

# **Fuel Demand on UK roads and dieselisation of fuel economy: 1978-2005**

**David Bonilla**

\* Address for correspondence: David Bonilla, Research Fellow, Oxford University Centre for the Environment, Transport Studies Unit, and St. Anne's College, Oxford University, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QY , UK, tel: +44 01865 285545, email address: david.bonilla@ouce.ox.ac.uk

## **Acknowledgements:**

We thank Dr. Lee Schipper for organising this special issue following the workshop new Energy Indicators for transport the way forward held at the IEA In Paris on the 28-29<sup>th</sup> 2008.

Because of concerns with high oil prices, and climate change policy, Governments are now seeking ways to improve new car fuel economy thus contributing to air quality and energy security. Recent trends in fuel economy show improvement since 1995, however, efforts need to go further if the EU Voluntary Agreement targets on CO<sub>2</sub> (a Greenhouse Gas emission standard) are to be achieved. Trends show car diesel sales have accelerated rapidly and that the advantage of new car fuel economy of diesel cars over gasoline ones is narrowing posing a new challenge. We estimate the demand for new car fuel economy in the UK for the period 1978-2004. In the long run consumers buy fuel economy, in the short run this is not the case. We found that long term income and price changes were the main drivers to achieve improvements particularly for gasoline cars and that there is no break in the trend of fuel economy induced by the Agreement adopted in the 1990s. We found the demand for fuel economy was price inelastic in the short and long run. Our calculated long run income elasticity (gasoline: -0.470) values are above the range of international studies for gasoline.

Date of receipt of manuscript: August 2008

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we review recent impacts of the car market on fuel consumption and on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions on road and of the new car market. We estimate the price and income elasticity of demand for fuel economy (litres per 100 km) of new UK cars using a two-stage econometric model following Engle and Granger (1987) to investigate the drivers of this demand in the short and long run for the period 1978-2004.<sup>1</sup> We estimate how fuel economy of new cars (excluding data for sport utility vehicles or SUV's or 4x4s vehicles) is affected by: (1) fuel price changes; (2) increases in personal incomes; and (3) the introduction of the EU Voluntary Agreements (standard) with car manufacturers for reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per kilometer (Km). This paper reviews time-series data for the UK to disentangle these different effects on fuel economy for vehicles on UK roads. It is hoped that this will inform the debate on the combination of measures needed to improve fuel economy and to reduce national fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from UK road transport. Fuel prices have increased by roughly 60% in 2002 to 2008 adding weight to policies that improve fuel economy. increasing the penetration of diesel is seen as a solution to improve fuel economy but this can bring new problems when trying to mitigate fuel demand.

In the U.K., new car fuel economy (all new car fleet) and on-road fuel economy (of the entire car fleet on the roads) have steadily improved since the late 1970s but the overall energy use of the sector, and emissions of greenhouse gas, have not fallen to the desired degree (Table 1). In Table 1, different measurements of new car fuel economy, which either include or exclude 4x4s are given. New car fuel economy determines, at least partly, on-road fuel economy improvements and future growth in road transport energy demand and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, fuel economy (based on tests) of new cars differs from on-road fuel economy (not based on tests), (the difference is shown in Figure 3) and because of this there is uncertainty in how effective fuel economy changes, and its standards are in mitigating the growth in energy demand of this sector. How quickly on-road fuel economy improves is also dependent on vehicle sales and the rate of turnover of the vehicle stock (how fast old vehicles are replaced by new ones), which is determined by macroeconomic conditions (Greenspan and Cohen, 1996). There is evidence that the gap between the two measures of fuel economy continues to be large, although smaller than in previous decades.

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that we use the European measure of fuel economy in litres per 100 kilometres. Hence, a reduction in the numerical value represents an improvement in fuel economy (fewer litres per 100 km). This is the inverse of the U.S. measure of fuel economy in miles per gallon.

The absence of improved on-road fuel economy is also influenced by driving styles, which lead to higher (or lower) than optimal speeds for fuel economy. Speed is elastic with respect to income according to a Danish study based on large cross sectional evidence (Fosgerau, 2005).

In the UK the 70 mph speed limit on motorways is exceeded by 57% of drivers, and the 30 mph limit on urban roads, is exceeded by 58% of drivers (DfT, 2004). Optimal speed for fuel economy lies between 55-60mph (Plowden and Hillman, 1996) or at 62mph (Anable *et al.* 2006 based on EU Commission data). Not driving at the optimum speed on the most frequently used roads increases fuel consumption unnecessarily, especially as urban roads, motorways and minor urban roads account for almost 60% of the total distance driven, (TSGB, 2007). A great majority of vehicles are driven much faster than the speed that minimises fuel consumption. For example, a data sample on car speed for 2005 on motorways (DfT, Transport Statistics Bulletin, 2005) showed that 73% of drivers drive above the optimum speed; this level has not declined since 1995. Therefore, driving a car at non optimum speed is the key factor in raising actual fuel consumption and in worsening on-road fuel economy (more litres per km driven). Two factors highlight the importance of speed.

It is evident that car speed levels and fuel consumption are now increasingly becoming the focus of energy policy design. For example, in 2005 the IEA (IEA, 2005) recommended enforcing a speed limit of 56mph on EU motorways as a measure to save oil consumption. Therefore, to not drive at optimal speed negates improvements in on-road fuel economy and leads to higher fuel use. The role of speed in worsening fuel economy requires further investigation.

Despite improvements in new car fuel economy in the UK since 1970 and the adoption of the EU Voluntary Agreements in 1998, energy demand and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from private cars had not abated by 2004 because of the high growth in Km driven (vkm) partly supported by increased dieselization and a larger vehicle stock. Such emissions have remained constant within the last 15 years, although they did increase rapidly over the previous decades. Emissions have not fallen as much as was hoped although carbon emissions (diesel and gasoline) have increased below the growth in the vehicle stock (Table 1).

Figure 1 shows the penetration of diesel in the UK passenger car market. Since diesel cars now account for almost 40% of total annual vehicle sales accurate monitoring of their on road fuel economy and of their mileage is essential. Diesel cars have increased their market share since the voluntary agreement came into force (1998). Since the year 2000 diesel sales have doubled considerably.




Figure 1. Diesel penetration in UK car sales

The UK Dft does not report data on diesel mileage since its survey (National Travel Survey) monitors vehicle counts without recording vehicles by engine type. The report “Transport statistics Great Britain”, nor Transport trends, nor the national travel survey, in their current versions, publish statistics on vehicle use (in vehicle Km) by fuel type or size. Data on mileage is key to explain future trends in motor fuel use.

**Table 1: Trends in Private Car Transport in the UK in 1975-2004**

	1975	1980	1990	1995	2005	% change pa. 1975-2004
Vehicle stock (1000's registrations)	12,526	14,660	19,742	20,505	26,208	+2.49%
Fuel economy new gasoline cars (l/100km) (excludes 4x4s)	–	9.3	8.2	8.1	7.4	
Fuel economy (includes 4x4s; gasoline cars) (L/100km)	-			8.28*	7.50	-
Fuel economy (includes 4x4s; diesel ) (L/100km)	-	-	-	7.10*	6.28	-
On road fuel economy (gasoline equivalent l/100 km; diesel and gasoline)	10.35	10.45	9.66	9.35	9.90	
Vehicle km (billions per year)	182	227	328	351	397.2	+2.6%
Energy consumption (million tonnes )	14.50	17.26	22.46	22.04	22.26	+1.44%
Emissions CO <sub>2</sub> (million tonnes of carbon equivalent)	12.53	15.3	19.3	18.9	19.36	+1.46%
Source: DfT, 2006; Transport Statistics Great Britain, 2006, 2007 and DTI, 2006. * The fuel economy data on 4x4s (SUV's) refers to 1997 not 1995. Fuel economy measures are not directly comparable because methodologies have changed. Vehicle km data excludes traffic of taxis.						

However, the UK government expects that recent policy measures, including graduated fuel duty and Voluntary Agreements, will reduce fuel use of road vehicles by 6% by 2010 (Secretary of State for the Environment, 2006).<sup>2</sup> Improving vehicle fuel economy does not

<sup>2</sup> Energy use in road transport in the last 30 years is explained mainly by two effects: First, UK drivers are now driving longer distances per journey on average (DfT, 2006), and second, the UK vehicle stock has grown strongly (Table 1). The latter has increased as rapidly as kilometres driven per vehicle, hence contributing more to growth in energy use. Energy use in road transport is also determined by improved fuel economy, explaining why total energy use has stayed relatively constant over the last 15 years, despite the increase in distances driven and the vehicle stock.

necessarily reduce other types of air pollutants (carbon monoxide (CO), black smoke (BS), hydrocarbons (HC), and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>)), all of which are of particular concern for health and environmental reasons (UK Department of Health, 1998). Improving fuel economy can worsen environmental pollution, if such improvement is achieved, by increasing dieselisation of the vehicle stock; diesel vehicles emit more particulates than gasoline vehicles.

The model and results presented in this paper enable a detailed examination of trends and policies that affect new car fuel economy, energy consumption and key technological characteristics, which determine fuel economy and pollution emission rates. Similar models are described in Johnstone (1995).<sup>3</sup>

The paper is structured as follows: Sections 2 and 3 contain a definition of fuel economy and describes the annual trends in travelled distance, the trend in CO<sub>2</sub>/km driven of passenger vehicles for both new cars and used vehicles, as well as a discussion on new car fuel economy regulation; Section 4 discusses a literature review; Section 5 gives an overview of the entire model; Sections 5 and 6 describe the two stage co-integration equation of fuel economy and econometric results in the analysis of automotive fuel economy. Section 7 concludes.

## **2. Mileage, vehicle use and vehicle size**

Table 1 shows the changes in vehicle kms or vehicle use. Vkms traveled by personal transport has increased from 227 (billion vkms) to 397.2 bn. vkms in 2005 (excludes taxis). The increase in vkms outpaces that of the vehicle stock (measured in vehicle registrations) in the same period. The increase in vkms shows two developments: it is larger than the increase in personal disposable income and (2) it tracks the increased dieselization of the UK's vehicle fleet, and the increase in sales of more powerful vehicles. For instance, in 2003 diesel cars were driven on average 21,082 km annually against 14,000 Km for gasoline fleet (DfT, 2003). This translates to a 50% difference relative to recorded mileage of gasoline cars. This

---

<sup>3</sup> Johnstone, N. Modelling Passenger Demand, Energy Consumption and Pollution, Emissions in the Transport Sector, *Department of Applied Economics, University of Cambridge, Working Papers Amalgamated Series* (1995). For a model description of the Cambridge Multisectoral Dynamic Model (MDM) of the United Kingdom economy; see: Barker and Peterson (1987).

is confirmed by Schipper (2007) who finds that diesels are driven more than gasoline cars in Germany and France. Mileage is also correlated to vehicle size. For example, in 1996, just before the EU voluntary agreement was institutionalised, there were 1.7 million cars classed as efficient (below 1000 cc). This figure has fallen to 1.1 million cars by 2006. In the meantime, powerful cars circulating in UK roads (2000 cc-2500 cc) increased to 9 million in 2006 from 1.1 million in 1996. Another development is that of vehicle power rating which has increased by approximately 7% (weighted average engine power using data on the UK's car stock) in 1996-06 (DfT, 2007, Table 9.3) whilst new car fuel economy (both fuels, new car fleet average) has only improved by 10% in 1995-2005 (DfT, 2007, Table 9.3). It remains to be seen how the current economic downturn in the UK affects new car fuel economy and vehicle power. The increase in vehicle power goes hand in hand with consumer preferences for more powerful vehicles.

### **3. Historical data on UK fuel economy and fuel demand**

Fuel economy data for the new car fleet (weighted by registrations), which we use in our study, was collected from DfT in its TSGB (2006). This source, in turn, uses data on fuel economy (based on tests) collected by the Vehicle Certification Agency (VCA). The EU directive 80/1268/EEC describes these tests. The tests, determined by various EU directives, have been changed over a number of years to more accurately reflect the driving cycle.


Figure 2 shows strong price response (UK pence/litre) (DTI, 2006) of fuel economy (litres/100 km) for gasoline cars (TSGB, 2006) and of on-road fuel economy (both gasoline and diesel; diesel is converted to gasoline at energy equivalent 1.12 gasoline litres per litre of diesel) for the UK economy in the period 1978-2005.<sup>4</sup> Figure 1

---

<sup>4</sup> Official statistics prior to 2007 on new car fuel economy exclude four wheel drive vehicles, but sales of four wheel drives cars have historically increased, and so true fuel economy level may be higher (more litres per km) than estimated. Using data of TSGB (2006) on total fuel (gasoline) consumption per year (passenger cars only) our calculations show a gap between on-road fuel economy and new car fuel economy of gasoline vehicles of 7.1% (2005) and of 10% (1980). Using average fuel consumption data of TSGB (2007) (recorded by the National Travel Survey), and the latest data on new car fuel economy (which includes 4x4s figures) the gap is 18.6% for gasoline and of 13% for

shows two developments. First, on-road fuel economy in 2005 is not better than in 1988 (less litres per km). Second, in 2005 the gap between on-road and new car fuel economy (gasoline) is 32% (9.9/7.5) or 24% less fuel of new against fleet. In 1990 new cars consumed 15% less fuel than fleet. Figures 2 and 2.1 are based on data on national fuel use and on distance driven for the UK.

New car fuel economy responded negatively (less gasoline consumed per kilometre) to higher gasoline prices during the 1980s (Figures 2 and 2.1). The second round of high gasoline price increases (1999-2000) did not lead to equally large adjustments in fuel economy compared to the first two rounds (1979-81 and 1984).



**Figure 2. On road fuel economy (gasoline equivalent) (diesel and gasoline), New car fuel economy and gasoline price on the z axis.**

In the U.K., new car fuel economy and on-road fuel economy (of the entire car fleet) have steadily improved since the late 1970s despite long periods of low real gasoline prices, excluding brief periods of price peaks in the early 1970s, 1980s and in early 2000 (Table 1).

Figure 2 shows that on-road fuel economy (gasoline and diesel fuels) has peaked two times during the 70s and 80s. During 1978-1983 large investments were directed at both domestic and imported cars with a better fuel economy (Rice and Frater, 1988, p. 95), which after a time lag improves on-road fuel economy (Figure 2).<sup>5</sup> By 1985 on-road fuel economy

diesel cars for 2005.

<sup>5</sup> In this paper we do not explicitly account for technology diffusion. It is probable that imports of Japanese, Italian and French vehicles, which are more fuel efficient, in the 70s and early 80s



improved significantly. Since the mid-80s improvements in on-road fuel economy have continued, however, the trend shows that improvements have slowed down significantly by the year 2000. A possible reason for the lack of improvement is the “rebound” effect, which implies that as fuel economy improves the cost per km declines encouraging further vehicle use (see, for example, 4CMR (2006), Small and Van Dender (2006) for the empirical treatment and definition of this issue).


Schipper and Tax (1994) give five reasons to explain why fuel economy on test will differ from on-road fuel economy: first the formulae used to represent the real driving cycle from road test data; second, actual conditions on all parts of the cycle (hills, weather, road curvature and road surface); third, driver behaviour, which usually increases fuel consumption; fourth, lack of vehicle maintenance; fifth, test values fail to represent cars actually sold. This is because: (1) cars tested are optimized for testing, and (2) contain more fuel intensive features (larger engines, turbocharging, etc), which is not shown in the tests or sales weightings (Schipper and Tax, 1994, p. 261).

Figure 2.1 depicts the changes in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per kilometer driven during 1995 to 2004 for new gasoline and diesel cars. The figure shows that although diesel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are generally lower than gasoline for most of the period. The trends also show that the advantage of diesels over gasoline cars is being narrowed. For example, in 1997 diesel emissions match those of gasoline in 1997-98. Figure 2.1 shows that the ratio of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per distance travelled (of average new cars) has declined since 1995, with diesel cars in particular showing steeper reductions in emissions per kilometer driven.

New car fuel economy in the late 80s and early 90s was affected by: (a) a change in vehicle test cycle, which meant that official fuel economy figures were artificially inflated, (b) a focus on air quality meant that cars became heavier, and (c) engineering capability was diverted away from CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

---


significantly improved (fleet wide) fuel economy of UK vehicles.



**Figure 2.1. New car carbon dioxide emissions per distance driven (new car market average)**

The absence of fuel economy standards coupled with low gasoline prices during 1987-93 meant that new car fuel economy improvements were partially reversed during that period. The new car fuel economy level for 1993 remained at the same level as for 1983. After 1993, improvements in new car fuel economy began to appear. This was a result of policy developments, including the linking of company car tax to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the introduction of vehicle excise duty based on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and of Voluntary Agreements based on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions (discussed below), together with gasoline price changes (partly driven by the fuel duty escalator policy) and income effects.

Total fuel demand for passenger cars in 2005 (gasoline and diesel) accounted for 38% of total UK oil consumption. Total fuel demand (Figure 3) has shown a large increase since the 70s to 2005.



This demand (Figure 3) grew significantly in the 1980s and 1990s but has recently reached a plateau, albeit at a record historical level. The demand for fuel, and hence CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, from the domestic transport sector is expected to level out and fall by 2020, because of saturation effects and further policy measures (DTI UEP, 2006).

#### 4. The History of Vehicle Fuel Economy Regulation 1970-2005 in the UK

Following the oil price shocks of the mid-1970s, various policy packages have been deployed to improve fuel economy of the new car fleet. Table 2 shows a short summary of the policies that have been deployed. An innovative policy (not listed below) could be the inclusion of passenger transport in the EU Emissions Trading Scheme by 2012. other measures include tax relief based on engine size and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, R &D incentives for technologies and biofuels (Renewables Fuel Obligation)


**Table 2: Policy targeting fuel economy improvement**

Year introduced	Policy, Description	Success
1978-85	Voluntary target: 10% decrease in fuel consumption	Target met
1993	Fuel duty (tax on final price in fuel	Partial
1995	EU Voluntary Agreement target: 25% decrease in CO <sub>2</sub> per KM driven)	Partial
	Vehicle excise duty (based on CO <sub>2</sub> emissions)	Partial
2012	EU Mandatory Agreement	Unknown

Falling oil prices and the robust deregulatory Government policy of the 1980s reduced concerns by policy makers in fuel economy. However, in the 90s concerns about CO<sub>2</sub> emissions led to renewed political interest. In 1993, a fuel duty escalator was introduced, but in 2000 it was frozen so that it could not increase with the real rate of inflation. In 2007, however, the fuel duty was increasing faster than inflation and the fuel duty was raised by 2p/litre in October 2007. (Guardian, Oct. 10, 2007).

The main policy measure to reduce vehicle CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is now the UK's participation in the European Union Voluntary Agreements to stimulate technical improvements in vehicle efficiency. In the late 1990s, the European Commission secured voluntary agreements with European (ACEA), Japanese (JAMA) and Korean (KAMA) car manufacturers to reduce new car CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to 140 gCO<sub>2</sub>/km between 1998 and 2008/09. This represents a cut of 25% on the 1995 levels. The 140 gCO<sub>2</sub>/km target is a sales-weighted average to be met at a European level by each motor manufacturing association. The UK, which started from a level above the European average position (mainly due to the lower level of diesel use in the UK) is likely to be one of the countries with a higher average emissions per kilometre. The UK Government's central forecast for new cars in the UK was 162gCO<sub>2</sub>/km for 2008. In February 2007, the European Commission published a communication which proposed a mandatory new car fuel efficiency target of 130 gCO<sub>2</sub> by 2012. Legislative proposals were expected by the end of 2007. The possibility of including road transport in the EU emissions trading system was also under consideration.

Figure 4 shows on-road CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per kilometre for the entire vehicle stock, (emissions are calculated by using data on fuel consumption and actual km-driven) and CO<sub>2</sub> for new cars (weighted average of gasoline and diesel). The gap has narrowed in recent years but continues to be large. In 2005 the gap between on-road CO<sub>2</sub> per kilometre and that of new cars was 5.1% compared to 9.8% in 1980.



**Figure 4. on-road CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and new car emissions (gasoline-diesel)**

Emissions per km of new cars include assumptions on average vehicle speed and follow the national atmospheric emissions inventory maintained by NETCEN on behalf of DEFRA and are based on equations from the Transport Research Laboratory which link emissions factors to vehicle speed (TSGB, 2005, p. 46).

Data shows that the trend in actual emissions (grams of CO<sub>2</sub>/km driven) initially widens from that of new car emissions between 1978-1992 but after 1992 the gap in emissions narrows. The slope in emissions of new cars (and that of on-road vehicle fleet) shifts downwards after the accelerated introduction of diesel cars during the early 1990s.<sup>6</sup> The rate of decline in fuel economy (in terms of CO<sub>2</sub>/km) of new UK vehicles is so far insufficient to achieve the 2008 target of 140 g CO<sub>2</sub>/km given in the EU Agreement.<sup>7</sup> As of 2006, new car fuel economy stands at 167.7 g CO<sub>2</sub>/km, which would imply an unrealistic annual reduction of around 14 g CO<sub>2</sub>/km to reach the 2008 target. In 2007 the UK's DfT had revised its fuel economy data so that it included 4x4s (SUV's) by fuel type, however, the data does not cover fuel economy on SUV's prior to

<sup>6</sup> Data for kilometres driven and for fuel efficiency of new cars (DfT, 2006, Table 2.8 ). Data on vehicle stock from DfT (2006).

<sup>7</sup> Other main policy measures to reduce the ratio of CO<sub>2</sub>/km driven include the Fuel Duty Escalator (to 1999), the Graduated Vehicle Excise Duty (now based on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from £0 for Band A to £220/yr for Band G), and the Company Car Tax (now also based on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions). In addition, a Renewables Transport Fuel Obligation will be introduced from 2008/09 for an annual increase of the proportion of fuels to be renewable (bio) fuels. The UK Government assumes that the carbon emitted from burning biofuel is equal to the carbon absorbed by the atmosphere by the crop as it grows. Indirect CO<sub>2</sub> and other emissions may not fall, but increase, when vehicles are powered by biofuels.

1997.

## **5. Literature review on fuel economy and gasoline demand**

The following list of studies on fuel economy is far from complete, readers can refer to Bonilla and Foxon (2007) who summarise a large number of fuel economy studies. Among the most prominent studies are the following ones. Three studies, which focus on the OECD region (Small-Van Dender (2006); Zachariadis and Clerides (2006); Johansson-Schipper, 1997), report widely different elasticities ranging from -0.01 to -0.6. The Johansson-Schipper study is the first study that examines on road fuel economy for the OECD. An important study is by Baltagi and Griffin (1983), using various econometric estimators, who find wide price elasticity estimates ranging from -0.08 to -0.17 (lag distribution model) and -0.64 to -0.92 (various estimators). Baltagi and Griffin also find widely varying income elasticities: 0.61 to 0.84.

Many studies give typically wide variations of price elasticity of fuel economy because of differences in functional form, period of estimation and estimation technique. However, few studies on new car fuel economy, have used the error correction (ECM) framework, which covers an entire vehicle market. Second, studies give inadequate attention to fuel economy and to models of fuel economy explicitly (Graham and Glaister, 2002). Third, unlike Witt (1997) and Greene (1990), who examine selected car makes, our model includes data on aggregate fuel economy. To our knowledge, most studies have not used the co-integration technique to estimate fuel economy of gasoline using short and long run models.

However, the co-integration methods, with or without ECM have been applied by Bentzen (1994); Samimi (1995); Elton and Al-Mutairi; (1995) and Ramanathan (1999) for the purpose of estimating gasoline demand. The Ramanathan and Bentzen studies use the ECM within a co-integration approach. The approach has also been applied in a vector ECM framework, for the analysis of energy consumption (Masih, 1997).

## **6. Overview of the two stage error correction model**

In the main model, aggregate fuel economy (of all new cars) is estimated over the historical period on the basis of co-integrated equations to establish if there is a long run relationship between macroeconomic variables and fuel economy. The ECM method, as

reported in Alogoskoufis and Smith (1991), involves reparameterisation of dynamic linear regression models in terms of differences and levels.

Fuel economy equations, are specified in technological terms, but are integrated with behavioural (consumer demand for fuel economy, personal income) and institutional responses (voluntary emission reductions and other measures). Fuel economy is linked to the economic functions described below.

## 6.1 Estimating fuel economy of new cars in the UK

In this section we define our econometric model of new car fuel economy. The model is estimated using time-series data from 1978-2003 to capture the price and income elasticities of fuel economy for UK cars (see Appendix for data sources). Our models capture consumer preferences via purchases of higher or lower new car fuel economy, resulting from sales of larger or smaller vehicles.

We use the Engel and Granger (1987) error-correction mechanism (ECM) model. The two stage procedure that we use here is suggested by Hall (1986) and Engle and Granger (1987). The procedure involves a long run and a short run treatment of fuel economy. In this formulation, the residual of the long run equation, for fuel economy, in (1) gives the ECM term. The ECM term is then used in the short run equation (2) as an explanatory variable, with its coefficient representing the speed of adjustment towards the long-run trends. The long run equation is given in levels and the short run is defined in first differences. Equations (1) and (2) are applied to new car fuel economy of diesel engines and of gasoline engines respectively.

The choice of explanatory variables of our model follows other studies.<sup>8</sup> The long run fuel economy for gasoline and diesel new vehicles is estimated using the following equations:

$$\ln FE_{i,t} = \beta_{0,i} + \beta_{1,i} \ln RPD I_t + \beta_{2,i} \ln PFU_{i,t-1} + \beta_{3,i} \ln STA_{i,t} + ECM_t \quad (1)$$

---

<sup>8</sup> Sterner and Dahl (1992); Small-van Dender (2006) and Zachariadis and Clerides (2006).

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \ln FE_{i,t} = & b_1 + b_2 \Delta \ln (PFU_{i,t}) + b_3 \Delta \ln (FE_{i,t-1}) + b_4 \Delta \ln (RPDI_t) \\ & - \phi (\ln FE_{i,t-1} - \beta_0 - \beta_1 \ln RPDI_{t-1} - \beta_2 \ln PFU_{i,t-2} - \beta_3 STA_{t-1}) \\ & + \varepsilon_t\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

Where,

$$\Delta \ln (FE_{i,t}) = \ln FE_{i,t} - \ln FE_{i,t-1}\quad (3)$$

And the rest of  $X_k$  variables are transformed similarly,

$$\Delta \ln (X_{k,t}) = \ln X_{k,t} - \ln X_{k,t-1}\quad (4)$$

Where,

$\Delta$  = first differences of the natural logs;  
 FE = fuel economy of new cohort of fuel  $i$  in year  $t$ . (l/100km)<sup>9</sup>;  
 RPDI = real personal disposable income (000's £);  
 PFU<sub>it</sub> = price of fuel  $i$  in year  $t$  (UKp./liter);  
 STA = fuel economy standard (dummy variable);  
 ECM = error correction term;  
 $i$  = fuel type (gasoline or diesel);  
 $\ln$  = natural logarithms.

And coefficients,

$\phi$  = coefficient of the ECM or speed of adjustment of new car fuel economy;  
 $b_{3,i}$  = desired fuel economy from period  $t$  to period  $t-1$ ;  
 $b_i$  = coefficients to estimate;  
 $\varepsilon_t$  = residual error;  
 $\beta_{1,i}$  = coefficients to estimate;  
 $\phi_{t-1}$  = coefficient for error correction term with one year lag.

The dummy variable (STA) was set up as an interaction dummy (1970-95=0, 1995-2003=fuel economy value) variable for gasoline fuels. The dummy should capture if the Voluntary Agreement, introduced in 1995 to meet 140g. CO<sub>2</sub>/km by 2008, reduced the ratio of litres of fuel consumed per km driven. In (Equations 1 and 2) two dynamic effects were introduced: past fuel economy and past fuel prices. Because car manufacturers need time to adjust vehicle engines to a higher fuel economy, a term for past fuel price was introduced.

---

<sup>9</sup> Fuel economy data of new cars was registration weighted. The figure was obtained by grouping the models in the official new car fuel consumption list into 100cc engine size bands (DfT, 2006, p.48)



Hence, long run fuel economy, with all variables in logs, was estimated as a function of real personal disposable income, a lagged gasoline price and a dummy variable. The time (observation) specific dummy must also capture the effects on new car fuel economy such as: (a) the ownership tax imposed annually since 1997 (based on six bands according to carbon emissions); and (b) the EU Voluntary Agreement on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of cars. In (Equation 1) it is not possible to capture explicitly the changes in the mix of vehicles in the fleet (although new car fuel economy data should partly reflect such changes), nor is it possible to capture increases in weight of vehicles resulting from safety legislation.

The fuel economy variable was transformed by taking differences in annual data which allowed the model to capture the short run response of the fuel economy. In equation (2) changes in fuel economy were spurred by changes in gasoline price, real personal disposable income and past fuel economy. Hence this model is dynamic. Equation (2) uses the residuals from the long-run equation,  $ECM_{t-1}$ , which serves to force the short-run variations back to the long-term trend; this equation relates changes in fuel economy as a function of explanatory variables and a disequilibrium error captured by the ECM term. Values for ECM are estimated in the long run equation (1). Equation (2) shows the estimated ECM coefficient,  $\phi$ , representing the speed of adjustment towards the long run trends.

Equation 2 can also be seen as a model using growth rates in the right and left hand variables following transformation of the variables.

## 6.2 Stationarity and Co-integration Tests

To establish if co-integration applies to models (1) and (2) tests were performed for unit roots and co-integration. Unit roots (using Dickey and Fuller criterion; Dickey and Fuller, 1981) tests were performed for each series in (1) and (2) with a univariate basis for both fuels. Dickey and Fuller tests do not show stationarity for all variables in levels (hence unit roots are present). After first differencing, the same variables in (1), tests only show stationarity, I (1), for the series of personal income (with and without a lag) while tests are indeterminate for the other variables. In the multivariate case, the Engle Granger ADF test was also performed on the residuals of equation (2). The Engle Granger, and the ADF test, show stationarity (I (0) in the residuals of (2) with a significant t-statistic of -4.75 (at 8.1% probability). This test was performed on a model (with first differences and in natural logs) containing fuel economy, personal disposable income, past fuel

price and past fuel economy and the ECM parameter, as in (2).<sup>10</sup>

Using the analogous variables the Engle Granger ADF test for diesel gave a t-statistic of 3.93 (significant at 15% probability level) without lags and a t-statistic of 2.64 (one lag). These tests were indeterminate in ascertaining stationarity. Single series tests were also weak for stationarity.

The  $\beta$ 's of (1) and (2) represent the elasticities of fuel economy with respect to the explanatory variables. The use of these equations allowed distinctions to be made between short- and long-run changes in fuel economy.

## **7. Results**

In this section we present the results of our econometric model for gasoline and market average fuel economy for the entire UK new car market. The values for the relevant coefficients can be taken as the short run and long run fuel price elasticity of fuel economy. Econometric results are tabulated in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. These results assume symmetric responses of fuel economy to price changes and to the other independent variables.

### **7.1 Fuel economy of gasoline vehicles**

In the long run equation, in (1), the most significant and strongest effects on new car fuel economy are income and gasoline price (estimate of -0.47; and -0.39 of Table 3). This means that consumers buy fuel economy in the long run. Both coefficients show plausible ranges and both are highly statistically significant at more than 1% probability. The coefficient shows a low speed of adjustment showing that, in the first year, 5% of the adjustment occurred towards the long-run solution,

For consumers with higher incomes, it appears that fuel economy is negatively associated with income in the long run; this effect is significant at less than 10% (Table 3). A 10% increase in income was linked to a 47% decrease in litres per 100 kilometres of fuel economy. This indicated that higher incomes allow consumers, over time, to buy more fuel efficient vehicles. The opposite result, however, would be expected if consumers were to shift to larger cars: higher incomes increase consumption per kilometre as shown by the short run model in Table 4.

Likewise price effects behaved similarly in the long run (Table 3). The response of

---

<sup>10</sup> Time Series Processor (version 4) and Oxmetrics (version 4) were used to estimate Engle Granger tests and the ADF tests. Full results are available from authors.

fuel economy was negative with an elasticity of -0.39: price increases improved fuel economy (less litres per km). This result shows that new car fuel economy reacts to the standard but by a small margin. Fuel economy movements respond mainly to the influence of income followed by fuel price and to a markedly less extent to the dummy.

There was also a small negative, and statistically significant, dummy effect (Voluntary Agreement) on fuel economy, in the long run equation. The dummy coefficient should capture (in addition to the Voluntary Agreement) the graduated Vehicle excise tax, and company car tax measures in the pre-1995 and post-1995 periods. In that model fuel economy improved as fuel prices increased and as the above policies were introduced. However, the effect of our dummy was much less than that of price.

One difficulty in gauging the effect of the dummy on fuel economy is that both price and the Voluntary Agreement appeared at the same time, in fact fuel prices began to increase around the middle of the 90s. Other events blurring the effect on fuel economy included shifts in consumer taste favouring larger vehicles.

**Table 3: Long run Equation Coefficients For Fuel Economy (gasoline)**

Variable	Probability values (Of t-ratios)	Estimated Coefficients	Rsq.=0.38; Obs=25
INTERCEPT	0.001	9.93	
RPDI	0.005	-0.475	
PFU	0.029	-0.393	
STAN (Dummy)	0.031	-0.183	

Note: Estimated using Oxmetrics V.4. Programs routines from courtesy of Cambridge Econometrics. Period of observation: 1978-2003.

**Table 4: Short Run Coefficients For Co-integrating Fuel Economy Equations (gasoline)**

Variable	Probability values: (Of t-ratios)	Estimated Coefficients	Rsq.= -0.10 Obs= 25
INTERCEPT	-0.111	-0.04	
RPDI	0.176	1.31	
PFU	0.704	-0.22	
FE(-1)	0.776	-0.10	
ECM(-1)	1	0.05	

Note: See equations 1 and 2 for definitions of variables shown at the head of each row.

---

All equations are estimated in Oxmetrics. Estimated using Oxmetrics V.4. Period of observation: 1978-2003 with lag terms.

In the short run model (as in the long run model), Table 4, the coefficient on price was smaller than that of income. This shows that price is a worse predictor of fuel economy in the short run model. The coefficient on past fuel economy ( $FE_{(t-1)}$ ), in first differences, was statistically insignificant and negative: past fuel economy decreases today's fuel economy (fewer litres per km). Gately (1990) (with an estimate of 0.78) and Small-Van-Dender (2006) (with an estimate of 0.81) report positive responses to past fuel economy. In the short run consumers do not buy fuel economy since they prefer larger and more powerful cars, this explains the positive income coefficient (Table 4).

Our estimated (long run) price elasticities of fuel economy are within the range of values reported in the literature for the UK, OECD, US and other countries. One reason for our slightly higher elasticities (Tables 3 to 4) is that we use cost per litre of fuels while other authors, examining the U.S. (Gately (1990), Greene, 1990; and small-Van Dender, 2006), use cost per mile, to estimate price effects on fuel economy.<sup>11</sup> Second, in comparison to other studies we examined more periods of considerable gasoline price volatility. For instance, prices were volatile in the 1999-2003 period. Third in the case of income responses of fuel economy, unlike other studies, we included real personal disposable income instead of per capita income, the latter being commonly used to obtain income elasticity of fuel economy. Dahl (1995, pp. 16) found an income elasticity of -0.21 (long run) using evidence from eight studies and so our estimates were close to the consensus. The elasticities of new car fuel economy (gasoline) are in the range of those of diesel cars as Bonilla and Foxon (2007) found.

Our calculated long run income elasticity (gasoline with -0.47) values are above the range of international studies for gasoline. The negative sign on income elasticity (Table 3) is

---

<sup>11</sup> Our price elasticity estimates are below those (upper bound) of Johansson and Schipper, (1997) for fleet fuel economy using different data and technique, but lie in the range of Gately (1990). Our estimates are below those of Atkinson and Halvorsen (1984), Their data, however, is marked by high gasoline prices hence its high price response. Sweeney (1979) finds a lower price elasticity with data of 1957-1974, a period of largely low gasoline prices. Zachariadis and Clerides (2006) report slightly higher elasticities than our results. In a meta analysis a similar price response of fuel economy is found: Brons et al. (2007) estimate a fuel economy elasticity of 0.31 with respect to a change in fuel price using data on 43 primary studies with 312 elasticity observations.

confirmed by Zachariadis and Clerides (2006); Dahl (1995) and Small and Van Dender (2005) and Johansson and Schipper (1997). The models give inelastic responses of fuel economy to price and income.<sup>12</sup>

Interestingly the short run behaviour of fuel economy, in (2), shows the changes in the profile of car purchases in terms of higher or lower fuel economy. Such changes occur from year to year in the car market. However, the long run behaviour, in (1), captures technological change in vehicle engines partly driven by price and income effects, since such changes require many years to emerge.

Models, for the long and short run, show that fuel demand per kilometre driven, of private vehicles, is price inelastic (Tables 3, 4 ), implying that fuel consumption per km will fall less than proportionately to changes in fuel prices. One possible reason for this inelastic response to price is that once fuel efficient technology is introduced fuel price sensitivity is diminished.

## **8. Conclusion**

In the early 90s and in 2005 on-road fuel economy and that of new cars continues to significantly diverge wasting motor fuel. This gap has barely fallen in 2005. Among the reasons for this gap are driving at less than optimal speed, consumer choice for larger vehicles, fuel switching to diesel, increase in market share of larger and more powerful cars, among other reasons. On-road fuel economy in 2005 is not better than in 1988 (less litres per km). In 2005 the gap between on-road and new car fuel economy (gasoline) is 24% less fuel of new against fleet. In 1990 new cars consumed 15% less fuel than fleet. In contrast to actual fuel economy the new car fuel economy has improved but this improvement is insufficient for meeting the EU voluntary Agreement of which the UK is signatory. In all likelihood the UK will fail to meet the targets of the Agreement. As a result fuel demand will increase more than it needs to. The highly popular diesel cars increase mileage and thus national fuel consumption. A possible weakness of relying on diesel cars to meet the Voluntary Agreement is that diesel cars are driven more than

---

<sup>12</sup> Please note that our estimated price and income elasticity are based on data from periods of high gasoline price (late 1970s early 1980s) and low gasoline price (early 1980s and 1990s), explaining our different estimates, compared to other literature. Our data set is largely dominated by the low energy price period.

gasoline ones.

Our models of fuel economy capture consumer preferences for higher or lower new car fuel economy. *Average* fuel economy of new cars was found to be (1) inversely linked to gasoline price and incomes and (2) to be more sensitive to gasoline price changes than to the introduction of the EU agreement. For gasoline fuels, our models show that there is a long-run relationship among fuel economy, real fuel prices, real personal disposable income and the presence of the fuel economy standard. The long run gasoline equation, in contrast to the short run, shows that consumers will buy fuel economy. Short-run responses, for gasoline show that at higher incomes, consumers will buy higher fuel intensity rather than fuel economy. Similarly, in the short-run, there is inertia between past fuel economy and current fuel economy for both fuels.

Interestingly the short-run model of fuel economy captures the changes in the profile of car purchases in terms of higher or lower fuel economy. While the long-run model captures technological change in vehicle engines partly driven by price and income effects, since such changes require many years to emerge.

Improvements in new car fuel economy and in the on-road fuel economy ultimately shape the evolution of energy consumption. How quickly the improvement occurs will depend on car sales and the rate of vehicle stock turnover, which depends on macroeconomic conditions.

## References

- Alogoskoufis, G. and R. Smith (1991): On Error Correction Models: Specification, Interpretation, Estimation. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 5, 97-128
- Anable, j. Mitchell, P., Layberry R. (2006): Getting the Genie back in the bottle: limiting speed to reduce carbon emissions and accelerate the shift to low carbon vehicles. UK Energy Research Center. Paper prepared for the lowCvp Road Transport Challenge.
- Atkinson, and S. E. Halvorsen (1984): A New Hedonic Technique For Estimating Attribute Demand: An Application to The Demand for Automobile Fuel Efficiency. August, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 66, 417-426.
- Baltagi, B. H. and J. Griffin (1983): Gasoline Demand in the OECD: An Application of Pooling and Testing Procedures. *European Economic review*, 22, 117-137
- Barker, T. S. and W. Peterson (eds) (1987): *The Cambridge Multisectoral Dynamic Model of the British Economy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Bentzen, J. (1994): an Empirical Analysis of Gasoline Demand in Denmark Using Cointegration Techniques. *Energy Economics*, 16, 139-142

- Bonilla, D. and Foxon, T. (2007) Estimating the demand for new car fuel economy in the UK 1970-2004 using a two-stage error correction model. Environmental Economy and Policy Research. Discussion Paper Series, Department of Land economy. University of Cambridge.
- Brons, M., Nijkamp, P., Pels E. and Rietveld P. (2007): A meta analysis of the price elasticity of gasoline demand. A SUR approach. *Energy Economics* (in press)
- Cambridge Econometrics Ltd. (CE) Database.
- Cambridge Centre for Climate Change Mitigation Research (4CMR), The Macro-Economic Rebound Effect and the UK Economy. 2006. available in internet at:  
[http://www.defra.gov.uk/science/project\\_data/DocumentLibrary/EE01015/EE01015\\_3554\\_FRP.pdf](http://www.defra.gov.uk/science/project_data/DocumentLibrary/EE01015/EE01015_3554_FRP.pdf)
- Dahl, C (1995): Demand for Transportation Fuels: A Survey of Demand Elasticities and Their Components. *The Journal of Energy Literature*, (I), 2, 3-27
- Department of Health (1998): Quantification of the Effects Of Air Pollution on Health In The United Kingdom, COMEAP, London, HMSO.
- Department of Energy, United Kingdom. *Energy Use and Energy Economy in UK Transport up to the Year 2010* (London: HMSO, 1989).
- Department of the Environment, United Kingdom. *Digest of Environmental Protection and Water Statistics* (London: HMSO, Various Years).
- Department of Trade and Industry (DTI, renamed BERR), UK Energy and Emissions Projections, Updated projections to 2020, July 2006, available at <http://www.dti.gov.uk/files/file31861.pdf>
- Department of Trade and Industry (DTI, renamed BERR), UK Energy and Emissions Projections, Updated projections to 2020, 2007, (Energy White Paper).  
 Available at <http://www.dti.gov.uk/files/file31861.pdf>
- Department of Trade and Industry, United Kingdom. *Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics* (London: HMSO, Various Years).
- Department of Transport, United Kingdom. *Transport Statistics Great Britain* (London: HMSO, Various Years).
- Department of Transport, (DfT) (2003) Car use in GB. Factsheet 7, Jan. 2003. pp 1-4.
- Department of Transport, United Kingdom. *COBA-9 Manual* (London: DOT, 1982). Drollas, L. P. 'The Demand for Gasoline: Further Evidence' in *Energy Economics*, January 1984, pp. 71-82.
- Department for Transport (DfT) (2006): *Reducing new car CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: What should succeed the Voluntary Agreements?*, DfT Consultation Paper, available at  
[http://www.dft.gov.uk/stellent/groups/dft\\_roads/documents/pdf/dft\\_roads\\_pdf\\_612502.pdf](http://www.dft.gov.uk/stellent/groups/dft_roads/documents/pdf/dft_roads_pdf_612502.pdf)
- Department for Transport (DfT) (2004): Speed: Know your limits. Report. p. 1-20. Available at [www.dft.gov.uk](http://www.dft.gov.uk)

- Dickey, D. A. and W. Fuller (1981): Likelihood Ratio Statistic for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root. *Econometrica*, 49, 1057-72
- Eltony, M.N. and N. H. Al-Mutairi (1995): Demand for Gasoline in Kuwait: An Empirical Analysis Using Cointegration Techniques. *Energy Economics* 17, 249-253
- Engle, R. F. and C. W. Granger (1987): Co-integration And Error Correction: Representation, Estimation, and Testing. *Econometrica*, 55, 251-276.
- European Commission Official Journal of the European Communities L, 350 28
- Commission recommendation of 5 February 1999 on the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> from passenger cars (notified under document number c(1999) 107. (Text with EEA relevance). 1999/125/EC
- Espey, M. (1996): Watching the fuel gauge: An International Model of Automobile Fuel Economy. *Energy Economics*, 18, 93-106
- Fosgerau, M. (2005): Speed and Income. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, 39, 225-240
- Gately, D. (1990): The U.S. Demand for Highway Travel and Motor Fuel. *The Energy Journal*, 11, 59-73.
- Graham, D., and S. Glaister (2002): The Demand for Automobile Fuel: A survey of Elasticity. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, 36, 1-26
- Greene, D. L. (1990): CAFE or Price: An Analysis of The Effects of Federal Fuel Economy Regulations and Gasoline Price on New Car MPG, 1978-1989. *Energy Journal*, (11), 37-57
- Greenspan, A. and D. Cohen (1996): Motor Vehicles, Scrappage and Sales. Federal Reserve Board of Governors, August 1996. 1-28
- Guardian (2007): October 10<sup>th</sup>.
- Hall, S.G. (1986): an Application of the Granger and Engle Two Step Estimation Procedure to UK Aggregate Wage Data. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, Special issue, (48), No. 3, 229-41.
- International Energy Agency (IEA) (2005): Saving Oil in a Hurry, Paris; International Energy Agency. pp. 1-121. (review draft)
- Johansson, O. and L. Schipper, (1997): Measuring The Long Run Fuel Demand of Cars: Separate Estimations of Vehicle Stock, Mean Fuel Intensity, and Mean Annual Driving Distance. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, 31, 277-292
- Johnstone, N. (1995): Modelling Passenger Demand, Energy Consumption and Pollution, Emissions in the Transport Sector, Department of Applied Economics, University of Cambridge, Working Papers Amalgamated Series. 1-21
- Masih, A.M., and R. Masih (1997): On The Temporal Causal Relationship Between Energy Consumption, Real Income and Prices: some new evidence from Asian Nics Based on Multivariate Cointegration/Vector Error Correction Approach. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 19, 417-440
- Mellor, A. (1993): *Comparison of New Car and PARC Average Fuel Consumption* (London: DOT Memo 11.11.93.).



- NAEI (National atmospheric emissions inventory) (2003): NETCEN Vehicle Emission Factor Database V02.8.
- Plowden, S. and Hillman M. (1996): Speed Control and Transport Policy, London: Policy Studies Institute. P1-236.
- Ramanathan, R. (1999): Short and Long Run Elasticities of Gasoline Demand in India: an Empirical Analysis Using Cointegration Techniques. *Energy Economics*, 21, 321-330
- Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution (1995, 2000): Eighteenth report: transport and the environment , Oxford: Oxford University press. (paras 12.23-12.26). Also 22nd report: Energy- the Changing Climate, Ch. 6 Reducing Energy Use.
- Rice, P. and P. Frater (1989): The demand for Petrol demand with explicit new car fuel efficiency effects: A UK study 1977- 1986. *Energy Economics*, 11, 95-104
- Rice, P and J. Parkin, (1984): New Car Fuel Consumption 1978-83: A Study of the Official Model Year Car Test Results with Special Reference to the Small Car, Working Paper WP 84, Transport Group, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Imperial College London, November 1984
- Samimi, R. (1995): Road Transport Energy Demand in Australia: a Cointegration Approach. *Energy Economics* (17), 329-339
- Secretary of State for the Environment, “Climate Change, The UK Programme 2006,” Presented to Parliament by the Food and Rural Affairs, by Command of Her Majesty March 2006, CM6764.
- Schipper, L. and W. Tax (1994): New Car Test and Actual Fuel Economy: Yet another gap? *Transport Policy*, 1, 257-265.
- Schipper (2007) Automobile fuel; Economy and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions in Industrialised Countries: Troubling Trends through 2005/6. See also: [Http://www.embarq.wri.org](http://www.embarq.wri.org)
- Society for Motor Manufacturers and Traders (SMMT): UK New Cars Registrations by CO<sub>2</sub> Performance. Report on the 2005 market. April 2006. 1-58
- Small, K. A. and K. Van Dender (2006): Fuel Efficiency and Motor Vehicle Travel: the Declining Rebound effect, UCI Department of Economics. Working Paper 05 06 03
- Sweeney, J (1979): Effects of Federal Policies on Gasoline Consumption. *Resources and Energy*, 2, 3-26
- Sterner, T and C.A. Dahl (1992): Modelling Transport Fuel Demand' in T. Sterner (ed.) *International Energy Economics* (London: Chapman and Hall, 1992).
- UK Ministry of Justice (2005) Motoring offences and Breath Test Statistics. England and Wales, p. 1-53. See also: [www.justice.gov.uk](http://www.justice.gov.uk)
- Wheaton, W.C. (1982): The Long Run Demand Structure of Transportation and Gasoline Demand. *The Bell Journal of Economics*, 13, 439-454

Witt, R. (1997): The Demand for Car Fuel Economy: Some Evidence for the UK. *Applied Economics*, 29, 1249-1254.

Zachariadis, T. and S.Clerides, (2006): The Impact of Standards on Vehicle Fuel Economy: An International Panel Analysis. Working paper, University of Cyprus.

Vehicle Certification Agency (2007): Fuel consumption testing scheme. See also: [VCAcarfueldata.org.uk/information/fuel-consumption-testing-scheme.asp](http://VCAcarfueldata.org.uk/information/fuel-consumption-testing-scheme.asp)

## Appendix

### Data Sources:

Most data is sourced from the UK's Department of Transport (DfT) publication *Transport Statistics Great Britain*. Data is also sourced from the Department of Trade and Industry's (DTI) website.

#### *Real Personal Disposable Income*

1970:2004

Cambridge Econometrics Ltd. Database

#### *- Road transport energy use by vehicle type, split by Derv and petrol*

Table 2.6 Road transport energy use by vehicle type, split by Derv and petrol, 1970 to 2003

1970:2004

Dft ((NETCEN) 2005

DTI website: [DTI.gov.uk](http://DTI.gov.uk),

#### *-Energy consumption of road transport (Tonnes of Oil equivalent)*

1970:2004

TABLE 2.1 Transport energy consumption by Type of Transport and Fuel, 1970 to 2005

DTI (2006)

#### *-Final energy consumption (Tonnes of oil equivalent)*

1970:2004

Table 1.5: Final energy consumption, by fuel, (1) 1970 to 2005

DTI (2006)

#### *-Emission factors of gasoline and diesel cars*

Defra website: [www.defra.gov.uk](http://www.defra.gov.uk)

#### *-Vehicle kilometres (billion per year)*

1970:2004

DfT (2005), Transport Statistics Great Britain (2005), Traffic - data tables

Table 7.1

#### *-Vehicle stock*

1970:2004

TSGB, Table 9.1 Motor vehicles currently licensed: 1950-2007

#### *-Vehicle sales*

1995-2007 Table 11. Cars licensed by propulsion type: 1998-2007. Vehicle licensing statistics, Transport statistics Bulletin, 2007.

The data for new car fuel economy (sales weighted) of both gasoline and diesel engines, and of diesel and of gasoline prices is sourced from:

DfT's Transport Statistics Great Britain (TSGB, 2005, 2006, 2007). Data prior to 1994, for new vehicle fuel economy, was obtained from Mellor (1993). The data for fuel economy is sales (registration) weighted thus avoiding giving undue importance to diesel or gasoline cars in total car sales.

*-Fuel economy (l/100km) of new gasoline and diesel cars  
1970-2004*

TSGB, Table 2.8: Fuel consumption factors for cars and lorries  
For 1978-1980 (see Mellor)

*-Gasoline and Diesel price (Uk p./liter)  
1970-2004*

DTI website, Table 4.13 Typical Retail Prices of Petroleum Products 1970 to 2005, Table 4.1.3 (Department of Trade and Industry (DTI), Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics (DUKES) Prices are deflated using the Cambridge Econometrics database on GDP deflators.

*-NOx (Nitrogen) Emissions*

DEFRA website: [www.defra.gov.uk/environment/envrn/gas](http://www.defra.gov.uk/environment/envrn/gas)

Table 6. *Estimated emissions<sup>1</sup> of nitrogen oxides<sup>2</sup> (NOx) by UNECE source category, type of fuel and end user and for large combustion plants (LCPs): 1970 – 2004.*

*-Population*

Eurostat website: <http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu>

*-Motor Vehicle Power*

Calculated using data from: Table 9.3 Motor vehicles licensed at end of year: by tax class, body type and engine size: 1996-2006. TSGB, 2007