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Transition Metal Catalysis in the Presence of Fluorinating Reagents

In this thesis, the effect of fluorinating reagents on a selection of transition metal-mediated organic transformations was investigated. The first four chapters are focused on gold-catalysed nucleophilic addition processes performed in the presence of “F⁺⁺” sources.

Chapter 1 provides a general introduction to homogeneous gold catalysis and summarises the aims and objectives of the project.

The effect of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82) on the gold-catalysed rearrangement of propargyl acetates 85 is discussed in Chapter 2. α-Fluoroenoones 92 resulting from fluorodeacetylation of an allenyl acetate intermediate were delivered as the major products of these reactions (Scheme i).

By contrast, performing the gold(I)-catalysed cyclisation of allenoates 102 in the presence of Selectfluor (82) led to products of oxidative coupling. The “F⁺⁺” source in these processes most likely acts as an external oxidant in an Au⁺/Au⁺⁺ redox cycle. In Chapter 3, the cascade cyclisation-intramolecular arylation of benzyl-substituted substrates is discussed whilst the extension of the methodology towards intermolecular homocoupling and intermolecular alkynylation is presented in Chapter 4 (Scheme ii).

In Chapter 5, the feasibility of palladium-catalysed allylic [¹⁸F]radiofluorination was investigated using high-specific-activity [¹⁸F]fluoride. This study led to the development of the first transition metal-mediated C-¹⁸F bond-forming process of relevance for the preparation of radiotracers for PET imaging (Scheme iii).

Chapter 6 gives full experimental procedures and characterisation data for all compounds.
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## Abbreviations and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FDG}$</td>
<td>2-Deoxy-2-$[^{18}\text{F}]\text{fluoro-D-glucose}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FDOPA}$</td>
<td>6-$[^{18}\text{F}]\text{fluoro-L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FMISO}$</td>
<td>$[^{18}\text{F}]\text{Fluoromisonidazole}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å</td>
<td>Ångström ($10^{-10}$ metres)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>Acetyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aq</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>Aryl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>Benzyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bq</td>
<td>Bequerel ($s^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu</td>
<td>Butyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cbz</td>
<td>Carboxybenzyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Chemical Ionisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>Cyclohexyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dba</td>
<td>Dibenzylideneacetone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>$N,N$-Dimethylacetamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>$N,N$-Dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>Dimethylsulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dppm</td>
<td>1,1-Bis(diphenylphosphino)methane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dr</td>
<td>Diastereoisomeric Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^0$</td>
<td>Standard Electrode Potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ee</td>
<td>Enantiomeric Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq</td>
<td>Equivalent(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESI</td>
<td>Electrospray Ionisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et</td>
<td>Ethyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eV</td>
<td>Electron Volt(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI</td>
<td>Field Ionisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fmoc</td>
<td>Fluorenlymethyloxycarbonyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Gram(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Hour(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOESY</td>
<td>Heteronuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>High Performance Liquid Chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRMS</td>
<td>High Resolution Mass Spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Propyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMA</td>
<td>Quaternary Methyl Ammonium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCY</td>
<td>Radiochemical Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Retention Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rt</td>
<td>Room Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Specific Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&lt;sub&gt;E&lt;/sub&gt;Ar</td>
<td>Electrophilic Aromatic Substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selectfluor</td>
<td>1-Chloromethyl-4-fluoro-1,4-diaziobicyclo[2.2.2]octane bis(tetrafluoroborate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIPr</td>
<td>1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-ylidene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;Ar</td>
<td>Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su</td>
<td>Succinimidyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Tertiary (tert)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Half-Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAF</td>
<td>Tetra-&lt;i&gt;n&lt;/i&gt;-butylammonium fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBDPS</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;tert&lt;/i&gt;-Butyldiphenylsilyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;tert&lt;/i&gt;-Butyldimethylsilyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-BuBrettphos</td>
<td>2-(Di(&lt;i&gt;tert&lt;/i&gt;-butyl)phosphino)3,6-dimethoxy-2',4',6'-triisopropyl-1,1'-biphenyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tf</td>
<td>Trifluoromethanesulfonyl (Triflyl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFA</td>
<td>Trifluoroacetic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>Thin Layer Chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ts</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;para&lt;/i&gt;-Toluenesulfonyl (Tosyl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Volt(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Atomic Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z&lt;sub&gt;eff&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Effective Nuclear Charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Positron (Positive Beta Radiation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Chemical Shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μL</td>
<td>Microlitre(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Degrees Celsius</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

Introduction to Homogeneous Gold Catalysis

1.1 Gold

Gold has captured the imagination of mankind more than perhaps any other element. Attractive in appearance, the acquisition and display of gold has been a consistent feature of human civilisation since the beginning of recorded history. To this day, gold retains many of its traditional uses in jewellery, art and as a store of value and has also found many novel applications in such diverse areas as electronics, medicine, photography and dentistry. Some of this appeal can be attributed to the stability of the elemental Au$^0$ oxidation state. With a filled 5d-shell and tightly held 6s electron, the first ionisation potential for gold is among the highest in the d-block ($E^0$ of Au$^0$/Au$^1$ = +1.69V)$^{[1]}$ and Au$^0$ is resistant to oxidative corrosion and tarnishing. It is perhaps the unreactive nature of gold(0) and the consequent assumption that gold in all its forms is inert that has precluded, until recently, its study as a metal for catalysis. When not in the elemental oxidation state, however, gold is capable of catalysing a wide-range of chemical transformations and nowadays homogeneous gold catalysis represents one of the most dynamic and fast-moving areas of chemical research.$^{[2-12]}

1.2 General Properties of Gold Catalysts

A third row transition metal with the ground state electronic configuration [Xe]4f$^{14}$5d$^{10}$6s$^1$, the chemistry of gold bears similarities with the other group 11 elements, copper and silver. However, in this part of the periodic table, relativistic effects derived from the increased atomic number $Z$ are not insignificant and, as a result, gold possesses many characteristics
The principal consequence of relativity on the atomic properties of gold is the radial contraction of the valence 6s orbital. The resulting energetic stabilisation of the 6s electron due to its greater exposure to the nuclear charge is reflected in the high first ionisation energy of gold and the consequent stability of the elemental oxidation state. A secondary effect of the 6s contraction is the radial expansion and energetic destabilisation of the 5d orbitals. Electrons in these orbitals experience a comparatively small effective nuclear charge \(Z_{\text{eff}}\) due to more efficient shielding by radially contracted s and p electrons. The combined effects of a low 6s and high 5d energy lead to a comparatively small energy barrier for the excitation of a 5d electron to the Fermi level (essentially the 6s band) in gold(0). The bandgap of 2.38 eV corresponds to the absorption of blue visible light and results in the attractive yellow colour of the metal.
The relativistic effects described above also provide a theoretical model for understanding some aspects of the reactivity of gold in higher oxidation states in the presence of organic substrates. In line with the other group 11 metals, the redox chemistry of gold is comparatively limited. However, the relative stabilities of the accessible oxidation states vary for the different ‘coinage’ elements. Whereas the coordination chemistry of copper and silver is dominated by the +1 and +2 oxidation states, $M^{3+}$ complexes are much more prevalent with the heavier element whilst gold(II) is unstable towards disproportionation. This increased stabilisation of the higher oxidation state can be explained in part by the relativistically destabilised 5d electrons which are relatively easily removed from the metal.$^{[14-15]}$ As a result, only two oxidation states, +1 and +3, are generally observed under homogeneous catalysis conditions. Gold(III) has a 5d$^8$ electron configuration and typically adopts a square planar geometry whereas 5d$^{10}$ gold(I) generally forms linear species. Au$^+$ and Au$^{III}$ are readily available as the corresponding halides whilst alternative catalysts stabilised by pyridyl (for gold(III)) or N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) or phosphine ligands (for gold(I)) have been reported. Coordinatively-saturated gold(I) complexes of the form [LAuX] (L = neutral ligand eg. phosphine or NHC, X = charged ligand eg. Cl; such as SIPrAuCl, 1, Figure 1.2) only become catalytically active upon the generation of a free coordination site through the loss of the ligand X. Precatalysts containing halide ligands typically require the addition of a silver(I) co-catalyst whilst more recently-developed complexes bearing less coordinating counter-ions such as $^-$NTf$_2$ dissociate upon solvation (eg. PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$, 2, Figure 1.2).
The redox potential of the Au$^+/Au^{III}$ couple is comparatively high ($E^0 = +1.41\text{V}$)\textsuperscript{[1]} and gold(I) and gold(III) do not generally interconvert under homogeneous catalysis conditions. In particular, gold(I) does not react with molecular oxygen and, as a result, many gold-catalysed transformations can be performed under an atmosphere of air. The lack of redox activity stands in contrast to the chemistry of other late transition metals, such as palladium, which often engage in M$^0$/M$^{n+2}$ two-electron redox cycles\textsuperscript{[16a]}. Instead, in either the +1 or +3 oxidation state, gold most commonly acts as a redox-neutral Lewis acid towards organic substrates.\textsuperscript{b} Moreover, the ‘soft’ and diffuse nature of the heavy metal leads to a preference for ‘soft’ ligands whilst metal-ligand bonding is highly covalent. Consequently, in the presence of organic compounds containing multiple functional groups, gold(I) or gold(III) is highly selective for ‘softer’, carbon-based moieties such as alkynes, allenes or, to a lesser extent, alkenes. Upon coordination to gold, these $\pi$-systems become considerably more electrophilic and are activated towards attack by inter- or intramolecular nucleophiles. The combination of the highly $\sigma$-accepting character of gold and the lack of synergic 5d-$\pi^*$ back-bonding\textsuperscript{[17]} is thought to lead to an overall reduction of electron density in the

\textsuperscript{a} Reactions proceeding via proposed Au$^+/Au^{III}$ redox cycles are discussed more thoroughly in Chapter 3 of this thesis.

\textsuperscript{b} The proficiency of gold(I) in this role can be rationalised in part by the relativistically contracted 6s orbital, which gives rise to a relatively low energy LUMO.
coordinated π-systems, increasing their electrophilicity. A general catalytic cycle for gold-catalysed nucleophilic additions to C-C multiple bonds is shown in Scheme 1.1.

Scheme 1.1 General Catalytic Cycle for Gold-Catalysed Hydrofunctionalisation Reactions.

After the initial coordination of either gold(I) or gold(III) to the alkyne, allene or alkene, nucleophilic attack is thought to result in the formation of an organogold intermediate of type A where the gold and nucleophile are situated trans to each other. In the vast majority of cases these complexes undergo rapid protodeauration to afford the product of trans-hydrofunctionalisation and regenerate the catalyst. Reactions of this type make up the largest class of gold-catalysed transformations and, over the last decade, a wide-range of impressive examples involving a variety of nucleophiles and π-systems have been reported.\[^{12-12}\] In the subsequent sections of this chapter, the development of these processes will be discussed focusing on reactions demonstrating the scope and limitations of the methodology.

1.3 Nucleophilic Additions to C-C Multiple Bonds

1.3.1 Oxygen Nucleophiles

Early studies focused on the addition of water and simple alcohols to alkynes catalysed by gold(III) salts.\[^{18-20}\] A seminal paper by Teles et al in 1998 reported the successful
application of cationic gold(I) complexes as catalysts for this process.\textsuperscript{[21]} Dimethyl acetals 3 were prepared upon reacting simple alkynes 4 with methanol in the presence of [LAuMe] (L = phosphine, phosphite, arsine or NHC) and methanesulfonic acid (Scheme 1.2). The acidic additive serves to cleave the Au-Me bond and generate catalytically-active [LAu]. The highest turnover numbers were obtained with catalysts bearing the most electron withdrawing ligands L, supporting a mechanism where gold acts as a \( \pi \)-Lewis acid. For the unsymmetrical alkyne 4a, nucleophilic attack occurred predominantly at the least hindered carbon to afford the dimethyl acetal 3a as a single regioisomer whilst a small amount of the corresponding enol ether 5a was also obtained. In the case of diphenylacetylene 4b, the enol ether 5b formed upon initial nucleophilic attack did not react further with methanol and was obtained predominantly as the \( Z \)-diastereoisomer although substantial isomerisation was observed under the reaction conditions.

![Scheme 1.2 Addition of Methanol across Simple Alkynes 4.](image)

The use of gold catalysts allows for the alkyne alkoxylation to be performed under mild reaction conditions (20-50\(^\circ\)C) without the need for rigorous extrusion of air or water. In addition, the environmentally and biologically benign nature of gold stands in contrast to the mercury(II) salts traditionally used as catalysts in these processes.\textsuperscript{[22]}

An intramolecular version of this reaction was reported by Genêt and co-workers in 2005.\textsuperscript{[23]} Bicyclic ketal 6 were delivered in excellent yield upon cyclising diols 7 in the presence of
either AuCl or AuCl₃ (2 mol%) in methanol (Scheme 1.3a). The reactions were complete within 45 minutes at room temperature whilst no side-products resulting from cyclisation onto the alkene or intermolecular nucleophilic attack of the methanol solvent were observed. In a related process, alkynoic acid 8 cyclised in a 5-exo-dig fashion in the presence of AuCl (5 mol%) to afford the corresponding lactone 9 in 73% yield (Scheme 1.3b). The exclusive formation of the Z-diastereoisomer is consistent with a trans-nucleophilic addition step as depicted in Scheme 1.1 leading to a vinylgold intermediate of type A.

![Scheme 1.3 a) Intramolecular Cyclisation of a) Diols 7 and b) Alkynoic Acid 8.](image)

Functionalised α-hydroxyallenes 10 were shown to cyclise in a 5-endo-trig fashion in the presence of gold(III) or gold(I) catalysts to afford dihydrofurans 11 in excellent yields (Scheme 1.4). Importantly, diastereoisomerically-enriched allenes reacted with complete axis-to-centre chirality transfer. As such, this methodology gives access to valuable heterocyclic motifs under mild conditions in a stereochemically controlled fashion. In addition to increasing the rate of the cyclisation reactions, the use of gold catalysts greatly increases the scope of suitable allene substrates compared to the analogous silver(I) or Brønsted acid catalysed processes. For example, the notoriously sensitive silyl-substituted compound 10b reacted cleanly with AuCl₃ (7 mol%) to afford the corresponding...
dihydrofuran 11b in 95% yield.\textsuperscript{[25]} β-Hydroxyallenes 12 underwent an analogous 6-endo-trig cyclisation under similar conditions with PPh\textsubscript{3}AuCl/AgBF\textsubscript{4}, AuCl/pyridine or AuCl\textsubscript{3} (5 mol%) to afford dihydropyrans 13 in up to 84% yield.\textsuperscript{[29]} No dihydrofuran products resulting from a potentially competitive 5-exo-dig cyclisation were observed.

![Scheme 1.4 Cyclisation of α- and β-Hydroxyallenes.](image)

The analogous 5- or 6-exo-dig cyclisation of γ- or δ-hydroxyallenes 14 to give 2-vinyltetrahydrofurans or pyrans 15 was demonstrated by Widenhoefer et al in 2006.\textsuperscript{[30]} A year later, the same group reported an asymmetric version of this reaction leading to enantiomerically-enriched heterocycles directly from prochiral allenes using chiral gold(I) catalysts.\textsuperscript{[31]} Performing asymmetric transformations with gold raises many challenges. In allene or alkene hydrofunctionalisation reactions of the type shown in Scheme 1.1, the π-acidic gold catalyst is situated far from the site of nucleophilic attack and therefore has comparatively little effect in inducing chirality. Moreover, the chiral information present in the ligand is held even further away from the reacting centre with gold(I) complexes by virtue of the linear coordination geometry of the low oxidation state metal.\textsuperscript{c} Despite these challenges, many gold(I)-catalysed enantioselective allene or alkene hydrofunctionalisation

\textsuperscript{c} Although the square planar geometry of gold(III) is intuitively more suitable for asymmetric catalysis, no enantioselective gold(III)-catalysed hydrofunctionalisation reactions have been reported to date.
reactions have been disclosed. Among the chiral catalysts screened by Widenhoefer and co-workers, the bis(Au\textsuperscript{I}) species [16(AuCl)\textsubscript{2}] (2.5 mol%) proved most suitable for the asymmetric \(\gamma\)- or \(\delta\)-hydroxyallene cyclisation process when used in combination with AgOTs (5 mol%). Using this catalytic system, 2-vinyltetrahydrofuran 15a was prepared from the corresponding \(\gamma\)-hydroxyallene 14a in 67% yield and 93% enantiomeric excess after 18 hours at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) (Scheme 1.5).

![Scheme 1.5 Asymmetric Cyclisation of \(\gamma\)-Hydroxyallene 14a.](image)

Recently, several research groups have studied the intra- and intermolecular nucleophilic addition reactions of sulfoxides and \(N\)-oxides with alkynes. In these processes, nucleophilic attack of the oxygen onto the C-C multiple bond leads to a vinylgold intermediate 17 containing a cationic sulfur or nitrogen \(\delta\) to the gold (Scheme 1.6a). Although the 5d orbitals of gold are generally considered to be too low in energy for meaningful back-bonding into antibonding \(\pi^*\) orbitals of classical \(\pi\)-acceptor ligands, the lower energy LUMO of the conjugated cationic system in intermediates of type 17 can allow for synergic donation of electron density from the metal d-orbitals.\textsuperscript{[13]} This \(\pi\)-donation can stabilise intermediates of type 18 formed upon extrusion of a NR\(_3\) or SR\(_2\) motif. The nature of the bonding in gold carbenoid species of this type has been the subject of debate.\textsuperscript{[32]} Theoretical studies on related intermediates from gold-catalysed enyne cycloisomerisation reactions (see section
have indicated that the carbon-gold bond possesses both $\sigma$- and $\pi$-contributions although the bond order is always less than or equal to one.\[33\] Organogold intermediates of type 18 may react via a number of different pathways leading to a variety of diversely-functionalised products not accessible via simple nucleophilic attack-protodeauration catalytic cycles of the type shown in Scheme 1.1.\[d\] In 2010, Zhang and co-workers reported the synthesis of \textit{trans-}$\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ketones 19 directly from alkynes under mild conditions using the gold(I) catalysts IPrAuNTf$_2$ or [(2,4-$t$-Bu$_2$PhO)$_3$P]AuNTf$_2$ (5 mol\%) and pyridine $N$-oxides 20 as oxygen transfer reagents (Scheme 1.6b).\[34\] For alkyl-substituted alkynes, excellent levels of regioselectivity were achieved using the sterically demanding quinoline $N$-oxide 20a with the nucleophile preferentially attacking the least hindered end of the alkyne. For internal alkynes bearing one aryl and one alkyl substituent, resonance stabilisation of complex 18 by the aryl group governed the observed regioselectivity. By comparison, alternative related alkyne oxidation processes typically require harsh conditions and result in mixtures of regioisomeric compounds in various oxidation states. In this reaction, the intermediate 18 formed upon nucleophilic attack and loss of the quinoline moiety most likely undergoes elimination to give a vinylgold(I) species prior to protodeauration. Alternatively, intermediates of type 18 may react with intramolecular nucleophiles to afford cyclic products. For example, propargyl alcohols 21 were oxidatively cyclised to oxetan-3-ones 22 in generally moderate to good yields upon treatment with gold(I) catalysts and pyridine $N$-oxides (Scheme 1.6c).\[35-36\]

\[d\] For a more detailed discussion of gold carbenoid demetallation pathways not involving protodeauration, see Section 1.4.1.
1.3.2 Sulfur Nucleophiles

In 2006, Krause and co-workers demonstrated that α-thioallenes 23 cyclise in the presence of gold catalysts in a similar manner to the analogous hydroxy-substituted substrates.\cite{37} The successful cyclisation of compounds bearing a free thiol group is somewhat surprising considering the well-known affinity of sulfur for heavy elements such as gold.\cite{38} Using AuI or AuCl as catalyst, a wide-range of dihydrothiophenes 24 could be prepared from the corresponding α-thioallenes 23 with complete axis-to-centre chirality transfer in generally moderate to good yields (Scheme 1.7). When sources of gold(III) were employed as
catalysts with α-thioallene 23a, small amounts of disulfide 25 were observed as a side-product. The formation of this compound most likely results from an oxidative homocoupling process with concomitant reduction of gold(III) to gold(I).

![Scheme 1.7 Cyclisation of α-Thioallenes.]

### 1.3.3 Nitrogen Nucleophiles

Protected or unprotected nitrogen atoms are also suitable nucleophiles in gold-catalysed alkyne, allene or alkene hydrofunctionalisation reactions. In 2007, Li and co-workers demonstrated that ortho-alkynylanilines react with terminal alkynes in a cascade process involving two hydroamination steps to afford N-vinylindoles 26 (Scheme 1.8).[39] This transformation is thought to proceed via an initial gold(III)-catalysed intermolecular hydroamination of the terminal alkyne leading to the imine intermediate 27. Tautomerisation followed by a second gold-mediated hydroamination onto the intramolecular alkyne then delivers the heterocyclic product.

![Scheme 1.8 Tandem Hydroamination of Intermolecular and Intramolecular Alkynes.]

Azides may react with alkynes in the presence of gold catalysts in a similar fashion to sulfoxides or N-oxides leading to gold carbenoid intermediates upon extrusion of N₂. The β-
azidoalkyne 28 was cyclised in the presence of the gold(I) catalyst (dpdm)(AuCl)$_2$ (2.5 mol%, dpdm = 1,1-bis(triphenylphosphino)methane) and AgSbF$_6$ (5 mol%) to afford pyrrole 29 (Scheme 1.9). The formation of this product can be rationalised by an initial 5-endo-dig cyclisation to afford the vinylgold complex 30, which can then undergo an intramolecular oxidative rearrangement leading to the gold carbenoid species 31 and one equivalent of N$_2$. A 1,2-alkyl shift then delivers the ring expanded cationic intermediate 32 prior to elimination, protodeauration and aromatisation.

![Scheme 1.9 Synthesis of Pyrroles from β-Azidoalkynes.](image)

Although hydrofunctionalisation reactions are more commonly observed with alkynes or allenes, gold(I) and gold(III) are capable of activating alkenes towards attack by nucleophiles. In 2006, He and co-workers reported the intra- and intermolecular hydroamination of simple alkenes with sulfonamides.[41] Treating internal or terminal alkenes 33 with p-toluenesulfonamide in the presence of PPh$_3$AuCl (5 mol%) and AgOTf (5 mol%) in toluene led to the corresponding alkylamine products 34 in typically good yields within 48 hours at 85°C (Scheme 1.10). For unsymmetrical olefins, excellent levels of regioselectivity were observed with the Markownikoff products being favoured. Importantly no side-products resulting from β-hydride elimination from an alkylgold(I) intermediate
were isolated. The trans-nature of the hydroamination step was confirmed by the preparation and cyclisation of the deuterated substrate 35. Under the optimised reaction conditions, this racemic compound led smoothly to the corresponding racemic bicyclic compound 36 as a single diastereoisomer featuring the nitrogen and deuterium atoms in a syn-arrangement.

Several enantioselective allene hydroamination reactions have been reported using gold(I) catalysts stabilised by chiral phosphine ligands. An alternative approach to asymmetric gold catalysis was reported by Toste et al in 2007 using achiral cationic (phosphine)gold(I) catalysts and enantiomerically-pure phosphate counter-ions based on binaphthol. In apolar solvents, the anionic counter-ion forms a tight ion pair with the catalyst-substrate complex which then reacts with the nucleophile in an enantioselective fashion. In this approach, the source of chirality (namely the counter-ion) is thought to be in closer proximity to the site of nucleophilic attack than when using chiral phosphine ligands bound to linear gold(I) catalysts. The 5-exo-trig cyclisation of the sulfonyl-protected γ-aminoallene 37 proceeded in 97% yield and 96% ee when treated with Ph(CH₃)₂PAuCl (5 mol%) and the silver(I) salt of the chiral phosphate (R)-38 (5 mol%) in benzene at room temperature for 48 hours (Scheme 1.11).
1.3.4 Halide Nucleophiles

The gold(III)-catalysed hydrochlorination of alkynes has been known since the 1970s,[18, 43-44] however alternative halogen nucleophiles have only recently been studied. In 2007, Sadighi and co-workers reported the gold(I)-catalysed hydrofluorination of simple alkynes under mild conditions.[45] This study was preceded by the preparation of the first isolable fluoride complex of gold(I) using the N-heterocyclic carbene ligand SIPr (1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-ylidene).[46] Treatment of this species (39) with excess 3-hexyne in dichloromethane led after 10 minutes to the reversible formation of the β-fluorovinyl complex 40 which was observed by 19F NMR (Scheme 1.12). The formation of this species can be rationalised by an initial ligand exchange of the fluoride by the alkyne followed by nucleophilic attack of F− onto the π-activated triple bond. In support of this hypothesis, the reaction between the η2-alkynylgold(I) complex 41 with the mildly acidic fluoride source NEt3.3HF led smoothly to the trans-fluoroalkene 42a. With this result in hand, a catalytic version of the hydrofluorination process was developed using [(NHC)AuX] (X = Cl, t-BuO) complexes as catalysts in the presence of various internal alkynes 43 and NEt3.3HF. The use of N-heterocyclic carbene ligands was essential for appreciable formation of the fluoroalkene products. Under these conditions, monofluoroalkenes 42 could be furnished as the only fluorinated products in yields up to 86% after 18-30 hours at room temperature. By comparison, alternative alkyne hydrofluorination processes generally
require harsh conditions and result in mixtures of mono-, di- or trifluorinated products. For unsymmetrical alkynes bearing one alkyl and one aryl substituent, moderate to excellent levels of regioselectivity were observed with the β-fluorostyrene products being favoured. In 2009, Miller and co-workers were able to reverse the regioselectivity of this process with alkynes bearing carbonyl-based directing groups.[47]

![Scheme 1.12 Hydrofluorination of Alkynes.](image)

### 1.3.5 Carbon Nucleophiles

Carbon-carbon bond-forming reactions are among the most important processes in organic chemistry. As such, novel methodologies which enable the selective formation of C-C bonds under mild conditions are highly sought. The use of intra- and intermolecular carbon nucleophiles in gold-catalysed alkyne, allene and alkene hydrofunctionalisation reactions has been the focus of many research groups. In 2004, Toste and co-workers reported the successful Conia-ene cyclisation of terminal alkynes 44 bearing pendant malonyl groups using the gold(I) catalytic system 
PPh₃AuCl/AgOTf (1 mol%, Scheme 1.13).[48] Importantly, this reaction proceeded at room temperature, affording carbocyclic compounds
45 in high yields without the need for acidic or basic additives. By contrast, analogous thermally-promoted Conia-ene reactions typically require temperatures greater than 200°C.\textsuperscript{[49]} The \textit{trans}-nature of the nucleophilic addition process was confirmed by labelling experiments. The selectively deuterated alkyne 44a afforded the diastereoisomerically-pure carbocycle 45a, featuring the malonyl carbon and the deuterium \textit{cis}- to each other.

![Scheme 1.13 Intramolecular Conia-ene Reaction.](image)

Aromatic groups may also act as nucleophiles in gold-catalysed hydrofunctionalisation reactions. In 2000, Hashmi and co-workers reported the intermolecular coupling of non-activated furans with α,β-unsaturated ketones 46 leading to C2-alkylated heterocycles 47 using gold(III) chloride as catalyst (Scheme 1.14a).\textsuperscript{[50-51]} The formation of these products can be explained by two competing mechanisms. One pathway involves gold(III) acting in its conventional role as a π-Lewis acid, activating the enone towards a Friedel-Crafts-type attack of furan (Scheme 1.14a, Path I). Alternatively, the aromatic nucleophile could react with gold(III) directly to afford a distinct furan(gold) complex, which then reacts with the enone via insertion (Scheme 1.14a, Path II). The direct auration of arenes by gold(III) chloride was first demonstrated in a seminal paper by Kharasch \textit{et al} in 1931.\textsuperscript{[52]} This C-H functionalisation reaction occurs under remarkably mild conditions, delivering arylgold
complexes after only a few minutes at room temperature. In 2004, Arcadi and co-workers reported the analogous coupling of $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ketones with indoles under similar conditions (Scheme 1.14b). The involvement of an aurated indole intermediate in this process was supported by electrospray mass spectral analysis of a control reaction between indole and a stoichiometric amount of NaAuCl$_4$.2H$_2$O.

![Scheme 1.14](image)

Scheme 1.14 a) C2-Alkylation of Furans. b) C3-Alkylation of Indoles.

A related allene hydroheteroarylation process has been recently applied in the total synthesis of the natural products Flinderole B and Flinderole C. The indole-substituted allene reacted in the presence of the $N$-heterocyclic carbene-stabilised gold(I) catalyst IPrAuCl (5 mol%) and AgSbF$_6$ (5 mol%) to afford the 5-exo-trig cyclisation product as a single diastereoisomer in 88% yield (Scheme 1.15). This transformation constitutes the key step in

---

*For a discussion of this process, see Chapter 3 (Section 3.2.2.1).*
the 18 step synthesis of these two important compounds (overall total synthesis yield = 4%), which have shown promise as potential anti-malarial agents.\textsuperscript{[55]}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{scheme1.15.png}
\caption{Scheme 1.15 Allene Cyclisation in the Total Synthesis of Flinderole B and Flinderole C.}
\end{figure}

The intramolecular rearrangements of 1,\textit{n}-enynes (\textit{n} = 5-9) are the most widely studied class of gold-catalysed C-C bond-forming reactions. In the presence of other late transition metals such as platinum or ruthenium, enynes commonly react to form dienes in an Alder-ene cycloisomerisation process involving a two-electron M\textsuperscript{\textit{n}}/M\textsuperscript{\textit{n}+2} redox cycle.\textsuperscript{[56]} The reluctance of gold to undergo the necessary oxidation state changes for this kind of transformation as well as the mono-coordinate nature of the [LAu\textsuperscript{I}]\textsuperscript{+} fragment make this reactivity mode unfavourable with gold catalysts. Instead, nucleophilic attack of the alkene moiety onto the gold-activated alkyne occurs, leading to intermediates of type 50\text{a} and 50\text{b} (Scheme 1.16\text{a}). As previously discussed, the positive charge in species of this type can be stabilised by \pi-back-bonding from the metal 5d orbitals and, as a result, these complexes are thought to have substantial carbenoid character. Intermediates of type 50\text{a} and 50\text{b} may react further via a number of different pathways and, over the last few years, a multitude of impressive transformations have been disclosed. The cyclopropyl-substituted 1,6-enzyme 51 was cyclised in the presence the gold(I) catalytic system \textit{PPh}_3\text{AuCl} / \textit{AgOTf} (5 mol\%) to afford the spirocycle 52 (Scheme 1.16\text{b}).\textsuperscript{[57]} The formation of this compound can be rationalised by a cascade sequence involving an initial 5-\textit{exo}-dig enyne cyclisation followed by nucleophilic
trapping of the resulting gold carbenoid intermediate by the pendant hydroxyl group and protodeauration.

Scheme 1.16 a) General Scheme for the Cycloisomerisation of 1,6-Enynes. b) Cascade Cycloisomerisation-Oxycyclisation of an 1,6-Enyne.

An asymmetric version of a related cycloisomerisation reaction was reported by Michelet et al in 2009.\(^{58}\) 1,6-Enyne 53 bearing a phenyl substituent at the alkynyl position was reacted in the presence of the chiral gold(I) catalyst \([(R)-\text{54}(\text{AuCl})_2]\) (3 mol\%) and AgOTf (6 mol\%) in Et\(_2\)O for 48h. This reaction led to the tricyclic compound 55 resulting from a 5-exo-dig cyclisation-Friedel-Crafts arylation cascade in 99\% yield and 93\% ee (Scheme 1.17a). Longer chain enynes may also undergo cycloisomerisation reactions in the presence of gold catalysts. The 1,9-enyne 56 afforded the cycloisomerisation product 57, featuring a 10-membered carbocyclic ring in 58\% yield upon treatment with PPh\(_3\)AuCl (50 mol\%) and AgOTf (70 mol\%) (Scheme 1.17b).\(^{59}\) The formation of this product is best explained by a
mechanism involving the elimination of a proton from an intermediate of type 50b formed upon a 10-endo-dig cyclisation of the enyne followed by protodeauration.

**Scheme 1.17** a) Asymmetric Cycloisomerisation of a 1,6-Enyne. b) Cycloisomerisation of a 1,9-Enyne.

### 1.4 Demetallation Pathways Beyond Protodeauration

In all the gold-catalysed reactions discussed in the previous section, the final step in the catalytic cycle involves the protodeauration of an organogold intermediate. This elementary step is ubiquitous in homogeneous gold catalysis and results in the formation of a new carbon-hydrogen bond. The prevalence of this reactivity pathway, however, limits the scope of organic transformations accessible using gold catalysts. Whereas a multitude of different bonds may be formed upon attack of a nucleophile onto a gold-activated alkyne, allene or alkene, the last stage of these processes is generally limited to C-H bond formation. The scope of these reactions would be vastly increased if protodeauration could be suppressed in favour of alternative demetallation pathways leading to the formation of a new carbon-carbon or carbon-heteroatom bond. Over the last few years, several such processes have been reported and a selection of these are summarised in the following sections.
1.4.1 Trapping of Gold Carbenoids

Alternative demetallation pathways to protodeauration may be observed in reactions proceeding via organogold intermediates with carbenoid character. In 2007, Toste and co-workers reported the oxidative trapping of several postulated gold carbenoids using diphenylsulfoxide as an oxygen transfer reagent.\[60\] Reacting the 1,6-enyne 58 in the presence of IPrAuCl (2.5 mol%), AgSbF$_6$ (2.5 mol%) and two equivalents of the sulfoxide led to the cyclopropyl aldehyde 59 in 90% yield (Scheme 1.18). The formation of this product is best explained by a mechanism involving the nucleophilic attack of the sulfoxide oxygen onto the gold carbenoid enyne cyclisation intermediate 60 followed by extrusion of diphenylsulfide and [IPrAu]$^+$. Similar oxygen transfer processes were also observed with other substrates including $\alpha$-diazoketones 61 and terminal propargyl esters 62. With these latter compounds, a gold carbenoid intermediate is formed as a result of a 1,2-shift of the ester group.
Organogold intermediates derived from propargyl esters have also been trapped by alkenes to form cyclopropanes. This reactivity pathway is typical of carbenes and serves as evidence for the postulated carbenoid character of these intermediates. Upon treatment with 5 mol% of PPh$_3$AuCl and AgSbF$_6$ in nitromethane, propargyl pivalates, acetates and benzoates 63 could be coupled with alkenes 64 to afford cyclopropyl enol esters 65 in yields up to 84% (Scheme 1.19a).$^{61}$ Moderate to high levels of diastereoselectivity were observed in favour of the cis-cyclopropyl products. The preferential formation of these diastereoisomers can be rationalised by a concerted carbene transfer mechanism proceeding via the transition state with the minimised steric demands. Recently, Nevado and co-workers applied this transformation as part of an asymmetric cycloheptannulation cascade approach to the synthesis of the natural product (−)-Frondosin A.$^{62}$ The cyclohexene-substituted product
formed upon cyclopropanation of the gold carbenoid intermediate reacted further in the presence of the gold catalyst \([((S)-66)(AuCl)\text{] (2.5 mol\%)}\) and AgSbF\(_6\) (5 mol\%) to afford the ring opened product 67 in 68\% yield and greater than 90\% ee (Scheme 1.19b). This species features the core structure of the norsesquiterpenoid \((-\text{-})\text{-Frondosin A, which has shown promising biological activity.}\n
**Scheme 1.19** a) Cyclopropanation of Olefins. b) Cascade Cycloheptannulation Approach to Frondosin A.

### 1.4.2 Nucleophilic Attack of C-Au Bonds

In selected cases, vinylgold intermediates formed upon nucleophilic attack to an activated alkyne or allene may react with intramolecular electrophiles other than a proton. In 2006, Yamamoto and co-workers reported the synthesis of the 3-substituted benzothiophene 68 via a cascade 5-endo-dig cyclisation-1,3-alkyl migration process in 98\% yield using gold(I)
chloride as catalyst (Scheme 1.20).\textsuperscript{[63]} The vinylgold(I) species formed upon nucleophilic attack of the sulfur onto the activated alkyne is thought to react directly with the intramolecular sulfur substituent leading to the formation of a new carbon-carbon bond. Whether this step occurs with concomitant cleavage of the carbon-gold bond or via nucleophilic attack of the alkene followed by facile elimination of the metal has yet to be determined.

\textbf{Scheme 1.20} Cyclisation-1,3-Migration of Thioethers.

The vinylgold(I) intermediate \textbf{69} formed upon nucleophilic trapping of the gold carbenoid 1,6-enyne cycloisomerisation intermediate of substrate \textbf{70} was recently shown by Echavarren \textit{et al} to react with an intramolecular carbonyl electrophile to afford the complex bicyclic structure \textbf{71} (Scheme 1.21).\textsuperscript{[64]} This process was employed as the key step in the synthesis of the sesquiterpene diester (−)-Englerin A, which has been shown to inhibit the growth of several renal cancer cell lines.
Very recently, a related trapping of an acyl cation by a vinylgold species was reported by Liu and co-workers during the cyclisation of alkynyl-substituted 3-acylindoles 72.\textsuperscript{[65]} In this process, the organogold intermediate formed upon 6-\textit{endo}-dig cyclisation reacts with an acylum species generated upon rearomatisation of the indole motif (Scheme 1.22). The conventional cyclisation-protodeauration process could not be completely suppressed, however, and considerable amounts of the non-acylated side-products 73 were isolated from the reaction mixtures.
1.4.3 Iodo-, Bromo- and Chlorodeauration

The iododeauration of organogold species in the presence of electrophilic sources of iodine is the most widely reported demetallation pathway other than protodeauration observed with gold catalysts. This process leads to the formation of new carbon-halogen bonds, delivering substrates amenable to further functionalisation (eg. via lithium-halogen exchange or palladium-catalysed coupling). In 2006, Gagoś and co-workers reported the 5exo-dig cyclisation-iododeauration of the tert-butyloxy propargyl carbonate 74 in the presence of PPh₃AuNTf₂ (1 mol%) and 1.2 equivalents of the electrophilic iodinating reagent N-iodosuccinimide (NIS, Scheme 1.23). This powerful protocol leads to the formation of two new bonds; a carbon-oxygen bond in the nucleophilic addition step followed by a carbon-iodine bond during demetallation. The (Z)-iodoalkene product (Z)-75 was formed in 95% isolated yield as a single diastereoisomer after just 5 minutes at room temperature. The formation of the (Z)-diastereoisomer is consistent with a trans-nucleophilic attack followed by an electrophilic iodination process occurring with retention of stereochemistry. By contrast, the cyclisation-protodeauration of the iodinated substrate 76 performed in the absence of NIS led exclusively to the (E)-diastereoisomer (E)-75.
Performing the gold-catalysed 6-endo-trig cyclisation of β-hydroxyallenes 77 in the presence of NIS led to a dramatic increase in the reaction rate relative to the conventional cyclisation-protodeauration reaction (Scheme 1.24).\textsuperscript{[67]} Whilst the C-H bond-forming process with these substrates took several days, the analogous cyclisation-iododeauration process was complete after just one minute at room temperature. In the absence of gold, the iodocyclisation took two days at room temperature. The iodinated dihydropyran products were amenable to palladium-catalysed Suzuki-Miyaura or Sonogashira coupling reactions with arylboronic acids or terminal alkynes.

**Scheme 1.23** Iododeauration of Vinylgold Intermediates.

**Scheme 1.24** Cyclisation-Iododeauration of β-Hydroxyallenes.
Analogous bromodeauration processes have been reported from gold complexes using N-bromosuccinimide (NBS). In one such transformation, electrophilic halogenation is thought to occur from an arylgold(III) species formed upon aryl C-H functionalisation of non-activated arenes with gold(III) chloride. Aryl bromides were furnished in up to 99% yield whilst the corresponding chlorinated products could also be prepared using N-chlorosuccinimide as a source of electrophilic chlorine (Scheme 1.25). However, it should be noted that, in these processes, protodeauration serves only to regenerate the arene starting materials and halodeauration can proceed without competing protonolysis-based side-reactions.

\[
\text{Scheme 1.25 Direct Halogenation of Non-Activated Arenes with } N\text{-Halosuccinimides.}
\]

1.5 Extension of the Methodology towards Fluorination

Whilst the halodeauration of organogold complexes is well-established with electrophilic sources of iodine, bromine and, to a lesser extent, chlorine, the extension of this methodology towards fluorination has received less research attention. Fluorinated organic compounds find multiple uses as materials, agrochemicals and pharmaceuticals with ten of the top thirty selling drugs in the USA in 2008 containing at least one fluorine atom. Replacing a hydrogen or hydroxyl group in an organic molecule with a fluorine can have a dramatic effect on the electronic profile of the compound whilst causing minimal
change to its steric properties.\textsuperscript{[74-75]} Fluorinated compounds may exhibit greater bioavailability than their non-fluorinated analogues whilst the high stability of the C-F bond can lead to improved metabolic stability \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{[72]} In addition to these uses, organofluorine compounds labeled with the radioactive isotope fluorine-18 are widely employed as radiotracers for positron emission tomography (PET) imaging.\textsuperscript{[76]} In contrast to the many applications of fluorinated organic molecules, these compounds are extremely scarce in nature.\textsuperscript{[77]} As a result, the preparation of these compounds relies heavily on synthetic organic chemistry and novel fluorination methodologies are highly sought.

\textbf{1.5.1 Fluorodeauration}

In 2008, our group studied the gold-catalysed 6-\textit{endo}-dig cyclisation of $\beta$-hydroxy-$\alpha,\alpha$-difluoroynones 78 in the presence of a variety of electrophilic halogenation reagents.\textsuperscript{[78]} The reactions with NIS and NBS led to the expected alkoxyiodinated and alkoxybrominated products 79 and 80 respectively whilst the corresponding protodeauration dihydropyranones 81 were afforded in the absence of a halogen source (Scheme 1.26a). Performing the cyclisation reaction of ynone 78a with gold(I) chloride in the presence of 2.5 equivalents of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82) for 4 days at room temperature led to a mixture of two cyclised compounds. Although the cyclisation-protodeauration product 81a was isolated as the major species in 33\% yield, dihydropyranone 83a, featuring a fluoroolefin motif, could also be isolated from the reaction mixture in 20\% yield (Scheme 1.26b). This product results from a formal alkoxyfluorination process involving the formation of one carbon-oxygen bond and one carbon-fluorine bond across the alkyne. Changing the catalyst from AuCl or switching to alternative "F\textsuperscript{+}" sources led to lower conversions to the trifluorinated product whilst varying the substitution pattern around the $\beta$-hydroxy-$\alpha,\alpha$-difluoroynone substrates had a minimal effect on the reaction efficiency.
The formation of the trifluorinated dihydropyranone products 83 is consistent with a cyclisation-halodeauration mechanism of the type shown in Scheme 1.27. Initial coordination of gold to the alkyne followed by nucleophilic attack of the pendant hydroxy group would lead to the vinylgold intermediate 84. Protodeauration at this stage would deliver the major gem-difluorinated product 81 and regenerate the catalyst. Instead, the organogold complex 84 could react with Selectfluor via an electrophilic fluorodeauration mechanism to afford the trifluorinated product 83. The involvement of a direct fluorodemetallation process was supported by a control reaction with the difluorinated dihydropyranone 81a. No trace of 83a was observed upon treating the protodeaurated product with Selectfluor either with or without gold, suggesting that this compound is not an intermediate in the fluorination process. The exact mechanism of the proposed fluorodeauration step, however, has yet to be fully established.
Chapter 1: Introduction to Homogeneous Gold Catalysis

The cyclisation-fluorodeauration reaction of fluorine. The observation that “F$^+$” could act as an alternative electrophile to H$^+$ in cleaving gold-carbon bonds could lead to a potentially very powerful new methodology where electrophilic fluorination follows a gold-catalysed nucleophilic addition to a carbon-carbon multiple bond. Considering the large number of these transformations reported over the last few years, this approach could allow for the preparation of an ever-increasing array of diversely substituted fluorinated compounds (Scheme 1.28).

Scheme 1.27 Proposed Mechanism for the Cyclisation-Fluorodeauration of β-Hydroxy-α,α-difluoroynones.

1.5.2 Outline of this Thesis

The cyclisation-fluorodeauration reaction of β-hydroxy-α,α-difluoroynones discussed above is the first example of a gold-catalysed fluorination process using an electrophilic source of fluorine. The observation that “F$^+$” could act as an alternative electrophile to H$^+$ in cleaving gold-carbon bonds could lead to a potentially very powerful new methodology where electrophilic fluorination follows a gold-catalysed nucleophilic addition to a carbon-carbon multiple bond. Considering the large number of these transformations reported over the last few years, this approach could allow for the preparation of an ever-increasing array of diversely substituted fluorinated compounds (Scheme 1.28).
In the subsequent three chapters of this thesis, the reactivity of gold catalysts in the presence of electrophilic fluorinating reagents is investigated focusing on gold-catalysed nucleophilic addition reactions to carbon-carbon multiple bonds. In particular, the scope and limitations of fluorodeauration as a method of C-F bond formation is examined with an emphasis on determining the mechanistic pathways in operation in the studied reaction systems. Chapter 2 summarises the results of our study into the effect of electrophilic fluorinating reagents on the gold(I)-catalysed [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of propargyl acetates. This is followed, in chapters 3 and 4, by a discussion on the reactivity of gold catalysts in the presence of tert-butyl allenoates and Selectfluor. This latter study led to the discovery of a novel reactivity pathway for gold catalysts, not leading to fluorinated products.
Chapter 2

Gold and F$: 
Gold-Catalysed Fluorination

2.1 Introduction

Following the successful validation of a gold-catalysed nucleophilic addition-fluorodeauration process in the cyclisation of β-hydroxy-α,α-difluoroynones (see Section 1.5.1), we sought to investigate the scope and limitations of this methodology with alternative reaction systems. Following a survey of gold-catalysed alkyne and allene hydrofunctionalisation reactions, we identified the formal [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-protodeacetylation of propargyl acetates as a promising transformation for further study (Scheme 2.1). This process is related to the classical Meyer-Schuster rearrangement and may be catalysed by a number of transition metals including gold.

A general mechanism for this transformation leading to enones 86 is displayed in Scheme 2.2. In the first step, the alkyne moiety is activated by gold(I) or gold(III) towards intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the acetate group leading to the cyclic intermediate 87. Ring opening of this species with concomitant loss of the metal affords the formal [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product 88. At this stage, the strongly π-Lewis acidic gold
catalyst can re-complex the allene leading to the vinylgold complex 89 upon hydrolysis of the acetyl group. Finally, protodeauration delivers the enone products 86 and regenerates the gold catalyst.

\[ \text{Scheme 2.2 Proposed Mechanism for the Synthesis of Enones via the Formal [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangement of Propargyl Acetates.} \]

In 2007, Zhang and co-workers reported the synthesis of \( \alpha \)-iodoenones 90 directly from propargyl acetates upon treatment with gold catalysts in the presence of the electrophilic iodinating reagent NIS (Scheme 2.3).\[^{80}\] In this reaction, which was later extended to the preparation of \( \alpha \)-bromoenones 91,\[^{81}\] the protodeauration step was completely suppressed in favour of halodeauration leading to (\( E \))- and (\( Z \))-\( \alpha \)-haloenones as the only products in high yields under mild conditions. Moreover, the sense and level of diastereoselectivity of the rearrangement-halodeacetylation process with unsymmetrical propargyl acetates offered insights into the mechanisms of the iodo- and bromodeauration steps.\[^{f}\]

\[^f\]The mechanism of halodeauration proposed in these studies is discussed more thoroughly in Section 2.6.
Inspired by these transformations, we sought to investigate whether α-fluoroenones 92 could be prepared via an analogous process performed in the presence of electrophilic fluorinating reagents. As demonstrated by Pannecoucke et al in 2007, α-fluoroenones can be further manipulated to afford fluoroalkenes of defined stereochemistry, which have found multiple applications as peptide mimics (Scheme 2.4).\textsuperscript{[82-83]} The CH=CF motif possesses similar electronic and steric properties to the amide group whilst offering greater conformational rigidity and metabolic stability.\textsuperscript{[84-85]} Synthetic routes to α-fluoroenones currently rely on the manipulation of fluorinated building blocks with many methods suffering from low diastereoselectivity.\textsuperscript{[85-88]}

**Scheme 2.4** Preparation of Peptidomimetics from α-Fluoroenones.
In this chapter, our studies towards the development of a gold-catalysed [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation of propargyl acetates 85 to afford α-fluoroenones 92 are presented (Scheme 2.5). This protocol allowed for the preparation of these useful fluorinated compounds in generally moderate to good yields under mild conditions whilst, in some cases, high levels of diastereoselectivity (up to 93:7) were observed. In addition, the E/Z selectivity of the process as well as control reactions with proposed intermediates provided insights into the nature of the fluorination step.[89]

![Scheme 2.5 Rearrangement-Fluorodeacetylation of Propargyl Acetates 85.](image)

### 2.2 Preliminary Studies

As a preliminary experiment, the symmetrical propargyl acetate 85a was reacted with the gold(I) pre-catalyst PPh₃AuCl (2 mol%) and AgOTf (5 mol%) in the presence of 1.2 equivalents of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82, Scheme 2.6). After stirring in acetonitrile/water (800:1, 0.05M) for 24 hours at room temperature, all the propargyl acetate starting material had been consumed and the reaction was filtered through Celite. NMR Spectroscopic analysis on the crude mixture indicated the formation of a single fluorinated product whilst mass spectral data was consistent with the α-fluoroenone 92a. The identity of this species was unambiguously confirmed upon purification by column chromatography on silica gel. The desired rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation product was afforded in 76% yield as the only isolable compound. Notably, no products resulting from the conventional rearrangement-protodeacetylation process were observed.
Catalysed, the same reaction was performed in the absence of PPh₃AuCl. After 7 days at room temperature, this reaction led to mainly recovered starting material along with some deacetylated propargyl alcohol 93a whilst α-fluoroenone 92a was observed in only a trace amount (< 5%, Scheme 2.7). Similar results were obtained when the reaction was performed in the absence of both PPh₃AuCl and AgOTf.

With the fluorination reaction successfully validated using the symmetrical tertiary propargyl acetate 85a, we were poised to investigate the degree of diastereococontrol afforded by the process with starting materials bearing a single propargyl substituent. Thus, the phenyl-substituted secondary propargyl acetate 85b was reacted under the same conditions with PPh₃AuCl/AgOTf and Selectfluor. After 48 hours at room temperature, the corresponding α-fluoroenones 92b were delivered in 45% combined isolated yield in a diastereoisomeric ratio of 91:9 favouring the E-isomer (E)-92b (Scheme 2.8). The conventional rearrangement-protodeacetylation process was not completely suppressed.
under these conditions and the \((E)\)-enone isomer \((E)-\text{86b}\) was also isolated as a minor side-product in 25% yield \((E/Z > 20:1)\) whilst a trace amount of the \(Z\)-enone \((Z)-\text{86b}\) was detected by NMR spectroscopy. Mass spectrometry and NMR analysis on the crude reaction mixture also indicated the presence of trace amounts of the enone dimer \text{94} and \(\alpha\)-acetylenone \text{95}. These products result from oxidative homocoupling and C-O bond-forming cross-coupling processes respectively.\(^6\)

\[
\text{Scheme 2.8 Preliminary Experiment with Propargyl Acetate 85b.}
\]

Importantly, the two \(\alpha\)-fluoroenone geometric isomers \((E)-\text{92b}\) and \((Z)-\text{92b}\) could be easily separated by column chromatography and isolated as diastereoisomERICally-pure compounds. Furthermore, both \(E\)- and \(Z\)-isomers were found to be stable towards isomerisation under the reaction conditions.\(^b\) The stereochemistry of each fluoroolefin \((E\) or \(Z)\) was assigned by analysis of their \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{19}\text{F}\) NMR spectra. The observed \(^3\text{J}_{HF}\) alkene coupling constant of 25.3 Hz in the \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{19}\text{F}\) NMR spectra of \((E)-\text{92b}\) is consistent with

\(^{a}\) Gold-mediated oxidative coupling processes of this type performed in the presence of Selectfluor are discussed more thoroughly in Chapter 3 of this thesis.

\(^{b}\) Semi-empirical calculations performed by Nevado et al (reference [96]) have indicated that \((Z)\)-\(\alpha\)-fluoroenones are more stable than their \((E)\)-counterparts in the order of 5 kcalmol\(^{-1}\) implying that the \((E)\)-stereoselectivity of the process is not due to thermodynamic factors.
proton-fluorine coupling across a cis-double bond. By contrast, a larger $^{3}J_{HF}$ coupling of 36.9 Hz was observed in the $^{1}H$ and $^{19}F$ NMR spectra of (Z)-92b, as would be expected with a trans-arrangement of the two spins. In addition, a strong nuclear Overhauser effect was observed between the alkene proton and the fluorine in a $^{1}H$-$^{19}F$ HOESY experiment with (E)-92b, further supporting the assignment of the (E)-double bond geometry (Figure 2.1).

![Figure 2.1 NMR Assignment of (E)- and (Z)-92b.]

### 2.3 Optimisation of the Reaction Conditions

With the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation of propargyl acetates validated, we sought to identify standard reaction conditions which optimised both the yield of α-fluoroenones and, in the case of unsymmetrical substrates, the diastereoselectivity. Firstly, a screen of catalysts was conducted using the phenyl-substituted propargyl acetate 85b as a model substrate (Table 2.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yield (%)$^{[a]}$</th>
<th>E/Z Ratio$^{[b]}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PPh$_3$AuCl (2 mol%)</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>64 (40)</td>
<td>81:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AgOTf (5 mol%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AgOTf (2 mol%)</td>
<td>66h</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No Catalyst</td>
<td>66h</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In each case, the yield and \( E/Z \) selectivity of the \( \alpha \)-fluoroenone products \( 92b \) were determined by \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. The yields were estimated by comparing the combined integration of the \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR peaks of (\( E \)) and (\( Z \))-\( 92b \) with the signal corresponding to a known quantity of the added internal reference, fluorobenzene. Isolated yields were obtained where appropriate and these are displayed in parentheses in the table above (Table 2.1). All the reactions were performed with 2 mol% of the catalyst at 80ºC using Selectfluor (82, 1.2 eq) as the electrophilic fluorine source. Each experiment was conducted in acetonitrile due to the comparatively good solubility of Selectfluor in this solvent.\(^1\) Under these conditions, the PPh\(_3\)AuCl/AgOTf catalysed reaction afforded \( \alpha \)-fluoroenones \( 92b \) in 40% isolated yield (64% estimated by \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR) after 2 hours in an \( E/Z \) ratio of 81:19 (Table 2.1, Entry 1). In the absence of PPh\(_3\)AuCl, either with or without AgOTf, no fluorinated products were formed after an extended reaction time (Table 2.1, Entries 2-3). The reaction with the platinum(II) salt PtCl\(_2\) was similarly unsuccessful, delivering \( 92b \) in only a trace amount (Table 2.1, Entry 4). Although the unstabilised gold(I) source AuCl was a suitable catalyst for the rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation reaction, the \( \alpha \)-fluoroenone products were delivered in a lower yield (40% estimated by \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR) and a

---

\(^{1}\)Selectfluor is not soluble in the majority of organic solvents (eg dichloromethane, tetrahydrofuran, diethyl ether).
slightly lower diastereoselectivity ($E/Z = 78:22$) compared to the $\text{PPh}_3\text{AuCl}/\text{AgOTf}$ catalysed process (Table 2.1, Entry 5). The fluorinated product $92b$ was formed in only 4% yield (estimated by $^{19}\text{F} \text{NMR}$) when the gold(III) catalyst $\text{AuCl}_3$ was employed (Table 2.1, Entry 6). The highest yield of $\alpha$-fluoroenones was obtained using the $N$-heterocyclic carbene stabilised gold(I) catalyst $\text{SIPrAuCl}$ (1). This species was prepared in 5 steps according to the procedures of Arduengo$^{[90]}$ and Sadighi (Scheme 2.9)$^{[46]}$. Firstly glyoxal was reacted in the presence of 2.25 equivalents of diisopropylamine at 70°C for one hour to afford the corresponding 1,2-diimine compound $96$ in 86% yield. Reduction with sodium borohydride to afford the 1,2-diamine $97$ followed by construction of the five-membered imidazolidynium ring using triethyl orthoformate led to $\text{SIPrHCl}$ (98) in 32% yield over two steps. This species was then treated with $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}$ to afford the silver transfer reagent $\text{SIPrAgCl}$ (99) in quantitative yield. Finally, transmetalation of the $N$-heterocyclic carbene ligand from silver(I) to gold(I) was performed using $(\text{Me}_2\text{S})\text{AuCl}$ in the presence of excess dimethyl sulfide in dichloromethane.

\[ \text{Scheme 2.9 Preparation of SIPrAuCl (1).} \]
Reacting 85b with SIPrAuCl (2 mol%) and AgOTf (5 mol%) in the presence of Selectfluor (1.2 eq) at 80°C for 2 hours led to the α-fluoroenones 92b in 53% isolated yield (68% estimated by 19F NMR) in a E/Z ratio of 81:19 (Table 2.1, Entry 7). The reaction was also successful with this catalyst in the absence of AgOTf although the fluorinated products were afforded in a slightly lower yield (59% estimated by 19F NMR) and diastereoselectivity (E/Z = 80:20, Table 2.1, Entry 8).

With the combination of the gold(I) source SIPrAuCl (1) and silver(I) co-catalyst AgOTf identified as the optimum catalytic system for the rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation process, our attention turned to optimising the other reaction parameters (Table 2.2).

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yield (%)^[a]</th>
<th>E/Z Ratio^[b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Selectfluor, MeCN, rt</td>
<td>14h</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>92:8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Selectfluor, MeCN, rt</td>
<td>14h</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>91:9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Selectfluor, MeCN:H2O (800:1), rt</td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>89:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Selectfluor, acetone, rt</td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20:80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NFSI^[d], CH2Cl2, rt</td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100^[e], MeCN, rt</td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Selectfluor (2 eq), MeCN, rt</td>
<td>14h</td>
<td>&gt;95 (70)</td>
<td>93:7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

[a] Yield of 92b estimated by 19F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture with fluorobenzene as an internal reference. Isolated yields are in parentheses. [b] E/Z Determined by 19F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. [c] Reaction performed with SIPrAuCl (1, 2 mol%) and AgOTf (5 mol%). [d] NFSI = N-Fluorobenzenesulphonimide. [e] 100 = 1-Fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate.

**Table 2.2** Optimisation of the Reaction Conditions.

In an attempt to increase the diastereoselectivity of the process, the reaction with SIPrAuCl/AgOTf (2 mol%/5 mol%) was conducted at room temperature. Under these
conditions, α-fluoroenones 92b were delivered in 71% yield (estimated by $^{19}$F NMR) in an improved E/Z ratio of 92:8 (Table 2.2, Entry 1). Increasing the loading of SIPrAuCl and AgOTf to 5 mol% and 12.5 mol% respectively led to an increase in the yield of the fluorinated products to 81% (estimated by $^{19}$F NMR, Table 2.2, Entry 2). In the related iodination and bromination reactions reported by Zhang and co-workers, the yields of the halogenated enone products were improved when a small amount of water was added to the acetonitrile solvent.[80-81] However, performing the rearrangement-fluoroacetylation process in an 800:1 mixture of acetonitrile and water led to a lower yield (69% estimated by $^{19}$F NMR) and diastereoselectivity (E/Z = 89:11) of 92b (Table 2.2, Entry 3). Changing the solvent to acetone led to a dramatically decreased fluoroenone yield of 5% (estimated by $^{19}$F NMR, Table 2.2, Entry 4). This result is possibly due to the poor solubility of Selectfluor in this solvent. The reaction was similarly unsuccessful when alternative electrophilic fluorinating reagents to Selectfluor were employed. Both $N$-fluorobenzenesulphonimide (NFSI) and 1-fluoro-2,4,6-pyridinium BF$_4$ (100) afforded no fluorinated organic products after 48 hours at room temperature in dichloromethane and acetonitrile respectively (Table 2.2, Entry 5-6). Finally, the effect of the stoichiometry of Selectfluor was investigated. Increasing the number of equivalents of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent to two resulted in complete conversion of 85b to 92b (>95% conversion estimated by $^{19}$F NMR) with an E/Z ratio of 93:7 after 48 hours at room temperature (Table 2.2, Entry 7). Upon purification by column chromatography on silica gel, the α-fluoroenones could be isolated in 70% combined yield (64% of (E)-92b and 6% of (Z)-92b) from this reaction (Scheme 2.10). As previously observed during the preliminary studies, both (E)- and (Z)-products were stable with respect to isomerisation under these reactions conditions.
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2.4 Preparation of Propargyl Acetates 85

Having optimised the reaction conditions, we then set out to evaluate the scope and limitations of the cascade [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation process with a range of different propargyl acetates 85. These substrates were synthesised in a one-pot procedure analogous to that of Zhang and co-workers (Table 2.3).[80]

![Scheme 2.10 Rearrangement-Fluorodeacetylation of Propargyl Acetate 85b under the Optimised Reaction Conditions.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>R³</th>
<th>Product 85</th>
<th>Yield[a]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-(CH₂)₅⁻</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td></td>
<td>85a (58%)[c]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>n-C₆H₁₃</td>
<td>85b (89%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-NO₂-C₆H₄</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>n-C₆H₁₃</td>
<td>85c (72%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-MeO-C₆H₄</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>n-C₆H₁₃</td>
<td>85d (61%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mesityl</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>n-C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;13&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>85e</td>
<td>(67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>85f</td>
<td>(93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>85g</td>
<td>(64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>n-C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;7&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>85h</td>
<td>(86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>n-C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;13&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>85i</td>
<td>(58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>n-C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;7&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>n-C&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;9&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>85j</td>
<td>(86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-(CH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;)-</td>
<td>n-C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;13&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>85k</td>
<td>(74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>85l</td>
<td>(28%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Isolated yield. [b] 4 Equivalents of Ac<sub>2</sub>O used. [c] 17% of the corresponding propargyl alcohol 93a was also isolated.

**Table 2.3** Preparation of Propargyl Acetates 85a-l.
Terminal alkynes (1.1 eq relative to the aldehyde or ketone) bearing aryl or alkyl substituents were first reacted with 1.05 equivalents of \textit{n}-butyllithium in tetrahydrofuran at \textminus78\degree C. After 15 minutes, one equivalent of an aldehyde or ketone was added carefully and the mixture was allowed to stir at 0\degree C for one hour. Acetic anhydride was then added and the reaction was warmed to room temperature overnight (16h). In the preparation of the test substrate 85a, 4 equivalents of the acetylating reagent resulted in incomplete conversion to the propargyl acetate and 17\% of the corresponding propargyl alcohol 93a was isolated from the reaction mixture along with 58\% of 85a (Table 2.3, Entry 1). Consequently, in all further reactions, 8 equivalents of anhydride were employed to encourage complete acetylation. Under these conditions, propargyl acetates 85b-e bearing an \textit{n}-hexyl substituent at the alkynyl position and an aryl group at the propargyl position were delivered in good to excellent yields up to 89\% (Table 2.3, Entries 2-5). These compounds would enable the effect of the aryl group electronics on the efficiency and diastereoselectivity of the rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation process to be evaluated. A series of substrates featuring a phenylacetylene motif, allowing for an investigation of the effect of various aryl or alkyl substituents at the propargyl position, were also prepared in 64-93\% yield (Table 2.3, Entries 6-8). The all alkyl-substituted secondary propargyl acetates 85i and 85j were afforded in 58\% and 86\% yield respectively (Table 2.3, Entries 9-10). Finally, the symmetric tertiary substrates 85k and 85l bearing all alkyl and all phenyl substituents respectively were synthesised from the corresponding ketones (Table 2.3, Entries 11-12).

Using the same procedure, the preparation of the ethoxy-substituted propargyl acetate 85m was attempted. Upon [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation, this substrate would deliver an \(\alpha\)-fluoroenone compound with ester functionality. Such a species could
react via transesterification to afford a range of diversely functionalised fluoroenones and, as such, could be an important synthetic intermediate towards fluoroalkene peptidomimetics. The reaction between cyclohexanone, acetic anhydride and ethoxyacetylene, however, did not lead to the desired propargyl acetate 85m and instead delivered enone 86m as the only isolable product in 43% yield (Scheme 2.11). This compound presumably results from a spontaneous, non-gold-catalysed [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-protodeacetylation of 85m under the reaction conditions.

![Scheme 2.11 Attempted Preparation of Propargyl Acetate 85m.](image)

The attempted preparation of the phenyl-substituted substrate 85n was similarly unsuccessful. The only isolable products from this reaction were the diastereoisomeric enones 86n, which were afforded as a 36:64 E/Z mixture in 69% yield (Scheme 2.12).

![Scheme 2.12 Attempted Preparation of Propargyl Acetate 85n.](image)

### 2.5 Scope and Limitations of the Fluorination Process

Propargyl acetates 85a-l were subjected to the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation conditions with SIPrAuCl (1, 5 mol%), AgOTf (12.5 mol%) and
Selectfluor (82, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (0.05M). After preliminary experiments, it became clear that higher temperatures than room temperature were required to ensure complete conversion of the starting material for most substrates. For example, the rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation of propargyl acetate 85h had not gone to completion after 8 days at room temperature whilst only 49% conversion to α-fluoroenones was observed after 7 days with substrate 85j (determined by $^1$H NMR, Scheme 2.13).

**Scheme 2.13** Rearrangement-Fluorodeacetylation of Propargyl Acetates 85h and 85j at rt. (Conversions determined by $^1$H NMR).

Consequently, the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation reactions were performed at 40ºC to encourage complete conversion of the starting material within a reasonable reaction time ($\leq$72h). The results of these experiments are displayed in Table 2.4.
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\[
\text{Propargyl Acetate } 85 + \text{Selectfluor } 82 \xrightarrow{\text{SIPrAuCl (1, 5 mol%), AgOTf (12.5 mol%)}} \rightarrow 92
\]

\[
\text{MeCN (0.05M) } \xrightarrow{40^\circ C, 1.5-72h} \text{Major Product}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Propargyl Acetate 85</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Major Product</th>
<th>Yield[^a]</th>
<th>E/Z Ratio[^b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="85_1.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>1.5h</td>
<td><img src="92a.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>92a 85%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2[^c]</td>
<td><img src="85_2.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>48h</td>
<td><img src="92b.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>92b 70%</td>
<td>(E) 64% (Z) 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="85_3.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>20h</td>
<td><img src="92c.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>92c 64%</td>
<td>(E) only 90:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="85_4.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>20h</td>
<td>Complex Reaction Mixture</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="85_5.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>20h</td>
<td>Complex Reaction Mixture</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="85_6.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>24h</td>
<td><img src="95f.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>95f 62%</td>
<td>(E) only 92:8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><img src="85_7.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>48h</td>
<td><img src="92g.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>92g 80%</td>
<td>(E) 68% (Z) 12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^a]: Yield of the major product.
[^b]: E/Z ratio of the major product.
[^c]: Reaction performed at 45°C for 72h.
The reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and worked-up upon completion (1.5-72h). With secondary propargyl acetates 82b-j, bearing only one substituent at the propargyl position, the E/Z ratio was determined by $^{19}$F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. In every case, preferential formation of the (E)-diastereoisomer was observed whilst the (E) and (Z)-$\alpha$-fluoroenones could be easily separated upon purification by column chromatography on silica gel. The double bond geometry was assigned based on analysis of the $^1$H and $^{19}$F NMR spectra of each isomer. Vicinal $^3J_{HF}$ coupling constants of 23-26 Hz were observed between the alkene proton and the fluorine for the (E)-isomers whilst larger couplings of 34-37 Hz were observed for the (Z)-isomers.
(Figure 2.2). These values are consistent with literature precedents for *cis*- and *trans*-α-fluoroenones.\(^{[91]}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(E)-92} & \quad (R^2 = H) \\
\text{(Z)-92} & \quad (R^2 = H) \\
3J_{HF} &= 23-26 \text{ Hz} \quad 3J_{HF} = 34-37 \text{ Hz}
\end{align*}
\]

*Figure 2.2* Assignment of (E)- and (Z)-α-Fluoroenones 92.

The highest levels of diastereoselectivity were observed with substrates bearing an aryl substituent at the propargyl position. The *para*-nitrophenyl substituted propargyl acetate 85c reacted within 20 hours at 40°C to afford the corresponding α-fluoroenone 92c in an *E/Z* ratio of 90:10. Purification by silica gel column chromatography delivered the (E)-isomer (E)-92c as the only isolable compound in 64% yield (Table 2.4, Entry 3). By contrast, propargyl acetates featuring electron-rich aryl groups were not suitable substrates for the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation process. The reactions with compounds 85d and 85e, bearing *para*-methoxyphenyl and mesityl substituents respectively, led to complex mixtures of fluorinated and non-fluorinated products (Table 2.4, Entries 4-5). Potential side-reactions resulting from direct fluorination of the electron-rich aromatic rings by Selectfluor could explain the low selectivity observed with these substrates. Propargyl acetate 92f, which bears a phenyl substituent at both the propargyl and alkynyl positions, reacted successfully under the optimised conditions to afford α-fluoroenone 92f in a 92:8 diastereoisomeric ratio favouring (E)-92f. This isomer was furnished as the only isolable product in 62% yield upon purification by column chromatography on silica gel (Table 2.4, Entry 6). Phenylacetylene-derived substrates 85g and 85h, featuring an alkyl group at the propargyl position, were also amenable to the rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation process. These compounds delivered α-fluoroenones 92g
and 92h in combined yields of 80% (68% of (E)-92g and 12% of (Z)-92g) and 63% (42% of (E)-92h and 21% of (Z)-92h) respectively, although lower levels of diastereoselectivity were observed (E/Z = 84:16 for 92g and 67:33 for 92h, Table 2.4, Entries 7-8). The fluorination reaction was also successful with the all-alkyl substituted propargyl acetates 85i and 85j. However, the corresponding α-fluoroenones 92i and 92j were afforded with poor diastereoselectivities (E/Z = 77:23 for 92i and 58:42 for 92j) and in comparatively low isolated yields (29% and 39% respectively). Substantial decomposition of the fluorinated products was observed upon work-up and purification with these substrates. Furthermore, in contrast to aryl-substituted α-fluoroenones, noticeable isomerisation (E/Z > 95:5 to E/Z = 80:20 over 72 hours) was observed upon reacting a diastereoisomerically-pure sample of (E)-92i under the optimised conditions at 40ºC. In an attempt to decrease the reaction time from 72 hours, the rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation of propargyl acetate 85j was repeated at 80ºC. Complete consumption of the starting material was observed after 15 hours at this temperature but the α-fluoroeneone products were delivered in a lower yield (33%) and E/Z ratio (50:50, Scheme 2.14).

![Scheme 2.14 Rearrangement-Fluorodeacetylation of 85j Performed at 80ºC.](image)

Tertiary propargyl acetates reacted readily under the optimised conditions affording the corresponding α-fluoroenones in moderate to excellent yields. The reaction with the test substrate 85a was complete within 90 minutes and delivered the fluorinated product 92a in 85% isolated yield upon silica gel column chromatography (Table 2.4, Entry 1). The all
alkyl- and all-phenyl-substituted α-fluoroenones 92k and 92l were both furnished in 58% yield after 3.5 and 24 hours respectively (Table 2.4, Entries 11-12). This latter compound (92l) was a crystalline solid, allowing for unambiguous assignment of the structure by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 2.3).

![Figure 2.3 X-Ray Crystal Structure of α-Fluoroenone 92l.](image)

2.6 Comments on the Reaction Mechanism

With the scope and limitations of the gold-catalysed [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation process established, our attention turned to an investigation of the reaction mechanism with a focus on determining the nature of the fluorination step. Three plausible mechanistic pathways for this transformation are summarised in Scheme 2.15 using the preparation of α-fluoroenone 92b from substrate 85b as a representative example. In each pathway, the propargyl acetate first undergoes a formal [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement mediated by gold to afford the allenyl acetate intermediate 88b. This process is most likely catalysed by the cationic gold(I) complex [SIPrAu]^+ which is formed upon abstraction of the chloride ion of the pre-catalyst SIPrAuCl (1) by silver(I). Coordination of this highly π-Lewis acidic species to the alkyne moiety in 85b followed by intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the pendant acetate oxygen leads to the transient cyclic complex 87b.
Ring-opening of this species leads to the allenyl acetate 88b and regenerates the cationic gold(I) catalyst.


At this stage, the key allenyl acetate intermediate 88b may react via two distinct pathways. Firstly, this species, which features an enol ether-type moiety, could react directly with the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor to afford the \( \alpha \)-fluoroenone product 92b after hydrolysis of the acetate group (Scheme 2.15, Path I).\(^1\) In this pathway, the C-F bond is

---

\(^1\) Hydrolysis could occur either upon work-up or \textit{in situ} with adventitious water present in the reaction medium.
formed via direct electrophilic fluorination without the involvement of the gold catalyst. Alternatively, the cationic gold(I) complex [SIPrAu]$^+$ can coordinate the allene in 88b leading to the vinylgold(I) species 89b upon hydrolysis of the acetate group. Direct electrophilic fluorination with concomitant cleavage of the carbon-gold(I) bond in this complex by Selectfluor would lead to 92b and regenerate the gold(I) catalyst (Scheme 2.15, Path II). This pathway is analogous to the accepted mechanism for the conventional [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-protodeacetylation process with fluorodeauration replacing the protodeauration step (see Scheme 2.2). A similar pathway was tentatively proposed by our group as the operative fluorination mechanism in the previously discussed cyclisation-fluorodeauration of β-hydroxy-α,α-difluoroynones (see Section 1.5.1).$^{[78]}$ A third possible fluorination mechanism involves the “F$^+$” source acting as a stoichiometric oxidant rather than a conventional fluorinating reagent.$^k$ Thus, the reaction between the vinylgold(I) complex 89b and Selectfluor could lead to the gold(III) fluoride complex 101b resulting from a formal oxidation of gold(I) to gold(III). Carbon-fluorine bond-forming reductive elimination from this species would then afford the α-fluoroenone product and regenerate the active gold(I) catalyst (Scheme 2.15, Path III).

In order to distinguish between Path I and Paths II/III, we sought to independently prepare the key allenyl acetate intermediate 88b as a mechanistic probe. If the direct electrophilic fluorination mechanism (Path I) is feasible, reacting this compound with Selectfluor should lead to the α-fluoroenones 92b without the presence of SIPrAuCl and AgOTf.

Allenyl acetate 88b was prepared directly from the corresponding propargyl acetate via a silver(I)-catalysed [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement according to the procedure of Zhang et al.$^{[92]}$ Reacting 85b with AgClO$_4$ (10 mol%) in 2-butanone at reflux for 2 hours led to the key intermediate in 45% yield upon silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 2.16). The$^k$

$^k$ Gold-catalysed reactions proceeding via mechanisms involving postulated Au$^I$/Au$^III$ redox cycles where Selectfluor acts as a stoichiometric oxidant are discussed in Chapters 3 and 4 of this thesis.
structure of this compound was assigned based on analysis of its $^{13}$C NMR and IR spectra. The observation of a highly deshielded resonance at $\delta = 196.7$ ppm in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum is consistent with a sp-hybridised allenyl carbon whilst a characteristic allene stretch at $\lambda = 1965$ cm$^{-1}$ was observed in the IR spectrum.

![Diagram](image)

**Scheme 2.16** Preparation of Allenyl Acetate 88b.

Compound 88b was reacted with two equivalents of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82) in acetonitrile (0.05M) at room temperature. This reaction led, after 72 hours, to the $\alpha$-fluorenones 92b in an isolated yield of 51% upon purification by column chromatography on silica gel (Scheme 2.17). The successful preparation of these compounds from 88b in the absence of gold suggests that Path I is at least feasible under the optimised reaction conditions. Moreover, the fluorinated products were afforded in a similar $E/Z$ ratio ($E/Z = 91:9$) as in the gold-catalysed process ($E/Z = 93:7$), suggesting that the origin of the ($E$)-diastereoselectivity in both reactions could be due to the same considerations.

![Diagram](image)

**Scheme 2.17** Fluorination of Allenyl Acetate 88b without Gold.

Further support for the involvement of a non-gold-catalysed fluorination step from allenyl acetate intermediates in the rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation process was provided by
compound 88o. This species was isolated in 29% yield during the attempted preparation of the corresponding propargyl acetate 85o from 1-octyne and benzophenone according to the procedure discussed in Section 2.4 (Scheme 2.18). The formation of 88o presumably results from a spontaneous [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of 85o under the reaction conditions.

Reacting this substrate with Selectfluor (2 eq) under the optimised conditions at 40°C without SIPrAuCl or AgOTf, led smoothly to the corresponding α-fluoroenone 92o in 73% isolated yield within 3.5 hours (Scheme 2.19). Furthermore, when this reaction was repeated in the presence of SIPrAuCl (1, 5 mol%) and AgOTf (12.5 mol%), 92o was delivered in a lower yield of 58%.

Scheme 2.18 Preparation of Allenyl Acetate 88o.

Scheme 2.19 Fluorination of Allenyl Acetate 88o With and Without Gold.
These control reactions imply that a mechanism consisting of an initial gold-catalysed formal [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of the propargyl acetate followed by a direct electrophilic fluorination of the allenyl acetate intermediate not mediated by gold is at least feasible under the optimised conditions (Scheme 2.15, Path I). This mechanistic pathway is also consistent with the (E)-diastereoselectivity observed throughout this study. As demonstrated for allenyl acetate 88b in Scheme 2.20, the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor is expected to approach the allene preferentially from the least hindered face leading to α-fluoroenone products with (E)-geometry. This rationale is supported by the 91:9 E/Z selectivity observed in the direct fluorination reaction of allenyl acetate 88b with Selectfluor (Scheme 2.17).

Scheme 2.20 Preferential Formation of (E)-92b via Direct Electrophilic Fluorination of 88b.

In the related [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-halodeacetylation reactions of propargyl acetates in the presence of electrophilic sources of iodine and bromine, a general preference for the (Z)-α-haloenones 90 and 91 was observed.\(^{[80-81]}\) The halogenation step in these processes was proposed to occur via the direct halodeauration of a vinylgold(I) intermediate of type 89 proceeding with retention of stereochemistry in a mechanism analogous to Path II in Scheme 2.14. The (Z)-selectivity of the process was then explained by the preferential formation of the (Z)-diastereoisomer of complex 89. This species is expected to experience
less steric clashing than the corresponding (E)-isomer by virtue of the comparatively long carbon-gold bond length.\textsuperscript{(80-81)} (Z)-Vinylgold(I) intermediates of this type have been proposed as reactive intermediates in a number of related gold-catalysed transformations of propargyl acetates.\textsuperscript{(92-95)} By analogy, therefore, if Paths II or III (Scheme 2.14) were operative, the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation of propargyl acetates would be expected to proceed with preferential (Z)-diastereoselectivity rather than the (E)-selectivity observed throughout the study (Scheme 2.21).

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{Scheme_2.21.png}
\end{center}

\textbf{Scheme 2.21} Preferential Formation of (Z)-\(\alpha\)-Fluorenones via Path II or III.

Taken together, the control reactions with allenyl acetate intermediates and the consistent (E)-diastereoselectivity of the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation reaction mitigate in favour of the mechanism shown in Scheme 2.22. In this process, the gold catalyst is not directly involved in C-F bond formation and merely delivers an allenyl acetate intermediate amenable to direct electrophilic fluorination with Selectfluor. It should be noted that, although this mechanism appears to be favoured under these conditions, gold-mediated fluorination processes such as Path II and Path III (Scheme 2.14) could be operating as minor pathways. Indeed, the direct electrophilic fluorination of allenyl acetates \textbf{88} with Selectfluor is seemingly a highly favourable process, out-competing conventional protodeacetylation under these conditions.
While we were preparing the results of this study for publication, a paper appeared in the literature by de Haro and Nevado reporting the same gold-catalysed (E)-selective [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation of propargyl acetates performed in the presence of Selectfluor. In this report, a Au$^I$/Au$^{III}$ redox mechanism analogous to Path III in Scheme 2.14 involving a C-F bond-forming reductive elimination was proposed although no evidence in support of this pathway was provided. Whilst the oxidative fluorination of gold(I) to gold(III) by electrophilic fluorinating reagents has been demonstrated in the literature, C-F bond forming reductive elimination from the resulting 5d$^8$ gold(III) fluoride complex was not observed. The analogous reductive elimination from 4d$^8$ palladium(II) complexes is a notoriously challenging operation with unambiguous C-F bond formation only recently being disclosed by Buchwald and co-workers.

---

$^1$ Gold-catalysed reactions involving proposed Au$^I$/Au$^{III}$ redox cycles performed in the presence of electrophilic fluorinating reagents are discussed more thoroughly in Chapter 3 of this thesis.
A similar Au$^{I}$/Au$^{III}$ redox mechanism was proposed by the same group in a more recent study focusing on the gold-catalysed alkoxylation-fluorination of simple alkynes in the presence of Selectfluor (Scheme 2.23).$^{[99]}$ Control reactions performed in the absence of gold, however, showed that a direct electrophilic fluorination of the proposed enol ether intermediate by Selectfluor was feasible under the reaction conditions. Adding 5 mol% of the gold(I) catalyst PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$ (2) to this reaction led to an increase in the yield of the α-fluoroacetal products from 65% to 80% suggesting that gold could have a role to play in the fluorination process. However, further studies are required to determine the origins of this increase in the reaction efficiency.

![Scheme 2.23 Alkoxylation-Fluorination of Alkynes with Selectfluor.](image)

**2.7 Conclusions**

In summary, an investigation into the effect of electrophilic fluorinating reagents on the gold-catalysed formal [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of propargyl acetates 85 led to the discovery of a novel reaction pathway leading to α-fluoroenones 92. The combination of the N-heterocyclic carbene stabilised gold(I) catalyst SIPrAuCl (1) and AgOTf led to the highest yields of the fluorinated products whilst Selectfluor (82) was identified as the fluorinating reagent of choice. Unsymmetrical propargyl acetates typically reacted with
moderate to high levels of diastereoselectivity with the (E)-geometric isomers (E)-92 being preferentially formed in all cases. Under the optimised reaction conditions, α-fluoroenones 92 were generally afforded in good to excellent yields whilst the easy separation of the (E) and (Z) isomers upon silica gel column chromatography allowed for the isolation of the fluorinated products as diastereoisomerically-pure compounds. The propargyl acetate starting materials 85 were readily prepared in a one-pot procedure from widely-available stock chemicals and, consequently, this methodology represents a mild and operationally simple two-step route to these fluorinated building blocks.

The (E)-diastereoselectivity of the process as well as control reactions with allene intermediates 88 suggest that the gold catalyst is not directly involved in the fluorination step in this process. Instead gold(I) most likely catalyses the initial [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement process delivering an allenyl acetate intermediate amenable to direct electrophilic fluorination with Selectfluor.
Chapter 3

Gold and F⁺:
Gold-Catalysed Oxidative Coupling I – Intramolecular Coupling

3.1 Introduction

Although the gold(I)-catalysed rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation of propargyl acetates presented in Chapter 2 of this thesis was synthetically successful, mechanistic studies indicated that the fluorination step in this process was not itself mediated by gold. Instead, the direct electrophilic fluorination of an allenyl acetate intermediate by Selectfluor \( \text{82} \) was found to be the most likely C-F bond-forming pathway, out-competing any potential gold-mediated fluorination. Consequently, in order to investigate the feasibility of fluorodeauration as a means of C-F bond-formation, our attention turned to alternative reaction systems not proceeding via intermediates susceptible to direct electrophilic fluorination in the presence of an “F⁺” source. Following a survey of the literature, we identified the gold-catalysed 5-endo-trig cyclisation of tert-buyl allenoates \( \text{102} \) as a promising transformation for further study (Scheme 3.1). This allene hydrofunctionalisation process, which was first reported by Shin and co-workers in 2005, delivers γ-butenolides \( \text{103} \) in generally high yields from the corresponding allenoates using \( \text{AuCl}_3 \) as catalyst.

![Scheme 3.1 Cyclisation of tert-Butyl Allenoates \( \text{102} \).](image)

\[ \text{R}^1=\text{H} \quad \text{R}^2=\text{H} \]

\[ \text{AuCl}_3 (5\text{mol%}) \quad \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, 80°C \quad 10 \text{min}-20\text{h} \]

\[ \text{103} \quad 32-96\% \]
A general mechanism for this process is shown in Scheme 3.2. In the first step, the \( \pi \)-Lewis acidic gold(III) coordinates the allene moiety in 102, activating it towards intramolecular attack of the pendant ester oxygen. At this stage, loss of the tert-butyl cation delivers the (butenolide)gold complex 104, which can then undergo protodeauration to afford the \( \gamma \)-butenolide product 103 and regenerate the gold catalyst.

**Scheme 3.2 General Mechanism for the Cyclisation of tert-Butyl Allenoates.**

Support for a mechanism involving (butenolide)gold complexes of type 104 was provided by Hammond and co-workers in 2008.\(^{101-102}\) Performing the related gold-mediated cyclisation of ethyl allenoates 105 in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of the gold(I) source PPh₃AuCl and AgOTf allowed for the isolation and full characterisation of (butenolide)gold complexes 104 featuring a [PPh₃Au] moiety (Scheme 3.3). Treating these species with \( \text{para} \)-toluenesulfonic acid led smoothly to the corresponding protodeaured \( \gamma \)-butenolides 103 whilst selective iododeauration to afford \( \beta \)-iodo-\( \gamma \)-butenolides 106 was observed in the presence of iodine.
As part of our study into the effect of electrophilic fluorinating reagents on gold-catalysed nucleophilic addition reactions to alkynes and allenes, we sought to investigate whether the protodeauration step in this process could be rerouted in favour of fluorodeauration leading to β-fluoro-γ-butenolides 107 in the presence of an “F⁺” source. Whilst the γ-butenolide motif features prominently in several natural products,[103] synthetic routes to fluorinated analogues of these compounds are scarce. In addition, the possibility of preparing the proposed vinylgold intermediate independently would enable any observed fluorodeauration step to be investigated directly.

In the following two chapters, the results of our investigation into the effect of electrophilic fluorinating reagents on the gold-catalysed 5-endo-trig cyclisation of tert-butyl allenoates 102 are presented. This study led to the discovery of an unexpected reactivity pathway not leading to fluorinated products (Scheme 3.4).
Some of the work in this section was conducted in collaboration with Andrew Salisbury (Part II, University of Oxford, 2008)\textsuperscript{104} and Dr. Arnaud Tessier (University of Oxford).\textsuperscript{105}

### 3.2 Cyclisation-Intramolecular Arylation of Benzyl-Substituted tert-Butyl Allenoates

Some of the work in this section was conducted in collaboration with Andrew Salisbury (Part II, University of Oxford, 2008)\textsuperscript{104} and Dr. Arnaud Tessier (University of Oxford).\textsuperscript{105}

#### 3.2.1 Preliminary Studies

The benzyl-substituted tert-butyl allenolate 102b was selected as the test substrate for use in the gold catalysis reactions and was prepared as a mixture with its alkyne isomer 108b according to literature procedures from 3-phenylpropanoyl chloride and tert-butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate.\textsuperscript{100} Attempts to separate 102b from 108b or isomerise the alkyne proved unsuccessful with only partial enrichment possible upon very careful silica gel column chromatography (see Section 3.2.4 for more details). Reacting this mixture (102b:108b = 2.2:1) with AuCl (5 mol\%) in dichloromethane (0.15M) for 16 hours led smoothly to the corresponding protodeaurated γ-butenolide 103b in 90% yield relative to the allenolate (Scheme 3.5a).\textsuperscript{m} The alkyne contaminant 108b, which could be prepared independently in >95% yield according to the procedure of Fu et al.,\textsuperscript{106} was inert under the reaction conditions and was completely recovered after 24 hours at room temperature. (Scheme 3.5b).

\textsuperscript{m}The amounts were calculated with respect to the combined amount of 102b and alkyne 108b.
Chapter 3: Gold and F+: Gold-Catalysed Oxidative Coupling I – Intramolecular Coupling

Scheme 3.5 Cyclisation of Benzyl-Substituted tert-Butyl Allenoate 102b.

Having validated the conventional cyclisation-protodeauration reaction with this substrate, our attention turned to investigating the effect of electrophilic fluorinating reagents on the gold(I)-catalysed process. Thus, allenoate 102b (as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne 108b, 102b:108b = 2.6:1) was reacted with AuCl (5 mol%) and 2.5 equivalents of Selectfluor (82) in acetonitrile (0.15M) at room temperature. After 24 hours, complete consumption of the allenoate was observed and the reaction was filtered through Celite. $^1$H NMR Analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated that the protodeaured $\gamma$-butenolide 103b was not formed during the reaction whilst the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum showed no peaks consistent with an organofluorine compound. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel led to the isolation of three compounds, formed in 36%, 20% and 15% yield, relative to the allenoate, respectively (Scheme 3.6). The major product, formed in 36% yield, was the tricyclic indeno[2,1-b]furanone 109b whilst the separable bibutenolide diastereoisomers (±)-(2S,2'S)-110b and (2R,2'S)-110b were formed as minor side-products. Compounds 109b and 110b were fully characterised by NMR, IR and mass spectrometry with the identity of each species being unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 3.1). A

$^a$The amounts were calculated with respect to the combined amount of 102b and alkene 108b.
control experiment with the pure alkyne 108b resulted in complete decomposition of the starting material with no formation of 109b or 110b.

Scheme 3.6 Cyclisation of Allenoate 102b Performed in the Presence of Selectfluor.

Figure 3.1 X-Ray Crystal Structures of Compounds 109b and 110b.

Compounds 109b and 110b both result from cascade processes where the initial 5-endo-trig allenoate cyclisation is followed by oxidative coupling. In the case of the diastereoisomeric
bibutenolides (±)-(2S,2'S)-110b and (2R,2'S)-110b, the conventional protodeauration pathway observed in the absence of Selectfluor is rerouted in favour of oxidative homodimerisation leading to the formation of a new carbon-carbon bond between two butenolide fragments.\(^9\) The formation of the major indenofuranone product 109b can be explained by an oxidative cross-coupling process where the carbon-oxygen bond-forming allenoate cyclisation is followed by arylation with the intramolecular benzyl group (Scheme 3.7). Compounds of type 109b are surprisingly scarce in the literature although the structural core bears similarities with that of several cadinane sesquiterpenoids isolated from *Heritiera littoralis.*\(^{[107]}\)

\[\text{Scheme 3.7 Cascade Cyclisation-Oxidative Intramolecular Arylation of Allenoate 102b.}\]

In order to confirm that the formation of compounds 109b and 110b was not mediated by the electrophilic fluorinating reagent alone, allenoate 102b was reacted under the same conditions in the absence of AuCl. This control experiment led to only recovered starting material after 5 days implying that the cascade cyclisation-oxidative coupling reaction requires the presence of both Selectfluor and gold in order to proceed (Scheme 3.8a). Furthermore, \(\gamma\)-butenolide 103b was unreactive when treated with AuCl (5 mol\%) and Selectfluor (2.5 eq) at room temperature for 4 days suggesting that the cyclisation-protodeauration product is not an intermediate in the oxidative coupling process (Scheme 3.8b).

\(^9\) The cascade cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation of allenoates is discussed more thoroughly Chapter 4 of this thesis.
The apparent ability of Selectfluor to promote gold-catalysed oxidative coupling reactions is potentially very significant. As previously discussed, M\(^{n+}/M\(^{n+2}\) redox cycles of the type usually implicated in homo- and cross-coupling reactions mediated by other late transition metals are not generally accessible to gold catalysts by virtue of the comparatively high redox potential of the Au\(^{I}/Au\(^{III}\) couple (E\(^0\) = +1.41 V).\(^{[1]}\) In particular, the oxidative addition of organic halides or equivalents commonly observed in palladium-catalysed coupling reactions is challenging for gold(I) and has only been unambiguously validated for electron-rich alkylgold(I) complexes with simple alkyl iodides.\(^{[108-113]}\) Moreover, whilst gold(I)-catalysed analogues of the Suzuki-Miyaura and Sonogashira reactions have been reported with aryl iodides,\(^{[114-118]}\) the involvement of Au\(^{I}/Au\(^{III}\) redox cycles in these processes has been the subject of debate.\(^{[119]}\) A recent report by Corma and co-workers suggested that heterogeneous gold nanoparticles formed upon in situ decomposition of the gold(I) source could be responsible for the observed reactivity in these processes.\(^{[120]}\) Over the last few years, however, a handful of homo- and cross-coupling reactions mediated by gold catalysts have appeared in the literature.\(^{[121-124]}\) The majority of these transformations are thought to proceed via Au\(^{I}/Au\(^{III}\) redox cycles of the type shown in
Scheme 3.9 where the key oxidation of gold(I) to gold(III) is performed by a strong external oxidant present in the reaction mixture.

In oxidative transformations of this type, each coupling partner coordinates to the high oxidation state metal via a redox-neutral “ligand exchange” process such as transmetalation to afford a doubly-organic substituted gold(III) complex amenable to reductive elimination. Whilst gold may react with organometallic reagents commonly used in palladium catalysed coupling reactions (eg. arylboronic acids), one or both coupling partners may instead coordinate to the metal following an organic transformation mediated by gold. In particular, the well-established ability of gold(III) to selectively react with aryl C-H bonds under mild conditions has been exploited in the development of gold-catalysed coupling reactions of non-activated arenes. Alternatively, oxidative homo- or cross-coupling can replace protodeauration as the last step in gold-catalysed nucleophilic addition reactions to carbon-carbon multiple bonds. Cascade reactions of this type lead to the formation of two new bonds across the alkyne, allene or alkene. The first bond is constructed upon nucleophilic addition mediated by gold acting in its traditional role as a soft π-Lewis acid whilst the second bond results from a AuI/AuIII redox process. As such, this potentially powerful
methodology could allow for the preparation of a wide-range of synthetically appealing organic compounds in a time-efficient and step-economical manner (Scheme 3.10).

Scheme 3.10 Cascade C-H Functionalisation/Nucleophilic Addition-Oxidative Coupling Reactions.

3.2.2 Literature Examples of Gold-Mediated Oxidative Coupling using Alternative External Oxidants

Building on seminal earlier work using stoichiometric gold(III), a number of gold-catalysed oxidative coupling reactions have been reported in the last few years using a range of external oxidants.\[121-124\] In this section, the development of these processes is discussed focusing on the mechanistic aspects of each transformation. In the first part, oxidative arylation processes involving the direct C-H bond functionalisation of non-activated arenes are presented. This is followed by a summary of gold-mediated oxidative coupling reactions where one or both coupling partners are derived from a nucleophilic addition to a C-C multiple bond.

3.2.2.1 Coupling Reactions Involving Aryl C-H Bond Functionalisation

The direct functionalisation of an aryl C-H bond by gold(III) was first reported in 1931 by Kharash and Isbell.\[52\] Reacting AuCl$_3$ with benzene at room temperature led, after a few minutes, to the formation of a red solution with the evolution of hydrogen chloride gas. Leaving the mixture for a few minutes longer resulted in the precipitation of yellow gold(I)
chloride, which was collected by filtration in 98% yield relative to AuCl₃. Analysis of the filtrate revealed the presence of the oxidative chlorination product, chlorobenzene (Scheme 3.11). The formation of this compound can be rationalised by a mechanism involving C-Cl bond-forming reductive elimination from the arylgold(III) complex 111, generated upon Friedel-Crafts-type arylation of gold(III) by benzene. This species could be isolated in 18% yield upon quenching the reaction with diethyl ether prior to the precipitation of AuCl. The generation of arylgold(III) complexes directly from non-activated arenes is a remarkable transformation. Unlike many aryl C-H bond functionalisation processes mediated by other transition metals, this arylation step occurs after just a few minutes at room temperature and does not require the presence of a directing group on the arene.[125] Notably, when the oxidative chlorination reaction was performed in the presence of chlorine gas, chlorobenzene could be produced using catalytic amounts of gold(III). In this process, Cl₂ could be acting as a stoichiometric external oxidant, converting the AuCl formed upon reductive elimination back to AuCl₃.

![Scheme 3.11 Oxidative Chlorination of Benzene.](image)

A similar aromatic arylation was reported by Constable and co-workers upon heating the 6-(2''-thienyl)-2,2'-bipyridine ligand (Htbpy, 112) with Na[AuCl₄] in acetonitrile/water.[126-127] Selective C-H bond functionalisation at the 5'-position of the thiophene ring was observed leading to the dimeric complex 113 after 5 hours at 100°C (Scheme 3.12a). Reacting the related 2-(2'-thienyl)pyridine ligand (Hthpy, 114) under similar conditions led instead to the formation of a range of gold complexes and free ligands including Clthpy (115) and pttp.
These compounds result from oxidative chlorination and homodimerisation at the 5'-position of Hthpy respectively. This latter C(sp$^3$)-C(sp$^3$) bond-forming pathway is thought to proceed via reductive elimination from a doubly-organic substituted gold(III) complex 117 formed either upon sequential C-H functionalisation of two Hthpy ligands or via transmetalation between gold complexes.

![Scheme 3.12](image)

Scheme 3.12 a) Auration at the 5' Position of Hthpy (112). b) Oxidative Chlorination and Homodimerisation of Hthpy (114).

Carbon-carbon bond-forming reductive elimination from gold(III) complexes has been demonstrated by a number of research groups. This elementary step is thought to proceed via a dissociative mechanism where one ligand around the metal is lost to afford a three-coordinate species prior to C-C bond-formation (Scheme 3.13). For example, the release of ethane from dimethylgold(III) complexes of the form [PR$_3$AuMe$_2$X] (R = alkyl, aryl) occurs at a much faster rate with less strongly-coordinating phosphine ligands and counter-ions X. C-C bonds have also been formed between (sp$^3$)- and (sp)-hybridised carbons in this manner.
The ability of gold(III) salts to homodimerise aromatic compounds was exploited by Lippert et al in 1999. The nucleobase dimer 118 was formed in 22% isolated yield upon treating 1,3-dimethyluracil 119 with one equivalent of Na[AuCl₄].2H₂O in water at 40°C (Scheme 3.14). The intermediacy of a selectively aurated (5-uracilyl)gold(III) complex in this process was supported by the isolation of the related complex 120 when trans-K[Au(CN)₂Cl₂] was used as the gold(III) source. This species decomposed slowly over 3 months to afford the corresponding 5-cyano-nucleobase via C(sp²)-C(sp) bond-forming reductive elimination.

A second carbon-carbon bond was formed between the two porphyrin groups in nickel(II) complex 121 upon treatment with one equivalent of AuCl₃ and 6 equivalents of AgOTf (Scheme 3.15). Reacting the product 122 with another equivalent of gold(III) resulted in the formation of a third linkage between the two macrocyclic units.
The first oxidative arene homodimerisation reaction performed in the presence of catalytic amounts of gold was reported by Tse and co-workers in 2008.\textsuperscript{[147]} The key to catalytic turnover in this process was the use of PhI(OAc)\textsubscript{2} (PIDA) as a sacrificial external oxidant. Reacting non-activated benzene-derivatives 123 in the presence of the oxidant and 2 mol\% of H[AuCl\textsubscript{4}] in acetic acid at 55-95\(^\circ\)C led to the corresponding biaryls 124 in generally moderate to good yields relative to PIDA (Scheme 3.16). The oxidative homocoupling of non-activated arenes represents an elegant alternative to the palladium-catalysed Suzuki-Miyaura reactions typically used to synthesise biaryls. Unlike the gold-catalysed reaction, these latter processes require the pre-activation of each aryl coupling partner as either an aryl halide or boronic acid prior to coupling. The H[AuCl\textsubscript{4}]-catalysed homodimerisation reaction was successful with a range of arenes 123 bearing both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating substituents. Notably, aryl iodides and bromides reacted to form the corresponding biaryls with no loss of the halogen functionality. The regioselectivity of the coupling process is consistent with a mechanism involving arylgold(III) intermediates formed upon Friedel-Crafts-type attack of the arene on gold(III). Reductive elimination from a diarylgold(III) complex formed upon Friedel-Crafts auration of a second arene or transmetalation between arylgold complexes best explains the formation of the biaryl products 124. The gold(I) formed during this process is then oxidised back to the catalytically active gold(III) by PIDA to complete the catalytic cycle.
Extending this methodology to oxidative cross-coupling raises the additional challenge of controlling selectivity for the cross-coupled product over the homodimers of each coupling partner. Coupling methodologies involving an oxidative addition step have an inherent selectivity for the cross-coupled product by virtue of the different methods by which each coupling partner coordinates to the metal centre. Coupling can only occur after oxidative addition to afford a M$^{n+2}$ species has occurred whilst a second such process from the high oxidation state complex is generally not possible. In the case of oxidative coupling reactions where the key oxidation of M$^n$ to M$^{n+2}$ in the cycle is performed by an external oxidant, both coupling partners coordinate to the metal via a redox-neutral “ligand exchange” process. As such, if the rates of “ligand exchange” of both fragments are not significantly different to each other at both coordination stages, homocoupling can occur via the same mechanism as cross-coupling without the requirement for intermolecular scrambling between M$^{n+2}$ complexes. For example, reacting two separate arenes under the optimised H[AuCl₄] catalysed coupling conditions described above led to mixtures of cross-coupled and homocoupled biaryls (Scheme 3.17).\textsuperscript{[148]}
An oxidative cross-coupling reaction between terminal alkynes and stoichiometric arylgold(III) complexes was reported by Fuchita and co-workers in 2001.\textsuperscript{1140} Reacting para-xylene with gold(III) chloride in hexane at room temperature led to the isolable (para-xylyl)gold(III) species 125 in 39\% yield upon addition of 2,6-lutidine. Treating this complex with phenylacetylene in tetrahydrofuran at 50ºC led to the corresponding diarylacetylene 126 in 94\% yield after 5 hours (Scheme 3.18a). Recently, Limbach and co-workers reported the selective amination of arylgold(III) complexes of type 125 with a variety of primary and secondary amines (Scheme 3.18b).\textsuperscript{1150} The presence of sodium acetate as a basic additive was found to be essential for appreciable formation of the aniline products.

Scheme 3.17 Competing Homocoupling and Cross-Coupling of Non-Activated Arenes.

Scheme 3.18 a) Oxidative Alkynylation and b) Oxidative Amination of Arylgold(III) Complexes 125.
In 2003, Reetz and co-workers attempted to develop a catalytic variant of the alkyynylation process from non-activated arenes and alkynes.\textsuperscript{[151]} However, after screening several strong oxidants, only styrene products resulting from redox-neutral alkyne hydroarylation could be isolated from the reaction mixtures. In 2010, de Haro and Nevado revisited this chemistry using PIDA as a stoichiometric external oxidant.\textsuperscript{[152]} In the presence of this reagent, internal acetylene 127a could be furnished in 81\% yield from 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene 128a and methylpropiolate using just 5 mol\% of the gold(I) catalyst PPh$_3$AuCl (Scheme 3.19). Notably, no products resulting from hydroarylation of the alkyne\textsuperscript{[151]} or homodimerisation of the arene\textsuperscript{[147]} or alkyne\textsuperscript{[116]} were observed. The preparation of internal acetylenes 127 directly from non-activated arenes and terminal alkynes via C-H bond functionalisation of both components is a remarkable transformation. In contrast to the palladium-catalysed Sonogashira reaction, this alkyynylation process does not require the pre-activation of the arene coupling partner whilst no copper co-catalyst is required to activate the alkyne. The scope of arene and alkyne coupling partners also complements that observed in the palladium-catalysed process. The combination of electron-rich arenes and electron-deficient alkynes led to the best cross-coupling yields whilst aromatic compounds without electron-donating groups and alkynes without ester or ketone substituents were generally unreactive.

Two plausible mechanisms for this transformation are shown in Scheme 3.20. Both pathways involve the initial formation of the alkynyln gold(I) complex 129, which was
observed by $^1$H and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy. Oxidation of this species by PIDA followed by a Friedel-Crafts-type ligand exchange with the arene would lead to the gold(III) complex 130 bearing both the aryl and alkynyl coupling partners. Reductive elimination from this species would then deliver the cross-coupled product 127 and regenerate gold(I) (Scheme 3.20, Path I). Alternatively, the alkynyl ligand in complex 129 could instead act as nucleophile leading to the gold(I)-activated (alkynyl)iodonium salt 131. Friedel-Crafts-type attack of the arene onto the alkyne would then lead to the vinylgold(I) complex 132 which could deliver the product upon β-elimination (Scheme 3.20, Path II). This latter pathway does not involve a Au$^+/$Au$^{III}$ redox cycle.

![Scheme 3.20 Plausible Mechanisms for the Oxidative Alkynylation of Non-activated Arenes 128.](image)

A similar gold(I)-catalysed alkynylation process of non-activated indoles, pyrroles and thiophenes was reported by Waser and co-workers (Scheme 3.21).\textsuperscript{[153-154]} In these reactions, the alkynyl coupling partner is derived from the (alkynyl)iodonium salt 133. As in the arene alkynylation reaction discussed above, a π-activation mechanism involving Friedel-Crafts
attack of the heteroarene onto compound 133 followed by β-elimination could explain the observed reactivity. Alternatively, the iodonium salt could react with gold(I) in an oxidative addition process leading to a Au\(^{II}/Au^{III}\) redox cycle. Further mechanistic studies are required to determine which pathway is operating.

![Scheme 3.21](image)

**Scheme 3.21** Oxidative Alkynylation of Heteroarenes with (Alkynyl)iodonium Salt 133.

### 3.2.2.2 Cascade Nucleophilic Addition-Oxidative Coupling Reactions

In all the transformations discussed in the previous section, competitive protodeauration serves only to regenerate the arene starting materials and coupling can proceed without significant side-reactions. However, when one or both coupling partners are derived from a gold-mediated nucleophilic addition to a carbon-carbon multiple bond, reductive elimination from a suitably substituted organogold(III) complex must favourably compete with protodeauration in order for coupled products to be observed (Scheme 3.22).

![Scheme 3.22](image)

**Scheme 3.22** Competition between Protodeauration and Oxidative Coupling in Gold(III)-Mediated Nucleophilic Addition Reactions to C-C Multiple Bonds.
Competitive oxidative homocoupling during a gold(III)-catalysed nucleophilic addition reaction was first observed by Hashmi and co-workers in 2006.\textsuperscript{[155]} Reacting the allenyl carbinol 134 with 5 mol\% of AuCl\(_3\) in acetonitrile at room temperature led to the formation of two products. Whilst the major species, formed in 47\% yield, was the expected cyclisation-protodeauration product 135, the dihydrofuran dimer 136 was also isolated in 10\% yield (Scheme 3.23).

![Scheme 3.23 Cyclisation-Homocoupling of Allenyl Carbinol 134.](image)

The formation of this compound can be rationalised by the mechanism shown in Scheme 3.24. After coordination of gold(III) to the allene, nucleophilic attack of the pendant alcohol would lead to the vinylgold(III) species 137. Protodeauration at this stage delivers the major dihydrofuran product 135 and regenerates gold(III). Alternatively, complex 137 can react with another molecule of the allenyl carbinol 134 to afford the doubly organic substituted gold(III) species 138. This intermediate could instead result from transmetalation of a dihydrofuran motif between organogold complexes 137. C(sp\(^2\))-C(sp\(^2\)) Bond-forming reductive elimination from 138 would then furnish the minor coupled product 136 and gold(I). The 10\% yield of dimer 136 observed in this process is consistent with the above mechanism as one equivalent of the AuCl\(_3\) catalyst is converted into gold(I) for every two molecules of allenyl carbinol converted into dimer 136. Increasing the amount of gold(III) from 5 mol\% to 15 mol\% resulted in an increase in the yield of the coupled product to 23\%. 
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Scheme 3.24 Plausible Mechanism for the Cyclisation-Homodimerisation of Allenyl Carbinol 134.

Related cascade nucleophilic addition-homodimerisation reactions performed in the presence of sub-stoichiometric gold(III) were reported by the groups of Fustero[156] and Pale.[157] Treating the gem-difluorinated propargylic amide 139 with gold(III) bromide (30 mol%, Scheme 3.25a) in dichloromethane led to the dibrominated diene 140 in 46% yield. Alkene 141, resulting from a conventional hydrofunctionalisation process was isolated in only 4% yield from this reaction. Similarly, dienol lactone 142 could be synthesised directly from the corresponding alkynoic acid as the only isolable product via a cascade cyclisation-oxidative dimerisation process upon treatment with AuCl₃ (10 mol%, Scheme 3.25b). The observed yield of 40% for the homocoupling product 142 in this process is not consistent with a mechanism of the type shown in Scheme 3.24 based on a 10 mol% loading of gold(III).

The first cascade nucleophilic addition-oxidative homodimerisation reaction performed using catalytic amounts of gold was reported by Wegner and co-workers in 2008 upon reacting arylpropionic esters 143 with H[AuCl₄] (5 mol%). [158] tert-Butyl hydroperoxide (5 eq) was identified as the external oxidant of choice for this transformation, delivering dicoumarins 144 in up to 67% isolated yield after 24 hours at 60°C (Scheme 3.26). In this process, gold mediates the formation of two new carbon-carbon bonds across the alkyne. Under these conditions, however, the conventional hydrofunctionalisation process could not be completely suppressed and coumarins 145 were formed as minor side-products.

Scheme 3.26 Cyclisation-Oxidative Homodimerisation of Arylpropionic Esters 143.

A feasible mechanism for this reaction is shown in Scheme 3.27. The vinylgold(III) intermediate 146 formed upon initial 6-endo-dig cyclisation of the starting material may undergo protodeauration to afford the coumarin product 145 and regenerate gold(III).
Alternatively this species could react with another molecule of 143 or undergo transmetalation with another (coumarin)gold complex to generate the doubly-substituted organogold(III) intermediate 147. Reductive elimination from this species would then deliver the C(sp$^2$)-C(sp$^2$) bonded product 144 and one equivalent of gold(I). The catalytic cycle is then completed by the re-oxidation of low valent metal back to gold(III) by tert-butyl hydroperoxide.

![Proposed Mechanism for the Cyclisation-Oxidative Homodimerisation of Arylpropionic Esters](image)

**Scheme 3.27** Proposed Mechanism for the Cyclisation-Oxidative Homodimerisation of Arylpropionic Esters.

The same research group reported a similar cascade cyclisation-homodimerisation process upon reacting *ortho*-alkynylphenols 148 with H[AuCl$_4$].$^{[159]}$ With these substrates, PIDA proved to be the most successful oxidant, delivering 3,3'-bis(arylbenzofurans) 149 in up to 37% yield (Scheme 3.28). The low efficiency of this process can be explained in part by competitive oxidation of the phenol starting materials by PIDA leading to quinone side-products.

![Cyclisation-Oxidative Homodimerisation of *ortho*-Alkynylphenols](image)

**Scheme 3.28** Cyclisation-Oxidative Homodimerisation of *ortho*-Alkynylphenols.
In 2009, Iglesias and Muñiz reported the oxidative diamination of alkenes 150 using a gold(I) catalyst in the presence of PIDA.[160] In this process, an initial gold-mediated 5-exo-trig cyclisation is followed by oxidative amination with the pendant urea nitrogen. Upon treatment with PPh$_3$AuCl/AgOAc (7.5 mol%) and 1.5 equivalents of the oxidant, alkenes 150 afforded a range of cyclic 1,2-diamines 151 in generally excellent yields (Scheme 3.29a). Notably, no products resulting either from protodeauration or from β-hydride elimination of an alkylgold intermediate were observed under these conditions. Whilst β-hydride elimination is commonly observed with other late transition metals, alkylgold complexes do not generally demetalate via this pathway. As a result, gold-catalysed homo- and cross-coupling reactions with sp$^3$-hybridised organic fragments can proceed in high selectivity without competition from elimination-derived side-reactions.

The oxidative diamination of the deuterated substrate 150e led smoothly to the diastereoisomerically pure cyclic urea 151e in 90% yield (Scheme 3.29b). The formation of this product is consistent with a mechanism involving an initial trans-aminoauration step followed by an oxidative coupling step proceeding with inversion of stereochemistry (eg. via S$_N$2-type nucleophilic substitution). Alternatively, an oxidative coupling process occurring with retention of stereochemistry (eg. via reductive elimination from gold(III)) could follow a syn-aminoauration step.
A reaction mechanism involving the \textit{in situ} oxidation of an alkylgold(I) intermediate by PIDA was supported by NMR studies. Treating $\text{PPh}_3\text{AuMe}$ 152 with PIDA in dichloromethane led to the formation of a 9:1 mixture of two organogold complexes within 40 minutes at room temperature. Although these species escaped isolation, analysis of the crude NMR spectra enabled their assignment as the \textit{cis}- and \textit{trans}-isomers of the gold(III) complex 153. Subjecting this mixture to a nitrogen nucleophile in the form of urea 154 led to the formation of the expected $N$-methylated species 155 in 84\% yield after 5 minutes (Scheme 3.30).

Although the field of gold-catalysed oxidative coupling remains in its infancy, the examples reported over the last couple of years demonstrate the potential of this methodology to...
enable the rapid construction of complex organic molecules. In particular, the ability of gold(III) to selectively functionalise aryl C-H bonds under mild conditions has allowed for oxidative homocoupling and alkynylation reactions to be performed with non-activated aryl coupling partners. Organogold complexes formed upon nucleophilic attack onto a gold-activated carbon-carbon multiple bond may also engage in oxidative homocoupling and cross-coupling reactions. These processes combine the ‘traditional’ reactivity of gold as a π-Lewis acid with oxidative coupling in a single transformation involving the formation of two carbon-carbon or carbon-heteroatom bonds across the alkyne, allene or alkene.

The cyclisation-intramolecular arylation process observed upon treating the benzyl-substituted tert-butyl allenolate 102b with catalytic AuCl in the presence of Selectfluor (82) (see Section 3.2.1) combines both of these activation pathways in a single coupling process. The butenolide coupling partner is delivered as a result of a 5-endo-trig cyclisation onto the gold-activated allene whilst the aryl coupling partner is derived from the intramolecular non-activated arene via direct C(sp²)-H bond functionalisation (Figure 3.2).

![Figure 3.2](image)

**Figure 3.2** Oxidative Coupling between Coupling Partners Derived from Aryl C-H Functionalisation and Nucleophilic addition to an Allene.

In the remainder of this chapter, the development of this cascade cyclisation-intramolecular arylation reaction is discussed. Along with synthetic studies evaluating the scope and limitations of the gold-catalysed oxidative coupling reaction, this study also included an investigation of the reaction mechanism focused on determining the role of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent.
3.2.3 Optimisation of the Reaction Conditions

Having validated a gold-catalysed oxidative coupling process in the presence of Selectfluor, our attention turned to identifying optimised reaction conditions which maximised the yield of the indeno[2,1-f]benzofuranone products. Using the benzyl-substituted tert-butyl allenolate 102b as a model substrate, the efficiency of a range of catalysts was tested (Table 3.1).

![Reaction Scheme]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst, Solvent[a]</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Product Distribution (%)[b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>109b (±)-(2R,2'S)-110b (2S,2'S)-110b 103b 102b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AuCl (5 mol%), MeCN</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>45 (36) 30 (20) 25 (15) 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No Catalyst, MeCN</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AuCl$_3$ (5 mol%), MeCN</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>26 (20) 38 (29) 36 (15) 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SIPrAuNTf$_2$ (156, 5 mol%), MeCN</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PtCl$_2$ (5 mol%), MeCN</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 100[c]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AgOTf (5 mol%), MeCN</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 Trace 100[c]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CuOAc (5 mol%), MeCN</td>
<td>16h</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0[c][d]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$ (5 mol%), MeCN</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)$_2$ (5 mol%), MeCN</td>
<td>16h</td>
<td>0 45 (28) 55 (30) 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AuCl (10 mol%), MeCN</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>57 (56) 26 --- 17 --- 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] MeCN - acetonitrile, rt = room temperature

[b] All yields are given as mean values. The first number in parentheses is the yield of the major product, and the second number is the yield of the minor product.

[c] Yields determined by gas chromatography.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Selectivity</th>
<th>Isolated Yields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AuCl (10 mol%), MeCN, H2O (10 eq)</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>67 (58)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IPrAuCl (158, 10 mol%), AgOTf (12.5 mol%), MeCN, H2O (10 eq)</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>PPh3AuNTf2 (2, 10 mol%), MeCN, H2O (10 eq)</td>
<td>4h</td>
<td>80 (62)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Reaction conditions: allenoate 102b (1 eq, as a mixture with alkyne 108b:102b = 3.3:1), Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq). Solvent (0.15M), rt. The amounts were calculated with respect to the combined amount of 102b and alkyne 108b. [b] Product ratio based on 102b as a percentage estimated by 1H NMR on the crude reaction mixture. Isolated yields (relative to 102b) are displayed in parentheses where appropriate. [c] Complex reaction mixture. [d] Complete decomposition of 102b observed.

Table 3.1 Optimisation of the Catalyst.

In each reaction, the allenoate 102b (as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne 108b:102b = 3.3:1) was treated with 5 mol% of the catalyst and Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile at room temperature. The mixture was monitored by thin layer chromatography and filtered through Celite upon complete consumption of the allenoate or after 5 days. The product distribution was then determined by 1H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture whilst isolated yields were obtained where appropriate. For each reaction which led to isolable products, a control experiment with a pure sample of the alkyne impurity 108b was performed. Compounds 109b, 110b or 103b were formed in no case and consequently the isolated yields were calculated with respect to the amount of allenoate present. These values are displayed in parentheses in the table above.

In an initial experiment, the reaction was performed with gold(III) chloride (5 mol%) as catalyst. The oxidative coupling products 109b and 110b were delivered as the only isolable species under these conditions with no products resulting from cyclisation-protodeauration (103b) or cyclisation-fluorodeauration (107b) detectable by NMR or mass spectrometry (Table 3.1, Entry 3). In comparison to the AuCl-catalysed process (Table 3.1, Entry 1), however, a lower selectivity for the intramolecular cross-coupled product 109b was

---

The amounts were calculated with respect to the combined amount of 102b and alkyne 108b.
observed. Whilst $^1$H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated a 109b:110b ratio of 45:65 when the gold(I) salt was employed as catalyst, this ratio decreased to 26:74 in the presence of the gold(III) species. The $N$-heterocyclic carbene-stabilised gold(I) source SIPrAuCl (156) was not a suitable catalyst for the cascade cyclisation-oxidative coupling process. This complex, which was prepared in 90% yield from the corresponding chloride SIPrAuCl (1, Scheme 3.31),[161] afforded no cyclised products after 5 days at room temperature with only recovered starting material being detected by crude $^1$H NMR (Table 3.1, Entry 4).

![Scheme 3.31 Preparation of SIPrAuNTf$_2$ (156).](image)

Sources of platinum(II), silver(I) and copper(I) were similarly unsuitable catalysts for the cascade cyclisation-oxidative coupling reaction. With PtCl$_2$ (5 mol%), only recovered starting material could be detected after 5 days at room temperature with some decomposition of the allenoate observed (Table 3.1, Entry 5). A trace amount of the protodeaurated product 103b was afforded as the only cyclised compound when AgOTf (5 mol%) was employed as catalyst whilst complete decomposition of the allenoate was observed with CuOAc (5 mol%, Table 3.1, Entries 6-7). The Brønsted acid H$_2$SO$_4$ (5 mol%) led to recovered starting material with no cyclisation of the allenoate observed after 5 days at room temperature (Table 3.1, Entry 8). In contrast to these catalysts, the palladium(II) source Pd(OAc)$_2$ was more successful in mediating the allenoate cyclisation process. Treating allenoate 102b with 5 mol% of this salt in the presence of Selectfluor led smoothly
to the bibutenolides (±)-(2S,2'S)-110b and (2R,2'S)-110b in a combined isolated yield of 58\% after 16 hours at room temperature (Table 3.1, Entry 9). However, the desired cross-coupled indenofuranone product 109b was not observed under these conditions. The palladium(II)-catalysed cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation of allenic acids 157 under aerobic conditions to form bibutenolides 110 was previously reported by Ma and Yu in 2003 (Scheme 3.32).{superscript}{162-164}

![Scheme 3.32 Palladium(II)-Catalysed Cyclisation-Oxidative Homodimerisation of Allenic Acids.](image)

Having identified a gold(I) salt as the optimum catalyst for the preparation of the tricyclic product 109b, we then evaluated the effect of increasing the catalyst loading on the reaction efficiency. Performing the allenolate cyclisation reaction with 10 mol\% of AuCl in the presence of 2.5 equivalents of Selectfluor led to a greater selectivity for the cross-coupled product. The indenofuranone 109b was delivered in 56\% isolated yield under these conditions from a crude 109b:110b ratio of 57:43 (Table 3.1, Entry 10). The selectivity for the intramolecular arylation product was further increased to 67:33 (109b:110b, isolated yield of 109b = 58\%) when 10 equivalents of water were added to the reaction mixture (Table 3.1, Entry 11). At this stage, alternative sources of gold(I) were evaluated as catalysts in the cyclisation-oxidative arylation process. Performing the reaction with the N-heterocyclic carbene containing species IPrAuCl (158, 10 mol\%) along with AgOTf (12.5 mol\%) did not lead to 109b or 110b after 5 days at room temperature (Table 3.1, Entry 11).
Complex 158 was prepared in 3 steps from the 1,2-diimine 96 (see Section 2.3) according to the procedures of Arduengo\textsuperscript{[90]} and Nolan (Scheme 3.33).\textsuperscript{[165-166]}

![Chemical diagram of the synthesis of 158](image)

**Scheme 3.33** Preparation of IPrAuCl (158).

The highest yield of the cross-coupled product 109b was obtained with the phosphine-stabilised gold(I) catalyst PPh\textsubscript{3}AuNTf\textsubscript{2} (2). This compound was prepared in one step from the commercially available chloride PPh\textsubscript{3}AuCl via counter-ion exchange with AgNTf\textsubscript{2} according to the procedure of Gagosz (Scheme 3.34).\textsuperscript{[167]} Treating allenolate 102b with 10 mol\% of this catalyst and 2.5 equivalents of Selectfluor in acetonitrile (0.15M) and water (10 eq) led smoothly to the cyclisation-oxidative coupling products 109b and 110b in a crude ratio of 80:20 (isolated yield of 109b = 62\%) after just 4 hours at room temperature.

![Chemical diagram of the synthesis of 2](image)

**Scheme 3.34** Preparation of PPh\textsubscript{3}AuNTf\textsubscript{2} (2).
With PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2) identified as the catalyst of choice for this transformation, we sought to investigate the effect of a range of external oxidants on the reaction efficiency (Table 3.2).

![Reaction scheme](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Oxidant, Solvent[^a]</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Product Distribution (%)[^b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>109b (±)-(2R,2'S)-110b (2S,2'S)-110b 103b 102b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NFSI[^c], MeCN, H₂O (10 eq)</td>
<td>72h</td>
<td>38 (20) 40 (33) 22 (12) 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NFSI[^c], CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>16h</td>
<td>0 0 0 100 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100[^d], MeCN, H₂O (10 eq)</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>0 0 0 Trace 100[^e]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>t-BuOOH, MeCN, H₂O (10 eq)</td>
<td>6d</td>
<td>0 0 0 50 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PhIO(OAc)₂, MeCN, H₂O (10 eq)</td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>0 52 48 0 0[^e]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ph₂SO, MeCN, H₂O (10 eq)</td>
<td>6d</td>
<td>0 0 0 69 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oxone[^f], MeCN, H₂O (10 eq)</td>
<td>6d</td>
<td>12 (10) 25 (11) 63 (35) Trace 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^a] Reaction conditions: allenolate 102b (1 eq, as a mixture with alkyne 108b), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (10 mol%), Oxidant (2.5 eq), Solvent (0.15 M), rt. The amounts were calculated with respect to the combined amount of 102b and alkyne 108b. [^b] Product ratio based on 102b as a percentage estimated by ¹H NMR on the crude reaction mixture. Isolated yields (relative to 102b) are displayed in parentheses where appropriate. [^c] NFSI = N-Fluorobenzenesulfonyl imide. [^d] 100 = 1-Fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate. [^e] Complex reaction mixture. [^f] Oxone = KHSO₅/½KHSO₄/½K₂SO₄.

Table 3.2 Optimisation of the External Oxidant.
As a first experiment, the allenoate cyclisation process was performed in the presence of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent N-fluorobenzenesulphonimide (NFSI, 2.5 eq) in place of Selectfluor. Whilst this reaction delivered the oxidative coupling products 109b and 110b as the only isolable species, a lower selectivity for the desired indenofuranone was observed. Under these conditions, the tricyclic product 109b was delivered in 20% isolated yield from a crude 109b:110b ratio of 38:62 (Table 3.2, Entry 1). The cyclisation-oxidative coupling process was also considerably slower when NFSI was employed instead of Selectfluor with complete consumption of the allenoate only observed after 72 hours at room temperature. Interestingly, when the reaction was performed under the same conditions but with dichloromethane (0.15M) as solvent, complete conversion of the allenoate into the cyclisation-protodeauration product 103b (isolated yield = 57%) was observed with no formation of 109b or 110b (Table 3.2, Entry 2). The collidinium-derived electrophilic fluorinating reagent 1-fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate (100) was not compatible with the allenoate cyclisation process. The reaction with this compound in acetonitrile (0.15M) and water (10 eq) led to mostly recovered starting material after 5 days with only a trace amount of the protodeaured product 103b detected by crude $^1$H NMR (Table 3.2, Entry 3).

At this stage, external two-electron oxidants employed in previously reported gold-catalysed oxidative coupling reactions were tested (see Section 3.2.2). Treating allenoate 102b with PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$ (10 mol%) and 2.5 equivalents of tert-butyl hydroperoxide in acetonitrile (0.15M) and water (10 eq) did not lead to coupled products. Instead, slow conversion of the allenoate into the protodeaured $\gamma$-butenolide 103b was observed under these conditions (Table 3.2, Entry 4). The hypervalent iodine reagent PhI(OAc)$_2$ (PIDA), which has been previously employed in gold-mediated homo- and cross-coupling reactions involving aryl C-H functionalisation, was more successful in promoting oxidative coupling. In the
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In the presence of 2.5 equivalents of this compound, the bibutenolides 110b were produced in 23% isolated yield from allenoate 102b after 48 hours at room temperature (Table 3.2, Entry 5). However, the indenofuranone 109b was not formed under these conditions and significant decomposition of the allenoate starting material was observed. Performing the allenoate cyclisation reaction in the presence of the sulfoxide oxidant Ph$_2$SO (2.5 eq) resulted in no formation of the homo- or cross-coupled products. The cyclisation-protodeauration product 103b was afforded as the major species from this reaction after 6 days at room temperature (Table 3.2, Entry 6). Indenofuranone 109b was formed as a minor product in 10% isolated yield upon performing the PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$-catalysed reaction in the presence of the strong oxidising agent Oxone (KHSO$_5$,$\frac{1}{2}$KHSO$_4$,$\frac{1}{2}$K$_2$SO$_4$, 2.5 eq, Table 3.2, Entry 7). The diastereoisomeric bibutenolides 110b were afforded as the major products of this reaction in 46% combined yield whilst a trace amount of the protodeaurated γ-butenolide 103b was detected by $^1$H NMR.

With the (phosphine)gold(I) complex PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$ (2) and the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82) identified as the optimum catalyst and external oxidant combination for the allenoate cyclisation-intramolecular arylation process, our attention turned to optimising the other reaction parameters. In an attempt to encourage the formation of the intramolecular, cross-coupled product 109b over the intermolecular homodimers 110b, the reaction was performed at the lower solvent concentration of 0.01M. Under these conditions, complete conversion of the allenoate 102b to the tricyclic indenofuranone 109b was observed with only trace amounts of the diastereoisomeric bibutenolides 110b being detected by crude $^1$H NMR. Compound 109b was isolated in 95% yield relative to the allenoate after 4 hours at room temperature from this reaction (Scheme 3.34). A control experiment with the pure alkyne impurity 108b resulted in complete decomposition of the starting material with no formation of 109b.
Scheme 3.34 Cyclisation-Intramolecular Arylation of Allenoate 102b with PPh₃AuNTf₂ and Selectfluor.

Reducing the amount of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor to two equivalents resulted in a lower conversion to the indenofuranone product 109b. This compound was delivered in 81% yield after 4.5 hours under these conditions.

3.2.4 Preparation of tert-Butyl Allenoates 102

With a set of standard reaction conditions established, we sought to investigate the scope of the cyclisation-intramolecular oxidative arylation process with a range of tert-butyl allenoates 102. These compounds were synthesised via a Wittig-type process between tert-butyl-ester-substituted phosphorus ylids 159 and in situ prepared ketenes according to the procedure of Shin and co-workers (Table 3.3).[100]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Product 102</th>
<th>Yield[a]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PhCH₂</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>102b</td>
<td>55%[b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[(m,p-OMe)C₆H₃]CH₂</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>102c</td>
<td>29%[c][d]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[(p-CF₃)C₆H₄]CH₂</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>102d</td>
<td>34%[c][e]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PhCH₂</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>102e</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.3 Preparation of tert-Butyl Allenoates 102.

In each case, the tert-butyl ester-substituted phosphorus ylid 159 was stirred in the presence of triethylamine (1.7 eq) in dichloromethane at room temperature for 15 minutes. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0°C and a solution of an acid chloride in dichloromethane was added dropwise over 30 minutes. After being allowed to warm to room temperature overnight, the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Pentane was added and the slurry was left to stand for two hours with periodic shaking. Filtration of the phosphine oxide by-product followed by column chromatography on silica gel afforded the desired allenoate 102 as an oil. Under these conditions, the test substrate 102b was furnished in 55% yield from 3-phenylpropanoyl chloride and ylid 159a (Table 3.3, Entry 1). This latter species was prepared in 84% yield from tert-butyl bromoacetate and triphenylphosphine (Scheme 3.35).
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![Chemical structure diagram]

**Scheme 3.35** Preparation of Phosphorus Ylid 159a.

Allenolate 102b was isolated as a 2.9:1 mixture with its co-spotting isomeric alkyne 108b. Extensive efforts to separate the two isomers by silica gel column chromatography were unsuccessful with only partial enrichment of the allenolate possible using very long columns with slow solvent gradients. Chromatography on basic alumina was similarly ineffective whilst attempts at distillation resulted in the decomposition of both isomers. Treating the mixture of 102b and 108b with excess triethylamine in dichloromethane had no effect on the isomeric ratio with complete recovery of starting material possible after 16 hours at room temperature.

Allenolate 102c, bearing two methoxy substituents on the aromatic ring, was prepared in a two-step sequence from carboxylic acid 160. This species was treated with oxalyl chloride in dichloromethane for two hours at 0°C to afford the corresponding acid chloride 161 (Scheme 3.36). Reacting this compound under the standard Wittig conditions delivered the allenolate 102c in 29% yield over two steps as a 3.5:1 mixture with its isomeric alkyne 108c (Table 3.3, Entry 2).

![Chemical structure diagram]

**Scheme 3.36** Two-step Preparation of Allenolate 102c.
The synthesis of tert-butyl allenolates featuring a methyl group α- to the carbonyl was achieved using phosphorus ylid 159b. This compound was delivered in 91% yield upon methylation of ylid 159a with methyl iodide in dichloromethane (Scheme 3.37). Reacting ylid 159b with 3-phenylpropanoyl chloride under the standard conditions afforded allenoate 102e in 93% isolated yield (Table 3.3, Entry 4). Notably, the isomeric alkyne side-product 108 observed with allenoates derived from ylid 159a was not formed during this reaction and the allenoate was isolated as a pure compound.

![Scheme 3.37 Preparation of Phosphorus Ylid 159b.](image)

The homobenzyl-substituted allenoate 102f was synthesised in 57% yield over two steps from the corresponding carboxylic acid (Table 3.3, Entry 5). This compound was again delivered as a pure compound without contamination with its isomeric alkyne 108. These side-products were also not formed during the synthesis of allenoates 102g and 102h featuring a benzyl substituent α- to the carbonyl. Cyclisation-intramolecular arylation of these substrates would lead to tricyclic products 162 containing the indeno-[2,1-c]-furanone structural core. Allenoate 102g was delivered in >95% yield upon treating propanoyl chloride with phosphorus ylid 159c under the standard Wittig conditions (Table 3.3, Entry...
6). Compound 159c was prepared in 94% yield from 159a via benzylolation with benzyl bromide in the presence of a phase-transfer catalyst (Scheme 3.38).

![Scheme 3.38 Preparation of Phosphorus Ylid 159c.](image)

Reacting 3-phenylpropanoyl chloride with phosphorus ylid 159c under the standard conditions led allenoate 102h, featuring a benzyl group at both the 2- and 4-positions, in 78% isolated yield (Table 3.3, Entry 7).

tert-Butyl allenoates derived from 3-phenylbutanoic acid were afforded as mixtures of diastereoisomers. The methyl-substituted allenoate 102i was prepared in 84% isolated yield over two steps from 3-phenylbutanoic acid as an inseparable 50:50 diastereoisomeric mixture (Table 3.3, Entry 8). Although the analogous synthesis of substrate 102j from ylid 159a suffered from competitive formation of the isomeric alkyne side-product 108j, the allenoate diastereoisomers could be partially separated from both each other and the alkyne impurity upon careful silica gel column chromatography (Table 3.3, Entry 9). A pure sample of a single diastereoisomer of 102j was isolated in 7% yield over two steps from 3-phenylbutanoic acid whilst the combined yield of the allenoate (both diastereoisomers) was 22%.
### 3.2.5 Scope and Limitations of the Oxidative Coupling Process

*tert*-Butyl allenates 102b-j were reacted under the optimised cyclisation-intramolecular arylation conditions with PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2) and Selectfluor (82). The results of these experiments are presented in Table 3.4.

![Chemical reaction](attachment:image.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry³</th>
<th>Allenoate 102</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Product(s)</th>
<th>Yieldᵇ¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1[^c]</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Allenoate structure" /></td>
<td>4.5h</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Produced product" /></td>
<td>109b 95%[^d]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2[^e]</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Allenoate structure" /></td>
<td>1.5h</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Produced product" /></td>
<td>109c 84%[^d]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3[^f]</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Allenoate structure" /></td>
<td>22h</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Produced product" /></td>
<td>109d 37%[^d]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Allenoate structure" /></td>
<td>16h</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Produced product" /></td>
<td>109e 70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Allenoate structure" /></td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>Complex Reaction Mixture</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Allenoate structure" /></td>
<td>24h</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Produced product" /></td>
<td>162g 57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 7      | ![Allenoate structure](attachment:image.png) | 24h | ![Produced product](attachment:image.png) | 109h 27%  
162h 43% |
In each case, the allenolate was reacted with 10 mol% of PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2) and 2.5 equivalents of Selectfluor (82) in acetonitrile (0.01M) and water (10 eq). The mixture was stirred at room temperature until TLC analysis showed complete consumption of the allenolate (1.5-24h). After the addition of dichloromethane to encourage precipitation of the fluorinating reagent, the mixture was filtered through Celite and purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel.

Firstly, the effect of the aryl group electronics on the reaction efficiency was investigated. The cyclisation-intramolecular arylation of the dimethoxy-substituted substrate 102c was a remarkably fast process. Under the optimised conditions, this compound was consumed within 90 minutes to afford the corresponding tricyclic indenofuranone 109c in 84% yield relative to the allenolate (Table 3.4, Entry 2). By contrast, allenolate 102d, which bears an electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl group, was less readily cyclised, delivering indenofuranone 109d in only 37% yield relative to the allenolate after an extended reaction time of 24 hours (Table 3.4, Entry 3). The structure of this compound was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 3.3)

Table 3.4 Cyclisation-Intramolecular Arylation of tert-Butyl Allenolates 102b-j.

![Chemical structures](image)

[a] Reaction conditions: PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq), MeCN (0.01M), H₂O (10 eq), rt. In cases where the allenolate was employed as mixture with its isomeric alkyne, the amounts were calculated with respect to the combined amount of 102 and 108.

Footnote: In cases where the allenolate was employed as mixture with its isomeric alkyne, the amounts were calculated with respect to the combined amount of 102 and 108.
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Figure 3.3 X-Ray Crystal Structure of 109d.

Allenoate 102e featuring a methyl group α- to the carbonyl was also susceptible to the cyclisation-intramolecular arylation process. This reaction delivered the corresponding indenofuranone 109e featuring a tetra-substituted alkene in 70% yield after 16 hours at room temperature (Table 3.4, Entry 4). Again, X-ray crystallography allowed for unambiguous structural assignment of the cross-coupled product (Figure 3.4).

Figure 3.4 X-Ray Crystal Structure of 109e.

The cascade cyclisation-intramolecular arylation of tert-butyl allenoate 102f, which contains an extra CH₂ unit between the allene moiety and the phenyl group, would deliver the dihydronaphthofuranone 163. This structural core is present in the cadinane sesquiterpenoid heritol whilst related heterocyclic motifs feature prominently in several other natural products isolated from Heritiera littoralis. Reacting 102f under the optimised conditions, however, led to a complex mixture of cyclised and non-cyclised products (Table 3.4, Entry 5). Whilst small amounts of the desired dihydronaphthofuranone product 164 and the cyclisation-homodimerisation products 110f were observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and
mass spectrometry, these compounds could not be isolated from the reaction mixture (Figure 3.5).

![Figure 3.5 Structures of 163, 110f and (+)-Heritol.](image)

Treating allenoate 102g with PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2) and Selectfluor (82) under the optimised conditions led to the indeno-[2,1-c]-furanone 162g resulting from intramolecular arylation with the benzyl group situated at the 2-position. This compound was delivered in 57% yield after 24 hours at room temperature (Table 3.4, Entry 6). When allenoate 102h was employed, competition between arylation with the intramolecular 2-benzyl and 4-benzyl groups was observed (Scheme 3.39). The indeno-[2,1-b]-furanone 109h resulting from cascade cyclisation-intramolecular arylation with the 4-benzyl substituent was delivered in 27% yield from this reaction whilst the structural isomer 162h was afforded in 43% yield (Table 3.4, Entry 7). The indeno-[2,1-c]-furanone structure of 162h was confirmed upon X-ray analysis of a crystal grown from dichloromethane/hexane (Figure 3.6).

![Scheme 3.39 Competitive Cyclisation-Intramolecular Arylation of tert-Butyl Allenoate 102h.](image)
The methyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoate 102i was subjected to the optimised reaction conditions as a 52:48 mixture of diastereoisomers. This reaction led smoothly to a 55:45 diastereoisomeric mixture of the corresponding indenofuranone 109i in 81% isolated yield after 5 hours at room temperature (Table 3.4, Entry 8). X-Ray analysis of a single crystal grown from this mixture confirmed the tricyclic structure of the product (Figure 3.7).

As discussed in Section 3.2.4, the diastereoisomers of substrate 102j could be separated upon silica gel column chromatography and consequently a pure sample of a single diastereoisomer of the allenoate was reacted under the cyclisation-intramolecular arylation conditions. Smooth conversion to a single diastereoisomer of the cross-coupled product was observed within 4 hours at room temperature implying that the cascade process occurs without loss of stereochemical information. The indenofuranone 109j could be isolated in 83% yield from this reaction upon purification by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (Table 3.4, Entry 9). The relative stereochemistry of this product was
unambiguously assigned as (±)-(8R,8aS) by X-ray crystallography (Figure 3.8). Assuming complete stereochemical inversion does not occur under the reaction conditions, the relative stereochemistry of the allenoate 109j can be assigned as (±)-(2S,5S) based on the cyclisation mechanism shown in Scheme 3.40.

![Figure 3.8 X-Ray Crystal Structure of (8R,8aS)-109j.](image)

**Scheme 3.40** Cyclisation-Intramolecular Arylation of (±)-(2S,5S)-102j into (±)-(8R,8aS)-109j.

The clean transfer of stereochemical information observed in the cyclisation-intramolecular arylation reaction of (±)-(2S,5S)-102j encouraged us to investigate whether enantiopure tert-butyl allenoates could be converted into the corresponding indenofuranones without erosion of enantiomeric excess. The enantioenriched allenoate (2S,5S)-102j was prepared via the standard Wittig method discussed in Section 3.2.4 using phosphorus ylid 159a and (S)-3-phenylbutanoyl chloride (Scheme 3.41). This latter reagent was prepared from the commercially available carboxylic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, ee > 97%) using oxalyl chloride. Partial separation of the allenoate diastereoisomers and the isomeric alkyne impurity was possible by silica gel column chromatography and a pure sample of enantioenriched (2S,5S)-102j was isolated in 17% yield over two steps (total yield of (2S,5S)-102j = 33%).
Treating (2S,5S)-102j with PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2) and Selectfluor (82) under the optimised cyclisation-intramolecular arylation conditions led smoothly to the corresponding indenofuranone (8R,8aS)-109j as a single diastereoisomer in 80% yield. Furthermore, analysis of the tricyclic product by chiral high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, CHIRALCEL OJ-H, hexane:isopropanol 97:3, flow rate 1 mL/min) indicated that the oxidative coupling reaction proceeded with complete axis-to-centre chirality transfer, delivering (8R,8aS)-109j in >97% ee (Scheme 3.42).

3.2.6 Comments on the Reaction Mechanism

With the scope and limitations of the cascade cyclisation-intramolecular arylation process established, our attention turned to an investigation of the reaction mechanism with a focus on determining the role of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82). Three plausible mechanistic pathways for the transformation of tert-butyl allenoate 102b into indenofuranone 109b are displayed in Scheme 3.43.
The first stage of the cascade process involves the \textit{in situ} generation of the active gold(I) catalyst from complex 2. In an organic solvent, the weakly coordinating $^-$NTf\textsubscript{2} counter-ion dissociates from the gold(I) source to afford the phosphine-stabilised cationic species [PPh\textsubscript{3}Au]\textsuperscript{+}. This complex has a free coordination site and can act as a $\pi$-Lewis acid, activating the allene moiety of the allenoate 102b towards nucleophilic attack of the intramolecular ester oxygen. Concomitant loss of a \textit{tert}-butyl cation leads to the
Protodeauration at this stage would lead to the conventional \( \gamma \)-butenolide product \( \text{103b} \) and regenerate the cationic gold(I) catalyst (see Scheme 3.2). This compound was afforded as the major product in 91\% yield (relative to the allenolate) when \( \text{102b} \) was reacted with \( \text{PPh}_3\text{AuNTf}_2 \) (2, 5 mol\%) in the absence of Selectfluor (82, Scheme 3.44).\(^7\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{102b} & \quad \text{PPh}_3\text{AuNTf}_2 \quad (2, \ 5 \text{ mol}\%) \quad \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \quad (0.15\text{M}) \\
& \quad \text{rt}, 16\text{h} \\
\xrightarrow{} & \quad \text{103b} \quad 91\% \quad (\text{relative to 102b})
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 3.44** Cyclisation-Protodeauration of Allenate \( \text{102b} \) with \( \text{PPh}_3\text{AuNTf}_2 \) (2).

However, when the reaction is performed in the presence of Selectfluor, intermediate \( \text{104b} \) does not protodeaurate and may instead react with the electrophilic fluorinating reagent. One possible mechanistic pathway involves the formation of the \( \beta \)-fluoro-\( \gamma \)-butenolide intermediate \( \text{107b} \) resulting from a direct fluorodeauration of complex \( \text{104b} \). A similar cascade cyclisation-fluorination mechanism was tentatively proposed by our group as the operative pathway in the gold(I)-catalysed synthesis of trifluorinated dihydropyranones 83 discussed in Section 1.5.1 of this thesis. Indeed, \( \beta \)-fluoro-\( \gamma \)-butenolides \( \text{107} \) resulting from a cascade \( 5\text{-}\text{endo-trig} \) allenolate cyclisation-fluorodeauration process were the original target compounds of this study. \( \gamma \)-Butenolides of this type are expected to be excellent Michael acceptors and \( \text{107b} \) could feasibly react with the intramolecular arene in a Friedel-Crafts addition-elimination process to afford the indeno[1,2-b]pyranone product \( \text{109b} \) and one equivalent of hydrogen fluoride (Scheme 3.43, Path I). An electrophilic aromatic substitution (SeAr)-type arylation step is consistent with the scope and limitation studies where higher yields and shorter reaction times were observed for allenolates bearing more electron rich arene substituents (see Section 3.2.5). Alternatively, the reaction between the vinylgold(I)

---

\(^7\)The isomeric alkyne impurity \( \text{108b} \) was unreactive under the reaction conditions and did not lead to \( \text{103b} \).
intermediate 104b and the electrophilic fluorinating reagent could lead to the gold(III) fluoride complex 164. This species results from a formal two-electron oxidation of gold(I) with Selectfluor acting as a stoichiometric external oxidant. Carbon-fluorine bond-forming reductive elimination from intermediate 164 would lead to the β-fluoro-γ-buteno[449x676]lde 107b which could then deliver the oxidative coupling product 109b upon Friedel-Crafts addition-elimination (Scheme 3.43, Path II). Instead, the aryl group in complex 164 could react with the electron-deficient gold(III) centre in an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts auration process leading to the auracyclic gold(III) species 165. An electrophilic aromatic substitution (S{E}Ar) mechanism of this type has been implicated in related arene C(sp{2})-H functionalisation reactions with gold(III) (see Section 3.2.2.1). Carbon-carbon bond-forming reductive elimination from complex 165 would deliver the cross-coupled indenofuranone product 109b and regenerate the cationic gold(I) catalyst [PPh{3}Au]^{+} (Scheme 3.43, Path III). In a fourth possible pathway, indenofuranone 109b could be afforded directly from the gold(III) fluoride complex 164 in a Friedel-Crafts addition-elimination process with gold acting as a leaving group (Scheme 3.43, Path IV).

Following the successful isolation of a (butenolide)gold(I) complex by Hammond and co-workers in their study on the related gold-catalysed cyclisation of ethyl allenoates (see Scheme 3.3), we sought to prepare the proposed intermediate 104b independently using PPh_{3}AuCl and AgOTf. Treating tert-butyl allenoate 102b (as a mixture with 108b, 102b:108b = 3.3:1, 1.2 eq of allenoate) with one equivalent of each of these reagents in dichloromethane for 10 minutes led to a mixture of 104b and the corresponding protodeaurated γ-buteno[349x676]lde 103b. The desired organogold(I) complex could be isolated in 43% yield relative to PPh{3}AuCl upon careful column chromatography on silica gel (Scheme 3.45).
Complex 104b was added to a solution of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) in deuterated acetonitrile (0.15M) and D₂O (10 eq) and the reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. Under these conditions, complex 104b was smoothly converted into the homo- and cross-coupled products 110b and 109b within 15 minutes at room temperature. Notably, the cyclisation-protodeauration product 103b was not formed during the reaction whilst the crude ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra contained no peaks consistent with an organofluorine compound. Silica gel column chromatography after 2.5 hours allowed for the isolation of compounds 109b and 110b in 37% and 60% yield respectively (Scheme 3.46). The successful preparation of the cross-coupled product 109b from complex 104b implies that a cyclisation-intramolecular arylation mechanism involving the (butenolide)gold(I) species is possible under the reaction conditions.

At this stage, our attention turned to elucidating the role of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent in the oxidative coupling process. In order to distinguish between Paths I/II and
III/IV in Scheme 3.43, we sought to independently prepare a β-fluoro-γ-butenolide 107 for use as a mechanistic probe. If an oxidative cyclisation mechanism analogous to Path I or Path II in Scheme 3.43 is operative, this compound should lead to the indenofuranone 109 or 162 via an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts addition-elimination process under the standard cyclisation-arylation conditions.

β-Fluoro-γ-butenolides 107 are scarce in the literature and, to date, only one synthetic route to these compounds has been reported. In 2008, Ma and co-workers demonstrated that allenic acids 157 can react with Selectfluor (82) to afford fluorocyclised products of type 107 when heated to 80°C in acetonitrile and water (Scheme 3.47).  

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Selectfluor (82)} \\
\text{(1.1 eq)} \\
\text{MeCN (0.1M)} \\
\text{H}_2\text{O (10 eq)} \\
\text{80°C} \\
\rightarrow
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 3.47** Fluorocyclisation of Allenic Acids 157.

A cascade fluorination-cyclisation mechanism of the type shown in Scheme 3.48 was proposed for this transformation. In the first step, the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82) reacts with the allene moiety of the starting material 157 to afford the cationic fluorinated intermediate 166. This species then undergoes an intramolecular cyclisation with the carboxylic acid leading to the fluorocyclised product 107 upon deprotonation.
The scope of allenic acid starting materials in this process was somewhat limited with only substrates bearing either an aryl group or two alkyl groups at the 4-position leading to the fluorocyclised products. These substituents offer greater resonance and/or inductive stabilisation of the cationic charge in intermediate 166, leading to a more favourable initial electrophilic fluorination step (see Scheme 3.48).

In accordance with these observations, the mono-benzyl substituted allene 102b was not a suitable substrate for the fluorocyclisation reaction. Heating the tert-butyl allenolate 102b to 80°C with Selectfluor in acetonitrile and water led only to recovered starting material after 48 hours with no formation of the β-fluoro-γ-butenolide 107b (Scheme 3.49).

This reaction was conducted by Andrew Salisbury (Part II, University of Oxford, 2008). reference [104]
The attempted fluorocyclisation of the corresponding allenic acid 157b was similarly unsuccessful. This compound was prepared in 83% yield from tert-butyl allenoate 102b and then treated with Selectfluor in acetonitrile and water (Scheme 3.50a). Complete recovery of the starting material was observed after 36 hours at 80°C whilst increasing the reaction temperature to 100°C did not encourage fluorocyclisation (Scheme 3.50b).

\[ \text{Scheme 3.50} \]

**a)**

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{102b} \\
(2:6:1 mixture with 108b)
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{F}_{3}\text{C-CHO} \\
(23 \text{eq})
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \\
\text{rt, 30 min}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{157b} \\
83\% \text{(relative to 102b)} \\
(3:6:1 mixture with allynoic acid)
\end{array}
\]

**b)**

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{157b}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Selectfluor} (82) \\
(2.5 \text{ eq})
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{MeCN (0.1M)} \\
\text{H}_2\text{O (10 eq)} \\
80^\circ\text{C or 100^\circC, 36h}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{107b}
\end{array}
\]

With the fluorocyclisation of a mono benzyl-substituted allene proving challenging, our attention turned to the synthesis of a fluorinated butenolide from an allenic acid precursor bearing two benzyl groups at the 4-position. These compounds are expected to be better suited to the cascade fluorination-cyclisation process as they offer improved inductive stabilisation of the positive charge generated upon electrophilic fluorination. In addition, the prochiral nature of dibenzyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoates could potentially lead to the development of an asymmetric variant of the cyclisation-intramolecular arylation process using gold(I) catalysts bearing chiral ligands or counter-ions (Scheme 3.51).

---

\[ ^1\text{This reaction was conducted by Andrew Salisbury (Part II, University of Oxford, 2008). reference [104]} \]
The synthesis of the dibenzyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoate 102k was attempted starting from commercially-available diethyl malonate. Treatment of this compound with 2.1 equivalents of benzyl chloride in the presence of in situ-prepared sodium ethoxide led to the dibenzylated product 167 in quantitative yield. Hydrolysis of the ethyl esters with potassium hydroxide and subsequent decarboxylation at 150°C delivered the corresponding dibenzyl-substituted carboxylic acid 168 in 71% yield after 20 hours (Scheme 3.52).

Compound 168 was converted into the corresponding acid chloride using oxalyl chloride and then subjected to the standard Wittig conditions with phosphorus ylid 159b (see Section 3.2.4). Disappointingly, this reaction led to a complex mixture of products with no formation of the desired allenoate 102k observed either by crude NMR analysis or upon silica gel column chromatography. The attempted syntheses of the related tert-butyl and
ethyl allenoates 102l and 105l were similarly unsuccessful with no allene-containing products detectable by crude NMR (Scheme 3.53).

![Scheme 3.53 Attempted Preparation of Dibenzyl-Substituted Allenoates 102k, 102l and 105l.](image)

The unsuccessful preparation of allenoates bearing two benzyl-substituents at the 4-position led us to identify alternative substrates amenable to fluorocyclisation. The tert-butyl allenoates 102m and 102n, bearing 4,4-dialkyl and 4-phenyl substituents respectively, were selected as promising candidates for further study. These compounds feature a benzyl group at the 2-position of the allene and would lead to tricyclic indeno-[2,1-c]-furanone products 162 upon cyclisation-intramolecular arylation. In an initial experiment, commercially-available cyclohexanecarbonyl chloride was reacted with the benzyl-substituted phosphorus ylid 159c under the standard Wittig conditions discussed in Section 3.2.4. This reaction led to a complex mixture of products with no formation of tert-butyl allenoate 102m detected by crude NMR (Scheme 3.54a). The analogous synthesis of the phenyl-substituted allenoate 102n, however, was more successful. Treating phenylacetyl chloride with ylid 159c under the same conditions led smoothly to the desired compound 102n in 81% yield after 16 hours (Scheme 3.54b).
**Scheme 3.54** a) Attempted Preparation of Allenoate 102m. b) Preparation of Allenoate 102n.

*tert*-Butyl allenoate 102n was successfully converted into the corresponding indeno-[2,1-c]-furanone 162n upon treatment with PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) under the standard cyclisation-intramolecular arylation conditions. The tricyclic compound was delivered in 46% isolated yield from this reaction upon purification by silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 3.55).

**Scheme 3.55** Cyclisation-Intramolecular Arylation of *tert*-Butyl Allenoate 162n.

Hydrolysis of *tert*-butyl allenoate 102n with trifluoroacetic acid afforded the corresponding allenic acid 157n, which was then treated with Selectfluor (82, 1.1 eq) in acetonitrile (0.1M) and water (10 eq) at 80°C for 16 hours. Although several products were formed in this reaction, the ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the crude mixture contained peaks consistent with the desired β-fluoro-γ-butenolide 107n. Pleasingly, this compound was isolated in 31% yield upon purification by silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 3.56).
Scheme 3.56 Preparation of the β-Fluoro-γ-buteno[107n.

With the benzyl-substituted β-fluoro-γ-buteno[107n in hand, we were poised to investigate whether this compound would undergo an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts addition-elimination under the optimised cyclisation-intramolecular arylation conditions. The fluorinated species 107n was reacted with PPh3AuNTf2 (2, 5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (0.01M) and water (10 eq) at room temperature for 24 hours. NMR Analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated that the β-fluoro-γ-buteno[107n was inert under these conditions with no peaks consistent with the indenofuranone product 162n being observed in the 1H NMR spectrum. Even after 5 days at room temperature, no trace of the tricyclic product was detected and the β-fluoro-γ-buteno starting material 107n could be recovered from the reaction mixture as the only isolable material. Similar results were obtained upon reacting 107n under the same conditions but in the absence of Selectfluor. Indenofuranone 162n was not produced in this reaction with only starting material being observed after 5 days at room temperature (Scheme 3.57). In a third control experiment, an equimolar mixture of the β-fluoro-γ-buteno 107n and the tert-butyl allenoate 102n was treated with PPh3AuNTf2 (2) and Selectfluor (82) under the optimised conditions. Whilst smooth conversion of the allenoate into the tricyclic indenofuranone 162n was observed after 48 hours at room temperature, 1H and 19F NMR analysis indicated that the fluorinated butenolide remained intact during this reaction.\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a} Hexafluorobenzene was used as an internal reference in the 19F NMR spectra.
The apparent stability of the benzyl-substituted β-fluoro-γ-butenolide \(107n\) towards intramolecular Friedel-Crafts addition-elimination suggests that compounds of this type are unlikely to be intermediates in the cyclisation-oxidative arylation process. The lack of fluorinated organic side-products observed during our optimisation and scope and limitations studies is also consistent with this interpretation. β-Fluoro-γ-butenolides of the type implicated in Paths I and II in Scheme 3.43 were not isolated from any reaction mixture during the course of this investigation whilst the fluorodeaurated product \(107b\) was not observed when the (butenolide)gold(I) complex \(104b\) was treated with Selectfluor (see Scheme 3.46).

Taken together, these observations mitigate in favour of a cyclisation-intramolecular arylation mechanism involving an Au\(^1\)/Au\(^{III}\) redox cycle (Scheme 3.58). In this process, Selectfluor acts as a stoichiometric external oxidant rather than a conventional fluorinating reagent, converting the (butenolide)gold(I) complex formed upon cyclisation of the allenolate to the gold(III) fluoride intermediate \(164\). Friedel-Crafts attack of the intramolecular aryl group onto the gold(III) centre followed by C-C bond-forming reductive elimination would then lead to the indenofuranone product \(109\). Alternatively, a Friedel-Crafts addition-elimination of the aryl substituent onto complex \(164\) would also lead to the same product with gold acting as a leaving group.

**Scheme 3.57 Attempted Arylation of β-Fluoro-γ-Butenolide 107n.**
The formation of the bibutenolide side-products 110b can also be explained by this mechanism (Scheme 3.59). Activation of a second molecule of the tert-butyl allenoate starting material by complex 164 would lead to the gold(III) species 169, bearing two butenolide groups. Carbon-carbon bond-forming reductive elimination from this complex would then deliver the homodimerised product and regenerate the active gold(I) catalyst. Alternatively, the doubly organic-substituted gold(III) intermediate 169 could be formed upon transmetalation of a butenolide fragment in complex 104, 164 or 169 onto the gold(III) fluoride species 164.
The formation of the homodimerised side-product 110b is less readily explained by a mechanism involving the β-fluoro-γ-butenolide intermediate 107b. Such a pathway would involve an intermolecular Michael-type attack of a nucleophilic butenolide unit onto the fluorinated α,β-unsaturated ester. Whilst nucleophilic addition reactions involving organic ligands coordinated to gold(I) have been reported in the literature, intermolecular examples onto carbon-electrophiles are scarce.\(^\text{v}\)

\(^{\text{v}}\)The gold-mediated cyclisation-homodimerisation of tert-butyl allenoates is discussed more thoroughly in Chapter 4 of this thesis.
Whilst we were conducting this investigation, Zhang and co-workers reported a similar gold(I)-catalysed cascade nucleophilic addition-oxidative coupling reaction performed in the presence of Selectfluor \( \text{Au}^\text{III} \).\cite{169} Propargyl acetates 85 were converted into the \((E,E)\)-enone dimers 94 as single diastereoisomers in up to 93% yield upon treatment with the gold(I) source \([\text{biphenyl}]\text{Cy}_2\text{PAuNTf}_2\) (5 mol%) and two equivalents of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent in acetonitrile/water (500:1) at 60°C (Scheme 3.60). Notably, the conventional [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-protodeacetylation process was completely suppressed with no formation of enones 86 observed. In addition, no \( \alpha \)-fluoroenones 92 resulting from rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation were produced during the reaction implying that oxidative homocoupling was a more favourable process under these conditions.\cite{89, 96} The enone dimer 94b was observed in a trace amount during our preliminary studies into the rearrangement-fluorodeacetylation of propargyl acetate 85b using PPh\(_3\)AuCl/AgOTf as catalyst (see Scheme 2.8). In all further reactions in our investigation, however, no homocoupled enone products were observed (see Chapter 2).

The observed reactivity is best explained by an Au\(^I\)/Au\(^{III}\) redox cycle analogous to that shown in Scheme 3.59, with Selectfluor acting as a stoichiometric external oxidant (Scheme 3.61). After the initial [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement (see Scheme 2.2), gold is thought to complex the allene moiety of the allenyl acetate intermediate leading to the vinylgold(I) complex 89 upon hydrolysis of the acetyl group. This species is then oxidised to the (enone)gold(III) fluoride complex 170 by the electrophilic fluorinating reagent. Activation
of another molecule of the starting material or transmetalation between gold complexes would then deliver a gold(III) complex 171 amenable to C(sp^2)-C(sp^2) bond-forming reductive elimination.

Scheme 3.61 Proposed Mechanism for the Rearrangement-Homocoupling of Propargyl Acetates.

The ability of Selectfluor to promote homocoupling from organogold(I) complexes was also demonstrated by Hashmi and co-workers.[170-171] Whereas electrophilic sources of bromine, iodine and chlorine reacted with the η^1-(styryl)gold(I) complex 172 to afford the corresponding halogenated styrenes, no fluorodeauration was observed with the mild electrophilic fluorinating reagent NFSI. Instead, diene 173 was furnished as the only product in 91% yield from this reaction (Scheme 3.62). A mechanism involving the oxidation of gold(I) to gold(III) by the “F^+” source followed by transmetalation and reductive elimination can again explain the observed reactivity.
The cyclisation-homocoupling of propargyl esters could be rerouted in favour of oxidative cross-coupling in the presence of a suitable intra- or intermolecular coupling partner. Propargyl benzoates 174 were converted into the corresponding α-benzoylenones 175 upon treatment with PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2) and Selectfluor (82) in acetonitrile/water (500:1) at 80°C (Scheme 3.63a).[172] The oxygen coupling partner in this process is most likely generated upon hydrolysis of the intramolecular benzoate group in a gold(III) intermediate of type 176. Performing the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of propargyl acetates 85 in the presence of arylboronic acids led to (E)-α-arylenones 177 resulting from a cascade rearrangement-oxidative intermolecular arylation process (Scheme 3.63b).[173] Fluoride ions derived from the electrophilic fluorinating reagent are thought to encourage the transmetalation of the aryl coupling partner in this process through the formation of a boronates complex. The cross-coupled enones 177 were delivered in generally moderate yields upon treatment with PPh₃AuCl (5 mol%) and two equivalents of Selectfluor (82) although competitive formation of the protodeaurated, fluorodeaurated or homocoupled side-products, 86, 92 or 94 could not be completely suppressed. An Au⁺/Au³ redox cycle was again proposed as operative mechanism for this transformation with the oxidation of a gold(I) complex to a gold(III) fluoride species being the key elementary step.
More recently, spectroscopic evidence for the formation of a gold(III) fluoride complex was reported by Hammond and co-workers upon treating the gold(I) source AuCl with Selectfluor (82) in deuterated acetonitrile.\textsuperscript{[174]} After a few minutes at room temperature, a new peak appeared at $\delta = -184$ ppm in the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of this mixture. Leaving the reaction for a few minutes longer resulted in an increase in the relative intensity of this resonance whilst the mass spectrum contained peaks consistent with gold(III) complexes. When phenylboronic acid was added, the $^{19}$F NMR peak at $\delta = -184$ ppm immediately disappeared and the homocoupling product biphenyl could be detected in the crude reaction mixture (Scheme 3.64).

\textbf{Scheme 3.63} a) Rearrangement-Oxidative Ester Migration of Propargyl Benzoates. b) Rearrangement-Oxidative Arylation of Propargyl Acetates.

\textbf{Scheme 3.64} In Situ Formation of a Gold(III) Fluoride Complex from AuCl.
A similar peak was observed when the cascade cyclisation-intramolecular arylation of tert-butyl allenoate 102b was followed by $^{19}$F NMR. After 10 minutes at room temperature, a small resonance was detected at $\delta = -181$ ppm which slowly disappeared over the course of the reaction (Figure 3.9). This peak was also observed when the (butenolide)gold(I) complex 104b was treated with Selectfluor (see Scheme 3.46). Efforts to isolate this fluorinated intermediate were unsuccessful, however, and further studies would be required to confirm the tentative assignment of this peak as a gold(III) fluoride complex.

![Figure 3.9 $^{19}$F NMR Analysis of the Cyclisation-Intramolecular Arylation of Allenoate 102b.](image)

Unambiguous evidence for the oxidation of gold(I) to gold(III) by electrophilic fluorinating reagents was recently provided by Mankad and Toste. The formation of two new fluorinated species was observed by $^{19}$F NMR when the (methyl)gold(I) complex 178 was treated with the “$F^+$” source XeF$_2$ in deuterated chloroform. These compounds were
tentatively assigned as the cis- and trans-isomers of the difluorinated gold(III) complex 179 resulting from oxidative fluorination of the (methyl)gold(I) species. Diffusion of pentane into the reaction mixture allowed of the isolation and unambiguous characterisation of the corresponding dimeric complex 180 by X-ray crystallography. When an aryl coupling partner in the form of phenylboronic acid was added to the solution of 179, rapid formation of the cross-coupling product toluene was observed (Scheme 3.65). The use of the N-heterocyclic carbene ligand IPr was essential in stabilising the gold(III) fluoride complex. Reacting the analogous phosphine-stabilised gold(I) species 181 under the same conditions led to the C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-C(sp<sup>3</sup>) homocoupled product ethane.

Scheme 3.65 Preparation of a Gold(III) Fluoride upon Reacting a Gold(I) Complex with XeF<sub>2</sub>.

3.2.7 Conclusions

In conclusion, performing the gold-catalysed 5-endo-trig cyclisation of benzyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoates 102 in the presence of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82) did not lead to fluorinated organic products. Instead, this reaction delivered indenofuranone products 109 and 162 resulting from a cascade cyclisation-oxidative cross-coupling process involving C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-H functionalisation of the intramolecular benzyl
substituent. The phosphine-stabilised gold(I) source PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$ (2) was identified as the catalyst of choice for this transformation whilst alternative external oxidants to Selectfluor were less efficient in promoting the cross-coupling process. The formation of bibutenolide side-products 110 could be completely suppressed upon performing the reaction at low concentrations. Under these conditions, indenofuranones 109 and 162 were generally delivered in moderate to excellent yields up to 95%. In addition, complete axis-to-center chirality transfer was observed when diastereoisomerically and enantiomerically-enriched allenoate starting materials were employed.

Control reactions with the proposed (butenolide)gold(I) intermediate 104b and β-fluoro-γ-butenolide 107n are consistent with a reaction mechanism involving a Au$^+$/Au$^{III}$ redox cycle. In this process, Selectfluor is thought to act as a stoichiometric external oxidant, performing the key oxidation of gold(I) to gold(III) in the catalytic cycle.
Chapter 4

Gold and F⁺:
Gold-Catalysed Oxidative Coupling II – Intermolecular Coupling

4.1 Introduction

With a cascade nucleophilic addition-intramolecular oxidative coupling reaction validated with benzyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoates 102,\textsuperscript{[105]} we sought to investigate the scope and limitations of gold-catalysed coupling reactions performed in the presence of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82). Using the 5-endo-trig cyclisation of tert-butyl allenoates 102 as a model transformation, the viability of gold-catalysed homo- and cross-coupling reactions with a selection of intermolecular coupling partners was examined (Scheme 4.1). In the first section of this chapter, the cyclisation-homodimerisation of allenoates performed both in the absence and in the presence of Selectfluor is discussed. This is followed in the subsequent section by the results of our study into intermolecular oxidative cross-coupling with non-activated alkyne coupling partners.

\begin{scheme}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Scheme4_1}
\caption{Cascade Cyclisation-Intemolecular Coupling of Allenoates.}
\end{scheme}
4.2 Cyclisation-Homodimerisation of tert-Butyl Allenoates

4.2.1 Introduction

During our preliminary studies into the cascade cyclisation-intramolecular arylation of benzyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoates 102 (see Chapter 3),[105] bibutenolides 110 resulting from a cyclisation-homodimerisation process were often observed as minor side-products. As an initial study, we sought to investigate whether tert-butyl allenoates could be selectively transformed into these homocoupled compounds in the absence of a competing intramolecular coupling pathway (Scheme 4.2a). Synthetic routes towards bibutenolides 110 are extremely scarce in the literature. In 1990, Kinder and Padwa reported the synthesis of the 2-acetyl-substituted bibutenolide 182 upon treating the bis(diazo)ester 183 with catalytic amounts of Rh\(_2\)(OAc)\(_4\) (Scheme 4.2b).[175-176] More recently, palladium(II) catalysts have been shown to mediate the cascade cyclisation-homodimerisation of allenic acids 157 to afford bibutenolide compounds in the presence of external oxidants (see Scheme 4.2c).[162-164]

![Scheme 4.2](image)

Scheme 4.2 a) Gold and “F”+-Mediated Cyclisation-Homodimerisation of tert-Butyl Allenoates. b) Rhodium-Catalysed Cyclisation of Bis(diazo)ester 182. c) Palladium-Catalysed Cyclisation-Homodimerisation of Allenic Acids.
4.2.2 Preliminary Studies Using Sub-Stoichiometric Gold

The alkyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoate 102o was identified as a suitable test substrate for use in our preliminary investigations. This compound was prepared in 89% yield from hexanoic chloride and phosphorus ylid 159b according to the standard Wittig method discussed in Section 3.2.4 (Scheme 4.3). In accordance with the benzyl-substituted substrates prepared from ylid 159b, compound 102o was isolated as a pure allenoate without contamination with its alkyne isomer.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \quad \text{NE}_3 (1.7 \text{ eq})
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 4.3 Preparation of tert-Butyl Allenoate 102o.

As an initial experiment, the allenoate 102o was reacted with 5 mol% of gold(III) chloride in dichloromethane under the conventional cyclisation-protodeauration conditions reported by Shin and co-workers (see Scheme 3.1).\[^{100}\] After stirring at room temperature for 48 hours, the expected γ-butenolide 103o was isolated in 55% yield upon purification by silica gel column chromatography. To our surprise, however, the cyclisation-protodeauration product was not the only compound produced during this reaction. An 82:18 mixture of the separable dibutenolide diastereoisomers 110o resulting from a cascade cyclisation-homodimerisation process were also isolated in 14% yield (Scheme 4.4).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 (0.15\text{M})
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 4.4 Cyclisation-Homocoupling of Allenoate 102o Mediated by 5 mol% of Gold(III) in Dichloromethane.
Similar results were obtained upon performing the reaction in acetonitrile. The diastereoisomeric bibutenolides 110o were delivered in a combined yield of 14% under these conditions (dr = 75:25) whilst the cyclisation-protodeauration product 103o was isolated in 61% yield (Scheme 4.5).

![Scheme 4.5 Cyclisation-Homocoupling of Allenoate 102o Mediated by 5 mol% of Gold(III) in Acetonitrile.](image)

In these reactions, homodimerisation of the butenolide units formed upon cyclisation of the allenoate seemingly competes with the conventional protodeauration pathway. Similar reactivity was observed by the groups of Hashmi,[155] Pale[157] and Fustero[156] upon performing alkyne and allene nucleophilic addition reactions in the presence of gold(III) salts (see Section 3.2.2.2). A homocoupling mechanism involving a reductive elimination step from a diorganogold(III) complex was proposed for these processes and, at first glance, an analogous pathway can be assumed for the formation of the bibutenolides 110o (Scheme 4.6).
In the first stage of this mechanism, the gold(III) ion activates the allene moiety in substrate 102o towards intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the pendant ester oxygen leading to the (butenolide)gold(III) complex 184.\textsuperscript{w} Protodeauration of this species in the presence of hydrochloric acid would deliver the major \(\gamma\)-butenolide product 103o and regenerate AuCl\(_3\). Alternatively, the gold(III) species 184 could mediate the 5-\textit{endo}-trig cyclisation of a second molecule of the starting material leading to the doubly butenolide-substituted gold(III) complex 185. Transmetalation of a butenolide unit from any one of the (butenolide)gold species 184, 185 or 104o onto complex 184 would also lead to the same intermediate. Protodeauration of complex 185 would again deliver the hydrofunctionalisation product.

\textsuperscript{w} The fourth coordination site in the square-planar complex is most likely occupied by a neutral ligand present in the reaction mixture.
and regenerate the (butenolide)gold(III) species. However, the bis(butenolide)gold(III) species could instead undergo carbon-carbon bond-forming reductive elimination to afford the homocoupled bibutenolide product. This elementary step also results in the formation of one molecule of gold(I) chloride, which can itself mediate the conventional 5-endo-trig cyclisation process leading to γ-butenolide upon protodeauration of the (butenolide)gold(I) complex.

On closer inspection, however, the mechanism shown in Scheme 4.6 cannot fully account for the product distribution observed upon treating allenoate with catalytic AuCl₃. For every two molecules of allenoate converted into the bibutenolide, one molecule of the gold(III) salt is reduced to gold(I) chloride. As such, in the absence of an external oxidant, the maximum possible yield of the oxidative homocoupling product is equal to twice the loading of the gold(III) catalyst. The 14% isolated yield of the bibutenolide is, therefore, not consistent with the above mechanism based on a 5 mol% loading of AuCl₃.

An amended mechanistic explanation for the reaction between tert-butyl allenoate and catalytic AuCl₃ is shown in Scheme 4.7. As well as mediating the conventional cyclisation-protodeauration process, the gold(I) chloride formed upon reductive elimination from complex could feasibly disproportionate under the reaction conditions to afford elemental gold(0) and AuCl₃. Colloids of gold were generated during the course of the allenoate cyclisation process whilst a mirror of Au⁰ was deposited on the walls of the reaction vessel. The gold(III) formed upon disproportionation could then mediate the cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation process accounting for the higher than expected observed yield of the bibutenolide. The maximum theoretical yield of the homocoupled product in this case can be calculated using the following equation.
Maximum Theoretical Yield of $110\,^o_0 = (5 \times 2) \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n \right] \%$

$$= 10 \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right] \%$$

$$= 15\%$$

The disproportionation of three molecules of gold(I) chloride results in the formation of two equivalents of gold(0) and one molecule of gold(III) chloride. As such, a third of the gold(I) generated upon reductive elimination can itself mediate the conversion of two more molecules of the allenoate into bibutenolide $110\,^o_0$. Overall, this pathway can account for the formation of 5% of the homocoupled product leading to a total maximum yield of 15% based on a 5 mol% loading of the gold(III) chloride catalyst.

Scheme 4.7 Amended Mechanism for the Formation of $\gamma$-Butenolide $103\,^o_0$ and Bibutenolide $110\,^o_0$. L = Neutral Ligand.
The disproportionation of gold(I) is an energetically favourable process and has been widely documented in aqueous solutions.[177-180] The in situ formation of [AuCl₄]⁻ and Au⁰ from [AuCl₂]⁻ was recently reported by Djuran and co-workers during the gold(III)-mediated oxidation of methionine residues in glycyld-D,L-methionine derivatives 186.[181] Treating these compounds with one equivalent of H[AuCl₄].3H₂O resulted in the quantitative formation of the corresponding sulfoxides 187 (Scheme 4.8). However, no gold(I) species were observed in the reaction mixture and UV-visible spectroscopy indicated that approximately 33% of the [AuCl₄]⁻ remained present in the solution.

![Scheme 4.8 Proposed Disproportionation of Gold(I) During the Gold(III)-Mediated Oxidation of Methionine.](image)

If a mechanism of the type shown in Scheme 4.7 is operative, the homocoupled bibutenolide product 110o could theoretically be delivered in quantitative yield upon increasing the gold(III) loading to 33 mol%. In order to test this hypothesis, tert-butyl allenoate 102o was reacted with this quantity of AuCl₃ in acetonitrile at room temperature. After 48 hours, only a trace amount of the cyclisation-protodeauration product could be detected by crude NMR analysis with the majority of the allenolate substrate being smoothly converted into the bibutenolide 110o (Scheme 4.9). This compound was isolated in 95% yield as a 55:45 diastereoisomeric mixture upon purification by silica gel column chromatography.
Chapter 4: Gold and F⁺: Gold-Catalysed Oxidative Coupling II – Intermolecular Coupling

Further support for a mechanism involving the disproportionation of gold(I) into gold(III) was provided upon treating the allenoate with AuCl. After 48 hours at room temperature, the reaction between substrate 102o and 20 mol% of the gold(I) source in acetonitrile delivered the bibutenolide 110o in 18% isolated yield (dr = 81:19, Scheme 4.10a). A maximum theoretical homocoupling yield of 20% would be predicted for this process based on an Au⁠⁻ loading of 20 mol%. The bibutenolide 110o was isolated in 16% yield (dr = 82:18) upon performing the reaction under the same conditions in dichloromethane (Scheme 4.10b).

Moreover, when tert-butyl allenoate 102o was treated with one equivalent of gold(I) chloride in acetonitrile at room temperature, the cascade cyclisation-homocoupling process was observed as the major reaction pathway. Bibutenolide 110o was furnished in 64% yield.
under these conditions (dr = 50:50) with only 8% of the cyclisation-protodeauration product 103o being isolated upon silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 4.11). To the best of our knowledge, these reactions are the first examples of a cascade cyclisation-oxidative coupling process performed only in the presence of a gold(I) source.

![Scheme 4.11 Cyclisation-Homocoupling of Allenoate 102o Mediated by one Equivalent of Gold(I) in Acetonitrile.](image)

Taken together, these reactions indicate that the cascade cyclisation-homodimerisation of allenoate 102o is a more favourable process than the conventional cyclisation-protodeauration pathway in the presence of AuCl3. Furthermore, the gold(I) formed during the coupling process can itself mediate the formation of the bibutenolide products with the homocoupling reaction actually out-competing hydrofunctionalisation under these conditions. Whilst further studies are required to determine the exact mechanism by which AuI mediates the oxidative coupling process, a pathway involving the disproportionation of the low-valent metal into Au0 and AuIII is consistent with the results obtained during this study. Alternatively, a reaction mechanism involving a bimetallic reductive elimination from two (butenolide)gold(I) complexes of type 104o could explain the observed reactivity. Similar pathways have been proposed by Ritter and co-workers in the coupling reactions of palladium(III) and silver(II) species. However, bimetallic reductive elimination has not been validated for AuI and further mechanistic work would be required to determine the feasibility of this pathway from (butenolide)gold(I) complexes 104. A heterogeneous coupling mechanism catalysed by colloidal gold formed during the reaction can also not be ruled out. A cascade cyclisation-oxidative homocoupling reaction proceeding via this
pathway was recently reported by Stratakis and co-workers upon reacting aryl propargyl ethers in the presence of gold nanoparticles supported on titanium oxide. However, a heterogeneous catalysis mechanism of this type would not account for the correlation between the bibutenolide yield and the catalyst loading observed during this study.

### 4.2.3 Development of the Gold-Catalysed Process Using Selectfluor

#### 4.2.3.1 Preliminary Studies

With the feasibility of the cascade cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation reaction established using sub-stoichiometric amounts of gold, our attention turned to the development of the gold-catalysed process performed in the presence of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82). As a preliminary experiment, 2.5 equivalents of the “F⁺” source were added to a solution of the alkyl-substituted tert-butyl allenolate 102o and gold(III) chloride (5 mol%) in acetonitrile. Pleasingly, smooth conversion into the bibutenolide 110o was observed after 4 days at room temperature with no trace of the cyclisation-protodeauration product 103o detected by crude NMR analysis. The homocoupled product was isolated as a 57:43 diastereoisomeric mixture in 64% yield upon purification by silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 4.12).

![Scheme 4.12](image)

**Scheme 4.12** Cyclisation-Homodimerisation of Allenolate 102o Catalysed by AuCl₃ in the Presence of Selectfluor.

In order to confirm that the homocoupling process was indeed catalysed by gold, tert-butyl allenolate 102o was treated under the same conditions in the absence of AuCl₃. This reaction led only to recovered starting material after 7 days at room temperature with no cyclised products detected upon crude NMR analysis (Scheme 4.13).
4.2.3.2 Optimisation of the Reaction Conditions

Having established the feasibility of the gold-catalysed oxidative homocoupling process, a brief optimisation study was carried out with the aim of identifying standard reaction conditions (Table 4.1).

![Scheme 4.13 Control Reaction Performed in the Absence of AuCl₃.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Equivalents of Selectfluor (82)</th>
<th>Temp.</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yield (dr)(^{[a]})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AuCl₃ (5 mol%)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>64% (57:43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No Catalyst</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>---(^{[b]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PPh₃AuCl (5 mol%)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>52% (66:34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IPrAuCl (158, 5 mol%)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>---(^{[b]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 5 mol%)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>---(^{[c]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AuCl₃ (5 mol%)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>1h</td>
<td>78% (52:48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AuCl₃ (5 mol%)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>1h</td>
<td>73% (50:50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AuCl₃ (1 mol%)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>16h</td>
<td>14% (50:50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{[a]}\) Isolated yields. Diastereoisomeric ratio of the purified products determined by \(^1\)H NMR. \(^{[b]}\) No consumption of the allenolate observed. \(^{[c]}\) Complex reaction mixture.

**Table 4.1 Optimisation of the Reaction Conditions.**

In each case, the gold catalyst and Selectfluor (82) were stirred with the tert-butyl allenolate 102o (1 eq) in acetonitrile (0.15M) at the designated temperature. Upon complete
consumption of the starting material or after 7 days, the crude reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, concentrated in vacuo and purified by column chromatography on silica gel. As an initial study, the efficiency of a selection of gold catalysts was investigated. Performing the cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation reaction in the presence of the commercially-available gold(I) source PPh$_3$AuCl (5 mol%) led to the desired bibutenolide 110o as the only product after 5 days at room temperature (Table 4.1, Entry 3). However, the homocoupled compound was furnished in a lower isolated yield of 52% (dr = 66:34) using this catalyst. By contrast, the N-heterocyclic carbene-stabilised gold(I) source IPrAuCl (158) did not catalyse the oxidative coupling process when reacted with substrate 102o and 2.5 equivalents of Selectfluor (82) in acetonitrile. The allenoate starting material remained unreacted after 7 days at room temperature under these conditions (Table 4.1, Entry 4). In our previous study on the related cascade cyclisation-intramolecular arylation of benzyl-substituted tert-butyll allenoates, the highest yields of the cross-coupled products were obtained using the phosphine-stabilised gold(I) complex PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$ (2, see Section 3.2.3). This species, however, was not a suitable catalyst for the cascade cyclisation-homocoupling process. Treating allenoate 102o with Selectfluor (2.5 eq) and 5 mol% of complex 2 in acetonitrile (0.15M) led to a complex mixture of organic products with only a trace amount of the desired bibutenolide 110o (Table 4.1, Entry 5). Interestingly, this reaction delivered trace amounts of the fluorinated cyclic β-ketoester 188 resulting from a cascade cyclisation-oxidative hydrolysis-fluorination process. This compound was delivered as the major product in up to 42% isolated yield (dr = 67:33) when the allenoate cyclisation process was conducted in the presence of water (50 eq, Scheme 4.14).

The formation of this product is consistent with the mechanism shown in Scheme 4.15. Activation of the allene moiety in the allenoate by gold(I) followed by intramolecular nucleophilic attack would lead to the (butenolide)gold(I) complex 104o. Oxidation of this
species in the presence of Selectfluor (82) would then deliver the gold(III) fluoride intermediate 189. This species could feasibly react with water in a Michael-type addition-elimination process leading to the cyclic enol 190. Alternatively, water could first coordinate to the gold(III) centre to afford the hydroxide complex 191 prior to C-O bond-forming reductive elimination. Direct electrophilic fluorination of enol 190 by a second equivalent of Selectfluor would then deliver the α-fluoro-β-ketoester 188.

Scheme 4.14 Formation of the Fluorinated Cyclic β-Ketoester 188 from Allenoate 102o.

Scheme 4.15 Proposed Mechanism for the Formation of the Cyclic α-Fluoro-β-ketoester 188.
Having identified gold(III) chloride as the optimum catalyst for the homodimerisation reaction, our attention turned to the other reaction parameters. With the aim of shortening the reaction time, the cascade cyclisation-oxidative homocoupling process was conducted at elevated temperatures. Complete consumption of the allenoate starting material was observed within one hour when substrate 102o was reacted with AuCl₃ (5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (0.15M) at 80°C (Table 4.1, Entry 6). The corresponding separable bibutenolides 110o were isolated as a 52:48 diastereoisomeric mixture in a combined yield of 78% from this reaction (Scheme 4.16).

\[ \text{Scheme 4.16 Cyclisation-Homodimerisation of Allenoate 102o at 80°C.} \]

Decreasing the amount of Selectfluor (82) to 1.2 equivalents had little effect on the reaction efficiency. The bibutenolide 110o was isolated in a slightly lower yield of 73% (dr = 50:50) under these conditions (Table 4.1, Entry 7). By contrast, reducing the loading of the gold(III) catalyst to 1 mol% resulted in a significantly messier reaction with the desired cyclisation-homocoupling product being delivered in only 14% yield after 16 hours (Table 4.1, Entry 8).

### 4.2.3.3 Preparation of tert-Butyl Allenoates 102o-t

Having established standard reaction conditions, we sought to investigate the scope and limitations of the cascade cyclisation-homodimerisation process with a range of tert-butyl allenoates 102. These compounds were prepared according to the general Wittig procedure discussed in Section 3.2.4 (Table 4.2).
The majority of the substrates were prepared from the methyl-substituted phosphorus ylid 159b as these allenoates do not suffer from contamination with the isomeric alkyne impurities 108 (see Section 3.2.4). The methyl and ethyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoates 102p and 102q were synthesised from ylid 159b and the corresponding acid chlorides in 40% and 26% yield respectively (Table 4.2, Entries 2-3). Substrate 102r bearing an allyl substituent at the 4-position was prepared via the two-step protocol shown in Scheme 4.17. Firstly, pent-4-enoic acid was treated with oxalyl chloride to afford the corresponding acid chloride amenable to the Wittig process with phosphorus ylid 159b. The desired allenoate was delivered in 77% yield over two steps upon purification by silica gel chromatography (Table 4.2, Entry 4).
The phenyl-substituted allenoate 102s was furnished in 20% yield upon reacting phenylacetyl chloride with phosphorus ylid 159b under the standard conditions (Table 4.2, Entry 5). In accordance with the benzyl-substituted allenoates bearing a hydrogen at the 2-position (see Section 3.2.4), substrate 102t was prepared as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne 108t (102t:108t = 3.5:1). The desired allenoate was delivered in a corrected yield of 36% yield from hexanoyl chloride and phosphorus ylid 159a. In order to probe the effect the ester substituent, the ethyl allenoate 105o was synthesised in 48% yield using the commercially-available phosphorus ylid 159d (Scheme 4.18).
4.2.3.4  **Scope and Limitations of the Coupling Process**

With a range of allenoates in hand, the scope and limitations of the gold-catalysed cascade cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation process were investigated. The results of these experiments are summarised in Table 4.3.

![Reaction Scheme](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Allenoate 102 or 105</th>
<th>Major Product</th>
<th>Yield[^a]</th>
<th>dr[^b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>110o 78%</td>
<td>52:48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>110p 36%[^c]</td>
<td>50:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>110r 46%[^c]</td>
<td>50:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>110f 43%</td>
<td>50:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6[^d]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>107s 26%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7[^e]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>110b 60%[^f][^g]</td>
<td>52:48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In each case, the allenoate was treated with gold(III) chloride (5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (0.15M) at 80ºC. The reactions were monitored by TLC and complete consumption of the starting material was observed after one hour in most cases. After cooling to room temperature and filtration through Celite, the crude reaction mixtures were analysed by NMR spectroscopy and purified. Whilst the bibutenolide products 110 were generally delivered with low levels of diastereoselectivity, in some cases, the isomers could be at least partially separated upon silica gel column chromatography.

The alkyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoates 102p and 102q were readily transformed into the corresponding bibutenolides 110p and 110q under these conditions. Whilst the ethyl-substituted homodimer 110q was delivered in 79% yield, the related bibutenolide 110p was noticeably unstable on silica gel and was isolated in only 36% yield (Table 4.3, Entries 2-3).

tert-Butyl allenoate 102r, bearing an allyl substituent at the 4-position, was also amenable to the cyclisation-homodimerisation process. The corresponding bibutenolide 110r was delivered as a 50:50 mixture of diastereoisomers in 46% yield upon silica gel column chromatography (Table 4.3, Entry 4). Whilst the homobenzyl-substituted allenoate 102f was not a suitable substrate for the cascade cyclisation-intramolecular arylation process (see...
Section 3.2.5), reacting this substrate with AuCl$_3$ and Selectfluor led smoothly to the corresponding bibutenolide 110f in 43% yield after one hour at 80°C (Table 4.3, Entry 5). At this stage, the efficiency of the cascade cyclisation-homodimerisation process with an allenoate bearing a phenyl group at the 4-position was investigated. tert-Butyl allenoate 102s was treated with AuCl$_3$ and Selectfluor in acetonitrile under the standard oxidative cyclisation conditions at 80°C. Whilst complete consumption of the allenoate was observed by TLC after two hours, the NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture contained no peaks consistent with the bibutenolide 110s. Instead, the major product of this reaction was the $\beta$-fluoro-$\gamma$-butenolide 107s resulting from a fluorocyclisation process. This species was isolated in 26% yield upon purification by silica gel column chromatography (Table 4.3, Entry 6). In order to determine whether the fluorinated butenolide results from a gold-catalysed cyclisation-fluorination process, allenoate 102s was reacted under the same conditions in the absence of AuCl$_3$. Complete consumption of the starting material was observed after two hours at 80°C under these conditions and the $\beta$-fluoro-$\gamma$-butenolide 107s was isolated in a higher yield of 38% upon silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 4.19). As such, the fluorinated butenolide product most likely results from a fluorocyclisation mechanism of the type shown in Scheme 3.48 where an initial electrophilic fluorination delivers a phenyl-stabilised cationic intermediate amenable to cyclisation.

![Scheme 4.19 Fluorocyclisation of Allenoate 102s in the Presence or Absence of AuCl$_3$.](image)

*For the preparation of tert-butyl allenoate 102f, see Section 3.2.4.*
Treating the benzyl-substituted tert-butyl allenolate **102b** with AuCl₃ and Selectfluor led to a mixture of products resulting from oxidative homocoupling (**110b**) and intramolecular oxidative arylation (**109b**, see Section 3.2, Table 4.3, Entry 7).³ The bibutenolide **110b** was delivered in 60% yield relative to the allenolate (dr = 52:48) upon silica gel column chromatography whilst indenofuranone **109b** was afforded in 37% yield relative to **102b** (Scheme 4.20). A control experiment with a pure sample of the isomeric alkyne impurity **108b** did not lead to **109b** or **110b**.

![Scheme 4.20 Cyclisation-Homodimerisation and Oxidative Arylation of Allenolate 102b.](image)

The butyl-substituted allenolate **102t** was also employed as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne impurity **108t**. Upon treatment with AuCl₃ and Selectfluor under the standard oxidative cyclisation conditions, this mixture was converted into the corresponding bibutenolides **110t** in a combined yield of 91% relative to the allenolate (Table 4.3, Entry 8).² Reacting a pure sample of the independently prepared alkyne impurity **108t** under the same conditions resulted in decomposition of the starting material with no formation of the homodimerised product. The bibutenolide diastereoisomers were easily separated by silica gel column chromatography and X-ray crystallographic analysis of one isomer enabled the assignment of the relative stereochemistry (Figure 4.1).

---

³ A mixture of the allenolate **102b** and its inseparable isomeric alkyne impurity **108b** was employed in the reaction (**102b:108b** = 2.6:1). The amounts of the reagents were calculated with respect to the combined amount of **102b** and **108b**.

² The amounts of the reagents were calculated with respect to the combined amount of **102t** and **108t**.
Finally, the ethyl allenoate 105o was subjected to the standard conditions with gold(III) chloride and the electrophilic fluorinating reagent. In contrast to the analogous tert-butyl allenoate 102o, this compound was not a suitable substrate for the cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation process, delivering a complex mixture of products after 16 hours at 80°C (Table 4.3, Entry 9).

4.2.3.5 Comments on the Reaction Mechanism

In accordance with the postulated mechanism for the related intramolecular arylation process, the gold(III)-catalysed cascade cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation of tert-butyl allenoates 102 can be explained by AuI/AuIII redox cycle where Selectfluor (82) acts as a stoichiometric external oxidant (Scheme 4.21).

Activation of the allene by gold(III) followed by intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the ester oxygen would deliver the (butenolide)gold(III) complex 184. Cyclisation of another molecule of the allenoate or transmetalation of a butenolide unit between organogold intermediates would then afford the doubly organic-substituted gold(III) species 185. At this stage, reductive elimination would lead to the bibutenolide product 110 and one molecule of a gold(I) salt. The catalytic cycle is then completed by oxidation of the low valent metal back to the active gold(III) catalyst by Selectfluor (82).

A reaction mechanism involving a β-fluoro-γ-butenolide intermediate 107 is considered unlikely as this pathway would require a butenolide fragment to act as an intermolecular nucleophile at the β-position (see Section 3.2.6). Whilst the fluorinated butenolide 107t was observed as the major product when the phenyl-substituted allenoate 102t was reacted with AuCl3 and Selectfluor, this compound did not react further to afford the corresponding
bibutenolide under the standard conditions. Furthermore, β-fluoro-γ-butenolides \(^\text{107}\) were not observed in any other reaction mixture during the course of our study.

### 4.3 Cyclisation-Alkynylation of tert-Butyl Allenoates

#### 4.3.1 Introduction

Performing intermolecular cross-coupling reactions under oxidative catalysis conditions raises the additional challenge of controlling selectivity for the cross-coupled product over the homodimers of each coupling partner. Despite this extra complexity, several impressive intermolecular oxidative cross-coupling reactions mediated by gold-catalysts in the presence of Selectfluor have been disclosed recently.

Following the successful intermolecular arylation reaction reported by Zhang and co-workers (see Section 3.2.6),\(^\text{173}\) the groups of Zhang,\(^\text{190}\) Toste\(^\text{191-193}\) and Russell\(^\text{194}\) independently disclosed a series of inter-related gold-catalysed cascade cyclisation-intermolecular oxidative arylation reactions performed in the presence of Selectfluor (82). In these impressive processes, one coupling partner is delivered as a result of a gold-mediated nucleophilic addition onto an alkene whilst the aryl fragment is derived from either an arylboronic acid\(^\text{190-192}\) or an arylsilane.\(^\text{193-194}\) In general, the alkylated arene products were delivered in moderate to good yields whilst no side-products resulting from β-hydride elimination were observed (Scheme 4.22). As previously discussed, this feature of gold catalysts is potentially very significant as homo- and cross-coupling processes of sp\(^3\)-hybridised organic fragments catalysed by other transition metals typically suffer from β-hydride elimination-derived side-reactions.
Mechanistic studies conducted by Toste and co-workers indicated that the C(sp²)-C(sp³) bond is not formed as a result of a reductive elimination from a gold(III) complex. The independently-prepared phenylgold(I) species did not deliver the cross-coupled product when reacted with alkene and Selectfluor in the absence of phenylboronic acid (Scheme 4.23a). Adding PhB(OH)₂ to the reaction mixture restored the cascade cyclisation-intermolecular arylation process implying that the aryl group involved in coupling derived directly from the boronic acid without the intermediacy of a distinct arylgold species. Consequently, a nucleophilic addition-type step involving the five-centered concerted transition state was proposed as the most likely arylation pathway.
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(Scheme 4.23b). In this mechanism, the fluoride ion coordinated to gold(III) activates the boronic acid component through the formation of a B-F bond. Deuterium labelling experiments were consistent with an overall *anti*-addition across the alkene (Scheme 4.23c).¹⁹⁰⁻¹⁹¹ This stereochemical preference could result from either an initial *anti*-amino- or oxyauration followed by an arylation step proceeding with retention of configuration (eg. S_Ni-type nucleophilic substitution). Alternatively, an S_N2-type arylation process could follow a *syn*-selective addition to the alkene.

Recently, de Haro and Nevado extended the scope of the oxidative alkene cyclisation process towards oxygen and nitrogen coupling partners.\[^{[196]}\] Treating a range of different alcohols \(\gamma\)-aminoalkenes with water, methanol or ethanol in the presence of 5 mol\% of \(\text{PPh}_3\text{AuSbF}_6\) and two equivalents of Selectfluor (82) led predominantly to the corresponding 6-\textit{endo}-trig cyclised products 196 in generally good yields after two hours at 80ºC (Scheme 4.24a). In some cases, pyrrolidines 197 resulting from a 5-\textit{exo}-trig cyclisation were formed as minor side-products. When the reaction was performed under the same conditions but in a mixture of acetonitrile and water (2 eq), the C-O bond-forming process was rerouted in favour of C-N bond formation with acetonitrile acting as the nitrogen coupling partner (Scheme 4.24b). Furthermore, switching the oxidant to PIDA led selectively to the corresponding acetylated products 198 (Scheme 4.24c).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{a)} & \quad \text{R}^2 \text{NR}^1 + \text{NaHCO}_3 (1 \text{ eq}) \\
\text{MeCN}/\text{R}^3\text{OH} (20:1) & \quad \text{80ºC, 2h} \\
\text{R}^1 &= \text{Ts, Ms, Cbz; R}^2 = \text{alkyl, Ph} \\
\text{R}^3 &= \text{H, Me, Et} \\
\rightarrow & \quad \text{NR}^1 \text{R}^2 \text{OR}^3 + \text{NR}^1 \text{R}^2 \text{OOR}^3 \\
\text{Combined Yield} &= 50-85\%
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{b)} & \quad \text{Ph} \quad \text{NH} \quad \text{Ts} + \text{Cl}^{-} \\
\text{N}^{+} \quad \text{F}^{-} & \quad \text{2BF}_4^{-} \\
\text{Selectfluor 82 (2 eq)} & \quad \text{NaHCO}_3 (1 \text{ eq}), \text{MeCN} (0.02\text{M}) \\
\text{H}_2\text{O} (2 \text{ eq}), \text{80ºC, 1.5h} & \quad \text{Ph} \quad \text{NH} \quad \text{Ts} \\
\text{72\%} & \quad \text{Ph} \quad \text{NH} \quad \text{Ts} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{c)} & \quad \text{NH} \quad \text{Ts} + \text{PIDA (2 eq)} \\
\text{(CH}_2\text{Cl})_2, \text{80ºC, 12h} & \quad \text{NH} \quad \text{Ts} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{198} & \quad \text{80\%}
\end{align*}
\]

\textbf{Scheme 4.28} a) Cyclisation-Oxidative Hydroxylation or Alkoxylation of Alkenes. b) Amidation in the Presence of Acetonitrile. c) Acetylation when using PIDA as the Oxidant.
Inspired by these reactions, we sought to investigate whether an intermolecular oxidative arylation process could follow the gold-mediated 5-endo-trig cyclisation of tert-butyl allenoates 102. Instead of using arylboronic acids or silanes as the source of the aryl coupling partner, however, our previous studies on the related cyclisation-intramolecular arylation of benzyl-substituted substrates encouraged us to investigate the feasibility of employing non-activated arenes in this reaction. In this case, one coupling partner is delivered as a result of a gold-mediated nucleophilic addition to a carbon-carbon multiple bond whilst the other derives from C(sp\(^2\))-H bond functionalisation (Scheme 4.25).

\[
\begin{align*}
R^1\text{C} &-\text{N}R^2 + \text{Ar} & \xrightarrow{\text{Au Catalyst}} & R^1\text{C} &-\text{N}R^2 + \text{Ar}
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 4.25** Cyclisation-Intermolecular Arylation of tert-Butyl Allenoates 102 with Non-Activated Arenes.

In a preliminary experiment, the alkyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoate 102o was reacted with the gold(I) catalyst PPh\(_3\)AuNTf\(_2\) (2, 10 mol\%) and Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) in the presence of 10 equivalents of benzene. After 48 hours at room temperature, NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated that several products had been formed. Although the homocoupled bibutenolide 110o was afforded as the major product upon silica gel column chromatography (yield = 41%, dr = 56:44), the cross-coupled β-aryl-γ-butenolide 199oa was also isolated in 5% yield from the crude reaction mixture (Scheme 4.26).

\[\text{Related cascade nucleophilic addition-intermolecular arylation processes with arylboronic acids were previously discussed in Section 3.2.6. references [173] and [174]}\]
Switching to the more electron-rich arene, para-xylene, led to a slight increase in the cross-coupling yield. Treating allenolate 102o under the same conditions in the presence of 10 equivalents of this arene delivered the corresponding β-aryl-γ-butenolide 199ob in 12% yield after 48 hours at room temperature. The allenolate homocoupling process was again observed as the major pathway in this reaction and bibutenolide 110o was isolated in 40% yield upon silica gel column chromatography (dr = 60:40). Increasing the number of equivalents of the arene to 50 had a detrimental effect of the reaction efficiency with poor solubility of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82) observed. β-Aryl-γ-butenolide 199ob was furnished in only 9% yield under these conditions (estimated by ¹H NMR) whilst the major products were the homocoupled bibutenolide 110o (yield = 24%, dr = 50:50, estimated by ¹H NMR) and the protodeaurated γ-butenolide 103o (yield = 67%, estimated by ¹H NMR). In an attempt to suppress the competitive intermolecular homodimerisation pathway, the reaction concentration was reduced to 0.01M whilst the number of equivalents of para-xylene was maintained at 50. Disappointingly, this reaction led to a complex mixture of products with no trace of the desired β-aryl-γ-butenolide isolable upon silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 4.27).
Scheme 4.27 Cyclisation-Intermolecular Arylation of tert-Butyl Allenoate 102o with p-Xylene.

The low selectivity for the cross-coupled $\beta$-aryl-$\gamma$-butenolide products observed during these preliminary studies encouraged us to investigate alternative intermolecular coupling partners in the oxidative allenoate cyclisation process. Following a survey of the literature, our attention was drawn to the well-established ability of gold complexes to activate C(sp)-H bonds of terminal alkynes. This reactivity has been exploited in the gold-catalysed multicomponent synthesis of propargyl amides whilst gold salts have been employed as alternative co-catalysts to copper(I) in palladium-catalysed Sonogashira reactions.

The cascade cyclisation-intermolecular oxidative alkynylation of tert-butyl allenoates 102 would give access to $\beta$-alkynyl-$\gamma$-butenolides 200 in a single transformation from non-activated starting materials using a catalytic amount of a single metal (Scheme 4.28a). Current synthetic routes to these compounds rely on two-step protocols where the allenoate cyclisation and alkynylation steps are performed separately. In 1999, Ma and co-workers demonstrated that $\beta$-iodo-$\gamma$-butenolides 106, formed upon iodocyclisation of allenic acids 157, can be successfully alkynylated under palladium-catalysed Sonogashira conditions (Scheme 4.28b). More recently, Hashmi et al reported a similar Sonogashira-based
approach to these compounds using iodinated alkynes and stoichiometric (butenolide)gold(I) complexes of type 104 (Scheme 4.28c).[205]


The majority of the experimental work in this study was conducted by Jonathan E. Ross (Part II, University of Oxford, 2010)[206] and this section provides a summary of the results.[207]

4.3.2 Preliminary Studies

As a preliminary experiment, the alkyl-substituted tert-butyl allenoate 102o was treated with phenylacetylene (201a, 1.5 eq) and PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 10 mol%) in the presence of Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (0.15M) and water (10 eq). After 4 days at room temperature, NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated that approximately 50% of the starting material had been converted into a single cyclised product. This compound was identified as the desired β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide 200oa upon purification by silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 4.29). The C(sp²)-C(sp) cross-coupled product was
isolated in 44% yield from this reaction whilst no products resulting from the conventional cyclisation-protodeauration process or homodimerisation of either the alkyne or the allenoate were observed.

Scheme 4.29 Cyclisation-Intermolecular Alkynylation of tert-Butyl Allenoate 102o with Alkyne 201a.

4.3.3 Optimisation of the Reaction Conditions

With the feasibility of the cascade cyclisation-intermolecular oxidative alkynylation process established, our attention turned to optimising the reaction conditions using the conversion of allenoate 102o and alkyne 201a into β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide 200oa as a model transformation. As an initial study, the effect of various basic additives on the efficiency of the cross-coupling process was investigated (Table 4.4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Loading (eq)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yield[a] (Conversion)[b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No base</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>44% (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K₃PO₄</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4h</td>
<td>94% (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K₃PO₄</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>49% (59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K₃PO₄</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5h</td>
<td>33% (100%)[c]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K₂CO₃</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>---[d] (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>KOt-Bu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>73% (90%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In each case, a mixture of allenoate 102o and alkyne 201a were stirred in acetonitrile (0.15M) and water (10 eq) in the presence of 10 mol% of PPh3AuNTf2 (2), Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) and the basic additive at room temperature.\textsuperscript{bb} The conversion of the allenoate starting material was determined by NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture prior to isolation of the cross-coupled product 200oa upon silica gel column chromatography. The addition of two equivalents of potassium phosphate tribasic to the reaction mixture led to an immediate increase in the yield of the β-alkynyl-γ-butenoide (Table 4.4, Entry 2). Under these conditions, complete consumption of the allenoate was observed after just 4 hours with the cross-coupled product 200oa being furnished in 94% yield (Scheme 4.30). The presence of K3PO4 presumably ensures that the reaction medium is sufficiently basic for deprotonation of the alkyne component to occur throughout the coupling process.\textsuperscript{cc}

![Scheme 4.30 Cyclisation-Intermolecular Alkynylation of tert-Butyl Allenoate 102o with Alkyne 201a in the Presence of K3PO4 (2 eq).](image)

Performing the cyclisation-intermolecular alkynylation process in the presence of catalytic amounts of the same base led to a decrease in the reaction efficiency. Only 54% conversion of the starting material was observed after 48 hours when 20 mol% of K3PO4 was employed

\textsuperscript{bb} The addition of 10 equivalents of water to the reaction mixtures aided the solvation of the basic additives and Selectfluor (82).

\textsuperscript{cc} Hydrofluoric acid is likely generated as a side-product of the coupling process. See Section 4.3.5 for a more detailed discussion of the reaction mechanism.
(yield of 200oa = 49%, Table 4.4, Entry 3). The β-alkynyl-γ-butenoide 200oa was isolated in a lower yield of 33% when the reaction was performed in the presence of one equivalent of the base (Table 4.4, Entry 4). The alternative inorganic base, potassium carbonate was not effective in promoting in the cyclisation-intermolecular alkynylation process. NMR Analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated that only 25% of the allenoate 102o had been consumed after 5 days when four equivalents of this base were employed under the standard conditions (Table 4.4, Entry 5). Whilst the stronger base, potassium tert-butoxide (2 eq), was more successful in mediating the oxidative cross-coupling process, the isolated yield of the β-alkynyl-γ-butenoide product 200oa (73%) was lower than that obtained with K$_3$PO$_4$ (Table 4.4, Entry 6). Finally, the ability of the organic base triethylamine to promote the gold-catalysed coupling process was investigated. This compound is commonly employed as a basic additive in palladium-catalysed Sonogashira reactions. When reacted with allenoate 102o and alkyne 201a under the standard conditions with PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$ (2) and Selectfluor (82), however, complete decomposition of the starting materials was detected (Table 4.4, Entry 7). Poisoning of the gold catalyst by triethylamine could explain the lack of coupling reactivity observed with this reagent.

At this stage, the suitability of a range of alternative catalysts for the cyclisation-intermolecular alkynlation process was investigated (Table 4.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Loading (mol%)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yield$^{[a]}$ (Conversion)$^{[b]}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$ (2)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4h</td>
<td>94% (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No Catalyst</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10d</td>
<td>No Reaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Firstly, the cyclisation-oxidative cross-coupling reaction between tert-butyl allenoate 102o and alkyne 201a was conducted in the absence of a catalyst. As expected, this reaction led only to recovered starting material after 10 days at room temperature, confirming that the cross-coupling process is indeed gold-catalysed (Table 4.5, Entry 2). No β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide products were formed when inorganic salts of transition metals other than gold were employed as catalysts. Silver(I) trifluoromethanesulfonate, copper(I) acetate and platinum(II) acetate all led to only recovered starting material when employed under the optimised conditions after 10 days at room temperature (Table 4.5, Entries 3-5). The Brønsted acid catalyst H$_2$SO$_4$ was similarly unreactive under the standard conditions with Selectfluor (82) and K$_3$PO$_4$ (Table 4.5, Entry 6). At this stage, a palladium/copper co-catalytic system of the type commonly employed in Sonogashira reactions was investigated. Treating allenoate 102o and alkyne 201a in the presence of Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq), K$_3$PO$_4$ (2 eq) and 10 mol% of both palladium(II) acetate and copper(I) acetate led to complete decomposition of the allenoate with no formation of 200oa after 24 hours at room temperature (Table 4.5, Entry 7). The suitability of alternative gold catalysts to PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$.
was then studied. Subjecting allenolate 1020 and alkyne 201a to the standard conditions with 10 mol% of both AgOTf and the N-heterocyclic carbene-stabilised gold(I) source SIPrAuCl (1) led to only recovered starting material after 10 days at room temperature (Table 4.5, Entry 8). This result is in line with our previous studies on the intramolecular arylation and homodimerisation reactions where NHC-stabilised gold(I) sources were also found to be unsuitable catalysts. Whilst the gold(III) catalyst AuCl₃ did lead to the β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide 200oa when reacted under the standard conditions, the cross-coupled product was delivered in only 22% yield after an extended reaction time of 6 days (Table 4.5, Entry 9). Finally, the effect of decreasing the loading of the PPh₃AuNTf₂ catalyst to 5 mol% was investigated. Under these conditions the β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide product was furnished in a slightly lower yield of 72% after 24 hours at room temperature (Table 4.5, Entry 10).

Having established PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 10 mol%) as the catalyst of choice for this transformation, our attention turned to investigating the range of suitable external oxidants for the cross-coupling process (Table 4.6).

![Chemical structure](image)

**Table 4.6 Effect of the Oxidant.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Oxidant</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yield[a] (Conversion)[b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq)</td>
<td>4h</td>
<td>94% (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NFSI[c] (2.5 eq)</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>---[d] (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PIDA (2.5 eq)</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>No Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Oxone[e] (2.5 eq)</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>No Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>t-BuOOH</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>No Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Selectfluor (82, 2 eq)</td>
<td>7h</td>
<td>39% (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Isolated yield. [b] Determined by 1H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. [c] NFSi = N-Fluorobenzenesulfonimide. [d] Isolated yield not determined. [e] Oxone = KHSO₅·½KHSO₄·½K₂SO₄.
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As an initial experiment, allenoate 102o and alkyne 201a were reacted under the standard conditions with 2.5 equivalents of the electrophilic fluorinating reagent NFSI (N-fluorobenzenesulfonimide) in place of Selectfluor (82). NMR Analysis of the crude reaction mixture after 7 days at room temperature indicated that the desired β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide 200oa had been formed using this oxidant although a substantial amount of the starting material had remained unreacted (conversion = 54%, Table 4.6. Entry 2). Switching to PIDA, Oxone (KH2SO3,½KHSO4,½K2SO4) or t-BuOOH led only to recovered starting material after 7 days at room temperature with no formation of the desired cross-coupled product (Table 4.6, Entries 3-5). With Selectfluor (82) identified as the optimum external oxidant for the gold-catalysed process, the effect of decreasing the loading of this reagent to two equivalents was investigated. This reaction led to a lower yield of the cross-coupled product (39%) after a longer reaction time of 7 hours (Table 4.6, Entry 6).

4.3.4 Scope and Limitations of the Cross-Coupling Process

With a set of optimised reaction conditions in hand, we were poised to investigate the scope and limitations of the cascade cyclisation-intermolecular alkynylation process with a range of allenoate and alkyne coupling partners. The results of the oxidative cyclisation of tert-butyl allenoate 102o with a selection of terminal alkynes 201 are summarised in Table 4.7.

![Reaction Scheme](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alkyne 201</th>
<th>Major Product</th>
<th>Yield of 200oa</th>
<th>Yield of 202oa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Benzyl Alkyne" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Major Product" /></td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.7**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>![Chemical Structure]</th>
<th>200ob 42%</th>
<th>202b 11%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>![Chemical Structure]</td>
<td>200oc 71%</td>
<td>202c 16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>![Chemical Structure]</td>
<td>200od &gt;95%</td>
<td>202d 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>![Chemical Structure]</td>
<td>200oe 88%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6[^d]</td>
<td>![Chemical Structure]</td>
<td>200of 78%</td>
<td>202f 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7[^e]</td>
<td>![Chemical Structure]</td>
<td>200og 63%</td>
<td>202g 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>![Chemical Structure]</td>
<td>200oh 45%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>![Chemical Structure]</td>
<td>200oi 12%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>![Chemical Structure]</td>
<td>200oj 11%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>![Chemical Structure]</td>
<td>200ok 14%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In each case, the tert-butyl allenoate was treated with the alkyne (201, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (2 eq) in acetonitrile (0.15M) and water (10 eq). The reactions were stirred at room temperature and complete consumption of the allenoate was observed within 48 hours. After filtration through Celite, the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel. In an initial study, the efficiency of a range of substituted arylacetylenes 201a-h in the cyclisation-intermolecular alkynylation process was investigated. The reaction was tolerant of arylacetylenes bearing ortho-, meta- and para-methyl groups around the aromatic ring with the highest yield being obtained with the para-substituted alkyne 201d. The β-alkynyl-γ-butenoic acid 200od was afforded in >95% yield from this reaction upon purification by silica gel column chromatography. In contrast to the reaction with phenylacetylene 201a, however, competitive homocoupling of the arylacetylene 201d was observed as a minor side-reaction during the coupling process and diyne 202d was also isolated in 5% yield relative to 201d (Table 4.7, Entry 4). Diynes 202b and 202c resulting from homodimerisation of the alkyne were also observed with the ortho- and meta-substituted arylacetylenes 201b and 201c. The cross-coupled products 200ob and 200oc were delivered in 42% and 71% yields respectively with these substrates whilst the diyne side-products were isolated in 11% and 16% yield relative to the alkynes (Table 4.7, Entries 2,3). Arylacetylene 201e, bearing an electron-donating para-methoxy group, reacted smoothly under the optimised conditions to afford the corresponding β-alkynyl-γ-butenoic acid 200oe in 88% yield after 48 hours at room temperature (Table 4.7, Entry 5). Electron-neutral or electron-poor arylacetylenes were also tolerated, delivering the para-fluoro, para-trifluoromethyl and para-nitro-substituted alkynylated butenolide products 200of, 200og.
and \textbf{200oh} in 78\%, 63\% and 45\% yield respectively (Table 4.7, Entries 6-8). Diynes \textbf{202f} and \textbf{202g} resulting from competitive oxidative homocoupling of alkynes \textbf{201f} and \textbf{201g} were both isolated in 5\% yield relative to the alkynes from these reactions. The analogous nitro-substituted diyne \textbf{202h}, however, was not observed when alkyne \textbf{201h} was reacted with allenoate \textbf{102o}. In contrast to arylacetylenes, alkyne substrates featuring silylenes led to low yields of the cross-coupled products. Treating \textit{tert}-butyl allenoate \textbf{102o} with trimethylsilylacetylene \textbf{201i} under the optimised conditions with PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$ and Selectfluor led to a complex mixture of products. Purification by silica gel column chromatography delivered the desilylated $\beta$-alkynyl-$\gamma$-butenolide \textbf{200oi} as the only isolable compound in 12\% yield (Table 4.7, Entry 9). This species presumably results from an \textit{in situ} cleavage of the silyl group by fluoride ions derived from the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82). Switching the basic additive from K$_3$PO$_4$ to sodium hydroxide (2 eq) led to a slight increase in the yield of the cross-coupled product. The $\beta$-alkynyl-$\gamma$-butenolide \textbf{200oi} was isolated in 16\% yield after 48 hours at room temperature under these conditions (Scheme 4.31).

![Scheme 4.31](image)

\textbf{Scheme 4.31} Cyclisation-Intermolecular Alkynylation of Allenoate \textbf{102o} with Alkyne \textbf{201i} in the Presence of NaOH.

In contrast to the reaction with the trimethylsilyl-substituted alkyne \textbf{201i}, substrate \textbf{201j}, featuring a bulkier tri(\textit{iso}-propyl)silyl group, reacted with allenoate \textbf{102o} to afford the corresponding $\beta$-alkynyl-$\gamma$-butenolide \textbf{200oj} without deprotection of the silyl substituent. The cross-coupling efficiency was again low with this substrate, however, and butenolide \textbf{200oj} was isolated in only 11\% yield after 48 hours at room temperature (Table 4.7, Entry...
10). No improvement in the yield of the cross-coupled product was observed upon performing the reaction with sodium hydroxide (2 eq) as the basic additive. The cascade allenoate cyclisation-oxidative alkynylation process was similarly inefficient with the alkyl-substituted alkyne 1-pentyne (201k). The reaction between allenoate 102o and this substrate under the standard oxidative cyclisation conditions with PPh₃AuNTf₂, Selectfluor and K₃PO₄ led to the corresponding β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide 200ok in only 14% yield after 48 hours at room temperature (Table 4.7, Entry 11). The yield of 200ok was increased to 25% upon using sodium hydroxide as the basic additive (2 eq). The addition of copper(I) acetate (10 mol%) to the reaction mixture led to a further improvement in the cross-coupling yield to 28% although 43% of the allenoate starting material was recovered from the reaction mixture under these conditions (Scheme 4.32a). The copper(I) presumably aids the deprotonation of the alkyne component through the intermediate formation of an η¹-(alkynyl)copper species. Only 16% of allenoate 102o was recovered upon silica gel column chromatography when the reaction was conducted at 80°C whilst the cross-coupled β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide product 200ok was again delivered in 28% yield. Under these conditions, competitive cyclisation-homodimerisation of the allenoate was also observed and bibutenolide 110o was isolated in 23% yield as a 50:50 mixture of diastereoisomers (Scheme 4.32b).
Finally, the ester-substituted alkyne 201l was subjected to the standard oxidative cyclisation conditions with allenoate 102o. After 48 hours at room temperature, only decomposition of the starting material was observed with no trace of the desired β-alkynyl-γ-butenoate 200ol detectable by crude NMR analysis (Table 4.7, Entry 12).

At this stage, the scope and limitations of the allenoate component in the cyclisation-intermolecular oxidative alkynylation process was investigated using phenylacetylene 201a as the alkyne coupling partner (Table 4.8).
Table 4.8 Cyclisation-Intermolecular Alkynylation of Allenoates 102 or 105 with Alkyne 201a.

Alkyne 201a was reacted under standard oxidative cyclisation conditions with a selection of tert-butyl allenoates prepared from the methyl-substituted phosphorus ylid 159b. These substrates do not suffer from complications arising from the isomeric alkynes 108 often observed as minor impurities with other tert-butyl allenoates. The allyl-substituted substrate 102r reacted smoothly to afford the corresponding β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide 200ra in 45% yield after 48 hours at room temperature (Table 4.8, Entry 2). In comparison with the n-butyl-substituted substrate 102o, however, a lower selectivity for the cross-coupled
product was observed and diyne 202a was delivered in 29% yield relative to 201a. A mixture of the cross- and homocoupled products was also observed with tert-butyl allenoate 102u. This substrate was prepared in 77% yield over steps (dr = 50:50) from 3-methylpent-4-enoic acid according to the standard Wittig method discussed in Section 3.2.4 (Scheme 4.33).

![Scheme 4.33 Preparation of tert-Butyl Allenoate 102u.](image)

Upon treatment with phenylacetylene (201a, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) and K₂PO₄ (2 eq) under the optimised conditions, this compound delivered β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide 200ua in 33% yield whilst diyne 202a was furnished in 23% yield relative to the alkyne (Table 4.8, Entry 3). By contrast, the phenyl-substituted allenoate 102s failed to react under the standard conditions and only starting material could be detected by crude NMR analysis after 48 hours at room temperature (Table 4.8, Entry 4). Surprisingly, the alkyne homodimerisation pathway was also suppressed during this reaction.

Reacting benzyl-substituted allenates 102e and 102g with alkyne 201a under the optimised conditions led to mixtures of β-alkynyl-γ-butenolides and indeno[2,1-b]furanones resulting from intermolecular alkynylation and intramolecular arylation of the cyclised allenoates respectively. The reaction with substrate 102e delivered the intermolecular cross-coupling product 200ea in 38% yield after 48 hours at room temperature whilst indeno[2,1-b]furanone 109e was isolated in 22% yield (Scheme 4.34a). β-Alkynyl-γ-butenolide 200ea was a crystalline solid and thus allowed for unambiguous assignment of the structure by X-
ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 4.2). A slight preference for the intermolecular alkynylation product was also observed when tert-butyl allenoate 102g was subjected to the standard conditions with alkyne 201a. β-Alkynyl-γ-butenolide 200ga and indeno[2,1-c]furanone 162g were isolated in 17% and 11% yield from this reaction respectively upon purification by silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 4.34b).

![Scheme 4.34 Competition Between Intermolecular Alkynylation and Intramolecular Arylation of Allenoates a) 102e and b) 102g.](image)

4.3.5 Comments on the Reaction Mechanism

In accordance with our previous studies and the recent literature on related gold-catalysed coupling reactions performed in the presence of Selectfluor (82), the two Au\(^{I}/Au^{III}\) redox
mechanisms shown in Scheme 4.35 can be postulated for the cascade cyclisation-intermolecular alkynylation reaction.

In both of these pathways, the gold catalyst performs three separate functions. Acting in its traditional role as a $\pi$-Lewis acid, gold is thought to both mediate the cyclisation of the allenoate component and aid the deprotonation of the alkyne coupling partner prior to facilitating the coupling process itself. The order in which these processes are conducted, however, is not clear and two possible options are shown as Paths I and II in Scheme 4.39. In Path I, the cationic gold(I) source $[\text{PPh}_3\text{Au}]^+$ formed upon dissociation of the $^-$NTf$_2$ ligand in precatalyst 2, first mediates the C(sp)-H functionalisation of the alkyne component leading to the $\eta^1$-(alkynyl)gold(I) complex 203. The formation of this species is thought to occur via the mechanism shown in Scheme 4.36 where gold first coordinates the alkyne in an $\eta^2$ fashion. This $\pi$-activation effectively increases the lability of the alkyne proton and
leads to the formation of the \( \eta^1 \)-coordinated complex 203 upon treatment with a base present in the reaction mixture.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{201a} \\
\text{H} \equiv \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \equiv \text{H} \\
[\text{PPh}_3\text{Au}]^+ \\
\eta^2-\text{Coordination} \\
\text{B}^+ \\
\text{H} \equiv \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \equiv \text{H} \\
\text{PPh}_3 \equiv \text{Au} \\
\text{Base} \\
\text{Deprotonation} \\
\text{Ph}_3\text{P} \equiv \text{Au} \equiv \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \\
\text{203}
\end{array}
\]

**Scheme 4.36** Proposed Mechanism of C(sp)-H Functionalisation.

Oxidation of the (alkynyl)gold(I) complex 203 by the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor would lead to the cationic gold(III) fluoride species 204. Coordination of this intermediate to the allene moiety of the allenolate 1020 followed by intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the pendant tert-butyl ester would then deliver the gold(III) species 205 featuring both an alkynyl and a butenolide ligand.\(^{ee,ff}\) The same intermediate could also be formed via transmetalation of a butenolide unit from a (butenolide)gold species onto complex 204. C(sp\(^2\))-C(sp) Bond-forming reductive elimination from complex 205 would then furnish the cross-coupled \( \beta \)-alkynyl-\( \gamma \)-butenolide product and regenerate the active gold(I) catalyst \([\text{PPh}_3\text{Au}]^+\) (Scheme 4.35, Path I). Alternatively, the allenolate cyclisation could occur prior to the alkyne coordination step. In this case, the (butenolide)gold(I) complex 104 formed upon initial 5-endo-trig cyclisation of the allenolate would be oxidised to the gold(III) fluoride complex 206 by Selectfluor. Complexation of the alkynyl component either directly from the alkyne 201 or via transmetalation from an (alkynyl)gold species would then deliver the doubly organic-substituted complex 205.\(^{gg}\)

---

\(^{ee}\) The basic additive \( \text{K}_2\text{PO}_4 \) could play a role in neutralising the hydrofluoric acid produced as a by-product of this process.

\(^{ff}\) The fourth coordination site in the square-planar complex is most likely occupied by a neutral ligand such as acetonitrile present in the reaction mixture.

\(^{gg}\) The geometries of the proposed square planar gold(III) fluoride complexes 204, 205, 205\(^*\) and 206 shown in Scheme 4.35 are speculatively assigned. Whilst intermediates 205 and 205\(^*\) are depicted as isomers, either of these species could feasibly result from Path I or Path II depending on the stereochemical preference of the oxidation or alkyne/butenolide coordination steps. In any case, reductive elimination from gold(III)
elimination from this species would again furnish the cross-coupled product $200$ and regenerate the gold(I) catalyst (Scheme 4.35, Path II). Whilst a mechanism involving a $\beta$-fluoro-$\gamma$-butenolide intermediate $107$ cannot be unequivocally ruled out for this transformation, our previous studies on the related cyclisation-intramolecular arylation of benzyl-substituted allenoates mitigate against such a pathway. $\beta$-Fluoro-$\gamma$-butenolides were not observed in any reaction mixture during the course of this study.

In order to provide support for the mechanisms shown in Scheme 4.35, several control reactions were conducted. In a preliminary experiment, $\gamma$-butenolide $103o$ was reacted under the optimised conditions in the presence of phenylacetylene $201a$. The NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture after 48 hours at room temperature contained no peaks consistent with the $\beta$-alkynyl-$\gamma$-butenolide $200oa$ indicating that the cyclisation-protodeauration product is not an intermediate in the oxidative alkynylation process.$^{106}$ Instead, $\gamma$-butenolide $103o$ was recovered in 71% yield upon purification by silica gel column chromatography whilst diyne $202a$, resulting from oxidative homocoupling of the alkyne, was isolated in 63% yield relative to $201a$ (Scheme 4.37).

![Scheme 4.37 Control Reaction with $\gamma$-Butenolide $103o$.](image)

To distinguish between Paths I and II, alkyne $201a$ and allenoate $102o$ were reacted under the optimised conditions in the absence of an allenyl and an alkyne respectively. Treating complexes is thought occur via a dissociative mechanism and thus the initial geometry of $205$ or $205'$ may be of little significance to the overall reactivity (see Section 3.2.2).

$^{106}$ The butenolide homocoupling product $110o$ was also not observed during this reaction (see Section 4.2).
phenylacetylene 201a with PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (2 eq) in the absence of the allenoate led smoothly to the homodimerisation product 202a in >95% yield after 4 hours at room temperature. The formation of the diyne product can be rationalised by a mechanism analogous to Path I in Scheme 4.39 where the (alkynyl)gold(III) fluoride complex 204 activates a second molecule of the alkyne to afford the doubly alkyne-substituted gold(III) complex 207 amenable to C(sp)-C(sp) bond-forming reductive elimination (Scheme 4.38).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ph}_3P \quad \text{Au-} & \quad \text{Ph} \\
\text{Coordination} \quad \text{Selectfluor} \quad \text{203} \\
\text{Oxidation} \quad \text{204} \\
\text{or 207 Transmetallation} \\
\text{201a - Coordination} \quad \text{208} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 4.38 Homodimerisation of Alkyne 201a.

Reacting tert-butyl allenoate 102o under the same conditions in the absence of the alkyne led to the cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation product 110o in 35% yield (dr = 63:37) after 5 days at room temperature (Scheme 4.39). The formation of this compound is consistent with an Au⁺/Au⁺ redox mechanism analogous to that shown in Path II in Scheme 4.35. In this case, the coordination of a second butenolide fragment onto complex 206 would lead to the gold(III) species 208 featuring two butenolide ligands.ii

ii A mechanistic explanation for the gold-catalysed formation of bibutenolides 110 from tert-butyl allenoates 102 is shown in Scheme 4.21 (see also Scheme 3.59).
The long reaction time and low yield of allenoate homodimerisation process in comparison to the alkyne homocoupling reaction above suggests that Path I could be a more favourable pathway than Path II under the standard conditions. This interpretation is also consistent with our scope and limitation studies where diynes resulting from competitive homodimerisation of the alkyne components were often isolated as minor side-products. Conversely, the allenoate cyclisation-homocoupling products 110 were generally not observed during these reactions.\textsuperscript{ii}

To support a mechanism involving the $\eta^1$-(alkynyl)gold(I) and (butenolide)gold(I) complexes 203 and 104o, these species was prepared independently according to the procedures of Hashmi and co-workers and subjected to the standard oxidative cross-coupling conditions with allenoate 102o and alkyne 201a respectively (Scheme 4.40).\textsuperscript{[205, 208]}

\textsuperscript{ii}Bibutenolide 110o was isolated 23% yield when allenoate 102o was reacted with 1-pentyne 201k at 80°C (see Scheme 4.32b). However, the elevated temperature of this reaction and the copper(I) acetate (10 mol%) co-catalyst could both have a role to play in the formation of the allenoate homodimerisation product.
NMR Analysis of the crude reaction mixtures after one hour at room temperature in deuterated acetonitrile and D$_2$O (10 eq) indicated that the cross-coupled β-alkynyl-γ-butenolide product 200oa had been formed in both cases. Interestingly, the diyne 202a and bibutenolide 110o, resulting from oxidative homocoupling of the alkyne and allenolate respectively, were also formed during both reactions (Scheme 4.41).

Scheme 4.41 Formation of Cross-Coupled and Homocoupled Products from Organogold(I) Complexes 104o and 203 (molar ratio estimated by $^1$H NMR).
The formation of diyne 202a from η¹-(alkynyl)gold(I) complex 203 in the absence of added alkyne 201a is best explained by the mechanism shown in Scheme 4.42a. In this pathway, the alkyne ligand in complex 203 transmetalates onto the (alkynyl)gold(III) fluoride species 204 to afford a doubly alkynyl-substituted gold (III) intermediate amenable to C(sp)-C(sp) bond-forming reductive elimination. A similar transmetalation of a butenolide unit from complex 104o onto the gold(III) fluoride species 206 can explain the formation of bibutenolide 110 from the gold(I) complex 104o in the absence of allenoate 102o (Scheme 4.42b).

Scheme 4.42 Formation of Homodimerised Products from a) η¹-(Alkynyl)gold(I) Complex 203. b) (Butenolide)gold(I) Complex 104o.
If intermolecular ligand exchange processes of this type between organogold intermediates are sufficiently fast under the reaction conditions, each product \(200, 201\) or \(110\) could feasibly be formed regardless of the initial coordination and oxidation steps. In this case, the product distribution would depend on the relative rates of ligand exchange and reductive elimination at each of the doubly organic-substituted gold(III) complexes \(205, 207\) or \(208\) (Scheme 4.43).

![Scheme 4.43 Intermolecular Ligand Exchange between Gold(III) Complexes.](image)

### 4.4 Conclusions

In conclusion, the combination of gold catalysts and the electrophilic fluorinating reagent Selectfluor (82) is capable of mediating cascade cyclisation-oxidative coupling reactions between \(\text{tert}-\text{butyl allenoates} 102\) and intermolecular coupling partners. Treating alkyl- and allyl-substituted substrates with 5 mol% of gold(III) chloride and 2.5 equivalents of the \(\text{"F}^{+}\) source led smoothly to bibutenolides \(110\) resulting from a 5-\(\text{endo}-\text{trig}\) cyclisation-oxidative homodimerisation cascade. The C(sp\(^3\))-C(sp\(^3\)) bonded products were afforded in generally moderate to good yields upon purification by silica gel column chromatography whilst side-products resulting from cyclisation-protodeauration or cyclisation-fluorodeauration were not observed.
The homocoupled product $110o$ was also furnished upon treating allenolate $102o$ with sub-stoichiometric amounts of gold(III) in the absence of Selectfluor. The unexpectedly high yields of the bibutenolide $110o$ produced in these reactions can be rationalised by a mechanism involving the disproportionation of the gold(I) generated upon carbon-carbon bond-forming reductive elimination into gold(0) and gold(III).

The scope of the methodology could be extended to intermolecular cross-coupling upon performing the reactions in the presence of terminal alkynes. This process gave access to β-alkynyl-γ-buteno[1]oles $200$ directly from non-activated precursors using a catalytic amount of a single transition metal. The highest yields of the cross-coupled products were obtained with arylacetylenes bearing electron-donating substituents with the para-tolyl-substituted compound $200od$ being delivered in >95% yield after 48 hours at room temperature. Electron-neutral and electron-poor arylacetylenes were also tolerated although alkyl and silyl-substituted alkynes led to low yields of the cross-coupled products. The scope of the allenoate substrates was also low with significant amounts of diyne side-products, resulting from competitive homocoupling of the alkyne components, being observed. As in our previous studies, the formation of these compounds is best-explained by an Au$^{I}$/Au$^{III}$ redox mechanism where Selectfluor performs the key oxidation of gold(I) to gold(III) in the catalytic cycle.
Palladium and $^{18}\text{F}^{-}$: Palladium-Catalysed [$^{18}\text{F}$]Radiofluorination for PET Imaging

5.1 Introduction to PET Imaging and Fluorine-18

Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging is a non-invasive imaging modality which allows for the quantitative investigation of biological function \textit{in vivo}.\cite{209-211} The technique relies on the detection of radioactivity emitted by a biologically relevant radiotracer compound labelled with a positron-emitting radioisotope ($\beta^+$ emitter). A small amount of this species (typically $\approx 400$ MBq of activity for $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled tracers) is administered to the patient prior to the scan and allowed to incubate for a given time depending on the radiotracer employed and the physiological process under investigation. Upon decay of the radioisotope, the emitted positron travels a short distance in the body before colliding with an electron and forming two coincident gamma ($\gamma$) rays oriented approximately 180° from each other (energy = 511 keV for $^{18}\text{F}$). These gamma photons are detected by the scanner and, after millions of such annihilation events, are computed to form a 2D or a 3D image detailing the spatial distribution of the radioisotope \textit{in vivo}. PET Images of this type have been used in the diagnosis of a wide range of medical conditions including cancer,\cite{212} neurological diseases such as Alzheimer’s or Parkinson’s disease\cite{213} and cardiovascular disorders.\cite{214} Furthermore, a comparison of PET scans recorded after diagnosis can provide valuable information on the efficacy of any treatment program undertaken. In addition to these clinical roles, PET imaging has also found many applications as a research tool,
providing quantitative information on both the function of biologically relevant compounds in the body and their subsequent metabolic fate (Figure 5.1).

![Figure 5.1 Schematic Diagram of Positron Emission Tomography (PET) Imaging.](image)

A selection of positron-emitting radioisotopes commonly employed as the radiolabel in PET tracers are displayed in Table 5.1. A key feature of these isotopes is their relatively short half-lives (< 3 hours), which both reduce the overall dose of radioactivity received by the patient and allow for several different scans to be undertaken over the course of a few days. The most widely used $\beta^+$ emitter in PET radiotracers is fluorine-18 (Figure 5.2). The comparatively long half-life of this isotope ($t_{1/2} = 109.7$ min) allows for the preparation of complex radiotracers requiring several synthetic steps after incorporation of the radiolabel. Whilst organofluorine compounds are scarce in nature, these species are commonly employed as pharmaceuticals with the fluorine atom acting as an isostere for a hydrogen or hydroxyl group. Furthermore, the half-life of fluorine-18 allows for radiotracers to be prepared at a dedicated radioisotope production facility and then transported to the

---

$k^k$ Longer half-life isotopes such as copper-64 ($t_{1/2} = 12.7$ hours) and iodine-124 ($t_{1/2} = 4.18$ days) are occasionally used for imaging biological processes with long timescales.
hospital or research centre, enabling PET scans to be performed at locations without access to cyclotrons or radiochemistry laboratories on site.\footnote{The comparatively low energy of the positrons emitted from fluorine-18 also leads to higher resolution PET images as the average distance between the site of radioisotope decay and the annihilation event detected by the scanner is reduced. Whilst of limited practical significance at present, this effect is expected to become important as the resolution of PET scanners increases. [Current PET scanner resolutions are typically in the order of 4-8 mm].}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Nuclear Reaction of Preparation$^a$</th>
<th>Half-Life ($t_{1/2}$, min)</th>
<th>Decay Product</th>
<th>Maximum Positron Energy (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{11}$C</td>
<td>$^{14}$N($p$,(\alpha))$^{11}$C</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>$^{11}$B</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$N</td>
<td>$^{16}$O($p$,(\alpha))$^{13}$N</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>$^{13}$C</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{15}$O</td>
<td>$^{15}$N($p$,(n))$^{15}$O</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>$^{15}$N</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{19}$F</td>
<td>$^{18}$O($p$,(n))$^{18}$F</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>$^{18}$O</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Nuclear reaction is of the form: [Bombarded nuclide](cyclotron beam, side-product of nuclear reaction)[Product nuclide]. $p =$ proton, $n =$ neutron, $\alpha =$ alpha particle.

**Table 5.1** Properties of some Common PET Radioisotopes.

![PET Radiotracers](image.png)

**Figure 5.2** A Selection of $^{18}$F-Labelled PET Radiotracers.

### 5.2 Radiolabelling with Fluorine-18

#### 5.2.1 General Considerations of Labelling with Short Half-Life Radioisotopes

In addition to the environmental and safety implications inherent to working with ionising radiation, the labelling of organic compounds with short half-life radioisotopes such as fluorine-18 raises significant challenges not encountered in conventional organic synthesis. In order to minimise decay of the $\beta^+$ emitter prior to the PET scan, the total time between production of the radioisotope in the cyclotron and delivery of the clinical dose of the
labelled radiotracer to the patient should not generally exceed three half-lives of the isotope (ie \( \approx 6 \) hours for \(^{18}\text{F}\)). In addition to the \(^{18}\text{F}\)radiofluorination event and any subsequent synthetic steps, the initial preparation of the radiofluorinating reagent, the purification and analysis of the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-labelled compound and the final delivery of the tracer to the PET imaging site must also occur within this timeframe. As such, synthetic routes towards PET radiotracers that incorporate the fluorine at as late a stage as possible are preferred whilst the \(^{18}\text{F}\)radiofluorination reactions themselves should be clean and rapid processes (typically \( \leq 30 \) minutes). In addition, purification of the labelled tracer from the crude reaction mixture should be fast and operationally simple. The relative stoichiometry of the fluorinating reagent and the precursor must also be considered when selecting a radiolabelling methodology. Fluorine-18 is produced in typically picomolar to nanomolar amounts whilst the tracer precursor substrates are present in much larger quantities (generally micromolar concentrations). As such, fluorination processes that require equimolar or excess amounts of the fluorine source are not suitable for radiochemical applications whilst yields are calculated with respect to the amount of \(^{18}\text{F}\) (radiochemical yield = RCY) rather than the non-radioactive precursor.

**5.2.2 Sources of Fluorine-18**

Fluorine-18 is most commonly produced via the \(^{18}\text{O}(p,n)^{18}\text{F}\) nuclear reaction whereby an oxygen-18 target nucleus is bombarded with a beam of protons accelerated in a cyclotron.\(^{215}\text{mm}\) Irradiation of \(^{18}\text{O}\)-labelled water under these conditions leads to the formation of \(^{18}\text{F}\)fluoride ions, which are collected from the cyclotron in an \(\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}\)-solution with various metal cations originating from the walls of the target chamber. When oxygen-18 gas is used as the target material, the generated fluorine-18 adheres to the sides of the

\(^{215}\text{mm}\) Alternative nuclear reactions are very occasionally employed to prepare fluorine-18. The most prevalent among these is the \(^{20}\text{Ne}(d,\alpha)^{19}\text{F}\) \((d = \text{deuteron})\) reaction which was traditionally the method of choice for the generation of \(^{19}\text{F}\)\(\text{F}_2\) gas. Nowadays, however, this process has been largely supplanted by the \(^{18}\text{O}(p,n)^{18}\text{F}\) reaction.
cyclotron chamber and must be removed by irradiation with an inert gas containing a small amount of non-radioactive ‘carrier’ $^{19}\text{F}_2$. The electrophilic radiofluorinating reagent $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{F}_2$ produced via this method is therefore ‘contaminated’ with fluorine-19 and, consequently, has an inherently lower specific activity (SA) than the nucleophilic reagent, $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{fluoride}$.\textsuperscript{[216]}\textsuperscript{[216]} This parameter, which is defined as the activity of a radioactive material per unit mass (unit = GBq/µmol), is of key importance for PET imaging as low SA tracers must be administered in higher doses. The presence of non-radioactive contaminants can result in the saturation of receptor sites \textit{in vivo}, leading to low uptake of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled tracer at the region of interest and poor signal-to-noise ratios. The larger quantities of potentially cytotoxic material administered prior to the PET scan may also have general safety implications for the patient. Another drawback to the use of $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{F}_2$ as a radiofluorinating reagent is the maximum theoretical radiochemical yield of 50% achievable with this species. Furthermore, the use of fluorine gas requires specialist equipment and training due to its high toxicity and reactivity whilst electrophilic radiofluorination reactions with $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{F}_2$ are often highly unspecific and difficult to control.\textsuperscript{[217-218]}\textsuperscript{[217-218]}\textsuperscript{[217-218]}

As a result of these factors, the majority of $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled compounds are synthesised via a nucleophilic $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{radiofluorination}$ strategy using high specific activity $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{fluoride}$. The range of nucleophilic strategies for C-$^{18}\text{F}$ bond formation currently available to radiochemists are briefly summarised in the following section focusing on examples which highlight the current limitations of each methodology.\textsuperscript{[219]}

\textsuperscript{[216]} $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{Fluoride}$ is typically produced with a specific activity of $>100$ GBq/µmol whereas the SA of $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{F}_2$ is $<0.6$ MBq/µmol. This value has been increased to 55 GBq/µmol using the post-target procedure developed by Solin and co-workers at the Turku PET Centre. reference [216]

\textsuperscript{[217-218]} Several alternative electrophilic $^{18}\text{F}$-radiofluorinating reagents have been prepared from $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{F}_2$. Recently, our group has reported the successful preparation and use of $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled variants of the widely-used F$^-$ sources, NFSI (reference [217]) and Selectfluor (82, reference [218]). These milder reagents are easier to handle than $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{F}_2$ and can be transported from the site of production to a PET centre without access to a cyclotron or F$_2$ gas rig.
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5.3 Nucleophilic $^{[18}\text{F}]$Radiofluorination Methods

$^{[18}\text{F}]$Fluoride is delivered from the cyclotron in a $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ solution with various heavy metal cations and must be first converted into an organic soluble fluoride source suitable for use in the radiofluorination reactions. In most cases, the radioactive fluoride ion is trapped on an anion exchange cartridge and then eluted with an acetonitrile or acetonitrile/water solution containing a suitable cation. Removal of the remaining water upon azeotropic drying with anhydrous acetonitrile then delivers the organic-soluble $^{[18}\text{F}]$radiofluorinating reagent for use in the labelling reactions. The $^{[18}\text{F}]$fluoride source of choice for most applications is potassium $^{[18}\text{F}]$fluoride although alternative reagents such as caesium $^{[18}\text{F}]$fluoride and tetra-$n$-butylammonium $^{[18}\text{F}]$fluoride ($^{[18}\text{F}]$TBAF, $^{[18}\text{F}]$209) have also received significant research attention. $^{[18}\text{F}]$KF is typically used in combination with the phase-transfer catalyst Kryptofix 222 (K222), which improves the solubility of the fluoride source in organic solvents through the formation of a [cryptand-K$^+$] complex.

5.3.1 Aliphatic Nucleophilic $^{[18}\text{F}]$Radiofluorination

The most widely reported $^{[18}\text{F}]$radiofluorination method is the $\text{S}_\text{N}2$-type nucleophilic substitution of aliphatic sulfonyl esters with $^{[18}\text{F}]$fluoride (Scheme 5.1a). A C(sp$^3$)$^{[18}\text{F}]$ bond-forming process of this type has been applied to the synthesis of the PET tracer 2-$^{[18}\text{F}]$fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose ($^{[18}\text{F}]$FDG, $^{[18}\text{F}]$210). Treating the trifluoromethanesulfonyl mannose derivative 211 with $^{[18}\text{F}]$KF/K222 in acetonitrile led smoothly to the peracetylated glucopyranoside $^{[18}\text{F}]$212 after 5 minutes at reflux. The deprotected radiotracer $^{[18}\text{F}]$210 was delivered in 55% non-decay corrected radiochemical yield over two steps upon hydrolysis of the acetate groups with hydrochloric acid at reflux for 15 minutes (Scheme 5.1b). $^{[18}\text{F}]$FDG ($^{[18}\text{F}]$210) has found multiple applications as an imaging agent for glucose up-regulation in a variety of medical conditions, including cancer.

---

$^{*}$ Fluoride is highly hydrated in aqueous solutions and is consequently a poor nucleophile.
[18F]substitution reactions have been reported with halide, mesylate and tosylate leaving groups whilst SN2-type ring-opening of cyclic sulfates and epoxides has also been demonstrated.

Whilst SN2 reactions are the 18F-labelling method of choice for a wide range of radiotracer targets, the high temperatures typically required for C(sp3)-18F bond construction to occur at a reasonable rate in these processes are not compatible with many sensitive substrates. In particular, peptides and proteins are susceptible to denaturation under typical 18F-radiofluorination conditions whilst the organic solvents required for labelling with fluoride are not compatible with many biomolecules. In addition, large, complex substrates bearing nucleophilic moieties could undergo competitive intramolecular substitution processes with reactive halide or sulfonyl ester leaving groups. As a result of these limitations, oligonucleotides, peptides and proteins are most commonly labelled via an indirect approach involving an intermediate prosthetic group. This species is prepared via conventional nucleophilic 18F-radiofluorination performed at elevated temperatures in an organic solvent and, after purification is attached to the rest of the compound in a mild
and rapid ligation step compatible with the sensitive substrate of interest. Commonly employed coupling strategies include the condensation of $^{18}$F-labelled benzaldehyde prosthetic groups (see Section 5.3.2) with peptide $N$-termini and the Huisgen (‘click’) 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of terminal alkynes with 2-$^{18}$F-fluoroethylazide ($^{18}$F213). Using this latter approach, Årstad and co-workers were able to efficiently synthesise the labelled peptide fragment $^{18}$F214 in 92% decay corrected RCY from the prosthetic group after only 15 minutes at room temperature (Scheme 5.2). In contrast to direct $^{18}$F-radiofluorination, however, the indirect labelling of sensitive substrates with fluorine-$^{18}$ is a time-consuming and labour-intensive process, requiring additional ligation and purification steps.

![Scheme 5.2 Indirect Labelling of a Peptide using a ‘Click’ Reaction.](image)

### 5.3.2 Aromatic Nucleophilic $^{18}$FRadiofluorination

Fluorinated aromatic rings feature prominently in pharmaceuticals and are thus common motifs in radiotracer targets. In accordance with aliphatic $^{18}$F-radiofluorination, the installation of an aromatic $^{18}$F-substituent is most often achieved using a nucleophilic displacement strategy. Aromatic substitution ($S_{NAr}$) reactions of this type with non-carrier-

---

99 $^{18}$F-fluoroalkyl and $^{18}$F-fluoroaromatic prosthetic groups are prepared via $S_{p2}$ and $S_{pAr}$ nucleophilic fluorination respectively. (see Section 5.3.2 for a discussion of $S_{Ar}$ nucleophilic aromatic fluorination).
added $[^{18}\text{F}]$fluoride are often highly efficient processes, delivering $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled arenes in good radiochemical yields within 30 minutes (Scheme 5.3a).\textsuperscript{[220]}

Aromatic precursors bearing nitro- or trimethylammonium salt leaving groups generally lead to the highest RCYs of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled products although aromatic halides and sulfonyl esters have also been successfully employed.\textsuperscript{[226]}

The use of trimethylammonium salt precursors has the added advantage of facile separation of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled products from the unreacted starting materials by simple chromatographic methods.\textsuperscript{64} An $\text{S}_\text{N} \text{Ar} [^{18}\text{F}]$radiofluorination process was applied by Kilbourn and co-workers to the synthesis of $[^{18}\text{F}]$haloperidol ($[^{18}\text{F}]215$).\textsuperscript{[227]}

This radiotracer, which is used in the study of dopamine receptors in the brain, was delivered in one step upon treating the nitroarene precursor 216 with $[^{18}\text{F}]$TBAF ($[^{18}\text{F}]209$) in DMSO for 30 minutes at 145°C (Scheme 5.3b).

\textbf{Scheme 5.3} a) $\text{S}_\text{N} \text{Ar}$ Nucleophilic Aromatic $[^{18}\text{F}]$Radiofluorination of Aromatic Substrates. b) Preparation of $[^{18}\text{F}]$Haloperidol ($[^{18}\text{F}]215$).

The scope of arenes suitable for nucleophilic aromatic $[^{18}\text{F}]$radiofluorination, however, is limited to substrates able to stabilise the negative charge generated by the attack of

\textsuperscript{64} $^{18}\text{F}$-Labelled pyridines are also synthesised using an $\text{S}_\text{N} \text{Ar}$ approach. These motifs have been used as prosthetic groups for the indirect $^{18}\text{F}$-labelling of several radiotracer targets. reference [226]

\textsuperscript{66} By contrast, nitro- or haloarene precursors often possess similar chromatographic properties to the $[^{18}\text{F}]$fluoroaromatic products leading to complications during purification.
[18F]fluoride. In order for C(sp^2)-18F bond formation to occur at a reasonable rate, at least one electron-withdrawing substituent, such as a carbonyl, nitrile or nitro group, must be present at the ortho or para-positions of the arene ring. As such, the preparation of PET radiotracers featuring an electron-rich fluorinated arene motif relies heavily on indirect labelling methodologies involving electron-poor [18F]fluoroaromatic prosthetic groups such as 4-[18F]fluorobenzaldehyde ([18F]217a, Scheme 5.4). The synthesis of complex radiotracers via this method often requires several manipulations to be performed on the prosthetic group after [18F]radiofluorination, resulting in long overall synthesis times and substantial decay of the radiolabel.

Scheme 5.4 Selected Modifications of 4-[18F]Fluorobenzaldehyde [18F]217a.

In fact, electrophilic strategies are still the 18F-labelling methods of choice for many radiotracers featuring electron-rich aromatic motifs despite the previously discussed disadvantages associated with 18F⁺ sources (see Section 5.2.2). For example, an electrophilic
[18F]fluorodestannylation reaction with [18F]F2 is currently used to prepare the dopamine metabolism imaging agent [18F]FDOPA ([18F]218) (Scheme 5.5),\(^\text{[228]}\)

![Scheme 5.5 Preparation of [18F]FDOPA ([18F]218).](image)

The preparation of [18F]fluoroaromatic motifs from high specific activity [18F]fluoride remains a significant challenge for PET radiochemists. Alongside synthetic investigations aimed at identifying novel direct routes to these compounds, several research groups have focused on improving the efficiency and scope of indirect labelling methodologies involving electron-deficient [18F]fluoroaromatic prosthetic groups. As part of our studies into novel 18F-labelling strategies, we demonstrated that [18F]fluorobenzaldehyde ([18F]217) or benzoic acid building blocks can constitute one component in a multicomponent reaction leading to complex fluoroaromatic compounds in a single step from the prosthetic group (Scheme 5.6a).\(^\text{[229]}\)

Using the Biginelli,\(^\text{[230]}\) Ugi,\(^\text{[231]}\) Passerini\(^\text{[232]}\) and Groebke-Bienaymé-Blackburn\(^\text{[233-235]}\) reactions as representative convergent processes, a selection of heterocyclic and peptide-like 18F-labelled molecules featuring electron-rich [18F]fluoroaromatic motifs were synthesised in two steps from [18F]KF/K222 in moderate to excellent radiochemical yields. For each multicomponent reaction, a range of diversely-substituted labelled compounds could be prepared using a single set of optimised conditions with only minor variations in the reaction efficiency observed using different non-radioactive components. Furthermore, the Ugi coupling product [18F]219 could be

---

\(^\text{[229]}\) This work was performed in collaboration with Lei Li (DPhil, University of Oxford, 2011), Dr. Rodrigue Leuma Yona (University of Oxford) and Dr. Romain Bejot (University of Oxford).
successfully radiolabelled at two different positions depending on whether the benzaldehyde or benzoic acid component was labelled with fluorine-18 (Scheme 5.6b).

The potential of this strategy to prepare pharmaceutical targets was demonstrated by the concise synthesis of the α₁A adrenoceptor antagonist [¹⁸F]L881,668 ([¹⁸F]220) using a three-component Biginelli reaction as the key step (Scheme 5.7).

---

The Ugi reaction of [¹⁸F]4-fluorobenzoic acid was less efficient, however, and required the addition of a small amount of the non-radioactive fluorinated acid in order to proceed. reference[229]
Scheme 5.7 Radiosynthesis of $^{18}$F-L771,668 ([$^{18}$F]220) using a Biginelli Reaction.

Whilst indirect strategies with $^{18}$F-labelled prosthetic groups remain the most widely used method to prepare high specific activity electron-rich $^{18}$F-fluoroaromatic compounds, a more elegant approach to these compounds would involve the direct nucleophilic $^{18}$F-radiofluorination of non-activated substrates with $^{18}$F-fluoride. Several research groups have focused on the development of novel $^{18}$F-labelling methodologies of this type with the most promising results reported to date centering on the use of diaryliodonium salt precursors.\cite{237-240} In 2007, Coenen and co-workers reported the successful preparation of a range of $^{18}$F-fluoroaromatics upon treating iodine(III) reagents bearing one thiophene substituent and one aryl group with $^{18}$FKF/K222 in $N,N$-dimethylformamide at 130°C.\cite{241} Notably, the reaction was successful with precursors featuring electron-neutral and electron-donating substituents around the arene ring with the para-methyl and para-methoxy substituted aromatics being delivered in 32±2% and 29±3% radiochemical yield
respectively (Scheme 5.8). In addition to acting as the leaving group in these reactions, the positively-charged iodonium group also removes electron density from the arene ring, activating it towards nucleophilic attack. The application of this methodology towards more complicated substrates, however, has proved challenging and, to date, no radiotracers containing electron-rich aromatic motifs have been labelled directly from iodonium salt precursors.

![Scheme 5.8 Nucleophilic Aromatic [18F]Radiofluorination of Iodonium Salts.](image)

### 5.4 Applying Transition Metal Catalysis to 18F-Radiochemistry

As discussed in the previous section, the labelling of organic compounds with fluorine-18 is dominated by nucleophilic substitution processes whereby an aliphatic or aromatic leaving group is replaced by high specific activity $[^{18}\text{F}]$fluoride.$^{[219]}$ Labelling methodologies of this type, however, generally require elevated reaction temperatures and the use of highly reactive leaving groups in order for C-$^{18}$F bond formation to occur within the timeframe imposed by the radioisotope half-life. Sensitive substrates such as biomolecules must therefore be labelled via lengthy, indirect protocols where $^{18}$F-labelled prosthetic groups are prepared independently, purified and subsequently coupled to the rest of the molecule.$^{[223-224]}$ Furthermore, electron-rich $^{18}$F-labelled aromatic motifs are not accessible via direct $S_N$Ar nucleophilic $[^{18}\text{F}]$radiofluorination and must instead be prepared either upon functional group manipulation of electron-poor aromatic prosthetic groups or via direct electrophilic fluorination using low specific activity $"^{18}\text{F}".$
Over the last few years, significant research attention has focused on the development of novel nucleophilic $^{18}\text{F}$radiofluorination methods that could potentially overcome these limitations. As part of our studies into the effect of fluorinating reagents of the reactivity of transition metal catalysts, we sought to investigate whether C-$^{18}\text{F}$ bonds could be formed directly from high specific activity $^{18}\text{F}$fluoride in the presence of palladium catalysts.

Palladium-catalysed fluorination has been demonstrated by a number of research groups using both electrophilic and nucleophilic sources of ‘cold’ fluorine.$^{[242]}$ The selective fluorination of arylboronic acids$^{[243]}$ and non-activated arenes$^{[244]-[245]}$ using palladium(II) sources and a range electrophilic fluorinating reagents were reported by the groups of Ritter and Sanford respectively. Mechanistic studies on proposed reaction intermediates indicated that the “$\text{F}^+$” sources in these processes act as stoichiometric oxidants, leading to (aryl)palladium(IV) complexes amenable to C(sp$^3$)-F bond-forming reductive elimination (Scheme 5.9).$^{[246]-[248]}$

![Scheme 5.9](image)

**Scheme 5.9** a) Palladium-Catalysed Electrophilic Fluorination of Non-Activated Arenes. b) Palladium-Mediated Electrophilic Fluorination of Arylboronic Acids.
The first palladium-catalysed fluorination reaction using a nucleophilic source of fluorine was reported by Buchwald and co-workers in 2009. A range of aryl triflates bearing electron-withdrawing and donating substituents were converted into the corresponding fluoroarenes in generally excellent yields upon treatment with palladium catalysts and 6 equivalents of caesium fluoride (Scheme 5.10). This reaction is thought to proceed via a Pd(0)/Pd(II) redox cycle involving the initial oxidative addition of the starting material onto palladium(0). Substitution of the triflate group in complex by a fluoride ion would deliver the (aryl)palladium(II) fluoride species amenable to C(sp²)-F bond-forming reductive elimination. As demonstrated in a series of experimental and theoretical studies by Grushin and Yandulov, this latter step is a challenging operation from palladium(II) with P-F bond forming reductive elimination between the fluoride ion and a phosphine ligand being a more favoured process under most conditions. The key to encouraging C(sp²)-F bond formation in this process was the use of palladium catalysts bearing the recently-developed ligand . Related sterically-hindered mono-phosphine ligands have proved effective in facilitating challenging C-N, C-O and C-CF₃ bond-forming reductive elimination processes from palladium(II) complexes.

Scheme 5.10 Palladium-Catalysed Nucleophilic Fluorination of Aryl Triflates 221.
5.4.1 Palladium-Catalysed Allylic Fluorination

In 2009, our group demonstrated that palladium(0) catalysts can react with allylic fluorides to afford allylic substitution products resulting from C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-F bond cleavage. A series of competition experiments indicated that fluoride was a superior leaving group to acetate in these reactions although methyl carbonate-substituted starting materials were the most reactive. In principle, therefore, treating allyl methyl carbonates with fluoride should deliver the C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-F bonded products provided suitable reaction conditions can be identified (Scheme 5.11a). A plausible mechanistic explanation for the palladium-catalysed nucleophilic allylic fluorination process is shown in Scheme 5.11b. Initial coordination of palladium(0) to the alkene moiety in the starting material followed by oxidative addition into the carbon-oxygen bond would afford the (allyl)palladium(II) complex. The species is in equilibrium with the cationic complex resulting from dissociation of the leaving group from the palladium centre. S<sub>N</sub>2-Type nucleophilic attack of a fluoride ion onto the allyl ligand of either palladium(II) complex would result in the formation of a new C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-F bond with concomitant reduction of the transition metal. Decomplexation of the palladium(0) from the alkene moiety would then deliver the allylic fluoride product and regenerate the active catalyst. Alternatively, the fluoride ion could attack the palladium centre of the cationic complex directly to afford a palladium(II) fluoride intermediate, which would deliver the product upon C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-F bond-forming reductive elimination. This pathway seems unlikely, however, due to the previously-discussed difficulty in encouraging C-F bond formation from palladium(II) complexes.
As a preliminary experiment, allyl methyl carbonate 227a was reacted with Pd(dba)$_2$ (dba = dibenzylideneacetone, 5 mol%) and triphenylphosphine (15 mol%) in the presence of 2.5 equivalents of the recently-developed fluoride source TBAF.$(r$-BuOH)$_4$ (230) in tetrahydrofuran.$^{[255-257]}$ After one hour at room temperature, 89% of the starting material had been consumed with $^1$H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicating that two products had been formed. The desired allylic fluoride 226a was delivered as a single regioisomer in 30% isolated yield upon silica gel column chromatography whilst alcohol 231a resulting from hydrolysis of the carbonate leaving group was also observed (Scheme 5.12a).$^{v}$ The formation of this side-product was dramatically reduced upon switching the leaving group to para-nitrobenzoate. Using this substrate (232a), the allylic fluoride product

$v$ Competitive palladium-catalysed C-O bond formation with adventitious water could also explain the formation of the alcohol side-product.
226a was isolated in >95% yield whilst a range of diversely-substituted linear allylic para-nitrobenzoates 232 based on 1- or 2-arylprop-2-en-1-ols were also readily converted into the corresponding C(sp³)-F bonded compounds (Scheme 5.12b). In each case, the nucleophilic fluorination reaction was complete within one hour at room temperature whilst complete regioselectivity for the linear allylic fluoride product was observed.

\[
\text{Scheme 5.12 a) Palladium-Catalysed Allylic Fluorination of Allylic Methyl Carbonate 227a. b) Palladium-Catalysed Allylic Fluorination of Allylic para-Nitrobenzoates 232.}
\]

### 5.4.2 Outline of the Project

Inspired by the successful development of a palladium-catalysed allylic fluorination process using nucleophilic sources of fluorine, we sought to investigate whether this methodology could be extended to the synthesis of \(^{18}\text{F}\)-labelled linear allylic fluoride motifs from high specific activity \(^{18}\text{F}\)fluoride. Although transition metal catalysed reactions are ubiquitous in conventional organic synthesis, these transformations are extremely scarce in the context of PET radiochemistry.\(^{258}\) In particular, no transition metal-mediated C-\(^{18}\text{F}\) bond-forming processes have been reported to date whilst the reactivity of palladium complexes in the presence of \(^{18}\text{F}\) sources has yet to be established. A family of radiotracer targets \(^{18}\text{F}\)233 featuring an \(^{18}\text{F}\)allylic fluoride motif were recently reported by the groups of Dollé\(^{259}\) and Goodman.\(^{260}\) These compounds have shown promise as PET imaging agents for dopamine
transport in the brain and were synthesised directly from the allylic chlorides 234 or indirectly using the $^{18}$F-labelled prosthetic group $[^{18}\text{F}]235$ (Scheme 5.13).

![Scheme 5.13 Current Synthetic Routes Towards the Dopamine Transport Imaging Agents $[^{18}\text{F}]233$.](image)

In the remainder of this chapter, our preliminary studies towards the development of a palladium-catalysed allylic $[^{18}\text{F}]$radiofluorination reaction using high specific activity $[^{18}\text{F}]$fluoride are presented. These investigations led to the validation of the first transition metal mediated carbon-fluorine bond-forming process performed under radiosynthetic conditions (Scheme 5.14).[255]

![Scheme 5.14 Palladium-Mediated Allylic $[^{18}\text{F}]$Radiofluorination.](image)

### 5.5 Palladium-Mediated Allylic $[^{18}\text{F}]$Radiofluorination

#### 5.5.1 Preliminary Studies

The primary allylic methyl carbonate 227b was selected as the test substrate for use in our preliminary $^{18}$F-labelling experiments. This compound was prepared in 76% yield upon treating the commercially-available alchohol 231b with two equivalents of methyl chloroformate in dichloromethane and pyridine (Scheme 5.15).
As a first experiment, 5±1 mg of substrate 227b was mixed with the palladium(0) source Pd(dba)₂ (2±1 mg) and triphenylphosphine (2±1 mg) in 450 µL of acetonitrile in a dry borosilicate glass vial containing a v-shaped stirring bar. Acetonitrile is sufficiently polar to dissolve most [18F]fluoride sources and is commonly employed as a solvent in nucleophilic SN₂ and SNAr radionuclide reactions. Furthermore, the widespread use of MeCN/H₂O as the mobile phase in reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) simplifies purification of the crude radionuclide product upon completion of the reaction.

The mixture of non-radioactive reagents was transferred to a lead-shielded hot cell and 50 µL of an acetonitrile solution containing [18F]TBAF ([18F]209) was added. This reagent was prepared from cyclotron-produced [18F]F⁻ (aq) according to the counter-ion exchange/azeotropic drying procedure described in Section 5.3 (Scheme 5.16).
The $[^{18}\text{F}]$fluoride obtained from the cyclotron was separated from oxygen-18 enriched water using a quaternary methyl ammonium (QMA) anion exchange cartridge. A solution of tetra-$n$-butylammonium bicarbonate (TBAHCO$_3$, 13 mg) in acetonitrile/water (4:1, 0.5 mL) was then passed through the cartridge to afford $[^{18}\text{F}]$TBAF ($[^{18}\text{F}]$209), which was subsequently dried at 120ºC under a gentle stream of nitrogen with successive additions of acetonitrile (2×0.5 mL). A comparison of the total radioactivity of $[^{18}\text{F}]$fluoride obtained from the cyclotron with that of the dry $[^{18}\text{F}]$TBAF indicated that >98% of the fluoride (decay corrected) had been maintained during the counter-ion exchange and azeotropic drying process.

The dry $[^{18}\text{F}]$TBAF was dissolved in acetonitrile and transferred by lead-shielded syringe to the borosilicate glass vial containing the allylic methyl carbonate 227b, Pd(dba)$_2$ and triphenylphosphine. After 5 minutes stirring at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with water (0.5 mL) and the crude mixture was injected onto the HPLC (Phenomenex NX 5µm C18 column, MeCN/H$_2$O, Gradient A, 1 mL/min). Analysis of the radioactivity trace indicated the presence of only one $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled organic product with the remainder of the radioactive material being unreacted $[^{18}\text{F}]$TBAF. Moreover, the retention time of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled organic product (14.7 min) was consistent with the UV trace of the non-radioactive linear allylic fluoride 226b (14.5 min, Figure 5.3). This reference compound was prepared from the corresponding allylic bromide 236b in 14% isolated yield after distillation under reduced pressure (Scheme 5.17). The identity of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled product was confirmed Upon spiking with the ‘cold’ reference sample whilst analysis of the crude reaction mixture by radio-TLC (eluent: MeCN/H$_2$O, 95:5) indicated that $[^{18}\text{F}]$226 had been delivered in 19% decay corrected radiochemical yield (Scheme 5.18).

---

ww See chapter 6 for details of the HPLC system.
xx The UV and radioactivity detectors of the HPLC system are in series and, consequently, the retention times of the radioactive peaks are ≈0.2 minutes later than the corresponding UV peaks.
Figure 5.3 HPLC Traces. Red Line: Radioactivity Trace of the Crude $^{18}$F Radiofluorination Reaction Mixture. Black Line: UV Trace of the ‘Cold’ Allylic Fluoride $^{226}$b.

Scheme 5.17 Preparation of ‘Cold’ Allylic Fluoride $^{226}$b.

Scheme 5.18 Palladium-Mediated Allylic $^{18}$F Radiofluorination of Allylic Methyl Carbonate $^{227}$b.

In order to confirm that the observed $^{18}$F radiofluorination process was indeed palladium-mediated, the reaction was repeated under the same the conditions in the absence of Pd(dba)$_2$ and PPh$_3$. HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture after 5 minutes at room temperature indicated that no $^{18}$F-labelled organic products had been formed whilst only...
unreacted $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{TBAF}$ was detected by radio-TLC (Scheme 5.19a). Similarly, no trace of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled allylic fluoride was observed when the reaction was heated to 110ºC for 20 minutes in the absence of the transition metal (Scheme 5.19b,c).

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{a) } & \quad \text{Ph}^\equiv\text{CHCH}_2\text{OOC} + [^{18}\text{F}]\text{TBAF} \xrightarrow{\text{MeCN, rt, 5 min}} \text{Ph}^\equiv\text{CHCH}_2\text{F} \\
\text{b) } & \quad \text{Ph}^\equiv\text{CHCH}_2\text{OOC} + [^{18}\text{F}]\text{TBAF} \xrightarrow{\text{MeCN, 110ºC, 20 min}} \text{Ph}^\equiv\text{CHCH}_2\text{F} \\
\text{c) } & \quad \text{Ph}^\equiv\text{CHCH}_2\text{OOC} + [^{18}\text{F}]\text{TBAF} \xrightarrow{\text{PPh}_3, \text{MeCN, 110ºC, 20 min}} \text{Ph}^\equiv\text{CHCH}_2\text{F}
\end{align*} \]

**Scheme 5.19** Control Reactions Performed in the Absence of Palladium or PPh₃.

To the best of our knowledge, the formation of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled allylic fluoride $[^{18}\text{F}]226$ from allylic methyl carbonate 227b and high specific activity $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{TBAF}$ ($[^{18}\text{F}]209$) represents the first example of a transition metal-mediated C-$^{18}\text{F}$ bond forming process. The palladium-mediated $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{radiofluorination}$ process is a remarkably clean and rapid transformation, delivering the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled allylic fluoride as the only radioactive organic material in a respectable radiochemical yield of 19% (decay corrected) after just 5 minutes. Furthermore, the mild reaction conditions and benign nature of the carbonate precursors could be of relevance for the direct aliphatic $^{18}\text{F}$-labelling of sensitive substrates currently prepared using indirect prosthetic group-based strategies.
5.5.2 Effect of Different Reaction Conditions

Having validated the palladium-mediated allylic $[^{18}\text{F}]$ radiofluorination of methyl carbonate 227b, we sought to investigate the efficiency of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelling process under a variety of different reaction conditions (Table 5.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry[a]</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Temp</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Radiochemical Yield (RCY)[b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MeCN/t-BuOH (9:1)</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7-32% ($n = 7$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2[c]</td>
<td>MeCN/t-BuOH (9:1)</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9-42% ($n = 17$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MeCN/t-BuOH (9:1)</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9-46% ($n = 5$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11-13% ($n = 2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MeCN/t-BuOH (9:1)</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17-19% ($n = 2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MeCN/t-BuOH (9:1)</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10-22 ($n = 2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10-52 ($n = 7$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>MeCN/t-BuOH (9:1)</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4-7% ($n = 2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>MeCN/t-BuOH (9:1)</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6-20% ($n = 2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>MeCN/t-BuOH (9:1)</td>
<td>100°C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6% ($n = 1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Reaction conditions: Carbonate 227b (5±1 mg), Pd(dba)$_2$ (2±1 mg), PPh$_3$ (2±1 mg), $[^{18}\text{F}]$TBAF ($[^{18}\text{F}]$209, typical activity = 10-50 MBq), solvent (0.5 mL). [b] Decay corrected radiochemical yields of $[^{18}\text{F}]$226b determined by radio-TLC on the crude reaction mixture (elucent = MeCN/H$_2$O, 95:5) or by collection with HPLC – see Chapter 6 for details. $n =$ number of individual runs under these conditions. [c] Reaction performed in the absence of Pd(dba)$_2$.

Table 5.2 Palladium-Mediated Allylic $[^{18}\text{F}]$ Radiofluorination of Allylic Methyl Carbonate 227b.

In each case, the allylic methyl carbonate 227b (5±1 mg), Pd(dba)$_2$ (2±1 mg) and PPh$_3$ (2±1 mg) were dissolved in 450 µL of the solvent in a borosilicate glass vial containing a v-
shaped stirring bar. A solution of freshly prepared \(^{18}\text{F}\)TBAF in acetonitrile (or tetrahydrofuran, 50 µL, typical radioactivity = 10-50 MBq) was then added in a lead-shielded hot cell and the reaction was stirred at the given temperature for 1-30 minutes. In each experiment, the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-labelled allylic fluoride \(^{18}\text{F}\)226b was observed as the only radioactive organic product upon crude HPLC analysis (Phenomenex NX 5µm C18 column, MeCN/H\(_2\)O, Gradient A, 1 mL/min). The decay corrected radiochemical yields were determined either by radio-TLC on the crude reaction mixture (eluent = MeCN/H\(_2\)O, 95:5) or by direct comparison of the radioactivity of the crude mixture injected into the HPLC with that of the product eluted from the column.

As a first experiment, the palladium-mediated \(^{18}\text{F}\)radiofluorination reaction was performed in a 9:1 mixture of acetonitrile and tert-butanol (total volume = 0.5 mL). This solvent system resembles the optimised reaction conditions for the ‘cold’ process where TBAF.(t-BuOH\(_4\)) (230) was identified as the fluoride source of choice. Under these conditions, the desired allylic fluoride \(^{18}\text{F}\)226b was delivered in a comparable radiochemical yield of 23% whilst complete recovery of the starting material was observed when the same reaction was performed in the absence of Pd(dba)_2 (Table 5.3, Entries 1-2). In order to evaluate the reproducibility of the \(^{18}\text{F}\)radiofluorination process, the reaction described in Entry 1 (Table 5.3) was repeated a number of times with different batches of \(^{18}\text{F}\)TBAF. Whilst the allylic fluoride \(^{18}\text{F}\)226b was always afforded as the only radioactive organic product in these experiments, the radiochemical yields varied considerably from 7 to 32% (\(n = 7\), Table 5.3, Entry 1). The inconsistency in the RCY could result from the inherent instability of the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-labelled product. As previously demonstrated by our group, allylic fluorides can react with palladium(0) to afford (\(\pi\)-allyl)palladium(II) species resulting from C(sp\(^3\))-F bond cleavage.\(^{254}\) In addition, cinnamyl fluoride 226b is a notoriously sensitive compound and has been shown to decompose in contact with borosilicate glass.\(^{261}\) A broad radiochemical
yield range was also observed when the palladium-mediated $[^{18}\text{F}]$radiofluorination reaction was repeated a number of times using acetonitrile as solvent (Table 5.3, Entry 3). Radio-TLC and HPLC analysis of these experiments after 5 minutes at room temperature indicated that the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled allylic fluoride $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{226b}$ was delivered in 9-42% RCY under these conditions ($n = 17$, Scheme 5.20).

![Scheme 5.20 Palladium-Mediated Allylic $[^{18}\text{F}]$Radiofluorination of Allylic Methyl Carbonate 227b.](image)

Increasing the reaction time had a minimal effect on the efficiency of the palladium-mediated process. The allylic fluoride $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{226b}$ was delivered in 9-46% ($n = 5$) radiochemical yield after 10 minutes at room temperature when a 9:1 mixture of acetonitrile and tert-butanol was used as the reaction solvent (Table 5.3, Entry 4) whilst a low RCY range of 11-13% ($n = 2$) was observed with acetonitrile as solvent (Table 5.3, Entry 5). Leaving the reactions for 15 or 30 minutes did not improve the fluorination efficiency although a radiochemical yield of 52% was observed after 30 minutes in one isolated case when acetonitrile was used as the solvent (Table 5.3, Entries 6-8). Notably, substantial formation of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled product was observed within two minutes of reaction with radio-TLC analysis indicating that $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{226b}$ had been furnished in 4-7% ($n = 2$) and 6-20% ($n = 2$) radiochemical yield after 60 and 120 seconds respectively (Table 5.3, Entries 9,10).

No improvement in the radiochemical yield of the $^{18}\text{F}$-labelled allylic fluoride product was observed upon performing the reaction at the elevated temperature of 100°C. $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{226b}$ was delivered in only 6% RCY ($n = 1$) after 5 minutes under these conditions in acetonitrile/tert-butanol (9:1, Table 5.3, Entry 11). In a final screening experiment, the $[^{18}\text{F}]$radiofluorination
of allylic methyl carbonate 227b was performed in tetrahydrofuran. Whilst this solvent led to the highest yields of allylic fluorides 226 in the ‘cold’ nucleophilic fluorination process,[255] no C(sp$^3$)-$^{18}$F bond formation was observed with [$^{18}$F]fluoride. HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture after 5 minutes at room temperature indicated [$^{18}$F]TBAF remained unreacted under these conditions with no trace of [$^{18}$F]226b detected (Table 5.3, Entry 12). A similar disparity between the ‘cold’ and ‘hot’ palladium-mediated processes was observed upon reacting para-nitrobenzoate 232b with [$^{18}$F]TBAF under the standard radiolabelling conditions. This reaction was less efficient than the analogous [$^{18}$F]radiofluorination of allylic methyl carbonate 227b and [$^{18}$F]226b was afforded in only 5-7% RCY ($n = 2$) after 5 minutes at room temperature (Scheme 5.21). By contrast, the non-radioactive palladium-catalysed allylic fluorination of para-nitrobenzoate 232b was significantly higher yielding than the corresponding process with methyl carbonate 227b.[256]zz

![Scheme 5.21 Palladium-Mediated Allylic [$^{18}$F]Radiofluorination of Allylic para-Nitrobenzoate 232b.](image)

5.5.3 Comparison with Conventional S$\text{N}_2$ [$^{18}$F]Radiofluorination

At this stage of our investigation, the efficiency of the palladium-mediated allylic [$^{18}$F]radiofluorination process was compared with conventional S$\text{N}_2$-type radiosyntheses of allylic fluoride [$^{18}$F]226b. In the first control experiment, the commercially-available allylic

---

zz This compound was kindly provided by Charlotte Hollingworth (DPhil, University of Oxford, 2012).
zy It should be noted, however, that the isolated yield of the ‘cold’ process is calculated with respect to the allylic carbonate or para-nitrobenzoate starting material whereas the RCY of the ‘hot’ process relates to the amount of [$^{18}$F]fluoride consumed. Furthermore, the relative stoichiometries of the reagents are vastly different in each system.
chloride 237b was heated to 110°C with [\(^{18}\text{F}\)]TBAF ([\(^{18}\text{F}\)209] in acetonitrile. HPLC Analysis after 20 minutes indicated that the nucleophilic [\(^{18}\text{F}\)]radiofluorination product [\(^{18}\text{F}\)226b] had been formed as the only radioactive organic material in 40% RCY (\(n = 1\)) (Scheme 5.22a). However, no trace of the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-labelled allylic fluoride was observed when the \(S_N2\) reaction was conducted under the milder conditions used for the palladium-mediated allylic [\(^{18}\text{F}\)]radiofluorination process (ie. room temperature, 5 minutes, Scheme 5.22b).

![Scheme 5.22 Control Reactions with Allylic Chloride 237b at a) 110°C and b) room temperature.](image)

By contrast, the more activated allylic bromide 236b did undergo \(S_N2\)-type nucleophilic [\(^{18}\text{F}\)]radiofluorination when reacted with [\(^{18}\text{F}\)]TBAF in acetonitrile at room temperature for 5 minutes. The radiochemical yield of the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-labelled allylic fluoride [\(^{18}\text{F}\)226b] produced in this reaction (2-20\%, \(n = 3\)), however, was comparable to those obtained using the palladium-mediated approach (9-42\%, \(n = 17\), Scheme 5.23).

![Scheme 5.22 Control Reactions with Allylic Chloride 237b at a) 110°C and b) room temperature.](image)

\[\text{Scheme 5.22 Control Reactions with Allylic Chloride 237b at a) 110°C and b) room temperature.}\]

aaa Allylic fluoride [\(^{18}\text{F}\)226b] was delivered in 42\% RCY (\(n = 1\)) when allylic bromide 236b was reacted with [\(^{18}\text{F}\)]TBAF ([\(^{18}\text{F}\)209] in acetonitrile at 110°C for 20 minutes.
Taken together, these control experiments demonstrate that the palladium-mediated allylic $^{18}$F-radiofluorination of methyl carbonate 227b proceeds under milder conditions than the conventional S$_\text{N}$2-type radiolabelling of the corresponding allylic chloride. Whilst the analogous nucleophilic $^{18}$F-radiofluorination of the allylic bromide 236b occurs with comparable efficiency, the palladium-mediated process utilises a significantly less reactive methyl carbonate starting material. This feature could be important for the direct aliphatic labelling of sensitive substrates where S$_\text{N}$2 nucleophilic $^{18}$F-radiofluorination with highly reactive leaving groups is not viable.

5.6 Conclusions

In summary, the palladium-mediated allylic $^{18}$F-radiofluorination of methyl carbonate 226b has been validated using Pd(dba)$_2$ and high specific activity $^{18}$F-TBAF ($^{18}$F209). This reaction combines transition metal catalysis with $^{18}$F-radiochemistry for the first time and, as such, could pave the way for many future C-$^{18}$F bond-forming processes of relevance for the synthesis of PET radiotracers.

The palladium-mediated $^{18}$F-radiofluorination reaction was a remarkably clean process, delivering the allylic fluoride $^{18}$F226b as the only radioactive organic product in respectable radiochemical yields up to 52% after only a few minutes at room temperature. However, considerable variation in the radiochemical yields of the $^{18}$F-labelled product was observed for the same set of reaction conditions. Control experiments indicated that the palladium-mediated reaction is of comparable efficiency to the conventional S$_\text{N}$2 $^{18}$F-radiofluorination of the corresponding allylic bromide 236b. Whilst further studies are required to investigate the scope and limitations of the transition metal-mediated process with more complex substrates, the mild reaction conditions and relatively benign starting
materials used in this reaction could be of relevance for the direct \( ^{18}\text{F} \)-labelling of sensitive radiotracer targets.

Furthermore, the use of palladium catalysts bearing chiral ligands could potentially allow for the development of an asymmetric \([^{18}\text{F}]\)radiofluorination reaction based on this methodology. An asymmetric variant of the ‘cold’ palladium-catalysed allylic fluorination reaction was recently reported by Doyle and co-workers using AgF as the fluoride source.\(^{[262]}\)
Chapter 6

Experimental Procedures and Characterisation Data

6.1 General Experimental Information

All proton NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker DPX200, AV400 or AVII500 spectrometer. Carbon-13 NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker AV400 or a Bruker AVII500 spectrometer with a carbon-13 cryoprobe. Fluorine-19 and phosphorus-31 spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV400 or AVII500 spectrometer. Proton and carbon-13 NMR spectra are reported as chemical shifts (\(\delta\)) in parts per million (ppm) relative to the solvent peak using the Bruker internal referencing procedure (edlock). Fluorine-19 NMR spectra are referenced relative to CFCl\(_3\) in CDCl\(_3\). Phosphorus-31 NMR spectra are referenced relative to phosphoric acid in D\(_2\)O. Coupling constants (\(J\)) are reported in units of hertz (Hz). The following abbreviations are used to describe multiplicities – s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), quin (quintet), sept (septuplet), m (multiplet), br s (broad singlet). Multiplicities are reported as observed in the spectra. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS, \(m/z\)) were recorded on a Bruker MicroTOF spectrometer using positive (ESI\(^+\)) or negative electrospray ionisation (ESI\(^-\)) or on a Micromass GCT spectrometer using either chemical ionisation (CI\(^+\)) or field ionisation (FI\(^+\)). Infrared spectra were recorded on NaCl discs either as the neat compound or in a solution in dichloromethane using a Bruker Tensor 27 FT-IR spectrometer. Absorptions are reported in wavenumbers (cm\(^{-1}\)) and only diagnostic peaks are reported. Melting points of solids were measured on a Griffin apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 241 polarimeter in a 1 dm
Values are given in $10^1 \text{deg cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$. Enantiomeric excesses were measured using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) on a machine supplied by the Waters Corporation using a CHIRALCEL OJ-H column. IUPAC names were obtained using the ACD/I-Lab service. All reported compounds are racemic mixtures unless otherwise indicated.

The following characterisation data are provided for each novel compound: retention factor ($R_d$), proton NMR spectra ($^1\text{H NMR}$), carbon-13 NMR spectra ($^{13}\text{C NMR}$), infrared spectra (IR) and high resolution mass spectra (HRMS). Fluorine-19 or phosphorus-31 NMR spectra ($^{19}\text{F NMR}$, $^{31}\text{P NMR}$) are also provided for compounds containing these heteroatoms whilst melting points (Mp) are provided for solids. Optical rotations ($\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{25}$) are provided for enantiomeric compounds. For known compounds, a minimum of three pieces of characterisation data including proton and carbon-13 NMR spectra are provided. This data is in agreement with literature values. X-Ray crystallography data is included in the Appendix. All compounds used during the study for which a preparation does not appear in this chapter were commercially available.

All reactions were performed in dried apparatus with magnetic stirring under an inert atmosphere unless otherwise stated. All solvents were dried on a column of alumina prior to use. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using Merck aluminium-foil backed plates precoated with Kieselgel 60 F$_{254}$. The products were visualised using UV fluorescence (254 nm), potassium permanganate or ceric ammonium molybdate stains. Flash column chromatography was performed manually over Merck silica gel C60 (40-60 µm) or on an automated column chromatography machine supplied by Biotage AB using eluent systems as described for each experiment.
6.2 Experimental Data for Chapter 2

6.2.1 Preparation of SIPrAuCl (1)

SIPrAuCl (1) was prepared in 5 steps according to the procedures of Arduengo\cite{90} and Sadighi (see Scheme 2.9).\cite{46}

\textit{N,N'-(1\textit{E},2\textit{E})-Ethane-1,2-diylidenebis(2,6-diisopropylaniline)} (96) \cite{90}

A mixture of 2,6-diisopropylphenylamine (5.32 mL, 28.0 mmol, 2.25 eq) and 40% aqueous solution of glyoxal (1.46 mL, 12.7 mmol, 1 eq) in \textit{n}-propanol (20.3 mL) was stirred for 1h at 70°C. After being allowed to cool to room temperature, water (20 mL) was added. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with cold methanol and dried \textit{in vacuo} to afford the product (96) as a bright yellow solid (4.09 g, yield = 86%).

\textbf{Mp} = 73°C (lit = 71-73°C).\cite{90} \textbf{H NMR} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ: 8.13 (s, 2H, $\text{H}C=N$), 7.15-7.27 (m, 6H, Ar), 2.97 (sept, 4H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1\text{ Hz}, \text{CH(CH}_3)_2$), 1.24 (d, 24H, d, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1\text{ Hz}, \text{CH(CH}_3)_2$). \textbf{13C NMR} (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ: 163.1 (H$\equiv$N), 148.0 (ipso-Ar), 136.7 (ortho-Ar), 125.1 (meta-Ar), 123.2 (para-Ar), 28.0 (CH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 23.4 (CH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}). \textbf{LRMS} (\textit{m}/\textit{z}, ESI\textsuperscript{+}): calculated for C\textsubscript{26}H\textsubscript{37}N\textsubscript{2}$^{+}$ ([M+H]$^{+}$): 377.3, found 377.3.
**N,N’-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)ethane-1,2-diamine dihydrochloride (97)**

1,2-Diimine (96, 5.00 g, 13.2 mmol, 1 eq) in THF/MeOH (50 mL, 3:2) was cooled to 0°C. Sodium borohydride (1.05 g, 28.0 mmol, 2.1 eq) was added resulting in vivid gas evolution. After 15 min, a further 1.05 g of sodium borohydride was added (28.0 mmol, 2.1 eq). The mixture was stirred at 0°C for a further 30 minutes before being slowly warmed to room temperature. After 4h, the reaction mixture was quenched with ice water (30 mL) followed by careful addition of 3M HCl (aq, 30 mL). A white solid precipitated, which was collected by filtration, washed with diethyl ether (2×20 mL) and dried in vacuo. The product (97) was afforded as a white solid (2.56 g, yield = 43%), which required no further purification.

**Mp > 250°C (lit > 250°C).**

1H NMR (400 MHz, dmoso-d$_6$) δ: 7.25-7.33 (m, 6H, Ar), 3.47 (s, 4H, NC$_2$H$_4$), 3.36 (sept, 4H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.17 (d, 24H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). Note: The NH proton peaks were not observed.

13C NMR (101 MHz, dmoso-d$_6$) δ: 151.0 (ipso-Ar), 142.8 (ortho-Ar), 128.0 (para-Ar), 125.0 (meta-Ar), 49.1 (NCH$_2$), 27.2 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$) 24.4 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). LRMS ($m/z$, ES$^-$): calculated for C$_{26}$H$_{46}$ClN$_2^-$ ([M–2H–Cl]$^-$): 415.3, found 415.3.
1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-ylium chloride (SIPrHCl, 98)\[^{[90]}\]

Diamine dihydrochloride (97, 2.00 g, 4.41 mmol) and one drop of formic acid was stirred in triethyl orthoformate (25 mL) at reflux for 45h. When cooled to room temperature, a solid precipitated, which was collected by filtration, washed with diethyl ether (20 mL) and dried in vacuo. The product (98) was afforded as a white solid (1.39 g, yield = 74%), which required no further purification.

\([\text{M} \text{p} = 242^\circ\text{C} \text{ (lit} = 237-240^\circ\text{C})]\)^\[^{[90]}\] 1H NMR (400 MHz, dmsø-d\(_6\)) \(\delta\): 9.54 (s, 1H, NCH\(_2\)N), 7.55 (t, 2H, \(^3J_{HH} = 7.8\) Hz, para-Ar), 7.42 (d, 4H, \(^3J_{HH} = 7.8\) Hz, meta-Ar), 4.54 (s, 4H, NCH\(_2\)), 3.09 (sept, 4H, \(^3J_{HH} = 6.8\) Hz, CH(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1.35 (d, 12H, \(^3J_{HH} = 6.8\) Hz, CH(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1.20 (d, 12H, \(^3J_{HH} = 6.8\) Hz, CH(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)). 13C NMR (101 MHz, dmsø-d\(_6\)) \(\delta\): 160.4 (NCHN), 146.5 (ipso-Ar), 131.4 (para-Ar), 130.2 (ortho-Ar), 125.2 (meta-Ar), 54.0 (NCH\(_2\)), 28.6 (CH(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 25.3 (CH(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 23.7 (CH(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)). LRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{27}\)H\(_{39}\)N\(_2\)+ ([M-Cl]\(^+\)): 391.3, found 391.3.

(1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-ylidene)silver(I) chloride (SIPrAgCl, 99)\[^{[46]}\]
Dichloromethane (8.6 mL) was added to SIPrHCl (98, 764 mg, 1.34 mmol, 1.67 eq) and silver(I) oxide (186 mg, 800 µmol, 1 eq). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon in the dark for 24h before filtration and removal of the solvent in vacuo. The resulting yellow crude solid was suspended in diethyl ether (5 mL) and filtered to give the product (99) as a white solid (690 mg, yield > 95%).

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD\_2Cl\_2) \(\delta\): 7.45 (t, 2H, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 7.8 Hz, para-Ar), 7.29 (d, 4H, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 7.8 Hz, meta-Ar), 4.08 (s, 4H, NCH\_2), 3.08 (sept, 4H, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH\_3)\_2), 1.35 (d, 12H, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH\_3)\_2), 1.33 (d, 12H, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH\_3)\_2). \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CD\_2Cl\_2) \(\delta\): 208.0 (dd, \(^1\)J\(_{C,109Ag}\) = 253 Hz, \(^1\)J\(_{C,107Ag}\) = 220 Hz, C\_Ag), 147.3 (ortho-Ar), 135.2 (ipso-Ar), 130.4 (para-Ar), 125.1 (meta-Ar), 54.5 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{C-Ag}\) = 8.6 Hz, NCH\_2), 29.3 (CH(CH\_3)\_2), 25.7 (CH(CH\_3)\_2), 24.3 (CH(CH\_3)\_2). LRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{29}\)H\(_{41}\)\(^{109}\)AgN\(_3\)ClNa\(^+\) ([M+MeCN+Na\(^+\]): 598.2, found 598.2.

(1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-ylidene)gold(I) chloride (SIPrAuCl, 1)\(^{[46]}\)

Dichloromethane (20 mL) and dimethyl sulfide (424 µL, 5.77 mmol, 8.5 eq) were added to a mixture of SIPrAgCl (99, 363 mg, 678 µmol, 1 eq) and chloro(dimethylsulfide)gold(I) (200 mg, 678 µmol, 1 eq). The mixture was stirred at room temperature in the dark for 60h before filtration and removal of the solvent in vacuo. The crude product was washed with diethyl ether (2×10 mL) and dried to give the product (1) as a white solid (415 mg, yield > 95%).
Propargyl acetates

6.2.2 Preparation of Propargyl Acetates 85

Propargyl acetates 85 were prepared according to the procedure of Zhang.80]

![Scheme 6.1 Preparation of Propargyl Acetates 85.](image)

**General Procedure A**: n-Butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 1.05 eq) was added to a solution of the alkyne (1.1 eq) in tetrahydrofuran (0.25 M) at −78°C. The reaction was stirred for 15 min before the aldehyde or ketone (1 eq) was added. The mixture was allowed to reach 0°C and stirred for 1 h. Acetic anhydride (8 eq) was added and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with sodium bicarbonate solution (sat. aq.), extracted with diethyl ether (3×) and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine. After drying with anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtration, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.
1-(Phenylethynyl)cyclohexyl acetate (85a)\textsuperscript{[80]}

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.2\textwidth]{diagram}
\end{center}

General procedure A was followed using \textit{n}-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 8.40 mL, 21.0 mmol), phenylacetylene (2.42 mL, 22.0 mmol), cyclohexanone (2.07 mL, 20.0 mmol) and acetic anhydride (7.56 mL, 80 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (84 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet. ether 30-40:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 85a as a colourless oil (2.79 g, yield = 58\%) along with the propargyl alcohol 93a as a white solid (683 mg, yield = 17\%).

\(R_f\) (hexane:ethyl acetate, 10:1) = 0.29. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\): 7.43-7.48 (m, 2H, \textit{ortho}-Ph), 7.28-7.31 (m, 3H, \textit{meta}-Ph, \textit{para}-Ph), 2.21-2.26 (m, 2H, \textit{H}2), 2.08 (s, 3H, (C=O)CH\textsubscript{3}), 1.66-1.71 (m, 4H, \textit{H}3), 1.36 (m, 1H, \textit{H}4), 1.56 (m, 1H, \textit{H}4'). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\): 169.3 (C=O), 131.8 (\textit{ortho}-Ph), 128.2, 128.1 (\textit{meta}-, \textit{para}-Ph), 122.8 (ipso-Ph), 89.1 (C=Ph), 86.2 (C=Ph), 75.9 (Cl), 37.1 (C2), 25.2 (C4), 22.8 (C3), 22.1 ((C=O)CH\textsubscript{3}). \textbf{IR} (neat): 2250 (C≡C), 1744 (C=O). \textbf{LRMS} (\textit{m}/\textit{z}, ESI\textsuperscript{+}): calculated for C\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{21}NNaO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ([M+MeCN+Na]\textsuperscript{+}): 306.2, found 306.2.

1-(Phenylethynyl)cyclohexanol (93a)\textsuperscript{[263]}

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.2\textwidth]{diagram}
\end{center}

\(R_f\) (hexane:ethyl acetate, 10:1) = 0.06. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\): 7.41-7.47 (m, 2H, \textit{ortho}-Ph), 7.28-7.33 (m, 3H, \textit{meta}-Ph, \textit{para}-Ph), 2.04, (br s, 1H, \textit{OH}), 1.98-2.03 (m, 2H,
1-Phenylnon-2-yn-1-yl acetate (85b)[264]

General procedure A was followed using n-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), 1-octyne (811 µL, 5.50 mmol), benzaldehyde (508 µL, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet. ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 85b as a colourless oil (1.15 g, yield = 89%).

\[ \text{R}_f \text{ (pet. ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.38.} \]

\[ ^1 \text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3 \text{):} \delta: 7.54 \text{ (d, 2H, } J_{HH} = 7.6 \text{ Hz, ortho-Ph), 7.34-7.42 \text{ (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 6.48 \text{ (br s, 1H, CH(Ph)(OAc)), 2.28 \text{ (t, 2H, } J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, H1), 2.10 \text{ (s, 3H, (C=O)CH}_3 \text{)), 1.55 \text{ (tt, 2H, } J_{HH} = 7.3, 7.1 \text{ Hz, H2), 1.35-1.44 \text{ (m, 2H, H3), 1.24-1.34 \text{ (m, 4H, H4, H5), 0.90 \text{ (dd, 3H, } J_{HH} = 7.1, 6.8 \text{ Hz, H6).} \]

\[ ^{13} \text{C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl}_3 \text{):} \delta: 169.8 \text{ (C=O), 137.6 \text{ (ipso-Ph), 128.7 \text{ (para-Ph), 128.4 \text{ (meta-Ph), 127.7 \text{ (ortho-Ph), 88.4 \text{ (C=\(\text{C}(C_6H_{13})\text{), 76.6 \text{ (C=\(\text{C}(C_6H_{13})\text{), 66.0 \text{ (CH(Ph)(OAc)), 31.2 \text{ (C4), 28.5, 28.4 \text{ (C2, C3), 22.5 \text{ (C5), 21.2 ((C=O)CH}_3 \text{), 18.8 \text{ (C1), 14.0 \text{ (C6). IR (neat): 2236 \text{ (C=\(\text{C), 1742 \text{ (C=O). LRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C}_{17}H_{22}NaO_2^+ \text{ ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 281.2, found 281.2.} \]
1-(4-Nitrophenyl)non-2-ynyl acetate (85c)

General procedure A was followed using n-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), 1-octyne (811 μL, 5.50 mmol), 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (756 mg, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 85c as a yellow oil (1.09 g, yield = 72%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.20. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 8.23 (d, 2H, 3J_HH = 8.8 Hz, ortho-Ar), 7.68 (d, 2H, 3J_HH = 8.8 Hz, meta-Ar), 6.50 (t, 1H, 5J_HH = 2.0 Hz, CH(Ar)(OAc)), 2.27 (td, 2H, 3J_HH = 7.1 Hz, 5J_HH = 2.0 Hz, H1), 2.13 (s, 3H, (C=O)CH3), 1.53 (tt, 2H, 3J_HH = 7.3, 7.1 Hz, H2), 1.32-1.40 (m, 2H, H3), 1.22-1.23 (m, 4H, H4, H5), 0.88 (t, 3H, 3J_HH = 6.8 Hz, H6). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 169.6 (C=O), 147.9, 144.5 (ipso-, para-Ar), 128.4 (ortho-Ar), 123.8 (meta-Ar), 89.8 (C≡C(C6H13)), 75.6 (C≡C(C6H13)), 64.8 (CH(Ar)(OAc)), 31.2 (C4), 28.5, 28.2 (C2, C3), 22.5 (C5), 21.0 ((C=O)CH3), 18.7 (C1), 14.0 (C6). IR (neat): 2235 (C=O), 1744 (C=O), 1525 (NO2), 1350 (NO2). HRMS (m/z, ESI+): calculated for C17H21NNaO4 ([M+Na]+): 326.1363, found 326.1351.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)non-2-ynyl acetate (85d)
General procedure A was followed using \textit{n}-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), 1-octyne (811 µL, 5.50 mmol), 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (681 mg, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product \textbf{85d} as a yellow oil (881 mg, yield = 61%).

\[ R_f (\text{hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1}) = 0.17. \]

\textbf{\textsuperscript{1}H NMR} (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 7.47 (dm, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.6 Hz, \textit{ortho}-Ar), 6.90 (dm, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.6 Hz, \textit{meta}-Ar), 6.43 (t, 1H, $^5$J$_{HH}$ = 2.0 Hz, CH$_3$(Ar)(OAc)), 3.82 (s, 3H, OC$_3$H$_3$), 2.27 (td, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.1 Hz, $^5$J$_{HH}$ = 2.0 Hz, H1), 2.08 (s, 3H, (C=O)C$_3$H$_3$), 1.54 (tt, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.3, 7.1 Hz, H2), 1.35-1.35 (m, 4H, H4, H5), 0.89 (dd, 3H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.1, 6.8 Hz, H6).

\textbf{\textsuperscript{13}C NMR} (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 170.0 (C=O), 159.9 (para-Ar), 129.9 (ipso-Ar), 129.3 (\textit{ortho}-Ar), 113.8 (\textit{meta}-Ar), 88.1 (C=C(C$_6$H$_3$)), 76.8 (C=C(C$_6$H$_3$)), 65.8 (CH$_3$(Ar)(OAc)), 55.3 (OCH$_3$), 31.2 (C4), 28.5, 28.3 (C2, C3), 22.5 (C5), 21.2 ((C=O)CH$_3$), 18.8 (C1), 14.0 (C6). \textbf{IR} (neat): 2251 (C≡C), 1742 (C=O). \textbf{HRMS} ($m/z$, FI$^+$): calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{24}$O$_3$ ([M$^+$]): 288.1725, found 288.1720.

\textbf{1-Mesitylnon-2-ynyl acetate (85e)}

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{O} \\
\text{C} \\
\end{array}
\]

General procedure A was followed using \textit{n}-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), 1-octyne (811 µL, 5.50 mmol), mesitaldehyde (737 µL, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product \textbf{85e} as a pale yellow oil (1.00 g, yield = 67%).
**Chapter 6: Experimental Procedures and Characterisation Data**

\[ R_f \text{(hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.37.} \]

**\[^1H\] NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 6.86 (s, 2H, meta-Ar), 6.83 (m, 1H, CH(Ar)(OAc)), 2.53 (s, 6H, ortho-Ar-CH\(_3\)), 2.27 (s, 3H, para-Ar-CH\(_3\)), 2.21 (td, 2H, \(^3J_{HH} = 7.1, ^5J_{HH} = 1.5\) Hz, H1), 2.07 (s, 3H, (C=O)CH\(_3\)), 1.50 (tt, 2H, \(^3J_{HH} = 7.3, ^3J_{HH} = 7.1\) Hz, H2), 1.31-1.39 (m, 2H, meta-Ar), 1.21-1.31 (m, 4H, meta-Ar), 0.89 (t, 3H, meta-Ar). IR (neat): 2232 (C\(-Ar\)), 87.3 (C\(\equiv\)H). **\[^13C\] NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 169.8 (C=O), 138.1, 137.0, 131.4 (para-Ar, ortho-Ar, IPSO-Ar), 129.7 (meta-Ar), 87.3 (C\(\equiv\)(C\(\equiv\)H\(_{13}\))), 76.4 (C\(\equiv\)(C\(\equiv\)H\(_{13}\))), 62.2 (CH(Ar)(OAc)), 31.2 (C4), 28.5, 28.3 (C2, C3), 22.5 (C5), 21.0, 20.9 ((C=O)CH\(_3\), para-Ar-CH\(_3\)), 18.9 (C1), 14.0 0 (C6). **HRMS** (m/z, FI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{28}\)O\(_2\) ([M\(^+\)]: 300.2089, found 300.2096.

**1,3-Diphenylprop-2-ynyl acetate (85f)**

![Diagram of 1,3-Diphenylprop-2-ynyl acetate](image)

General procedure A was followed using n-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), phenylacetylene (604 \(\mu\)L, 5.50 mmol), benzaldehyde (508 \(\mu\)L, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 85f as a yellow oil (1.16 g, yield = 93%).

\( R_f \text{(hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.23.} \)

**\[^1H\] NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.66-7.70 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.53-7.57 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.41-7.49 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.34-7.38 (m, 3H, Ph), 6.80 (m, 1H, CH(Ph)(OAc)), 2.17 (s, 3H, (C=O)CH\(_3\)). **\[^13C\] NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 169.6 (C=O), 137.0 (C1), 131.8, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.1, 127.7 (C2, C3, C4, C6, C7, C8), 121.9 (C5), 86.9 (C\(\equiv\)C(Ph)), 85.5 (C\(\equiv\)C(Ph)), 65.9 (CH(Ph)(OAc)), 20.9 ((C=O)CH\(_3\)). **IR** (neat): 2247
(C≡C), 1741 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, FI⁺): calculated for C₁₇H₁₄O₂ ([M⁺]: 250.0994, found 250.0994.

1-Cyclohexyl-3-phenylprop-2-ynyl acetate (85g)

General procedure A was followed using n-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), phenylacetylene (604 µL, 5.50 mmol), cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde (606 µL, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 85g as a colourless oil (826 mg, yield = 64%).

R_f (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.22. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.44-7.47 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.29-7.33 (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 5.46 (d, 1H, ³J_HH = 6.1 Hz, CH(Cy)(OAc)), 2.13 (s, 3H, (C=O)CH₃), 1.67-1.96 (m, 6H), 1.13-1.33 (m, 5H, H₁-6). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 170.2 (C=O), 131.9 (ortho-Ph), 128.5 (para-Ph), 128.2 (meta-Ph), 122.4 (ipso-Ph), 85.8 (C=C(Ph)), 85.7 (C≡C(Ph)), 68.7 (CH(Ph)(OAc)), 42.0 (Cl), 28.7, 28.2, 26.2, 25.8, 25.7 (C₃-6), 21.1 ((C=O)CH₃). IR (neat): 2229 (C≡C), 1746 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, FI⁺): calculated for C₁₇H₂₀O₂ ([M⁺]: 256.1463, found 256.1454.)
1-Phenylhex-1-yn-3-yl acetate (85h)

General procedure A was followed using \( n \)-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), phenylacetylene (604 µL, 5.50 mmol), butyraldehyde (451 µL, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 85h as a colourless oil (929 mg, yield = 86%).

\( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.27. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 7.44-7.46 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.28-7.34 (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 5.62 (t, 1H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 6.8 \) Hz, \( CH(C_3H_7)(OAc) \)), 2.12 (s, 3H, (C=O)C\(_3H_3\)), 1.82-1.88 (m, 2H, \( H1 \)), 1.54 (tq, 2H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3 \) Hz, \( H2 \)), 0.99 (t, 3H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, \( H3 \)). \(^13\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 170.1 (C=O), 131.9 (ortho-Ph), 128.5, 128.2 (meta-, para-Ph), 122.3 (ipso-Ph), 86.6 (\( C=\overline{C}(Ph) \)), 85.1 (\( C=\overline{C}(Ph) \)), 64.3 (\( CH(C_3H_7)(OAc) \)), 36.9 (C1), 21.1 (\( (C=O)C_3H_3 \)), 18.4 (C2), 13.7 (C3). IR (neat): 2236 (C=O), 1744 (C=O). HRMS (\( m/z \), FI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{16}\)O\(_2\) ([M]\(^+\)): 216.1150, found 216.1149.

1-Cyclohexylnon-2-yn-1-yl acetate (85i)
General procedure A was followed using $n$-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), 1-octyne (811 µL, 5.5 mmol), cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde (606 µL, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet. ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 85i as a colourless oil (763 mg, yield = 58%).

$R_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.33. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 5.18 (dm, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 6.1$ Hz, C$\equiv$H(Cy)(OAc)), 2.18 (td, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$ Hz, $^5J_{HH} = 2.0$ Hz, H7), 2.05 (s, 3H (C=O)C$\equiv$H3), 1.81 (m, 1H, H1), 1.70-1.77 (m, 3H, Cy), 1.56-1.68 (m, 2H, Cy), 1.48 (tt, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$, 6.6 Hz, H8), 1.00-1.40 (m, 11H, H9, H10, H11, Cy), 0.87 (dd, 3H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.3$, 6.6 Hz, H12).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 5.29 (tm, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(C$_3$H$_7$)(OAc)), 2.17 (td, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, $^5J_{HH} = 2.0$ Hz, H4), 2.01 (s, 3H, C=O)C$\equiv$H3), 1.81 (m, 1H, H1), 1.70-1.77 (m, 3H, Cy), 1.56-1.68 (m, 2H, Cy), 1.48 (tt, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$, 6.6 Hz, H8), 1.00-1.40 (m, 11H, H9, H10, H11, Cy), 0.87 (dd, 3H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.3$, 6.6 Hz, H12).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 170.0 (C=O), 86.7 (C$\equiv$C(C$_6$H$_{13}$)), 76.4 (C=C(C$_6$H$_{13}$)), 68.7 (CH(Cy)(OAc)), 42.0 (C1), 31.2, 28.5, 28.4, 28.4, 28.0, 26.2, 25.7, 25.7, 22.5 (C2-6, C8-11), 21.0 ((C=O)C$\equiv$H3), 18.6 (C7), 13.9 (C12). IR (neat): 2248 (C$\equiv$C), 1743 (C=O).

HRMS (m/z, Fl$^+$): calculated for $C_{17}H_{28}O_2$ ([M$^+$]) 264.2089 found 264.2076.

Dec-5-yn-4-yl acetate (85j)

General procedure A was followed using $n$-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 8.40 mL, 21.0 mmol), 1-hexyne (2.52 mL, 22.0 mmol), butyraldehyde (3.61 mL, 20.0 mmol) and acetic anhydride (15.1 mL, 160 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (84 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 85j as a colourless liquid (3.40 g, yield = 86%).

$R_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.27. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 5.29 (tm, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(C$_3$H$_7$)(OAc)), 2.17 (td, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, $^5J_{HH} = 2.0$ Hz, H4), 2.01 (s, 3H, C=O)C$\equiv$H3), 1.81 (m, 1H, H1), 1.70-1.77 (m, 3H, Cy), 1.56-1.68 (m, 2H, Cy), 1.48 (tt, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$, 6.6 Hz, H8), 1.00-1.40 (m, 11H, H9, H10, H11, Cy), 0.87 (dd, 3H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.3$, 6.6 Hz, H12).
(C=O)CH₃, 1.59-1.70 (m, 2H, H3), 1.28-1.48 (m, 6H, H2, H5, H6), 0.91 (t, 3H, JHH = 7.3 Hz), 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 7.3 Hz, H1, H7). ^1^C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 169.9 (C=O), 85.9 (C=C(C₄H₆)), 77.5 (C≡C(C₄H₆)), 64.2 (CH(C₃H₇)(OAc)), 37.0 (C3), 30.4 (C5), 21.7 (C6), 20.9 ((C=O)CH₃), 18.2 (C4), 18.2 (C2), 13.5, 13.4 (C1, C7). IR (neat): 2240 (C≡C), 30.4 (νC=O). HRMS (m/z, F̅I⁺): calculated for C₁₂H₂₀O₂ (M⁺): 196.1463, found 196.1466.

1-(Oct-1-yn-1-yl)cyclohexyl acetate (85k)

![Structural formula of 1-(Oct-1-yn-1-yl)cyclohexyl acetate (85k)]

General procedure A was followed using n-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), 1-octyne (811 μL, 5.50 mmol), cyclohexanone (518 μL, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 85k as a colourless oil (924 mg, yield = 74%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.36. ^1^H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 2.19 (t, 2H, JHH = 7.1 Hz, H5), 2.02-2.09 (m, 2H, H2), 1.98 (s, 3H, (C=O)CH₃), 1.72-1.80 (m, 2H, H2'), 1.53-1.59 (m, 4H, H3), 1.42-1.52 (m, 3H, H6, H8), 1.31-1.38 (m, 2H, H7), 1.19-1.31 (m, 5H, H4, H9, H8), 0.85 (dd, 3H, JHH = 7.1, 6.6 Hz, H10). ^1^C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 169.1 (C=O), 86.7 (C=C(C₆H₁₃)), 80.0 (C≡C(C₆H₁₃)), 75.9 (C1), 37.3 (C2), 31.2 (C8), 28.5, 28.3 (C6, C7), 25.2 (C4), 22.7, 22.4 (C3, C9), 22.0 ((C=O)CH₃), 18.7 (C5), 13.9 (C10). IR (neat): 2242 (C≡C), 1746 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₆H₂₆NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 273.1825, found 273.1824.
1,1,3-Triphenylprop-2-yn-1-yl acetate (85l)

![Structure of 1,1,3-Triphenylprop-2-yn-1-yl acetate](image)

General procedure A was followed using n-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), phenylacetylene (604 µL, 5.50 mmol), benzophenone (911 mg, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 85l as a white solid (462 mg, yield = 28%).

Mp = 75°C. Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.34. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.59-7.63 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.52-7.55 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.32-7.38 (m, 7 H, Ph), 7.27-7.32 (m, 2H, Ph), 2.21 (s, 3H, (C=O)C₃H₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 168.0 (C=O), 142.6 (C1), 131.8 (C6), 128.6, 128.2, 128.1, 127.7, 126.1 (C2-4, C7, C8), 122.3 (C5), 89.4 (C≡C(Ph)), 88.1 (C≡C(Ph)), 79.6 (C(Ph)₂OAc), 21.8 ((C=O)C₃H₃). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2242 (C≡C), 1752 (C=O).

HRMS (m/z, FI⁺): calculated for C₂₃H₁₈O₂ ([M⁺]: 326.1307, found 326.1302.

Ethyl cyclohexylideneacetate (86m)[⁹⁶]

![Structure of Ethyl cyclohexylideneacetate](image)

General procedure A was followed using n-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), ethoxyacetylene (50% weight in hexane, 1.07 mL, 5.50 mmol), cyclohexanone (518 µL, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column...
chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 86m as a colourless oil (362 mg, yield = 43%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.23. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 5.53 (s, 1H, C=CH), 4.06 (q, 2H, 3JHH = 7.1 Hz, OCH2CH3), 2.75-2.78 (m, 2H, H2), 2.11-2.13 (m, 2H, H6), 1.48-1.63 (m, 6H, H3-5), 1.20 (t, 3H, 3JHH = 7.1 Hz, OCH2CH3). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 166.5 (C=O), 163.2 (C1), 112.9 (C=CH), 59.2 (OCH2CH3), 37.8 (C6), 29.6 (C2), 28.5, 27.6 (C3, C5), 26.1 (C4), 14.1 (OCH2CH3). LRMS (m/z, ESI+): calculated for C10H16NaO2+ ([M+Na]+): 191.1, found 191.1.

**Ethyl 3-phenylacrylate (86n)**[267-268]

General procedure A was followed using n-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), ethoxyacetylene (50% weight in hexane, 1.07 mL, 5.50 mmol), benzaldehyde (508 µL, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 86n as a mixture of diastereoisomers as a colourless oil (E/Z = 36:64, 610 mg, yield = 69%).

(Z)-86n[267] : Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.40. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 7.58-7.61 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.33-7.39 (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 6.96 (d, 1H, 3JHH = 12.6 Hz, (Ph)CH=CH), 5.97 (d, 1H, 3JHH = 12.6 Hz, (Ph)CH=CH), 4.19 (q, 2H, 3JHH = 7.1 Hz, OCH2CH3), 1.26 (t, 3H, 3JHH = 7.1 Hz, OCH2CH3). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 166.2 (C=O), 142.9 ((Ph)CH=CH), 134.8 (ipso-Ph), 129.6 (ortho-Ph), 128.9 (para-Ph), 127.9
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(meta-Ph), 119.9 ((Ph)CH=CH), 60.2 (OCH₂CH₃), 14.0 (OCH₂CH₃). **LRMS** (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₁H₁₂NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 199.1, found 199.1.

(E)-86n[268] :- Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.23. **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.70 (d, 1H, 3JHH = 16.0 Hz, (Ph)CH=CH), 7.50-7.54 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.35-7.40 (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 6.45 (d, 1H, 3JHH = 16.0 Hz, (Ph)CH=CH), 4.27 (q, 2H, 3JHH = 7.1 Hz, OCH₂CH₃), 1.34 (t, 3H, 3JHH = 7.1 Hz, OCH₂CH₃).

**¹³C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 166.8 (C=O), 144.4 ((Ph)CH=CH), 134.4 (ipso-Ph), 130.1, 128.8, 127.9 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 118.2 ((Ph)CH=CH), 60.3 (OCH₂CH₃), 14.2 (OCH₂CH₃). **LRMS** (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₁H₁₂NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 199.1, found 199.1.

6.2.3 Rearrangement-Fluorodeacetylation of Propargyl Acetates 85

6.2.3.1 Preliminary Investigations

(E)-2-Fluoro-1-phenylnon-1-en-3-one ((E)-92b)

Selectfluor (82, 823 mg, 2.32 mmol, 1.2 eq), PPh₃AuCl (19.2 mg, 38.7 µmol, 2 mol%) and silver trifluoromethanesulfonate (24.9 mg, 96.7 µmol, 5 mol%) were added to a solution of 1-phenylnon-2-yn-1-yl acetate (85b, 500 mg, 1.94 mmol, 1 eq) in acetonitrile (38.8 mL) and water (48 µL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48h. Water was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3×25 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (50 mL), dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and the solvents removed *in vacuo*. **¹⁹F NMR** analysis on the crude reaction mixture indicated the presence of the α-fluoroenones 92b in an E/Z ratio of 91:9. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, gradient = 20:1 to
5:1) to afford the separable α-fluoroenone diastereoisomers (E)-92b (yellow oil, 187 mg, yield = 41%) and (Z)-92b (yellow solid, 17 mg, yield = 4%) along with enone (E)-86b (yellow solid, 106 mg, yield = 25%).

\( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.50. \( ^1\text{H} \text{NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 7.60-7.64 (m, 2H, \text{ortho-Ph}), 7.35-7.40 (m, 3H, \text{meta-}, \text{para-Ph}), 6.70 (d, 1H, \( ^3J_{HF} = 25.3 \) Hz, CH=CF), 2.65 (dt, 2H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.1 \) Hz, \( ^4J_{HF} = 3.5 \) Hz, \( H1 \)), 1.63 (tt, 2H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.3, 7.1 \) Hz, \( H2 \)), 1.27-1.36 (m, 6H, \( H3-5 \)), 0.90 (dd, 3H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 6.8, 6.5 \) Hz, \( H6 \)). \( ^13\text{C} \text{NMR} \) (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 195.6 (d, \( ^2J_{CF} = 38 \) Hz, \( CF \)), 153.1 (d, \( ^1J_{CF} = 258 \) Hz, CH=CF), 130.9 (d, \( ^3J_{CF} = 10 \) Hz, ipso-Ph), 130.0 (d, \( ^4J_{CF} = 2 \) Hz, \text{ortho-Ph}), 129.2 (para-Ph), 128.2 (meta-Ph), 119.7 (d, \( ^2J_{CF} = 27 \) Hz, CH=CF), 40.2 (d, \( ^3J_{CF} = 2 \) Hz, \( C1 \)), 31.6 (C4), 28.8 (C3), 23.2 (d, \( ^4J_{CF} = 2 \) Hz, C2), 22.5 (C5), 14.0 (C6). \( ^19\text{F} \text{NMR} \) (377 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): -114.9 (dt, \( ^3J_{HF} = 25 \) Hz, \( ^4J_{HF} = 4 \) Hz). \( \text{IR} \) (neat): 1708 (C=O). \( \text{HRMS} \) (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{10}\)FNao\(^+\) ([M+Na\(^+\)]: 257.1314, found 257.1312.

\( (Z)-2\text{-Fluoro-1-phenylnon-1-en-3-one ((Z)-92b)} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Mp} &= 30^\circ \text{C}. \quad R_f \text{ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1): 0.42.} \quad ^1\text{H} \text{NMR} \quad (400 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) \quad \delta: \quad 7.66-7.70 \quad \text{(m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.38-7.44 \quad (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 6.83 \quad (d, 1H, ^3J_{HF} = 36.9 \text{ Hz, CH=CF), 2.74 \quad (dt, 2H, ^3J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, } ^4J_{HF} = 2.3 \text{ Hz, } H1 \)), 1.69 \quad (tt, 2H, ^3J_{HH} = 7.5, 7.3 \text{ Hz, } H2), 1.27-1.41 \quad (m, 6H, H3-5), 0.91 \quad (d, 3H, ^3J_{HH} = 7.0, 6.8 \text{ Hz, } H6). \quad ^13\text{C} \text{NMR} \quad (101 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) \quad \delta: \quad 195.1 \quad (d, ^2J_{CF} = 32 \text{ Hz, } CF), 154.1 \quad (d, ^1J_{CF} = 272 \text{ Hz, CH=CF}), 131.2 \quad (d, ^3J_{CF} = 4 \text{ Hz, ipso-Ph}), 130.6 \quad (d, ^4J_{CF} = 9 \text{ Hz, ortho-Ph}), 129.7 \quad (para-Ph), 128.8 \quad (meta-Ph), 114.9 \quad (d, ^2J_{CF} = 6 \text{ Hz, } CH=CF), 38.0 \quad (C1), 31.6 \quad (C4), 28.8 \quad (C3), 23.5 \quad (d, ^4J_{CF} = 2 \text{ Hz, C2), 22.5}
\end{align*}
\]
\( ^{19}\text{F} \text{NMR} \) (377 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta = -125.0 \) (d, \( ^{3}J_{HF} = 37 \) Hz). \( \text{IR} \) (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)): 1697 (C=O). \( \text{HRMS} \) (m/z, FT\(^{+}\)): calculated for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{19}\)FO ([M]\(^{+}\)): 234.1420, found 234.1414.

(1\(E\))-1-Phenynon-1-en-3-one ((1\(E\))-86b\(^{[269]}\))

![Chemical Structure of (1\(E\))-1-Phenynon-1-en-3-one](image)

\( \text{R}_{t} \) (hexane / diethyl ether, 9:1): 0.26. \( ^{1}\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 7.55 (d, 1H, \( ^{3}J_{HH} = 16.2 \) Hz, (Ph)CH=CH), 7.53-7.57 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.37-7.40 (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 6.74 (d, 1H, \( ^{3}J_{HH} = 16.2 \) Hz, (Ph)CH=CH), 2.66 (t, 2H, \( ^{3}J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, H1), 1.68 (apparent quin, 2H, \( ^{3}J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, H2), 1.27-1.40 (m, 6H, H3-5), 0.90 (dd, 3H, \( ^{3}J_{HH} = 7.1, \) 6.6 Hz, H6). \( ^{13}\text{C NMR} \) (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 200.5 (C=O), 142.2 ((Ph)CH=CH), 134.5 (ipso-Ph), 130.3 (para-Ph), 128.8, 128.1 (ortho-, meta-Ph), 126.2 ((Ph)CH=CH), 40.9 (C1), 31.6 (C4), 28.9 (C3), 24.3 (C2), 22.4 (C5), 14.0 (C6). \( \text{LRMS} \) (m/z, ESI\(^{+}\)): calculated for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{20}\)NaO\(^{+}\) ([M+Na]\(^{+}\)): 239.2, found 239.2.

### 6.2.3.2 Scope and Limitations

![Scheme 6.2 Rearrangement-Fluorodeacetylation of Propargyl Acetates 85](image)

**Scheme 6.2** Rearrangement-Fluorodeacetylation of Propargyl Acetates 85.

**General Procedure B:** Selectfluor (82, 2 eq), SIPrAuCl (I, 5 mol%) and silver trifluoromethanesulfonate (12.5 mol%) were added to a solution of the propargyl acetate (1 eq) in acetonitrile (0.05 M). The mixture was stirred at room temperature or 40°C until TLC showed complete consumption of the propargyl acetate (1.5-72h). Water was added and the
mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and the solvents removed in vacuo. The crude mixture was analysed by $^{19}$F NMR to give the $\alpha$-fluoroenone E/Z ratio and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

2-Cyclohexylidene-2-fluoro-1-phenylethanone (92a)$^{[270]}$

![Structure of 2-Cyclohexylidene-2-fluoro-1-phenylethanone](image)

General Procedure B was followed using 85a (500 mg, 2.06 mmol), Selectfluor (82, 1.46 g, 4.13 mmol), SPrAuCl (1, 65 mg, 100 µmol) and AgOTf (66 mg, 0.26 mmol) in acetonitrile (41 mL). The reaction was stirred for 1.5h at 40°C. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford the product 92a as a pale yellow oil (385 mg, yield = 85%).

R$_f$ (pet. ether 30-40:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.40. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.87-7.89 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.56 (ddm, 1H, $^3$J$_{HH} = 7.6$, 7.3 Hz, para-Ph), 7.46 (t, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH} = 7.6$ Hz, meta-Ph), 2.48-2.52 (m, 2H, H2), 2.42-2.45 (m, 2H, H6), 1.66-1.74 (m, 2H, H5), 1.58-1.66 (m, 4H, H3, H4). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 188.7 (d, $^2$J$_{CF} = 36$ Hz, C=O), 147.8 (d, $^1$J$_{CF} = 251$ Hz, C=CF), 137.0 (d, $^3$J$_{CF} = 4$ Hz, ipso-Ph), 133.6 (d, $^2$J$_{CF} = 11$ Hz, CI), 132.9 (para-Ph), 129.3 (d, $^4$J$_{CF} = 5$ Hz, ortho-Ph), 128.3 (meta-Ph), 27.9 (d, $^3$J$_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C2), 27.6 (d, $^4$J$_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C3), 27.2 (d, $^4$J$_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C5), 27.0 (d, $^3$J$_{CF} = 9$ Hz, C6), 26.0 (C4). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: -121.4. IR (neat): 1674 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, Fl$^+$): calculated for C$_{14}$H$_{15}$FO ([M$^+$]) 218.1107 found 218.1108.
(E)- and (Z)-2-Fluoro-1-phenylnon-1-en-3-one ((E)-92b and (Z)-92b)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(E)-92b} & \quad \text{(Z)-92b}
\end{align*}
\]

General Procedure B was followed using 85b (500 mg, 1.94 mmol), Selectfluor (82, 1.37 g, 3.87 mmol), SImPrAuCl (1, 60 mg, 0.10 mmol) and AgOTf (62 mg, 0.24 mmol) in acetonitrile (39 mL). The reaction was stirred for 48h at room temperature. \(^{19}\)F NMR analysis on the crude reaction mixture indicated an E/Z ratio of 93:7. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) afforded the product (E)-92b as a yellow oil (290 mg, yield = 64%) as well as (Z)-92b as a yellow solid (24 mg, yield = 6%). For characterisation data of (E)-92b and (Z)-92b, see Section 6.2.3.1.

(E)-2-Fluoro-1-(4-nitrophenyl)non-1-en-3-one ((E)-92c)

![Chemical Structure](image)

General Procedure B was followed using 85c (500 mg, 1.65 mmol), Selectfluor (82, 1.17 g, 3.30 mmol), SImPrAuCl (1, 51 mg, 82 µmol) and AgOTf (53 mg, 0.21 mmol) in acetonitrile (33 mL). The reaction was stirred for 20h at 40°C. \(^{19}\)F NMR analysis on the crude reaction mixture indicated an E/Z ratio of 90:10. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) afforded the product (E)-92c as a yellow oil (295 mg, yield = 64%). \(R_f\) (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.37. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 8.19 (dm, 2H, \(3J_{HH} = 8.6\) Hz, \text{meta-Ar}), 7.70 (d, 2H, \(3J_{HH} = 8.6\) Hz, \text{ortho-Ar}), 6.66 (d, 1H, \(3J_{HF} = 23.2\) Hz, \(CH=CF\)), 2.70 (dt, 2H, \(3J_{HH} = 7.3\) Hz, \(4J_{HF} = 3.5\) Hz, \(HJ\)), 1.61 (tt, 2H, \(3J_{HH} = 7.3\), 7.1 Hz, \(CH=CF\)).
(E)-2-Fluoro-1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-one ((E)-92f)

General Procedure B was followed using 85f (500 mg, 2.00 mmol), Selectfluor (82, 1.42 g, 4.00 mmol), SIPrAuCl (1, 62 mg, 100 μmol) and AgOTf (64 mg, 0.25 mmol) in acetonitrile (40 mL). The reaction was stirred for 24h at 40°C. 19F NMR analysis on the crude reaction mixture indicated an E/Z ratio of 92:8. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) afforded the product (E)-92f as a yellow oil (268 mg, yield = 62%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.33. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 7.92 (d, 2H, 3JHH = 8.1 Hz, H6), 7.55 (dd, 1H, 3JHH = 7.6, 7.3 Hz, H8), 7.42 (ddm, 2H, J = 8.1, 7.3 Hz, H7), 7.31-7.34 (m, 2H, H2), 7.22-7.26 (m, 3H, H3, H4), 6.93 (d, 1H, 3JHF = 23.2 Hz, CH=CF). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 188.3 (d, 2JCF = 32 Hz, C=O), 153.0 (d, 1JCF = 261 Hz, CH=CF), 135.3 (C5), 133.8 (C8), 130.9 (d, 3JCF = 10 Hz, C1), 129.6 (d, 4JCF = 3 Hz), 129.2 (d, 4JCF = 3 Hz, C2, C6), 128.6 (C4), 128.5, 128.3 (C3, C7), 118.2 (d, 2JCF = 25 Hz, H2), 1.24-1.35 (m, 6H, H3-5), 0.88 (t, 3H, 3JHH = 6.8 Hz, H6). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 195.5 (d, 3JCF = 38 Hz, C=O), 154.0 (d, 1JCF = 267 Hz, CH=CF), 147.5 (para-Ar), 137.7 (d, 3JCF = 12 Hz, ipso-Ar), 130.7 (d, 4JCF = 3 Hz, ortho-Ar), 123.2 (meta-Ar), 116.8 (d, 3JCF = 29 Hz, CH=CF), 40.0 (d, 3JCF = 2 Hz, C1), 31.5 (C4), 28.6 (C3), 22.8 (d, 4JCF = 2 Hz, C2), 22.4 (C5), 14.0 (C6). 19F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl3) δ: -110.8 (dm, 3JHF = 2 Hz). IR (neat): 1711 (C=O), 1522 (NO2), 1345 (NO2). HRMS (m/z, ESI+): calculated for C15H18FNNaOs+ ([M+Na]+): 302.1163, found 302.1161.
$^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: −107.1 (d, $^3J_{HF} = 24$ Hz). IR (neat): 1677 (C=O).

HRMS (m/z, F$^+$): calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{11}$FO ([M$^+$]): 226.0794, found 226.0793.

(2E)-3-cyclohexyl-2-fluoro-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (($E$)-92g)

![Chemical structure of (2E)-3-cyclohexyl-2-fluoro-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (($E$)-92g)](image)

General Procedure B was followed using 85g (500 mg, 1.95 mmol), Selectfluor (82, 1.38 g, 3.90 mmol), SIPrAuCl (1, 61 mg, 98 µmol) and AgOTf (63 mg, 0.24 mmol) in acetonitrile (39 mL). The reaction was stirred for 48h at 40°C. $^{19}$F NMR analysis on the crude reaction mixture indicated an E/Z ratio of 84:16. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) afforded the product (E)-92g as a yellow oil (307 mg, yield = 68%) as well as (Z)-92g as a yellow oil (55 mg, yield = 12%).

R$_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.54. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 7.88-7.92 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.59 (dd, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.5$, 7.3 Hz, para-Ph), 7.47 (ddm, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 8.2$, 7.3 Hz, meta-Ph), 5.76 (dd, 1H, $^3J_{HF} = 23.0$ Hz, $^3J_{HH} = 10.7$ Hz, CH=CF), 2.74 (tdtd, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 11.0$, 10.7, 3.8 Hz, $^4J_{HF} = 2.5$ Hz, H1), 1.77-1.82 (m, 2H, H2), 1.63-1.75 (m, 3H, H3, H4), 1.31 (qt, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 12.6$, 3.2 Hz, H3''), 1.12-1.24 (m, 3H, H2'', H4''). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 188.2 (d, $^2J_{CF} = 35$ Hz, C=C=O), 152.9 (d, $^1J_{CF} = 258$ Hz, CH=CF), 136.2 (d, $^3J_{CF} = 5$ Hz, ipso-Ph), 133.3 (para-Ph), 129.3 (d, $^4J_{CF} = 6$ Hz, ortho-Ph), 128.4 (meta-Ph), 127.0 (d, $^2J_{CF} = 14$ Hz, CH=CF), 34.6 (d, $^3J_{CF} = 5$ Hz, CI), 33.0 (d, $^4J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C2), 25.8 (C4), 25.4 (C3).

$^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: −107.1 (d, $^3J_{HF} = 24$ Hz). IR (neat): 1678 (C=O).

HRMS (m/z, F$^+$): calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{17}$FO ([M$^+$]): 232.1263, found 232.1269.
(2Z)-3-cyclohexyl-2-fluoro-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one ((Z)-92g)
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R_f (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.38. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \): 7.79 (d, 2H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.8 \) Hz, ortho-Ph), 7.57 (tm, 1H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, para-Ph), 7.46 (ddm, 2H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.8, 7.3 \) Hz, meta-Ph), 5.91 (dd, 1H, \( ^3J_{HF} = 34.9 \) Hz, \( ^3J_{HH} = 9.6 \) Hz, CH=H=CF), 2.69 (dddm, 1H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 10.9, 10.4, 9.6 \) Hz, \( \text{H}1 \)), 1.65-1.82 (m, 5H), 1.29-1.42 (m, 2H), 1.14-1.28 (m, 3H, ortho-Ph), 5.91 (dd, 1H, \( ^3J_{HF} = 34.9 \) Hz, \( ^3J_{HH} = 9.6 \) Hz, CH=H=CF), 2.69 (dddm, 1H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 10.9, 10.4, 9.6 \) Hz, \( \text{H}1 \)), 1.65-1.82 (m, 5H), 1.29-1.42 (m, 2H), 1.14-1.28 (m, 3H, \( \text{H}2-4 \)). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \): 188.4 (d, \( ^2J_{CF} = 28 \) Hz, \( \text{C}=\text{O} \)), 153.8 (d, \( ^1J_{CF} = 260 \) Hz, CH=H=CF), 136.4 (ipso-Ph), 132.7 (para-Ph), 129.4 (d, \( ^2J_{CF} = 13 \) Hz, \( \text{C}=\text{H}=\text{CF} \)), 129.2 (d, \( ^4J_{CF} = 4 \) Hz, ortho-Ph), 128.3 (meta-Ph), 34.3 (d, \( ^3J_{CF} = 2 \) Hz, \( \text{Cl} \)), 32.0 (d, \( ^4J_{CF} = 2 \) Hz, \( \text{C}2 \)), 25.7 (C3), 25.4 (C4). \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \): -125.4 (d, \( ^3J_{HF} = 34 \) Hz). IR (neat): 1667 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, \( \text{Fl}^+ \))): calculated for C\textsubscript{15}H\textsubscript{17}FO ([M]\textsuperscript{+}): 232.1263, found 232.1266.

\( (E)-2\)-Fluoro-1-phenylhex-2-en-1-one ((E)-92h)
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General Procedure B was followed using 85h (398 mg, 1.84 mmol), Selectfluor (82, 1.30 g, 3.68 mmol), SiPrAuCl (1, 57 mg, 92 μmol) and AgOTf (59 mg, 0.23 mmol) in acetonitrile (37 mL). The reaction was stirred for 72h at 40\textdegree C. \textsuperscript{19}F NMR analysis on the crude reaction mixture indicated an \( E/Z \) ratio of 67:33. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) afforded the product (E)-92h as a yellow liquid (145 mg, yield = 42%) as well as (Z)-92h as a yellow liquid (77 mg, yield = 21%).
**Chapter 6: Experimental Procedures and Characterisation Data**

**Rf** (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.51. **1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.89-7.92 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.59 (tt, 1H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, 4JHH = 2.0 Hz, para-Ph), 7.48 (dm, 2H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, meta-Ph), 5.92 (dt, 1H, 3JHF = 23.0 Hz, 3JHH = 8.1 Hz, CH=CF), 2.38 (ddd, 2H, 3JHH = 8.1, 7.3 Hz, 4JHF = 1.8 Hz, H3), 1.51 (apparent quin, 2H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, H2), 0.95 (t, 3H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, H1). **13C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 188.2 (C=O), 152.8 (d, 1JCF = 257 Hz, CH=CF), 136.2 (d, 3JCF = 5 Hz, ipso-Ph), 133.3 (para-Ph), 129.4 (d, 4JCF = 5 Hz, ortho-Ph), 128.4 (meta-Ph), 121.7 (d, 2JCF = 17 Hz, CH=CF), 27.5 (d, 3JCF = 6 Hz, C3), 22.6 (d, 4JCF = 3 Hz, C2), 13.6 (C1). **19F NMR** (377 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: −112.8 (dd, 3JHF = 23 Hz, 4JHF = 2 Hz). **IR** (neat): 1679 (C=O). **HRMS (m/z, Ft⁺):** calculated for C₁₂H₁₃FO ([M]⁺): 192.0950, found 192.0951.

(Z)-2-Fluoro-1-phenylhex-2-en-1-one ((Z)-92h)

![Structure](image)

**Rf** (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.37. **1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.79-7.83 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.58 (tt, 1H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, 4JHH = 2.0 Hz, para-Ph), 7.47 (tm, 2H, 3JHH = 7.6 Hz, meta-Ph), 6.05 (dt, 1H, 3J HF = 34.1 Hz, 3JHH = 7.8 Hz, CH=CF), 2.33 (ddd, 2H, 3JHH = 7.8, 7.3 Hz, 4JHF = 1.8 Hz, H3), 1.53 (tq, 2H, 3JHH = 7.3, 7.3 Hz, H2), 0.98 (t, 3H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, H1). **13C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 187.6 (C=O), 155.2 (d, 1JCF = 260 Hz, CH=CF), 136.3 (ipso-Ph), 132.7 (para-Ph), 129.2 (d, 4JCF = 4 Hz, ortho-Ph), 128.3 (meta-Ph), 124.2 (d, 2JCF = 14 Hz, CH=CF), 26.5 (d, 3JCF = 4 Hz, C3), 21.6 (d, 4JCF = 2 Hz, C2), 13.7 (C1). **19F NMR** (377 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: −124.8 (d, 3JHF = 34 Hz). **IR** (neat): 1668 (C=O). **HRMS (m/z, Ft⁺):** calculated for C₁₂H₁₃FO ([M]⁺): 192.0950, found 192.0952.
(1E)-1-Cyclohexyl-2-fluoronon-1-en-3-one ((E)-92i)

General Procedure B was followed using 85i (323 mg, 1.29 mmol), Selectfluor (82, 914 mg, 2.58 mmol), SiPrAuCl (1, 40 mg, 65 μmol) and AgOTf (41 mg, 0.16 mmol) in acetonitrile (26 mL). The reaction was stirred for 72h at 40°C. $^{19}$F NMR analysis on the crude reaction mixture indicated an E/Z ratio of 77:23. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) afforded the product (E)-92i as a colourless oil (91 mg, yield = 29%).

$R_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.59. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 5.53 (dd, 1H, $^3$J$_{HF}$ = 23.2 Hz, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 10.5 Hz, CH=CF), 3.07 (tdm, 1H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 10.9, 10.5 Hz, H1), 2.60 (td, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.3 Hz, $^4$J$_{HF}$ = 3.3 Hz, H5), 1.56-1.75 (m, 7H), 1.25-1.40 (m, 8H), 1.01-1.23 (m, 3H, H2-4, H6-9), 0.89 (dd, 3H, J = 6.9, 6.6 Hz, H10). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 197.0 (d, $^2$J$_{CF}$ = 38 Hz, C=O), 152.5 (d, $^1$J$_{CF}$ = 256 Hz, CH=CF), 126.7 (d, $^2$J$_{CF}$ = 15 Hz, CH=CF), 39.8 (d, $^3$J$_{CF}$ = 3 Hz, C5), 34.1 (d, $^3$J$_{CF}$ = 5 Hz, C1), 32.8 (d, $^4$J$_{CF}$ = 2 Hz, C2), 31.6, 28.8, 25.8, 25.4 (C3, C4, C7, C8), 22.9 (d, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 2 Hz, C6), 22.5 (C9), 14.0 (C10). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: −123.3 (d, $^3$J$_{HF}$ = 23 Hz). IR (neat): 1708 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{25}$FNaO$^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 263.1782, found 263.1778.

(E)-6-Fluorodec-6-en-5-one ((E)-92j)
General Procedure B was followed using 85j (500 mg, 2.55 mmol), Selectfluor (82, 1.80 g, 5.09 mmol), SIPrAuCl (1, 79 mg, 0.12 mmol) and AgOTf (82 mg, 0.31 mmol) in acetonitrile (51 mL). The reaction was stirred for 72h at 40°C. $^{19}$F NMR analysis on the crude reaction mixture indicated an E/Z ratio of 58:42. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 50:1) afforded the product (E)-92j as a pale yellow oil (83 mg, yield = 19%) as well as (Z)-92j (80 mg, yield = 18%).

$R_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.61. $^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 5.70 (dt, 1H, $^{3}J_{HF} = 22.7$ Hz, $^{3}J_{HH} = 8.1$ Hz, CH=CF), 2.60 (td, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz, $^{4}J_{HF} = 3.3$ Hz, H4), 2.49 (dtd, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 8.1, 7.3$ Hz, $^{4}J_{HF} = 2.0$ Hz, H3), 1.59 (tt, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3$ Hz, H5), 1.45 (tq, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3$ Hz, H2), 1.35 (tq, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3$ Hz, H6), 0.93 (dd, 3H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3$ Hz, H1), 0.92 (t, 3H, J = 7.3 Hz, H7). $^{13}C$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 197.1 (d, $^{2}J_{CF} = 38$ Hz, C=O), 153.4 (d, $^{1}J_{CF} = 255$ Hz, CH=CF), 121.3 (d, $^{2}J_{CF} = 18$ Hz, CH=CF), 39.5 (d, $^{3}J_{CF} = 3$ Hz, C4), 27.2 (d, $^{3}J_{CF} = 6$ Hz, C3), 25.2 (d, $^{4}J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C5), 22.5 (d, $^{4}J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C2), 22.2 (C6), 13.8, 13.6 (C1, C7). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: –121.5 (d, $^{3}J_{HF} = 23$ Hz). IR (neat): 1708 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, Ft$^+$): calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{17}$FO ([M$^+$]): 172.1263, found 172.1258.

(Z)-6-Fluorodec-6-en-5-one ((Z)-92j)$^{[271]}$

![Chemical Structure](image)

$R_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.44.$^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 6.04 (dt, 1H, $^{3}J_{HF} = 34.6$ Hz, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6$ Hz, CH=CF), 2.61 (ddd, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3$ Hz, $^{4}J_{HF} = 1.8$ Hz, H4), 2.22 (dtd, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3$ Hz, $^{4}J_{HF} = 2.3$ Hz, H3), 1.61 (tt, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3$ Hz, H5), 1.49 (tq, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.3, 7.3$ Hz, H2), 1.36 (tq, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3$ Hz, H6), 0.95 (dd, 3H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3$ Hz), 0.93 (t, 3H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz, H1, H7). $^{13}C$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 194.4 (d, 1H, $^{4}J_{CF} = 347$ Hz, C=O), 153.4 (d, $^{1}J_{CF} = 255$ Hz, CH=CF), 121.3 (d, $^{2}J_{CF} = 18$ Hz, CH=CF), 39.5 (d, $^{3}J_{CF} = 3$ Hz, C4), 27.2 (d, $^{3}J_{CF} = 6$ Hz, C3), 25.2 (d, $^{4}J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C5), 22.5 (d, $^{4}J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C2), 22.2 (C6), 13.8, 13.6 (C1, C7).
$^{2} J_{CF} = 31$ Hz, C=O), 153.3 (d, $^{1} J_{CF} = 261$ Hz, CH=CF), 118.7 (d, $^{2} J_{CF} = 13$ Hz, CH=CF), 37.5 (C4), 26.1 (d, $^{3} J_{CF} = 4$ Hz, C3), 25.7 (d, $^{4} J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C5), 22.3 (C6), 21.7 (d, $^{4} J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C2), 13.8, 13.7 (C1, C7). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$) $\delta$: −129.9 (d, $^{3} J_{HF} = 36$ Hz). IR (neat): 1714 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, F$^+$): calculated for C$_{10}$H$_{17}$FO ([M$^+$]): 172.1263, found 172.1259.

1-Cyclohexylidene-1-fluorooctan-2-one (92k)$^{[96]}$

![Chemical structure of 1-Cyclohexylidene-1-fluorooctan-2-one (92k)]

General Procedure B was followed using 85k (250 mg, 1.00 mmol), Selectfluor (82, 707 mg, 2.00 mmol), SIPrAuCl (1, 31 mg, 50 µmol) and AgOTf (32 mg, 0.13 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 mL). The reaction was stirred for 3.5h at 40°C. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) afforded the product 92k as a yellow oil (131 mg, yield = 58%).

$R_{f}$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.52. $^{1}$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$) $\delta$: 2.72-2.76 (m, 2H, H6), 2.60 (td, 2H, $^{3} J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz, $^{4} J_{HF} = 4.1$ Hz, H7), 2.27-2.33 (m, 2H, H2), 1.53-1.67 (m, 8H, H3, H5, H8, H9), 1.25-1.36 (m, 6H, H4, H10, H11), 0.88 (dd, 3H, $^{3} J_{HH} = 6.8$, 6.6 Hz, H12). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$) $\delta$: 197.3 (d, $^{2} J_{CF} = 39$ Hz, C=O), 148.3 (d, $^{1} J_{CF} = 248$ Hz, C=CF), 134.3 (d, $^{2} J_{CF} = 13$ Hz, Cl), 40.2 (d, $^{3} J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C7), 31.6 (Cl0), 28.9 (C9), 27.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 2$ Hz), 27.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 10$ Hz), 27.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 2$ Hz), 27.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C2, C3, C5, C6), 26.0 (C4), 23.3 (d, $^{4} J_{CF} = 2$ Hz, C8), 22.5 (Cl1), 14.0 (Cl2). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$) $\delta$: −129.6. IR (neat): 1700 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{14}$H$_{23}$FNaO$^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 249.1625, found 249.1628.
2-Fluoro-1,3,3-triphenylprop-2-en-1-one (92l)

General Procedure B was followed using \textit{85l} (500 mg, 1.53 mmol), Selectfluor (\textit{82}, 1.09 g, 3.06 mmol), SIPrAuCl (\textit{1}, 48 mg, 76 µmol) and AgOTf (49 mg, 0.19 mmol) in acetonitrile (30 mL). The reaction was stirred for 24h at 40°C. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) afforded the product \textit{92l} as a yellow solid (268 mg, yield = 58%).

Mp = 80°C. \textit{Rf} (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.37. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 7.89 (d, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.8 Hz, H10), 7.37-7.53 (m, 8H, Ph), 7.17-7.27 (m, 5H, Ph). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 189.0 (d, $^2$J$_{CF}$ = 32 Hz, C=O), 149.8 (d, $^1$J$_{CF}$ = 268 Hz, C=CF), 136.4 (d, $^3$J$_{CF}$ = 5 Hz), 136.0, 135.9 (C1, C5, C9), 133.3 (C12), 130.9 (d, $^2$J$_{CF}$ = 11 Hz, C=CF), 130.4 (d, $^4$J$_{CF}$ = 3 Hz), 130.2 (d, $^4$J$_{CF}$ = 6 Hz), 129.4 (d, $^4$J$_{CF}$ = 4 Hz, C2, C6, C10), 128.8, 128.5, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2 (C3, C4, C7, C8, C11). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: −111.2. IR (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): 1656 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, F1$^+$): calculated for C$_{21}$H$_{15}$FO ([M$^+$]): 302.1107, found 302.1111. The structure was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography. This data is deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC): 792124 (see Appendix).

6.2.4 Preparation and Fluorination of Alleny1 Acetates 88b and 88o

6.2.4.1 Preparation of Allenyl Acetate 88b

Allenyl acetate 88b was prepared according to the procedure of Zhang (see Scheme 2.16).\cite{92}
1-Phenylnona-1,2-dien-3-yl acetate (88b)

Silver perchlorate (26 mg, 0.13 mmol, 10 mol%) was added to a solution of 85b (329 mg, 1.27 mmol, 1 eq) in 2-butanone (13 mL). The mixture was stirred at reflux for 2h before cooling to room temperature. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet. ether 30-40:diethyl ether, 20:1) affording 88b as a pale yellow oil (148 mg, yield = 45%).

\[ \text{R}_f \text{ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) } = 0.30 \]

\[ ^{1}H \text{ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta: 7.42-7.46 \text{ (m, 2H, } \text{ortho-Ph}) , 7.35 \text{ (ddm, 2H, } ^3J_{HH} = 8.1, 7.1 \text{ Hz, } \text{meta-Ph}) , 7.26 \text{ (t, 1H, } ^3J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, } \text{para-Ph}) , 6.60 \text{ (t, 1H, } ^5J_{HH} = 3 \text{ Hz, (Ph)CH=CH=C}) , 2.35 \text{ (ddd, 2H, } ^3J_{HH} = 7.3, 7.0 \text{ Hz, } ^5J_{HH} = 3.0 \text{ Hz, } H1) , 2.16 \text{ (s, 3H, (C=O)CH}_3) , 1.46-1.54 \text{ (m, 2H, } H2) , 1.34-1.41 \text{ (m, 2H, } H3) , 1.24-1.32 \text{ (m, 4H, } H4, H5) , 0.87 \text{ (dd, } ^3J_{HH} = 7.1, 6.8 \text{ Hz, } H6) . \]

\[ ^{13}C \text{ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta: 196.7 \text{ (C=CC=C)} , 168.7 \text{ (C=O)} , 133.9 \text{ (ipso-Ph)} , 128.6 \text{ (meta-Ph)} , 127.9 \text{ (para-Ph)} , 127.8 \text{ (ortho-Ph)} , 126.9 \text{ ((Ph)CH=CH=C)} , 104.5 \text{ ((Ph)CH=CH=C)} , 31.7 , 31.5 \text{ (C1, C4)} , 28.7 \text{ (C3)} , 26.1 \text{ (C2)} , 22.6 \text{ (C5)} , 21.1 \text{ ((C=O)CH}_3) , 14.0 \text{ (C6).} \]

IR (neat): 1965 \text{ (C=CC=C)} , 1754 \text{ (C=O).} \]

HRMS (m/z, F1\(^+\)) calculated for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{22}\)O\(_2\) ([M\(^+\)]: 258.1620, found 258.1616.
6.2.4.2 Fluorination of Allenyl Acetate 88b

(E)- and (Z)-2-Fluoro-1-phenylnon-1-en-3-one ((E)-92b and (Z)-92b)

Selectfluor (82, 165 mg, 46.4 µmol, 2 eq) was added to a solution of allenyl acetate 88b (60 mg, 23.3 µmol, 1 eq) in acetonitrile (4.6 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt until TLC showed consumption of the allene (72h). Water was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×5 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (15 mL), dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and the solvents removed in vacuo. 

$^{19}$F NMR analysis on the crude reaction mixture indicated an E/Z ratio of 91:9. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford α-fluoroenones 92b as a yellow oil (28 mg, yield = 51%). For characterisation data of (E)- and (Z)-92b, see Section 6.2.3.1.

6.2.4.3 Preparation of Allenyl Acetate 88o

Allenyl acetate 88o was prepared using General Procedure A (see Section 6.2.2, Scheme 2.18).

1,1-Diphenylnona-1,2-dien-3-yl acetate (88o)
Chapter 6: Experimental Procedures and Characterisation Data

General procedure A was followed using n-butyllithium (2.5 M solution in hexanes, 2.10 mL, 5.25 mmol), 1-octyne (811 µL, 5.50 mmol), benzophenone (911 mg, 5.00 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3.78 mL, 40.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (21 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the allenyl acetate \( 88o \) as a pale yellow oil (491 mg, yield = 29%) as well as the propargyl alcohol \( 93o \) as a pale yellow oil (677 mg, yield = 46%) and the protonated enone \( 86o \) (55 mg, yield = 4%).

\( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.28. \( ^1H \text{ NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 7.50-7.53 (m, 4H, \( \text{ortho-Ph} \)), 7.34-7.45 (m, 6H, \( \text{meta-}, \text{para-Ph} \)), 2.49 (dd, 2H, \( ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.6, 7.3 \text{ Hz, } H1 \)), 2.21 (s, 3H, (C=O)CH\(_3\)), 1.61 (tt, 2H, \( ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.6, 7.3 \text{ Hz, } H2 \)), 1.35-1.45 (m, 2H, \( H3 \)), 1.26-1.33 (m, 4H, \( H4, H5 \)), 0.90 (t, 3H, \( ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 \) Hz, \( H6 \)). \( ^{13}C \text{ NMR} \) (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 196.5 (C=\( \text{C} = \text{C} \)), 168.5 (C=O), 136.5 (ipso-Ph), 128.8, 128.2, 127.8 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 126.0 (C=C(C\(_6\)H\(_{13}\))(OAc)), 118.7 (C=C=C(C\(_6\)H\(_{13}\))(OAc)), 32.0, 31.5 (C1, C4), 28.7 (C3), 26.2 (C2), 22.5 (C5), 21.0 ((C=O)CH\(_3\)), 13.9 (C6). \( \text{IR} \) (neat): 1957 (C=C=C), 1756 (C=O).

HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{23}\)H\(_{26}\)NaO\(_2\)\(^+\) ([M+Na\(^+\)]: 357.1825, found 357.1826.

1,1-Diphenylnon-2-yn-1-ol (93o)

\( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.13. \( ^1H \text{ NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 7.75 (d, 4H, \( ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.6 \) Hz, \( \text{ortho-Ph} \)), 7.43 (dd, 4H, \( ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.6, 7.3 \text{ Hz, } \text{meta-Ph} \)), 7.35 (t, 2H, \( ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, } \text{para-Ph} \)), 3.05 (s, 1H, OH), 2.45 (t, 2H, \( ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.1 \text{ Hz, } H1 \)), 1.72 (tt, 2H, \( ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.1, 6.8 \text{ Hz, } H2 \)), 1.54-1.61 (m, 2H, H3), 1.40-1.52 (m, 4H, H4, H5), 1.06 (dd, 3H, \( ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8, 6.6 \text{ Hz, } H6 \)). \( ^{13}C \text{ NMR} \) (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 145.5 (ipso-Ph), 128.0 (ortho-Ph), 127.3 (para-Ph), 125.9
(meta-Ph), 88.1 (\(\text{C}C(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{13})\)), 83.1 (\(\text{C}C(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{13})\)), 74.3 (\((\text{Ph})\_2\text{C}(\text{OH})\)), 31.6 (C4), 28.5, 28.4 (C2, C3), 22.4 (C5), 18.7 (C1), 13.9 (C6). IR (neat): 3454 (O-H), 2234 (C≡C). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for \(\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{24}\text{NaO}^+\) ([M+Na\(^+\)]: 315.1719, found 315.1718.

1,1-Diphenylnon-1-en-3-one (86o)

![Chemical structure of 1,1-Diphenylnon-1-en-3-one](image)

**R**\(_r\) (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.22. \(^1\text{H NMR}\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.39-7.43 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.29-7.38 (m, 5H, Ph), 7.19-7.25 (m, 2H, Ph), 6.60 (s, 1H, C=C\(_{\text{H}}\)), 2.24 (dd, 2H, \(^3J\_{\text{HH}} = 7.6, 7.3\ \text{Hz}, \text{H}9\)), 1.51 (dd, 2H, \(^3J\_{\text{HH}} = 7.3, 7.1\ \text{Hz}, \text{H}10\)), 1.21-1.30 (m, 2H, H11), 1.13-1.21 (m, 4H, H12, H13), 0.87 (t, 3H, \(^3J\_{\text{HH}} = 7.1\ \text{Hz}, \text{H}14\)). \(^13\text{C NMR}\) (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 202.5 (C=O), 153.0 (C=CH), 141.0, 139.1 (C1, C5), 129.5, 129.2, 128.5, 128.3, 120.2 (C2-4, C6-8), 126.7 (C=CH), 43.2 (C9), 31.5 (C12), 28.8 (C11), 24.3 (C10), 22.4 (C13), 14.0 (C14). IR (neat): 1691 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for \(\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{24}\text{NaO}^+\) ([M+Na\(^+\)]: 315.1719, found 315.1710.

### 6.2.4.4 Fluorination of Allenyl Acetate 88o

2-Fluoro-1,1-diphenylnon-1-en-3-one (92o)

![Chemical structure of 2-Fluoro-1,1-diphenylnon-1-en-3-one](image)
Selectfluor (848 mg, 2.39 mmol, 2 eq) was added to a solution of allenyl acetate 88o (400 mg, 1.20 mmol, 1 eq) in acetonitrile (24 mL). The mixture was stirred at 40°C until TLC showed consumption of the allene (3.5h). Water was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (40 mL), dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and the solvents removed in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford the product 92o as a yellow oil (272 mg, yield = 73%).

$R_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.40. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.37-7.43 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.31-7.43 (m, 5H, Ph), 7.18-7.23 (m, 2H, Ph), 2.53 (ddd, 2H, $^3J_{HH}$ = 7.6, 7.3 Hz, $^4J_{HF}$ = 2.8 Hz, $H_9$), 1.54-1.61 (m, 2H, $H_{10}$), 1.22-1.34 (m, 6H, $H_{11-13}$), 0.88 (dd, 3H, $^3J_{HH}$ = 7.1, 6.8 Hz, $H_{14}$). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 195.9 (d, $^2J_{CF}$ = 34 Hz, C=O), 150.1 (d, $^1J_{CF}$ = 267 Hz, C=CF), 136.8 (d, $^3J_{CF}$ = 6 Hz), 136.5 (C1, C5), 130.9 (d, $^2J_{CF}$ = 14 Hz, C=C=CF), 130.1 (d, $^4J_{CF}$ = 6 Hz), 129.7 (d, $^4J_{CF}$ = 3 Hz, C2, C6), 128.8, 128.4, 128.4, 128.1 (C3, C4, C7, C8), 40.3 (C9), 31.5 (C12), 28.7 (C11), 23.5 (C10), 22.4 (C13), 14.0 (C14). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: −120.4. IR (neat): 1706 (C=O). HRMS ($m/z$, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{21}$H$_{23}$FNaO$^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 333.1625, found 333.1628.
6.3 Experimental Data for Chapter 3

6.3.1 Preparation of Catalysts

6.3.1.1 Preparation of SIPrAuNTf₂ (156)

SIPrAuNTf₂ (156) was prepared according to the procedure of Gagosz (see Scheme 3.31).[161]

\[
\text{[1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-yl]ium-2-yl}[1,1,1-trifluoro-N-][(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]methanesulfonamidato-κκκκN]gold(I) (SIPrAuNTf₂, 156)
\]

Silver(I) bis[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]azanide (AgNTf₂) was prepared according to the procedure of RajanBabu.[272] Silver oxide (1.11 g, 4.79 mmol, 1 eq) was added to a solution of 1,1,1-trifluoro-N-[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]methanesulfonamide (HNTf₂, 1.35 g, 4.79 mmol, 1 eq) in water (3 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 19h in the absence of light. The crude reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was dried under vacuum for 24h, affording AgNTf₂ as a white solid (1.85 g, yield = quant) [Mp > 250°C (lit = 249°C)]. \(^{19}\)F NMR (377 MHz, dmso-d₆) δ: –78.7.[273] Dichloromethane (2 mL) was added to a mixture of silver(I) bis[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]azanide (AgNTf₂, 31 mg, 80 μmol, 1 eq) and SIPrAuCl (1, 50 mg, 80 μmol, 1 eq). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. The crude reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Recrystallisation from dichloromethane/hexane afforded SIPrAuNTf₂ (156) as a white solid (63 mg, yield = 90%).
\textbf{1H NMR} (400 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$: 7.48 (t, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, \textit{para}-Ar), 7.30 (d, 4H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, \textit{meta}-Ar), 4.19 (s, 4H, C\textit{H}(CH$_3$)$_2$), 3.05 (sept, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, C\textit{H}(CH$_3$)$_2$), 3.05 (d, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$ Hz, C\textit{H}(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.41 (d, 12H, $^3J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(C\textit{H}$_3$)$_2$), 1.37 (d, 12H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$ Hz, CH(C\textit{H}$_3$)$_2$).

\textbf{13C NMR} (101 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$: 190.7 (C\textit{Au}), 147.3 (\textit{ortho}-Ar), 134.1 (\textit{ipso}-Ar), 130.5 (\textit{para}-Ar), 125.1 (\textit{meta}-Ar), 121.9 (q, $^1J_{CF} = 322$ Hz, C\textit{F}$_3$), 54.1 (N\textit{C\textit{H}$_2$C\textit{H}$_2$N}), 29.5 (C\textit{H}(CH$_3$)$_2$)$_2$, 24.8 (CH(C\textit{H}$_3$)$_2$), 24.8 (CH(C\textit{H}$_3$)$_2$).

\textbf{19F NMR} (377 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$: -76.4.

\subsection{6.3.1.2 Preparation of IPrAuCl (158)}

IPrAuCl (158) was prepared according to the procedures of Arduengo\cite{90} and Nolan (see Scheme 3.33).\cite{165-166}

\textbf{1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-1H-imidazol-3-ium chloride (IPrHCl)}\cite{90}

(Chloromethoxy)ethane (MOMCl, 1.22 mL, 13.2 mmol, 1 eq) and two drops of water were added to a solution of 1,2-diamine (96, 5.00 g, 13.2 mmol, 1 eq) in tetrahydrofuran (40 mL). The mixture was stirred at 40°C for 16h before being cooled to room temperature. The product IPrHCl precipitated from the reaction mixture and was collected by filtration as a white solid (1.76 g, yield = 31%). No further purification was required.

\textbf{Mp} > 250°C (lit > 255°C).\cite{90} \textbf{1H NMR} (400 MHz, dmso-$d_6$) $\delta$: 10.28 (br s, 1H, N\textit{C\textit{H}$_2$N}), 8.60 (d, 2H, $^4J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, N\textit{C\textit{H}CH$_2$N}), 7.69 (d, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, \textit{para}-Ar), 7.53 (d, 4H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, \textit{meta}-Ar), 2.35 (sept, 4H, $^4J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, C\textit{H}(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.26 (d, 12H, $^3J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, C\textit{H}(CH$_3$)$_2$).
Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, 1.16 (d, 12H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, dmso-$d_6$) δ: 144.8 (ortho-Ar), 139.3 (NCHN), 131.9 (meta-Ar), 130.1 (ipso-Ar), 126.2 (para-Ar), 124.7 (NCHCHN), 28.7 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 24.2 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 23.1 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). LRMS (m/z, ESI$^-$): calculated for C$_{27}$H$_{37}$Cl$_2$N$_2$$^-$ ([M+Cl]$^-$): 459.2, found 459.2.

(1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)silver(I) chloride (IPrAgCl)$^{[166]}$

Dichloromethane (13 mL), was added to IPrHCl (835 mg, 1.96 mmol, 1 eq) and silver(I) oxide (455 mg, 1.96 mmol, 1 eq). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon in the absence of light for 24h before filtration and removal of the solvent in vacuo. The resulting crude solid was suspended in diethyl ether (5 mL) and filtered to afford the product as a white solid (626 mg, yield = 60%).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ: 7.57 (t, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.8 Hz, para-Ar), 7.38 (d, 4H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.8 Hz, meta-Ar), 7.30 (d, 2H, $^4$J$_{H-Ag}$ = 2.0 Hz, NCHCHN), 2.58 (d, 4H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_3$), 1.30 (d, 12H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_3$), 1.26 (d, 12H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_3$). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ: 184.6 (2x), $^1$J$_{C-109Ag}$ = 270 Hz, $^1$J$_{C-107Ag}$ = 234 Hz, CAg), 146.1 (ortho-Ar), 135.1 (ipso-Ar), 131.0 (para-Ar), 124.7 (meta-Ar), 124.2 (d, $^3$J$_{C-Ag}$ = 8 Hz, NCH), 29.1 (CH(CH$_3$)$_3$), 24.8 (CH(CH$_3$)$_3$), 24.1 (CH(CH$_3$)$_3$). LRMS (m/z, ESI$^-$): calculated for C$_{27}$H$_{35}$$^{107}$AgClN$_2$$^-$ ([M–H]$^-$): 529.2, found 529.2.
(1,3-Bis(2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)gold(I) chloride (IPrAuCl, 158)[165]

Dichloromethane (10.6 mL) and dimethyl sulfide (210 µL, 2.89 mmol, 8.5 eq) were added to a mixture of IPrAgCl (181 mg, 340 µmol, 1 eq) and chloro(dimethylsulfide)gold(I) (100 mg, 340 µmol, 1 eq). The mixture was stirred at room temperature in the dark for 60h before filtration and removal of the solvent in vacuo. The crude product was washed with diethyl ether (2×10 mL) and dried to give IPrAuCl (158) as a white solid (201 mg, yield = 95%).

\[ ^1H\text{ NMR} \] (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \): 7.59 (t, 2H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.8 \text{ Hz, para-Ar} \)), 7.38 (d, 4H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.6 \text{ Hz, meta-Ar} \)), 7.26 (s, 2H, NCHCH\textsubscript{N}), 2.58 (sept, 4H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 6.8 \text{ Hz, CH(CH}_3)_2 \)), 1.36 (d, 12H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 6.8 \text{ Hz, CH(CH}_3)_2 \)), 1.25 (d, 12H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 6.8 \text{ Hz, CH(CH}_3)_2 \)).

\[ ^13\text{C NMR} \] (126 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \): 175.7 (\text{CAu}), 146.3, 134.6 (ipso-Ar, ortho-Ar), 131.2, 124.8, 123.9 (meta-Ar, para-Ar, NCHCH\textsubscript{N}), 29.4 (CH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 24.7 (CH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 24.3 (CH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}).

LRMS (\textit{m/z}, ESI\textsuperscript{−}): calculated for C\textsubscript{27}H\textsubscript{37}AuCl\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−} ([M+Cl]\textsuperscript{−}): 655.2, found 655.2.

\textbf{6.3.1.3 Preparation of PPh\textsubscript{3}AuNTf\textsubscript{2} (2)}

PPh\textsubscript{3}AuNTf\textsubscript{2} (2) was prepared according to the procedure of Gagoisz (see Scheme 3.34).[167]
[1,1,1-Trifluoro-N-[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]methanesulfonamidato-κN](triphenylphosphine)gold(I) (PPh₃AuNTf₂, 2)\textsuperscript{[167]}

Dichloromethane (2.5 mL) was added to a mixture of AgNTf₂ (156 mg, 402 µmol, 1 eq) and chloro(triphenylphosphine)gold(I) (PPh₃AuCl, 200 mg, 402 µmol, 1 eq). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. The crude reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated \textit{in vacuo}. Recrystallisation from dichloromethane/hexane afforded PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2) as a white solid (281 mg, yield = 94%).

\textbf{1H NMR} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.48-7.64 (m, 15H, Ph). \textbf{13C NMR} (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 134.1 (d, \textit{JCP} = 14 Hz, \textit{ortho}-Ph), 132.6 (d, \textit{JCP} = 2 Hz, \textit{para}-Ph), 129.6 (d, \textit{JCP} = 12 Hz, \textit{meta}-Ph), 127.1 (d, \textit{JCP} = 67 Hz, \textit{ipso}-Ph), 116.3 (q, \textit{JCF} = 322 Hz, C\textsubscript{F₃}). \textbf{31P NMR} (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 30.8. \textbf{19F NMR} (377 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: −75.2.

\textbf{6.3.2 Preparation of Allenoates 102a-j}

Some of the experimental results detailed in this section were obtained by Andrew Salisbury (Chemistry Part II, University of Oxford, 2008)\textsuperscript{[104]} and Jonathan E. Ross (Chemistry Part II, University of Oxford, 2010).\textsuperscript{[206]}

\textbf{6.3.2.1 Preparation of \textit{tert}-Butoxy Phosphorus Ylids 159}

\textit{tert}-Butoxy phosphorus ylids 159 were prepared according to the procedures of Shin (see Schemes 3.35, 3.37 and 3.38).\textsuperscript{[100]}
tert-Butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate (159a)\textsuperscript{[274]}

![Chemical Structure Image]

tert-Butyl bromoacetate (5.63 mL, 38.1 mmol, 1 eq) was added to a solution of triphenylphosphine (10.0 g, 38.1 mmol, 1 eq) in toluene (45 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4h. The white precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with toluene and dried \textit{in vacuo}. The dry solid was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol and NaOH (aq, 15\%) was added until the mixture had a pH > 9. Water (150 mL) was then added and the mixture was left to stand for 1h until a solid precipitated out. Phosphorus ylid 159a was then collected by filtration as a white solid and dried \textit{in vacuo} (12.0 g, yield = 84\%).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) $\delta$: 7.40-7.70 (m, 15H, Ph), 2.78 (br s, 1H, Ph\textsubscript{3}P=CH), 1.06 (s, 9H, C(H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) $\delta$: 170.8 (C=O), 133.0 (d, $^2J\text{CP} = 10$ Hz, ortho-Ph), 132.0 (d, $^4J\text{CP} = 3$ Hz, para-Ph), 128.6 (d, $^3J\text{CP} = 12$ Hz, meta-Ph), 128.6 (d, $^1J\text{CP} = 96$ Hz, ipso-Ph), 78.4 (C(H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}), 31.4 (d, $^1J\text{CP} = 122$ Hz, Ph\textsubscript{3}P=CH), 28.7 (C(H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}). \textsuperscript{31}P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) $\delta$: 16.8. LRMS (m/z, ESI\textsuperscript{+}): calculated for C\textsubscript{24}H\textsubscript{26}O\textsubscript{2}P\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}): 377.2, found 377.2.

\textit{tert}-Butyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate (159b)\textsuperscript{[275]}

![Chemical Structure Image]
Iodomethane (496 µL, 7.96 mmol, 1.5 eq) was added carefully to a solution of tert-butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate (159a, 2.00 g, 5.31 mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (20 mL) at 0ºC. The mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred for 16h. The solvent and excess iodomethane were removed *in vacuo* and the crude product was redissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL). An aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide (15%, 20 mL) was added and the biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously for 1h at rt. The layers were separated and the aqueous fraction was extracted with dichloromethane (3×20 mL). The combined organic fractions were combined, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate) afforded phosphorus ylid 159b as a bright yellow solid (1.89 g, yield = 91%).

**1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.52-7.60 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.42-7.47 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.32-7.40 (m, 6H, Ph), 1.53 (d, 3H, ³JHP = 13.9 Hz, P=C(CH₃)), 0.89 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃). **13C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 170.3 (d, ²JCP = 12 Hz, C=O), 133.3 (d, ²JCP = 10 Hz, ortho-Ph), 131.2 (d, ⁴JCP = 2 Hz, para-Ph), 128.5 (d, ¹JCP = 72 Hz, ipso-Ph), 128.0 (d, ³JCP = 12 Hz, meta-Ph), 76.1 (C(CH₃)₃), 31.4 (d, ¹JCP = 122 Hz, P=C(CH₃)), 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃), 12.4 (d, ²JCP = 14 Hz, P=C(CH₃)). **31P NMR** (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 22.3. **LRMS** (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₂₅H₂₈O₂P⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 391.2, found 391.2.

**tert-Butyl 3-phenyl-2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate (159c)**

![Formula Image]
Benzyl bromide (473 µL, 3.98 mmol, 1 eq) was added to a solution of tert-butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate (159a, 1.50 g, 3.98 mmol, 1 eq) and tetra-n-butylammonium iodide (147 mg, 39.8 µmol, 10 mol%) in tetrahydrofuran (20 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt for 16h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was redissolved in diethyl ether (20 mL). An aqueous solution (20 mL) of sodium hydroxide (318 mg, 7.97 mmol, 2 eq) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min. The layers were separated and the aqueous fraction was extracted using diethyl ether (3×20 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. Recrystallisation from Et₂O/hexane afforded the phosphorus ylid 159c as a yellow solid (1.75 g, yield = 94%).

R_f: (ethyl acetate) = 0.02. M_p = 92°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.50-7.57 (m, 9H, PPh₃), 7.38-7.42 (m, 6H, PPh₃), 7.08 (dd, 2H, ³J_HH = 7.3, 7.1 Hz, H3), 6.95-7.03 (m, 3H, H2, H4), 3.37 (d, 2H, ³J_HP = 19.2 Hz, CH₂Ph), 1.01 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 170.7 (C=O), 146.1 (C1), 133.7 (d, ³J_CP = 10 Hz, C7), 131.4 (C8), 128.4 (d, ¹J_CP = 67 Hz, C5), 128.2 (d, ²J_CP = 12 Hz, C6), 128.0, 127.4 (C2, C3), 124.5 (C4), 40.4 (d, ¹J_CP = 115 Hz, P=Ç(Bn)), 32.8 (d, ²J_CP = 14 Hz, CH₂Ph), 28.6 (C(CH₃)₃). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 22.7. IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1702 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₃₁H₃₂O₂P⁺ ([M+H⁺]⁺): 467.2134, found 467.2125.
6.3.2.2 Preparation of Benzyl-Substituted tert-Butyl Allenoates 102b-j and 102n

tert-Butyl and ethyl allenoates 102 and 105 were prepared according to the procedure of Shin.[100]

\[
\begin{align*}
R^1^\text{OCl} + \text{Ph}_3\text{P}-\text{O} & \xrightarrow{\text{NEt}_3, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2} \text{R}^1\text{R}^2\text{R}^3^\text{O} \\
159 & \xrightarrow{0^\circ\text{C rt., 16 h}} 102 \text{ (R = Me)} \\
& \xrightarrow{} 105 \text{ (R = H)}
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 6.3** Preparation of tert-Butyl and Ethyl Allenoates 102 and 105.

**General Procedure C:** Triethylamine (1.7 eq) was added dropwise to a solution of the phosphorus ylid 159 (1.7 eq) in dichloromethane at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 15 min before the dropwise addition of the acid chloride (1 eq) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. After removal of the solvent *in vacuo*, pentane was added to the semi-solid residue and the mixture was allowed to stand for 2h. It was shaken periodically to facilitate solidification and to complete the extraction of the product. The precipitates were removed by filtration and the filter cake was washed with pentane. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel.

**Note:** For allenoates bearing a hydrogen substituent at the 2-position (102b-d), separation of the desired allenolate from the isomeric alkyne side-product 108 was not trivial and mixtures of the two were isolated and subjected to further reactions. In most cases, very careful column chromatography allowed for the partial separation of the isomers affording a few milligrams of the pure allenolate 102. In these instances the analytical data provided are of the pure allenolate.
**tert-Butyl 5-phenylpenta-2,3-dienoate (102b)**

![Structural formula of tert-Butyl 5-phenylpenta-2,3-dienoate (102b)](image)

General procedure C was followed using *tert*-butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate 159a (2.00 g, 5.31 mmol, 1.7 eq), 3-phenylpropanoyl chloride (464 µL, 3.12 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (740 µL, 5.31 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (16 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 102b and the isomeric alkyne side-product 108b (allenoate:alkyne = 2.9:1) as a yellow oil (506 mg, corrected yield of 102b = 55%).

R<sub>f</sub>: (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.41. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.21-7.33 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.72 (td, 1H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.5 Hz, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.1 Hz, BnCH=CH), 5.53 (dt, 1H, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.1 Hz, <sup>5</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 2.5 Hz, BnCH=CH), 3.51 (ddd, 1H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 15.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.8 Hz, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 2.5 Hz, PhCH=CH), 3.44 (ddd, 1H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 15.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 2.8 Hz, PhCH=CH), 1.50 (s, 9H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 212.2 (C=CH=CH), 165.2 (C=O), 138.8 (ipso-Ph), 128.5, 128.4, 126.5 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 94.3 (BnCH=CH), 90.1 (BnCH=CH), 80.9 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 34.2 (PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 28.1 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). IR (neat): 1962 (C=C=C), 1705 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, CI): calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ([M+H]⁺): 231.1385, found 231.1386.

**tert-Butyl 5-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)penta-2,3-dienoate (102c)**

![Structural formula of tert-Butyl 5-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)penta-2,3-dienoate (102c)](image)

Oxalyl chloride (2 M solution in dichloromethane, 3.75 mL, 7.49 mmol, 1.05 eq) was carefully added to a solution of 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)propanoic acid (1.50 g, 7.14 mmol,
1 eq) in dichloromethane (15 mL) and dimethylformamide (2 drops) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 3h. The crude 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)propanoyl chloride was concentrated in vacuo and used directly in the next step without further purification.

General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate 159a (4.57 g, 12.1 mmol, 1.7 eq), 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)propanoyl chloride (1.63 g, 7.14 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (1.69 mL, 12.1 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (19 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford the product 102c and the isomeric alkyne side-product (allenoate:alkyne = 3.5:1) as an orange oil. (778 mg, corrected yield of 102c = 29% over two steps).

Rf: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.35. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 6.76-6.83 (m, 3H, Ar), 5.70 (td, 1H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, 4JHH = 6.1 Hz, ArCH2CH=C=CH), 5.50 (m, 1H, ArCH2CH=C=CH), 3.86 (s, 3H, OC6H5), 3.83 (s, 3H, OC6H5), 3.42 (ddd, 1H, 2JHH = 15.7 Hz, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, 4JHH = 2.5 Hz, ArCHH'), 1.46 (s, 9H, C(C6H5)3). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 212.3 (C=C=C), 165.2 (C=O), 148.9, 147.7 (C3, C4), 131.3 (C5), 120.4 (C6), 111.8, 111.0 (C2, C5), 94.8 (ArCH2CH=C=CH), 90.2 (ArCH2CH=C=CH), 80.8 (C(CH3)3), 55.9 (OCH3), 55.8 (OCH3), 33.7 (ArCH2), 28.1 (C(CH3)3). IR (neat): 1961 (C=C=C), 1712 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI+): calculated for C17H22NaO4 (M+Na+): 313.1410 found 313.1410.

**tert-Butyl 5-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]penta-2,3-dienoate (102d)**

![Diagram of tert-Butyl 5-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]penta-2,3-dienoate (102d)]

Oxalyl chloride (2 M solution in dichloromethane, 2.41 mL, 4.81 mmol, 1.05 eq) was carefully added to a solution of 3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)propanoic acid (1.00 g, 4.58
mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (9.5 mL) and dimethylformamide (2 drops) at 0ºC. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0ºC for 3h. The crude 3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)propanoyl chloride was concentrated *in vacuo* and used directly in the next step without further purification.

General procedure C was followed using *tert*-butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate 159a (2.00 g, 5.31 mmol, 1.7 eq), 3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)propanoyl chloride (740 mg, 3.13 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (741 µL, 5.31 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (10 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford a mixture of the product 102d and the isomeric alkyne side-product (allenoate:alkyne = 2.5:1) as a pale yellow oil (441 mg, corrected yield of 102d = 34% over two steps).

**Rf:** (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.24. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 7.57 (d, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, *meta*-Ar), 7.41 (d, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, *ortho*-Ar), 5.72 (dt, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$ Hz, $^4J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, ArCH$_2$CH$\equiv$C=CH), 5.54 (m, 1H, ArCH$_2$CH=C=CH), 3.52 (m, 2H, ArCH$_2$CH=C=CH), 1.49 (s, 9H, C(C$_\text{H}_3$)$_3$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 212.4 (C=C=C), 164.9 (C=O), 142.9 (ipso-Ar), 129.0 (q, $^2J_{CF} = 32$ Hz, *para*-Ar), 128.9 (ortho-Ar), 125.3 (q, $^3J_{CF} = 4$ Hz, *meta*-Ar), 124.2 (q, $^1J_{CF} = 272$ Hz, C$_3$F$_3$), 93.6 (ArCH$_2$CH=CH=C=CH), 90.7 (ArCH$_2$CH=CH=C=CH), 81.1 (C(C$_\text{H}_3$)$_3$), 34.0 (ArCH$_2$), 28.1 (C(C$_\text{H}_3$)$_3$). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: $-62.4$. IR (neat): 1964 (C=C=C), 1715 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, CI$^+$): calculated for C$_{16}$H$_{18}$O$_2$F$_3$ ([M+H]$^+$): 299.1259 found 299.1261.

*tert*-Butyl 2-methyl-5-phenylpenta-2,3-dienoate (102e)

![tert-Butyl 2-methyl-5-phenylpenta-2,3-dienoate (102e)](image-url)
General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate 159b (2.00 g, 5.12 mmol, 1.7 eq), 3-phenylpropionyl chloride (448 µL, 3.01 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (714 µL, 5.12 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (10 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 102e as a yellow oil (683 mg, yield = 93%).

\[ \text{Rf: (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.41.} \]

\[ ^1H \text{ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3\delta: 7.26-7.33 \text{ (m, 5H, Ph), 5.55} \text{ (m, 1H, CH=C=C(CH}_3\text{)), 3.46 (dd, 1H, }^2J_{HH} = 15.2 \text{ Hz, }^3J_{HH} = 8.1 \text{ Hz, PhCH}_2H', 3.39 (dd, 1H, }^2J_{HH} = 15.2 \text{ Hz, }^3J_{HH} = 7.1 \text{ Hz, PhCH}_2H'), 1.82 (d, 3H, }^5J_{HH} = 2.8 \text{ Hz, CH=C=C(CH}_3\text{)), 1.49 (s, 9H, C(CH}_3\text{)_3}). \]

\[ ^{13}C \text{ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl}_3\delta: 210.3 \text{ (C=C=C), 167.0} \text{ (C=O), 139.5 (ipso-Ph), 128.6, 128.3, 126.3 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 97.3} \text{ (BnCH=C=C(CH}_3\text{)), 92.5 (BnCH=C=C(CH}_3\text{)), 80.6 (C(CH}_3\text{)_3), 34.7 (PhCH}_2\text{), 28.1} \text{ (C(CH}_3\text{)_3), 15.1 (CH=C=C(CH}_3\text{)). IR (neat): 1962 (C=C=C), 1758 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, CI): calculated for C}_{16}H_{21}O_2 ([M+H]^+) : 245.1542 found 245.1535.} \]

**tert-Butyl 2-methyl-6-phenylhexa-2,3-dienoate (102f)**

\[
\text{Oxalyl chloride (2 M solution in dichloromethane, 4.73 mL, 2.46 mmol, 1.05 eq) was carefully added to a solution of 4-phenylbutanoic acid (1.48 g, 9.01 mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (18 mL) and dimethylformamide (2 drops) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 3h. The crude 4-phenylbutanoyl chloride was concentrated in vacuo and used directly in the next step without further purification. General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl 3-phenyl-2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate 159b (5.98 g, 15.3 mmol, 1.7 eq), 4-}
\]
phenylbutanoyl chloride (1.64 g, 9.01 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (2.14 mL, 15.3 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (23 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 102f as a colourless oil (1.32 g, yield = 57% over two steps).

\[ \text{Rf: } \text{(hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1)} = 0.42. \]

\[ ^1H \text{ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta: 7.29 \text{ (ddm, 2H, }^3J_{HH} = 7.6, 7.3 \text{ Hz, meta-Ph)}, 7.19-7.22 \text{ (dm, 3H, }^3J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, ortho-, para-Ph)}, 5.45 \text{ (ddq, 1H, }^3J_{HH} = 7.6, 6.9 \text{ Hz), }^5J_{HH} = 2.8 \text{ Hz, } CH=CH=C(CH}_3\text{)}, 2.80 \text{ (ddm, 1H, }^2J_{HH} = 13.9 \text{ Hz, }^3J_{HH} = 6.9 \text{ Hz, PhCH}_2H'CH}_2\text{), 2.74 \text{ (dd, 1H, }^2J_{HH} = 13.9 \text{ Hz, }^3J_{HH} = 7.6 \text{ Hz, PhCH}_2H'CH}_2\text{), 2.35-2.48 \text{ (m, 2H, PhCH}_2CH}_2\text{), 1.76 \text{ (d, 3H, }^5J_{HH} = 2.8 \text{ Hz, CH=C=CH(CH}_3\text{)}, 1.48 \text{ (s, 9H, C(CH}_3\text{)}_3\text{).} \]

\[ ^{13}C \text{ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta: 209.9 \text{ (C=CCCC), 167.2 (C=O), 141.4 (ipso-Ph), 128.4, 128.3 (ortho-, meta-Ph), 126.0 (para-Ph), 97.4 (CH=C=CC(CH}_3\text{)), 92.6 (CH=CH=C(CH}_3\text{)), 80.4 (C(CH}_3\text{)}_3\text{), 35.3 (PhCH}_2CH}_2\text{), 29.6 (PhCH}_2CH}_2\text{), 28.1 (C(CH}_3\text{)}_3\text{), 15.1 (CH=C=CC(CH}_3\text{)). IR (neat): 1960 (C=C=C), 1703 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\text{}): calculated for C\text{17H}_{22}NaO}\text{2}: 281.1512 found 281.1505.\]

*tert*-Butyl 2-benzylpenta-2,3-dienoate (102g)

General procedure C was followed using *tert*-butyl 3-phenyl-2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate 159c (1.84 g, 3.95 mmol, 1.7 eq), propanoyl chloride (202 µL, 2.32 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (551 µL, 3.95 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (10 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 102g as a colourless oil (564 mg, yield > 95%).
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R_f: (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.36. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.17-7.31 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.41 (qt, 1H, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 7.3 Hz, \(^5\)J\(_{HH}\) = 2.3 Hz, (CH\(_3\)CH=CH=CH=), 3.55 (dd, 1H, \(^2\)J\(_{HH}\) = 15.2 Hz, \(^5\)J\(_{HH}\) = 2.3 Hz), 1.69 (d, 3H, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 7.3 Hz, (CH\(_3\)CH=CH=CH=), 80.7 (C(C(CH\(_3\)_3)), 89.6 ((CH\(_3\))CH=CH=CH=), 144.4 ((CH\(_3\))CH=CH=CH=), 1.44 (s, 9H, C(C(CH\(_3\)_3)). \(^13\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 211.0 (C=C=C), 166.4 (C=O), 139.7 (ipso-Ph), 128.8, 128.1, 126.0 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 101.4 ((CH\(_3\))CH=CH=CH=), 89.6 ((CH\(_3\))CH=CH=CH=), 80.7 (C(C(CH\(_3\)_3)), 35.4 (PhCH\(_2\)), 28.0 (C(C(CH\(_3\)_3)), 13.0 ((CH\(_3\))CH=CH=CH=). IR (neat): 1961 (C=C=C), 1704 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, CI\(^+\)):
calculated for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{24}\)NO\(_2\) ([M+NH\(_4\)]\(^+\)): 262.1807 found 262.1821.

\textit{tert-Butyl 2-benzyl-5-phenylpenta-2,3-dienoate (102h)}

\[
\text{\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{tert-butyl-2-benzyl-5-phenylpenta-2,3-dienoate.png}}
\]

General procedure C was followed using \textit{tert}-butyl 3-phenyl-2-(triphenylphosphoranylidenepropanoate 159c (1.84 g, 3.94 mmol, 1.7 eq), 3-phenylpropanoyl chloride (344 \(\mu\)L, 2.31 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (549 \(\mu\)L, 3.94 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (10 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 102h as a pale yellow oil. (582 mg, yield = 78%).

R_f: (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.33. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.33-7.19 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.13-7.15 (m, 4H, Ph), 5.57 (tt, 1H, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 7.3 Hz, \(^5\)J\(_{HH}\) = 2.5 Hz, BnCH=C=C), 3.54 (dd, 1H, \(^2\)J\(_{HH}\) = 15.2 Hz, \(^5\)J\(_{HH}\) = 2.5 Hz, \(H6\)'), 3.49 (dd, 1H, \(^2\)J\(_{HH}\) = 15.2 Hz, \(^5\)J\(_{HH}\) = 2.5 Hz, \(H6\)'), 3.38 (dd, 1H, \(^2\)J\(_{HH}\) = 15.4 Hz, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 7.3 Hz, \(H1\)'), 3.33 (dd, 1H, \(^2\)J\(_{HH}\) = 15.4 Hz, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 7.3 Hz, \(H1\)'), 1.47 (s, 9H, C(C(CH\(_3\)_3)). \(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 210.9 (C=C=C), 166.2
(C=O), 139.3, 139.0 (C2, C7), 128.9, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 126.4, 126.1 (C3-5, C8-10), 102.5 (BnCH=C=C), 94.3 (BnCH=C=C), 80.8 (C(CH3)3), 35.2, 34.5 (C1, C6), 28.1 (C(C(CH3)3)). IR (neat): 1960 (C=C=C), 1704 (C=O).

HRMS (m/z, ESI+): calculated for C22H24NaO2+ ([M+Na]+): 343.1669 found 343.1656.

**tert-Butyl 2-methyl-5-phenylhexa-2,3-dienoate (102i)**

![Structural diagram](image)

Oxalyl chloride (2 M solution in dichloromethane, 4.80 mL, 9.59 mmol, 1.05 eq) was carefully added to a solution of 3-phenylbutanoic acid (1.50 g, 9.14 mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (19 mL) and dimethylformamide (2 drops) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 3 h. The crude 3-phenylbutanoyl chloride was concentrated *in vacuo* and used directly in the next step without further purification.

General procedure C was followed using *tert*-butyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate 159b (2.00 g, 5.13 mmol, 1.7 eq), 3-phenylbutanoyl chloride (551 mg, 3.02 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (715 µL, 5.13 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (10 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 102i as a 50:50 diastereoisomeric mixture as a yellow oil (655 mg, yield = 84% over two steps).

**(1:1 Mixture of Diastereoisomers):** Rf: (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.32. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 7.26-7.36 (m, 8H, Ph), 7.20-7.25 (m, 2H, Ph), 5.62 (dq, 1H, 3J_HH = 5.8 Hz, 5J_HH = 3.0 Hz, CH=C=C), 5.59 (dq, 1H, 3J_HH = 6.3 Hz, 5J_HH = 3.0 Hz, CH=C=C), 3.60 (m, 1H, PhCH(C(CH3)3)), 3.56 (m, 1H, PhCH(C(CH3)3)), 1.86 (d, 3H, 5J_HH = 3.0 Hz, CH=C=C(CH3)), 1.84 (d, 3H, 5J_HH = 3.0 Hz, CH=C=C(CH3)), 1.53 (s, 9H, C(CH3)3), 1.48 (s, 9H, C(CH3)3), 1.40 (d, 3H, 3J_HH = 6.8 Hz, PhCH(CH3)), 1.35 (d, 3H, 3J_HH = 7.1 Hz, PhCH(CH3)). ¹³C
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**NMR** (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 209.4 (C=C=C), 209.4 (C=C=C), 167.3 (C=O), 167.0 (C=O), 145.4 ($ipso$-Ph), 145.0 ($ipso$-Ph), 128.4, 128.3, 127.3, 127.2, 126.5, 126.4 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 98.8, 98.8, 98.5, 98.5 (CH=C=C, CH=C=C), 80.6 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 80.5 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 39.1 (PhCH(CH$_3$)), 39.0 (PhCH(CH$_3$)), 28.2 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 28.1 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 21.7 (PhCH(CH$_3$)), 21.3 (PhCH(CH$_3$)), 15.2 (CH=C=C(CH$_3$)), 15.1 (CH=C=C(CH$_3$)). **IR** (neat): 1962 (C=C=C), 1740 (C=O).

**HRMS** ($m/z$, CI$^+$): calculated for C$_{17}$H$_{23}$O$_2$ ([M+H]$^+$): 259.1698 found 259.1707.

(±)-(2$S$,5$S$)-*tert*-Butyl 5-phenylhexa-2,3-dienoate ((±)-(2$S$,5$S$)-102j)

![Chemical Structure](image)

Oxalyl chloride (2 M solution in dichloromethane, 4.80 mL, 9.59 mmol, 1.05 eq) was carefully added to a solution of 3-phenylbutanoic acid (1.50 g, 9.14 mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (19 mL) and dimethylformamide (2 drops) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 3 h. The crude 3-phenylbutanoyl chloride was concentrated *in vacuo* and used directly in the next step without further purification.

General procedure C was followed using *tert*-butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate 159a (2.00 g, 5.31 mmol, 1.7 eq), 3-phenylbutanoyl chloride (570 mg, 3.12 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (739 µL, 5.31 mmol, 1.7 eq) in anhydrous dichloromethane (10 mL) at room temperature overnight. The resulting crude mixture was purified and the diastereoisomers and isomeric alkyne side-product partially separated by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 50:1) to afford the pure diastereoisomer of the product (±)-(2$S$,5$S$)-102j as a colourless oil (54 mg, yield = 7% over two steps) as well as a mixture of both diastereoisomers of 102j and the isomeric alkyne side-product (114 mg, (±)-(2$S$,5$S$)-...
102j: (±)-(2S,5R)-102j: alkyne = 3.3:6.6:1, corrected yield of (±)-(2S,5S)-102j = 5% over two steps, total corrected yield of (±)-(2S,5S)-102j = 13% over two steps, total corrected yield of (2S,5R)-102j = 9% over two steps).

(±)-(2S,5S)-102j: \( \text{Rf} \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.31. \textbf{1H NMR} (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 7.30-7.36 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.25 (m, 1H, Ph), 5.78 (dd, 1H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.1 \) Hz, \( ^4J_{HH} = 6.1 \) Hz, PhCH(\( CH_3 \))\( CH=CH \)), 5.62 (dd, 1H, \( ^4J_{HH} = 6.1 \) Hz, \( ^5J_{HH} = 3.3 \) Hz, PhCH(\( CH_3 \))\( CH=CH \)), 3.58 (m, 1H, PhCH(\( CH_3 \))), 1.53 (s, 9H, C(\( CH_3 \))\( _3 \)), 1.39 (d, 3H, \( ^3J_{HH} = 7.1 \) Hz, PhCH(\( CH_3 \))).

\textbf{13C NMR} (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 211.5 (C\( =C=CH \)), 165.4 (C\( =O \)), 144.9 (ipso-Ph), 128.5, 127.2, 126.6 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 100.2 (PhCH(\( CH_3 \))\( CH=CH \)), 91.7 PhCH(\( CH_3 \))\( CH=CH \)), 80.8 (C(\( CH_3 \))\( _3 \)), 38.7 (PhCH(\( CH_3 \))), 28.2 (C(\( CH_3 \))\( _3 \)), 21.7 PhCH(\( CH_3 \)). \textbf{IR} (neat): 1961 (C\( =C=CH \)), 1705 (C\( =O \)). \textbf{HRMS (m/z, CI\(^+ \)}: calculated for C\( _{16}H_{21}O_2([M+H]^+)\): 245.1542 found 245.1544.

**\textit{tert-Butyl (2S,5S)-5-phenylhexa-2,3-dienoate ((2S,5S)-102j)}**

Oxalyl chloride (2 M solution in dichloromethane, 1.28 mL, 2.56 mmol, 1.05 eq) was carefully added to a solution of (\( S \))-3-phenylbutanoic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, ee > 97%, 400 mg, 2.44 mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (5.1 mL) and dimethylformamide (2 drops) at 0\(^\circ\)C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0\(^\circ\)C for 3h. The crude (\( S \))-3-phenylbutanoyl chloride was concentrated \textit{in vacuo} and used directly in the next step without further purification.

General procedure C was followed using \textit{tert}-butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate 159a (1.56 g, 4.15 mmol, 1.7 eq), (\( S \))-3-phenylbutanoyl chloride (ee > 97%, 446 mg, 2.44
mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (578 µL, 4.15 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (6 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified and the diastereoisomers and isomeric alkyne side-product partially separated by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 50:1) to afford the pure diastereoisomer of the product (2S,5S)-102j as a colourless oil (101 mg, yield = 17% over two steps) as well as a mixture of both diastereoisomers of 102j and the isomeric alkyne side-product (260 mg, (2S,5S)-102j : (2R,5S)-102j : alkyne = 1.6:1.7:1, corrected yield of (2S,5S)-102j = 16% over two steps, total corrected yield of (2S,5S)-102j = 33% over two steps, total corrected yield of (2R,5S)-102j = 17% over two steps).

(2S,5S)-102j: \([\alpha]^D_{25} = -65.6 \) (c 1.0, CHCl₃). All other characterisation data was identical to the racemic compound (±)-(2S,5S)-102j (see above). The stereochemistry was assigned by mechanistic rationale with reference to the product of cyclisation-oxidative coupling (8R,8aS)-109j (see Section 6.3.3.2).

tert-Butyl 2-benzyl-4-phenylbuta-2,3-dienoate (102n)

General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl 3-phenyl-2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate 159c (2.80 g, 6.01 mmol, 1.7 eq), phenylacetyl chloride (468 µL, 3.53 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (837 µL, 6.01 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (17 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 102n as a yellow oil (873 mg, yield = 81%).
Chapter 6: Experimental Procedures and Characterisation Data

R_f: (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.33. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.27-7.43 (m, 10H, Ph), 6.57 (t, 1H, J_HH = 2.3 Hz, PhCH=CH(C=)), 3.83 (dd, 1H, J_HH = 14.9 Hz, J_HH = 2.3 Hz, PhCHHH), 3.78 (dd, 1H, J_HH = 14.9 Hz, J_HH = 2.3 Hz, PhCHH), 1.57 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃). ^13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 212.9 (C=C=), 165.5 (C=O), 139.4 (C5), 132.7 (C1), 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 127.7, 127.3, 126.4 (C2-4, C6-8), 105.7 (PhCH=C=C), 98.3 (PhCH=C=C), 81.3 (C(CH₃)₃), 35.8 (PhCH₂), 28.2 (C(CH₃)₃). IR (neat): 1945 (C=C=), 1706 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₂₁H₂₂NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 329.1512 found 329.1511.

6.3.2.3 Preparation of Alkyne 108b

Alkynes 108 were prepared according to the procedure of Fu.^[106]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R}^1 & \equiv + \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{N}_2\text{O} \quad \text{O} \\
\end{array} \\
\text{MeCN, rt, 12h} & \quad \text{Cul (10 mol%)} \\
\text{R}^1 & = \text{PhCH}_2\text{n-C}_4\text{H}_9
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 6.4 Synthesis of Alkynes 108.

**tert-Butyl 5-phenylpent-3-ynoate (108b)**

Prop-2-yne-1-ylbenzene (249 µL, 2.00 mmol, 1 eq) and copper(I) iodide (19 mg, 100 µmol, 10 mol%) were dissolved in acetonitrile (2.5 mL). tert-Butyl diazoacetate (277 µL, 2.00 mmol, 1 eq) was added and the mixture was stirred for 12h at rt. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was passed through a short pad of silica gel (diethyl ether) to afford alkyne 108b as a yellow oil (442 mg, yield > 95%).

R_f: (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.41. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.38 (dm, 2H, J_HH = 7.3 Hz, ortho-Ph), 7.32 (apparent tm, 2H, J_HH = 7.3 Hz, meta-Ph), 7.24 (tm, 1H, J_HH = 7.3 Hz, para-Ph), 3.64 (br s, 2H, PhCH₂), 3.25 (t, 2H, J_HH = 2.4 Hz, CH₂(C=O)), 1.49 (s, 9H,
C(CH₃)₃. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 167.9 (C=O), 136.8 (ipso-Ph), 128.4, 127.9 (ortho-, meta-Ph), 126.5 (para-Ph), 81.7, 81.0 (BnC≡C, C(CH₃)₃), 74.5 (BnC≡C), 27.9 (C(CH₃)₃), 27.3 (PhCH₂), 25.1 (CH₂(C=O)). IR (neat): 2227 (C≡C), 1733 (C=O).


6.3.3 Cyclisation-Intramolecular Arylation of Benzyl-Substituted Allenoates

6.3.3.1 Preliminary Investigations

6.3.3.1.1 Without External Oxidants

5-Benzylfuran-5(2H)-one (103b)[100]

AuCl (10 mg, 43 µmol, 5 mol%) was added to a solution of allenoate 102b (as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne, allenoate:alkyne 2.2:1, 200 mg, 87 µmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (6 mL) at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature until TLC analysis showed complete consumption of the allenoate (16h). After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford butenolide 103b as a colourless oil (94 mg, yield (based on 102b) = 90%).

Rᵣ: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.18. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.41 (dd, 1H, ³Jₜₜ = 5.7, ⁴Jₜₜ = 1.5 Hz, CH=CH₁(CH=O)), 7.26-7.36 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.21-7.24 (m, 2H, Ph), 6.09 (dd, 1H, ³Jₜₜ = 5.7, 2.0 Hz, CH=CH₁(CH=O)), 5.24 (m, 1H, BnCH), 3.17 (dd, 1H, ²Jₜₜ = 13.9 Hz, ³Jₜₜ = 6.4 Hz, PhCH₂H'), 2.97 (dd, 1H, ²Jₜₜ = 13.9 Hz, ³Jₜₜ = 7.1 Hz, PhCH₂H'). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 172.8 (C=O), 155.5 (CH=CH₁(CH=O)), 134.8 (ipso-Ph), 129.4, 128.7, 127.3 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 122.1 (CH=CH₁(CH=O)), 83.4 (BnCH), 39.6 (PhCH₂). IR
(neat): 1762 (C=O). **HRMS (m/z, CI⁺):** calculated for C₁₁H₁₀O₂ ([M+H]⁺): 175.0759, found 175.0752.

### 6.3.3.1.2 With Selectfluor

**8,8a-Dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109b)**

[Diagram of 8,8a-Dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109b)]

AuCl (11 mg, 49 µmol, 5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 866 mg, 2.44 mmol, 2.5 eq) were added to a solution of allenolate 102b (as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne, allenolate:alkyne 2.6:1, 225 mg, 977 µmol, 1 eq) in acetonitrile (6.5 mL) at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature until TLC analysis showed complete consumption of the allenolate (24h). The reaction mixture was then diluted with dichloromethane and filtered through Celite. After drying over anhydrous sodium sulfate and filtration, the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 7:3) to afford indenofuranone 109b as a light brown solid (44 mg, yield (based on 102b) = 36%) as well as bibutenolide (±)-(2S,2'S)-110b as a white solid (24 mg, yield (based on 102b) = 20%) and bibutenolide (2R,2'S)-110b as a white solid (18 mg, yield (based on 102b) = 15%).

**Rf:** (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.22. **Mp = 50°C.** **¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) ð:** 7.63 (m, 1H, H4), 7.47 (m, 1H, H7), 7.37-7.41 (m, 2H, H5, H6), 6.02 (d, 1H, 4J_HH = 2.2 Hz, H1), 5.49 (ddd, 1H, 3J_HH = 7.5, 7.3 Hz, 4J_HH = 2.2 Hz, H10), 3.46 (dd, 1H, 2J_HH = 14.5 Hz, 3J_HH = 7.5 Hz, H9), 2.89 (dd, 1H, 2J_HH = 14.5 Hz, 3J_HH = 7.3 Hz, H9'). **¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) ð:** 175.2, 173.9 (C=O, C2), 145.7 (C8), 131.8 (C3), 132.1, 128.4, 126.7, 124.5 (C4-7), 108.9 (C1), 86.0 (C10), 36.5 (C9). **IR (CH₂Cl₂):** 1768 (C=O). **HRMS (m/z, CI⁺):**
calculated for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{9}\text{O}_2$ ([M+H]$^+$): 173.0603, found 173.0605. The structure was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography. This data is deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC): 765540 (see Appendix).
(2R,2'S)-2,2'-Dibenzyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione ((2R,2'S)-110b)

Rf: (hexane:ethyl acetate, 4:1) = 0.14. Mp = 190°C. \(^1^H\) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.30-7.25 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.10-7.06 (m, 4H, Ph), 6.21 (d, 2H, \(^4^J_{HH} = 1.0\) Hz, C=CH(C=O)), 5.41 (ddm, 2H, \(^3^J_{HH} = 5.3\), 3.8 Hz, BnCH), 3.44 (dd, 2H, \(^2^J_{HH} = 14.7\) Hz, \(^3^J_{HH} = 3.8\) Hz, PhCH\(_2\)), 2.89 (dd, 2H, \(^2^J_{HH} = 14.7\) Hz, \(^3^J_{HH} = 5.3\) Hz, PhCH\(_2\)). \(^{13}^C\) NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 170.1 (C=O), 154.5 (C=CH(C=O)), 133.0 (ipso-Ph), 129.5, 128.7, 127.8 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 122.4 (C=CH(C=O)), 82.2 (BnCH), 39.5 (PhCH\(_2\)). IR (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)): 1760 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)) calculated for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{18}\)NaO\(_4\)\(^+\) ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 369.1097, found 369.1095. The structure and relative stereochemistry were unambiguously assigned by X-ray crystallography. This data is deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC): 765544 (see Appendix).

6.3.3.2 Scope and Limitations

\[ R^1 = \text{PhCH}_2, [(o-\text{OMe})\text{C}_6\text{H}_4]\text{CH}_2, [(p-\text{CF}_3)\text{C}_6\text{H}_4]\text{CH}_2, \]
\[ \text{Me, PhCH}(\text{CH}_3), \text{Ph, PhCH}_2\text{CH}_2 \]
\[ R^2 = \text{H, CH}_3, \text{PhCH}_2 \]

Scheme 6.5 Scope and Limitations of the Cascade Cyclisation-Intramolecular Arylation of Benzyl-Substituted Allenoates 102.
**General Procedure D:** PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) were added to a solution of the allenoate 102 (1 eq) in acetonitrile (0.01 M) and water (10 eq). The reaction was stirred at rt until TLC analysis showed complete consumption of the allenoate (1.5-24h). The reaction mixture was then diluted with dichloromethane and filtered through Celite. After drying over anhydrous sodium sulfate and filtration, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel.

*Note: In some cases (with allenoates 102b-d), mixtures of the allenoate and the co-spotting isomeric alkyne were used in the cyclisation-oxidative coupling reactions. In these cases, the quantities of reagents was calculated with reference to the combined mass of the two isomers. A control reaction with the pure alkyne 108b did not afford 109b, 110b or 103b and thus the yields were calculated with respect to the amount of allenoate present.*

**8,8a-Dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109b)**

![Chemical structure of 8,8a-Dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109b)](image)

General procedure D was followed using allenoate 102b (as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne, allenoate:alkyne 3.3:1, 250 mg, 1.09 mmol, 1 eq), Ph₃PAuNTf₂ (2, 80 mg, 0.11 mmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 961 mg, 2.71 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (110 mL) and water (195 µL, 10.9 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford the product 109b as a light brown solid (137 mg, yield (based on 102b) = 95%). For characterisation data of 109b, see Section 6.3.3.1.2.
5,6-Dimethoxy-8,8a-dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109c)

General procedure D was followed using allenoate 102c as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne (allenoate:alkyne 3:1, 250 mg, 861 µmol, 1 eq), Ph₃PAuNTf₂ (2, 64 mg, 86 µmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 763 mg, 2.15 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (85 mL) and water (155 µL, 8.61 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane : ethyl acetate, 3:7) to afford the product 109c as a pale orange solid (126 mg, yield (based on 102c) = 84%).

Rf: (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:7) = 0.36. Mp = 156 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.08 (s, 1H, H4), 6.88 (s, 1H, H7), 5.86 (d, 1H, ⁴JHH = 2.0 Hz, H1), 5.43 (ddd, 1H, ³JHH = 7.3, 6.8 Hz, ⁴JHH = 2.0 Hz, H10), 3.93 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.38 (dd, 1H, ²JHH = 14.4 Hz, ³JHH = 7.3 Hz, H9), 2.85 (dd, 1H, ²JHH = 14.4 Hz, ³JHH = 6.8 Hz, H9'). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 175.7, 174.3 (C=O, C2), 153.0, 149.6 (C5, C6), 139.6 (C8), 124.1 (C3), 108.7, 106.3, 105.9 (C1, C4, C7), 85.9 (C10), 56.2 (OCH₃), 56.1 (OCH₃), 36.3 (C9). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1760 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₃H₁₂O₄⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 255.0628, found 255.0628.

5-(Trifluoromethyl)-8,8a-dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109d)

General procedure D was followed using allenoate 102d as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne (allenoate:alkyne 7:1, 224 mg, 751 µmol, 1 eq), Ph₃PAuNTf₂ (2, 56 mg, 75 µmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 665 mg, 1.88 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (75 mL) and water
(135 µL, 7.51 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford the product \(109d\) as a yellow solid (58 mg, yield (based on \(102d\)) = 37%).

R<sub>f</sub>: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.27. M<sub>p</sub>: = 91°C. \(^1^H\) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) \(\delta\): 7.90 (s, 1H, H4), 7.73 (d, 1H, \(^3\)J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.8 Hz, H7), 7.54 (m, 1H, H6), 6.14 (d, 1H, \(^4\)J<sub>HH</sub> = 2.3 Hz, H1), 5.52 (ddd, 1H, \(^3\)J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.6, 7.3 Hz, \(^4\)J<sub>HH</sub> = 2.3 Hz, H10), 3.54 (dd, 1H, \(^2\)J<sub>HH</sub> = 14.9 Hz, \(^3\)J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.6 Hz, H9), 2.94 (dd, 1H, \(^2\)J<sub>HH</sub> = 14.9 Hz, \(^3\)J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, H9'). \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) \(\delta\): 174.5, 171.8 (C=O, C2), 149.2 (C8), 132.5 (C3), 131.1 (q, \(^2\)J<sub>CF</sub> = 32 Hz, C5), 128.8 (q, \(^3\)J<sub>CF</sub> = 4 Hz, C6), 127.3 (C7), 123.6 (q, \(^1\)J<sub>CF</sub> = 273 Hz, C<sub>F</sub>3), 121.5 (q, \(^3\)J<sub>CF</sub> = 4 Hz, C4), 110.8 (C1), 85.8 (C10), 36.6 (C9). \(^{19}\)F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) \(\delta\): −62.5. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1771 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, Cl<sup>+</sup>): calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>): 241.0476, found 241.0478. The structure was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography. This data is deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC): 765541 (see Appendix).

3-Methyl-8,8a-dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109e)<sup>[276]</sup>

General procedure D was followed using allenate \(102e\) (250 mg, 1.02 mmol, 1 eq), Ph<sub>3</sub>PAuNTf<sub>2</sub> (2, 76 mg, 0.10 mmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 906 mg, 2.56 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (100 mL) and water (184 µL, 10.2 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford the product \(109e\) as a white solid (133 mg, yield = 70%).
**3-Methyl-3,8-dihydro-1H-indeno[2,1-c]furan-1-one (162g)**

![Diagram of 3-Methyl-3,8-dihydro-1H-indeno[2,1-c]furan-1-one](image)

General procedure D was followed using allenolate 102g (200 mg, 818 μmol, 1 eq), Ph$_3$PAuNTf$_2$ (2, 61 mg, 82 μmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 725 mg, 2.05 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (82 mL) and water (147 μL, 8.18 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford the product 162g as a white solid (87 mg, yield = 57%).

**R$_f$:** (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.21. **Mp** = 141°C. **$^1$H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.62 (m, 1H, $H7$), 7.40-7.50 (m, 3H, $H4$-$6$), 5.53 (qt, 1H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 2.8 Hz, $H10$), 3.61 (d, 2H, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 2.8 Hz, $H2$), 1.70 (d, 3H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, $CH_3$). **$^{13}$C NMR** (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 174.2 (C=O), 168.7 (C9), 149.8 (C3), 135.8, 135.3 (C1, C8), 128.9, 127.3, 125.9, 121.6 (C4-$7$), 75.6 (C10), 32.2 (C2), 19.2 (CH$_3$). **IR** (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): 1748 (C=O). **HRMS (m/z, ESI$^+$):** calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{10}$NaO$_2$$^+$ ([M+Na$^+$]): 209.0573, found 209.0573.
3-Benzyl-8,8a-dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109h)

General procedure D was followed using allenolate 102h (300 mg, 936 μmol, 1 eq), Ph₃PAuNTf₂ (2, 69 mg, 94 μmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 829 mg, 2.34 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (95 mL) and water (169 μL, 9.36 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford the product 109h as a white solid (67 mg, yield = 27%) and the product 162h as a white solid (106 mg, yield = 43%).

Rᵣ: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.35. Mᵖ = 83°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.24-7.41 (m, 8H), 7.07 (m, 1H, H₄-7, Ph), 5.40 (ddm, 1H, ³J₉H₁₀ = 7.6, 7.3 Hz, H₁₀), 3.93 (d, 1H, ²J₉H₁₀ = 15.4 Hz, PhCHH'), 3.71 (dd, 1H, ²J₉H₁₀ = 15.4 Hz, ⁵J₉H₁₀ = 1.2 Hz, PhCHH'), 3.42 (dd, 1H, ²J₉H₁₀ = 14.7 Hz, ³J₉H₁₀ = 7.6 Hz, H₉), 2.84 (dd, 1H, ²J₉H₁₀ = 14.7 Hz, ³J₉H₁₀ = 7.3 Hz, H₉').
³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 175.8 (C=O), 166.1 (C₂), 145.5 (C₈), 138.2 (ipso-Ph), 132.3 (C₃), 131.3, 128.9, 128.8, 128.1, 126.7, 126.6, 124.7 (C₄-7, ortho-Ph, meta-Ph, para-Ph), 122.0 (C₁), 84.4 (C₁₀), 36.5 (C₉), 30.9 (PhCH₂). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1754 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, CI⁺): calculated for C₁₈H₁₅O₂ ([M+H]⁺): 263.1072, found 263.1079.
3-Benzyl-3,8-dihydro-1H-indeno[1,2-c]furan-1-one (162h)

\[
\text{Rf: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.23. Mp = 148°C. } \quad ^1\text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta: 7.54 \text{ (d, 1H, } J_{HH} = 7.6 \text{ Hz, } H4), 7.39 \text{ (m, 1H, } H7), 7.20-7.31 \text{ (m, 6H), 6.76 \text{ (m, 1H, } H5, H6, Ph), 5.67 \text{ (ddt, 1H, } J_{HH} = 6.8, 6.3 \text{ Hz, } J_{HH} = 2.3 \text{ Hz, } H10), 3.51 \text{ (d, 2H, } J_{HH} = 2.3 \text{ Hz, } H2), 3.40 \text{ (dd, 1H, } J_{HH} = 14.2 \text{ Hz, } J_{HH} = 6.3 \text{ Hz, PhCH}_2), 3.21 \text{ (dd, 1H, } J_{HH} = 14.2 \text{ Hz, } J_{HH} = 6.8 \text{ Hz, PhCH}_2). } \quad ^13\text{C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta: 172.6 \text{ (C=O), 168.3 \text{ (C9), 149.5 \text{ (C3), 136.2, 136.0 (ipso-Ph, C8), 134.6 \text{ (C1), 129.6, 128.7, 128.5, 127.2, 127.1, 125.5, 122.3 \text{ (C4-7), ortho-Ph, meta-Ph, para-Ph), 79.7 \text{ (C10), 39.8 \text{ (PhCH}_2), 32.0 \text{ (C2). IR (CH}_2\text{Cl}_2): 1752} \text{ (C=O). HRMS (m/z, C1}\text{1}_\text{H})^+: \text{ calculated for C}_{18}\text{H}_{15}\text{O}_2 \text{ ([M+H]^+): 263.1072, found 263.1079.}}
\]

The structure was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography. This data is deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC): 765545 (see Appendix).

3,8-Dimethyl-8,8a-dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109i)

\[
\text{General procedure D was followed using alenoate 102i (dr = 52:48, 250 mg, 968 µmol, 1 eq), Ph}_3\text{PAuNTf}_2 \text{ (2, 72 mg, 97 µmol, 10 mol%), and Selectfluor (82, 857 mg, 2.42 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (95 mL) and water (174 µL, 9.68 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl}
\]
ether, 1:1) to afford the product **109i** as a 55:45 diastereoisomeric mixture as a white solid (157 mg, yield = 81%).

**R**f: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.46. **M**p = 53°C. **1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: **Major Diastereoisomer**: 7.61 (m, 1H, H4), 7.34-7.49 (m, 3H, H5-7), 5.33 (dq, 1H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, 5JHH = 2.0 Hz, H10), 3.56 (dq, 1H, 3JHH = 7.3, 7.1 Hz, H9), 2.09 (d, 3H, 5JHH = 2.3 Hz, H11), 0.94 (d, 3H, 3JHH = 7.1 Hz, H12). **Minor Diastereoisomer**: 7.61 (m, 1H, H4), 7.34-7.49 (m, 3H, H5-7), 4.93 (dq, 1H, 3JHH = 7.1 Hz, 5JHH = 2.0 Hz, H10), 2.97 (dq, 1H, 3JHH = 6.8, 6.8 Hz, H9), 2.07 (d, 3H, 5JHH = 2.0 Hz, H11), 1.59 (d, 3H, 3JHH = 6.8 Hz, H12). **13C NMR** (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: **Major & Minor Diastereoisomer**: 176.8 (C=O), 176.3 (C=O), 163.7 (C2), 163.6 (C2), 152.3 (C8), 149.5 (C8), 132.1 (C3), 131.3, 131.1, 128.2, 128.1, 126.0, 124.8, 124.3, 123.8 (C4-7), 119.8 (C1), 118.1 (C1), 91.0 (C10), 85.2 (C10), 43.3 (C9), 40.2 (C9), 15.8 (C11), 14.5 (C11), 9.4 (C12), 9.3 (C12). **Note**: One carbon peak (for C3 in one diastereoisomer) was not observed. **IR** (CH₂Cl₂): 1754 (C=O). **HRMS** (m/z, CI⁺): calculated for C₁₃H₁₃O₂ ([M+H⁺]: 201.0916, found 201.0910. The structure was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography. This data is included in the Appendix.

(±)-(8R,8aS)-8-Methyl-8,8a-dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one ((±)-(8R,8aS)-109j)

![](image.png)

General procedure D was followed using allenoate (±)-(2S,5S)-**102j** (dr > 95:5, 54 mg, 220 μmol, 1 eq). Ph₃PAuNTf₂ (2, 16 mg, 22 μmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 196 mg, 0.55 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (95 mL) and water (40 μL, 2.2 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel.
(hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford the product (±)-(8R,8aS)-**109j** as a white solid (dr > 95:5, 34 mg, yield = 83%).

**R_f**: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.31. **Mp** = 68°C. **^1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.61 (m, 1H, H₄), 7.51 (m, 1H, H₇), 7.36-7.42 (m, 2H, H₅-6), 6.01 (d, 1H, ^4J_HH = 2.0 Hz, H₁), 5.02 (ddm, 1H, ^3J_HH = 6.8 Hz, ^5J_HH = 2.0 Hz, H₁₀), 3.05 (dq, 1H, ^3J_HH = 6.8 Hz, 6.8 Hz, H₉), 1.62 (d, 3H, ^3J_HH = 6.8 Hz, CH₃). **^13C NMR** (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 175.2, 172.2 (C=O, C₂), 149.8 (C₈), 131.3 (C₃), 132.2, 128.3, 124.9, 124.2 (C₄-7), 108.5 (C₁), 92.9 (C₁₀), 43.3 (C₉), 15.8 (CH₃). **IR** (CH₂Cl₂): 1766 (C=O). **HRMS** (m/z, CI⁺) calculated for C₁₂H₁₁O₂ ([M+H]⁺): 187.0759, found 187.0766.

(8R,8aS)-8-Methyl-8a-dihydro-2H-indeno[2,1-b]furan-2-one ((8R,8aS)-**109j**)

![Chemical Structure](image)

General procedure D was followed using allenoate (2S,5S)-**102j** (dr > 95:5, 61 mg, 250 µmol, 1 eq), Ph₃PAuNTf₂ (2, 18 mg, 25 µmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 220 mg, 0.62 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (33 mL) and water (45 µL, 2.5 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford the product (8R,8aS)-**109j** as a white solid (dr > 95:5, 37 mg, yield = 80%) in > 97% enantiomeric excess (major: 23.4 min, minor 26.6 min, CHIRALCEL OJ-H, hexane:isopropanol 97:3, flow rate 1 mL/min).

[^α]D^25 = −81.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃). All other characterisation data was identical to the racemic compound (±)-(8R,8aS)-**109j** (see above). The structure and relative stereochemistry were unambiguously assigned by X-ray crystallography. This data is deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC): 765542 (see Appendix).
3-Phenyl-3,8-dihydro-1H-indeno[1,2-c]furan-1-one (162n)

General procedure D was followed using allenoate 102n (122 mg, 398 µmol, 1 eq), Ph₃PAuNTf₂ (2, 29 mg, 40 µmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 353 mg, 995 µmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (40 mL) and water (72 µL, 3.98 mmol, 10 eq). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford the product 162n as a white solid (45 mg, yield = 46%).

Rₛ: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.49. Mp = 84°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.61 (d, 1H, Jᵢᵣ₉ = 7.6 Hz, H7), 7.57-7.37 (m, 6H), 7.32 (d, 1H, Jᵢᵣ₉ = 7.6, 7.3 Hz), 7.26 (m, 1H, H₄-6, Ph), 6.38 (dd, 1H, Jᵢᵣ₉ = 3.0, 2.5 Hz, H10), 3.72 (br s, 2H, H2). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 172.3 (C=O), 168.6 (C9), 149.8 (C3), 135.9, 135.6 (ipso-Ph, C8), 134.4 (C1), 129.5, 129.1, 129.0, 127.3, 127.2, 125.8, 122.1 (C₄-7, ortho-Ph, meta-Ph, para-Ph), 80.8
(C10), 32.3 (C2). **IR** (CH₂Cl₂): 1755 (C=O). **HRMS** (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₇H₁₂NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na⁺]: 271.0730, found 271.0731.

### 6.3.3.3 Preparation and Oxidative Coupling of (Butenolide)gold(I) Complex 104b

#### 6.3.3.3.1 Preparation of (Butenolide)gold(I) Complex 104b

(Butenolide)gold(I) complex 104b was prepared according to the procedure of Hammond (see Scheme 3.45).[^102]

![Chemical Structure](image)

Ph₃PAuCl (90 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1 eq) and AgOTf (46 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1 eq) were added to a solution of allenolate 102b (as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne, allenolate:alkyne 3.3:1, 65 mg, 0.28 mmol, 1.2 eq of allenolate) in dichloromethane (2 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt for 10 min. After removal of the solvent *in vacuo*, the crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1) to afford the product as a white crystalline solid (49 mg, yield = 43%).

**Rf**: (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1) = 0.30. **Mp** = 50°C. **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.39-7.57 (m, 15H, H8-10), 7.29-7.31 (m, 2H, H4), 6.97-7.07 (m, 3H, H5, H6), 5.94 (dd, 1H, 4J_HP = 3.8 Hz, 4J_HH = 1.8 Hz, C=CH(C=O)), 5.39 (ddd, 1H, 3J_HH = 7.1, 6.1 Hz, 5J_HH = 1.8 Hz, H7), 3.21 (dd, 1H, 2J_HH = 13.9 Hz, 3J_HH = 6.1 Hz, H2), 3.03 (dd, 1H, 2J_HH = 13.9 Hz, 3J_HH = 7.1 Hz, H2'). **¹³C NMR** (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 206.8 (d, 2J_CP = 114 Hz, PAuC), 175.5 (d, 4J_CP = 10 Hz, C=O), 137.5 (C3), 134.2 (d, 2J_CP = 14 Hz, C8), 131.6 (d, 4J_CP = 2 Hz, C1O),

[^102]: Reference or additional information
129.8 (d, \( J_{CP} = 53 \) Hz, \( C7 \)), 129.8 (C4), 129.2 (d, \( J_{CP} = 11 \) Hz, \( C9 \)), 128.1 (C5), 126.4 (C6), 125.6 (d, \( J_{CP} = 2 \) Hz, C=CH(C=O)), 96.8 (d, \( J_{CP} = 8 \) Hz, C1), 40.8 (C2). \( ^{31}P \) NMR (162 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 43.3. IR (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)): 1731 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)) calculated for C\(_{29}\)H\(_{24}\)AuNaO\(_2\)P\(^+\) ([M+Na]\(^+\]): 655.1072, found 655.1073.

6.3.3.3.2 Oxidative Coupling of (Butenolide)gold(I) Complex 104b

8,8a-Dihydro-2\(H\)-indenofuran-2-one (109b) and 2,2'-Dibenzyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2\(H\),2'\(H\))-dione (110b)

(Butenolide)gold(I) complex (104b, 30 mg, 47 \( \mu \)mol, 1 eq) was dissolved in deuterated chloroform (600 \( \mu \)L) and D\(_2\)O (8.6 \( \mu \)L, 470 \( \mu \)mol, 10 eq). Selectfluor (82, 42 mg, 120 \( \mu \)mol, 2.5 eq) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt with regular monitoring by NMR for 2.5h. Dichloromethane (2 mL) was added and the crude mixture was filtered through Celite, dried over anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography over silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1) afforded indenofuranone 109b as a light brown solid (3 mg, yield = 37\%) and a 63:37 diastereoisomeric mixture of the bibutenolide 110b as a white solid (5 mg, yield = 60\%). For characterisation data of 109b and 110b, see Section 6.3.3.1.2.

6.3.3.4 Preparation of \( \beta \)-Fluoro-\( \gamma \)-Butenolides 107

6.3.3.4.1 Preparation of Allenic Acid 157b

5-Phenylpenta-2,3-dienoic acid (157b)
Trifluoroacetic acid (765 µL, 9.99 mmol, 23 eq) was added dropwise to a solution of allenoate 102b (as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne, allenoate:alkyne = 2.6:1, 100 mg, 434 µmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (3.3 mL) at rt. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at rt before being concentrated \textit{in vacuo}. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate 1:1 with a drop of acetic acid) to afford allenic acid 157b as a yellow oil as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne (allenic acid:alkyne = 3.6:1, 58 mg, overall yield of allenic acid + alkyne = 77%, corrected yield of allenic acid 157b based on allenoate 102b = 83%).

\[ R_f: \text{ (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1) } = 0.31. \]
\[ ^1H \text{NMR (500 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta: 10.47 \text{ (br s, 1H, COOH)}, 7.21-7.35 \text{ (m, 5H, Ph)}, 5.94 \text{ (td, 1H, } ^3J_{HH} = 7.6 \text{ Hz, } ^4J_{HH} = 6.1 \text{ Hz, CH=C=CH(C=O))}, 5.63 \text{ (dt, 1H, } ^4J_{HH} = 6.1 \text{ Hz, } ^5J_{HH} = 2.8 \text{ Hz, CH=C=CH(C=O))}, 3.50 \text{ (m, 2H, PhCH}_2\text{)}. \]
\[ ^13\text{C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta: 214.0 \text{ (C=CH=CH(C=O))}, 171.0 \text{ (C=O), 138.3 (ipso-Ph), 128.6, 128.5, 126.8 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 95.3 (CH=C=CH(C=O)), 88.1 (CH=C=CH(C=O)), 33.9 (PhCH}_2\text{)}. \]
\[ \text{IR (neat): 1958 (C=C=C), 1686 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\textsuperscript{+}) calculated for C}_{11}\text{H}_9\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2\textsuperscript{+} ([M–H+2Na]\textsuperscript{+}): 219.0392, found 219.0400.} \]

6.3.3.4.2 Preparation of Dibenzyl-Substituted Acid 168

2-Benzyl-3-phenylpropanoic acid (168) was prepared according to the procedures of Maslak\textsuperscript{[277]} and Koder (see Scheme 3.52).\textsuperscript{[278]}

\[ \text{Diethyl dibenzylmalonate (167)}\textsuperscript{[277]} \]
Sodium (2.58 g, 113 mmol, 2.25 eq) was added portionwise to ethanol (46 mL) at rt over 3h. The mixture was stirred until all the metal had dissolved and diethyl malonate (7.59 mL, 50.0 mmol, 1 eq) was added via syringe. After 30 min at rt, the mixture was cooled to 0°C and a white solid precipitated. Benzyl chloride (12.1 mL, 105 mmol, 2.1 eq) was carefully added via syringe and the reaction was stirred at 0 ºC for 1h before being warmed to rt overnight. Hydrochloric acid (1 M, 20 mL) was carefully added and the mixture was extracted into dichloromethane (3×40 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to afford the product 167 as a colourless oil which required no further purification (17.0 g, yield > 95%).

Rᵥ: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.62. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.24-7.32 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.19-7.24 (m, 4H, Ph), 4.13 (q, 4H, JHH = 7.1 Hz, OCH₂CH₃), 3.26 (s, 4H, PhCH₂), 1.18 (t, 6H, JHH = 7.1 Hz, OCH₂CH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 170.9 (C=O), 136.3 (ipso-Ph), 130.1 (ortho-Ph), 128.1 (meta-Ph), 126.8 (para-Ph), 61.1 (OCH₂CH₃), 60.1 (C(Bn)₂), 39.1 (PhCH₂), 13.8 (OCH₂CH₃). LRMS (m/z, ESI⁺) calculated for C₂₁H₂₄NaO₄⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 363.2, found 363.2.

2-Benzyl-3-phenylproanoic acid (168)²⁷⁸

Potassium hydroxide (10.1 g, 181 mmol, 4.1 eq) was added to a solution of diethyl dibenzylmalonate (167, 15.0 g, 44.1 mmol, 1 eq) in ethanol (10 mL) and water (12 mL). The mixture was heated to 90°C for 20h. After cooling to room temperature, water (40 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (2×40 mL). The aqueous phase was treated with hydrochloric acid (1M, 20 mL) and was further extracted with dichloromethane (3×40 mL). The combined organic fractions were dried over anhydrous
MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude solid was then heated to 150°C for 16h to encourage decarboxylation. Recrystallisation from ethanol afforded the product 168 as a yellow solid (7.52 g, yield = 71%).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.27-7.32 (m, 4H, meta-Ph), 7.21-7.26 (m, 2H, para-Ph), 7.15-7.20 (m, 4H, ortho-Ph), 2.95-3.05 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph, CH(COOH)), 2.77-2.86 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph). Note: The carboxylic acid proton peak was not observed.

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 180.1 (C=O), 138.7 (ipso-Ph), 128.9, 128.5 (ortho-, meta-Ph), 126.5 (para-Ph), 49.3 (CH(COOH)), 37.7 (CH₂Ph).

LRMS (m/z, ESI−): calculated for C₁₆H₁₅O₂⁻ ([M−H]⁻): 239.1, found 239.1.

6.3.3.4.3 Preparation of β-Fluoro-γ-Butenolide 107n

β-Fluoro-γ-Butenolide 107n was prepared according to the procedure of Ma (see Scheme 3.56).[279]

2-Benzyl-4-phenylbuta-2,3-dienoic acid (157n)

Trifluoroacetic acid (3.75 mL, 49.0 mmol, 30 eq) was added dropwise to a solution of allenoate 102n (500 mg, 1.63 mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (16 mL) at 0°C. The reaction was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred for 30 min. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:2 with a drop of acetic acid) to afford the product 157n as a white solid (327 mg, yield = 80%).

Rf: (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:2) = 0.18. Mp = 90°C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 10.97 (br s, 1H), 7.37-7.20 (m, 10H, Ph), 6.62 (m, 1H, CH=CH=C=C(OH)), 3.74 (dd, 1H, JHH = 14.6
Hz, $^5J_{HH} = 2.2$ Hz, PhCH$_2$H$^\uparrow$), 3.68 (dd, 1H, $^2J_{HH} = 14.6$ Hz, $^5J_{HH} = 2.2$ Hz, PhCH$_2$H$^\uparrow$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 214.4 (C=CC=C), 171.9 (C=O), 138.7 (C5), 131.4 (C7), 128.9, 128.8, 128.3, 127.4 (C2, C3, C6, C7), 128.0, 126.5 (C4, C8), 103.8 (CH=C=CC(C=O)), 98.9 (CH=CC=C(C=O)), 35.1 (PhCH$_2$). IR (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): 3055 (O-H), 1944 (C=C=C), 1687 (C=O).

HRMS (m/z, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{17}$H$_{14}$NaO$_2$$^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 273.0886, found 273.0885.

3-Benzyl-4-fluoro-5-phenylfuran-2(5$H$)-one (107n)

Selectfluor (341 mg, 0.962 mmol, 1.1 eq) was added to a solution of 2-benzyl-4-phenylbuta-2,3-dienoic acid (157n, 219 mg, 875 µmol, 1 eq) in acetonitrile (9 mL) and water (157 µL, 9.62 mmol, 10 eq). The reaction was heated to 80°C and stirred for 16h. After cooling to room temperature, water (10 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3×10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. Column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 4:1) afforded the product 107n as a white solid (72 mg, yield = 31%).

R$_f$: (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.47. Mp = 44°C. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.44-7.40 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.34-7.28 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.26 (m, 1H, Ph), 5.78 (d, 1H, $^3J_{HF} = 2.5$ Hz, H3), 3.68 (d, 1H, $^2J_{HH} = 14.8$ Hz, H8), 3.64 (d, 1H, $^2J_{HH} = 14.8$ Hz, H8). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 174.5 (d, $^1J_{CF} = 249$ Hz, C2), 170.8 (d, $^3J_{CF} = 22$ Hz, C=O), 136.6 (C9), 132.1 (C4), 130.0, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 126.9, 126.7 (C5-7, C6-12), 108.5 (d, $^2J_{CF} = 6$ Hz, C7), 77.7 (d, $^2J_{CF} = 23$ Hz, C3), 27.5 (d, $^3J_{CF} = 3$ Hz, C8). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ:
−108.4. **IR** (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): 1773 (C=O). **HRMS** ($m/z$, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{17}$H$_{13}$FNaO$_2^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 291.0792, found 291.0789.
6.4 Experimental Data for Chapter 4

6.4.1 Preparation of tert-Butyl Allenoates 102o-u and Ethyl Allenoate 105o

6.4.1.1 Preparation of tert-Butyl Allenoates 102o-u

tert-Butyl 2-methylocta-2,3-dienoate (102o)

General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate 159b (16.8 g, 42.8 mmol, 1.7 eq), hexanoyl chloride (3.53 mL, 25.2 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (5.98 mL, 42.8 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (65 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford the product 102o as a colourless oil (4.73 g, yield = 89%).

\[ \text{R}_f \text{ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.55.} \]

\[ ^{1}\text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{) } \delta: 5.37 \text{ (m, 1H, } \text{CH=C=C), 2.08 \text{ (dt, 2H, } \text{ } \text{ } \text{H1}), 1.80 \text{ (dm, 3H, } \text{ } \text{ } \text{H2, H3), 0.90 \text{ (dd, 3H, } \text{ } \text{ } \text{H4).} \]

\[ ^{13}\text{C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{) } \delta: 209.8 \text{ (C=}\text{C=}, 167.4 \text{ (C=O), 96.7} \text{ (C=H=C(CH}_3\text{)), 93.1} \text{ (C=C=C(CH}_3\text{)), 80.3} \text{ (C(CH}_3\text{)), 31.0} \text{ (C1), 28.1} \text{ (C(CH}_3\text{)), 27.6} \text{ (C2), 21.9} \text{ (C3), 15.2} \text{ (C=C=C(CH}_3\text{)), 13.8} \text{ (C4).} \]

\[ \text{IR (neat): 1962} \text{ (C=C=C), 1706} \text{ (C=O).} \]

\[ \text{HRMS (m/z, ESI}:\text{): calculated for C}_{13}\text{H}_{22}\text{NaO}_2^{+} \text{ ([M+Na]+): 233.1512, found 233.1513.} \]

**tert-Butyl 2-methylpenta-2,3-dienoate (102p)**
General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranyliden)e propanoate 159b (2.40 g, 6.15 mmol, 1.7 eq), propanoyl chloride (316 µL, 3.62 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (857 µL, 6.15 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (9.6 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford the product 102p as a colourless oil (242 mg, yield = 40%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.58. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 5.37 (qq, 1H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, 5JHH = 2.8 Hz, C=CH=C=C(CH3)(C=O)), 1.81 (d, 3H, 5JHH = 2.8 Hz, CH=CH=C(CH3)(C=O)), 1.73 (d, 3H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, (CH3)CH=CH=C=C), 1.47 (s, 9H, C(CH3)3).

13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 210.4 (C=CH=CH=CH=O), 167.3 (C=O), 96.4 (CH=CH=CH=CH=O), 88.0 (CH=CH=CH=CH=O), 80.4 (CH3), 28.1 (C(CH3)3), 15.2 (CH3), 13.8 (CH3)CH=CH=C=C. IR (neat): 1961 (C=C=C), 1704 (C=O).

HRMS (m/z, FI+): calculated for C10H16O2 ([M]+): 168.1150, found 168.1145.

**tert-Butyl 2-methylhexa-2,3-dienoate (102q)**

General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranyliden)e propanoate 159b (2.00 g, 5.12 mmol, 1.7 eq), butanoyl chloride (315 µL, 3.01 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (713 µL, 5.12 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (8 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford the product 102q as a colourless oil (141 mg, yield = 26%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.47. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 5.42 (tq, 1H, 3JHH = 6.4 Hz, 5JHH = 2.8 Hz, CH=CH=C=C(CH3)(C=O)), 2.07 (qd, 2H, 3JHH = 7.3, 6.4 Hz, CH2CH3),
1.79 (d, 3H, \( J_{HH} = 2.8 \) Hz, CH=C=C(CH\(_3\))(C=O)), 1.44 (s, 9H, C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 1.02 (t, 3H, \( J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 209.6 (C=C=C), 167.3 (C=O), 97.4 (CH=C=C(CH\(_3\))(C=O)), 94.9 (CH=C=C(CH\(_3\))(C=O)), 80.2 (C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 28.0 (C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 21.3 (CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 15.1 (CH=C=C(CH\(_3\))(C=O)), 13.3 (CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)). IR (neat): 1962 (C=C=C), 1703 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{11}\)H\(_{18}\)NaO\(_2\)\(^+\) ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 205.1199, found 205.1195.

tert-Butyl 2-methylhepta-2,3,6-trienoate (102r)

![Chemical structure of tert-Butyl 2-methylhepta-2,3,6-trienoate](image)

Oxalyl chloride (335 \( \mu \)L, 3.95 mmol, 1.05 eq) was carefully added to a solution of pent-4-enoic acid (384 \( \mu \)L, 3.77 mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (7.5 mL) and dimethylformamide (1 drop) at 0\(^\circ\)C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0\(^\circ\)C for 3h. The crude pent-4-enoyl chloride was concentrated in vacuo and used directly in the next step without further purification.

General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate 159b (2.50 g, 6.40 mmol, 1.7 eq), pent-4-enoyl chloride (3.77 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (892 \( \mu \)L, 6.40 mmol, 1.7 eq) in anhydrous dichloromethane (40 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford the product 102r as a pale yellow oil (516 mg, yield = 77% over two steps).

\( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.55. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 5.82 (ddt, 1H, \( J_{HH} = 16.9, 10.1, 6.3 \) Hz, \( H2 \)), 5.37 (tq, 1H, \( J_{HH} = 7.1 \) Hz, \( J_{HH} = 2.8 \) Hz, CH=CH=C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 5.12 (dm, 1H, \( J_{HH} = 16.9 \) Hz, \( H3_B \)), 5.02 (dm, 1H, \( J_{HH} = 10.1 \) Hz, \( H3_A \)), 2.80 (dd, 2H, \( J_{HH} = 7.1, 6.3 \) Hz, \( H1 \)), 1.79 (d, 3H, \( J_{HH} = 2.8 \) Hz, CH=CH=C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 1.43 (s, 9H, C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)).
**NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\): 210.1 (C=\text{C}=\text{C}), 167.1 (C=O), 135.5 (C2), 115.7 (C3), 97.2 (CH=\text{C}=\text{C}(CH\textsubscript{3})), 91.1 (C2H=C=C(CH\textsubscript{3})), 80.3 (C(CH\textsubscript{3})), 32.1 (C1), 28.0 (C(CH\textsubscript{3})), 15.0 (CH=C=C(CH\textsubscript{3})). **IR** (neat): 1962 (C=C=C), 1706 (C=O).

**HRMS** (m/z, ESI\textsuperscript{+}): calculated for C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{18}NaO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ([M+Na]\textsuperscript{+}): 217.1199, found 217.1203.

*tet-Butyl 2-methyl-4-phenylbuta-2,3-dienoate (102s)*

General procedure C was followed using *tert*-butyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate \textit{159b} (2.50 g, 6.40 mmol, 1.7 eq), phenylacetyl chloride (498 \(\mu\)L, 3.77 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (892 \(\mu\)L, 6.40 mmol, 1.7 eq) in anhydrous dichloromethane (9.6 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford the product \textit{102s} as a yellow oil (172 mg, yield = 20%).

**R\textsubscript{f}** (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1): 0.53. **\textit{1}H NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\): 7.22-7.37 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.42 (q, 1H, \(^5J\text{HH} = 2.8\) Hz, CH=\text{C}=\text{C}(CH\textsubscript{3})), 1.95 (d, 3H, \(^5J\text{HH} = 2.8\) Hz, CH=C=C(CH\textsubscript{3})), 1.47 (s, 9H, C(CH\textsubscript{3})), 13\textsuperscript{C} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\): 212.1 (C=\text{C}=\text{C}), 166.3 (C=O), 132.9 (ipso-Ph), 128.7, 127.4, 127.2 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 100.7 (CH=\text{C}=\text{C}(CH\textsubscript{3})), 96.6 (CH=\text{C}=\text{C}(CH\textsubscript{3})), 81.0 (C(CH\textsubscript{3})), 28.1 (C(CH\textsubscript{3})), 15.0 (CH=C=C(CH\textsubscript{3})). **IR** (neat): 1948 (C=C=C), 1702 (C=O). **HRMS** (m/z, ESI\textsuperscript{+}): calculated for C\textsubscript{15}H\textsubscript{18}NaO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ([M+Na]\textsuperscript{+}): 253.1199, found 253.1196.

*tet-Butyl octa-2,3-dienoate (102t)*
General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate 159a (12.0 g, 31.9 mmol, 1.7 eq), hexanoyl chloride (2.62 mL, 18.8 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (4.44 mL, 31.9 mmol, 1.7 eq) in dichloromethane (48 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford the product 102t and the isomeric alkyne side-product 108t (allenoate:alkyne = 3.5:1) as a colourless oil (1.70 g, corrected yield of 102t = 36%).

$R_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.45. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 5.55 (td, 1H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.9 Hz, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 6.0 Hz, (C$_4$H$_9$)CH=C=CH), 5.48 (dt, 1H, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 6.0 Hz, $^5$J$_{HH}$ = 2.8 Hz, (C$_4$H$_9$)CH=C=CH), 2.12 (tdd, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.3, 6.9 Hz, $^5$J$_{HH}$ = 2.8 Hz, H1), 1.47 (s, 9H, C(C$_3$H$_3$)$_3$), 1.34-1.48 (m, 4H, H2, H3), 0.91 (t, 3H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.3 Hz, H4). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 211.8 (C=C=C), 165.6 (C=O), 95.0 ((C$_4$H$_9$)CH=C=CH), 89.7 ((C$_4$H$_9$)CH=C=CH), 80.6 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 30.9 (C1), 28.1 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 27.2 (C2), 21.9 (C3), 13.8 (C4). IR (neat): 1961 (C=C=C), 1705 (C=O). HRMS ($m/z$, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{20}$NaO$_2$$^+$ ([M+Na$^+$]): 219.1356, found 219.1353.

**tert-Butyl 2,5-dimethylhepta-2,3,6-trienoate (102u)**

![Structure of tert-Butyl 2,5-dimethylhepta-2,3,6-trienoate (102u)](structure.png)

Oxalyl chloride (2 M solution in dichloromethane, 3.57 mL, 7.14 mmol, 1.05 eq) was carefully added to a solution of 3-methylpent-4-enoic acid (826 µL, 6.80 mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (16 mL) and dimethylformamide (1 drop) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 3h. The crude 3-methylpent-4-enoyl chloride was concentrated in vacuo and used directly in the next step without further purification.

General procedure C was followed using tert-butyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate 159b (4.51 g, 11.6 mmol, 1.7 eq), 3-methylpent-4-
enoyl chloride (806 mg, 6.80 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (1.61 mL, 11.6 mmol, 1.7 eq) in anhydrous dichloromethane (40 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford the product 102u as a 50:50 diastereoisomeric mixture as a colourless oil (1.08 g, yield = 77% over two steps).

50:50 Mixture of Diastereoisomers: $R_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.48. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 5.76-5.86 (m, 2H, $H_2$), 5.36-5.42 (m, 2H, $CH=\cdot C=\cdot (CH_3)$), 5.09 (d, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 17.2$ Hz, $H_3B$), 4.99 (d, 2H, $^3J_{HH} = 10.4$ Hz, $H_3A$), 2.91-3.02 (m, 2H, $H_I$), 1.82 (d, 6H, $^5J_{HH} = 2.8$ Hz, CH=$\cdot C=\cdot (CH_3)$), 1.46 (s, 18H, $C(CH_3)_3$), 1.14 (dd, 6H, $^3J_{HH} = 10.4$ Hz, $H_4$), $^1^3$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 209.1 (C=O), 167.1 (C=O), 141.8 (C2), 141.8 (C3), 113.5 (C3), 98.2 (CH=C=C(CH$_3$)), 98.1 (CH=C=C(CH$_3$)), 97.4 (CH=C=C(CH$_3$)), 97.3 (CH=C=C(CH$_3$)), 80.3 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 80.3 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 36.9 (C1), 28.0 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 19.7 (C4), 19.6 (C4), 15.0 (CH=C=C(CH$_3$)), 14.9 (CH=C=C(CH$_3$)). Note: The peaks for C1, C(CH$_3$)$_3$ and C3 are coincident for each diastereoisomer. IR (neat): 1961 (C=C=C), 1707 (C=O). HRMS ($m/z$, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{20}$NaO$_2^+$ ([M+Na$^+$]): 231.1356, found 231.1357.

6.4.1.2 Preparation of Ethyl Allenoate 105o

Ethyl 2-methylocta-2,3-dienoate (105o)

General procedure C was followed using ethyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanoate 159d (2.00 g, 5.52 mmol, 1.7 eq), hexanoyl chloride (454 µL, 3.25 mmol, 1 eq) and triethylamine (769 µL, 5.52 mmol, 1.7 eq) in anhydrous dichloromethane (40 mL). The
resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 30-40:diethyl ether, 9:1) to afford the product 105o as a colourless oil (284 mg, yield = 48%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.53. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 5.37 (tq, 1H, \( 3J_{HH} = 6.8 \text{ Hz} \), \( 5J_{HH} = 3.0 \text{ Hz} \), \( CH=CH=CH(\text{CH}_2) \)), 4.12 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₃), 2.04 (td, 2H, \( 3J_{HH} = 7.1 \text{ Hz} \), \( 6.8 \text{ Hz} \), \( H1 \)), 1.81 (d, 3H, \( 3J_{HH} = 3.0 \text{ Hz} \), CH=CH=CH(\text{CH}_2))), 1.22-1.48 (m, 4H, \( 3J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz} \), \( 2.5 \text{ Hz} \), \( C=\text{C} \)), 0.85 (t, 3H, \( 3J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz} \), \( H4 \)). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 209.9 (C=\( C=\text{C} \)), 167.8 (C=O), 95.4 (CH=CH=CH(\text{CH}_2)), 93.5 (CH=CH=CH(\text{CH}_2)), 60.5 (OCH₂CH₃), 30.8 (C1), 27.5 (C2), 21.8 (C3), 15.0 (CH=CH=CH(\text{CH}_2)), 14.0, 13.8 (C4, OCH₂CH₃). IR (neat): 1961 (C=C=C), 1708 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₁H₁₈NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 205.1199, found 205.1198.

6.4.1.3 Preparation of Alkyne 108t

Alkyne 108t was prepared according to the procedure of Fu (see Scheme 6.4).[106]

tert-Butyl oct-3-ynoate (108t)

1-Hexyne (124 µL, 1.08 mmol, 1 eq) and copper(I) iodide (10 mg, 54 µmol, 10 mol%) were dissolved in acetonitrile (1.5 mL). tert-Butyl diazoacetate (150 µL, 1.08 mmol, 1 eq) was added and the mixture was stirred for 12h at rt. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 30-40:diethyl ether, 20:1) to afford alkyne 108t as a colourless oil (60 mg, yield = 28%).

Rf: (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) = 0.45. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 3.15 (t, 2H, \( 5J_{HH} = 2.5 \text{ Hz} \), \( CH_2(\text{C}=\text{O}) \)), 2.20 (tt, 2H, \( 3J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz} \), \( 5J_{HH} = 2.5 \text{ Hz} \), \( H1 \)), 1.46 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.37-1.50 (m, 4H, \( H2 \), \( H3 \)), 0.90 (t, 3H, \( 3J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz} \), \( H4 \)). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 168.2 (C=O), 83.6, 81.5 (\( (\text{C}_4\text{H}_6)\text{C}=\text{C} \), C(CH₃)₃), 71.2 (\( (\text{C}_4\text{H}_6)\text{C}=\text{C} \)), 30.8 (C2), 27.9
6.4.2 Cyclisation-Homodimerisation of Allenoates

6.4.2.1 Preliminary Investigations Using Sub-Stoichiometric Gold

2,2'-Dibutyl-4,4'-dimethyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (110o)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AuCl}_3 (14 \text{ mg}, 48 \mu \text{mol}, 5 \text{ mol\%}) & \text{ was added to a solution of allenoate } \textbf{102o} (200 \text{ mg}, 951 \\
\text{\mu mol, 1 eq}) \text{ in acetonitrile (6.4 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The crude} \\
\text{reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by column chromatography on} \\
\text{silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford a 75:25 diastereoisomeric mixture of the} \\
\text{separable bibutenolides } \textbf{110o} \text{ as colourless oils (20 mg, yield = 14\%) and butenolide } \textbf{103o} \text{ as} \\
a \text{colourless oil (89 mg, yield = 61\%).}
\end{align*}
\]

**Major Diastereoisomer:** \( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.20. \(^1\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta: 5.04 \text{ (m, 2H, } H3) \), 1.92 \((d, 6H, ^5J_{HH} = 1.5 \text{ Hz, (C=C)CH}_3)\), 1.76 \((m, 2H, H4)\), 1.28-1.44 \((m, 10H, H4', H5, H6)\), 0.89 \((t, 6H, ^3J_{HH} = 7.1 \text{ Hz, } H7)\). \(^{13}\text{C NMR} \) (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta: 172.4 \text{ (C=O), 151.1 (C2), 129.0 (C1), 81.1 (C3), 32.9 (C4), 26.8 (C5), 22.3 (C6), 13.8 (C7),} \\
10.6 ((C=C)CH\(_3\)). \text{ IR (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)): 1753 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for} \\
C\(_{18}\)H\(_{26}\)NaO\(_4\)^+ ([M+Na]^+): 329.1723, found 329.1724.

**Minor Diastereoisomer:** \( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.14. \(^1\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta: 4.94 \text{ (m, 2H, } H3) \), 1.82 \((s, 6H, (C=C)CH\(_3\))\), 1.79 \((m, 2H, H4)\), 1.29-1.59 \((m, 10H, H4', H5, H6)\), 0.92 \((t, 6H, ^3J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, } H7)\). \(^{13}\text{C NMR} \) (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta: 172.1 \text{ (C=O),} \\
219.1350, \text{IR (neat): 2359 (C=C), 1739 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{12}\)H\(_{20}\)NaO\(_2\)^+ ([M+Na]^+): 219.1356, found 219.1350.}
152.0 (C2), 129.5 (C1), 81.9 (C3), 33.1 (C4), 27.5 (C5), 22.2 (C6), 13.8 (C7), 10.0 ((C=C)CH3). IR (CH2Cl2): 1756 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C_{18}H_{26}NaO_{4}^+ ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 329.1723, found 329.1720.

5-Butyl-3-methylfuran-2(5H)-one (103o)

![Diagram of 5-Butyl-3-methylfuran-2(5H)-one](image)

R\(_f\) (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.42. \(^1^H\) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.01 (m, 1H, H2), 4.81 (tq, 1H, \(^3J_{HH} = 7.3\) Hz, \(^5J_{HH} = 1.8\) Hz, H3), 1.83 (dd, 3H, \(^4J_{HH} = 1.7\) Hz, \(^5J_{HH} = 1.8\) Hz, (C=C)CH\(_3\)), 1.64 (m, 1H, H4), 1.54 (m, 1H, H4'), 1.22-1.39 (m, 4H, H5, H6), 0.82 (t, 3H, \(^3J_{HH} = 6.9\) Hz, H7). \(^1^C\) NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 174.2 (C=O), 148.8 (C2), 129.4 (C1), 81.0 (C3), 32.9 (C4), 26.9 (C5), 22.2 (C6), 13.6 (C7), 10.3 ((C=C)CH\(_3\)). IR (neat): 1757 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C_{9}H_{14}NaO_{2}^+ ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 177.0886, found 177.0886.

6.4.2.2 Preparation of the Cyclic Fluorinated \(\beta\)-Ketoester 188

5-Butyl-3-fluoro-3-methylfuran-2,4(3H,5H)-dione (188)

\(\text{PPh}_3\text{AuNTf}_2\) (2, 35 mg, 48 \(\mu\)mol, 10 mol\%) and Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) were added to a solution of allenoate 102o (100 mg, 4.75 mmol, 1 eq) in acetonitrile (48 mL) and water (428 \(\mu\)L, 23.8 mmol, 50 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 24h. The crude reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), filtered and concentrated in
vacuo. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:ethyl acetate, 2:1) afforded a 69:31 mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers of the cyclic fluorinated \( \beta \)-ketoester 188 as a colourless oil (38 mg, yield = 42%).

\( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.10. \(^1H\) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): **Major Diastereoisomer**: 4.86 (dd, 1H, 3\( J_{HH} = 8.6, 5.1 \) Hz, \( H_4 \)), 1.96 (m, 1H, \( H_5 \)), 1.80 (m, 1H, \( H_5' \)), 1.67 (d, 3H, 3\( J_{HF} = 23.5 \) Hz, \( H_9 \)), 1.31-1.51 (m, 4H, \( H_6, H_7 \)), 0.92 (t, 3H, 3\( J_{HH} = 7.1 \) Hz, \( H_8 \)).

**Minor Diastereoisomer**: 4.87 (m, 1H, \( H_4 \)), 1.96 (m, 1H, \( H_5 \)), 1.80 (m, 1H, \( H_5' \)), 1.71 (d, 3H, 3\( J_{HF} = 22.7 \) Hz, \( H_9 \)), 1.31-1.51 (m, 4H, \( H_6, H_7 \)), 0.91 (t, 3H, 3\( J_{HH} = 7.1 \) Hz, \( H_8 \)).

Note: Several peaks corresponding to each diastereoisomer overlapped with each other. \(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): **Mixture of Diastereoisomers**: 203.1 (C3), 203.0 (C3), 168.7 (C1), 168.5 (C1), 84.8 (d, 1\( J_{CF} = 200 \) Hz, C2), 83.9 (d, 3\( J_{CF} = 2 \) Hz, C4), 83.5 (d, 1\( J_{CF} = 196 \) Hz, C2), 82.9 (d, 3\( J_{CF} = 2 \) Hz, C4), 31.6 (C5), 30.6 (C5), 27.0 (C6), 26.3 (C6), 22.1 (C7), 22.0 (C7), 19.2 (d, 2\( J_{CF} = 53 \) Hz, C9), 18.9 (d, 2\( J_{CF} = 53 \) Hz, C9), 13.6 (C8), 13.6 (C8). \(^19\)F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): **Major Diastereoisomer**: −166.7 (q, 3\( J_{HF} = 23 \) Hz).

**Minor Diastereoisomer**: −169.3 (q, 3\( J_{HF} = 24 \) Hz). IR (neat): 1825 (C1=O), 1776 (C3=O).

HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^−\)): calculated for C\(_9\)H\(_{12}\)FO\(_3\)\(^−\) ([M−H]\(^−\)) : 187.0776, found 187.0774.

### 6.4.2.3 Scope and Limitations of the Gold-Catalysed Reaction

![Scheme 6.6 Cascade Cyclisation-Homodimerisation of Allenoates 102.](attachment:image.png)
**General Procedure E**: AuCl₃ (5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq) were added to a solution of allenoate 102 (1 eq) in acetonitrile (0.15M). The reaction was stirred at 80°C for 1h. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and then diluted with dichloromethane and filtered through Celite. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether 1:1).

**2,2'-Dibutyl-4,4'-dimethyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (110o)**

![Structure of 2,2'-Dibutyl-4,4'-dimethyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (110o)](image)

General procedure E was followed using allenoate 102o (200 mg, 951 µmol, 1 eq), AuCl₃ (14 mg, 48 µmol, 5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 842 mg, 2.38 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (6.4 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford a 52:48 diastereoisomeric mixture of separable dibutenolides 110o as a colourless oil (114 mg, yield = 78%). For characterisation data of 110o, see Section 6.4.2.1.

**2,2',4,4'-Tetramethyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (110p)**

![Structure of 2,2',4,4'-Tetramethyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (110p)](image)

General procedure E was followed using allenoate 102p (300 mg, 1.78 mmol, 1 eq), AuCl₃ (27 mg, 89 µmol, 5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 1.58 g, 4.46 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (12 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of
silica gel (pet ether 30-40: ethyl acetate, 1:1) to afford a 50:50 diastereoisomeric mixture of inseparable bibutenolides 110p as a white solid (71 mg, yield = 36%).

Rf (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1) = 0.28. Mp = 175°C (decomp.). 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) Major Diastereoisomer: δ: 5.17 (qm, 2H, 3JHH = 6.6 Hz, H3), 1.93 (d, 6H, 5JHH = 1.3 Hz, H5), 1.37 (d, 6H, 3JHH = 6.6 Hz, H4). Minor Diastereoisomer: δ: 5.07 (qm, 2H, 3JHH = 6.6 Hz, H3), 1.83 (d, 6H, 5JHH = 1.6 Hz, H5), 1.45 (d, 6H, 3JHH = 6.6 Hz, H4). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 50:50 Mixture of Diastereoisomers: 172.2 (C=O), 171.9 (C=O), 152.6 (C2), 151.9 (C2), 129.4 (C1), 128.8 (C1), 78.1 (C3), 77.3 (C3), 18.9 (C4), 18.9 (C4), 10.4 (C5), 10.0 (C5). IR (CH2Cl2): 1749 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, FI+): calculated for C12H14O4 ([M]+): 222.0892, found 222.0892.

2,2'-Diethyl-4,4'-dimethyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (110q)

General procedure E was followed using alenoate 102q (125 mg, 686 µmol, 1 eq), AuCl3 (10 mg, 30 µmol, 5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 607 mg, 1.71 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (4.6 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford a 55:45 diastereoisomeric mixture of inseparable bibutenolides 110q as a colourless oil (68 mg, yield = 79%).

Rf (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1) = 0.35. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) Major Diastereoisomer: δ: 5.03 (m, 2H, H3), 1.90 (d, 6H, 5JHH = 1.8 Hz, H6), 1.87 (m, 2H, H4), 1.46 (m, 2H, H4'), 0.94 (t, 6H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, H5). Minor Diastereoisomer: δ: 4.89 (m, 2H, H3), 1.87 (m, 2H, H4'), 1.81 (d, 6H, 5JHH = 1.6 Hz, H6), 1.46 (m, 2H, H4'), 1.06 (d, 3H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, H5). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 55:45 Mixture of Diastereoisomers: 172.4 (C=O), 172.0
(C=O), 151.7 (C2), 150.7 (C2), 129.6 (Cl), 129.2 (C3), 82.9 (C3), 81.9 (C3), 36.9 (C4), 26.5 (C4), 26.1 (C4), 10.6 (C6), 10.0 (C6), 9.6 (C5), 8.5 (C5). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1749 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₄H₁₈NaO₄⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 273.1097, found 273.1097.

2,2'-Diallyl-4,4'-dimethyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (110r)

General procedure E was followed using allenolate 102r (250 mg, 1.29 mmol, 1 eq), AuCl₃ (20 mg, 64 μmol, 5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 1.14 g, 3.22 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (8.6 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1) to afford a 50:50 diastereoisomeric mixture of inseparable bibutenolides 110r as a colourless oil (82 mg, yield = 46%).

R_f (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1) = 0.40. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 50:50 Mixture of Diastereoisomers: δ: 5.76 (ddt, 2H, ³J_HH = 16.4, 10.9, 6.8 Hz, H5), 5.64 (ddt, 2H, ³J_HH = 17.2, 10.1, 6.8 Hz, H5), 5.14-5.22 (m, 6H, H6), 5.07-5.13 (m, 4H, H3, H6), 5.03 (tq, 2H, ³J_HH = 5.8 Hz, ⁵J_HH = 2.0 Hz, H3), 2.54-2.68 (m, 4H, H4), 2.24-2.32 (m, 4H, H4), 1.87 (d, 6H, ⁵J_HH = 2.0 Hz, H7), 1.81 (d, 6H, ⁵J_HH = 2.0 Hz, H7). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 50:50 Mixture of Diastereoisomers: 172.0 (C=O), 171.7 (C=O), 151.0 (C2), 150.3 (C2), 130.7 (C5), 130.3 (Cl), 130.0 (C5), 129.8 (Cl), 120.2 (C6), 119.8 (C6), 81.1 (C3), 80.2 (C3), 36.9 (C4), 36.9 (C4), 10.7 (C7), 10.1 (C7). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1748 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₄H₁₈NaO₄⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 297.1097, found 297.1092.
4,4'-Dimethyl-2,2'-bis(2-phenylethyl)-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (110f)

General procedure E was followed using allenoate 102f (300 mg, 1.16 mmol, 1 eq). AuCl$_3$ (18 mg, 58 µmol, 5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 1.03 g, 2.90 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (7.7 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford a 50:50 diastereoisomeric mixture of partially separable bibutenolides 110f as a white solid (101 mg, yield = 43%).

**Single Diastereoisomer: R$_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.36. Mp = 168°C.** $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.31 (ddm, 4H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.4, 7.1 Hz, H8), 7.24 (tm, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, H9), 7.14 (dm, 4H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.1 Hz, H7), 4.89 (dm, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 9.5 Hz, H3), 2.84 (dddd, 2H, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 13.8 Hz, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.8, 4.5 Hz, H5), 2.73 (dt, 2H, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 13.8 Hz, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.5 Hz, H5'), 1.87 (dddd, 2H, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 14.4 Hz, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.8, 8.5, 2.5 Hz, H4), 1.78 (d, 6H, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 1.6 Hz, H10), 1.64 (dddd, 2H, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 14.4 Hz, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 9.5, 8.5, 4.5 Hz, H4'). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 170.3 (C=O), 150.7 (C2), 139.7 (C6), 129.1 (C1), 128.8, 128.5 (C7, C8), 126.7 (C9), 79.7 (C3), 35.5 (C4), 31.4 (C5), 10.5 (C10). IR (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): 1749 (C=O). HRMS (m/z; ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{26}$H$_{26}$NaO$_4$ $^+$ ([M+Na$^+$]): 425.1723, found 425.1706.

**Single Diastereoisomer: R$_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.31. Mp = 136°C.** $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.27 (m, 4H, H8), 7.21 (tm, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.3 Hz, H9), 7.10 (dm, 4H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.9 Hz, H7), 4.77 (dm, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 10.7 Hz, H3), 2.87 (dddd, 2H, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 13.8 Hz, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.9, 4.4 Hz, H5), 2.77 (dddd, 2H, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 13.8 Hz, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.8, 7.9 Hz, H5'), 1.79 (d, 6H, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 1.6 Hz, H10), 1.76 (m, 2H, H4), 1.61 (m, 2H, H4'). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 171.9
(C=O), 151.8 (C2), 139.5 (C6), 129.7 (C1), 128.7, 128.7 (C7, C8), 126.6 (C9), 80.3 (C3), 35.4 (C4), 31.7 (C5), 10.1 (C10). **IR** (CH2Cl2): 1750 (C=O). **HRMS** (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{26}\)H\(_{26}\)NaO\(_4\)\(^+\) ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 425.1723, found 425.1713.

**4-Fluoro-3-methyl-5-phenylfuran-2(5H)-one (107s)**

![Structure](image)

General procedure E was followed using allenoate **102s** (207 mg, 899 \(\mu\)mol, 1 eq), AuCl\(_3\) (14 mg, 45 \(\mu\)mol, 5 mol\%) and Selectfluor (82, 796 mg, 2.25 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (6 mL). The reaction was stirred at 80°C for 2h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 3:1) to afford the \(\beta\)-fluoro-\(\gamma\)-butenolide **102s** as a yellow oil (45 mg, yield = 26%).

**R**\(_f\) (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.43. **\(^1\)H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.40-7.45 (m, 3H, H6, H7), 7.32-7.36 (m, 2H, H5), 5.77 (dq, 1H, \(^3\)J\(_{HF}\) = 3.0 Hz, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 1.8 Hz, H3), 1.88 (dd, 3H, \(^4\)J\(_{HF}\) = 2.0 Hz, \(^5\)J\(_{HH}\) = 1.8 Hz, H8). **\(^13\)C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 174.3 (d, \(^1\)J\(_{CF}\) = 296 Hz, C2), 171.6 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{CF}\) = 22 Hz, C=O), 132.2 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{CF}\) = 2 Hz, C4), 129.8 (C7), 129.0 (C6), 126.6 (C5), 104.8 (d, \(^2\)J\(_{CF}\) = 6 Hz, C1), 77.7 (d, \(^2\)J\(_{CF}\) = 23 Hz, C3), 6.0 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{CF}\) = 2 Hz, C8). **\(^19\)F NMR** (377 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): −110.0. **IR** (CH2Cl2): 1774 (C=O). **HRMS** (m/z, ESI\(^+\)) calculated for C\(_{11}\)H\(_9\)FNaO\(_2\)\(^+\) ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 215.0479, found 215.0487. 
2,2'-Dibenzyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2\text{H},2'\text{H})-dione (110b) and 8,8a-Dihydro-2\text{H}-inden[2,1-b]furan-2-one (109b)

General procedure E was followed using allenoate 102b (as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne, allenoate:alkyne 2.6:1, 200 mg, 868 µmol, 1 eq), AuCl$_3$ (13 mg, 43 µmol, 5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 769 mg, 2.17 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (5.8 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford a 52:48 diastereoisomeric mixture of separable bibutenolide 110b as a white solid (66 mg, yield (based on 102b) = 60%) and the indenofuranone 109b as a light brown solid (40 mg, yield (based on 102b) = 37%). For characterisation data of 110b and 109b, see Section 6.3.3.1.2.

(2\text{R},2'S)-2,2'-Dibutyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2\text{H},2'H)-dione ((2\text{R},2'S)-(110t))

General procedure E was followed using allenoate 102t (as a mixture with its isomeric alkyne, allenoate:alkyne = 3.5:1, 200 mg, 1.02 mmol, 1 eq), AuCl$_3$ (15 mg, 55 µmol, 5 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 902 mg, 2.55 mmol, 2.5 eq) in acetonitrile (6.8 mL). The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on a short pad of silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) to afford a 52:48 diastereoisomeric mixture of separable bibutenolides (2\text{R},2'S)-110t (white solid, 45 mg, dr > 95:5, yield (based on 102t) = 41%) and (±)-(2\text{R},2'R)-110t (colourless oil, 55 mg, dr > 95:5, yield (based on 102t) = 50%).
\( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.17. Mp = 154ºC. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 6.26 (d, 2H, \(^4\)J\(\text{HH} \) = 1.3 Hz, \(H1\)), 5.26 (ddd, 2H, \(^3\)J\(\text{HH} \) = 7.6, 4.1 Hz, \(^4\)J\(\text{HH} \) = 1.3 Hz, \(H3\)), 2.09 (m, 2H, \(H4\)), 1.66 (m, 2H, \(H4'\)), 1.29-1.45 (m, 8H, \(H5\), \(H6\)), 0.91 (dd, 6H, \(^3\)J\(\text{HH} \) = 7.3, 6.9 Hz, \(H7\)).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 170.7 (C=O), 155.5 (C2), 121.2 (C1), 82.7 (C3), 33.6 (C4), 26.3 (C5), 22.2 (C6), 13.8 (C7). IR (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)): 1733 (C=O). HRMS (\(m/z\), ESI\(^+\))\:\: calculated for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{22}\)NaO\(_4\)\(^+\) ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 301.1410, found 301.1404. The structure and relative stereochemistry were unambiguously assigned by X-ray crystallography. This data is included in the Appendix.

\((2R,2'R)-2,2'-\text{Dibutyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)}\)-dione ((±)-(2\(R\),2\(R\))-110t))

\( R_f \) (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.09. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 6.21 (d, 2H, \(^4\)J\(\text{HH} \) = 1.3 Hz, \(H1\)), 5.30 (ddd, 2H, \(^3\)J\(\text{HH} \) = 7.9, 4.4 Hz, \(^4\)J\(\text{HH} \) = 1.3 Hz, \(H3\)), 2.01 (m, 2H, \(H4\)), 1.59 (m, 2H, \(H4'\)), 1.30-1.48 (m, 8H, \(H5\), \(H6\)), 0.91 (dd, 6H, \(^3\)J\(\text{HH} \) = 7.3, 6.9 Hz, \(H7\)). \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \): 170.7 (C=O), 156.1 (C2), 120.9 (C1), 82.2 (C3), 33.0 (C4), 26.4 (C5), 22.2 (C6), 13.8 (C7). IR (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)): 1740 (C=O). HRMS (\(m/z\), ESI\(^+\))\:\: calculated for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{22}\)NaO\(_4\)\(^+\) ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 301.1410, found 301.1404. The stereochemistry was assigned by comparison with its diastereoisomer (2\(R\),2\(S\))-110t (see above).
6.4.3 Cyclisation-Intermolecular Arylation of Allenoates

5-Butyl-3-methyl-4-phenylfuran-2(5H)-one (192oa)

Ph₃PAuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 μmol, 10 mol%) and Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq.) were added to a solution of allenoate 102o (100 mg, 475 μmol, 1 eq) and benzene (425 μL, 4.75 mmol, 10 eq) in acetonitrile (3.2 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt until TLC showed complete consumption of the allenoate (48h). Dichloromethane (10 mL) was added and the mixture was filtered through Celite. After drying over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtration, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet. ether 30-40:diethyl ether, 4:1 to 1:1) to afford the product 192oa as a colourless oil (6 mg, yield = 5%) as well as bibutenolides 110o as a colourless oil (30 mg, dr = 50:50, yield = 41%). For characterisation data of 110o, see Section 6.4.2.1.

Rₐ (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.40. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.44-7.52 (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 7.34 (dm, 2H, ³J_HH = 6.6 Hz, ortho-Ph), 5.34 (m, 1H, H3), 2.05 (d, 3H, ⁵J_HH = 1.8 Hz, H8), 1.81 (m, 1H, H4), 1.20-1.50 (m, 5H, H4’, H5, H6), 0.84 (t, 3H, ³J_HH = 7.3 Hz, H7). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 174.6 (C=O), 159.5 (C2), 131.7 (ipso-Ph), 129.7 (para-Ph), 129.0 (meta-Ph), 127.7 (ortho-Ph), 123.7 (C1), 81.8 (C3), 32.7 (C4), 26.6 (C5), 22.3 (C6), 13.8 (C7), 10.0 (C8). IR (neat): 1749 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₅H₁₈NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na⁺]): 253.1199 found 253.1199.
5-Butyl-4-(2,5-dimethylphenyl)-3-methylfuran-2(5\(H\))-one (192ob)

Ph\(_3\)PAuNTf\(_2\) (2, 35 mg, 48 \(\mu\)mol, 10 mol\%) and Selectfluor (421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq.) were added to a solution of allenoate 102a (100 mg, 475 \(\mu\)mol, 1 eq) and \(para\)-xylene (586 \(\mu\)L, 4.75 mmol, 10 eq) in acetonitrile (3.2 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt until TLC showed complete consumption of the allenoate (20h). Dichloromethane (10 mL) was added and the mixture was filtered through Celite. After drying over anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and filtration, the solvent was removed \textit{in vacuo}. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet. ether 30-40:diethyl ether, 4:1 to 1:1) to afford the product 192ob as a colourless oil (15 mg, yield = 12\%) as well as butenolide 103a as a colourless oil (5 mg, yield = 7\%) and dibutenolides 110a as a colourless oil (29 mg, dr = 50:50, yield = 40\%). For characterisation data of 103a and 110a, see Section 6.4.2.1.

\(R_f\) (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1) = 0.46. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.19 (d, 1H, \(^3\)J\(_{\text{HH}}\) = 7.8 Hz), 7.13 (d, 1H, \(^3\)J\(_{\text{HH}}\) = 7.8 Hz, \(H12\), \(H13\)), 6.84 (s, 1H, \(H10\)), 5.12 (m, 1H, \(H3\)), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H, \(H15\), \(H16\)), 1.77 (d, 3H, \(^5\)J\(_{\text{HH}}\) = 1.9 Hz, \(H8\)), 1.64 (m, 1H, \(H4\)), 1.20-1.54 (m, 5H, \(H4'\), \(H5\), \(H6\)), 0.85 (t, 3H, \(^3\)J\(_{\text{HH}}\) = 7.3 Hz, \(H7\)). \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 174.4 (C=O), 162.0 (C2), 135.6, 132.2, 131.3 (C9, C11, C14), 130.7, 129.9 (C12, C13), 128.1 (C10), 125.4 (C1), 83.4 (C3), 32.4 (C4), 27.5 (C5), 22.3 (C6), 20.9, 19.3 (C15, C16), 13.8 (C7), 9.7 (C8). IR (neat): 1757 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{22}\)NaO\(_2\)\(^+\) ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 281.1512 found 281.1511.
6.4.4 Cyclisation-Intermolecular Alkynylation of Allenoates

6.4.4.1 Scope and Limitations

The experimental results detailed in this section were obtained by Jonathan E. Ross (Chemistry Part II, University of Oxford, 2010).

\[ \text{R}^{1} = \text{Bu, Allyl, CH(Me)CH=CH}_{2} \quad \text{R}^{3} = \text{Aryl, Alkyl} \]
\[ \text{R}^{2} = \text{Me, PhCH}_{2} \quad \text{Silyl} \]
\[ \text{R}^{3} = \text{H, Me} \]

Scheme 6.7 Cyclisation-Intermolecular Alkynylation of Allenoates.

**General Procedure F**: Ph$_{3}$PAuNTf$_{2}$ (2, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 2.5 eq), potassium phosphate tribasic (2 eq) and the alkyne 201 (1.5 eq) were added to a stirring solution of the allenoate 102 (100 mg, 1 eq) in acetonitrile (0.15 M) and water (10 eq). The reaction was stirred at rt until TLC showed complete consumption of the allenoate (4-48h). The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (20 mL), filtered through Celite, dried over anhydrous Na$_{2}$SO$_{4}$, filtered again and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

5-Butyl-3-methyl-4-(phenylethynyl)furan-2(5H)-one (200oa)
General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102o (100 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201a (78 µL, 0.71 mmol, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 4h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 4:1) to afford the product 200oa as a yellow oil (114 mg, yield = 94%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.54. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.51-7.55 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.38-7.45 (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 4.92 (m, 1H, H3), 2.05 (d, 3H, JHH = 1.9 Hz, H8), 2.04 (m, 1H, H4), 1.66 (m, 1H, H4°), 1.44-1.52 (m, 2H, H5), 1.34-1.44 (m, 2H, H6), 0.93 (t, 3H, JHH = 7.3 Hz, H7). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 173.8 (C=O), 143.5 (C2), 132.0 (C1), 131.9, 129.9, 128.6 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 121.5 (ipso-Ph), 105.9 (PhC≡C), 82.3 (C3), 79.8 (PhC≡C), 32.7 (C4), 26.6 (C5), 22.4 (C6), 13.9 (C7), 10.3 (C8). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2205 (C≡C), 1757 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₇H₁₈NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 277.1199, found 277.1200.

5-Butyl-3-methyl-4-[(2-methylphenyl)ethynyl]furan-2(5H)-one (200ob)

General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102o (100 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201b (90 µL, 0.71 mmol, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 4:1) to afford the product 200ob as a yellow oil (114 mg, yield = 94%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.54. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.51-7.55 (m, 2H, ortho-Ph), 7.38-7.45 (m, 3H, meta-, para-Ph), 4.92 (m, 1H, H3), 2.05 (d, 3H, JHH = 1.9 Hz, H8), 2.04 (m, 1H, H4), 1.66 (m, 1H, H4°), 1.44-1.52 (m, 2H, H5), 1.34-1.44 (m, 2H, H6), 0.93 (t, 3H, JHH = 7.3 Hz, H7). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 173.8 (C=O), 143.5 (C2), 132.0 (C1), 131.9, 129.9, 128.6 (ortho-, meta-, para-Ph), 121.5 (ipso-Ph), 105.9 (PhC≡C), 82.3 (C3), 79.8 (PhC≡C), 32.7 (C4), 26.6 (C5), 22.4 (C6), 13.9 (C7), 10.3 (C8). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2205 (C≡C), 1757 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₇H₁₈NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 277.1199, found 277.1200.
ether, 10:1) to afford the product **200ob** as a yellow oil (54 mg, yield = 42%) along with diyne **202b** as a yellow solid (9 mg, yield (relative to **201b**) = 11%).

R<sub>f</sub> (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.33. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.49 (d, 1H, <sup>3</sup> J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.6 Hz, H13), 7.19-7.36 (m, 3H, H10-12), 4.95 (m, 1H, H3), 2.49 (s, 3H, H5, H6), 2.06 (s, 3H, H8), 2.04 (m, 1H, H4), 1.68 (m, 1H, H4'), 1.35-1.52 (m, 4H, H5, H6), 0.93 (t, 3H, <sup>3</sup> J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.8 Hz, H7). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 173.8 (C=O), 143.7 (C2), 140.5 (C14), 131.6 (C1), 132.3, 130.0, 129.8, 125.9 (C10-13), 121.3 (C9), 105.0 (ArC≡C), 83.6 (ArC≡C), 82.3 (C3), 32.7 (C4), 26.5 (C5), 22.4 (C6), 20.7 (C15), 13.8 (C7), 10.3 (C8). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2200 (C≡C), 1754 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI<sup>+</sup>): calculated for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub> + ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>): 291.1356, found 291.1354.

1,1'-Buta-1,3-diyne-1,4-diylbis(2-methylbenzene) (202b)<sup>280]</sup>

R<sub>f</sub> (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.33. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.14-7.48 (m, 8H, Ar), 2.50 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 13 C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 141.5 (C6), 132.9 (C2), 129.5 (C4), 129.0 (C5), 125.6 (C3), 121.6 (C1), 81.2 (ArC≡C), 77.6 (ArC≡C), 20.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>).

5-Butyl-3-methyl-4-[(3-methylphenyl)ethynyl]furan-2(5H)-one (200oc)
Chapter 6: Experimental Procedures and Characterisation Data

General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102o (100 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201c (92 µL, 0.71 mmol, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200oc as a yellow oil (91 mg, yield = 71%) along with diyne 202c (13 mg, yield (relative to 201c) = 16%).

Rᵣ(hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.34. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.21-7.37 (m, 4H, H₁₀-H₁₂, H₁₄), 4.91 (m, 1H, H₃), 2.38 (s, 3H, H₁₅), 2.05 (d, 3H, J₃H₈ = 1.5 Hz, H₈), 2.01 (m, 1H, H₄), 1.67 (m, 1H, H₄'), 1.34-1.52 (m, 4H, H₅, H₆), 0.93 (t, 3H, J₃H₇ = 7.1 Hz, H₇). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 173.8 (C=O), 143.6 (C₂), 138.4 (C₁₃), 132.3 (C₁), 131.8, 130.8, 129.0, 128.5 (C₁₀-C₁₂, C₁₄), 121.3 (C₉), 106.2 (ArC≡C), 82.3 (C₃), 79.4 (ArC≡C), 32.7 (C₄), 26.6 (C₅), 22.4 (C₆), 21.2 (C₁₅), 13.9 (C₇), 10.3 (C₈). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2205 (C≡C), 1757 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₈H₂₀NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 291.1356, found 291.1355.

1,1'-Buta-1,3-diyne-1,4-diylbis(3-methylbenzene) (202c)²⁺

Rᵣ(hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.33. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.24-7.27 (m, 4H, Ar), 6.96-7.17 (m, 4H, Ar), 2.26 (s, 6H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 138.1 (C₅), 133.0 (C₄), 130.1, 129.6 (C₂, C₆), 128.3 (C₃), 121.6 (C₁), 81.6 (ArC≡C), 73.6 (ArC≡C), 21.2 (CH₃).
5-Butyl-3-methyl-4-[(4-methylphenyl)ethynyl]furan-2(5H)-one (200od)

General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102o (100 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201d (90 µL, 0.71 mmol, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200od as a yellow oil (126 mg, yield > 95%) along with diyne 202d (4 mg, yield (relative to 201d) = 5%).

Rₐ (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.32. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.41 (d, 2H, ³J_HH = 8.2 Hz, H10), 7.19 (d, 2H, ³J_HH = 8.2 Hz, H11), 4.90 (m, 1H, H3), 2.39 (s, 3H, H13), 2.03 (d, 3H, ⁵J_HH = 1.9 Hz, H8), 2.00 (m, 1H, H4), 1.68 (m, 1H, H4'), 1.35-1.55 (m, 4H, H5, H6), 0.92 (t, 3H, ³J_HH = 7.3 Hz, H7). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 173.7 (C=O), 143.6 (C2), 140.3 (C12), 131.7 (C1), 131.3, 129.3 (C10, C11), 118.3 (C9), 106.3 (ArC=C), 82.2 (C3), 79.2 (ArC=C), 32.6 (C4), 26.5 (C5), 22.3 (C6), 21.5 (C13), 13.7 (C7), 10.1 (C8). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2203 (C≡C), 1755 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₈H₂₉NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 291.1356, found 291.1354.

1,1'-Buta-1,3-diyn-1,4-diylbis(4-methylbenzene) (202d)
**Rf** (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.33. \(^1\text{H NMR}\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.11-7.48 (m, 8H, Ar), 2.38 (s, 6H, \(\text{CH}_3\)). \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 139.5 (C4), 132.4 (C2), 129.2 (C3), 119.0 (C7), 81.5 (ArC=C), 73.5 (ArC=C), 21.5 (CH\(_3\)).

**5-Butyl-4-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]-3-methylfuran-2(5H)-one (200oe)**

General procedure F was followed using allenoate **102o** (100 mg, 475 \(\mu\text{mol}, 1\) eq), alkyne **201e** (93 \(\mu\text{L}, 0.71 \text{mmol}, 1.5\) eq), PPh\(_3\)AuNTf\(_2\) (2, 35 mg, 48 \(\mu\text{mol}, 10\) mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K\(_3\)PO\(_4\) (202 mg, 951 \(\mu\text{mol}, 2\) eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 \(\mu\text{L}, 4.8 \text{mmol}, 10\) eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product **200oe** as a yellow oil (120 mg, yield = 88%).

\(\text{Rf}\) (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.30. \(^1\text{H NMR}\) (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 7.46 (d, 2H, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{HH}} = 8.6\) Hz, H10), 6.91 (d, 2H, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{HH}} = 8.6\) Hz, H11), 4.89 (m, 1H, H3), 3.84 (s, 3H, H13), 2.02 (s, 3H, H8), 1.99 (m, 1H, H4), 1.62 (m, 1H, H4\(^\prime\)), 1.42-1.51 (m, 2H, H5), 1.32-1.42 (m, 2H, H6), 0.92 (t, 3H, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{HH}} = 7.2\) Hz, H7). \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 174.0 (C=O), 160.8 (C12), 143.9 (C2), 133.5 (C11), 130.8 (C1), 114.2 (C10), 113.4 (C9), 106.4 (ArC=C), 82.3 (C3), 78.9 (ArC=C), 55.3 (C13), 32.7 (C4), 26.6 (C5), 22.3 (C6), 13.8 (C7), 10.2 (C8). IR (CH\(_3\)Cl): 2205 (C=O), 1757 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\(^+\)): calculated for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{26}\)NaO\(_3^+\) ([M+Na]\(^+\)): 307.1305, found 307.1304.
5-Butyl-4-[(4-fluorophenyl)ethynyl]-3-methylfuran-2(5H)-one (200of)

General procedure F was followed using allenolate 102o (100 mg, 475 μmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201f (82 μL, 0.71 mmol, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 μmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 μmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 μL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200of as a yellow oil (102 mg, yield = 78%) along with diyne 202f (4 mg, yield (relative to 201f) = 5%).

Rf(hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.32. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.51 (dd, 2H, ³J₃H = 8.6 Hz, ⁴J₃H = 5.6 Hz, H10), 7.09 (apparent t, 2H, ³J₃H = 8.6 Hz, ³J₃H = 8.6 Hz, H11), 4.91 (m, 1H, H3), 2.03 (d, 3H, ⁵J₃H = 1.6 Hz, H8), 2.00 (m, 1H, H4), 1.64 (m, 1H, H4'), 1.35-1.55 (m, 4H, H5, H6), 0.92 (t, 3H, ³J₃H = 7.7 Hz, H7). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 173.6 (C=O), 163.3 (d, ¹JCF = 252 Hz, C12), 143.2 (C2), 133.9 (d, ¹JCF = 8 Hz, C10), 132.0 (C1), 117.5 (d, ⁴JCF = 3 Hz, C9), 116.0 (d, ²JCF = 22 Hz, C11), 104.7 (ArC≡C), 82.2 (C3), 79.5 (ArC≡C), 32.7 (C4), 26.6 (C5), 22.3 (C6), 13.8 (C7), 10.3 (C8). ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl₃): –107.9. IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2207 (C≡C), 1754 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ES⁺): calculated for C₁₇H₁₇FNaO₂⁺ ([M+Na⁺]): 295.1105, found 295.1105.

1,1'-Buta-1,3-diyne-1,4-diylbis(4-fluorobenzene) (202f)[²⁸⁰]

1,1'-Buta-1,3-diyne-1,4-diylbis(4-fluorobenzene) (202f)[²⁸⁰]
Rf (hexane): 0.35. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 7.52 (ddm, 4H, 3JHH = 8.8 Hz, 3JHF = 5.4 Hz, H3), 7.05 (dm, 4H, 3JHH = 8.8 Hz, H2). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 163.0 (d, 1JCF = 252 Hz, C4), 134.5 (d, 3JCF = 9 Hz, C2), 117.8 (d, 4JCF = 4 Hz, C1), 115.9 (d, 2JCF = 22 Hz, C3), 80.4 (ArC=≡), 73.5 (ArC=≡). 19F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl3): −108.5.

5-Butyl-3-methyl-4-((4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethynyl)furan-2(5H)-one (200og)

General procedure F was followed using allenolate 102o (100 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201g (116 µL, 713 µmol, 1.5 eq), PPh3AuNTf2 (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K3PO4 (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200og as a yellow oil (97 mg, yield = 63%) along with diyne 202g (6 mg, yield (relative to 201g) = 5%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.53. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 7.66 (d, 2H, 3JHH = 8.5 Hz, H11), 7.63 (d, 2H, 3JHH = 8.5 Hz, H10), 4.94 (m, 1H, H3), 2.06 (d, 3H, 5JHH = 1.3 Hz, H8), 2.00 (m, 1H, H4), 1.67 (m, 1H, H4'), 1.35-1.52 (m, 4H, H5, H6), 0.93 (t, 3H, 3JHH = 7.1 Hz, H7). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 173.4 (C=O), 142.5 (C2), 133.3 (C1), 132.1 (C10), 131.4 (q, 2JCF = 33 Hz, C12), 125.5 (q, 3JCF = 3 Hz, C11), 125.2 (C9), 123.6 (q, 1JCF = 273 Hz, CF3), 103.7 (ArC=≡), 82.2 (C3), 81.6 (ArC=≡), 32.7 (C4), 26.6 (C5), 22.4 (C6), 13.8 (C7), 10.4 (C8). 19F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl3): −63.0. IR (CH2Cl2): 2200 (C≡C), 1759
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(C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₈H₁₇F₃NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na⁺]: 345.1073, found 345.1074.

1,1'-Buta-1,3-diyne-1,4-diylbis(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene) (202g)\(^{[281]}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R}_f \text{ (hexane): } & 0.34. \\
\text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta: & 7.55-7.70 \text{ (m, 8H, Ar).} \\
\text{C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta: & 132.8 \text{ (C2), 131.1 \text{ (q, } J_{CF} = 32 \text{ Hz, C4), 125.5 \text{ (q, } J_{CF} = 4 \text{ Hz, C3), 125.2} \text{ (C1), 123.7 \text{ (q, } J_{CF} = 273 \text{ Hz, } CF_3), 81.0 \text{ (ArC=}=\text{C), 75.6 (ArC=}=\text{C).} } \\
\text{F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta: & -63.0. \\
\end{align*}
\]

5-Butyl-3-methyl-4-[(4-nitrophenyl)ethynyl]furan-2(5H)-one (200oh)

General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102o (100 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201h (105 mg, 713 µmol, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200oh as a white solid (64 mg, yield = 45%).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R}_f \text{ (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): } & 0.49. \\
\text{Mp = 87°C.} \\
\text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta: & 8.28 \text{ (d, 2H, } J_{HH} = 8.5 \text{ Hz, H11), 7.68 \text{ (d, 2H, } J_{HH} = 8.5 \text{ Hz, H10), 4.95 \text{ (m, 1H, H3), 2.08 \text{ (s, 3H, } H8), 1.99 \text{ (m, 1H, H4), 1.64 \text{ (m, 1H, H4'), 1.35-1.55 \text{ (m, 4H, H5, H6), 0.94 \text{ (t, 3H, } J_{HH} =}
\]
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7.1 Hz, H7). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 173.1 (C=O), 147.9 (C12), 142.0 (C2), 134.2 (C1), 132.7 (C11), 128.0 (C9), 123.8 (C10), 102.7 (ArC≡C), 83.9 (ArC≡C), 82.1 (C3), 32.7 (C4), 26.6 (C5), 22.4 (C6), 13.8 (C7), 10.6 (C8). IR (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): 2219 (C≡C), 1523 (NO$_2$), 1346 (NO$_2$). HRMS (m/z, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{17}$H$_{12}$NNaO$_4$ $^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 322.1050, found 322.1053.

5-Butyl-4-ethynyl-3-methylfuran-2(5H)-one (200oi)

![Diagram of 5-Butyl-4-ethynyl-3-methylfuran-2(5H)-one](#)

General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102o (100 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201i (101 µL, 713 µmol, 1.5 eq), PPh$_3$AuNTf$_2$ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and NaOH (38 mg, 0.95 mmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.75 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200oi as a colourless oil (14 mg, yield = 16%).

R$_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.56. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 4.86 (m, 1H, H3), 3.85 (s, 1H, C=CH), 2.01 (m, 2H, H4), 1.56 (s, 3H, H8), 1.32-1.49 (m, 4H, H5, H6), 0.93 (t, 3H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.9 Hz, H7). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 173.4 (C=O), 142.2 (C2), 134.5 (C1), 93.6 (C=CH), 82.3 (C3), 74.2 (C≡CH), 32.4 (C4), 26.5 (C5), 22.3 (C6), 13.8 (C7), 10.2 (C8). IR (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): 3298 (C≡C-H), 2108 (C≡C), 1756 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{11}$H$_{14}$NaO$_2$ $^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 201.0886, found 201.0884.
Chapter 6: Experimental Procedures and Characterisation Data

5-Butyl-3-methyl-4-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)furan-2(5H)-one (200oj)

General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102o (100 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201j (160 µL, 713 µmol, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.75 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200oj as a colourless oil (18 mg, yield = 11%).

R₇ (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.61. ′H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 4.85 (m, 1H, H3), 1.98 (s, 3H, H8), 1.92 (m, 1H, H4), 1.25-1.45 (m, 5H, H4', H5, H6), 1.07-1.15 (m, 21H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.91 (t, 3H, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, H7). ′C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 173.9 (C=O), 143.6 (C2), 132.9 (C1), 110.9 (SiC≡C), 96.6 (SiC≡C), 82.3 (C3), 32.5 (C4), 26.3 (C5), 22.3 (C6), 18.5 (CH(CH₃)₂), 13.8 (C7), 11.0 (CH(CH₃)₂) 10.3 (C8). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2153 (C≡C), 1761 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₂₀H₃₄NaO₂Si⁺ ([M+Na⁺]: 357.2220, found 357.2221.

5-Butyl-3-methyl-4-(pent-1-yn-1-yl)furan-2(5H)-one (200ok)
General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102\textsuperscript{o} (100 mg, 475 \mu mol, 1 eq), alkyne 201\textsuperscript{k} (70 \mu L, 713 \mu mol, 1.5 eq), PPh\textsubscript{3}AuNTf\textsubscript{2} (2, 36 mg, 48 \mu mol, 10 mol%), CuOAc, (5.8 mg, 48 \mu mol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (202 mg, 951 \mu mol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 \mu L, 4.75 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200\textsuperscript{ok} as a yellow oil (29 mg, yield = 28%).

R\textsubscript{f} (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.61. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \): 4.78 (m, 1H, \textit{H}3), 2.46 (t, 2H, \textit{J}_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 Hz, \textit{H}9), 1.95 (m, 1H, \textit{H}4), 1.93 (s, 3H, \textit{H}8), 1.64 (m, 2H, \textit{H}10), 1.55 (m, 1H, \textit{H}4'), 1.30-1.50 (m, 4H, \textit{H}5, \textit{H}6), 1.04 (t, 3H, \textit{J}_{\text{HH}} = 7.6 Hz, \textit{H}11), 0.91 (t, 3H, \textit{J}_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 Hz, \textit{H}7). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \): 174.2 (C=O), 144.6 (C2), 130.8 (C1), 108.5 ((C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{7})C≡C), 82.5 (C3), 72.0 ((C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{7})C≡C), 32.5 (C4), 26.5 (C5), 22.4, 21.9, 21.7 (C6, C9, C10), 13.8, 13.4 (C7, C11), 10.0 (C8). IR (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}): 2204 (C≡C), 1754 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\textsuperscript{+}): calculated for C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{20}NaO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ([M+Na\textsuperscript{+}]): 243.1355, found: 243.1356.

\textbf{5-Allyl-3-methyl-4-(phenylethynyl)furan-2(5\textit{H})-one (200ra)}

![Diagram of 5-Allyl-3-methyl-4-(phenylethynyl)furan-2(5\textit{H})-one (200ra)]

General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102\textsuperscript{r} (92 mg, 0.48 mmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201\textsuperscript{a} (78 \mu L, 0.71 mmol, 1.5 eq), PPh\textsubscript{3}AuNTf\textsubscript{2} (2, 35 mg, 48 \mu mol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (202 mg, 951 \mu mol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 \mu L, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200\textsuperscript{ra} as a yellow oil (29 mg, yield = 28%).

R\textsubscript{f} (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.61. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \): 4.78 (m, 1H, \textit{H}3), 2.46 (t, 2H, \textit{J}_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 Hz, \textit{H}9), 1.95 (m, 1H, \textit{H}4), 1.93 (s, 3H, \textit{H}8), 1.64 (m, 2H, \textit{H}10), 1.55 (m, 1H, \textit{H}4'), 1.30-1.50 (m, 4H, \textit{H}5, \textit{H}6), 1.04 (t, 3H, \textit{J}_{\text{HH}} = 7.6 Hz, \textit{H}11), 0.91 (t, 3H, \textit{J}_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 Hz, \textit{H}7). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \): 174.2 (C=O), 144.6 (C2), 130.8 (C1), 108.5 ((C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{7})C≡C), 82.5 (C3), 72.0 ((C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{7})C≡C), 32.5 (C4), 26.5 (C5), 22.4, 21.9, 21.7 (C6, C9, C10), 13.8, 13.4 (C7, C11), 10.0 (C8). IR (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}): 2204 (C≡C), 1754 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI\textsuperscript{+}): calculated for C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{20}NaO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ([M+Na\textsuperscript{+}]): 243.1355, found: 243.1356.
ether, 8:1) to afford the product 200ra as a yellow oil (51 mg, yield = 45%) along with diyne 202a as a yellow solid (21 mg, yield (relative to 201a) = 29%).

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 2:1): 0.43. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 7.51-7.55 (d, 2H, 3JHH = 6.3 Hz, H9), 7.37-7.46 (m, 3H, H10, H11), 5.75 (ddt, 1H, 3JHH = 17.8, 10.1, 6.8 Hz, H5), 5.23 (d, 1H, 3JHH = 17.8 Hz, H6A), 5.18 (d, 1H, 3JHH = 10.1 Hz, H6B), 4.94 (m, 1H, H3), 2.80 (m, 1H, H4), 2.49 (m, 1H, H4'), 2.05 (d, 3H, 5JHH = 1.8 Hz, H7).

13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 173.5 (C=O), 142.6 (C2), 132.5 (C1), 131.8 (C9), 130.9 (C5), 130.0 (C11), 128.6 (C10), 121.4 (C8), 119.6 (C6), 106.1 (PhC≡C), 81.3 (C3), 79.5 (PhC≡C), 36.9 (C4), 10.3 (C7).


1,1′-Buta-1,3-diyne-1,4-diyl dibenzene (202a)[282]

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 2:1): 0.43. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 7.20-7.70 (m, 10H, Ph).

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 132.5 (ortho-Ph), 129.2, 128.5 (meta-, para-Ph), 121.8 (ipso-Ph), 81.6 (PhC≡C), 73.9 (PhC≡C).

5-(But-3-en-2-yl)-3-methyl-4-(phenylethynyl)furan-2(5H)-one (200ua)

General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102u (dr = 50:50, 99 mg, 0.48 mmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201a (78 µL, 0.71 mmol, 1.5 eq), PPh3AuNTf2 (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%).
Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K$_3$PO$_4$ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 8:1) to afford the product 200ua as a 55:45 diastereoisomeric mixture as a yellow oil (40 mg, yield = 33%) along with diyne 202a as a yellow solid (17 mg, yield (relative to 201a) = 23%).

$R_f$ (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.56.  $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: Major Diastereoisomer: 7.53 (m, 2H, $H_{10}$), 7.41 (m, 3H, $H_{11}$, $H_{12}$), 5.66 (m, 1H, $H_5$), 5.07-5.24 (m, 2H, $H_6$), 4.90 (m, 1H, $H_3$), 2.85 (m, 1H, $H_4$), 2.04 (d, 3H, $^3J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, $H_8$), 1.03 (d, 3H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$ Hz, $H_7$). Minor Diastereoisomer: 7.53 (m, 2H, $H_{10}$), 7.41 (m, 3H, $H_{11}$, $H_{12}$), 5.91 (m, 1H, $H_5$), 5.07-5.24 (m, 2H, $H_6$), 4.95 (m, 1H, $H_3$), 2.85 (m, 1H, $H_4$), 2.06 (d, 3H, $^3J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, $H_8$), 1.27 (d, 3H, $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$ Hz, $H_7$). $^{13}$C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: Mixture of Diastereoisomers: 173.7 (C=O), 173.6 (C=O), 142.1 (C2), 141.7 (C2), 138.5 (C5), 135.5 (C5), 132.8 (C10), 131.8 (C1), 131.8 (C1), 129.9, 128.6 (C11, C12), 121.5 (C9), 121.4 (C9), 117.6 (C6), 116.3 (C6), 106.2 (PhC≡C), 106.1 (PhC≡C), 85.3 (C3), 84.8 (C3), 79.9 (PhC≡C), 79.8 (PhC≡C), 40.1 (C4), 16.3 (C7), 12.7 (C7), 10.3 (C8), 10.2 (C8). Note: The peaks for C4 and C10-12 are coincident for each diastereoisomer. IR (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): 2200 (C≡C), 1753 (C=O). HRMS ($m/z$, ESI$^+$): calculated for C$_{17}$H$_{16}$NaO$_2$$^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 275.1043, found 275.1043.

5-Benzyl-3-methyl-4-(phenylethynyl)furan-2(5H)-one (200ea)
General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102e (116 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), alkyne 201a (78 µL, 0.71 mmol, 1.5 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200ea as a yellow solid (51 mg, yield = 38%) along with indenofuranone 109e as a white solid (20 mg, yield = 22%). For characterisation data of 109e, see Section 6.3.3.2.

Rf (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.54. Mp = 97ºC. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.23-7.56 (m, 10H, H6-8, H11-13), 5.18 (m, 1H, H3), 3.34 (dd, 1H, ²JHH = 14.4 Hz, ³JHH = 4.6 Hz, H4), 3.06 (dd, 1H, ²JHH = 14.4 Hz, ³JHH = 6.6 Hz, H4'), 1.97 (d, 3H, ⁵JHH = 1.5 Hz, H9). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 173.3 (C=O), 142.4 (C2), 134.7 (C1), 132.8 (C5), 131.9, 129.9, 129.7, 128.6, 128.3, 127.0 (C6-8, C11-13), 121.3 (C10), 106.5 (PhC≡C), 82.1 (C3), 79.8 (PhC≡C), 39.0 (C4), 10.2 (C9). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2205 (C≡C), 1757 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₂₀H₁₆NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 311.1043, found 311.1046. The structure was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography. This data is deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC): 795566 (see Appendix).

3-Benzyl-5-methyl-4-(phenylethynyl)furan-2(5H)-one (200ga)
(82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt for 48h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 200ga as a white solid (24 mg, yield = 17%) along with indenofuranone 162g as a white solid (10 mg, yield = 11%). For characterisation data of 162g, see Section 6.3.3.2.

Rₓ(hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.39. Mp = 103°C. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.21-7.53 (m, 10H, H7-9, H11-13), 5.01 (q, 1H, $^3$J_HH = 6.6 Hz, H3), 3.79 (s, 2H, H5), 1.56 (d, 3H, $^3$J_HH = 6.6 Hz, H4). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 172.9 (C=O), 145.0 (C2), 137.4 (C1), 134.2 (C6), 132.0, 130.0, 128.9, 128.6, 128.6, 126.7 (C7-9, C11-13), 121.3 (C10), 106.5 (PhC≡C), 79.7 (C3), 78.7 (PhC≡C), 31.2 (C5), 19.0 (C4). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2200 (C≡C), 1753 (C=O). HRMS ($m/z$, ESI⁺): calculated for C₂₀H₁₆NaO₂⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 311.1043, found 311.1043.

6.4.4.2 Homodimerisation Reactions of Allenoate 102o and Alkyne 201a

6.3.6.2.1 Homodimerisation of Alkyne 201a

1,1'-Buta-1,3-diyne-1,4-diyldibenzene (202a) [282]

General procedure F was followed using alkyne 201a (78 µL, 0.71 mmol, 1 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq) in the absence of an allenoate. The mixture was stirred at rt for 4h. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether,
10:1) to afford the product 202a as a yellow solid (71 mg, yield > 95%). For characterisation data of 202a, see Section 6.4.4.1.

6.4.4.2 Homodimerisation of Allenoate 102o

2,2'-Dibutyl-4,4'-dimethyl-3,3'-bifuran-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (110o)

General procedure F was followed using allenoate 102o (100 mg, 475 µmol, 1 eq), PPh₃AuNTf₂ (2, 35 mg, 48 µmol, 10 mol%), Selectfluor (82, 421 mg, 1.19 mmol, 2.5 eq) and K₃PO₄ (202 mg, 951 µmol, 2 eq) in acetonitrile (5 mL) and water (86 µL, 4.8 mmol, 10 eq) in the absence of an alkyne. The mixture was stirred at rt for 5d. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the product 110o as a 63:37 diastereoisomeric mixture as a colourless oil (25 mg, yield = 35%). For characterisation data of 110o, see Section 6.4.2.1.

6.4.4.3 Preparation of Organogold(I) Complexes 203 and 104o

6.4.4.3.1 Preparation of η¹-(Alkynyl)gold(I) Complex 203

η¹-(Alkynyl)gold(I) complex 203 was prepared according to the procedure of Hashmi (see Scheme 4.40a).[^208]
(Phenylethylnyl)(triphenylphosphine)gold(I) (203)\textsuperscript{[208]}

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{P} \\
\text{Au} \\
\end{array}
\]

Sodium (5.1 mg, 0.22 mmol, 1.1 eq) was added to ethanol (4 mL) and the mixture was stirred at rt until all the metal had dissolved (15 min). Alkyne 201\textsuperscript{a} (22 μL, 0.20 mmol, 1 eq) and chloro(triphenylphosphine)gold(I) (100 mg, 202 μmol, 1 eq) were added and the mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h. After cooling to rt, the solvent was removed \textit{in vacuo}. Recrystallisation from dichloromethane/hexane afforded the product 203 as a white solid (87 mg, yield = 77%).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ: 7.44-7.58 (m, 17H, Ph), 7.19-7.27 (m, 3H, Ph). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ: 134.3 (d, \textit{J}_{CP} = 13 Hz, C8), 132.3 (C4), 131.5 (C10), 129.7 (d, \textit{J}_{CP} = 56 Hz, C7), 129.1 (d, \textit{J}_{CP} = 11 Hz, C9), 127.9 (C5), 126.8 (C6), 124.8 (C3), 104.2 (C2), 77.1 (C1). \textsuperscript{31}P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ: 42.4. LRMS (m/z, ESI\textsuperscript{+}): calculated for C\textsubscript{26}H\textsubscript{20}AuNaP\textsuperscript{+} ([M+Na]\textsuperscript{+}): 583.1, found 583.1.

6.4.4.3.2 Preparation of (Butenolide)gold(I) Complex 104\textsuperscript{o}

(Butenelide)gold(I) complex 104\textsuperscript{o} was prepared according to the procedure of Hashmi (see Scheme 4.40b).\textsuperscript{[205]}
(2-Butyl-4-methyl-5-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-yl)(triphenylphosphine)gold(I) (104o)

Chloro(triphenylphosphine)gold(I) (70 mg, 0.14 mmol, 1 eq) and silver trifluoromethanesulfonate (36 mg, 0.14 mmol, 1 eq) were added to a solution of ethyl allenolate 105o (28 mg, 0.16 mmol, 1.1 eq) in anhydrous dichloromethane (1.4 mL) under argon. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2h before water (0.70 mL) was added via syringe. The biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously for a further 1.5h and then filtered. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (2×2 mL). The combined organic fractions were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 1:1) afforded the product 104o as a colourless oil (38 mg, yield = 44%).

Rᵣ (petrol ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 1:1): 0.11. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.48-7.57 (m, 15H, H10-12), 4.93 (tm, 1H, ³JHH = 6.9 Hz, H3), 2.03 (t, 3H, ⁵JHH = 1.3 Hz, H8), 1.89 (m, 1H, H4), 1.68 (m, 1H, H4’), 1.52-1.59 (m, 2H, H5), 1.32-1.40 (m, 2H, H6), 0.88 (t, 3H, ³JHH = 7.6 Hz, H7). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 200.5 (d, ²JCP = 113 Hz, C2), 177.0 (d, ²JCP = 11 Hz, C=O), 134.2 (d, ²JCP = 13 Hz, C10), 131.7 (d, ³JCP = 2 Hz, C1), 131.6 (d, ⁴JCP = 2 Hz, C12), 130.1 (d, ¹JCP = 52 Hz, C9), 129.3 (d, ³JCP = 11 Hz, C11), 90.3 (d, ³JCP = 8 Hz, C3), 34.6 (C4), 27.9 (C5), 22.7 (C6), 14.3, 14.0 (C7, C8). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 44.4. IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1723 (C=O). HRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₂₇H₂₈AuNaO₂P⁺ ([M+Na]⁺): 635.1385, found 635.1385.
6.5 Experimental Data for Chapter 5

6.5.1 Preparation of Allylic Carbonate 227b

Allylic methyl carbonate 227b was prepared according to a procedure modified from Lloyd-Jones et al (Scheme 5.15).[283]

Methyl (2E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-yl carbonate (227b)[283]

![Methyl (2E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-yl carbonate (227b)](image)

Methyl chloroformate (1.15 mL, 14.9 mmol, 2 eq) was added to a solution of cinnamyl alcohol (1.00 g, 7.45 mmol, 1 eq) in dichloromethane (15 mL) and pyridine (1.81 mL, 22.4 mmol, 3 eq). The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 4h before being quenched via careful addition of NH₄Cl (sat. aq, 10 mL). The crude solution was extracted with diethyl ether (2×10 mL) and the combined organic fractions were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (pet ether 40-60:diethyl ether, 4:1) afforded the product 227b as a colourless oil (1.10 g, yield = 76%).

R<sub>f</sub> (hexane:diethyl ether, 4:1): 0.36. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.41 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, ortho-Ph), 7.34 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, meta-Ph), 7.28 (tm, 1H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, para-Ph), 6.70 (d, 1H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 15.9 Hz, PhCH=CH), 6.31 (dt, 1H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 15.9, 6.6 Hz, PhCH=CH), 4.80 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.6 Hz, CH₃), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 155.6 (C=O), 136.0 (ipso-Ph), 134.8 (PhCH=CH), 128.6 (meta-Ph), 128.2 (para-Ph), 126.7 (ortho-Ph), 122.4 (PhCH=CH), 68.4 (CH₃), 54.8 (OCH₃). LRMS (m/z, ESI⁺): calculated for C₁₁H₁₂NaO₃⁺ ([M+Na⁺]⁺): 215.1, found 215.1.

para-Nitrobenzoate 232b was kindly provided by Charlotte Hollingworth (DPhil, University of Oxford, 2012).[256]
6.5.2 Preparation of ‘Cold’ Allylic Fluoride 226b

Allylic fluoride 226b was prepared according to the procedure of Oshima (see Scheme 5.17). [(1E)-3-Fluoroprop-1-en-1-yl]benzene (226b) was prepared according to the procedure of Oshima (see Scheme 5.17).[(1E)-3-Fluoroprop-1-en-1-yl]benzene (226b) was prepared according to the procedure of Oshima (see Scheme 5.17).

Tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride (1M solution in tetrahydrofuran, 16.0 mL, 16.0 mmol, 2 eq) was added to a solution of allylic bromide (237b, 1.58 g, 8.00 mmol, 1 eq) in tetrahydrofuran (8 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt for 4h before being quenched in a pH 7.0 buffer solution. The crude product was extracted with diethyl ether (2×10 mL), concentrated in vacuo and distilled under reduced pressure (100ºC, 66 Torr) to afford a small amount of the pure product 226b as a yellow oil (154 mg, yield = 14%).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 7.44 (d, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.6 Hz, ortho-Ph), 7.37 (dd, 2H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.6, 7.1 Hz, meta-Ph), 7.28 (t, 1H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.3 Hz, para-Ph), 6.72 (dd, 1H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 15.9 Hz, $^4$J$_{HF}$ = 5.1 Hz, PhCH=CH), 6.31 (ddt, 1H, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 15.9, 6.1 Hz, $^3$J$_{HF}$ = 12.1 Hz, PhCH=CH), 5.05 (dd, 2H, $^2$J$_{HF}$ = 47.0 Hz, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.1 Hz, CH$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 135.9 (d, $^1$J$_{CF}$ = 3 Hz, ipso-Ph), 134.3 (d, $^3$J$_{CF}$ = 13 Hz, PhCH=CH), 128.6 (meta-Ph), 128.3 (para-Ph), 126.7 (ortho-Ph), 123.5 (d, $^2$J$_{CF}$ = 16 Hz, PhCH=CH), 83.4 (d, $^1$J$_{CF}$ = 164 Hz, CH$_2$). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: −210.4 (tdd, $^2$J$_{HF}$ = 47 Hz, $^3$J$_{HF}$ = 12 Hz, $^4$J$_{HF}$ = 5 Hz).

6.5.3 General Experimental Information for Radiochemistry

$[^{19}$F]TBAF was produced using either manually, using an Advion NanoTek® automated radiosynthesis apparatus controlled by the associated software or using a custom built device developed by GlaxoSmithKline and controlled by software written in Labview.
[\textsuperscript{18}F]\text{Fluoride} was produced using a Siemens 11 MeV Eclipse HP cyclotron via the
\textsuperscript{18}O(p,n)\textsuperscript{18}F reaction. [\textsuperscript{18}F]\text{Fluoride} was separated from \textsuperscript{18}O-enriched-water using anion
exchange cartridges supplied by D&W Inc. (\textsuperscript{18}F Trap & Release, DW-TRC, activated by
water (3 mL)) or by Synthra (\textsuperscript{18}F-Separation, 45 mg, activated by water (3 mL)) and eluted
with a solution of \textit{n-Bu\textsubscript{4}NHCO\textsubscript{3}} (13 mg) in acetonitrile/water (4:1, 0.5 mL). The complex
was dried under a gentle stream of nitrogen at 120\degree C with successive additions of
acetonitrile (2×0.5 mL). The resulting [\textsuperscript{18}F]TBAF was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile or
tetrahydrofuran (1 mL) for use in the nucleophilic radiofluorination reactions.\textsuperscript{286}

[\textsuperscript{18}F]\textbf{226b} was identified by comparison of its radioactive HPLC peak with the UV trace of
the non-radioactive reference compound \textbf{226b}. Radio-HPLC was conducted on an Agilent
1100 Series or a Gilson HPLC system with an in-line UV detector (254 nm) in series with a
NaI crystal radioactivity detector. The HPLC separations were carried out on a Phenomenex
NX 5\micron C18 column (150×4.60 mm) at room temperature using acetonitrile/water as the
mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The following gradient was used:

Gradient A  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Gradient</th>
<th>Mobile Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10 min</td>
<td>35-40% acetonitrile (linear increase)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15 min</td>
<td>40-75% acetonitrile (linear increase)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-25 min</td>
<td>75% acetonitrile (isocratic)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-28 min</td>
<td>75%-35% acetonitrile (linear decrease)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-30 min</td>
<td>35% acetonitrile (isocratic)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radiochemical yields were obtained either by radio-TLC (eluent: MeCN/H\textsubscript{2}O, 95:5,
LabLogic Systems Ltd. Radio-TLC detector) or by comparison of the radioactivity of the
crude reaction mixture injected into the HPLC (20 \mu L) with that of the product eluted from
the column. All radiochemical yields are decay-corrected.
6.5.4 [\(^{18}\text{F}\)]Radiofluorination of Allylic Methyl Carbonate 227b and para-Nitrobenzoate 232b

\[ \text{[}^{18}\text{F}\text{-[(1E)-3-Fluoroprop-1-en-1-yl]benzene ([}^{18}\text{F}\text{)226b]} \]

A dry 5 mL borosilicate glass vial containing a v-shaped stirring bar was charged with the allylic methyl carbonate 227b or para-nitrobenzoate 232b (5 mg ± 1 mg), Pd(dba)\(_2\) (2 mg ± 1 mg) and triphenylphosphine (2 mg ± 1 mg) in anhydrous acetonitrile or tetrahydrofuran (450 µL). A freshly prepared solution of [\(^{18}\text{F}\)]TBAF in anhydrous acetonitrile or tetrahydrofuran (50 µL) was added in a lead-shielded hot-cell and the mixture was stirred at the given temperature (rt or 100ºC) for up to 30 minutes. After quenching with water (500 µL), an aliquot of the crude reaction mixture was taken and analysed by radio-TLC and HPLC. In each reaction, analysis by HPLC (MeCN/H\(_2\)O Gradient A, 1 mL/min, 20 µL injected) indicated the formation of [\(^{18}\text{F}\)226b at a retention time of 14.7 min, which was consistent with the ‘cold’ reference compound (the HPLC traces are displayed in Figure 5.3). The identity of the peak was confirmed by spiking with the ‘cold’ reference sample 226b. Decay corrected radiochemical yields were determined either by radio-TLC on the crude reaction mixture (eluent = MeCN/H\(_2\)O, 95:5) or by direct comparison of the radioactivity of the crude mixture injected into the HPLC with that of the product eluted from the column (see Table 5.3 for the radiochemical yields of each experiment).

6.5.5 [\(^{18}\text{F}\)]Radiofluorination of Allylic Chloride 237b and Allylic Bromide 236b

\[ \text{[}^{18}\text{F}\text{-[(1E)-3-fluoroprop-1-en-1-yl]benzene ([}^{18}\text{F}\text{)226b]} \]

-333-
A dry 5 mL borosilicate glass vial containing a v-shaped stirring bar was charged with the allylic chloride \textbf{237b} or bromide \textbf{236b} (5 mg ± 1 mg) in anhydrous acetonitrile (450 µL). A freshly prepared solution of \[^{18}\text{F}]\text{TBAF}\) in anhydrous acetonitrile or tetrahydrofuran (50 µL) was added in a lead-shielded hot-cell and the mixture was stirred at the given temperature (rt or 110°C) for up to 20 minutes. After quenching with water (500 µL), an aliquot of the crude reaction mixture was taken and analysed by HPLC. In successful reactions, analysis by HPLC (MeCN/H\textsubscript{2}O Gradient A, 1 mL/min, 20 µL injected) indicated the formation of \[^{18}\text{F}]\text{226b}\) at a retention time of 14.7 min, which was consistent with the ‘cold’ reference compound (the HPLC traces are displayed in Figure 5.3). Decay corrected radiochemical yields were determined by direct comparison of the radioactivity of the crude mixture injected into the HPLC with that of the product eluted from the column (see Schemes 5.22 and Scheme 5.23 for the radiochemical yields of each experiment).
References


Appendix

X-Ray Crystallography Data

A-1 General Information

In each case, a typical crystal was chosen and mounted on a hair using perfluoropolyether oil and cooled rapidly to 150K in a stream of cold N₂ using an Oxford Cryosystems Cryostream N₂ open flow cooling device.\(^1\) Diffraction data were measured using an Enraf-Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer (graphite-monochromated MoK\(\alpha\) radiation, \(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å) to a maximum resolution of 0.77 Å. Intensity data were processed using the DENZO-SMN package and were corrected for absorption and other effects using SCALEPACK.\(^2\) The systematic absences in the intensity data were examined to determine the space group. In each case, the structure was solved using the direct-methods program SIR92,\(^3\) which located all non-hydrogen atoms. Subsequent full-matrix least-squares refinement was carried out using the CRYSTALS\(^4\) program suite to refine coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters of all non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were located in the difference map and refined before being added to the model using a riding constraint.

The crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) of published crystals has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) and copies of these data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. The relevant crystals and their CCDC Numbers are listed below:
Appendix: X-Ray Crystallography Data

92l  CCDC 792124
109b  CCDC 765540
(±)-(2S,2‘S)-110b  CCDC 765543
(2R,2’S)-110b  CCDC 765544
109d  CCDC 765541
109e  CCDC 795567
162h  CCDC 765545
(8R,8aS)-109j  CCDC 765542
200ea  CCDC 795566

The crystallography data for the unpublished crystals 109i and (2R,2’S)-110t is included in Sections A-2 and A-3 respectively.

## A-2  X-Ray data for 109i

![Figure A-1 X-Ray Crystal Structure of 109i](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal identification</th>
<th>9221606 08lec006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compound number</td>
<td>109i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C17.33 H16 O2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>266.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>150 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>0.71073 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P 1 21/c 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>14.3599(4) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>9.8912(3) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>14.6614(4) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>93.6037(14)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>2078.34(10) Å³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calculated)</td>
<td>1.280 Mg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>0.085 mm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal shape</td>
<td>plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal colour</td>
<td>colourless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size</td>
<td>0.51 × 0.42 × 0.21 mm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallization solvent</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection (θ)</td>
<td>5.1 to 27.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>-18&lt;=h&lt;=18, -12&lt;=k&lt;=11, -19&lt;=l&lt;=19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>20126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>8003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to theta max. (θ)</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption correction</td>
<td>Semi-empirical from equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. and min. transmission</td>
<td>0.85 and 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on F²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/ restraints/ parameters</td>
<td>5758 / 0 / 272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>0.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices [I&gt;2sigma(I)]</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0829, wR2 = 0.2033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| R indices (all data) | R1 = 0.0967,  
|                      | wR2 = 0.2080  
| Largest dif. peak and hole | −0.65 and 0.68 e. Å⁻³ |

**Table A-1** Crystal Data and Refinement Details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>U&lt;sub&gt;equiv&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>0.12644 (17)</td>
<td>0.4701 (2)</td>
<td>0.60615 (16)</td>
<td>0.0536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.1404 (2)</td>
<td>0.3574 (3)</td>
<td>0.5776 (2)</td>
<td>0.0397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>0.16346 (16)</td>
<td>0.2536 (2)</td>
<td>0.63688 (14)</td>
<td>0.0458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.1815 (2)</td>
<td>0.1358 (3)</td>
<td>0.58264 (19)</td>
<td>0.0397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>0.15520 (19)</td>
<td>0.1760 (3)</td>
<td>0.48644 (18)</td>
<td>0.0308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>0.13152 (19)</td>
<td>0.3065 (3)</td>
<td>0.4826 (2)</td>
<td>0.0358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>0.0942 (2)</td>
<td>0.3916 (3)</td>
<td>0.4062 (2)</td>
<td>0.0471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>0.14094 (19)</td>
<td>0.0512 (3)</td>
<td>0.43412 (19)</td>
<td>0.0313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>0.1370 (2)</td>
<td>0.0250 (3)</td>
<td>0.3407 (2)</td>
<td>0.0414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>0.1198 (3)</td>
<td>−0.1080 (3)</td>
<td>0.3129 (2)</td>
<td>0.0485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>0.1076 (3)</td>
<td>−0.2088 (3)</td>
<td>0.3756 (2)</td>
<td>0.0504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>0.1098 (2)</td>
<td>−0.1831 (3)</td>
<td>0.4680 (2)</td>
<td>0.0438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>0.1248 (2)</td>
<td>−0.0513 (3)</td>
<td>0.4981 (2)</td>
<td>0.0379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14</td>
<td>0.1215 (2)</td>
<td>0.0077 (3)</td>
<td>0.5947 (2)</td>
<td>0.0423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15</td>
<td>0.1504 (3)</td>
<td>−0.0846 (4)</td>
<td>0.6725 (2)</td>
<td>0.0571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O16</td>
<td>0.37992 (15)</td>
<td>0.7516 (2)</td>
<td>0.66063 (14)</td>
<td>0.0438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17</td>
<td>0.3483 (2)</td>
<td>0.6312 (3)</td>
<td>0.6129 (2)</td>
<td>0.0362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18</td>
<td>0.4081 (2)</td>
<td>0.5026 (3)</td>
<td>0.61941 (19)</td>
<td>0.0356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19</td>
<td>0.38204 (19)</td>
<td>0.4413 (3)</td>
<td>0.52560 (19)</td>
<td>0.0321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20</td>
<td>0.3918 (2)</td>
<td>0.3090 (3)</td>
<td>0.4960 (2)</td>
<td>0.0388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C21</td>
<td>0.3693 (2)</td>
<td>0.2803 (3)</td>
<td>0.4046 (2)</td>
<td>0.0435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C22</td>
<td>0.3402 (2)</td>
<td>0.3786 (3)</td>
<td>0.3428 (2)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C23</td>
<td>0.3301 (2)</td>
<td>0.5113 (3)</td>
<td>0.3718 (2)</td>
<td>0.0419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C24</td>
<td>0.34932 (19)</td>
<td>0.5409 (3)</td>
<td>0.4635 (2)</td>
<td>0.0322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>U$_{iso}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C25</td>
<td>0.34742 (19)</td>
<td>0.6666 (3)</td>
<td>0.5141 (2)</td>
<td>0.0335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C26</td>
<td>0.36765 (19)</td>
<td>0.7978 (3)</td>
<td>0.5052 (2)</td>
<td>0.0364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C27</td>
<td>0.3865 (2)</td>
<td>0.8533 (3)</td>
<td>0.5968 (2)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O28</td>
<td>0.40614 (17)</td>
<td>0.9668 (2)</td>
<td>0.62113 (18)</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C29</td>
<td>0.3809 (2)</td>
<td>0.8792 (3)</td>
<td>0.4208 (2)</td>
<td>0.0495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C30</td>
<td>0.3959 (3)</td>
<td>0.4128 (3)</td>
<td>0.7011 (2)</td>
<td>0.0481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table A-2* Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters ($\text{Å}^2$) of Non-Hydrogen Atoms.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( U^{11} )</th>
<th>( U^{12} )</th>
<th>( U^{13} )</th>
<th>( U^{12} )</th>
<th>( U^{13} )</th>
<th>( U^{23} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>0.0610 (15)</td>
<td>0.0457 (15)</td>
<td>0.0545 (15)</td>
<td>-0.0019 (11)</td>
<td>0.0072 (11)</td>
<td>-0.0243 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.0404 (17)</td>
<td>0.041 (2)</td>
<td>0.0385 (17)</td>
<td>-0.0029 (14)</td>
<td>0.0060 (13)</td>
<td>-0.0039 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>0.0621 (14)</td>
<td>0.0433 (14)</td>
<td>0.0322 (11)</td>
<td>-0.0063 (11)</td>
<td>0.0029 (10)</td>
<td>-0.0048 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.0539 (19)</td>
<td>0.0381 (19)</td>
<td>0.0268 (15)</td>
<td>-0.0003 (14)</td>
<td>0.0004 (13)</td>
<td>0.0021 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O16</td>
<td>0.0578 (14)</td>
<td>0.0334 (12)</td>
<td>0.0403 (12)</td>
<td>-0.0032 (10)</td>
<td>0.0050 (10)</td>
<td>-0.0074 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17</td>
<td>0.0387 (16)</td>
<td>0.0319 (17)</td>
<td>0.0380 (17)</td>
<td>-0.0036 (13)</td>
<td>0.0026 (13)</td>
<td>-0.0034 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18</td>
<td>0.0414 (17)</td>
<td>0.0326 (17)</td>
<td>0.0325 (15)</td>
<td>0.0043 (12)</td>
<td>0.0008 (12)</td>
<td>0.0037 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19</td>
<td>0.0366 (16)</td>
<td>0.0261 (16)</td>
<td>0.0342 (15)</td>
<td>-0.0017 (12)</td>
<td>0.0063 (12)</td>
<td>-0.0017 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20</td>
<td>0.0409 (17)</td>
<td>0.0272 (17)</td>
<td>0.0485 (18)</td>
<td>0.0015 (12)</td>
<td>0.0042 (14)</td>
<td>0.0030 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C21</td>
<td>0.0517 (19)</td>
<td>0.0283 (18)</td>
<td>0.051 (2)</td>
<td>-0.0046 (14)</td>
<td>0.0060 (15)</td>
<td>-0.0073 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C22</td>
<td>0.0463 (19)</td>
<td>0.047 (2)</td>
<td>0.0384 (18)</td>
<td>-0.0028 (15)</td>
<td>-0.0036 (14)</td>
<td>-0.0079 (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A-3** Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters (Å\(^2\)) of Hydrogen Atoms.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.0448 (18)</th>
<th>0.045 (2)</th>
<th>0.0350 (17)</th>
<th>0.0048 (14)</th>
<th>−0.0050 (13)</th>
<th>0.0027 (14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C23</td>
<td>0.0286 (15)</td>
<td>0.0310 (16)</td>
<td>0.0363 (16)</td>
<td>0.0037 (12)</td>
<td>−0.0025 (11)</td>
<td>0.0011 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C24</td>
<td>0.0270 (14)</td>
<td>0.0284 (17)</td>
<td>0.0447 (17)</td>
<td>0.0052 (11)</td>
<td>−0.0014 (12)</td>
<td>0.0061 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C25</td>
<td>0.0320 (16)</td>
<td>0.0277 (17)</td>
<td>0.0493 (18)</td>
<td>0.0075 (12)</td>
<td>−0.0001 (13)</td>
<td>−0.0012 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C26</td>
<td>0.0390 (17)</td>
<td>0.0276 (18)</td>
<td>0.057 (2)</td>
<td>0.0047 (13)</td>
<td>0.0043 (14)</td>
<td>−0.0015 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O28</td>
<td>0.0622 (16)</td>
<td>0.0335 (15)</td>
<td>0.0749 (18)</td>
<td>−0.0059 (11)</td>
<td>0.0024 (13)</td>
<td>−0.0124 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C29</td>
<td>0.048 (2)</td>
<td>0.037 (2)</td>
<td>0.063 (2)</td>
<td>0.0028 (14)</td>
<td>0.0054 (16)</td>
<td>0.0142 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C30</td>
<td>0.064 (2)</td>
<td>0.046 (2)</td>
<td>0.0347 (17)</td>
<td>−0.0029 (16)</td>
<td>0.0072 (15)</td>
<td>0.0075 (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A-4** Atomic Displacement Parameters (Å$^2$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.212 (4)</th>
<th>O16—C17</th>
<th>1.440 (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1—C2</td>
<td>1.372 (4)</td>
<td>O16—C27</td>
<td>1.381 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—O3</td>
<td>1.479 (4)</td>
<td>C17—C18</td>
<td>1.535 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—C6</td>
<td>1.443 (4)</td>
<td>C17—C25</td>
<td>1.489 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3—C4</td>
<td>1.491 (4)</td>
<td>C17—H171</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—C14</td>
<td>1.548 (4)</td>
<td>C18—C19</td>
<td>1.528 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—H41</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>C18—C30</td>
<td>1.510 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5—C6</td>
<td>1.335 (4)</td>
<td>C18—H181</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5—C8</td>
<td>1.461 (4)</td>
<td>C19—C20</td>
<td>1.389 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6—C7</td>
<td>1.475 (4)</td>
<td>C19—C24</td>
<td>1.402 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7—H72</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>C20—C21</td>
<td>1.388 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7—H71</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>C20—H201</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7—H73</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>C21—C22</td>
<td>1.375 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8—C9</td>
<td>1.392 (4)</td>
<td>C21—H211</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8—C13</td>
<td>1.411 (4)</td>
<td>C22—C23</td>
<td>1.390 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—C10</td>
<td>1.394 (4)</td>
<td>C22—H221</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—H91</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>C23—C24</td>
<td>1.387 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10—C11</td>
<td>1.375 (5)</td>
<td>C23—H231</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10—H101</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>C24—C25</td>
<td>1.449 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table A-5 Bond Length (Å).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length (Å)</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C11—H111</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>C26—C27</td>
<td>1.461 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12—C13</td>
<td>1.389 (4)</td>
<td>C26—C29</td>
<td>1.497 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12—H121</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>C27—O28</td>
<td>1.206 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13—C14</td>
<td>1.535 (4)</td>
<td>C29—H293</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14—C15</td>
<td>1.500 (4)</td>
<td>C29—H292</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14—H141</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>C29—H291</td>
<td>0.973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15—H153</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>C30—H303</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15—H152</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>C30—H302</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15—H151</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>C30—H301</td>
<td>0.968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1—C2—O3</td>
<td>120.6 (3)</td>
<td>C17—O16—C27</td>
<td>107.8 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1—C2—C6</td>
<td>129.2 (3)</td>
<td>O16—C17—C18</td>
<td>119.8 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3—C2—C6</td>
<td>110.2 (3)</td>
<td>O16—C17—C25</td>
<td>105.2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—O3—C4</td>
<td>107.4 (2)</td>
<td>C18—C17—C25</td>
<td>103.0 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3—C4—C5</td>
<td>105.2 (2)</td>
<td>O16—C17—H171</td>
<td>107.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3—C4—C14</td>
<td>118.5 (3)</td>
<td>C18—C17—H171</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5—C4—C14</td>
<td>102.5 (2)</td>
<td>C25—C17—H171</td>
<td>110.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3—C4—H41</td>
<td>110.9</td>
<td>C17—C18—C19</td>
<td>99.7 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5—C4—H41</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>C17—C18—C30</td>
<td>116.4 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14—C4—H41</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>C19—C18—C30</td>
<td>116.6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—C5—C6</td>
<td>110.3 (2)</td>
<td>C17—C18—H181</td>
<td>107.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—C5—C8</td>
<td>106.9 (2)</td>
<td>C19—C18—H181</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6—C5—C8</td>
<td>140.2 (3)</td>
<td>C30—C18—H181</td>
<td>106.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—C6—C5</td>
<td>106.4 (3)</td>
<td>C18—C19—C20</td>
<td>129.2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—C6—C7</td>
<td>121.8 (3)</td>
<td>C18—C19—C24</td>
<td>111.0 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5—C6—C7</td>
<td>131.6 (3)</td>
<td>C20—C19—C24</td>
<td>119.7 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6—C7—H72</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td>C19—C20—C21</td>
<td>118.2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond</td>
<td>Angle</td>
<td>Bond</td>
<td>Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6—C7—H71</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>C19—C20—H201</td>
<td>118.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H72—C7—H71</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>C21—C20—H201</td>
<td>122.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6—C7—H73</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>C20—C21—C22</td>
<td>122.3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H72—C7—H73</td>
<td>110.3</td>
<td>C20—C21—H211</td>
<td>119.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H71—C7—H73</td>
<td>107.8</td>
<td>C22—C21—H211</td>
<td>118.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5—C8—C9</td>
<td>132.1 (3)</td>
<td>C21—C22—C23</td>
<td>119.9 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5—C8—C13</td>
<td>106.4 (2)</td>
<td>C21—C22—H221</td>
<td>119.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—C8—C13</td>
<td>121.5 (3)</td>
<td>C23—C22—H221</td>
<td>120.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8—C9—C10</td>
<td>117.3 (3)</td>
<td>C22—C23—C24</td>
<td>118.5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8—C9—H91</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>C22—C23—H231</td>
<td>121.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10—C9—H91</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>C24—C23—H231</td>
<td>119.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—C10—C11</td>
<td>121.1 (3)</td>
<td>C19—C24—C23</td>
<td>121.3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—C10—H101</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>C19—C24—C25</td>
<td>106.6 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11—C10—H101</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td>C23—C24—C25</td>
<td>132.0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10—C11—C12</td>
<td>121.9 (3)</td>
<td>C17—C25—C24</td>
<td>107.3 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10—C11—H111</td>
<td>118.5</td>
<td>C17—C25—C26</td>
<td>109.6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12—C11—H111</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>C24—C25—C26</td>
<td>140.4 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11—C12—C13</td>
<td>118.6 (3)</td>
<td>C25—C26—C27</td>
<td>107.6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11—C12—H121</td>
<td>122.1</td>
<td>C25—C26—C29</td>
<td>130.0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13—C12—H121</td>
<td>119.2</td>
<td>C27—C26—C29</td>
<td>122.1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8—C13—C12</td>
<td>119.5 (3)</td>
<td>C26—C27—O16</td>
<td>109.4 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8—C13—C14</td>
<td>110.8 (3)</td>
<td>C26—C27—O28</td>
<td>130.4 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12—C13—C14</td>
<td>129.6 (3)</td>
<td>O16—C27—O28</td>
<td>120.2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13—C14—C4</td>
<td>99.0 (2)</td>
<td>C26—C29—H293</td>
<td>110.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13—C14—C15</td>
<td>116.5 (3)</td>
<td>C26—C29—H292</td>
<td>108.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—C14—C15</td>
<td>117.1 (3)</td>
<td>H293—C29—H292</td>
<td>107.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13—C14—H141</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>C26—C29—H291</td>
<td>110.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—C14—H141</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>H293—C29—H291</td>
<td>109.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15—C14—H141</td>
<td>101.4</td>
<td>H292—C29—H291</td>
<td>110.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14—C15—H153</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>C18—C30—H303</td>
<td>109.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix: X-Ray Crystallography Data

### Table A-6 Bond Angles (°).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14—C15—H152</td>
<td>111.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H153—C15—H152</td>
<td>108.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14—C15—H151</td>
<td>108.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H153—C15—H151</td>
<td>110.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H152—C15—H151</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18—C30—H302</td>
<td>108.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H303—C30—H302</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18—C30—H301</td>
<td>108.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H303—C30—H301</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H302—C30—H301</td>
<td>108.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table A-7: Hydrogen-Bond Geometry (Å, °).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D—H···A</th>
<th>D—H</th>
<th>H···A</th>
<th>D···A</th>
<th>D—H···A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C7—H73···O1'</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>3.445 (4)</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry code: (i) −x, −y+l, −z+l.

**A-3 X-Ray Data for (2R,2'S)-110t**

![Figure A-2 X-Ray Crystal Structure of (2R,2'S)-110t.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal identification</th>
<th>054GG615MH01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compound number</td>
<td>(2R,2'S)-110t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C16 H22 O4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>278.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>150 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>0.71073 Å</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix: X-Ray Crystallography Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>7.9377(2) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>9.0097(3) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>11.5953(4) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>96.7063(17)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>94.5420(16)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>114.5456(16)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>741.63(4) Å³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calculated)</td>
<td>1.246 Mg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>0.088 mm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal shape</td>
<td>plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal colour</td>
<td>colourless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size</td>
<td>0.32 × 0.25 × 0.12 mm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallization solvent</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂ / hexane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection (θ)</td>
<td>5.1 to 27.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>8704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>3346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to theta max. (θ)</td>
<td>98.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption correction</td>
<td>Semi-empirical from equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. and min. transmission</td>
<td>0.93 and 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on F²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/ restraints/ parameters</td>
<td>3346 / 0 / 181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.0361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices [I&gt;2sigma(I)]</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0439, wR2 = 0.0595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices (all data)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0753,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table A-8 Crystal Data and Refinement Details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>( U_{\text{equiv}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>0.28281 (17)</td>
<td>0.01991 (16)</td>
<td>0.78045 (11)</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.4448 (2)</td>
<td>−0.0020 (2)</td>
<td>0.82800 (15)</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.4325 (2)</td>
<td>0.0090 (2)</td>
<td>0.95803 (14)</td>
<td>0.0205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.2828 (2)</td>
<td>0.0342 (2)</td>
<td>0.97894 (16)</td>
<td>0.0243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>0.1862 (3)</td>
<td>0.0398 (2)</td>
<td>0.86760 (16)</td>
<td>0.0267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O6</td>
<td>0.04424 (18)</td>
<td>0.05778 (19)</td>
<td>0.84774 (13)</td>
<td>0.0381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>0.6187 (2)</td>
<td>0.1261 (2)</td>
<td>0.79096 (15)</td>
<td>0.0241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>0.6798 (2)</td>
<td>0.3018 (2)</td>
<td>0.85419 (16)</td>
<td>0.0241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>0.8413 (3)</td>
<td>0.4262 (2)</td>
<td>0.80292 (18)</td>
<td>0.0315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>0.9072 (3)</td>
<td>0.6034 (3)</td>
<td>0.8628 (2)</td>
<td>0.0405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O11</td>
<td>0.07146 (17)</td>
<td>−0.28089 (15)</td>
<td>0.57702 (11)</td>
<td>0.0242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>−0.0640 (2)</td>
<td>−0.2111 (2)</td>
<td>0.56378 (15)</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>−0.2421 (2)</td>
<td>−0.3414 (2)</td>
<td>0.48921 (15)</td>
<td>0.0216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14</td>
<td>−0.2189 (2)</td>
<td>−0.3854 (2)</td>
<td>0.36237 (15)</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15</td>
<td>−0.4019 (2)</td>
<td>−0.5077 (2)</td>
<td>0.28770 (15)</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16</td>
<td>−0.3773 (3)</td>
<td>−0.5436 (2)</td>
<td>0.16044 (16)</td>
<td>0.0311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17</td>
<td>0.0397 (2)</td>
<td>−0.0571 (2)</td>
<td>0.51206 (16)</td>
<td>0.0187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18</td>
<td>0.2085 (2)</td>
<td>−0.0466 (2)</td>
<td>0.49494 (15)</td>
<td>0.0218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19</td>
<td>0.2305 (2)</td>
<td>−0.1858 (2)</td>
<td>0.53620 (16)</td>
<td>0.0237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O20</td>
<td>0.36365 (18)</td>
<td>−0.21901 (16)</td>
<td>0.53899 (13)</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table A-9 Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters (Å²) of Non-Hydrogen Atoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>( U_{\text{iso}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$U_{11}$</td>
<td>$U_{22}$</td>
<td>$U_{33}$</td>
<td>$U_{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>0.0232 (6)</td>
<td>0.0334 (7)</td>
<td>0.0223 (7)</td>
<td>0.0108 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.0240 (9)</td>
<td>0.0257 (9)</td>
<td>0.0188 (9)</td>
<td>0.0113 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.0205 (8)</td>
<td>0.0168 (8)</td>
<td>0.0201 (9)</td>
<td>0.0037 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.0222 (9)</td>
<td>0.0269 (9)</td>
<td>0.0231 (9)</td>
<td>0.0094 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A-10** Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters ($\text{Å}^2$) of Hydrogen atoms
### Appendix: X-Ray Crystallography Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U_{11}</th>
<th>U_{22}</th>
<th>U_{33}</th>
<th>U_{12}</th>
<th>U_{13}</th>
<th>U_{23}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>0.0210 (9)</td>
<td>0.0279 (10)</td>
<td>0.0292 (10)</td>
<td>0.0077 (8)</td>
<td>0.0024 (8)</td>
<td>0.0087 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O6</td>
<td>0.0268 (8)</td>
<td>0.0538 (9)</td>
<td>0.0412 (9)</td>
<td>0.0213 (7)</td>
<td>0.0061 (6)</td>
<td>0.0195 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>0.0266 (9)</td>
<td>0.0304 (10)</td>
<td>0.0188 (9)</td>
<td>0.0143 (8)</td>
<td>0.0067 (7)</td>
<td>0.0070 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>0.0222 (9)</td>
<td>0.0270 (10)</td>
<td>0.0242 (9)</td>
<td>0.0100 (8)</td>
<td>0.0068 (7)</td>
<td>0.0077 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>0.0245 (10)</td>
<td>0.0333 (11)</td>
<td>0.0360 (11)</td>
<td>0.0086 (8)</td>
<td>0.0093 (8)</td>
<td>0.0144 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>0.0276 (11)</td>
<td>0.0342 (12)</td>
<td>0.0539 (14)</td>
<td>0.0060 (9)</td>
<td>0.0047 (10)</td>
<td>0.0141 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O11</td>
<td>0.0231 (6)</td>
<td>0.0240 (7)</td>
<td>0.0282 (7)</td>
<td>0.0119 (5)</td>
<td>0.0020 (5)</td>
<td>0.0083 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>0.0220 (9)</td>
<td>0.0231 (9)</td>
<td>0.0203 (9)</td>
<td>0.0122 (7)</td>
<td>0.0047 (7)</td>
<td>0.0060 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>0.0208 (9)</td>
<td>0.0207 (9)</td>
<td>0.0232 (9)</td>
<td>0.0080 (7)</td>
<td>0.0051 (7)</td>
<td>0.0053 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14</td>
<td>0.0212 (9)</td>
<td>0.0226 (9)</td>
<td>0.0239 (9)</td>
<td>0.0068 (7)</td>
<td>0.0030 (7)</td>
<td>0.0030 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15</td>
<td>0.0222 (9)</td>
<td>0.0261 (10)</td>
<td>0.0258 (10)</td>
<td>0.0094 (8)</td>
<td>−0.0003 (7)</td>
<td>0.0021 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16</td>
<td>0.0287 (10)</td>
<td>0.0319 (11)</td>
<td>0.0279 (10)</td>
<td>0.0110 (9)</td>
<td>−0.0006 (8)</td>
<td>−0.0026 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17</td>
<td>0.0207 (9)</td>
<td>0.0178 (8)</td>
<td>0.0152 (8)</td>
<td>0.0072 (7)</td>
<td>−0.0008 (6)</td>
<td>0.0002 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18</td>
<td>0.0204 (8)</td>
<td>0.0223 (9)</td>
<td>0.0227 (9)</td>
<td>0.0094 (7)</td>
<td>0.0017 (7)</td>
<td>0.0032 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19</td>
<td>0.0218 (9)</td>
<td>0.0224 (9)</td>
<td>0.0269 (10)</td>
<td>0.0099 (8)</td>
<td>0.0009 (7)</td>
<td>0.0036 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O20</td>
<td>0.0250 (7)</td>
<td>0.0327 (8)</td>
<td>0.0524 (9)</td>
<td>0.0176 (6)</td>
<td>0.0032 (6)</td>
<td>0.0104 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A-11** Atomic Displacement Parameters (Å²).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Distance (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1—C2</td>
<td>1.456 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1—C5</td>
<td>1.355 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—C3</td>
<td>1.513 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—C7</td>
<td>1.519 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—H21</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—C3^i</td>
<td>1.462 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—C4</td>
<td>1.334 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—C5</td>
<td>1.466 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—H41</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5—O6</td>
<td>1.212 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7—C8</td>
<td>1.521 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix: X-Ray Crystallography Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C7—H71</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>C15—C16</td>
<td>1.519 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7—H72</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>C15—H151</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8—C9</td>
<td>1.528 (2)</td>
<td>C15—H152</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8—H81</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>C16—H162</td>
<td>0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8—H82</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>C16—H163</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—C10</td>
<td>1.515 (3)</td>
<td>C16—H161</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—H92</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>C17—C17ii</td>
<td>1.453 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—H91</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>C17—C18</td>
<td>1.336 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10—H102</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>C18—C19</td>
<td>1.463 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10—H101</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>C18—H181</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10—H103</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>C19—O20</td>
<td>1.212 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A-12** Bond Length (Å).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2—O1—C5</td>
<td>110.18 (13)</td>
<td>C12—O11—C19</td>
<td>109.67 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1—C2—C3</td>
<td>103.26 (14)</td>
<td>O11—C12—C13</td>
<td>108.67 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1—C2—C7</td>
<td>108.62 (14)</td>
<td>O11—C12—C17</td>
<td>103.41 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—C2—C7</td>
<td>117.02 (15)</td>
<td>C13—C12—C17</td>
<td>116.06 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1—C2—H21</td>
<td>106.9</td>
<td>O11—C12—H121</td>
<td>108.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—C2—H21</td>
<td>110.7</td>
<td>C13—C12—H121</td>
<td>109.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7—C2—H21</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>C17—C12—H121</td>
<td>110.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—C3—C3i</td>
<td>122.66 (19)</td>
<td>C12—C13—C14</td>
<td>113.92 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3i—C3—C4</td>
<td>128.2 (2)</td>
<td>C14—C13—H132</td>
<td>109.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—C4—C5</td>
<td>108.90 (16)</td>
<td>C12—C13—H131</td>
<td>107.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—C4—H41</td>
<td>127.9</td>
<td>C14—C13—H131</td>
<td>109.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5—C4—H41</td>
<td>123.2</td>
<td>H132—C13—H131</td>
<td>107.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—C5—O1</td>
<td>108.49 (15)</td>
<td>C13—C14—C15</td>
<td>112.87 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4—C5—O6</td>
<td>130.01 (18)</td>
<td>C13—C14—H142</td>
<td>108.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1—C5—O6</td>
<td>121.50 (17)</td>
<td>C15—C14—H142</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table A-13: Bond Angles (°).

| C2—C7—C8 | 114.50 (14) | C13—C14—H141 | 109.8 |
| C2—C7—H71 | 107.6 | C15—C14—H141 | 109.2 |
| C8—C7—H71 | 109.2 | H142—C14—H141 | 106.8 |
| C2—C7—H72 | 105.8 | C14—C15—C16 | 111.96 (15) |
| C8—C7—H72 | 111.1 | C14—C15—H151 | 108.7 |
| H71—C7—H72 | 108.5 | C16—C15—H151 | 109.8 |
| C7—C8—C9 | 111.54 (15) | C14—C15—H152 | 108.9 |
| C7—C8—H81 | 109.6 | C16—C15—H152 | 109.5 |
| C9—C8—H81 | 109.6 | H151—C15—H152 | 107.8 |
| C7—C8—H82 | 109.5 | C15—C16—H162 | 109.9 |
| C9—C8—H82 | 109.4 | C15—C16—H163 | 109.7 |
| H81—C8—H82 | 107.1 | H162—C16—H163 | 110.5 |
| C8—C9—C10 | 113.62 (17) | C15—C16—H161 | 109.4 |
| C8—C9—H92 | 109 | H162—C16—H161 | 109.8 |
| C10—C9—H92 | 108.4 | H163—C16—H161 | 107.5 |
| C8—C9—H91 | 108.7 | C12—C17—C17ii | 122.88 (18) |
| C10—C9—H91 | 109.5 | C12—C17—C18 | 109.19 (14) |
| H92—C9—H91 | 107.4 | C17ii—C17—C18 | 127.9 (2) |
| C9—C10—H102 | 109.6 | C17—C18—C19 | 108.76 (15) |
| C9—C10—H101 | 110.2 | C17—C18—H181 | 128.1 |
| H102—C10—H101 | 109.5 | C19—C18—H181 | 123.1 |
| C9—C10—H103 | 108.7 | C18—C19—O11 | 108.94 (14) |
| H102—C10—H103 | 109.5 | C18—C19—O20 | 129.52 (17) |
| H101—C10—H103 | 109.3 | O11—C19—O20 | 121.53 (16) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D—H···A</th>
<th>D—H</th>
<th>H···A</th>
<th>D···A</th>
<th>D—H···A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C4—H41···O6iii</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>3.301 (3)</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15—H151···O20iv</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>3.446 (3)</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix: X-Ray Crystallography Data

C18—H181···O20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.95</th>
<th>2.46</th>
<th>3.331 (3)</th>
<th>152</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Symmetry codes: (iii) –x, –y, –z+2; (iv) –x, –y+1, –z+1; (v) –x+1, –y, –z+1.

Table A-14 Hydrogen-Bond Geometry (Å, °).

A-4 References