’); if they consumed ashes together with food, their curative ‘snoring power’ (lit. their ‘nose’, Bleek, 1956:352) would leave them, and contaminating identities of ‘unclean’ food incorporated in their healing practices would render them ineffective, even harmful (LL.V.4.4177). The narrative dealing with !kwarra-an’s (a !gi:xa) loss of potency presents this ‘weakening’ as an area of social contestation, in which she attempted to characterise her actions as congruent with dominant notions of how a (socially-admirable) !gi:xa should behave (LL.V.3.4132-4161; LL.V.4.4162-4199). In all of these examples, a connection between identity and ‘correct’ behaviour is valorised; the men giving women porcupine meat, the women restraining themselves from eating certain portions, and !gi:ton regulating their incorporation of (potentially detrimental) substances; all used consumption to demonstrate participation in ‘proper’ Bushman social norms.
Chapter 5c: Attributing value

Before discussing propriety, morality, and their instantiation in social roles, it is important to consider the attributes that the |Xam used in evaluating differences between persons. I outline some of the physical traits deployed in the construction of |Xam identities, with the familiar caveat that this does not represent the entirety of a complex dimension in |Xam life. Starting with an exploration of ‘colour’, located in visually obvious traits loaded universally with cultural significance, I consider a series of interwoven traits that constructed admirable or condemnable persons. |Xam colour terminology is quite extensive, the result of deliberate solicitation by Bleek and Lloyd to further the production of a comprehensive dictionary; some terms collected are encountered extremely rarely, such as ‘dead brownish green’ (Bleek, 1956:291), appearing only in a wordlist (LL.VIII.7.6601-6606). I focus on terms used with greatest regularity in the archive, and on the manner in which their connotations were mobilised in judgemental and evaluative processes underlying social roles: |kainja (Glossary:407, yellow, green or ‘shining’, Bleek, 1956:297), |koziwa (Glossary:409, red, pale, Bleek, 1956:321), !khi:ja (Glossary:423, red, Bleek, 1956:427, 434), !hoake (Glossary:405, black or

59 Anthropological approaches focusing on colour are extensive; (cf. MacLaury, Paramei and Dedrick, 2007) and long-standing (Conklin, 1955; Turner, 1967; Berlin and Kay, 1969).
dark, Bleek, 1956:289), and !kúi:ta (Glossary:430, white, Bleek, 1956:450), terms that could be combined to describe other colours. One less frequently employed term I shall touch upon is kerru (Glossary:395), to be or become green, or to sprout (as a noun, vegetation, Bleek, 1956:87).

|kai:nja had strong negative connotations, characteristic of the ǀkelke, ‘beast-of-prey’, epitomes of anti-social agency. A prime trait of ‘beastly’ identities was hairiness: lions (ǀkhā:, Glossary:446) and brown hyenas (ǃgwai?), the two species the ǀXam considered as beasts-of-prey par excellence, display notably ‘hairy’ physiognomies, in the mane of the adult male lion and the voluminous coat of brown hyenas (Figure 5.2:160; Figure 5.3:160; LL.II.20.1793’). Lions, the quintessential predators, were quintessentially hairy, referred to by children with the respect name ‘Hair’ (LL.V.8.4563-4564). In the Early-Race times, when characters displayed features foreshadowing their future animality, lions had hair which was ‘different’ (LL.II.1.276), revealing their desire to eat people (LL.II.16.1553). Hair growth and predation were causally linked in hyenas, which began to eat springbok when they ‘got hair’ (LL.II.3.445), and the division between domesticated predators (dogs) and wild ones was replicated in their hairiness, with dogs feet being considered less hairy than those of lions (LL.II.26.2339). |kai:nja went alongside hairiness: the Early-Race Caracal became both yellow and hairy in her transformation into an anti-social ‘beast-of-prey’ (LL.II.18. 1654-1657). Reinforcing the connection, lions’ faces similarly were described as yellow.

---

60 ‘Blue’ (LL.VIII.7.6601), for example, being defined with a phrase literally translating as “shining/green-yellow’-and-seems-dark”.
Figure 5.2: Characteristic ‘hairy’ coat of brown hyena (*Hyena brunnea*). From ‘*Brown hyena, Parahyaena brunnea*’ by Berniedup, 2011, http://www.flickr.com/photos/berniedup/6472939035/sizes/o/in/photostream/. Copyright 2011 by Berniedup (CC BY-NC-SA 2.0).

Figure 5.3: African lion (*Panthera leo*), showing ‘hairiness’ characteristic of $\text{\textkopp}\text{\textkopp}$ status. From ‘*African lion, Panthera leo*’ by Berniedup, 2009, http://www.flickr.com/photos/berniedup/6041671298/sizes/o/in/photostream/. Copyright 2009 by Berniedup (CC BY-NC-SA 2.0).
(LL.II.26.2379), with eyes that ‘resembled fire’ and shone (LL.II.3.421)\(^6\), likely making reference to *tapeta lucida* particularly characteristic of nocturnal predators (Vaughan, Ryan and Czaplewski, 2011:27, 298). Concordant with this, shining yellow eyes ‘rolled with foolishness’ (WB.XV.1408) or connoted ‘angry actions’ (WB.XXIII.2204); both foolishness and anger went along with the inappropriate social behaviour expected from predators (Chapter 6a:173).

Connections with predatory forces lent a dangerous aspect to *ǀkai:nja*, mobilised in association with a more generic anti-sociality. *ǀkaggǝn*, often antagonistic towards human societies and usually behaving inappropriately even with his peers, was described as yellow (LL.VIII.7.6600’-6601’) and, in an oft-quoted passage (Guenther, 2002:67; Lewis-Williams and Pearce, 2004:113), transformed into a *ǀkai:nja-Øpua*\(^6\), or ‘little green thing’. Things belonging to the unpredictable *ǀkhwa:* were described with the term: puffadders (LL.V.6.4384’) were this colour, and the (Early-Race) New Maiden (LL.VIII.16.7434-7448) became *ǀkai:nja* when smelling of a certain fungus that belong to *ǀkhwa:* (i.e., when she had become intimately identified with him). Consistent with its anti-sociality, *ǀkai:nja* manifested the more destructive elements of *ǀkhwa:*, including lightning strikes (LL.V.22.5722-5723), abduction, and transformation; in the Early-Race story referred to above, the New Maiden’s ‘green’ scent must be removed to prevent *ǀkhwa:* transforming her family into frogs.

---

\(^6\) Hyenas are also mentioned here.

\(^6\) Often cited as evidence for direct transformation into a mantis, this is not necessarily implied by the *ǀXam phrase: *ǀkaggǝn also became *ǀkai:nja* after leaving water (WB.XX.1894), suggesting he may have been ‘shiny’ rather than green/yellow.
Personal association with dangerous identities might induce ‘yellowness’: incorrect relationships with fo-loë’s scent (LL.II.36.3285-3286) manifested in yellowed eyes, part of a larger suite of ideas connecting dangerous, unpleasant, or inappropriate conditions. The yellow eyes of women improperly introduced to fo-loë reflected their ‘becoming lean’, an undesirable quality for a culture that placed a high value on fat in general (and fat in meat in particular, Chapter 7b:227).

Leanness in meat was commonly conceptualised as lhoake, blackness or darkness: after smelling fo-loë, flesh became black through loss of fat (LL.II.36.3284-3285), and transgressions of !nanna-se behaviours caused the moon to ‘swallow the game’s fat’, making its flesh black (LL.V.16.5225-5226). Strengthening its undesirability, aetiological dimensions of Early-Race narratives (LL.II.3.417; WB.VIII.894) almost invariably link ‘blackness’ with being burnt and becoming ugly, largely (though not exclusively) in association with beast-of-prey identities and linked prototypically with the hyena, which (even before being burnt black on its hindquarters) ‘blackened’ its perspiration to contaminate the Caracal (LL.VIII.27.8397). As with lka:nja, this underscored connections between ugliness and inappropriate or antisocial action, with burning/blackening representing a common punishment for transgressing norms or antagonising other Early-Race characters. Links between transgressive/antagonistic acts and predators (hyenas, LL.II.20.1835) or other anti-social creatures (baboons, LL.V.24.5986), further characterised blackness as a terrifying attribute:

\[\text{\textit{\textit{\textit{\textit{lhan\texttt{\textdegree kass'o spoke of terror making the mouth ‘black’ (when coming on a lion}}}}}}\]

\[\text{\textit{\textit{\textit{\textit{\textdegree Blackness’ here connoting undesirability of consumption: after hunting ostrich, the ‘blackened’ meat around the poisoned wound had to be thrown away (LL.II.24.2203-2204).}}}}}}\]
unexpectedly, LL.VIII.23.8079), while the darkness associated with heavy rainfall made people afraid (LL.II.25.2233), a fear motivated by its potential for violence.

The ‘black’ lightning (which killed people, LL.VIII.30.8644’) that accompanied such rain embodied !khwa:’s destructive capacity\(^{64}\), and !hoake also described the !ku!ku:itǝn (Glossary:431) or Early-Race Ticks (LL.II.32.2929), ‘black people’ with a propensity for ‘anger’s fighting’ (WB.XXIII.2171) and ‘bloody-handed[ness]’ (LL.II.32.2960’). Leanness and blackness, then, were ‘bad’ traits, linked with destructive violence and ugliness.

By contrast to the general negativity of non-human ‘yellowness’, !ko:ξwa (red/pale) held consistently positive associations, used primarily to describe people: rubbing fat onto the skin made a person !ko:ξwa (LL.II.13.1290) and ‘handsome’. This ‘handsomeness’ described physical appearance (LL.II.15.1446) but also competent performance and appropriate management of social relations (Chapter 6a:170), united in the !Xam a:kǝn (Bleek, 1956:6-7) that referred to handsome appearance, the ability to perform tasks ‘nicely’\(^{65}\), and a general sense of moral rectitude; these connotations were connected explicitly in descriptions of people as !ko:ξwa (LL.II.13.1245). In a physical sense, a:kǝn combined with !ko:ξwa in a complex of notions concerning fat, anointing, and rubbing. Flesh anointed or rubbed with fat became handsome (LL.II.12.1232), and eating fat, ‘handsome’ food (LL.II.14.1343) transferred this trait to the consumer. Heads

\(^{64}\) Black lightning was compared to gunfire (LL.VIII.30.8644’), surely a powerful evocation of violence and murder for populations living in the northern frontier regions of the Cape Colony (Chapter 4b:100; Chapter 4c:115).

\(^{65}\) Often paired with ttwai, an adjective describing competent (or beguiling) performance (LL.V.6.4345-4356; Bleek, 1956:244).
anointed with a mixture of specularite (lhara, Glossary:442) and fat (LL.VIII.14.7275') were the apogee of physical handsomeness, creating a state of being sparkling (wawaiton, Bleek, 1956:252) or shimmering (!k"arrakon, Bleek, 1956:507)⁶⁶ that could even overcome the negative connotations of ‘blackness’: !hoake specularite made hair ‘grow downwards’ abundantly (LL.VIII.14.7272'-7273'), as part of the positively evaluated component of ‘youthfulness’ (Chapter 5c:165; Chapter 7d:278).

Despite general themes in negative or positive connotations, there was some ambiguity in the use of colour referents: this is best illustrated in notions of ‘redness’. Although positive evaluations of !ko:ξwa were applied consistently, !khi:ja⁶⁷ had both positive and negative valence. !khi:ja was, in the ‘new’ plumage of the female ostrich (LL.II.23.2130) or the ‘fat’ male ostrich (LL.II.24.2149), a handsome, positive trait displaying youthfulness and resource surfeits, and in some contexts it signified humanity in contrast with ‘yellow’, predatory species (LL.II.18.1656-1657): descriptions of hare’s flesh as red (LL.II.18.1667) here reference its ‘human’ identity (Chapter 5b:149). However, it could also become emblematic of the very opposite of human sociality in descriptions of beasts-of-prey: the red hair of !kúi-se-!khwi-ku (Glossary:428, Early-Race lion cub raised as a dog) revealed its improper, bestial status (LL.II.26.2323). When linked to these beasts-of-prey, !khi:ja was an ugly characteristic (e.g. LL.II.3.418), clearly participating in the ‘negative’ suite

⁶⁶ In contrast to ‘shininess’ (lائ:ىja)
⁶⁷ Most instances of ‘red’ (in translation) refer to this term.
outlined for \textit{kai:nja} and \textit{hoake}. Like \textit{kai:nja}, it was associated with \textit{!khwa}:
\footnote{This figure was highly ambiguous, intensely destructive but providing vital rainfall (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:59).}, as the colour of certain animals belonging to him (LL.VIII.16.7464). The more ambiguous redness did not stress his destructive aspect, and was mobilised in practices aimed at diffusing \textit{!khwa}:'s dangerous attentions: to: (Glossary:400) and \textit{\textbf{l}ka:ξ} (Glossary:442) were ground to produce red pigments (LL.VIII.14.7272'; LL.VI.1.3970) strewn, along with sweet-smelling buchu, by the New Maiden to placate \textit{!khwa}: (LL.VIII.16.7426). Red \textit{\textbf{l}ka:ξ} was also used to paint stripes on the backs of (young) men (LL.VI.1.3970-3973), uniting two traits linked to \textit{!khwa},
\footnote{His \textit{!khwa:-ka:-laukan} (Glossary:425;'rain’s-children’) were said to be striped (LL.VI.1.3942).} and in applying this combination New Maidens shielded young men from his antagonism.

‘Ugliness’ in meat was connected the absence of fat, but also with age, the unappetising meat of old game animals being described as such (LL.V.11.4802); flesh that was rested, well-watered, and not ‘exhausted’ by heat was handsome (LL.II.13.1255-1256). Issues of age, aridity, and heat were important determinates of the positive and negative associations of \textit{lúi:ta} (whiteness), which revolved around connections between whiteness and excessive heat (LL.II.4.485), and between whiteness and age (LL.VIII.22.7981): \textit{lúi:ta-na} (‘white-head’) was employed as an epithet for elders (LL.II.4.523). These two elements were themselves connected, as heat was supposed to age things; springbok had ‘old white hair’ in summer but grew young, red hair in winter (LL.II.25.2262). An opposition in \textit{\textbf{l}Xam} thought between youthful foolishness and adult understanding.
(Chapter 6a:168) was incorporated into signification of this colour term: people were supposed to leave off foolish *k"e:nk"e:n* (Glossary:397, teasing) when their hair became white (LL.VIII.3.6255-6256). Negative dimensions of ‘whiteness’ centred on links with high temperatures and desiccation; excessive heat was a potentially-dangerous condition that could ‘devour’ a person (LL.V.20.5538\textsuperscript{70}), linked with debilitation through heat exhaustion (LL.VIII.22.7961) and the disease-causing actions of anti-social *!gi:tan* (LL.V.20.5549). High temperatures contributed to the desiccation of vegetation (LL.II.22.2038), and things as they dried became *!kú:i:ta*, whether flesh (LL.II.33.3019'), bushes (LL.VIII.7.6640'), or whole regions (LL.VIII.16.7448'). In contrast to dried objects, new or fresh things were *a:køn*: new feathers that the ostriches grew after plucking out their ‘summer’s feathers’ (burnt dry by the sun) were handsome (LL.II.24.2137) and springbok hair ‘waxed handsome’ when it was newly grown (at which time their ‘fat’ meat became particularly desirable). For both of these species, the coming of ‘new rain’ instigated processes of renewal, with the whole country becoming *a:køn* when vegetation was ‘sprouting’, rather than withered, white, dry, and ‘uncomfortable’ (LL.VIII.7.6640'-6642'); this plant growth following rainfall was referred to as *kerru* (applying specifically to the greening of vegetation), a term encapsulating the opposite side of the spectrum to *!kú:i:ta* associations.

Descriptive attributes were not neutral but implied specific moral and ethical evaluations. Concepts introduced above outline a complex of ideas centring on

\textsuperscript{70} Immoderate consumption was ‘monstrous’ in !Xam thought (Chapter 6b:181).
evaluations of favourable *versus* unpleasant, undesirable traits: states of being handsome, wet, fat, and fresh on the one hand, and issues of being ugly, dry, lean, and withered on the other. The following chapter examines the employment of these characteristics in the creation of social identities, constructing praiseworthy actions and characters and deployed in critiques of anti-social behaviour.
Chapter 6: Social Responsibility, Authority, and Obligation

Chapter 6a: ‘Understanding people’ and foolish actions

In discussing the social dimensions of |Xam identities, I focus on two key concepts: the characteristics emblematic of authoritative persons, and issues of the entitlements or obligations emerging from participation in certain identities, with particular focus on ‘propriety’. Social dimensions of identity are difficult to reconstruct with certainty, simply because they were contested by |Xam individuals themselves. Narratives expressing injunctions and proscriptions exhorting people to behave in particular ways, and moral evaluations of this behaviour, may be highly idiosyncratic; the informant was him-\textsuperscript{71} or herself a

\textsuperscript{71} The collection was primarily obtained from male informants.
ǀXam agent, embedded in the relations they were describing. Some elements of the narrative structure, such as reported speech (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:198), offer greater opportunities for revealing wider attitudes by presenting specific views and opinions of characters (mythological and otherwise) that may be contrary those of other characters or the narrator; although they may not represent the full range of ǀXam viewpoints, this presentation reminds us that Bushman groups were not monolithic entities and that (as certain narratives or narrative devices present social commentary quite explicitly) the informants felt some constructions were contestable. The ichneumon’s critiques of ǀkaggǝn’s behaviour have been highlighted (Hollmann, 2001:69; Guenther, 2002) as potentially offering insight into definitions of admirable social identities, and ǀkabbo’s narratives concerning a man accidentally wounded and killed when hunting springbok (LL.II.12.1181-LL.II.13.1268) and his widow’s experiences after his death (LL.II.13.1269-LL.II.14.1396) reveal much about ǀXam notions of social responsibility, presenting obligations concerning care for dependent relatives. As in Chapter 5 (134), I focus on dimensions that recur throughout the archive; statements about ‘ǀXam attitudes’ rendered here should not be taken as definitive structures obeyed unthinkingly by all, but as facets within complex and contested presentations of social acceptability.

At the centre of both authority and propriety was a notion of behaving ‘understandingly’ (lkwakka), an issue that combined two strands of thought, measuring competency, and governing the acceptable channels along which competence was directed. The concepts of a:ko:n and twai:i encountered in
Chapter 5c (163), in addition to evaluating the attractiveness of traits, were used to discuss aptitude and performance. Evaluations of actions (done ‘nicely’ or ‘sweetly’) or of traits (that were good or handsome) made reference to expertise and skill, as with pots (LL.VIII.23.8081) or karosses (LL.II.14.1336-1337) that had been made or worked ‘nicely’. They also denoted competence in subsistence practices; the husband in the widow’s story lost his life because the hunters did not shoot ‘nicely’ (twai:ĩ) or look ‘well’ (a:kon, LL.II.13.1309), while adults enjoined children to treat locusts ‘nicely’ or well (instead of lk”werre, ‘playing’ with them, see glossary k”e:nk”e:n:397) when processing them (LL.V.22.5720; LL.VIII.7.6630-6638). These evaluations described a wide range of activities: the Early-Race Caracal played the ‘kummi’72 ‘touchingly’ (ıho, Glossary:452) or sweetly, and even more outré abilities, such as (the Early-Race character) Koro-tuitan’s (Glossary:396) magical strategies of obtaining Bushman rice (ıxe:, Glossary:451; LL.VIII.10.6885-6940), were described in these terms. A:kon and twai:ĩ were implicated in the construction of amicable and desirable social relationships, in contemporary situations and Early-Race times. The Caracal’s skilled, sweet kummi playing was the reason the anteater considered her as a ‘heart’s child’ (LL.V.6.4345-4356), and the love of the widow for her deceased husband was tied to his ‘sweet’ behaviour (LL.II.14.1315). Similar concepts structured relationships with non-humans: springbok would run ‘nicely’ between the hunters (LL.II.36.3255) if the latter treated them correctly, while inappropriate relationships set up by transgressions of inanna-se behaviours would not be ‘nice’

---

72 A musical bow played by women (LL.V.6.4348), striking it with a feather or the thumb and finger (LL.V.6.4376)
Kin relations, ritual identities, and subsistence roles all demanded performances involving skills that could be described in these terms, marking out people who fulfilled them in an ‘understanding’ fashion, and referring directly to positively valued relationships this created.

However, capacities for successful, competent action cannot in isolation define ‘understanding’: other attributes also connoted efficacy. ‘Cunningness’ (ǃkhwi, Glossary:426) provides a useful example here, as while the two ǀXam terms may sometimes be glossed with the same English translation (clever), the contexts of their use suggest that their emic connotations were distinct. Superficially, the two both denoted individuals displaying their mastery of certain beneficial techniques. Thus, in one of the many narratives in which ǀkaggǝn instigates conflict (LL.II.9.966-978), the cat (ǀgwattǝn, Glossary:442, Felis sylvestris cafra or F. nigrepes) triumphs in his fight with ǀkaggǝn by displaying ‘cunning’ behaviour; drawing on his virtuoso skills in flight and transformation, ǀkaggǝn then escaped from the very paws of his captor, demonstrating his own ‘cunning’ (LL.II.10.1046). Animal hunting behaviours also manifested this trait; the lynx evaded the hunter by doubling back ‘cunningly’ (LL.II.21.1911) and the jackal’s ‘cunning’ behaviour allowed him to escape the dogs, overcoming even their superior perceptive skills (LL.II.15.1481-1482).

These displays of competence grouped ‘cunningness’ with understanding, and they were occasionally directly linked with one another (e.g. LL.II.35.3239-3240). However, while not mutually exclusive, there was a crucial difference between them; where ‘understanding’ behaviour was associated directly with a:kǝn and
twai:ĩ (LL.II.4.487; LL.II.11.1111; LL.V.11.4857) evaluations, ‘cunning’ performances were not. ‘Cunningness’ was not a wholly negative attribute, as its deployment allowed agents to achieve their aims successfully, and it could just as well be present in people as beasts-of-prey (LL.V.12.4964). However, it certainly was not unambiguous, demonstrated succinctly by its strong ties with the jackal, a nocturnal thief (whose victims included its ‘fellows’, other beasts-of-prey, LL.II.19.1711-1712) and a glutton who loved fat (LL.II.15.1477-1478), both of which violated the correct treatment of comestible resources (Chapter 6b:183). Cunningness was consistently connected with interactions between agents that involved contestation and antagonism, specifically those involving deception; attempts to ‘cheat’ were highly antagonistic in !Xam thought, as with !kaggǝn’s attempts to cheat or deceive the man who shot an eland (LL.V.6.4429-4430). Although deception was implicated in certain aspects of human hunting (daudáu-ka-ǃk’e, Glossary:393), it was generally not considered as a characteristic of ‘nice’ actions: lying, in particular, was explicitly contrasted with talking ‘nicely’ (LL.V.18.5383-5384), and in the Early-Race narrative of !koːm:-ta-hî: (Glossary:428), a deception regarding his ‘nice’ treatment led directly to the game become ‘fearful’ and difficult to hunt (LL.V.19.5457-5477). These more general negative associations of deception contributed to the ambiguity of ‘cunningness’ in comparison with understanding.

More crucially, the two terms differed in their relationship with !gebbi (Glossary:403), or ‘foolishness’. Cunningness did not preclude foolishness: a man who was cunning ‘on his other side’ might still think foolish thoughts
Understanding was antithetical to foolishness: a foolish person was one who did not understand (LL.II.36.3293; LL.V.8.4602-4603). Referring occasionally to inappropriate choices in the performance of some task (e.g. the Early-Race brothers who used ‘soft’ ostrich bones for clubs, LL.VIII.18.7582), foolishness related more commonly to moral rectitude and social responsibility than to competence. Thus, the Early-Race youth who used !kūi-se-!khwi-ǃku as a dog was behaving foolishly (despite the fact that it allowed him to hunt successfully) because he lied to his relatives about how he obtained him (LL.II.30.2743); invoking ‘respectful’ behaviour (Chapter 6b:193), this youth placed his acquisition of the ‘dog’ in the context of his social relationships⁷³, misrepresenting them as he did so (LL.II.30.2757). More extreme types of morally and socially abhorrent behaviour, such as the willingness of lions to kill and eat one another (LL.II.16.1552), also manifested a lack of understanding; just like !gu: and !haue-ta-ǃhou (Glossary:417, 421; their predecessors in Early-Race narratives), lions that did not lack cunningness in hunting disregarded social norms and were consequently foolish. These actions resulted from having ‘closed thinking-strings’ (LL.II.30.2754). !kaulkauγan (Glossary:443), or ‘thinking strings’ represented the |Xam idiom for thoughts in general (Skotnes, 1991; LL.II.6.710), and, more particularly, the thoughts of people (LL.V.10.4783) or animals (LL.V.13.5038-5039) that understood, and were integral to, the production of ‘ordered’ persons, acting appropriately and in accordance with the norms of their identities: when the Early-Race Caracal transformed into a beast-

⁷³ Dogs were exchanged as part of on-going reciprocal relationships (Chapter 7d:278).
of-prey, she described her alienation from her previous social relationships as the ‘falling down’ of her thinking-strings (LL.VIII.27.8407). This alienation stemmed from an increasing violence directed towards her kin, a common consequence of this loss of propriety: people linked \lxarran\xarran’s desire to cause harm with her thinking-strings ‘going astray’ (LL.V.23.5869-5871) and the illness-causing actions of the \lnu-ka-!k’e were motivated by their loss of their thinking strings (LL.VIII.26.8310’-8311).

Propensities for harming people, then, were bound up with poor ‘understanding’: lions that killed people were ones that ‘did not understand’ (LL.VIII.23.8074’). Fighting (or its motivation, anger) was an apt demonstration of foolishness, seen in the criticisms made by the ichneumon regarding \lkagg’on’s foolishly seeking of fights, or ‘teasing’ (LL.II.33.3028; LL.VIII.3.6246-6247), and conflict in general was portrayed as non-\a:kǝn in the opposition between asking ‘nicely’ and quarrelling in the story of \lgwa\-\!
untu (Glossary:419, LL.VIII.5.6425-6438). Anger was a more nuanced construct than this statement suggests, and itself was not fundamentally negative; it was inappropiate anger that was a problem. Propriety here referred to the target of the anger, which if directed towards antisocial forces was not censured. Indeed, the concept of \sswatt-\sswatten (LL.II.26.2352’) or ‘speaking angrily’74, demonstrates that it could even represent a meritorious response; this angry, rough speech was employed to deal with lions, frightening them off (LL.II.17.1567’), a skill very much associated with ‘understanding’ behaviour. Anger directed towards one’s fellows, however, was

---

74 Not in the Dictionary.
equated with childishness, and consequently with poorly developed understandings (WB.XXIII.2204; Chapter 6a:178). Negative connotations of anger complicated the relationship between cowardice and bravery, which for the \|Xam was not a simple duality. Hunting success was partly attributable to a lack of fear: a brave man was a literal \textit{\textbf{\|hou\text{-}\textbf{kwi}}} (Glossary:405) or ‘bow-man’ (LL.VIII.5.6481; LL.VIII.25.8247; pl. \textit{\textbf{\|hou\text{\k}an\|hou\text{\k}an\text{-}\text{\k}e}}, proficient in the acquisition of game, while a \textit{\textbf{\|kerre\text{-}gwai}} (Glossary:408) or ‘unsuccessfully catching male’ (LL.II.21.1889) was timid (Bleek, 1956:309). Proficiency in bow and arrow use was highly valued, determining the ability to fulfil the provisioning obligations (Chapter 6b:185, 186) associated with masculine social responsibility: the man who shot well would live, while he who shot badly would die (LL.II.20.1177'). Unsurprisingly then, bravery often manifested itself as a positive trait: the Early-Race Leopard was successful in his suite for the Rhinoceros’ daughter’s hand in marriage because he was a ‘bow-man’ rather than a \textit{\textbf{\|i\text{-}sa}} (coward, LL.VIII.5.6481-6482). It was a trait that people hoped to inculcate, refusing to feed children jackal hearts specifically because this might cause them to become cowards (Chapter 5b:152; LL.VIII.16.7457). A similar term was \textit{\textbf{\|kwerre}} (Glossary,453; Bleek, 1956:667), for a person who was a bad shot or was not ‘strong’ (LL.II.35.3183'); those who did not know how to deal properly with subsistence resources (LL.VIII.7.6671-6672) were weaklings or ‘feebleminded’ (‘\textit{\textbf{\textit{swach}}}’ LL.VIII.7.6670'; Afr. \textit{\textbf{swak}}, weak, infirm, delicate, feeble) rather than sensible, or strong. On the basis of skill in resource acquisition, a marked, strong
opposition between the understanding, successful, brave !hou-ǃkwi and the li-ːsa or ǂkwerre appears to have dominated the construction of ‘bravery’.

However, ‘bow-men’ were not presented in an unequivocally positive light, as their prototypical masculinity encompassed intensely negative attributes that had to be controlled. Anger was, generically, a masculine trait, with tukan-di, often glossed as ‘angry actions’ more literally translating as ‘male actions’ (Bleek, 1956:241). An alternative translation for !hou-ǃkwi was ‘angry man’ (LL.II.21.1920), and Tsatsi (ǀhanǂkass’o’s maternal grandfather, and a !hou-ǃkwi, LL.VIII.15.7375½) embodied these elements of the role. He was an impatient and ‘passionate’ man (LL.VIII.28.8464-8465), both traits connected with anger: !kwāːː-a (the word translated as passionate here) commonly referred to wrath (Bleek, 1956:457, see glossary laː:402), and the antithesis of the patient man was one who became ‘quickly angry’ (LL.V.14.5083’). ǀhanǂkass’o described him as a man who ‘talked to the lion’, reiterated and strengthened links both with violence and with foolishness; lions that lacked understanding (i.e. killed and ate people) were also described as ‘angry men’ (LL.II.17.1598). He shot and killed his third wife ǀgai-an, a stereotyped form of violent ‘angry action’ in !Xam communities, with informants on several occasions referring to men who shot at their wives as ‘angry’ husbands (LL.III.1.485’): this characterisation introduced parallel ambiguities in the status of bows and arrows that, though vital in subsistence, could become deadly when misused by people displaying inappropriate social attitudes. Despite his shortcomings, the coward was emphatically a man whose heart was ‘mild’ rather than angry (LL.II.34.3130’). As inappropriate social
behaviour demonstrated ‘foolishness’, and inappropriate anger was the quintessence of inappropriate sociality, the connection between bravery and anger (though crucial in effective hunting) entailed that, from another perspective, the opposite of bravery was also the opposite of foolishness: a man who was afraid, though not particularly admirable, might be considered wise (WB.XXIII.2203).

Socially desirable states of understanding were inculcated through narrative transmission. Talking could drive away foolishness (LL.II.30.2764), and even ‘foolish’ Early-Race lions talked to their children to inculcate the understanding necessary for them to hunt springbok (LL.II.11.1111). Giving and receiving stories had strong positive connotations in general (LL.II.22.2001), linked with the markedly social ‘visiting’ practices undertaken after successful hunts; people met ‘laughing’, recounting their hunts, bringing meat, and dancing. In this context, narratives were sought, with people questioning each other to acquire stories they had thus far ‘avoided’ (LL.V.18.5373). Similarly, when a man brought back many ostrich eggs, people would sit together and talk ‘in peace’ (LL.II.22.2028). Being ‘at peace’ had a wider positive applicability, connected with the desirable ‘greenness’ of new growth after rain and the shade of the clouds that brought this vital resource (LL.II.24.2226), and opposed to conditions of fear, darkness, and solitude (LL.II.30.2711). Sitting in company and talking was linked with surfeits of desirable foodstuffs and an absence of quarrelling, mirroring at a larger scale the ‘cooling down’ in the aftermath of fights, when antagonists went to sit among their own people and talk (LL.II.36.3268). Narrative transfer in these calm, non-discordant settings reinforced an association between them and the
proper, ‘understanding’ sociality they inculcated, clearly opposing the foolish anger of inappropriate social behaviour: telling inappropriate stories, as with \l\kag\gon’s ‘story which was foul’ (LL.VII.2.6195; kwai-i, unpleasant, weak, nasty, Bleek, 1956:329), provoked the opposite of this pleasant atmosphere and was explicitly marked as one of his ‘tricks’ (i.e. a foolish action).

Issues of comprehension defined emic classifications of narrative types, with specific information defining correct action obtained in everyday intercourse and in the ‘getting’ of more formal narratives. Information was sought from individuals who had already mastered the particular skills desired: !\nu\i-kuit\on (a !\gi:\xa) could teach his ‘doings’ and songs (LL.V.15.5099) and Natta, ‘understanding’ the calls of partridges and springbok ewes, could teach the accompaniments sung in the \ie\eb\bi-gu dance (LL.V.25.6006-6007). Although narratives were referenced with a single term, at least two categories were differentiated based on their content; old/great and little stories75. The former were instructive stories: \han\ik\as'o spoke about receiving stories in educative circumstances when he was a child (LL.VII.14.7251), and teaching through narratives produced responsible, adult members of society (LL.VIII.26.8308’). Where ‘cleverness’ connoted acting as a ‘grown-up’ person (LL.V.2.3848-3849; LL.V.11.4857), rather than pursuing ‘foolish actions’, the term used is \lk\a\ka\ka rather than \kh\vi:, creating a connection becoming understanding, and ‘growing up’ through internalising requisite information from stories over time

75 Cross-cutting the tripartite division I have been employing: Early-Race, legendary and personal accounts all potentially contained ‘great’ information. ‘Great stories’ were not a specific corpus, but rather represented attempts to present certain information or interpretations as authoritative.
(LL.II.9.989-990); this implies a link between youth and foolishness to be rectified by education, accepting definitions of authoritative stories from elders.

‘Great’ stories combined ethological observations required for successful foraging, information about dangers and potential pitfalls, and advice regarding correct !nanna-se behaviours: a person might be equally exhorted to avoid calling the lion’s name in a ‘disrespectful’ manner (LL.II.21.1916-1917) as to lie watching for the lion that came at night (LL.II.17.1566-1568). Sometimes, narratives combined these elements, describing the behavioural and physiological traits that structured appropriate hunting actions and delineating safe consumption rules (e.g. LL.V.6.4404-4405). Dia!kwain’s discussion of the !kwe: state (Glossary:432; orphan; LL.V.6.4410'-4413’) provides a compelling illustration of the relationship between narrative transmission and the development of vital skills. He classified orpancy as a ‘great thing’ (i.e. an important concern), a state of potentially deadly deprivation: !kwe itself translated literally as poor or lean person (Bleek, 1956:463). Dia!kwain stressed that it was unlikely that other relations would be able or willing to support them (LL.V.6.4410’), thus emphasising the need for children to develop independent subsistence skills by paying attention to ‘great’ stories. The transmission of these stories was bound up with notions of authority, suggesting that information conveyed by certain people (‘understanding’ people) was to be accepted: !khôu (to instruct, Bleek, 1956:576), used in the context of transmission of information from an old woman (negating the ‘stupidity’ of childhood, LL.VI.2.4032), had in its Afrikaans gloss (‘orden’, Afr. to ordain, regulate) authoritative connotations. Understanding was developed
not only from having heard the requisite information, but by ‘agreement’ with it: this agreement (an implicit acceptance of the authority of her teachers) allowed Ttai-tchuen to deploy her understanding successfully, and escape from a lion (LL.V.12.4937-4938).

Such acceptance was not uncontested, and Dia!kwain’s mother’s reprimand for his treatment of her stories as ‘small’ (he had disobeyed her injunction on ‘playing with’ locusts, LL.V.22.5725-5726) demonstrates this disputed presentation. Locusts were an important component of subsistence strategies, and also potential sources of danger (as mechanisms whereby anti-social !gi:ton could send illness, LL.V.22.5719): Dia!kwain’s mother emphasised that ill-treatment of them would have negative effects both for Dia!kwain himself and for those with whom he shared social relationships, a theme much in evidence in other narratives detailing the acceptance of information, such as those relating to the behaviour of the New Maiden (e.g. LL.V.20.5613-5614). |Xam socialisation of children revolved around the inculcation of competence within the context of correct relationships with others. Salient in this socialisation were issues relating to resources, the acquisition of food, its distribution, and consumption. It is sometimes difficult to reach |Xam judgement through translator input\(^76\), but these concerns were returned to repeatedly, by the whole range of narrators. Understanding was linked fundamentally with food acquisition: even infants were ‘understanding’ insofar as they looked to their mothers’ breasts for milk, and children grew up as they

\(^76\) Notes on people who ‘threw away’ their wives (LL.III.1.506), or commentary on brother-sister incest given with ‘disapprobation’ (LL.III.1.488’), are suggestive of moral judgement, but without accompanying |Xam translations, it is difficult to assess their significance.
became ‘understanding’ in seeking flesh (LL.II.9.991), and eventually developed appropriate adult identities, bring food to their own children. Issues of consumption underpinned a general sense of responsible adulthood, more specific roles linked with age and gender, and agency in ‘ritual’ domains, and it is with these issues that the following section is concerned.
Chapter 6b: Behaving ‘nicely’

Issues of consumption were integral to !Xam identities (Chapter 5b:148): equally, regulation of appetite and control of food intake was of prime social concern. ‘Monstrous’ consumption, recurrent in Early-Race stories, portrayed dramatically the negative consequences of inappropriate indulgence of appetite. !khwai-hem, the consummate, indiscriminate ‘devourer’, represented the most overt example, with his visits ending in disaster as he ate up all the available food, personal possessions, and (eventually) entire communities (LL.II.34.3137-3138). !nu!numma!kwitǝn (Glossary:437; White-Mouth, LL.VIII.29.8554’), a bogeyman used to frighten crying children into silence, was portrayed as a monster that would ‘swallow them down’ (LL.VIII.29.8559-8560). At a less exaggerated level, Early-Race beasts-of-prey were connected with over-consumption, swallowing down their food or choosing to eat inappropriate things: the !kái-se-!khwi-!ku wanted to ‘swallow down’ people (LL.II.30.2695-2696), and !gu: and !haue-ta-hou would also eat people if the opportunity presented itself (LL.VIII.32.8797’). !kaggǝn’s inability to control his appetites provided the tension that drove several narratives and was emblematic of his foolishness, as seen in his disastrous repeated consumption of the eggs of the struthious Early-
Race character ǃkakan-ǃkaka-ǃk'au (Glossary:421; LL.II.6.667-615; LL.II.7.716-737).

Conversely, displays of control and restraint when eating were commendable: the desirability of the Early-Race Caracal was enhanced by her moderate habits of consumption as a handsome !xau: (Glossary:438), or ‘small-eater’ (LL.V.3.4351)\(^{77}\). Avoiding monopolising access to fat (LL.II.3.419), the ‘small-eater’ existed in diametric opposition to the !gwunni (Glossary:421; greedy person, Bleek, 1956:393), applied to animals (e.g. jackals, LL.II.15.1477) and people (LL.II.14.1319) noted for generally excessive consumption. In the widow’s story, the !gwunni represented a rhetorical construct embodying her potential fate (after re-marriage), and exhibiting a suite of undesirable social traits (anger, ugliness, and selfishness) that contrasted with her deceased husband, who was sweet (twai:ĩ) to her. This !kwi-a-xarra, (Glossary:432; ‘man that (was) different’) or !kõ!kõiq (Glossary:427; ‘bad man’), she emphasised, would beat her children, as they were not his own (LL.II.14.1317), and would eat up the desirable a:kǝn food (especially fat) on the hunting ground, bringing only hard, ugly flesh to the house (LL.II.14.1319-1322).

Issues of the regulation of consumption were thus implicated as necessary for proper food distribution: by limiting one’s own consumption one was able to fulfil obligations to distribute or provision. People who did not properly distribute were

---

\(^{77}\) Based partly on ethological observation; Dia!kwain noted that an antelope would decay before the caracal could completely consume it (LL.V.3.4349; Estes, 1991:365)
called ‘hyenas’ (ǃgwai), or if they did not share meat, ‘decayed-arms’ (ǃk"wakan-ǁkũŋ, Glossary:436; LL.II.20.1793’; Bleek and Lloyd, 1911:125; Lewis-Williams and Biesekele, 1978:130): this latter also applied to ǃgi:tǝn who did not allow free access to resources they controlled (LL.VIII.7.6638). After Korotuitǝn taught ǀkaggǝn ‘nicely’ about gathering tactics (LL.VIII.10.6901), he enjoined ǀkaggǝn to divide the resources he acquired as a result of the teachings (LL.VIII.10.6923); ǀkaggǝn’s inevitable refusal incurred Korotuitǝn’s anger, a narrative dynamic pointing to wider tensions in ǀXam thought about obligations to share resources. Improper distribution was characteristic of the foolish, aggressive Early-Race predators, particularly in their relationships with other predators: the hyena’s attempt to kill the lion was motivated by the lion’s refusal to distribute (LL.II.2.362), and the Early-Race lion that ‘refused to give’ (ǃkoe) food to his companion was punished for it (WB.XXVI.2487-2492). An associated reverso note (WB.XXVI.2490’) comments that this ǃkoe (not in the Dictionary) also denoted refusals to accept pieces of food that were too small. The sharing of food thus appears as a contested domain, in which it was equally possible to attempt to secure exclusive access to food and to comment on a person’s ‘stinginess’ in distribution.

Sharing was the habit of the socially responsible Bushman, who ‘did nicely’ with food: even ‘poor people’ rendered down little pieces of fat to share them with their fellows (LL.VIII.12.7108’-7109’). The ǃgwunni’s marked preference for fat (LL.II.14.1319), then, represented a severe breach of proper sociality,

78 Given the characterisation of hyenas (Chapter 5a:135), a strongly negative evaluation of insufficient distribution.
underpinning the negative depictions of such characters. Distribution of food was governed by complex rules, incorporating respect behaviours (Chapter 6b:192-193), and influenced by social relationships: female porcupines were given to the father-in-law of the hunter and (once cooked) divided by affinal, consanguinal, and residency ties (LL.VIII.16.7399, 7399’-7401’). Sharing rules applied primarily (but not only) to meat: *main* roots (LL.VIII.18.7554’) were shared with \( \text{ka:gon} \) ‘mates’ (lit. plural of woman, Bleek, 1956:296, but commonly applied by married individuals to one other). The widow’s depiction of the ‘greedy man’ presented food distribution as a fundamental component of conjugal (and parental) relationships, provisioning one’s wife and children with meat and fat. Parallel ideas in Northern Bushman groups are well-known; Juǀʼhoansi women demand meat as a ‘social right’ (Biesele, 1993:187-188), and may leave their husbands to marry elsewhere if it is not forthcoming. For theǀXam, this shared food was mobilised as integral to other familial relationships; *k”aise*, commonly translated as ‘to bring up, look after’ (Bleek, 1956:118), might also be translated as to share food (LL.II.14.1327).

However, the importance of meat sharing in conjugal relationships can be seen clearly in the *ñuturu* (Glossary:453) narrative (LL.VIII.9.6786-6857). In this Early-Race story, the beautiful *ñuturu*-weevil was given springbok meat to eat by men, who thereby neglected their wives (LL.VIII.9.6802-6805). Feeling that they ‘married’ the *ñuturu*, the men dipped up water for her, brought her firewood, and gave her the fattest portions of their kills: the custom of giving shares of the ‘best part of the meat’ was considered (by Flat Bushmen) as a *sine qua non* for being...
married (LL.VIII.6.6590'-6594'). Given the connotations of similar exchanges of meat in Northern Bushman societies (Bieseie, 1993), it is tempting to read this ‘marriage’ as the imposition of Victorian reticence (Guenther, 1989, 1999) over a description of sexual relationships; whether the apparent disinclination to discuss matters sexual is the result of translator editing, the relationship between informant and translator, or even differences between Northern and Southern Bushman societies, the narrative themes make clear the connection between ‘giving meat’ and ‘being married’. The story concludes with the men being chastised for their foolish doings, cementing an association between displaying ‘understanding’, the successful acquisition of resources, and the deployment of these resources to construct specific relationships.

Notions concerning the appropriate sharing of food between other related individuals arose above, in discussing the ‘orphan state’; Diaǃkwain believed that relatives would drive away orphans, who should not hope to rely on their assistance. The widow’s story reinforces this theme, emphasising the importance of this provisioning: her mother-in-law stressed that she must not (from grief) neglect her obligation to acquire food resources for her children (LL.II.13.1254). This story did not, however, present extended family assistance as unfeasible, suggesting instead that skilled, moral persons (i.e. understanding people) might accept responsibility for care, when confronted with an eloquent plea for aid79. Such dependencies were not characterised as specifically ‘female’ problems, with loss of either parent necessitating the mobilisation of ‘social aid’ networks: after

---

79 These children were not orphans, however; the effort their mother expended acquiring aid underscores Diaǃkwain’s message.
the death of his wife, Dia!kwain called on his niece ǁkuan to wean his child (LL.V.9.4671'). In some cases, sufficiently skilled providers might take on these responsibilities even in the absence of such pleas; ǁkabbo, when he was a young man who was ‘quick to run shooting’ (LL.II.32.2902), was able to raise his orphaned niece after the death of his brother and sister-in-law. Having returned to her father’s place (LL.II.13.1259), the widow’s !kw’-a-ǃxarra rhetoric was designed as an appeal to her brothers to assist her. She exhorted them to fulfil the role of her husband in feeding her children a:kon meat (LL.II.14.1322-1323), stressing their relatedness (LL.II.14.1329) and telling them that she considered them to be the children’s !kōŋ-gu (Glossary:427). This relationship was invoked to obtain access to food and to the knowledge and material culture necessary for the children’s long-term ability to acquire resources (for their mother, as well as themselves): she asked that her brothers make !gwaṭaught-xaukun80 for her children. The widow emphasised that as part of this education the children could also provide valuable assistance for their uncles, in driving the springbok for them (LL.II.14.1325), introducing a negotiated element, and at least some conception of ‘exchange’ and of mutuality of responsibilities in the establishment of social relationships.

Themes of responsibility were fundamental to relationships in general, not only in issues of provisioning and not only between human persons. A good example of this can be seen in the role of the !xoe-s’o-ǃk’e (Glossary:439; LL.II.32.2890’), or

80 Literally “arrowhead’s children”: ‘children of’ was used to denote superlative diminutiveness (LL.VIII.22.7980). This may be connected with !gwaṛa-ǃpwa, arrows designed for hunting springbok (Chapter 7d:269)
‘owners’ of places. This term referred to their ‘possession’ of certain locales but did not employ the usual -ka possessive, using instead a distinctive form recalling that of the Early-Race people (ǂxwe-lna-s’o-ǃk’e). The use of s’o suggests a more literal translation as people ‘who sit/remain at a place’ (Bleek, 1956:171), or more idiomatically, ‘dwellers’ or ‘inhabitants’ (Ingold, 1993, 2008:1808). These individuals inherited rights to use water sources and to occupy the ‘old houses’ near the water, as well as rights of access to ‘emplaced’ food resources such as bees’ nests (LL.II.14.1360-1363) and ostrich eggs (LL.II.22.2029’). ǃkabbo (LL.II.32.2894-2896) described a desire to ‘work nicely’ (‘putting in order’) the water-pits that he possessed, which contrasted with the current occupation of his water-pits by ‘different people’. His own inhabitation of the pits was part of the proper social order, and transfers of this ownership along consanguineal family lines transmitted this occupation ‘properly’ (LL.II.13.1300): while he lived there, his (adult, married) children came to live with him, allowing his grandchildren to grow up understandingly (LL.II.32.2897-2898). Not made explicit in translation, the terms with which ǃkabbo discussed his relationship with these ‘owned’ places suggest a conceptual connection between understanding behaviours and ‘responsibilities’ in maintaining this appropriate form of occupation (transferred to him from his brother).

The brother with whom the widow went to live had stayed with his mother (bringing his wife with him), and cast the widow’s choice to live with her in-laws
in a negative light. He portrayed his (and his wife’s) choice of residence as a result of their possession of several positively-evaluated traits. Unlike her relatives, his wife was ǂgou (Glossary:452, ‘still’ or peaceful) rather than daçaṙran (Glossary:392, ‘wild’). She did not eat ‘strongly’ (excessively), leaving food even when still hungry, and worked ‘things’ (made karosses) nicely. These traits underpinned their ability to help raise additional dependants (LL.II.14.1336-1338), and the widow’s misfortunes were thus presented as the result (in part) of moral failings: this same brother criticised the widow’s deceased husband’s unwillingness to remain ‘quietly’ with his in-laws as a contributing factor in his death (LL.II.13.1289). The adoption emerges as a negotiation between increased hunting demands on the brother, and the duties demanded of his female relations in return. He stressed the extra burden placed upon him, now initiating his hunting early in the morning because he knew the children were ‘crying with hunger’ (LL.II.14.1339-1343). As a proviso to this comment, he placed an obligation on his sister to work in exchange for his provisioning, asking her to assist his wife in making karosses. For her part, the widow reversed this obligation, casting clothing (and other equipment) manufacture as an entitlement to portions of ‘good things’ (LL.II.14.1347). She emphasised hunting success as dependent on an alliance between masculine and feminine activity, with even indirect participation being vital to the success of the operation, as women by dressing skins ‘nicely’ (making arrow-sacks) kept the fletching dry and thus allowed arrows to fly ‘nicely’ and kill springbok (LL.II.14.1348).

---

81 Not a general admonition for matrilocal residence, though there was a preference for this (immediately following marriage) for a period that varied with personal choice (LL.II.13.1290', 1292; Hewitt, 2008 [1986]).
‘Nice’ behaviour was, then, implicated in relationships, used in critical statements aimed at motivating or compelling people to act in certain ways by portraying specific actions as coherent with (or central to) the performance of certain roles. Positive traits could be mobilised to achieve desirable ends; a man with a ‘good’ heart could address the rain (LL.VIII.26.8305), invoking his moral superiority to prevent it from shooting lightning at people, by telling the rain that it should be ‘ashamed’ (Chapter 6b:193) of letting women see its !khweiton. In other instances, ‘nice’ behaviour determined successful action, as when exhorting women to shave ‘nicely’ their husbands’ heads to make the springbok come straight up to them (LL.VIII.14.7286), given in consequence of !hanǃkass’o’s evaluation of their failure in their duty to scold the children for lapses in !nanna-se behaviour: the ‘nice’ treatment was aimed at expiating their lapse. When opposing the ‘nicety’ of the behaviour of children versus women in driving the springbok toward hunters (LL.VIII.14.7231), !hanǃkass’o similarly combined notions of ‘nice’ behaviour, successful action, and the integration of this action within a positively-valued social identity. Stating that women would sit talking until the sun was warm (when the springboks disperse) while children would go out in the cold, he suggested that the children (usually associated with poor understanding) behaved ‘nicely’, attempting to induce the women to behave in a similar fashion (LL.VIII.14.7234). These !nanna-se strictures (Chapter 5c:162; see below, 191-193) formed one of the major avenues whereby people attempted to exert proscriptive control over others’ actions, especially those of hunters and

---

82 Left untranslated in the text; probably ‘penis’ (Bleek, 1956:431)
New Maidens. Adherence to !nanna-se was portrayed as fundamental to the successful enactment of these roles, and, as both had considerable impacts on society in general, this had significance for all: hunter failures in compliance resulted in game animal escaping (LL.V.17.5270) or becoming lean (LL.V.18.5360; Chapter 5c:162). The transgressions of other members of !Xam society could have similarly far-reaching effects; if girls (LL.V.6.4377') failed to show the porcupine sufficient respect (calling it !gauux, rather than using the respect-name !khogon), the animal would not leave its hole, and the treatment of animal bones in accordance with !nanna-se rules (followed by all members of !Xam society) was necessary for hunting success (LL.VIII.14.7257'). Widespread imposition of !nanna-se strictures forced all group members to participate in this success, thus subjecting them all (to differing degrees) to similar pressures for compliance.

Respect behaviour was applicable in establishing favourable terms for hunting as part of a more general regulation of interactions between non-human and human persons: people addressed the moon in accordance with these rules (LL.VIII.28.8442), and the New Maiden’s placatory interactions with !khwa: were also phrased with the term (LL.V.13.4986). If children transgressed the strictures, lions were believed to attack them when they were grown-up (LL.II.21.1920-1923), waiting to see whether the children had become ‘angry folk’ (!houkon!houkon-!k’e) like the lions themselves. Here, !nanna-se beliefs directed not only childhood behaviours, but also acted as a continuing exhortation to behave in particular ways as a ‘grown-up’ person, a theme repeated in the
deployment of respectful attitudes as coherent with general ‘understanding’ personae. Understanding behaviour displayed by Ttai-tchuen (LL.V.12.4937-4938) in her encounter with a lioness (remaining still and not struggling) meant that, although the lioness sought an excuse to kill her, she escaped unharmed. This ‘still’, quiet behaviour avoided angering the lioness with disrespectful actions, setting up a ‘proper’ social relationship that facilitated her escape. Although this adherence to respectful behaviour was not discussed in terms of widespread social impacts (as with hunter or New Maidens), the framing of the narrative embedded her actions in a wider context, attributing her success to her obedience to her parents’ instructions, reinforcing the opposition between children and elders in the construction of ‘understanding people’.

In dealing with non-human forces, close relationships were maintained between notions of ‘fearing’ and those of ‘respecting’: avoidance of the name of the lion was described with both !húmmi (to fear, Bleek, 1956:400) and !nanna-se (LL.V.7.4488'). This link with fear had a basis in the consequences of transgression: Xwerri-k"au’s eating of prohibited portions of food and not ‘respecting’ (here translating !húmmi) the lion’s name (LL.V.8.4574-4610) led to severe injuries in a lion attack, while other destructive ‘magic power’ might cause deadly illnesses if not respected or ‘feared’ (LL.V.20.5544-5545). Xwerri-k"au’s transgressions set up inappropriate relationships between him and other animals; the lion that lay in wait for him and the game that led him ‘obstinately’ toward this ambushing predator were reciprocating his own improper behaviour. Conversely, non-human persons might themselves act inappropriately by
transgressing respectful norms: ǁhanǂkass’o (LL.VIII.16.7376-7377”) described a porcupine that dug its way out of the burrow to escape the hunters as ǁkwakken-ǁkun (i.e. failing an obligation to distribute), implying that in behaving correctly toward the porcupine, the hunters required it to ‘give’ itself to them. The failure of the porcupine to do so marked a transgression somewhere in the relationship; ǁhanǂkass’o chastised the women at home for allowing children to break porcupine bones, on the principle that some incorrect (human) behaviour may have entitled the porcupine to an escape attempt. ǀkaggǝn’s involvements in !nanna-se practices regarding his ‘beloved’ antelope (eland, LL.V.6.4411-4434; red hartebeest, LL.V.17.5289-5290) were also based on this principle. Taking the form of a louse or a puffadder, ǀkaggǝn would attempt (by pinching or frightening) to get the hunter to stir, a form of disrespectful action that would allow the game to escape.

Two major themes are apparent in the deployment of !nanna-se beliefs; firstly, that they represented a means whereby humans could maintain desirable social relations with non-human populations, acquiring resources and avoiding debilitating or deadly accidents, and secondly (given the desirability of these correct relationships) that they could be deployed in a prescriptive way in the production of specific roles (successful hunters, responsible New Maidens).

!nanna-se ‘respect’ behaviours dealt primarily with non-human contacts, but !k”werri:tan (Glossary:436, show respect, or be ashamed, Bleek, 1956:510) was a common alternative. It appeared primarily in reference to relationships with human persons, especially kin, being applied to mothers- and fathers-in-law, to brothers-in-law (LL.II.30.2756), and to affinal kin in general (LL.VIII.27.8435”).
As with ‘non-human’ respect behaviours\(^83\), it manifested itself in avoidance practices: !han!kass’o noted that (sometimes) men might not address their fathers-in-law (LL.VIII.18.7615’; LL.VIII.32.8844), or conversely that they sometimes should address their fathers-in-law rather than their mothers-in-law (LL.VIII.32.8843). This connection is reiterated in the occasional use of !k"werri:ton in a manner reminiscent of !nanna-se. !gi:ton who sought to test whether children had been taught well (\(i.e.\) were acting ‘understandingly’) would look to see if they showed respect (\(!k"werri:ton\)), by not laughing at the ‘odd’ costume they wore when performing the !kǝn dance (LL.V.10.4757); the terminology (particularly the emphasis on not laughing) was identical to that employed when referencing !nanna-se practices (\(e.g.\) those involving the moon, LL.VIII.28.8442). This form of respect or ‘shame’ could also be a powerful tool in encounters with non-humans; Xwerri-k"au was able to escape his lion attack, having remembered that he had been taught that lions are ‘not a little ashamed’, by kicking it between the legs and shamed the predator (LL.V.8.4593’). Dia!kwain reported that if a baboon pulled out the arrows with which it had been shot (LL.V.20.5920-5922), or if it seized a hunting dog (LL.V.24.5953-5956), the hunters informed it that the arrows/dog belonged to a girl, causing the baboon to treat them with respect (‘become ashamed’). These practices emphasise the importance of establishing correct ‘relatedness’ between human and non-human: addressing them with terminology commonly employed in referring to kin, human

---

\(^83\) Where avoidance of names was important in avoiding unwelcome attentions.
hunters attempted to avoid potentially negative repercussions of engagement with non-human individuals.

Food acquisition and consumption were central facets in constructing the |Xam social world, and nowhere more so (at least for its masculine component) than in role of the ‘hunter’. Two of the primary traits of the socially successfully hunter were the acquisition of resources through demonstrations of skill, and the ability to restrain and control oneself (the two key themes of social responsibility in |Xam society outlined above), while the products of hunting themselves were the foci of redistribution strictures essential to the ‘performance’ of relationships. Surprisingly, given the number of narratives recounting hunting experiences, there is little reference to a term translated specifically as ‘hunters’: the epithet Lloyd glosses as ‘hunting’s-people’ (daudáu-ka-ǃk’e, lit. ‘deceiving’s people’) was used infrequently, being mentioned only in one story (‘The Ḳnuturu’, LL.VIII.9.6786-6857). The two words |Xam commonly employed in defining masculine hunting activity, (ǁkoː and Ńhen. Bleek, 1956:397, 400, 584) were both related to the idea of coming in, moving among or approaching close to something; several additional terms related to ambush hunting, lying in wait or stealthily approaching, may underpin the literal translation of daudáu-ka-ǃk’e. Coupled with the different techniques of shooting or aiming that might be employed by the hunter (Bleek, 1956:415, 468), the range of |Xam hunting terminology implies that hunting represented a domain offering the opportunity

---

84 See this glossary entry (392) for |Xam hunting terminology.
85 Congruent with the idea that cheating, cunningness, and deception represented effective techniques for dealing with antagonistic forces (which included prey species).
for demonstrations of diverse talents, and of various iterations of technical and
behavioural expertise. The !Xam made these talents the targets of deliberate
assessments; a game involving shooting arrows at a rolling ball of resin,
LL.VIII.8.6687-6687') demonstrated archery skill, and !hanika'so evaluated
!kabbo’s pitfall traps (that could capture even ostriches, LL.VIII.14.7217) as
‘surpassingly good’.

Additionally, it is worth considering similar judgements of other predators’
hunting techniques, which formed an integral part of their evaluation of these
species. The cheetah was said to !shattan (to stalk, head, go round, Bleek,
1956:494) the springbok ‘like a person’ (LL.V.21.5637) and the leopard that
‘stole up to’ or ‘lay in wait for’ prey (LL.V.21.5638'; !xwerri, Bleek, 1956:367),
just as men did when lying in wait behind bush screens (LL.II.24.2145). Both
these animals were considered handsome, behaving ‘nicely’ in their hunts
(LL.II.3.447). By contrast, hyenas were thought to kill in a cowardly or cunning
fashion (LL.II.3.446-448), stealing from other predators rather than ‘running [to]
catch’ prey (LL.II.9.926). The strategies of positively evaluated predators were
similar to those pursued by human hunters, and, combined with a reverso note
(LL.VIII.7.6610') that clarifies terms used to describe ‘handsome’ people
alongside a discussion about hunting springbok, this provides further support for
the notion that human hunting strategies were characteristically ‘handsome’ forms
of appropriate action. Even allowing that the latter connection is rather
speculative, it is clear that hunting practices represented a domain of !Xam society
eminently suitable for the demonstration of ‘understanding’, one in which specific
skills, recognised as being unequally distributed in individuals, were highly valued.

\(|Xam\) notions of ‘skill’ in the fulfilment of hunting practice were not limited to tactical strategies or physical abilities, as a wide range of behaviours embedded hunting within the context of maintaining sufficient inter-personal relationships between humans and non-humans. The Bushman hunter partook of the identity of his prey (Chapter 5a:139), an identification initiated well before beginning the physical hunting process in the form of ‘presentiments’ (\(|k”unma,\) Bleek, 1956:340) that created stirring, quivering, or tapping in the flesh. These presentiments manifested shared identity in an intimate, embodied fashion, being based in behavioural and physical attributes: black stripes along the necks and flanks of springbok were felt bodily in anticipation of the hunt (LL.II.28.2536'), when an ostrich approached scratching its neck they ‘beat’ in the corresponding place (LL.II.28.2532'), and (when foreshadowing the butchering of springbok) they echoed the blood that would run into the hollows of their knees and down their calves as the meat was carried home (LL.II.28.2540). The ability to receive information from presentiments was not reserved for hunters and hunting contexts alone. Although some applications of ‘beatings’ were connected with this field (e.g. guiding arrow choices, LL.II.28.2530'), many were of more general utility (avoiding lions or people with wagons, finding people), where they were felt in a similar ‘embodied’ way: a man might become aware of his wife returning from collecting \(veldkos\) by feeling the child slung on her back, or recognise an imminent visit from a friend by a tapping corresponding to a distinctive wound
Another kind of presentiment called *hounhoun* (presumably from *houn*, to perceive or be aware of, Bleek, 1956:64) was also embodied, making a person start up as if afraid and informing them about hidden dangers or about things happening at a distance (LL.V.19.5504').

Ways of gathering information about things that were happening at a distance (or at some later date) were not therefore restricted particularly to hunters; dreams, another method of acquiring such information, were experienced by all (*e.g.* dreaming of ‘evil things’ foreshadowing issues in gathering resources, LL.V.15.5160'-5163'). Information from dreams could be deceptive and therefore needed to be discussed and debated: Dia!kwain’s dialogue with his mother (after his dream of his father’s death LL.V.15.5131-5140) demonstrates the way in which this discussion made dream content meaningful, describing a joint decision that the dream had been a truthful one (a common pattern in memorates; Honko, 1964:15). In all of these contexts, abilities relating to long-distance or prophetic acquisition of information demonstrated understanding; ǃkabbo believed that presentiments needed to be heard and obeyed, using the same terminology as applied for listening to and understanding stories (LL.II.28.2530'), and in the dream memorate above Dia!kwain’s mother chided him for ‘disbelieving’ its information, a phrase also connected with refusals to accept ‘understanding’ interpretations (LL.V.17.5275). Although (unlike dreams) presentiments would always yield accurate information if correctly interpreted, this interpretative ability was not shared amongst all people equally, and they thereby formed

---

86 These were not even restricted to humans, as Dia!kwain noted that lions might use *hounhoun* to set up ambushes for hunters (LL.V.8.4616').
another skill that could be used to evaluate individuals’ contributions to the hunt. The ability to perceive and interpret these presentiments entailed the valorisation of certain traits in the construction of an ‘understanding’ hunter identity: in order to hear the beatings of the ‘Bushman letters’ the hunter had be a calm, patient ‘still’ man (LL.II.28.2531; Chapter 6b:189), and possession of this trait presumably added weight to presentiment interpretations.

In the widest sense, presentiments tracked the interaction of autonomous agents, whether animal or human. The mutual exploitation of presentiments in interactions that crossed species boundaries underpinned a social idiom for the interaction of hunter and prey, a characteristic that in turn demanded the rules of restraint and control necessary for hunting success. While presentiments were alerting hunters, their quarry used identity-sharing in evasion attempts: many !nanna-se practices were designed to minimise any beneficent components of identity hunters might inadvertently transfer to their prey (Chapter 5a:140). As with Northern Bushman groups (cf. Lee, 1969; L. Marshall, 1976:287-312; Wiessner, 1982), !Xam hunters were warned that dealing immodestly with the kill endangered it, even after it had been killed (LL.V.18.5368-5373): adoption of these character traits demonstrated the on-going significance of links between hunter and prey identities. A successful and skillful hunter participated in the identity of his prey (experiencing the useful physical connection with his potential victim), but maintained the ontological distance that preventing its escape. Hunters thus became a special kind of ‘controlled’ therianthrope, balancing the

87 Demonstrated by peculiar ways of walking and handling food, as well as avoidance phrasings (LL.V.21.5686-5697).
advantage of becoming like (and understanding) prey, with the danger of losing their hunter’s perspective by becoming too closely identified with their prey (Willerslev, 2007:97)
Chapter 6c: Behaving ‘differently’

The hunter’s sphere of action was the !kau:xu (Glossary:422), translated in the Dictionary as ‘hunting-ground’ (Bleek, 1956:417). Lewis-Williams (1997:199) opposes the ‘hunting-ground’ with the camp, describing it as a dangerous, unpredictable ‘anti-social’ place, while Riley (2007:292) defines it as ‘a frontier and theatre’ for constant struggles for existence, involving super-human agents: both definitions emphasise the hunting-ground as a contact zone, the setting for interactions and negotiations between human and non-human agents. The status of hunting as the primary form of these interactions highlights the integrated nature of theǀXam world, with more numinous and seemingly extra-ordinary components of theǀXam narratives being interwoven thoroughly with mundane practices and ‘pragmatic’ considerations. In the course of day-to-day life, this contiguity generated experiences reinforcing their ontological understandings: when !goo-ka-ǃkwi ‘saw the wind’ (in the form of a bird), he was busy herding sheep for his ‘master’, a Bastaard (LL.VIII.8.6713-6715), and hunting experiences were fundamental in constructing cultural understandings concerning the abilities of non-humans (Chapter 5a:137).

Although the notion of the ‘spirit world’ is almost universally deployed as a heuristic device when discussingǀXam ritual and religious life, the archive
provides little direct evidence that this reflects an emic categorisation (see Glossary, !gi:xa:415). All of the informants gave examples of encounters with a supra-normal component occurring while hunting, visiting relatives, and searching for plant foods; experiences involving agents who were encountered in !gi:tan ‘ritual’ action\(^{88}\) occurred most commonly in the context of the many subsistence practices that necessitated or occasioned interaction with them, and all members of society (including children) were required to participate in these practices, everyone engaged with non-human forces in some manner. Although !gi:tan were powerful because of their unique abilities to influence these entities (or their abilities to influence them in unique ways), their ‘spirit world’ had relevance precisely because it manifested itself in day-to-day life: the !Xam knew !gi:tan could influence !khwa: and go about in animal forms because their experiences of rainfall or encounters with animals demonstrated this (e.g. jackals behaving in unusual ways, similarly to domesticated dogs, were potentially transformed !gi:tan, LL.V.14.5060-5066). The contiguity of subsistence practices and ‘spiritual’ action was demonstrated in a substantial overlap in characteristics of the key personae involved, with the similarities between hunters and !gi:tan being particularly striking; both interacted with non-human forces central to subsistence strategies and wellbeing, both were differentiated by their possession of certain skills, and both suffered from potentially problematic identifications with the agents with whom they interacted.

\(^{88}\) Or who played important roles in ‘mythological’ narratives.
Bleek and Lloyd appear to have found !gi:tan a complex and difficult term to translate (see glossary entry, !gi:), but two mistranslations associated with the ‘magic power’ of this role are suggestive of its dimension; firstly, in a vague association between magic and moral turpitude (|kọ̀ọ́z-de being given first as ‘evil things’, LL.V.19.5528) and, secondly, a more convincing one between sorcery and ‘thinking’ (lkọṣ given as ‘memories’ or ‘thoughts’\(^{89}\), LL.V.19.5502).

The connection between thoughts and ‘understanding’ creates an association that portrays !gi:tan behaviour as a domain of skilful performance. Maintaining the basic contiguity between ritual and mundane activity, this skilful behaviour attracted the same terminology used to describe hunting or material culture production: !khwa:-ka-!gi:tan succeeded in rain making by approaching the water bull ‘nicely’ (stealthily), having worked the thong ‘nicely’ with buchu (LL.V.3.4093, 4119’-4120); fo- lớna-ka-!kwĩ specialists treated those who ‘foolishly’ dug the plant by working their hearts ‘nicely’ (LL.II.36.3323); and New Maidens placated !khwa: with ‘nice’ actions (LL.VIII.16.7427-7428). Behaving ‘nicely’ to achieve goals successfully was not restricted to a single domain, but resonated throughout the spheres of action associated with hunters, fo- lớna’s men, New Maidens, and !gi:tan. Some terminological parallels made associations between hunting and ‘spiritual’ action even more explicit. ‘Shooting’ (and its material culture) provided referents used extensively in connection with !gi:tan and the entities they hoped to engage or combat (J. Deacon, 1992): the term |xau (‘shooting with magic arrows’, Bleek 1956:363) was used only with

\(^{89}\) Links between ‘sorcery’ and thoughts were maintained elsewhere; Dia!kwain spoke of sorcery being effected by ‘thoughts’ (LL.V.11.4801).
respect to ‘magical’ activities and *txi:* (to shoot, Bleek, 1956:679) was also definitely associated with ‘sorcerers’ shooting (LL.V.21.5719). !gi:*tǝn defended human groups against anti-social forces included other !gi:*tǝn and !nu-ka-!k’e (LL.V.19.5516-5522; LL.V.20.5543; Chapter 6c:209), and game animals such as springbok (LL.VIII.14.7263). These forces attempted to cause illnesses in human communities, primarily through shooting magic arrows or ‘sorcery’s things’ (transferring undesirable ‘identity’) at people: when such items ‘got into the flesh’ (*gwaizn*), they caused sickness and death. In mediating |Xam theories of disease and theories about other forms of violent death, hunters and !gi:*tǝn were broadly equivalent; hunters (who shot deadly, poisoned arrows) represented a species of anti-social !gi:*tǝn, at least as far as their prey was concerned, thus ‘mirroring’ relationships between |Xam and anti-social agents.

!gi:*tǝn formed key links between notions of ‘correct’ human personhood, and issues relating to non-human communities. Ritual specialists who displayed non-typical human abilities had much in common with animal species that could perform tasks people could not, and their motives (like those of non-human communities) might not always coincide with and could even oppose the socially-sanctioned behaviours considered ideal for |Xam individuals. The most typical exemplars of persons who did not act in accordance with these norms and aims of |Xam society were to be found (unsurprisingly) in non-human communities such

---

90 It also referred to !gi:*tǝn to leaving their bodies to travel on a ‘magical expedition.’
91 If *txi:* (to shoot, Bleek, 1956:245) represents an incorrect transliteration (or variant pronunciation) of *txi,* it was used also to refer to !khwa:’s ‘shooting at young men who angered New Maidens (LL.V.20.5622, 5624).
92 Possibly the same group (Chapter 6c:208)
93 The !gi:*tǝn’s actions thus parallel the transformations, ‘shooting’, and alliances with supra-human forces (*kaggǝn*) that might allow prey to escape the hunter or recover from arrow poisons.
as those of lions (or ‘beasts-of-prey’ generally) or the \nu-ka-!k’e (see below, 209), and these communities formed a key referent for the potential ambiguities the \!gi:tan. In the animic model, certain species (or individual members of species) are marked out by unusual modes of interacting with people, which emphasise their agency; where these might be polar bears in the circumpolar north (Saladin d’Anglure, 1994) or jaguars in South America (Reichel-Dolmatoff, 1975), for the \|Xam such species were best exemplified by the \!kkelke ‘beasts-of-prey’. These species were marked with the physical attributes of bestial nature, hairiness and the colour yellow, and displayed characteristic angry, greedy, and selfish personae. They also possessed particular non-human abilities: lions might transform themselves into antelope (e.g. hartebeest, LL.VIII.23.8075-8077) and fool a person into hunting them, and the abilities of lions to become aware of people not showing respect for their names, through the medium of flies or the wind (e.g. LL.V.11.4875'), demonstrated their abilities in gathering and correctly interpreting knowledge from afar. Their ‘angry’ and violent tendencies were made most obvious in personal attacks, which, although most often recounted in stereotyped ‘legends’, were a real danger in everyday life; genealogical information as well as several personal history narratives indicate that trauma or mortality from big cat encounters was not uncommon. This included individuals killed by lions in camp (LL.III.1.485') and people killed when out on the hunting-

---

94 Colonel Gordon’s accounts (Raper and Boucher, 1988:194) of his journeys in the 1770s note of the ‘Sunei’ Bushmen that “they say we [colonists] are evil and come in the night like wolves [hyenas], and have hair like lions.” Placed in relation to the Bleek-Lloyd archive, the cultural logic underpinning this statement becomes apparent. Nocturnal activity (commandoes would often attack in the early morning, LL.V.16.5199) and hirsuteness were consistent with both the destructive actions of commando raiding (k”a:o, Glossary:396) and the attitudes of anti-social beasts-of-prey, providing the basis for the connection between the two.
ground (LL.III.1.488′): #kasin himself recounted his own violent encounter with a leopard (LL.IV.1.3461-3464), which left him seriously injured and unable to hunt for a time. Beasts-of-prey, then, formed a very real concern for !Xam groups, and ‘understanding’ methods of dealing with them are prominent themes in many narratives (e.g. where to walk safely in a Karoo environment, LL.II.18.1682-1683).

It was imagined that ritual specialists could become alienated from their true communities and blend with non-human populations; particularly anti-social individuals took the form of lions or went about by night to attack people (LL.V.19.5536½). Although individuals with the requisite characters were more susceptible than others, even well-disposed !gi:tan might potentially become anti-social while enacting their roles. This was evoked through ‘beast-of-prey’ performances, commonly in violent, ‘biting’ (LL.II.1.273-274; LL.V.3.4128) leonine behaviour: people rubbed the !gi:xa with fat (i.e. a ‘handsome’ substance) to take away the ‘lion hair’ (i.e. embodiment of a bestial character) that came out at this time. Just as successful hunting depended on potentially-problematic identifications with game species, !gi:tan curative practices necessitated the incorporation of the essence of objects that caused illness. !Xam definitions of illness as the influence of foreign, antagonistic forces meant this incorporation in turn necessitated the specialist identifying him or herself with an anti-social entity. When her abilities as a !gi:xa weakened, !kwarra-aŋ was no longer able to cure ‘nicely’ (LL.V.3.4149) and consequently people no longer paid her. This led to her magic power ‘eating at’ people (LL.V.3.4150), an idiom recalling associations
between monstrous consumption and non-human identity, and related to a more general notion that !gi:ton would think evil and ‘work death’ to others (LL.V.4.4182-4184), if their hearts were not made ‘cool’ or ‘comfortable’ (twai:i) by payment. The rarity of !gi:ton in Early-Race narratives is quite striking when compared to the prominence they held in contemporary |Xam society (see LL.IV.1.3484’ and LL.VIII.8202 for exceptions); these Early-Race communities contained most of the normal social roles of nineteenth century |Xam groups, having hunters, New Maidens, xoakan-gu (Glossary:402; ‘old women’), !kõin-gu (‘uncles’), husbands, wives, and so on. Although named ‘mythological’ characters sometimes behaved in ways consistent with the actions or abilities of !gi:ton95, these latter figures were notable absent in Early-Race times. It is tempting to read this absence as an index of the habitual lack of understanding demonstrated by Early-Race people (LL.II.28.2505); !gi:ton who acted as if they did not understand (i.e. not directing skills in a socially-responsible fashion) were extremely dangerous. In contemporary |Xam society, individuals who displayed inappropriate behaviour were not ideal candidates for these roles: hanškass’o contrasted Tsatsi’s ‘passionate’ (angry) nature with his not being a !gi:xa (LL.VIII.31.8764’), and !nuin !kuitǝn, an angry man who was a !gi:xa, was feared as a person who went about at night (as a lion), potentially to kill people (LL.V.5084-5085).

95 Most obvious is Lewis-Williams (1996:126) description of !kaggǝn as the prototypical !gi:xa (shaman, in his terminology); !khwa: also exhibited characteristics of (anti-social) !gi:ton; including associations with magic-power (LL.V.6.4400’).
The potential for harm that emerged as a fundamental consequence of the role they performed for human societies in incorporating anti-social agents also made reference to illness, another major contributor to Bushman mortality that affected a broad spectrum of ǀXam individuals including adults, children, and the elderly (LL.III.1.486'). Events that impacted negatively on ǀXam communities were framed in a personified idiom, with illness and misfortune being seen as the result of agentive action, whether as the unintended consequences of foolish people acting without understanding, or, more seriously, deliberate assaults from antagonistic communities. Although these illnesses could result from interactions with animal species (see above, 204), it was the ǃnu-ka-ǃk'e who represented the most common cause of such afflictions, and the close relationship between ǃgi:ton and these ‘spirit-people’ was a further indication of ǃgi:ton contiguity with anti-social forces. All people became ǃnu-ka-ǃk'e after death, bringing illness when they came as a k"a:o (‘fighting-party’; LL.VIII.26.8310-8311, 8310'). This ǃnu-ka-ǃk'e category was diffuse and lacking in individuality, although some extraordinary abilities continued to manifest after death (e.g. ǀxarranǀxarraŋ’s control of winds, Chapter 5a:145). In an ontological sense, a person was transformed into a non-human ‘ǃkwí-a-ǃxarra’ upon death, becoming the subject of avoidance behaviours (not speaking the ‘old people’s names’, LL.VIII.26.8310)96 commonly deployed in !nanna-se behaviours that maintained non-destructive relationships with non-human forces.

96 The extent to which ‘old people’s names’ referred to individual names of specific people rather than the name for the category as a whole is unclear.
Closely associated with extra-ordinary abilities, it was !gi:tan who embodied the continuum between these non-human and human agents. !gi:tan could be individual, living members of !Xam social groups, subject to social pressures and engaging in the normal range of social relationships with other individuals (while occasionally succumbing to leonine behaviour). This potential to become identified with non-human agency while alive, however, also allotted them special positions in non-human communities after their deaths, when their ‘sorcery’s doings’ (!gi-ka-ddi-ddi) would go about embodying their will. Living !Xam interacted with these dead sorcerers as they had when they were alive, making appeals to remind them of their social obligations: Dia!kwain’s father called on !nuin-!kuitǝn to send rain after the latter’s death, recalling a promise that he had tendered (LL.V.14.5073‘), and his mother used to beg dead Opwaitǝn-ka-!gi:ten (Glossary:454; !gitǝn-of-the-game) to act as if they continued to ‘really love’ her and to send game (LL.V.11.4805‘). This difference was marked linguistically by the manner of calling upon this power of the !gi:tan (LL.VIII.26.8326‘); living !gi:tan were called or ‘given orders’ (lkwen, Bleek, 1956:332) while equivalent demands made of dead !gi:tan were phrased with the more placatory !gaukǝn (to beg, pray, call on, Bleek, 1956:276).

Dead !gi:tan might attempt to enact social claims of their own, longing for those people who were close to them, and even when well-disposed were potentially dangerous as they might lead their friends and relatives to death (LL.V.19.5485-5486). Dia!kwain described an illness he experienced as an attempt by !gi:tan to take him away (LL.V.4137-4139), motivated by their desire for him live with and
seek food for them. Although not explicated in the text, this likely referred to dead !gi:tan; these ‘other sorcerers’ wished to take him away by means of an illness (caused by sorcery), which would presumably have killed him\(^97\). When cross referenced with an Early-Race narrative in which a lioness gathers up children to live with and work for her (LL.VIII.8178), this represents another link to leonine (anti-social) behaviour and casts the establishment of ‘incorrect’ relatedness (with a special emphasis on labour appropriation) with non-ǃXam modes of life. Despite similarities in means and motivations, !gi:ten who were acting to kill people with sorcery were not completely assimilated into the general ǀnu-ka-ǃk’e (LL.V.19.5520) category; Diaǃkwain spoke of a (living, curing) !gi:xa sending a ǀhōa-gau (Glossary:404; ‘rascally’\(^98\)) sorcerer that had ‘possessed’ (ǀgwaiȝn) a person to the ǀnu-ka-ǃk’e. The relationship between living and ‘dead’ !gi:tan, and between both of these and the ǀnu-ka-ǃk’e, was evidently complex (Solomon, 2011:103) and appears to have been rather inchoate. In the examples mentioned above, ‘dead !gi:tan’ were in some instances specific individuals who had died, with idiosyncratic powers, but equally there were also more categorical ‘spirit !gi:tan’ separated into game-controlling, rain-making, and ‘curing’ (i.e. illness-causing) forms just as with living !gi:tan. Although Solomon (2011:102) has suggested that ǀopwaiton-\-ta-ǃgi:tan were spirits, a reverso note given by Diaǃkwain (LL.V.11.4801’) speaking of ‘spirit people who had been’ these

\(^97\) Alternatively, living !gi:tan wanted to take Diaǃkwain with them but (having been refused by his parents) they became angry, consequently making him ill. This seems a less likely interpretation, primarily because of the clear link made between being ‘taken away’ by the illness and leaving to go with the !gi:tan, but the ambiguity emphasises the contiguity of living/dead !gi:tan.

\(^98\) Here referring to a disembodied (probably deceased) !gi:xa, the term could also be applied to living individuals (LL.V.4.4181)
\!gi\:tǝn-of-the-game rather implies that there were both living and non-living examples of these specialists; this division also obtained with rain-making \!khwa:-\!ka-\!gi\:tǝn (‘dead people who [were] with the rain’, LL.VIII.26.8304’). Similarly, though Solomon (2011:105) suggests that the \!xi-\!ka-\!nu (cf. glossary entry \!nu-\!ka-\!k\'e:413; ‘illness’s departed people’, LL.V.20.5543) represented a category of spirit-being that caused illness, an earlier note by Dia\!kwain making reference to \!xi-\!ka-\!\!gi\:xa (‘illness’s-\!\!gi\:tǝn’, LL.V.19.5488-5489) who were alive (the narrative concerns the stars that fall at the time of their death), at least places them in the same vague, ambiguous state as other specific ‘types’ of \!gi\:tǝn.

This chapter has discussed issues of propriety and responsibility that were central concerns for \!Xam individuals, used to construct general definitions of ‘human’ behaviour and to delineate more specific forms of identity. Crucially, concepts of agency and personhood were not restricted to humans but were expansive enough to incorporate various faunal, meteorological, or metaphysical entities and communities. These ‘societies’ provided examples of non-\!Xam lifeways, about which the \!Xam made moral judgements. These identities were not inalienable, and could be incorporated and transferred between people (Chapter 5a:145); internal differentiations of \!Xam society were largely dependent on the different relationships that individuals developed with this range of non-\!Xam societies. Issues of anti-sociality were implicated in \!Xam experiences of non-\!Xam populations. Anti-social agents were for the \!Xam fundamentally ‘different’, violent and angry ‘beasts-of-prey’ who went about by night to kill people (LL.II.3.421-422) and eat them (LL.II.16.1550). Characterisations of anti-sociality
found much play in \Xam interactions with non-\Xam groups, which often incorporated issues of labour appropriation, extreme violence, and drastically alien forms of resource redistribution. It is with such conceptions that the \Xam understood their interactions with the various groups that populated their world, and the following two chapters deal with this interaction, examining \Xam practice and placing it in the context of ‘dealing with’ the various non-\Xam agents of their nineteenth century situation.
Chapter 7a: The people who shoot springbok

If one employs strict subsistence definitions for ‘hunter-gatherer’ societies, insisting on exclusive reliance on wild (however defined) food sources, nineteenth century |Xam cannot be considered ‘pristine’ foragers. Historical records (Chapter 4b:99; Chapter 4c:110) demonstrate the presence of a diverse set of human groups in the Northern Cape at this time, groups that can be categorised according to a number of criteria, self-imposed or otherwise. Colonial European classifications imposed onto the complex suite of populations occupying the interior Karoo developed out of a long trajectory of intellectual, political and economic considerations (Appendix C:387). Particular forms of action, social organisation, and physical appearance were reified as essentially ‘Bushman’, constructing a category that structured the ‘appropriate’ European modes of interaction with them. Although these classifications revolved around subsistence, they wavered between depicting Bushmen as inveterate raiders and portraying them as
benighted primitives doomed to extinction, a construction overlain on indigenous notions of similarity and difference, and encompassing (at times) populations distinct at least in some measure linguistically, culturally, and socio-economically from the \textit{ǀXam} informants; I begin this chapter by discussing the relevance of the ‘Bushman’ category in emic definitions of group identity.

While interaction between the (emically and etically defined) populations in the southern African interior had a long precolonial history (Sadr, 2005; Chapter 4a:82), the late eighteenth and nineteenth centuries saw both the intrusion of new (and unprecedented) populations, and some radical shifts in practice on the part of indigenous groups as they became intertwined with new populations, new modes of production, and new forms of material culture; their interaction necessitated or facilitated shifts to new forms of behaviour that employed these new resources or took into account the motivations of the new incoming groups. If we consider that behaviour exists in a recursive relationship with identity (Chapter 2:40), then the constitution of \textit{ǀXam} identities in their historical context becomes central to understanding the Bleek-Lloyd archive as a document of wider, global historical processes. Crucial to this understanding is the reconstruction of \textit{ǀXam} practice; this chapter documents the range of material culture employed and resources exploited within \textit{ǀXam} subsistence strategies, discussing (and providing species identifications where possible) the faunal, floral, and mineral resources referenced in the Bleek-Lloyd archive and considering the ways in which their utilisation informed, and was informed by, \textit{ǀXam} ideology.
The Bleek-Lloyd archive can be used to tease apart |Xam notions of similarities and differences between groups, asking to what extent they viewed themselves as part of a ‘hunter-gatherer’ population. Perhaps most compelling is the fact that (some of) the informants used hunting as an explicit component of their distinctive identity, defining the |Xam as ‘people who shoot springbok’ (LL.II.36.3244′-3245′). Unfortunately, this reference does not permit clarification of whether the definition referred to Bushmen in general, or to the Flat Bushmen in particular: the phrase ‘people who kill springbok’ was annotated by Lloyd (in English only) with the statement that it meant ‘Bushmen’. While hunting was a significant component of many frontier identities, their explicit contrasting of this practice with the habits of Khoekhoe groups (Chapter 8b:303) suggests that for the informants themselves engagements with wild fauna were considered distinctive components of their identities vis-à-vis ‘others’; these engagements were linked with occupation of specific locales, familiarity with which would allow people to acquire resources (for Ikaabo, ‘his’ place was a place where he ate springbok (LL.II.1.242). For divisions between |Xam-speaking groups the assignation of identity based on dwelling was central; informants linked people to specific places in the landscape at a variety of scales. One form of personal affiliation was with particular ‘owned’ places, important in the construction of ‘responsible’ |Xam adults (Chapter 6b:188), and another more general connection was referenced in descriptions of people as ‘belonging’ to localities; Ikaabo described his mother as a !nwatsaxáu-ka-ǃkwí or an ‘arrow/reed-eye’s-person’, with ‘eye’ in this case referring to a water source (WB.II.349; LL.VIII.31.8747).
Larger-scale divisions noted by the informants (outlined below) all make some reference to geographical features.

The bulk of the archive was collected from Swa:-ka-ǃk'e (Flat Bushmen) informants; ǀkabbo and ǀhanǃkass'o, both from this group, provided approximately two thirds of the pages recorded by Lloyd. This group thus emerges as the default from which other groups vary, the ‘regte’ (Afr. right, correct; used as ‘true’ here) Bushmen, in ǀkabbo’s phrase (LL.II.19.1704). This ‘default’ status often makes judgements about the reasoning behind specific deployments of the term difficult, though it presumably had some special significance as the alternative term for ‘Bushmen’ in general (ǀXam-ka-ǃk'e, ǀXam-speakers) was employed by informants who were themselves Flat Bushmen (LL.II.3.453). When ǀkabbo or ǀhanǃkass'o refer to ‘Flat Bushmen’ practices and material culture, we must consider whether this reflects conceptions of distinctive ‘Flat Bushman’ ways of behaving in contrast to other groups, or whether it transposes the narrators’ sense of participation in this group99 (or simply their ignorance about how other groups behaved). In some instances, the terms are used more-or-less interchangeably: recounting an Early-Race story (LL.II.18.1653-1691; LL.II.19.1692-1710), ǀkabbo described the establishment of human and animal identities with the consecutive statements ‘Bushmen (ǀXam-ka-ǃk'e) say, we are the Day-Hearts’ and ‘the Flat Bushmen (are) who must speak’ (LL.II.18.1663). Like many groups worldwide, the ǀXam valorised (their own) speech as a distinctively human trait (LL.II.8.1663-1664; LL.II.19.1705), emerging at the end of the Early-Race times:

99 I.e. whether Bushmen from different groups would refer to the same practices as characteristic of their own group.
although Ikabbo discussed this using the term for his own group, such beliefs were probably significant for all Bushmen. Many of the practices described for ‘Flat Bushmen’ were presumably widespread among !Xam-speaking populations; drying flesh (LL.II.16.1522), dipping up fat (LL.II.16.1535), visiting, smoking, and ‘getting stories’ (LL.II.32.2875, 2880), all described on occasion as things that ‘Flat Bushmen’ did, were concordant with ideologies also expressed by non-Flat Bushman informants and should therefore be seen as congruent with a more generic Bushman identity.

In some cases, however, the ‘Flat Bushman’ epithet did refer to practices that the informants clearly considered as markers of their identities in contrast to those of other Bushmen; Chapter 5b (149) mentioned some consumption habits used in this manner, where the ability to access geographically-localised resources was mobilised as a source of differentiation. These practices extended beyond foodstuffs: when talking about kô-!ôô, Ikabbo noted that Flat Bushmen used a distinctive phrase (ǁkarrukenǁkarruken) to refer to it, and that (as with certain types of poison, Chapter 7d:271) they could not acquire it themselves (buying it from groups living near the Orange River, LL.II.36.3242, 3269). While Ikabbo’s observation that Flat Bushmen made ‘bowls’ from ostrich breast bones might be taken as a generic one that happened to use the more specific epithet, his emphasis on the use of ostrich breastbones in contrast with a ‘bucket’ (correcting Lloyd’s misunderstanding, LL.II.34.3072’) by those engaging in exchange relationships with European farmers (LL.II.34.3087’), transforms it into a statement of differentiation, as different groups participated in these relationships to varying
Comments made by Dia!kwain (a Grass Bushman) on Flat Bushmen idiosyncrasies noted their relatively less intensive interactions with Europeans. Their use of ǂoro (tools shaped from springbok leg bones) when sewing karosses (LL.V.10.4765') revealed a lack of familiarity with colonial material culture: they did not know ‘needle’s sewing’ (LL.V.10.4765') or possess (metal) knives (LL.V.10.4769), and they made springbok-horn tinderboxes rather than using ‘real’ (i.e. European) ones (LL.V.10.4763). Where Ŭkabbo and Ŭnâkass'o emphasised this as coherent with ‘regte’ Bushmen practice, Dia!kwain cast their distinctiveness in a more negative light, as symptomatic of their poverty, ignorance, and pitiable situation.

Flat Bushman informants identified several populations inhabiting regions bordering their own territories as closely related to their own groups, including the Hartebeest River Bushmen (ǀk"a-ka-ǃk'e, Glossary:411, ǀkaiton-s'o-ǃk'e) and Mountain Bushmen (ǀkauken-ka-ǃk'e, ǀkaugon-s'o-ǃk'e). The first of these referred to populations living along the Hartebeest River (ǀk"a, WB.IV.547'), otherwise called ǀkhou-ka-ǃk'e or Doornboom (Afr. thorn-tree, Acacia sp.) people from the large thorn trees that grew in and along this (usually dry) river channel. The latter term did not refer to a specific, single group of people, but rather to those who inhabited more broken country; two commonly mentioned populations were those living in the Kareeberg to the south of Flat Bushman territory, and those living to the north in the more mountainous country bordering the Orange River.

100 Grass Bushmen lived near the official colony boundary from the beginning of the nineteenth century.
The language of these groups was considered by the Flat Bushmen to be similar to their own speech; despite their dialectal peculiarities (WB.IV.546', LL.VIII.26.8281'), they could be ‘understood nicely’ (LL.VIII.19.7672-7674). Flat and Mountain groups readily intermarried (LL.VIII.20.7746; LL.VII.1.6052): īhanı̱kass’o’s father was a Mountain Bushman and, although his mother (ǀxabbi-an) identified as Flat Bushman, her father (Tsatsi) appears also to have been a Mountain Bushman (at least, some of his relatives were, LL.VIII.20.7746').

Mountain Bushman distinctiveness was associated primarily with the consumption of animal species that favoured more broken country (LL.II.7.798, LL.VIII.22.7982'): baboons, klipspringer (*Oreotragus oreotragus*), steenbok (*Raphicerus campestris*), duiker (*Sylvicapra grimmia*), and hyraxes. The notable fondness of Hartebeest River Bushmen for gum arabic (LL.VIII.18.7551') may be an equivalent for these populations as this substance was derived from acacias that grew along the river channels (thus more readily available to them). References to the material culture of Mountain and Hartebeest River groups strongly suggest that they were contiguous in Flat Bushman eyes. Mountain Bushmen wore cat skins (presumably as front-aprons, Chapter 7d:276), which īhanı̱kass'o clearly considered an indicative marker of their Mountain Bushman identity (LL.VIII.22.7970), and those from the Orange River area were said to barter beads made of ostrich eggshell (LL.VIII.22.7971') and bone (LL.VIII.22.7985);

101 This population may itself represent two groups, one living in the mountains themselves (the !kaugen-!k’e, whom Flat Bushman ‘did not know’), and the other group (!kaugen-ss’o-!k’e) living in less elevated regions to the south of those mountains (LL.III.1.483'; LL.VIII.26.8281')
Hartebeest River Bushmen (LL.VIII.22.7970, 7984) also wore cat skins\textsuperscript{102} and made beads\textsuperscript{103}. These ‘Mountain and Kenhardt’ Bushmen populations were further associated (LL.VIII.21.7828) in their use of particular tortoise species (\textit{\textit{\textit{gol}gokon}, or \textit{Chersina angulata}})\textsuperscript{104}, presumably as containers: tortoise shell containers were commonly used as ‘powder boxes’ for processed plant medicines such as buchu (LL.V.25.6007', 6008). There is a hint that one of these groups were distinguished by the production of distinct styles of arrow, called \textit{!keiton-ta-\textit{nwa} (Hartebeest River arrow, WB.I.276); however, this is an early translation and \textit{\textit{nwa} may refer to ‘reed’ rather than arrow (Bleek, 1956:487). The archive confirms the marking of arrows with incisions and red pigment (LL.VIII.26.8289-8292) in practices analogous to Wiessner’s (1983:258) ‘assertive style’, and, if accepted, this \textit{!keiton-ta-\textit{nwa} suggests regional differences in arrow styles parallel to the variation that Wiessner (1983:257) terms ‘emblematic’ style in the arrows of Kalahari Bushman groups. Such potential differences notwithstanding, however, these two groups appear from their material culture at least to have been firmly tied together in the minds of the Flat Bushmen informants.

The Grass Bushmen (\textit{\textit{\textit{khe\textendash\textendash}len}, LL.V.25.6005'}) can be considered the most ‘different’ of the \textit{\textit{|Xam-speaking populations, although intermarriage between Flat and Grass Bushmen was still noted (LL.V.3.4132')). They were associated with specific forms of hunting technology, favouring particular white raw materials for

\textsuperscript{102} They also wore jackal and hyrax skin karosses (LL.VIII.22.7971).

\textsuperscript{103} While they appear to have made both ostrich eggshell (LL.VIII.22.7971-7972) and bone (LL.VIII.22.7984) beads, only the Mountain Bushmen were explicitly said to give or barter them.

\textsuperscript{104} There is little indication that this might reflect ecological factors, as ranges for this species accord best with Grass Bushman territory (Boycott and Bourquin, 1988:103; Hofmeyr, 2009).
their lithic arrow points (WB.XXIII.2156; J. Deacon, 1996a:266-267) and manufacturing a particular ‘gilt-coloured’ poison (LL.II.7.780’)\(^{105}\); the importance of hunting practices for \(|Xam\) identity (Chapter 7a:215) suggests that these distinctive technologies (with presumably distinctive practical consequences) would be powerful markers of alterity. Extending this, the Flat Bushmen linked the Grass Bushmen with Khoekhoe populations: Korana (WB.II.376) and Nama (LL.VIII.19.7675) associations were believed close enough to have affected their language, which \(|han\kass'o\) characterised as ‘stammering’ (LL.VIII.22.7969). Linguistic oddity was compounded by physical distinctiveness, with \(|han\kass'o\) also commenting on perceived physical differences between the Flat and Grass Bushmen, sometimes describing the latter as short (LL.VIII.22.7980), and sometimes as tall and ‘yellow-’ (\(|ko:\zwa\) or ‘different-’ faced (LL.VIII.21.7981’).

This use of \(|ko:\zwa\) indicates a perceived physical dimension to their ties with the Korana, who were described by Dia!kwain (himself a Grass Bushman) as ‘white’ (LL.V.9.4626’), probably using the same term\(^{106}\). Dia!kwain also connected certain aspects of Grass Bushman material culture to the Korana, with both favouring sieves constructed from skins rather than matting (LL.V.25.5993). Material cultural, physical, and linguistic connections with the Korana underpinned the increased ‘social’ distance between Flat and Grass Bushmen, with the latter incorporating Korana traits considered inappropriate by \(|Xam\) standards (Chapter 8b:303); \(|han\kass'o\) suggested that they treated their women

\(^{105}\) Presumably the \(|kai-ka::gaoken\) made from insect larvae (LL.IV.1.inset) that Grass Bushmen traded with other Bushman groups (LL.IV.2.inset).

\(^{106}\) This note is in English only, but the use of ‘white’ to translate \(|ko:\zwa\) in physical descriptions elsewhere in the archive suggests that it was the term employed by Dia!kwain.
inappropriately by refusing them the better portions of meat (LL.VIII.6.6590'), thus behaving as ‘decayed-arms’. |haní:kkass'o considered Grass Bushman groups encountered when he travelled to their country while working for Jakob Kotze (LL.VIII.22.7969') to be foolish (Chapter 6a:172) and consequently afraid, moving away from areas exploited by Europeans to water sources not yet appropriated. Even Dia!kwain noted that the Grass Bushmen were angry people (LL.V.20.5537-5539), who would raise dusts to cause illness (i.e. behave in an anti-social manner). All of these traits (selfishness, foolishness, anger, fear) formed part of |Xam constructions of non-ǀXam behaviours, manifesting Flat Bushman beliefs that geographically distant groups were also socially alien.

This social ‘distance’ increased with physical distance; moving towards the Orange River and beyond, there existed a series of groups of whom the informants were aware but about whom they knew little, groups that might accurately be characterised in ǀXam idioms as ‘Bushmen who were different’. They included a group of people called the ǀk"i-len (‘Orange River Dwellers’, Bleek, 1956:339, 519), Bushmen who lived on the colonial side of the river, speaking both ǀXam and Korana and strongly linked with the latter (LL.II.36.3309'). Further away, on the far side of the Orange River, dwelt the \nu-sa (LL.VIII.10.6892'; also referred to with \nu-ka-ǃk'e, LL.II.36.3242). Even these most distant groups of Bushmen had customs recognisable to the Flat Bushmen who described them; ǀkabbo associated them with a particular kind of ʃo-ǀlāā (LL.II.36.3242). However, in coming from the wrong side of the river, they were fundamentally anti-social:

107 The route of this journey was not given, but presumably ran approximately north-west from Jacob Kotze’s place at ‘Hartus Kloof’ (Figure 7.1:222).
they cut their hands with ǀnu-ka-ǀa (‘cursing/fighting’; cf. Glossary entry, ǀa::402; LL.II.36.3309) and were prone to stabbing people with assegais. Although he had never seen them, ǀhan-kass:o feared them by reputation (LL.VIII.22.7968) as they were supposed to shoot arrows up to the sky to fall down upon the ǀXam (LL.VIII.22.7972-7974). Such arrows recall the arrows of sickness fired by the ‘spirit people’ (who shared their name with these trans-Orange River groups), creating a connection between geographical distance (and unfamiliarity), physical and spiritual violence, and the dangers of interacting with ‘non-ǀXam’ societies. Informants defined variation between Bushman groups as a combination of location and perceived intensity of interaction with ‘non-

Bushman’ populations, reinforcing the observation that colonial categorisations of populations did not necessarily track emic identity claims (especially for these widely dispersed, low population density groups); the |Xam recognised a continuum of populations, with behaviours that differed in their degree of similarity to their own practices. Having explored ideological and social components of this identity in the previous two chapters, the rest of this chapter examines the material cultural and practical components of ‘|Xam Bushman’ identity.
Chapter 7b: Hunting practices

ǀXam terms relating to the classification of fauna are extensive: Bleek and Lloyd were keen to elicit as many names for species as possible, utilising the nearby South African Museum collections as a source of inspiration for vocabulary (Bank, 2006:205). The species lists (Appendix E, species tables 1-4:455) therefore represent the interaction of research methodology, Bushman modes of classification, and the scientific schema of the museum collection itself, as Bleek and Lloyd attempted to force ǀXam folk taxonomy into Western scientific classificatory models. This is particularly evident in the invertebrate terminology (Appendix E:474), where many more ‘species names’ were elicited than the ǀXam are likely to have habitually employed in practice; ǀXam terms crosscutting species names are readily apparent. Some of these categories reflect morphological similarities (e.g. ǀkum ǀmui, applied to the tsetse fly, long-proboscid fly, and beefly, Figure 7.2:226) and others less tangible attributes (e.g. ǀkhã-ːka-ǀgoro (lion’s moth), which likely referenced a belief that certain insects conveyed information to lions, Chapter 6c:205). Discrepancies in the narrative significance of the species terms highlight the mismatch between the intersecting modes of classification, and a set group of species dominated the ǀXam cultural

sphere: this narrative dominance is a complex issue, combining |Xam preoccupations, with Bleek and Lloyd’s intellectual framework. For animals such as the lńi (Glossary:412; ichneumon mongoose, *Herpestes ichneumon*) or mantis, narrative presence is almost entirely attributable to participation in ‘mythological’ narratives, while other species (e.g. porcupine, springbok, and lions) were important in ‘mythological’ contexts and feature prominently in accounts drawn from personal experience; it is with these latter accounts that I am primarily concerned here.
As with contemporary northern Bushman societies (Biesele, 1993), meat derived from the larger herbivorous mammals (primarily bovids) represented for the ǀXam the culturally salient foodstuff: the single most positively valued component\textsuperscript{108} of the ǀXam diet was animal fat, a resource integrated into the suite of positive connotations linking moisture and ease of consumption (WB.XXIII.2175; Chapter 5c: 162-165). In a more general sense, meat was considered ‘proper’ consumption; in the narrative of the man who killed his pregnant wife (LL.VI.2.4064-4070)\textsuperscript{109}, !kweitǝ-ǁkǝn contrasted the eating of !kүisǝ (an underground storage organ) with that of meat. The belief of the husband that his wife had ‘filled herself with meat’ versus her contention that she had only been eating roots formed the central conflict of this narrative, giving some indication of the relative values of the two foodstuffs. Not all meat, however, was highly valued; that derived from very small vertebrates was habitually consumed only by the children who hunted them. Adults and children overlapped in participating in general subsistence through acquisition of slightly larger species such as hares (LL.III.1.503), but even these (for adult male hunters) were not ideal, desirable game species, becoming important only in the absence of bovid prey (especially when springbok were not numerous, LL.II.32.2906-2907).

Starvation was a real concern for the ǀXam, and several references record foods consumed \textit{in extremis}, such as the chasing off of vultures from the skin of a springbok that could then be consumed (LL.II.14.1370; LL.II.25.2301); although

\textsuperscript{108} With the possible exception of honey (Chapter 7c:249).
\textsuperscript{109} Not explicated in the text, this is probably an Early-Race story covering the familiar theme of the inappropriate actions of the foolish or uneducated man.
the northern Kalahari ethnographies have stressed the calorific significance of plant foods, the (male) ǀXam informants of the Karoo emphasised that meat was vital for survival. Persistence hunting (cf. Liebenberg, 2006) was a major tactic for acquiring the smaller game during periods of diminished larger game populations; this was done with steenbok by forcing them to flee in the sun until the point of collapse (LL.VIII.17.7524-7526), and hares too were run to exhaustion in the midday heat (LL.II.33.2907). Even unwounded springbok (not normally hunted in this fashion) might be run down by people who could endure this (LL.VIII.22.7965'), guiding the springbok back towards the house until the animals collapsed vomiting from exhaustion. This was seen as a less desirable and potentially hazardous form of hunting, which might lead to a man being overcome with heat or becoming stranded in the veld (LL.VIII.22.7964), but one that was necessary in straitened circumstances: a man who could hunt in this fashion could fulfil provisioning responsibilities with aplomb. The inculcation of these effective hunting abilities was therefore paramount (Chapter 6b:195), and impairment of these facilities could have dire consequences: ǃgwara-ǃnwa starved to death after a lion attack rendered his arm non-functional (LL.III.1.486'). Precautionary measures for avoiding injury were part of the hunters’ material culture repertoire: ǀhanǂkass'o used a special animal skin cover for the bow finger (index finger) to avoid injuries that would make hunting more difficult, and, equally, some ǃnanna-se ritual observances (e.g. disposal practices that ensured dogs would not eat

---

110 Mean summer temperatures in the Nama-Karoo exceed 30°C (Desmet and Cowling, 1999:7), and the potential dangers of heatstroke resulting from strenuous midday exertion are obvious. ǀXam treatment involved people fanning and pouring water over hunters (LL.VIII.22.7964-7965): evaporative cooling of this type remains the most common ‘field’ treatment of hyperthermic conditions (Glazer, 2005:2138).
certain bones) were designed to minimise the risk of injuries that would limit hunting efficacy (LL.VIII.7269'-7270').

Also characteristic of |Xam subsistence strategies were practices of food storage demanded by the periodicity of their arid environment. Pulses in faunal populations (and nomadic or migratory strategies) meant that hunters would periodically encounter large populations of certain resource species; at these times they had opportunities to acquire surpluses (Dewar et al., 2006). When men acquired several animals over the course of a hunting trip, these would be stored in a porcupine nest (LL.II.14.1387) and covered over with bushes (LL.IV.2.3547-3571) or marked with a ‘scarecrow’ to prevent scavengers finding them in the course of the day. After this initial expedient storage, surplus meat was dried and hidden (LL.II.25.2301) or processed into a type of ‘meal’ (LL.VIII.32.8828'). Similar practices obtained with other sporadically abundant resources. The legs of locusts were prepared (‘made soft’, LL.VIII.7.6678') and reserved for winter, when they could be eaten together with plant foodstuffs that would otherwise ‘fight’ people at this time (LL.VIII.7.6680), facilitating year-round occupation. Resources, including |xe: or Bushman Rice (LL.VI.2.4008; Chapter 7c:254) and water (LL.II.13.1267), were commonly stored in ostrich eggshells, and when buried (Henderson, 2002; Morris, 2002a, 2005) represented crucial modifications of the Karoo landscape, relatively small-scale alterations of key

---

111 ikasen placed his hat on a bush to discourage scavengers (LL.IV.2.3561'); this ‘hat’ was referred to as hhu (not in the Dictionary), perhaps some form of headscarf (as it was described as a ‘flag’).

112 In other cases scavengers could provide useful information, with children being exhorted to watch for crows, which could guide them to springbok carcasses (LL.VIII.2.6158-6162).

113 Mats (and presumably other material culture) were also buried in anticipation of future needs (LL.V.12.4927-4928)
locales that facilitated the exploitation of larger regions; shifts in their ability to execute these modifications would have large effects on the viability of overall subsistence strategies.

Despite the evident cultural importance of meat from large antelope, the archive contains relatively little information regarding the acquisition of this resource. Accounts dealing directly with the hunting of large antelope are scarce, although some narratives do provide relevant information. Such large-game hunting narratives as are present (e.g. LL.II.36.inset; LL.V.17.5317-5353; LL.VIII.23.8033-8039) emphasise the uncertainty of the endeavour, focusing on the proscriptions the hunter had to follow rather than the actual hunting practices (Chapter 5c:162; Chapter 6b:191-193); this is particularly noticeable in narratives concerning the hartebeest and the eland but holds true for other large game, and there are no detailed descriptions of Bushmen hunting wildebeest or kudu (although they apparently did so, LL.II.3.454-455). The most detailed examples of the practicalities of this type of hunting make reference to gemsbok, in discussions of behaviours relevant to or emergent in hunting attempts (LL.II.9.930-931; LL.II.16.1524; LL.V.10.4745; LL.VIII.31.8775-8788). The greater detail provided for this species may be related to the fact that adherence to proscriptions was largely based on relationships with ļkaggon (Chapter 6b:193), who (although he did protect them) did not ‘make his heart of’ the gemsbok (LL.VIII.23.8036‘-8037’) as he did with the eland and hartebeest. This accords well with the dominance of springbok in ‘antelope hunting’ narratives, as ļkaggon’s link with this species was not developed beyond his generalised
connection with game species. Dia!kwain’s encounter with gemsbok (LL.V.9.4662-4679)\(^{114}\) suggests that people ‘stealthily approached’ them (rather than ‘lying in wait’, Chapter 6b:196), while notes on the material culture considered suitable for large-game hunts reveals further dimensions; the use of poison (LL.II.19.1704) and barbed arrows (LL.VIII.31.8770) implies that this hunting involved the stalking, shooting, and tracking of individual animals. Hunters attempted to get wounded gemsbok to run towards the huts (LL.II.24.2158-2171)\(^{115}\), tracking the animal overnight and sleeping upon its spoor; it was a ‘great thing’, which only died when the sun set (LL.II.36.3291). These details accord well with typical ‘Kalahari’ models for large bovid hunting practices, though this may partly be the result of the allusive nature of the ǀXam narratives, which provide insufficient information to confirm this similarity.

Without under-emphasising the significance of larger antelope, narratives concerned specifically with hunting outline a specific restricted set of species that were dominant within ǀXam subsistence strategies; namely, ostriches, springbok, and porcupines. These narratives took the form of both personal experiences and information integrated with Early-Race narratives; ǀkabbo provided a considerable body of information within the context of an Early-Race narrative relating the Ichneumon’s advice to ǀkaggon (beginning at LL.II.22.2015), which segued into a description detailing strategies for dealing with various resources (bees, ostrich,

\(^{114}\) A narrative discussing their supra-human abilities; the gemsbok ran away ‘for nothing’ because they knew that his wife was going to die.

\(^{115}\) Knowledge about gemsbok habits of running upwind allowed them to drive them closer to home and saving themselves the labour of carrying it (also done with quagga, LL.V.8.4574-4617). If hunters ‘running turned’ an ostrich (LL.II.25.2288-2289) it might collapse (from poison) near its nest, allowing them to take home both meat and eggs (LL.II.23.2092).
springbok), firmly embedded in contemporary practices and even including references to |Xam relationships with Europeans (LL.II.23.2096). Informants displayed clear differences in their species preferences and hunting knowledge, with |kabbo focusing on ostriches and springbok, |han|kass'o on springbok and porcupines, and Dia!kwain on porcupines. Although these narratives centre on concerted attempts to acquire a single species, relationships between species mean other species are referenced ‘opportunistically’: on a nocturnal expedition to acquire porcupines\(^{116}\), |han|kass'o discovered an aardvark in the hole (LL.II.6.632') and proceeded to dig it out and shoot it. These ‘opportunistic’ incorporations remain important reminders that narratives were not designed to systematically investigate subsistence strategies, and that reconstructions of these strategies from the Bleek-Lloyd collection are necessarily incomplete.

Descriptions of ostrich hunting demonstrate an appreciation for small-scale environmental variation in strategic decisions regarding hunting routes or places for ambushes (LL.II.24.2151-2152): the verdure of river-beds governed the routes that ostriches took while foraging, a predictable element allowing hunters to overcome the problems of hunting wary prey species that looked ‘afar’ (LL.II.28.2551-2553). The relationship between local landscapes and ostrich acquisition underpinned the incorporation of ostriches into a suite of resources that ‘belonged’ to the territories (see Glossary, !\text{xoe-s'o-!k'e}:439) that the |Xam associated with particular individuals (Chapter 6b:188); ostriches themselves form territories during the breeding season (Deeming and Bubier, 1999:86), and could

\(^{116}\) Often occupying aardvark holes (LL.VIII.16.7428)
therefore be associated with !xoe in a semi-permanent fashion. Like other resources that were tied to specific geographical locales (e.g. bee hives), ostrich meat and eggs were forms of ‘property’, with people holding rights that entitled access to the resources. Men\textsuperscript{117} collected eggs belonging to ostriches that dwelt at ‘their’ place, which were then distributed, dispersing the labour requirements and pressures on local ostrich populations (LL.II.22.2032). Embedded in issues of redistribution, access to territorial resources was a potential source of tension; people hunted ostriches associated with ‘their’ places in deliberate attempts to avoid angering other people by targeting ostriches from elsewhere (LL.II.21.2030-2031). Such proscriptions were in effect even in Early-Race times; ǀkaggǝn’s justification for fighting ǃgwe-ǃkweitǝn-tu was that he had been hunting ostriches on ǀkaggǝn’s territory (WB.XXIII.2238-2243). This potential for tension referred to an awareness that ostriches had to be properly managed, or ‘taken care of’ (LL.II.22.2035). By ‘taking care’ of the ostriches associated with a place, individuals ensured their access to food when alternative sources were absent: ostrich eggs were collected when the springboks had gone to the ‘springbok’s place’ (\textit{i.e.} migrated). Other resources that were tied to specific places were treated similarly; when springbok returned to an area, bees’ hives were left alone (honey was ‘closed up’) to allow the insects to recuperate (LL.II.23.2045), a regulation (both of honey and of ostrich egg collection) marked by timings of the appearance and disappearance of specific stars. Hunters took advantage of

\textsuperscript{117}There were gendered elements in the exploitation of ostrich resources, just as with the acquisition of large bovids. Although the \textit{collection} of ostrich meat and eggs emerges as a masculine activity in ǃkabbo’s narration, the latter at least were processed and distributed by women (LL.II.7.753; LL.II.22.2025-2028).
opportunistic strategies to ameliorate their effects on local populations; having observed ostriches travelling along a riverbed, they decided to hunt these instead of the nesting ostriches (LL.II.7.795-804), ‘controlling’ their demands on resources that could easily be over-exploited. This was integral to survival during lean times, and threats to these management practices would clearly have been problematic for long-term occupation of episodic Karoo environments.

The ‘localised’ nature of ostrich resources coupled with the importance of correct exploitation necessitated a nuanced understanding of ostrich behaviour, revealed by the detailed ethological information contained in the narratives; the shading of eggs, the sharing of incubation labour between (several) female and male birds, the time of year at which they laid (LL.II.22.2037-2039), symbiotic relationships between springbok and ostriches (LL.II.23.2062-2063), the fact that the male rather than female ostriches guarded the nest at night (LL.II.23.2077), and the habit of dominant females for pushing subordinate females’ eggs to the outside of the nest (LL.V.10.4788) were all recorded in the narratives. Ostrich acquisition was an ‘understanding’ behaviour, discussed in familiar terms; success required that the hunter ‘lie nicely’ (LL.II.7.746) and use a new (mooi, Afr. pretty) arrow (LL.II.7.747), for example. This understanding incorporated anatomical awareness, informing the hunter that the thigh rather than the chest should be shot at (the latter was ‘bones’ LL.II.23.2090), but it was in the mobilisation of ethological information that ‘understanding’ behaviour was most clearly manifest: after killing one ostrich, knowing that ostriches do not incubate their eggs alone,

118 Ostriches hatched from these eggs were called !kaui-!kwa, or ‘dwarf’ (LL.V.10.4789)
hunters returned to the bush screen to await the partner(s) of the dead bird (LL.II.24.2155). The penchant of the ostrich for distraction displays (LL.II.23.2070; Cooper et al. 2010:369) and flight from the nest (LL.II.22.2020-2021) was exploited to reveal nest locations, allowing people to acquire eggs with ease. Hunters took advantage of interactions between animals, waiting for the ostrich to chase away crows from its nest (taking this opportunity to shoot, LL.II.23.2090) or watching for birds-of-prey that dropped stones upon ostrich eggs (LL.II.23.2083). If they had already discovered the nest, hunters would build bush screens and lie in wait there for the returning ostrich (LL.II.7.739; LL.II.23.2072), but when encountering an ostrich otherwise, ‘stealing up to’ tactics (crawling along the ground) were employed (LL.II.24.2218). If they observed during the day where the ostrich was feeding, the diurnal nature of these birds allowed them to creep by night into these bushes (LL.II.24.2144-2146), setting themselves to shoot it when it returned the next day; close anatomical and ethological observations were crucial influences in determining choices in hunting strategies, ensuring that sufficient opportunities for experiencing varied hunting strategies were essential if these resources were to provide significant subsistence contributions.

The ostrich carcass was processed on the veld; the liver and heart were immediately sliced, roasted, and eaten (LL.II.24.2193-2196), while the rest was skinned, cleaned, cooked and placed into arrow sacks to be taken home (LL.II.7.760-765). The meat of male ostriches was highly desirable, occasioning ‘rejoicing’ as a ‘fat’ resource used to soften and make palatable dried antelope.
meat (LL.II.23.2106-2107). Unusually, the hunters praised the ostrich that had been shot, calling it fat, handsome, and red (LL.II.24.2149); this behaviour was discouraged in bovid hunting as it might affect the quality of the meat (Chapter 5c:162), but for ostriches it was another of their products that was subject to these restrictions. In the nineteenth century, (male) ostrich feathers were an important trade item for theǀXam, providing access to highly desirable tobacco resources (LL.II.23.2096; Chapter 8c:333). These feathers needed to be plucked and stored separately if they were to be traded; upon killing a bird, they immediately began plucking the white feathers, binding them into bundles using the shorter feathers (LL.II.7.784). When the wife of the hunter asked him about the feathers, he would reply that they were ‘old’ and ugly feathers that had been torn and broken by the nesting ostrich as it lay in the dust (LL.II.23.2108-2109), congruent with modesty behaviours enacted towards bovid meat, and some indication of the relative value the nineteenth centuryǀXam assigned to ostrich resources.

TheǀXam noted a mutualistic relationship between ostriches and springbok, commenting that the two animals travelled together (LL.II.28.2251) and contrasting the springbok that looked to the ground with the ostrich that might perceive a man from afar (LL.II.28.2552-2553). Although this compounded the difficulties of approaching prey unseen, it also meant that hunting ostrich and springbok was complementary;ǀkabbo interspersed his descriptions of ostrich egg acquisition with tactics for hunting springbok (LL.II.24.2155-2157). Both were
hunted at night, though this required different approaches, as they ‘stole up to’ the springbok but ‘lay in wait for’ the ostrich at this time (LL.II.24.2141-2143). Hunters took advantage of the relationship to acquire resources opportunistically; when they panicked the springbok into running, so too would the ostriches flee, revealing their nesting sites and leaving them unprotected (LL.II.23.2061-2063). The mutualism existing between the ostrich and springbok is an apt metaphor for the complementary role they played in !Xam strategies, with ostriches forming the low-density residential resources facilitating long-term occupation, and springbok a highly productive but sporadic and unpredictable counterpoint that created potentials for resource surplus, food storage, and larger-scale communal action. Springbok (whai, Glossary:401), the quintessential Karoo antelope, were vital to !Xam subsistence, and their population movements were of paramount
importance; if they did not arrive when expected, death from starvation became increasingly possible (LL.III.1.485’). The ǀXam consequently relied on a range of strategies of exploitation, employed techniques indicated by specialised terminology, differentiating, for example, between ǀkauwin (Bleek, 1956:563) for running and shooting simultaneously, and ǀuhattakon (Bleek, 1956:494), or shooting at springbok that had not yet perceived the hunters (LL.VIII.14.7224’). ǀXam specialisation and reliance on springbok forms the most relevant caveat for any attempt to extend analogies of ǀXam practice to other southern African hunter-gatherer groups; the absence of springbok from the southwest Cape and the eastern Escarpment necessitates hunter-gatherer groups in these regions having employed alternative subsistence strategies. Terminological manifestations of springbok significance continued in the identification of a range of physical traits specific to this species, primarily those of coat colour and markings (Figure 7.3:237)119; if the terminological profusion of cattle-terms in Nguni cultures is seen as a reflection of the significance of these animals in their socio-cultural world (Poland and Hammond-Tooke, 2003), then the ǀXam can justly be termed a ‘springbok culture’. Their differentiation also included peculiarities such as the ǀgou-gun (a springbok with horns that turned forwards, LL.VIII.22.7993’) or the ǀgwara!gwara (a white springbok, Bleek, 1956:392); also called ǀhi-gusa (LL.VIII.14.7242’), these latter appeared when the ‘number of springbok resemble[d] the stars’ and Moffat (1858:155), observing one in a trekking herd, remarked that Bastards also considered them precursors of unusually large treks.

119 ǀgwerriton, white hairs on the underside (Bleek, 1956:392); ǀhai, dark stripes along the flanks (Bleek, 1956:650); ǀki-tu, black facial stripes (Bleek, 1956:316); ǀwe!:we:, black marks on the eyes (Bleek, 1956:362).
Springbok exist in three colour morphs, and white varieties are rare but naturally-occurring sports (Hetem et al., 2009:379) that might be expected in association with large herds purely on statistical grounds. TheǀXam would not kill a white springbok, as doing so might make other springbok reluctant to visit a place (LL.VIII.22.7993'), while the short-horned springbok (ǀkwi-sa, Bleek, 1956:334) was not a ‘food’s springbok’ (LL.V.10.4716-4718) but rather the avatar of a whai-ka-ǀgi:xa (a type of Opwaitən-ka-ǀgiːtən, LL.V.10.4740): killing it attracted the illness-causing antipathy of its ‘owner’, or made these owners less inclined to bring springbok to the hunters (LL.V.10.4726-4727). These avoidances of unusual springbok expressed desires to maintain ‘proper’ relationships with unpredictable, large springbok herds, thereby ensuring they would continue to visit ǀXam territories.

By contrast, evaluations of other traits allowed hunters to make discerning judgements between targets they wanted to acquire. Springbok prey was chosen on the basis of ‘beauty’: ǀhanːkass’o left older springboks to shoot at a beautiful young springbok ewe120 (LL.VIII.14.7246-7248), ǀkabbo emphasised the shooting of female springboks once their hair became ‘handsome’ (indicating their ‘fat’ condition, LL.II.25.2259), and male springbok with ‘beautiful’ fur were also sought after (referred to as does, LL.VIII.3.6265). Femininity and youth (assumed to correlate with fatness) thus underpinned this identification of desirable prey.

Other aspects of terminology encoded information about behavioural qualities;

120 Whai-ǀkhou, young springbok without offspring (LL.VIII.14.7247')
when the springbok came from the east they would call (ǃkoukən\textsuperscript{121}), when coming from the west they would sneeze (LL.II.25.2317'), and the (Xam also registered differences between springbok calls (ǃkarro, Bleek, 1956; 440) and the cries of springbok kids (me:, Bleek, 1956:136). These behavioural nuances had implications for hunting, indicating the condition of the animals (which would sneeze when they were fat) and predicting subsequent movements of the herd (LL.VIII.14.7238). Most significantly, mimicry skills allowed hunters to interact with the herd directly, giving a ‘peculiar kind of liquid call\textsuperscript{122} that induced the springbok to approach and lie down for the hunter (LL.VIII.14.7256'; LL.VIII.26.8286-8288); these skills again had to be refined in practice, through actual hunting experience and contact with the relevant species.

Hunting springbok made particular physical demands on people. Both Ḗhaníkass'o and Ikabbo stressed that people who ran to shoot springbok had to be young, fast and ‘masculine\textsuperscript{123} (LL.II.25.2287-2288; LL.VIII.14.7225). These tactics were characterised in a strongly positive fashion, as running well/handsomely to hunt the springbok nicely (LL.II.13.1245'), and springbok hunting formed a cornerstone of successful, ‘understanding’ masculine hunter identities (Chapter 6b:195). Springbok hunting narratives also incorporate a political element, through deliberate attempts to incorporate the skills of different individuals, bringing different domains of ‘understanding’ relevant to the task. Men who could

\textsuperscript{121} Probably an alternative pronunciation of Ḗkau (call, bark or roar, Bleek, 1956:412), as the reference coupled it with Ḗgum (roar, bellow or call, Bleek, 1956:388).

\textsuperscript{122} Evoking the ‘tame’ state of Early-Race game animals (LL.V.19.5473); the same noise was used by Ḗkaggən to make the eland he created to come to him (LL.VIII.6.6508').

\textsuperscript{123} Ḗhaníkass'o explicitly excluded women from these ‘chasing’ activities (LL.VIII.22.7966).
not run fast sat behind the children who were driving the springbok, telling them that rather than ‘shooting in among’ springbok they should let the animals approach their ambush sites (LL.VIII.7.6609-6617). Expertise in archery (a ‘grown-up’ skill) and knowledge of springbok behaviour and anatomy were presented as better options for achieving their goals: waiting until the startled springbok turned sideways on and shooting at the dark stripe along its body, waiting hunters would successful wound the animals. Often, arrows used for springbok were not poisoned\textsuperscript{124} (LL.VIII.1.6087) and these animals were instead killed by trauma; if accidentally wounded when hunting springbok, people died not because of poison\textsuperscript{125} but because the arrow left a wound that did not heal (LL.II.12.1231). Tactics for shooting springbok maximised the potential for trauma, as people were advised to shoot the animal broadside (LL.VIII.7.6617) and to aim at the ribs behind the shoulder, sufficiently injuring it but allowing it to extricate the arrow from the wound (LL.VIII.14.7255-7257). These tactics took advantage of springbok behaviour: springbok would continue to flee if they could not remove the projectile, and this latter strategy was designed to counterbalance this tendency (decreasing the labour associated with tracking and transporting the carcass).

Unlike ostriches, springbok did not belong to ‘owned’ places: when the rain did not fall the springbok went to their own place, where they became lean

\textsuperscript{124} Alternative strategies using poisoned arrows were also employed (LL.V.3.4133'); !han\#kass'o observed that when letting arrows fly ‘singly’ at springbok, people would use featherless arrows (\textit{\$khu}, which were poisoned (LL.VIII.10.6923')).

\textsuperscript{125} By contrast, the man who trod on his arrow when out hunting gemsbok was poisoned (LL.VIII.31.8780-8781).
(LL.II.23.2050). They travelled and came to the !xoe (LL.II.13.1304) when the ‘nice’ (twai:ĩ) rain’s wind blew, and drank at waterholes close to the huts (LL.II.25.2269-2279). Their arrival was thereby integrated into a suite of positively evaluated events, representing a season in which people could enjoy a surfeit of water (which itself had become sweet rather than bitter) and food, obtained without the labour of travelling long distances. This strong connection between the arrival of springbok and rain meant that hunting this animal was dependent on knowledge of the likely timings and distribution of rainfall and other weather conditions, combined with detailed information regarding springbok responses to these factors. As with management of ostrich, this knowledge was often encoded in observations of stars (LL.II.25.2319), and of other resources that responded to the meteorological phenomena at different rates: when Bushman rice ‘got feathers’ the springbok would arrive, and the blossoming of certain flowers (ĩku-k”omn-ka-ǀkagǝn, women’s daisies Bleek, 1956:296, 665) attracted the male springboks, who would eat them and become fat (LL.II.33.3023'). Knowledge of this kind enabled rapid mobilisation, taking advantage of sporadic and short-lived influxes of springbok populations.

Small resident populations of springbok could be hunted with tactics broadly similar to those encountered for ostriches and larger game, though with some characteristic performances such as the use of odiferous substances: men sewed a

---

126 The nuptial flights of the eusocial insects that constituted ‘Bushman rice’; rainfall is a common stimulus for such flights, especially in arid areas (Wilson, 1971:115)
band into which they could put fo-lōa when hunting (LL.II.36.3276-3278)\(^{127}\). Rubbed on the bodies of the hunters, this strongly smelling plant made the game ‘foolishly afraid’ (running in among the people, rather than fleeing in a ‘sensible’ fashion), paralleling the use of ostrich feather brushes in other forms springbok hunting (see below, 244). Other methods were identical to those employed for ostriches, and involved constructing ǀkuiton (bush-screens) or digging out holes in which to lie (LL.VIII.8.6768) and waiting for grazing springbok to approach (in the evening, LL.VIII.8.6751-6769’). Again as with ostriches, springbok ambushes could be set up after dark; moving to temporary water sources and setting up camp nearby, men used higher ground as a vantage point from which to observe the springbok (presumably travelling to the water, LL.II.6.629) and set up their ambush to intersect their movements the following day (LL.II.14.1375).

However, it was the large migratory or nomadic population influxes that determined the most unusual (and salient) manifestations of springbok hunting. When the springbok came in such numbers that they hid the bushes with the bodies (LL.VIII.8.6726), the !Xam engaged in large-scale, communal game drives. This whai-ka-ǀk’itɔn (springbok’s ‘beating’, LL.VIII.23.8028’) was a complex procedure requiring the participation of many people, dividing the herd and separating the ‘advance guard’ (xu-ǀkei, lit. surface-edge, Bleek, 1956:261, 568) from the numerous part (ǀkwobbe, probably ‘massed’, Bleek, 1956:335). Some people went round in front of the game and ‘shot in among’ them, using special arrows (with long feathers) and tightly bound bows (which would

---

\(^{127}\) Used here when hunting ‘quietly’ (*i.e.* stalking game), and also when ‘running in among’ springbok.
reverberate strongly) to induce the herd to flee (LL.VIII.8.6728-6735). The men who startled the herd had to be able to run quickly, aiming to panic the springbok and spend them ‘leaping’ towards other hunters lying lie in wait for them (LL.VIII.8.6740). Once the herd was moving, people attempted to channel it along desired routes by using (male) ostrich feather brushes (ǃkɔaːkøn, Bleek, 128

\[128\] \|hantkass\'o criticised this tactic as wasteful of arrows (breaking against the springboks’ heads), and this ‘wastefulness’ was a major motivation for the placement of marks (ǂketton, LL.VIII.26.8288) designed to facilitate identification (LL.VIII.26.8290) on the arrows.
1956:438), treated with buchu and red pigment (lka, LL.VIII.23.8072') that made
the game foolish or afraid (LL.VIII.23.8029). ḡan'kass'o made several references
to this practice (LL.VIII.23.8027'-8029, 8066-8068), recording the patterns
according to which the brushes were stuck in the ground (Figure 7.4:244) to get
the springbok to run between two hunters in a wide curve. Women
(LL.VIII.14.7241-7242), children (LL.VIII.7.6604), and more dynamic uses of
feather brushes (standing and waving them, LL.VIII.23.8067) also induced the
herd to flight.

Children ran behind and along the sides of the herd, keeping it from dispersing,
and threw up dust (LL.II.36.3256) to make the springbok run closely together,
while older men ran across in front to shoot game (LL.II.14.1392-1393). One
account (LL.II.14.7227) suggests that children would fire arrows to drive
springbok but probably in reference to adult children (the speaker, an old man,
refers to the children as his own, LL.VIII.14.7224), though other narratives do
provide evidence that younger children assisted in killing springbok
(LL.II.14.1389). This participation of women and children was significant in
determining success, and equally, if they behaved in a non-understanding fashion
by letting the springbok perceive them too early on in the hunt, the prey would
escape. When hunting springbok alone, ḡasin was only able to shoot them
successfully because of an inadvertent partnership with a lion, which startled them
into flight (LL.IV.2.3547-3571), and ḡabbo also noted that solitary hunters had
difficulties getting close enough to shoot springbok (LL.II.14.1332'). Springbok

129 This account may present a ‘first-kill’ rite: the ‘young boy’ (by killing the springbok) caused
the women to dance for joy at his demonstration of an unexpected level of ‘understanding’
hunting thus emerges as somewhat ‘non-typical’, often involving large-scale cooperation between individuals from the whole spectrum of |Xam society, taking advantage the potential to acquire surplus from these periodic population irruptions; archaeological evidence for this form of exploitation is available from a springbok mass kill site in Namaqualand, on the western extremes of the Succulent Karoo (Dewar et al., 2006).130

Springbok and ostrich thus dominated the larger game resources, and it is porcupine-hunting that stands out as by far the most significant for the smaller mammals. Hunting porcupines required markedly different approaches to those employed for the large bovids or ostrich and springbok. There was little danger that porcupines could outrun hunters, but their nocturnal habits and acute senses posed serious problems: the porcupine was an ‘understanding’ animal that, perceiving with its thinking-strings the dangers of its walking abroad in daylight, could only be acquired after dark (LL.V.15.5049; LL.II.6.632)131. The porcupine ‘made its eyes’ of its nose (LL.V.6.4449), using its olfactory prowess to find food and (more significantly) perceive danger. Being able to smell predators from afar, the porcupine would avoid the hunter (LL.VIII.16.7407-7408). In Dia!kwain’s account (LL.V.7.4436-4456), it is the combination of these factors that determines porcupine-hunting as a test of endurance; the hunter needed to resist tiredness, take extreme care with movement (to prevent rustling), and remain aware of wind direction. Its ability to evade notice imbued the porcupine (and aardwolf, 130 These authors also note bone damage consistent with death by trauma (Dewar et al. 2006:1269), recalling |Xam practices of using arrows without poison, when hunting springbok. 131 Hunting at night was facilitated by (LL.VIII.6.6582), but not dependent upon (LL.VIII.16.7384), the full moon.
LL.VIII.16.7381’) with superhuman potency as a kwa’kwa’sikuitǝn (Glossary:396; ‘elusive thing’, Bleek, 1956:110). Although they were not ‘real’ kwa’kwa’sikuitǝn (which could not be perceived at all), the |Xam applied this term to these animals because they often found their spoor without seeing the animal itself, demonstrating superlative evasive prowess, and the porcupine displayed further skills in its supposed ability to make men sleep against their will while they waited at the hole for it to return (LL.V.6.4381-4384). These superhuman capacities necessitated !nanna-se respect behaviours towards the species (LL.V.6.4379‘; Chapter 5c:162; Chapter 6b:191, 193) to generate favourable outcomes when hunting them; underscoring its significance, the products of porcupine hunts were heavily governed by redistribution rules crucial in the maintenance of correct social relations (Chapter 6b:183-186). Young porcupines would be cooked on the veld, and the others left raw; on returning to camp, the meat was given to the wife of the hunter, and she became responsible for its distribution according to kinship (female porcupines were given to her father) and residence (young porcupines were distributed around the camp, and male porcupines to immediate neighbours, LL.VIII.16.7396-7400).

Porcupines were thus incorporated within the category of meats suitable for the construction of masculine provisioning responsibilities, and informants132 presented this hunting as a masculine activity. Congruent with this, porcupines were acquired in an ‘understanding’ fashion: Dia’kwain strove to emulate Xatin (his father), who was a skilful hunter of porcupines, watching ‘well’ (a:kon) at the

132 Feminine participation in this hunting (though not direct) was not inconsiderable, as the animals were ‘water’s things’ and thereby implicated in the relationship between New Maiden and /khwa:. 
burrow without succumbing to its soporific abilities (LL.V.6.4390). As with other species, ‘understanding’ required detailed ethological data; Xatin (LL.V.6.4399-4400) told Dia!kwain about the fact that the species walked ‘across the wind’ rather than into it (implicated in the placement of ambushes), and stressed that the time they returned to their holes was predictable (judged by watching stars). When they discovered them at a distance from their home, the hunters would camp at porcupine holes (LL.V.7.4438-4441). By observing the behaviour of inquiline bats\(^{133}\) (LL.V.6.4378), watching for when they returned to porcupine holes, they could ascertain whether the porcupine was inside. Blocking the holes with bushes (LL.VIII.6.6583) placed a little way into the hole, they manipulated the behaviour of the animal, knowing it would (unable to see the bushes) run for the hole and get trapped there. Incorrect interpretation of the spoor around the burrow would be disastrous for the hunt, as if they stopped up a hole that the porcupine was inside, it would escape and leave the area (LL.VIII.16.7376’). When making the kill, the hunter would simply run to the animal and strike it. This represented the easiest element of the hunt, although the quills of the porcupine were feared and governed the techniques employed: the animal was killed with a long stick that could avert its attack (LL.VIII.6.6586; LL.VIII.16.7388-7389). As with ostriches, after killing one porcupine they waited for the second to return to the burrow, knowing that there were usually at least two inhabitants of any hole (LL.V.7.4440’), and that female porcupines tended to spend longer foraging (LL.VIII.16.7392). Seasonal differences in behaviour were

\(^{133}\) Probably a Nycteridae species (Vaughan, Ryan and Czaplewski, 2011:277); the likeliest candidate for the region is *Nycteris thebaica* (Monadjem *et al.*, 2009).
also registered: in the summer, porcupines returned to their nest towards morning, while in winter they returned earlier, in the middle of the night (LL.VIII.16.7391'). Detailed ‘natural history’ knowledge was thus encoded in porcupine hunting narratives, generated in on-going experiences that represented, to judge from the emphasis on the physical discomfort encountered and mental fortitude required, highly affective ‘embodied’ encounters with the species. The prevalence of porcupine hunting narratives was thus at least partly determined by their importance in the hunting experiences of the informants themselves. Although Northern Cape animal population were undergoing rapid change in the nineteenth century, this was not distributed equally across all species. Porcupines were more resilient than larger bovids, remaining available even after the imposition of fenced, bounded farms: porcupine hunting continues today on South African farms (Chevallier and Ashton, 2006:13-14). Hunting porcupines both demanded and demonstrated experiential knowledge of non-humans, thoroughly integrated into the ǀXam worldview; their resistance to novel anthropogenic pressures was a key factor in ensuring (perhaps increasing) their continuing relevance within the production of ǀXam cultural knowledge.
Arthropods (mainly insects) were important nutritional and cultural resources inǀXam life. Non-comestible species tended to produce reactions of disgust or fear;ǀinatta-xam\(^{134}\) insects were described having an unpleasant scent (LL.VIII.9.6770),ǀk"ururu\(^{135}\) were feared for being poisonous (LL.IV.2.3518'), while |han|kass’o’s reaction\(^{136}\) on being shown a large solifuge combined the two (LL.VIII.12.7072').Social insects, including several eusocial species and gregaria phase locusts, wereof paramount significance as central components of ǀXam subsistence, though therange of insect resources consumed was increased by species gatheredopportunistically when encountered: these insects were primarily larger, solitaryspecies such as the bara-xam\(^{137}\) (Bleek, 1956:15) or low-density resources such as(solitaria phase) locusts that were collected ad hoc and carried about in karosses(LL.VIII.7.6667).

In cultural terms, several bee (Apoidea; Anthophila) species attained especialprominence; Lewis-Williams (1981a:8; 2002:81-82; 2010:8-9) has drawn

\(^{134}\) Derivation is unclear, but the category broadly incorporated the Hemiptera (true bugs; see Appendix E:473)

\(^{135}\) An Orthoptera species; Lloyd identified as Kritje (Afr. cricket, LL.IV.2.3518-3519). |kasin’s description of its strong bite and poison suggests it may represent an Anostostomatidae or Bradyporidae species: although these ‘King Crickets’ and koringskieke are not venomous, they can bite and often emit foul odours (Picker, Griffiths and Weaving, 2004:74-78)

\(^{136}\) Tui-tui ttuappem!; approximately ‘get away, let go (of it)!’ (Bleek, 1956:240).

\(^{137}\) Various Buprestidae beetles.
attention repeatedly to the significance of these insects (and their products) as referents for ‘shamanic potency’. In subsistence terms, they were valued for their larvae and (particularly) for their honey. The former, eaten while still in their cells (LL.V.3.4073'), were referred to as the ‘honey-liquid’s fat’ (LL.II.14.1365) or ‘honey’s liquid fat’ (LL.II.23.2057)\(^\text{138}\): the word given for fat here was very similar to that for ‘maggot’ (*sueƞssuen*, Bleek, 1956:174). This passage, used by Lewis-Williams (2002:81) to support a connection between honey, fat, and anomalous but potent ‘liquid’ foods, probably represents a mistranslation: Lloyd was unsure of her translation (LL.II.23.2057), and her evident error in later referring to the ‘fats’ of termites, which had feet and could fly (see below, 255; LL.II.35.3224') suggests that her gloss for *ssuen* here may similarly be incorrect. It more likely makes reference to bee brood, specifically to the earlier larval instars fed with brood food derived from nectar that has been insufficiently dehydrated to form honey proper (Kilani, 1999). The connection between fat and honey was therefore indirect (‘maggot’-like instars were properly linked with fat, which makes nutritional sense\(^\text{139}\)), and the ‘liquid’ honey may refer directly to the consistency of the transitional nectar-honey stored around these larvae, rather than to a categorically anomalous foodstuff (both ‘eaten’ and ‘drunk’), as with northern Bushman populations (Lewis-Williams, 2010:9).

\(^{138}\) *ǁkhau-ǁki-ka-ssuen* and *ǁkhau-ka-ssuen-ǁki*, respectively. *ǁki* (juice, saliva spittle, Bleek, 1956:580) locates this substance within the assignation of potency and ‘identity’ to bodily fluids (Chapter 5a:131).

\(^{139}\) Mature bee larvae can contain around thirty percent more fat per unit weight than beef (Crane, 2009:72)
As with other African hunter-gatherer groups (Turnbull, 1965:168-173; Blackburn, 1982:291), theǀXam practised a sophisticated management of bee colonies, governing their exploitation by observations of plant resources and stars, and the availability of other food sources (LL.II.23.2051-2062) and paying particular attention to swarming behaviours (LL.II.23.2059; 7033’) that founded new colonies. They would smoke the nests to stultify the bees before cutting out portions of the comb, carefully leaving enough for the hive to regenerate (‘become fat’), and closed off with large stones hives to which they wished to return, preventing ratels (Mellivora capensis) from robbing them (LL.II.14.1363-1364)\(^{140}\). Bees provided desirable components of ǀXam diet: honey had strong connections with masculine faunal resources (containing ‘fat’ larvae), imbuing the game animals with their colours (when fed by ǀkaggǝn in the Early-Race times, LL.V.3.4071-4074). In keeping with this status, it was one of the few gathered resources that was acquired by men (LL.II.14.1368) as well as women (LL.II.23.2058). These women were beseeched to give honey to their husbands, paralleling meat-sharing demands (Chapter 6b:185-186) and emphasising the importance of honey-sharing within conjugal relationships. The collection of honey (from Apis mellifera nests)\(^{141}\) formed another component of the ‘rights of access’ associated withǀxoe territories, and nests were sometimes passed along patrilines (LL.II.14.1363-1366). While some early commentators (Stow, 1905:86)

\(^{140}\) Other resources could be ‘closed up’: a waterhole called ǀkaggǝn-tsaxau (Mantis-Eye) was blocked with a stone after the ǀXam drank there (LL.II.14.1383’)

\(^{141}\) Productions of other bee species were distinguished with specific terms: ǀkauakǝn, made by ‘small bees’, and ǀakka ‘gaaua, the sweet food of the ’blenner vlieg’ (Opwai:, Bleek, 1956:685). This latter may represent blindevlieg (Afr. blind-fly; biting clegs/horseflies, Haematopota sp), reflecting ǀXam appropriation and re-application of the Afrikaans term.
remarked upon violent defence of these resources, this is not confirmed directly in the Bleek-Lloyd archive. Narratives recounting |kaggǝn’| misappropriation of honey (LL.V.1.3612’) do indicate a potential for social conflict in honey distribution, and, as other |xoε|-bound resources (Chapter 7b:233) were a source of tension, honey can be situated with a suite of ‘managed’ and (to an extent) guarded resources firmly ‘placed’ in the |Xam landscape.

Another key invertebrate resource was the locust. Like springbok, it displayed dramatic periodic increases in resource density\textsuperscript{142}, creating gluts of food that raised problems of competition; jackals and birds finished off the locusts while people slept (LL.VIII.7.6671). As with springbok, the |Xam employed a large number of specialised terms referring to them, derived from close observation of their lifecycle stages (LL.VIII.31.8746-8748) and charting their growth from hatchlings (toetoe) to larger instars (|kiliki|), the first appearance of wing buds around the fifth instar (\textit{lu kwabba}\textsuperscript{143}), metamorphosis into winged forms (\textit{berre}), and final emergence as locusts (\textit{|hou|} when they were strong enough to ‘fly against the wind’ (LL.VIII.31.8752) and received the epithet \textit{lkhou} (‘flier’, Bleek, 1956:576). Like game animals, locusts could cause illness, though they were deployed by anti-social \textit{!gi:tan} rather than acting of their own volition (LL.V.21.5707’-5709’). This association appears to have encompassed the periodicity of locust irruptions: they were ‘shut up’ by \textit{!gi:tan} that ‘possessed’ them and only these ‘owners’ could release them. This represented a form of resource control equivalent to that exerted by \textit{Opwaitǝn-ka-!gi:tan}, and living

\textsuperscript{142} Coinciding with plentiful rains and an abundance of plant resources (LL.VIII.16.7388’)
\textsuperscript{143} Possibly ‘fatigue-unfolds/unwinds’ (Bleek, 1956: 109, 627).
!gi:tǝn certainly did involve themselves in the arrival of locusts (lkarru owned locusts, LL.VIII.7.6639’). However, the role of locusts as dangerous ‘fighting’s things’ (see Glossary entry la::402; LL.V.21.5709’) and their incorporation into a triad of foods devoured by anti-social !gi:tǝn (flies, locusts and human beings, LL.VIII.15.7305) suggests control of this resource was often the province of these non-living, antagonistic forces. Consequently, ‘taking care’ of locusts involved avoiding the destructive ‘magical’ attention manifest in these short-lived resource surpluses (rather than the ‘care’ applied to !xoe, Chapter 6b:188). Locusts ‘flying massed’ necessitated large-scale participation in subsistence activities; children were told to go and build fires to cook the swarm, while others drove them forward (LL.VIII.7.6623-6640). Requiring people to remain awake for much of the night, feeding the fires and preventing the locusts from burning up as they were roasted (LL.VIII.7.6656-6663), this treatment was seen as rather onerous and discussion of it (and the storage of locust-products) emphasised terms associated with responsibility; people should work the excess food ‘nicely’ instead of behaving like jackals by gorging themselves while food was plentiful (LL.VIII.16.7449’), and children were instructed to go picking up locusts ‘properly’ for their elders (LL.VIII.7.6671). Combining these instructions with requirement for ‘taking care’ of their potentially hazardous nature, these insect resources belonged in cultural terms firmly with ‘masculine’ antelope products (Chapter 7b:227).

A more ‘feminine’ and no less significant insect resource was that represented by the epithet ‘Bushman rice’ or ‘ants’ chrysalides (or eggs)’, a phrase used to
translate \( \textit{ixe} \): but also to describe closely related resources, including \( \textit{hak\text{"on}} \) (which ‘resembled’ Bushman rice, LL.VIII.9.6789') and \( \textit{kuin} \) (‘other’ Bushman rice, LL.II.3.470'). These were species of eusocial insects, either ants or (more likely) termites (see Glossary entry, \( \textit{ixe} \):451): \( \textit{kabbo} \)’s identification of \( \textit{kw\text{"an}} \) and \( \textit{ssu\text{"enssuen}} \) manifestations of \( \textit{ixe} \): (LL.II.35.3224') and \( \textit{kuin} \) (LL.II.37.3345-3346) strongly suggest a hemimetabolous lifecycle. \( \textit{kw\text{"an}} \) (‘Great Rice’, LL.IV.4.3905) was motile and ‘alive’, \( \textit{ssu\text{"enssuen}} \) ‘got feathers’ and flew away, and both had ‘feet’: it is therefore unlikely that either represented a pupal stage. \( \textit{ixe} \) probably referred to a harvester termite (Hodotermitidae); the informants noted that it was eaten by aardvarks (LL.II.2.338-339) and bat-eared foxes\(^{144} \) (LL.II.3.471), which favour such species\(^{145} \). \( \textit{hak\text{"on}} \) can be identified with some certainty, as the aardwolf ate only this ‘ground’s rice’ and did not consume \( \textit{ixe} \): (LL.II.3.426'-427; LL.VIII.28.8494'): aardwolves subsist almost exclusively on ‘snouted termites’, \( \textit{Trinervitermes} \) sp. (Richardson, 1987). Further confirmation is provided by the observation that a ‘beacon’-like fungus (\( \textit{gu\text{"u}gu} \), Glossary:418, or \( \textit{ku kwai} \); LL.VIII.11.6945'-6946') grew out of the \( \textit{hak\text{"on}} \), probably a \( \textit{Podaxis} \) sp. fungus: worldwide, these are associated with termite mounds, growing out of \( \textit{Trinervitermes} \) sp. mounds after rains (Bottomley and Fuller, 1921:140; Morse, 1933; Priest and Lenz, 1999). \( \textit{kuin} \), mentioned only infrequently, is more difficult to identify, but descriptions of its behaviour emphasise similarities with \( \textit{ixe} \); it

\(^{144} \) Bat-eared foxes are more generalist in feeding habits than aardvarks and aardwolves (Kuntzsch and Nel, 1992:47; Clark, 2005:2-3); \( \textit{kabbo} \) noted these more catholic tastes (LL.II.3.470-471).

\(^{145} \) It is not possible to exclude ant species on these grounds, as these mammals are not exclusive termite specialists (Taylor, Lindsey and Skinner, 2002; Clark, 2005)
emerged in a winged adult form, had ‘maggots’ (*ssuenssuen*) and !kwane, and was ‘dug out’ (LL.II.37.3345-3347).

This ‘digging out’ (*k"wā:* Glossary:411), connected with a range of resources, including insects and medicinal-ritual or food plants, was a ‘feminine’ contribution to subsistence, sometimes being translated as ‘women’s hunting’ (Bleek, 1956:340): Bushman rice was dug out using digging-sticks weighted with stones (LL.VIII.10.6889-6893; artefacts themselves strongly associated with women, Chapter 7d:275) or with springbok-horn points (see below, 257). Despite this connection with feminine practice, many of the associations of ‘digging out Bushman rice’ overlapped with those applied to masculine resources. Like game species, these insects could be affected by the condition of the ‘hunter’: before going to dig Bushman rice, Dia!kwain’s mother had to remove the influence of ‘evil things’ that would otherwise have caused the insects to act unfavourably (LL.V.15.5161'-5163'). As with ‘masculine’ honey, ostriches, porcupines, and springbok, the exploitation of Bushman rice was regulated through observation of stars, used to judge when the alates were about to emerge in their nuptial flights (LL.II.37.3343'-3347): the arrival of ǁxohai stars (Alpha and Gamma Aquilae, Altair, Bleek, 1956:638) was associated with rainfall, which motivated the winged adults of the ǁxe: and ǃkuin to leave their holes. Bushman rice ‘products’ were explicitly equated with those acquired in hunting: dry rice being comparable to dried flesh, and ‘wet’ rice to raw meat (LL.II.16.1521-1528), with these two resources combining to construct palatability. ‘Wet’ rice made the liver ache (LL.II.35.3151) just as ‘wet’ meat did, with the high protein and (relatively) high
fat content of termite species (Phelps, Struthers and Movo, 1975:131; de Foliart, 1992:395-396)\textsuperscript{146} rendering it nutritionally similar to meat: Associated material culture also existed in ‘masculinised’ forms, with horned-tipped digging-sticks being used by Flat Bushman men (LL.II.1.262' ; LL.VIII.23.8083'-8083½). W. Bleek also recorded a horn-tipped ‘Berg Bushman woman’s digging stick’ (WB.XII.1123)\textsuperscript{147} used to dig for insect resources; this differentiation of digging-stick types (horn-tipped \textit{versus} stone-weighted) may thus reflect the demands of resource acquisition, with horn-tipped sticks being used exclusively for insects and weighted sticks encompassing both insect and plant resources (the former undertaken by both genders and the latter associated more exclusively with women). Bushman rice was processed by roasting, then dried on mat-sieves (LL.VIII.19.7640-7641) and sifted to separate earth (and overly chitinous elements) from the components that would be ground up for storage (LL.VIII.28.8507-8510; 8506'-8508'); this processing was also undertaken ‘jointly’ by men and women. Bushman rice insects, then, were ‘feminine’, dug-out resources that overlapped considerable (in cultural evaluations) with ‘masculine’ game: \textit{!hakən} was particularly desirable, one of the ‘nicest’ of foodstuffs (LL.VI.1.3929'; LL.VIII.9.6789').

In contrast to the fauna, many plant species (‘dug-out’ or otherwise) are known only by vague descriptions, even where they played significant roles in narratives or where informants alluded to their subsistence and economic importance.

\textsuperscript{146} It must be noted, however, that these studies were not undertaken on Karoo species.

\textsuperscript{147} In the absence of any explicit commentary, it is difficult to be certain whether this represented a distinctive ‘Berg Bushman’ material culture.
Consequently, it is difficult to ascertain which particular Karoo species were most important for Bushmen subsistence. As with the fauna, the availability of information likely reflects the preoccupations of their informants with research interests: neither Bleek nor Lloyd appears to have been particularly knowledgeable about or interested in plants, recording them with general descriptions that render identifications extremely difficult. Nonetheless, the general role of plant-gathering in |Xam subsistence can be elucidated, even if nutritional contributions are obscure. As with Kalahari hunter-gatherers (L. Marshall, 1976a), meat was subject to rules of wider distribution than those applied to plants. People would kwai:i meat (‘to distribute’, Bleek, 1956:110); |han|kass‘o’s stressed that this was to ‘distribute to the other people’ (LL.VIII.16.7399', emphasis added), and contrasted with ‘sharing’ plant foods within the family (ken, Bleek, 1956:309; LL.VIII.18.7554'). However, certain plants were prized as excellent sources of food (e.g. the !hwin root, LL.VIII.27.8395'), and the use of en-en (flesh, meat, Bleek, 1956:39) to refer to bulbs (LL.VIII.18.7553') implies underground storage organs (USOs) were recognised as significant elements within the plant resource category.

In a biological sense, most comestible plant resources represented either these USOs or seed dispersal organs, though there were additional sources such as

---

148 Another remainder of the fact that only one of the major informants was female.
149 This is compounded by the extraordinary diversity of the southern African flora: this diversity (especially for interior regions) was only poorly understood when Bleek and Lloyd were writing.
150 Tsa!aitǝn was used for both berries and seeds (Bleek, 1956:213; LL.V.25.5997')
acacia gums\textsuperscript{151}. These categories were highly differentiated in emic classifications; at least fourteen distinct named types of comestible roots and tubers were mentioned (Appendix E:483). Few have secure species identifications associated with them, but Cunningham and Davis (1997:488) identify !kaui (Glossary:422; wild onion, Bleek, 1956:414), as a probable reference to Cyanella hyacinthoides, and the “food called by the Boers bitter bulle” (!kauru, LL.V.25.5997') may be a wild bitter watermelon, Citrullus lanatus (Afr. bitterboela)\textsuperscript{152}. Wild southern African curcurbit species usually exist in bitter and sweet forms (Livingstone, 1857:48-49; Dane and Liu, 2007): distinctions between !kwakkɔn (‘axoort’\textsuperscript{153}) and !nwanna (bitter versus sweet gherkins, Appendix E:484) may reflect within-species variation rather than different species. If the relevant criteria are issues of edibility and palatability, taxonomies that cross-cut phylogenetic schemes are likely and classifications of bitterness versus sweetness were common criteria in ṬXam judgements of palatability: brackish ‘old’ water was sour, bitter and ‘bad’ where fresh rainwater was sweet (LL.II.25.2304-2307). People feared that the ‘sweetness’ of !gara (Glossary:402) berries would make their teeth unfit to chew meat (WB.XXIII.2155’) and the !koa root was described as bitter (LL.V.13.5006’); although this undoubtedly made reference to gustatory qualities, themes of bitterness versus sweetness were integrated into wider evaluative schemes of ‘good’ versus ‘bad’ traits (Chapter 5c:158). For some

\textsuperscript{151} Providing valuable nutritional supplements: Acacia erioloba gum has a protein content of up to 43\% (Wehmeyer, 1986:14).
\textsuperscript{152} The Dictionary describes this as eaten by Bushmen (Bleek, 1956:416), but Ṭhan!kass’o suggested it was eaten by porcupines, not people (LL.VIII.6.6560’); consumption of its berries or seeds was noted only in Early-Race contexts (LL.V.25.5997’).
\textsuperscript{153} Probably agurk or argukjie (Afr. gherkin), commonly referring to Cucumis africanus (Bosch, 2004:237-238)
plants, this bitter versus sweet palatability was a guide for seasonal exploitation; ǂkasin noted that /kouwi (see !kau, Glossary:422) was bitter and watery in winter, but sweet in summer (LL.IV.4.3903') while ǂhanikass'o described the opposite (LL.VIII.10.6869') and !kerri roots were eaten only in winter, when sweet (LL.VIII.18.7598-7601).

Another identifiable resource is ǀkui (Glossary:410; Bleek, 1956:324) or gambro (Fockea edulis; C.A. Smith, 1966:218, 272, 273, 481), a large rootstock. An important component of ǀXam diet, prioritised by people when choosing campsites (LL.VIII.1.6106), it too illustrates the centrality of seasonal variations for plant resource exploitation as (although consumed throughout the year) it was processed and consumed differently according to the season, requiring access to other seasonally available resources. Gambro became increasingly significant when ǀkouwi bulbs were not available (LL.VIII.16.7450-7451;7450'), and women focused on it when men were collecting Bushman rice, hares and birds (i.e., when the springbok had left, LL.VIII.10.6888'). At these times, gambro had to be eaten in combination with preserved locusts, lest it ‘fight’ them (LL.VIII.7.6679'-6681) and make their heads split and ears sing. Plant foods underwent similar processing treatments to those employed in producing stored faunal products: in an Early-Race narrative, ǀkauru seeds were roasted, sifted (to removed dust) and ground between stones (after removing the husks) to produce a fine meal.

---

154 Potentially reflecting environmental differences: ǂkasin lived closer to the influence of the Cape winter rainfall zone, while ǂhanikass'o dwelt in a region more firmly associated with summer rainfall.
155 This description strongly suggests a mildly toxic biochemical composition, though resources that ‘fought’ had a wider cultural relevance (Chapter 7c:253).
(LL.V.25.5997-6001; ‘flour’, ttamberrer or !kun!kun, Bleek, 1956:243, 543), analogous to that produced from xarru-ssi bulbs in contemporary Bushman practice (LL.VIII.26.7552’). This treatment recalls practices (removing dust and ‘husks’ before grinding up) associated with insect resources; !kúise roots too were treated in a similar manner to locusts, placed in a pre-heated hole in the ground and covered over to bake (LL.V.7.4498’). Similar themes thus emerge in faunal exploitation and plant resource strategies; seasonal variation in particular plant resources over the year was vital to the production of coherent subsistence strategies, requiring intimate knowledge of the range and characteristics of the species present. While plant foods constituted the stable, consistent basis of these subsistence strategies, the necessity for incorporating particular combinations of resources within a ‘palatable’ diet meant that they too were highly dependent on ‘integrated’ socio-economic systems, accessing a wide range of resources over the year.
Chapter 7d: Independent lifeways

The independent existence of |Xam groups was not only sustained by their knowledge of animal behaviour, hunting strategies, and gathering techniques, but also depended upon their ability to produce the wide range of goods that facilitated these practices; as J. Marshall (1973:116) observed for NyaeNyae Jul’hoansi, horns, sinews, skins, and other by-products of hunting were perhaps even more important for subsistence than the nutritive contribution of meat. Shifts in abilities to acquire these resources had implications for the sustainability of wider socio-economic strategies, as it is quite clear that resource access was inter-related: after killing a gemsbok, hunters ‘rejoiced’ to be able to make tough ‘gemsbok-shoes’\(^\text{156}\), that facilitated long-distance expeditions to acquire desirable but patchily distributed resources, including reeds for arrow production and certain types of wood for bows (LL.II.24.2176). |Xam material culture demonstrated their keen awareness and assessment of subtle differences in the resources available to them, as evinced in descriptions of brushes used as ‘handkerchiefs’ for wiping away sweat. Made from ostrich-feathers (LL.VIII.4.6359) or the tails of caama fox, jackal, or bat-eared fox, inserted into a

\(^{156}\) Shoes were made from the skins of a number of antelope species (LL.II.3.453-454, 458, 460), but Bushmen favoured gemsbok (LL.II.24.2171); although inter-related, resources were not precisely interchangeable.
handle made from a ‘driedoorn\textsuperscript{157}’s twig’, and bound together with sinews from the springbok necks (WB.XII.facing 1121-1121’), the construction of this artefact required access to a range of resources. This underpinned functional differentiation, with ostrich-feather brushes being used specifically for wiping the eyes and aardwolf-hair brushes of identical form (called \textit{\textit{|ku}}\textsuperscript{158}) used as cooking and eating utensils, and allowed for assessments of skill in resource acquisition and artefact construction; the tail of the bat-eared fox was preferred for crafting a \textit{\textit{!nabbe}}\textsuperscript{159} (handkerchief) that had ‘no equal in beauty’ (LL.VIII.11.7005’-7007).

Although processes of production must have been crucial for \textit{|Xam} abilities to occupy their territories, complete descriptions of manufacturing processes are rare; they did not form a specific focus for Bleek and Lloyd, although Lloyd later developed more interest in technological processes (Bank, 2006:355-357). One major process that \textit{was} described is that associated with pottery construction, linked to arrow production (see below, 267) in a gendered division of labour; women made pots while men made arrows for shooting springbok (LL.VIII.23.8065’), shaping the omission of the firing stage from the descriptions (J. Deacon, 1996a:259) and highlighting both the limitations of the male-

\textsuperscript{157} Afr. three-thorn, applied today to several species; one likely candidate is \textit{Rhigozum trichotomum}, a woody Karoo shrub first classified by Burchell (1822:298-299).
\textsuperscript{158} Aardwolf (Bleek, 1956:323).
\textsuperscript{159} The ‘driedoorn’ used for the handle was \textit{!nabba} (Bleek, 1956:472); names of \textit{|Xam} artefacts commonly reflected raw materials used in their construction. Reeds gave their name to the arrow, sinew was used for bowstring, and ‘metal’ additionally referred to arrowheads and knives. In a wider context, objects transforming back into their original material is a common narrative feature (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:60; Guenther, 2002).
dominated corpus and the importance of gender for structuring |Xam society.\textsuperscript{160} It required logistical trips to acquire preferred clays\textsuperscript{161} and specific grasses, which were pounded soft, moistened, and worked by hand into small, ‘handsome’ pots (LL.VIII.23.8054-8059), which were rubbed with fat to prevent them splitting. This rubbing of the interior and exterior surfaces with fat, blood, and other substances was described as doing ‘nicely’ (\textit{a:kǝn}) with the pot, recalling the treatment of ‘handsome’ flesh (Chapter 5c:163; LL.VIII.23.8061'-8063') and establishing pottery manufacture as an ‘understanding’ domain. It required a specialised knowledge of (and access to) a range of raw materials, relayed by older relations (Suobbakǝn learnt from her aunt, LL.VIII.23.8059'). Assimilation of this knowledge allowed people to achieve the status of a \textit{kun-lǝn} (see glossary, \textit{a:kǝn}:392), or person who worked nicely (LL.VIII.23.8056'), a positive epithet repeated elsewhere in connection with feminine activities; karosses could be ‘made nicely’ (Chapter 6b:189) and dancing rattles (springbok ears filled with seeds and sewn together, LL.VIII.1.6129') would only sound ‘sweetly’ if made by women who worked ‘nicely’ (LL.VIII.1.6131-6133). Manufacturing processes, then, were as integral part of the construction of adult, responsible, and socially meritorious identities as were strategies of food procurement.

Presumably also reflecting masculine bias, some of the most detailed information relating to material culture pertains to the production of hunting equipment, particularly bows, arrows, and their associated paraphernalia (LL.VIII.26.8290-\textsuperscript{160} Other ‘gendered’ constructions included the \textit{lku} brush (made by men, LL.VIII.1.6082'), mat sieves (made by women LL.VIII.19.7640'-7641'), and perhaps, as \textit{han[kass'o} spoke of traded beads as \textit{not} being made by women (LL.VIII.22.7984), some types of bead.\textsuperscript{161} Dug out using sticks, a ‘feminine’ activity (Chapter 7c:255).
This material was paramount in emic definitions of identity and in non-ǀXam perceptions of these populations: arrow poisons were central to colonial presentations of Bushman hunting, livestock raiding and homicidal resistance (Voss, 1987), with more specific items were also being incorporated, such as a distinctive headband considered emblematic of Bushmen identity during the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries (Daniell, 1804-1805; Stow, 1905:71) and commonly featuring in visual depictions (Figure 7.5:266)\textsuperscript{162}.

Arrow shafts were made from reeds (ǀnwa; Bleek, 1956:488) harvested on logistical forays, as water-dependent species were not distributed homogeneously across the arid Karoo environment. They were straightened using repurposed broken digging-stick stone weights (ǀkui LL.VIII.26.8295'), which imparted a ‘smoothness’ that allowed the arrow to fly ‘nicely’ (LL.VIII.14.7235) in the performance of understanding actions: as with pots, ‘understanding’ was implicated in hunting skills and knowledge regarding appropriate strategies, and in the manufacture of their material culture, providing another dimension of specialisation through which people might participate in resource acquisition. Unsurprisingly, the material culture of archery played particularly significant roles in the construction of masculine identities, social and individual. Bows were metaphorically employed to delineate a social identity as successful, brave, though possibly angry, men (Chapter 6a:175), but there was a more individualised component in their production, which was founded on the careful, personal selection of particular woods that were favoured as raw materials.

\textsuperscript{162} Probably the xaui (not given in the Dictionary); the notebooks referenced a xaui-ǀnwa, referring to an arrow stuck into a band (LL.II.12.1215')
Figure 7.5: “Bushmen Hottentots Armed for an Expedition” (Daniell, 1804-1805)
(LL.VIII.23.8059'). Ina: (Searsia sp., see glossary $\text{gara}$:402) was used rather than $\text{!nabba}$ (driedoorn) or $\text{!karro}$ (probably haakdoring, Acacia mellifera detinens), and this necessitated specific trips, in which men selected sticks, cleaned them and carried them back to camp to dry (LL.II.24.2178-2180). Bows (and arrows) were taken by male relatives after death (LL.II.13.1295), suggesting (through the salience of individual relationships in determining their disposal) a strong personal affiliation between hunters and their material culture. A link between personalisation and incisions was a recurrent feature of $\text{!Xam}$ material culture; the $\text{!au}$: (rib, Bleek, 1956:372; a spoon used to eat gambro), was inscribed with personalised markings identifying its owner (WB.XII.1121'). Just as arrows were marked individually (Chapter 7d:279), so too were bows personalised with fine lines incisions around the points of the bow ('bow’s ear’, LL.VIII.10.6891'; LL.V.24.5911), applied after the owner killed a baboon or hyena and thereby tracking his hunting experiences.

Arrows, perhaps the premier ‘artefact of the mind’ (J. Deacon, 1992:1) for nineteenth century Bushman informants, were typically regarded as being metal-tipped, in an succinct illustration of the socio-economic importance of their interactions with non-Bushman populations. The most common term used to refer to arrowheads was $\text{!gwara}$ (Bleek, 1956:391), denoting metallic objects in general and especially other edged weapons and tools; these were further distinguished with descriptive epithets such as $\text{!karro-ka-!gwara}$ (lit. haakdoorn’s knife,
More detailed descriptions of arrow manufacture deal primarily with metal arrowheads, which were beaten thin with stones (LL.II.19.1703) and sharpened or polished\textsuperscript{164} with the slate-like \(|kouikou|\) stone (or \(|xo|\), LL.II.19.1702'; LL.VIII.21.8319'-8320). In his assessment of certain iron-tipped arrows (LL.VIII.1.6086) as ‘ugly’, \(|han\|kass'o|\) located these items within familiar ‘understanding’ evaluative systems, but the widespread use (and presumed advantages) of metal arrowheads should not distract us from the fact that they formed only one manifestation of |Xam projectile technologies; metal arrowheads were not necessarily functionally distinct from other forms (J. Deacon, 1992). |Xam Bushman populations employed them as vectors for introducing poison\textsuperscript{165} just as they did with stone- or bone-tipped arrows, and the

\textquotedblleft introduction of metal arrowheads was as much a stylistic change as it was an exploitation of new raw materials\textquotedblright{} (J. Deacon, 1992:11). While iron was the \textit{de facto} material for edged implements, its use was not universal: both \(|kabbo|\) (WB.XX.1872) and \(|han\|kass'o|\) (LL.VIII.27.8434) noted that stone flakes might be used as knives\textsuperscript{166} when processing game. The knives were described (by \(|kabbo|\) as Flat Bushman productions made on ‘Flat Place’s stones’ (in contrast to Grass

\textsuperscript{163} |'gwar| also referred to ‘spears’, often translated as ‘assegai’ (WB.IV.561): the ‘exotic’ connotations of this somewhat unfamiliar term arguably render it an apposite translation for the types of |'gwar| associated with ‘different’, non-|Xam populations (Chapter 8b:302, 316). This translation was almost exclusively reserved for tools used in interpersonal conflicts: spears used to drive off or kill anti-social lions (LL.IV.2.3575) are better considered weapons rather than hunting tools (lions were not eaten, WB.1.263).

\textsuperscript{164} Lexically differentiated as \(|kou|\) (sharpening, Bleek, 1956:321) and \(|nanan|\) (polishing, not in \textit{Dictionary}), though the \(|kouikou|\) stone was used in both activities.

\textsuperscript{165} Arrows were identified closely with poison; \(|gao|\) (poison) by extension referred to poisoned arrows (LL.VIII.14.7274-7275).

\textsuperscript{166} Particularly in Early-Race stories (WB.XXXIV.2282; LL.V.1.3613; LL.VIII.32.8827'). If \(|kuru|\) (‘stone knife’, Bleek, 1956:593) is related to \(|xauru|\) (‘knife of a certain kind’, Bleek, 1956:634), the use of stone tools was fairly widespread, as these latter were used to shape bone tools in contemporary practice (LL.V.10.4765').
Bushman practices), and ǃhanikass’o also specifically stated that ‘we’ (presumably, the Flat Bushman group to which he belonged) used stone in the production of projectiles (LL.VIII.1.6086); variations in projectile technologies were therefore implicated in the production of group identity (Chapter 7a:221).

Much of the diversity of the material culture associated with hunting was coincident with the range of techniques employed. Arrows were carried in quivers, made either from kokerboom (Afr. quiver-trees, Aloe dichotoma, LL.II.26.2354’) or from animal skins (LL.VIII.16.7423), or in the !nwa-ka-ǃho (arrow’s bag, Bleek, 1956:542), the latter used only in fine conditions and when few arrows were needed (LL.VIII.30.8663’). When ‘lying-in-wait’ techniques were employed, head-coverings were worn to disguise the hunter (LL.II.23.2081), replacing the arrow-band (xaui) worn when running after prey (LL.II.12.1216). Arrows, especially, displayed this diversity, varying in terms of raw material choice for the link-shaft and point, presence of barbs, use of poison, and presence of fletching, all designed with particular prey species and their hunting strategies\(^{167}\) in mind: when hunting springbok ‘singly’ the ǃkhau, an alternative form of springbok-arrow (without feathers and bone-tipped) was used. Pieces of wood called ǁkaulkauton (LL.II.14.1330’, 1388; LL.II.17.1602’) usually formed the link-shaft of the completed arrow, allowing the reed body of the arrow to fall away from the wounded animal, and leaving the arrowhead embedded in the wound. The ǁkabba (a piece of eland bone) performed the same function for arrows that were intended for shooting eland (LL.V.17.5317-5320); although

\(^{167}\) Burchell (1824:62) reported arrows designed specifically for use in raiding, extending this specialisation to interpersonal conflict.
Dia!kwain did not make their purpose entirely clear, they were designed to fall off the arrow when it struck prey (people examined them to discover the prey species, so the hunter did not break !nanna-se by speaking of it, LL.V.17.5329'-5330'). Used for hunting ostrich and gemsbok, arrows with barbs (lkukan, constructed from an ostrich wing-feather calamus\textsuperscript{168} and bound with sinew on to the link-shaft) were coated in poison (LL.VIII.31.8767', 8769', 8771). By contrast, arrows for springbok that were not poisoned (LL.VIII.1.6807) did not require barbs\textsuperscript{169}: they were called !gware-Opwa (lit. arrowhead-child; Chapter 6b:187), and positively evaluated as ‘clean’ or handsome items (LL.VIII.31.8769'-8770').

Fletched with the feathers of large birds (Kori bustards, Karoo bustards, \textit{Eupodotis vigorsii}, blue cranes, \textit{Anthropoides paradiseus}, and vultures\textsuperscript{170}), |Xam assessment of well-made, handsome arrows incorporated an auditory component; the feathers of arrows that flew well made ‘tearing’ noises, while badly made arrows whistled or hissed (LL.II.36.3250'). The presentation of hunting material culture highlights the degree to which it was consequent on the practices deployed. |Xam hunting strategies required particular tools, and, conversely, effective deployment of these tools required the specialised ethological knowledge arising in hunting experiences; material culture emerges as a domain subject to ‘understanding’ evaluations.

\textsuperscript{168} Assuming ‘wing-feather root’ describes the thickened end of the rachis (LL.VIII.31.8767')
\textsuperscript{169} It is unclear whether they possessed link-shafts: notes on picking up arrows when tracking the wounded springbok (probably fallen shafts) suggest they did (LL.VIII.26.8287-8292).
\textsuperscript{170} Applied to the lammergeier (\textit{Gypaetus barbatus}), a montane species, in the South African Museum, this was mostly likely a reference to the widespread Cape vulture (\textit{Gyps coprotheres}).
Diversity in arrow type was matched by diversity of arrow poisons, which were associated with particular animal prey and with human populations from whom they were traded. Poison production was a critical component of !Xam socio-economies, and it was one that was not distributed equally between individuals and groups: Lichtenstein (1815:248) reported that Northern Cape Bushmen considered poison production an expert skill, which few people ever perfected. Arrow poisons commonly derived from plant sources, and blended masculine and feminine contributions to subsistence. Commonly collected by men (LL.IV.1.3474), they often required feminine ‘digging out’ (Chapter 7c:256) practices: !gwe: (malkopgif, Afr. madness-poison, or Boöphane disticha, Williams et al. 2008) and !kanna (not identified) were both extracted from bulbs. Use of insect species in arrow poisons amongst extant Bushman populations is well documented (Shaw, Woolley and Rae, 1963). The !Xam also drew on these sources, using !kai (insect larvae that resembled the ‘children of bees’) and the abdomen of the ǁk"ururu (L.IV.2.3518-3519; Chapter 7c:250)\(^{171}\) in poison production, as well as other faunal resources such as Cape cobra (Naja nivea) and puffadder venom (LL.VIII.26.8328; LL.IV.1.3477-3479).

Although familiar with the requisite processing techniques, Ikabbo did not know the ‘parents’ of !kai insects, obtaining them in trade with Grass Bushmen (LL.IV.1.3425). !gauukon poison or ‘boom gift’ (Afr. ‘tree poison’,

\(^{171}\) Many Orthoptera accumulate toxins from their diet; Pyrgomorphidae, for example, incorporate cardiac glycosides from milkweeds, Asclepius spp. (Steyn, 1962)
LL.IV.2.inset\textsuperscript{172} was also acquired in long distance trade; \textasciitilde{kas}in bought it from Bushmen who lived farther away (from the colony), relying upon his increased contact with the colonial economy to acquire goods (sheepskins karosses, handkerchiefs, European tinderboxes, metal knives) that these more distant populations desired (LL.IV.1.3476). That such a vital component of !Xam strategies was acquired through trade with geographically distant groups is a powerful reminder that !Xam communities were not ‘isolationist’, instead depending upon their links with others: trading relationships with non-!Xam thus appear as highly congruent with (rather than an imposition upon) !Xam subsistence practice (Chapter 8c:335). Local and specific knowledge was, however, valorised in the complex poison manufacturing processes; \textasciitilde{ku} poison was produced by mixing a ‘vegetable juice’ plant with venom glands, the number of which was determined by whether the snakes had been taken from a ‘strong’ place or a ‘bad’ one (LL.VIII.26.8329-8330). The ability to produce this poison was therefore determined by knowledge of local conditions (where to find ‘powerful’ places), and possession of the requisite understanding concerning the snakes themselves. This was deployed in the familiar context of constructing ‘understanding’ hunting personae, as (for example) in contestations debating whether enough cobra and puffadder ‘heads’ (venom glands) had been incorporated to kill ostrich prey (LL.II.7.781-782).

\textsuperscript{172} Probably a poisonous Euphorbia: \textit{Gifboom} today refers to \textit{Euphorbia virosa} and \textit{Boesmansgifboom} (Afr. Bushman’s poison tree) to \textit{E. avasmontana}. Descriptions of the poison as blackish or dark brown in colour are puzzling, as Euphorbias exude a milky sap; !Xam poisons were commonly admixtures of various substances, which may have altered the colour of the latex.
It is difficult from the texts to ascertain !Xam investment in lithic production for projectile points, as descriptions of arrow manufacture do not focus these variants. Bleek and Lloyd collected only limited terminology relating to lithic production; the only obvious examples are !kwe:, to strike with a stone (Bleek, 1956:331) and !xu:, to collect stones (Bleek, 1956:502). Dunn’s (1873) broadly contemporaneous account of Bushman knapping in the Northern Cape, however, implies the production of standardised formal stone tools. Although the informants stressed masculine labour in arrow production, Dunn observed the work of a female knapper\footnote{If these formal artefacts were the standardised microlithic insets usually assumed to represent arrow armatures (LL.VIII.1.6088-6091), then Dunn’s account directly contradicts ‘masculine’ production of arrows (LL.VIII.23.8065’).} and the description he obtained thereby again recalls the dangers of relying solely on these few individuals, and (particularly in this case) the limitations of the interview context that structures the archive. These limitations are also evident in the absence of detailed information regarding raw material selection practices: Bleek and Lloyd, without access to rock samples from their informants’ territories, recorded little geological information. Several emic categories were outlined, the most secure of which was !goa (Bleek, 1956:383), usually translated as quartz or ‘witklip’ (Afr. ‘white stone’). Deacon’s (1996:266-267) archaeological work in Grass Bushman territory identified a ‘distinctive white chalcedony’ that may represent a local manifestation of this category: the informants themselves applied this term to several samples shown to them, sharing properties of whiteness (LL.VIII.31.8728'; LL.VIII.1.6086) and lustre (LL.VIII.31.8728'; LL.V.22.5574-5575). Deacon (1996) suggests that variation in lithic raw material choice was an important component in differentiating between
Grass and Flat Bushman identity, based on Ḳabbo’s (WB.II.375; WB.XXIII.2156’) emphasis on Grass Bushmen production of *witklip*-tipped arrows (*versus* Flat Bushmen reliance on metal). Other lithic material types are more ambiguously described (Appendix E:488), though *ssa*-ǃnwa-ǀka, (lit. ‘Eland-arrow-stone’) a black stone presumably used in the manufacture of arrows, and related etymologically to ǀkalakagən (blue stone, slate) can be tentatively assigned as hornfels (metamorphic alteration of a range of parent rocks that includes slate): certainly this raw material is common in the archaeological assemblages of the region (Beaumont, Smith and Vogel, 1995).

A recurring theme emerging from references to flaked lithic technologies is the largely *ad hoc* nature of these practices, and expedient production is noted throughout: ‘stone knives’ used to process meat were thrown away after use (LL.VIII.27.8434), these knives were used when they did not have a (metal) knife to hand (WB.XX.1872), and Bushmen who did not possess an axe (ǃk’o, LL.VIII.23.8065’)

174 used stones to split bones and break open tortoise shells (WB.XXVI.2487). Expediency in lithic use was mirrored in other domains of ǀXam material culture, and the paraphernalia of cooking techniques largely took this form: sticks were used as utensils, homogenising ostrich eggs before they were cooked or scooping out honey and insect larvae (Bleek, 1956:489, 670), stones and pits were used to regulate heat175, and tortoise shells, ostrich breastbones, and ox horns were all used as bowls, dishes, or ‘shovels’ (ǀgoro,

---

174 The ǃk’o is only mentioned in this note relating practices of Bushmen who did not possess one. 
175 Associated particularly with processing locusts; ǀgwirri referred specifically to a hole used for roasting locusts (Bleek, 1956:393).
Musical instruments too were often ad hoc modifications of other types of material culture: sinews were used to tie wet springbok skin over the mouth of a pot, creating a drum as it dried and tightened (LL.VIII.1.6126′), and at least one type of musical bow (lha: or goura) was an ordinary bow with a quill insert attached near one end of the bowstring (Stow, 1905:109). Bags made of stomachs (ǃgwa:na and ǂkabba, used for carrying water, LL.V.11.4870′; ǃkhouken used to hold tobacco, WB.XXV.2355) were also used expediently; when a springbok was killed, its stomach, fastened by running a stick through the opening (LL.VIII.28.8502′), formed a vessel for transporting its blood back to camp (LL.VIII.23.8064). These expedient aspects of their material culture point to fundamental flexibilities in ǀXam subsistence strategies and socio-cultural practices, a significant dimension that would be largely invisible in the absence of the Bleek-Lloyd archive.

The use of material culture to construct masculine subsistence roles had a counterpart in associations between women’s particular mode of acquisition (ǀk"wa, Chapter 7c:256) and the production of digging-tools as a similarly feminine practice: women manufactured the digging-stick stones (ǃkwe:, also referring to the implement as a whole, Bleek, 1956:463) that provided heft for excavation (LL.VII.1.6046.5), grinding them out of a soft white stone176 from the Strandberg (WB.IX.906′; Figure 7.6:276), and attaching them to sticks collected

---

176 W. Bleek’s note was given only in English, but the use of ‘soft white stone’ as a phrase implies it was the translation offered for a particular, specific ǀXam term; ǃkue!kuerriton, which hänikass’o described as a soft white stone not used in the production of ‘stone knives’, seems a likely candidate (LL.VIII.27.8433′).
Figure 7.6: The Strandberg in the Kendhardt-Brandvlei-Vanwyksvlei triangle

(as with bows) from particular tree species. Gender identities were also marked through clothing; although larger karosses were worn by both men and women, ‘aprons’ or loincloths took different forms. Women wore the !kwobbo (LL.II.6.652) on the back and the t'wariŋ in front, while men worn the lshi: (‘forekaross’, LL.IV.3.3709’), commonly made from jackals’ skin (often referred to as a ‘jackal’). Smaller karosses had, in general, a gendered aspect, as a whole range (lhenni, !k'ausi and !e-si WB.XXVI.2457’) were employed by women as carrying devices, usually for children: men used nets made of sinew for carrying objects (LL.VIII.23.8000-8001). Much !Xam ornamentation, by contrast, did not
denote gender, although seed-pod necklaces (LL.IV.1.3436) and ǂkhwi, (metal ornaments constructed from cut-up brass tinderboxes, tied into the hair with thread, LL.II.15.1440-1441) were both made and worn by women. ǂkhwi were part of a suite of head ornaments tied into the hair and hanging over the face that connoted positively valued visual attributes; the ‘brightly shining’ round metal ornament called ǂuhi-ǃhoa177 (LL.II.15.1445’), which was fastened to hang down in the middle of the forehead, and the ǂk’anni or ‘beautiful’ black and white head ornaments (LL.VIII.25.8230’), worn by men and women.

The ǂk’anni sat in a collection of patterned, bicoloured objects, such as the striped ǂgi lizard (LL.VIII.32.8858’), the water’s children (LL.VI.1.3941’), and the zebra (LL.II.3.417), that were classified as beautiful. Beads made of ostrich eggshell (or bone, LL.VIII.22.7984) may also have evoked this patterned beauty, as they were evidently coloured either by heat treatment or the application of ochreous pigments: ǂhanǂkass’o’s commentary on beads was prompted by ‘red and white things’ seen in a rock art copy Lloyd had shown him. Worn as necklaces (LL.VIII.26.7826-7827) or in piercings (WB.1.304), there is little indication that they were associated with a particular gender: ear and nose piercings were ‘handsome’ traits for both genders (LL.II.2.360-361). Ornamentation, then, primarily referenced ‘beauty’, and descriptions of the Caracal in the Day-Heart story (LL.II.15.1440-1447) make it clear that distinctive, personalised ornaments were integral to her ‘handsomeness’. Beauty was, in many contexts, associated with youthfulness, ‘newness’ and freshness (Chapter 5c:163, 165): youth was

177 This term is not given in the Dictionary, but may combine ǂshi (to cross, precede, pass, be upon, over, Bleek, 1956:495) with ǃhoa (to put, lay, Bleek, 1956:398).
explicitly emphasised as a trait contributing to handsomeness in people (LL.II.13.1245-1246). Ornamentation that made people handsome may therefore have reflected age rather than gender divisions, and some ornamentation practices (especially the application of pigments) deliberately amalgamated handsomeness, youth, and masculinity (LL.VIII.15.7275'). By contrast with the lithic materials used in tool production, the soft ferruginous minerals used by the |Xam as pigments are well documented. To: and |ka:č referred to red haematite (Afr. rooiklip, red stone), and |hara most likely designated specularite. Precolonial specularite mining is known archaeologically from regions north of the Orange River (Humphreys and Thackeray, 1983:100-107), but the exact source of the |Xam minerals is obscure, with accounts merely mentioning a ‘mountain’. It was apparently collected directly rather than traded, and the pigments were all acquired from the same source (LL.VIII.14.7280): Zoovoobij (Smith, 1983:7) and Nauga (corresponding with 'Nougat, identified by Moffat (1854:158) as a site where Bushmen dug out pigments) are both possibilities. |hara was valued for its cosmetic qualities, eminently suitable for making young men ‘handsome’, LL.VIII.14.7275’) and tied to positively evaluated ‘fat’ through its lustrous qualities (LL.VIII.14.7272'-7273’, Chapter 5c:164). Red pigments were more complex, with a greater range of uses: the two categories overlapped considerably in use, although the linguistic differentiation was maintained (LL.VIII.23.8072'-8073). The colour of the material was the primary factor in its deployment, determining its use by the New Maiden, in attempts to attract the rain
(LL.VI.1.3969'; LL.VIII.16.7424) and to protect young men from !khwa:'s anger (LL.VI.1.3973; Chapter 5c:165).

The key difference between to: and !ka:ξ lay in the connection between to: and !gi:tǝn. ‘Sorcerers’ (presumably of the anti-social non-living type, Chapter 6c:209) lived in holes at the to: mines, and made locusts out of this mineral (LL.VIII.14.7272'-7279'; LL.V.21.5709'): these they sent about as ‘fighting things’ (see Glossary entry, la::402) to cause illness (LL.V.21.5708') or a form of ‘magic arrow’ (Chapter 6c:203). Bushmen applied the same pigment to the reed shafts of their own arrows (LL.VIII.26.8288') in the creation of individual identification marks (ikettǝn). This association was cemented in exchange relationships that saw to: (and lhara) being given in return for arrows (LL.VIII.14.7272-7273), as part of a larger series of exchanges between married couples, involving springbok skin bags, mineral pigments, and poisoned arrows.

The ‘individualisation’ of material culture represented a form of diversification in production that underpinned some key areas of exchange between !Xam communities. Although the exact structure of these exchange relationships is unclear, the complementary nature of the labour of the conjugal partners in generating them emphasises their dependence on social relationships. Tsatsi’s acquisition of dogs from !gappǝm-tu (LL.VIII.16.7456'-7458') evoked similar social dimensions, with female relations playing a vital role in his ability to complete the transaction. This exchange implied that delayed reciprocity was a feature of !Xam exchange, as Tsatsi waited for the dog to kill a jackal and for the women to dress the skin before returning with a jackal kaross; this delayed
exchange, when coupled with individual ownership of arrows, suggests that Jul’hoansi hxaro exchange networks (Wiessner, 1977, 1994) may provide broadly appropriate idioms for discussing exchange amongst |Xam individuals.

Deacon (1996:269) highlights the dearth of scrapers (a typical tool of Later Stone Age assemblages elsewhere) in LSA assemblages of the interior Karoo. The |Xam must either have been using more informal tools\textsuperscript{178} or non-lithic implements to dress their skins, for the archive suggests that the preparation and dressing of skins formed a major component of their material culture, one that moves beyond exchanges involving only |Xam individuals and introduces a triad of concepts that will become significant in Chapter 8. Karosses were made from the skins of wild fauna, most commonly springbok (LL.II.3.453), but also from sheep-skins and goat-skins (WB.XXIII.2156'), incorporating livestock within a spectrum of subsistence resources rather than dividing wild and domesticated species. Access to livestock resources varied, and, while some |Xam did own domesticates (Chapter 8a:282), the production of karosses was an important component in their labour relations with non-Bushman populations (LL.II.6.650). Finally, the use of ǂoro (implements carved from springbok cannon bones) to sew karosses was taken by the Grass Bushmen as a marker of the relative lack of Flat Bushman knowledge and experience of dealing with European material culture, and by extension of trading with European populations: references to karosses record the incorporation of new resources, the development of new socio-economic

\textsuperscript{178} Perhaps similar to Nama use of ǁkhom stones (Webley, 2005:159, 169); a powdered white stone called ǀxwomma (LL.VIII.21.7834) was used by the |Xam for working skins.
strategies based on interaction with the new groups in their territories, and the discontinuation of other forms of practice or material culture.

These three themes are replicated with other forms of material culture. Tinderboxes (doro) employed by nineteenth century !Xam were commonly of European manufacture; both Dia!kwain and !han!kass’o (i.e. Grass and Flat Bushmen) remarked upon the incorporation of this colonial material culture (LL.V.10.4759-4763; LL.VIII.28.8472’). Other forms of fire-making knowledge were retained, including the use of arrow-shafts to kindle friction-fires (LL.VIII.28.8471-8472) and manufacture of springbok-horn tinderboxes, but were indicative of ‘poverty’ rather than representative of ‘proper’ practices. Spoons, used to skim fat from soup (LL.II.14.1354) or scoop blood from pots (LL.VIII.23.8065), might be constructed from wood or the horns of springbok (LL.VIII.20.7811’), but sheep horns were equally typical, and the same word referred also to iron spoons obtained through trade (LL.V.4.4199’-4200). Although ‘traditional’ material culture (items that could have been utilised in the precolonial past) continued to play an important role in nineteenth century !Xam life, it was increasingly being rendered redundant by changing behaviour. Conversely, new resources were increasingly incorporated as raw materials or as novel items within a creolised ‘!Xam’ suite of material culture, and new or changed practices (underpinning the deployment of material culture) that reflected participation in new relationships with non-!Xam populations became widespread.
Chapter 8: |Xam Interactions

Chapter 8a: Engagement with domesticated species

This chapter considers the influence of |Xam understandings (Chapter 5 and 6) and subsistence activities (Chapter 7) on their relationships with a range of non-|Xam individuals, exploring their emic divisions of Northern Cape populations: I include here relationships with domesticated animals and introduced forms of material culture under the rubric of ‘interaction’. This section focuses on whether behaviours inherent in contacts with food-producers represented the disaggregation of |Xam ‘hunter-gatherer’ identity and practice, exploring participation in pastoralism, labouring for immigrant European and mixed-heritage groups, raiding practices, and trading engagements. |Xam relationships with domesticated fauna were numerous and long-standing, incorporated into the practical exigencies of their everyday experiences, and thoroughly integrated into mythological narratives and significant rituals: moving approximately from greatest to least prominence, I discuss interactions with dogs, herds, and horses.
Although the details are poorly understood, complicated by difficulties in separating domesticated from wild canids (Horsburgh, 2008), archaeological evidence confirms that interactions with dogs represent the longest-standing connection between southern African hunter-gatherer populations and domesticates (P. Mitchell, 2008). Fittingly then, dogs represent the most prominent domesticated species in the archive, although the distribution of references to them is highly skewed: !kweitǝn-ta-ǃkəŋ mentioned dogs only once, whereas Dia!kwain, ǃkasin, and ǁkabbo all discussed them frequently. Dogs were commonly characterised as extensions of hunter personae, closely associated with their owners (ǃkuin-ta-ǃkwí, ‘dog’s man’, WB.XXIII.2158), and it is in this guise that they emerge in male informants’ narratives, a closeness of identity expressed in a manner consistent with the other inter-specific links (Chapter 5a:139). This relationship between the ‘dog’s man’ and his animal was expressed by the incorporation of dogs within the connection between the successful hunter and his quarry: if a ‘dog’s man’ broke the forelegs of prey killed by his dog, corresponding bones would break in his dog’s leg when it was next running down game (LL.V.20.5604), while dogs that consumed inappropriately would cause the men to miss their aim (LL.VIII.14.7268) or injure themselves (LL.VIII.14.7269). Like humans, dogs could develop special abilities or traits by amalgamating other scents and substances with their own identities; as dogs were in large part hunting tools, this often took the form of treatments for undesirable characteristics (e.g. the koro-ka-Ohó plant made dogs willing to kill jackals, LL.VIII.21.7830).
Dogs were thus sufficiently associated with humans to be subject to dietary restrictions; some of their rules, such as avoiding the springbok shoulderblades (forbidden to women, as this would cause men to miss their aim, LL.VIII.14.7263), were identical to human proscriptions. Dogs, exempt from the ‘cannibalism’ proscription (Chapter 5b:149) on consuming the piece of flesh from the hare’s thighs (LL.VIII.28.8398) and otherwise given food unfit (or undesirable) for human consumption (LL.II.25.2304-2305), were not, however, categorically identical with humans. The relationship between dogs and the New Maiden (who ‘treated’ her father’s dog to make it hunt well) mirrored her treatment of his hunting accoutrements; before she could eat meat from game killed by this dog, she had to feed it meat mixed with saliva and dirt from her knee (i.e. familiarise it with her identity). Though dogs possessed their own agency, identities, and unique personal names (LL.VIII.26.8279), their special status (much like those of ǀkaggǝn’s ‘hartebeest children’, Chapter 5a:139) was connected intimately to their deployment as part of a hunting kit ‘owned’ by human agents. Dogs’ actions were discussed in familiar terms: if they were not treated by the New Maiden, they would ‘play’ with game rather than kill it (LL.V.20.5597-5603) and do ‘foolish things’. This inappropriate behaviour highlights the fact that a properly performing, competent dog was displaying ‘understanding’ behaviour, as part its deployment within ‘understanding’ hunting practice (itself directed by people).

The extension of identities between humans and dogs could be put to good use by these understanding individuals: if a baboon captured a dog, the hunters would
misrepresent it as a ‘girl’s dog’\textsuperscript{179}, which would cause the baboon to treat the dog with respect and fear rather than injuring it (LL.V.24.5953-5956). This desire to injure dogs was situated in the context of baboons’ antipathetic attitudes towards Bushman hunters (Chapter 6b:194), and by obscuring the ‘dog’s man’ relationships the hunters presumably were intimating to the baboons that they had engaged inappropriately in interactions with animals that were not associated with hunting. \(|Xam|\) conceptions of dogs emphasised their superlative olfactory senses that constructed their protective or alerting roles. Dogs defended against intrusions of anti-social beings, paradigmatically lions (LL.VI.2.4020-4021; but also antagonistic \textit{!gi:tan}, LL.VIII.15.7300-7301), into the domestic sphere, providing early warnings that allowed people time to prepare correct responses. Their relationships with non-human forces on the hunting-ground also facilitated appropriate action\textsuperscript{180}: \(\textit{!}kasin\)’s dogs held down a hyena by the ears and thereby gave him the opportunity to shoot it (LL.IV.1.3465-3467), and Dia!kwain described them attempting to hold leopards encountered in the \textit{!kau: xu} (LL.V.18.5442-5443), broadly paralleling the role of dogs in driving off lions in central Kalahari hunting practice (Ikeya, 1994:127-128)\textsuperscript{181}.

\(|Xam|\) relationships with dogs were firmly integrated into their ontological understandings of the world; firstly, as tools contributing to hunting success, and secondly, as animals linked intimately to particular individuals. Combined, these

\textsuperscript{179} Parallel practice were performed with arrows, if the baboons pulled them out after they had been shot (LL.V.24.5920-5921).

\textsuperscript{180} Dogs were not equally suited to all hunting interactions: \(\textit{!}kasin\) tied his up to prevent them interfering with attempts to steal up to springbok undetected (LL.IV.2.3549-3550).

\textsuperscript{181} Antithetical relationships between lions and dogs were also recognised by the \(|Xam|\), forming a central dynamic in the Early-Race narrative of the young man who attempted to raise a lion cub as his dog (see glossary entry, \textit{!kui-se!khwi-ku}:427).
two factors rendered their position rather different to that occupied by game species or wild carnivores, with their treatment being reminiscent of the strictures that all |Xam were exhorted to obey for the good of the community: placed in the light of Ingold’s (2000) trust versus domination model for discussing relationships between humans and animals (Chapter 2:39), |Xam treatment of dogs fits more comfortably in the latter category, although metaphors drawn from familial obligations and responsibilities (i.e. factors that structured human relations) provide more appropriate referents than the slavery idiom employed by Ingold.

While it took different forms depending on the species, the first of these integrations was repeated in interactions with other domesticated species, as livestock were recognised as components of subsistence strategies: Dia!kwain even explicitly equated sheep with the all-important springbok. Dia!kwain’s mother exhorted Ttanno-ǃkaukän (a ǃgi:xa) to let the springbok travel to her place because they lacked flocks (gu-xa)\(^{182}\), presenting the demand for springbok as a requirement for an equivalent subsistence staple (LL.V.10.4742). Although the total number of domesticated species mentioned by the informants is elevated by occasional notes on animals such as pigs (ǀho, Glossary:404; LL.VIII.22.7991; LL.VIII.23.8015) or fowl (denoted by the presumably onomatopoeic term kukuru, LL.I.2.135), sheep overwhelmingly dominate references to livestock, as is only to be expected for individuals used only to encountering Northern Cape farming practices (Chapter 4c:121). Though hunter-gatherer interactions with

\(^{182}\) D. Bleek (1956:52) linked this the Nama gu:i (sheep); it may represent a loanword. Dia!kwain translated it as vee (Afr. cattle, livestock generally), commenting that it referred to sheep or goats in particular (LL.V.10.4741).
livestock and livestock-owning communities in the Nama-Karoo had a considerable precolonial dimension (Chapter 4a:88), experiences recorded in the informants’ personal histories are primarily associated with European or Bastaard colonial populations and their domesticates. While this is not surprising given the dramatic consequences of colonial expansion into the region, it does raise the necessary caveat that these relationships are not necessarily appropriate analogues for precolonial interaction with these species.

Colonial populations characterised raiding as the quintessential ‘Bushman’ form of interaction with livestock (Chapter 2:31), and Bleek and Lloyd’s informants were well acquainted with these practices. ǀaǃkunta, ǀkabbo and ǀhanǁkass’o, and some of the minor informants (Jan Plat, LL.V.19.5454-5456), were all convicted for stock theft or for consuming stolen sheep and goats; their arrests emerged from personal involvement in the widespread raiding of the First Korana War.\(^{183}\) Familiarity with raiding was reflected in its thorough incorporation into ǀXam schemes of understanding; ǀkabbo attributed the desire to steal livestock (‘from hunger’) to ǀkaggǝn’s influence on the ‘thinking strings’ (LL.II.4.501’; Chapter 6b:173). This link with ‘thinking-strings’ placed stock theft within the realm of foolish versus understanding action; the derangement of these strings by ǀkaggǝn (usually ‘cunning’, often foolish, and frequently in antagonistic relationships with human agents) implies an unfavourable assessment of such action, a perhaps not-unprising judgment from a man who was ultimately arrested for stock theft.

---

\(^{183}\) Livestock acquisition was an important component of the Koranna War conflicts: a commission set up after the Second Koranna War recorded over six and a half thousand head lost (House of Assembly, 1880; Chapter 4c:118).
The *bokmakierie* (*Telophonus* sp., a bushshrike) informed Bushmen (not involved in the theft) of other Bushmen stealing cattle, when coming to tell them of ‘bad things which it knows’ (LL.V.25.6021’). This belief too represents an example of ‘understanding’ behaviour, with sufficiently skilled people correctly interpreting these ethological occurrences to avoid danger and acquire useful information from afar about the status of resources (Chapter 6b:197). Dia!kwain’s reference to the ‘bad things’ it told, and his statement that the *bokmakierie* should not be mocked (*ïgwitan*, see glossary entry *k“e:nk“e:n*:397) lest it cause injury (LL.V.25.6023), cast a negative light on the type of ‘understanding’ derived from interpretations of its behaviour: potential consequences of engaging in stock raiding (or sharing its spoils) thus undermined attributes and abilities normally considered praiseworthy or demonstrative of unusual skill. Even the premier socially-responsible practice, food-sharing, might have disastrous consequences if it involved stolen livestock; Kuirri-tu was killed by a farmer after he travelled to share in an ox (LL.VIII.11.6978‘-6969’). As even displays of extra-ordinary potency (such as !nuin-!kuitǝn’s foray in the form of lion to kill an ox, LL.V.15.5089-5090) did not provide protection from the consequences, participation in livestock-raiding practices becomes a compelling testimony of the degree to which !Xam subsistence strategies were undermined by encroaching pastoralists.

Despite their familiarity with raiding, the dominant representations of interactions with sheep are in the context of herding. Although there are no narratives comparable to hunting descriptions that deal with herding experiences specifically, incidental remarks noting that particular events took place while
herding sheep are scattered throughout; Ikabbo encountered a \textit{\textit{lk}\textit{h\textit{a}:\textit{ka-mumu}} (‘lion ghost’) when driving sheep home after sunset (WB.XIII.2190), and \textit{\textit{lgoo-ka-\textit{l\textit{wi}}} was out herding sheep when he ‘saw the wind’ (LL.VIII.8.6715-6724). In both cases, shepherding ‘framed’ events that would not be incongruous in the hunting narratives, presenting as an activity that took people out into the veld: though it did not \textit{require} interaction with non-human forces to the same extent as hunting, it took people into the \textit{\textit{k\textit{a\textit{uxu}}} where non-human forces might be encountered at any time (Chapter 6c:201). Although interactions with sheep \textit{per se} did not provide specific support for |Xam notions of the motivations and capacities of prey species, they at least provided a space in which |Xam beliefs could receive confirmation. This contiguity of herding and hunting or gathering strategies was also seen in their integration into socio-cultural practice. Man-sse received the nickname \textit{\textit{l\textit{k\textit{au-\textit{\textit{noan}}}}} (Stone-Knee, Bleek, 1956:349, 412) after an injury obtained when he fell down running after the sheep. Practices of nicknaming (\textit{gen}, Glossary:395; Bleek, 1956:46; LL.VIII.32.8808) were widespread, with people receiving additional names that referenced particular events in their lives. For men this typically meant incidents occurring while hunting: \textit{\textit{l\textit{kh\textit{wai-\textit{k\textit{wa}}}}} (gemsbok-leg, Bleek, 1956:431, 457) was named for a gemsbok that stabbed his leg (LL.V.18.5419) and Dia!kwain’s father received the name \textit{\textit{g\textit{wai-\textit{l\textit{k\textit{a}}}}} (Clay-hand, Bleek, 1956:336, 649) after dislocating his thumb when stumbling in the clay, running in front of springbok (LL.V.20.5572-5573). Even when involved in shepherding activity, Mansse was embedded in a network of |Xam-speakers who continued naming practices widespread among groups
engaged in more ‘traditional’ subsistence practices, a succinct demonstration of vitality in |Xam culture even in close association with pastoralist activities.

Male informants recounted personal experiences with these activities as part of their interactions with colonial farmers: Dia!kwain and Jan Plat recounted a herding route ranging widely across the south-west Hantam, in the course of their shepherding activities (Figure 8.1:291), as part of Dia!kwain’s close association with the van Wyks (Europeans mentioned frequently by him, LL.V.10.4717-4718; LL.V.16.5446), whose farms were situated here. Informants’ notes on sheep demonstrate highly pragmatic orientations founded in herding experiences, encountered in these transhumant strategies. Just as with hunting techniques (Chapter 7b:234, 239, 248), this included close observation of the behaviour of the relevant species: different techniques of physical interaction with wild and domesticated species were linguistically distinguished, with ssawai describing ‘driving’ tame animals (which could be approached closely), and Igamme-sse the driving of wild animals by chasing them (LL.V.9.4618’). Sheep breeds were distinguished through their possession of particular physical and behavioural traits. They contrasted the ‘Africaander’ or ‘Kaap’ sheep (,!gei, Bleek, 1956:381)184 with the European-introduced Farland and Mof breeds (,!k”o:a, Bleek, 1956:508), on the basis that the former returned to their kraals without being led, while the latter would not return from the veld unless herded back (LL.VIII.8.6717’).

---

184 Indigenous fat-tailed sheep breeds of the Cape; ‘Africaander’ presumably represents one of the extant Namaqua or Ronderib Afrikaner breeds, or a closely related extinct variety. All are mutton stock with fat deposits distributed around the tail and hairy rather than woolly coats, likely the result of selection for kaross production (Campbell, 1995; Smith, 2000:223).
Figure 8.1: Shepherding route; ʈɐ]-tɐ]-iξi; ‘Jang ora’
Introduced breeds were separated into three categories on the basis of their wool, a phenotypically and economically salient feature with the rise of wool-production as the major impetus for sheep farming by the mid-nineteenth century (Chapter 4c:121): they were differentiated into ‘Farland schaap’ (vaderland skaap, Afr. fatherland-sheep)\textsuperscript{185} with thick hair, Mof sheep with hair that was ‘so much and so big’ (merinos), and a cross between the two (LL.I.2.184). \|Xam interactions with sheep did not incorporate these animals in isolation, and were situated in relationships with colonial stock-owning communities. Occurrences of European vocabulary (\textit{e.g.} hamel, Afr. wether, LL.II.33.3022') for techniques of stock management indicate that much of their more specialised knowledge of domesticates drew heavily upon European or Bastaard sources, and was of fairly recent origin (though it is difficult to be certain that Afrikaans vocabulary did not overlay prior familiarity). These interactions were predominantly framed in a coercive idiom, as farmers attempted to control and direct \|Xam labour through the abduction of \|Xam children (WB.I.308) and the subjection of ‘their’ herders to disciplinary violence; both processes illustrated in a narrative concerning the fate of Ruyter\textsuperscript{186}, who died after being beaten by a farmer for perceived deficiencies in his herding work (LL.V.23.5873).

Despite the herding experiences of male informants, however, it was !kweitǝn-ta-\|kǝƞ who spoke most frequently about sheep (in relative terms). Most

\textsuperscript{185} ‘Farland’ is a corruption of \textit{vaderland} (Bleek, 1956:508), used in the nineteenth century to refer to stock derived from European breeds (\textit{e.g.} Bird and Colebrooke, 1823:235)

\textsuperscript{186} Ruyter was the brother of Jan Plat; they were Grass Bushmen, living at \textit{im-i-tye-ksi} near present day Calvinia. Ruyter grew up on Old Koos Struys’ farm at “Young Gra”, and was later murdered by this man’s son (Figure 8.1:290)
significantly, she recounted that after emerging from her seclusion the New Maiden, together with the xoakan-gu, consumed a sheep (LL.VI.2.4003): young men were specifically excluded from this consumption. This seemingly represents an intersection of Bushman and Khoekhoen beliefs around the locus of domesticated species, strikingly similar to menarcheal rites observed among a range of Khoekhoe groups, including the Nama (Hoernlé, 1918) and the Korana (Maingard, 1932:141-142; Engelbrecht, 1936), and extant among Griqua populations in the Northern Cape today (Waldeman, 2003:662). Their widespread occurrence suggests that such practices draw upon a common ‘Khoisan’ core (Barnard, 1992:252), and that, despite the obvious differences between Bushmen and Khoekhoe populations, their shared history and overlapping territories may have rendered certain cultural practices mutually ‘meaningful’. Such meanings need not have been identical, and deployment of sheep in ritualised New Maiden behaviours likely derived from wider associations of the role in |Xam society. The connection probably stemmed from sheep fat deposits, with indigenous southern African sheep breeds having distinctive large concentrations of fat in their tails. !khwa: was attracted to ‘fatness’ (Chapter 7b:239, Chapter 5b:153) and foodstuffs belonging to him, such as tortoises and porcupine tails, usually displayed this quality: the incorporation of fat-tailed sheep with ritualised treatments of the figure most intimately associated with !khwa: thus situates these domesticates in their logical niche, in terms of |Xam criteria for resource evaluation.

Sheep and goats were occasionally employed in Early-Race stories in connection with the establishment of proper ‘human’ order. In one version of the Day’s-Heart
story, the contents of goats’ stomachs were used to rub the (beast-of-prey) hair off the wild Caracal, restoring much of her ‘human’ (Early-Race person) identity (LL.VIII.27.8428-8427), and after |kaggǝn-Opwa and |kwamman’a-Opwa killed |khwai-hem (releasing the things he had swallowed, Chapter 6b:182), the restoration of the sheep and their kraal made the place handsome (a:kǝn) once more (LL.II.34.3140). Small stock appear in Early-Race narratives as notably ‘mundane’ features, treated as objects belonging to Early-Race characters or groups much as they would belong to any contemporaneous pastoralist group (LL.II.32.2926); their significance comes from their incorporation into the strategies of these groups (e.g. motivating |kaggǝn’s desire to visit the Ticks). Cattle and horses intruded only rarely in these Early-Race stories, being much more closely associated with the colonial situation. As with sheep, brief references to larger livestock outlined concerns regarding their behaviour in the context of labour associated with their care, speaking of the timings for cattle eating, drinking, and sleeping in the context of tending herds (LL.VIII.1.6058-6059), interactions with domestic livestock at Bleek’s house in Mowbray (‘taking care’ of it, LL.II.22.1956-1964; Chapter 6b:188), practical concerns about livestock diet (LL.IV.1.3473-3474), and evaluations of the relative abilities and value of domesticated species (mules versus horses, LL.VIII.24.8170’). These domesticates were sometimes linked explicitly to colonial scenarios, and horses were often experienced as tools of colonial populations, used to control |Xam movement and facilitating violent action taken against them (LL.V.21.5703’).

187 Horses and other domesticated equines were only introduced into the subcontinent during the colonial period; derivations of Afrikaans terms (eseletǝn for mules, LL.VIII.24.8170’ and bara/para for horses, Bleek, 1956:156) were commonly used for these species.
Unlike Bushman populations that inhabited the south-eastern portions of the subcontinent (Challis, 2009:104-107) Karoo groups do not appear to have adopted horses: Collins (1808a, 3rd February) recounted that practices of stealing horses were “unknown among the Bosjesmen of Karee Berg and the neighbourhood of Zak River” at the beginning of the nineteenth century. In part, this was related to environmental difficulties in sustaining horse populations there, and even experienced horse-keeping groups found the lack of water and dearth of pasture a major challenge (Jackson, 1879a:xxiii).

Some Bushman individuals by the mid-nineteenth century were well, however, enough acquainted with horse riding to judge distances in these terms (LL.II.35.3161), suggesting that familiarity with these animals on an individual level had developed by this time, either through horse ownership or through the increasing percolation of ‘horse-knowledge’ into the region as it became incorporated within the colonial purview. One of the few direct confirmations of |Xam ownership of livestock (rather than interactions with animals belonging to other people) is present in Diaǃkwain’s reference to cattle he once owned, given to his wife by his father-in-law. Although his ability to control and look after them was limited, his account demonstrates vividly the benefits of being able to access even small numbers of stock (LL.V.9.4678’). After the death of his wife, he relied heavily upon the assistance of his female relatives, as he needed milk to feed his young child (Chapter 6b:187); Diaǃkwain observed that had he maintained his control of the cattle, he would have been able to feed his child without familial assistance, which (given the deployment of constructions of responsibility and
obligation in mobilising this assistance, Chapter 6b:187) points to the potential significance of domesticates in altering the terms of interpersonal relationships. The importance of secondary dairy products to pastoralist populations in providing subsistence without depleting herds is well known (Sadler et al. 2010); where colonial writers emphasised Bushman interactions with domesticates as ‘improvident’ (Chapter 2:31), Dia!kwain’s discussion of dairy products as a fallback against the sometimes precarious nature of Karoo subsistence suggests emic |Xam conceptions recognised secondary products as salient components of their interactions with cattle.

Although mentioned only infrequently, the existence of specialised terminology referring to domestic stock suggests that focusing on narrative appearances alone may misrepresent their wider significance. Recalling springbok terminology (Chapter 7b:238), a number of colour terms related exclusively to horses: Ḳháttən (Bleek, 1956:642) for ‘schimmel’ horses (grey and roan horses, Pettman, 1913:429) and !lə:tən (Bleek, 1956:370) for horses with a white blaze. Further cultural elaborations accompanied this terminology, with horses presented as ‘praise-worthy’ animals that ‘danced’ as they cantered. They were associated particularly with women, who praised them by addressing them with an ‘admiratory ejaculation’ (a special click, LL.VIII.31.8736’) and referenced them in ‘ǃkuppəm’, a women’s play or dance. Although these treatments were discussed only cursorily, it is evident that horses were becoming well-integrated into the nineteenth century |Xam socio-cultural milieu, even though they were not acquired by these groups. Such integration finds further confirmation in the fact
that (despite not appearing as *characters* in Early-Race narratives) livestock formed key referents in these narratives. Oxen were, for example, linked with the superlative size of the eland (LL.II.10.1096'), which *kaggǝn* ‘worked’ until it grew to become ‘like an ox’ (LL.VIII.6.6523); in another instance, their contemporary behaviour was used to evoke the actions of game during Early-Race times (LL.V.19.5457), providing a powerful ‘experiential’ manifestation of this period. The deployment of domestication as a referent for Early-Race animal-human relationships cast relationships between pastoralists and their herds as ‘outside’ the correct social order, perhaps most similar to the *Opwaiton-ta-ǃgi:ton* (themselves an ‘extra-ordinary’ group, Chapter 6c:209) who influenced the movement of game animals. These extra-ordinary connotations of influence over domesticated species can only have been strengthened by references to domesticates in rain-making practices. Both horses and cattle were connected with these practices, perhaps the most important domain of ǃXam ritual action: *bara-ka-ǃhauǃhau* (horse’s-thongs Bleek, 1956:156, 396) were evocative of ǃgi:ton abilities in influencing rain, as they ‘rode’ and ‘bound’ it for their own ends (LL.VIII.27.8399'). More commonly, the rain was likened to cattle, with distinctive characteristics based on gender: the rain-bull had to be bound with a thong and coerced out (LL.V.3.4075), bringing an angry rain (LL.II.25.2227), by contrast with rain-cow, which was ‘gentle’ and could be ‘ridden’ (LL.II.25.2238').

Contrasting with more ‘ordinary’ small stock, these larger animals were referents for ‘potent’, non-ordinary action, a distinction that implies a much greater degree of ǀXam familiarity with small stock.
Chapter 8b: The people who were ‘different’

Korana populations, the dominant indigenous nineteenth century pastoralist groups of the middle Orange River (Chapter 4c:116), are by far the most frequently mentioned indigenous pastoralists in the archive. It is likely that nineteenth century Korana groups incorporated immigrant Cape Khoekhoen as well as populations occupying the middle Orange River prior to historical documentation (Strauss, 1979; Penn, 1995); despite their awareness of hunter-gatherer populations living there (Chapter 7a:222), informants made no reference to the non-Korana pastoralist Khoekhoe populations occupying the region during the historical period. They did refer to the Namaqua (LL.V.22.5871’; LL.VIII.19.7675) on occasion, but none of the main informants had much contact with this group188; where these encounters did occur (on the western ‘Grass Bushman’ extremes of |Xam territories) relationships seem to have been essentially similar to those obtaining between Bushmen and the Korana, with overlapping life histories of intermarriage and linguistic exchange (LL.V.23.5872). Historically recorded Korana (and other trans-colonial Khoekhoe populations) were rapidly reconfiguring their societies to incorporate the new

---

188 And groups such as the Gyzikoa, incorporating Tswana influence (Penn, 1995:38-42).
189 Flat Bushmen informants suggested strong Nama influences on Grass Bushmen dialects (Chapter 7a:220).
material culture (primarily horses and firearms) presented by the colonial scenario, and attempting to deal with the limitations of a colonial culture that sought to alienate them from their traditional subsistence strategies (Strauss, 1979). It is therefore difficult to judge the extent to which interactions between ‘Korana’ and Bushmen in the Bleek-Lloyd archive should be characterised as representative of precolonial relationships between !Xam and Khoekhoe generally, as a reflection of their interaction with the range of ‘Khoekhoe groups’ that existed in the colonial period, or as an engagement with specific series of related groups that had recently (and rapidly) expanded their influence over the arid interior.

When considering interactions between !Xam and Korana populations, !kasen (providing a relatively small number of narratives, totalling around five hundred pages) represents a salient source of information. With a Korana father and !Xam mother (LL.IV.1.3452), he presented a unique ‘insider’ viewpoint on the intersection of Northern Cape populations: Bank (2000; 2006) notes that !kasen’s mixed ethnic origins may have been reason enough for Bleek to focus his main attentions elsewhere (Bank, 2006:207)190. The truncated nature of !kasen’s account makes comparison with the other informants difficult, but some pertinent observations emerge from his narratives. Although marriage between pastoralist men and hunter-gatherer women is usually characterised as ‘marrying up’ (Bailey, 1988; Spielmann and Elder, 1994:308), with pastoralist men unwilling to adopt lower-status subsistence practices and identities, !kasen’s accounts make it clear

190 !kasen also focused upon ‘practical’ anecdotes (poison preparation, etc.) rather than the ‘traditional’ narratives in which Bleek was interested (Bank, 2006:209; Chapter 1:15).
that individuals such as his father, married into Bushman-identifying groups, were subject to the norms of these societies through attempts to hold non-ǀXam to the standards of behaviour expected from responsible ǀXam adults: Ṙkasin spoke of how his father’s heart was made ‘uncomfortable’ because of his relative lack of skill in acquiring food for the children while Ṙkasin himself was lying injured (LL.IV.1.3463). Although in cultural terms (below, 303) the Korana were primarily represented as ‘people who were different’, the realities of nineteenth century Karoo life meant that there were many opportunities for personal life histories of individuals from both groups to become intertwined. The Korana had their own traditions of oral narrative (WB.XXV.2372), and Bleek’s first major engagement with Khoisan cultures had been through ‘Hottentot fables and tales’ (Bleek, 1864). Consequently, he was keen to control for influences of these traditions on ǀXam material, carefully recording ‘overlaps’ between these groups. The genealogies and convict records he collected point not only to the shared circumstances of arrest (LL.II.1.243), but also to an underlying similarity of frontier circumstances for ‘Hottentot’ and Bushman. Despite their brevity, they provide evidence for intermarriage, multilingualism, and shared experiences of colonial violence (WB.XXIV.2337-2338, 2351) and, in some cases, at least two generations of intermarriage are recorded, both in the (more common) form of Khoekhoe men marrying ǀXam women, and in the reverse, as with a ǀXam man married to an ‘Africander’ (a group of Oorlams) woman (WB.I.12).

The prehistory of the linguistic relationship existing between !Ora and ǀXam is difficult to reconstruct with certainty; assuming a relationship between the spread
of the Khoe language family (the Khoekhoe branch, or its antecedents) and the spread of at least some form of pastoralism, the connection between the two can be characterised as the most recent phase of a series of linguistic frontiers that may stretch back over two thousand years\textsuperscript{191}. Combined with the paucity of detailed linguistic analyses of languages spoken by southern Khoisan populations, this potential time depth for interaction obscures the relationship between the families. In the historic period bilingualism in the two languages was common, although both also formed distinct linguistic communities at least until the early decades of the nineteenth century (Traill, 1995:9-11, 2002). Khoekhoe and southern Tuu languages (in particular the !Ui branch, including |Xam) share many features (Güldemann, 2006, 2006a); in some cases, directional borrowing of lexemes may be inferred, and Güldemann (2006, 2006a) identifies several terms that likely moved from Tuu languages into southern Khoe, raising the important point that (just as with marriage) often-implicit assumptions about directionality in influence between pastoralists and hunter-gatherers are not necessarily accurate. Words certainly did move into |Xam from pastoralist communities: goat (*peri*, WB.XXV.2400) was a loanword deriving ultimately from Bantu languages (Haacke, 2008:169), presumably moving via Nama (*pirip*) or !ora (*perip*, WB.XXV.2400). Such transfers, however, cannot simply reflect a coincidence between contact with Khoe-speakers and contact with domestic livestock, as terms for livestock (for example) do not appear to expected to be derived

\textsuperscript{191} Though archaeological evidence confirms the presence of domesticates by this time, opportunities for reconstructing the (potentially corresponding) linguistic entities are limited; Güldeman (2008:120) situates the expansion of Khoe-Kwadi languages through the southern African region a few centuries before the arrival of the Bantu.
exclusively from Khoe languages: *xoro* (cattle) bears little resemblance to Nama or Korana counterparts (*gumap*, WB.XXV.2388).

Commonly, the |Xam referred to the Korana with the term *ǀkuarra* (Bleek, 1956:448), closely resembling a term that was used for Bastaards, *ǀkwa:*ra (Bleek, 1956:461). Whether these terms were meaningfully distinguished (rather than pronunciation variants) remains obscure in the absence of more complete phonological analyses of |Xam, but the similarity suggested that defining ‘Korana’ as the nineteenth century manifestation of a more general ‘Khoekhoe’ category may not be inaccurate. Like other ‘outsider’ populations, the Korana were conceived of as being physically distinctive: Dia!kwain considered them to have a characteristic ‘whiteness’ and beauty (LL.V.12.4926’), almost certainly employing *ǀko:*wa (Chapter 5b:163) in an oddly positive choice for a description of the (usually anti-social) Korana.

Equally, they engaged in several distinctive habits, some of which were shared with non-Swa-ka-ǃk’e Bushmen groups (Chapter 7a:219): the eating of baboons (LL.II.7.797’) and fish or shellfish (LL.IV.3.3736’), the carrying of items on their heads (LL.II.13.1266’), the making of particular types of sieves (LL.V.25.5993), and the wearing of particular ornaments (made from wood-pigeon tails, LL.VIII.25.8261) were all mentioned as distinguishing practices. Conversely, certain practices were notably for being shared by, or having comparable manifestations in, both |Xam and Korana society: ǀkabbo noted Korana use of ‘*ǀu fo:*a’ (‘their term’, LL.II.36.3242)

---

192 This note was in English only.
193 It may reflect connections between livestock and fat (Chapter 8a:291), and fat and beauty (Chapter 5c:162)
paralleled the |Xam use of fo-Ʌoä, while descriptions of to: imply that Korana and |Xam both used this mineral for dressing their heads (LL.VIII.14.7272’).

Despite these shared features, and despite the ‘biographical’ evidence for intermarriage, in ‘cultural’ terms the Korana were overwhelmingly associated with inappropriately directed or unregulated violence, prototypically antithetical to |Xam notions of propriety. The common epithet for the group (xuaken-!ku, ‘bloody-browridges’, LL.II.32.2960’) referenced a homicidal stereotype, and another alternative name, !giri-ǁkein (Bleek, 1956:382), appears to connote stabbing or piercing (ǁkein, Bleek, 1956:568)\(^{194}\); the use of spears in conflict being a notable ‘Korana’ trait (LL.VIII.21.7968’) as part of a suite of violent forms in their material culture, including particular kinds of knife (LL.VIII.18.7606), firearms that they acquired from Europeans through cattle trading (LL.VIII.18.7606’), and ornaments that were bound on for ‘war’ (ia:, LL.VIII.25.8261). Even the practices intelligible to the |Xam were structured by this violence: where the |Xam incised their hands to improve skills in shooting springbok, parallel Korana practices were considered attempts to make their attacks on people efficacious, with incisions on the left hand helping a person aim when shooting at their fellows and those on the right rendering deadly their blows in fist-fighting (LL.II.36.3244’). The virtually ubiquitous role of the Korana in the more formalised narratives was adversarial, whether in the Early-Race times

\(^{194}\) !giri is not in the Dictionary: it may represent !gerri, the Orange River (Bleek, 1956:381), the heartland of Koranna territories.
or in ‘legends’ such as !karran’s escape from the Korana commando (LL.VIII.26.8269). Regardless, Korana ‘commandoes’ (k”a:o) were a danger to Early-Race people (LL.VIII.18.7593; LL.VIII.25.8251) just as much as they were to nineteenth century !Xam groups, for whom being ‘killed by Koranas’ was a real danger, of a piece with lion attacks, starvation, and disease as a component of the causes of death collected in the genealogical information (LL.III.1.497).

Integration into more stereotyped forms of narrative creates two distinct presentations of ‘Korana’ in the archive. Korana individuals had very real impacts on personal histories and genealogies, and increasingly shared with the !Xam trajectories of interaction with colonial populations; at the same time, Korana attempts to acquire access to horses and firearms, and their adoption of more aggressive raiding lifestyles (Ouzman, 2005), meant that Korana violence remained significant in their historical relationships. The coding of violent action in their appearance in Early-Race narratives (LL.VIII.18.7593; LL.VIII.25.8251; LL.VIII.28.8486) suggests either that the Korana (unlike other nineteenth century populations) were swiftly associated with a stereotyped cultural role, or that ‘ǃkuarra’ referenced longer histories of interaction with indigenous herding ‘Khoekhoe’ populations in addition to nineteenth century interactions. Other non-!Xam populations are present only in their nineteenth century colonial context (personal histories and memorates), with little evidence from the Bleek-Lloyd

---

The Early-Race Ticks have also been characterised as a cipher for Khoekhoe populations (Hewitt, 2008 [1986]:188; J. Deacon, 1994), with both groups being prone to violent, angry action, and possessed of domesticated stock.
informants for the assimilation of European, Bantu-speaking, or creolised populations into more stereotyped narrative forms of narrative. Although when thinking about global trajectories of colonisation these three populations might be considered separately, the Bleek-Lloyd archive presents these European and non-European groups as contiguous components of the colonial situation: a number of non-Europeans populations were themselves colonising ǀXam territories for the first time in the nineteenth century (Kallaway 1982; Anderson, 1985), while groups undergoing ethnogenesis at this time (particularly those with a ‘mixed’ socio-cultural heritage, Oorlams and Bastaards) instigated a novel series of encounters with the ǀXam.

Hewitt (2008 [1986]:32) remarks on the lack of emphasis in the stereotyped narratives on incorporating contemporary colonial conditions: documenting these conditions was not a primary interest for the translators (who attempted to record ‘traditional’ folklore). The incorporation of contemporary situations within the narratives, as Guenther (1989; 1999) notes for twentieth century ‘farm’ Bushmen (Nharo and Heilom), does not appear in the Bleek-Lloyd collection. Guenther (1999:103) casts these narrative forms as a mechanism of resistance to colonial relationships, reminiscent of protest literature; the extent to which ǀXam informants would have been comfortable relating similar tales to Bleek and Lloyd, their baas (Afr. boss, often deployed in contexts of unequal labour relationships)

---

196 Indigenous engagements with colonial populations could also occasion changes in pre-existing interactions with surrounding or coterminous groups.
and ‘mistress’\textsuperscript{197}, is unclear. However, while relatively few narratives have interactions with white, black or Bastaard farmers as their primary focus, there are very many allusions to the colonial presence throughout the archive (especially in the supra-narrative framework of reverso notes and working-in-progress translations), and even this skewed account provides much information about the informants’ colonial relationships.

Even vocabulary and grammatical work can elucidate these relationships: a sentence contrasting the ‘stout’ (ǃkui:ja, big, great, abundant, Bleek, 1956:450) Dutchman with the ‘small’ (ǂerri, small, thin, Bleek, 1956:643) Bushman (WB.I.40), establishes particular physical attributes as distinguishing markers of European identities. Incorporating phenotypic variation, these distinctive features were situated in a wider cultural schema, as ǂerri and ǃkui:ja were traits associated with concepts evocative of the relationship between ǀXam and European colonists.

Thinness was linked with starvation, ugliness, and hardship (LL.II.14.1321-1322), describing the Moon as it decayed (LL.II.6.658) or the kaross that would not keep one warm (LL.II.14.1341), connoting inadequacy or privation and according well with ǀXam characterisations of their standing with Europeans as reminiscent of the ‘orphan state’ (Chapter 6b:186; Chapter 8c:333). However, ‘smallness’ was also sometimes portrayed as a positive trait: the smallness of the Caracal’s head was a constituent of her handsomeness (LL.II.15.1450-1451), the small pot was made ‘handsomely’ (LL.VIII.23.8059), and the ‘small-eater’ who controlled his appetite

\textsuperscript{197} Bleek tended to receive more formal address (Bank, 2006:87, 231), but even the more familiar terms applied to ‘Miss Lucy’ still connoted a degree of formality; nooi was explicitly translated as ‘mistress’ (though this may have been a construction of the translator).
(Chapter 6b:183) all place notions of ‘smallness’ within the realm of the construction of ‘appropriate’ !Xam sociality. This may be contrasted with the negative aspects of ‘greatness’. The immoderately consuming !khwai-hem was not a ‘small man’ (\textit{!kwi-Opua}, LL.VIII.20.7812) and the lion with a ‘great’ body swallowed down even bones to fill his stomach (LL.II.18.1670): leonine characteristics emblematic of censurable social behaviour (Chapter 5b:159) were thus mobilised in depictions of European characteristics.

Consideration of the meta-narrative commentary sets even apparently ‘ahistorical’ information\textsuperscript{198} within this context. Reverso asides occasionally relate more formulaic narratives to contemporary situations, giving insight into the on-going relevance of the information they contained. By pointing out that lions had become much scarcer in Bushmanland (LL.VI.2.4033’), !kweitǝn-ta-!kǝƞ placed herself firmly in a context where increased presence of European and Bastaard farmers, and the ready availability of firearms, had cemented processes leading to the local extinction of this species (Beinart, 1998:180). This extirpation had occurred on a scale perceptible within individual lifetimes; !kweitǝn-ta-!kǝƞ’s note was a comment on her (older) sister’s cautionary story about the correct ‘understanding’ responses to lions that called out to people (LL.VI.2.4026-4033). !kweitǝn-ta-!kǝƞ struck a cautious tone, suggesting she entertained doubts about the veracity of the narrative or that her own (limited) experiences of interactions with lions had not reinforced this information. As part of the construction of ‘understanding’ actions, this story illustrated the utility of the old women’s

\textsuperscript{198} That is, material that might be (or was) represented as indicative of ‘traditional Bushman mythology’ or belief.
teachings, as by listening to these individuals the protagonist was able to escape the lion. !kweitǝn-ta-ǃkaŋ's acknowledgment of the contemporary scarcity of lions therefore provides a cogent example of the manner in which knowledge and belief once reinforced in practical experience was swiftly becoming less relevant to Bushman life; Dia!kwain's note about his elder sister's 'understanding' encounter with an owl was firmly situated in a time before 'the white men's houses' were at Kenhardt (LL.V.11.4868'-4869) and echoes similar themes. Broader patterns in the narratives reflect these same issues in 'passive' fashion. Hunting narratives reflect, in part, the vulnerability of species in the face of pastoralist trekboer encroachments: the dominance of porcupines in Dia!kwain's narratives may reference this differential resilience (Chapter 7b:249) and the dearth of large-game (hartebeest, eland, kudu) hunting narratives probably incorporates the rapid depletion of these fauna in the wake of farmer settlement (Beinart, 1998:179). Arid-adapted, fast, and wary animals that even with modern firearms represent challenging quarry (Heath and de Bod, 2000:11), gemsbok survived in the central Nama-Karoo through the entirety of the nineteenth century, albeit at low densities (Roche, 2008:167): this species was the subject of the few detailedǀXam hunting narratives that focused on larger bovids (Chapter 7b:231). Though relationships between antelope species and supernatural figures were important factors, the evident importance of personal experience in directing the hunting narratives recounted (each informant focusing on a different suite of species and techniques) suggests that the decreased likelihood of encounters with
easy or favoured targets of colonial hunters also had an impact on the relevance of these narratives.

This theme is also present in one of the few Korana narratives in which they did not play an antagonistic role, though here it is reversed (with certain beliefs becoming more significant). This fragmentary story discussed the theft of a Korana child by a troop of baboons (WB.I.171-177), and is highly unusual insofar as it involves |Xam and Korana protagonists acting in concert against the baboons. Baboons formed one of the more coherent anti-social, non-Bushman collectives in |Xam thought (Chapter 5c:162), in some ways quite similar to the Korana: their epithets even referenced the same anatomical structures, with the ‘overhanging cliffs’ of the baboons’ foreheads (LL.V.24.5931') paralleling the ‘bloody-browridged’ Korana. Several Early-Race and legendary narratives emphasise conflicts between baboon and human individuals; they killed |kaggǝn’s son and ‘played with’ his eye (LL.VIII.11.6978-7014; LL.VIII.12.7065-7094), and when |khu-i-|a199 killed a female baboon (while hunting) the male baboon confronted and spoke to him, causing him to abandon the body (LL.V.23.5890-5901½). |xabbitǝn’s anecdote (LL.V.24.5931-5947) about his encounter with baboons was firmly situated in the relationships of the colonial period, with |xabbitǝn returning from acquiring a gift of flour from ‘white men’. The baboons here acted much as they do in other narratives, talking to each other and becoming angry with |xabbitǝn, exhibiting a desire to ‘play with’ his...

199 The narrative begins with the phrase ‘the baboons used to do as follows’ (LL.V.23.5889), reminiscent of phrasing characteristic of Early-Race stories, though (unusually, if this is an Early-Race fragment) there is no confirmation of this status.
head. lxabbitǝn’s solution to his problem was tied explicitly to the new resources of the nineteenth century: he called out to the white men, taking advantage of the fear that baboons displayed towards guns, and bluffed his way into escaping without injury. The motivations and actions of baboons were similar in Early-Race, legendary, personal history narratives, which led to fundamentally similar interactions established with human persons in each of these contexts. Contrasting with the declining significance of lion encounters, beliefs about the anti-sociality and special abilities of the baboons remained an experientially significant element of !Xam life in the nineteenth century: this was founded partly on the fact that this species, with its catholic subsistence strategy, occupation of relatively inaccessible habitats, and wariness, was well-suited to surviving extermination attempts, despite being designated vermin and maligned by farmers for stock depredation (Beinart, 1998; van Sittert, 1998:341).

Perhaps the most striking feature of references to the historical situation is their depiction of the narrators’ concern with the manifestations of unequal power relations and casual violence in the ‘border districts’ (Chapter 4c:116), manifestations that crossed ‘ethnic’ boundaries and incorporated the actions of all non-!Xam groups. This violence was not one-sided, forming a significance mode of !Xam engagement with colonists: the archive documents Bushman violence directed towards colonists200, through the well-known murder of Jacob Kruger on the part of Dia!kwain and ḳasin (Bank, 2006:218-223), and in references to four men arrested for killing a European farmer and his family (WB.IX.908’).

200 Given the status of the informants as convicts, their discussions of their participation in or knowledge of (colonially defined) illegal activity are obviously to be treated cautiously.
Mentions of colonial violence directed toward |Xam individuals range from brief annotations to personal accounts describing particular occurrences: vocabulary and grammatical work also referenced it (LL.VIII.14.7246’), with one of the very first sentences Bleek collected (WB.I.1) recording abduction of |Xam children. Visceral descriptions in longer narratives give some indication of the impression that beatings (administered even to the point of death, LL.V.23.5874-5880) had on both the observers and recipients of such actions. Although often associated with stock theft (or consumption of stolen stock), homicidal violence of a more arbitrary nature also occurred, described as being shot for ‘doing nothing’ (LL.V.3.4132’-4133’). Sparse genealogical data reveal the range of violent relationships established, with mentions of children ‘taken by the Boers when little’ (LL.III.1.488-489), of members of extended families being killed by commandoes for eating stolen livestock (LL.VIII.11.6978’-6979’), and of wives abducted by farmers (LL.II.1.488’-489), while informant accounts of capture and arrest (LL.II.1.269) document ‘Weberian’ violence, as the colonial government attempted to claim a monopoly on legitimate forms of interaction between the colonial polity and |Xam groups201. Colonial violence was readily assimilated into structures for understanding violent action more broadly, imbuing it with power and making it ‘meaningful’ (Scheper-Hughes and Bourgois, 2004:1), but also reconfiguring it for the |Xam social world: Korana ‘commando’ practices were well-integrated into |Xam folklore and equivalent colonial practice must have readily been understandable in these terms. Avoidance of colonial violence

201 Forms of ‘commando’ violence enacted on |Xam populations by colonists in the border regions were often considered illegitimate by the colonial state (Chapter 4b:104).
became incorporated as ‘understanding’ action: the same presentiments or beatings that warned of impending attacks by beasts-of-prey might also warn people when a wagon was approaching their houses (LL.II.28.2530'), while Dia!kwain recounted a dream concerning a farmer threatening to kill his father and himself (LL.V.15.5110-5111). Both representations assimilated colonial agents as a new danger within the suite of anti-social forces that might afflict |Xam groups.

The role of stock-raiding in promoting conflict was well recognised; genealogical information collected for Jacob Bastard, who lived at “Oud Bastard se pits” (Figure 8.2:313), noted that his habit of killing cattle ‘greatly’ prompted unsuccessful attempts to catch him (LL.III.1.498). Dia!kwain stressed notions of proportionality in farmer responses to stock theft, emphasising discrepancies between the severity of the crime and colonial responses to it (LL.V.15.5114-5115), in a succinct illustration of Newton-King’s (1999) observation that commando violence reflected the necessity of coercion in establishing essential labour relationships, which the farmers required to maintain large herd surpluses allowing trade with the colony for vital resources. High demands for labour meant that people could mobilise this demand to secure their subsistence: after her husband was killed, !kwarra-an travelled to the south-west of Calvinia, where she was easily able to gain employment with farmers, despite travelling with her (dependent) children and her inability to speak Dutch. The importance of labour also meant that colonial violence was often directed toward attempts to control the movement of people, by assigning them farm residences or employing people in
transhumant strategies. |Xam subsistence strategies demanded a high level of residential mobility as movement in response to key resources was vital (Chapter 7d:264-265), but this took place within well-known territories with local environmental knowledge underpinning resource ‘management’ (Chapter 6b:188). This mobility was perhaps accompanied by occasional longer-distance travel; there is no indication that ǂa!kunta’s visit to a mountain outside of Bushmanland (which ǂkabbo had not seen, LL.II.25.2239”) was connected with colonial interaction. Colonial translocations did not respect earlier boundaries and often occurred over large distances, with commando practices explicitly aimed at disrupting connections with the land to inhibit resistance opportunities (Penn,
Coupled with the unpredictable violence of the border regions, this ensured that Bushmen existed in a state of uncertainty regarding the whereabouts and situation of friends and families. During Dia!kwain’s imprisonment, a Bastaard took his wife to Klaarwater (Griquastad) and his mother was supposedly killed by the farmers; although his siblings searched for her, they were unable to uncover any definite information (WB.XXV.2413’).

This uncertainty about the condition of family members (particularly children) and friends was a definite source of anxiety for the informants (LL.VII.1.6046,5’), and this separation of social groups contributed significantly to undermining the transmission of cultural knowledge and language: D. Bleek (1936b) attributed loss of narratives among !Xam-descended populations to their being ‘driven about’ while young (Chapter 4c:125). Fragmentation of the population left little opportunity for organised armed resistance, and facilitated violence that was thoroughly interwoven into new !Xam lifestyles, by leaving farm and domestic workers dependent upon the temperament of their employers and vulnerable to potential abuse. !gui-an (LL.VIII.19.7657-7668), living with and labouring for the farmer Jacob de Klerk and his wife Trina, was physically assaulted (until she lost consciousness) for a perceived lack of diligence in her herding and domestic labour: the farmer beat her with a ![hui-!]ka[^1] reed, believing that she had taken away (presumably, consumed) one of his flock, while his wife lashed her with a thong for tardiness in washing and drying dishes. Deployment within labour relations represented a ‘socialisation’ of this form of violence, using it to

[^1]: **Bambush** (Afr. bamboes, bamboo), applied to large indigenous reed species with thick, bamboo-like stems (*e.g.* *Cannomois virgata*, or *bergbamboes*).
perpetuate desired roles: Jacob de Klerk and his wife attempted to entrench these across generations by ‘disciplining’ ǀgui-an’s child (LL.VIII.19.7658’), and Dia!kwain also noted that even those raised by Europeans on farms might be subject to extreme disciplinary or punitive violence (LL.V.23.5873). This ‘socialisation’ was successful, insofar as practices demanded by Europeans became intelligible to the informants, as indicated by the extension of a:kan concepts to these practices. Such ‘niceness’ was elsewhere associated with ‘tidying up’ and proper, orderly behaviour (LL.VIII.17.7497); ǀhanīkass’o described ǀgui-an’s breaking of Trina’s cup as a consequence of her failure to take it up ‘nicely’ (LL.VIII.18.7657’). Given the associations between successful performances and obligations to perform within the context of ‘successful’ interpersonal relations (Chapter 6a:168), the labour undertaken for farmers (while sometimes accompanied by violence and excessive punishment) appears to have entailed a social relationship: this relationship was founded primarily on the provisioning of labourers that placed them in an ‘orphan state’ (Chapter 8c:333).

The ǀXam stressed that violent actions were also typical for non-European colonial populations. Bantu-speaking groups were presented either as destructive agents in their own right or as manifestations of colonial coercive violence; unlike engagements with Koranas, Bastaards and even European colonists, personal interactions between the Bleek-Lloyd informants and Bantu-speakers were almost entirely negative. ǀkoxaiton (Bleek, 1956:589) or ǀk’ann (LL.VIII.8281’) was
usually translated with ‘Kafir’\textsuperscript{203}, used by colonial populations primarily as a synonym for Xhosa but by extension referred also to any black, Bantu-speaking, agro-pastoralist group. References to \textit{lkoxaiton} likely subsumed several of these groups; informants living in the north probably interacted with Tswana-speaking groups, while those from south-western regions of Bushmanland may have engaged with Xhosa populations (Chapter 4b:103). Records of robberies (LL.II.5.624; LL.4203-4204), homicidal violence (LL.VIII.20.7750; LL.VIII.27.8436'), associations with ‘poison’ (LL.V.4.4207', 4218) and participation in capture and arrests (LL.II.1.242-269) situate |Xam interactions with Bantu-speakers as an extension of broadly colonial relationships: indeed, in the Kareeberg, the settlement of Bantu-speaking groups was encouraged by colonial authorities as a buffer against ‘Bushman’ raids (Anderson, 1987). The narratives document explicit parallels with colonist action: the slaughter of seven |Xam by a \textit{lkoxaiton} man named Meintjes wa was in essence a small-scale commando raid (LL.VIII.27.8436'), and individuals killed by Bantu-speakers for eating cattle (LL.III.1.499) were discussed with phrases that recall exactly the terminology used for relationships with Europeans, being killed for ‘eating cattle from hunger’\textsuperscript{204}. Like other anti-social groups, Bantu-speakers were linked with regions geographically marginal to the |Xam world, connected in particular with the other side of the Orange River (LL.VIII.27.8387). They possessed distinctive styles of assegai (LL.II.32.2900') that manifested the violent identities of their original

\textsuperscript{203} Occasionally translated with ‘black man’, or described as \textit{nu} (black, Bleek, 1956:674; LL.VIII.22.7985'); these people were not, however, the ‘black people’ referred to in connection with the Early-Race Ticks (Chapter 5c:162).

\textsuperscript{204} Killing things ‘from hunger’ is a repeated motif in personal history narratives, given as the motivation for actions that led to informants’ convictions (LL.V.19.5454-5455).
owners even when employed by other agents; in one instance of Bushman-on-Bushman homicide, the murder weapon was a ‘Kafir’s assegai’, brought at Witberg (LL.II.32.2900’). This mountain (k"amm-xharra-ka-ǃkau\(^{205}\); Figure 8.3:317) was a ‘violent’ place\(^{206}\), linked with the ǀkoxaïton, who lived at the water near it (LL.II.25.2239’) and ‘outside’ of Bushmanland\(^{207}\). Coherent with their violent identities, their material culture and practices connected them with the Korana (carrying loads on their heads, LL.II.13.1266’) and differentiated them from ǀXam populations.

![Map of ‘Witberg’ in the Kenhardt-Brandvlei-Vanwyksvlei triangle](image)

Figure 8.3: ‘Witberg’ in the Kenhardt-Brandvlei-Vanwyksvlei triangle

\(^{205}\) Loosely translating as “Right-hand Meerkat’s mountain” (Bleek, 1956:119, 257, 412)

\(^{206}\) ǀkaukan-ta-la, a murderer who killed his grandfather, was a ‘Witberg’s man’ (LL.II.25.2263’).

\(^{207}\) Although this site was ‘outside’ Bushman territories, it was incorporated in the ǀXam landscape as a rain-making site (LL.II.25.2239’).
Chapter 8c: Negotiating colonisation

Despite their dominant anti-social image, “kaal” Bantu-speaking populations (kaal Afr. naked; they did not wear trousers, LL.VIII.27.8388) engaged in subsistence behaviours that the informants felt linked them to Bushmen, such as using nets to catch locusts; these groups might be referred to with the term !k’etǝn (people), normally reserved for !Xam speakers (LL.VIII.27.8387; Chapter 7a:215), and they almost certainly represented Tswana (or Tswana-influenced) populations on the far side of the Orange river (Penn, 1995). !koxaitǝn occupying places (such as Witberg) within the colonial boundary overlapped in territory with more socially distant Bushman populations of the ‘Groot Rivier’s mountains’: although these groups were not ‘regte’ Bushmen (Chapter 7a:216), similarities in their language and practices (some of which were shared with Bantu-speakers) suggests a continuum of increasingly ‘different’ identities rather than an abrupt distinctions between groups. While Bleek and Lloyd’s informants experienced Bantu-speakers largely as a disruptive force in their lives, other modes of interaction existed: it was a recurrent fear of colonial authorities and border

---

208 Diakwain’s account most especially skews the portrayal, with a narrative dedicated to his interactions with a !koxaitǝn man whom he believed had killed his aunt, who stole from his mother, and assaulted him personally (LL.V.4.4200-4211).
farmers that these groups were acting in concert\textsuperscript{209}, and at least one person mentioned in the archive, \textit{l}gabbe-\textit{l}ka, was of mixed Bantu-speaker and \textit{ǀ}Xam heritage (the son of a \textit{l}koxait\textit{ø}n man and a \textit{ǀ}Xam woman, LL.VIII.11.6969'). Although the dynamics of this relationship were not explicated, this man was said to speak \textit{ǀ}Xam well; elsewhere this was linked with ‘understanding’ and moral rectitude (LL.V.19.5446-5447), implying that people who spoke in this fashion had received requisite cultural knowledge and ‘properly’ internalised \textit{ǀ}Xam notions of appropriate behaviour. Applied to \textit{l}gabbe-\textit{l}ka, this judgement implied that he was brought up ‘correctly’, and consequently that he had sustained relationships with his Bushman relatives.

\textit{ǀ}Xam relationships with ‘Bastaard’ (\textit{Kwobbo})\textsuperscript{210} communities were similarly mixed: the extent to which these creolised groups should be characterised as indigenous responses to (rather than agents of) colonial expansion is contentious (Besten, 2006; Waldman, 2007), and it is an obvious distortion to imagine that two were necessarily distinct. In \textit{ǀ}Xam experience, these populations were more-or-less contiguous with Europeans; if frontier farmers constituted a relatively impoverished subset of European colonists expanding into unfamiliar ecosystems and depending upon incorporation of indigenous systems of knowledge (Chapter 4b:100; Chapter 4c:129), then Bastaards arguably represented the extremes of this frontier. Certainly, they participated in the violent dimensions of interaction: the

\textsuperscript{209} Upington (1879:i-iv) stressed the involvement of Koranna, Bastaard, Tswana, Xhosa and ‘other aboriginal natives’ in the ‘disturbances’ of the Second Koranna War

\textsuperscript{210} D. Bleek (1956:115) translates it as ‘coloured person’ and ‘black man’, but in the narratives it usually denoted creolised colonial populations rather than Bantu-speakers (LL.II.6.645'; LL.V.21.5702')
men who killed Dia!kwain’s cousin were described as ‘half whites (and half kwobbo’s)’ (LL.V.21.5702’). Relationships between Bastaard and European farmers were on occasion described with possessives, with Bastaards being ‘farmer’s men’ (LL.II.6.645’) either a statement of their parentage in a literal sense or a reference to their acting as farmers’ agents in the border regions. Bastaards were the proximate agents of much of the disruption that engulfed Bushmanland in the nineteenth century: the renaming of the |Xam landscape with Afrikaans alternatives occurred largely through their agency (LL.VIII.14.7215; Burchell, 1822:286), and |kabbo noted that two Bastaards (Albert Meintjes and Oud Gert) occupied the places he once owned (WB.XII.1182’). When describing their relationships with Bastaards, the |Xam employed identical terminology to that used for Europeans, with women referred to as ‘mistresses’ (LL.II.6.650) and men as ‘masters’ (|hu). Also translated as white man, European, or Boer (Bleek, 1956:289), phrases talking about Bastaard ‘masters’ are (in |Xam) indistinguishable from references to Europeans. This similarity is also present in the content of interactions, with the |Xam acting as herders and providing other kinds of labour (e.g. tanning sheep and goat hides, LL.II.6.650) for Bastaards (LL.VIII.8.6721’) just as they did for Europeans.

|Xam-Bastaard relationships provide a snapshot of the lifestyle of more marginal farmers in the northern border districts. Their settlement patterns, with the Bastaard ‘master’ occupying a wagon on one side of the flock while the Bushman

---

211 Although Bank (2006:151) associates this figure with Gert van Wyk (a white farmer), the reverso note at WB.XII.1182’ explicitly states that these two men were Bastaards.

212 ‘White’ here makes no reference to the colour term /kíitá (Chapter 5c:164).
Figure 8.4: “Halt of a Boors Family” (Daniell, 1804-1805:No.11)
shepherd dwelt opposite (LL.VIII.8.6720), would be equally appropriate for European colonists farmers: early nineteenth century depictions (Figure 8.4:321) of European farmers in regions distant from the Cape consistently emphasise the ephemeral nature of their settlements, and even at the end of nineteenth century the occupation of the northern border districts included considerable numbers living an itinerant lifestyle in tents and wagons (Chapter 4c:120). In these contexts, the lifestyles of the farmers and their labourers (though framed within a highly unequal power dynamic) must have been substantially similar. For the Bastaards, comments on practices that linked them to the Europeans (e.g. making soap from asbossies\textsuperscript{213}, LL.VIII.6.6582'; LL.VIII.23.8022) were matched by those connecting them with indigenous communities; ǀhanǂkass'o recognised similarities between Bushman and Bastaard musical instruments, as well as shared plant knowledge (LL.VIII.21.7833). Differences in the circumstances of colonial farmers had considerable impacts in structuring ǀXam interaction with them, as illustrated in differences the life histories of Jan Plat and his brother (LL.V.23.5877'). Jan Plat grew up with a farmer named Jan Struys at Riet Kolk (Figure 8.5:323), presumably a relative of Koos Struys, who murdered Jan Plat’s brother. By contrast to Koos, Jan had married a coloured woman and lived further into Bushmanland, and exerted a less hegemonic influence over his labourers. Jan Plat retained a degree of control over his labour, shifting employment between farmers (working for at least two additional named farmers), placing his son at service with a different man, and acquiring his own herds of sheep

\textsuperscript{213} Ash (Afr. \textit{as}) from several genera of Karoo bush (\textit{Psilocaulon} sp., \textit{Salsola} sp.) was used in the production of lye, giving rise to their alternative vernacular names (\textit{loogbossies}, Afr. lye-bushes).
forms of colonial experience characterised by negotiation, access to new resources, and the selective adoption of alternative lifestyles.

Marriage was a major avenue facilitating these cultural encounters, and while Bastaards were primary agents in dispossessing Bushmen from their territories they also exaggerated the creolised aspects of frontier farmer life, in their adoption of the |Xam language (LL.VIII.8080'; WB.XI.1120) and in their conjugal relationships with |Xam women\textsuperscript{214}. Though there is little evidence regarding the

\textsuperscript{214} Once more raising issues regarding the dearth of female informants; the role of women in crossing frontiers in other colonial contexts is well-documented (Schaffer, 2001:137).
way these women viewed their participation in cross-cultural conjugal relationships, |Xam men used their marriages as a means to access farmer resources: |hanīkass'o went to live at Jacob Kotze’s place, because the latter was married to a |Xam woman named ‘Silla’, who supplied |hanīkass'o with food. This account also encapsulates |Xam characterisation of Bastaard identity (LL.VIII.8.6723-6724), emphasising their reputation for ‘stinginess’ with food (a serious criticism in |Xam society, Chapter 6b:183), and contrasting this with Silla’s Bushman practice in ‘liberally giving’ (lit. !kou-a:kən, to give well or nicely, Bleek, 1956:7, 444) food. Though wed to social practices alien to Bushman morality, Bastaards could be thus accommodated relatively easily into Bushman sociality through their relationships with |Xam individuals. As food distribution was governed by social norms regarding propriety, the subjugation of resources acquired from colonial farmers to redistribution rules demonstrates their thorough incorporation into |Xam subsistence, and the continuing relevance of social connections between people accessing these ‘farmer’ resources and those subsisting outside this domain. Elements of sociality reappear in other instances of Bastaard-|Xam intermarriage, as with the marriage between Albert Meintjes (Suobbakǝn’s ‘master’) and !gwarra-an. !gwarra-an was described as Suobbakǝn’s kuobbo-ǀkuarra or ‘foster mother’ (lit. ‘Bastaard-ewe’, Bleek, 1956:115, 598) and was said to love her. These descriptions (imbued with

---

215 The common L and N substitution (Chapter 8c:325) in |Xam Afrikaans suggests this is the same woman referred to elsewhere as ‘Sinna’.
216 Often written as Albert or Ander Neintjes.
217 Although not directly stated to be a Bushmen, this is a |Xam name, and she was associated with Sinna/Silla, who was |Xam.
218 Sinna/Silla also loved Suobbakǝn (LL.II.6.651)
familial referents) suggest that the \(|Xam occasionally constructed these ‘master-servant’ interactions as ‘meaningful’ relationships, with marriage drawing incoming farmers into spheres of \(|Xam obligation and food-sharing\(^{219}\).

Another major area of negotiation is that represented by linguistic change and, just as with the relationship between Khoekhoe pastoralists and hunter-gatherers, it is erroneous to imagine that linguistic influence between European farmers and Bushmen was unidirectional. Some farmers of the frontier districts incorporated \(|Xam terms, developing their own dialect referred to (in the archive) as ‘Boer’s Bushman.’ Little is recorded, and the dynamics of this exchange remain lamentably obscure; although W. Bleek was evidently interested in \(|Xam-speaking colonists, collecting lists of their names and residences (WB.XXI.1120), he never had the opportunity to interview any of them. This ‘Boer’s Bushman’ appears to have simplified some of the click consonants, (erasing the initial click in \(‘k’erri-Opua’ to form ‘kerintte Oua’, WB.IV.545’\) and to have adopted words but assigned them different meanings (using the word \(\|ki\^{220}\) to refer to the \(\|gebbi-gu\) dance, LL.V.25.6006’). Though some individuals were taught \(|Xam directly from Bushmen (WB.I.12’; \textit{cf.} von Wielligh, 1919, 1920, 1921, 1921a), in other case it was passed on between colonists, even inter-generationally; the van Wyks (Jan, Gert, Stoffel) spoke \(|Xam because their (white) mother taught them (WB.XI.1120), which would, by definition, make this language a creole (Holm, 2000:5-8).

\(^{219}\) Other \(|Xam kin terms made reference to exchange relationships; \(|xwobbe, a gender-differentiated term (men used it for paternal grandparents, women for maternal), was also a verb meaning ‘to lend’ (Bleek, 1956:367).

\(^{220}\) Perhaps from ‘to make a thumping sound’ (Bleek, 1956:315).
Unlike lexemes from the Khoekhoe languages, |Xam words derived from European languages are very easy to isolate, being the result of recent interaction and deriving from distinctly different language families. While the very nature of Bleek-Lloyd project underemphasises relationships between the informants and European languages, all of the informants were bilingual in Afrikaans; this was not universal among |Xam individuals even in the late nineteenth century (LL.VIII.23.8081’), and its presence among the informants at least partly reflects the significance of bilingualism for the translation process, particular in its early stages (Bank, 2006:87, 180). The Afrikaans recorded in the archive, (prior to spelling standardisation, Deumert, 2004:1) is highly idiosyncratic, reflecting the initial unfamiliarity of the translators with the language as well as some specific features of |Xam-Afrikaans: transpositions of L/N (LL.II.13.1245’) are too common to be the result merely of the researcher mishearing a pronunciation, and substituting in the alternative letter often results in a more accurate translation.

|Xam informants were interested in and highly aware of linguistic nuances: |hanìkass’o made observations on the parts of the tongue used by |Xam and Europeans when they spoke (LL.VIII.8528’). He also discussed linguistic shifts in younger generations, imbuing them with a moral dimension by stating that young

---

221 Older individuals or those who lived further from the colony understandably tended to be less familiar with it (Dia!kwain’s mother understood, but could not speak, the language, WB.XXVI.2486’).
222 English was incorporated only in a limited way, often in place names such as ‘Totori-ya’ (i’lkunta’s pronunciation of Victoria West, LL.II.6.643’). This represented the influence of relationships with border farmers on the |Xam acquisition of colonial languages: i’kabbo informed Lloyd that when speaking of English place names, he spoke ‘just as [his] farmer did’ (LL.II.6.643’).
223 The ‚k’au or ‚sillin xara’ (a ‘white substance’ found along the arms, thighs and spine, LL.VIII.31.8772’) for which the Afrikaans silver garing (silver threads) has been proposed (Goodwin, 1945:441), becomes sinnin xara, or sening garing (Afr. ligament-threads).
people said ‘tata’ (for father) where they should be using the |Xam equivalents (bbo, oa, Bleek). Ikabbo too pointed out the use of ‘white man’s talk’ rather than the correct |Xam term (LL.II.36.3261’), an insistence widely seen in concerns that the |Xam names for places and people be noted (LL.II.14.1383’; LL.V.19.5448; LL.VIII.9.6801’, LL.VIII.12.7034’; LL.VIII.14.7215). There was a definite association between language comprehension and being a ‘good’ or understanding person (who did not |kkakon-|kwolkwommain, talk disconnectedly or confusedly, LL.V.19.5448’), but living with farmers (even growing up with them) did not necessarily entail language loss and a lack of understanding (LL.V.16.5446-5447). Speaking |Xam had a strong, largely positive valence for the Bleek-Lloyd informants, and the adoption of Afrikaans terminology was contested; examination of the words that were incorporated provides some sense of the kinds of practices, materials, and ideas that these populations were encountering.

Many of the Afrikaans words scattered through the narratives reference newly introduced material culture and resources, such as baksteen (LL.VIII.21.7834’; Afr. brick) koffi (LL.II.22.1960; koffie, Afr. coffee) or bakon (LL.VIII.11.6945’; baken, Afr. beacon)224, and are otherwise unmentioned in the narratives. Conversely, new material culture was incorporated through extensions of pre-existing terms; ‘cannon’ (lxwai, Bleek, 1956:638) appears to have referenced the noise of its firing, as the phrase ‘lxwai-throat’, described the deafening arrival of large springbok herds (LL.VIII.14.7242’). There were also less tangible incorporations into the |Xam conceptual world, some of which produced

---

224 Often given distinct |Xam pronunciations: kokunmenti (‘cups’ LL.IV.19.7657’) presumably represents kommetje (Afr. bowl).
synonyms for pre-existing concepts; Lloyd translated |ne as ‘help’ and herribi as ‘assist’, but for lkabbo the former was the |Xam and the latter the European term for the same concept (LL.II.36.3262). Others, such as divisions of time into months or days of the week (LL.II.32.2920; Sondag, Afr. Sunday), or words relating to labour control such as ddomminya (LL.V.1.3626, Afr. domineer, to predominate, domineer) and luiton-ddi (LL.VIII.19.7663; lui Afr. laziness), reflect the incorporation of novel ideas into the |Xam conceptual world. This last word shows a considerable degree of grammatical (and presumably also conceptual) assimilation\(^\text{225}\), with the addition of common |Xam suffixes tǝn (creating plural or emphatic forms) and ddi (denoting ‘things’ or ‘doings’, Bleek, 1956:24-25): the phrase thus more literally meant ‘laziness’-doings’. These brief notes form a reminder that the seemingly simple incorporation of a relatively small number of non-|Xam terms into the |Xam vocabulary reflected a much more extensive, long-term interaction with novel ideas and practice, and that the archive itself does not represent the full extent\(^\text{226}\) of this interaction.

Parallel with linguistic change, there were rapid shifts in appellative practices, transitioning in three generations from individuals possessing no Afrikaans name (LL.III.1.480), through those possessing names in two languages, to the youngest generation, some of whom were not receiving |Xam names at all (LL.III.1.495), a re-assignment of names that occurred also in the application of a new toponymy.

\(^{225}\) ‘Mama’, commonly replacing xoa (mother, Bleek, 1956:258), was also often presented with the appropriate group plural suffix (–ggu, LL.V.20.5568).

\(^{226}\) ‘han|kass’o’s Afrikaans translation of the exclamation !khe!khe (Almagtig, Afr. Almighty) LL.VIII.10.6934\(^4\)) is one of only very few references to new religious contexts that would become dominant in the early twentieth century.
However, well-established indigenous traditions of ‘nick-naming’ both individuals and landscapes (Chapter 8a:289) were also quite literally ‘translated’ for a new cultural context, by incorporating linguistic change. As familiarity in Afrikaans spread, nicknames could be bestowed in this language: ‘Soppie’ acquired his nickname from the *sop* (Afr. soup) that he poured down his front (LL.VIII.32.8808’). Both European and Bastaard farmers were in the habit of giving Afrikaans names to the Bushman labourers working on their farms (and to their families, LL.II.6.649), but there is little sign (other than an insistence that |Xam equivalents were also recorded) that informants considered their ‘Boer’s names’ an imposition; in genealogical collections, they were listed as further alternatives to the ‘great names’, ‘little names’ and nicknames that individuals already possessed, |kabbo counted his European name among the names that ‘floated along’ to his !xoe (LL.II.32.2886), and many Afrikaans names were bestowed by Bushmen themselves (LL.II.35.3162).

Although Afrikaans words highlight the range of ‘colonial’ material culture with which the informants were familiar, the volume of |Xam narrative dedicated to this material culture is not considerable. Once again, this must in part reflect researcher desires to obtain information about ‘traditional’ practice: contemporary observers (Dunn, 1873) noted the thorough incorporation of colonial material culture into the lifeways of Northern Cape hunter-gatherers. Firearms figure prominently amongst such references: Dia!kwain (LL.V.9.4688) and |kasin (LL.IV.1.3459) recalled their use of guns in hunting, while |kabbo (LL.II.32.2924’-2925’) expressed his desire to possess one for this purpose,
representing them as new forms of material culture facilitating useful modifications of a central domain of Bushman life, and allowing even older hunters to maintain subsistence independence. As with the ‘contextualisation’ of stories by mention of herding practices, reverso notes create the impression that depictions of material culture in narratives were not necessarily accurate reflections of familiarity with ‘colonial’ material culture: Igabbe-ika received his nickname (‘turned-back hand’, Bleek, 1956:336, 523) because of an injury sustained in an accident with a gun (LL.VIII.11.6969’), indicating the ready assimilation of new technologies to established socio-cultural practice (i.e. accidents with guns being understood as ‘incidents while out hunting’ that provided nick-naming material). When ḷhanḱass'o described hunting practices associated with springbok that arrived en masse (LL.VIII.14.7221-7259), he also noted that these aggregations of springbok led to farmers’ expending their supplies of gunpowder and shot (LL.VIII.14.7226’), and that particular kinds of ammunition were used by the farmers for hunting korhaans and hares (LL.VIII.14.7246’); this display of knowledge concerning and familiarity with firearms was entirely absent from the hunting description itself, but evidently associated sufficiently with springbok-hunting as to be recalled in his narrative.

European material was usually evaluated positively in comparisons with indigenous alternatives: continuance of the latter was associated with poverty, as with the use of springbok-horn tinderboxes (LL.V.10.4761-4763; LL.VIII.28.8472; Chapter 7a:218). European goods also became highly valued for their potential to be re-worked into new objects (brass tinder-boxes
transformed into head ornaments, LL.II.15.1440’), allowing the construction of superlative example of items already well established in socio-cultural practices. Some traded goods readily found a place in |Xam belief systems, creating new resources that could be deployed in the enactment of specific social roles: the ‘sparkling’ of buttons (toro-bai:tan, Bleek, 1956:209) underpinned their deploying in a demonstration of ‘understanding’ behaviour, where their visual qualities were used to frighten away lions (LL.V.12.4947). Ongoing pursuance of ‘traditional’ Bushman practices was not, however, always portrayed as a ‘lesser’ alternative to new resources: when |kabbo contrasted the use of an ostrich sternum dish with that of a !kuerre (tub, bowl or vessel, LL.II.34.3072'-3073’) bought from Europeans (LL.II.34.3087’), he treated the distinction in a neutral fashion with respect to ‘poverty’. Like ‘money’ (ǃxoe: Bleek, 1956:500, mentioned only very rarely), this difference was instead taken as an index of contact and personal relationships with colonial agents; |kabbo (LL.II.6.640) referred to money only in the contexts of his interactions with Bleek, and Ḳasin associated unfamiliarity with its use with living at a distance from the colony (LL.IV.1.3476). Bushmen closer to the colony used their ability to access European (or livestock-derived) goods, including sheepskin karosses, handkerchiefs, tinderboxes, and (metal) knives, to acquire resources from Bushmen living further away: specifically, they were interested in obtaining a particular poison which these groups monopolised (LL.IV.1.3476). Continued use of ‘indigenous’ rather than colonial material culture was sometimes portrayed as indicative of less intense contact (LL.V.10.4765’), though many elements of ‘traditional’ lifestyles were not
represented in this way: !kunta lived in a matjieshuis covered with springbok skins, even when directly engaged in colonial relationships and living on a farmer’s land (LL.first unnumbered notebook.inset). By the time Bleek and Lloyd were interviewing |Xam individuals, many items of colonial material culture had become widespread even among populations that were furthest from Cape. !han!kass'o, recounting porcupine hunting by night, noted that when they did not wear trousers (buburuken, Afr. broek), the thorns drew blood on their legs (LL.VIII.14.7220'); incorporation of material of this kind (almost certainly tanned and sewn by the |Xam themselves) indicates that ‘material culture’ incorporations also represent conceptual re-organisations, in this case involving the adoption of European ‘styles’ within |Xam cultural spaces and spheres of action.

The spread of European clothing occurred in the context of labour relations, and !kabbo recalled ‘gifts’ of clothing (LL.II.6.636) from farmers to Bushmen. Although Ordinance 50 (Harlow and Maddon, 1953:599) was supposed to introduce a wage economy in farmer-Khoisan relationships, in more remote regions (with limited access to money; Newton-King, 1999:182) older ‘clientship’ practices were retained, incorporating gifts of clothes, other items of colonially derived material culture, and food. These practices could render groups highly dependent on farmer largesse (LL.VIII.12.7108’), especially when combined with attempts to monopolise access to wild game resources or classify hunter-gatherer subsistence as illegitimate (Chapter 4c:130). Farmer practices of using trekbokke to accumulate large meat surpluses (LL.VIII.14.7226’) allowed them to supply their workforce without depleting their herds: although the resilience of springbok
herds even in the face of these pressures was an important (if not sufficient) component in sustaining hunter-gatherer strategies, it also supported farmers’ strategies by increasing their capacity to attract and retain labour. With respect to the Europeans, theǀXam described themselves asǀkweǀkwetan or ‘poor people’, drawing upon their conception of the ‘orphan’ (Chapter 6b:186), as they both needed to beg food from a group of ungenerous people disinclined to support them, often after having undergone some misfortune; afterǀaǀkunta’s brother died, his wife went to live at a settler’s house and depended upon him for her subsistence (LL.III.1.502).

One resource that was both highly desirable and linked closely with the colonists was tobacco;ǀkabbo suggested that ‘love’ of tobacco might motivate a person to go to live with a farmer (LL.II.6.648). TheǀXam differentiated linguistically between different products derived from the plant:ǀkun (‘oil of tobacco’, Bleek, 1956:665) referred to a substance produced either from the distillation of dried tobacco leaves or accumulations (in a pipe bowl) resulting from the process of smoking, and in the nineteenth century was associated with Khoekhoe societies (Thomson, 1832:436). Use of aromatic sâ: (buchu) and other plant medicines was a well-established component of both Khoekhoen and Bushman practice (Low, 2007; Chapter 5a:138, 142; Chapter 5b:156), and provided a pre-existing mode for understanding Nicotiana sp. (themselves aromatic herbs and shrubs) that rendered this newly introduced form of material culture familiar. Tobacco was widely valued by Europeans themselves for its medicinal properties (Stewart, 1967; Charlton, 2004), and incorporated in this capacity by colonial populations.
especially in the border regions where access to the medical professionals of urban centres was limited (Digby, 2005:445). Just as indigenous populations reacted to novel, introduced resources, so too did these immigrant populations incorporate and adapt the indigenous resources and knowledge they encountered (H. Deacon, 2004; Low, 2007). Frontier farmer use of herbal remedies drew heavily on their close contact with local populations, modifying them to suit their pre-existing materia medica, by (for example) steeping leaves to form tinctures in brandy, a characteristic feature of ‘folk’ medicine among colonial European populations (van Wyk, van Oudtshoorn and Gerike, 1997:142; Low, 2007:342). We may then regard this component of tobacco use at least as an instance of reciprocal exchange, even to the point where colonial populations re-incorporated ‘colonial’ material culture that had been adopted and altered by indigenous populations (H. Deacon, 1998:289).

D. Gordon (1996) postulates that drug dependencies played a significant role in fostering inequalities in relationships between Khoekhoe and European pastoralists, and Sampson (1993) identifies similar processes for Seacow River Valley Bushmen; the |Xam term ḟuru (Bleek, 1956:367) related particularly to cravings for tobacco, described by la!kunta as the heart’s ‘thirst’ (LL.II.7.771’), suggesting that for them too it was an important motivator in their establishing relationships with European farmers, major suppliers of this substance. Trade played in important role in acquiring the paraphernalia of smoking; while the nineteenth century |Xam did make their own pipes using the thigh bones\(^\text{227}\) of

\(^{227}\) ‘Boot bein’ (LL.II.1.247’), probably Afrikaans boud been, buttock/leg-bone.
sheep (LL.II.1.248) and goats (WB.XII.1123'), European ‘trade pipes’ (ǃxoro, ǃxo LL.II.6.641; LL.VIII.22.7977) were obtained through exchange relationships and appear to have been highly valued. Ŭkabbo outlined the dynamics of a bartering system (LL.II.7.771-775) in which feathers from male ostriches (and ostrich eggs, LL.II.24.2202) were exchanged in return for tobacco and ǃgware-ka-ǃgabbe (metal spoons), ‘bought’ from the Europeans (LL.II.14.1353'). By contrast, Ŧhanǂkass'o described the Grass Bushmen as ‘begging’ tobacco from white farmers (LL.VIII.22.7976), likely in accordance with his conception of the Grass Bushmen as wild and ‘fearing’ (avoiding) Europeans.

Ŵkabbo emphasised the social interaction accompanying these transaction: his recounting of the scenario of deliberating seeking out the farmer’s wagon recalls the Bushman institutions of ‘visiting’ nearby groups for stories. Wkabbo in fact linked this visiting to obtain stories with being a ‘smoking’s person’ (LL.II.32.2880-2881), and the contact associated with bartering tobacco is without doubt one of the most amicable instances of European-ǀXam contact (even if rather formal). Asides concerning the ‘habits of the Boers’ imply close, if not necessarily friendly, relations between the ǀXam and European colonists, and emphasise that (even in the context of commando raiding and land dispossession) individuals forged working relationships to cope with the demands of the border districts: ǀXam observations on European development of their own body of

---

228 Ŭkabbo dreamt of his wife asking him the details concerning one of these pipes, obtained from Bleek (LL.II.22.1952-1956); dreams often conveyed significant information (Chapter 6b:197).
ecological knowledge (LL.VIII.13.7119)' or their hunting preferences (LL.I.2.188) are reminders that despite the often bitter and destructive interactions between colonists and |Xam, individuals were often living in close proximity to, working alongside, and trekking extensively with one another. While one would hesitate to describe any of the encounters described as particularly amiable, notes present decidedly intimate portrayals of farmers’ expressions (LL.VIII.30.8606’) and remind us of the closeness of their relationships. A degree of realistic accommodation is implied in this closeness, as in linguistic exchanges and ‘provisioning’ relations: to neglect such accommodation would be to imbue |Xam strategies with a certain inflexibility that casts them as one of the familiar colonial ‘hunter-gatherer’ stereotypes, either noble savages attempting to retain their freedom in the face of overwhelming odds, or ‘ primitives’ doomed to passive failure in the wake of pastoralist encroachments (Chapter 2:31).

---

229 Noting ‘white men’ considered places as ‘grown up’ (i.e. summer’s arrival) when certain rain fell.
Chapter 9: Conclusions

ǀXam and animic ontologies

The previous chapters have examined in detail the Bleek-Lloyd narratives, constructing an understanding of their ontological framework and of the ways this framework was engaged with their historical setting. In order to develop the wider relevance of this study it is necessary to return to theoretical models of ‘hunter-gatherer society’ (Chapter 2) and to situate these within a comparative examination of the colonial ‘setting’ of the archive, examining the engagement of ‘hunter-gatherer’ ideologies with the expectations and demands of colonial populations and exploring the extent to which the ǀXam case study fits within such engagements. For the Bleek-Lloyd archive, any discussion of its wider implications must surely make reference to Lewis-Williams’ (1981) ‘shamanistic’ interpretation of southern African rock art, subsequently applied to contexts as diverse as Upper Palaeolithic Europe (Lewis-Williams, 2002a) and precolonial America (Whitley, 1988); the central role of ǀXam testimonies for the original
model enshrines their global significance. Fortuitously, it may also serve metonymically for the need to situate the archive within these aforementioned debates concerning ‘hunter-gatherer’ ideologies, through the strong link between shamanic practices and ‘animic’ ontologies. The relationship between the two has remained an area of anthropological interest from their introduction as heuristic tools through into recent reformulations of animic (and other relational) epistemologies; Pedersen (2001) has examined this for North Asian populations, and Harvey (2010) situates shamans at the crux of the ‘new animisms’, as specialists in negotiating relationships with non-human persons.

Outside explicitly shamanic contexts, animic themes are emphasised strongly in hunting practices. These models explore relationships between human and non-human persons, and their conspicuous degree of volitional motility ensures animals are prime candidates for this ‘personhood’: movement is a primary method for inter-specific communication, as physical action represents an immediate and effective means of sharing information that can easily become a sign understood by two species not necessarily sharing an identical ‘language’ (Hoffmeyer, 2008:15-16; 2010:37). Shamanism and hunting are further linked as highly ‘performative’ domains of action, representing public demonstrations of positively valued skill and competence230, even where virtuoso performances are overtly down-played or criticised they remain testament to individual skill. Linking shamanic practices to interactions experienced by all persons (i.e. animal encounters), animic themes in hunting practice contextualise the role of shamanic

230 !gi:tan were subject to judgements regarding their performances; people ‘deprecated’ (lam: Bleek, 1956:516) !kwarra-an for failing to cure a person (LL.V.3.4149).
specialists, underpinning their relevance and efficacy for all members of the group and stressing the practical, experiential basis of their action within a broad subsistence strategy. The preceding chapters demonstrate that, while !Xam beliefs were contextually contingent (often differing from those of South American or circumpolar hunter-gatherer groups), in this hunting domain they closely mirror animic principles; idioms for the construction and transfer of identities through smell and exchanges of bodily fluids (shared among humans and non-humans) and characterisations of the agency and abilities of the species they encountered in hunting practices accord well with Ingold’s (2000) models.

The contiguity of shamanism and hunting serves as a reminder of the inter-related nature of ideologies and practice, a connection that becomes significant when considering the incorporation of new practices in subsistence strategies (a key feature of the colonial situation). Ideological dimensions in ‘hunter-gatherer’ identity create meaningful distinctions between hunter-gatherers who have acquired livestock or started cultivating wild plants, and pastoralists or farmers who happen to hunt or who supplement their diet with wild plants, but there is an underlying assumption that incorporation of novel practices will modify ideologies to a lesser or greater extent (i.e. that subsistence strategy is dynamic). This approach insists that interactions with particular (domestic) species should be situated within the wider context of relationships with non-humans; Rival (1999; 1993) draws attention towards lowland South American groups with broadly
similar subsistence practices\textsuperscript{231}, supported by ideological regimes and socio-cultural organisations quite distinct from one another. The ambiguity implied in the debate surrounding the extent to which Khoekhoe and Bushman societies should be considered distinct or as phases in a single cycle (Marks, 1972; Schrire, 1980) demonstrates the relevance of this observation for southern Africa, where there were considerable overlaps in \textit{practice} between herders (focusing on milk production and large herd sizes) who relied extensively on hunting strategies (Lindholm, 2006:130) and hunter-gatherer groups.

Swart (2007:284) notes that though domesticated animals are often represented as extensions of human agency, interactions with them must incorporate the demands of non-human social structures, dietary requirements, and reproductive strategies: human strategies must become oriented toward the particular species involved. Strategies emerging during the colonial period therefore represent the interaction of at least three parties; indigenes, settlers, and domesticated species themselves. Characterisations of hunter-gatherer interactions accommodating domesticated species can therefore vacillate between looking at the incorporation of new (social) relations, or exploring them as part of the ‘material culture’ component of Arkush (1990:28) terms the ‘proto-historic’ period in indigenous engagement with colonial societies. Characterised by ‘indirect’ colonial presence, this period develops though down-the-line interactions: the movement of material culture and information, changes consequent on other indigenous contacts with

\textsuperscript{231} Strategies combining hunting and gathering with mobile horticulture; Rival (1993:646) contrasts the Shuar (for whom cultivation is a ‘highly sophisticated art’ embedded in ‘complex symbolic practice’) with the Huaorani (‘reluctant gardeners’ oriented towards the forest environment).
the settlers, and sporadic contacts with colonial agents travelling beyond the boundaries of the colonial state. In theǀXam case, this period was characterised by an ‘inchoate’ northern border, facilitating the establishment of labour exchange/appropriation relationships that brought theǀXam into contact with settlers and livestock, both within the colony and in theǀXam-ka-ǃxoe. Combined with continued reliance on hunting and gathering subsistence and representing a choice (albeit often constrained) to engage with incoming groups, these shifts in subsistence practices are crucial to understanding the maintenance and modification of ‘hunter-gatherer’ identities in colonial settings.
Colonial hunter-gatherers

Although the historical context of |Xam was clearly specific and contingent, it was also located within the complex series of global processes that constituted the European colonialisms of the second millennium AD. Despite Dirks’ (1992:7) rather discouraging suggestion that attempts to systemise ‘colonialism’ deny its fundamental historicity, there is considerable utility in what Willerslev (2011:506) terms the ‘distinctive imaginative power’ of comparative endeavours; the scope of eighteenth and nineteenth century colonialisms almost demands such an approach, and the integrated nature of the colonial world demands a consideration for this wider context\(^\text{232}\). The historiography of colonialism, empire and imperialism has produced a large corpus devoted to the exploration of a range of definitions (see Wolfe, 1997; Osterhammel, 2005) and heuristic tools for examining the processes whereby these occurred, some of which have already been encountered in course of this thesis (Chapter 4b:107). Common preoccupations of this literature include inequalities in the economic relationships between colonies and metropoles, colonisation as a process of modernisation or Westernisation, and the power dynamics of domination, resistance, and accommodation between indigenes.

\(^{232}\)Ideologies and technologies developed in specific areas (e.g. barbed wire in the south-western United States, Netz, 2004) swiftly attained importance in other colonial contexts, as colonisation was dependent upon links with large-scale networks moving people and goods (Chapter 4c:120).
settlers, and (where present) creolised communities (Pels, 1997); post-colonial scholarship in the later twentieth century has emphasised ‘subaltern’ experiences (Spivak, 1988). Exploration of colonial scenarios through their material culture has become increasingly important (Lyons and Papadopoulos, 2002; Given, 2004; Gosden, 2004; Stein, 2005); starting from the principle that there can be a large discrepancy between what people say about what they (and others) do, and what they actually do, such approaches attempt to deconstruct dominant discourse and provide readings of power more sensitive to the ‘small voices’ of history (Guha, 1996). Material culture perspectives collapse the space between colonised and coloniser, both of whom encounter new practices (with associated material culture) by moving into and through specific geographic areas, and emphasise issues of accommodation and resistance as negotiations between individuals/groups. Inherent qualities of material culture, that can be passed across boundaries and transformed often in highly visible ways, have favoured the application of theories of creolisation and hybridity, casting innovation and creativity in the interactions of indigenous societies with incomers as a form of resistance characteristic of these historical situations (Birmingham, 2000:370).

The agents of late second millennium colonisations were drawn primarily from Western European societies, sharing many cultural practices and ideologies. Though cross-cultural interactions have much potential to become sites of unintended meaning (Sahlins, 1995:247), this cultural similarity ensured that one

---

233 Those people ‘allowed to speak’, through a combination of literacy and socio-cultural standing that facilitated the production of authentic narratives.

234 Some were also part of the same colonial endeavour in a political sense and thus experienced many of the same policies derived from the interests and aims of the metropole.
element of this mutual incomprehension often worked out in strikingly similar ways, as with the widespread impact of notions derived from Protestant Christianity in shaping attitudes towards hunter-gatherers (Pluciennik, 2002). Characterisations of ‘Digger Indians’ in the western United States (primarily Shoshone groups with subsistence strategies focusing on exploitation of underground storage organs) were a manifestation of a general hunter-gatherer stereotype that recalls dominant colonial representations of the Bushmen; ‘savage’ in their bestial lifestyle, ‘indolent’ in their production of a simple material culture, and ‘wild’ in their resistance to colonial encroachment (Lönnberg, 1981:218), this shared stereotype structured (in both cases) violent colonial commando practices (Hollon, 1974:61-62). Similar tropes recurred commonly in colonial portrayals of Aboriginal Australian populations (Strong, 1986); Mulvaney (1989:1-2) suggests that mutual ignorance (such as that surrounding the norms governing an often-ritualised Aboriginal violence) was a dominant influence shaping interactions between settlers and indigenes in Australia. In the Cape Colony too, representations of particular socio-cultural practices became emblematic of all that separated hunter-gatherers from colonial society; when the !Xam engaged in the food-sharing practices vital to their constructions of socially responsible action, they were also (for the Europeans) demonstrating their improvident habits of consumption. However, while issues of mutual incomprehension were widespread (especially in the policies and attitudes of metropolitan centres), there also existed an ‘on-the-ground’ mediation. Focusing solely on the socio-cultural separateness of ‘coloniser’ and ‘indigene’ neglects the blurring consequent on
their historical interaction; in South Africa, there were intermediate steps between indigenous and colonial societies, ranging from slaves (themselves colonists) escaped and otherwise, to European criminals, to marginalised colonial subjects (Bastaards, ‘colonial Hottentots’). In Australia, colonial populations expanding into the arid northwest at the end of the nineteenth century, took with them ‘pacified’ Aboriginal labourers to work on their ranches; there emerged a division (in both settler and Aboriginal discourse) between those who had ‘come in’ to pastoral or mission stations and those who were ‘wild’ (Trigger, 1992:18; Greer, Harrison and McIntyre-Tamwoy, 2002:274; Harrison, 2002a:45), reminiscent of the same term as used by the !Xam informants for people who fled from wagons (LL.III.1.503).

Structuring the comparative element of this chapter is that fact that, however defined, colonisation requires a geographic dimension. Incorporating topographical, environmental, and climatological phenomena often largely outside the realm of human control and influence (certainly on ‘individual’ scales), this dimension can form a convenient basis from which comparative endeavours can begin: while all geographic areas are equal in their specificity, some at least are more equal than others. With this in mind, I focus primarily on comparisons between the interior Karoo and two additional areas: the south-western United States and northern and western Australia, both arid regions235 that became the target of European colonial settlement. While non-local dimensions of colonial

235 Arid-zone hunter-gatherers have long been central to the anthropological construction of the ‘hunter-gatherer’ category (M. Smith et al. 2005).
societies and differences in climate regimes, geologies, and biota were clearly significant factors, similarities emergent from the dominant role of aridity in shaping these environments represent at least one ‘controlled’ dimension in these comparisons; Paterson’s (2005:278) description of arid Australia emphasises water regimes as crucial to the ability of colonial societies to exploit the region and Knack’s (1995:151) discussion of the role of ‘localised’ Great Basin resources (congregated around the few reliable water sources) in shaping the rapid spread of colonial society over the region are both equally applicable to the Karoo. The dominance of water regimes for arid zones, then, is mirrored by key areas of structural similarity in the ways these areas can be occupied, with small zones of resource concentration around water sources playing a vital role in enabling the exploitation of larger regions (M. Smith et al. 2005); European attempts to monopolise access to these key areas were the crucial constituent of the ultimate incompatibility of settler and indigenous lifeways in arid zones (Reynolds, 2006 [1981]: 160).

Aside from their common aridity, these three areas also all represent ‘settlement colonies’ (Osterhammel, 2005:11-12; see Russell, 2001; Coombes, 2005; Veracini, 2010, 2011 for further discussion of the ‘settler colony’); briefly, these colonies saw large-scale immigration of settlers, forming resident communities in the colony itself and exploiting a ready availability of land derived from the

---

236 Many ‘colonial’ behaviours and ideologies developed in geographically-distant areas through interactions with indigenous populations of these areas; indigenous communities too initiated contacts with colonisers based on prior engagements with (indigenous) ‘Others’.
(militarily backed) alienation of indigenous communities from their territories\textsuperscript{237}. These colonies incorporated and attempted to exercise hegemony over large territories, leading to a commensurable intrusion of colonisers into the lifeways of indigenous communities that became a permanent addition to the socio-political landscape. This form of colonisation determined the development of ‘the frontier’ as an intellectual tool (and cultural motif), describing the geographical parameters of regions delineated by colonial authorities as areas incorporated under their political aegis (Noyes, 2001; Chapter 4b:107); similarities and differences between the development of ‘frontier histories’ in southern African and North America form the basis of Lamar and Thompson’s (1981) edited volume of comparative history.

Although initiated by the arrival of settler populations aimed at exerting a political dominance, like most colonial productions in practice ‘frontiers’ represented a negotiation between colonial and indigenous populations. This negotiation incorporated the new environments encountered, with European expansions being predicated upon particular subsistence strategies\textsuperscript{238} and thus dependent either upon the capacity of the environment to support the strategies, or of the modification of strategies to the environment; economic motivations for settlement were common to all three areas, with significant inland presence of Europeans in the arid Australia, the interior of southern Africa, and the south-

\textsuperscript{237} Osterhammel’s ‘African’ settlement colony type distinguishes South Africa from the ‘New England’ type in the United States and Australia; treatment of Bushmen in the ‘Cape Colony’ was substantially closer to his ‘New England’ model (displacement/annihilation of indigenes).

\textsuperscript{238} Taking a broad definition, including the establishment of extractive capitalist strategies (ranching, mining, logging).
western United States being based on a combination of livestock production and, as the nineteenth century progressed, mineral exploitation. This establishment of settler communities created a novel socio-cultural and environmental milieu, thereby ensuring that indigenous lifeways too had to find space within ‘colonial environment’, or be modified to suit it. These modifications took many forms, including ‘assimilation’ within colonial society, seen in the Cape Colony with the emergence of the ‘tame Bushman’ category as a precursor to a rural ‘Coloured’ identity. Highly arid areas were amongst the least desirable parts of the colony for incoming European farmers and pastoralists\(^{239}\), being the most resistant to their imported suite of domesticates. During the colonial period they thus provided attractive refugia for groups maintaining hunter-gatherer lifeways that required specialised knowledge of arid zone resources, or for those resisting incorporation within the colonial polity; a similar division of strategies occurred among the southern Paiute in the Great Basin, with some groups retreating to remoter and less attractive (for pastoralists/farmers) areas to continue hunting and gathering, and others forming fringe communities around the relatively productive regions that had been appropriated by settler society (Knack, 1995:151).

\(^{239}\) Colonial authorities characterised the Northern Cape in this way (Chapter 4c:109)
Incorporating domesticates

Settler colonisation depended upon the importation of a suite of plants and animals that often underpinned both the motivation for and means of expansion. The transformation of settler colonies through the creation of ‘portmanteau biota’, scaled-down and simplified versions of Western European biotic communities modified by engagement with local environments and species (Crosby, 2004:89), meant that changing interactions with animal and plant species (indigenous and otherwise) under the aegis of coercive enforcement, appropriation, or some intersection of the two, were essential components of indigenous interactions with colonisation. Crosby portrays portmanteau biota as ‘familiarising’ novel environments into forms that colonisers could exploit effectively (‘Neo-Europes’), but Clark (2007:53) emphasises that these introductions often also represented a ‘failure’ on the part of colonial populations: attempts to render landscape familiar and controllable were subordinated by exigencies of local environments and by the introduced species’ own tendencies to overrun boundaries. Many introductions were accidental, and intentional introductions often instigated unintended consequences. In many colonial settings, the arrival of European livestock was followed swiftly by their naturalisation as they escaped and founded feral communities (Anderson, 2004:108). This phenomenon was particularly
pronounced on islands that lacked indigenous mammals to compete for ecological niches (*e.g.* duBois, 2005:17). As colonisation was dependent upon the success, modification, or failure of subsistence strategies, environmental as well as social, cultural and political factors thus played important roles in shaping colonisation. In the Cape Colony itself, the distinctive Western Cape winter-rainfall regime supported an agricultural settlement employing European crops and dependent on imported slave labour (at least, in the immediate hinterland of Cape Town itself), perhaps the only successful ‘Neo-Europe’ of southern Africa; settlers in the arid interior relied upon the creolised subsistence of *trekboer* pastoralism, as much the product of local knowledge and environmental factors as it was the result of imported socio-cultural patterns and the market demands of the Dutch East India Company (Beinart, 2003:31).

By contrast with African ecosystems, both Australia and the south-west United States saw rapid and considerable movements of introduced species beyond and ahead of political and settlement frontiers, with important consequences for local ecologies and for the subsistence strategies of indigenous communities. In Australia, introduced carnivore species have been destructive both of indigenous fauna and livestock (Glen and Dickman, 2005), particularly affecting the southern arid zone of spinifex desert (Short and Smith, 1994:288). Such effects influenced subsistence strategies, though not always in a negative fashion: the Mardu of the Western Desert consumed feral cat and rabbit meat, classified as part of a suite of ‘bush’ foods (Trigger, 2008:632). This spread of introduced species was determined by the environmental and ecological parameters of the continents;
Late Pleistocene megafaunal extinctions that left both North America and Australia depauperate of large mammalian fauna were significant among these parameters. The extent (and recent date) of these extinctions arguably left ‘gaps’ in the ecosystems of the regions, with serious consequences for the remaining floral and faunal communities (Janzen and Martin, 1982; Owen-Smith, 1987; Donlan et al. 2006; Rubenstein et al. 2006); the rapid spread of feral horses in North America filling-up grassland niches left empty by the abrupt extinction of native equids from the Americas has been characterised as the ‘return of the native’ (Catling, 2001; P. Martin, 2005:38). The expansion of native species into recently emptied niches also ensured they did not offer robust competition to introduced species; Geist (1985:356) notes that while big-horned sheep in the Mojave Desert do display adaptations to their desert environment, the fact that these represent relatively recent modifications of an essentially Siberian species undermines their ability to effectively compete with introduced domesticated sheep or goats, with longer trajectories of adaptation to aridity (Geist, 1985:356).

Another significant corollary of the absence of indigenous ungulate species in Australia (McKnight, 1976:1) and the low ungulate species diversity in North America (Lott, 1991:136) was that (unlike in Africa) the ability of large ‘big game’ herds\(^{240}\) to act as disease reservoirs impeding the spread of feral livestock (Gifford-Gonzalez, 2000; Grootenhuis, 2000) was limited, if not absent.

\(^{240}\) A major exception is the American Bison (*Bison bison*), which can harbour bovine diseases (MacCorquodale and DiGiacomo, 1985); the extremely large herds (prior to their collapse through over-exploitation) characteristic of certain regions of colonial North America presumably would have elevated this to a potential limitation on cattle expansion.
The impoverishment of carnivore species in Australia (Wroe, Myers and Gillespie, 1999; Johnson and Wroe, 2003; Johnson, 2006) and North America (P. Martin, 2005:37-38) facilitated the formation of viable feral communities, limiting (though not eliminating) predation pressures that formed in colonial southern Africa (with its numerous sympatric large carnivores; Woodroffe and Gindberg, 2005:157) a major challenge for feral domesticates (McShane and Swart, 2011:213). Malnutrition (or mortality) from the consumption of unfamiliar plants, predation, competition from indigenous wildlife, and a novel suite of pathogens (to which malnutrition increased their vulnerability) all contributed to the challenges faced by introduced equids in this region; perdesiekte (Afr. horse-sickness, a viral infection transmitted by Culicoides sp. midges, Brown 2008:30) represented a particularly devastating virgin soil epidemic for these animals.241 The difficulties of keeping horses alive fundamentally shaped both settler and indigenous relations with these animals (Chapter 8a:295), playing an important role in the establishment of colonial authority in Bushmanland and supporting the rise of Hantam (outside the habitat requirements of the midge vectors) as a horse-breeding area.

Ecological factors should not be taken in isolation from varying modes of human engagement with the biota, which had a considerable influence on the spread of domesticated species. Arid Australian environments did not allow for intensive agricultural production and major settler expansions (in geographical extent) were based on low-density systems of stock-keeping (Harrison, 2002, 2002a); these

---

241 A single epidemic in 1854-1855 wiped out forty percent of the Cape’s stock, or around 65 000 horses (Brown, 2008:35).
allowed animals to roam large (initially often unfenced) pastures, being mustered once or twice a year and offering ample opportunity for the naturalisation even of putatively ‘owned’ animals (McKnight, 1976:10). The rapidity and extent of the spread of the horse in North America, even when facilitated by floral biota that had co-evolved with equids, must in part be attributable to the important role this species acquired in emergent indigenous strategies (Woodward and Quinn, 2011:271-275). Unlike Australia and North America, the Cape Colony was occupied by stock-owning groups prior to European arrival, which (while it may have encouraged stock movement of the raiding kind) was as much a barrier to the establishment of independent feral communities of livestock as were the diseases, aridity, predators and competing herds of wild fauna. The long-term presence of pastoralist communities would also have affected the social and cultural context of independent livestock acquisition on the part of hunter-gatherers; if relationships between Khoekhoe and Bushman were cyclical (even if only at the level of shifts in individual practice), then Bushman individuals who wished to acquire stock had alternative avenues open to them than those offered by the incorporation of feral livestock communities or raiding. The presence of Korana horse-riding lifeways (Ouzman, 2005) in the central Karoo and northern frontier regions suggests a socio-cultural dimension to the absence of ‘horse culture’ Bushmen in the region (versus the south-eastern mountains, Challis, 2008), with Khoekhoe identity forming the nexus around which these types of society developed: Korana and Bastaard/Griqua presence goes some way towards explaining the absence ofǀXam equivalents for the Apache, Ute, or Comanche
societies in the south-west United States that created successful, powerful polities through their adoption of the horse, allowing them access to firearms through the trade in hides derived from buffalo hunts (Lamar and Truett, 1996:87).

Returning to theoretical issues relating to hunter-gatherer incorporations of domestic animals, the absence of domestic fauna other than the dog in North America (accompanying initial human settlement; Leonard et al. 2002) and the dingo in Australia (most likely introduced from south east Asia in the mid Holocene; Clutton-Brook, 1995:15; Paterson, 2005:277) entails that any indigenous incorporation of stock in these areas demonstrates the capacity to shift away from reliance on wild fauna, with all the attendant difficulties of incorporating this ideologically. Though lacking domesticated fauna, many North American groups combined a range of subsistence options within their overall strategies, linking hunting and gathering with small-scale horticulture for at least part of the year. For some Southern Paiute groups in the south-west, this combination was itself a development of the colonial period, with Old World and indigenous cultigens being introduced approximately contemporaneously (Kelly and Fowler, 1986); Wallace (1980:271) suggests that the incorporation of small-scale farming represented an ‘easy’ extension of hunter-gatherer subsistence practices, added on to rather than replacing them, and largely achievable using pre-existing forms of technology. These ‘horticultural’ strategies of plant exploitation may have been replicated in some areas of pre-colonial Australia (cf. Gammage, 2005; Denham, Donohue, and Booth, 2009), particularly in the northeastern regions where tuber replanting (yams, taro) strategies were
common\textsuperscript{242}. Although these resources were less well suited to arid regions, burning strategies were a widespread alternative form of landscape management for these areas: Mardu burning regimes increased both longer-term yields from plant resources and numbers of smaller animal prey in the short-term, thus increasing the calorific return from foraging practices (Bird, Bird and Parker, 2005). Undoubtedly rather different to intensified agricultural production, this represents a significant anthropogenic manipulation of resources, making them more predictable and increasing their productivity (Gammage, 2005:2); though Gammage’s (2005:5-6) elision of differences between ‘management’ and ‘farming’ obscures the consequences attendant on a shift from a ‘hunter-gatherer managed’ landscape to a ‘stock farmer managed’ landscape, it is evident that the strategies of both alter the environment in complex and significant ways.

The appearance of resource management strategies among the Mardu and Southern Paiute suggests that even within a broadly ‘egalitarian’ ethos, notions of ownership of certain kinds of resource are permissible; for the Mardu, the application of fire-management confers (when the land is newly-burned) a form of limited ownership on the person instigating the burn (obtaining a right of first-access that may be defended with violence; Bird, Bird and Parker, 2005:457-458), while springs essential for southern Paiute gardening at Corn Creek in the Las Vegas Valley, Nevada were associated with specific named ‘owners’ (Von Till Warren, 2007:92-93). The ǀXam narratives (Chapter 7b:233; Chapter 7c:250) confirm an equivalent ownership of managed resources (honey and ostrich eggs)

\textsuperscript{242} Human manipulation here took advantage of phenotypic elasticity rather than genetic change; loose friable soils (created by repeated exploitation) yield larger tubers (Denham, 2008:246).
in Nama-Karoo hunter-gatherer strategies, where ‘owners’ of water sources were obliged to ‘correctly’ manage their territories. Control of the resources themselves is overlain by a differential access to ‘knowledge’ that underpins abilities to access particular resources within a given area: among the southern Paiute ‘songscapes’, encoded knowledge about how to move around the landscape from water source to water source, were subject to ownership (Stoffle, Halmo and Austin, 1997:243), and among the |Xam knowledge about how to ‘deal with’ particular resources was not universally distributed (Chapter 5a:137).

Even egalitarian hunter-gatherer groups with a ‘sharing’ ethos do not treat resources in an undifferentiated fashion, and different resources are subject to ‘sharing’ demands that vary in their stringency. Differences between the way plant and animal foods are shared (the latter with a smaller set of kin) are widely recognised, deployed in attempts to examine ideologies of inequality in gender relations within putatively egalitarian groups (Brightman, 1996). Classifications of livestock as ‘large bovids’ (hunted prey), resources that for southern African hunter-gatherer groups would typically be subject to obligate sharing rules (A. Smith, 1990), may seem logical, and this certainly was a feature of the |Xam conceptual world (linking springbok and sheep, Chapter 8a:286); Trigger (2008:636) notes that for Aboriginal groups on Queensland/Northern Territory border, introduced buffalo are incorporated within a set of cultural traditions linking it explicitly to native, hunted species. However, these classifications do

---

243 Kent (1993:495-496) suggests that the presence of formally shared plant foods makes attempts to construct this inequality in a simple, dichotomous fashion is a misrepresentation of hunter-gatherer practice.
not represent the only modes of engagement with livestock. Recognising milk production as a form of resource management, by which means an animal that is ‘taken care of’ produces a regular supply of resources, interactions with livestock can appear as strikingly similar to ostrich eggs and honey as resources that, with the application of ‘care’, can be relied upon to regenerate themselves; as A. Smith (1990:62) notes for Jul’hoansi in the twentieth century, the sharing of milk rather than the animal itself can allow for the acquisition of stock without transgressing ethical limits.

Whatever their relationships with indigenous fauna and flora, alterations of this biota by the actions of the suite of species brought in with European colonists demanded a reorganisation in indigenous engagement with non-humans. The diversity of this collection of introductions244 was matched by a similar diversity in the ways they were incorporated by indigenous societies. This might manifest itself in differential attitudes towards particular species; groups in western Kimberley and in the central Australian deserts considered feral cats as more ‘native’ (established for longer time periods) than other introduced species such as foxes or rabbits (Trigger, 2008), and the Northern Territory MakMak (Rose, 2002) placed introduced species within a ‘mosaic’ of assimilation and rejection that classified feral buffalo as animals not ‘belonging’ to the country, at the same time as they themselves engaged with commercial cattle ranching. The expansion of these feral water buffalo is constrained by their own ecological requirements, and they have remained restricted to suitable wetland habitats in tropical northern

244 In Australia this included sheep, cattle, goats, pigs, camels, buffaloes, donkeys, horses, rabbits, cats and dogs.
Australia (Jesser, Markula and Csurhes, 2008:6). The domesticates best suited to more arid areas of the Australian continent were sheep, and the introduction of this species was a fundamental component of European expansion here; in the arid south western US too, sheep became (in the colonial period) thoroughly incorporated into the horticultural, hunting, and raiding subsistence strategies of the Navajo (White and Cronon, 1988:426). These scenarios (which instigated pastoralist strategies) can be seen as rather different to that obtaining in southern Africa, where large scale displacement of one group of (already established) pastoralists occurred. However, as this displacement coincided with a shift between pastoralist practices geared towards subsistence or herd accumulation for political purposes (Khoekhoe strategies) to practices aimed at producing meat as a market commodity (Lindholm, 2006:130), expansion of colonial sheep farming in the southern African interior created and faced issues similar to those outlined for Australia, in a somewhat ameliorated form (Paterson, 2005:277). In both areas, dependence on herds as a motivation for settlement produced a fluid sheep-farming frontier that fluctuated as farmers attempted to assess the carrying capacity of the environment under shifting conditions, which included the establishment of crops where possible, changes in the composition of grassland with grazing pressure, droughts, and seasonal variability (Paterson, 2005:278-279).

Another more significant parallel is manifest in the vital role indigenous communities played in establishing sheep farms, providing the labour-intensive

---

245 Always a dimension of Karoo farming strategies, but one that became more significant during the later nineteenth century, with the expansion of interior markets around mining settlements.
shepherding practices necessary in an unfenced landscape and contributing their detailed knowledge of the environment and geography. In the absence of indigenous herders, much of this knowledge as pertaining to the grazing potential and toxicity of indigenous plants for introduced species had largely to be developed *in situ* by the settlers in Australia; although less of an issue in southern Africa (Beinart, 2003:29-47), the employment of new *breeds* of livestock in settler pastoralism (breeds less suited to Karoo environments) meant that farmers needed to develop strategies ensuring the survival of their flocks, in a ‘muted’ expression of the knowledge acquisition that occurred in Australia. Processes of knowledge transfer occurred both from a settler and indigenous perspective; while European adoption of the requisite local environmental knowledge was matched by indigenous acquisition of knowledge about colonial society, deployed in creating responses suited to the demands of their colonial setting. Beinart (2003:30) considers the category of ‘colonial Hottentots’ in this fashion, and this might readily be expanded to include the ‘tame Bushmen’ of the northern frontier; although prior pastoralist subsistence strategies undoubtedly prefigured some of the skills demanded for membership in the mediating communities (such as animal management skills necessary for driving ox-wagons), other skills including tracking, knowledge of flora and their properties, and an understanding of local geography and climate were equally well (or even better) honed by a hunter-gatherer lifestyle.

Trigger (2008:633) notes that demands for Aboriginal labour in cattle-ranching have generated a strong sense of familiarity with the species (cattle, horses and
do
gs) connected with these practices, as well as a considerable degree of ‘bush’
knowledge pertinent to keeping and controlling cattle. These developments may
have built on indigenous practices of controlling the movement of ‘wild’ species;
Reynolds (2006 [1981]:162) proposes that the employment of Aboriginal
populations in livestock industries generated substantial overlaps with extant
hunting practices involving the driving of prey with stick and bush constructions.
Although these practices were somewhat different to the |Xam ‘driving’ of
springbok (Chapter 7b:243), both examples confirm that hunting strategies often
portray animals as agents whose movements are to be directed and controlled.
Pastoralist labour thus emerges as a practice not qualitatively opposed to hunting
and gathering, but rather as part of continuum of interactions with non-human
species\(^2\)\(^4\)\(^6\), especially as one alternative mode of engaging with domesticated
species (raiding) forms a logical intermediary between the two: the fact that |Xam
representations of the ‘veld’ (Chapter 6c:201) involved both hunting and livestock
herding practices implies that the two occupied a contiguous ideological, as well
as the same physical, space.

The importance of specialised indigenous knowledge and labour for the
establishment of these new subsistence and capitalist regimes in colonial settings
places these hunter-gatherers firmly within the realm of ‘specialist producers’, a
point made explicit in Knack’s (1995:156) analysis of southern Paiute economic
relations with settlers; these hunter-gatherers did not generate material products
desired by settler society, other than their labour. This is reminiscent of the

\(^2\)\(^4\)\(^6\) According well with Ingold’s (2000) characterisation of the continuum between ‘trust’ and
‘domination’ modes of relating to non-humans (Chapter 2:38).
economic relationship between central African forest hunter-gatherers and neighbouring farmers, with whom they exchange not only forest products such as meat and honey but also their labour (Köhler, 2005:47). In the Cape Colony, !Xam individuals acquired particular products (ostrich feathers) for trade with settlers (Chapter 8c:335), extracting ‘commoditised’ resources in a manner similar to Irulas snake-catchers in Tamil Nadu, India (Whitaker and Andrews, 1996) and Efe honey-gatherers (Terashima, 1998) or Mbuti net-hunters (Hart, 1978) in the Ituri forest of the Congo. By expanding this to include labour for Europeans and Bastaards, they readily appear as ‘specialist producers’, a hunter-gatherer strategy that has often been cast in the idiom of contact and contamination (Headland and Reid, 1989; Layton, 2001) and thus connected with the ‘Kalahari debate’ in defining ‘authenticity’ in foraging lifeways. !Xam subsistence strategies generated the vital constituents of cultural-significant material culture (Chapter 7d:262), and their interactions with food-producing colonial populations were contiguous with relations with other hunting and gathering groups (Chapter 7d:271). Specialisation in their ‘productive’ activities was not, therefore, a consequence of the colonial setting nor was it attendant on interactions with domesticated livestock: the ability to produce specialised products was not distributed equally among !Xam individuals (possessing the cultural knowledge and skill to produce goods ‘nicely’) and groups247 (accessing resources associated with certain areas, such as particular plant poisons), and exchange with other hunter-gatherer groups may thus equally be presented as an instance of cultural contact or ‘contamination’.

247 The Selk’nam of Tierra del Fuego (Chapman, n.d.; 1982:35-39) also displayed differential ownership of geographically-circumscribed resources (types of sandstone used to construct arrow-straightening tools), and restricted information to outsiders.
Social change and resilience

The incorporation of domesticates thus emerges as a modification of subsistence not only in the sense of techniques of food acquisition, but also in terms of alterations in exchange networks and social relations. The construction of gendered identity represents an important dimension of social relations, one that (along with age) represents the dominant structuring principle of many hunter-gatherer societies. In a broad sense, Tonkinson’s (2000) description of gender relations among the Mardu parallels the pattern evident from theǀXam narratives; a strong egalitarian ethos in interpersonal relations on a daily basis (individuals of any gender potentially exerting considerable influence) being experienced through the specific kin relationships within which one is embedded, with age being an important modifier dictating social norms. At the level of ‘corporate’ identity, this mundane egalitarianism is replaced by ‘structural’ inequality favouring senior initiated men; products of their labour (meat) are more highly valued, religious institutions stress their vital role in establishing the relations that make the land productive, and social institutions (such as marriage) empower them with rights not accorded women248.

248 Markedly distinct from theǀXam case:ǀXam women had the right of divorce, leaving their husbands to remarry (LL.VIII.1.6079) or returning to live with consanguinal kin (LL.II.13.1291)
I have returned several times to the problematic absence of female voices in the Bleek-Lloyd archive; the comparative context highlights the issues of this disparity, with questions of gender becoming increasingly significant components in the later twentieth century scholarship concerning colonial societies. The explicit concern of gender studies with power dynamics (Tonkinson, 2000:345) pre-configures such approaches for the study of colonialism, and conjugal and other gendered relationships between settlers and indigenes are now emphasised as an integral component of frontier scenarios. With the encroachment of colonial populations, relationships were established between European and indigenous masculinities and femininities. These became formative influences on the development of gender relations in the new colonial society; Haskin and Maynard (2005) discuss the importance in colonial public discourse of abrogating, or making pathological, sexual relationships between white women and Aboriginal men.

Gendered cross-cultural interactions both constrained and facilitated the kinds of strategies available to indigenous populations; Tonkinson (2000) speaks of both the colonial ‘patriarchal’ attitudes that shaped the emergence of men as public, political figures in colonial Aboriginal societies (or as ‘bosses’ in labour relations), as well as the sexual and/or domestic labour relations that provided women with opportunities to acquire knowledge of settler society and colonial
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249 This scholarship primarily examines interactions between settler men and indigenous women, though some authors (e.g. Haskin and Maynard, 2005) have focused on alternative relations
250 Women from indigenous communities often found themselves on both ‘sides’ of frontier society, with much of the violence of this space consequent on (often abusive) settler relations with Aboriginal women (Schaffer, 2001:135-137)
material culture, and (ultimately) better access to formal education. Similar processes obtained in the transformation of the Southern Paiute from autonomous egalitarian hunter-gatherers into an ‘ethnic’ class of marginal wage labourers within the colonial state (Knack, 1995:156). This incorporation forced them to engage with settler notions of gender, as the work offered to labourers was governed by the colonist expectations of appropriate male and female labour; women were hired for domestic work and (especially if accompanied by children) were more successful in soliciting gifts (begging) from Europeans (Knack, 1995:152). This labour allowed women to acquire an independent income, maintaining the significance of their subsistence activities and contributing to the continuance of relatively egalitarian gender relationships of the precolonial period, despite the fact that settler society did not recognise women as community leaders (Knack, 1995:153). Though there are no verbatim accounts fromǀXam women engaged in similar relations, references to men using their connections with such women to access food (Chapter 8c:323) suggest that similar processes were occurring in the Karoo during the nineteenth century, and marriages documented betweenǀXam and Korana suggest that these types of relationships may have had a deeper precolonial past.

Among the Mardu, the provision of resources (welfare and pensions) by the state has afforded both young unmarried women and more elderly widows, whose ‘structural’ position formerly circumscribed their actions and made them subject

---

251 Southern Paiute groups traditionally practised subsistence strategies rather similar to those of theǀXam, employing a gendered division of labour with female gatherers and male hunters. However, this division was fluid; women trapped game, and men participated in piñon nut and mesquite harvests.
to the dictates of others (usually male elders, but also senior women), a degree of subsistence independence (Tonkinson, 2000:355). This has been mirrored by declining engagements with ‘structural’ unequal social institutions; polygyny is much reduced, despite older male insistence on its propriety under the ‘old law’. TheǀXam similarly circumscribed their young women with proscriptions derived from an ideological network. Although these prohibitions were contested (at least in oral narratives), it seems likely that marriages to Bastaard farmers (Chapter 8c:323) would have allowed young women opportunities for challenging them further, especially in light of the fact that there was already a gendered incorporation of livestock resources in the ritualised behaviours linked with seclusion practices (see glossary !kwî-la-ǀka:ŋ:433; Chapter 8a:293). The archive also provides evidence that (relatively expeditious) re-marriage of widows was common among the nineteenth centuryǀXam (LL.V.3.4132'); with this in mind, the decisions made byǀkabbo andǀhanǀkass'o’s wives to stay with a farmer (LL.II.6.648) may be seen as an attempt to ensure secure resource access and to avoid pressures to remarry, as occurred withǀkasin’s wife during his imprisonment in the Breakwater (WB.XXV.2351’).

Although livestock represented a key domain of colonial material culture shaping hunter-gatherer experiences of colonisation, other elements of material culture were also significant. In Australia, narcotics and other novel drugs became a significant component structuring Aboriginal interest in engaging with colonial society, with tobacco and tea becoming seen as essential components of subsistence (Trigger, 1992:27). The more recent nature of European pastoralist
expansion into arid Australia has facilitated on-going ethnohistorical work that provides detailed accounts of hunter-gatherer experiences of these ‘Westernising’ desires. Harrison (2002, 2002a, 2004) explores oral traditions emphasising the role of desires for drugs (tea, tobacco) and preferences for European foodstuffs in enticing Aborigines to ‘come in’ to the pastoral station (Harrison, 2004:116), leaving physical traces (billy cans, tobacco tins) in the archaeological signatures of pastoral workers’ camps that chart divisions between people who lived at that station and those who were still ‘wild’. The importance of tobacco in ǀXam life is evident (Chapter 8c:333), and Harrison’s observations on the significance of foodstuffs suggests that ǀXam comments on the abilities of Europeans to give them ‘little pieces of fat’ (LL.VIII.12.7108’) should be accorded a similar recognition as a motivation for interaction.

Looking at the Aboriginal adoption and modification of metal tools, Harrison (2002:67) points out that as contact processes created fundamentally different environments to those obtaining precolonially, new forms of material culture need not represent assimilation or acculturation but may rather arise simply from the new needs of this situation. This notion of material culture as usefully deployed within a shared colonial environment is a useful perspective for examining those practices that cross-cut ‘ethnic’ or other forms of identity, such as the colonial ‘gun cultures’ in all three areas I have looked at here: the widespread appeal of guns suggests that they are best viewed as suited to the colonial scenario itself. While the importance of the gun as a formative component of colonial society has sometimes been overstated (cf. Bellesiles, 1996, 2002), from the onset of
colonisation in the Americas, Australia, and South Africa, the gun took on a new
valence for European populations both as a protective device and as a subsistence
tool. Guns were very much a cross-cultural obsession of the southern African
Karoo (Storey, 2008:17-47, 78-117), and numerous strategies were employed to
acquire them, from settlers keen to acquire privileged access to gunpowder
supplies by representing their needs to colonial government (Chapter 4c:101), to
Bastaard ‘respectability’ relationships with missionaries that maintained a
‘civilised’ status that facilitated gun ownership (Legassick, 2010 [1969]), through
to acquisition of guns by ǀXam individuals though labour relationships with
farmers. This ‘gun culture’ of the Karoo thus represented at least in part a strategy
of incoming (European, Bastaard, Xhosa) populations of dealing with a novel arid
environment, combining subsistence and economic components with the influence
of violent interactions; for livestock-keeping groups, hunting with guns allowed
the maximisation of stock and the extraction of valuable wild game products. This
incoming strategy soon altered the Karoo (and southern African environments
generally; Beinart, 1990:167-169) on such a scale that guns were rendered a
valuable dimension for coping with what had become a novel environment even
for indigenes: by the nineteenth century the ǀXam were emphasising the
importance of guns in subsistence (LL.II.32.2924’-2925’), where they acquired a
potentially socially transformative dimension, represented as a more
‘individualistic’ mode of acquisition in hunting and incorporated in depictions of
the actions of ‘angry’ men (LL.IV.1.3465-3471; Chapter 6a:175).
As another example, Arkush (1987) suggests that historic-period Paiute winter houses can be taken as indices of the continuance of ‘traditional’ subsistence strategies, in spite of settler encroachments; the descriptions of these houses are strikingly reminiscent of D. Bleek’s (1936) photographs of |Xam dwellings in the early twentieth century. In both cases, the material culture of the built environment represents a concrete manifestation of the conflicts and negotiation developing between the ‘institutions’ of different societies, characteristic of the colonial encounter. The houses constructed by D. Bleek’s informants, or by |a!kunta while living with a farmer (Chapter 8c:332), are thus indicative of attempts to find the ‘space’ to accommodate hunting and gathering subsistence within the colonial situation. Conversely, the imposition of a new ‘settler’ built environment, in terms of the construction of mission stations and farm buildings, represented the physical presence of settlers on the landscape and served metonymically for the arrival of the new forms of religious ideology and socio-cultural organisation with which indigenes had to contend; it is no accident that these new-made ‘places’ have formed the focus for studies of the material dimension of colonial expansion252. The occupation of |Xam territories at the larger scale was fundamental to the ultimate collapse of their hunter-gatherer ways of life, as with the importance of boreholes and barbed wire for the imposition of a European conceptualisation of land ownership, over negated |Xam definitions.

252 E.g. Birmingham’s (2000) exploration of a Lutheran mission in the Australian interior, mapping the ‘falling-off’ of colonial material culture with physical proximity to station buildings, and thus implying a link between physical association and cultural accommodation.
Concluding remarks

The Bleek-Lloyd archive creates an unusual dilemma for the scholar interested in hunter-gatherer relationships with colonial populations, recording an extraordinary ‘depth’ of information but (initiated as a linguistic programme and not structured as ‘ethnography’) doing so in a largely *ad hoc* fashion from a limited number of informants. This creates problems regarding extrapolation from a small and potentially atypical dataset, similar to those Barnard (2008) discusses for Khoekhoe societies of the Eastern and Western Cape. While these issues limit the possibility of developing a social anthropological understandings of their societies in a strict sense, by deploying historical accounts within a comparative context (looking at Khoe populations for which we possess more extensive information), Barnard (2008:62) suggests that partial ‘ethnographies’ can be reconstructed. Reliance on comparative exercises in the absence of detailed specific ethnographic data for one society may serve to (re-)create more homogeneous identities than truly existed253, and assertions must therefore remain cautious; Barnard (2008:68-69) stresses the need to examine ‘resilient’ social phenomena (such kinship structures) and to employ as broad a comparative dataset as possible (Barnard, 2008:68). In this spirit, the incorporation of insights

---

253 A problem faced especially with non-Xam ‘Southern Bushmen’.
from geographically disparate populations employing similar subsistence strategies (Fauvelle-Aymar, 2008) or from groups living under similar ecological, environmental or historical conditions may also prove enlightening (though such groups should exhibit fewer specific resonances with ‘Khoekhoe society’).

These approaches ring equally true for the ′Xam, as ethnographic descriptions of other southern Bushman societies are not available, and the archive can no longer be supplemented with information from extant ′Xam communities; although these hypothetical communities could not provide unproblematic exegeses for the archive, assuming continuity in cultural identity and (at least to some degree) lifeways such communities would be expected to possess useful interpretive insight

The unusual nature of the Bleek-Lloyd material allows us to circumvent this potentially problematic assumption (with its echoes of ‘timeless’ Bushmen); possessing detailed verbatim accounts where fragmentary documentation from colonial officers, hunters, missionaries, and other travellers would otherwise have to suffice, the archive can be examined for themes that were not at the time of collection considered interesting, and in so doing ‘ethnographic’ descriptions can be attempted. Tempered by historical, ecological, and environmental contextualisation, and set within a theoretical framework provided by the large-scale comparisons of hunter-gatherer studies, production of this ′Xam ‘ethnography’ has been the work of this thesis. A key dimension of this work has been the in-depth consideration of ′Xam terminology, an element hitherto

---

254 Justified to some extent by Hoff’s (1998; 1997) findings of themes relevant to the archive among ′Xam-descendants in the Northern Cape
neglected in analyses of the corpus. Although the archive certainly records this terminology comprehensively, the subsequent demise of the !Xam language has impeded its treatment according to modern standards of linguistic analysis, a problem compounded by the fact that relatively little is known regarding related Tuu languages. This situation largely reverses that in other areas of hunter-gatherer interaction with European colonists, where comparatively sparse historical linguistic documentation can be supplemented by ongoing linguistic work.

While the production of such linguistic analyses of !Xam is beyond the scope of my thesis, use of the original terminology has been vital to my exploration of emic !Xam ideologies, and has proven an invaluable component in the development of fresh insights into Bleek-Lloyd material. Through close analysis of the deployment of specific terminology, I have been able to reconstruct a partial ethnography of the nineteenth century !Xam, which elucidates the ideological underpinnings of !Xam beliefs and practice. By examining the archive in its entirety, I have explored the recurrence of terminology and themes over a range of narrative ‘types’ collected from all the Bleek-Lloyd informants; this approach allowed me to extract salient cultural dimensions, and highlighted the interwoven and mutually reinforcing nature of these ideological components. In the course of this analysis, one set of themes became particularly significant: issues dealing with the differentiation of !Xam society, in terms of the construction of

---

255 See Lewis-Williams and Challis (2011) for discussion of emic differentiation between types of ‘magical’ potency.

256 Acknowledging widespread processes of language death, with extant languages representing only a sample of linguistic diversity at the onset of colonisation.
authority, competence, and social responsibility. Building on previous scholarship that has focused particularly on understanding the role of ritual specialists in |Xam socio-cultural practice, I have explored the way in which these broader ideological premises supported and made ‘meaningful’ the performance of a range of specific roles in |Xam society; although ritual specialists undoubtedly were culturally salient figures in |Xam life, they did not exist in isolation. One major avenue of differentiation, and a salient domain for the construction of |Xam identities, was through participation in subsistence activities, where skilful modes of acquiring (and appropriate attitudes in distributing) resources underpinned |Xam evaluations of admirable versus reprehensible persons. The development of this ethnographic analysis consequently entailed the reconstruction of a holistic depiction of |Xam subsistence strategies, linking these strategies to the underlying ideological framework. Recognition of the relationship of mutual reinforcement existing between this framework and |Xam subsistence practices led to a more nuanced understanding of their engagements with and integration into nineteenth century colonial society; by examining |Xam strategies as a coherent whole, their engagement with colonial societies, domesticated species, and novel material culture of the colonial period appears not as incongruous with their ‘hunter-gatherer’ status but rather becomes a fundamental consequence of it. Contacts and interactions between |Xam individuals and the various agents of the nineteenth century Karoo set parameters that were sometimes outside of |Xam control, and which (over time) necessitated large-scale modifications in their practices and beliefs; such interactions did not, however, represent an instantaneous and
complete dissolution of ‘ǀXam practice’ or abrogation of their identity, but instead added further complexity to a world that already included a large number of differentiated parties, each with their own aims, desires, and resources.

My detailed ethnographic reconstruction, situated in the specifics of the nineteenth century historical context, provides the necessary foundation for examining the ǀXam materials in cross-cultural contexts, moving beyond comparisons with Khoe or non-ǀXam Bushman societies to include as broad a dataset as possible. In this thesis, I developed further insights by placing ǀXam ethnography both within a global ‘theoretical’ situation (allowing me to locate profitably these materials within general models of ‘hunter-gatherer’ ontologies drawn from circumpolar and South American societies), and by emphasising the status of ǀXam as ‘colonial’ hunter-gatherers. Many of the contextually specific manifestations of their interactions in the northern frontier regions of the Cape Colony reiterate thematic issues seen elsewhere in the world. Each of these regions exhibits historical contingencies that have shaped the ways in which their hunter-gatherer communities (and their contemporary descendants) have been conceptualised over the twentieth and into the twenty-first centuries; the North American reservation system, for example, constructed ‘official’ indigenous identities, and individuals who chose not to participate in this might disappear into more generic rural or urban labour forces (Knack, 2001:183). The different representations thus arising have directed the kinds of research themes emergent in each area, and themes from Australia and North America point to lacunae in southern African research that have the potential to be profitably investigated in
the future. While the often dramatic transformations required by new colonial environments might be envisioned as an insurmountable separation from earlier cultural forms, Knack’s (2001) discussion of Paiute strategies continuing into the twentieth century suggests that even extreme shifts in practice can allow for continuity in some socio-cultural aspects: the traditional kinship obligations were maintained between Paiute individuals who engaged with the reservation system and those who remained outside this system, close to towns or in such ‘traditional’ spaces as they could still inhabit (Knack, 2001:187-188), underpinning a residential fluidity that, to some extent, perpetuated the shifting residence patterns and rights of resource access acquired by dwelling that characterised precolonial Paiute strategies (Knack, 2001:191).

These survivals of ‘hunter-gatherer’ attitudes in the face of ‘assimilation’ to colonial society have particular relevance for South Africa, because the dominance here of twentieth century *apartheid*, and its role in the formulation of the ‘Coloured’ identity. This has had important consequences in terms of the disappearance of ‘hunter-gatherer’ identities from national discourse, and despite post-1994 ‘Khoisan’ revivals (Lee and Hitchcock, 2001:271-274; Lee, 2003:96-104), this remains largely true in South Africa today, unlike the situation in Australia or North America. Calls from Australia for the development of community-oriented approaches that focus on particular ‘places’ on the landscape, 257 Excepting two small communities: the ‘Schmidsdrift’ !Xun and Khwe communities (now living at Platfontein), and the !Khomani ‘Kagga Kamma’ groups forced out of the Kalahari Gemsbok National Park under *apartheid* (Lee and Hitchcock, 2001:269-270).

258 Even in the face of disruption and amalgamation, former hunter-gatherer ‘Aboriginal’ or ‘First Nations’ (and more specific) cultural identities have here retained clear continuities into the present.
tracking colonial history not as one of *either* settler *or* indigene but as a shared trajectory (Harrison 2002a) represents an approach that would re-configure rural Northern Cape Coloured populations as the result of longer-term trajectories rather than as the product of utterly destructive colonial historical processes. While such a configuration may sometimes demand the amalgamation of ‘Khoekhoe’, ‘Bastaard’ and ‘Bushman’ categories that researchers have preferred to keep distinct, where this amalgamation has been implemented it has yielded profitable insights (Morris, 2002, 2008). In this paradigm, the oral and written histories and archaeologies of Northern Cape farms, of dam and windmill construction, of rural labourer bothies and kraals, would be incorporated within a broader notion of ‘ǀXam’ and Khoekhoen adaptations to the colonial environment that have the potential to cast such interactions not only as ‘failures’ (as undoubtedly was the case for many individuals) but also as successful responses that have ensured their descendants’ continuing role in the construction of identities within the ‘post-colonial’ South African state.
Appendix A

A Note on Orthography and Pronunciation

Through this thesis, extensive reference shall be made to |Xam words. The often radical differences between Khoisan and Indo-European languages have necessitated substantial orthographic modifications to express the full range of sounds present in the former; much of the pioneering work in this area was undertaken by Bleek himself. Early efforts focused on the distinctive ‘click consonants’ of the Khoisan orthography: these developed separately from the IPA (International Phonetic Association) system, whose symbols for clicks are not widely used among past or contemporary scholars of Khoisan languages. As many of these symbols will be unfamiliar, I present here a brief overview of the basic sounds that will be encountered (see Barnard, 1992:xviii-xxv and Hollmann, 2004 for more specific information on |Xam pronunciation). The five clicks present in |Xam are denoted thus:

- the dental click. A dental or alveolar affricate (fricative), produced by sucking the tip of the tongue back from the teeth, as in English ‘Tsk, tsk’
ǁ - the lateral click. A lateral affricate (fricative), produced with the tongue on the roof of the mouth, releasing air between the tongue and one or other cheek, approximating the sound made to urge on a horse.

ǃ - the palatal (cerebral, retroflex) click. An alveopalatal or palatal stop, produced by sharply pulling the tip of the tongue down from the hard palate, sounding much like an imitation of a cork pulled from a bottle.

ǀ - the alveolar click. An alveolar stop, produced by sharply pulling the tongue away from the alveolar ridge, behind the teeth.

ʘ - the bilabial click. A bilabial stop or affricate, produced by releasing air between the lips, somewhat like a ‘kissing’ noise but without rounded lips.

Clicks habitually occur in consonant clusters (treated as separate phonemes by linguists) and are often voiced (pronounced with a d or g) or nasalised (indicated with an n). Bleek and Lloyd tended to place click symbols in front of any nasalising or voicing letter: although the reverse is now preferred in Khoisan orthography, I follow them in this practice. Letters after click symbols are not capitalised\(^{259}\). Remaining consonants and vowels are indicated by the standard IPA phonetic symbols, though x is used to represent a guttural sound similar to the ch in ‘loch’, or a g in Afrikaans. The cluster denoted k’’ in Bleek and Lloyd’s writing (now kx’) represents the velar ejective affricate. Symbols commonly employed in !Xam words include ş (sh), ʒ (zh), and ø (the schwa). Increased vowel length is represented by a colon after the vowel (following Bleek’s (1956)

\(^{259}\) I have made an exception of ‘!Xam’ itself, due to the widespread use of the capital in related scholarship.
practice) and glottal stops are indicated by ’ rather than ?. A tilde above a letter reflects nasalisation. Bleek (1956:1) uses a spiral symbol to represent what she terms ‘pressed’ vowels or pharyngeal roughing; I have used a lowercase Xi (ξ) to denote this symbol for consistency of referencing. Tone was also an important feature in |Xam, although it is omitted here (following Barnard, 1992:xxiii). The Bleek and Lloyd notebooks (and to some extent, the Dictionary) employ numerous diacritics to convey this dimension of the |Xam language, with numerous alternative renditions of the same word. It is often unclear which of these marks represents meaningful information: this is a major challenge for translating obscure passages, and in the absence of a detailed linguistic reconstruction of |Xam must remain an ambiguous element (which is indicated where relevant). Personal and group names are not italicised.
Appendix B

Referencing the Bleek-Lloyd Archive

(Centre for Curating the Archive, University of Cape Town, South Africa)

With respect to the original Bleek and Lloyd notebooks, references take the following form: LL.VIII.22.7906-7908. The first element represents the initials of the collector (Lucy Lloyd or Wilhelm Bleek), the second component a Roman numeral corresponding to a narrator. The remaining numerals refer to the notebook and page number respectively. For Bleek’s notebooks, there were no narrator numerals, and the Roman numeral corresponds to the consecutively numbered notebook, followed by a page number (e.g. WB.XIV.1310). For ease of consultation, the following tables provide a list linking these notebook references with the format used for the digitised material: the digitised material can be found in Skotnes (2007), or online at http://lloydbleekcollection.cs.uct.ac.za/books.html.
### Wilhelm Bleek Notebooks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notebook Reference</th>
<th>Digitised Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WB.I.1-333</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.II.334-428</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.III.429-514</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.IV.515-584</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.V.585-679</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.VI.680-750</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.VII.751-822</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.VIII.823-894</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.IX.895-964</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.X.965-1026</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XI.1027-1120</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XII.1121-1213</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XIII.1214-1306</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XIV.1307-1401</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XV.1402-1495</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XVI.1496-1590</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XVII.1591-1683</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XVIII.1684-1777</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XIX.1778-1871</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XX.1872-1964</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XXI.1965-2058</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XXII.2059-2152</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XXIII.2153-2247</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XXIV.2248-2339</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XXV.2341-2434</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XXVI.2435-2529</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XXXVII.2530-2621</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB.XXVIII.unnumbered</td>
<td>BC_151_A1_4_028_STARS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notebook Reference</td>
<td>Digitised Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK I-1 1-77</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK I-2 119-188</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-1 189-287</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-2 288-382</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-3 383-475</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-4 476-529</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-5 530-624</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-6 625-715</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-7 716-810</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-8 811-907</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-9 908-1002</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-10 1003-1099</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-11 1100-1170</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-12 1171-1243</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-13 1244-1314</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-14 1315-1431</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-15 1432-1499</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-16 1500-1553</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-17 1554-1622</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-18 1623-1691</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-19 1692-1759</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-20 1760-1855</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-21 1856-1948</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-22 1949-2042</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-23 2043-2134</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-24 2135-2226</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-25 2227-2319</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-26 2320-2412</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-27 2413-2504</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-28 2505-2596</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-29 2597-2687</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-30 2688-2779</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-31 2780-2873 1/2</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-32 2874-2965</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-33 2966-3057 1/2</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-34 3058-3149 1/2</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-35 3150-3241 1/2</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-36 3242-3332</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page Description</td>
<td>Page Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK II-37</td>
<td>3333-3424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK IV-1</td>
<td>3425-3515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK IV-2</td>
<td>3516-3607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-1</td>
<td>3608-3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-3</td>
<td>3701-3792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-2</td>
<td>3793-3881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK IV-4</td>
<td>3882-3968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VI-1</td>
<td>3882-3974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VI-2</td>
<td>3975-4071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-3</td>
<td>4071-4161 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-4</td>
<td>4162-4252 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-5</td>
<td>4253-4344 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-6</td>
<td>4345-4435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-7</td>
<td>4436-4526 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-8</td>
<td>4527-4617 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-9</td>
<td>4618-4706 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-10</td>
<td>4707-4796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-11</td>
<td>4797-4889 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-12</td>
<td>4890-4980 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-13</td>
<td>4981-5039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-14</td>
<td>5040-5078 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-15</td>
<td>5079-5168 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-16</td>
<td>5169-5260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-17</td>
<td>5261-5353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-18</td>
<td>5354-5444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-19</td>
<td>5445-5536 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-20</td>
<td>5537-5628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-21</td>
<td>5629-5719 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-22</td>
<td>5720-5810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-23</td>
<td>5811-5901 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-24</td>
<td>5902-5992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK V-25</td>
<td>5993-6030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VII-1</td>
<td>6031-6046 5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-1</td>
<td>6047-6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-2</td>
<td>6141-6231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-3</td>
<td>6232-6322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-4</td>
<td>6323-6413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-5</td>
<td>6414-6504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-6</td>
<td>6505-6595 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-7</td>
<td>6596-6686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-8</td>
<td>6687-6769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-9</td>
<td>6770-6857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-10</td>
<td>6858-6944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-11</td>
<td>6945-7031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page Range</th>
<th>Call Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-12 7032-7118</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-13 7119-7205</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-14 7206-7288</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-15 7289-7375 1/2</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-16 7376-7462</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-17 7463-7549</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-18 7550-7638</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-19 7639-7727</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-20 7728-7816</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-21 7817-7905</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-22 7906-7994</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-23 7995-8083 3/4</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-24 8084-8172</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-25 8173-8261</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-26 8262-8350</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-27 8351-8437</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-28 8438-8525 1/2</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-29 8526-8614</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-30 8615-8702</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-31 8703-8791</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK VIII-32 8792-8878</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL BK III-1 476-570</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL first unnumbered notebook.1-19</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL second unnumbered notebook.20-39</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL third unnumbered notebook.40-60</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL fourth unnumbered notebook.61-118</td>
<td>BC_151_A2_1_004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sketch (springbok hunting; LL.VIII.23)</td>
<td>UCTLB_D_h008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C

A Note on Nomenclature

Naming conventions for the groups discussed in this thesis remain a contentious issue in southern African scholarship. Extant hunter-gatherer populations in Botswana and Namibia have historically had little sense of a participation in a pan-southern African hunter-gatherer identity and consequently lack a corresponding autonym. Northern Cape hunter-gatherers also lacked this terminology, although the |Xam word !k’e (people, Bleek, 1956:419) was largely reserved for populations linguistically affiliated with them (Chapter 7a:215). From the onset of colonisation, European observers attempted to classify African populations, adopting a mixture of indigenous and imposed ethnonyms. In the south-west Cape, this classification revolved around a perceived difference

260 The existence of organisations such as the Working Group of Indigenous Minorities in Southern Africa (WIMSA, http://www.wimsanet.org/), set up to address the needs of San peoples, suggests that this is changing at least to some extent.
between sheep and cattle pastoralists, and hunter-gatherer populations. Indigenous epithets were used for the autonomous political units (usually referred to at the time as ‘tribes’) the colonists encountered. The most significant imposed ethnonym was *Hottentot*: while the etymology of this word is unclear (Nienaber, 1963), it was primarily associated with pastoralist communities. Somewhat confusingly, it was on occasion used in a generic and inclusive sense that encompassed hunter-gatherer populations (as a ‘type’ of Hottentot), although this usage became increasingly less common in the later eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. It is no longer used in South Africa today, as during the course of the twentieth century it increasingly came to be used as an abusive epithet. *Khoekhoe* (formerly spelled Khoikhoi; pl. *Khoekhoen*) is preferred for the pastoralist groups, approximating ‘Men of men’ or ‘true men’ in Nama and Korana (Khoe languages): it is used throughout, except where the former term conveys relevant historical information. Specific autonyms (Namaqua, Korana, etc.) are substituted where appropriate.

The appellation *Bushman* (or in Dutch, *Bosjesman*) was applied, broadly, to populations with hunter-gatherer subsistence strategies. The concept of hunting and gathering as antithetical to ‘civilised’ food-production combined with the widespread resistance of these populations to European encroachment meant that during the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries ‘Bushman’ came also to refer to the quintessentially ‘wild’ indigene, often participating in raiding practices. During the decades following the founding of the Cape Colony, the Cape Khoekhoe term *Soaqua* (or *Sonqua*) was used by European populations to refer to these groups,
but by the late eighteenth century ‘Bushman’ or ‘Bosjesman’ was increasingly replacing this usage. This Khoekhoe term (cognates are known also from Nama and Korana) has become a widely used alternative in scholarship from the later twentieth century onward, where it is generally given as San. The terms are more-or-less synonymous: just as with ‘Bushman’ in English and Dutch, the Khoekhoe ‘San’ references occupation of ‘wild’ places, and carries strong overtones of social inferiority (lack of livestock) and a predatory nature. In the absence of any consensus among extant hunter-gatherer groups or their descendants, I see no reason to favour San over Bushman (indeed the former seems needlessly ‘exotic’, and emphasises an imposed term, seemingly merely because it is not European). When discussing populations documented in the nineteenth century, the term Bushman is preferred as contextually appropriate. For the Bleek-Lloyd archive in particular, we have the relevant term as provided by the informants:ǀXam-ka-ǃk’e orǀXam (-speaking) people, which I have usually rendered asǀXam Bushmen. Perceived linguistic, genetic and cultural similarities have long (Stow, 1905; Schapera, 1930) been used as a basis for separating Khoekhoe and Bushman populations from other African groups. The term Khoisan (Schultze, 1928), first applied as a biological category, refers to this separation.

Broadly similar to creolised groups elsewhere in the colonial world, the Bastaards are the descendents of (for the most part) European men and indigenous Khoekhoe women: in the early nineteenth century, the missionary John Campbell persuaded (at least some of) them to refer to themselves as Griqua (Schoeman, 1996:xii). This latter term primarily distinguishes a particular group of
Bastaards, who formed a relatively powerful polity in the trans-Orange interior: those who remained independent farmers in the central Nama-Karoo are better referred to by the older term. The Oorlams were similar creolised populations (primarily of Khoekhoe and slave descent), often given specific names based on the identity of their leaders (the Africaanders, &c). During the colonial period, Kafir (from the Arabic kāfir, unbeliever) was widely employed as an ethnonym for black agro-pastoralists who spoke Bantu languages. It was most commonly synonymous with Xhosa (the Bantu-speaking group with whom the colony had the longest-standing relationship) and formed the basis of the colonial term for their homelands (Caffraria): it was also, however, widely applied to other Nguni-speakers, and even to Sotho and Tswana populations. Having acquired extremely negative connotations in the twentieth century, it is no longer applied in South African scholarship: I employ indigenous ethnonyms (Xhosa or Tswana for this period and region) where these are required, unless in direct quotation of historical sources. Trekboere (Afr., migrant-farmers) or veeboere (Afr., cattle-farmers) are both used for populations of European or European-descended colonists (primarily of Dutch or German descent), which formed the basis for the emergent colonial Afrikaner identity.
Appendix D

Glossary of |Xam terminology

This glossary is arranged alphabetically. Following the Bushman Dictionary (Bleek, 1956), initial ‘click consonants’ take the order: dental (ǀ), palatal (ǃ), lateral (ǁ), alveolar (ǂ), and bilabial (ʘ). The velar ejective affricate (k") is treated as a separate letter, falling between k and l. In the notebooks, word transcriptions are not consistent: W. Bleek and Lloyd revised their orthography over the course of the project, and became better at discerning phonetic variation. The variation recorded is only partly meaningful (phonemic), and, in the absence of linguistic treatments of |Xam to isolate the phonological forms of each word, I have chosen Bleek’s (1956) ‘standardised’ versions. The primary term is written in bold and underlined (variant forms given italicised in brackets). Constituent words with compound terms are bold and italicised, given separately with references to their location in the Dictionary. Terms dealing with related concepts, or those
necessary for situating the primary terms in their wider context, are italicised and underlined together with their Dictionary reference.

**A:kǝn.** *(a:kkǝn)*; *v.* to be nice, good, comfortable, handsome, beautiful, to do nicely, well, *n.* beauty (Bleek, 1956:7). This referred to activities that were performed admirably, inculcating desirable states of moral rectitude, visual attractiveness, and physical comfort. *A:kǝn* was strongly connected with *twai:ĩ*, often being used consecutively: a woman who worked ‘nicely’ (*twai:ĩ*) made dancing rattles that were ‘good’ (*a:kǝn*), sounding ‘sweetly’ (*twai:ĩ*, LL.VIII.1.6132-6133). A person who behaved in *a:kǝn* fashion and who was able to execute tasks competently might be referred to with the specific epithet, *ǀkun-len*, a person who worked ‘nicely’. See **Twai:ĩ**.

**Da_timezone_error** *n.* movement, stirring, *v.* to move, quiver, shake, tap (Bleek, 1956:22). When applied to people, the term could be translated as ‘wild’ (LL.II.14.1335-1336); ‘wild’ people were those who ‘talked much’, a translation for the phrase *ǀkanikan-ɑ* (not in the Dictionary). Comparisons with *ǀkażŋ'ɑ* (to chase, follow, Bleek, 1956:300) and *ǀkanikan* (*v.* to step along, Bleek, 1956:301) suggests that it connoted restlessness or impatience. These ‘wild’ people were constructed in opposition to a woman judged to be particularly responsible: this woman was quiet, not a ‘strong eater’, and worked things well (thus implying that *da_timezone_error* people did not act in this fashion). The good-tempered person was unlikely to be *da_timezone_error*, as these ‘amiable people’ were not impatient or quick to become angry (LL.V.15.5083’); anger and the resulting fighting (if among people
who should be ‘fellows’) was itself judged negatively. See * índu, * k até, * k xau:.

**Daudáu-ka-ǃk’e; daudáu** v. to feign, deceive, lie, be mistaken (Bleek, 1956:22);

-ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –qa, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74); *ǃk’e noun pl. people, men (sing. *ǃkwi; emph. *ǃk’etǝn) (Bleek, 1956:419). *Daindain, v. to deceive (Bleek, 1956:20). Lloyd translated this epithet as ‘hunting’s people’ (LL.VIII.9.6786-6857); more literally it represented ‘deceiving’s people’ or ‘people of deceiving’. This reference was deployed only infrequently and comments on ‘hunters’ (with an emic equivalent) are therefore rare. However, *ǀXam hunting terminology was extremely complex, with references to the range of techniques varying with the aims of the hunters and the habits of the prey. Some of these demonstrated abilities in careful movement, controlling the sensory information hunters conveyed to game animals. Both words commonly defining masculine hunting activity, *ǃkoe: (v. to hunt, Bleek, 1956:584) and *ǃhen (v. to hunt, Bleek, 1956:397), were connected with notions of coming in, moving among, or approaching close to something: *ǃhein, *ǃhe:n, v. to approach, be near, *ǃhi:η, v. to be near, draw near, (Bleek, 1956:397), *ǃkoe, v. to be in, through, among (Bleek, 1956:584), and *ǃkoe, v. to descend, slip down (Bleek, 1956:584). Several additional terms repeated these connotations with an emphasis on stealth, describing the lying in wait and careful approach of ambush hunting: *ǀxorri:, v. to steal up to (Bleek, 1956:366), *ǀurri, v. to lie in wait for, aim at (Bleek, 1956:366), *ǀxwerri, v. to lie in wait for, steal up to, hunt (Bleek,
1956:376), and !kuiton, v. to lie in wait for, make a screen of bushes or a watch-house, n. a screen of bushes (Bleek, 1956:591).

Close approaches also necessitated knowledge of animal behaviour, more obviously manifest in a series of techniques attempting to get the animals to move in directions that would allow the hunters to shoot at them: !ku:xе, v. to run, chase (Bleek, 1956:455), !kaukәn (ǃkauka), v. to chase, give chase to (Bleek, 1956:562), !shәtta (!shәtәn), v. to head, go round (game), sometimes used as to hunt (Bleek, 1956:494), and !gamma-se, v. to drive, pursue, chase, n. pursuing (Bleek, 1956:526). Tame livestock and wild ungulates were discussed with contrasting terms (LL.V.9.4618'), while the driving of ostriches, with their idiosyncratic bipedal locomotion, was referred to with an additional word, !gauru (not in Dictionary, LL.VIII.26.8287'). These techniques give some hint of the physical capacities that underpinned successful hunting, seen also in the different techniques of shooting or aiming employed by the hunter: !kau:ξn (to shoot from afar, Bleek, 1956:415), !kwoγmmain (to shoot in among running animals, Bleek, 1956:468; or, to run shooting, LL.II.25.2287), and !ki:ξn (v. to fly singly, let fly at singly (?), Bleek, 1956:467). Other skills such as !gaokәn (v. to follow spoor, trail, find spoor, Bleek, 1956:377) and !khwi: (v. to follow spoor, track, Bleek, 1956:579, 600), or the ability to recognise the difference between !kurru: (spoor, Bleek, 1956:454), !ku:xi:tn (yesterday’s spoor, Bleek, 1956:450), and !kwobbokәn (spoor of the day before yesterday, Bleek, 1956:335), required keen observations and interpretations based on detailed ethological knowledge (cf. Liebenberg, 1990). This range of terminology thus gives some indication both of the breadth
of talents required for successful hunting outcomes, and of the potential for ‘specialisations’ that would allow many different people to contribute meaningfully to these outcomes. See lkakka, !gi:xa, !kwi-la-!ka:ŋ.

**Gen:** v. to give a name, call (Bleek, 1956:46). In the notebooks, this was glossed as ‘nicknaming’ (LL.VIII.32.8808’). These nicknames, even when denoting physical features, were usually based in particular experiences, and bestowed by friends or relatives; ğgwai-lunun (Clay-Nose, Bleek, 1956:649, 352) received his nickname after he threw a stone in water, causing mud to fly out over his face (LL.VIII.1.6080). !Xam nicknames were therefore ‘embodied’, being situated within particular relationships and personal experiences, a point that becomes more obvious in the wider context of !Xam ‘naming’ practices. Their bestowal of epithets encoded the events transpiring at given locales onto landscape features, both at a ‘mythic’ level as in the story of the Agama lizard (*cf.* J. Deacon, 1988) or when !kaggǝn gave the places their names (LL.VIII.12.7033’), and on more personal scales, as when !han!kass'o spoke of a waterhole for which he did not know the ‘real name’ (having recently arrived in the area) by the name of a woman who was killed by a lion at the site (LL.VIII.14.7216).

**Kerru:** v. to become green, be green, sprout, *n.* grass, foliage, vegetation (Bleek, 1956:87); !kerru:, !kerru, *n.* blossom, blossomtime (Bleek, 1956:422). As this latter term suggests, this colour term was connected intimately with phenological responses following rainfall. This connection underpinned the positive characterisation of the colour; when the rain arrived, the green foliage caused the
bad food to ‘grow out’ and brought the springbok, made the honey plentiful, and led to the ostriches laying the ‘ǂkerru’s eggs’ (LL.II.23.2052-2062).

**Koro**: *n.* jackal, *Canis mesomelas* (Bleek, 1956:101); the jackal in day-to-day discourse was considered rather foolish and timid (LL.VIII.14.7229'-7230'; LL.VIII.16.7459), and dealt poorly with its meat, burying it to finish it later rather than sharing it (LL.VIII.16.7449'-7450'). In Early-Race stories, they were one of the ‘beast-of-prey’ (*lkelke*) people, ugly, ‘red’, greedy, lovers of fat, who would eat people (LL.II.2.351; LL.II.3.418-419); they were particularly associated with hyenas (*ǃgwai*), sharing a ‘different’ odour that smelled badly (LL.II.15.1441-1442; LL.VIII.27.8395). See *ǃgwai, lkelke, ǂkhâ:*.

**Koro-twitân**: *n.* a certain little bird (Bleek, 1956:101); koro, *n.* jackal, *Canis mesomelas* (Bleek, 1956:101); twi:, *v.* to be wounded, *n.* scar, wound, hole (Bleek, 1956:245). The *Koro-twitân* played a role in an Early-Race story (LL.VIII.10.6885-6940), where he inducted ǀkaggǝn in his special skills of obtaining ǀxe: (Bushman Rice) by rubbing him with perspiration (making him ‘become like the other’, LL.VIII.10.6887'). In this narrative, ǀkaggǝn demonstrated his typical lack of understanding; having learnt the new technique, he destroyed his tools (LL.VIII.10.6891'), refused to share his ǀxe: with the person who had ‘nicely’ instructed him (LL.VIII.10.6901), and ended up getting injured because of his refusal to listen to ǀkwammaŋ’a (LL.VIII.10.6929-6935).

**Kwaǂkwaǂsikuiton**: *n.* an elusive thing (Bleek, 1956:110). This term is somewhat obscure, but the presence of the related *kwa* (*v.* to step softly, steal along, Bleek,
1956:108) and *kwačkwacita* (v. to dress up oddly, disguise, Bleek, 1956:110) confirms that these figures were associated with superlative skills in misdirection and stealth: the word *kwokwaɪ*, for a ‘sudden disappearance’ (Bleek, 1956:115) may also be connected. *kwočkwacisiku:tn*, which D. Bleek (1956:115) gives as an ‘omniscient person’ or ‘spirit’ is even less well defined; seldom-discussed, Dia!kwain rendered it into ‘Boer’s language’ as *perfit* (perhaps Afr. *profeet*, prophet), which W. Bleek translated as ‘something like an enchanter or wizard’ (WB.XXVI.2464). However, when placed in the context of the *kwačkwacisikuitǝn* porcupine’s ability to make people sleep against their will (*ǀkottǝn*, Bleek, 1956:321; LL.V.11.4381-4832), it is at least evident that these superlative deceptive skills were associated with a more general ‘special’ status. See *ǃgi:xa*.

**K"a:o**, *(k"au)*; v. to advance together, call a commando together, *n.* warparty (Bleek, 1956:120). The form of this word somewhat recalls the anti-social ‘cursing’ of *ǀk"ao:* (though obviously with the addition of a lateral click). See *ǀk"ao:*.

**K"e:nk"e:n**, *(k"eink"ein)*; v. to tease, make free with, mock, laugh at, deceive (Bleek, 1956:122); *k"e:i*, *(k"ei:ja)*, v. to evade, get away, deceive (Bleek, 1956:122); *kurri:tn* (*kurri:tn*, *kurri:ta*), v. to mock, laugh at (Bleek, 1956:107). *ǀkerru*, v. to deceive, mock (Bleek, 1956:310); *ǀkorru*, v. to cheat, mock (Bleek, 1956:320). *ǀk"werre* v. to play with, tease, annoy, attack (Bleek, 1956:610); *ǀk"worre*: v. to cheat (Bleek, 1956:610); *ǀxorru*, v. to tease (Bleek, 1956:366); *k"we"a* (*k"we'i*), v. to laugh at (Bleek, 1956:127); *ǀkauru*, v. to laugh at, mock (Bleek, 1956:304); *ǀgwiton*, v. to play, *n.* play (Bleek, 1956:538); *kwerre*.
(kwerrekwerre), v. to malign, blame, deride (Bleek, 1956:113). This collection of terms linked together issues of mockery, deception, and evasion, uniting them within a domain of antagonistic, inappropriate action that could instigate conflict or harm: if a New Maiden ‘played’ (lk"werre, lgwitɔn) with the young men, she would afflict them with her ‘rain’s magic power’ (LL.V.6.4398'-4400'), the bokmakierie was not to be mocked because it could cause harm (LL.V.25.6014-6024), and !gi:tan that walked at night would lk"werre people (LL.V.19.5536½). It was associated with children, either as a characteristic of their behaviour (LL.V.10.4747-4750) or in terms of ‘grown-up’ attitudes toward their foolish actions (LL.II.33.3029). The term was associated with a specific personal identity; a |nerrisa was a ‘teasing person’, who ‘did not a little’ k"e:nk"e:n (LL.VIII.25.8231’). It was associated particularly with |kaggǝn, who ‘teased’ people to get them to disrespect the eland (LL.V.17.5345-5349): in Early-Race stories, his actions were often criticised as childish ‘playing’ or ‘teasing’ (LL.VIII.2.6181-6192), explicitly contrasted with being quiet (ǂgouwa) and listening to stories. See |kwakka, ǂgou, |gebbi, lk"ao:

Sāː; n. buchu, a fragrant herb, snuff (Bleek, 1956:162). This was a special kind of plant medicine with wider applications than most, working through a placating or attractive scent. Buchu has been discussed extensively in literature pertaining to southern Bushman ritual practice (cf. Low, 2004; 2007a), and was used by a range of ritual practitioners including New Maidens (Hewitt, 2008[1986]) and !gi:tan, who deployed it as a pacifying agent as part of rain-making processes (Lewis-

Swa:-ka-ǃk'e; Flat Bushmen. swa:, n. flat, plain (Bleek, 1956:175); -ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74); !k'e noun pl. people, men (sing. !kwi; emph. !k'etǝn) (Bleek, 1956:419). This was the name for the !Xam populations inhabiting central Bushmanland, referring to the characteristic extensive, flat plains of the region.

 ꝑ-o-lö̅-ka-ǃkwi; ‘فى-o-lö̅’s men’. ꝑ-o-lö̅, n. a certain vegetable medicine (Bleek, 1956:182) -ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74); !k'e noun pl. people, men (sing. !kwi; emph. !k'etǝn) (Bleek, 1956:419); s'o:-lā, so:-lā, n. a certain vegetable medicine (Bleek, 1956:173). This referred to a category of ritual specialists employing a special category of plant medicine, situated within a wider range of plant medicines deployed by both men and women and working through their particular smells (LL.IV.1.3425-3441). lkabbo discussed the collection and use of this plant in detail (LL.II.36.3242-3332), although he was not a specialist in its use (LL.II.36.3309); it was a highly ‘masculine’ resource employed while hunting, and its’ scent when incorporated would make the springbok run ‘nicely’ to the hunter. It had a potent scent that could lk"werre (‘annoy’) a person if they dug it without ‘understanding’ (LL.II.36.3280), and was particularly dangerous for
women (LL.II.36.3284) despite being ‘dug out’ using ‘feminine’ resource acquisition tactics. See k"e:nk"e:n, |k"wā:, sā:.

Toː; n. red haematite (Bleek, 1956:206). This rock was ground to produce a red pigment that placated !khwaː when strewn on water (LL.VIII.16.7426), and that was rubbed onto the skin (along with fat) as part of a process of making people ‘handsome’ (a:kǝn, LL.VIII.14.7272'-7276'). It was implicated in exchange relationships (being given in return for dressed skin bags, LL.VIII.14.7274') and was used to place identifying ‘ketton’ marks on arrows (LL.VIII.26.8289). See lhara, lkaːζ

Ts'erreː; v. to bewitch (Bleek, 1956:215). Ts'ammas'e, ts'ammats'e, v. to poison, bewitch (Bleek, 1956:211). !gi:tən (or !nu ka !k'e) might ‘bewitch’ game so that it became aware of the stalking hunter (LL.V.11.4802-4811). It was thus linked with a sense of ‘being spoilt’, ruining the chance of success in a given action, and might be used in antagonistic behaviour (LL.VIII.32.8826'; LL.II.18.1678); Dia!kwain’s mother told him that tortoises might ts'erre (which W. Bleek translated as ‘avenge’, WB.XXVII.2536) themselves upon people who ate them without real necessity. See !k"aoː.

Twaiːi, (twaː'i), v. to be comfortable, well, better, used as adv. well, comfortably, nicely (Bleek, 1956:243); twaiwa, twaiwa, sweet, sweetly, well, pleasant (Bleek, 1956:243); twaiː, twaːja, v. to louse (Bleek, 1956:243); ũhannu, ũhannuwa, v. to be comfortable, happy, good, nice, fortunate (Bleek, 1956:649); ũhannuːwa, nicely (Bleek, 1956:395); !khēːi lauː, v. to speak truly, nicely (Bleek, 1956:426); !kēːi, n.
good, joy (Bleek, 1956:420); le:i:n (lê:i), good, patient, amiable, lucky (Bleek, 1956:520); !kô:i, amiable, patient (Bleek, 1956:440). Twai:i described states that were pleasant and desirable, usually the result of a:kn behaviour or skills. The range of terms connected with states of comfort or pleasure made connections between being ‘happy’ and being ‘good’, ‘fortunate’, talking nicely and maintaining amiable social relations (LL.II.14.1316; LL.II.22.2001; LL.VIII.1.6132-6133); this formed a dramatic contrast with notions linked with !k"ao: and !a:. Being ‘comfortable’ was associated in particular with possessing a sufficiency of food (lwâ:ξ, v. to be full, Bleek, 1956:629; !gumm!gumm, ‘niceness to bite’, LL.II.14.1352; LL.VIII.18.7599) and water (luâ:, v. to be comfortable having drunk water, Bleek, 1956:627). See a:kan, !ho, !k"ao:, !a:.

Whai; n. springbok, sing. and pl. (Bleek, 1956:251). Springbok formed the primary prey species for !Xam hunters, the ‘people who shoot springbok’ (LL.II.36.3244-3245; Chapter 7a:215; Chapter 7b:238). They were much less dominant in Early-Race stories, but where featured tend to take roles as young (or female) creatures that other agents had strong emotional bonds with: people became ‘heart-sore’ over them (WB.XXVI.2438') or ‘rejoiced’ to find them (WB.XXVI.2447-2448). These bonds were based on the attractiveness of the young springbok (WB.XXVI.2449'), which motivated attempts to steal them away and ensured that where they appeared in Early-Race stories, they usually formed a source of conflict (LL.VI.1.3883-3894; LL.VI.1.3916-3930; LL.VIII.29.8561-8602).
Xoakǝn-gu; xoа, n. mother, emph. xoакǝn, pl. xoakǝn-gu (Bleek, 1956:259). This term literally denoted ‘mothers’, but was used in a more expansive sense as ‘old women’. This group of people played a key role in structuring the experiences of the New Maidens, as they were responsible for instructing these girls in the relevant rites and behaviours; consequently, the xoakǝn-gu also enforced the strictures to which New Maidens were subject (Hewitt, 2008[1986]:18, 58). See !kwı-la-!ka:η.

la: (la); n. to fight, die, be killed, n. fight, harm, curse (Bleek, 1956:267). \shá:, n. evil, angry, angrily (Bleek, 1956:358); \kwá:ξ (\kwá:, !kwá:'a), v. to be angry, wrathful, also used as n. (Bleek, 1956:457). ‘Fighting’ arose through the attitudes of angry, wrathful persons, and was (as a quality of human relationships) portrayed in a highly negative manner, consequent on ‘foolish’ attitudes (igebbi, LL.II.9.974), and opposed to ‘stillness’ (igou, LL.II.24.2226). It was linked with the key anti-social communities of the !Xam world: the lion that killed people was \akǝn-se (LL.II.30.2753'-2754'), !gi:ton who could not cure well might ‘fight’ people (LL.V.4.4165), and the actions of commandos were also described as ‘fights’ (LL.V.16.5199-5201). Associations with antagonistic communities underpinned its use as a mode of ‘cursing’ (LL.VIII.31.8741-8743), and a means whereby !Xam might violently interact with one another; the \a-ka-didi (fighting’s things, LL.V.21.5709'), sent by anti-social !gi:ton to bring illness, represented an equivalent non-human ‘curse’. See lk'ao; lhou-lkwı,

\gara; n. berries of the ‘krieboom’ (Bleek, 1956:276); \na; n. a tree, ‘kareeboom’, ‘krieboom’, ‘resinkibos’ (Bleek, 1956:612; ‘taaibos’, LL.VIII.12.7112). These
berries were gathered and eaten by both Bushmen and Koranas (WB.XXIII.2154”). The Afrikaans vernacular name *kareeboom* refers to several *Searsia* species, primarily *S. lancea* and *S. pendulina*: C. Smith (1966:279) notes that the drupes of *S. lancea* were consumed by Koranas, and its range corresponds more closely with |Xam territories than does that of *S. pendulina* (van Wyk and van Wyk, 1997:402, 406).

|gebbi; v. to be foolish, stupid, do foolishly, n. foolish person (Bleek, 1956:277);

|kaːn-di, n. foolishness, foolish things (Bleek, 1956:557); |kàː; v. to be foolish (Bleek, 1956:546); |kaːŋ, new, fresh, raw, to eat raw (Bleek, 1956:556); |kaːwâ, n. fool, idiot (Bleek, 1956:304). Doing things ‘foolishly’ was the converse of understanding (*lkwakka*) behaviour (LL.II.22.1984-1986; 2205; 2392), and people whose thoughts were ‘closed’ to instruction remained foolish (LL.II.30.2763-2764). The other terms for foolishness suggest connections with ‘newness’ or ‘freshness’ that correspond well with the presentation of foolish attitudes as coherent with a ‘childish’ persona; a grown-up person was not supposed to be cunning, deceitful (*!khwi*) or foolish (LL.II.5.564-565), and could see through attempts on the parts of others to cheat them (LL.II.27.2422-2423). The Early-Race people, *!kaggon* more than any other, were notable for their ‘foolish’ or inappropriate actions (LL.VIII.12.7094’), and helped thereby to define ‘correct’ understanding behaviours for the |Xam. See *!khwi, lkwakka*.

|gwaiξn; v. to get into the flesh, take possession of, used in describing magic (Bleek, 1956:285). This practice represented a ‘magical assault’, whereby various non-human antagonists would ‘get into the flesh’ to cause illness in human
populations (LL.V.3.4135-4136, LL.V.19.5517 for anti-social !gi:tan; LL.V.21.5706 for swallows; LL.VIII.14.7264’ for springbok; LL.V.20.5609, for !khwa:). Just as humans would shoot poisoned arrows to sicken and kill prey species, this form of assault often took the form of invisible ‘magic arrows’ that pierced people and caused them to ‘fall dead’ (LL.VIII.14.7263’-7264’), by contaminating them with an antagonistic identity.

|bo; n. pig, hog (Bleek, 1956:288). The pig featured only in one Early-Race story (LL.VIII.25.8216), in which the !gwitǝn (translated as ‘wild dog’ in this story, but usually referring to the Caama fox, Vulpes caama or ‘silver jackal’) brought the meat of this animal to his wife. The meat of these animals was not eaten either by Grass (LL.IV.3.3736’) or Flat (LL.VIII.30.8649) Bushmen (Chapter 5b:149). Unlike with sheep (which even in Early-Race tales were always situated in herding relationships with people), there was little reference to the practice of keeping domesticated pigs. Historically, there was a wild suid present in the Karoo: the range of the now locally-extinct Cape warthog (Phacochoerus aethiopicus aethiopicus) extended into the region (Vercamman and Mason, 1993:78), and the |Xam term may have originated with this species.

|hōa-gau; n. rascal (Bleek, 1956:288). When a !gi:xa was not paid for his curing efforts, his or her heart was not made ‘comfortable’ (LL.4182). This uncomfortable or ‘warm’ heart meant that his or her angry/evil thoughts would make people ill or ‘eat at’ them; such a !gi:xa was acting as a |hōa-gau (LL.V.4.4176-4184). These ‘rascals’ were not always !gi:tan known to individuals, and the term also applied to the general set of anti-social !gi:tan that
might afflict communities with illness; in these cases, benevolent !gi:tǝn would attempt to defend against their incursions by striking them to make them ‘come out of’ the people whom they had made ill (LL.V.19.5514-5521). Such ‘rascally’ !gi:tǝn were connected with the |nu-ka-ǃk’e, visiting them and displaying similar attitudes to them in wanting to kill or carry off people. See |nu-ka-ǃk’e, |gwai胼.

|hoake (hoakan); dark, black, used for any dark colours (Bleek, 1956:289), and usually deployed in descriptions of negative emotions (terror and anger) or in connection with anti-social beasts-of-prey (Chapter 5c:159).

|hou-ǃkwi; bow-man. |hau, |hau n. bow (Bleek, 1956:287); ǃkwi, n. man, person, human (Bleek, 1956:466). The |hau-ǃkwi represented a masculine social role that was largely characterised in a positive manner, though some of the traits associated with it could become extremely negative if improperly directed. The ‘bow-man’ was brave (LL.VIII.5.6481; LL.VIII.25.8247'), and successful in his attempts at hunting; such a man was a desirable husband (LL.VIII.5.6481). However, his proficiency in the strongly confrontational domain of hunting meant that he could also be an ‘angry man’ (LL.II.21.1920; LL.VIII.15.73751½') if the traits that underpinned his superlative skills in this domain were extended to his other interpersonal relationships; negative characterisations of ‘angry action’ as foolish and potentially dangerous ensured that this social role retained a degree of ambiguity (Chapter 6a:175). See |a:, li:-sa,

li:-sa; n. coward (Bleek, 1956:239); li:, n. heart (Bleek, 1956:292); sa, v. to come, become, also found as se, si. It is sometimes used as verb part., shall, will (Bleek,
1956:1161). As |gebbi formed the opposite of |kwakka, the coward or ‘runaway’ (LL.II.34.3130') represented the converse social role of to that of the |hou-ǃkwi; it was thus characterised as an undesirable identity in masculine roles, linked with insufficient skills in provisioning and typical of the actions of negatively evaluated jackals (LL.VIII.16.7457-7458). It was used to describe |kaggǝn and his son |kaggǝn-Opwa, who were both ‘mild’ men whose hearts did not stand strong with anger and whose tears came freely (LL.II.34.3131); this characterisation (which contrasted with |kwammaƞ’-ʘpwa) was consistent with presentation of |kaggǝn as a man who did not live up to |Xam expectations of responsible, skilful adulthood. See |hou-ǃkwi.

|kaggǝn; n. mantis, both the insect and a demiurge hero of |Xam folklore (Bleek, 1956:296). Described variously as a demiurge (Bleek, 1956), a ‘southern San trickster-deity’ (Lewis-Williams, 1997:195), and as a trickster (Guenther, 1999), |kaggǝn represented the dominant figure of the Early-Race narratives, and remained a significant factor in contemporary ǃnanna-se behaviours. His ‘trickster-ish’ status is based in his ambiguous personality (see li:-sa), his propensity for deception (LL.II.5.533-534), his shape-shifting (LL.II.33.2986), and his transgressions of social norms (LL.II.9.978-982), traits that have been proposed as common features of trickster figures in a large range of cultural contexts (Hynes, 1993:34-42); these features were not, however, unique to |kaggǝn, and many Early-Race characters displayed ‘trickster-ish’ qualities. Most stories referring to |kaggǝn revolved around his conflicts with various individuals and groups of the Early-Race world, and his relationships with members of his
family, who attempted to limit his foolishness; typically, these included his wife ṣhun-tu-ǀka!’katte (ǀhun, n. rock rabbit, dassie, _Procavia capensis_, Bleek. 1956:290, otherwise called !kauro-o, LL.VIII.1.6137'), his adopted daughter the Porcupine (ǀxo, Bleek, 1956:500), her husband ǀkwammaŋ’a, and their son, the ichneumon mongoose (ǀni, Bleek, 1956:348). ǀkaggon’s actions were for the most part not consistent with his senior status within these relationships, with his young grandson (the ǀni) taking a prominent role in correcting and scolding him for his inappropriate, childish, and foolish behaviours (LL.II.5.555-556; LL.II.6.685-687; LL.II.32.2962-2963). See ǀgebbi, ǀkhwi.

ǀkaggon-Opera; ǀkaggon; n. mantis, _both the insect and a demiurge hero of _ǀXam folklore_ (Bleek, 1956:296); -Opera, little, young, n. child. In _ǀXam it is only used in the singular, changing in the plural to -ta-ǀkaukon_ (Bleek, 1956:684). ǀkaggon-Opera was one of ǀkaggon’s ‘biological’ children (along with another son, ǀgaunu-tsaxau, and k”we-nan-ǀkain-tu, his daughter, LL.VIII.1.6137'); he played a prominent role (along with ǀkwammaŋ’a-Opera) in rectifying matters after ǀkhwai-hem (invited by ǀkaggon to partake in sheep he had stolen from the Ticks) had swallowed down all things (LL.II.34.3120-3149). ǀkaggon-Opera in this story acted as an extension of his father (though to a socially responsible end), employing his left hand (LL.II.34.3132') and ‘fearing greatly’ (LL.II.34.3130'). See li:s-a.

ǀkai:nja (ǀkai:n); v. to be green, yellow, shining (Bleek, 1956:297); ǀkai, v. to light, shine (Bleek, 1956:297). The relationship with ǀkai suggests that the latter of the three translations offered was the primary referent; uses in describing the eyes of
carnivores, and |kaggən as he emerged from water (Chapter 5c:161) emphasise this ‘shining’ connection.

|kerre-gwai; |kerre, v. to be timid (Bleek, 1956:309); gwai, n. man, male, husband, used also as great, entirely (Bleek, 1956:52). This was another term that might be translated as ‘coward’ (LL.II.19.1738; LL.VIII.25.8247), as an equivalent to |li:-sa and contrasting with the brave |hou-ǃkwi. The notion that ‘cowardly’ figures displayed lacklustre hunting skills was emphasised in an alternative translation that rendered the phrase |kerre-gwai as an ‘unsuccessfully catching male’ (LL.II.21.1889, referring to an Early-Race hyena). See li:-sa.

|khe:-len; ‘Grass Bushmen’. |khe:, n. grass (Bleek, 1956:312); ǁlen:, v. to dwell, inhabit, live (Bleek, 1956:521). Unlike other Bushman groups, these ‘Grass-dwellers’ did not receive the ‘–ka-ǃk’e’ (people-of-) form; this may have reflected an impression on the part of Flat Bushman informants that the Grass Bushman dialect was distinctly different from their own, and that they did not talk ǀXam ‘well’ (Chapter 7a:221). They inhabited the western regions of Bushmanland, a region characterised by a transition to a veld dominated by silvery-white desert grasses (Chapter 3b:68).

|ko:ɿọ-ɖe, n. pl. magic things, magic doings, magic power, sing. |ko:ɿọ-ʈi (Bleek, 1956:320); !kọkən-ɖe (!k’ọkən-ʈikən), n. magic sticks (Bleek, 1956:441); ǀkọkən-ɖe, n. magic arrows (invisible), magic sticks (Bleek, 1956:318). This form of ‘magic power’ primarily manifested the agency of anti-social forces; as the ‘rain’s magic power’ of the New Maiden (LL.V.6.4400; LL.V.13.4989); as the
‘magic power’ of the eland and hartebeest that let them escape the effects of the poison (LL.V.6.4411-4413; LL.V.18.5358); as the ‘magic power’ of a dead !gi:xa, which ‘turned back’ to take away (make ill) people (LL.V.19.5484-5485); and as ‘magic power’ (mistranslated originally as ‘evil things’) that visited a person in the night to kill them (LL.V.19.5528-5529). See !gi:, !gwaičn, !gi:xa.

|ko:ξwa;| v. to be pale, red (Bleek, 1956:321). This term was commonly used to describe flesh (of people), where it described a ‘handsome’ trait. This ‘paleness’ of flesh referred primarily to Koranas, Grass Bushmen, and those whose flesh had been rubbed with to: and fat (Chapter 5c:163); where the colour of European skin was described (though it was also noted as ‘handsome’) the word used was !khi:ja (WB.II.356).

|ku-g-lünüŋ, (ku-g-noon);| n. lynx (Bleek, 1956:324); |ko:g!nuŋtara,| n. name of the female lynx (Bleek, 1956:439). The original notebooks and the Dictionary translate this as ‘Lynx’. It referred to the caracal (Caracal caracal; Afr. rooikat, red-cat), a species that, despite superficial physical resemblances, does not have a close phylogenetic relationship with Eurasian and American lynx species (Johnson et al., 2006); I have consequently preferred to translate the term as ‘Caracal’ throughout. The Early-Race Caracal was married to !gaue-li:-ta-|lkwačtan and was characterised in a highly positive fashion, as an attractive figure with a sweet odour and distinctive ornaments (LL.II.15.1440-1444); the caracal also played a role as the aardvark’s ‘heart’s child’ (LL.V.6.4345-4349) because of her sweet !kummi playing, and even the contemporary caracal was a
handsome animal that was a ‘small eater’, satisfied with a small piece of meat (LL.V.6.4349').

lkui; n. ‘gambro’, ‘kambro’, a kind of cucumber (Bleek, 1956:324). C. Smith (1966:218, 272, 273, 481) gives this term (and several variants, gameru, gameroo, kambaroo, kambaroe, kamkoo, baroe) as a name applied to several Asclepiadaceae genera, commonly Fockea spp. (especially F. edulis) but also Brachystelma spp. and Pachypodium spp., with large, sweet, and watery rootstocks. Their vernacular names often contain a prefix differentiating the preferred habitat of the species (e.g. bergkamaroo, veldbaroe, C. Smith, 1966) or highlights the importance of the species in indigenous subsistence practices (e.g. Hotnotswaatlemoen for F. edulis, C. Smith, 1966:273). These species were adopted by the colonists, and contemporary Karoo farmers continue to use them in the production of preserves.

lkwammaq'a, n. name of the Mantis’ son-in-law, a being seen in the rainbow, the husband of the Porcupine (Bleek, 1956:330); he was also referred to with a shortened name (lkwa:, Bleek, 1956:328). Although he did not have a specific animal identity, he was related to the meerkats and lions (Lewis-Williams, 1997:203); this connection goes some way to explaining his status as an angry man (LL.II.34.3130-3131). Despite being related to beasts-of-prey, he was more moderate and sensible in his behaviour than lkggo and listened to his family when they gave him advice (LL.II.34.3146-3147), though he occasionally acted as if he had not been taught (LL.IV.2.4034-4053). See lkggo.
|kwamma|q'a-Opwa|, |kwamma|q'a, |n. |name of the Mantis’ son-in-law, a being seen in the rainbow, the husband of the Porcupine (Bleek, 1956:330); |Opwa, little, young, |n. |child. |In |Xam it is only used in the singular, changing in the plural to -ta-ǃkaukǝn (Bleek, 1956:684). |kwamma|q'a-Opwa was one of |kwamma|q'a’s children (presumably a different individual to the |ni), and acted along with |kaggǝn-Opwa to rectify matters after |khwai-hem (invited by |kaggǝn to partake in sheep he had stolen from the Ticks) had swallowed down all things (LL.II.34.3120-3149). Like |kaggǝn-Opwa, he acted in this story as an extension of his father, behaving in an ‘angry’ fashion, grasping the spear strongly, and slaying |khwai-hem (LL.II.34.3132-3149). See |hou-ǃkwi.

|k"a-ka-ǃk'e; Hartebeest River Bushmen. |k"a, |n. |riverbed, Hartebeest River (Bleek, 1956:336); -ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74); |k'e noun pl. people, men (sing. ǃkwi; emph. ǃk'etǝn) (Bleek, 1956:419). This term denoted |Xam populations (otherwise referred to as |kaitǝn-s'o-ǃk'e:, Bleek, 1956:554) living along the Hartebeest River, flowing north towards the Orange River from the northern margins of Swa-ka-ǃk'e territories; these populations were considered by Flat Bushman informants as substantially similar to their own groups, speaking the ‘other part’ of their language (Chapter 7a:219).

|k"wâ; |v. |to seek food, hunt for food, graze (Bleek, 1956:340); |kwâ, |v. |to graze, go to seek food (Bleek, 1956:327). Lloyd (LL.II.36.3296’) suggested that it was used ‘chiefly used (if not wholly)’ for ‘woman’s hunting’, as (what Lloyd
described as) a figure of speech; descriptions of their ‘hunting’ and ‘killing’ of Bushman Rice being based on the fact that these insects were ‘their game’ (LL.II.36.3296’). The collection of insect resources was also referred to with a more specific term, !kherreja (v. to gather ants’ eggs, Bleek, 1956:426), but the practice was identical. Although this subsistence activity was primarily associated with women, men would engage in it if more appropriate antelope resources were scarce (LL.VIII.10.6888’), and for some resources (e.g. the quintessentially masculine fo-ǀoã, considered very dangerous for women), ‘women’s hunting’ was practised ‘prototypically’ by men (LL.II.36.3297). See fo-ǀoã-ka-ǃkwi.

ǀni; n. ichneumon, Herpestes, a prominent figure in ǀXam folklore, where he figures as the son of ǀkwammaŋ’a, and the Porcupine (Bleek, 1956:348); often referred to as ǀni-ǁopwa (young/little ichneumon. -ǁopwa, little, young, n. child. In ǀXam it is only used in the singular, changing in the plural to -ta-ǃkaukan (Bleek, 1956:684). This latter epithet emphasised ǀni-ǁopwa’s most significant role in Early-Race narratives, revolving around his status as the grandson of ǀkaggøn; he passed on ǀkwammaŋ’a’s advice and instructions to ǀkaggøn, telling him to leave off teasing (LL.II.2.6227-6231) and pitying ǀkaggøn for the injuries his foolishness incurred (LL.III.3.6244-6246). The two occupied opposite positions: where ǀni-ǁopwa acted with a responsible attitude that was quite beyond his years, ǀkaggøn would not ‘grow up leaving off teasing’ (LL.VIII.3.6258), and they sometimes appeared as evenly matched, as ǀkaggøn was (at least for a time) able to deceive the ǀni about the eland he made from ǀkwammaŋ’a’s shoe (LL.VIII.6.6532-6534), though the ǀni eventually outsmarted him.
\nu-ka-!k'\ e; \nu, a word signifying dead, departed, spirit, also used as a term of opprobrium (Bleek, 1956:350); -\ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –\ga, -\ta (Bleek, 1956:74); !k'\ e n. pl. people, men (sing. !kwi; emph. !k'etan) (Bleek, 1956:419); \nu, old, applied to people (Bleek, 1956:351); \nu\:, v. to be angry, attack angrily, used also as angry, angrily (Bleek, 1956:482); !k'au\çak\en, n. terror, !k'au\çak\en-\k'\ e, child’s name for grown-ups or old people (Bleek, 1956:413). This phrase as a whole may be loosely translated as ‘spirit’s people’, ‘departed ones’, or ‘dead people’, and it represented a complex, and rather obscure, category of non-human agents. \kagg\en called the Ticks \nu-\k'\ e, after being beaten by them (LL.VIII.20.7802), which was translated as ‘bad people’, with a reverso note stating that \kagg\en was cursing them, a notion repeated elsewhere (LL.VIII.27.8368; LL.VIII.31.8741). Alternatively, these ‘spirit-people’ were described as ‘old people’ or ‘people which are dead’ (!k'\e-e-\kuka, LL.VIII.26.8309\:). Older people could take on a ‘terrifying’ quality in Xam thought, with young children referring to them as ‘terror’s people’; !k\'e-e-\kuka were ‘elders’ for everyone. The \nu-ka-\k'\ e behaved in an anti-social manner, travelling in a ‘fighting party’ (k"a:o) to bring harm and illness that could kill people; they had lost their ‘understanding’ and therefore acted in a bestial manner (LL.VIII.26.8310-8312). They were also connected particularly with !gi:ton, and an alternative translation in a passage by Dia!kwain (LL.V.22.5735’-5736) described the \nu-\k'\ e as ‘invisible sorcerers’ who had died, but whose ‘sorcerer’s power’ (!gi:) continued to go about. See lk"ao.
Xam-ka-ǃxoe; Xam, n. Bushman, name of a tribe living south of Orange River (Bleek, 1956:363); -ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74); ǃxoe, n. place, country (Bleek, 1956:500); s’o, v. to sit, remain (Bleek, 1956:171). Xam-ka-ǃxoe thus referred to the ‘country-of-the-Xam’, corresponding approximately to the region known today as ‘Bushmanland’ in the central Nama-Karoo.

ǃgaue-li-ta-ǃkwaţton; ‘Day’s (or Dawn’s) Heart Star’ (Jupiter); !gaue, n. dawn, day, morning, used as tomorrow (Bleek, 1956:379); li:, n. heart (Bleek, 1956:292); -ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74); ǃkwaţton, n. star, cloud (Bleek, 1956:331). During the Early-Race times, ǃgaue-li-ta-ǃkwaţton was married to the caracal; his major appearance in the archive was in a narrative concerning the ‘poisoning’ of his wife by the hyena and jackal, which converted her human identity into a ‘beast-of-prey’ identity (LL.II.15.1432-1499, LL.II.16.1500-1548; LL.VIII.27.8393-8432). When he discovered this deception and assault, his eyes became large and ‘resembled fire’ (themselves ‘bestial’ traits), as his heart became angry (LL.VIII.27.8430-8432).

ǃgiː; n. magic power, sorcery (Bleek, 1956:382). This form of ‘magic power’ was the prototypical potency associated with the !giːtən, though it could be associated with other agents (LL.V.21.5706-5707, where swallows send a ‘sorcery’ into a person). It represented the primary means by which !giːtən ‘worked’ their abilities
to assist (LL.V.22.5758) or to harm (LL.V.4.4179-4180; LL.V.10.4753; LL.V.20.5544-5545); these ‘sorcery’s doings’ could also act independently of the !gi:tǝn after their deaths (LL.V.11.4801'-4803'), or retain the intentions of !gi:tǝn who sent it to a ‘different place’ (LL.V.19.5512-5513) See !gi:xa.

!gi:xa (pl. !gi:tǝn). The !gi:tǝn were ritual specialists with particular abilities to influence events beyond those of normal human control. They were by far the most significant ritual specialist in !Xam societies, and employed their skills in numerous contexts: most commonly in curing illnesses, influencing the movements of game animals, obtaining rain, and watching over the community in a general sense. The phrase refers literally to a ‘possessor-of-!gi:’ (magic power, Bleek, 1956:382), and etymologically equivalent concepts are extant among Northern Bushman groups (e.g. nǀom k”ausi among the Jul'hoansi, Biesele, 1993). Bleek and Lloyd had some difficulty in deciding on an accurate English equivalent, usually employing sorcerer (spelling it sorceror) or sorceress: witchdoctor (LL.II.1.273), witch (LL.V.4.4199'), wise person (LL.V.3.4131'), doctor (LL.VIII.20.7757), magician (LL.V.15.5079), medicine man or woman (LL.VIII.20.7759), and enchantress (LL.V.10.4740) were also offered in translation. Presumably their choice of ‘sorcerer’ was governed by the translation offered by the informants themselves (LL.II.1.273): towenaar (Afr. magician, sorcerer). Since sorcery has in anthropology come primarily to refer to ‘negative’ or socially destructive magic (cf. Stevens, 2006), the Bleek-Lloyd translation is no longer common; although some !gi:tǝn were seen as anti-social, this was not a consequence of their use of !gi: per se. ‘Shaman’ (Lewis-Williams, 1992), derived
from Tungus-speaking reindeer herders of northern Eurasia, has become a widely-used (though not uncontested; Solomon, 2011) alternative.

The term ‘shamanism’ has a patchy history in terms of its application to religious life in Africa. Eliade’s (1972; cf. Lewis, 1989, 1997) famous survey of worldwide shamanism omitted the continent with a footnote, and in nineteenth century South Africa (unlike elsewhere; Jones, 2006:10) it was evidently considered an inappropriate translation for indigenous ritual specialists; for the first half of the twentieth century, ‘medicine men’ was a common gloss (Stow, 1905:125). From the later twentieth century onwards, an increasing awareness of the significance of altered states of consciousness in contemporary Bushman ritual life (L. Marshall, 1962, 1969; Katz, 1982:3-4) underpinned a shift towards the use of ‘shaman’ as the dominant translation for the ‘potency-owners’ of northern Bushman populations (cf. Lewis-Williams, 1992:58, for a review of this shift). This shift has been transferred to the Bleek-Lloyd material, through Lewis-Williams’ (1981, 1992) observations of the many, detailed analogical details paralleled within the twentieth century ethnographies and the !Xam material; this extension has drawn in a series of heuristic tools (e.g. the ‘spirit world’) that Bleek and Lloyd did not employ in their original collection, and which must therefore be revealed ‘darkly’ through the narratives themselves.

In addition to the proliferation of English alternatives, the !Xam themselves applied various epithets to these specialists: the people who ‘possessed their noses’ (LL.V.13.5020, referring to the ‘snoring power’ that empowered !gi:ton to cure illness), !nu-ka-!k’e (LL.V.11.4801’, referring to !gi:ton who had died but
continued to exert an influence through their ‘magic power’), as well as a series of categories that referenced the resources they controlled. ‘khwa-ta-ǃgi:tǝn possessed influence over the rain (LL.II.24.2213), ǃOpwai:tǝn-ta-ǃgi:tǝn could control animals (LL.V.11.4801’), and a ǂxi-ka-ǃgi:xa brought illness (LL.V.19.5488-5487’). Despite this differentiation, ǃgi:tǝn could readily act in a number of capacities: ǃkabbo’s maternal grandmother controlled the springbok, but also cured illnesses (LL.II.37.3337’). ǃgi: was an ‘understanding’ behaviour, which had to be taught to people (LL.V.10.4744; LL.V.22.5759), and, like hunting, it involved a number of special abilities that allowed ǃgi:tǝn to differentiate and distinguish themselves: ǂkāi:, (v. to lead out by magic, Bleek, 1956:550) was linked with ‘khwa-ka-ǃgi:tǝn, who needed to entice the rain from its abode (LL.V.12.4990), while ǃshāć, (v. to sneeze, blowing from the nose a magic article extracted from a patient’s body, Bleek, 1956:494) and ǂshōćobakǝn, (v. to anoint, rub with perspiration, Bleek, 1956:628) were both connected with curative practices. See ǃkwi-la-ǀka:ǂ, ǂJo-ǀōa-ka-ǃkwi, daudáu-ka-ǃk’e, ǃkhwa-ka-ǃgi:tǝn, ǃOpwai:tǝn-ta-ǃgi:tǝn.

ǃguː; n. mat, name of one of the two lions identified with the pointers of the Southern Cross, heroes of some myths (Bleek, 1956:388). ǃguː was one of two lions forming the focus of a large number of Early-Race narratives, generally playing a ‘buffoonish’ role in which their anti-social tendencies were balanced by the consequences attendant on the ‘foolish’ means by which they attempted to achieve their goals (Guenther, 2002:160). See ǃhaue-ta-ǃhou.
ǃgu!gu; n. a non-edible fungus (Bleek, 1956:390). This fungus (also called ǀku-kwai, LL.VIII.11.6945’) grew out of the ǀhakǝn (Trinervitermes sp.) mound like a ‘beacon’. Association with termite mounds is a common trait of Podaxis sp. fungi worldwide (cf. Morse, 1933; Priest and Lenz, 1999); ǃgu!gu possibly represented Podaxis carcinomalis, a type of buchu (wolf-buchu, C. Smith, 1966). Moffat (1854) recorded this buchu being used by Bushmen from the Doornbergen near Prieska (i.e. on the eastern margins of the ǀXam territories), noting that it grew out of ant-heaps.

ǃgwaĩ; n. hyena, Hyena villosa, ‘strandwolf’ (Bleek, 1956:391). The species name Hyena villosa has been superseded by Hyaena brunnea (Mills, 1982:1), and this term thus referred to the brown hyena; this was the ‘typical’ hyena commented upon in the archives, both in Early-Race and contemporary narratives. The hyena was a prototypical ugly, nocturnal, man-eating ‘beast-of-prey’ (LL.II.3.420), sitting between the raw power and supra-human abilities of the lion (LL.II.21.1915) and the deceit and social reprehensibility of the jackal (LL.II.2.366). In keeping with this status, the hyena was often in conflict even with other carnivores, as when attempting to kill the lion with boiling soup (LL.II.2.362-366; LL.II.20.1774-1776; the jackal in turn played this same trick on the hyena, LL.V.4.4231-4252½; LL.V.5.4253-4264). Though they were closely linked with jackals (most obviously in the ‘Day’s Heart Star’ narratives, where they acted in concert as ‘angry folk’ who poisoned the caracal, LL.II.18.1678-1679), they would even instigate conflict with these allies and drive them away from meat (LL.II.20.1764-1766). Hyenas behaved ‘cunningly’ (ǃkhwi,
LL.II.21.1915), did not properly distribute ('k"wakkon-ǃkun, LL.II.21.1915), and in contemporary experience were ‘angry’ animals that would chase the hunter (LL.IV.2.3539-3542); the deployment of hyena referents in discussing hunters who ate up springbok without sharing (LL.II.20.1793') was a powerful statement of the inappropriate nature of their actions. See Koro, Ḳelke, Ḳhâ:

ǃgwaŋ-ǃnuntu; n. mythical person, a man of the early race, whose grandchild is carried off by elephants and recovered by himself (Bleek, 1956:393); ǃgwaŋ, v. to strike, beat, hit (Bleek, 1956:390); ǀnuntu, ǀnuŋtu, n. ear, hole, sometimes in plural antennae (Bleek, 1956:485). This Early-Race figure shared many traits with Ḳaggôn, and was rebuked by his daughter for acting foolishly and obstinately, generally not in keeping with ‘grown up’ behaviour (LL.VIII.4.6393; LL.VIII.5.6425); his narrative (LL.VIII.4.6334-6413; LL.VIII.5.6414-6455) paralleled a similar story of the interaction between Ḳaggôn and the Elephants (LL.VI.1.3883-3894; WB.XXV.2416-2434; WB.XXVI.2435-2473).

ǃgwe:-ǃkweitǝn-tu noun name of a mythical being with eyes in its feet, also sometimes called the will-o-the-wisp (Bleek, 1956:392); ǃgwe, n. hail, white lumps on porcupine (Bleek, 1956:392); ǃkweitǝn, n. penis (Bleek, 1956:464), n. black pointed shining stones which only come from the sky when it lightens, they disturb the ground when they fall (Bleek, 1956:464, see ǂk"abbe); ǃkwei, n. cheek, the rounded flesh above the cheekbone (Bleek, 1956:463); tu, n. mouth, hole, opening (Bleek, 1956:239); tu, n. man (Bleek, 1956:239); ǃkweitǝn-tu, n. flower (Bleek, 1956:464). This was an Early-Race character, otherwise called mumu-ǃk'o (see Ḳhâ:-ka-mumu) or a ‘little fire’ that disappeared as one
approached it (*i.e.* the will-o’-the-wisp), who appeared in several narratives in conflict with *kaggon* (LL.II.9.935-966; LL.V.1.3683-3700; LL.V.2.3793-3861); he had his eyes on his feet (between his big and second toes) and his face above his cheeks was smooth. He may have had some connection with the meerkats (and thus with *kwamman’la*), as W. Bleek’s version of the story began after an episode in which the meerkats had beaten *kaggon* (WB.II.387-397; it was not a direct continuation, but thematic similarities and the evident contiguity in narration suggest a connection). Whether or not this was the case, his identity recalled the anger associated with a ‘beast-of-prey’ identity; when he fought *kaggon* it was because they were both angry people, who quarrelled (LL.II.9.964-965). In W. Bleek’s version, !gwe:-!kweiton-tu even gave *kaggon* a reason to fight with him, by hunting ostriches on *kaggon’s* ground (WB.XXIII.2237’); this was, of course, *kaggon’s* own report (noted for his untruthfulness, LL.V.2.3808), and *kabbo* remarked that he usually gave a false account of the reasons for his quarrels (LL.II.33.3031’). Their conflicts had the flavour of a contestation between !gi:ton: !gwe:-!kweiton-tu’s ability to avoid attacks (despite his apparent absence of eyes) led *kaggon* to question him as to whether he was a !gi:xa (LL.V.1.3692; LL.V.2.3823-3824), and *kaggon* was forced to employ his supra-human skills to escape the beating he received. The mode of *kaggon’s* eventual victory, kicking dust into !gwe:-!kweiton-tu’s eyes, strongly recalled the use of this material in other interpersonal conflicts (LL.V.20.5537-5539; LL.V.23.5842'-5843’; LL.V.12.4930), where it brought ‘illness’ in a manner similar to the *ko:çoĉ-de* of anti-social !giton: !kh’o, a ‘haze’ brought illness and made the sun hot
(LL.V.20.5557; Bleek, 1956:427) was probably the second element in !gwe:-!kweitǝn-tu’s alternative name (mumu-!k’o). See !gi:xa, !ko:coq-de, !kaggǝn, !khǝ:-ka-mumu, !k”abbe.

!gwunni; n. a greedy person (Bleek, 1956:393). The ‘greedy person’ was opposed the !xau: (small-eater), and consumed immoderately, particularly of fat (LL.II.3.418-419; LL.II.15.1478); it was a trait associated with ‘ugly’ beasts-of-prey, who would carry away and consume whatever they could find (LL.II.20.1843-1847). As a human trait it became particularly unattractive, linked with anger, ugliness, and an absence of generosity (LL.II.14.1318-1320). See !xau:, !ki-a-!xara.

!haue-ta-hou; n. name of one of the two lions now seen as pointers to the Southern Cross (Bleek, 1956:396). !haueta, v. to tie on, fasten on (Bleek, 1956:396); !hou, n. belt (Bleek, 1956:651). !haue-ta-hou was one of two lions forming the focus of a large number of Early-Race narratives, generally playing a ‘buffoonish’ role in which their anti-social tendencies were balanced by the consequences attendant on the ‘foolish’ means by which they attempted to achieve their goals (Guenther, 2002:160). See !gu:.

!kakǝn-!kaka-!kau; struthious Early-Race character. !ka:ka, !ka:kǝn, v. to go in the dark early (Bleek, 1956:405); !kau; n. egg (Bleek, 1956:414). This Early-Race character was described as an ostrich-like bird, which could speak (LL.II.6.667-615; LL.II.7.716-737; LL.II.22.1965-2040). His interactions with !kaggǝn once again demonstrated the latter’s foolishness and, more specifically,
the dangers of an immoderate appetite; eating up !kakǝn-ǃkaka-ǃkaui’s eggs rather than the ‘little egg’ caused the hair-brush spoon and eggs to stick to ǀkaggǝn’s mouth.

ǃkaui; n. wild onion (Bleek, 1956:414); !kouwi, v. to be lean (Bleek, 1956:445); !kouwi, n. a plant, wild onion (?) (Bleek, 1956:445); !khou, n. a certain bitter foodplant (Bleek, 1956:429); !khou:wi, v. to starve (Bleek, 1956:429). This was an edible bulb with a red outer skin that grew among stones; in the winter, it was bitter and watery, but in the summer it was sweet (LL.IV.3.3735’; LL.IV.4.3903’).

The Afrikaans wilde-ui (wild onion) refers to a range of species belonging to the family Liliaceae, in which the bulb recalls that of an onion (C. Smith 1966:507).

ǃkaukan-ka-ǃk’e; Mountain Bushmen. ǃkaukan, ǃkaugǝn, n. mountain (Bleek, 1956:413); -ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74); ǃk’e noun pl. people, men (sing. ǃkwı; emph. ǃk’etǝn) (Bleek, 1956:419). This term referred to │Xam populations occupying more mountainous, broken territory both to the north and the south of the Flat Bushman territories. Like the Hartebeest River Bushmen, they were considered by Flat Bushman informants to be substantially similar to their own groups, speaking a similar dialect, though with some dietary peculiarities (Chapter 7a:219).

ǃkau:xu; n. hunting ground (Bleek, 1956:417). ǃkau, v. to live, be alive; ǃk’ai, n. earth, dust, ground; -xu, n. face, surface, head (Bleek, 1956:261). The translation ‘hunting-ground’ may reflect the gender bias of the Bleek-Lloyd informants
(Lewis-Williams, 1997:199), though in the notebooks themselves (LL.VI.1.3953-3954; LL.VIII.5.6422; LL.VIII.19.7667-7668) !kau:xu was alternatively given as ‘veldt’ (Afr. veld, presumably a translation offered by the informants themselves). Derived from the Dutch for field, it is a complex term with a substantial colonial history, forming a key component of emergent Afrikaner narratives of European settlement of the subcontinent (e.g. within the plaasroman novels of the early twentieth century, Coetzee, 1986:1). Part of this complexity makes it peculiarly apposite as a translation for !kau:xu, insofar as it combines the concept of wilderness (or anti-society) with creativity and identity-formation (cf. Beningfield, 2006). The !kau:xu both in Early-Race narratives and in daily life was certainly home to anti-social forces, but it was also essential as the source of raw materials vital to the construction of proper social relationships: if the !kau element represented the verb ‘to live or be alive’, the term would more appropriately be translated as the ‘living-place’. Lewis-Williams’ (1997:199) observation that regions ‘below and above the plane of material life’ were ‘spiritual realms’ (kwamman’a’s house was the rainbow, and !kaggɔn’s camp was above this, LL.II.22.1974; LL.VIII.7.6600’-6601’) is supported by the !Xam term for the sky, !gwãṣu (Bleek, 1956:391), which recognised some equivalence with the ‘hunting-ground’ as flat, extensive surfaces (xu).

!khi:ja; v. to be red (Bleek, 1956:427); !ki:, v. to be red (Bleek, 1956:434). This was a rather ambiguous colour term. It had strong positive connotations as a display of youth, surfeits of good food (LL.II.23.2130; LL.II.24.2149), and access to other desirable resources (to: and !ka:ɛ), but at the same time might also be
connected to dangerous forces such as the beasts-of-prey and !khwa: (Chapter 5c:164).

!khwa:: n. water, rain (Bleek, 1956:431). The same term referred to an entity personifying this force, which played a role in numerous Early-Race and contemporary narratives. !khwa: was not a ‘character’ in the same sense as !kaggǝn or !ni (with familial relationships with other mythological characters) but rather constituted a predominantly destructive and transformative agency, punishing transgressions of culturally conventional attitudes and behaviours. He was usually a masculine figure (though !khwa: could have feminine manifestations), and was attracted to the scent of women, especially New Maidens; the smell of sǝ:, the colour of to: and !kaːξ, and striped patterns (LL.VIII.16.7426; LL.VI.1.3970-3973) all converted his potentially dangerous attentions into a more benign (and possibly beneficial) interest. More than other mythological characters, he played a similar role in nineteenth century !Xam society to that in ‘mythological’ narratives; many contemporaneous ‘ritual’ practices, particularly those involving the New Maiden, revolved around him (Hewitt, 2008[1986]:59-66). The !Xam had a detailed terminology with respect to rain: kaũ, v. to rain, to fall (Bleek, 1956:83); !hakǝn/hakǝn, n. a weak rain, mist (Bleek, 1956:286); !kwobba, n. fine rain (Bleek, 1956:468); !garakǝn-!garaka, v. to make fine, be fine, fall gently (rain) (Bleek, 1956:527); !hǝ, n. rain liquid (Bleek, 1956:628); !bu.:!bu:kǝn, v. to rain gently (Bleek, 1956:682); !pw:n-!pw:nnu, n. a weak rain (Bleek, 1956:686). This profusion of terms succinctly demonstrates their keen interest in rain that fell ‘gently’, the female
rain that was essential for creating ‘comfortable’ conditions; the creation of these conditions though the encouragement of !khwa: in its feminine aspect was the responsibility of both the !kwi-la-!ka:ƞ and the !khwa:-ka-!gi:taŋ. See !khwa:-ka-!gi:taŋ, !kwi-la-!ka:ƞ.

!khwa:-ka-!gi:taŋ; rain’s !gi:taŋ. !khwa:, n. water, rain (Bleek, 1956:431); -ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74). These !gi:taŋ professed control over the rain, and were beseeched to make the place ‘comfortable’ by bringing ‘sweet’ water that would make the ground cool, and induce the springbok to return (LL.II.24.2213-2214). The importance of this resource meant that these persons were subject to strong social pressures to behave ‘responsibly’ (i.e. in accordance to the demands of others); they were exhorted to produce pleasant female rain rather than angry male rain (LL.II.25.2227-2230). In return, these !gi:taŋ would present their failures as the result of other peoples’ lapses in decorum, suggesting that the peoples’ fires had caused the rain to disperse (LL.II.24.2220), that the rain would not fall until they brought water for the !khwa:-ta-!gi:xa (LL.II.26.2303-2306a), or that they were deliberately withholding rain because the people were accustomed to do ‘evil things’ or fight (LL.V.3.4083-4085). They also subjected other !khwa:-ka-!gi:taŋ to their own criticisms, for employing inappropriate or unskilful techniques that might allow the rain-animals to escape (LL.V.3.4086-4121). See !khwa: !gi:xa.
ǃkhwa:-ka-ǃkaukən; Water/rain’s children. ǃkhwa:, n. water, rain (Bleek, 1956:431); -ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74); -ǁopwa, little, young, n. child. In ǀXam it is only used in the singular, changing in the plural to -ta-ǃkaukən (Bleek, 1956:684). These were ‘beautiful’ or ‘handsome’ striped creatures about the size of a calf (presumably by comparison with ǃkhwa: often described as a bull or cow). In an Early-Race narrative, a New Maiden killed and ate them, violating the food taboos imposed on her by her xoakən-gu (LL.VI.3942-3958); in punishment for this transgression, ǃkhwa: took the girl and her family up in a whirlwind, and transformed them into frogs. See ǃkhwa:.

ǃkhwi; v. to be clever, n. cunning (Bleek, 1956:433); ǃkhwiǃkhwi, v. to deceive, cheat, cunningness (Bleek, 1956:433); ǃkhwiǃkhwisitən, deceiving (Bleek, 1956:433). The term was often translated by the informants with the Afrikaans skelm (LL.II.3.407; LL.II.9.972’), connoting roguishness. I prefer ‘cunning/cunningness’ as the English translation, for the same reason I prefer ‘understanding’ as a translation for ǀkwakka: using ‘clever’ as a gloss for both of them obscures a division maintained in the ǀXam. It is, however, important to note that this was not a mistaken conflation: the two were not wholly opposed, as ‘cunningness’ in some contexts represented an ‘understanding’ way of dealing with a situation (LL.II.9.973-974). Where agents were in conflict with one another, cunningness and (particularly) deception formed effective ways of achieving victory; this dimension emerged particularly in Early-Race narratives.
involving ḳaggǝn’s fights with other Early-Race people, but was also deployed in hunting. Cunningness did not preclude foolish action in the same way that ‘understanding’ did (LL.II.30.2743-2744), and was associated with characters who often acted in anti-social ways that would be quite inappropriate if linked with the ḳwakka persona (e.g. ḳaggǝn, LL.V.6.4430-4431; jackals, LL.V.5.4259-4263; the Early-Race sun, who did not share his light with the people, LL.II.35.3157). See ḳebbı, ḳwakka, daudáu-ka-ǃk'e.

ǃkõ!kõn: ‘Bad man’. ǃkõ, n. person, man, child (Bleek, 1956:436); ǃko!kõn, bad, evil, old (of food), (Bleek, 1956:446); ǃkõn, n. grandfather, uncle, old man, (Bleek, 1956:440). This phrase was used to describe the ǃgwunni (LL.II.14.1320), and possibly merely represented ǃko!kõn rather than epithet associated particularly with this figure: elsewhere, ‘bad man’ is used as a translation for ǀnu-ka-ǃk'e (LL.VIII.18.7620; LL.VIII.29.8593). When ǃko!kõn was combined with tikǝn-tikǝn (things, Bleek, 1956:201) and translated as ‘evil things’ (LL.V.3.4084), it could refer to people ‘not taking care of each other’, thus confirming a strong negative moral evaluation of inappropriate participation in resource acquisition and sharing. See ǀnu-ka-ǃk'e, ǃk'o:; ǃgwunni.

ǃkõn-gu: ǃkõn, n. grandfather, uncle, old man, pl. ǃkõn-gu (Bleek, 1956:440) This term was variously translated as grandfathers, uncles, and ‘old men’: it is perhaps most appropriately considered, in ḳabbo’s phrase, as a term used just ‘as the Boers use Oud [Afr. old] Oom’ (LL.II.32.2926). Oom (Afr. ‘uncle’) is a respectful or affectionate address for any older man, and while ḳabbo made reference here to men who were actually uncles, the ḳXam term could include any
elder males (LL.II.35.3195'; occasionally even including grandmothers, LL.II.13.1266'). In the widow’s story (Chapter 6a:169), the widow reminded her brothers that they were her children’s !koη-gu (LL.II.14.1322) in an attempt to persuade them to provision her children with their correct ‘portions’: the term therefore appears to have encompassed food-sharing responsibilities, and also entailed ‘respectful’ (!k"werritoŋ) treatment (LL.II.30.2756). See !k"werritoŋ, xoakən-gu.

!koŋm:-ta-hi:, n. name of a being who made the game turn wild, probably chaser-of-food (Bleek, 1956:441); !koŋmmaiŋ, v. to chase (?), to head (?) (Bleek, 1956:441); !koŋm:, v. to plunge, thrust (Bleek, 1956:441); -ka, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74); hï:, v. to eat, feed (Bleek, 1956:60) This was an Early-Race character who made the animals ‘wild’ by beating them; specifically, he made them ‘fearful’ (!hammi, Bleek, 1956:395) and they could no longer be stroked and handled as domesticated species (LL.V.19.5457-5477). His motivations for so doing were similar to |kaggən’s protective attitudes towards game species; !koŋm:-ta-!hi: put the fear into them so that men would find it difficult to kill them, and would have to hunt them by stealth (LL.V.19.5466-5467, 5474).

!kùi-se-!khwī-ku: Early-Race lion cub raised as a dog. !kùi, v. to grow, become abundant (Bleek, 1956:449); !kùi, n. ashes, meal (Bleek, 1956:449); se, v. to come, often used as v. participle, shall, will, must, may (Bleek, 1956:164); !khwī, n. tail (Bleek, 1956:433); !khwī, v. to arrange the bed (Bleek, 1956:433); !khwī, v.
to break, burst, blow hard (wind) (Bleek, 1956:433); |kuː|, v. to singe, light (Bleek, 1956:322); |kuː|, v. to put, put away, pack, place, set, keep, hide, bow down (with pain), be ill (Bleek, 1956:322); |kuː|, n. proteles, ‘aardwolf’, Proteles cristatus (Bleek, 1956:322); !kúise, n. an edible bulb, much eaten (Bleek, 1956:450). This was the name given to a lion cub by a young man of the Early-Race, who attempted to raise it as a dog to assist him in the hunting of gemsbok (LL.II.26.2320-2412; LL.II.27.2413-2504; LL.II.29.2597-2687; LL.II.30.2688-2779; LL.II.31.2780-2873). !kíi-se-ǃkhwi-ǃku behaved in typical leonine fashion, ‘swallowing down’ meat (LL.II.26.2359-2360), catching hold of the young man’s hand in its mouth and looking as if it would ‘throw [him] down and hold him, in the darkness’ (LL.II.26.2366); despite his attempts to ‘domesticate’ the lion (LL.II.29.2609), it remained a beast-of-prey, and his interactions with it were foolish (LL.II.26.2392-2398).

He was, however, able to successfully hunt gemsbok with his ‘dog’: lions in the southern Kalahari select for this species (Mills, 1984:281; Hayward and Kerley, 2005:315) and although this is not certain for the Nama-Karoo, it seems a logical extrapolation. Adult gemsbok represent challenging prey, and when faced with a group of predators (Mills, 1990:98-101) will back into thornbushes to defend their rear, slashing with their long horns at animals approaching from the front (Apps, 2000:169). This behaviour renders them vulnerable to humans hunting with dogs, as they can readily be brought to bay through pursuit and intimidation (Blurton-Jones and Konner, 1989:28; Ikeya, 1994:123-124). However, these large animals cannot be brought down by the dogs, and gemsbok may inflict serious injuries
with their horns, which sometimes prove fatal (Ikeya, 1994:127); the attraction of a dog peculiarly suited to killing the gemsbok it tracks is obvious. This narrative explored the tension between the fact that the lion-dog allowed the young hunter to achieve his aims much more successfully (exhibiting his ‘cunning’, behaviour), and the fact that the strong anti-social tendencies of his ‘dog’ rendered the products of his hunting extremely dangerous. The lion was constructed as an ‘anti-dog’, explicitly contrasted with proper canids in terms of physical and behavioural characteristics: its hairy feet (LL.II.26.2339), ‘yellow’ eyes, ‘tear-furrows’, short ears (LL.II.29.2597-2598), ‘yellow’ hair (LL.II.29.2612), long tail (with hair on the tip), black ear ‘roots’, and head covered over with hair (LL.II.31.2831-2832) were all emblematic of its bestial nature. More abstractly, it was a dog that ‘came out of the solitude which it did inhabit’, resembling a ‘thing of terror’ or a ‘dog of darkness’ (LL.II.30.2759-2761). The young man’s choice of this terrifying, nocturnal, anti-social ‘dog’ as a hunting companion was an apposite emblem of his foolishness, also manifest in his social relations, where he lied to his relatives (LL.II.27.2413, 2454). See |gebbi, |kwakka, |kelke, |khä: |

!kuí:ta (!kuí:ton); v. to be white (Bleek, 1956:450). This form of ‘whiteness’ formed a partial opposition to notions of kerru; when the bushes were dried or ‘old’, they became !kuí:ta. This description was, therefore, for the most part a negative one (Chapter 5c:165). Though there was at least one instance of !kuí:ta being associated with beauty (LL.II.6.703), the recurrent connection between whiteness and beauty is an artefact of the translation process. |ko:wa was often linked to an attractive ‘paleness’, while other more specific terminology
strengthened the occurrence of this link: ǁwalwat (v. to be beautifully white, Bleek, 1956:630), turned up only in a single narrative, describing a distinctly attractive Early-Race character (the ǂnuturu, LL.VIII.9.6800). See keru, ǂko:wa, ǂnuturu.

ǃkuǃkuitәn; ǃkuːitәn, n. tick, large ‘boschluis’, pl. ǃkuǃkuitәn (Bleek, 1956:450). The Early-Race Ticks were closely associated with sheep; this clearly made reference to the parasitic relationship between ticks and mammals, as shepherding experiences could hardly have left the informants unaware of the tick load that sheep can acquire. This association was manifested in their settlement pattern, of bushes placed in a circle around the houses, oriented around the sheep kraal (LL.II.33.2998'); their diet consisted of boiled sheep’s blood mixed with fat and milk (LL.II.32.2951'-2952). In the Early-Race narratives, they appeared as antagonists; they were an ‘angry’ murderous people, black and ‘bloody-handed’ (LL.II.32.2947-2948, 2961), and ǂkaggәn visited them in order to steal their sheep (LL.II.32.2926-2965; LL.II.33.2966-3044), in one of the rare examples of a ‘positive’ portrayal of his skills, insofar as he at least acquired desirable resources for his kin (though immediately reverted to foolishness by inviting ǂkhwai-hem to dine with them). These associations of violence and livestock ownership have formed the basis of proposals that the Early-Race Ticks acted as a cipher for Khoekhoe/Korana populations (Hewitt, 2008[1986]:188); the description of the Ticks as bloody-handed recalled for Ikabbo the nickname of the Koranas (bloody-browridges), and their violent actions (LL.II.32.2960').
\textit{!kwe}; \textit{n.} orphan, poor person or thing (Bleek, 1956:463). \textit{!kwetja}, \textit{v.} to make an orphan, to become an orphan (Bleek, 1956:465); \textit{!kwe\!/!we}, \textit{n.} scrap of meat, probably liver (Bleek, 1956:465). Becoming an orphan was characterised as a ‘great thing’ (LL.V.6.4412’) rather than a ‘light’ one; something that was not easy to bear. Dia\!kwain suggested that orphaned children would have to rely primarily on the resource acquisition skills they had acquired from their parents (LL.V.6.4410’-4411’), and ‘lean one’ was an alternative translation for the term (LL.VIII.11.6954). ‘Mourning’ in general held connections of poverty and deprivation; \textit{!kabbo}’s translation for the word \textit{!goe} (want, misfortune (?)), Bleek, 1956:385; Lloyd translated this as ‘mourn’, LL.II.13.1304’) was the Afrikaans \textit{arm maak} (make poor/indigent).

\textit{!kw}-\textit{a-\!/xarra}; ‘Man that (was) different’. \textit{!kwi}, \textit{n.} man, person, human (Bleek, 1956:466); \textit{a, a;} \textit{relative pronoun,} who, which, the one who, that which, where, when (Bleek, 1956:4); \textit{\!/xarra, \!/xarrase}, different, differently, separately (Bleek, 1956:363). \textit{\!/xarra} or ‘difference’ was a negative trait, connected with the non-understanding beasts-of-prey that ate people and went by night (LL.II.3.421; LL.II.9.914; LL.II.18.1678; LL.II.16.1552), with ‘different’ odours that smelt badly (LL.II.14.1442); ‘monstrous’ angry figures including \textit{\!/khwai-hem} were also ‘different’ (LL.II.33.3083-3084). People did not ‘cry over’ those who were different (LL.II.11.1183); the man ‘who was different’ did not maintain amiable social relations, being an angry, ugly, and selfish person (LL.II.14.1317-1320), and ‘different’ people would not feed orphans (LL.V.6.4410). ‘Strangers’ would shoot at people (LL.II.22.1981), and less tangible manifestations of antipathy
were also sent from ‘different’ places or things (LL.V.19.5512, !gi:tǝn; LL.V.22.5833, \(nu-ka-!k'e\)); when the rain came violently, the \(\text{k\text{\textae}a-ka-!gi:t\text{\textae}}\) suggested that it had been made by a ‘different person’ (L.II.23.2283). See \(\text{nu-ka-!k'e, !gwunni}\).

\(\text{!kw\text{\textae}-la-!ka:\eta}\): \(\text{!kwi}\), n. man, person, human (Bleek, 1956:466); \(\text{!a}\), feminine ending, probably part of \(\text{lai:ti}\) (Bleek, 1956:267), \(\text{lai:ti}\), n. female, woman, wife (Bleek, 1956:268); \(\text{!ka:\eta}\), new, fresh, raw, to eat raw (Bleek, 1956:556). The ‘New Maiden’ is a major figure in the !Xam narratives, playing a role both in Early Race stories and in contemporary practice. At the onset of menarche, a girl became a New Maiden and entered a period of ritual seclusion, during which her diet, water consumption, and expressive gestures were subject to a regime of control (Hewitt, 2008[1986]). The New Maiden attracted the interest of the potentially destructive !kh\text{\textae}a; like him her roles in !Xam society and in mythological narratives were substantially similar. The New Maiden manifested a ‘feminine’ (specifically, fertile feminine) sphere of ‘competent action’, equivalent to that of \(\text{daud\text{\textae}-ka-!k'e}\) and \(\text{!gi:t\text{\textae}}\). These parallels were made quite explicit by the informants: when becoming a New Maiden, a girl possessed the \(\text{!ko:\xi\xi-de}\) (LL.V.6.4400') that was also employed by \(\text{!gi:t\text{\textae}}\) and powerful ‘new sorcerer’ was a \(\text{!gi:xa-!ka:\eta}\) (LL.V.3.4141). \(\text{!kouk\omega}\) (v. to be ill, Bleek, 1956:445), prototypically used to refer to a girl’s seclusion at her first menstruation, was identical to the verb \(\text{!kouk\omega}\), (to beat, pound, tremble, Bleek, 1956:445), used to describe the ‘trembling’ that occurred when people incorporated the smell of the \(\text{!gi:xa}\) blood, or when the \(\text{!gi:t\text{\textae}}\) set aside their karosses (LL.V.22.5765-5767;
Lewis-Williams, 1997:209 suggests that might also be translated as ‘entering trace’).

Many of the characteristic practices of the New Maiden role were parallels of hunting practice: the bush screens that the hunters lay behind when ambushing prey (LL.II.16.1530’) were explicitly compared to the ‘small house’ occupied by the New Maiden. The hunter who shot an eland walked about as if ill (LL.V.6.4417), and when he returned home was physically isolated from the main camp in a hut built for him by the old men (LL.V.17.5338); themes of illness and isolation (as well as the governing role of older men and women) were paramount for New Maidens. Like hunters, New Maidens were said to ‘shoot’ at things, although in their case the shooting was the result of anger directed at fellow humans and was something to be controlled (LL.V.20.5618-5624), as it would attract the dangerous attentions of !khwa:. Although primarily associated with !khwa:, the New Maiden’s potency expanded into other domains: she imparted ‘wildness’ to springbok if she glanced at them (LL.II.28.2521’), and undermined the hunting prowess of dogs (LL.V.20.5593-5601) or efficacy of hunting equipment (LL.II.28.2523), with the ‘coolness’ of her saliva. To counterbalance these potential problems, she had to obey strictures regarding the sharing of meat with specific individuals, and thus played a direct role in governing hunting success. See Daudáu-ka-ǃk’e, ǃgi:xa.

ǃk"abbe; n. storm (?), thunderbolt (?) (Bleek, 1956:507). ǃk"abbe, v. to be startled (Bleek, 1956:507); ǃkabbe, v. start, be startled (Bleek, 1956:402); ǃkweiton, n. black pointed shining stones which only come from the sky when it lightens, they
disturb the ground when they fall (Bleek, 1956:464); !kwerriton, v. to thunder, strike (lighten) (Bleek, 1956:464). This reference was likely connected with general notions concerning the destructive consequences attendant when ‘the rain lightened’ (i.e. lightning strikes), or threw pointed black stones (LL.V.22.5806-5808); the striking of such forces was linked with the displeasure of the New Maiden (LL.V.13.4985-4989; LL.V.20.5618-5619), as her identification with !khwa: allowed her to control the ‘rain’s magic power’ (!khwa:-ka-ǀko:ţoţ-de) and effect such action. See !ko:ţoţ-de, !khwa:, !kwi-la-ǀka:ŋ.

!k"wakka-tukan; ‘Foulmouth’. !k"wakkan, !k′wakka v. to be decayed, putrid, n. decayed matter (Bleek, 1956:509); tu, n. mouth, hole, opening, emph. tukan (Bleek, 1956:239); !k′oakkăn, v. to decay, smell badly, noun putrid matter (Bleek, 1956:508). In the early notebooks, this referred to an Early-Race character whose name was sometimes translated (LL.II.2.320) as muishond (zorilla, Ictonyx striatus); this may have been based in the similarity of the term !k′wakka (i.e. putridity) to !kw′a:-ka (‘of the muishond’, Bleek, 1956:457). Later, Lloyd rendered it as ‘Bad-smelling-mouth’ (LL.VIII.29.8526-8554), a rather ‘grotesque’ Early-Race man with an ‘abominable’ smell; putridity and foul smells were indications of angry or anti-social identities. He represented one of the antagonistic Early-Race ‘monsters’, opposed to the ‘human’ society of !kaggăn and his family; in this narrative, he fought and stabbed the Blue Crane (!k’o, Tetrapteryx paradisa, the elder sister of !kaggăn, LL.VIII.29.8526’) with a spear. See !k′wakkan-ǀkūŋ.
ǃk"wakkǝn-ǀkũŋ; ‘Decayed Arms’. !k"wakkǝn, !k"wakka v. to be decayed, putrid, n. decayed matter (Bleek, 1956:509); ǀkũ, n. arm, wing, humerus (Bleek, 1956:590, 593). !k"oákĸǝn, v. to decay, smell badly, n. putrid matter (Bleek, 1956:508). This term (sometimes translated as ‘stingy’) described people who did not properly share or allow access to resources; a man who ate meat with fat while only giving ‘lean’ food to others was acting in this manner (LL.VIII.25.8216-8217), while the usual set of anti-social predators (lions, hyenas) were also criticised with the term (LL.II.21.1915). The old man who would not ‘dip out’ food despite the fact that he ‘owned’ locusts was behaving as a !k"wakkǝn-ǀkũŋ (LL.VIII.7.6638-6640). Though not explicitly stated to be a Opwai:tan-ta-ǃgi:xa, this same ‘old man’ also owned the rain, and statements of this kind therefore likely represented exhortations for ritual specialists to remember that they should act in the interests of the human community, rather than like ‘beasts-of-prey’. See Opwai:tan-ta-ǃgi:tan, !gwunni.

ǃk"werri:tan, !k"wirri, !k"wirritǝn; v. to be ashamed, abashed, to show respect (Bleek, 1956:510); !kworri:tan, v. to be ashamed (Bleek, 1956:469). This was a dimension of interpersonal relationships that manifested propriety; people were supposed to develop ‘respectful’ attitudes towards appropriate persons in the course of receiving the education that inculcated ‘understanding’. It was demonstrated by avoiding mocking or laughing at people, and was supposed to be shown towards ǃgi:tǝn (LL.V.10.4756-4757) and ǃkōiŋ-ğu (LL.II.30.2756); this reference to ǃkōiŋ-ğu may instead have been suggesting that this behaviour characterised relationships with affinal kin, as a reverso note (LL.VIII.18.7615’).
gave !k"wirri as ‘shame’ or ‘respect’ directed toward mothers- or fathers-in-law. There was probably a gendered element to the term as well, as (masculine) !khwa: was supposed be ‘ashamed for’ the women’s eyes (LL.VIII.26.830') and baboons treated a girl’s belongings with respect (LL.V.24.5923, 5953); this latter aspect, extending the ‘respectful’ attitude from a person to their belongings, was a general feature of the term (LL.II.31.2824). See !nanna-se.

!nanna-se; v. to show respect, avoid, n. hunting observances showing respect (Bleek, 1956:473). This form of respect manifested itself primarily in the avoidance of certain words; girls used the name !khogǝn rather that !gauxu to refer to porcupines (LL.V.6.4377'-4378'). By engaging in these respectful behaviours, relationships were created that obliged the non-human partners (usually animals, hence the definition emphasising ‘hunting observances’) to behave in ways that humans desired: the porcupines addressed as !khogǝn would come out of their holes where they could be killed (LL.V.7.4379') and if people did not !nanna-se the game they had shot, it was not ‘obliged’ to die from the poisoned arrow (LL.V.17.5270). Other non-humans could be encompassed by !nanna-se: the ‘protection’ the New Maiden applied to people to prevent !khwa: killing them with lightning was more properly the creation of a !nanna-se relationship that would make !khwa: morally obliged not to act in this way (LL.V.13.4986), and people used the term !k"wan-tu to address the moon to prevent it ‘going into the sky’ (LL.VIII.28.8442-8443). See !k"werřitǝn.

!nu!numma-!kwitan; n. name of a monster, probably swallower-of-eggs (Bleek, 1956:486); !num; v. to take, put, hold in the mouth (Bleek, 1956:484); !kuiton,
ǃkui:ta, n. pl. eggs (Bleek, 1956:450). Though the name appears to literally translate as ‘to take eggs in the mouth’, ǀhanîtass’o glossed it as wit-mond or ‘white-mouth’ from the colour of the eggs he ate. He was an Early-Race figure mentioned by this name only in one short note (LL.VIII.29.8555-8560), described as a ‘beast-of-prey’ that swallowed down eggs and great pieces of meat in a form of ‘monstrous’ consumption typical of the Early-Race grotesques (see likhwai-hem, !k"wakka-tukən). This figure was used as a bogeyman (a term in folklore studies referring specifically to frightening figures used to coerce children into good behaviour; Simpson and Roud, 2000); ǀhanîtass’o recalled his grandfather impersonating !nu!numma-!kwitən saying ‘I kill children who cry!’, to induce them to stop screaming and calling out (likanalkana, LL.VIII.29.8556'). His alternative name was !kotta-koe (LL.VIII.29.8554'-8555'; given because he ‘swallowed down eggs’); in this guise he appeared in another narrative (LL.VIII.28.8486-8506), where he managed to fool a group of Koranas, intent on violence, into leaving him alone.

ǃxauː; n. a small eater, a rich man (Bleek, 1956:498). This formed a counterpart to the !gwunni, and was considered an attractive state: the fact that the Early-Race lynx was a small-eater contributed to her ‘sweetness’ (LL.V.3.4349'), or handsomeness (LL.II.3.419). This reverso note explained its alternative definition as ‘rich man’ as the result of a person being satisfied with only a small piece of meat; in particular, they would leave fat and be satisfied with meat alone. This would leave this desirable resource for others, or allow them to apply it to the skin as part of the process of becoming handsome. See !gwunni, to:
ǃxe-s'o-ǃk'e; ǃxe, n. place, country (Bleek, 1956:500); s'o, v. to sit, remain (Bleek, 1956:171); ǃk'e noun pl. people, men (sing. ǃkwi; emph. ǃk'etǝn) (Bleek, 1956:419). This term translated approximately as the ‘people belonging to (particular) places’; the ǃxe were territories, usually based around permanent water sources, that were associated with particular individuals (broadly corresponding with Ju'hoansi n'ore territories and their k'ausi owners, Lee, 1976). This connection with territory endowed people with rights of access to specific resources (honey and ostrich eggs ‘belonged’ to the ǃxe, LL.II.14.1360-1363; LL.II.22.2029) and created obligations to take of and treat ‘nicely’ these resources (LL.II.32.2894-2896). The identity associated with water sources does not appear to have been unequivocally positive: people who ‘possessed’ named water-linked locales were sometimes referred to as lk'oa:kǝn – people (they could be men or women, LL.VIII.23.8071'). This was given in translation as ‘star’s man’, possibly representing a connection with lk"oagu (a name for Canopus, Bleek, 1956:606); shooting-stars were supposed to fall into waterholes (LL.V.19.5478). It also likely referred to ‘cursing’, ‘badness’, or ‘making unfortunate’ (Bleek, 1956:606); the stars that fell into waterholes were the hearts of ǃgi:tǝn after they died (LL.V.19.5483-5484). Although this may also have had shamanic connotations (cf. Lewis-Williams, 2002:60, 79-80), these non-living ǃgi:tǝn were strongly associated with anti-social, illness-causing activities, as after death their hearts longed to ‘take away’ the people whom they had loved (LL.V.19.5485); lk'ao: or cursing was also linked with bring a ‘death influence’ down on people. This series of beliefs about permanent water sources plausibly
underpinned the avoidance practices associated with their ‘owners’: the names of such people were subject to avoidance terms (LL.VIII.23.8071’), likely an attempt to prevent drawing the unwanted and potentially negative attention of these ‘fallen hearts’.

ǃxwe:-!na-s'o-!k'e; n. ‘First-there-sitting-people’ (Bleek, 1956:504); !xwe:; first, in front, early, leading (Bleek, 1956:504); !na, v. to stay, be with, dwell, stand, often used as here, there, in, at, with, or simply as emphasis (Bleek, 1956:611); s'o, v. to sit, remain (Bleek, 1956:171); !k'ë noun pl. people, men (sing. !kwi; emph. !k'etän) (Bleek, 1956:419). W. Bleek gave this as ‘First Bushmen’ (WB.II.378’), while Lloyd usually translated it as the ‘Early-Race’. Though there were hints of a rather inchoate concept of a group of people who occupied the ǀXam-ka-!xoe before the !xwe:-!na-s'o-!k'e (lkhwai-hem’s distinctive calls were a remnant of their ‘very old language’, WB.XXIII.2160’), the !xwe:-!na-s'o-!k'e were usually referred to as the first occupants of the ǀXam territories (LL.VIII.10.6885’); they too had their own language (LL.II.35.3197’), and many of them spoke ǀXam in unusual ways (LL.VIII.11.6990’ for the Early-Race Baboons’ speech; LL.II.37.3356-3357, for the manner in which the jackal, moon, lion, hyena, and tortoise spoke ǀXam; LL.II.34.3118’-3119’ for ǀkaggon’s speech). Some the Early-Race people were the forerunners of the animals of the contemporary ǀXam world (being transformed by the ‘cursing’ of the aardvark and caracal into their animal forms, see lk"ao); but others were distinctive, often quite monstrous, characters without ‘mundane’ correspondences (see lhkwai-hem, !k"wakka-tukan, !nu!numma-!kwnon); the ‘first family’ of the Early-
Race times consisted of the various characters to whom |kaggǝn was related (see |kaggǝn, |kwammanq’a). The Early-Race people behaved ‘childishly’ on a grand scale; where |Xam children were characterised as foolish because they had yet to receive the narratives that inculcated understanding (see |kwakka), the Early-Race people had yet to generate the very experiences that underpinned this state. Much of their behaviour, then, was ‘improper’ and contrary to contemporary |Xam practice. They hunted (in a ‘foolish’ fashion), killed, and ate lions (LL.VIII.18.7572, 7582), an avoidance of which was observed by |Xam generally (Chapter 5b:151). The Early-Race !każu (lizard, Agama sp. Bleek, 1956:413, probably A. atra) and !kwa: (muishond, Bleek, 1956:458; Ictonyx striatus) cut their own flesh to bring as food, rather than hunting properly (LL.VIII.12.7114-7116; LL.VIII.13.7157); other Early-Race people acted in a ‘stingy’ manner by not sharing meat (LL.VIII.25.8216), or conversely, ‘ate up’ portions not intended for their consumption (LL.VIII.27.8351-8352). These inappropriate forms of sociality (i.e. incorrect methods of dealing with resources) were mirrored in the violent dimensions of their interpersonal relations; they were ‘angry people’ who cursed one another (LL.VIII.15.7307), who ate people (LL.VIII.12.7036’) and killed their in-laws (LL.VIII.25.8198). By shooting the rain (in the form of an eland) (LL.VIII.16.7461) or disobeying prohibitions of the xoagǝn-gu and consuming the water-child (LL.VIII.17.7473-7521), the actions of the Early-Race characters dramatically portrayed the consequences of subverting the normative mechanisms of contemporary |Xam society; many of the Early-Race narratives were deliberately educational, told to children in attempts to inculcate desirable
attitudes (LL.VIII.17.7520'). See ⱳkwai-hem, ⱳk’wakka-tukən, ⱳnu!numma-
kwitən, ⱳkaggon, ⱳkwamma’a, ⱳkwakka, ⱳk’ao:

lgwattən, lgwatta; n. cat (Bleek, 1956:537). In the Early-Race narratives, the Cat formed one of the many adversaries of ⱳkaggon (LL.II.5.547-565; LL.II.9.965-985), where he behaved in a manner reminiscent of ⱳgwe:-!kweitən-tu (LL.II.9.965-985 was a conflict fought immediately after he had encountered ⱳgwe:-!kweitən-tu); ⱳkaggon could not defeat the Cat because it placed its head inside the earth where he could not strike it, and it was only with the advice of ⱳni-
Opwa that he managed to defeat it. The Cat was not a particularly ‘anti-social’ predator, and in these conflicts behaved in a quiet or still fashion until ⱳkaggon provoked him (LL.II.22.1986). See ⱳgwe:-!kweitən-tu.

lhara; n. a certain stone which is said to be both hard and soft (Bleek, 1956:540). This ‘soft’ black stone was mixed with fat and rubbed onto the head to make the hair grow long and abundant, creating a handsome appearance that was without parallel. lhara was said to sparkle or shimmer, and was found in association with to: (haematite); it thus probably represented specularite, or specular haematite (LL.VIII.14.7272'-7276'). See lka:ξ, to:.

lka:ξ; n. haematite, ‘rooiklip’ (Bleek, 1956:547). Like to:, this was a form of haematite and it was used in a similar fashion, producing a red pigment that placated ⱳkhwa: when strewn on water (LL.VI.1.3970-3971), and that was painted onto the skin in stripes to deflect his anger (LL.VI.1.3972'-3973). Unlike to:, it
was painted on the ostrich-feather sticks that were used to direct the springbok in
game drives (LL.VIII.23.8072'-8073). See **lhara, to**:

**lkaulkaugon**, (lkaulkaukon, lkaulkaaukon): *n. pl.* thoughts, thinking strings.
(Bleek, 1956:564); **lkhoulkhoun**, *n.* thoughts, great throat artery, thinking strings
(Bleek, 1956:577); *i, v.* to think, remember, desire, *n.* thoughts (Bleek, 1956:652;
these two terms were considered equivalents, LL.II.6.689'). This pair of ‘thinking-
strings’ ran along both sites of the front of the neck (LL.II.6.689'); the ‘grown-up’
person who possessed his ‘thinking-strings’ was a person who understood
(LL.V.10.4783). When a person became angry, his thinking-strings ‘went astray’
(LL.V.23.5871); if one’s ‘thoughts’ were closed, then one behaved foolishly or
aggressively (LL.II.30.2754, 2763; LL.VIII.26.8311). These thoughts were also
the means by which !gi:ton worked their !gi; this was the reason they might
continue to affect people after they died, as they continued to think about the
people they knew in life (consequently their ‘magic power’ might assault these
people, LL.V.19.5484-5485). See **lkwakka, !gi:xa**.

**lkelke; n.* beast of prey, wild beast (Bleek, 1956:571). Though the term described
carnivores in general, including smaller species such as bat-eared foxes; the lion
and hyena best typified the category. Beasts-of-prey displayed a series of physical
traits, most notably hairiness (LL.II.2.333; LL.II.30.2693-2694; LL.V.3.4127-
4128) and a |kai:nja (‘yellow’) colour (LL.II.18.1657), especially about the eyes
(LL.II.18.1653'-1654); more specific traits such as hair that covered the feet and
‘closed in’ claws (LL.II.18.1655-1656) and a ‘great’ stomach (LL.II.18.1670)
were linked with superlative ‘bestial’ identities (lions). This distinctive physicality
was matched by an equally characteristic morally-dubious personality that governed their interactions with people and with one another. They were ‘different’ creatures (LL.II.9.914) that came by night (LL.II.9.914-915; LL.II.18.1660), ‘greedy’ animals (LL.II.20.1844-1846) that ‘swallowed down’ food in an immoderate fashion (LL.II.20.1862; LL.II.30.2695; 8554’), and angry folk (LL.II.30.2731; LL.II.30.2767) that wanted to chase and bite people (LL.V.3.4128). They therefore did not act ‘nicely’ (hannwa) or do ‘good’ (a:kǝn) in their relationships with people, by eating the game that the latter had killed (LL.II.23.2121) or, in perhaps the most apposite demonstration of their status, attempting to kill and eat people (LL.II.26.2339’-2340). Their attacks were part of a series of ‘misfortunes’ (see !k"ao); if a beast-of-prey were going to bite a person, his hunting would remain unsuccessful until the attack had occurred and the blood ‘come out’ (LL.V.11.4854-4957). Similarly, their attacks could take the form of punishments for the transgression of respectful behaviours; if they looked at the Moon as the game lay dying, beasts-of-prey would eat it LL.V.21.5644-5645). Their unusual modes of behaviour empowered them with particular supra-human abilities: a result of their nocturnal activities, they (like !gi:tǝn) could learn things that ordinary Bushmen could not (LL.V.8.4616’-4617’). In other cases, their actions were equated with familiar domains of human action, but set up in opposition to human society: like successful human hunters (Chapter 5a:145), they drew upon control of winds when hunting, making the wind blow strongly so that they could approach the huts without alerting the dogs (LL.V.23.5847-5856). This ‘parallel’ identity was also employed in reverse characterisations, and when
involved in hunting activities the !Xam addressed one another as ‘beasts of prey’ (LL.II.30.2699'; LL.VIII.14.7235, 7238). The same address was mobilised when attempting to cajole people into undertaking tasks within the context of specific relationships. Women used it when asking their husbands to fetch wood (LL.II.30.2699'), and men addressed their wives as ilkèle when asking them to ‘work nicely’ the houses, covering them with bushes (LL.VIII.23.8017'-8018'); people also called !gi:tən ‘beasts of prey’ when asking them to alleviate uncomfortable conditions (LL.VIII.7.6639'-6640'; LL.VIII.26.8305'). Such associations may have mobilised the generally ‘irresponsible’ stereotype of these bestial characters, reminding people that acting in a manner reminiscent of the ilkèle was not appropriate in their relationships with their ‘fellows’. See lkhá:, !gwaí, la, lk'ao:.

Ikenq; n. magic, sorcery (Bleek, 1956:569); likè:n; v. to stab, stick in, prick, pierce, sting, dig (Bleek, 1956:569). This was another term for the ‘magic power’ possessed by !gi:tən: it was a rather ‘disembodied’ power that manifested when the !gi:xə went about on nocturnal ‘magical expeditions’ (lxâu:, Bleek, 1956:363) to discover things that were happening at a distance (5501-5505; 5534-5535), and was also the name for a type of dance ‘danced by sorcerers’ (LL.4744'-4745). It often took on an antagonistic character as the means by which !gi:tən would try to ‘snatch away’ people, killing them (LL.V.3.4138'-4139; 5485; 5515-5516; 5548); in this case, Lloyd initially translated the term as ‘witchcraft’, but was corrected by Dia!kwain who informed her that it referred to ‘tornar manier’ (probably Afr. tovenaar manier, ‘sorcerer’s way’). !kweitən-ta-łkəq received her name because
of the ‘sorcery’ (\(k\eta\)) that ‘killing, took away [her mother’s] people’ (LL.V.10.4759), and the similar term for ‘stabbing’ or ‘sticking in’ further recall the methods whereby anti-social forces attacked human groups, shooting at them with ‘magic arrows’: this connection was made more explicit on a note on non-human figure called \(gwonni\), who sat at the ‘bee’s hole’ and ‘bewitched people to death’ (\(k\eta|kw\tilde{\eta}-tte\), LL.V.21.5670) and stabbed at them (LL.V.21.5670-5673).

See |koːξoξ-de, !gi:, !gi:xa.

\(lk\tilde{a}:\); n. lion (Bleek, 1956:572). \(kw\tilde{a}mn\), noun lion, name used by early race (Bleek, 1956:597); \(ak\tilde{\eta}-se-ta-ts’ue\eta\) (harm’s thing, see |a:, Bleek, 1956:221, 238), \(!ku\) (for many lions together), \(!ku-t\tilde{\eta}-i\), a lion with great paws (LL.II.30.2754*). Lions were the premier ‘beast-of-prey’ in \(Xam\) thought, both in physical characteristics (see \(!k\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\eta}-se!kw\tilde{e}-\eta\), lions with black hair on their tails were ‘fearful’, LL.II.22.1949-1951) and temperament: they were the quintessential ‘angry people’ (LL.II.20.1775). This anger governed their treatment in contemporary practice, where they were referred to obliquely (as in the ‘harm’s thing’, above); children were not supposed to mention the name of lions (\(lk\tilde{a}:\)), instead calling them ‘Hair’ (\(kuk\tilde{\eta}\), Bleek, 1956:323) in an attempt to avoid drawing their attention (LL.V.8.4563-4568). Lions that killed people displayed a lack of understanding (LL.VIII.23.8074*), and they would even behave cannibalistically, killing and eating one another (LL.II.16.1551*). This characterisation of their behaviour (as \(geb\)bi) determined their common depiction in Early-Race narratives, where they took on a buffoonish quality somewhat reminiscent of \(k\tilde{a}gs\tilde{\eta}\); as they went about ‘seeking fights’ they (usually
inadvertently) managed to cause themselves a great deal of trouble (see !gu, !haue-ta-hou). Their problems in Early-Race narratives were often caused by their inappropriate attitudes when dealing with food: eating each other’s flesh (LL.II.2.314-315), refusing to share (WB.XIV.1367-1368), or swallowing down food (LL.II.1.256'-258') all caused them difficulties. See lkelke, !gu, !haue-ta-hou, !gwaï, koro, !kui-se-ǃkhwi-ku.

lkhâ:-ka-mumu; ‘spectre lion’. lkhâ:, n. lion (Bleek, 1956:572); -ka, poss. part.
following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –ga, -ta (Bleek, 1956:74);
momo, n. court round the moon (lunar rainbow) (Bleek, 1956:138); mumu, n. halo, ghost, spectre (Bleek, 1956:139); ǃkh’o, n. a blue mist, haze (Bleek, 1956:427); !ho:, n. mirage (?) (Bleek, 1956:397). This highly idiosyncratic term (mentioned only once by ǃkabbo, WB.XXIII.2190') probably denoted an optical illusion of some kind. Though the Dictionary definitions do not identify mumu and momo with precision, they seemingly connoted shimmering, hazy, or mirage-like visual effect: the ‘court around the moon’ (probably a 22° halo or a corona) was supposed to be the hazy dust raised by a dancing party, while mumu also formed part of the name of the will-o’-the-wisp (see !gwe:-ǃkweitan-tu). Mists and hazes were sometimes given an antagonistic character, carrying illness to kill people (LL.V.20.5551-5561); this ‘mistiness’ would thus be in keeping with the anti-social nature of lions, which could also manifest another form of visual deception with their ǀhulhunta (n. image (?) shadow (?) reflection (?)). Bleek, 1956:291) that came into sight before lion itself arrived (LL.VIII.6.6576'; this may
represent ǀhanîkass'o’s conception of the ‘spectre lion’). See !khâ:, !gwe:-ǃkweitân-tu.

ǀkhwai-hem; n. name of the father of the porcupine, perhaps All-devourer (Bleek, 1956:578); ǀkhwaiǂ, v. to chew (Bleek, 1956:578); hem, v. to devour, consume (Bleek, 1956:59). The name of this monstrous Early-Race character was usually rendered as ‘All-Devourer’: he was the ‘devourer’ or ‘eater-up’ of things (WB.2162-2163), also referenced in his alternative name, ǀkautu-ǀki:ja (stomach-great, Bleek, 1956:416, 450), translated into Afrikaans by the informant as dik-pens (thick-stomach, LL.II.34.3118’). He spoke in a distinctive manner, giving characteristic exclamations such as !kabba: (Bleek, 1956:402) and !bubbu (WB.XXIII.2160’). He was the ‘biological’ father of ǀkaggǝn’s adopted daughter (the Porcupine), and consequently appeared in narratives concerning ǀkaggǝn’s doings; he was usually introduced as part of a contiguous extension of ‘The Mantis takes the Tick’s Sheep’ stories (see ǃku!ku:itǝn), as ǀkaggǝn invited him to partake of the stolen livestock. As his name suggests, this character demonstrated an unparalleled capacity for ‘swallowing things down’; his visits led to him first consuming the sheep ǀkaggǝn had provided, and eventually to his eating the trees, bushes, pots, and people (LL.II.34.3139-3141). He was affiliated with fire, making a large shadow that resembled that of clouds, putting out a long tongue that ‘swallowed in’ the trees, and where he touched with this tongue was ‘like fire’ (LL.II.32.2950‘-2951’). See !nu!numma-ǃkwitân.

ǀkwakka (ǀkwaǂkkǝn); v. to understand, be wise, clever, cunning (Bleek, 1956:596); lkʷwakka, v. to understand, do understandingly (Bleek, 1956:609); ki:-
se, v. to teach or advise (Bleek, 1956:93) ǀkhou, v. to instruct (Bleek, 1956:577); ǀxa:ǀxa:, v. to teach, learn (Bleek, 1956:634); ǀkamma, v. to counsel, advise, (Bleek, 1956:555); ǀkammaŋǀkammaŋ, n. understanding (Bleek, 1956:555); ddabb-i to educate, make non-foolish (LL.VIII.26.8308'). The notion of ‘understanding’ or ‘doing understandingly’ was crucial to |Xam constructions of adult responsibility; it was inculcated through tum: (to hear, listen, or understand, Bleek, 1956:241): this referred to receiving and paying attention to information, transmitted in kum (story, talk, history, news, Bleek, 1956:106). Actions that were done in an ‘understanding’ manner were subject to positive evaluations as a:kɔn or twai:i, which made reference to the skills required in their performance (this ‘competence’ aspect of ǀkwakka closely paralleled ǀkhwi). It was antithetical to foolishness (ǀgebbi), a trait that was linked to childhood: as ‘understanding’ states were developed over time, they were naturally absent in younger people (who nonetheless displayed understanding with specific, circumscribed domains; even infants knew to look to their mother’s breasts for food, LL.II.9.991). These actions took place in the context of the ‘ordered’ desirable social relationships that existed between adults who ‘possessed their thinking-strings’, and was particularly opposed to angry action (see Chapter 6a: 173, 175). See a:kɔn, twai:i, ǀgebbi, ǀkhwi, ǀkaulkaugɔn.

ǀk"ao:, v. to curse, n. cursing, predictions of evil (Bleek, 1956:603); ǀk"au:, ǀk"au:k"au, v. to curse, n. cursing, predictions of evil (Bleek, 1956:604); ǀk"oakɔn, v. to curse (?), make unfortunate (?), n. badness (?) (Bleek, 1956:606); ǀk"aɔkkɔn, ǀk"aɔkk", ǀk"aɔkk"aɔbbaaɔkɔn, v. to scold, curse, speak angrily (Bleek, 1956:668);
ǃk'o:xaξkǝn, n. grave (Bleek, 1956:438); ǃkoξa:ξkǝn, angry, angrily (Bleek, 1956:438); ǃk"au:gn, n. death news, misfortune, death influence (Bleek, 1956:508); ǃk"aukǝn, k"aucka, v. possibly to mourn, or to be under the death influence of (Bleek, 1956:508); ǃk"auokǝn, n. destruction (Bleek, 1956:508); lau:, v. to curse (Bleek, 1956:518); ǀkha, v. to curse, used as an exclamation of anger, fie! (?) (Bleek, 1956:572); ǀk"aοξwa, ǀk"aol"ao, bitter, bitterness (Bleek, 1956:603). This collection of terms loosely grouped around ‘cursing’ formed a domain of anti-social interaction comparable with ‘fighting’ (ǀaː, which was itself sometimes translated as ‘curse’, Bleek, 1956:267), and like fighting, cursing was a type of ‘angry action’: people cursed a person when his or her actions were ‘not agreeable’ (LL.V.24.5913). It usually referred to less-tangible forms of assault; the New Maiden could ‘curse’ people, causing ǀkhwa: to strike at them with lightning (LL.V.13.4987-4988) or causing ǀk"abbe to ‘get into their flesh’ (LL.V.20.5608-5609), and the ‘curse’ of the baboon and hyena killed by the hunter would sit in his bow (unless incisions were made in it, LL.V.24.5912-5915). The ǀXam’s ‘modes of cursing’ commonly drew on idioms of violent, homicidal death (LL.VIII.31.8741-8743), and related ‘cursed’ states could be induced by close contact with death; if a companion had died, springbok hunting would become unfortunate because of this ‘death influence’ (LL.VIII.14.7281-7282). It was through the medium of ‘cursing’ that the Early-Race times came to end; when the aardvark and the caracal started to ‘curse’ on another in their conflict over the springbok-child, they instigated the process of defining their
bestial qualities and codified their new status as animals (LL.VIII.20.7593'; LL.VIII.29.8600). See la;

Ixē; n. chrysalides of ants, ‘Bushman rice’ (Bleek, 1956:635). This term definitely denoted a species of eusocial insect, but the term ‘ant’ is sufficiently ambiguous in colloquial epithets to render species identifications difficult. Reflecting convergence on a eusocial lifestyle, the phrase ‘white ant’ remains a common gloss for termite species despite the considerable differences between true ants and termites: Marais (1937) refers to the termite as the ‘white ant’, and in the original Afrikaans (Marais, 1934), it lacked even the descriptive epithet. With respect to the translation of ‘Bushman rice’, the most important of these differences is that termites (unlike ants) are hemimetabolous; they do not pupate and references to the ‘chrysalides of ants’ must be erroneous if these ‘ants’ are, in fact, termites. Ṭhakon, a similar resource, was also translated as ‘chrysalides of ants’, and as this term almost certainly referred to Trinervitermes sp. (Chapter 7c:254), Ṭxe: likely also represented a termite species: Ṭkabbo noted that it was consumed by aardvarks (LL.II.2.338-339) and bat-eared foxes (LL.II.3.471). These species favour harvester termites (Hodotermitidae), but it is not possible to confirm the species on these grounds, as these mammals also consume other termite species as well as ants (Taylor, Lindsey and Skinner, 2002; Clark, 2005). The term Ṭkoro evidently referred to the emerging reproductive flying adults, and was applied to a range of insects with similar behaviours: Lloyd found it difficult to ascertain the ‘proper name’ of these insects (LL.II.37.3344’).
ǂgou (ǂgouwa); v. to be silent, quiet, at peace, *often used as adv.*, n. ǂgauwa, peace (Bleek, 1956:648); *kwe:*, v. to be quiet, be still, leave, let alone, *often used as* quietly (Bleek, 1956:111) [LL.1630, 1636]; *s’-up*, still, silent (Bleek, 1956:174); ǂkowa, v. to be still (Bleek, 1956:589); ǂou, still, quiet (Bleek, 1956:626) ǂgau, ǂgau:wa, v. to be gently, silent, (Bleek, 1956:645). This complex of terms combined notions of peacefulness in the sense of remaining still in mind and body with an opposition to ‘angry action’: the ‘angry’ foolish ǀkaggen fought the Cat, who was still or at peace (LL.II.22.1986), and being ‘still’ was placed in opposition to angry scolding (LL.II.25.2264’). This opposition to anger underpinned a strongly positive, socially desirable aspect to these characteristics, which were also signifiers of ‘comfortable’ conditions: when the clouds were ‘shady’ rather than ‘dark’ (which made the bushes green), it was ǂgouwa rather than ‘a fight’ (LL.II.24.2226), and chasing off lions allowed people to walking in peace on ‘good’ ground (LL.II.17.1619-1621). See Dazrakǝn, kerru.

ǂho; touchingly (?) (Bleek, 1956:651). This word was used extremely infrequently; Lloyd’s reverso note (LL.V.6.4348’) glosses it as ‘play[ing] so that one got haartsair, was verdriet.’ The latter two terms represent the Afrikaans, hartseer (lit. heart-sore) and verdriet (grief, sadness); D. Bleek (1956:651) has only this sentence as an example, and suggests the term may have referred specifically to the ability to play the ǂkummi instrument well. The ability to make people ‘heart-sore’ was commonly encountered as a constituent of relationships between Early-Race characters, where it motivated individuals to attempt to force others to live with them (LL.V.6.4350). See twaii.
ǂkwerre; *n.* a bad shot, a person who shoots badly (Bleek, 1956:667). This term referred to a method dealing with resources; ǂhanǂkass'o used it to discuss the correct way to treat locusts (LL.VIII.7.6671-6672). He used the phrase ǂkwërretǝn-ddi, a ‘thing that shoots badly’ and provided a further clarification that it described a man who was *swak* (Afr. weak, infirm, delicate, feeble): Lloyd rendered this as a ‘weakling’ or ‘feebleminded’. It was contrasted with ǂt̥i-t̥i (sensible thing/strong), a term not present in the *Dictionary*: it was possibly a reduplication of ǂt̥i:, to shoot (Bleek, 1956:680), while the notebook translation (contrasting sense and ‘feeblemindedness’) implies that it may have been a variation on ǂti (thoughts, Bleek, 1956:652). The reverso note (LL.VIII.7.6671’) gives it as an equivalent to ǂgi-ǂgi, (strong Bleek, 1956:270), and the pair of terms can usefully be combined with ǂkerre-gwai and ǂi:-ǂsa as part of the construction of competent, admirable identities. See ǂkerre-gwai, ǂi:-ǂsa.

ǂnuturu; *n.* weevil, *Cleonis glacialis* (?) (Bleek, 1956:674). The ǂnuturu was the focus of a single Early-Race narrative (LL.VIII.9.6786-6857); she was a singularly attractive person described with the term ǂwalwat (to be beautifully white, Bleek, 1956:630; LL.VIII.9.6800). In consequence of her great beauty, the returning hunters gave her the fat springbok breasts, withholding these choice morsels from their wives (LL.VIII.9.6802-6804); after her ruse was discovered (the antennae she removed when the hunters were approaching), they only gave her ‘meat scraps’ to gnaw at, repenting of their former generosity (LL.VIII.9.6814-6815). The hunters’ earlier behaviour was criticised as foolish, childish behaviour (LL.VIII.9.6857), and one of the themes of this story thus
revolved around the correct ‘understanding’ behaviour to be deployed in conjugal relationships.

*Opwa:تان-کا*-
i:تان; ‘game’s i:تان’. *Opwa:* n. game, meat, flesh, body (Bleek, 1956:685); -*ka*, poss. part. following possessor, preceding the thing possessed, often omitted before words denoting relationship or parts of the body, also written –*ga*, -*ta* (Bleek, 1956:74). These i:تان were people supposed to possess powers influencing the movement or presence of certain resources; primarily these were larger animals such as bovids (springbok’s i:تان, LL.V.10.4739’) and ostriches (LL.V.10.4778), but locusts too were ‘sent about’ by i:تان (LL.V.21.5719; LL.V.22.5720). Their powers continued after death, when they became ‘spirit people’ who could be entreated to send game, beating a stone on the ground and reminding them of their social obligations (LL.V.11.4801’-4806’). See i:xa.
Appendix E

Tables of |Xam terms

The following tables collate |Xam terminology for the fauna, flora, and mineral resources with which the informants were familiar. The tables primarily reference the notebooks themselves, with Dictionary references providing explanatory supplements where appropriate. The tables are intended to provide modern species identifications for the biota recognised and divided in |Xam taxonomies, as much of the information recorded by Bleek and Lloyd on this topic is scattered throughout the archive, and makes reference to obsolete scientific names. Some species (particularly plants) cannot be securely assigned to species or even genus; in these cases the data presented by the informants is included in a summarised form, with appropriate notebook references being provided.
Table 1: Xam terms for mammals, with scientific and common names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Xam name, reference</th>
<th>Further notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bovidae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buck</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>!koen (WB.XXV.2384)</td>
<td>Generic term for buck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bushbuck</td>
<td>Tragelaphus scriptus</td>
<td>!koen (WB.II.366)</td>
<td>Named at museum (?the generic term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>Bos primigenius taurus</td>
<td>Xoro (LL.VIII.1.6049; Bleek, 1956:260)</td>
<td>!ka:xa, bull (Bleek, 1956:305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eland</td>
<td>Taurotragus oryx</td>
<td>Zza, ssa (WB.II.366; LL.VIII.1.6047)</td>
<td>Women use !koukon-khuru (LL.VIII.27.8435)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td>Oryx gazella</td>
<td>!kwai, !khwai (LL.II.2.291; Bleek, 1956:431)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>Caprus hircus</td>
<td>Burri (LL.VIII.1.6048)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grysbok</td>
<td>Raphicerus (= Calotragus) melanotis</td>
<td>!koen (WB.XXV.2382)</td>
<td>Named at museum (?the generic term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartbeest</td>
<td>Alcelaphus buselaphus caama</td>
<td>!kwa (LL.VIII.1.6047; LL.VIII.7838)</td>
<td>Women use !kuerritson (LL.VIII.27.8435)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td>Oreotragus oreotragus</td>
<td>!ko (LL.I.2.186; Bleek, 1956:582)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kudu | *Tragelaphus strepsiceros* | !xau (LL.VIII.1.6047), !kau (LL.II.2.288) | !nshâ, n. kudu (Bleek, 1956:486)
---|---|---|---
Rhebok | *Pelea capreolus* | !khi (LL.VIII.21.7839); dzza (LL.VIII.1.6047) | |
Sheep | *Ovis aries* | !gei (LL.VIII.1.6048; Bleek, 1956:381) | |
Sheep (different breed) | *Ovis aries* | !koa (LL.VIII.1.6048) | ‘Vaderland’ or ‘moff’ breed (Bleek, 1956:508)
Springbok | *Antidorcas marsupialis* | Whai, (LL.VIII.1.6047), !kakon (‘other’ name, LL.VIII.27.8432’) | !gwara!gwara, a white springbok (Bleek, 1956:391); other name used by all
Steenbok | *Raphicerus campestris* | !koin, !koen (LL.VIII.1.6047; Bleek, 1956:439) | Named at museum (?generic)
Black Wildebeest | *Connochaetes gnou* | !nu (WB.I.189), !kou-iko (LL.VIII.1.6051) | !aulko blue wildebeest (Bleek, 1956:372)
Blue Wildebeest | *Connochaetes taurinus* | !nu (WB.I.189), !kou-iko (LL.VIII.1.6051) | !nu, black wildebeest (Bleek, 1956:483)

| Other artiodactyla (Giraffidae, Hippopotamidae, Suidae) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Giraffe | *Giraffa camelopardalis* | !nu (LL.II.2.288) | |
| Hippopotamus | *Hippopotamus amphibius* | !ga (LL.VIII.21.7837) | |
| Pig | *Sus scrofa* | *Haggu* (LL.II.1.34), !ho (Bleek, 1956:288) | ?Cape warthog *Phacochoerus aethiopicus aethiopicus*

| Perissodactyla (Rhinocerotidae, Equidae) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Horse | *Equus ferus caballus* | *Barra* (LL.VIII.1.6048), *hara* (LL.IV.1.3448) | !ai:tǝn, white-blazed horse (Bleek, 1956:370)
| Quagga | *Equus quagga quagga* | Ddeou, !k"wi (LL.VIII.21.7837) | !kwi:, n. quagga (Bleek, 1956:600)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Rhinoceros</strong></th>
<th><em>Diceros bicornis</em>/<em>Ceratotherium simum</em></th>
<th>ǀ<em>xa̩gən</em>, ǀ<em>ku̩gən</em> (Bleek, 1956:638)</th>
<th>Historically, both species were present.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zebra</strong></td>
<td><em>Equus quagga burchelli</em></td>
<td>ǀDouw, ǀ<em>k&quot;ui</em>, ǀ<em>habba</em> (LL.VIII.21.7837)</td>
<td>ǀ<em>habba</em>: striped quagga, zebra (Bleek, 1956:286)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Primates (Cercopithecidae)**

| **Baboon**     | *Papio ursinus ursinus*               | ǀ*hulhu* (LL.7836); ǀ*xetton*, ‘unusual name’ (Bleek, 1956:635) | ‘The people who sit on their heels’ (LL.VIII.11.6978), euphemistic term |
| **Vervet Monkey** | *Chlorocebus pygerythrus*           | ǀ*k"warre* (LL.VIII.18.7615'; Bleek, 1956:609) | Primate with a long tail, smaller than baboon |

**Carnivora – Felidae**

| **Cheetah** (Hunting pard, 19th Century term) | *Acinonyx jubata*                  | ǀ*kwa̩-xu*, and variants (WB.XXV.2409) | Men use ǀ*k"auru* (Bleek, 1956:508) |
| **Leopard** | *Panthera pardus*                  | ǀ*kae*, and variants (LL.VIII.1.6047) | |
| **Lion**    | *Panthera leo*                    | ǀ*khə*: (LL.VIII.1.6047), ǀ*akən-ta-tcheun* (‘harm’s thing’) (LL.II.30.2754) | ǀ*ku-tu*, ‘great pawed’ lion (LL.II.30.2754) Early race call ǀ*kwa̩mma* (Bleek, 1956:597) |
| **Caracal** (Lynx, Rooicat) | *Caracal caracal*                | ǀ*k-u-ǃnwain*, ǀ*ku-g-ǃnwin*: numerous variants (LL.II.2.290) | “Name of the female lynx” (Bleek, 1956:439) |
| **Wild Cat** | *Felis silvestris cafra*           | ǀgwatten, varying initial click (LL.II.2.290) | May refer to *Felis nigrepes* (Black-footed cat) |

**Carnivora – Canidae**

<p>| <strong>Bat-eared Fox</strong> | <em>Otocyon megalotis (= caffer; lalandi)</em> | ǀlua (LL.VIII.21.7817), ǀ<em>oa</em> (WB.XXV.2425) | Delalande’s fox, Loffelhund (Bleek, 1956:629). Often translated as ǀ<em>aitchies</em> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Black-backed Jackal</th>
<th>Canis mesomelas (= variegatoides)</th>
<th>Koro (WB.XXV.2381), !gwitǝn and variants (LL.VIII.21.7817)</th>
<th>Bleek (1956:101) gives koro as ‘official’, but evidently some overlap in use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cape Fox, Caama Fox (Silver Jackal)</td>
<td>Vulpes chama</td>
<td>!gwitǝn and variants (Bleek, 1956:393)</td>
<td>“Crosser of the spoor” (WB.XXIII.2157’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>Canis lupus familiaris</td>
<td>!khuin (LL.II.2.291), !kuin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Carnivora – Hyaenidae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aardwolf</th>
<th>Proteles cristatus</th>
<th>!ku (Bleek, 1956:322)</th>
<th>Also !gipp, gipp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spotted Hyena</td>
<td>Crocuta crocuta (= maculata)</td>
<td>!kau-!(k)annu, and variants (LL.II.2.289) !nau and variants (Bleek, 1956:617)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Striped Hyena”</td>
<td>Hyaena hyaena</td>
<td>!ku (WB.XXV.2425) !gipp (WB.II.367)</td>
<td>Striped hyena proper is not found in South Africa; the term refers to the aardwolf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other small carnivora (Musteloidae, Viverridae, Herpestidae)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Egyptian Mongoose (Ichneumon)</th>
<th>Herpestes ichneumon</th>
<th>!ni: (LL.II.27.2425)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meerkat</td>
<td>Suricata suricatta suricatta (=zenick)</td>
<td>!kao (LL.VIII.21.7817); !hai (LL.VIII.21.inset)</td>
<td>!xa:ra, mierkat (Bleek, 1956:257)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Mongoose</td>
<td>Description suggests Suricata suricatta; ‘Bushy-tailed</td>
<td>!hai (WB.I.1.191)</td>
<td>Sits in small hole, eats termite eggs, stands on two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>?Genet</strong></td>
<td><em>Genetta genetta</em> most likely species</td>
<td>!nuerre and variants (LL.VIII.2.6144)</td>
<td>Smaller than a cat, with a pointed face, long tail, and spots: “tiger-cat”, genet (WB.II.367’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ratel (Honey Badger)</strong></td>
<td><em>Mellivora capensis</em></td>
<td>!khou (LL.VIII.21.7817)</td>
<td>?Name derives from ‘honey’ (favoured food)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yellow Mongoose</strong></td>
<td><em>Cynictis penicillata</em></td>
<td>!hai (LL.VIII.21.7817), !kau (LL.II.2.289)</td>
<td>Bushy-tailed meerkat (Bleek, 1956:394)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zorilla (Muishond)</strong></td>
<td><em>Ictonyx (= Zorilla) striatus</em></td>
<td>!kw’a and variants (LL.VIII.21.7817)</td>
<td>Striped Muishond, polecat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lagomorpha and Rodentia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Hare</strong></th>
<th><em>Lepus sp.</em> (Cape Hare, <em>Lepus capensis</em>; Shrub hare, <em>Lepus saxatilis</em>)</th>
<th>?nabbe, ?nau (WB.I.249)</th>
<th>‘White-tailed’ (WB.XXV.2383) Same term for ‘rabbit’ (LL.II.2.290)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hare</strong></td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>?nu-xa (WB.I.192)</td>
<td>“Great hare” (LL.II.4.448)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cape Porcupine</strong></td>
<td><em>Hystrix africaeaustralis</em></td>
<td>!ko, and variants (LL.II.33.3043’), !gau xo, and variants (WB.XXV.2383)</td>
<td>Girls use !khogon (LL.V.6.4377’); !koa (LL.VIII.2.6199’), ‘used by all’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fieldmouse</strong></td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!k&quot;waiton, !khwi (Bleek, 1956:340,433)</td>
<td>“Common striped field mouse”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Four Striped Grass Rat/Mouse</strong></td>
<td><em>Rhabdomys (= Mus) pumilio</em></td>
<td>!khou (LL.VIII.21.7836)</td>
<td>“Common striped field mouse”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mice</strong></td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!konde (Bleek, 1956:319; LL.VIII.21.7829)</td>
<td>Applied to mice in general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mouse or shrew</strong></td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kabbe (Bleek, 1956:403)</td>
<td>Species unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South African Ground Squirrel</td>
<td><em>Xerus</em> (= <em>Sciurus</em>) <em>inauris</em></td>
<td>‘<em>kwerre</em>, *ken-*khù’ (LL.VIII.2.6144)</td>
<td>‘*ken-*khù’ = “digger of cucumber”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springhare</td>
<td><em>Pedetes capensis</em> (= <em>cafer</em>)</td>
<td>‘<em>gô</em>’ (LL.VIII.21.7817)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>‘<em>ne-sara</em>’ (LL.V.4.4235’)</td>
<td>‘Small mouse’ (?shrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other small mammals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macroscelidea, Soricomorpha, etc.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Sengi (Cape Sengi)</td>
<td><em>Elephantulus</em> (= <em>Macroscelides</em>) <em>edwardii</em></td>
<td>‘<em>ebbukôn</em>’ (WB.XXV.2341) ‘<em>kiten-koro</em>’ (LL.VIII.21.7828’)</td>
<td>A mouse, also called ‘<em>ebbukôn</em>’ (Bleek, 1956:316)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mole</td>
<td>‘<em>Chrysochloridae</em>’ ‘golden mole’ sp.</td>
<td>‘<em>khù</em>’ (LL.VIII.14.7216’)</td>
<td>Found at Mowbray, not in Bushmanland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-eared Elephant Shrew</td>
<td><em>Macroscelides proboscideus</em> (= <em>typicus</em>)</td>
<td>‘<em>hennixa</em>’ (WB.XXV.2342; Bleek, 1956:397)</td>
<td>“African Jumping Shrew”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrew</td>
<td><em>Sorex</em> sp.</td>
<td>‘<em>kabbe</em>’ (LL.II.26.2341)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miscellaneous</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procaviidae, Elephantidae, Orycteropodidae, Chiroptera</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aardvark (Cape Anteater; Antbear)</td>
<td><em>Orycteropus afer</em> (= <em>capensis</em>)</td>
<td>‘<em>koken-tu</em>’ and variants (LL.VIII.21.7836), ‘<em>gô-xumm</em>’ (= ‘other name’, all use, LL.VIII.16.7432’)</td>
<td>Shares a special name (‘<em>hurrusi-tuturriten</em>’) with a bird (Bleek, 1956: 65);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bat</td>
<td>Not Given (several potential species)</td>
<td>‘<em>khetton</em>’ (LL.V.6.4378)</td>
<td>Bleek. (1956:385): ‘<em>gogôn</em>’; usually ‘<em>khetton</em>’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td><em>Loxodonta africana</em></td>
<td>‘<em>xoâ</em>’ and variants (LL.II.2.288)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyrax, Dassie</td>
<td><em>Procavia capensis</em></td>
<td>‘<em>kun, hun</em>’ (Bleek, 1956:290)</td>
<td>‘*árroxi, árruxu, <em>kauru-wo</em>’ (Bleek, 1956:371, 416; “Mantis’ wife”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ambiguous information/generic categories</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Gemsbok</td>
<td><em>Not Given</em></td>
<td>‘<em>kwaï</em>’ (LL.II.1.205’)</td>
<td>Chiansbok – ‘horns turn back’ (mishearing/odd pronunciation of gemsbok?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Smith’s Red Rock Hare</td>
<td>?Pronolagus rupestris</td>
<td>ǂnabbe (Bleek, 1956:669)</td>
<td>“White-tailed rock rabbit”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>ǂkaukarru (WB.I.191)</td>
<td>Cat-sized, black hair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>ǂkain-ǂkui, ǂkuarrei (WB.I.193)</td>
<td>Small; white underbelly, ‘green’ back, white tail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beast-of-prey, wild beast</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>ǂkheǂkhe (Bleek, 1956:571)</td>
<td>Generic term for predators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2: Xam terms for birds, with common and scientific names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Xam name with reference</th>
<th>Further notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passerines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow, ‘<em>Korenland Kraai’</em> (Afr. corn-country crow)</td>
<td><em>Corvus segetum</em></td>
<td>(\text{lohe} ) (LL.VIII.21.7842)</td>
<td>Applied in museum to Australian Raven (WB.XXV.2350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pied Crow</td>
<td><em>Corvus albus</em> (= scapulatus)</td>
<td>(\text{!kagon} ) (LL.VIII.2.6142)</td>
<td>“<em>Bonte</em> [Afr. spotted] <em>kraai</em>” (Bleek, 1956:403)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-necked Raven</td>
<td><em>Corvus albicollis</em></td>
<td>(\text{!xuru} ) (LL.VIII.21.7842)</td>
<td>Applied in museum to Common Raven (WB.XXV.2350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Rook</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>(\text{!kwarra-k&quot;anni} ) (WB.XXV.2342)</td>
<td>Bleek (1956:461) gives as rook or ‘black bird’; see below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrike</td>
<td><em>Lanius collaris</em></td>
<td>*Kuru-*kaitau (LL.VIII.2.6143; WB.I.191) ?*Kuru-*kaiton (LL.VIII.23.8072)</td>
<td>Butcher Bird, Fiscal. Latter part of name means to climb or ascend (Bleek, 1956:553)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Shrike species</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>(\text{!kain} ) (LL.VIII.30.8668)</td>
<td>Resembles <em>Lanius collaris</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Glossy Starling</td>
<td><em>Lamprotornis phanciopteris; Lamprotornis</em> (= <em>Juida</em>)</td>
<td>(\text{!kwua-e-k&quot;erri} ) (WB.XXV.2349) (\text{!kuaro-k&quot;ani} )</td>
<td>“<em>Koranna bird</em>” (WB.XXV.2349) k&quot;ani or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Wattled Starling</td>
<td>Creatophora cinerea</td>
<td>'Locust Bird' (Bank, 2006:268)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capped Wheat-ear</td>
<td>Oenanthe (= Saxicola, Campicola) pileata</td>
<td>'To travel the sky running gently’ (Bleek, 1956: 207, 317)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Chat</td>
<td>Saxicola castor. ?Karoo chat (Cercomela schegelii)</td>
<td>'To travel the sky running gently’ (Bleek, 1956: 207, 317)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey-Backed Grass Warbler</td>
<td>Drymoica subrufica</td>
<td>lkhou (LL.VIII.21.7843)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow-Backed (-breasted) Bush Warbler</td>
<td>Drymoica flavida</td>
<td>!kaukon!kaukon (LL.VIII.21.7843)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparrow</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>Kwannay (Bleek, 1956:330)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Weaver Bird</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>Kai:nja-tara (LL.VIII.21.7388)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bokmakierie (Bushshrike)</td>
<td>Telophonus sp. (= Telophorus sp.)</td>
<td>!korokon!korokon (LL.V.25.6014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Near-Passerines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White-backed Mousebird</td>
<td><em>Colius colius</em> (= capensis)</td>
<td>ǁku, ǁ&quot;i&quot; (LL.VIII.21.7842; Bleek, 1956:668)</td>
<td>Original gives as ‘Speckled Mousebird’ (<em>Colius striatus</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Dove, or Pigeon</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!khi.-!khi, !nu-uru</td>
<td>Bleek, 1956:316, 434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Galliformes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partridge</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td><em>Kotton</em> (LL.II.1.192)</td>
<td>Europeans shoot and eat them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Partridge/Quail</td>
<td>See below</td>
<td>!kabbi (Bleek, 1956:403)</td>
<td>Has white legs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helmeted Guineafowl</td>
<td><em>Numida meleagris</em></td>
<td>!kho, and variants (LL.VIII.2.6142)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ‘Birds of Prey’ (Falconiformes and Strigiformes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African Fish Eagle</td>
<td><em>Haliatus vocifer</em></td>
<td>ǁ&quot;ko&quot; (LL.VIII.2.6142)</td>
<td>Probably same as below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawk (?generic)</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>ǁk'o (LL.I.2.185)</td>
<td>Probably same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle (?Bateleur Eagle)</td>
<td><em>Aquila ecauda</em> (=<em>Terathopius ecaudata</em>)</td>
<td>!hou (LL.VIII.2.6142)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-bellied Eagle</td>
<td><em>Aquila thoracica</em></td>
<td>!kabbu!kabbu-k'as-!ka-!koen (LL.I.2.186)</td>
<td>Kills steinbok (name was lit. ‘springs—to kill—buck’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Rock Kestrel</td>
<td><em>Tinnunculus rapicoloides</em> (=ruficolus?)</td>
<td>!kuerre-!kuerre (LL.VIII.9.6774)</td>
<td>Eats lizards, flutters wings (LL.VIII.7.6596)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearded Vulture</td>
<td><em>Gypatus barbatus</em></td>
<td>!kui (LL.VIII.9.6774); !kui (WB.XX.V.2342)</td>
<td>Bleek, 1956:334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Griffon Vulture</td>
<td><em>Gyps coprotheres kolbii</em></td>
<td>!hau-ǁ&quot;o&quot; (LL.VIII.9.6774)</td>
<td>A white vulture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulture</td>
<td>(Vulture kolbii)</td>
<td>Bleek, 1956:543</td>
<td>(LL.VIII.23.8070')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulture</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!naukon (LL.VIII.30.8616)</td>
<td>‘White’ vulture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary Bird</td>
<td>Sagittarius serpentarius</td>
<td>Opuorrisse (LL.VIII.21.7837)</td>
<td>!g‚ox‚, (Bleek, 1956:387)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary Bird</td>
<td></td>
<td>!u</td>
<td>hai-ku (LL.II.26.2350) or ‘carry-feathers’ (Bleek, 1956:686)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owl</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!hu!hu (LL.VIII.1.6048)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gruiformes, Ciconiiformes and Phoenicopteriformes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ibis</th>
<th>Tantalus sp.</th>
<th>!uhattǝn - !kwomm (LL.VIII.9.6773)</th>
<th>See Stork</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flamingo</td>
<td>Phoenicopterus roseus, (poss. P. minor)</td>
<td>!kǝn (LL.IV.1.3448)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Flamingo</td>
<td>Phoenicopterus roseus (= antiquorum)</td>
<td>!xu-!gei (Bleek, 1956:503)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stork</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!uhatten-!kwomm (LL.VIII.29.8579')</td>
<td>See Ibis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamerkop</td>
<td>Scopus umbretta</td>
<td>!hi:n (Bleek, 1956:397)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Crane</td>
<td>Tetrapteryx paradisa</td>
<td>!k’o (LL.VIII.9.6773) (WB.XXV.2342)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Korhaan/Karoo Bustard</td>
<td>Eupodotis (=Otis) caerulescens, E. vigorsii</td>
<td>!kaukon (LL.VIII.9.6773; LL.I.2.185)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Black Korhaan/Black Bustard</td>
<td>Eupodotis (= Otis) afra</td>
<td>Kwakwara (LL.VIII.9.6774)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kori Bustard</td>
<td>Ardeotis (= Otis) kori</td>
<td>!ki-na (LL.VIII.2.6142; LL.I.2.185)</td>
<td>Afr. Gompou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seabirds (Procellariiformes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wandering Albatross</td>
<td><em>Diomedea exulans</em></td>
<td>ǃkui (WB.XXV.2384; Bleek, 1956:324)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratites (Struthioniformes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-real birds/insufficient information provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘Duiker bird’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Duiker bird’, resembles the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Given; same ǃXam name as flamingo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3: |Xam terms for reptiles and amphibians, with common and scientific names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th></th>
<th>Xam Name, reference</th>
<th>Further notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphibians</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tadpole</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kwai-!kwai (LL.VIII.11.6999'), thun-thun (LL.V.10.4750')</td>
<td>Former is same as ‘singly, separating’ (Bleek, 1956:460)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kain (LL.VIII.11.6999')</td>
<td></td>
<td>Young frog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toad</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!ga (LL.VIII.21.7837)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Testudines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tortoise</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!go (LL.I.2.187)</td>
<td></td>
<td>General term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turtles</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kerru (LL.I.2.187)</td>
<td></td>
<td>General term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Geometric Tortoise</td>
<td>?Psammobates geometrica (N.B. this species is restricted to Western Cape)</td>
<td>!goe (LL.VIII.21.7837); !koe (LL.IV.1.3451)</td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Little.’ Bleek (1956:385) gives as Testudo geometrica, and also as tortoiseshell. The same word signified want, or misfortune</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leopard Tortoise</td>
<td>Stigmochelys pardalis</td>
<td>!go (Bleek, 1956:531)</td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Water tortoise’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angulate or Bowsprit Tortoise (Rooipens, Afr. red-</td>
<td>Chersina angulata</td>
<td>!go!gokǝn (LL.VIII.21.7843; LL.VIII.25.8236)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bleek (1956:282) gives as ‘water tortoise’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal</td>
<td>English Name</td>
<td>Xhosa Name</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tortoise, unspecified</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>'khou'</td>
<td>'a certain water tortoise; (Bleek. 1956:428)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tortoise, unspecified</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>'k&quot;ammi, 'k&quot;emmi (Bleek, 1956:337) 'kummi (LL.IV.1.3451)</td>
<td>&quot;Large round schildpad.&quot; Perhaps related to Korana, 'kammip (used by 'kasin)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Tent Tortoise</td>
<td>?Psammobates tentorius</td>
<td>'kapam</td>
<td>D. Bleek gives as ‘Testudo geometrica tentoria’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamates (Lacertilia)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Keeled Indian Mabuya (from museum)</td>
<td>Eutropis carinata (= Euprepes carinatus)</td>
<td>'guatton</td>
<td>Presumably name refers a similar Karoo Mabuya sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gecko, ‘the Common Gecko’</td>
<td>Not Given –Pachydactylus capensis (Common Cape Gecko) or other Pachydactylus sp. likely</td>
<td>'haiton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agama</td>
<td>Agama sp. (probably Agama atra, Southern Rock Agama, largest of Karoo agamas)</td>
<td>'khou</td>
<td>‘Kochelman’ 'guamma gerri (derisive name for large male agama, LL.VIII.23.8068') ‘Ugly’ (LL.VIII.32.8858')</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agamid Lizard</td>
<td>Several potential species: Agama aculeata, A.anchietae, A.hispidia.</td>
<td>'horu, (Bleek, 1956:543) 'hou (LL.VIII.32.8822)</td>
<td>Smaller than (and resembles) agama, eaten by children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chameleon</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>'kuru</td>
<td>?Derived from !Ora (/kurup, lizard). “Veldt vorschleg”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chameleon</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>ǂnau-iton (WB.I.195)</td>
<td>‘White’ chameleon. May connect with ǂnai-i, to kick or scrape with the feet (Bleek, 1956:670), this behaviour is characteristic of chameleon egg-burying</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lizard</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>ǂgi (LL.VIII.32.8858’)</td>
<td>Has stripes, smaller than agama, ‘beautiful’ and ‘red.’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lizard</td>
<td>Not Given – possibly a burrowing gecko? (<em>Ptenopus</em> sp.?)</td>
<td><em>T’tain</em> (LL.VIII.23.8068’)</td>
<td>Found in the holes of porcupines. Paws ‘hold fast’ to the rock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Squamates (Serpentes)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Snake</th>
<th>Not Given</th>
<th>ǂkerri-ton-ti (LL.VIII.21.7838)</th>
<th>General term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>ǂkheiton (LL.I.2.186) ǂkeiton (WB.I.190)</td>
<td>Used for boa (LL.I.2.186), and a thick, long, large-headed poisonous snake (WB.I.190)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td><em>Python</em> sp.</td>
<td>ǂketo-n (Bleek, 1956:635) ǂketon (Bleek, 1956:571) <em>‘nuin</em> (Bleek, 1956:486) ǂke-ton (WB.I.197)</td>
<td>May be the same referent as above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Cobra</td>
<td><em>Naja nivea</em></td>
<td>ǂgerri (LL.I.2.187)</td>
<td>‘Egyptian Cobra’ (in Museum); <em>Naja haje</em> (not a...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>†gerri (LL.VIII.23.8070’)</td>
<td>Long, slender and not poisonous. Constrictor; feeds on mice and lizards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>†geri (WB.I.197)</td>
<td>Very small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Viper’: Common Night Adder; Puffadder; Common Eggeater</td>
<td>Causus rhombeatus; Bitis arietans; Dasypeltis scaber</td>
<td>†gukən (LL.I.2.187) †gukən (LL.VIII.23.8039’) †gukən (WB.I.189)</td>
<td>Most commonly applied to the puffadder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Python</td>
<td>Not Given (presumably Python sebae)</td>
<td>†xu (LL.VIII.32.8875’); †xu (Bleek, 1956:680)</td>
<td>In one of Stow’s pictures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>†ku (Bleek, 1956: 664)</td>
<td>Poisonous snake; term can also be used to refer to ‘poison’ generically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Spotted Harlequin Snake (at museum)</td>
<td>Homoroselaps lacteus (= Elaps hygiae)</td>
<td>†gannang (LL.I.2.187) †garran (WB.XXV.2360)</td>
<td>Term appears to be connected with below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind snake, or an amphisbaenian</td>
<td>Several potentials: Rhinotyphlops lalandii; R. schinzi; Monopeltis capensis; Zygaspis quadrifrons</td>
<td>†gannuŋ (Bleek, 1956:645)</td>
<td>Like a snake, goes into the earth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronzeback (at museum)</td>
<td>Dendrophis picta</td>
<td>†kanga whai (WB.I.193; LL.I.2.187)</td>
<td>‘Long’ (WB.I.193) or ‘short’ (WB.I.197). Whai, ‘springbok’. †kang-a, is ‘to tear a hole’ (Bleek, 1956:556)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Snake (at museum)</td>
<td>Elaps corallins</td>
<td>†humm (LL.I.2.187)</td>
<td>Little snake; ‘boute’ (possibly, boud, Afr. fearless)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>†hem (WB.I.197)</td>
<td>Little snake; ‘boute’ (possibly, boud, Afr. fearless)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>†hui (Bleek, 1956:290)</td>
<td>Short, found near Cape Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Example</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobra</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>lkwì (WB.I.189), lkuì (WB.I.197), lkhwì (Bleek, 1956: 579)</td>
<td>‘a long snake’; yellow snake; May reflect a connection with ‘quagga’ (cobra scale patterns can be similar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>koiri (WB.I.189), kuri (WB.I.97)</td>
<td>Long, black; like the puffadder, large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Spitting cobra’</td>
<td>Haemachatus hemachatus or Naja nigricollis</td>
<td>Tssou (LL.VIII.22.7946)</td>
<td>Long, black, spits at people, sounds like bees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>Ts'ann (WB.I.197)</td>
<td>Black; probably related to the above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Blind snake or amphisbaenian</td>
<td>Several potentials: Rhinotyphlops lalandii; R. schinzi; Monopeltis capensis; Zygaspis quadrifrons</td>
<td>Okuara (WB.I.197)</td>
<td>Little; ‘sits inside the ground’ (see ǂgannun, above: may be another amphisbaenian?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Blind snake or amphisbaenian</td>
<td>Several potentials: Rhinotyphlops lalandii; R. schinzi; Monopeltis capensis; Zygaspis quadrifrons</td>
<td>Opwana (WB.I.189)</td>
<td>Little; this is probably the ‘correct’ version of the above (ǂ is not commonly combined with ‘-k’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>ǂkoǂkoroxì (WB.I.197)</td>
<td>Little snake; ‘boute’ (see above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>ǂk”a (Bleek, 1956:338)</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4: Xam terms for invertebrates, with common and scientific names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Xam Name with reference</th>
<th>Further Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insecta</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orthoptera</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Field Cricket; <strong>Kritjie</strong></td>
<td>Gryllus capensis</td>
<td>'kuirri-ti-tikən (LL.VIII.11.6999');</td>
<td>Poss. 'staggering's things'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Koringkrieke</td>
<td>Anostostomatidae, Braydyporidae</td>
<td>(kururu) (LL.IV.1.3515)</td>
<td>Chapter 7c:250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasshopper – ‘small’</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>'ten-ko-nein (LL.VIII.21.7846)</td>
<td>Poss. 'small-nest-maker'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Cricket</td>
<td>Heterodes sp.</td>
<td>(kerritan) (LL.VIII.21.7820); (karritən) (LL.IV.2.3530')</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Cricket!Locust!Grasshopper</td>
<td>Phymateus morbillosus</td>
<td>(karritan), !na (WB.XXV.2431)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locust, Common Cape Locust, Sprinkaan (Afr.)</td>
<td>Acridium ruficorne (Acanthacris ruficornis ruficornis)</td>
<td>(kabba-kha) (LL.VIII.7.6660)</td>
<td>Named after resemblance to a bone forming part of an arrow (link shaft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coleoptera</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Ground Weevil</td>
<td>Brachycerus sp. (Brachycerus obesus)</td>
<td>(nu!nurussi) (LL.VIII.21.7819) (Bleek, 1956:486)</td>
<td>Poss. 'angry crawler'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longhorn</td>
<td>Zographus scaber</td>
<td>(nwa-!ka) (LL.VIII.21.7819)</td>
<td>(nwa), reed/arrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blister beetle</td>
<td>Mylabris sp.</td>
<td>(nwa-!ka) (LL.VIII.21.7819)</td>
<td>(nwa), reed/arrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toktokkie (Afr.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Moluris sp.</strong></td>
<td><strong>ǃkwubbo</strong> (LL.VIII.21.7819)</td>
<td><strong>ǃkwubbi:tən</strong>, to lift up the ground or sprout (Bleek, 1956:469)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tiger Beetle</strong></td>
<td><strong>Manticora sp.</strong></td>
<td>ǃkolkorosi, ǃgo (LL.VIII.21.7819)</td>
<td>ǃgo, outer skin (Bleek, 1956:531) ǃko:ro, horns (Bleek, 1956:587)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ground Beetle</strong></td>
<td><strong>Anthia thoracica, Manticora sp.</strong></td>
<td>ǃkolkorosi (LL.VIII.21.7819), ǃkalkurrassi (WB.XXV.2431), ǃgo (WB.XXV.2431)</td>
<td>ǃgo, outer skin (Bleek, 1956:531) ǃko:ro, horns (Bleek, 1956:587)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dung Beetle</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ateuchus sp.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Zshu</strong> (LL.VIII.21.7819)</td>
<td>To spring, hop, fly (Bleek, 1956:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>?Woolly chafer</strong></td>
<td><em>Leocaeta (=Sparrmannia)</em> sp. (probably S. flava)</td>
<td><strong>ǃnubbu-juven</strong> (LL.VIII.21.7819)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weevil</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cleonus glacialis</strong></td>
<td>ǃnaturu (LL.VIII.21.7819)</td>
<td>ǃnu, to be black (Bleek, 1956:674) ǃnu:ru, horns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leaf Beetle</strong></td>
<td><strong>Chrysomela sp.</strong></td>
<td>ǃkauru (LL.VIII.21.7820)</td>
<td>ǃkauru, 'bitterbolle' plant (Bleek, 1956:416)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chafer</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cetonia sp.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Zshu</strong> (LL.VIII.21.7821)</td>
<td>To spring, hop, fly (Bleek, 1956:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tenebrionid, ‘Darkling’ Beetle</strong></td>
<td><strong>Epiphysa sp.</strong></td>
<td>ǃkaxai-ǀxu (LL.VIII.21.7821)</td>
<td>ǃkaxai, sister (Bleek, 1956:564)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lepidoptera**

| **Tiger moth** | **Aloa (=Rhodogastria) amasis** | ǃkhâ:-ka-ǃgoro (LL.VIII.21.7842), ǃnum!num (Bleek, 1956:485) | *Lit. 'Lion's moth'; ǃnum, to take, hold, put in the* |

.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Name in Tswana</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moth ('Heady Maiden')</td>
<td><em>Syntomia (= Amata) cerbera</em></td>
<td><em>Dadabasi</em> (Bleek, 1956:20)</td>
<td>Moth, butterfly (Bleek, 1956:20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterflies</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td><em>Dadabasi</em> (LL.VIII.9.6771)</td>
<td>Moth, butterfly (Bleek, 1956:20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Owl Moth” (White Witch moth)</td>
<td><em>Thysnia agrippina</em></td>
<td>!gu:ro (WB.XXV.2344; WB.I.184)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Death’s Head Hawk Moth</td>
<td><em>Acherontis atropos</em>; also <em>Attacus attus</em> (India)</td>
<td>!khâ:-ka-dadabasi; !khâ:-ka-igoro (LL.VIII.9.6771)</td>
<td>Lit. 'Lion's moth'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moth (a hawkmoth)</td>
<td><em>Sphynx sp.</em></td>
<td>!khâ:-ka-igoro (WB.I.188)</td>
<td>Lit. 'Lion's moth'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!gwe (WB.I.187')</td>
<td>Presumably related to <em>Papilio demoleus</em>, above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noctuid moth</td>
<td><em>Celoena renisigma</em> (poss. <em>Synpa renisigma</em>)</td>
<td>!go:ro (Bleek, 1956:282)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Larvae**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Name in Tswana</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!nwraken (LL.VIII.21.7845)</td>
<td>!nu:ru, to crawl or crouch (Bleek, 1956:485)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hide Beetle larvae</td>
<td><em>Dermestes sp.</em> (?maculata)</td>
<td>!huŋ-huŋ (LL.VIII.21.7846)</td>
<td>“a beetle which eats skins”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kukɔn-lu-unu (LL.VIII.15.7311)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar of Garden Acraea</td>
<td><em>Acraea horta</em></td>
<td>Resembles ‘!’kuari-a-wai’ (LL.VIII.21.7841)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar of Noctuid moth</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kwana-wai (LL.VIII.31.8790')</td>
<td>!kwana, to bleat (Bleek, 1956:461), wai, springbok (Bleek, 1956:251)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar, ‘pietje canary’</td>
<td>Acraea horta</td>
<td>!nummin (Bleek, 1956:485)</td>
<td>!num, to take, hold, put in the mouth (Bleek, 1956:484)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worms (which make holes in trees)</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kunu (LL.V.10.4761')</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White maggots (in the ground)</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kuin (Bleek, 1956: 450)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Diptera</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flies</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!haukøn!haukøn (WB.I.210)</td>
<td>!hau, to spring, jump, dance (Bleek, 1956:650)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?transliteration error for !haukøn (Bleek, 1956:396)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-proboscid fly</td>
<td>Nemestrina (= Moegistorhynchus longinostrus)</td>
<td>!kum- Omui (LL.VIII.21.7821)</td>
<td>!kum, to plunge or dip in (Bleek, 1956:451)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?transliteration error for !kum- Omui (Bleek, 1956:396)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biting Fly</td>
<td>Pangonia sp.</td>
<td>!kwomm (Bleek, 1956:468)</td>
<td>!kwomma, a large swelling (Bleek, 1956:468).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsetse Fly</td>
<td>Glossina morsitans</td>
<td>!kum- Omui (LL.VIII.9.6772)</td>
<td>!kum, to plunge or dip in (Bleek, 1956:451)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosquito</td>
<td>?Anopheles sp.</td>
<td>Tssutto (LL.XIII.1.6108')</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flies – feed on blood</td>
<td>Musca sp. (=? Stomoxys calcitrans)</td>
<td>!houkøn!houkøn, !kwara (LL.VIII.9.6772) !kwara (Bleek, 1956:461)</td>
<td>Several poss.: !hau, follow, be together in numbers, frustrate or bewitch (Bleek, 1956:395-396)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insect Class</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Zulu Name</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robberflies</td>
<td><em>Asilus</em> sp.</td>
<td>!gu-!xa (LL.VIII.9.6772)</td>
<td>!gu, bruise or blister (Bleek, 1956:282); !xa, upper part of the spine or back of neck (Bleek, 1956:496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beefly species</td>
<td><em>Bombylius analis</em></td>
<td>!kum-Ømúi (LL.VIII.9.6772)</td>
<td>!kum, to plunge or dip in (Bleek, 1956:451)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Cape Winged Horse Tick&quot;</td>
<td><em>Hippobroca</em> sp. - Probably <em>Hippobosca equine</em></td>
<td>!kam (LL.VIII.9.6772)</td>
<td>Same word as for 'ostrich louse' (Bleek, 1956:406)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odonata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dragonfly (Hawker)</td>
<td><em>Aeshna</em> sp.</td>
<td>nkakkøn-kho-tůnu, nkakkøn-kho:a-t'orru (Bleek, 1956:655)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiptera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Bug</td>
<td><em>Lygaeus</em> sp.</td>
<td>!natta-xam (LL.VIII.21.7821)</td>
<td>(LL.VIII.7.6770); contrasts with 'bara-xam', unpleasant smell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicada</td>
<td>(?<em>Quintillia</em> sp.; Karoo cicadas)</td>
<td>nuattøn-nuattøn(LL.VIII.21.7821)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-legged Plant Bug</td>
<td><em>Mygdonia</em> (= <em>Elasmopoda</em>) valga</td>
<td>!natta-xam (LL.VIII.9.6771)</td>
<td>Contrasts with 'bara-xam'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoptera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeybee</td>
<td><em>Apis mellifera</em> ?scutellata</td>
<td>!kau (WB.I.210) !khou (Bleek, 1956:413)</td>
<td>Insect and honey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giant Cape Sand Wasp</td>
<td><em>Mygnimia capensis</em></td>
<td>!kou (LL.VIII.9.6771)</td>
<td>?Related to !khou, honey/bee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!gu!gú (Bleek, 1956:390)</td>
<td>See Glossary!gu!gú</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Red ant’</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kokɔn!kokɔn</td>
<td>A response to an image in a book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ants</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kwain!kwain (Bleek, 1956:330)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandwasp</td>
<td>Mygnimia capensis</td>
<td>!khου (Bleek, 1956:429)</td>
<td>?Related to, honey/bee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild bee or wasp; ‘kapater bee’ (LL.V.3.4071')</td>
<td>?Carpenter bee (Xylocopa sp.)</td>
<td>zu: (Bleek, 1956:264)</td>
<td>Insect and honey. Afr. Kapaterby, bumblebee or drone; houtkapperby, carpenter bee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small bee or wasp</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!ka-kagua (Bleek, 1956:405); !akka-gaua (Bleek, 1956:370)</td>
<td>Insect and honey (‘sweet food’) (Bleek, 1956:370).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small bee or wasp</td>
<td>Not Given (Halictiid or Anthrophorid bees?)</td>
<td>Opwai (Bleek, 1956:685; LL.II.27.2416')</td>
<td>Opwai:, meat or game (Bleek, 1956:685)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bee or wasp</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!doro-le-kau (LL.VIII.32.8811')</td>
<td>Lit. ‘over/above-tinderbox-fire’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Blattodea**

| ?Harvester Termite | Hodotermes sp. | !xe (Bleek, 1956:635) | ‘Bushman rice’, ‘ant chrysalides/eggs’ |
| ?Snouted termite | Trinervitermes sp. | !hakɔn, !ha:gon (Bleek, 1956:394) | Eaten by aardwolf |
| ?Termite | Not Given | !kuin | Similar to !xe and !hakɔn. |

**Neuroptera**

<p>| Antlion | Not Given | !ka!kabbo-ta!kwa (LL.VIII.21.7821) | Poss. 'to be startled (by) rain' |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Seisimic</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cape Short-horned (Spotted Veld) Antlion</td>
<td><em>Palpares speciosus</em></td>
<td>Ꝭkakkǝn- Ꝭkho-tunu (LL.VIII.9.6770)</td>
<td>See Dragonfly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantodea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantis</td>
<td><em>Empusa</em> sp.</td>
<td>Ꝭkaggǝn (LL.VIII.9.6770)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withered-Leaf Insect (?Ghost Mantis)</td>
<td><em>Phyllomorpha</em> (probably = <em>Phyllocrania</em> paradoxa)</td>
<td>Ꝭkhoa- Ꝭuhaitǝn- Ꝭuhaitǝn- Ꝭguakǝn (LL.VIII.9.6771)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phasmatodea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Stick Insect</td>
<td><em>Palophus haworthii</em> (= <em>Bactrododema hcticum</em>)</td>
<td>Ꝭnarra- Ꝭkhukǝn (LL.VIII.9.6770); Ꝭnaxawakǝn (WB.XXV.2344; LL.VIII.21.7819); Ꝭkaggǝn (WB.XXV.2382)</td>
<td>Ꝭnara, rattling, going back and forth’ (Bleek, 1956:614, 615) Ꝭkhukǝn, urine (Bleek, 1956:577)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siphonaptera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flea</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>Ꝭai-tama (Bleek, 1956:370)</td>
<td>Nama, Ꝭai-dab (Bleek, 1956:370)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myriapoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipede – large, black, reddish legs, 9”</td>
<td>Probably <em>Archispirostreptus gigas</em></td>
<td>Ꝭkummin- Ꝭkummin (LL.VIII.17.7513’)</td>
<td>Derivation obscure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipede, resembles the</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>Ꝭnu- Ꝭkatǝn-tsi (LL.VIII.17.7513’)</td>
<td>- to trot (Bleek, 1956:410); - to bite (Bleek, 1956:215)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giant Centipede, Centipede</td>
<td><em>Scolopendra</em> sp.</td>
<td>Ꝭkerri-ssi- Ꝭkau (LL.VIII.21.7820; LL.VIII.17.7514; LL.VIII.31.8791)</td>
<td>‘Rain's caterpillar’ (LL.VIII.1.6074), poisonous. Ꝭkerri, exclaim, cry (Bleek, 1956:570)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachnida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insect/Animal</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spider</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!uhi-!khe-!koa (LL.IV.2.3530')</td>
<td>Yellow and black. ?Related to !ki:kihe-!kwa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spider (Crab Spider)</td>
<td>Misumena sp.</td>
<td>!ku (Bleek, 1956: 322)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spider</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!ka-ka-!khwetun (Bleek, 1956:405)</td>
<td>Lit. 'sticks of the !ka-bush’ (Bleek, 1956:405)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Spider</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!ku (LL.VIII.21.7820)</td>
<td>Presume related to !ku</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scorpion Spider</td>
<td>Galeodes sp.</td>
<td>!huru (LL.VIII.21.7820)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Scorpion Spider</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!tui !tui ttuappem! (LL.VIII.127072') “the !huru which is large.”</td>
<td>Lit. ‘Go away let go’; an exclamation (Bleek, 1956:240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scorpion</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kanna (LL.VIII.21.7820, 1984), kukuku/khe (LL.VIII.21.7841)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tick</td>
<td>Ixodes sp.</td>
<td>!happem (LL.VIII.31.8790'), !khoa-ka-s'o (LL.VIII.21.7820)</td>
<td>Latter name; “remains/sits-(at)-water” (Bleek, 1956:171, 341)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Miscellanea**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insect</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insect – poisonous, in the ground</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kukon!kukon-xutara (LL.VIII.21.7817)</td>
<td>See cockroach, above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insect – ‘bite is poison’</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!kuattun (LL.VIII.21.7817)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insect - 'creeping'</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!nutu: (Bleek, 1956:674)</td>
<td>see !nuturu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spider’s egg bags</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>!hommin-dde-ka-!kuiton-ka-ho</td>
<td>Lit. ‘spider-egg’s-bag’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crab</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>(\text{l}n\text{out}t\text{{n}}) (WB.II.367); (\text{l}n\text{uerr}t\text{{n}}) (LL.VIII.23.8039')</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A freshwater mollusc</td>
<td>(Helix). sp.</td>
<td>(\text{tutun}) (Bleek, 1956:242)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Tutunnu\text{{n}}'a, \text{to be wet}) (Bleek, 1956:242)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: |Xam terms for plants, their uses, and identifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Xam name</th>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Descriptive notes, additional use(s)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ma:ξin</td>
<td>Bulb</td>
<td>Found in water (similar to below). Eaten</td>
<td>LL.VIII.29.8613'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!uherritọn</td>
<td>Bulb</td>
<td>Found in water (similar to above). Eaten</td>
<td>LL.VIII.29.8613'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!hwin</td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Scented. Eaten as meal, roasted, or raw. Red flowered plant.</td>
<td>LL.II.28.2516';</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LL.VIII.27.8395'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!nutu</td>
<td>Root/tuber</td>
<td>Resemble potatoes; not deep. Eaten,</td>
<td>LL.VIII.11.6945'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lgwi:</td>
<td>Root/tuber</td>
<td>‘Like a sweet potato’. Eaten.</td>
<td>LL.V.10.4745'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!kerri, !kerri</td>
<td>???</td>
<td>Seed/berries eaten in summer; ‘sweet’ root eaten in winter (LL.VIII.18.7599)</td>
<td>LL.VIII.18.7598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xarru-ssi</td>
<td>Bulb</td>
<td>Uintjies (Afr. ‘little onions’). Eaten</td>
<td>LL.VIII.26.8271'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!khurukọn</td>
<td>Bulb</td>
<td>Green leaves, bulbs. Resembles !garakọn. ‘Feared’</td>
<td>LL.V.24.5992'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!kouwi, !kauwi</td>
<td>?Cyanella hyacinthoides</td>
<td>Uintjies (‘little onions’). Eaten.</td>
<td>LL.IV.3.3735'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!kuisi, !kuisse</td>
<td>Bulb</td>
<td>Eaten</td>
<td>LL.V.7.4498'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!igačrakọn</td>
<td>Bulb</td>
<td>Eaten</td>
<td>LL.V.21.5661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
<p>| !kuiton      | Moraea (Fockea) sp.; ?M. edulis | Non-poisonous Moraea sp.? Eaten | LL.VIII.18.7553' |
| !kwerre     | Moraea collina                  | A poisonous ‘tulp’              | LL.VIII.11.7552' |
| !kui        | Fockea angustifolia, or F. edulis | Kambaroe, baroe (Smith, 1966:218, 272). Eaten | LL.IV.3.3706' |
| !nwanna     | Cucumis spp.                    | Sweet gherkin. Eaten.           | LL.VIII.1.6106'  |
| !gara       | Rhus sp.; ?R. lancea            | Berries of ‘krie boom’ (kareeboom). Eaten. | WB.XXIII.2154' |
| !kauru      | Citrullus lanatus (bitter)      | Bitterboela, bitter melon. Eaten. | LL.VIII.10.6944' |
| Opwerre     | ?Grewia flava                   | Fruit resembling an acorn, boiled and eaten | LL.VIII.21.7835 |
| !kou, !khou | Acacia sp., ?A. karroo          | Made into flour; flowers eaten; tinder. | LL.V.10.4761'   |
| !gui        | Acacia sp.                      | Gum arabic. Eaten.              | LL.VIII.18.7551' |
| !khi        | Boscia sp., ?B. albitrunca      | Witgatboom/umbrella-top tree. Fruit eaten. | LL.VIII.29.8526 |
| !horro      | ?Generic ‘cereal’               | Corn                           | Bleek, 1956:398  |
| !gwa:       | ???                             | Thorny. Also used medicine/charm. Eaten. | LL.VIII.21.7830 |
| sǝ:         | Prob. Agathosoma spp.           | ‘Buchu’ (see Glossary, sǝ:), charm/medicine | LL.VIII.14.7282 |
| Tabbaka, !keitǝnsi | Nicotiana sp.                  | ikun (Bleek, 1956:665), ‘oil of tobacco’ | LL.II.6.648    |
| !kwarri kwa:Ʌe, | ???                           | Adhesive; used to make a shooting target | LL.VIII.26.8292 |
|             |                                 |                                | LL.VIII.8.6687' |
| !kabbukǝn   | Bush                            | Resin; tinder.                 | LL.VIII.21.7833 |
| !gauɅukǝn  | ???                             | Informant ‘did not know’ this plant | LL.IV.1.3476   |
| !goe:, !gwe: | Böophane distichia             | Malkop-gif (Afr. madness poison) | LL.IV.1.3472 |
| !kanna      | Bulb                            | Bulb had a white interior      | LL.IV.1.3479   |
| !ku (kao)   | ???                             | A ‘vegetable juice’ used as poison | LL.VIII.26.8328 |
| !koa:Ʌ     | Bush                            | Bush used to stop up earths when hunting | LL.VIII.6.6582' |
| !k&quot;wa!k&quot;wa: | Tree in mountains               | ?Tinder. Branches used for digging sticks | Bleek, 1956:509 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root</th>
<th>medicine/charm.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Root, medicine/charm.</td>
<td>LL.II.36.3242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root (prob. of bush)</td>
<td>&quot;Lion’s plant&quot;. Medicine/charm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.IV.1.inset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root (prob. of bush)</td>
<td>‘Morning’s plant’. Medicine/charm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.IV.1.inset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root of bush/tree</td>
<td>Tree not used in bow manufacture (LL.VIII.23.8059’). Medicine/charm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.IV.1.inset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root (prob. of bush)</td>
<td>Lit. ‘Dassie’s plant’. Medicine/charm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.IV.1.3425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.IV.1.3427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sceletium tortuosum</td>
<td>!kurrukon, to be dry, hot; !kurri, riverbed; seeds of a large tree used as medicine/charm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.IV.1.3436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.IV.1.3439</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.VIII.21.7830</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhigozum sp. ?R. ovobatum</td>
<td>Driedoorn. Not used for bows (LL.VIII.23.8059’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleek, 1956:472</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Cadaba aphylla</td>
<td>Swartstorm (Afr. black-storm) tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleek, 1956:458</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Rhamnus sp.</td>
<td>Dwasdoorn. ‘Dwaas’ (fool) or ‘dwar’ (Afr.) Branches used in houses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleek, 1956:605 (LL.II.22.2039’)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia giraffae</td>
<td>Great-thorn (Afr. grootdoring) tree (and its flower)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleek, 1956:660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimorphotheca sp.</td>
<td>Large, white daisies. -ka-!kagən = ‘women’s’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.II.33.3023’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimorphotheca annua</td>
<td>Cape Daisy, Ox-Eye, Cape Marigold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleek, 1956:665</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceum dichotomum</td>
<td>Small white flower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL.VIII.31.8789’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotula turbinata</td>
<td>Ganskos (Afr. goose-food), a yellow flower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleek, 1956:297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>‘Bank grass’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleek, 1956:301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribulus terrestris</td>
<td>Caltrop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleek, 1956:301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td><strong>'Little thornbush'</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǀ</td>
<td><strong>'Bushman bush'</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǁ</td>
<td>Fungus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td><em>Haakboom, Hakkis doorn</em> (LL.VIII.23.8059'). Not used for bows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>Driedoorn; ostrich/sheep eat flowers (<em>lgoa</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>Monkshood orchid (Afr. <em>kapotjie</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>Belonged to ǃ<em>khwa:</em>; smelled strongly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>Josephine’s Lily, Candelabra lily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>Belonged to ǃ<em>khwa:</em>, appeared after rainfall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>Adheres to stones; ‘<em>kruis</em>’ (Afr. cross)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>‘<em>ga</em>’ relates to water (frog, hippopotamus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>‘Devil’s Claw’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>Small, flat, flowered; visited by bees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td>Bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabara, debbi</td>
<td>Tree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6: |Xam lithic terminology, translation and uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Xam Name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Translation of</th>
<th>Xam, and likely material</th>
<th>Further information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ǃkue!kuerrıtıŋ</td>
<td>LL.VIII.27.8433’</td>
<td>???</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soft white stone in Bushmanland; not used for ‘stone knives’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǃkuire</td>
<td>LL.VIII.21.7834</td>
<td>???</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>White stone, found in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ssa-ǃnwa-ka</td>
<td>LL.VIII.21.7834</td>
<td>?Eland-arrow-stone. ?Hornfels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Black stone, found in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xwomma</td>
<td>LL.VIII.21.7834</td>
<td>???</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Powdered and used for dressing skins, found in Bushmanland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tio:</td>
<td>LL.VIII.14.7273</td>
<td>Red haematite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǃhara</td>
<td>LL.VIII.14.7273</td>
<td>Probably specularite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Black. With tto:; ‘both hard and soft.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǃkäť</td>
<td>LL.V.22.5574</td>
<td>Red haematite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǃgoa</td>
<td>LL.VIII.1.6086;6085’</td>
<td>Quartz; ‘wit-klip’ (Afr. white stone)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǃgwàǃgwara</td>
<td>LL.V.22.5574-5575</td>
<td>Quartz; brown, rose, crystal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Namaqualand and Bushmanland samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǃkwaǃkwara</td>
<td>LL.VIII.31.8728’</td>
<td>?Quartz (see above)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Shining white stone’,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!kou</td>
<td>LL.VIII.31.8728'</td>
<td>‘Like quartz'</td>
<td>Brown stone spotted with white. Sample.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!xwa</td>
<td>LL.V.25.5993'</td>
<td>Boulder</td>
<td>‘Great stone’, hard, round, and smooth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!khwa !kweiton</td>
<td>LL.V.22.5806'</td>
<td>Lit. ‘Rain’s stones’ (fell in thunderstorms)</td>
<td>Black, pointed, and shining stones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!ka/kaggǝn</td>
<td>LL.V.21.5639'</td>
<td>‘Blue stones’, ?slate or hornfels</td>
<td>Found on the hill (?hornfels); ‘slate’ (Bleek, 1956:305)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!koulkouton</td>
<td>LL.V.21.5639'</td>
<td>‘Flat stones’, ?slate or shale</td>
<td>“Like slates” ; used for sharpening arrows</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!karu</td>
<td>LL.V.22.5574</td>
<td>Magnetite; copper sulphate (purple copper ore)</td>
<td>In response to samples shown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doro-ka-!kauokǝn</td>
<td>LL.V.22.5574</td>
<td>Lit. ‘tinderbox-stones’ ?Agates; ?fibrous silica</td>
<td>?strike-a-lights. In response to samples shown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toi-!xoa-ka-!kaugǝn</td>
<td>LL.V.22.5574</td>
<td>Lit. ‘Ostrich stomach’s stones’, agates, chalcedonies</td>
<td>From Diamond Drift and Free State. ?gizzard stones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koro-!urru-!ka</td>
<td>LL.V.3.4155'</td>
<td>Jackal-?rectum -?to be stiff/?bone</td>
<td>Red stone; !ka = ?ka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!kui</td>
<td>LL.IV.4.3896'</td>
<td>Bui-klip (Afr. bui, squall, storm, caprice, fit)</td>
<td>Used in arrow manufacture, heat-treated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!kurru</td>
<td>LL.IV.1.3481</td>
<td>Quartz, ‘flints’</td>
<td>White or red stones. Word also meant ‘arrowhead/knife’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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